Yahoo Group Archive:
ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)

Yahoo Group summary: Discussion Group for ARRL Logbook of the World (LoTW) \n \n LoTW was designed as an alternative to paper QSLs for award credit. It offers a lower cost and a more efficient way of confirming the contacts in your log. \n \n This discussion group provides a forum for LoTW users to get technical help and as a collection point for tools and information. \n \n Please include your Callsign when you request access to the group. \n \n \n KGØKG

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2017. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2003-10-01 through 2007-12-31

Source WARC: arrl-lotw.gptZuzt.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2017-05-09T15-43-21Z-d06aad

Messages included in this PDF: 4416

Message records with no body available in the source archive: 4

Messages omitted as spam from this PDF: 3

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

5. Crimson Editor

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-01 01:45:31 UTC
From: n0klv
Topic: 5 Message: 5

Welcome to all new members.

As I was working on my first submission of my log, I had a need for a text editor that showed line numbers, since the ARRL tools uses line numbers as a reference for error messages.

I found this one that seems to do the trick. It's also a pretty good editor for those the write computer programs.

http://www.crimsoneditor.com/

6. ARRL "LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD" GOES LIVE!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-01 01:53:34 UTC
From: n0klv
Topic: 6 Message: 6

ARRL "LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD" GOES LIVE!

The long-awaited QSL-cardless ARRL awards and contact credit system "Logbook of the World" (LoTW) officially opened for business this week.
Within its first five days of operation, the system--which is open to all--already had attracted more than 1000 requests for a digital certificate, the essential pass key to LoTW.

"Although Logbook of the World is a tremendous resource for hams chasing
DXCC, VHF/UHF Century Club (VUCC), Worked All States (WAS) and other awards, we hope it will appeal to hams who are not currently active in these awards programs," said ARRL Chief Operating Officer Mark Wilson, K1RO. "The proliferation of logging software has stimulated activity and
interest in contesting, and submitting log data and verifying award credits online is a logical next step."

Data integrity has been a watchword of the LoTW since the project's conception, and that starts with a digital certificate. Obtaining a digital certificate involves a combination of on-line filing and good old-fashioned snail mail. The first step is to visit the ARRL Logbook of
the World Web page <http://www.arrl.org/lotw>, download the LoTW software
and request a digital certificate that ties the participant's identity to
a digital key.

"We will need to verify you are who you say you are," ARRL Membership Services Manager Wayne Mills, N7NG, explains. "The security of the entire
system depends heavily on the method used for verifying the user's identity." This first step is called authentication. Everyone who plans to
use LoTW first must obtain a digital certificate. There are no exceptions.
For US amateurs, this process relies on your mailing address in the FCC
database, which must be current.

For non-US amateurs, authentication will rely on other documentation, primarily a copy of the participant's Amateur Radio license and another
official identifying document. Mail these copies to ARRL, Logbook of

the
World Administrator, 225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111 USA.

Assuming everything goes smoothly, US licensees requesting a digital certificate soon will receive a postcard in the mail that contains a password."The first batch of postcards has been mailed, and some people
have uploaded their passwords," Wilson said. "Those will be checked, and
the first batch of digital certificates should be e-mailed to users September 22."

Users then should go to the LoTW Web site and enter the password to complete the processing of their certificate request. Once the password
has been entered, the digital certificate will be e-mailed within a working day or two. Non-US stations will receive their digital certificates via e-mail once their documentation has been received at ARRL
Headquarters and authenticated.

Participants will use their digital certificates to "sign" and upload either Amateur Data Interchange Format (ADIF) or Cabrillo-formatted files.
Participants also may use their primary digital certificates to obtain additional certificates necessary to submit log data and obtain award credit for contacts made under formerly held call signs.

At the heart of the Logbook of the World concept will be a huge repository
of log data provided by operators--from individual DXers and contesters to
major DXpeditions--and maintained by ARRL. Mills says the system will benefit big and little guns alike by providing quick QSO credit for ARRL-sponsored awards, especially DXCC.

Obtaining a digital certificate and uploading log data are free of charge.
There will be a per-QSO charge for each contact credit used, but Mills expects it will be much less than the typical costs involved with exchanging paper QSL cards. When you use an LoTW confirmation for an award
credit, the fee will be added to your account and shown in the user's record. The user will be able to pay for these charges on-line using a credit card.

Mills' article, "Introducing Logbook of the World," appears in the October
issue of QST. LoTW news and announcements will be posted to the Logbook of
the World Web site <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/>.

Wilson commended ARRL Web and Software Development Department Manager Jon
Bloom, KE3Z, and the other contributors to the project "for their dedication and hard work that made Logbook a reality."

****
The ARRL Letter
Vol. 22, No. 37
September 19, 2003
****

7. status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-02 20:54:08 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 7 Message: 7

Just to post a positive, I was able to get all working well and even my uploads went well. I found it confusing at first but upon re-reading the FAQ's I got a better understanding. I use IE browser with Windows 2K Pro...

****
Click on this link for my web page http://w3.chrlmi.cablespeed.com/~k8gvk/

8. Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 01:36:13 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 8 Message: 8

What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual log data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all my data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when I have leisure time.

Duffy

9. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 16:19:42 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 8 Message: 9

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
log
> data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
my
> data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
I
> have leisure time.
>
> Duffy

Duffy,
Do you mean some other way than entering the information into your current logging program? And if so, what is your current logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then open that database with your logging program and then export it as an ADIF file.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

10. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 17:59:35 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 8 Message: 10

Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq, mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an ADIF file to upload to LOTW.

Duffy

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
> log
> > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> my
> > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> I
> > have leisure time.
> >
> > Duffy
>
> Duffy,
> Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then
> open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> an ADIF file.
>
> 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO

11. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 19:33:54 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 8 Message: 11

Duffy, I believe Tqsl will do that. Open Tqsl and select new ADIF file and start a one by one log file ready to upload..

----- Original Message -----
From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 1:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

> Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but
> it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I
> would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq,
> mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an
> ADIF file to upload to LOTW.
>
> Duffy
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
> > log
> > > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> > my
> > > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> > I
> > > have leisure time.
> > >
> > > Duffy
> >
> > Duffy,
> > Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> > your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> > logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> > files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> > easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then
> > open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> > an ADIF file.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Dennis - WB0WAO
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

12. Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 20:29:17 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 12 Message: 12

Is any body else having trouble saving a certificate in TQSLCert?
It's asking me for a password to unlock the private key and I'm
pretty sure I'm entering the correct one however TQSLCert just keeps
asking me for the password. It just blanks out the field after I
click the OK button. It doesn't even tell me the password was wrong.
I had wondered if it had any thing to do with an exclamation mark
being used as the last character of the password. I know it's a long
shot and I probably just forgot the password however I usually don't
forget passwords. However with 4 required by LoTW maybe I did mess up.

Since I can't save my certificate I can't use the TQSL program on my
other computer. (Work vs Home).

Any thoughts or suggestions? Other then contacting ARRL which I've
already done but haven't heard from yet.

73, Tom NJ2DX

13. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 20:38:26 UTC
From: Kevin Ellison
Topic: 12 Message: 13

There is an update out on the LOTW page to deal with that problem.

73

Kevin Ellison
Dahlonega, Georgia (Amicalola Falls)
http://www.dekalbstarteam.com/k4bs/myweather.htm
K4BS - Amateur Extra (20 wpm)

-----Original Message-----
From: nj2dx [mailto:nj2dx@...]
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 4:29 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem

Is any body else having trouble saving a certificate in TQSLCert?
It's asking me for a password to unlock the private key and I'm
pretty sure I'm entering the correct one however TQSLCert just keeps
asking me for the password. It just blanks out the field after I
click the OK button. It doesn't even tell me the password was wrong.
I had wondered if it had any thing to do with an exclamation mark
being used as the last character of the password. I know it's a long
shot and I probably just forgot the password however I usually don't
forget passwords. However with 4 required by LoTW maybe I did mess up.

Since I can't save my certificate I can't use the TQSL program on my
other computer. (Work vs Home).

Any thoughts or suggestions? Other then contacting ARRL which I've
already done but haven't heard from yet.

73, Tom NJ2DX

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1784493/R=0/id=noscript/SIG=11q7p9e7k/*http:/webevents.yahoo
.com/universal/intolerablecruelty/>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1784493/rand=858013453>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

14. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 20:49:18 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 12 Message: 14

That is a problem with the first (public) release of the tQSL
program (1.06). Go to the LotW web site, download the new
version (1.08) and install it. The password problem was fixed
in version 1.07 (unfortunately, after I lost my certificates).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: nj2dx [mailto:nj2dx@...]
> Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 4:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem
>
>
> Is any body else having trouble saving a certificate in TQSLCert?
> It's asking me for a password to unlock the private key and I'm
> pretty sure I'm entering the correct one however TQSLCert just keeps
> asking me for the password. It just blanks out the field after I
> click the OK button. It doesn't even tell me the password was wrong.
> I had wondered if it had any thing to do with an exclamation mark
> being used as the last character of the password. I know it's a long
> shot and I probably just forgot the password however I usually don't
> forget passwords. However with 4 required by LoTW maybe I did mess up.
>
> Since I can't save my certificate I can't use the TQSL program on my
> other computer. (Work vs Home).
>
> Any thoughts or suggestions? Other then contacting ARRL which I've
> already done but haven't heard from yet.
>
> 73, Tom NJ2DX
>
>

15. First impressions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 19:57:49 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 15 Message: 15

I got my certificate back yesterday and went to work uploading my logs. Things went pretty well, but there is one apparent glitch which I have notified ARRL about.

Since my ADIF files was pretty big (about 7MB) I used TQSL to bust it up into year-long chunks. As I was uploading the files via the web interface it seemed to me that some LOTW was reporting different contact totals than TQSL did. On examination, this was true. Some years were missing several hundred contacts which TQSL has reported writing, but LoTW online was not reporting.

Just for grins I sent one of the suspect .tq8 files as an email attachment, rather than uploading via the web. LoTW came back with a proper count on this one.

So it appears for now it might be best to send your logs in via email attachment.

Otherwise, everything went well. I have about 25,000 QSO's uploaded, and LoTW reports 517 matches, or about 2% return. Not bad for so early in the game. Most are US but there is already a scattering of DX matches too.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

16. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 20:01:12 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 8 Message: 16

DXKeeper will do that. Its free, and available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

Be sure to un-check the "optimize for real-time QSO entry" box when entering batches of old QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Beischel [mailto:duffyb01@...]
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 2:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq, mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an ADIF file to upload to LOTW.

Duffy

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
> log
> > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> my
> > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> I
> > have leisure time.
> >
> > Duffy
>
> Duffy,
> Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then
> open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> an ADIF file.
>
> 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1784493/R=0/id=noscript/SIG=11q7p9e7k/*http://webevents.yahoo.com/universal/intolerablecruelty/>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1784493/rand=450387516>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

17. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 21:25:46 UTC
From: Noel Zeibari
Topic: 12 Message: 17

Hi Tom,

I had similar problems like you. After several tries, I switched to
upper case letters, apparently that's how I sent my chosen password to
ARRL Lotw. The password is the one you have chosen, and not the one with
the postcard, or the e-mail one that the ARRL sent you.
So, keep trying and good luck.

73, de Noel N3nz

nj2dx wrote:
> Is any body else having trouble saving a certificate in TQSLCert?
> It's asking me for a password to unlock the private key and I'm
> pretty sure I'm entering the correct one however TQSLCert just keeps
> asking me for the password. It just blanks out the field after I
> click the OK button. It doesn't even tell me the password was wrong.
> I had wondered if it had any thing to do with an exclamation mark
> being used as the last character of the password. I know it's a long
> shot and I probably just forgot the password however I usually don't
> forget passwords. However with 4 required by LoTW maybe I did mess up.
>
> Since I can't save my certificate I can't use the TQSL program on my
> other computer. (Work vs Home).
>
> Any thoughts or suggestions? Other then contacting ARRL which I've
> already done but haven't heard from yet.
>
> 73, Tom NJ2DX
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1784
493/R=0/id=noscript/SIG=11q7p9e7k/*http://webevents.yahoo.com/universal/intolerablecruelty
/>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

18. First impressions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 20:40:13 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 15 Message: 18

I got my certificate back yesterday and went to work uploading my logs. Things went pretty well, but there is one apparent glitch which I have notified ARRL about.

Since my ADIF files was pretty big (about 7MB) I used TQSL to bust it up into year-long chunks. As I was uploading the files via the web interface it seemed to me that LOTW was reporting different contact totals than TQSL did. On examination, this was true. Some years were missing several hundred contacts which TQSL has reported writing, but LoTW online was not recording online.

Just for grins I sent one of the suspect .tq8 files as an email attachment, rather than uploading via the web. LoTW came back with a proper count on this one.

So it appears for now it might be best to send your logs in via email attachment.

Otherwise, everything went well. I have about 25,000 QSO's uploaded, and LoTW reports 517 matches, or about 2% return. Not bad for so early in the game. Most are US but there is already a scattering of DX matches too.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

19. Log problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 21:52:20 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 19 Message: 19

Hi all,

I had my certificate from the ARRL LOTW last weekend, and then started to chop up my log into manageable proportions, and then emailed the first part, just to check it worked.

Unfortunately, I got an auto-response back that said :
2003-10-02 18:48:00 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: gu0sup1.tq8
2003-10-02 18:48:00 LOTW_QSO: Error in record 1: tCERT error: unable to get local issuer certificate
2003-10-02 18:48:00 LOTW_QSO: Record 1 ignored due to errors
2003-10-02 18:48:00 LOTW_QSO: Error in record 2: tSTATION CERT_UID (1) doesn't match any tCERT CERT_UID
and so on, for the first 100 entries, after which it says that 100 errors is the limit.

My question is what does "unable to get local issuer certificate" mean?

I haven't yet downloaded 1.08, so is this a problem with 1.06 only?

I tried accessing the LOTW pages today, and got "unable to find server". Was that just me?

I had been a user of the trial version, and that worked well, with no problems.

Thanks in advance for any replies.

73 de Phil GU0SUP

20. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 00:40:40 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 8 Message: 20

Message
Check out YPlog for easy old QSO entry and export to ADIF.

Free download at: http://members.shaw.ca/ve6yp/

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 2:01 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

DXKeeper will do that. Its free, and available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

Be sure to un-check the "optimize for real-time QSO entry" box when entering batches of old QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ
-----Original Message-----
From: Beischel [mailto:duffyb01@...]
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 2:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but
it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I
would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq,
mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an
ADIF file to upload to LOTW.

Duffy

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
> log
> > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> my
> > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> I
> > have leisure time.

> >
> > Duffy
>
> Duffy,
> Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then
> open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> an ADIF file.
>
> 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

21. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-03 23:56:47 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 8 Message: 21

Message

Check out YPlog for easy old QSO entry and export to ADIF.

Free download at: http://members.shaw.ca/ve6yp/

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 2:01 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

DXKeeper will do that. Its free, and available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

Be sure to un-check the "optimize for real-time QSO entry" box when entering batches of old QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ
-----Original Message-----
From: Beischel [mailto:duffyb01@...]
Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 2:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but
it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I
would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq,
mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an
ADIF file to upload to LOTW.

Duffy

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual
> log
> > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> my
> > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> I

> > have leisure time.
> >
> > Duffy
>
> Duffy,
> Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it. Then
> open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> an ADIF file.
>
> 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

22. "Logbook of the World" off like a rocket

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 01:53:14 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 22 Message: 22

```
***************
The ARRL Letter
Vol. 22, No. 39
October 3, 2003
***************
```

==>ARRL "LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD" IS OFF LIKE A ROCKET

Logbook of the World" (LoTW) <http://www.arrl.org/lotw>--the League's new QSL-cardless awards and contact credit system--has proven to be a huge hit with the amateur community. Since opening September 15, LoTW has acquired more than 2200 registered participants. Another 2400 or so applications are pending, and the QSO database of 4900 uploaded logs had topped 8 million contacts at week's end.

"Certainly the number of Qs that we've gotten is well above what we expected at this point," said ARRL Membership Services Manager Wayne Mills, N7NG, who has been sharing duties with Assistant to the CEO David Patton, NN1N, as point man for LoTW. ARRL Web and Software Development Department Manager Jon Bloom, KE3Z, has been handling software development and updating for LoTW.

LoTW is open to all, and applying for a digital certificate is the first step toward taking advantage of the system. The digital certificate authenticates the user's identity.

ARRL will maintain the ballooning repository of log data from casual operators, DXers, contesters and major DXpeditions. LoTW will be able to provide quick QSO credit for awards programs by identifying contact matches within submitted log data. There have been 51,000 such matches to date. Registered participants then will be able to apply LoTW-confirmed QSO credits toward ARRL awards, such as DXCC, WAS and VUCC.

Work continues on the last major LoTW component--the Web pages to apply LoTW confirmations toward ARRL-sponsored awards. Mills expects that LoTW also will one day provide contact credits for non-ARRL programs. "Major award sponsors have expressed interest in using LoTW records, and details are pending," he said.

The key to the ultimate success of LoTW is for users to upload as much log data as possible. The more contacts in the database, the better the chances of a QSO match.

LoTW eventually will be able to search users' DXCC records and find new credits automatically. The program also will provide full viewing of users' DXCC records, automatically alert users to new awards achieved and offer comprehensive support for many other awards.

Mills cautioned new users that LoTW permits just one digital certificate request per call sign. He advised that once users apply for a certificate, they should not attempt to alter it or create another request. Any errors, he points out, can be corrected later. For US users, the first certificate has to be for a current call sign that's in the FCC database. After you get the certificate, you can request additional certificates for formerly held call signs.

While the digital certificate is free, LoTW will charge on a per-credit basis to apply credits toward awards. "Logbook of the World is an alternative to collecting QSL cards by mail," Mills said. Fees <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/fees> range from 25 cents for a single credit to 15 cents per credit in lots of 500. Users may purchase credits in advance, but LoTW fees do not also cover award fees.

"It turns out that this is a much cheaper way to collect credits for DXCC," Mills asserted. "Overall, we are very happy with the progress and user acceptance."

This week, EchoLink <http://www.echolink.org> announced that it would accept ARRL's LoTW's digital certificates to authenticate new users as an alternative to providing a copy of their amateur license.

News and announcements will be posted to the Logbook of the World Web site <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/>.

==========
The ARRL Letter is published Fridays, 50 times each year, by the American Radio Relay League--The National Association For Amateur Radio--225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111; tel 860-594-0200; fax 860-594-0259; <http://www.arrl.org>. Jim Haynie, W5JBP, President.

The ARRL Letter offers a weekly e-mail digest of essential news of interest to active amateurs. The ARRL Letter strives to be timely, accurate, concise, and readable. Visit ARRLWeb <http://www.arrl.org> for the latest news, updated as it happens. The ARRL Web site <http://www.arrl.org/> offers access to news, informative features and columns. ARRL Audio News <http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/audio/> is a weekly "ham radio newscast" compiled from The ARRL Letter.

Material from The ARRL Letter may be republished or reproduced in whole or in part in any form without additional permission. Credit must be given to The ARRL Letter and The American Radio Relay League.

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

23. LoTW FAQ... Something Interesting In There....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 15:13:28 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 23 Message: 23

I don't know how many caught it, but there is a line in there that pretty well intimates that the League will be offering "new" awards in conjuction with LoTW (and I assume they will be available the "old fashoned" way as well). Wonder if they have them developed yet or they are in the planning/thinking stages. I would love to see an HF award kinda like the VUCC award for VHF/UHF.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

24. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW FAQ... Something Interesting In There....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 16:41:22 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 23 Message: 24

> I don't know how many caught it, but there is a line in there that
> pretty well intimates that the League will be offering "new" awards
> in conjuction with LoTW (and I assume they will be available
> the "old fashoned" way as well).

I don't think that you should assume that awards will be available the old fashion way. The reason is the man power cost of card checking. With LoTW it is easy to do a search on anything. So it would be easy to do an award like WAS with last letter of the call the same as your last name. This is one of the bennies of digital QSLs. On the other hand we LoTW on line, it may free up some of the checkers to check other awards.

73

25. Re: Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 21:20:10 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 12 Message: 25

I guess I should have mentioned that I've been using version 1.08
however still have the problem...

If I don't hear back via email from the ARRL in a couple of days I
guess I'll have to call them to find out if I have to get a new
certficate. Strange thing is I can sign ADIF files just fine but I
can't save a certificate. Meaning when I get prompted for the private
key password in TQSL it works fine. However when prompted for the
private key password in TQSLCert and entering the same exact password
it just blanks out the text box and asks me again.

Seems like a bug to me but I don't know why this is occuring.

73, Tom NJ2DX

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@s...>
wrote:
>
> That is a problem with the first (public) release of the tQSL
> program (1.06). Go to the LotW web site, download the new
> version (1.08) and install it. The password problem was fixed
> in version 1.07 (unfortunately, after I lost my certificates).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: nj2dx [mailto:nj2dx@y...]
> > Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 4:29 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Save certficate problem
> >
> >
> > Is any body else having trouble saving a certificate in TQSLCert?
> > It's asking me for a password to unlock the private key and I'm
> > pretty sure I'm entering the correct one however TQSLCert just
keeps
> > asking me for the password. It just blanks out the field after I
> > click the OK button. It doesn't even tell me the password was
wrong.
> > I had wondered if it had any thing to do with an exclamation mark
> > being used as the last character of the password. I know it's a
long
> > shot and I probably just forgot the password however I usually

don't
> > forget passwords. However with 4 required by LoTW maybe I did
mess up.
> >
> > Since I can't save my certificate I can't use the TQSL program on
my
> > other computer. (Work vs Home).
> >
> > Any thoughts or suggestions? Other then contacting ARRL which
I've
> > already done but haven't heard from yet.
> >
> > 73, Tom NJ2DX
> >
> >

26. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 21:50:45 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 12 Message: 26

Tom,

> If I don't hear back via email from the ARRL in a couple of days I
> guess I'll have to call them to find out if I have to get a new
> certficate. Strange thing is I can sign ADIF files just fine but I
> can't save a certificate. Meaning when I get prompted for the private
> key password in TQSL it works fine. However when prompted for the
> private key password in TQSLCert and entering the same exact password
> it just blanks out the text box and asks me again.

If you have to get a replacement certificate (did you try redownloading the certificate from the web site?), be prepared to jump through hoops and wait a couple of weeks.

My original certificate was lost due to the password problem with tQSL 1.06. It took nearly a week for staff to figure out what to do (issue a "superceeding" certificate) and I still had to submit a new request. I'm still waiting for the damn postcard. Even though I have been 'verified' once and have access to the LotW web site, they can't simply generate a certificate from my request and put it on the web site for me to download.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

27. Re: Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 22:12:36 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 12 Message: 27

Well at this point I want to upload my logs which it appears I can do
so I'm going to do that. However it would really be nice to be able
to save my digital certificate so I have a back up as well as be able
to transfer it to my work computer.

73, Tom NJ2DX

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@s...>
wrote:
>
> Tom,
>
> > If I don't hear back via email from the ARRL in a couple of days
I
> > guess I'll have to call them to find out if I have to get a new
> > certficate. Strange thing is I can sign ADIF files just fine but
I
> > can't save a certificate. Meaning when I get prompted for the
private
> > key password in TQSL it works fine. However when prompted for the
> > private key password in TQSLCert and entering the same exact
password
> > it just blanks out the text box and asks me again.
>
> If you have to get a replacement certificate (did you try
redownloading
> the certificate from the web site?), be prepared to jump through
hoops
> and wait a couple of weeks.
>
> My original certificate was lost due to the password problem with
> tQSL 1.06. It took nearly a week for staff to figure out what to
do
> (issue a "superceeding" certificate) and I still had to submit a
new
> request. I'm still waiting for the damn postcard. Even though I
have
> been 'verified' once and have access to the LotW web site, they
can't
> simply generate a certificate from my request and put it on the web
> site for me to download.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

28. Problems sorted!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-04 22:31:20 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 28 Message: 28

Hi all.....

Just to say that I have solved my problems, and the answer - well, part, at least - may help one or two others.

I was getting a robot reply from the ARRL-LOTW that said "tCERT error: unable to get local issuer certificate" and it would appear that this was due to the fact that I still had a licence from the old beta-test days, and hadn't deleted it.

I was also having problems in part because I was still using TQSL v1.06 and it would appear that you DO need to get v1.08 to make everything work OK.

I've now uploaded the first part of my log, with contacts from 1993 to 1996, and now have 24 QSL confirmations.
Over the next few days, I will upload the rest of my logs, right up to CQWW RTTY 2003.

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

29. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Problems sorted!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 00:48:17 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 28 Message: 29
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

good work, I had the same problem last week, sorry i did not join this group until today, I might have been able to save u some time.

Hey, I think I'm in you log, so hopefully I'll get "qsl'd" when you upload your RTTY logs. So far out of the 6400 I have uploaded I have only 56 QSL'd and one ONE DX (Bahamas).

73 de Andy K3UK
Ex KB2EOQ

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, October 04, 2003 18:33:41
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Problems sorted!

Hi all.....

Just to say that I have solved my problems, and the answer - well, part, at least - may help one or two others.

I was getting a robot reply from the ARRL-LOTW that said "tCERT error: unable to get local issuer certificate" and it would appear that this was due to the fact that I still had a licence from the old beta-test days, and hadn't deleted it.

I was also having problems in part because I was still using TQSL v1.06 and it would appear that you DO need to get v1.08 to make everything work OK.

I've now uploaded the first part of my log, with contacts from 1993 to 1996, and now have 24 QSL confirmations.
Over the next few days, I will upload the rest of my logs, right up to CQWW RTTY 2003.

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

30. Kwiklog to ADI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 06:28:02 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 30 Message: 30

I use KWIKLOG(DOS VERSION) to maintain my
county hunter files. It is written in Virtual
BAsic(I think).
Is there any way to convert these log files to
ADI? Would like to load them up as well as all my
other logs. I have 47 years worth of logs, and am not really
interested in doing it manually. Hi!
Thanks ,
Tom K5IID

31. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Kwiklog to ADI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 07:44:10 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 30 Message: 31

Do you have any documentation describing the format of its log file(s)? Does it have any sort of export capability. I checked the web site -- a lot of chest-beating about how great a program it is, but no useful information.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom Horton [mailto:k5iid@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 2:28 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Kwiklog to ADI

I use KWIKLOG(DOS VERSION) to maintain my
county hunter files. It is written in Virtual
BAsic(I think).
Is there any way to convert these log files to
ADI? Would like to load them up as well as all my
other logs. I have 47 years worth of logs, and am not really
interested in doing it manually. Hi!
Thanks ,
Tom K5IID

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1784493/R=0/id=noscript/SIG=11q7p9e7k/*http://webevents.yahoo.com/universal/intolerablecruelty/>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=256694.4002236.5216697.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1784493/rand=343198060>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

32. getting a UK club certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 11:56:57 UTC
From: James
Topic: 30 Message: 32

Hi

I wonder if anyone knows the situation for getting a digital certificate for a UK club radio station. I know that if I want one for my own call I have to provide a photocopy of my licence plus one other official document (driving licence, passport etc). The situation with the club station is more difficult. For the main club call we could produce a licence, but probably not another official document, the situation is even worse for the contest call sign (M4U) as this does not have a specific licence, just a separate sheet of paper saying we can use it in specific contests.

I suppose the licence for the original call plus this piece of paper would suffice in lieu of the licence, but there is absolutely no other document available that would support the certificate.

We generate some 4,000 - 6,000 QSO's per year and a large number of these are in the US.

I would appreciate any thoughts on how we could obtain certificates for our club call and our contest call.

73,

James M0ZZO

33. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 13:31:10 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 8 Message: 33

Hmmm, just took a look at that. Did not realize you could do that,
but it looks like what I was asking for. Thanks Dave!

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave K8GVK" <k8gvk@c...> wrote:
> Duffy, I believe Tqsl will do that. Open Tqsl and select new ADIF
file and start a one by one log file ready to upload..
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, October 03, 2003 1:59 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF
>
>
> > Currently I use MixW for logging. It produces an ADIF file o.k., but
> > it not that great at entering in old, non-current or live, data. I
> > would like a program that allows me to quickly enter the date, freq,
> > mode, time, station, and then be able to output what I entered into an
> > ADIF file to upload to LOTW.
> >
> > Duffy
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> > > > What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old
manual
> > > log
> > > > data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all
> > > my
> > > > data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when
> > > I
> > > > have leisure time.
> > > >
> > > > Duffy
> > >
> > > Duffy,
> > > Do you mean some other way than entering the information into
> > > your current logging program? And if so, what is your current
> > > logging program? Reason that I ask is that some of them use .mdb
> > > files that can be read using Access or Excell. If so, it would be
> > > easy to create a new "log file" and use Excell to populate it.
Then

> > > open that database with your logging program and then export it as
> > > an ADIF file.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Dennis - WB0WAO
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >

34. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 14:55:52 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 30 Message: 34

Hi,

I don't speak for ARRL and I don't know there official policy, but I got
a cert for both my Boy Scout club
station and a special event call (N1H) based on my own station cert.
The concept is that if one
sign a certreq with a cert then that is trusted, just like the
TrustedQSL they are trusted. Therefore
if one sign a bogus certreq they have committed fraud and all their
certs may be revoked if discovered.

If you is going to commit fraud you don't leave a trail positively
identifying you as the person committing
fraud.

Hope this helps

Darryl WA1GON

-----Original Message-----
From: James [mailto:james@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 7:57 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Hi

I wonder if anyone knows the situation for getting a digital certificate
for a UK club radio station. I know that if I want one for my own call
I have to provide a photocopy of my licence plus one other official
document (driving licence, passport etc). The situation with the club
station is more difficult. For the main club call we could produce a
licence, but probably not another official document, the situation is
even worse for the contest call sign (M4U) as this does not have a
specific licence, just a separate sheet of paper saying we can use it in
specific contests.

I suppose the licence for the original call plus this piece of paper
would suffice in lieu of the licence, but there is absolutely no other
document available that would support the certificate.

We generate some 4,000 - 6,000 QSO's per year and a large number of
these are in the US.

I would appreciate any thoughts on how we could obtain certificates for
our club call and our contest call.

73,

James M0ZZO

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1750876/R=0/SIG=11v1mrq3u/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/hit?page=1762-1062629109228440> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1750876/rand=331528741>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

35. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Kwiklog to ADI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 09:08:19 UTC
From: Dave Hachadorian
Topic: 30 Message: 35

On Sun, 05 Oct 2003 06:28:02 -0000 "Tom Horton" <k5iid@...>
writes:
> I use KWIKLOG(DOS VERSION) to maintain my
> county hunter files. It is written in Virtual
> BAsic(I think).
> Is there any way to convert these log files to
> ADI? Would like to load them up as well as all my
> other logs. I have 47 years worth of logs, and am not really
> interested in doing it manually. Hi!
> Thanks ,
> Tom K5IID

If you can get the log into some sort of editable ascii
format, and can operate a spreadsheet program, such as
Excel or Quattro, here is one approach that may help:

The program asc2adif will convert a comma-delimited
ascii log, created by your favorite spreadsheet program,
into an ADIF file. asc2adif is available at
http://kh2d.net/software/asc2adif.cfm

The process is:
1. Get the log into ascii format.
2. Edit out headers,page breaks, blank lines, etc, so the
log contains only qso data.
3. Import the ascii log into a spreadsheet, formatting it
into columns.
4. Clean up the columns if required, moving some blocks of
data around to get everything lined up vertically.
5. convert the dates to mm-dd-yy.
6. convert the times to hhmm (24hr)
7. convert band data to mhz or meters
8. move callsigns to column A
9. Move band to column B
10. Move mode to column D
11. Move date to column Q
12. Move time to column R
13. Get rid of all other data, unless you feel compelled to
mess with it, and it fits one of the other asc2adif headers
(rst's, freq, name, note, dxcc, qslsent, qsl rcvd,state, iota,
county, zone, qth). None of this is really required though.
14. Save the file as an ascii, comma-delimited text file.
15. Run asc2adif to convert to ADIF.

All of this sounds harder than it actually is, especially if you
are halfway good at the spreadsheet program. The only showstopper

is if the date or time is in such a goofy format that you cannot convert it automatically to one of the formats required by asc2adif. For contest logs, even the date is not a problem, because only two days are involved, and you can easily paste the correct format into the date column.

I've converted a lot of my old logs this way. It was a great refresher course in spreadsheet tricks! Now that I don't have any more files to convert, I'm an expert at a useless task!

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

36. Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 16:27:05 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 36 Message: 36

I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to get your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't happen right away.

Pat

WW9R

37. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 16:43:37 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 36 Message: 37

I received mine the following day.

Bill
KF0OH
----- Original Message -----
From: Patrick Hoppe
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 11:27 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to get
your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't happen
right away.

Pat

WW9R

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

38. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 16:54:46 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 36 Message: 38

3-5 days..U.S. Mail but may be slower now since more and more folks are signing up...
----- Original Message -----
From: Patrick Hoppe
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 12:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to get your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't happen right away.

Pat

WW9R

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

39. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 17:07:23 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 36 Message: 39

The ARRL poop sheet says to allow up to two WORKING days. I assume they
take Saturday and Sunday off.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Patrick Hoppe wrote:
> I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to
> get your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't
> happen right away.
>
>
>
> Pat
>
> WW9R
>
>

40. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 17:49:29 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 36 Message: 40
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Actually I have received info from them on weekends lately.

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Sunday, October 05, 2003 13:07:44
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

The ARRL poop sheet says to allow up to two WORKING days. I assume they
take Saturday and Sunday off.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Patrick Hoppe wrote:
> I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to
> get your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't
> happen right away.
>
>
>
> Pat
>
> WW9R
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

41. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 17:53:38 UTC
From: n0klv
Topic: 8 Message: 41

This may be another option:

Excel - ADIF Converstion Tool
Helpful tool that you can use to take old manual logs and enter them into an Excel spreadsheet to have the data converted into an ADIF file
http://www.wb8nut.com/download/Manual_ADI_entry.xls

Links to some other conversion options can be found on the links page of this group.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual log
> data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all my
> data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when I
> have leisure time.
>
> Duffy

42. Maintaining Home Log Book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 18:09:26 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 42 Message: 42

I was wondering if anyone has come up with a good way to maintain your station log after you have been credited with QSO's worked from LOTW. I would like to keep my log up to date with what is confirmed by LOTW and any QSL cards that I have that have not been confirmed by LOTW that must be submitted. I have been all over the web site and can not see any way to do this except print each page one at a time and then sit down and find them in my log. Printing each page is fine the first time but as we all know these could change each day as more logs are loaded. I for one do not look forward to printing each and every page every time I want to update my log . I wish the developers of the program would have made it possible for you and only you the ability to download your own confirmed QSO log then you could at least figured a much better way then printing pages each time. I hope I overlooked something and there is a way to update your log book.

Sorry if I'm off base on this one and the answer was in front of me the whole time.

Steve
KT0DX

43. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 18:17:25 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 42 Message: 43

I don't think you're off base at all. It's something that we NEED. I have posed the request to the powers-that-be in the past and not really heard any response.

I'm sure much more is to come, we just need to be patient I guess.

The important first step is in place - accepting of our input. It just needs the rest of the pieces in place to make it really work.

Steve wrote:
> I was wondering if anyone has come up with a good way to maintain your
> station log after you have been credited with QSO's worked from LOTW.
> I would like to keep my log up to date with what is confirmed by LOTW
> and any QSL cards that I have that have not been confirmed by LOTW that
> must be submitted. I have been all over the web site and can not see
> any way to do this except print each page one at a time and then sit
> down and find them in my log. Printing each page is fine the first time
> but as we all know these could change each day as more logs are loaded.
> I for one do not look forward to printing each and every page every time
> I want to update my log . I wish the developers of the program would
> have made it possible for you and only you the ability to download your
> own confirmed QSO log then you could at least figured a much better way
> then printing pages each time. I hope I overlooked something and there
> is a way to update your log book.
>
> Sorry if I'm off base on this one and the answer was in front of me the
> whole time.
>
> Steve
> KT0DX
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

44. Kwiklog to ADI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 18:45:58 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 30 Message: 44

Dave,

you said...

I've converted a lot of my old logs this way. It was a great refresher
course in spreadsheet tricks! Now that I don't have any more files to
convert, I'm an expert at a useless task!
How about a trip to WV? hi hi
I am by no means an expert on spreadsheets, but have worked with them a bit.
I can probably figure this out...I hope.
A guy that is helping rewrite the Kwiklog system is gonna try to help
also.
This log(Kwiklog) is by far the best there is for county hunting, something
I've been doing for many years. I need 28 more to have them all QRP.
Yes I'm a masochist, but it is a challenge.
Thanks for the info.
See you in a few weeks on SS.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

45. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 18:47:14 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 42 Message: 45

Steve,

I just tried this:

- Highlight the WEB page QSL table.
- Right click and select COPY.
- Open EXCEL and paste the copied table to EXCEL.
- Do this for each WEB page (25Qs) and append the new data to the EXCEL spread sheet.

This will at least get you an electronic copy of your confirmed Qs.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Steve
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 12:09 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

I was wondering if anyone has come up with a good way to maintain your
station log after you have been credited with QSO's worked from LOTW.
I would like to keep my log up to date with what is confirmed by LOTW
and any QSL cards that I have that have not been confirmed by LOTW that
must be submitted. I have been all over the web site and can not see
any way to do this except print each page one at a time and then sit
down and find them in my log. Printing each page is fine the first time
but as we all know these could change each day as more logs are loaded.
I for one do not look forward to printing each and every page every time
I want to update my log . I wish the developers of the program would
have made it possible for you and only you the ability to download your
own confirmed QSO log then you could at least figured a much better way
then printing pages each time. I hope I overlooked something and there
is a way to update your log book.

Sorry if I'm off base on this one and the answer was in front of me the
whole time.

Steve
KT0DX

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

46. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 19:26:10 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 42 Message: 46
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Cool!

Steve,

I just tried this:

- Highlight the WEB page QSL table.
- Right click and select COPY.
- Open EXCEL and paste the copied table to EXCEL.
- Do this for each WEB page (25Qs) and append the new data to the EXCEL spread sheet.

This will at least get you an electronic copy of your confirmed Qs.

47. Fingers Crossed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 19:41:38 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 47 Message: 47

Not only am I a relatively new ham but new to computer logging also.
Using Winlog32 which I like ok and seems to work. Downloaded the
LOTW software last night and registered for the certificate.
Hopefully will receive soon and see how all this comes together.
Great to see how technology melds with amateur radio.

48. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 20:04:17 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 42 Message: 48

It will not be possible to automate this process until LOTW provides a programmatic interface by which a logging application can determine

1. which QSOs uploaded to LOTW were accepted by LOTW (as opposed to rejected due to errors)

2. which OSOs accepted by LOTW have been subsequented matched (and thus should be considered "received" in one's logging application)

This programmatic interface was requested before LOTW entered alpha test; the LOTW project leader has acknowledged its need. Evidently, the LOTW development team has higher priorities at this moment. Without knowing what they are working on, one cannot agree or disagree with their prioritization, but in general delaying the availability of such interfaces until after production release is bad software engineering.

73,

Dave, Aa6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Steve [mailto:kt0dx@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 2:09 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Maintaining Home Log Book

I was wondering if anyone has come up with a good way to maintain your station log after you have been credited with QSO's worked from LOTW. I would like to keep my log up to date with what is confirmed by LOTW and any QSL cards that I have that have not been confirmed by LOTW that must be submitted. I have been all over the web site and can not see any way to do this except print each page one at a time and then sit down and find them in my log. Printing each page is fine the first time

but as we all know these could change each day as more logs are loaded.

I for one do not look forward to printing each and every page every time

I want to update my log . I wish the developers of the program would have made it possible for you and only you the ability to download your own confirmed QSO log then you could at least figured a much better way then printing pages each time. I hope I overlooked something and there

is a way to update your log book.

Sorry if I'm off base on this one and the answer was in front of me the whole time.

Steve
KT0DX

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1750876/R=0/SIG=11v1mrq3u/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/hit?page=1762-1062629109228440> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1750876/rand=479516535>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

49. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 20:11:46 UTC
From: James
Topic: 30 Message: 49

Thanks for the comments Darryl

I agree about signing a cert req with a trusted cert an perhaps they
will let us do that, but as far as I know the UK certs have to be
applied for by post as our call signs can't be checked against the FCC
database.

73,

James M0ZZO

-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl@...] On Behalf Of
darryl-prv@...
Sent: 05 October 2003 15:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Hi,

I don't speak for ARRL and I don't know there official policy, but I got
a cert for both my Boy Scout club
station and a special event call (N1H) based on my own station cert.
The concept is that if one
sign a certreq with a cert then that is trusted, just like the
TrustedQSL they are trusted. Therefore
if one sign a bogus certreq they have committed fraud and all their
certs may be revoked if discovered.

If you is going to commit fraud you don't leave a trail positively
identifying you as the person committing
fraud.

Hope this helps

Darryl WA1GON

50. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 22:47:06 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 8 Message: 50

Another option might be to download CT from http://www.k1ea.com

Convert your ASCII log to CT's .RES format, then use the R2B9 utility to create a CT .BIN file. Finally use the CT to ADIF conversion to generate an ADIF file.

Or you can try WT4I Cabrillo Tools. ARRL will accept Cabrillo logs in lieu of ADIF.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

51. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 23:05:14 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 8 Message: 51

Jim,
I'm sure I 'm doing something wrong, but every
time I try to upload a Cabrillo file with a .log extension,
it doesn't work. It's not a problem for me since it's just as
easy for me to end ADI.
Just an observation.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/05/03 22:47:06
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Another option might be to download CT from http://www.k1ea.com

Convert your ASCII log to CT's .RES format, then use the R2B9 utility to
create a CT .BIN file. Finally use the CT to ADIF conversion to generate
an ADIF file.

Or you can try WT4I Cabrillo Tools. ARRL will accept Cabrillo logs in lieu
of ADIF.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

52. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-05 23:51:00 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 8 Message: 52

Tom,
LOTW will not accept .ADI or .LOG extensions if that is what your trying to upload. You need to convert those files to a .TQ8 extension by using the Tqsl program. Tqsl will convert ADIF or Cabrillo...
I may be telling you something you alreay know....Dave
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 7:05 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Jim,
I'm sure I 'm doing something wrong, but every
time I try to upload a Cabrillo file with a .log extension,
it doesn't work. It's not a problem for me since it's just as
easy for me to end ADI.
Just an observation.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/05/03 22:47:06
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Another option might be to download CT from http://www.k1ea.com

Convert your ASCII log to CT's .RES format, then use the R2B9 utility to
create a CT .BIN file. Finally use the CT to ADIF conversion to generate
an ADIF file.

Or you can try WT4I Cabrillo Tools. ARRL will accept Cabrillo logs in lieu
of ADIF.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

53. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 00:38:32 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 8 Message: 53

Dave,
Yes, but the way I stated it, you wouldn't know it, huh?
Thanks, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave K8GVK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/05/03 23:51:45
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Tom,
LOTW will not accept .ADI or .LOG extensions if that is what your trying to upload. You need to convert those files to a .TQ8 extension by using the Tqsl program. Tqsl will convert ADIF or Cabrillo...
I may be telling you something you already know....Dave
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 7:05 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Jim,
I'm sure I 'm doing something wrong, but every
time I try to upload a Cabrillo file with a .log extension,
it doesn't work. It's not a problem for me since it's just as
easy for me to end ADI.
Just an observation.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/05/03 22:47:06
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Another option might be to download CT from http://www.k1ea.com

Convert your ASCII log to CT's .RES format, then use the R2B9 utility to
create a CT .BIN file. Finally use the CT to ADIF conversion to generate

an ADIF file.

Or you can try WT4I Cabrillo Tools. ARRL will accept Cabrillo logs in lieu of ADIF.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

54. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 00:57:19 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 36 Message: 54
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I got mine 4 hours after entering the password. That was September 25. I saw a report a couple of days before that from someone receiving his certificate half an hour or so after sending the password. But with the increasing workload (requests from DX should now be coming in) I can imagine things are going a little slower now...
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Patrick Hoppe
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 11:27 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate

I entered my password two days ago. How long does it usually take to get your certificate emailed to you? I am surprised that it doesn't happen right away.

Pat

WW9R

55. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 06:13:23 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 8 Message: 55

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Thomas Horton" <k5iid@e...> wrote:
> Jim,
> I'm sure I 'm doing something wrong, but every
> time I try to upload a Cabrillo file with a .log extension,
> it doesn't work. It's not a problem for me since it's just as
> easy for me to end ADI.
> Just an observation.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Tom Horton
> k5iid@e...
> "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jim Reisert AD1C
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 10/05/03 22:47:06
you have to change the cabrillo log to a .TQ8 file using TQSL

> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF
>
>
> Another option might be to download CT from http://www.k1ea.com
>
> Convert your ASCII log to CT's .RES format, then use the R2B9
utility to
> create a CT .BIN file. Finally use the CT to ADIF conversion to
generate
> an ADIF file.
>
> Or you can try WT4I Cabrillo Tools. ARRL will accept Cabrillo logs
in lieu
> of ADIF.
>
> 73 - Jim AD1C
>
> --
> Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
> USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@a...>, http://www.ad1c.com
> PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

56. Problems at LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 13:47:44 UTC
From: geerja_be
Topic: 56 Message: 56

Anyone else having problems uploading logs this morning?

I've tried it three times in the past 30 minutes, twice via the
website and once as an Email, but I get no confirmation...

73,

Gert - K5WW

57. problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 13:53:20 UTC
From: n5pu
Topic: 57 Message: 57

YES...I have had problems uploading to LoTW this morning! Seems like there is always some problem. If this is any indication of what the future holds, well you get the picture! I think they need to get help from eQSL! Never had a problem there!
N5PU, Leon

58. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 14:15:24 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 57 Message: 58

I think we should get out the tar and feathers. I certainly have NEVER had any problems with my computers!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

59. problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 14:29:08 UTC
From: n5pu
Topic: 57 Message: 59

I don't have a problem with my computer, only Lotw!
Leon, N5PU

60. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Problems at LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 14:48:50 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 56 Message: 60

The LOTW web-site confirms that they are having problems with uploads and
are working on it.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 01:47 PM 10/6/2003 +0000, you wrote:
>Anyone else having problems uploading logs this morning?
>
>I've tried it three times in the past 30 minutes, twice via the
>website and once as an Email, but I get no confirmation...
>
>73,
>
>Gert - K5WW
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups
>Sponsor<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/
A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614
674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

61. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 15:20:14 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 57 Message: 61

Greetings,

Keep in mind that uploads are done in batch mode. This is because validating the tQSLs is
very CPU intensive. We also had the CA QSO party and the band conditions was very good
so my guess is many people was uploading there logs yesterday and today.

Also keep in mine that LoTW has only been public for about 2 weeks. I was/am only a light
user of eQSL and I remember 2 or 3 problems I had uploading QSOs and they do it the easy/lazy
way without any real security. The model that LoTW when with is much more complex, but
they seem to have do a very good job with their design (I didn't have anything to do with it).

Also keep in my that LoTW is processing close to 1 million QSO per day. eQSL was never
close to that. So on many levels you are comparing apples and oranges.

73
-darryl

-----Original Message-----
From: n5pu [mailto:n5pu@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 9:53 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] problems

YES...I have had problems uploading to LoTW this morning! Seems like there is always some problem. If this is any indication of what the future holds, well you get the picture! I think they need to get help from eQSL! Never had a problem there!
N5PU, Leon

62. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Problems at LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 15:52:02 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 56 Message: 62
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

It's working again, took an hour and a half though. Let's just blame it on it being Monday morning ;-)
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 9:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Problems at LOTW?

The LOTW web-site confirms that they are having problems with uploads and are working on it.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 01:47 PM 10/6/2003 +0000, you wrote:

Anyone else having problems uploading logs this morning?

I've tried it three times in the past 30 minutes, twice via the
website and once as an Email, but I get no confirmation...

73,

Gert - K5WW

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

63. Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 16:19:51 UTC
From: k1ico
Topic: 63 Message: 63

Hi,

When trying to convert to TQSL format, the following error message
is displayed:
Error Unknown field in signing specification: BAND_RX on line 12

What to do?

73 Phil K1ICO

64. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 16:35:31 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 57 Message: 64

Leon,
If you have never had any problems with your computer,
would you please come to WV and lay your hands on
mine.
Besides, what's the hurry?

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: n5pu
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/06/03 14:29:05
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] problems

I don't have a problem with my computer, only Lotw!
Leon, N5PU

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

65. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 17:39:20 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 63 Message: 65

My experience has shown that you have three choices.

1) Go back to your computer log and try to find where the error
is. Usually I find that it's because I often have dyslexia of the fingers.
(I type like I'm wearing boxing gloves) Unfortunately, this requires you
to create a new adif file and start over.

2) Go into your adif file, find the error, and correct it. (adif file can
be opened with Word)

3) Go into the adif file and delete the contact that is causing the
error. This is usually the easiest.

None of these are any fun, but it moves the process along.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 04:19 PM 10/6/2003 +0000, you wrote:
>Hi,
>
>When trying to convert to TQSL format, the following error message
>is displayed:
>Error Unknown field in signing specification: BAND_RX on line 12
>
>What to do?
>
>73 Phil K1ICO
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

66. Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 18:46:44 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 66 Message: 66

Hi all,

Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.

It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the email address they have listed for communication.
Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an Excel file is not ideal!

As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so far as to show what Zones had been worked.
As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.

Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

67. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 12:14:04 UTC
From: Dave Hachadorian
Topic: 63 Message: 67

On Mon, 06 Oct 2003 16:19:51 -0000 "k1ico" <k1ico@...> writes:
> Hi,
>
> When trying to convert to TQSL format, the following error message
> is displayed:
> Error Unknown field in signing specification: BAND_RX on line 12
>
> What to do?
>
> 73 Phil K1ICO

Hmmm, I don't see "band_rx" as an officially recognized field in
the current ADIF specification. Here's what the spec says about
undocumented fields:

"Additional fields that are not part of the ADIF specification for the
file may be added by those creating ADIF files. This will permit export
of user-defined fields. However, there is of course no guarantee that
these undocumented fields will be imported by a program reading ADIF
files, or that a chosen name may not be used for another purpose and
imported into the wrong field in future ADIF specifications. Therefore,
creators of ADIF files are encouraged to cooperate when adding new fields
to so as to derive maximum benefit from ADIF."

I guess "band rx" is to enable cross-band qso's, such as satellite?

Maybe you can tweak your logging program to delete this field, and record
just
"band?"

Maybe you can do a global search and replace with a word processor to
change
"band_rx" to "band?"

Maybe you have another field in there called "band_tx?" You will need to
deal with
that also. Maybe you can do a second global search and replace and
replace "band_tx"
with "NOTES"

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

68. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 19:08:49 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 66 Message: 68

There is certainly a need, Phil.

I will extend DXKeeper, the DXLab logging application, to track LOTW confirmations just as soon as LOTW provides a programmatic interface that makes this possible.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Phil Cooper [mailto:pcooper@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 2:47 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi all,

Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.

It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep
the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the email address they have listed for communication.
Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an Excel file is not ideal!

As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so
far as to show what Zones had been worked.
As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone
and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.

Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed
on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595055/R=0/SIG=124j83ehr/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595055> Click Here!

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1595055/rand=676830565>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

69. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 19:32:22 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 66 Message: 69

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check
the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and
will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.
Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of
LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little
critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that
there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel
> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

70. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 20:16:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 66 Message: 70

Bad analogy. It's more like "let's hope this thing survives its
obviously premature birth".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check
the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and
will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.

Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of
LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little

critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that
there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about
25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I
feel
> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to
keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to
the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to
an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even

go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include
Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have
confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.3897168.5135684.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1754016/R=0/SIG=11pv1u2ju/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?c
ode=30529&media=atkins> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=194081.3897168.5135684.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1754016/rand=994095701>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

71. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 20:38:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 63 Message: 71

BAND_RX and FREQ_RX are defined in the proposed ADIF 2.0 specification. Despite the fact that ADIF 2.0 has not yet been approved, I was about to add support for these fields to DXKeeper because users tell me that LOTW requires them for satellite QSOs. I checked the LOTW web site but can find no statement as to which ADIF fields are required. Can anyone point me at the list of required fields? Is FREQ_RX required for satellite QSOs? If so, then why is the presence of BAND_RX generated errors?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Hachadorian [mailto:k6ll@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 8:14 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Error Message

On Mon, 06 Oct 2003 16:19:51 -0000 "k1ico" <k1ico@...> writes:
> Hi,
>
> When trying to convert to TQSL format, the following error message
> is displayed:
> Error Unknown field in signing specification: BAND_RX on line 12
>
> What to do?
>
> 73 Phil K1ICO

Hmmm, I don't see "band_rx" as an officially recognized field in the current ADIF specification. Here's what the spec says about undocumented fields:

"Additional fields that are not part of the ADIF specification for the file may be added by those creating ADIF files. This will permit export of user-defined fields. However, there is of course no guarantee that these undocumented fields will be imported by a program reading ADIF files, or that a chosen name may not be used for another purpose and imported into the wrong field in future ADIF specifications. Therefore, creators of ADIF files are encouraged to cooperate when adding new fields
to so as to derive maximum benefit from ADIF."

I guess "band rx" is to enable cross-band qso's, such as satellite?

Maybe you can tweak your logging program to delete this field, and record

just
"band?"

Maybe you can do a global search and replace with a word processor to change
"band_rx" to "band?"

Maybe you have another field in there called "band_tx?" You will need to deal with
that also. Maybe you can do a second global search and replace and replace "band_tx"
with "NOTES"

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=337733360>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

72. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 19:58:31 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 66 Message: 72
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I guess I'm just too damned easy to please! All my uploads have gone fine. My certificates for all my old call signs came promptly. I have about 650 QSL's already appearing on the system.

I understand this is a new system, and many planned features are not yet installed or turned on. I guess that gives me something to look forward to.

Just can't seem to become eligible for membership in IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers).

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over molehills."

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/6/03 9:16:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Bad analogy. It's more like "let's hope this thing survives its obviously premature birth".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ
-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check
the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and
will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.
Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of
LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little
critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that
there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>

> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel
> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

73. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 21:13:17 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 66 Message: 73

I am neither dismayed nor wringing my hands; I am simply dissapointed that something so critical to the architecture of this system-- namely its external interfaces -- was omitted from the beta, much less from the production release. LOTW was designed to exploit the fact that amateurs increasingly maintain their logs in databases. Yes, you can upload your QSOs and visually inspect the results on a web page. Have you tried synchonizing your logging application's database with LOTW yet?

73,

Dave AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:59 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

I guess I'm just too damned easy to please! All my uploads have gone fine. My certificates for all my old call signs came promptly. I have about 650 QSL's already appearing on the system.

I understand this is a new system, and many planned features are not yet installed or turned on. I guess that gives me something to look forward to.

Just can't seem to become eligible for membership in IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers).

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over molehills."

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave <mailto:aa6yq@ambersoft.com> Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/6/03 9:16:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Bad analogy. It's more like "let's hope this thing survives its obviously premature birth".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.

Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little

critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel
> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1595055/R=0/SIG=124j83ehr/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitak
enattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595055> Click Here!

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1595055/rand=606129630>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

74. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 21:49:48 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 30 Message: 74

MessageJames, don't you have Trustees for your Club stations as we do here in States. Think your cert would be keyed on the trustee so you would submit copy of club license plus ID info on trustee.
----- Original Message -----
From: James
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 1:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Thanks for the comments Darryl

I agree about signing a cert req with a trusted cert an perhaps they will let us do that, but as far as I know the UK certs have to be applied for by post as our call signs can't be checked against the FCC database.

73,

James M0ZZO
-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl@...] On Behalf Of darryl-prv@...
Sent: 05 October 2003 15:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Hi,

I don't speak for ARRL and I don't know there official policy, but I got a cert for both my Boy Scout club
station and a special event call (N1H) based on my own station cert. The concept is that if one
sign a certreq with a cert then that is trusted, just like the TrustedQSL they are trusted. Therefore
if one sign a bogus certreq they have committed fraud and all their certs may be revoked if discovered.

If you is going to commit fraud you don't leave a trail positively identifying you as the person committing
fraud.

Hope this helps

Darryl WA1GON

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

75. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 21:20:27 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 66 Message: 75
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Dave AA6YQ asked:

> Have you tried synchonizing your logging application's database with LOTW yet?

Have you? Of course not, because that feature is not yet implemented, silly!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
The lyf so short, the craft so long to lerne. -- Chaucer

73,

Dave AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:59 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

I guess I'm just too damned easy to please! All my uploads have gone fine. My certificates for all my old call signs came promptly. I have about 650 QSL's already appearing on the system.

I understand this is a new system, and many planned features are not yet installed or turned on. I guess that gives me something to look forward to.

Just can't seem to become eligible for membership in IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers).

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over molehills."

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/6/03 9:16:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Bad analogy. It's more like "let's hope this thing survives its obviously premature birth".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ
-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]

Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check
the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and
will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.
Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of
LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little
critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that
there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel
> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

76. Saving Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 22:41:18 UTC
From: kf8n
Topic: 76 Message: 76

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

77. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 22:33:06 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 66 Message: 77

If it were only a feature, there would be no issue. Delivering features incrementally is generally a good idea.

The interface that enables applications to query the database has significant impact on the architecture of LOTW. By failing to design, implement, and verify this interface prior to production release, LOTW's developers are taking a serious risk -- that architectural changes will not be required when they finally get around to it. Once a system is released to users, architectural flexibility is severely reduced.

This is far from silly, Hans. Failure to get the architecture "right" is one of the major reasons that software projects fail.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 5:20 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Dave AA6YQ asked:

> Have you tried synchonizing your logging application's database with LOTW yet?

Have you? Of course not, because that feature is not yet implemented, silly!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
The lyf so short, the craft so long to lerne. -- Chaucer

73,

Dave AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:59 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

I guess I'm just too damned easy to please! All my uploads have gone fine. My certificates for all my old call signs came promptly. I have about 650 QSL's already appearing on the system.

I understand this is a new system, and many planned features are not yet installed or turned on. I guess that gives me something to look forward to.

Just can't seem to become eligible for membership in IOoDHW (International Order of Dismayed Hand Wringers).

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"One way to get high blood pressure is to go mountain climbing over molehills."

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave <mailto:aa6yq@...> Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/6/03 9:16:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Bad analogy. It's more like "let's hope this thing survives its obviously premature birth".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 3:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suggestion for LOTW

Hi Phil,
Eventually, as the system gets up to speed, you will be able to check the system and see what countries, states, etc. you have confirmed and will also be given instructions to choose others that you want credited.

Take a look at section 6 in the LoTW Expanded FAQ's on the Home page of LoTW. I guess we have to keep in mind that they just cracked this little

critter on the behind and we have to give him time to grow. I know that there are a number of ideas that I would like to see implemented.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Phil Cooper wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> Well, I managed to upload the rest of my log today, so there are about 25k
> QSO's in LOTW for GU0SUP.
>
> It shows I have about 724 confirmed contacts, which is great, but I feel

> that the ARRL should make this data available as a download, or - to
keep
> the integrity, maybe as a request for the file that is then sent to
the
> email address they have listed for communication.
> Using cut & paste on the confirmations page and then copying that to
an
> Excel file is not ideal!
>
> As most of my confirmations are from the USA, it would be great if it
> somehow managed to show what States had been confirmed. It could even
go so
> far as to show what Zones had been worked.
> As the upload file was created with an ADIF that probably did include
Zone
> and State, it's a pity this info wasn't imported as well.
>
> Is there now a need for a bit of software to track what you have
confirmed
> on LOTW in terms of countries, States and CQ Zones?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1750876/R=0/SIG=11v1mrq3u/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/hit?page=1762-1062629109228440> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1750876/rand=516962687>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

78. Saving Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 22:49:33 UTC
From: kf8n
Topic: 76 Message: 78

I am also having problems saving a certificate (tq5) for one of my previous calls. Using Ver 1.08, have tried Caps, small letters, and other cominations to no avail. It worked OK for my original and one former call, but not this one. Have been exchanging e-mails with ARRL but no solutions yey. Even re-installed V1.08, went back to V1.07 still NADA. I normally use just one password and as soon as I enter it on the save part, it just does nothing and have to abort. Similiar to NJ2DX's problem.

Any suggestions

73/Bill

79. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Saving Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 22:10:08 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 76 Message: 79

> [Original Message]
> From: kf8n <KF8N@...>

> I am also having problems saving a certificate (tq5) for one of my
> previous calls.

Certificates are .tq6 files, not .tq5. (Maybe that was just a typo on your part, but had to mention it.)

Anyhow, I had a problem loading my second cert too. This was over the weekend, so while waiting for LoTW guys to come back to work Monday, I was poking around the LoTW site and under "My Certificates" I noticed a file named "certs.tq6". I downloaded that, and it loaded up into tQSL just fine. Not sure what that file is about, but my suspicion is that it is a "composite" file of all of my valid certificates.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Observation the morning after the contest:

"A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than
any invention in human history -- with the possible
exception of a quart of tequila and a borrowed
Vibroplex key." -K0HB

80. Internal Server Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 23:31:53 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 80 Message: 80
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Anyone else getting this when you log on LOTW tonight?

Internal Server Error
The server encountered an internal error or misconfiguration and was unable
to complete your request.
Please contact the server administrator, root@localhost and inform them of
the time the error occurred, and anything you might have done that may have
caused the error.
More information about this error may be available in the server error log.

Apache/1.3.22 Server at 10.1.120.125 Port 80

81. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Internal Server Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 23:46:22 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 80 Message: 81
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Yes siree; today's not their day...
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Andrew O'Brien
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 6:31 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Internal Server Error

Anyone else getting this when you log on LOTW tonight?

Internal Server Error
The server encountered an internal error or misconfiguration and was unable to complete your request.
Please contact the server administrator, root@localhost and inform them of the time the error occurred, and anything you might have done that may have caused the error.

More information about this error may be available in the server error log.

Apache/1.3.22 Server at 10.1.120.125 Port 80

_____
IncrediMail - Email has finally evolved - Click Here
Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

82. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Internal Server Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-06 23:49:07 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 80 Message: 82

On Mon, Oct 06, 2003 at 07:31:53PM -0400, Andrew O'Brien wrote:
> Anyone else getting this when you log on LOTW tonight?

Yes. I just need to look up the 'documentation required' section
so I can find out what paperwork I need to send in. (I'm a non-US
station.) I'm not sure why they're serving non-secure static information
like that and the FAQ from the secure server; it just makes the
transfers slower for no reason.

I emailed them but imagine they already know about it. Or have gone home
for the night. Pity because I wanted to mail this stuff off today.
Does anyone have the mailing address handy?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

83. Re: Internal Server Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 00:10:39 UTC
From: hnmoffatt
Topic: 80 Message: 83

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> I emailed them but imagine they already know about it. Or have gone home
> for the night. Pity because I wanted to mail this stuff off today.
> Does anyone have the mailing address handy?

Ahh, found it in Google's cache:

http://www.google.com.au/search?q=cache:JSO-N9qmsFAJ:https://www.arrl.org/lotw/docreq+lotw+documentation+required&hl=en&ie=UTF-8

Hamish

84. LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 00:21:31 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 84 Message: 84

I just noticed that LOTW is charging for QSLs issued for award credit.
It would be great if there was a discount for ARRL members...

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

85. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 01:17:04 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 84 Message: 85

Or a premium for non-members!

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Hamish Moffatt
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 6:21 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

I just noticed that LOTW is charging for QSLs issued for award credit.
It would be great if there was a discount for ARRL members...

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

86. 10 million and counting...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 03:26:48 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 86 Message: 86
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

At Oct 7, 0318Z:

Logbook Status
10,000,862 QSO records have been entered into the system.

83,332 QSL records have resulted.

2,485 Users are registered in the system

3,070 Certificates are active

6,219 User files have been processed

Not bad for three weeks work!

73,

Gert

87. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 10 million and counting...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 03:37:05 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 86 Message: 87
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Yes, it seems to be working fine. I uploaded about 2,800 records and each day find another 20 or so QSLs. As of today I am up to 179. These are all US and a couple of Canada. Once the DX stations start entering info, the numbers should increase dramatically.

It would be great if ARRL could get the "Awards" feature going. This would really be great to show where one stands in WAS or DXCC.

Bill
KF0OH
----- Original Message -----
From: Gert E. Janssens
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2003 10:26 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 10 million and counting...

At Oct 7, 0318Z:

Logbook Status
10,000,862 QSO records have been entered into the system.

83,332 QSL records have resulted.

2,485 Users are registered in the system

3,070 Certificates are active

6,219 User files have been processed

Not bad for three weeks work!

73,

Gert

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

88. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 10 million and counting...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 04:50:44 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 86 Message: 88

On Mon, Oct 06, 2003 at 10:37:05PM -0500, Bill Metzger wrote:
> Yes, it seems to be working fine. I uploaded about 2,800 records and each
> day find another 20 or so QSLs. As of today I am up to 179. These are all
> US and a couple of Canada. Once the DX stations start entering info, the
> numbers should increase dramatically.

Unfortunately DX stations are a few weeks behind due to the delay while
our info is in the post. I just sent mine off today and it'll take 1-2
weeks to arrive at HQ.

I was using the beta system and quite liked it though. Better then eQSL,
dare I say..

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

89. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 04:52:35 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 84 Message: 89

On Mon, Oct 06, 2003 at 07:17:04PM -0600, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Or a premium for non-members!

I'd prefer a discount, because I think 25c per QSL is too much.
Maybe they're trying to preserve revenues in case QSL bureau traffic
declines. The VK QSL bureau doesn't charge.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

90. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 05:13:53 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 84 Message: 90

Hamish,
Don't you think that 25 cents or less in volume
is better than having to send the cards to the states.
Plus when you add in the costs of obtaining the cards
in the first place (which I still intend to do), it just seems
like a good deal to me!
73, Tom
Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Hamish Moffatt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/07/03 04:52:35
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

On Mon, Oct 06, 2003 at 07:17:04PM -0600, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Or a premium for non-members!

I'd prefer a discount, because I think 25c per QSL is too much.
Maybe they're trying to preserve revenues in case QSL bureau traffic
declines. The VK QSL bureau doesn't charge.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

91. A bit OT but still LoTW related.....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 05:35:00 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 91 Message: 91

Group,
Pardon the slightly OT post, but I would like to inform you that today the Contest Committee of the Michigan DX Association has decided, in order to help promote LoTW usage, that this years PSK-31/PSK-63 "DeathMatch" contest will award 100 "Bonus Points" to stations entering the contest who upload their contest logs to LoTW within 30 days after the contest. Hopefully, this will increase participation in both LoTW and the "DeathMatch" contest. Uploading of the logs is NOT manditory, but it is hoped that with the offering of additional points, most stations will take advantage of the opportunity.

TNX for the bandwidth

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

92. Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 08:19:44 UTC
From: Boris Knezovic T93Y
Topic: 84 Message: 92

Does anybody know when we can see updated DXCC rules and prices on the ARRL WEB Page? Present rules are from April 2003 and does not mention LotW at all...

Also will ARRL drop $20.00 nonmemeber processing fee for submissions through LotW or it will remain in place? If they are going to keep this charge then using LotW will be more expensive then ordinary QSL submission (120 QSO for $20.00 versus $50.00).

Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems very much unnecessary to me...

73's Boris T93Y
http://www.t93y.com

93. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 10:18:18 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 84 Message: 93

On Tue, Oct 07, 2003 at 05:13:53AM +0000, Thomas Horton wrote:
> Hamish,
> Don't you think that 25 cents or less in volume
> is better than having to send the cards to the states.
> Plus when you add in the costs of obtaining the cards
> in the first place (which I still intend to do), it just seems
> like a good deal to me!

Well, as I said, outgoing (and incoming) QSL cards are free through the VK bureau, so it doesn't cost me anything to send cards to the US. And it wasn't too expensive to get them made in the first place (less than 25c US each).

It seems quite a lot beyond what it is costing the ARRL to provide. Someone posted that one million QSOs are already registered - if all of those are used for QSL credit that's already $250,000!

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

94. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 12:41:37 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 84 Message: 94

Hi Boris,

Go to www.arrl.org/lotw/default and on the right hand side click on fees. Then for additional clarification read the FAQ dealing with fees.

The only fees required are the per qso charge. Additional charges are made only if you order a paper certificate, pin, plaque, etc. There is no longer a $20.00 non member charge. I will enjoy not having to send the cards and pay the postage both ways. I only know one ham who had cards lost in the mail but he lost over three hundred and they were never recovered.

I believe that the mailing requirements for non US hams are in part to make sure they have the correct address from your home and your license in an attempt to verify that you are who you say you are. The driver's license, or other ID, does seem a bit redundant but you are required to send back a printout of a computer message they will send you so you may as well include a few other papers, hi. It all sort of reminds me of traveling by air now days. It takes longer in the airport to get through all of the paperwork and security than it does to make the flight.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Boris Knezovic T93Y wrote:

> Does anybody know when we can see updated DXCC rules and prices on the
> ARRL WEB Page? Present rules are from April 2003 and does not mention
> LotW at all...
>
> Also will ARRL drop $20.00 nonmemeber processing fee for submissions
> through LotW or it will remain in place? If they are going to keep
> this charge then using LotW will be more expensive then ordinary QSL
> submission (120 QSO for $20.00 versus $50.00).
>
> Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> very much unnecessary to me...
>
> 73's Boris T93Y
> http://www.t93y.com

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

95. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:29:28 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 84 Message: 95

greetings,

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish's cloud.net.au email
> [mailto:hamishc@...] On Behalf Of Hamish Moffatt
> Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 6:18 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW fees

>
> Well, as I said, outgoing (and incoming) QSL cards are free
> through the VK bureau, so it doesn't cost me anything to send
> cards to the US. And it wasn't too expensive to get them made
> in the first place (less than 25c US each).

Here in the states we pay postage for QSL bureau services.
It is a lot cheaper, but then you have to add the cost of cards, your
time filling out the cards and taking them to the post office.
Something I was never good at.

> It seems quite a lot beyond what it is costing the ARRL to
> provide. Someone posted that one million QSOs are already
> registered - if all of those are used for QSL credit that's
> already $250,000!

Currently it is ten million but who's counting? ;-)

Remember that QSO don't count for anything, just QSL which is only at
83,341. Of course this will grow. Also keep in mind that most of the
QSL will never be purchased. I would say that less than 1% will every
be purchased. So you see it isn't really the money tree everyone thinks
it is. If they break even
within 5 years I will be very surprised.

73
Darryl - WA1GON

96. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] A bit OT but still LoTW related.....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:31:04 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 91 Message: 96

Greetings,

Wow! That is a great idea. I hope it catches on.

When is the DeathMatch? I have never done a PSK contest before.

thanks & 73

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb0wao [mailto:wb0wao@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 1:35 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] A bit OT but still LoTW related.....
>
>
> Group,
> Pardon the slightly OT post, but I would like to inform you that
> today the Contest Committee of the Michigan DX Association has
> decided, in order to help promote LoTW usage, that this years
> PSK- 31/PSK-63 "DeathMatch" contest will award 100 "Bonus Points" to
> stations entering the contest who upload their contest logs to LoTW
> within 30 days after the contest. Hopefully, this will increase
> participation in both LoTW and the "DeathMatch" contest. Uploading
> of the logs is NOT manditory, but it is hoped that with the offering
> of additional points, most stations will take advantage of the
> opportunity.
>
> TNX for the bandwidth
>
> 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO
>
>

97. Re: 10 million and counting...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:35:21 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 86 Message: 97

From what I have seen so far, most of the entries are US and Canadian. So when the DX stations get their certificates, this should go up quite a bit in number of records and QSLs generated. Right now I have uploaded about 500 records and have 20 QSLs. Still working on inputting old manual records when I have time.

The number is also surprising since I noticed eQSL is only at about 28.2 million and they have been around for a few years. So in less than a month's time, LOTW is more than 1/3 of the way. Great progress for LOTW.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gert E. Janssens" <k5ww@a...> wrote:
> At Oct 7, 0318Z:
>
> Logbook Status
> 10,000,862 QSO records have been entered into the system.
>
> 83,332 QSL records have resulted.
>
> 2,485 Users are registered in the system
>
> 3,070 Certificates are active
>
> 6,219 User files have been processed
>
> Not bad for three weeks work!
>
> 73,
>
> Gert

98. Re: A bit OT but still LoTW related.....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:35:39 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 91 Message: 98

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, <darryl-prv@s...> wrote:
> Greetings,
>
> Wow! That is a great idea. I hope it catches on.
>
> When is the DeathMatch? I have never done a PSK contest before.
>
> thanks & 73

Dec 6 starting at 0000 UTC thru 2400 UTC (24 hours) all the info is here...

http://www.mdxa1.org/deathmatch.html

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

99. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:43:54 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 84 Message: 99

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Boris Knezovic T93Y [mailto:t93y@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 4:20 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees
>
>
> Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can
> understand that ARRL want to see my license (if this
> requirement is followed P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to
> LotW but his QSL's are OK for DXCC), but I can not see any
> difference between scanned image I send by e-mail and
> photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the same
> way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> very much unnecessary to me...

There is quite a bit of different, by sending it via postal service
it becomes much more difficult to fake it. Some from the US say can
buy some New Zealand stamps and drop the letter in the postal box.
So to fake it they would need the help of someone in the country they
want. Where email can some from anywhere and is much harder to trace.

73
Darryl

100. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 14:27:31 UTC
From: alan.r1@comcast.net
Topic: 84 Message: 100

Let's face it guys, all the worry about "faking" a QSL is specious, unless we are all on the honor system. It's would be very easy for hams to send eachother QSL cards for contacts never made. If you accomplish DXCC by underhanded means, only YOU will know. An award achieved via underhanded means is meaningless, but can be done if you have no self-respect.

I think that the LoTW system is a good one, and I have all intention of using it exclusively as the system grows. Any system of QSLing can be "faked" and almost any computer system can be broken into. I think we are worrying about trivia here, when the current system of QSLing has many more "holes" in it than the LoTW. I may be wrong, but just my two cents!

73 DE WB2JEP

101. Save certficate problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 14:37:19 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 12 Message: 101

Well folks I have to admit the problem was operator error. I had v1.08 on the destination computer and not the source computer...

Opps! So now I'm able to save the certificates to .p12 files and transfer them to the other computer that loaded them fine.

73, Tom NJ2DX

102. Grid square length

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 14:39:23 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 102 Message: 102

I've uploaded my first batch of QSOs and noticed that some folks used the 6 characters Grid Square indicator and I was only using 4 characters. I wondered what folks thought about this. Should we really be uploading the 6 character grid square if known or is really the first 4 characters good enough?

73, Tom NJ2DX

103. Invalid QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 14:45:07 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 103 Message: 103

Don't get me wrong I think LoTW is a great idea however no system is perfect!

Today I got a QSL via LoTW and when I checked my logbook for the QSO which was a Sweepstakes contest contact from about 10 years ago. I noticed that the LoTW QSL had a different state then would match the exchange I received during the contest.

Hmm what to do now? Assuming I got the section correct, I can't invalidate the LoTW QSL. Even if I contact the operator I don't think he has a way to correct or delete it. I guess to be honest I would just not use that LoTW QSL toward obtaining an award. However the burden is on me to remember that it isn't valid. So I added a note about this contact in my logbook.

73, Tom NJ2DX

104. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Invalid QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 13:59:43 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 103 Message: 104

The sending station can edit his station location data in TQSL (obviously has it wrong) and resubmit his QSO's. The resubmission will over-write the current data for that contact.

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: nj2dx <nj2dx@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/7/03 3:47:53 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Invalid QSL
>
> Don't get me wrong I think LoTW is a great idea however no system is
> perfect!
>
> Today I got a QSL via LoTW and when I checked my logbook for the QSO
> which was a Sweepstakes contest contact from about 10 years ago. I
> noticed that the LoTW QSL had a different state then would match the
> exchange I received during the contest.
>
> Hmm what to do now? Assuming I got the section correct, I can't
> invalidate the LoTW QSL. Even if I contact the operator I don't think
> he has a way to correct or delete it. I guess to be honest I would
> just not use that LoTW QSL toward obtaining an award. However the
> burden is on me to remember that it isn't valid. So I added a note
> about this contact in my logbook.
>
> 73, Tom NJ2DX
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

105. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Grid square length

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 14:02:32 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 102 Message: 105

I uploaded all six, but 4-position indicator is probably enough, except for real hard-core microwave DXers.

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: nj2dx <nj2dx@...>

> I've uploaded my first batch of QSOs and noticed that some folks used
> the 6 characters Grid Square indicator and I was only using 4
> characters. I wondered what folks thought about this. Should we
> really be uploading the 6 character grid square if known or is really
> the first 4 characters good enough?
>

106. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Invalid QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 15:05:46 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 103 Message: 106

Hi Tom,

Yep, I am sure this is going to happen. Maybe by calling his attention to it he can fix it. I previously lived in SD and am now in FL. I had to send two files in to reflect this correctly. Perhaps he has changed QTH but sent them all in at once with only his current QTH. We just have to pay attention to detail but we all screw up sometimes.

Yesterday I got a card back via the buro and it said, "Sorry, not in the log". That was pretty strange since he had already confirmed that contact over a year ago.

A few years back I got a card from a DX station who needed SD. I sent him a TNX Qsl card and a year later got my card back saying I was not in the log -- go figure.

All of this wastes time, effort, and money. I guess my point is that all of this stuff happens but it is one heck-of-a lot cheaper to use LoTW -- even when you mess up.

We will just have to wait and see as the system grows to know what the total use will be. The folks who make a couple of bucks on your QSL request won't use it and those who never return a card anyway won't use it but the rest of us can make it fly. The QSL Bureau system has been in place a long time and the return rate there is sad -- not to mention the slow pace.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

nj2dx wrote:

> Don't get me wrong I think LoTW is a great idea however no system is
> perfect!
>
> Today I got a QSL via LoTW and when I checked my logbook for the QSO
> which was a Sweepstakes contest contact from about 10 years ago. I
> noticed that the LoTW QSL had a different state then would match the
> exchange I received during the contest.
>
> Hmm what to do now? Assuming I got the section correct, I can't
> invalidate the LoTW QSL. Even if I contact the operator I don't think
> he has a way to correct or delete it. I guess to be honest I would
> just not use that LoTW QSL toward obtaining an award. However the
> burden is on me to remember that it isn't valid. So I added a note
> about this contact in my logbook.

>
> 73, Tom NJ2DX
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595053/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

107. Re: DXKeeper from AA6YQ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 17:17:46 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 107 Message: 107

Hi all, and especially Dave,

I guess this is something that will be required of most shack logging software in the fullness of time, and it may well depend on what the ARRL decide to keep on record.
As far as I can see, some of those stations that have confirmed my QSO's have actually moved to a different State since we had our QSO, so that may prove to be a problem. My QSO's go back over 10 years.

I think the ARRL need to find a way of allowing us to save what QSL data they have for us, and allowing it to be exported.
As you say, this will take time, but there is no major hurry!

Thanks for all your help,

73 de Phil GU0SUP

108. RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 18:54:29 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 84 Message: 108

> Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> very much unnecessary to me...

Hi Boris,

I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned image should be fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger issue for me is sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the ARRL have with my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on LOTW as long as this requirement exists.

BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge a photocopy of a drivers licence.

109. RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 19:01:11 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 84 Message: 109

> Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> very much unnecessary to me...

Hi Boris,

I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned image should be fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger issue for me is sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the ARRL have with my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on LOTW as long as this requirement exists.

BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge a photocopy of a drivers licence.

110. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 19:10:42 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 84 Message: 110

>
> BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can
> forge a photocopy of a drivers licence.

That is true, but as they learn what to look for it will be
easier to spot fraud when they have to forge an Amateur licenses,
government id, and getting a postmark from the country in question
makes it unlikely to be a fraud.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

111. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 19:20:57 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 84 Message: 111

There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I did
not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a certificate,
and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the
certificate.

At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:
> > Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> > that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> > P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> > DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> > by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> > same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> > very much unnecessary to me...
>
>Hi Boris,
>
>I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned image should be
>fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger issue for me is
>sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the ARRL have with
>my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on
>LOTW as long as this requirement exists.
>
>BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge a photocopy
>of a drivers licence.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

112. County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 19:45:03 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 112 Message: 112

I'm startgint to think that maybe I should have been a beta tester :-)

Beware County Hunters!

In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs
from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a
seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file.

HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a
duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO.

Thus as a result LoTW cannot record QSOs occuring on a county line
(or other multi station location). I guess when this is resolved I'll
have to re-upload all my data :-(

73, Tom NJ2DX

113. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 19:45:20 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 84 Message: 113

US hams are verified though the FCC Call Sign data base.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 4:20 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I did not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a certificate, and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the certificate.

At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:

> Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> very much unnecessary to me...

Hi Boris,

I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned image should be fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger issue for me is sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the ARRL have with my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on LOTW as long as this requirement exists.

BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge a photocopy of a drivers licence.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

114. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 20:41:29 UTC
From: Ron D. Rossi
Topic: 112 Message: 114

Is county even part of the data stored in the QSO record? -- 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@...) <>< Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics QTH: Jericho, Vermont My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) >I'm startgint to think that maybe I should have been a beta tester :-) > >Beware County Hunters! > >In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs >from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a >seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file. > >HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a >duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO. > >Thus as a result LoTW cannot record QSOs occuring on a county line >(or other multi station location). I guess when this is resolved I'll >have to re-upload all my data :-(> >73, Tom NJ2DX > > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > >

115. What about ex-calls...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 22:51:50 UTC
From: Frank
Topic: 115 Message: 115

Can anyone enlighten me reagrding ex calls...I have a whole bunch confirmed as well as DXCC with my old call sign that I do not have anymore.

Tnx in advance.

Have a good one.

Frank

116. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] What about ex-calls...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 23:19:41 UTC
From: bobmain@earthlink.net
Topic: 115 Message: 116

Once you get a certificate for your primary call, now, you can request additional certificates for each call. It is explained in the LoTW "Getting Started". Bob KB4CL Original Message: ----------------- From: Frank inscom76@... Date: Tue, 07 Oct 2003 22:51:50 -0000 To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What about ex-calls... Can anyone enlighten me reagrding ex calls...I have a whole bunch confirmed as well as DXCC with my old call sign that I do not have anymore.

Tnx in advance.

Have a good one.

Frank

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service (http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/).
---------- mail2web - Check your email from the web at http://mail2web.com/ .

117. Re: What about ex-calls...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 23:36:24 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 115 Message: 117

the only problem with this is that you have to load logs for each call sign and the logs are not combined into one log for any award. they told me that this will be fixed in the future. i made dxcc on 10m with my first call sign but the contacts from my present callsign will not add to the total as of now;. DAN KK5UU --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "bobmain@e..." wrote: > Once you get a certificate for your primary call, now, you can request > additional certificates for each call. It is explained in the LoTW > "Getting Started". > > Bob > KB4CL > > Original Message: > ----------------- > From: Frank inscom76@y... > Date: Tue, 07 Oct 2003 22:51:50 -0000 > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What about ex-calls... > > > > > > Can anyone enlighten me reagrding ex calls...I have a whole bunch
> confirmed as well as DXCC with my old call sign that I do not have
> anymore.
>
> Tnx in advance.
>
> Have a good one.
>
> Frank
>
> > >
> > > > >
>
>
Yahoo! Groups > Sponsor
>
>
>
>

ADVERTISEMENT
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb /S=170 >
5063108:HM/A=1595053/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutai takena >
ttogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053" alt="">
src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/qu/quinstreet/300x250_uofp_gr een-ar > rows2.gif"
alt="Click Here!" width="300" height="250" > border="0">

>
>
src="http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l? M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egrou >
pmail/S=:HM/A=1595053/rand=922897819">
>
> > > > >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> >
> >
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service. > > > > > >
---------- > mail2web - Check
your email from the web at > http://mail2web.com/ .

118. Re: County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-07 23:46:02 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 112 Message: 118

County is part of the station data which get signed with the (ADIF) QSO file. --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ron D. Rossi" wrote: > Is county even part of the data stored in the QSO record? > > -- > 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@a...) <>< > Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics > QTH: Jericho, Vermont > My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l > Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) > > >I'm startgint to think that maybe I should have been a beta tester :-) > > > >Beware County Hunters! > > > >In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs > >from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a > >seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file. > > > >HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a > >duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO. > > > >Thus as a result LoTW cannot record QSOs occuring on a county line > >(or other multi station location). I guess when this is resolved I'll > >have to re-upload all my data :-(> > > >73, Tom NJ2DX > > > > > > > > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: > >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > > > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > > > > >

119. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What about ex-calls...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 00:01:27 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 115 Message: 119
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Yep, I'm in the same boat.

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 19:37:30
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What about ex-calls...

the only problem with this is that you have to load logs for each
call sign and the logs are not combined into one log for any award.
they told me that this will be fixed in the future. i made dxcc on
10m with my first call sign but the contacts from my present callsign
will not add to the total as of now;.

120. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 00:16:17 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 112 Message: 120

At 03:45 PM 10/7/2003, nj2dx wrote:

>In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs
>from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a
>seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file.
>
>HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a
>duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO.

Doesn't happen to me! DX4WIN exports an ADIF file with minutes *and*
seconds. My QSOs are logged separately, but seconds apart, so they all
show up in LoTW.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

121. RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 01:23:49 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 84 Message: 121

I'm not in the FCC database, and I do have to send a copy of my drivers licence (or other government issued photo ID).

Not everyone on the internet is American - they do let us foreign riff-raff on here ya know! Seems to be a common error...

73, Brian
VE7NGR <=== note non-US callsign!

P.S. Apologies for the sarcasm, I'm not trying to be mean, just having a little fun!

> There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I did
> not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a
> certificate,
> and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the
> certificate.
>
>
>
> At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:
> > > Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> > > that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> > > P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> > > DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> > > by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> > > same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> > > very much unnecessary to me...
> >
> >Hi Boris,
> >
> >I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned
> image should be
> >fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger
> issue for me is
> >sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the
> ARRL have with
> >my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on
> >LOTW as long as this requirement exists.
> >
> >BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge
> a photocopy
> >of a drivers licence.
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> >ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
> [This message contained attachments]

122. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 01:38:08 UTC
From: Jonathan Taylor, K1RFD
Topic: 84 Message: 122

That's a shame, since the Canadian IC data is publicly available and (I think) reliable, and the cost of mailing is certainly reasonable. Perhaps the postcard-mailing option will be extended to Canadian hams in the future.

73,
Jonathan K1RFD
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 9:23 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

I'm not in the FCC database, and I do have to send a copy of my drivers licence (or other government issued photo ID).

Not everyone on the internet is American - they do let us foreign riff-raff on here ya know! Seems to be a common error...

73, Brian
VE7NGR <=== note non-US callsign!

P.S. Apologies for the sarcasm, I'm not trying to be mean, just having a little fun!

> There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I did
> not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a
> certificate,
> and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the
> certificate.
>
>
>
> At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:
> > > Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> > > that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> > > P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> > > DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> > > by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> > > same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> > > very much unnecessary to me...
> >
> >Hi Boris,
> >
> >I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned
> image should be
> >fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger

> issue for me is
> >sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the
> ARRL have with
> >my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on
> >LOTW as long as this requirement exists.
> >
> >BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge
> a photocopy
> >of a drivers licence.
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
> [This message contained attachments]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

123. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What about ex-calls...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 01:29:26 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 115 Message: 123
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

That's why I thought too, at first. The first answer I got when I asked that question was a little vague, but the second was quite clear. The logs ARE combined, maybe not physically, but you will notice that confirmed QSLs are shown in the same list. Which means that sooner or later when you want credit for the QSOs with your old call, you will merely have to indicate it on the form, just like you would do now, with paper QSL cards. This could benefit from some more attention in the FAQ section... 73, Gert ----- Original Message ----- From: Dan To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 6:36 PM Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What about ex-calls... the only problem with this is that you have to load logs for each call sign and the logs are not combined into one log for any award. they told me that this will be fixed in the future. i made dxcc on 10m with my first call sign but the contacts from my present callsign will not add to the total as of now;. DAN KK5UU --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "bobmain@e..." wrote: > Once you get a certificate for your primary call, now, you can request > additional certificates for each call. It is explained in the LoTW > "Getting Started". > > Bob > KB4CL > > Original Message: > ----------------- > From: Frank inscom76@y... > Date: Tue, 07 Oct 2003 22:51:50 -0000 > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What about ex-calls... > > > > > > > Can anyone enlighten me reagrding ex calls...I have a whole bunch
> confirmed as well as DXCC with my old call sign that I do not have
> anymore.
>
> Tnx in advance.
>
> Have a good one.
>
> Frank
>
> > >
> > > >
>
>
Yahoo! Groups > Sponsor
>
>
>
>

ADVERTISEMENT
href="http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb /S=170 > 5063108:HM/A=1595053/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutai takena > ttogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053" alt="">
src="http://us.a1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/a/qu/quinstreet/300x250_uofp_gr een-ar > rows2.gif" alt="Click Here!" width="300" height="250" > border="0">

>
>
src="http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l? M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egrou >
pmail/S=:HM/A=1595053/rand=922897819">
>
> > > > >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> >
> >
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
href="http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/">Yahoo! Terms of Service. > > > > > >
---------- > mail2web - Check your email from the web at > http://mail2web.com/ . Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ADVERTISEMENT To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

124. TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 01:54:47 UTC
From: Dr. Michael Hunter
Topic: 124 Message: 124

Having problems uploading the certified .TQ8 log. I click file then sign an existing ADIF file. Click station location and select file to sign *.adi. Then select file to create to and save. In the TQSL confirm sign box it says : Is this correct ? I check yes and get the following:

ERROR: Certificate QSO date out of range on line 7. The process aborts and locks up.

I have checked the adi log and the qso is not out of range with the date.

Any suggestions ?

Mike K5HDU

125. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 04:35:03 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 84 Message: 125

On Tue, Oct 07, 2003 at 09:38:08PM -0400, Jonathan Taylor, K1RFD wrote:
> That's a shame, since the Canadian IC data is publicly available and (I think) reliable, and the cost of mailing is certainly reasonable. Perhaps the postcard-mailing option will be extended to Canadian hams in the future.

Australian data is on the web (www.aca.gov.au) but I can understand that
the ARRL wouldn't want to pay for postage. Once again an exception for
ARRL members would be quite welcome.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

126. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 04:44:27 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 124 Message: 126

On Tue, Oct 07, 2003 at 08:54:47PM -0500, Dr. Michael Hunter wrote:
> ERROR: Certificate QSO date out of range on line 7. The process aborts and locks up.
>
> I have checked the adi log and the qso is not out of range with the date.

Are you sure? What is the date of the QSO, exactly as it is in the ADIF?
What is the date range on your TQSL certificate?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

127. Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 07:32:32 UTC
From: Boris Knezovic T93Y
Topic: 84 Message: 127

Darryl,

This is the second time I hear about postmark on the envelope from
you. Would ARRL consider my license and picture ID forged if I send it
from the country other than Bosnia and Herzegovina?

BTW, I got the famous Nigerian letter by mail the other day (with nice
Nigerian stamps on it) but still do not believe to the letter inside...

73's Boris T93Y

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, <darryl-prv@s...> wrote:
>
> >
> > BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can
> > forge a photocopy of a drivers licence.
>
> That is true, but as they learn what to look for it will be
> easier to spot fraud when they have to forge an Amateur licenses,
> government id, and getting a postmark from the country in question
> makes it unlikely to be a fraud.
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

128. Re: County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 10:54:15 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 112 Message: 128

Oh that's my problem my logbook (my own MS Access database) doesn't record seconds only up to minutes. I guess I'll have to enhance it to use seconds and then patch the 185 county line QSOs and reupload them from those counties. Well live and learn. Thanks!

73, Tom NJ2DX

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Jim Reisert AD1C <jjreisert@a...> wrote:
> At 03:45 PM 10/7/2003, nj2dx wrote:
>
> >In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs
> >from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a
> >seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file.
> >
> >HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a
> >duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO.
>
> Doesn't happen to me! DX4WIN exports an ADIF file with minutes *and*
> seconds. My QSOs are logged separately, but seconds apart, so they all
> show up in LoTW.
>
> 73 - Jim AD1C
>
>
> --
> Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
> USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@a...>, http://www.ad1c.com
> PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

129. Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 13:35:37 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 129 Message: 129

I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally erased something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta. I guess that when you make a request for a certificate that it must create you private key. Without the private key , you cannot load the certificate.

This has not been made at all clear in the FAQ. They tell you that you should save your certificate but do not tell you that you really need to save 2 things, at least until you get your certificate loaded. You must have the certificate and the private key file. If you do not, you get the error message that there is no private key found when you try to load the certificate received form ARRL.

To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused. It is refused because they will not allow one call to have 2 certificates with overlapping dates. I can't understating AT ALL why that would be a security risk. It is not a lie or a problem, it is the same person. He should be able to have 20 of them if he wants, no real problem would accrue except for taking up some extra space.

Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper should be posted of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what you have to keep and protect. Crashes DO happen unfortunately.

My opinion is this is unnecessarily complex IN THE EXTREME, this is not the CIA trying to save the world. My credit card does not have nearly this security! Nevertheless, we have to live with it. The result of power run amok.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

130. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 14:05:11 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 129 Message: 130

I've been there, done that.

If you send an email to lotw-help@... they can and will fix it.

gbaron wrote:
> I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally erased
> something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta. I guess that when you
> make a request for a certificate that it must create you private key.
> Without the private key , you cannot load the certificate.
>
> This has not been made at all clear in the FAQ. They tell you that you
> should save your certificate but do not tell you that you really need to
> save 2 things, at least until you get your certificate loaded. You must have
> the certificate and the private key file. If you do not, you get the error
> message that there is no private key found when you try to load the
> certificate received form ARRL.
>
> To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused. It is refused
> because they will not allow one call to have 2 certificates with overlapping
> dates. I can't understating AT ALL why that would be a security risk. It is
> not a lie or a problem, it is the same person. He should be able to have 20
> of them if he wants, no real problem would accrue except for taking up some
> extra space.
>
> Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper should be posted
> of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what you have to keep and protect.
> Crashes DO happen unfortunately.
>
> My opinion is this is unnecessarily complex IN THE EXTREME, this is not the
> CIA trying to save the world. My credit card does not have nearly this
> security! Nevertheless, we have to live with it. The result of power run
> amok.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> 44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

131. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 14:18:37 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 131

On Wed, Oct 08, 2003 at 08:35:37AM -0500, gbaron wrote:
> I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally erased
> something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta. I guess that when you
> make a request for a certificate that it must create you private key.
> Without the private key , you cannot load the certificate.

Yes, basic public/private key encryption I think. You generate both,
then request that the ARRL sign the public key. You keep and never
disclose the private key.

How did you lose it installing over the beta? Certificate requests had
to come from the release version, not the beta.

> To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused. It is refused
> because they will not allow one call to have 2 certificates with overlapping
> dates. I can't understating AT ALL why that would be a security risk. It is

Duplicates weaken webs of trust. In a web of trust, I trust you because
I trust the ARRL and the ARRL trusts you (they signed your key). But if
you have two keys, how can I be sure that both belong to you and one of
them isn't being used by somebody else in your name?

> Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper should be posted
> of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what you have to keep and protect.
> Crashes DO happen unfortunately.

Find any paper on public/private key encryption for an explanation.
Or look for documentation for PGP or GNUPG which are general-purpose
encryption programs using the same scheme.

And back up your keys and certificates and make sure nobody else gets
a copy. Particularly for the general case; the key identifies you and
somebody who has your key can impersonate you. It's not so much of an
issue with TQSL(LOTW) because not much damage can be done.

> CIA trying to save the world. My credit card does not have nearly this
> security! Nevertheless, we have to live with it. The result of power run
> amok.

That's not a good analogy, because credit card security is terrible.
I would say almost non-existent. Anyone can use your card number and
expiry date without any validation of their identity at all!

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

132. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 14:40:49 UTC
From: Gilbert
Topic: 129 Message: 132

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Wed, Oct 08, 2003 at 08:35:37AM -0500, gbaron wrote:
> > I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally
erased
> > something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta. I guess
that when you
> > make a request for a certificate that it must create you private
key.
> > Without the private key , you cannot load the certificate.
>
> Yes, basic public/private key encryption I think. You generate
both,
> then request that the ARRL sign the public key. You keep and never
> disclose the private key.
>

What is the procedure to back up my private key?
That is not at all clear.

> How did you lose it installing over the beta? Certificate requests
had
> to come from the release version, not the beta.
>

I don't know what I did. I had a crash. I may have erased all of it
and it was not in my full backup yet?

> > To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused. It
is refused
> > because they will not allow one call to have 2 certificates with
overlapping
> > dates. I can't understating AT ALL why that would be a security
risk. It is
>
> Duplicates weaken webs of trust. In a web of trust, I trust you
because

That is true but there should be a way to revoke it that is not so
slow. I agree that what you say is true but for this application no
serious damage can be done so I think it is overkill. They aought to
automatically revoke the other if that is a real problem.

> I trust the ARRL and the ARRL trusts you (they signed your key).
But if
> you have two keys, how can I be sure that both belong to you and
one of

> them isn't being used by somebody else in your name?
>
> > Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper should
be posted
> > of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what you have to keep and
protect.
> > Crashes DO happen unfortunately.
>
> Find any paper on public/private key encryption for an explanation.
> Or look for documentation for PGP or GNUPG which are general-
purpose
> encryption programs using the same scheme.
>

I understand Public/Private and have used PGP for years. What I am
looking for is an explanation of the implementation and what data is
where for THIS application.

> And back up your keys and certificates and make sure nobody else
gets
> a copy. Particularly for the general case; the key identifies you
and
> somebody who has your key can impersonate you. It's not so much of
an
> issue with TQSL(LOTW) because not much damage can be done.
>

If the key has a STRONG pass phrase I can give it to you and you
still cannot impersonate me.

> > CIA trying to save the world. My credit card does not have
nearly this
> > security! Nevertheless, we have to live with it. The result of
power run
> > amok.
>
> That's not a good analogy, because credit card security is
terrible.
> I would say almost non-existent. Anyone can use your card number
and
> expiry date without any validation of their identity at all!
>

Agreeed but billions of dollars transacted with not that much
trouble.

>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

133. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 14:58:13 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 129 Message: 133

Thanks for the reminder Gentlemen. I had not backed the certificate up. I burned it to a CD. Now if I can just keep up with the cd I have it in non-volatile storage.

I am surprised at the ongoing complaints about LOTW. In my humble opinion, it is a helluva tool, and is a lot of fun. Will it replace hard copy QSL's? NO!! We need to use a little judgement here. I will use it for such as WAS on 160 etc. But if VU4 or BS7 shows up in my lifetime, do you think I will sit around waiting for the confirmation to show up in LOTW?

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

134. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 15:02:45 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 129 Message: 134

Gil and the group,

> -----Original Message-----
> From: gbaron [mailto:rojomn@...]

> I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally
> erased something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta.
> I guess that when you make a request for a certificate that
> it must create you private key. Without the private key , you
> cannot load the certificate.

I am not sure that is totally true, but it is effectively true. Your
cert gets export each time you send tQSLs. TrustedQSL cert program may
require the private key to add to it. It
shouldn't.

Having said that you point is well taken. MAKE SURE YOU
HAVE BACKUPS OF YOUR PRIVATE KEY! It doesn't exist anywhere except with
you and can NOT be recovered!

> To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused.
> It is refused because they will not allow one call to have 2
> certificates with overlapping dates. I can't understating AT
> ALL why that would be a security risk. It is not a lie or a
> problem, it is the same person. He should be able to have 20
> of them if he wants, no real problem would accrue except for
> taking up some extra space.

I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
one cert per call. I can think of good reasons to have more than one
cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my
private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for him
to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if the
QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any question
about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.

You can send mail to ARRL to resolve this problem. I am
sure they don't want to make it easy because without a private key to
sign a new certreq they have to do the authentication all over again.

Check out http://www.arrl.org/lotw/DeveloperIntro.pdf which
is the design document for the project.

> Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper
> should be posted of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what
> you have to keep and protect. Crashes DO happen unfortunately.

The only think ARRL could do about it would be change the software to force you to back to floppy or CD.

> My opinion is this is unnecessarily complex IN THE EXTREME,
> this is not the CIA trying to save the world. My credit card
> does not have nearly this security! Nevertheless, we have to
> live with it. The result of power run amok.

Oh yes it does and a lot more. You just don't see it and the credit companies are willing to let you and the merchant pay for not seeing it. Then every time you make a purchase they validate your card. On top of that they make money when someone uses a stolen credit card. Each transaction that a merchant does they are charged a "discount" rate. That doesn't included the charge-backs and a charge-back fee on purchases that are contested. If a merchant has a lot of charge-backs their discount rate goes up.

Credit card users authenticate once a month using money. Should the ARRL have that high of security and require you to send money once a month to authenticate? I think not!

I am not saying credit card companies support fraud, but they don't loose money on it either. I was in that business for many years and know how it works.

Keep in mind something like the LoTW has never been done before and it may lead the way for a whole new form of ID based upon digital signatures. This project has been public for less than a month and there is going to be problems here and there. In a year things will have changed, what I don't know, but I am sure they will.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution. Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com http://www.trustedQSL.org

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> -----Original Message-----
> From: gbaron [mailto:rojomn@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:36 AM
> To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> I have been hit with a loss of my certificate. I accidentally
> erased something when I reinstalled the Golden over the Beta.
> I guess that when you make a request for a certificate that
> it must create you private key. Without the private key , you
> cannot load the certificate.
>
> This has not been made at all clear in the FAQ. They tell you
> that you should save your certificate but do not tell you
> that you really need to save 2 things, at least until you get
> your certificate loaded. You must have the certificate and
> the private key file. If you do not, you get the error
> message that there is no private key found when you try to
> load the certificate received form ARRL.
>
> To make matters worse, if you request another, it is refused.
> It is refused because they will not allow one call to have 2
> certificates with overlapping dates. I can't understating AT
> ALL why that would be a security risk. It is not a lie or a
> problem, it is the same person. He should be able to have 20
> of them if he wants, no real problem would accrue except for
> taking up some extra space.
>
> Do I understand this correctly now? A real tecnical paper
> should be posted of EXACTLY how this works and exactly what
> you have to keep and protect. Crashes DO happen unfortunately.
>
> My opinion is this is unnecessarily complex IN THE EXTREME,
> this is not the CIA trying to save the world. My credit card
> does not have nearly this security! Nevertheless, we have to
> live with it. The result of power run amok.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> 44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Rent DVDs from home. Over 14,500
> titles. Free Shipping & No Late Fees. Try Netflix for FREE!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/ArdFIC/hP.FAA/ySSFAA> /ELTolB/TM
>
>

> --
> -------~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

135. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 15:19:42 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 135

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:58 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Thanks for the reminder Gentlemen. I had not backed the certificate up.
I burned it to a CD. Now if I can just keep up with the cd I have it in
non-volatile storage.

I am surprised at the ongoing complaints about LOTW.

The reason for the complaints is the enormous unwarranted complexity of
the entire project. Make one little error and you may have to start all over
again.
The idea is good, the implementation is ingenious, BUT, FAR too complex.
This is not the protection of the country we are talking about here.

In my humble opinion, it is a helluva tool, and is a lot of fun. Will
it replace hard copy QSL's? NO!! We need to use a little judgement here.
I will use it for such as WAS on 160 etc. But if VU4 or BS7 shows up in my
lifetime, do you think I will sit around waiting for the confirmation to
show up in LOTW?

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

136. Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 16:40:52 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 36 Message: 136

>Your certificate is nothing more than a file in your computer. It is
>stored in the Program Files folder, under
>TrustedQSL. Copy it to a floppy or for a more permanent copy, burn it to
>a CD.
>
>73
>MIke, W5UC

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

137. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 16:41:38 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 124 Message: 137
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I keep getting start date errow line 2....?
guess ill not spend weeks put the VP8SSI and 3Y0PI log together.
73 Tony wa4jqs

At 08:54 PM 10/7/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>Having problems uploading the certified .TQ8 log. I click file then sign
>an existing ADIF file. Click station location and select file to sign
>*.adi. Then select file to create to and save. In the TQSL confirm sign
>box it says : Is this correct ? I check yes and get the following:
>ERROR: Certificate QSO date out of range on line 7. The process aborts and
>locks up.
>
>I have checked the adi log and the qso is not out of range with the date.
>
>Any suggestions ?
>
>Mike K5HDU
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=15950
53/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053>2
98715.jpg
>298751.jpg
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS
Since 1962
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

138. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 16:47:22 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 129 Message: 138

Gil,

I also lost my private key due to the password bug in tQSL 1.06.
Assuming you have already uploaded logs with the original certificate,
You will need to e-mail lotw-help (or Wayne Mills @ ARRL) and
request a "superceding" certificate. Your account will be "cleared,"
you will then upload a new unsigned request, wait the week for the
postcard, etc.

You will also end up with a new account on the LotW server ... until
the software folks figure out how to combine the original and new
accounts.

As far as backups ... I am now saving all of my .tq5 (private key)
.tq6 (certificate file) and .p12 files. The .p12 files can be
loaded by any compliant application and are portable across systems.

After generating certificates for all of my old calls/QTHs, I am
in the process of renewing one of them to correct a date issue.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

P.S. LotW is down "for maintenance" this morning ...

139. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 16:50:13 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 84 Message: 139

Hi Jonathan

We found out late in development that Radio Amateurs of Canada do have the license data available. We believe that with about one week's programming time we can support the same method of authentication for Canadians as are done for US amateurs. But it is far down the list, after being able to export data to logging programs and get a good start on the Awards section of the web page.

Another consideration is that it would cost nearly three times as much for ARRL to mail postcards to Canada. But that's not the reason why this function isn't being used.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Jonathan Taylor, K1RFD [mailto:K1RFD@...m]
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 9:38 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

That's a shame, since the Canadian IC data is publicly available and (I think) reliable, and the cost of mailing is certainly reasonable. Perhaps the postcard-mailing option will be extended to Canadian hams in the future.

73,
Jonathan K1RFD

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury <mailto:ve7ngr@...>
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 9:23 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

I'm not in the FCC database, and I do have to send a copy of my drivers licence (or other government issued photo ID).

Not everyone on the internet is American - they do let us foreign riff-raff on here ya know! Seems to be a common error...

73, Brian
VE7NGR <=== note non-US callsign!

P.S. Apologies for the sarcasm, I'm not trying to be mean, just having a little fun!

> There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I did
> not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a
> certificate,
> and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the

> certificate.
>
>
>
> At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:
> > > Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can understand
> > > that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> > > P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK for
> > > DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I send
> > > by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged the
> > > same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> > > very much unnecessary to me...
> >
> >Hi Boris,
> >
> >I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned
> image should be
> >fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger
> issue for me is
> >sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the
> ARRL have with
> >my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find me on
> >LOTW as long as this requirement exists.
> >
> >BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge
> a photocopy
> >of a drivers licence.
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> >< http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
> [This message contained attachments]

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595053/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053>
Click Here!
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1595053/rand=262002176>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

140. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 16:49:17 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 124 Message: 140

When it asks you for the date, set it to something several years
earlier. I set it to 1958, the first year I was a General Class Licensee.

At 11:41 AM 10/8/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>I keep getting start date errow line 2....?
>guess ill not spend weeks put the VP8SSI and 3Y0PI log together.
>73 Tony wa4jqs

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

141. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 17:00:09 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 141

Mike and the group,

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 10:58 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

I am surprised at the ongoing complaints about LOTW. In my humble
opinion, it is a helluva tool, and is a lot of fun.

So far I have seen 3 types of complaints:

1. Users that are unfamiliar with the PKI (public key infrastructure
process of authentication and get frustrated.

These I try to help.

2. Users that are unfamiliar with setting up a good security policy and
how difficult it is.

These I try to enlighten and help them understand why things are done
the way they are.

3. Those who have an agenda again ARRL, LoTW or both. These people use
logical fallacies
and sometimes even lies to promote their agenda.

These folks I try to shoot down with true logical and facts.

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
<http://www.trustedqsl.org/> http://www.trustedQSL.org

142. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 17:01:48 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 84 Message: 142

A suggestion, Dave: publish "the list" on the LOTW web site, in the rough order in which you plan to attack it. We understand that you have very limited resources. Knowing that something one cares about is "on the list" will eliminate a lot of frustration, even if that item is far down on the list.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 12:50 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

Hi Jonathan

We found out late in development that Radio Amateurs of Canada do have the license data available. We believe that with about one week's programming time we can support the same method of authentication for Canadians as are done for US amateurs. But it is far down the list, after being able to export data to logging programs and get a good start on the Awards section of the web page.

Another consideration is that it would cost nearly three times as much for ARRL to mail postcards to Canada. But that's not the reason why this function isn't being used.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Jonathan Taylor, K1RFD [mailto:K1RFD@...]
Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 9:38 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

That's a shame, since the Canadian IC data is publicly available and (I think) reliable, and the cost of mailing is certainly reasonable. Perhaps the postcard-mailing option will be extended to Canadian hams in the future.

73,
Jonathan K1RFD

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury <mailto:ve7ngr@...>
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Tuesday, October 07, 2003 9:23 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: LOTW fees

I'm not in the FCC database, and I do have to send a copy of my drivers
licence (or other government issued photo ID).

Not everyone on the internet is American - they do let us foreign
riff-raff
on here ya know! Seems to be a common error...

73, Brian
VE7NGR <=== note non-US callsign!

P.S. Apologies for the sarcasm, I'm not trying to be mean, just having a
little fun!

> There appears to be some confusion here, maybe on my part. However I
did
> not have to send a copy of my DL. I submitted a request for a
> certificate,
> and the League verified my license in the FCC Database and issued the
> certificate.
>
>
>
> At 12:01 PM 10/7/2003 -0700, you wrote:
> > > Still not sure whether I am going to use the system. I can
understand
> > > that ARRL want to see my license (if this requirement is followed
> > > P5/4L4FN QSO's would never make it to LotW but his QSL's are OK
for
> > > DXCC), but I can not see any difference between scanned image I
send
> > > by e-mail and photocopy sent by ordinary mail. Both can be forged
the
> > > same way... In addition to the above, picture ID requirement seems
> > > very much unnecessary to me...
> >
> >Hi Boris,
> >
> >I agree with both of your comments on ID. Emailing a scanned
> image should be
> >fine, but oh well, I can live with regular mail. The bigger
> issue for me is
> >sending them a copy of my picture ID. What business does the
> ARRL have with
> >my drivers licence (or other ID) info? Answer: none. You won't find
me on
> >LOTW as long as this requirement exists.
> >
> >BTW, if I can forge a photocopy of a radio licence, I can forge

> a photocopy
> >of a drivers licence.
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
> [This message contained attachments]

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1595053/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitak
enattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053> Click Here!

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1595053/rand=813268717>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

143. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 17:05:29 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 129 Message: 143

I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
one cert per call.

There can only be one certificate for each callsign over the same date. As Hamish, VK3SB pointed out, we don't want two certificates out there for the same call and date range (it can't happen anyway).

There are a couple of occurrences where we expect to issue certs for the same call, for different date ranges, to different people: Contest calls like VP2E, VP5B, PJ2T, etc. That's why we stress the importance of not guessing on the start and end dates when one makes a certificate request.

I can think of good reasons to have more than one
cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my
private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for him
to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if the
QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any question
about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.

Yep, QSL managers can obtain certificates for the calls they manage, and definitely they must sign those requests with their own certs. ARRL also wants to see a letter of authorization, sent via postal mail from the client station, that says the manager is OK to put data in the system.

Keep in mind something like the LoTW has never been done before and it
may lead the way for a whole new form of ID based upon digital
signatures. This project has been public for less than a month and there
is going
to be problems here and there. In a year things will have changed, what
I don't know, but I am sure they will.

RR Darryl. You've done a FB job with the email--thanks for the help.

73, Dave, NN1N

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"

144. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 17:18:44 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 124 Message: 144
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

hi mike thanks.. i tried that this morning.. tried the start date of the
first qso i had in this log .then tried the date i got my ticket in 1962..
will try again tonight when i get home
73 Tony wa4jqs

At 11:49 AM 10/8/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>When it asks you for the date, set it to something several years
>earlier. I set it to 1958, the first year I was a General Class Licensee.
>
>At 11:41 AM 10/8/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>>I keep getting start date errow line 2....?
>>guess ill not spend weeks put the VP8SSI and 3Y0PI log together.
>>73 Tony wa4jqs
>
>Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
>Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=15950
53/R=0/SIG=124gf29oe/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595053>4
b7fb0.jpg
>4b7fd6.jpg
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS
Since 1962
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

145. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 17:29:22 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 84 Message: 145

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Boris Knezovic T93Y [mailto:t93y@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 3:33 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW fees
>
>
> Darryl,
>
> This is the second time I hear about postmark on the envelope
> from you. Would ARRL consider my license and picture ID
> forged if I send it from the country other than Bosnia and
> Herzegovina?

I can't speak for what the ARRL would do, but if it was me and
it came from any country other than the one that issued the
license it would be a problem. This should be a case by case
bases. If you lived close to 2 country and was applying for
2 certs in each and the postmark was from one of them that would
fly. If it had a France or US postmark and was for Nigeria more
documentation would have to be provided as proof of the Nigeria
call.

> BTW, I got the famous Nigerian letter by mail the other day
> (with nice Nigerian stamps on it) but still do not believe to
> the letter inside...

True enough, but you didn't question if it came from Nigeria did
you? The postmark doesn't show proof of anything except where
it came from, but that is an important data point in the authentication
process, but not the only one.

Hope this helps.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

146. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 18:17:50 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 146

-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 1:05 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Greeting Dave and the group,

I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
one cert per call.

There can only be one certificate for each callsign over the same date. As Hamish, VK3SB pointed out, we don't want two certificates out there for the same call and date range (it can't happen anyway).

There are a couple of occurrences where we expect to issue certs for the same call, for different date ranges, to different people: Contest calls like VP2E, VP5B, PJ2T, etc. That's why we stress the importance of not guessing on the start and end dates when one makes a certificate request.

I can think of good reasons to have more than one
cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for him to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if the QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any question about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.

Yep, QSL managers can obtain certificates for the calls they manage, and definitely they must sign those requests with their own certs. ARRL also wants to see a letter of authorization, sent via postal mail from the client station, that says the manager is OK to put data in the system.

I understand that to mean that in lew of the call sign owner getting a cert the QSL manager would get
a cert. Is that true?

It would seem that having overlapping certs issued to different people would increase accountable
to the tQSLs. For example my club N1FD holds a modest Field Day (15-25 Alpha) ;-) every year
which means we have from 10-20 station masters each year. If we only have one cert then the
accountability stops at the person holding that cert. If on the other hand each station master
had a cert to sign their own logs, then accountability would be down to

the station master level, but
it sounds like there may be business logic that would prevent this.

Thanks for input.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org <http://www.trustedqsl.org/>

147. LOTW requirements for accepting Satellite QSOs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 18:33:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 147 Message: 147

Empirical evidence indicates that LOTW requires the presence of
certain fields in Satellite QSOs, but pinning down exactly which ones
has yielded inconsistent results:

W5UHQ reports that he had to manually add BAND_RX fields to his
satellite QSOs before TQSL would accept them; see
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dxlab/message/9149

K1ICO reports that TQSL rejected his QSOs containing BAND_RX fields;
see http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/67

WB0WAO reports that TQSL will accept QSOs containing a SAT_NAME tag
with a PROP_MODE tag whose value is set to SAT, whether or not a
BAND_RX tag is present; see
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dxlab/message/9409

Is there documentation that makes LOTW's Satellite QSO requirements
explicit?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

148. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 18:14:16 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 148

With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem. You are correct in asserting that credit card companies apply as much, if not more security -- but they do so in a manner that is invisible to users. The fact that you must remind credit card users that this security is in place illustrates just how invisibly that security has been implemented.

Constructively, the question is "how can the LOTW design be evolved to hide the complexity of its security infrastructure from its users?".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl-prv@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 1:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Mike and the group,

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 10:58 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

I am surprised at the ongoing complaints about LOTW. In my humble opinion, it is a helluva tool, and is a lot of fun.

So far I have seen 3 types of complaints:

1. Users that are unfamiliar with the PKI (public key infrastructure process of authentication and get frustrated.

These I try to help.

2. Users that are unfamiliar with setting up a good security policy and how difficult it is.

These I try to enlighten and help them understand why things are done the way they are.

3. Those who have an agenda again ARRL, LoTW or both. These people use logical fallacies

and sometimes even lies to promote their agenda.

These folks I try to shoot down with true logical and facts.

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
<http://www.trustedqsl.org/> http://www.trustedQSL.org

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.3897168.5135684.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1732161/R=0/SIG=11p5b9ris/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?c
ode=30509&media=atkins> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=194081.3897168.5135684.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1732161/rand=832080915>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

149. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 18:57:37 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 129 Message: 149
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Dave,

Use of special prefixes is common in VE. On top I organize
local expeditions all within single 'entity'=VE.

VE3EXY (home location Ottawa)
VE3EXY/2 (CQ zone 2, Quebec=VE2)
CK2/VE3EXY/p (IOTA NA-128)

more to come ....

I understand I need one certificate for each special prefix
/call, otherwise I will not be able to make my logs available
for special_px/ portable operations through LoTW.

Obviously, I would like them all to feed one single Award 'account'
which I probably can do through award application form (quote another
calls used), rather than through LoTW itself.

In return,LoTW would, calculate credits independently, for
each certificate. Correct?

QSL managers, multiple individuals using the same contest call,
visiting operators, and QSL card printers (as defined in article
http://www.magma.ca/~ve3exy/new_lotw.html) will be the other
cases where multiple certificates are needed . Most likely
on the same machine.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

"Patton, David NN1N" wrote:

>
> I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
> one cert per call. There can only be one certificate for each callsign
> over the same date. As Hamish, VK3SB pointed out, we don't want two
> certificates out there for the same call and date range (it can't
> happen anyway).There are a couple of occurrences where we expect to
> issue certs for the same call, for different date ranges, to different
> people: Contest calls like VP2E, VP5B, PJ2T, etc. That's why we
> stress the importance of not guessing on the start and end dates when
> one makes a certificate request. I can think of good reasons to have
> more than one
> cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my
> private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for
> him

> to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if
> the
> QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any
> question
> about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.Yep, QSL managers
> can obtain certificates for the calls they manage, and definitely they
> must sign those requests with their own certs. ARRL also wants to see
> a letter of authorization, sent via postal mail from the client
> station, that says the manager is OK to put data in the system.
>
> Keep in mind something like the LoTW has never been done before and it
>
> may lead the way for a whole new form of ID based upon digital
> signatures. This project has been public for less than a month and
> there
> is going
> to be problems here and there. In a year things will have changed,
> what
> I don't know, but I am sure they will.RR Darryl. You've done a FB job
> with the email--thanks for the help.73, Dave, NN1N
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

150. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 20:03:37 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 150

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 2:14 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the
trees.

What every.

LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is
fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in
order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem.

You are correct in asserting that credit card companies apply as much,
if not more security -- but they do so in a manner that is invisible to
users. The fact that you must remind credit card users that this
security is in place illustrates just how invisibly that security has
been implemented.

They have the advantage that they have a trusted third party (the
merchant) to provide the invisibility to the
end user. LoTW doesn't have that third party support.

Constructively, the question is "how can the LOTW design be evolved to
hide the complexity of its security infrastructure from its users?".

What part of the complexity would you like to be hidden? There are two
parts which are complex:

1. The original authentication of the user.
This is going to be hard to make less complex because it is more
less a manual process to
begin with. It is a process which means that things have to
happen in a given order. IE:

(create a public key pair, a cert req, then the cert req must be
sent to the CA(ARRL), then the
CA must authenticate the cert req, then the cert is sent back to
the user. Each on of these
steps are out of band transactions.

That is the bad news. The good news is if you don't let your
cert expire, then you don't have
to do it again.

2. Signing the QSO.

Tqsl was never meant to be the end product. It is a stop gap
until logbook authors can add
support to their logbook programs. A Trusted logbook should be
able to create certreq, store
certs, sign QSO, email tQSL to ARRL and track which QSO have
been submitted to LoTW.
This would allow users to submit any outstanding QSO to LoTW
with a simple click of a
button and maybe a password.

So things will get better when logbook authors add TrustedQSL
support to their products.

I hope that ARRL will provide a way to download confirmed QSLs,
so that logbook programs
can keep track of which contacts are confirmed.

So the design to hide the details is really there, it is now in the
logbook authors hands to make it
work friendly which I have faith they will do.

73
-darryl

151. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 21:30:21 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 151

>>>AA6YQ comment below

You are correct in asserting that credit card companies apply as much, if not more security -- but they do so in a manner that is invisible to users. The fact that you must remind credit card users that this security is in place illustrates just how invisibly that security has been implemented.

Darryl> They have the advantage that they have a trusted third party (the merchant) to provide the invisibility to the end user. LoTW doesn't have that third party support.

>>> When I use my credit card to purchase merchandise over the web, the security infrastructure does not become visible. There is nothing that credit card companies do to hide complexity that LOTW couldn't also do.

Constructively, the question is "how can the LOTW design be evolved to hide the complexity of its security infrastructure from its users?".

Darryl> What part of the complexity would you like to be hidden?

>>>All of it. This should have been an A-level requirement for the entire project.

Darryl>

There are two parts which are complex:

1. The original authentication of the user.

This is going to be hard to make less complex because it is more less a manual process to begin with. It is a process which means that things have to happen in a given order. IE: (create a public key pair, a cert req, then the cert req must be sent to the CA(ARRL), then the CA must authenticate the cert req, then the cert is sent back to the user. Each on of these steps are out of band transactions.

That is the bad news. The good news is if you don't let your cert expire, then you don't have to do it again.

>>>All of this can be completely automated, including the handling of all error cases. A key benefit of PKI is that the bits can be sent over the internet without compromising security. And since LOTW is useless without an internet connection, one can assume that every user can run applications with realtime access to the internet. Is

there work involved in realizing this automation? Wes. Is it difficult? No. Add up the hours that users will spend fighting with the system as currently implemented and it dwarfs the effort required to correct it -- and that's ignoring the effects of bad will.

Darryl>

2. Signing the QSO.

Tqsl was never meant to be the end product. It is a stop gap until logbook authors can add support to their logbook programs. A Trusted logbook should be able to create certreq, store certs, sign QSO, email tQSL to ARRL and track which QSO have been submitted to LoTW. This would allow users to submit any outstanding QSO to LoTW with a simple click of a button and maybe a password.

So things will get better when logbook authors add TrustedQSL support to their products.

>>>This won't happen until LOTW publishes a documented set of programmatic interfaces. Throwing the TQSL source code into SourceForge does not constitute a documented, stable interface, as I'm sure you know. I'll have support in DXKeeper (the DXLab logging application) within hours of such an interface being available, as I did for eQSL.cc. Blaming all this user-visible complexity on logging application developers -- who've been requesting a documented API for more than a year -- is ludicrous and frankly infuriating.

Darryl>

I hope that ARRL will provide a way to download confirmed QSLs, so that logbook programs can keep track of which contacts are confirmed.

So the design to hide the details is really there, it is now in the logbook authors hands to make it work friendly which I have faith they will do.

>>>So support by logging application developers is essential to providing users with a reasonable interface to LOTW? If that's the case, why was LOTW designed without review by logging application developers? Why were logging application developers precluded from the alpha testing, despite repeated and acknowledged suggestions that this was the perfect opportunity to design, implement, and verify interoperability? Why is there still no stable interface specification that logging application developers can use to interoperate with LOTW?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

152. Files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 21:38:42 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 152 Message: 152

C'mon men!!

Instead of sitting around belly-aching about the system, we could be uploading files. I'm having to enter all of my log data as until only recently did I start to use electronic logging. I have a 1.76% confirmation rate(one DX confirmation out of 15 total), but I'm having a ball with this system. Make it work for all of us, and I'm confident that the system will steadily improve. Now go upload some files!
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

153. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 22:12:10 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 153

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 5:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> >>>AA6YQ comment below
>
> >>>All of this can be completely automated, including the handling of
> all error cases. A key benefit of PKI is that the bits can be sent
> over the internet without compromising security. And since LOTW is
> useless without an internet connection, one can assume that every
> user can run applications with realtime access to the internet. Is
> there work involved in realizing this automation? Wes. Is it
> difficult? No. Add up the hours that users will spend fighting with
> the system as currently implemented and it dwarfs the effort required
> to correct it -- and that's ignoring the effects of bad will.

Really tell me how to automate the check copies of licenses and
government ids?

I won't say anything about the state of the documentation of the API
because I haven't looked at it. I would expect some docs, but not
a 100 page book. It isn't that complex. Assuming that there is
enough for logbook authors to code to which I think there is, then
it is really in the laps of those authors to make the user side flow
smooth. I think LoTW needs a few hooks into the server to collect the
same data as the web pages, otherwise I think they are where they should
be.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

154. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 22:30:57 UTC
From: James
Topic: 30 Message: 154

Thanks Gary

I guess this will be the route taken but I don't see anything explicitly stating it to be the case.

73,

James M0ZZO

-----Original Message-----
From: Gary Stilwell [mailto:GARY@...]
Sent: 06 October 2003 22:50
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

James, don't you have Trustees for your Club stations as we do here in States. Think your cert would be keyed on the trustee so you would submit copy of club license plus ID info on trustee.

----- Original Message -----
From: James <mailto:james@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2003 1:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Thanks for the comments Darryl

I agree about signing a cert req with a trusted cert an perhaps they will let us do that, but as far as I know the UK certs have to be applied for by post as our call signs can't be checked against the FCC database.

73,

James M0ZZO

-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl@...] On Behalf Of darryl-prv@...
Sent: 05 October 2003 15:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] getting a UK club certificate

Hi,

I don't speak for ARRL and I don't know there official policy, but I got a cert for both my Boy Scout club
station and a special event call (N1H) based on my own station cert.

The concept is that if one
sign a certreq with a cert then that is trusted, just like the
TrustedQSL they are trusted. Therefore
if one sign a bogus certreq they have committed fraud and all their
certs may be revoked if discovered.

If you is going to commit fraud you don't leave a trail positively
identifying you as the person committing
fraud.

Hope this helps

Darryl WA1GON

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1750876/R=0/SIG=11v1mrq3u/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/hi
t?page=1762-1062629109228440> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259538.4000197.5214659.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1750876/rand=217011766>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

155. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:16:48 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 155

>>>AA6YQ comments below

> Really tell me how to automate the check copies of licenses and
> government ids?

>>>Checking licenses and ids isn't a user task, Darryl, its an ARRL staff task. The issue is minimizing user-visible complexity imposed by the security infrastructure, all of which can be accomplished by appropriate

> I won't say anything about the state of the documentation of the API
> because I haven't looked at it. I would expect some docs, but not
> a 100 page book. It isn't that complex. Assuming that there is
> enough for logbook authors to code to which I think there is, then
> it is really in the laps of those authors to make the user side flow
> smooth. I think LoTW needs a few hooks into the server to collect
> the same data as the web pages, otherwise I think they are where
> they should be.

>>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no documentation for this missing interface, either.

>>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as you claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that the prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

156. Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:27:21 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 156 Message: 156

I need a good logging program.
What is the best one to use with LOTW?
All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

157. DX confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:30:41 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 157 Message: 157

Notice at least some DX stations are not having any problems using LoTW. I see 4 QSO's confirmed in my record with EX2M.

Rod WC7N

158. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:45:02 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 156 Message: 158

Dan I have been using DX4WIN for several years and it does a great job of converting to ADIF for sending in logs to LoTW.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 4:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

I need a good logging program.
What is the best one to use with LOTW?
All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

159. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:58:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 156 Message: 159

If you are looking for DX logging then I strongly suggest you check the
DXLABS suite of programs. The best tracking for DX operation there is and it
is free and the support is the best of any Ham Program I have ever used.
See the DXLAB group on Yahoo.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dan [mailto:w0vd@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 6:27 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program
>
>
> I need a good logging program.
> What is the best one to use with LOTW?
> All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

160. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-08 23:35:42 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 156 Message: 160

In suggesting the freeware DXKeeper, I am somewhat biased since I wrote
it. So I will point you at the user reviews:

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/3139
http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/2215
http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/2210

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Dan [mailto:w0vd@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 7:27 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

I need a good logging program.
What is the best one to use with LOTW?
All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/au
toredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=268506113>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

161. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 00:08:14 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 156 Message: 161

>I'm using ACLog by N3FJP. It does a good job and have no problem
>uploading files to LOTW. Hard to beat for 15 bucks. It has numerical
>sequencing etc for contesting.

73
Mike, W5UC

>I need a good logging program.
>What is the best one to use with LOTW?
>All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.
>
>Thanks
>Dan W0VD
>grid: EM27ud
><mailto:w0vd@...>w0vd@...
>www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
><mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

162. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 00:11:04 UTC
From: Dr. Michael Hunter
Topic: 124 Message: 162

I have tried varius dates without success. The date to begin is the RTTY Contest 20030927 which is in the adi file. I have used this date and the date of my first ham ticket 1964. There must be somthing I am not doing right ?

K5HDU
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:49 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Problem

When it asks you for the date, set it to something several years earlier. I set it to 1958, the first year I was a General Class Licensee.

At 11:41 AM 10/8/2003 -0500, you wrote:

I keep getting start date errow line 2....?
guess ill not spend weeks put the VP8SSI and 3Y0PI log together.
73 Tony wa4jqs

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

163. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 00:03:16 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 129 Message: 163

I'm a 63-year-old geezer who's never even heard of PKI, let alone "understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW".

I painlessly downloaded V1.08 software, requested my certificate, got the postcard, downloaded my certificate, converted my ADIF log to ".tq8" signed logs, and uploaded over 25,000 QSO's to LoTW. I haven't a clue about "understand the details of these things".

So much for your jeremiad.

73, de Hans, K0HB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/8/03 7:14:33 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem.

164. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 00:04:02 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 156 Message: 164

I use Hyperlog. It exported an ADIF file which uploaded over 25,000 QSO's perfectly to LoTW.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: Dan <w0vd@...>

> I need a good logging program.
> What is the best one to use with LOTW?
> All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.

165. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 01:01:01 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 165

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 7:17 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> >>>AA6YQ comments below
>
> > Really tell me how to automate the check copies of licenses and
> > government ids?
>
> >>>Checking licenses and ids isn't a user task, Darryl, its an ARRL
> staff task. The issue is minimizing user-visible complexity imposed
> by the security infrastructure, all of which can be accomplished by
> appropriate

What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated, therefore
it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do that
when user interaction is required? And please do give me that
snake oil answer of it should have been designed better.

> >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no
> documentation for this missing interface, either.
>
> >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as you
> claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that the
> prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't
> looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.

To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had been
working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so wiped
that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how much
a regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I will
look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.

Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com

Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

166. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 01:20:40 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 166

No one is saying that LOTW never works, Hans, or that every operation is difficult to use. The problem is that when users step off the primrose path -- by accident, due to network glitches, or whatever -- recovery is very complicated and in some cases apparently impossible without divine intervention. If you've been monitoring the messages here and on other reflectors, you already know that.

An application that works well 90% of the time is going to have a lot of people like you saying "It works fine for me". The problem is that 10% of the intended LOTW user community is a very big number. Software like LOTW has to work well in *all* cases, not just the common ones. Like the programmatic interface issue you and I were discussing earlier, this is an architectural issue. Waiting till after production release to verify that all user scenarios have been supported is very risky business. There is a whole branch of modern software engineering aimed at preventing this very problem.

Users who take the position "since it works for me, it must be good enough" in the face of difficulties being expressed by other users are doing everyone a disservice. If users don't demand high quality software, they will rarely get it.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
> I'm a 63-year-old geezer who's never even heard of PKI, let alone "understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW".
>
> I painlessly downloaded V1.08 software, requested my certificate, got the postcard, downloaded my certificate, converted my ADIF log to ".tq8" signed logs, and uploaded over 25,000 QSO's to LoTW. I haven't a clue about "understand the details of these things".
>
> So much for your jeremiad.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 10/8/03 7:14:33 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>

> With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem.

167. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 01:30:32 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 167

I asserted that all of this complexity could be hidden from users.
You disagreed, citing license and ID verification as tasks that could
not be automated. My point is that users don't perform the tasks you
cited; thus they do not substantiate your argument.

If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,
certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user invisibility.

As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
amusing...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
wrote:
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 7:17 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
> >
> >
> > >>>AA6YQ comments below
> >
> > > Really tell me how to automate the check copies of licenses and
> > > government ids?
> >
> > >>>Checking licenses and ids isn't a user task, Darryl, its an
ARRL
> > staff task. The issue is minimizing user-visible complexity
imposed
> > by the security infrastructure, all of which can be accomplished
by
> > appropriate
>
> What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated, therefore
> it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do that
> when user interaction is required? And please do give me that
> snake oil answer of it should have been designed better.

>
> > >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no
> > documentation for this missing interface, either.
> >
> > >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as
you
> > claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that
the
> > prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't
> > looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.
>
> To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had
been
> working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so wiped
> that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how much
> a regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I will
> look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.
>
> Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)
>
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

168. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 00:52:23 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 129 Message: 168

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>

>
> As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
> I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
> amusing...
>

Methinks you are in danger of high blood pressure induced by mountain climbing among mole hills.

73, de Hans, K0HB

169. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 01:50:15 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 169

```
On Thu, Oct 09, 2003 at 01:30:32AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
> of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,
> certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user invisibility.

Users need to be aware that their private key needs to be backed up.
The private key is never shared and thus can't be stored by the ARRL in
case you lose it.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
```

170. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 01:58:05 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 156 Message: 170

take a look at Paper Chasers Log.. www.n0oks.com there are dozens of
programs out there and i have just about all of them.. you really have to
just find one that works for your needs..
73 Tony wa4jqs
p.s. they are all good. some just have different things to suit your taste.

171. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 02:04:32 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 156 Message: 171

Dan,

I've used Dxbase by Scientific Solutions since the DOS days. It's been Windows driven since the Windows 3.1 days so they're cutting edge in compatibility. They built the new version 'Dxbase 2004' with LOTW compatibilities.
Here's they're web address. http://www.dxbase.com

Bill Storer

KF8HR
----- Original Message -----
From: Dan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 7:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

I need a good logging program.
What is the best one to use with LOTW?
All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

172. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 02:23:52 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 172

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> I asserted that all of this complexity could be hidden from users.
> You disagreed, citing license and ID verification as tasks that could
> not be automated. My point is that users don't perform the tasks you
> cited; thus they do not substantiate your argument.

Of course they do. They have to provide those items which are required
for authentication.

> If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
> of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,
> certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user invisibility.

Sure it can. That is the job of logbook authors. ARRL has provided the
API for which this can be done. As far as multiple passwords even you
would agree that the web site needs passwords. The private key the way
I understand it doesn't require a password. What's the big deal?

> As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
> I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
> amusing...

Of course I was joking. That is why I put the ;-). On the other side
I have been on projects that overly documented. I think the best of
both
worlds is the Javadoc concept and XP. No, it shouldn't be the only
docs.

Not ever API is going to have complete docs. Some of the best apis that
I
have used had very little docs. I would much rather have no docs than
bad docs.

73
-darryl

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

173. Multiple QTHs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 02:55:13 UTC
From: lesk39
Topic: 173 Message: 173

I have QSOs from several locations using my current and previous calls. Usually I don't use a / designator since most of these operations were and still are ongoing from the same state. People interested in locator info or counties need to know the difference in locations, though.
How do I do that?

73, Les W2LK

174. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QTHs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:00:24 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 173 Message: 174

Hi,

> -----Original Message-----
> From: lesk39 [mailto:w2lk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 10:55 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QTHs
>
>
> I have QSOs from several locations using my current and previous
> calls. Usually I don't use a / designator since most of these
> operations were and still are ongoing from the same state. People
> interested in locator info or counties need to know the difference
> in locations, though.
> How do I do that?

In the tQSL program you can add many locations per call. When
you sign the log it will prompt you for which location. The trick
is trying to get a log only for those QSO.

Good luck & 73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

175. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:13:38 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 175

I certainly have to agree with Dave about all of this.

The source issue is especially a sore point. Making the source available is not an API documentation. The source can change , even if it can be understood, and the API should not. As much as I would like to see DXKEEPER automate the process I fully support Dave. He should not do it until a stable clear , well defined interface is published, one that is guaranteed not to change without a compelling reason.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 8:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> I asserted that all of this complexity could be hidden from users.
> You disagreed, citing license and ID verification as tasks that could
> not be automated. My point is that users don't perform the tasks you
> cited; thus they do not substantiate your argument.
>
> If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
> of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,
> certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user invisibility.
>
> As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
> I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
> amusing...
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 7:17 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
> > >
> > >
> > > >>>AA6YQ comments below
> > >
> > > > Really tell me how to automate the check copies of licenses and
> > > > government ids?
> > >
> > > >>>Checking licenses and ids isn't a user task, Darryl, its an
> ARRL
> > > staff task. The issue is minimizing user-visible complexity
> imposed
> > > by the security infrastructure, all of which can be accomplished
> by
> > > appropriate
> >
> > What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated, therefore
> > it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do that
> > when user interaction is required? And please do give me that
> > snake oil answer of it should have been designed better.
> >
> > > >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no
> > > documentation for this missing interface, either.
> > >
> > > >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as
> you
> > > claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that
> the
> > > prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't
> > > looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.
> >
> > To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had
> been
> > working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so wiped
> > that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how much
> > a regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I will
> > look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.
> >
> > Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)
> >
> >
> > 73
> >
> > --
> > Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> > President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> > "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
> 1797]
> >
> > Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.

> > Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> > Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> > http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

176. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:18:30 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 176

Gee Dave,
Dave,

I just looked at the "no documentation" and found it to
be quite complete. If you can't support LoTW with that
amount of docs, then maybe you shouldn't be writing programs.

I guess I should put the docs up on the TrustedQSL web site.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:31 PM
>

> >
> > What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated,
> therefore
> > it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do
> that when
> > user interaction is required? And please do give me that snake oil
> > answer of it should have been designed better.
> >
> > > >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no
> > > documentation for this missing interface, either.
> > >
> > > >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as
> you
> > > claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that
> the
> > > prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't
> > > looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.
> >
> > To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had
> been

> > working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so wiped
> > that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how much a
> > regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I will
> > look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.
> >
> > Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)
> >
> >
> > 73
> >
> > --
> > Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> > President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> > "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
> 1797]
> >
> > Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution. Post
> > message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> > Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> > http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
---~->

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

177. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:20:16 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 129 Message: 177

MessageHans I am a 71 year old and did the same thing and it seems to work great. Why do I need the details of how it works. Damn it works and that is what counts.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Hans K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 5:03 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

I'm a 63-year-old geezer who's never even heard of PKI, let alone "understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW".

I painlessly downloaded V1.08 software, requested my certificate, got the postcard, downloaded my certificate, converted my ADIF log to ".tq8" signed logs, and uploaded over 25,000 QSO's to LoTW. I haven't a clue about "understand the details of these things".

So much for your jeremiad.

73, de Hans, K0HB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/8/03 7:14:33 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

178. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:21:22 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 178

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish's cloud.net.au email [mailto:hamishc@...]On
> Behalf Of Hamish Moffatt
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 8:50 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> On Thu, Oct 09, 2003 at 01:30:32AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
> > of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,
> > certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user invisibility.
>
> Users need to be aware that their private key needs to be backed up.
> The private key is never shared and thus can't be stored by the ARRL in
> case you lose it.
>

AND they need to be told how to do that. They need to be told where it is
stored and how to reinstall it if something goes really wrong. The private
key is the whole secret to PKI and it is not well emphasized anywhere.

>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

179. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:22:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 179

Yes, I understand, Hans. If it works for you, everyone else's problems are molehills, and undeserving of attention.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@a...>
>
>
> >
> > As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
> > I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
> > amusing...
> >
>
> Methinks you are in danger of high blood pressure induced by mountain
> climbing among mole hills.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

180. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:27:03 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 180

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:14 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> I certainly have to agree with Dave about all of this.
>
> The source issue is especially a sore point. Making the
> source available is not an API documentation. The source can
> change , even if it can be understood, and the API should
> not. As much as I would like to see DXKEEPER automate the
> process I fully support Dave. He should not do it until a
> stable clear , well defined interface is published, one that
> is guaranteed not to change without a compelling reason.

I didn't disagree, I just didn't know what the state of the
API documentation was in. I have just briefly looked at
it and I see that ARRL has elected to use Doxygen which is
like JavaDoc. This is great! I would say that he has
that guarantee.

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

181. Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:29:44 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 181

Mailing your amateur radio license to the ARRL is no different than mailing in a credit card application. No one has argued that this part of the process needs improvement.

The issue, which you continue to duck, is that users are forced to maintain multiple passwords, understand the ins and outs of certificates and PKI, and know how to put things back on track when they come off the rails. You yourself posted several messages explaining this esoterica to users in need. When challenged, you argued that concealing this "would be hard", but have failed to provide any substantiation of this position.

As for your assertion below that "ARRL has provided the API for which this can be done.", after I've repeatedly asserted that there is no such API, I will simply ask you to produce a URL to this API.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...> wrote:
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:31 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
> >
> >
> > I asserted that all of this complexity could be hidden from users.
> > You disagreed, citing license and ID verification as tasks that could
> > not be automated. My point is that users don't perform the tasks you
> > cited; thus they do not substantiate your argument.
>
> Of course they do. They have to provide those items which are required
> for authentication.
>
> > If you want to rebut the original assertion, show why user awareness
> > of and management of security artifacts -- multiple passwords,

> > certificates, URLs, etc. -- can't be automated into user
invisibility.
>
> Sure it can. That is the job of logbook authors. ARRL has
provided the
> API for which this can be done. As far as multiple passwords even
you
> would agree that the web site needs passwords. The private key the
way
> I understand it doesn't require a password. What's the big deal?
>
>
> > As for "Really programmers don't need docs if they have source.",
> > I'll assume you're joking. Personally, I don't find the situation
> > amusing...
>
> Of course I was joking. That is why I put the ;-). On the other
side
> I have been on projects that overly documented. I think the best of
> both
> worlds is the Javadoc concept and XP. No, it shouldn't be the only
> docs.
>
> Not ever API is going to have complete docs. Some of the best apis
that
> I
> have used had very little docs. I would much rather have no docs
than
> bad docs.
>
> 73
> -darryl
>
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

182. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:31:14 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 182

Where is the API, Darryl? Where is the documentation for this API?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
wrote:
> Gee Dave,
> Dave,
>
> I just looked at the "no documentation" and found it to
> be quite complete. If you can't support LoTW with that
> amount of docs, then maybe you shouldn't be writing programs.
>
> I guess I should put the docs up on the TrustedQSL web site.
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:31 PM
> > >
>
> > > >
> > > > What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated,
> > therefore
> > > > it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do
> > that when
> > > > user interaction is required? And please do give me that snake
oil
> > > > answer of it should have been designed better.
> > > >
> > > > > >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There

is no
> > > > documentation for this missing interface, either.
> > > >
> > > > >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as
important as
> > you
> > > > > claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified
that
> > the
> > > > > prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I
haven't
> > > > > looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.
> > > >
> > > > To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had
> > been
> > > > working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so
wiped
> > > > that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how
much a
> > > > regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I
will
> > > > look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.
> > > >
> > > > Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> > > > President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> > > > "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
> > 1797]
> > > >
> > > > Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post
> > > > message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > > List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> > > > http://www.trustedQSL.org
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> > for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> > Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
-~->

>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

183. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:33:58 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 183

>
> AND they need to be told how to do that. They need to be told
> where it is stored and how to reinstall it if something goes
> really wrong. The private key is the whole secret to PKI and
> it is not well emphasized anywhere.

I totally agree! I do remember a message saying that I should
store my cert on a offline media, but I agree that it should be
stressed more.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

184. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:35:36 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 129 Message: 184

> Sure it can. That is the job of logbook authors. ARRL has
> provided the API for which this can be done.

ARRL have not provided an API, only non-final source code.
Further, ARRL have not provided any documentation (or any
means) so far as anyone can tell for the user to download
QSL status into his logging program in order to track LotW
submissions/QSLs. Similarly, ARRL have not as yet provided
the slightest information on linking a user's certificate
to the logging program to allow a signed ADIF file (.tq8)
to be generated seamlessly (and invisibly) to the user.

> The private key the way I understand it doesn't require a
> password. What's the big deal?

The private key, the way it is implemented in tQSL, requires
a password. The password problems in the initial public release
(tQSL 1.06) of the software resulted in the loss of my original
certificate. That loss required the issuance of a superceding
certificate (since I had already uploaded logs) that was only
accomplished by human intervention (with much difficulty since
no procedure had been developed for that eventuality).

The LotW project is/was an overdue idea but the implementation
has been slipshod at best. Ease of use, the user interface and
documentation should be given failing grades. Software
integration and interoperability simply does not exist. Even
the user documentation is woefully lacking ... there should
have been a much higher level of promotion and preparation
(including articles in QST and on the web with detailed
instruction for use) and the software should at the very minimum,
have many more warnings about backing up private keys and
certificates.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

185. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:37:15 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 185

Lets try an experiment, Rod. Pretend your disk just crashed and took
your private key with it. Can you recover without assistance?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> MessageHans I am a 71 year old and did the same thing and it seems
to work great. Why do I need the details of how it works. Damn it
works and that is what counts.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Hans K0HB
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 5:03 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> I'm a 63-year-old geezer who's never even heard of PKI, let
alone "understand the details of these things in order to effectively
use LOTW".
>
> I painlessly downloaded V1.08 software, requested my certificate,
got the postcard, downloaded my certificate, converted my ADIF log
to ".tq8" signed logs, and uploaded over 25,000 QSO's to LoTW. I
haven't a clue about "understand the details of these things".
>
> So much for your jeremiad.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 10/8/03 7:14:33 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest
for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong
security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the
details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious
problem.
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

186. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:42:33 UTC
From: Ron M. Philip
Topic: 186 Message: 186

Unsubscribe

187. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:57:01 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 187

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
>
>
> > Sure it can. That is the job of logbook authors. ARRL has
> > provided the API for which this can be done.
>
> ARRL have not provided an API, only non-final source code.
> Further, ARRL have not provided any documentation (or any
> means)

That is not true. There is an API with docs on sourceforge.com.
The provide source and DLL. The API docs are in HTML format.

If you don't wish to dig for them I have just upload the API
docs to http://www.trustedQSL.org/api. Maybe now we can put
this nonsense about no API docs to rest.

> so far as anyone can tell for the user to download
> QSL status into his logging program in order to track LotW
> submissions/QSLs. Similarly, ARRL have not as yet provided
> the slightest information on linking a user's certificate
> to the logging program to allow a signed ADIF file (.tq8)
> to be generated seamlessly (and invisibly) to the user.

Not true see above.

> > The private key the way I understand it doesn't require a
> > password. What's the big deal?
>
> The private key, the way it is implemented in tQSL, requires
> a password.

I stand corrected. At least I can remove password protection
from my private key.

> The password problems in the initial public release
> (tQSL 1.06) of the software resulted in the loss of my original
> certificate. That loss required the issuance of a superceding
> certificate (since I had already uploaded logs) that was only
> accomplished by human intervention (with much difficulty since
> no procedure had been developed for that eventuality).

Getting a new cert for a lost private key should not be easy and
should require human intervention because there is no way to
authenticate the user.

> The LotW project is/was an overdue idea but the implementation
> has been slipshod at best. Ease of use, the user interface and
> documentation should be given failing grades. Software
> integration and interoperability simply does not exist. Even
> the user documentation is woefully lacking ... there should
> have been a much higher level of promotion and preparation
> (including articles in QST and on the web with detailed
> instruction for use) and the software should at the very minimum,
> have many more warnings about backing up private keys and
> certificates.

Nothing like this has every been done. It is still a learning
process.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

188. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:57:38 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 188

On Wed, Oct 08, 2003 at 02:40:49PM -0000, Gilbert wrote:
> That is true but there should be a way to revoke it that is not so
> slow.

No, I think revocation and related parts of key management should be a
manual process to prevent fraud. A once off delay of a few days is not
going to end the world, frankly.

> If the key has a STRONG pass phrase I can give it to you and you
> still cannot impersonate me.

Perhaps. I've heard that typically the passphrases are not encrypted
particularly strongly, so I could use a brute-force attack to obtain
your key. You wouldn't want to risk it deliberately.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

189. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 04:00:27 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 189

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> Where is the API, Darryl? Where is the documentation for this API?

It has always been in sourceforge, but you can now view it on
http://www.trustedQSL.org/api

It isn't the best API docs I have seen, but it is also far from
the worst.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

190. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Explanation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:49:37 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 190

On Wed, Oct 08, 2003 at 11:35:36PM -0400, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> The private key, the way it is implemented in tQSL, requires
> a password.

Not true, the password may be blank.

That doesn't help you if you then lose the whole private key.

> The LotW project is/was an overdue idea but the implementation
> has been slipshod at best. Ease of use, the user interface and
> documentation should be given failing grades. Software
> integration and interoperability simply does not exist. Even

I see the current software as the underlying infrastructure (the web site and the tqsllib library), and a demonstration/proof application (tqslcert). It is obvious throughout the documentation that they expect the tqslcert functions to be integrated into third-party logging applications. (Although it seems that the documentation to do that may not exist yet.)

In light of that I think most of your criticism is unfair. Integration into logging apps is the third party's responsibility and will happen over time. The tqslcert is enough to get things going for now but isn't polished nor integrated.

I used the beta software and web site and found it quite satisfactory for what it was. I haven't seen the production web site yet as I am still waiting for registration.

There are some cryptography links from the TQSL web site:
http://www.trustedqsl.org/

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

191. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 03:23:09 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 129 Message: 191
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Rod,

Seems to me that there is an overblown case of NIH extant.

Go down to the machine room and tell them to empty the bit bucket,
and FAST, before that baby overflows.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Original Message -----
From: WC7N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/9/03 4:20:06 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Hans I am a 71 year old and did the same thing and it seems to work great. Why do I need the details of how it works. Damn it works and that is what counts.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Hans K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 5:03 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

I'm a 63-year-old geezer who's never even heard of PKI, let alone "understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW".

I painlessly downloaded V1.08 software, requested my certificate, got the postcard, downloaded my certificate, converted my ADIF log to ".tq8" signed logs, and uploaded over 25,000 QSO's to LoTW. I haven't a clue about "understand the details of these things".

So much for your jeremiad.

73, de Hans, K0HB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/8/03 7:14:33 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

With respect to #1 and #2, Darryl, you are missing the forest for the trees. LOTW's decision to utilize PKI and enforce a strong security policy is fine. The fact that users must understand the details of these things in order to effectively use LOTW is a serious problem.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

192. Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 04:54:51 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 192

What you uploaded certainly calls itself an API. Without some study, I can't tell whether its an API or just the collected procedure declarations from each module in the source code. I will uploaded it to my laptop and review it during tomorrow's flight.

Nothing would make me happier than finding this to be the foundation upon which interoperation with logging applications can be built.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...> wrote:
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:31 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
> >
> >
> > Where is the API, Darryl? Where is the documentation for this API?
>
> It has always been in sourceforge, but you can now view it on
> http://www.trustedQSL.org/api
>
> It isn't the best API docs I have seen, but it is also far from
> the worst.
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

193. LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 05:51:01 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 193

Well, Darryl, it didn't take long to discover that this API you posted is nothing but every member of every .h file in LOTW (other than the one defining error constants and the one providing a line of documentation for each of the 7 modules).

There is no abstraction whatsoever is this collection. There is no way for logging application developers to separate stable architectural interfaces from interfaces intended solely for use within the implementation, which one would expect to change frequently over the coming releases. I very much doubt that LOTW project management has committed to maintain upward compatibility for every one of those declarations, as would be the case if this were truly LOTW's API.

Lobbing source code over the wall is not an API, and you know it. Or at least, you should know it.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> What you uploaded certainly calls itself an API. Without some study,
> I can't tell whether its an API or just the collected procedure
> declarations from each module in the source code. I will uploaded it
> to my laptop and review it during tomorrow's flight.
>
> Nothing would make me happier than finding this to be the foundation
> upon which interoperation with logging applications can be built.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
> wrote:
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 11:31 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

> > >
> > >
> > > Where is the API, Darryl? Where is the documentation for this
API?
> >
> > It has always been in sourceforge, but you can now view it on
> > http://www.trustedQSL.org/api
> >
> > It isn't the best API docs I have seen, but it is also far from
> > the worst.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > --
> > Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> > President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> > "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
> 1797]
> >
> > Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> > Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> > Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> > http://www.trustedQSL.org

194. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 07:55:18 UTC
From: James Shryne, N6DHZ
Topic: 156 Message: 194

At 04:27 PM 10/8/03, you wrote:
>I need a good logging program.
>What is the best one to use with LOTW?
>All my logs is on paper so time to start typing in my logs.
>
>Thanks
>Dan W0VD
>grid: EM27ud
>w0vd@...
>www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

I would suggest the most versatile and flexible program I have used is
LOGic <www.hosenose.com>
I have used it since the DOS days and it will track anything you can think
of. The cost is reasonable and support is very good from the manufacturer
and also a very good Listserver (reflector).

For a free program I would suggest Lux-Log <http://www.qsl.net/lx1no>. This
is a very comprehensive program and Norby supports this program very well.

Both easily export to ADIF.

73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
James Shryne
n6dhz@...
www.qsl.net/n6dhz
www.scdxc.org
2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia

195. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 11:59:33 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 195

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl-prv@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 10:19 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?
>
>
> Gee Dave,
> Dave,
>
> I just looked at the "no documentation" and found it to
> be quite complete. If you can't support LoTW with that
> amount of docs, then maybe you shouldn't be writing programs.
>

Come on now. Have you seen the programs he has written? He could write a program easily with what is there, but he won't because it may change. This is not an API and until there is one Dave is talking the correct path here.

> I guess I should put the docs up on the TrustedQSL web site.
>
> 73
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 9:31 PM
> > >
>
> > >
> > > What is your point? User are the ones being authenticated,
> > therefore

> > > it has to be visible to them. So I ask again how do you do
> > that when
> > > user interaction is required? And please do give me that snake oil
> > > answer of it should have been designed better.
> > >
> > > > >>>There is no defined programmatic interface, Darryl. There is no
> > > > documentation for this missing interface, either.
> > > >
> > > > >>>If interoperation with logging applications is as important as
> > you
> > > > claimed in your last message, then why have you not verified that
> > the
> > > > prerequisites for this interoperation are satisfied? "I haven't
> > > > looked at it" is hardly a cogent response.
> > >
> > > To be honest, I haven't had the time or the energy because I had
> > been
> > > working outside my field, so by the time I got home I was so wiped
> > > that I couldn't be productive. No one really knows just how much a
> > > regret not being an active part of the TrustedQSL project. I will
> > > look at the code soon. I would like to do a native Java port.
> > >
> > > Really programmers don't need docs if they have source. ;-)
> > >
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > --
> > > Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> > > President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> > > "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
> > 1797]
> > >
> > > Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution. Post
> > > message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> > > http://www.trustedQSL.org
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> > for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> > Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

196. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 13:26:36 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 196

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 1:51 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> Well, Darryl, it didn't take long to discover that this API you
> posted is nothing but every member of every .h file in LOTW (other
> than the one defining error constants and the one providing a line of
> documentation for each of the 7 modules).

I didn't say that it was great, but they follow the same format as
OpenSSL and Sun's Java API. Errors are reported by calling a
function upon an error return.

> There is no abstraction whatsoever is this collection. There is no
> way for logging application developers to separate stable
> architectural interfaces from interfaces intended solely for use
> within the implementation, which one would expect to change
> frequently over the coming releases. I very much doubt that LOTW
> project management has committed to maintain upward compatibility for
> every one of those declarations, as would be the case if this were
> truly LOTW's API.

This is where you are totally wrong. You don't see any separation of
public interface from internal because they don't expose the internal.
All of those functions are the public API. In fact some of the API
code is written in C++ there is no C++ methods in the public API. I
believe
that each of the functions in the API docs was exposed as part of the
public API. I have checked everyone of them and no one on the project
told me that so I don't know for sure.

> Lobbing source code over the wall is not an API, and you know it. Or
> at least, you should know it.

I know what I have seen is enough for me to use the API and that Sun
JAVA API docs looks very much like I have seen here. This package has
another feature that I would have killed for on many of the APIs I have
worked with: A working example program.

I can understand that you may feel more documentation needs to be done.
There is a easy solution. This is an open source project and all
contributors
are welcome. So I invite you to bring up the docs to an acceptable

standard.
If you don't want to do that or you don't have time, then I guess you
will
have to wait until someone else who feels like you do takes the time to
bring it up to your standard. You may have a log wait.

You might also say that doing the docs is my job or the job of ARRL.

My response is that ARRL have provided a working set of docs and the
source code. As far as myself while it is true I started TrustedQSL
I wasn't able to stay active with the project for personal reseasons
and I am not (at least yet a logbook developer). My interest in
LoTW/TrustedQSL
was to make sure it's design was something that the world could live
with.
It is, so I no longer have a vested interest TrustedQSL or LoTW except
as
a user. You and other logbook developers on the other hand do have a
vested
interest in the TrustedQSL API.

I guess what I am trying to say is if you don't like they way things are
change them or shut up.

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

197. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 13:45:32 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 197

Greetings,

> Come on now. Have you seen the programs he has written?

Yes I have and I wasn't impressed.

> He
> could write a program easily with what is there, but he won't
> because it may change.

Of course it may change. Take a look at the Java Docs and see
how many methods are deprecated.

Beside Dave was first complaining that there was no docs, now
he is complaining that it may change and doesn't look stable.
This is after all an open source project. He is free to join
the project and work improve the docs and make it more stable.

Any API is only going to be consider stable after years of uses
this API is very complex (which may be where the problem is) and
you can not set down and understand it in 5 mins.

> This is not an API and until there is one Dave is talking the correct
path here.

Oh, so it isn't an API until Dave says it an API. I don't think so!
I will admin that the docs for the API maybe a little terse, but it
is really a wrapper for OpenSSL. If you want the theory behind it go
to the www.openSSL.org site.

Dave is the only developer that has been complaining about the docs.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

198. Re: County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 14:29:39 UTC
From: nj2dx
Topic: 112 Message: 198

Jim,

I did some experiments with an ADIF file that had a county line
contac and change the seconds between the two contacts with one
having 00 seconds and the other 59 seconds of the same minute and the
results of processing the .tq8 file is that the second one even 59
seconds later is considered a duplicate.

I even went into the LoTW QSO screen and checked on the QSO and only
one is listed. The only way to get both QSOs in is to have the
minutes in the ADIF file be different.

In checking the data I uploaded I see that even though the seconds
are in the ADIF they are stripped because the QSO records on the LoTW
web site always has 00 for seconds.

So the only way to handle boundry (county, state, grid) contacts
would be to have two QSOs for different stations (locations) AND the
time has to be at least a minute a part.

My guess is they did this so you could override a wrong location by
changing it and re-uploading it. However not sure why they strip
seconds off unless they thought that would reduce the amount of data.

I hate to have my logbook lie about the time even if it's only off by
one minute. However it makes me wonder how since QSOs are reconciled
over a period of 30 minutes how it will match up these QSOs to get a
QSL for both...

73, Tom NJ2DX

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Jim Reisert AD1C <jjreisert@a...>
wrote:
> At 03:45 PM 10/7/2003, nj2dx wrote:
>
> >In my logbook when I "run a county line" I log it as seperate QSOs
> >from each county. Thus there is a seperate QSO for each county in a
> >seperate ADIF and thus tq8 file.
> >
> >HOWEVER when I upload the second tq8 file it will consider it a
> >duplicate QSO and wipe out the data of the first uploaded QSO.
>
> Doesn't happen to me! DX4WIN exports an ADIF file with minutes
and
> seconds. My QSOs are logged separately, but seconds apart, so they
all
> show up in LoTW.

>
> 73 - Jim AD1C
>
>
> --
> Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
> USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@a...>, http://www.ad1c.com
> PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

199. Re: Logging Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 14:44:22 UTC
From: ka8yiu
Topic: 156 Message: 199

N3FJP's programs are very well made. Yes, he does charge a small registration fee, but, for under $50, you can get his CD of ALL his programs, for just about every contest there is. Even a Field Day logging program that can network several computers for multiple radio use. Any updates, no charge. I have lost my passwords and he was able to email me my passwords.

Just my $.02.

Kevin
K3VIN

200. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: County Line QSOs are considered dupes!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 14:54:13 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 112 Message: 200

--- nj2dx <nj2dx@...> wrote:

> I even went into the LoTW QSO screen and checked on the QSO and only
> one is listed. The only way to get both QSOs in is to have the
> minutes in the ADIF file be different.
>
> In checking the data I uploaded I see that even though the seconds
> are in the ADIF they are stripped because the QSO records on the LoTW
> web site always has 00 for seconds.

Tom, that has not been my experience. I can go to the ARRL LoTW web site and look at my QSOs, and I see many county line QSOs where the time differs by mere seconds.

When you added the seconds to the ADIF file, did you expand the field width from :4 to :6? Are you sure you signed the modified ADIF file and not the original? It may be that once you upload a QSO with 4-digit time, that you can NOT over-ride those QSOs in the system. You should contact lotw-help@... to see if this is the case.

73 - Jim AD1C

=====
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

201. Uploading amendments to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 15:55:46 UTC
From: David Gynn
Topic: 201 Message: 201

Gentlemen

These questions may have been discussed before but as I have just joined this group can anyone tell me:-

1: Can one amend a QSO record already accepted by LOTW ? Small error crept in to one of my records being shown as a CW contact rather than a RTTY ? I get the impression if one sends an amended QSO record LOTW rejects it as a duplicate.

2: Does anyone from the ARRL-LOTW team monitor this group ?

Many Thanks

Dave (G3SBP)

202. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 16:15:03 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 201 Message: 202

I believe if you re enter the corrected entry it will overwrite the old one.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: David Gynn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 8:55 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Gentlemen

These questions may have been discussed before but as I have just joined
this group can anyone tell me:-

1: Can one amend a QSO record already accepted by LOTW ? Small error crept
in to one of my records being shown as a CW contact rather than a RTTY ? I
get the impression if one sends an amended QSO record LOTW rejects it as a
duplicate.

2: Does anyone from the ARRL-LOTW team monitor this group ?

Many Thanks

Dave (G3SBP)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

203. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 09:35:59 UTC
From: Dave Hachadorian
Topic: 201 Message: 203

On Thu, 09 Oct 2003 16:55:46 +0100 David Gynn <rd.gynn@...>
writes:
> Gentlemen
>
> These questions may have been discussed before but as I have just
> joined
> this group can anyone tell me:-
>
> 1: Can one amend a QSO record already accepted by LOTW ?
> Small error crept
> in to one of my records being shown as a CW contact rather than a
> RTTY ? I
> get the impression if one sends an amended QSO record LOTW rejects
> it as a
> duplicate.

I've amended some qso's. I got this in response:

2003-10-07 01:43:14 LOTW_QSO: WARNING: QSO replaces existing record
2003-10-07 01:43:14 LOTW_QSO: QSO: K6LL LW1DIP 1994-12-10 00:03:00Z
10M SSB

So, it looks like the new data overwrites the old.

Try it and see.

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

204. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 17:36:28 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 201 Message: 204

Hi Daves!

the system only replaces the QSO if all the info is exactly the same. This is so that you could change your grid square, or county, etc., and attach that to the same data.

But, the below isn't a big problem because the other station would not get a match to your CW QSO, but would get a match to the correct RTTY. You're the only one who knows the CW QSO is there.

73, Dave, NN1N @ ARRL

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Hachadorian [mailto:k6ll@...]
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 5:36 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

On Thu, 09 Oct 2003 16:55:46 +0100 David Gynn <rd.gynn@btopenworld.com>
writes:
> Gentlemen
>
> These questions may have been discussed before but as I have just
> joined
> this group can anyone tell me:-
>
> 1: Can one amend a QSO record already accepted by LOTW ?
> Small error crept
> in to one of my records being shown as a CW contact rather than a
> RTTY ? I
> get the impression if one sends an amended QSO record LOTW rejects
> it as a
> duplicate.

I've amended some qso's. I got this in response:

2003-10-07 01:43:14 LOTW_QSO: WARNING: QSO replaces existing record
2003-10-07 01:43:14 LOTW_QSO: QSO: K6LL LW1DIP 1994-12-10 00:03:00Z
10M SSB

So, it looks like the new data overwrites the old.

Try it and see.

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=251812.4024216.5238180.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1754451/R=0/SIG=11tmlldst/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178323&partid=4024216> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=251812.4024216.5238180.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1754451/rand=223549360>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

205. LOTW QSO query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 16:58:53 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 205 Message: 205

Hi all,

I recently saw a post from N4HY who suggested that the State was easy to see
in each QSO confirmation.
Two things arise from this:
1, you have to click on each confirmed QSO to SEE that data, and while that
may be reasonable for a few contacts, I now have almost 1000 confirmations,
and that would be rather long-winded.

2, Not all confirmations seem to show the State!
Here is what I mean..... (callsigns removced to protect the innocent!)
This is the first, and DOES show the State:
Station
Call Sign GU0SUP
DXCC GUERNSEY
IOTA EU-114
Grid IN89RL
Worked Station
Worked AB1AB
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
Grid EM72
State AL
County LEE
Date/Time 2001-11-11 17:43:00
Mode RTTY (DATA)
Band 15M
Frequency 21.00000
QSL 2003-10-09 00:16:17

Record ID 9558146 Received: 2003-10-06 12:22:40
==========

This is another confirmed record, and it does not show as much info:
Station
Call Sign GU0SUP
DXCC GUERNSEY
IOTA EU-114
Grid IN89RL
Worked Station
Worked A8AAA
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
Date/Time 2003-02-08 13:35:02
Mode RTTY (DATA)
Band 15M
Frequency 21.066
QSL 2003-10-08 23:06:38

Record ID 9564888 Received: 2003-10-06 12:24:24
==========

ie, there is no GRID/STATE/COUNTY shown, and yes, it IS a USA callsign.

Is there a reason as to why this data is missing? I guess all will become clear in time, but I did think that it may be better to sort any minor problems sooner rather than later.

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

206. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 19:08:03 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 205 Message: 206

This is because the US station didn't put state/county/zone/grid data in
their location definition. I'd suggest a polite email to the station
requesting they add the information to their location file and then download
the same files again. This will correct the information.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Phil Cooper [mailto:pcooper@...]
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 10:59
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query

Hi all,

I recently saw a post from N4HY who suggested that the State was easy to see
in each QSO confirmation.
Two things arise from this:
1, you have to click on each confirmed QSO to SEE that data, and while that
may be reasonable for a few contacts, I now have almost 1000 confirmations,
and that would be rather long-winded.

2, Not all confirmations seem to show the State!
Here is what I mean..... (callsigns removced to protect the innocent!)
This is the first, and DOES show the State:
Station
Call Sign   GU0SUP
DXCC   GUERNSEY
IOTA   EU-114
Grid   IN89RL
Worked Station
Worked   AB1AB
DXCC   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
Grid   EM72
State   AL
County   LEE
Date/Time   2001-11-11 17:43:00
Mode   RTTY (DATA)
Band   15M
Frequency   21.00000
QSL   2003-10-09 00:16:17

Record ID 9558146 Received: 2003-10-06 12:22:40
=======================================

This is another confirmed record, and it does not show as much info:
Station
Call Sign   GU0SUP
DXCC   GUERNSEY
IOTA   EU-114
Grid   IN89RL
Worked Station
Worked   A8AAA
DXCC   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
Date/Time   2003-02-08 13:35:02
Mode   RTTY (DATA)
Band   15M
Frequency   21.066
QSL   2003-10-08 23:06:38

Record ID 9564888 Received: 2003-10-06 12:24:24
==========

ie, there is no GRID/STATE/COUNTY shown, and yes, it IS a USA callsign.

Is there a reason as to why this data is missing? I guess all will become clear in time, but I did think that it may be better to sort any minor problems sooner rather than later.

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

207. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 19:10:04 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 201 Message: 207

Is there a plan to at some point allow correction of errors by deleting the records themselves?

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 11:36
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading amendments to LOTW

Hi Daves!

the system only replaces the QSO if all the info is exactly the same. This is so that you could change your grid square, or county, etc., and attach that to the same data.

But, the below isn't a big problem because the other station would not get a match to your CW QSO, but would get a match to the correct RTTY. You're the only one who knows the CW QSO is there.

73, Dave, NN1N @ ARRL

208. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 19:07:30 UTC
From: John E Bastin, K8AJS
Topic: 205 Message: 208

At 17:58 +0100 10_09_2003, Phil Cooper wrote:
>Record ID 9558146 Received: 2003-10-06 12:22:40
>=======================================
>
>This is another confirmed record, and it does not show as much info:
>Station
>Call Sign GU0SUP
>DXCC GUERNSEY
>IOTA EU-114
>Grid IN89RL
>Worked Station
>Worked A8AAA
>DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
>Date/Time 2003-02-08 13:35:02
>Mode RTTY (DATA)
>Band 15M
>Frequency 21.066
>QSL 2003-10-08 23:06:38
>--
>Record ID 9564888 Received: 2003-10-06 12:24:24
>===
>
>ie, there is no GRID/STATE/COUNTY shown, and yes, it IS a USA callsign.

The ITU prefix allocation list shows A8A - A8Z as being allocated to
the Republic of Liberia.

That would explain the absence of a state, but it doesn't explain why
the DXCC name is United States of America. It seems _that_ is the
real error, not the absence of a state.

73,
--
_
/~\ The ASCII | J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
\ / Ribbon Campaign | jbastin@... K8AJS@...
X Against HTML | http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
/ \ Email! |

209. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 19:34:27 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 205 Message: 209

Hi all,

OK, hands up, A8AAA was NOT a good choice for a psuedonym for an American call.

However, I did state that calls had been changed to protect the innocent, and I also said that it WAS an American callsign.

Thanks to those who responded!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

210. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 18:51:26 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 205 Message: 210

No, actually A8AAA is a Liberian call sign.

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: Phil Cooper <pcooper@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/9/03 8:36:19 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW QSO query
>
> Hi all,
>
> OK, hands up, A8AAA was NOT a good choice for a psuedonym for an American
> call.
>
> However, I did state that calls had been changed to protect the innocent,
> and I also said that it WAS an American callsign.
>
> Thanks to those who responded!
>
> 73 de Phil GU0SUP

211. Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 21:25:49 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 211 Message: 211

Hi:
I have 3 callsigns K1VU, WA1OFR,& WN1OFR and a certificate for each.

When I go to TQSL/STATION/ADD LOCATION (or edit location) and try to
set the following locations:
K1VU - Home
WA1OFR - Home
WN1OFR - Home
I run into a problem! When I add WA1OFR - Home it overwrites K1VU -Home.

What I end up having to do is set the location to a unique name.
I had to settle for:
K1VU - Home
WA1OFR - Hom
WN1OFR - Ho
In order to get the correct setup.

Eventually I wanted to have:
K1VU - Home
K1VU - Camp
K1VU - Rover
WA1OFR - Home
WA1OFR - Camp
WA1OFR - Rover
WN1OFR - Home

Anyone else run into this problem ???

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

212. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 14:38:28 UTC
From: Dave Hachadorian
Topic: 211 Message: 212

On Thu, 09 Oct 2003 17:25:49 -0400 R Johnson <k1vu@...> writes:
> Hi:
> I have 3 callsigns K1VU, WA1OFR,& WN1OFR and a certificate for each.
>
> When I go to TQSL/STATION/ADD LOCATION (or edit location) and try to
> set the following locations:
> K1VU - Home
> WA1OFR - Home
> WN1OFR - Home
> I run into a problem! When I add WA1OFR - Home it overwrites K1VU
> -Home.
>
> What I end up having to do is set the location to a unique name.
> I had to settle for:
> K1VU - Home
> WA1OFR - Hom
> WN1OFR - Ho
> In order to get the correct setup.
>
> Eventually I wanted to have:
> K1VU - Home
> K1VU - Camp
> K1VU - Rover
> WA1OFR - Home
> WA1OFR - Camp
> WA1OFR - Rover
> WN1OFR - Home
>
> Anyone else run into this problem ???

Yes. Apparently the locations must be unique. What I did was:
K6LL - K6LL - YUMA
K6LL/7 - K6LL/7 - YUMA
K6LL - K6LL - IMPERIAL
KZ7X - KZ7X - YUMA

It looks a little funny, with the callsign repeated, but it works.

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

213. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 21:39:48 UTC
From: Cliff Ahrens
Topic: 211 Message: 213

I had that problem and solved it by naming the locations Home (K0CA), Home (KI0W), Home (N0BQN), Home (KA0EZR). The names TQSL shows are a little redundant, e.g. K0CA-Home (K0CA), etc. But it works.

73, Cliff K0CA

214. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 21:43:24 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 211 Message: 214

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 5:26 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns
>
>
> Hi:
> I have 3 callsigns K1VU, WA1OFR,& WN1OFR and a certificate for each.
>
> When I go to TQSL/STATION/ADD LOCATION (or edit location) and
> try to set the following locations:
> K1VU - Home
> WA1OFR - Home
> WN1OFR - Home
> I run into a problem! When I add WA1OFR - Home it overwrites
> K1VU -Home.
>
> What I end up having to do is set the location to a unique
> name. I had to settle for:
> K1VU - Home
> WA1OFR - Hom
> WN1OFR - Ho
> In order to get the correct setup.
>
> Eventually I wanted to have:
> K1VU - Home
> K1VU - Camp
> K1VU - Rover
> WA1OFR - Home
> WA1OFR - Camp
> WA1OFR - Rover
> WN1OFR - Home

The solution if you can call it a solution is to name them something
like:

K1VU - Home-K1VU
WA1OFR - Home-WA1OFR
...

The names have to be unique.

good luck and 73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

215. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 21:52:21 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 211 Message: 215

As Dave K6LL pointed out, the granularity of the location need be no smaller than a grid square or county. I have used AD1C from Lincoln, MA (K1VR) and Tewksbury, MA (KC1XX) as well as my home station in Chelmsford, MA. These are all in same county and grid square. Thus, I only need to use one location.

73 - Jim AD1C

=====
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

216. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 22:02:22 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 211 Message: 216
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Just type it in the way you want to get it, Bob: K1VU Home, K1VU Camp, etc. It will work nicely.

Couldn't find any good information about it in the help files when I did this, but maybe the info has been updated now. If it's not then it should be asap.

I ended up inputting "K5WW Home" and "KB2RRV Home"; works just fine.
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 4:25 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Location problems with multiple Callsigns

Eventually I wanted to have:
K1VU - Home
K1VU - Camp
K1VU - Rover
WA1OFR - Home
WA1OFR - Camp
WA1OFR - Rover
WN1OFR - Home

Anyone else run into this problem ???

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

217. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 22:08:02 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 217

If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
participate and contribute.

Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass
it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.

If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software architectures.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
wrote:
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 1:51 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW API, or lack thereof
> >
> >
> > Well, Darryl, it didn't take long to discover that this API you
> > posted is nothing but every member of every .h file in LOTW
(other
> > than the one defining error constants and the one providing a
line of
> > documentation for each of the 7 modules).
>
> I didn't say that it was great, but they follow the same format as
> OpenSSL and Sun's Java API. Errors are reported by calling a
> function upon an error return.
>
> > There is no abstraction whatsoever is this collection. There is
no
> > way for logging application developers to separate stable
> > architectural interfaces from interfaces intended solely for use
> > within the implementation, which one would expect to change
> > frequently over the coming releases. I very much doubt that LOTW
> > project management has committed to maintain upward compatibility
for

> > every one of those declarations, as would be the case if this
were
> > truly LOTW's API.
>
> This is where you are totally wrong. You don't see any separation
of
> public interface from internal because they don't expose the
internal.
> All of those functions are the public API. In fact some of the API
> code is written in C++ there is no C++ methods in the public API. I
> believe
> that each of the functions in the API docs was exposed as part of
the
> public API. I have checked everyone of them and no one on the
project
> told me that so I don't know for sure.
>
> > Lobbing source code over the wall is not an API, and you know it.
Or
> > at least, you should know it.
>
> I know what I have seen is enough for me to use the API and that
Sun
> JAVA API docs looks very much like I have seen here. This package
has
> another feature that I would have killed for on many of the APIs I
have
> worked with: A working example program.
>
> I can understand that you may feel more documentation needs to be
done.
> There is a easy solution. This is an open source project and all
> contributors
> are welcome. So I invite you to bring up the docs to an acceptable
> standard.
> If you don't want to do that or you don't have time, then I guess
you
> will
> have to wait until someone else who feels like you do takes the
time to
> bring it up to your standard. You may have a log wait.
>
> You might also say that doing the docs is my job or the job of
ARRL.
>
> My response is that ARRL have provided a working set of docs and the
> source code. As far as myself while it is true I started TrustedQSL
> I wasn't able to stay active with the project for personal reseasons
> and I am not (at least yet a logbook developer). My interest in
> LoTW/TrustedQSL

> was to make sure it's design was something that the world could live
> with.
> It is, so I no longer have a vested interest TrustedQSL or LoTW
except
> as
> a user. You and other logbook developers on the other hand do have a
> vested
> interest in the TrustedQSL API.
>
> I guess what I am trying to say is if you don't like they way
things are
> change them or shut up.
>
> 73
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

218. [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-09 23:34:07 UTC
From: Wayne Wright, W5XD
Topic: 129 Message: 218

>If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
>document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
>participate and contribute.
>
>Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass
>it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.
>
>If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
>reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
>abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software architectures.
>
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ

Hello Dave,
Its hard to tell from the tone of your postings whether you really
want to crack the API or complain about it. I agree that the "API"
such as it is, requires some investment on the part of the programmer
to make sense out of, and that similar API's published by Microsoft
and other big vendors are better documented and take less effort to
understand.

But I am willing to understand that the vendor in this case is not
Microsoft and I have a choice: I can ignore it and work on something
else, or I can do what it takes to make it work.

My technique for such projects--you can take it or leave it--is to
read what I can for a while and then put it away for a day or two
when I get frustrated.

By the way, I have taught software architecture to dozens of
professional programmers over the last 5 years, and used it
for 20 more. I probably wouldn't have designed the TrustedQSL
API the way it is, but its not beyond my capacity to learn it,
and I am quite sure than any of my trainees could pick it up
in a few days.

Wayne, W5XD

219. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 00:18:31 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 219

I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that the current API is cast is stone. By that I mean that new things can be added but it must be true that anything that works now will continue to do so without change. Without this promise it is really hard to decide if it is worthwhile to spend your item with it.
An abstract API definition that does not use a simple toss the source over the wall is much more likely to be believed as a true promise of what the code does and will do.

It is unbelievable how much effort went in to IBM System 38 and AS400 APIs to fulfill this promise. TI is hard , it may lead to something that is supported that on hindsight could have been done better, BUT, it lease to confidence of users and happiness of users and that is one of the most important things a piece of software can do.

Now you can argue that yes, IBM did it but they are a huge entity and can do it. That is not valid as the complexity of OS400 is many millions of times greater and therefore the percentage of effort expended was just as great as LOTW would be.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Wayne Wright, W5XD [mailto:w5xd@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 6:34 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> >If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> >document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> >participate and contribute.
> >
> >Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass
> >it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.
> >
> >If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
> >reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
> >abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software architectures.
> >
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ

>
> Hello Dave,
> Its hard to tell from the tone of your postings whether you really
> want to crack the API or complain about it. I agree that the "API"
> such as it is, requires some investment on the part of the programmer
> to make sense out of, and that similar API's published by Microsoft
> and other big vendors are better documented and take less effort to
> understand.
>
> But I am willing to understand that the vendor in this case is not
> Microsoft and I have a choice: I can ignore it and work on something
> else, or I can do what it takes to make it work.
>
> My technique for such projects--you can take it or leave it--is to
> read what I can for a while and then put it away for a day or two
> when I get frustrated.
>
> By the way, I have taught software architecture to dozens of
> professional programmers over the last 5 years, and used it
> for 20 more. I probably wouldn't have designed the TrustedQSL
> API the way it is, but its not beyond my capacity to learn it,
> and I am quite sure than any of my trainees could pick it up
> in a few days.
>
> Wayne, W5XD
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

220. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 00:44:36 UTC
From: Wayne Wright, W5XD
Topic: 129 Message: 220

>I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that the current
>API is cast is stone.
>
Stuff deleted....
>
>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Hm... Have you actually looked at the API and the deployment mechanism
for the TrustedQSL dll's, Gil?

If you want to use that as a reason not to add LOTW support in your
product, then that's your choice. Nobody loses but you if you
happen to be mistaken.

Wayne, W5XD

221. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 00:57:03 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 221

Greetings,

Dave and the group,

I have address all of your points here most of which were logical fallacies one sort or another. For those have stuck with this thread as Dave promotes some agenda to discredit ARRL's LoTW and Trusted API I will do a short executive summary:

1. There is an API with source code.
2. There is docs for the API (over 300k of docs)
3. There is a product example using this API which has created over 11 million TrustedQSL records.
4. TrustedQSL is an Open Source project. If Dave or anyone else is unhappy with the docs or code they are welcome to help improve it or even make a better product provided they follow
the GNU Lesser General Public License.

Dave has been throwing rocks at this project since it began. Most of it around what he calls a lack of documentation. In the last few days he first claimed there was no docs. I found them and then he claims that it isn't enough. I suggested that this is an open source project we would welcome his help to improve them. He blow it off by saying "Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time"

> If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> participate and contribute.

Please tell us why you think the team that created TrustedQSL and LoTW wasn't sincere and committed. The engineering is done. It works. It is in production. There is no question about it. If you don't think so, then you are clueless about what an API is. Unless you can point out some design flaws I don't see any reason to change the code.

There is 300+k worth of docs for it. Yes they can be improved but it is an API.

Just for the record what was DXKeeper written in?

> Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass
> it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.

Somehow I knew you would say that. You say "to pass it off as an LoTW
API"
please give details why you claim that tqsllib isn't an API.

> If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
> reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
> abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software architectures.

I see if I don't agree with you then I don't know what I am talking
about. This is really totally unconnected to the topic. Unless you
are now claiming that the code is flawed. If so please provide facts
so that corrective action can be taken. Please give details
how the API could be abstracted, modularized and encapsulated more
(all of these things have been done in the API) which will result in
an improvement of robustness and usability.

You should check out Extreme Programming(XP) explained. For small
projects
XP is a much better model than spending half or more of the time writing
documentation which always has to be changed after the product is done,
because
of things that wasn't thought about during the design.

The case that Dave is trying to make is if you can dazzle them with
brilliants
then baffle them with Bull*@#@

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

222. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 01:30:34 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 222

Gil and the group,

Stone isn't always good. How much pain did it cause because
the 640k memory model was cast in stone. How much would the
world have saved in manpower and money if Microsoft had tossed
DOS out when the 386 hit the market. Programmers like
things cast in stone because it makes it easy for them.
Things change, we find better ways to do things. Do you
really want to tie the hands of the LoTW/TrustedQSL developers?
Having said that, I don't expect that the API is going to change
that much and if it does there will be backward support for
TrustedQSLs. That is because each QSO record has a version
number on it. This allows changes to be made and still
have it backwards compatible.

Keep in mind that TrustedQSL was built on top of OpenSSL
which has been fairly stable for years. If you invest your
time in support of TrustedQSL it won't be a waste. I don't
think anyone can promise you, that you won't have to change your code
to support new versions of the API, but I will bet in the
last two years some of your compiler/lib/windows API has changed.

If we are talking about big company, take Sun for example
created Java and if you look at their API docs
(which TrustedQSL looks very much like) you will see
methods and classes that are labeled deprecated. Things change
or die.

Casted in stone is a good goal, but IBM isn't the company
it could have been if there wasn't so many rocks holding
it down.

73

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 8:19 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that
> the current API is cast is stone. By that I mean that new
> things can be added but it must be true that anything that
> works now will continue to do so without change. Without this
> promise it is really hard to decide if it is worthwhile to

> spend your item with it. An abstract API definition that does
> not use a simple toss the source over the wall is much more
> likely to be believed as a true promise of what the code does
> and will do.
>
> It is unbelievable how much effort went in to IBM System 38
> and AS400 APIs to fulfill this promise. TI is hard , it may
> lead to something that is supported that on hindsight could
> have been done better, BUT, it lease to confidence of users
> and happiness of users and that is one of the most important
> things a piece of software can do.
>
> Now you can argue that yes, IBM did it but they are a huge
> entity and can do it. That is not valid as the complexity of
> OS400 is many millions of times greater and therefore the
> percentage of effort expended was just as great as LOTW would be.

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

223. Test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 02:45:33 UTC
From: rradioman2002
Topic: 223 Message: 223

Test message.
New to the list, not sure that it is working here.

Vince

224. It must be working

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 03:30:30 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 224 Message: 224

Just checked and my current QSL count is at 742, or about 3% of my QSO's uploaded. Encouraging is that the most recent batch is showing a good number of DX returns, from places like PY, GW, OZ, V26, A22, VK, and others.

73, de Hans, K0HB

225. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 05:13:15 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 225

Wayne, it is certainly possible to use TrustedQSL "as is" to enable interoperation between LOTW and other applications. In my view, however, allowing the current set of module interfaces to become, defacto, the LOTW API will significantly limit LOTW's longterm prospects. Here are my reasons:

1. Because these interfaces fail to encapsulate the low-level implemenation details, LOTW developers will either be forced to forgo or limit subsequent, necessary changes to that implementation (in order to avoid "breaking" client applications that are dependent on these interfaces) or by making low level changes will force client applications to synchronize release schedules with LOTW to keep up with the incompatible interface changes. One of an API's fundamental objectives is to permit ncessary changes to the implementation while protecting the client applications from obsolescence; the current set of interfaces badly fails to achieve this objective.

2. No amount of documentation will ensure that client applications properly utilize the current low-level interfaces. This isn't a criticism of those writing the documentation. PKI and its application within TrustedQSL are complex; unambiguously specifying all of the constraints and dependencies in any natural language will be fraught with ambiguity and omission. The solution to problems like this is well-known: encapsulate the knowledge of experts within modules that have been verified to work correctly. In effect, hide the complexity from client applications whose authors, despite best efforts, will otherwise get it wrong. This requires a concise API whose members prevent the infrastructure from being utilized incorrectly. Again, the current set of interfaces badly fails to achieve the objective.

This thread began with Darryl's assertion that the user-visible complexity of LOTW's security infrastructure was inescapable. I disagreed, stating that this complexity could -- and should -- be automated into invisibility. Darryl then took a new position: "Sure it can. That is the job of logbook authors. ARRL has provided the API for which this can be done."

I've been suggesting for more than a year that LOTW create a high-level abstract API that encapsulates its functionality, thereby enabling an ecosystem of LOTW clients -- logging applications, awards programs, propagation analysis tools, etc. Instead of the LOTW team bearing all the load, many other developers would be able to add value around LOTW. The LOTW project personnel with whom I've discussed it seem to agree, but proceeded through alpha, beta, and production releases without including this capability. I'm sure they had their reasons; I just hope that when they finally get around to

it, the inevitable obstacles aren't so entrenched that they're
insurmountable.

Perhaps this will help you understand my reaction to Darryl's claim
that an appropriate API has been there all along, and why I believe
that declaring the current set of interfaces to be LOTW's API to an
exceptionally bad idea.

My only frustration in this matter is that LOTW's unique opportunity
to create a true "logbook of the world" is being placed at increasing
risk by the continuing absence of an appropriate API.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Wayne Wright, W5XD" <w5xd@s...>
wrote:
> >If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> >document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> >participate and contribute.
> >
> >Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to
pass
> >it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.
> >
> >If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
> >reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
> >abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software
architectures.
> >
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
>
> Hello Dave,
> Its hard to tell from the tone of your postings whether you really
> want to crack the API or complain about it. I agree that the "API"
> such as it is, requires some investment on the part of the
programmer
> to make sense out of, and that similar API's published by Microsoft
> and other big vendors are better documented and take less effort to
> understand.
>
> But I am willing to understand that the vendor in this case is not
> Microsoft and I have a choice: I can ignore it and work on something
> else, or I can do what it takes to make it work.
>
> My technique for such projects--you can take it or leave it--is to
> read what I can for a while and then put it away for a day or two

> when I get frustrated.
>
> By the way, I have taught software architecture to dozens of
> professional programmers over the last 5 years, and used it
> for 20 more. I probably wouldn't have designed the TrustedQSL
> API the way it is, but its not beyond my capacity to learn it,
> and I am quite sure than any of my trainees could pick it up
> in a few days.
>
> Wayne, W5XD

226. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 06:44:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 226

Darryl, if I weren't committed to making LOTW successful, I wouldn't be wasting my time with suggestions to improve it over these past months, nor would I be striving to provide first-class LOTW support in DXLab. Writing off engineering criticism as opposition may be convenient, but its dead wrong. An engineer's responsibility is to actively solicit and consider critique, not deflect it.

I don't know why its relevant, but to answer your question DXKeeper is written in Visual Basic 6.0 Professional, SP4. For those not familiar with it, DXKeeper is a full-function logging application built on a powerful database engine (Microsoft Jet), with support for QSL card/label generation, award tracking, and a real-time interface to eQSL.cc. DXKeeper is one of eight free applications that comprise the DXLab Suite; each of these applications can be run individually, but when run simulaneously they detect each other's presence and interoperate automatically. Other applications in the DXLab Suite provide transceiver control (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, TenTec, Elecraft, FlexRadio), rotator control, beam heading display, solar terminator computation and display, soundcard PSK31/PSK63/RTTY, realtime DX spot collection and analysis, propagation prediction and monitoring, and QSL route discovery. If you've used Pathfinder to find a QSL route, then you've used a part of DXLab.

DXKeeper provided support for LOTW within two days of LOTW's release to beta test; this support will be expanded as LOTW makes it practical to do. At this moment, I'm trying to ascertain LOTW's ADIF requirements for satellite QSOs so that DXKeeper can provide the appropriate automation [anybody know where this is documented?]. Besides a well-encapsulated, high-level interface to tqsllib, I've also requested a means by which logging applications can determine which uploaded QSOs have been accepted by LOTW, and which QSOs accepted by LOTW have been matched and should thus be flagged as "verified". I have on several occasions offered to help provide these mechanisms.

As to why I believe that declaring the tqsllib's interfaces to be LOTW's API would be an exceptionally bad idea, I will summarize the points made in my response to Wayne W5XD, posted earlier on this reflector:

1. These interfaces fail to protect their clients (e.g. logging applications) from the inevitable low-level implementation changes required by LOTW's evolution; either LOTW developers will be prevented from making such changes -- causing LOTW to stagnate -- or developers of LOTW clients will be driven off by the continued obsolescence of their applications by non-upwardly-compatible

interface changes.

2. These interfaces unnecessarily require the developers of LOTW clients to become highly competent in the use of PKI and other low-level security infrastructure details. The issue is not whether such developers are capable of attaining this competence, but rather the defects introduced as they traverse the learning curve and the time that could have been spent adding new capability rather than spooling up on these details. A related point: the low-level nature of tqsllib's interfaces demand a level of documentation that is highly expensive, if not impossible to attain given the limits of precision in natural language.

At no point in any post did I say that "the team that created TrustedQSL and LoTW wasn't sincere and committed", nor do I believe this to be the case. I'd appreciate it if you'd cease these attempts at provocation.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...> wrote:
> Greetings,
>
> Dave and the group,
>
> I have address all of your points here most of which were logical
> fallacies one sort or another. For those have stuck with this
> thread as Dave promotes some agenda to discredit ARRL's LoTW and
> Trusted API I will do a short executive summary:
>
> 1. There is an API with source code.
> 2. There is docs for the API (over 300k of docs)
> 3. There is a product example using this API which has
> created over 11 million TrustedQSL records.
> 4. TrustedQSL is an Open Source project. If Dave or
> anyone else is unhappy with the docs or code they are welcome
> to help improve it or even make a better product provided they
> follow
> the GNU Lesser General Public License.
>
> Dave has been throwing rocks at this project since it began. Most
> of it around what he calls a lack of documentation. In the last
> few days he first claimed there was no docs. I found them
> and then he claims that it isn't enough. I suggested that this
> is an open source project we would welcome his help to improve
> them. He blow it off by saying "Improving the documentation
> of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass it off as an LOTW
> API would be a complete waste of time"

>
> > If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> > document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> > participate and contribute.
>
> Please tell us why you think the team that created TrustedQSL and
> LoTW wasn't sincere and committed. The engineering is done.
> It works. It is in production. There is no question about it.
> If you don't think so, then you are clueless about what an API is.
> Unless you can point out some design flaws I don't see any reason
> to change the code.
>
> There is 300+k worth of docs for it. Yes they can be improved
> but it is an API.
>
> Just for the record what was DXKeeper written in?
>
> > Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to
pass
> > it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No
thanks.
>
> Somehow I knew you would say that. You say "to pass it off as an
LoTW
> API"
> please give details why you claim that tqsllib isn't an API.
>
> > If you really believe that the material you posted constitutes a
> > reasonable API, then I suggest some reading on the topics of
> > abstraction, modularity, and encapsulation in software
architectures.
>
> I see if I don't agree with you then I don't know what I am talking
> about. This is really totally unconnected to the topic. Unless you
> are now claiming that the code is flawed. If so please provide
facts
> so that corrective action can be taken. Please give details
> how the API could be abstracted, modularized and encapsulated more
> (all of these things have been done in the API) which will result
in
> an improvement of robustness and usability.
>
> You should check out Extreme Programming(XP) explained. For small
> projects
> XP is a much better model than spending half or more of the time
writing
> documentation which always has to be changed after the product is
done,
> because
> of things that wasn't thought about during the design.

>
> The case that Dave is trying to make is if you can dazzle them with
> brilliants
> then baffle them with Bull*@#@
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-
1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org

227. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 06:59:03 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 227

On Fri, Oct 10, 2003 at 06:44:20AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> As to why I believe that declaring the tqsllib's interfaces to be
> LOTW's API would be an exceptionally bad idea, I will summarize the
> points made in my response to Wayne W5XD, posted earlier on this
> reflector:
>
> 1. These interfaces fail to protect their clients (e.g. logging
> applications) from the inevitable low-level implementation changes
> required by LOTW's evolution; either LOTW developers will be
> prevented from making such changes -- causing LOTW to stagnate -- or
> developers of LOTW clients will be driven off by the continued
> obsolescence of their applications by non-upwardly-compatible
> interface changes.

I don't see this is as big an issue as you do. If particular I think
that you will be distributing a specific version of tqsllib with your
application and that's the version your application will work with.
A newer tqsllib may change its API but you are not forced to upgrade.

In that scenario it's more important that the interface between tqsllib
and the ARRL LOTW site doesn't change, than tqsllib's API. It might
(should?) be possible for someone else to implement the same interface
to the web site (ie product files compatible with tqsllib) without using
any tqsllib code.

Now if tqsllib's API did change and you needed a newer version, then you
would need to adapt to the new API. I don't think that's too
unreasonable.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

228. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 07:03:08 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 228

On Thu, Oct 09, 2003 at 07:18:31PM -0500, rojomn wrote:
> I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that the current API
> is cast is stone. By that I mean that new things can be added but it must be
> true that anything that works now will continue to do so without change.

I think that's an unreasonable requirement. Sometimes a good
implementation of new features means existing interfaces need to change.

But I'd expect that an application will release with a specific version
of tqsllib and that the library won't be upgraded by the users directly.
So an API change only affects the developer, who isn't being forced to
upgrade either.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

229. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 07:11:16 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 229

Re: "A newer tqsllib may change its API but you are not forced to upgrade.". This newer tqsllib is correcting defects and/or adding features. Users will demand access to these improvements in tqsllib, effectively forcing the client application to upgrade.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Fri, Oct 10, 2003 at 06:44:20AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > As to why I believe that declaring the tqsllib's interfaces to be
> > LOTW's API would be an exceptionally bad idea, I will summarize
the
> > points made in my response to Wayne W5XD, posted earlier on this
> > reflector:
> >
> > 1. These interfaces fail to protect their clients (e.g. logging
> > applications) from the inevitable low-level implementation
changes
> > required by LOTW's evolution; either LOTW developers will be
> > prevented from making such changes -- causing LOTW to stagnate --
or
> > developers of LOTW clients will be driven off by the continued
> > obsolescence of their applications by non-upwardly-compatible
> > interface changes.
>
> I don't see this is as big an issue as you do. If particular I think
> that you will be distributing a specific version of tqsllib with
your
> application and that's the version your application will work with.
> A newer tqsllib may change its API but you are not forced to
upgrade.
>
> In that scenario it's more important that the interface between
tqsllib
> and the ARRL LOTW site doesn't change, than tqsllib's API. It might
> (should?) be possible for someone else to implement the same
interface
> to the web site (ie product files compatible with tqsllib) without
using
> any tqsllib code.
>
> Now if tqsllib's API did change and you needed a newer version,
then you
> would need to adapt to the new API. I don't think that's too

> unreasonable.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

230. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 07:23:28 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 230

"Cast in stone" is not a good analogy.

The standard for APIs is "upwardly compatible". New capabilities can be introduced so long as they don't obsolesce current capabilities. Yes, API members are occasionally deprecated, but this is infrequent, and generally accomplished over long periods of time. If deprecation is occuring with any frequency, the API design is likely flawed.

The problem with low-level interfaces is that they invariably generate the need for non-upwardly compatible changes -- or are unreasonably constrained by any requirement to be upwardly compatible. I've yet to encounter a domain sufficiently static for this not to hold true.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Thu, Oct 09, 2003 at 07:18:31PM -0500, rojomn wrote:
> > I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that the current API
> > is cast is stone. By that I mean that new things can be added but it must be
> > true that anything that works now will continue to do so without change.
>
> I think that's an unreasonable requirement. Sometimes a good
> implementation of new features means existing interfaces need to change.
>
> But I'd expect that an application will release with a specific version
> of tqsllib and that the library won't be upgraded by the users directly.
> So an API change only affects the developer, who isn't being forced to
> upgrade either.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

231. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 12:01:54 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 231

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 2:23 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> "Cast in stone" is not a good analogy.
>

I agree, that is why I stated that old things must continue to work. I
should have used the upward compatible terminology, mea culpa.
As you point out, nothing is cast in stone as that would not allow
enhancements, not a good thing :-)

> The standard for APIs is "upwardly compatible". New capabilities can
> be introduced so long as they don't obsolesce current capabilities.
> Yes, API members are occasionally deprecated, but this is infrequent,
> and generally accomplished over long periods of time. If deprecation
> is occuring with any frequency, the API design is likely flawed.
>
> The problem with low-level interfaces is that they invariably
> generate the need for non-upwardly compatible changes -- or are
> unreasonably constrained by any requirement to be upwardly
> compatible. I've yet to encounter a domain sufficiently static for
> this not to hold true.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> > On Thu, Oct 09, 2003 at 07:18:31PM -0500, rojomn wrote:
> > > I think part of the problem is that there is no promise that the
> current API
> > > is cast is stone. By that I mean that new things can be added but
> it must be
> > > true that anything that works now will continue to do so without

> change.
> >
> > I think that's an unreasonable requirement. Sometimes a good
> > implementation of new features means existing interfaces need to
> change.
> >
> > But I'd expect that an application will release with a specific
> version
> > of tqsllib and that the library won't be upgraded by the users
> directly.
> > So an API change only affects the developer, who isn't being forced
> to
> > upgrade either.
> >
> >
> > Hamish
> > --
> > Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

232. Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 12:17:03 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 232 Message: 232

Just to be clear about this:

If I backup only my AD1C.tq5 and AD1C.tq6 files, am I ok in the case of a crash/loss?

Is AD1C.tq5 the private key and AD1C.tq6 the public key, or vice versa?

Thanks & 73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

233. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 13:21:19 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 232 Message: 233

No. That's not enough.

You need to also backup the .P12 files created in TQSLCert with the "Certificate->Save".

Jim Reisert AD1C wrote:
> Just to be clear about this:
>
> If I backup only my AD1C.tq5 and AD1C.tq6 files, am I ok in the case of a
> crash/loss?
>
> Is AD1C.tq5 the private key and AD1C.tq6 the public key, or vice versa?
>
> Thanks & 73 - Jim AD1C
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

234. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 14:03:28 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 234

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 2:44 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> Writing off engineering criticism as opposition may be
> convenient, but its dead wrong. An engineer's responsibility is to
> actively solicit and consider critique, not deflect it.

I am all in favor of engineering criticism, but most of what I
have seen from you is engineering bashing and false claims. I
don't think I have to go over all your false claims.

> I don't know why its relevant, but to answer your question DXKeeper
> is written in Visual Basic 6.0 Professional, SP4.

I thought so. I ask because every VB programmer that I have known
(an I have known a lot of them) either didn't know any other languages
or when they did learn others never when back to VB. VB is normally
the first language people learn. Shops that I have been in either
doesn't allow VB or it is done by junior people.

I am not saying that VB is a bad language. I have seen some fine
apps done in VB. It does give me any idea of where you are coming
from. I can see where you are use to seeing VB objects using a
lots of discrete C function calls would seem too low level. I can
also see where having objects would make things better. No question
about it. However the goal of the API was to support C functions and
other OS. VB can call always C DLLs, C can not however always call
VB DLLs.

> DXKeeper provided support for LOTW within two days of LOTW's release
> to beta test;

Wow! I am impressed! You did this all without knowing about the docs
for TrustedQSL? And you are worried about the API which only took you
two days to add to your program will change a little?

> As to why I believe that declaring the tqsllib's interfaces to be
> LOTW's API would be an exceptionally bad idea, I will summarize the
> points made in my response to Wayne W5XD, posted earlier on this
> reflector:
>
> 1. These interfaces fail to protect their clients (e.g. logging
> applications) from the inevitable low-level implementation changes

> required by LOTW's evolution; either LOTW developers will be
> prevented from making such changes -- causing LOTW to stagnate -- or
> developers of LOTW clients will be driven off by the continued
> obsolescence of their applications by non-upwardly-compatible
> interface changes.

Logical Fallacies: Give 2 options when there is really three or
more.

You have shown no proof that current API won't be upward compatible.
The third option is that developers will make the changes required
to support new features. Which you imply will be massive changes.
Again you have no proof and the fact that TrustedQSL is built on
a stable standard (OpenSSL) would strongly suggest any changes
will be minor in nature.

> 2. These interfaces unnecessarily require the developers of LOTW
> clients to become highly competent in the use of PKI and
> other low- level security infrastructure details. The issue
> is not whether such
> developers are capable of attaining this competence, but rather the
> defects introduced as they traverse the learning curve and the time
> that could have been spent adding new capability rather than spooling
> up on these details. A related point: the low-level nature of
> tqsllib's interfaces demand a level of documentation that is highly
> expensive, if not impossible to attain given the limits of precision
> in natural language.

tqsllib has both high and low level functions. In an open source would
it is better to expose the low level functions and declare them as
part of the API interface than to try to hide them and then have someone
bypass the API. Then you do have something that will break on upgrade.

And yes the application developer must understand some level of
how an PKI works. PKI are complex, but they are not hard to
understand. Just like if you are setting up a firewall. You
don't need to understand how it works, but you do need to understand
what it does. I claim that if you understand what TrustedQSL API
does, then you can use it. If you don't then you risk breaking
security policy.

> At no point in any post did I say that "the team that created
> TrustedQSL and LoTW wasn't sincere and committed", nor do I believe
> this to be the case. I'd appreciate it if you'd cease these attempts
> at provocation.

Oh really: Here is your email of:

> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2003 6:08 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

>
>
> If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> participate and contribute.
>
> Improving the documentation of LOTW's source code in attempt to pass
> it off as an LOTW API would be a complete waste of time. No thanks.

So you are either not honest about participating and contributing or
you believe that the team is not sincere and committed. This is a
case where there is only two options.

You are either part of the problem or part of the solution.
That is the great thing about open source projects. If you
think you can make it better go for it! If the group agree
that your API is better then it will become the standard.
If part of the group thinks your API is better then it
will fork the project and there will be 2 options for an API.
May not be a bad thing.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

235. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 14:06:35 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 232 Message: 235

I think the answer is no. I don't know where these keys are found and even the manager at ARRL that I talked to on the phone did not know. This is not acceptable. It is necessary that we be told EXACTLY what and form EXACTLY where these things need to be saved.

This is true however from what I have been told, IF you do a save of your certificate after loading it as a ,p12 file and put it in as safe place like a floppy you are protected from a crash as it save everything. This can be show to be true since you can simply install the LOTW on another machine and load this .p12 file and you are set to go.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jim Reisert AD1C [mailto:jjreisert@...]
> Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 7:17 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Private vs. public key and backup
>
>
> Just to be clear about this:
>
> If I backup only my AD1C.tq5 and AD1C.tq6 files, am I ok in the case of a
> crash/loss?
>
> Is AD1C.tq5 the private key and AD1C.tq6 the public key, or vice versa?
>
> Thanks & 73 - Jim AD1C
>
> --
> Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
> USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
> PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

>

236. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 14:19:22 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 236

On Fri, Oct 10, 2003 at 07:23:28AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> The standard for APIs is "upwardly compatible". New capabilities can
> be introduced so long as they don't obsolesce current capabilities.
> Yes, API members are occasionally deprecated, but this is infrequent,
> and generally accomplished over long periods of time. If deprecation
> is occuring with any frequency, the API design is likely flawed.

Or the users' requirements are changing. That may mean that they weren't understood properly in the first place or that there are simply new features required, new ideas to implement etc.

I think it's unreasonable to require the API never to change in an incompatible fashion. Actually I feel you are being unnecessarily difficult about the current API. The API affects at most a few dozen developers; it's not essential for it to be cast in stone right now IMHO. Just get LOTW out to the users and let's enjoy it and see it evolve.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

237. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 07:27:24 UTC
From: Dave Hachadorian
Topic: 129 Message: 237

In this morning's e-mail I received:

12 pieces of spam
11 messages on lotw-api, or lack thereof
16 other messages

16 useful messages out of 39, a pretty good day.

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

238. Re: Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 14:47:49 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 232 Message: 238

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Jim Reisert AD1C <jjreisert@a...>
wrote:
> Just to be clear about this:
>
> If I backup only my AD1C.tq5 and AD1C.tq6 files, am I ok in the
case of a
> crash/loss?
>
> Is AD1C.tq5 the private key and AD1C.tq6 the public key, or vice
versa?
>
> Thanks & 73 - Jim AD1C

Hi Jim,

No you wouldn't be okay.

A .tq5 file is a certificate request
A .tq6 file is an ARRL/LoTW signed certificate

Both files do contain your public key but neither contains your
private key.

tQSLCert stores your private key is a different location.

The preferred backup method is to use tQSLCert to "Save" your
certificate(s) as PKCS#12 (.p12) file(s). One certificate per .p12
file. Then back-up the .p12 files.

A .p12 file will contain your signed certificate, your public key and
your private key.

If you password protect the archival .p12 file(s) you should have a
method to securely archive the password(s) as well.

73,
Mike K1MK

239. Test message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 15:59:37 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 239 Message: 239

Test message, have not been able to get this list to work here.

Vince

240. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 16:16:01 UTC
From: John Wilcox / NS1Z
Topic: 129 Message: 240

When your interests expand and you join other reflectors the amount of useless messages will increase significantly... Like this one and the one the prompted it

8-0

-----Original Message-----

In this morning's e-mail I received:

12 pieces of spam
11 messages on lotw-api, or lack thereof
16 other messages

16 useful messages out of 39, a pretty good day.

241. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 16:23:06 UTC
From: Ron D. Rossi
Topic: 232 Message: 241

Would it be unreasonable for the program to have an option to backup the appropriate files for us? I think this is a good example of the program requiring something of the user which is easily cloaked. -- 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@...) <>< Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics QTH: Jericho, Vermont My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Jim Reisert AD1C >wrote: >> Just to be clear about this: >> >> If I backup only my AD1C.tq5 and AD1C.tq6 files, am I ok in the >case of a >> crash/loss? >> >> Is AD1C.tq5 the private key and AD1C.tq6 the public key, or vice >versa? >> >> Thanks & 73 - Jim AD1C > >Hi Jim, > >No you wouldn't be okay. > >A .tq5 file is a certificate request >A .tq6 file is an ARRL/LoTW signed certificate > >Both files do contain your public key but neither contains your >private key. > >tQSLCert stores your private key is a different location. > >The preferred backup method is to use tQSLCert to "Save" your >certificate(s) as PKCS#12 (.p12) file(s). One certificate per .p12 >file. Then back-up the .p12 files. > >A .p12 file will contain your signed certificate, your public key and >your private key. > >If you password protect the archival .p12 file(s) you should have a >method to securely archive the password(s) as well. > >73, >Mike K1MK > > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > >

242. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 16:53:16 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 232 Message: 242

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ron D. Rossi [mailto:kk1l@...]
> Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 12:23 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Private vs. public key and backup
>
>
>
> Would it be unreasonable for the program to have an option to
> backup the appropriate files for us? I think this is a
> good example of the program requiring something of the
> user which is easily cloaked.

Yes, I think this should be a feature to at least prompt users
to backup their all their cert data when it changes.
I don't think it is a good idea for the program to try to guess
where to back it up to. I would like my logbook program to prompt
me to backup all the data. That would be a nice feature that
I haven't seen on many logbook programs.

Some like a config option to backup to a CD only a week if any
QSO and/or cert changes has occurred. That would be really nice.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

243. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 18:48:12 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 223 Message: 243

5X9 HR
73 Bob, K1VU

At 22:45 10/9/2003 , you wrote:
>Test message.
>New to the list, not sure that it is working here.
>
>Vince
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

244. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Test message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 18:48:46 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 239 Message: 244

5X9 HR, Also
73 Bob, K1VU

At 11:59 10/10/2003 , you wrote:
>Test message, have not been able to get this list to work here.
>
>Vince
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

245. Re: Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 19:34:28 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 232 Message: 245

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ron D. Rossi" <kk1l@a...> wrote:
>
> Would it be unreasonable for the program to have an option to
> backup the appropriate files for us?

It would not be unreasonable to add an option such that whenever a .tq6 file was Loaded, tQSLCert would then pop-up a dialog box containing a reminder that the new cerificate and key pair should be backed-up and presenting the user with Save Now? Yes/No button pair.

Given the diversity of backup destinations and non-file system backup devices, I'm not sure how much further the program could do in actually performing the backup without user intervention.

> I think this is a good example of the program requiring something
> of the user which is easily cloaked.

Back-up isn't required, it's just a >>really<< good idea ;-)

The how and why of backing-up a new certificate are clearly spelled out in Step 4 of the "Getting Stated" instructions. But as an optional step it's something too easily ignored or forgotten so a programatical reminder becomes approriate.

73,
Mike K1MK

246. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Private vs. public key and backup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 20:33:59 UTC
From: Ron D. Rossi
Topic: 232 Message: 246

Oh I would not expect the program to chose where to backup, just WHAT to backup. That is the confusing part. Choosing "backup KK1L certificates" should take care of p12, tq6, or whatever the heck else may be out there. -- 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@...) <>< Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics QTH: Jericho, Vermont My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ron D. Rossi" wrote: >> >> Would it be unreasonable for the program to have an option to >> backup the appropriate files for us? > >It would not be unreasonable to add an option such that whenever >a .tq6 file was Loaded, tQSLCert would then pop-up a dialog box >containing a reminder that the new cerificate and key pair should be >backed-up and presenting the user with Save Now? Yes/No button >pair. > >Given the diversity of backup destinations and non-file system backup >devices, I'm not sure how much further the program could do in >actually performing the backup without user intervention. > >> I think this is a good example of the program requiring something >> of the user which is easily cloaked. > >Back-up isn't required, it's just a >>really<< good idea ;-) > >The how and why of backing-up a new certificate are clearly spelled >out in Step 4 of the "Getting Stated" instructions. But as an >optional step it's something too easily ignored or forgotten so a >programatical reminder becomes approriate. > >73, >Mike K1MK > > > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > >

247. PostCard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 20:44:05 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 247 Message: 247

Downloaded my request and eagerly awaiting the card in the mail. Any ideas on how long processing time has been running? Eager to make my upload attempt.

248. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 20:55:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 247 Message: 248

Once you get the card (depends where you are on delivery time)
getting the certificate in a few hours
uploading files after that is almost instantaneous

At least it was here.

----- Original Message -----
From: "tad" <vohlj0@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 3:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

> Downloaded my request and eagerly awaiting the card in the mail. Any
> ideas on how long processing time has been running? Eager to make my
> upload attempt.
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

249. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 22:04:35 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 247 Message: 249

It only took 3 or 4 days for the post card to get here(East Texas)

Good Luck. You will enjoy it.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 08:44 PM 10/10/2003 +0000, tad wrote:
>Downloaded my request and eagerly awaiting the card in the mail. Any
>ideas on how long processing time has been running? Eager to make my
>upload attempt.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups
>Sponsor<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

250. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-10 23:36:13 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 247 Message: 250

My request was Emailed on September 15, and I received my card on September 25.

I guess the last one hundred or so miles was by horse and carriage ;-)
73,

Gert - K5WW

----- Original Message -----

From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 5:04 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

It only took 3 or 4 days for the post card to get here(East Texas)

Good Luck. You will enjoy it.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 08:44 PM 10/10/2003 +0000, tad wrote:

Downloaded my request and eagerly awaiting the card in the mail. Any
ideas on how long processing time has been running? Eager to make my
upload attempt.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

251. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 05:04:54 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 251

> I don't think I have to go over all your false claims.

You have yet to make the case for one false claim, much less "all".

> I thought so. I ask because every VB programmer that I have known
> (an I have known a lot of them) either didn't know any other
> languages or when they did learn others never when back to VB. VB
> is normally the first language people learn. Shops that I have
> been in either doesn't allow VB or it is done by junior people.

As it turns out, Darryl, I do know a few languages other than VB. In my 32 years in the computer industry, I have developed applications in Ada, Algol, C, C++, Cobol, Fortran, Java, Modula, and PL/1, as well as numerous assemblers; the first 10 years were spent designing commercial minicomputer and microprocessor CPUs, and involved lots of low-level coding. As for being a junior person, I am the founding product development vice president of Rational Software, where over the past 22 years we've helped thousands of companies make the transition to modern software engineering by providing them with process, tools, and services. Our development teams produced the Unified Modeling Language (UML), the Rational Unified Process, more than 40 popular books on software engineering, as well as an industry-leading suite of software engineering tools that together generate more than $650M in annual revenues. Rational was acquired by IBM earlier this year, and now drives IBM's development tools efforts.

I chose to implement DXLab in Visual Basic because it enables one developer to rapidly build and evolve Windows applications. Besides allowing me to give something back to a hobby I enjoy, it enabled me to stay "hands on" with the technology as my leadership responsibilities at Rational grew to the point where working directly on its products was impractical. Your assumptions as to my limitations as a developer are off base.

>> DXKeeper provided support for LOTW within two days of LOTW's
>> release to beta test;

> Wow! I am impressed! You did this all without knowing about the
> docs for TrustedQSL? And you are worried about the API which only
> took you two days to add to your program will change a little?

DXKeeper's current support for LOTW is to assemble an ADIF file that LOTW will likely accept in one pass by automatically converting modes and identifying QSOs that LOTW would reject. It makes no use of the TrustedQSL source code for the reasons I have explained in previous messages.

> You have shown no proof that current API won't be upward compatible.
> The third option is that developers will make the changes required
> to support new features. Which you imply will be massive changes.
> Again you have no proof and the fact that TrustedQSL is built on
> a stable standard (OpenSSL) would strongly suggest any changes
> will be minor in nature.

Darryl, it is not yet possible to construct proofs in the domain of software architecture. We have developed a set of principles -- modularity, abstraction, encapsulation, object-oriented decomposition -- that when appropriately applied enable the creation of robust, resilient architectures. The degree to which a candidate architecture is consistent with these principles is a matter of judgement and experience. During the last 22 years, I have not only reviewed the architecture of every product produced by Rational, I have engaged with many of our customers to review and evolve their architectures -- from modest applications of LOTW's scale to air traffic control systems, mission-critical financial services, and battlefield management systems. In my judgement and experience, using the current tqsl interfaces as LOTW's API would, as I've said before, an exceptionally bad idea.

> tqsllib has both high and low level functions. In an open source
> would it is better to expose the low level functions and declare
> them as part of the API interface than to try to hide them and then
> have someone bypass the API. Then you do have something that will
> break on upgrade.

>>>I do not advocate hiding the current tqsl interfaces. Some developers may well decide that access to low-level interfaces is for their application more important than protection from low-level design changes; that's their tradeoff to make. But that's no excuse for designating the current low-level tqsl interfaces to be LOTW's API.

> And yes the application developer must understand some level of
> how an PKI works. PKI are complex, but they are not hard to
> understand. Just like if you are setting up a firewall. You
> don't need to understand how it works, but you do need to understand
> what it does. I claim that if you understand what TrustedQSL API
> does, then you can use it. If you don't then you risk breaking
> security policy.

>>>If you start with the bias that "...yes, the application developer must understand some level of how an PKI works" you are unlikely to succeed at encapsulating that knowledge. Functionality far more complex and mission-critical than PKI has been successfully encapsulated.

>> At no point in any post did I say that "the team that created
>> TrustedQSL and LoTW wasn't sincere and committed", nor do I
>> believe this to be the case. I'd appreciate it if you'd cease
>> these attempts at provocation.

> Oh really: Here is your email of: <irrelevant material snipped>

>>>> If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
>>>> document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
>>>> participate and contribute.

The above sentence, to which I suspect you are referring, says "if the LOTW team is ready to move forward on building an effective API for LOTW, I will be happy to help". There is no way to interpret it as a slap at the LOTW team.

I think we've taken this interaction about as far as it can go. Your responses increasingly amount to personal attacks rather than technical substance. The tone of your posts speaks for itself. LOTW project management clearly has the benefit of both of our perspectives. Its their call.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

252. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 05:59:34 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 129 Message: 252

On Fri, Oct 10, 2003 at 07:27:24AM +0000, Dave Hachadorian wrote:
> In this morning's e-mail I received:
>
> 12 pieces of spam
> 11 messages on lotw-api, or lack thereof
> 16 other messages
>
> 16 useful messages out of 39, a pretty good day.

That's not a bad ratio, wait till you receive 50-100 spams a day
and join a few more mailing lists.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

253. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 12:14:31 UTC
From: John Wilcox / NS1Z
Topic: 247 Message: 253

Mine took three (3) days...

-----Original Message-----
From: tad [mailto:vohlj0@...]
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2003 8:44 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] PostCard

Downloaded my request and eagerly awaiting the card in the mail. Any ideas on how long processing time has been running? Eager to make my upload attempt.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

254. Logs for multiple calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 14:56:47 UTC
From: James Shryne, N6DHZ
Topic: 254 Message: 254

If I have two calls, one old and one current do I have export my log in two files and sign each of them with their respective certs? Or if I export the entire log, which includes data for both calls and sign it with the current call will that work.

73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
James Shryne
n6dhz@...
www.qsl.net/n6dhz
www.scdxc.org
2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia

255. Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 17:58:11 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 255 Message: 255

Do I have to keep track of which qso's I have already uploaded to LoTW
so that I don't upload them again?

Pat

WW9R

256. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 18:14:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 255 Message: 256

nope exact duplicates will be ignored.
try it, and check your account.
It will say a dupe with extra information with replace.
A exact dupe with be ignored.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Patrick Hoppe" <phoppe@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2003 12:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

> Do I have to keep track of which qso's I have already uploaded to LoTW
> so that I don't upload them again?
>
>
>
> Pat
>
> WW9R
>
>

257. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 18:20:32 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 255 Message: 257

Pat, no you don't. If a dup, LOTW will skip them......
----- Original Message -----
From: Patrick Hoppe
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2003 1:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Do I have to keep track of which qso's I have already uploaded to LoTW so that I don't upload them again?

Pat

WW9R

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

258. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logs for multiple calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 21:00:28 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 254 Message: 258

At 10:56 AM 10/11/03, James Shryne, N6DHZ wrote:

>If I have two calls, one old and one current do I have export my log in two
>files and sign each of them with their respective certs?

Yes.

>Or if I export the entire log, which includes data for both calls and sign
>it with the current call will that work.

No.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

259. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 21:13:48 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 255 Message: 259

Pat,
I would assume so!

Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

----- Original Message -----
From: Patrick Hoppe
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 10/11/03 17:57:52
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Do I have to keep track of which qso?s I have already uploaded to LoTW so that I don?t upload them again?

Pat
WW9R

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

260. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 21:17:01 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 255 Message: 260

At 02:20 PM 10/11/03, Dave K8GVK wrote:
>Pat, no you don't. If a dup, LOTW will skip them......
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:phoppe@...>Patrick Hoppe
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2003 1:58 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries
>
>Do I have to keep track of which qso's I have already uploaded to LoTW so
>that I don't upload them again?
>
>
>
>Pat
>
>WW9R

To be fair, counting on LoTW to "skip" dupes is not good practice; and not because it doesn't work.

Simply uploading duplicates rather than having each user do some minimal level of bookeeping on their own ends up costing LoTW bandwidth to download and more processing time on their server to do the dupe checking. If widely practiced such an approach must be expected to lead to worse service and/or higher fees for everyone.

Cf. Tragedy of the Commons. TANSTAAFL

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

261. Minor bug --- TQSL is over-counting the number of contacts it processes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-11 22:02:06 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 261 Message: 261

LOTW still seems to be dropping some QSO's.

Below is the message I got from TQSL when I built a .tq8 file. Note that it says it wrote 48 records.

```
------------------------------------------------
Signing using CALL=K0HB, DXCC=291
C:\radio\hylog\HYPERLOG.ADI: wrote 48 records to
C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8
C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8 is ready to be emailed or uploaded
------------------------------------------------
```

Then when I emailed the file to the LOTW robot, the automated response (quoted below) says it processed only 46 records.

```
------------------------------------------------
Processing file Hype101103.tq8

2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: Hype101103.tq8
2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for K0HB - UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA (291)
2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 46 QSO records in
3.226814 seconds
2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
------------------------------------------------
```

Seems there are two QSO's missing. To trace the problem, I went to the source ADI file and found there actually were only 46 records in the file, so TQSL is over-reporting the number of contacts it processes, but the LOTW robot is counting and reporting the correct number.

73, de Hans, K0HB

262. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 00:53:12 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 255 Message: 262

On Sat, Oct 11, 2003 at 05:17:01PM -0400, Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:
> Simply uploading duplicates rather than having each user do some minimal
> level of bookeeping on their own ends up costing LoTW bandwidth to download
> and more processing time on their server to do the dupe checking. If widely
> practiced such an approach must be expected to lead to worse service and/or
> higher fees for everyone.

I think in the future we should expect log book software to know which
QSOs have already been uploaded and not send those again. Until then
we are all using TQSL manually with ADIF exports from our logging
software and it's not so easy to skip duplicates.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

263. Re: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 04:18:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 255 Message: 263

We should indeed expect logging applications to provide a function
that uploads all QSOs that haven't previously been successfully
uploaded to LOTW. This won't be possible until LOTW provides a means
by which logging applications can determine which uploaded QSOs were
accepted, as opposed to rejected.

This capability is provided by eQSL.cc today, and is exploited by
several logging applications.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Sat, Oct 11, 2003 at 05:17:01PM -0400, Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:
> > Simply uploading duplicates rather than having each user do some
minimal
> > level of bookeeping on their own ends up costing LoTW bandwidth
to download
> > and more processing time on their server to do the dupe checking.
If widely
> > practiced such an approach must be expected to lead to worse
service and/or
> > higher fees for everyone.
>
> I think in the future we should expect log book software to know
which
> QSOs have already been uploaded and not send those again. Until then
> we are all using TQSL manually with ADIF exports from our logging
> software and it's not so easy to skip duplicates.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

264. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 04:32:07 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 255 Message: 264

At 08:53 PM 10/11/03, Hamish Moffatt wrote:

>I think in the future we should expect log book software to know which
>QSOs have already been uploaded and not send those again. Until then
>we are all using TQSL manually with ADIF exports from our logging
>software and it's not so easy to skip duplicates.

Acknowledging the limitations of the current process, there's a world of difference between the inevitable oversight and occasional mistake and deliberately throwing the problem over the fence for someone else to deal by uploading everything each time.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

265. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 05:17:31 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 255 Message: 265

At 12:18 AM 10/12/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>We should indeed expect logging applications to provide a function
>that uploads all QSOs that haven't previously been successfully
>uploaded to LOTW. This won't be possible until LOTW provides
>a means by which logging applications can determine which
>uploaded QSOs were accepted, as opposed to rejected.

There's an email response containing any processing errors and a web page listing successes. Error messages can be parsed and any logging application that can pull spots off one web page is capable of querying QSOs successfully uploaded from another.

What exists clearly is not the ideal status interface nor is it aesthetically pleasing. It certainly fails to meet the requirements that were called out in the functional spec.

However even in its currently deplorable state, the status of individual QSOs can readily be determined by a program provided its author is more interested in providing users with functionality than polemic.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

266. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logs for multiple calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 06:06:28 UTC
From: James Shryne, N6DHZ
Topic: 254 Message: 266

At 02:00 PM 10/11/03, you wrote:
>At 10:56 AM 10/11/03, James Shryne, N6DHZ wrote:
>
> >If I have two calls, one old and one current do I have export my log in two
> >files and sign each of them with their respective certs?
>
>Yes.
>
> >Or if I export the entire log, which includes data for both calls and sign
> >it with the current call will that work.
>
>No.
>
>73,
>Mike K1MK
>
>Michael Keane K1MK
>k1mk@...

That is the way to answer a question, simple and to the point.

Thanks! I appreciate it very much.

73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
James Shryne
n6dhz@...
www.qsl.net/n6dhz
www.scdxc.org
2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia

267. Re: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 06:17:06 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 255 Message: 267

You're correct, Mike, its certainly possible to parse the results from text in email messages or HTML. As you imply, such techniques are notoriously fragile; on the other hand, parsing the HTML generated by uploads to eQSL.cc has proven stable for more than year.

I might have implemented the equivlent for LOTW by now, had LOTW project management not stated -- publicly, on the TrustedQSL reflector -- that a more robust mechanism would be made available. I was surprised to see LOTW transition to production without this mechanism; my subsequent inquires into its status have gone unanswered, unless "stop bugging me" (my paraphrase) counts as an answer. Perhaps if LOTW were more explicit and open its planning, logging application developers could be more effective in complementing LOTW's efforts.

In the absence of any collaborative interaction, our only means of influencing LOTW seems to be polemic on forums such as this one. If you can improve this state of affairs, it would be a substantial contribution...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@a...> wrote:
> At 12:18 AM 10/12/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
> >We should indeed expect logging applications to provide a function
> >that uploads all QSOs that haven't previously been successfully
> >uploaded to LOTW. This won't be possible until LOTW provides
> >a means by which logging applications can determine which
> >uploaded QSOs were accepted, as opposed to rejected.
>
> There's an email response containing any processing errors and a web page
> listing successes. Error messages can be parsed and any logging application
> that can pull spots off one web page is capable of querying QSOs
> successfully uploaded from another.
>
> What exists clearly is not the ideal status interface nor is it
> aesthetically pleasing. It certainly fails to meet the requirements that
> were called out in the functional spec.
>

> However even in its currently deplorable state, the status of
individual
> QSOs can readily be determined by a program provided its author is
more
> interested in providing users with functionality than polemic.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> Michael Keane K1MK
> k1mk@a...

268. TQSL software on FreeBSD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 06:18:23 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 129 Message: 268

Hi,

I've done a quick hack of the TQSL source and have managed to get it to build on FreeBSD. It there's any interest, I'd be willing to clean it up and turn it into a FreeBSD 'port'. I would also, of course, submit patches to the sourceforge product.

I'd have asked this on a LOTW developers mailing list, bud I didn't manage to find one.

Thanks,

Marty AE6IP

269. in the Cue

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 12:32:21 UTC
From: A.Robert Pantazes
Topic: 269 Message: 269

Hi all,
I have downloaded LoTW with some problems that I may have caused
and thanks to Wayne at ARRL I got my certificate loaded.
I did downloaded my first logs via the web site on Saturday
afternoon. The only statement that I got was that my logs were in
the Cue. How long does it take to see the entries on ARRL LoTW site?

Robert Pantazes, N2WRD

270. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] in the Cue

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 12:34:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 269 Message: 270

couple of minutes maybe.
guess it depends on traffic.
but you can go to Account and select Your Activity and it will tell you if
your up.

----- Original Message -----
From: "A.Robert Pantazes" <N2WRD@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 7:32 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] in the Cue

> Hi all,
> I have downloaded LoTW with some problems that I may have caused
> and thanks to Wayne at ARRL I got my certificate loaded.
> I did downloaded my first logs via the web site on Saturday
> afternoon. The only statement that I got was that my logs were in
> the Cue. How long does it take to see the entries on ARRL LoTW site?
>
> Robert Pantazes, N2WRD
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

271. Problem with PSK contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 16:33:14 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 271 Message: 271

I just started using LoTW, have it up and running ok here, it accepted the some 11000 contacts that I had entered. But it would not accept the PSK contacts I had made. I see that the LoTW is looking for PSK-31, etc. But my logging program, (Prolog) only list the contacts as PSK only. I think that this maybe something that the logging program may have to be updated, because I am not able to enter PSK-31 in the logging program. I do feel that the LoTW program should be able to accept just PSK as the mode of contact. After all one PSK contact is much like another. This would be like rejecting RTTY contact because I used FSK instead of AFSK, or 100 baud instead of 45 baud.

If there is no good answer to this problem, I will just ignore the 50 PSK contacts that I have made. It will not be worth the trouble. But I would like to correct this for future contacts.

Vince, K6BIR

272. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Problem with PSK contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 16:41:37 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 271 Message: 272

Actually simple to resolve. Run TQSL. Under the File menu item, select Preferences. Then select the "ADIF Modes" tab. Enter a mapping from PSK to PSK31. Convert you file and transmit it again.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Vince [mailto:rradiohham1@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 10:33
To: ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Problem with PSK contacts

I just started using LoTW, have it up and running ok here, it accepted the some 11000 contacts that I had entered. But it would not accept the PSK contacts I had made. I see that the LoTW is looking for PSK-31, etc. But my logging program, (Prolog) only list the contacts as PSK only. I think that this maybe something that the logging program may have to be updated, because I am not able to enter PSK-31 in the logging program. I do feel that the LoTW program should be able to accept just PSK as the mode of contact. After all one PSK contact is much like another. This would be like rejecting RTTY contact because I used FSK instead of AFSK, or 100 baud instead of 45 baud.

If there is no good answer to this problem, I will just ignore the 50 PSK contacts that I have made. It will not be worth the trouble. But I would like to correct this for future contacts.

Vince, K6BIR

273. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 16:44:14 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 273

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2003 1:05 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
>
> > I don't think I have to go over all your false claims.
>
> You have yet to make the case for one false claim, much less "all".

Sure I have, just check my past email and below for an abridged list.

> As it turns out, Darryl, I do know a few languages other than VB. In
> my 32 years in the computer industry, I have developed applications
> in Ada, Algol, C, C++, Cobol, Fortran, Java, Modula, and PL/1, as
...

Thank you, your resume is quite impressive. So is mime, but neither
should be used to intimidate others when the facts don't support
the conclusion.

> I chose to implement DXLab in Visual Basic because it enables one
> developer to rapidly build and evolve Windows applications.

That is a good reason to use VB. The last time I looked at VB
was about 5 years and I felt that it was a much weaker and more
unstructured than Borland's Delphi for RAD. Much more unstructured
than something like C++ Builder, Delphi or other accepted RAD suites.

> Besides
> allowing me to give something back to a hobby I enjoy, it enabled me
> to stay "hands on" with the technology as my leadership
> responsibilities at Rational grew to the point where working directly
> on its products was impractical. Your assumptions as to my
> limitations as a developer are off base.

I assume nothing, I just stated my experience. Just as you have
stated yours. Which doesn't tell us much except that you help founded
a company that filled a large need and therefore became very successful
and
you can throw buzz words out with the best of them.

> DXKeeper's current support for LOTW is to assemble an ADIF file that
> LOTW will likely accept in one pass by automatically converting modes
> and identifying QSOs that LOTW would reject. It makes no use of the
> TrustedQSL source code for the reasons I have explained in previous

> messages.

You really fly loose on your terms. Dumping an ADIF file is hardly
supporting LoTW.

> > you have no proof and the fact that TrustedQSL is built on a stable
> > standard (OpenSSL) would strongly suggest any changes will
> be minor in
> > nature.
>
> Darryl, it is not yet possible to construct proofs in the domain of
> software architecture.

So if it isn't possible to construct proof, then you are free to make
any statements you wish to support your view?

Many of your claims have been shown to be false as in:

1. Not documentation. There is.
2. Tqsllib isn't an API. It is. Complete with a production
level sample program.
3. Only lower API exist. When in fact the low level functions
are labeled as such in the docs.

Not only is there not proof, but you have let to give any concrete
evidence or examples of what is wrong our how it could be improved.
All you have done is throw rocks and abstract buzz words.

Plus you say it is bad, but you are unwilling to help change it or
for that matter give concrete examples of how it could be improved.
In fact the only suggestions you have given is to read books.

What you have us do:

1. Shutdown LoTW and wait for years so a solid design
can be produce and them code it for years more?

2. Not shutdown LoTW and do 1 which would create a new and very
possible incompatible API?

3. Allow developers to use the current API and as help from and
see if there is any major changes needed and address them based
on facts instead what if's.

> In my judgement and experience, using the current tQSL interfaces
> as LOTW's API would, as I've said before, an exceptionally bad idea.

We know you think it is "exceptionally bad idea". You have told
us why you think it wasn't designed correctly (in some fairly
abstract terms) but from what I can tell you haven't used or tried
to understand the API enough to have an intelligent opinion on
how stable it is. You started saying that the API was crap before
you even knew there was docs. Which means you had this opinion

before you could have possibly known enough to make such a statement.

> >>>I do not advocate hiding the current tQSL interfaces. Some
> developers may well decide that access to low-level interfaces is for
> their application more important than protection from low-level
> design changes; that's their tradeoff to make. But that's no excuse
> for designating the current low-level tQSL interfaces to be LOTW's
> API.

I have seen no evidence that you have worked with or even reviewed
the current API enough to make that call. Evidence seems to suggest
that your belief in the instability of the API is based upon your bias.

> >>>If you start with the bias that "...yes, the application developer
> must understand some level of how an PKI works" you are unlikely to
> succeed at encapsulating that knowledge. Functionality far more
> complex and mission-critical than PKI has been successfully
> encapsulated.

Looking at the source for tQSL is looks very encapsulated to me.
For example to sign an ADIF file seems to only require about
half a dozen or so tQSL API calls. Each of them has input which
must be user or application (which is user or developer) supplied.
I am not saying it isn't possible to encapsulate it a little more
but to what end. So there is 3 calls instead 6? Give me a break.

I believe that if such a encapsulated API was release you would be
whining about not enough access to low level functions.

> >> At no point in any post did I say that "the team that created
> >> TrustedQSL and LoTW wasn't sincere and committed", nor do I
> >> believe this to be the case. I'd appreciate it if you'd cease
> >> these attempts at provocation.
>
> > Oh really: Here is your email of: <irrelevant material snipped>
>
> >>>> If there is a sincere and committed effort to engineer and then
> >>>> document an effective API for LOTW, I am more than happy to
> >>>> participate and contribute.
>
> The above sentence, to which I suspect you are referring, says "if
> the LOTW team is ready to move forward on building an effective API
> for LOTW, I will be happy to help". There is no way to interpret it
> as a slap at the LOTW team.

Changing your tune again huh? Why does it take the LoTW team to "fixed"
the TrustedQSL API. They are two totally different entities. LoTW is a
closed source Logbook database system which uses TrustedQSLs.
TrustedQSL
is an Open Source project that LoTW team has done the lions share of
work
to create.

> I think we've taken this interaction about as far as it can go. Your
> responses increasingly amount to personal attacks rather than
> technical substance.

Now that is funny, for the last two years you have been attacking LoTW
and TrustedQSL teams about the poor design saying the project is doomed.
Now that it is a working system you are still attacking it before you
even knew there was docs. Having an agenda to discredit the work of
the LoTW team based solely on your uninformed opinion clearly opens
you up to personal attacks. Even at that my responses to you and
about you has only been to point out the fallacies of your claims.
If you don't wish to be used in this way, then stop using yourself
as the proof of your claims and give hard evidence. In almost
every email I have ask for proof, examples and/or help. Each request
has been meet with technobabble or personal attacks

I have only pointed out the logical fallacies in your augments of which
some are based upon your personal experiences and without any real
knowledge of the subject matter (TrustedQSL API). It isn't good
enough to say it is a bad API because I said so.

Either lead, follow or get the h*** out of the way.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

274. which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 17:37:21 UTC
From: Wayne Wright, W5XD
Topic: 274 Message: 274

Stupid question.

I am attempting to login at https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default for the first time. I have my certificate, and I can upload LOTW files (created by software I wrote myself using the infamous API--but that's an altogether useless thread), so I've come across and created a few usernames and passwords as I went along. But all the usernames and passwords I think it might want don't work on that login page, and there aren't any hints on the login page about how one establishes a login.

Thanks,
Wayne, W5XD

275. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 17:47:26 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 274 Message: 275

Hi Wayne,
You must use the password that was sent via email with your LoTW
certificate. On that email a user name and password were provided.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Wayne Wright, W5XD wrote:
> Stupid question.
>
> I am attempting to login at https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default for the
> first time. I have my certificate, and I can upload LOTW files (created by
> software I wrote myself using the infamous API--but that's an altogether
> useless thread), so I've come across and created a few usernames and
> passwords as I went along. But all the usernames and passwords I think it
> might want don't work on that login page, and there aren't any hints on
> the login page about how one establishes a login.
>
> Thanks,
> Wayne, W5XD
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595
054/R=0/SIG=124ukap9t/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595054>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

276. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Minor bug --- TQSL is over-counting the number of contacts it processes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 17:44:28 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 261 Message: 276

There are a NUMBER of counting inconsistencies in LoTW !!!

I pointed this one during the Beta phase. I then complained about it again
when I got a "Don't worry about it" type of answer from the LoTW people.

It seems that LoTW ALWAYS reports 2 counts more than the actual number of QSO's
processed.

I contend that it would provide a more "User Friendly" interface if LoTW just hid
the 2 LoTW internal files from the used and just reported Q's procedded.

That's something we can all understand, 48 Q's in file, 48 records processed !!

Bottom line is Lotw is NOT dropping Q's, is adding 2 LoTW internal records.

73
Bob, K1VU
At 18:02 10/11/2003 , you wrote:
>LOTW still seems to be dropping some QSO's.
>
>Below is the message I got from TQSL when I built a .tq8 file. Note that
>it says it wrote 48 records.
>
>_____
>Signing using CALL=K0HB, DXCC=291
>C:\radio\hylog\HYPERLOG.ADI: wrote 48 records to
>C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8
>C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8 is ready to be emailed or uploaded
>_____
>
>Then when I emailed the file to the LOTW robot, the automated response
>(quoted below) says it processed only 46 records.
>
>_____
>Processing file Hype101103.tq8
>
>2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: Hype101103.tq8
>2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for K0HB - UNITED STATES OF
>AMERICA (291)
>2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 46 QSO records in
>3.226814 seconds
>2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
>_____
>
>Seems there are two QSO's missing. To trace the problem, I went to the

>source ADI file and found there actually were only 46 records in the file,
>so TQSL is over-reporting the number of contacts it processes, but the LOTW
>robot is counting and reporting the correct number.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

277. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 18:48:18 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 255 Message: 277

At 02:17 AM 10/12/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>I might have implemented the equivlent for LOTW by now, had LOTW
>project management not stated -- publicly, on the TrustedQSL
>reflector -- that a more robust mechanism would be made available. I
>was surprised to see LOTW transition to production without this
>mechanism; my subsequent inquires into its status have gone
>unanswered, unless "stop bugging me" (my paraphrase) counts as an
>answer. Perhaps if LOTW were more explicit and open its planning,
>logging application developers could be more effective in
>complementing LOTW's efforts.

See <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html>

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

278. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Minor bug --- TQSL is over-counting the numberof contacts it processes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 19:39:03 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 278 Message: 278

Geez Louise, I was just reporting a minor bug, not trying to start a
flaming war! Lighten up!

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]

> There are a NUMBER of counting inconsistencies in LoTW !!!
>
> I pointed this one during the Beta phase. I then complained about it
again
> when I got a "Don't worry about it" type of answer from the LoTW people.
>

279. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Minor bug --- TQSL is over-counting the number of contacts it processes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 21:32:34 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 261 Message: 279

At 06:02 PM 10/11/03, Hans K0HB wrote:

>LOTW still seems to be dropping some QSO's.
>
>Below is the message I got from TQSL when I built a .tq8 file. Note that
>it says it wrote 48 records.
>____
>Signing using CALL=K0HB, DXCC=291
>C:\radio\hylog\HYPERLOG.ADI: wrote 48 records to
>C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8
>C:\radio\hylog\Hype101103.tq8 is ready to be emailed or uploaded
>____

TQSL reports that it wrote a total of 48 .tq8 records to the .tq8 file.
That would be: 1 Header record, 1 Station record plus 46 Contact ("QSO")
records.

>Then when I emailed the file to the LOTW robot, the automated response
>(quoted below) says it processed only 46 records.
>
>____
>Processing file Hype101103.tq8
>
>2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: Hype101103.tq8
>2003-10-11 22:41:14 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for K0HB - UNITED STATES OF
>AMERICA (291)
>2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 46 QSO records in
>3.226814 seconds
>2003-10-11 22:41:17 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
>____

The LoTW server reports processing the 46 QSO records

>Seems there are two QSO's missing.

No nothing is missing. Just the gratuitous overuse of the term "record".

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

280. Re: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 22:22:55 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 255 Message: 280

This new facility appears to meet all of the logbook synchronization
requirements, and then some. Nice work, whoever designed and
implemented it.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@a...>
wrote:
> At 02:17 AM 10/12/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
> >I might have implemented the equivlent for LOTW by now, had LOTW
> >project management not stated -- publicly, on the TrustedQSL
> >reflector -- that a more robust mechanism would be made available.
I
> >was surprised to see LOTW transition to production without this
> >mechanism; my subsequent inquires into its status have gone
> >unanswered, unless "stop bugging me" (my paraphrase) counts as an
> >answer. Perhaps if LOTW were more explicit and open its planning,
> >logging application developers could be more effective in
> >complementing LOTW's efforts.
>
> See <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html>
>
>
> Michael Keane K1MK
> k1mk@a...

281. Test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 22:29:39 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 223 Message: 281

Test

282. 100 on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 22:42:34 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 282 Message: 282
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Well, I reached my first 100 on LOTW, 103 QSL'd so far out of 6400 QSO's that I uploaded. Just 10 DXCC entities confirmed though. Latest was United Arab Emirates.

I have achieved DXCC, in fact I did over 100 countries on 10 meters while a Tech Plus, but I never had the nerve to mail my cards in and did not have the time to take them to a field checker. Will be nice to break the DXCC mark via LOTW...however long that takes!

Andy K3UK

283. Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 22:51:44 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 129 Message: 283

> Thank you, your resume is quite impressive. So is mime, but neither
> should be used to intimidate others when the facts don't support
> the conclusion.

The summary of my background was in response to your "Shops that I
have been in either doesn't allow VB or it is done by junior people."

> The last time I looked at VB
> was about 5 years and I felt that it was a much weaker and more
> unstructured than Borland's Delphi for RAD. Much more unstructured
> than something like C++ Builder, Delphi or other accepted RAD
> suites.

Delphi did not exist when I started DXLab; otherwise, I'd have used
it. VB has come a long way in the last 5 years.

> You really fly loose on your terms. Dumping an ADIF file is hardly
> supporting LoTW.

Given the amount of time users were wasting repeatedly uploading
large ADIF files only to have them rejected after exceeding the error
threshold, it provided -- and continues to provide -- substantial
value.

> What you have us do:
>
> 1. Shutdown LoTW and wait for years so a solid design
> can be produce and them code it for years more?
>
> 2. Not shutdown LoTW and do 1 which would create a new and very
> possible incompatible API?
>
> 3. Allow developers to use the current API and as help from and
> see if there is any major changes needed and address them based
> on facts instead what if's.

None of the above. Construct an API on top the current trusted QSL
interfaces. Any application written on the current interfaces is
unaffected.

> Looking at the source for tQSL is looks very encapsulated to me.
> For example to sign an ADIF file seems to only require about
> half a dozen or so tQSL API calls. Each of them has input which
> must be user or application (which is user or developer) supplied.
> I am not saying it isn't possible to encapsulate it a little more
> but to what end. So there is 3 calls instead 6? Give me a break.

You've already acknowledged "...yes, the application developer must understand some level of how an PKI works". The goal of encapsulation is to eliminate that requirement.

> Changing your tune again huh? Why does it take the LoTW team
> to "fixed" the TrustedQSL API. They are two totally different
> entities. LoTW is a closed source Logbook database system which
> uses TrustedQSLs. TrustedQSL is an Open Source project that LoTW
> team has done the lions share of work to create.

If Trusted QSL is in need of an API, and the LOTW team has done the lions share of work to create it, then they are the ideal candidate to create the API, as they possess the expertise that API should encapsulate.

> Now that is funny, for the last two years you have been attacking
> LoTW and TrustedQSL teams about the poor design saying the project
> is doomed.

My criticism two years ago was directed at the approach you personally were taking on the ADIF 2.0 reflector at that time; it was not directed at LOTW. Those comments were sent privately, as I recall, in hopes of avoiding a defensive reaction. Since you dropped off the TrustedQSL project shortly thereafter and the direction changed, the point seems moot.

This is my last comment on this topic. You are welcome to the last word.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

284. RE: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:05:30 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 255 Message: 284

> I think in the future we should expect log book software to know which
> QSOs have already been uploaded and not send those again.

My logging software (Logger32) already does this - it keeps track of paper QSLs, eQSLs and LOTW seperately. It will export ADIF files only for those that haven't been sent.

And before Dave asks :-), no, it does'nt automatically look at which QSO's have been accepted and which have been rejected.

285. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Minor bug --- TQSL is over-counting the numberof contacts it processes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 21:37:34 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 278 Message: 285

Hi Hans:
Gee, not trying to flame anyone !!!

I was just pointing out the problem has existed since the Beta test phase and that many people in the club have noted the same thing you pointed out.

The 2 extra records are not a problem once you know about them, but they sure confuse a lot of people when they are just getting into LoTW.

My only hope in replying to your message was that some of the LoTW people would take note of the problem and fix it.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 15:39 10/12/2003 , you wrote:
>Geez Louise, I was just reporting a minor bug, not trying to start a
>flaming war! Lighten up!
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
> > [Original Message]
>
> > There are a NUMBER of counting inconsistencies in LoTW !!!
> >
> > I pointed this one during the Beta phase. I then complained about it
>again
> > when I got a "Don't worry about it" type of answer from the LoTW people.
> >
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

286. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:26:23 UTC
From: Thomas Horton
Topic: 129 Message: 286

Dave, Darryl,
You know, I don't think the majority of us care
what you think about each other, each other's
resumes, abilities, hair color, or what you had for dinner.
Why don't you two exchange e-mail addresses, phone
numbers, mailing addresses and let the rest of us learn
what we need to know about LOTW.
I'm sure it can and will be improved greatly in time.
But for the time being "Can't we all just get along?"
73
Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/12/03 22:51:48
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
> > Thank you, your resume is quite impressive. So is mime, but neither
> > should be used to intimidate others when the facts don't support
> > the conclusion.
>
> The summary of my background was in response to your "Shops that I
> have been in either doesn't allow VB or it is done by junior people."
>
>
> > The last time I looked at VB
> > was about 5 years and I felt that it was a much weaker and more
> > unstructured than Borland's Delphi for RAD. Much more unstructured
> > than something like C++ Builder, Delphi or other accepted RAD
> > suites.
>
> Delphi did not exist when I started DXLab; otherwise, I'd have used
> it. VB has come a long way in the last 5 years.
>
>
> > You really fly loose on your terms. Dumping an ADIF file is hardly
> > supporting LoTW.
>
> Given the amount of time users were wasting repeatedly uploading
> large ADIF files only to have them rejected after exceeding the error
> threshold, it provided -- and continues to provide -- substantial
> value.

>
>
> > What you have us do:
> >
> > 1. Shutdown LoTW and wait for years so a solid design
> > can be produce and them code it for years more?
> >
> > 2. Not shutdown LoTW and do 1 which would create a new and very
> > possible incompatible API?
> >
> > 3. Allow developers to use the current API and as help from and
> > see if there is any major changes needed and address them based
> > on facts instead what if's.
>
> None of the above. Construct an API on top the current trusted QSL
> interfaces. Any application written on the current interfaces is
> unaffected.
>
>
> > Looking at the source for tQSL is looks very encapsulated to me.
> > For example to sign an ADIF file seems to only require about
> > half a dozen or so tQSL API calls. Each of them has input which
> > must be user or application (which is user or developer) supplied.
> > I am not saying it isn't possible to encapsulate it a little more
> > but to what end. So there is 3 calls instead 6? Give me a break.
>
> You've already acknowledged "...yes, the application developer must
> understand some level of how an PKI works". The goal of encapsulation
> is to eliminate that requirement.
>
>
> > Changing your tune again huh? Why does it take the LoTW team
> > to "fixed" the TrustedQSL API. They are two totally different
> > entities. LoTW is a closed source Logbook database system which
> > uses TrustedQSLs. TrustedQSL is an Open Source project that LoTW
> > team has done the lions share of work to create.
>
> If Trusted QSL is in need of an API, and the LOTW team has done the
> lions share of work to create it, then they are the ideal candidate
> to create the API, as they possess the expertise that API should
> encapsulate.
>
>
> > Now that is funny, for the last two years you have been attacking
> > LoTW and TrustedQSL teams about the poor design saying the project
> > is doomed.
>
> My criticism two years ago was directed at the approach you
> personally were taking on the ADIF 2.0 reflector at that time; it was
> not directed at LOTW. Those comments were sent privately, as I

> recall, in hopes of avoiding a defensive reaction. Since you dropped
> off the TrustedQSL project shortly thereafter and the direction
> changed, the point seems moot.
>
> This is my last comment on this topic. You are welcome to the last
> word.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

287. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Duplicate entries

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:17:24 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 255 Message: 287

Well, it can do so now, Brian.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Brian Mury [mailto:ve7ngr@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 7:06 PM
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Duplicate entries

> I think in the future we should expect log book software to know which
> QSOs have already been uploaded and not send those again.

My logging software (Logger32) already does this - it keeps track of
paper
QSLs, eQSLs and LOTW seperately. It will export ADIF files only for
those
that haven't been sent.

And before Dave asks :-), no, it does'nt automatically look at which
QSO's
have been accepted and which have been rejected.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/au
toredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=772121419>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

288. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:15:15 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 223 Message: 288

Copy you 5X9 Massachusetts
73
Bob, K1VU

At 18:29 10/12/2003 , you wrote:
>Test
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

289. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:50:30 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 274 Message: 289

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
> Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 12:47 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?
>
>
> Hi Wayne,
> You must use the password that was sent via email with your LoTW
> certificate. On that email a user name and password were provided.
> --

We really need a way to pick our passwords. I got one that looks sort of
like qggq6gq Qs ands G , impossible to remember and VERY hard to read.

> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
> Wayne Wright, W5XD wrote:
> > Stupid question.
> >
> > I am attempting to login at
> https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default for the
> > first time. I have my certificate, and I can upload LOTW files
> (created by
> > software I wrote myself using the infamous API--but that's an altogether
> > useless thread), so I've come across and created a few usernames and
> > passwords as I went along. But all the usernames and passwords
> I think it
> > might want don't work on that login page, and there aren't any hints on
> > the login page about how one establishes a login.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Wayne, W5XD
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/
> S=1705063108:HM/A=1595054/R=0/SIG=124ukap9t/*http://ashnin.com/clk
> /muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595054>
> >

> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

290. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 00:11:51 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 274 Message: 290

Hi Gil,

Well, once you have logged on to the LoTW website with that password
just go to "Your Account" and look to the left and see Change Password.
You can click on that and change it to anything you like. They are a
little strange for my old brain, hi.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

rojomn wrote:

> We really need a way to pick our passwords. I got one that looks sort of
> like qggq6gq Qs ands G , impossible to remember and VERY hard to read.

291. Multiple Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-12 23:57:09 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 291 Message: 291

We have what seems to me a ludicrous situation. W0MN and W0MN/0 are different calls. For award purposes they are both valid fro the same award I most cases, WAS,. DXCC ,etc. How do we aggregate these things. You are not really going to say I have to send 2 records so that no matter which the responder sends it will match and even if it matches I have to have 2 so that I can get all my contacts counting to the same DXCC award.

I really hope not? I assume and hope that LOTW will automatically take care of the rules about where the circle of operation can be and still count for the same award=. Example that if I am on /0 because I am at an outdoor event and wish to designate as such or am out walking and wish to designate so that they both will count for the same award. Yes, I should not ever use /0 again BUT that does not help past contacts!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

292. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 00:26:06 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 274 Message: 292

Good point Gil !!!
I got one that has part of my callsign and part of "KY Jelly" incorporated into it !!!

I guess I'm starting to get too old for this kind of game stuff !!!

I keep seeing "Change Password" when you log into LoTW and click on "Your Account", however it doesn't tell you what you which password you are changing !!!

Maybe LoTW could be a little more explicit !!!

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 19:50 10/12/2003 , you wrote:

>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
> > Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 12:47 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?
> >
> >
> > Hi Wayne,
> > You must use the password that was sent via email with your LoTW
> > certificate. On that email a user name and password were provided.
> > --
>
>We really need a way to pick our passwords. I got one that looks sort of
>like qggq6gq Qs ands G , impossible to remember and VERY hard to read.
>
> > 73,
> > Chris, ACØM
> >
> > Wayne Wright, W5XD wrote:
> > > Stupid question.
> > >
> > > I am attempting to login at
> > https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default for the
> > > first time. I have my certificate, and I can upload LOTW files
> > (created by
> > > software I wrote myself using the infamous API--but that's an altogether
> > > useless thread), so I've come across and created a few usernames and

> > > passwords as I went along. But all the usernames and passwords
> > I think it
> > > might want don't work on that login page, and there aren't any hints on
> > > the login page about how one establishes a login.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Wayne, W5XD
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/>
S=1705063108:HM/A=1595054/R=0/SIG=124ukap9t/*http://ashnin.com/clk
> > /muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595054>
> > >
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

293. data out of range problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 01:26:27 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 293 Message: 293

well anyone having this problem ?
my log program makes a adif file. i click to sign it from tqsl.
first thing i get is
error
adif field data length overflow on line 2
band : 20m
call:vu2au
mode: ssb
asked me if i wanted to ignore errors i hit yes
next thing i get is
certificate qso date out of range on line 2

i have set the dates as 1962-09-04 my ticket date
set it as 2000-10-10 first date of first qso in the log
and it still does not let me convert it to tqsl format

this is getting weird..
73 Tony wa4jqs

294. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 01:38:38 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 274 Message: 294

On Sun, Oct 12, 2003 at 08:26:06PM -0400, R Johnson wrote:
> I keep seeing "Change Password" when you log into LoTW and click on "Your Account",
> however it doesn't tell you what you which password you are changing !!!

Well, what password could it be? There are three:

1. Password sent to you on postcard by the ARRL. Only used once for
initial registration, so no need to change it.

2. Password for ongoing access to the web site.

3. Password for your private key. The web site doesn't have your private
key; only the TQSL software has that and can manage it.

So quite obviously it must be #2, which is just what you want.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

295. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 01:40:27 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 129 Message: 295

Right on. I am tired of reading about these two guy fight about who is the best software expert. Exchange e-mails and continue your fight but stay off the reflector.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 4:26 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Dave, Darryl,
You know, I don't think the majority of us care
what you think about each other, each other's
resumes, abilities, hair color, or what you had for dinner.
Why don't you two exchange e-mail addresses, phone
numbers, mailing addresses and let the rest of us learn
what we need to know about LOTW.
I'm sure it can and will be improved greatly in time.
But for the time being "Can't we all just get along?"
73
Tom Horton
k5iid@...
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 Bureau.

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/12/03 22:51:48
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof
>
> > Thank you, your resume is quite impressive. So is mime, but neither
> > should be used to intimidate others when the facts don't support
> > the conclusion.
>
> The summary of my background was in response to your "Shops that I
> have been in either doesn't allow VB or it is done by junior people."
>
>
> > The last time I looked at VB
> > was about 5 years and I felt that it was a much weaker and more
> > unstructured than Borland's Delphi for RAD. Much more unstructured
> > than something like C++ Builder, Delphi or other accepted RAD
> > suites.
>
> Delphi did not exist when I started DXLab; otherwise, I'd have used

> it. VB has come a long way in the last 5 years.
>
>
> > You really fly loose on your terms. Dumping an ADIF file is hardly
> > supporting LoTW.
>
> Given the amount of time users were wasting repeatedly uploading
> large ADIF files only to have them rejected after exceeding the error
> threshold, it provided -- and continues to provide -- substantial
> value.
>
>
> > What you have us do:
> >
> > 1. Shutdown LoTW and wait for years so a solid design
> > can be produce and them code it for years more?
> >
> > 2. Not shutdown LoTW and do 1 which would create a new and very
> > possible incompatible API?
> >
> > 3. Allow developers to use the current API and as help from and
> > see if there is any major changes needed and address them based
> > on facts instead what if's.
>
> None of the above. Construct an API on top the current trusted QSL
> interfaces. Any application written on the current interfaces is
> unaffected.
>
>
> > Looking at the source for tQSL is looks very encapsulated to me.
> > For example to sign an ADIF file seems to only require about
> > half a dozen or so tQSL API calls. Each of them has input which
> > must be user or application (which is user or developer) supplied.
> > I am not saying it isn't possible to encapsulate it a little more
> > but to what end. So there is 3 calls instead 6? Give me a break.
>
> You've already acknowledged "...yes, the application developer must
> understand some level of how an PKI works". The goal of encapsulation
> is to eliminate that requirement.
>
>
> > Changing your tune again huh? Why does it take the LoTW team
> > to "fixed" the TrustedQSL API. They are two totally different
> > entities. LoTW is a closed source Logbook database system which
> > uses TrustedQSLs. TrustedQSL is an Open Source project that LoTW
> > team has done the lions share of work to create.
>
> If Trusted QSL is in need of an API, and the LOTW team has done the
> lions share of work to create it, then they are the ideal candidate
> to create the API, as they possess the expertise that API should

> encapsulate.
>
>
> > Now that is funny, for the last two years you have been attacking
> > LoTW and TrustedQSL teams about the poor design saying the project
> > is doomed.
>
> My criticism two years ago was directed at the approach you
> personally were taking on the ADIF 2.0 reflector at that time; it was
> not directed at LOTW. Those comments were sent privately, as I
> recall, in hopes of avoiding a defensive reaction. Since you dropped
> off the TrustedQSL project shortly thereafter and the direction
> changed, the point seems moot.
>
> This is my last comment on this topic. You are welcome to the last
> word.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

296. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 03:05:12 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 274 Message: 296

You miss the point. Gil already knows the answer. He just wants to argue.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Hamish's cloud.net.au email [mailto:hamishc@...] On
Behalf Of Hamish Moffatt
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2003 19:39
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] which username/password?

On Sun, Oct 12, 2003 at 08:26:06PM -0400, R Johnson wrote:
> I keep seeing "Change Password" when you log into LoTW and click on "Your
Account",
> however it doesn't tell you what you which password you are changing !!!

Well, what password could it be? There are three:

1. Password sent to you on postcard by the ARRL. Only used once for
initial registration, so no need to change it.

2. Password for ongoing access to the web site.

3. Password for your private key. The web site doesn't have your private
key; only the TQSL software has that and can manage it.

So quite obviously it must be #2, which is just what you want.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

297. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 02:56:59 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 291 Message: 297

At 07:57 PM 10/12/03, gbaron wrote:

>We have what seems to me a ludicrous situation.
>W0MN and W0MN/0 are different calls. For award purposes
>they are both valid fro the same award I most cases, WAS,.
>DXCC ,etc. How do we aggregate these things.

In exactly the same manner as individuals who have held multiple calls during their careers: you need separate certificates for each call e.g. W0MN & W0MN/0. And you must sign the certificate requests for each additional "call sign" such as W0MN/0 with the certificate for your primary call, W0MN. Signing the additional certificate requests allows all of the QSOs made under the various call signs to be grouped together.

>You are not really going to say I have to send 2 records
>so that no matter which the responder sends it will match
>and even if it matches I have to have 2 so that I can get
>all my contacts counting to the same DXCC award.
>
>I really hope not?

Absolutely not. Not only would that practice be inefficient, to simply double enter everything just in case, smacks of inverse data mining and arguably verges on the unethical.

>I assume and hope that LOTW will automatically take care
>of the rules about where the circle of operation can be and still count for
>the same award. Example that if I am on /0 because I am at an outdoor event
>and wish to designate as such or am out walking and wish to designate so
>that they both will count for the same award.

To automatically check the "circle" for WAS or VUCC requires the user to upload sufficiently precise station locations. That means a six character grid square. Otherwise LoTW is unable to check the circle.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

298. Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 05:18:51 UTC
From: James Shryne, N6DHZ
Topic: 298 Message: 298

I know you need to have a cert for both yourcall and yourcall/m but do you need to upload your log for both yourcall and yourcall/m or does LoTW know that they are the same for matching purposes.

73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
James Shryne
n6dhz@...
www.qsl.net/n6dhz
www.scdxc.org
2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia

299. Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 10:08:42 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 129 Message: 299

Dave and group,

> > What you have us do:
> >
> > 1. Shutdown LoTW and wait for years so a solid design
> > can be produce and them code it for years more?
> >
> > 2. Not shutdown LoTW and do 1 which would create a new and very
> > possible incompatible API?
> >
> > 3. Allow developers to use the current API and as help from and
> > see if there is any major changes needed and address them based
> > on facts instead what if's.
>
> None of the above. Construct an API on top the current trusted QSL
> interfaces. Any application written on the current interfaces is
> unaffected.

Ok, I think that concept has a lot of merit to it. Why didn't
you say that from the beginning instead of what seemed to me of
saying that the current API is a bunch of crap and needs to be
thrown out? I think it is a great idea to try to encapsulate
the API into objects. I don't think that it can be done effectively
where it will be compatible across C++/C/Delphi/VB/Unix/Linux/Macs etc
without using language depend constructs. Which for the record
I think should be done.

If that is really and you feel and I am willing to give you the
benefit of the doubt, then I misunderstood your intent. If so
then I sincerely apologies! In my defense the tone of your posting
was that the state of the API was such that it should not be used.
Any suggestion to that effect I will strong disagree with.

> You've already acknowledged "...yes, the application developer must
> understand some level of how an PKI works". The goal of encapsulation
> is to eliminate that requirement.

Yes and I stand by that. Even if the API could successfully hide
all the gory details, the application developers still needs to know
where files are stored, which ones must be backed, what certs in
order to present them correct to the user, etc. I don't think
the developer need to know the details of an X.509v3 cert.

> If Trusted QSL is in need of an API, and the LOTW team has done the
> lions share of work to create it, then they are the ideal candidate
> to create the API, as they possess the expertise that API should

> encapsulate.

In a perfect world maybe, but I think we developers will have to take
up this battle if it is going to be done, but I don't believe
that developers should wait for a better API before adding support
for TrustedQSL. It maybe a long time in coming.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

300. Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 14:07:03 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 298 Message: 300

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "James Shryne, N6DHZ" <n6dhz@s...>
wrote:
> I know you need to have a cert for both yourcall and yourcall/m but
do you
> need to upload your log for both yourcall and yourcall/m

Yes, separate logs: one with the contacts made using yourcall and one
with the contacts made using yourcall/m. Each log signed with the
appropriate certificate.

> does LoTW know that they are the same for matching purposes.

No. yourcall and yourcall/m are not the same for matching purposes.

LoTW does know that yourcall and yourcall/m belong to the same
individual for award credit and records keeping purposes.

73,
Mike K1MK

301. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 15:22:02 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 298 Message: 301

Greetings Mike and the group,

I don't really have any good answers for this, but it seems like it will
be very error prone. At least until folks get use to it.

For example I worked the PA QSO party and made contact with KD8MQ/3.
He/she
could have a cert for KD8MQ with a station record for Armstrong PA or
he/she
could have a special cert for KD8MQ/3 which would also have a station
record
which reflect Armstrong PA. Both methods seems to be legal and correct
but
one will not reflect a match.

It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls in the same
DXCC
Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ. It doesn't really mean anything,
except
to flag the op that the contact isn't where they expect it to be.

Anyway that is my 2 cents worth.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

302. test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 15:48:24 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 298 Message: 302

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

303. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] test Sorry another test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 15:50:52 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 298 Message: 303

My yahoo account is messed up.

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Darryl Wagoner [mailto:darryl-prv@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 11:48 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] test
>
>
>
>
> --
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> President - Nashua Area Radio Club
> "Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
>
> Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo!
> Groups Sponsor ----------------------~--> Rent DVDs Online -
> Over 14,500 titles. No Late Fees & Free Shipping. Try Netflix
> for FREE! http://us.click.yahoo.com/JYdFFC/XP.FAA/3jkFAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

304. Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 16:01:02 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 298 Message: 304

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
wrote:

> It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls in the
> same DXCC Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ. It doesn't really mean
> anything, except to flag the op that the contact isn't where they
> expect it to be.

Darryl,
While your idea has some merit, it would not be well received by
the VHF/UHF weak signal crowd! A lot of rover/portable operations
take place where the station goes out to a rare grid and operates
during a contest or whatever. They should have the option of using
LoTW was well! Think of it the same way as if you went to VP5 and
used the call VP5/WA1GON, same principle except the VHF/UHF crowd
may only go from EN84 to EN67 and I can guarantee you that a 6m
station calling CQ from EN67 on a good Es opening shows up that
would create a pileup that would rival any one that you could hear
on HF! One of the first awards that LoTW is supposed to support is
VUCC, and the rovers/expedition stations are a big part of that.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

305. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 16:17:56 UTC
From: Ron D. Rossi
Topic: 298 Message: 305

The problem with domestic portable designations is that no one is required to attach them and as such they are often not even logged when sent. I will log KK1L/3 as such, but if it were KK1L/1 what't the point. But that is just me. I don't know the right solution for this whole mess, but would it be possible for the matching for domestic portable contacts to be done without the portable designation after checking the portable log (if one exists)? So the logic for KK1L/3 goes something like If exist KK1L/3 cert, then check KK1L/3 QSOs for QSL. If not exists KK1L/3 cert OR no QSL from above check KK1L QSOs for QSL. Does this make sense to anyone? -- 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@...) <>< Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics QTH: Jericho, Vermont My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) >Greetings Mike and the group, > >I don't really have any good answers for this, but it seems like it will >be very error prone. At least until folks get use to it. > >For example I worked the PA QSO party and made contact with KD8MQ/3. >He/she >could have a cert for KD8MQ with a station record for Armstrong PA or >he/she >could have a special cert for KD8MQ/3 which would also have a station >record >which reflect Armstrong PA. Both methods seems to be legal and correct >but >one will not reflect a match. > >It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls in the same >DXCC >Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ. It doesn't really mean anything, >except >to flag the op that the contact isn't where they expect it to be. > >Anyway that is my 2 cents worth. > >73 > >-- >Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON >President - Nashua Area Radio Club >"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797] > >Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution. >Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com >Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com >List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com >http://www.trustedQSL.org > > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > >

306. Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 16:21:33 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 298 Message: 306

Hi Darryl,

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
wrote:

> I don't really have any good answers for this,
> but it seems like it will be very error prone.
> At least until folks get use to it.
>
> For example I worked the PA QSO party and made contact
> with KD8MQ/3. He/she could have a cert for KD8MQ with a
> station record for Armstrong PA or he/she could have a
> special cert for KD8MQ/3 which would also have a station
> record which reflect Armstrong PA. Both methods seems
> to be legal and correct but one will not reflect a match.

Two issues here.

One is at the design level. The requirement is that the call in the cert be identical to the call sign used. For better or worse the choice was to go with simpler approach rather than the more elegant one.

In essence the way one specifies "MY_CALL" for a contact is via the call sign on the cert.

> It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls
> in the same DXCC Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ.

The other issue is logging policy (or philosophy). If KD8MQ's log indicates that he sent KD8MQ/3 then your log must also show KD8MQ/3 otherwise no credit.

Logging accuracy is an implicit requirement, no automated credit for busted calls. If the log data disagree then it's up to the two parties and not the automaton to resolve the discrepency.

It's not a wholly new concept with LoTW, with the advent of electronic logs this is how contests are increasingly being scored. Don't know about the PA QSO party but not logging the /3 would get you a busted Q in Novemeber SweepStakes for example

73,
Mike K1MK

307. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 16:07:07 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 298 Message: 307

I agree with Darryl on this one. I routinely do NOT record the "/3" or similar portable designations. If I work KD8MQ/3 in he will show up in my log as KD8MQ, with a QTH of Maryland (or where ever in 3-land he tells me he is.) Consequently enforcing a match to the "/3" designator means he will never get a Minnesota QSL from me (I don't need a Maryland QSL).

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

> [Original Message]
> From: Darryl Wagoner <darryl-prv@...>

> It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls in the same
> DXCC Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ. It doesn't really mean
> anything, except to flag the op that the contact isn't where
> they expect it to be.

308. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 17:36:08 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 298 Message: 308

> Darryl,
> While your idea has some merit, it would not be well received by
> the VHF/UHF weak signal crowd! A lot of rover/portable operations
> take place where the station goes out to a rare grid and operates
> during a contest or whatever. They should have the option of using
> LoTW was well! Think of it the same way as if you went to VP5 and
> used the call VP5/WA1GON, same principle except the VHF/UHF crowd
> may only go from EN84 to EN67 and I can guarantee you that a 6m
> station calling CQ from EN67 on a good Es opening shows up that
> would create a pileup that would rival any one that you could hear
> on HF! One of the first awards that LoTW is supposed to support is
> VUCC, and the rovers/expedition stations are a big part of that.

Dennis and the group,

I am not sure I understand how having a portable call helps with grid
or county awards. If I go to another grid then I create a new station
with all the QTH info which includes the grid square, country and state.
That seems much more detailed than having /? on the end and much less
error
prone.

Am I missing something?

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> Darryl,
> While your idea has some merit, it would not be well received by
> the VHF/UHF weak signal crowd! A lot of rover/portable operations
> take place where the station goes out to a rare grid and operates
> during a contest or whatever. They should have the option of using
> LoTW was well! Think of it the same way as if you went to VP5 and
> used the call VP5/WA1GON, same principle except the VHF/UHF crowd
> may only go from EN84 to EN67 and I can guarantee you that a 6m
> station calling CQ from EN67 on a good Es opening shows up that
> would create a pileup that would rival any one that you could hear

> on HF! One of the first awards that LoTW is supposed to support is
> VUCC, and the rovers/expedition stations are a big part of that.

Dennis and the group,

I am not sure I understand how having a portable call helps with grid
or county awards. If I go to another grid then I create a new station
with all the QTH info which includes the grid square, country and state.
That seems much more detailed than having /? on the end and much less
error
prone.

Am I missing something?

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

309. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 16:52:49 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 298 Message: 309

I also see this as a problem. I'm primarily a VHF/UHF operator.
I have many Rover and Portable logs that need to go into LoTW, but
I'm holding off until this problem get resolved.

Also the time problem where I have 2 QSO's with the same station from
different grids within a few seconds as they move from grid to grid.
One of the gets eliminated as a dupe.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 12:01 10/13/2003 , you wrote:
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
>wrote:
>
> > It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls in the
> > same DXCC Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ. It doesn't really mean
> > anything, except to flag the op that the contact isn't where they
> > expect it to be.
>
>Darryl,
> While your idea has some merit, it would not be well received by
>the VHF/UHF weak signal crowd! A lot of rover/portable operations
>take place where the station goes out to a rare grid and operates
>during a contest or whatever. They should have the option of using
>LoTW was well! Think of it the same way as if you went to VP5 and
>used the call VP5/WA1GON, same principle except the VHF/UHF crowd
>may only go from EN84 to EN67 and I can guarantee you that a 6m
>station calling CQ from EN67 on a good Es opening shows up that
>would create a pileup that would rival any one that you could hear
>on HF! One of the first awards that LoTW is supposed to support is
>VUCC, and the rovers/expedition stations are a big part of that.
>
>73
>
>Dennis - WB0WAO
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

310. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 18:00:14 UTC
From: Ron D. Rossi
Topic: 298 Message: 310

Mike, Your last statement is not correct. The contact is not busted for missing a domestic portable designation. (That is not my opinion. It is based on a statement from the log checker.) The FCC has allowed just about anything to follow a "/" at the end of your call when operating domestically. For example you would not need to log KK1L/IMALID were I to send it. You may think I am one, but it is not a required part of my call =:-). Were I to be in QC for SS CW (don't you wish!) I would be required to send KK1L/VE2 and you would be required to log it for credit. LotW needs to work in a similar fashion. -- 73 es God Bless de KK1L...ron (kk1l@...) <>< Ron Rossi ASIC PE Test -- IBM Microelectronics QTH: Jericho, Vermont My page: http://www.qsl.net/kk1l Bounce SPAM! (http://entier.ecosy.com/link/?pbeowo) >Hi Darryl, ... >The other issue is logging policy (or philosophy). If KD8MQ's log >indicates that he sent KD8MQ/3 then your log must also show KD8MQ/3 >otherwise no credit. > >Logging accuracy is an implicit requirement, no automated credit for >busted calls. If the log data disagree then it's up to the two >parties and not the automaton to resolve the discrepency. > >It's not a wholly new concept with LoTW, with the advent of >electronic logs this is how contests are increasingly being scored. >Don't know about the PA QSO party but not logging the /3 would get >you a busted Q in Novemeber SweepStakes for example > >73, >Mike K1MK > > > >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to: >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com > > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/ > > >

311. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 17:46:29 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 298 Message: 311

It would get a busted Q FOR THE CONTEST, but would still be valid for WAS
and other awards. There MUST be a way to handle these things or people will
not use LOTW nearly as much as it should be used.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 11:22 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls
>
>
> Hi Darryl,
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Wagoner" <darryl-prv@s...>
> wrote:
>
> > I don't really have any good answers for this,
> > but it seems like it will be very error prone.
> > At least until folks get use to it.
> >
> > For example I worked the PA QSO party and made contact
> > with KD8MQ/3. He/she could have a cert for KD8MQ with a
> > station record for Armstrong PA or he/she could have a
> > special cert for KD8MQ/3 which would also have a station
> > record which reflect Armstrong PA. Both methods seems
> > to be legal and correct but one will not reflect a match.
>
> Two issues here.
>
> One is at the design level. The requirement is that the call in the
> cert be identical to the call sign used. For better or worse the
> choice was to go with simpler approach rather than the more elegant
> one.
>
> In essence the way one specifies "MY_CALL" for a contact is via the
> call sign on the cert.
>
> > It seems to me that certs should not be issued to / calls
> > in the same DXCC Entity or KD8MQ/3 matches KD8MQ.
>
> The other issue is logging policy (or philosophy). If KD8MQ's log

> indicates that he sent KD8MQ/3 then your log must also show KD8MQ/3
> otherwise no credit.
>
> Logging accuracy is an implicit requirement, no automated credit for
> busted calls. If the log data disagree then it's up to the two
> parties and not the automaton to resolve the discrepency.
>
> It's not a wholly new concept with LoTW, with the advent of
> electronic logs this is how contests are increasingly being scored.
> Don't know about the PA QSO party but not logging the /3 would get
> you a busted Q in Novemeber SweepStakes for example
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

312. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 18:10:04 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 298 Message: 312

I hate to say "me too" but I agree that "/" should be ignored
within the same "country" or any other administrative area
that does not require a unique designator.

When I first moved from 8-land to Florida I had no intention
of changing my call. However, I entered some contests that
used US call areas (0-9) as multipliers and required those
operating outside the call area in their license to sign "/".
Since I had no way to tell retrospectively which contacts
were made with my base call and which were made "/", I had
to upload the entire period in question both ways (fortunately,
it was a relatively short period of time and represented only
about 1K contacts).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 12:07 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls
>
>
> I agree with Darryl on this one. I routinely do NOT record
> the "/3" or similar portable designations. If I work KD8MQ/3
> in he will show up in my log as KD8MQ, with a QTH of Maryland
> (or where ever in 3-land he tells me he is.) Consequently
> enforcing a match to the "/3" designator means he will never
> get a Minnesota QSL from me (I don't need a Maryland QSL).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID
>

313. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 17:17:35 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 298 Message: 313

I don't think so, Gil.

I routinely do NOT include the "/0" style portable designators when contesting. There are a lot of them heard during Sweepstakes, for instance, and I've never had a busted-Q reported for this practice. (And yes, I do read my UBN reports religiously.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
>
> It would get a busted Q FOR THE CONTEST, but would still be valid for WAS
> and other awards.

314. error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 18:26:12 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 314 Message: 314

I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up load to LoTW and received the following error message.

Field name overflow line 16

I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines) and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez

I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.

Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong with the field name?

Julio, W4HY

315. Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 19:11:01 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 298 Message: 315

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ron D. Rossi" <kk1l@a...> wrote:

> Your last statement is not correct. The contact is not busted
> for missing a domestic portable designation. (That is not my
> opinion. It is based on a statement from the log checker.)

Duhhhhh! What was I thinking when I wrote that!

Of course both ARRL & CQ log checking treat portable designators in a significantly >>more<< flexible manner than does LoTW. I knew that :-) Apologies to Tree et al. for my getting that one screwed up so bad.

73, Mike K1MK

316. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 20:41:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 298 Message: 316

I am glad to here that. I just believed the previous post. That is an even bigger reason that LOTW must change to accept this. We have an untenable situation now.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 12:18 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Portable Calls
>
>
> I don't think so, Gil.
>
> I routinely do NOT include the "/0" style portable designators when
> contesting. There are a lot of them heard during Sweepstakes, for
> instance, and I've never had a busted-Q reported for this practice. (And
> yes, I do read my UBN reports religiously.)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
> >
> > It would get a busted Q FOR THE CONTEST, but would still be
> valid for WAS
> > and other awards.
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

317. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-13 23:38:39 UTC
From: Marty Ray
Topic: 129 Message: 317

On Monday, October 13, 2003, at 05:08 AM, Darryl Wagoner wrote:

> I don't think that it can be done effectively
> where it will be compatible across C++/C/Delphi/VB/Unix/Linux/Macs etc
> without using language depend constructs

Speaking of Macs, is anyone working on LOTW code for the Mac? Perhaps this has already been discussed here and I have missed it.

Regards,

Marty Ray
N9SE

318. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 00:00:22 UTC
From: darryl-prv@shecora.com
Topic: 129 Message: 318

Hi,

In the next few months I am going to try to compile on Mac OSX, but I
don't have a lot of time to work on it. I will keep you posted.

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Marty Ray [mailto:n9se@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 7:39 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or
> lack thereof
>
>
>
> On Monday, October 13, 2003, at 05:08 AM, Darryl Wagoner wrote:
>
> > I don't think that it can be done effectively
> > where it will be compatible across
> C++/C/Delphi/VB/Unix/Linux/Macs etc
> > without using language depend constructs
>
> Speaking of Macs, is anyone working on LOTW code for the Mac? Perhaps
> this has already been discussed here and I have missed it.
>
> Regards,
>
> Marty Ray
> N9SE
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Rent DVDs Online - Over 14,500
> titles. No Late Fees & Free Shipping. Try Netflix for FREE!

> http://us.click.yahoo.com/JYdFFC/XP.FAA/3jkFAA> /ELTolB/TM
>
>
> ---
> --------~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

319. mobile calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 03:16:42 UTC
From: Mike Cornwall
Topic: 319 Message: 319

Hello all,

I've been lurking/listening to the multiple call sign debate a bit here (I learn a lot that way) and I have a question for the group...

First, I've yet to upload or do any LOTW-related stuff yet. Most of my operating has been from home so KB9WQJ was what I sent. However, I commute over to 0-land and do some mobile as KB9WQJ/0/M (spoken as "mobile zero"). I also did some mobile while on vacation both as KB9WQJ/4 (spoken as "KB9WQJ mobile 4") and KB9WQJ/4 (spoken as "KB9WQJ portable 4") from the beach on a kite lofted wire. I also have some plain old KB9WQJ/M while mobile in 9-land.

I use Win-EQF for general station logging and it has a place for Operator which I have always filled in as KB9WQJ. It has enough space for KB9WQJ/# but not enough for KB9WQJ/M/#. And I tried an ADIF export of some log files after changing one of them to operator KB9WQJ/M and I noticed that nowhere in the ADIF file did I see my call listed. One of the emails here had indicated something about a MY_CALL field. I saw no such field in the output ADIF. So the only other recourse I have is to place such data in the Comment field. Notes seems to be too hidden. I have cc'd Mr. Dandrea N3EQF in the hopes he has an idea...Tom, I know you're a busy guy what with the excellent support you provide...this is not an urgent thing. I'm just sort of exploring the idea of uploading to LOTW at present. I may wait until things settle down a bit and people get more experience with all of the different scenarios first and work out ways of dealing with them.

So essentially, I'm clueless. Should I break my log into several different logs? This might be a hassle since I would like to combine them into some sort of master log so I would have a master log with everything and smaller logs for each of the possible calls: KB9WQJ, KB9WQJ/M, KB9WQJ/0/M, KB9WQJ/4, and KB9WQJ/4/M. Or should I keep it all in one log and split it up only for uploads? Or is there some other strategy I haven't thought of?

What does the group here think would be the best course of action, especially in light of future logbook uploading requirements? What strategy should I use to ensufre a minimum of having to torque the data around later to fit rather than organizing it correctly now while it is still relatively smaller in aggregate?

Thanks in advance for any direction. I hate to do what seems right now only to find out later that I have to do some changing. There doesn't seem to be too much about it yet on the Win-EQF message board at eqf-software.com.

73 all and thanks again.

****
Mike Cornwall R.Ph.
KB9WQJ
mccornwall@... (primary-personal)
cornmc@... (work)
kb9wqj@... (alias for primary)
public key for encryption for use only with home email upon
request or at the <http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/>MIT PGP Keyserver
RKBA! - The Right to Keep & Bear Arms is a Fundamental Human Right!
****

320. Version 1.09

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 02:28:44 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 320 Message: 320

TQSL version 1.09 is available for download at the ARRL LOTW web site.

73, Hans, K0HB

321. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] mobile calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 03:37:57 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 319 Message: 321

Mike,

This is a very good question and I look forward to someone answering it. I sent virtually this same request to the ARRL and have not received the courtesy of a response (2 weeks). I too operate mobile on a frequent basis and have operated through Wyoming, Montana, South Dakota, Nebraska and my home State, Missouri. For DXCC, mobile contacts will still count since they are in the same region, however, for WAS, the only ones that count are within (15 miles, I believe) from the home address. So, what do I do with my mobile log? Do I create a separate LOTW logbook for mobile only? If I do, how do I get credit for DXCC? If I don't, how do I keep WAS accurate?

For DXBase 2004, it is easy. I can create a separate log but still end up with the same issue above. Any input from all you mobile operators would sure help.

Thanks in advance.

Bill
KF0OH
----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Cornwall" <mccornwall@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <n3eqf@...>
Sent: Monday, October 13, 2003 10:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] mobile calls

> Hello all,
>
> I've been lurking/listening to the multiple call sign debate a bit here (I
> learn a lot that way) and I have a question for the group...
>
> First, I've yet to upload or do any LOTW-related stuff yet. Most of my
> operating has been from home so KB9WQJ was what I sent. However, I
commute
> over to 0-land and do some mobile as KB9WQJ/0/M (spoken as "mobile
> zero"). I also did some mobile while on vacation both as KB9WQJ/4 (spoken
> as "KB9WQJ mobile 4") and KB9WQJ/4 (spoken as "KB9WQJ portable 4") from
the
> beach on a kite lofted wire. I also have some plain old KB9WQJ/M while
> mobile in 9-land.
>
> I use Win-EQF for general station logging and it has a place for Operator
> which I have always filled in as KB9WQJ. It has enough space for KB9WQJ/#
> but not enough for KB9WQJ/M/#. And I tried an ADIF export of some log
> files after changing one of them to operator KB9WQJ/M and I noticed that

> nowhere in the ADIF file did I see my call listed. One of the emails here
> had indicated something about a MY_CALL field. I saw no such field in the
> output ADIF. So the only other recourse I have is to place such data in
> the Comment field. Notes seems to be too hidden. I have cc'd Mr. Dandrea
> N3EQF in the hopes he has an idea...Tom, I know you're a busy guy what
with
> the excellent support you provide...this is not an urgent thing. I'm just
> sort of exploring the idea of uploading to LOTW at present. I may wait
> until things settle down a bit and people get more experience with all of
> the different scenarios first and work out ways of dealing with them.
>
> So essentially, I'm clueless. Should I break my log into several
> different logs? This might be a hassle since I would like to combine them
> into some sort of master log so I would have a master log with everything
> and smaller logs for each of the possible calls: KB9WQJ, KB9WQJ/M,
> KB9WQJ/0/M, KB9WQJ/4, and KB9WQJ/4/M. Or should I keep it all in one log
> and split it up only for uploads? Or is there some other strategy I
> haven't thought of?
>
> What does the group here think would be the best course of action,
> especially in light of future logbook uploading requirements? What
> strategy should I use to ensufre a minimum of having to torque the data
> around later to fit rather than organizing it correctly now while it is
> still relatively smaller in aggregate?
>
> Thanks in advance for any direction. I hate to do what seems right now
> only to find out later that I have to do some changing. There doesn't
seem
> to be too much about it yet on the Win-EQF message board at
eqf-software.com.
>
> 73 all and thanks again.
>
>
****
****
> Mike Cornwall R.Ph.
> KB9WQJ
> mccornwall@... (primary-personal)
> cornmc@... (work)
> kb9wqj@... (alias for primary)
> public key for encryption for use only with home email upon
> request or at the <http://pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371/>MIT PGP Keyserver
> RKBA! - The Right to Keep & Bear Arms is a Fundamental Human Right!
>
****
****
>
>
>

> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

322. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] mobile calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 04:32:09 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 319 Message: 322

At 11:16 PM 10/13/03, Mike Cornwall wrote:

>And I tried an ADIF export of some log files after changing one of them to
>operator KB9WQJ/M and I noticed that nowhere in the ADIF file did I see my
>call listed.

If your call sign does not appear in the ADIF file, don't worry.

Your call sign does not have to appear in the ADIF file. LoTW interprets
the call sign on the cert used to sign the upload as the call sign that was
used for those QSOs.

The way LoTW works today it is necessary to have multiple certs, one for
each call sign variant used.

>One of the emails here had indicated something about a MY_CALL field. I
>saw no such field in the output ADIF.

That was me. Again, don't worry, your call doesn't need to be in the ADIF
file.

>Should I break my log into several different logs?

That's not necessary. Do what you're comfortable doing. You can continue to
keep a master log of everything if that's the easier way for you to work
with your logging program

For LoTW you will be need to be able to write out separate ADIF files for
the QSOs made with each call variant, then sign and upload them separately
using the cert corresponding to the call sign used.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

323. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 05:16:52 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 129 Message: 323

At 07:38 PM 10/13/03, Marty Ray wrote:

>Speaking of Macs, is anyone working on LOTW code for the Mac? Perhaps
>this has already been discussed here and I have missed it.

Todd, K7EMI is working on a couple of ports of TrustedQSL and tqslllib. He is, in his own words, "still in the middle of fiddling with MacOSX"

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

324. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 11:23:27 UTC
From: Gil W0MN
Topic: 298 Message: 324

Gil WOMN (PDA Mail)
Hierro Candente Batir de Repente
http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron

"James Shryne, N6DHZ" <n6dhz@...>
was written successfully
> I know you need to have a cert for both yourcall and yourcall/m but do you

> need to upload your log for both yourcall and yourcall/m or does LoTW know

> that they are the same for matching purposes.
>
1. How does it know?
2.How does it know they are DXCC eligable but NOT WAS?

FULL EXACT documentation is SORELY needed here. How about a real user guide
withall possibilities covered?

>
>
>
> 73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
> James Shryne
> n6dhz@...
> www.qsl.net/n6dhz
> www.scdxc.org
> 2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

325. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Version 1.09

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 11:10:08 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 320 Message: 325

Hans :
i use Paper Chasers Log.. which was Drew's old DXLOG. i started out with tqsl ver 1.06 had problems with it taking the adif file. then updated to ver 1.07 better but still lots of problems looping ect. updated again. ver 1.08 data length overflow then looping. last night updated to ver 1.09 well the looping and crashing stopped. but it found errors in every qso file. looked at the adif file that mark uses and it all looks good. imported it to Logger 7.09 and it took it. but TQSL will not take it and sign it. running XP PRO . wonder if anyone else has been having problems like this ? guess i need to find who to contact at ARRL and see if i can get this worked out. i only entered 336 qso's as i did not want to enter 40k until it was all working and debugged.
73 Tony wa4jqs

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
South Sandwich Island Antarctic Dxpedition Group
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/K4C WA4JQS/ZS1
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35

326. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Portable Calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 12:19:44 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 298 Message: 326

Gil WOMN (PDA Mail)
Hierro Candente Batir de Repente
http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron

"James Shryne, N6DHZ" <n6dhz@...>
was written successfully
> I know you need to have a cert for both yourcall and yourcall/m but do you

> need to upload your log for both yourcall and yourcall/m or does LoTW know

> that they are the same for matching purposes.
>
1. How does it know?
2.How does it know they are DXCC eligable but NOT WAS?

FULL EXACT documentation is SORELY needed here. How about a real user guide
withall possibilities covered?

>
>
>
> 73 & Good DX DE N6DHZ
> James Shryne
> n6dhz@...
> www.qsl.net/n6dhz
> www.scdxc.org
> 2004 International DX Convention: www.scdxc.org/visalia
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

327. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Common ground! was Re: LOTW API, or lack thereof

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 15:20:24 UTC
From: John E Bastin, K8AJS
Topic: 129 Message: 327

At 18:38 -0500 10_13_2003, Marty Ray wrote:
>
>On Monday, October 13, 2003, at 05:08 AM, Darryl Wagoner wrote:
>
>> I don't think that it can be done effectively
>> where it will be compatible across C++/C/Delphi/VB/Unix/Linux/Macs etc
>> without using language depend constructs
>
>Speaking of Macs, is anyone working on LOTW code for the Mac? Perhaps
>this has already been discussed here and I have missed it.

Bill Myers, K1GQ, took a look at the TrustedQSL code with the idea of
getting something working on the Mac.

This is part of what he posted on the Ham-Mac mailing list:

At 23:19 -0400 10_07_2003, Willard Myers wrote:
>
>Last night I built tqsllib on Mac OS X. For the developers among
>you: tqsllib requires installing the expat library (from internet
>sources); the version I found had a problem in its header file.
>tqsllib also requires OpenSSL, which is already installed on Mac OS
>X, but the provided support files don't find it. Both problems
>aren't hard to work around.
>
>The tqsllib package includes a handful of 'testing' command-line
>applications. Once I figured out that the code is looking for the
>TQSLDIR environment variable (not TQSLLIB as documented in the
>README), I got a few of the test routines to run: dumtqsldata,
>gen_crq, and load_cert. station_loc prints a unhelpful abort
>message, and I haven't tried converter.
>
>IMHO, no self-respecting Mac user would put up with these apps --
>they need to be run in Terminal with unfamiliar incantations to set
>up the 'environment'. I don't think it would be productive for me to
>post them anywhere. If a developer wants assistance building
>libtqsllib.a, I would be happy to help with that.
>
>tqsllib-app has some rudimentary Windows apps which provide UIs for
>the tqsllib functions. These are of no use to Macintosh users. An
>experienced Mac developer might want to look at them before
>developing a Mac OS equivalent; I believe the Windows
>implementations use the WxWindows tool kit, which is also available
>on Mac OS X.
>
>I've no clue how all this plays out on OS 9; I don't even run

>Classic any more. I doubt if it would nearly as easy, since both
>packages are heavily linux-flavored.

Anyone who is interested in developing LOTW for the Mac (please,
please!) might want to sign on to the Ham-Mac mailing list

http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/ham-mac

Of the lists I'm on, that seems to be the one where most of the
discussion on this topic is going on.

73,
--
_
/~\ The ASCII | J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
\ / Ribbon Campaign | jbastin@... K8AJS@...
X Against HTML | http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
/ \ Email! |

328. Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 18:05:43 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 314 Message: 328

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
wrote:
> I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> load to LoTW and received the following error message.
>
> Field name overflow line 16
>
> I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
>
> I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
>
> Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> with the field name?

Julio,

tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
blank lines.

Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
looks odd there.

73,
Mike K1MK

P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.

329. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-14 20:49:07 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 314 Message: 329

Mike I counted down 16 records and this is the line in question. Can you see anything wrong in it?

<call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000 <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ <tx_pwr:3:n>500

<address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse

Box 01-35

San Salvador

El Salvador, C. A.

<cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS1 <eor>

Thanks Julio, W4HY

----- Original Message -----
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 2:05 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
wrote:
> I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> load to LoTW and received the following error message.
>
> Field name overflow line 16
>
> I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
>
> I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
>
> Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> with the field name?

Julio,

tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
blank lines.

Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
looks odd there.

73,
Mike K1MK

P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

330. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Version 1.09

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 04:15:56 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 320 Message: 330

Tony,

At 07:10 AM 10/14/03, Anthony W. DePrato wrote:

>last night updated to ver 1.09 well the looping and crashing stopped. but
>it found errors in every qso file.

The specific error message(s) and an example of one of the records that's
giving tQSL heartburn would be helpful in diagnosing the problem.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

331. LoTW Progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 04:59:11 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 331 Message: 331

Well I finally received my password postcard today and was able to successfully upload to lotw. Now awaiting certificate attachement to the old email. Getting close now and so far so good. Took exactly 8 days to get post card from request.

332. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 09:57:29 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 331 Message: 332

On Wed, Oct 15, 2003 at 04:59:11AM -0000, tad wrote:
> Well I finally received my password postcard today and was able to
> successfully upload to lotw. Now awaiting certificate attachement to
> the old email. Getting close now and so far so good. Took exactly 8
> days to get post card from request.

I just got my cert today. I posted off my ID (from Australia) last
Tuesday and so it got to the US via post and processed by the ARRL in
about 7 days. Not bad at all.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

333. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 13:20:59 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 333

Hi Julio,
I don't know for sure what it going on there. Here is a similar contact
that I sent to LoTW and it went through fine. It was exported as ADIF
from Logic 6. Perhaps you can stare and compare and find something
wrong. What logging program and version are you using?
73,
Chris, AC?M

<eoh>
<call:6>YS2MRL <qso_date:8:d>20021013 <time_on:4>1641 <rst_sent:2>59
<rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:13>JOSE M RIVERA <qth:11>EL SALVADOR <mode:3>SSB
<freq:5:n>24.94 <band:3>12M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y
<comment:8>DXCCSUB: <operator:4>AC0M <tx_pwr:3:n>100

<address:63:m>JOSE MARIA RIVERA LEMUS
PO BOX 252
SANTA ANA
EL SALVADOR

<cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS2 <qslrdate:8>20021107
<qslsdate:8>20021013 <eor>

Julio Peralta wrote:

> Mike I counted down 16 records and this is the line in question. Can you see anything
wrong in it?
>
> <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000 <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59
<name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74
<qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ <tx_pwr:3:n>500
>
> <address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse
>
> Box 01-35
>
> San Salvador
>
> El Salvador, C. A.
>
> <cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS1 <eor>
>
>
>
> Thanks Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Michael Keane, K1MK
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 2:05 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
> wrote:
> > I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> > load to LoTW and received the following error message.
> >
> > Field name overflow line 16
> >
> > I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> > and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
> >
> > I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> > <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
> >
> > Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> > with the field name?
>
> Julio,
>
> tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
> blank lines.
>
> Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
> looks odd there.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
> file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

--

334. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 13:30:51 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 334

One thing I did notice after I looked at your submission and mine is
that the colon is missing after DXCCSUB. It should read DXCCSUB: The
count for that item shows <comment:8> DXCCSUB and there are only seven
characters instead of eight. Don't know if that would do it or not.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Julio Peralta wrote:

> Mike I counted down 16 records and this is the line in question. Can you see anything
wrong in it?
>
> <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000 <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59
<name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74
<qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ <tx_pwr:3:n>500
>
> <address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse
>
> Box 01-35
>
> San Salvador
>
> El Salvador, C. A.
>
> <cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS1 <eor>
>
>
>
> Thanks Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 2:05 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
> wrote:
> > I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> > load to LoTW and received the following error message.
> >
> > Field name overflow line 16
> >

> > I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> > and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
> >
> > I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> > <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
> >
> > Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> > with the field name?
>
> Julio,
>
> tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
> blank lines.
>
> Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
> looks odd there.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
> file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

335. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 13:39:07 UTC
From: kuft schmull
Topic: 314 Message: 335

Looks like " DXCCSUB" is the value for 'comment' and it is 8
characters wide. Don't forget to count the space after ":8>"

--- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
> One thing I did notice after I looked at your submission and mine
> is
> that the colon is missing after DXCCSUB. It should read DXCCSUB:
> The
> count for that item shows <comment:8> DXCCSUB and there are only
> seven
> characters instead of eight. Don't know if that would do it or not.
> --
> > <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000
> <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN
> <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y
> <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ
> <tx_pwr:3:n>500

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
http://shopping.yahoo.com

336. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 13:46:15 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 336

Yep, that is true -- but there should not be a space after :8> and there
should be a colon after DXCCSUB: The line should read :8>DXCCSUB:
So, don't know if that is the problem or not but it is worth fixing and
uploading again to find out.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

kuft schmull wrote:

> Looks like " DXCCSUB" is the value for 'comment' and it is 8
> characters wide. Don't forget to count the space after ":8>"
>
> --- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
> > One thing I did notice after I looked at your submission and mine
> > is
> > that the colon is missing after DXCCSUB. It should read DXCCSUB:
> > The
> > count for that item shows <comment:8> DXCCSUB and there are only
> > seven
> > characters instead of eight. Don't know if that would do it or not.
> > --
> > > <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000
> > <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN
> > <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y
> > <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ
> > <tx_pwr:3:n>500
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
> http://shopping.yahoo.com
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524
963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&pr
op=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

337. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:04:03 UTC
From: kuft schmull
Topic: 314 Message: 337

Ok Chris. Is DXCCSUB to be considered as a field tag or as a piece of information?

According to the currently valid ADIF specs I know of, DXCCSUB is no known field tag.

Norby

--- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
> Yep, that is true -- but there should not be a space after :8> and
> there
> should be a colon after DXCCSUB: The line should read :8>DXCCSUB:
>
> So, don't know if that is the problem or not but it is worth fixing
> and
> uploading again to find out.
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
> kuft schmull wrote:
>
> > Looks like " DXCCSUB" is the value for 'comment' and it is 8
> > characters wide. Don't forget to count the space after ":8>"
> >
> > --- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
> > > One thing I did notice after I looked at your submission and
> mine
> > > is
> > > that the colon is missing after DXCCSUB. It should read
> DXCCSUB:
> > > The
> > > count for that item shows <comment:8> DXCCSUB and there are
> only
> > > seven
> > > characters instead of eight. Don't know if that would do it or
> not.
> > > --
> > > > <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000
> > > <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO
> <qth:3>SAN
> > > <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74
> <qsl_sent:1>Y
> > > <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ
> > > <tx_pwr:3:n>500

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
http://shopping.yahoo.com

338. Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:09:59 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 314 Message: 338

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "C.M. Christensen"
<cchrisac0m@c...> wrote:

> Yep, that is true -- but there should not be a space after :8>

Well " DXCCSUB" is eight characters long so the field is
syntactically correct. In this case that's all that tQSL cares about.

> there should be a colon after DXCCSUB:

Okay. But COMMENT is an unsigned field for LoTW purposes so it's
value is ignored by tQSL.

The error message from tQSL has to do with what tQSL thinks is a mal-
formed field: tQSL encountered a "<" but didn't find a ":" or a ">"
in the next 64 characters.

I sent Julio another message off list. To get the record we're
dicussing Julio said that he read down 16 "records". If that's
literally correct we're still not looking in the right place.

The perhaps less than totally helpful error message from tQSL is
giving us the error location in terms of line breaks (new lines
chars) not ADIF records.

73,
Mike K1MK

339. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:16:00 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 339

Yes, DXCCSUB: is a user installed bit of information in Logic to
indicate that the card has been submitted and accepted for the DXCC
award with ARRL so the logging software can track it for awards purposes.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

kuft schmull wrote:
> Ok Chris. Is DXCCSUB to be considered as a field tag or as a piece of
> information?
>
> According to the currently valid ADIF specs I know of, DXCCSUB is no
> known field tag.
>
> Norby
>
> --- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
>
>>Yep, that is true -- but there should not be a space after :8> and
>>there
>>should be a colon after DXCCSUB: The line should read :8>DXCCSUB:
>>
>>So, don't know if that is the problem or not but it is worth fixing
>>and
>>uploading again to find out.
>>--
>>73,
>>Chris, AC?M
>>
>>kuft schmull wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Looks like " DXCCSUB" is the value for 'comment' and it is 8
>>>characters wide. Don't forget to count the space after ":8>"
>>>
>>>--- "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
>>> > One thing I did notice after I looked at your submission and
>>
>>mine
>>
>>> > is
>>> > that the colon is missing after DXCCSUB. It should read
>>
>>DXCCSUB:
>>

>>> > The
>>> > count for that item shows <comment:8> DXCCSUB and there are
>>
>>only
>>
>>> > seven
>>> > characters instead of eight. Don't know if that would do it or
>>
>>not.
>>
>>> > --
>>> > > <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000
>>> > <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO
>>
>><qth:3>SAN
>>
>>> > <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74
>>
>><qsl_sent:1>Y
>>
>>> > <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ
>>> > <tx_pwr:3:n>500
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
> http://shopping.yahoo.com
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

340. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:23:57 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 314 Message: 340

I'm using LOGic6 V6.0.163. I looked over both your line and mine and don't see any glaring difference. with the exception of the : you mentioned in your next post. However a couple of lines of data before which were accepted are the same!!!!

What happens if I say to ignore the error?

Julio, W4HY

Julio
----- Original Message -----
From: C.M. Christensen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2003 9:20 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Hi Julio,
I don't know for sure what it going on there. Here is a similar contact
that I sent to LoTW and it went through fine. It was exported as ADIF
from Logic 6. Perhaps you can stare and compare and find something
wrong. What logging program and version are you using?
73,
Chris, ACØM

<eoh>
<call:6>YS2MRL <qso_date:8:d>20021013 <time_on:4>1641 <rst_sent:2>59
<rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:13>JOSE M RIVERA <qth:11>EL SALVADOR <mode:3>SSB
<freq:5:n>24.94 <band:3>12M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y
<comment:8>DXCCSUB: <operator:4>AC0M <tx_pwr:3:n>100

<address:63:m>JOSE MARIA RIVERA LEMUS
PO BOX 252
SANTA ANA
EL SALVADOR

<cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS2 <qslrdate:8>20021107
<qslsdate:8>20021013 <eor>

Julio Peralta wrote:

> Mike I counted down 16 records and this is the line in question. Can you see anything
wrong in it?
>
> <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000 <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59
<name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74
<qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ <tx_pwr:3:n>500
>
> <address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse

>
> Box 01-35
>
> San Salvador
>
> El Salvador, C. A.
>
> <cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS1 <eor>
>
>
>
> Thanks Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 2:05 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
> wrote:
> > I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> > load to LoTW and received the following error message.
> >
> > Field name overflow line 16
> >
> > I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> > and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
> >
> > I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> > <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
> >
> > Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> > with the field name?
>
> Julio,
>
> tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
> blank lines.
>
> Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
> looks odd there.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
> file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

--

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

341. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:26:38 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 341

Yep, you are right on the money Mike -- I forgot about that being an unsigned field. Well, at least he can fix his data base in Logic, hi. It is a bit difficult to find exactly where the error is and it looks as if you hit it correctly when you said he was probably still on the wrong line. I went through a couple of these myself before I found the right one.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "C.M. Christensen"
> <cchrisac0m@c...> wrote:
>
> > Yep, that is true -- but there should not be a space after :8>
>
> Well " DXCCSUB" is eight characters long so the field is
> syntactically correct. In this case that's all that tQSL cares about.
>
> > there should be a colon after DXCCSUB:
>
> Okay. But COMMENT is an unsigned field for LoTW purposes so it's
> value is ignored by tQSL.
>
> The error message from tQSL has to do with what tQSL thinks is a mal-
> formed field: tQSL encountered a "<" but didn't find a ":" or a ">"
> in the next 64 characters.
>
> I sent Julio another message off list. To get the record we're
> dicussing Julio said that he read down 16 "records". If that's
> literally correct we're still not looking in the right place.
>
> The perhaps less than totally helpful error message from tQSL is
> giving us the error location in terms of line breaks (new lines
> chars) not ADIF records.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595055/R=0/SIG=124j83ehr/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595055>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

342. Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:30:30 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 314 Message: 342

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
wrote:
>
> What happens if I say to ignore the error?
>

Try it and see.

ADIF is very robust so it's likely to recover.

If not, the subsequent error message may be more illuminating in
locating the trouble.

73,
Mike K1MK

343. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:34:17 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 314 Message: 343

If you are getting the error message when you are signing the qsos and
you check yes to ignore the error it will continue to sign the rest and
simply list the errors as they occur. You can then scrool through them
to see what it wrong and fix it. Just don't send the .tq8 file in until
you have them all corrected.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Julio Peralta wrote:

> I'm using LOGic6 V6.0.163. I looked over both your line and mine and don't see any
glaring difference. with the exception of the : you mentioned in your next post. However a
couple of lines of data before which were accepted are the same!!!!
>
> What happens if I say to ignore the error?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
> Julio
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: C.M. Christensen
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2003 9:20 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message
>
>
> Hi Julio,
> I don't know for sure what it going on there. Here is a similar contact
> that I sent to LoTW and it went through fine. It was exported as ADIF
> from Logic 6. Perhaps you can stare and compare and find something
> wrong. What logging program and version are you using?
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
> <eoh>
> <call:6>YS2MRL <qso_date:8:d>20021013 <time_on:4>1641 <rst_sent:2>59
> <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:13>JOSE M RIVERA <qth:11>EL SALVADOR <mode:3>SSB
> <freq:5:n>24.94 <band:3>12M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y
> <comment:8>DXCCSUB: <operator:4>AC0M <tx_pwr:3:n>100
>
> <address:63:m>JOSE MARIA RIVERA LEMUS
> PO BOX 252
> SANTA ANA

> EL SALVADOR
>
>
> <cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS2 <qslrdate:8>20021107
> <qslsdate:8>20021013 <eor>
>
>
> Julio Peralta wrote:
>
> > Mike I counted down 16 records and this is the line in question. Can you see anything wrong in it?
> >
> > <call:6>YS1ECB <qso_date:8:d>19830118 <time_on:6>185000 <rst_sent:2>59 <rst_rcvd:2>59 <name:15>AUGUSTO EDGARDO <qth:3>SAN <mode:3>USB <freq:5:n>50.11 <band:2>6M <dxcc:2:n>74 <qsl_sent:1>Y <qsl_rcvd:1>Y <comment:8> DXCCSUB <operator:6>WB4OOJ <tx_pwr:3:n>500
> > <address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse
> > <address:80:m>Augusto Edgardo Antonio Castro Bruse
> > Box 01-35
> > San Salvador
> > El Salvador, C. A.
> > <cont:2>NA <cqz:1>7 <ituz:2>11 <pfx:3>YS1 <eor>
> >
> >
> > Thanks Julio, W4HY
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Michael Keane, K1MK
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 2:05 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
> > wrote:
> > > I just tried to sign a second group of QSO in preparation to up
> > > load to LoTW and received the following error message.
> > >
> > > Field name overflow line 16
> > >
> > > I counted down 16 lines of text (I did not include blank lines)
> > > and found <address:50:m> Erik Roy Jimenez
> > >
> > > I checked the file I sent yesterday and the field name
> > > <address:nn:m> seems to me to be the same.
> > >

> > > Did I count the lines correctly and if I did what is wrong
> > > with the field name?
> >
> > Julio,
> >
> > tQSL is reporting an error on the 16th line that it read including
> > blank lines.
> >
> > Try counting down 16 lines including the blanks and see if anything
> > looks odd there.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike K1MK
> >
> > P.S. Don't get mislead by wrapped/folded lines. The lines in an ADIF
> > file could be longer than the window that you use to display the file.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
>
> --
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

344. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 14:43:07 UTC
From: kuft schmull
Topic: 314 Message: 344

> If not, the subsequent error message may be more illuminating in
> locating the trouble.

Is it wishful thinking to display the complete "offending" record
and/instead of the line number. Since all records end in <EOR>, this
should be a piece of cake to implement.

This would avoid a lot (!) of trouble for unexperienced and
experienced users.

I made a similar suggestion during beta, but in vain.

There were other issues, no-one ever responded and I didn't check
anymore. Maybe, some day, if it gets stable.

Norby

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
http://shopping.yahoo.com

345. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 16:37:21 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 314 Message: 345

<comment:8> DXCCSUB ?????? should this be 7 instead of 8
73 Tony

346. Confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 16:45:59 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 346 Message: 346

Thanks to HC8N and His crew for uploading logs. I looked this morning and had 5 new confirmations on 5 bands from HC8N.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

347. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 17:07:48 UTC
From: James Shryne, N6DHZ
Topic: 346 Message: 347

At 10/15/03 09:45 AM - Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson wrote:
>Thanks to HC8N and His crew for uploading logs. I looked this morning and
>had 5 new confirmations on 5 bands from HC8N.
>
>73
>Mike, W5UC
>Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill

I will second that.

73 & Good DX
James Shryne, N6DHZ
n6dhz@...
www.scdxc.org / www.qsl.net/n6dhz
2004 International DX Convention www.scdxc.org/visalia

348. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 17:51:57 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 314 Message: 348

Thanks to everybody for the help.

Tony the data you are referring to appears several other times in the ADIF file and has not generated any other errors. I think the space before the Letter D counts as well, which would make it 8.

In the mean time I re-ran the signing process and answered Y to ignore errors. The process generated a list of 9 errors. Each of errors 3-9 were followed with information that explained the errors. A couple were bad calls and a few were bad time formats. I used word pad and did a search for the bad record and corrected them. I then re-ran the signing process and all but the first 2 errors went away. The 2 remaining errors are the original one

Error: ADIF field name length overflow on line 16

and the new one

Error: Invalid amateur CALL () on line 16

Again looking at line 16 the call looks OK to me. Regarding the 1st error wouldn't the verbiage "ADIF field name" refer to the information before the data?

BTW as an aside I tried to use the TQSL editor and was not able to get it to function. Anyone had that problem?

You know I think I need a nap.

Julio
----- Original Message -----
From: Anthony W. DePrato
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2003 12:37 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

<comment:8> DXCCSUB ?????? should this be 7 instead of 8
73 Tony
Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

349. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 17:55:45 UTC
From: kuft schmull
Topic: 314 Message: 349

Tony,

> <comment:8> DXCCSUB ?????? should this be 7 instead of 8

Nope, counting starts right after the ">" until the end of the field,
whereas the end could be spaces as well.

Norby

Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Shopping - with improved product search
http://shopping.yahoo.com

350. Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 18:14:06 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 350 Message: 350

Hello Friends,

I received my certificate from ARRL yesterday. Uploaded most of my contacts as SP7PS, SP7NMW (my old call) and SN7N. There are over 1100 QSOs confirmed. Thanks a lot and glad to see each time I connect to LoTW server the number is growing up.

Now about the problem. I downloaded "QSL Report" in ADIF file. Next imported it to new log in DX4WIN to see the number of countries, zones, IOTAs, etc and found some errors. But the errors are made NOT by LoTW nor DX4WIN, but by other station errors. I checked several station with error message shown by DX4WIN and I saw, that some people filled their station details WRONG in "TQSL" Station Location.

Forgive me, I will use the real callsign but it would be better to explain. Also the listed guys could check their setup in TQSL software.

Lets see:

1. Wrong ZONE information in user TQSL Station information:
- OK2ZC filled his CQ Zone as "28 and ITU Zone "15". It should be other way.....
- Similar with ON4CAS: you filled CQ Zone"40" instead of "14"
- The same error with AF4Z, W4OX and several others - you told you live in CQZone "8".....
- There are some US stations, that DX4WIN tells it should be zone "5" instead of "4" filled by LoTW user

2. Wrong IOTA number
This error was made by user in IOTA number. For example V26TT filled the number as "100" instead of NA-100

3. Some Canadian stations filled STATE information, that are not recognized by DX4WIN. For example VY2RO filled PE, but DX4WIN doesn't know state PE.

4. Wrong COUNTY of US stations
W4OX tells, his county is MIAMI-DADE. It is OK, but my logging software doesnt know this county. It knows MIAMI DADE, without "-" mark.

Probably there are more errors in contacts and I found that they are caused by two things: operator's error in TQSL Setup and possibility to write different names of county, IOTA, etc by user. Could it be better, if TQSL includes list of IOTA, counties, etc and user doesn't fill the name manually with his own names but choose the right name from the list? That way would be less different names or mistakes.

See, what will happened if all datas send to LoTW are not verificated by ARRL staff. I could eccidentialy write my CQ Zone as "1" (instead of 15). All European stations I worked RTTY 80 mtrs are happy, that zone "1" is worked and confirmed this band and mode. Will ARRL accept this "zone 1" for award? Probably yes, because noone will chech hundred thousents contacts for errors caused by wrong station location.

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl

351. Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 18:55:26 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 63 Message: 351

Just for grins I deleted the whole line 16 from the ADIF file and ran the signing process.

The same errors appeared. I examined the lines before and after line 16 and still can't find anything.

I wonder if I should delete this ADIF file and create another and see if it goes away.

Julio, W4HY

352. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 19:04:58 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 63 Message: 352

Sounds like a great idea Julio -- let us know how you make out. Did you
get your nap yet???
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Julio Peralta wrote:

> Just for grins I deleted the whole line 16 from the ADIF file and ran the signing
process.
>
> The same errors appeared. I examined the lines before and after line 16 and still can't
find anything.
>
> I wonder if I should delete this ADIF file and create another and see if it goes away.
>
> Julio, W4HY

353. Re: Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 20:15:45 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 350 Message: 353

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Pawel SP7PS" <pawel@s...> wrote:

Good stuff Pawel.

> Lets see:
>
> 1. Wrong ZONE information in user TQSL Station information:

> - There are some US stations, that DX4WIN tells it should be
> zone "5" instead of "4" filled by LoTW user

Does anyone know what DX4WIN uses to key this? Does it use the state
or the call sign?

> 2. Wrong IOTA number
>This error was made by user in IOTA number. For example V26TT
> filled the number as "100" instead of NA-100

Does anyone know if the IOTA list is copyrighted?
>
> 3. Some Canadian stations filled STATE information, that are not
> recognized by DX4WIN.

In this case don't blame Canada :-) (or Canadians).

This is definately an LoTW "feature". Here LoTW adheres to the
proposed ADIF 2.0 convention that is not entirley backwards
compatible with ADIF (1).
>
> 4. Wrong COUNTY of US stations

> W4OX tells, his county is MIAMI-DADE. It is OK, but my logging
> software doesnt know this county.
> It knows MIAMI DADE, without "-" mark.

From where does the software get it's county names? ;-)

I believe LoTW takes the county names from Federal Information
Processing Standards Publication 6-4.

Again, is the USA-CA Record Book copyrighted?

> Could it be better, if TQSL includes list of IOTA, counties, etc
> and user doesn't fill the name manually with his own names but
> choose the right name from the list? That way would
> be less different names or mistakes.

tQSL already does exactly that for US Counties (based on the
aformentioned FIPS list). And Oblasts as well.

Are there no potential copyright issues with IOTA or USA-CA?

> See, what will happened if all datas send to LoTW are not
> verificated by ARRL staff.

Or software... right now zero effort has been expended implementing
any quality control checks for non-ARRL award counters like CQZ or
ITU-Z or IOTA because there's no immediate "award" customer.

> I could eccidentialy write my CQ Zone as "1" (instead of 15).
> All European stations I worked RTTY 80 mtrs are happy, that
> zone "1" is worked and confirmed this band and mode.

> Will ARRL accept this "zone 1" for award?

Since ARRL doesn't issue WAZ awards and and is unlikely to begin
doing so that quesition is moot.

I assert that CQ certainly won't accept that zone 1 confirmation
right now :-)

But the extra QC cross-checking to make LoTW confirmation acceptable
to CQ can be added at any time.

73,
Mike K1MK

354. Re: Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 20:39:48 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 350 Message: 354

Hi all,

Ooops! It looks as though I was one of those who "forgot" to include my Zone info too.

How does one get this info into already loaded logs?

Do I have to upload them all again?

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

355. Error Message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 21:10:14 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 63 Message: 355

Well I have good news and bad news.

I went through my log and made the changes permanent that LOTW signing process pointed out. Except the 2 messages regarding line 16 which I couldn't be found. I exported my log as a an ADIF file and ran the signing process again. BINGO NO ERRORS. This is the good news.

The bad news is the TQSL signing process as it exists today can generate some phantom errors.

Can you believe I spent most of my day working on this. It just goes to show what a good nap can do.

Thanks again for all the help. I hope my problems were some what helpful in helping all of us learn this new process.

Julio, W4HY

356. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 21:18:51 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 350 Message: 356

Hi Phil

If you are bored, you can change the station location to add the zones and load the logs again. If, as they should be, the time/date/mode/band stay the same the data will be replaced with the new data that has the added geographics attached.

I don't think it is worth your time in this instance, because the zones will be confirmed many times over for most award seekers.

If someone really wants your zone, and they ask you, you can make a single QSO file and sign it and send it in.

You should edit the station location now anyway, for future use.

73, Dave, NN1N

~$-----Original Message-----
~$From: Phil Cooper [mailto:pcooper@...]
~$Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2003 4:40 PM
~$To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
~$Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Invalid Station Information
~$
~$
~$Hi all,
~$
~$Ooops! It looks as though I was one of those who "forgot" to
~$include my Zone
~$info too.
~$
~$How does one get this info into already loaded logs?
~$
~$Do I have to upload them all again?
~$
~$73 for now
~$Phil GU0SUP
~$
~$
~$------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
~$----------------------~-->
~$Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
~$Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
~$US & Canada.
~$http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
~$http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
~$---
~$--------~->

~$
~$To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
~$ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
~$
~$
~$
~$Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
~$http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
~$
~$
~$

357. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 21:21:39 UTC
From: Dave K8GVK
Topic: 350 Message: 357

Phil, I believe if you correct the error and add the zone data and then upload the file again it should add the missing info etc. Be sure to use the same filename....You can not do any corrections at the LoTW itself.....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 15, 2003 4:39 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Invalid Station Information

> Hi all,
>
> Ooops! It looks as though I was one of those who "forgot" to include my Zone
> info too.
>
> How does one get this info into already loaded logs?
>
> Do I have to upload them all again?
>
> 73 for now
> Phil GU0SUP
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

358. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Invalid Station Information

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-15 22:19:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 350 Message: 358

Mike,

The information (zone, state, county, etc.) is entered
by the user in the add/edit locations set-up in tQSL.
It is not generated by the user's logging program.

Miami-Dade is the proper designation (and it given in
the tQSL text box).

The issue with ITU/CQ zone is that most of us are used
to thinking of CQ zone first and ITU zone second while
the tQSL application asks for ITU zone first. I made
the same mistake my with my first set of uploads ... and
simply edited all of the station locations and re-uploaded
the logs to replace the "bad" data.

Pavel, thanks for the PSK31 confirmation with my old
call (W8IK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

359. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-16 01:14:28 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 314 Message: 359

Julio :
seems to me that the space could be removed and the count changed and it would go.
you might try it.
talk about trouble i have been trying for over a week to get my first file to load. each time i get an upgrade for lotw i can get a few more to take. i am running Paper Chasers Log. which was the old DXLOG. I think the adif file in the log may be putting just too much info into to adif file for tqsl to handle. any why i get date errors call errors and a few misc now. this call sign error is something else i have looked at the file a dozen times and the calls are correct. Mark is working on the adif files of his program and it will be fixed soon. i think the LOTW tqsl has a few more bugs in it then they wanted to talk about.
just to see i loaded my 336 qso i had in PCL into Logger 32 and Logger 7.09 both programs took the import. then i tried to use
tqsl hi.. out of 336 files it got 5 qso's the rest were date time call and a few weird errors.. tomorrow i will search each line by line to see if i can find what is going on.
good luck
73 Tony wa4jqs

360. Re: error message

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-16 01:17:42 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 314 Message: 360

Norby:
thanks for the info. i was not sure just how they were doing it at lotw.
man i have a file you can look at hi.. been trying for a week to get mine
to take.
making progress. tqsl is no longer looping on me. and it took 5 of my 336
qsos. last night
hi at this rate ill never get 4 log books and 20 disks of contest logs
uploaded.
73 Tony wa4jqs

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
South Sandwich Island Antarctic Dxpedition Group
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/K4C WA4JQS/ZS1
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35

361. Progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-16 04:37:25 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 361 Message: 361

Well I was able to enter my postcard password, Received my certificate via email a few hours later and was able to install with no problems. Was able to upload 421 records and got a grand total of 2 qsl's. But hey I'm just starting out. New ham and new to computer logging. This whole lotw fasinates me. If I was able to get thru the process, anyone can. I do appreciate reading all the remarks from you guys who are the subject matter experts. Makes learning easier and is very beneficial in my opinion. thanks to all.

362. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-16 15:36:32 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 129 Message: 362

Hi Nenad!

Sorry, I can't tell anymore if I have replied. Too many emails! (more than 3000)

Everything below is correct. If you sign each of your requests with the Ve3EXy cert you won't have any problems with the awards, they will be grouped together. We expect this issue and will plan for it accordingly. Same for QSL manager's clients.

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: Nenad Stevanovic [mailto:nenad@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 2:58 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Dave,

Use of special prefixes is common in VE. On top I organize
local expeditions all within single 'entity'=VE.

VE3EXY (home location Ottawa)
VE3EXY/2 (CQ zone 2, Quebec=VE2)
CK2/VE3EXY/p (IOTA NA-128)

more to come ....

I understand I need one certificate for each special prefix
/call, otherwise I will not be able to make my logs available
for special_px/ portable operations through LoTW.

Obviously, I would like them all to feed one single Award 'account'
which I probably can do through award application form (quote another
calls used), rather than through LoTW itself.

In return,LoTW would, calculate credits independently, for
each certificate. Correct?

QSL managers, multiple individuals using the same contest call,
visiting operators, and QSL card printers (as defined in article
http://www.magma.ca/~ve3exy/new_lotw.html) will be the other
cases where multiple certificates are needed . Most likely
on the same machine.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

"Patton, David NN1N" wrote:

I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
one cert per call. There can only be one certificate for each callsign over the same date. As Hamish, VK3SB pointed out, we don't want two certificates out there for the same call and date range (it can't happen anyway).There are a couple of occurrences where we expect to issue certs for the same call, for different date ranges, to different people: Contest calls like VP2E, VP5B, PJ2T, etc. That's why we stress the importance of not guessing on the start and end dates when one makes a certificate request. I can think of good reasons to have more than one
cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my
private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for him
to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if the
QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any question
about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.Yep, QSL managers can obtain certificates for the calls they manage, and definitely they must sign those requests with their own certs. ARRL also wants to see a letter of authorization, sent via postal mail from the client station, that says the manager is OK to put data in the system.

Keep in mind something like the LoTW has never been done before and it
may lead the way for a whole new form of ID based upon digital
signatures. This project has been public for less than a month and there
is going
to be problems here and there. In a year things will have changed, what
I don't know, but I am sure they will.RR Darryl. You've done a FB job with the email--thanks for the help.73, Dave, NN1N

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

363. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-16 18:21:44 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 129 Message: 363

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2003 10:37 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Hi Nenad!

Sorry, I can't tell anymore if I have replied. Too many emails! (more
than 3000)

Everything below is correct. If you sign each of your requests with the
Ve3EXy cert you won't have any problems with the awards, they will be
grouped together. We expect this issue and will plan for it accordingly.
Same for QSL manager's clients.

73, Dave

Now that they are all grouped together, how about the case where they
should not be, such as for WAS where all must be made from within a certain
mileage radius. They are all good for DXCC, but not WAS. I expect you ought
to upload with a different station location for nay that are in a different
location. I see no other way to handle this?
If your contacts were never to be used for such an award then the precise
location would mean nothing, but this is unlikely :-)

-----Original Message-----
From: Nenad Stevanovic [mailto:nenad@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2003 2:58 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expalnation of how LOTW REALLY works?

Dave,
Use of special prefixes is common in VE. On top I organize
local expeditions all within single 'entity'=VE.

VE3EXY (home location Ottawa)
VE3EXY/2 (CQ zone 2, Quebec=VE2)
CK2/VE3EXY/p (IOTA NA-128)

more to come ....

I understand I need one certificate for each special prefix
/call, otherwise I will not be able to make my logs available

for special_px/ portable operations through LoTW.

Obviously, I would like them all to feed one single Award 'account'
which I probably can do through award application form (quote another
calls used), rather than through LoTW itself.

In return,LoTW would, calculate credits independently, for
each certificate. Correct?

QSL managers, multiple individuals using the same contest call,
visiting operators, and QSL card printers (as defined in article
http://www.magma.ca/~ve3exy/new_lotw.html) will be the other
cases where multiple certificates are needed . Most likely
on the same machine.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

"Patton, David NN1N" wrote:

I don't know what ARRL's reason for not allowing more than
one cert per call. There can only be one certificate for each callsign
over the same date. As Hamish, VK3SB pointed out, we don't want two
certificates out there for the same call and date range (it can't happen
anyway).There are a couple of occurrences where we expect to issue certs for
the same call, for different date ranges, to different people: Contest calls
like VP2E, VP5B, PJ2T, etc. That's why we stress the importance of not
guessing on the start and end dates when one makes a certificate request. I
can think of good reasons to have more than one
cert. ie: QSL managers. I would not want to give a QSL manager my
private key, but I would be willing to digitally sign a certreq for
him
to be a QSL manager. To me this would be the best of both worlds if
the
QSL manager also had to sign the certreq, then if there was any
question
about fraud then they would know who signed the tQSL.Yep, QSL managers
can obtain certificates for the calls they manage, and definitely they must
sign those requests with their own certs. ARRL also wants to see a letter
of authorization, sent via postal mail from the client station, that says
the manager is OK to put data in the system.
Keep in mind something like the LoTW has never been done before and it
may lead the way for a whole new form of ID based upon digital
signatures. This project has been public for less than a month and
there
is going
to be problems here and there. In a year things will have changed,
what
I don't know, but I am sure they will.RR Darryl. You've done a FB job
with the email--thanks for the help.73, Dave, NN1N

73

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

364. LoTW report ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-17 00:51:31 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 364 Message: 364

Hi:
Now that Lotw has given us an ADIF report of our confirmed QSO's
does anyone have any suggestion on how to use it ???

If you haven't seen it yet, log onto LoTW and click on "Your QSO's"
then on the left side of the screen you will see "Download Report".

When the report screen comes up you can choose the start date for
the report and if you want QSL Details.

My suggestion is to start with your first license date (that's in your cert)
and to select "QSL Details".

This is a sample of the first Header and first QSO in my file,

ARRL Logbook of the World Status Report
Generated at 2003-10-16 13:48:47
for k1vu
Query:
QSL ONLY: YES
QSL SINCE: 1973-03-20 00:00:00

<PROGRAMID:4>LoTW
<APP_LoTW_LASTQSL:19>2003-10-16 13:29:28

<APP_LoTW_NUMREC:3>212

<eoh>

<APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:6>WA1OFR
<CALL:4>W1PM
<BAND:4>70CM
<MODE:3>SSB
<QSO_DATE:8>20000910
<TIME_ON:6>173145
<QSL_RCVD:1>Y
<QSLRDATE:8>20031016
<DXCC:3>291
<GRIDSQUARE:6>FN41IT
<STATE:2>MA
<CNTY:10>MA,BRISTOL
<eor>

Any Ideas ???

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

365. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW report ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-17 01:18:08 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 364 Message: 365

I've been out of town on business and found this new feature during my travels. My plan is to create a new logbook in DXBase 2004 and then import the ADIF file into it. This way I will have a log of all confirmed QSOs and be able to track awards via the DXBase program. I'm sure any other logger can do the same.

Bill
KF0OH

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2003 7:51 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW report ???

> Hi:
> Now that Lotw has given us an ADIF report of our confirmed QSO's
> does anyone have any suggestion on how to use it ???
>
> If you haven't seen it yet, log onto LoTW and click on "Your QSO's"
> then on the left side of the screen you will see "Download Report".
>
> When the report screen comes up you can choose the start date for
> the report and if you want QSL Details.
>
> My suggestion is to start with your first license date (that's in your
cert)
> and to select "QSL Details".
>
> This is a sample of the first Header and first QSO in my file,
>
> ARRL Logbook of the World Status Report
> Generated at 2003-10-16 13:48:47
> for k1vu
> Query:
> QSL ONLY: YES
> QSL SINCE: 1973-03-20 00:00:00
>
> <PROGRAMID:4>LoTW
> <APP_LoTW_LASTQSL:19>2003-10-16 13:29:28
>
> <APP_LoTW_NUMREC:3>212
>
> <eoh>
>
> <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:6>WA1OFR

> <CALL:4>W1PM
> <BAND:4>70CM
> <MODE:3>SSB
> <QSO_DATE:8>20000910
> <TIME_ON:6>173145
> <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> <QSLRDATE:8>20031016
> <DXCC:3>291
> <GRIDSQUARE:6>FN41IT
> <STATE:2>MA
> <CNTY:10>MA,BRISTOL
> <eor>
>
>
> Any Ideas ???
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

366. Re: LOTW report ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-17 01:25:19 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 366 Message: 366

The information in this report allows logging applications to synchronize with LOTW. This can be as simple as importing and displaying the ADIF. My intention in DXKeeper is to track LOTW status for each QSO, independently of hardcopy QSL status and eQSL.cc status. DXCC/Challenge award tracking will count any QSO confirmed by hardcopy or confirmed via LOTW.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

367. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-17 06:02:42 UTC
From: Ing. Luděk Odehnal
Topic: 367 Message: 367

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

368. Report Download vs. Online Query ...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-17 23:43:53 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 129 Message: 368

Can anyone give me a reasonable explanation why the
"Download Report" (ADIF) does not contain the frequency
only the band (even when selecting "include QSL detail")
but it is given in response to an online query?

It seems that the download report should provide all
data in the record, particularly if the "include detail"
has been selected. Until the various log publishers
complete their interfaces, it's nice to be able to
import all the available data into a separate log for
recordkeeping purposes.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

369. TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 07:12:58 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 369 Message: 369

1. in TQSL, make a fast first pass that scans for and reports all errors; if there are no errors, or if the user wants to proceed then initiate the more lengthy second pass that does the signing.

2. if you publish TQSL's criteria for "valid amateur callsign", logging applications can help users avoid logging callsigns that LOTW won't accept.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

370. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 11:27:53 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 8 Message: 370

Can comeone help?
My old logs are in Excel on my old computer in Windows 98.
I just got this new computer with Windows XP home edition but no Excel.
Is there any way to get my Excel log into this computer?
I don't think Excel can be copied.
TIA
Bill W5EC

Excel - ADIF Converstion Tool
Helpful tool that you can use to take old manual logs and enter them
into an Excel spreadsheet to have the data converted into an ADIF
file
http://www.wb8nut.com/download/Manual_ADI_entry.xls

371. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 14:54:14 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 8 Message: 371

You cannot copy it but you can get open office. It is a free office suite that will read an save Excel sheets. I can't remember the address but do a goggle on Open Office and you will find it. IT is a BIG (Abt 60meg) download but when you get it you can be free of Microsoft Office.

If you only need to view the sheet, there are free readers for Excel. I think you can get them at the Microsoft Web Site. The download would be MUCH smaller, but you can only read the data.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Hawkins [mailto:w5ec@...]
Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2003 6:28 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Can comeone help?
My old logs are in Excel on my old computer in Windows 98.
I just got this new computer with Windows XP home edition but no Excel.
Is there any way to get my Excel log into this computer?
I don't think Excel can be copied.
TIA
Bill W5EC

Excel - ADIF Converstion Tool
Helpful tool that you can use to take old manual logs and enter them into an Excel spreadsheet to have the data converted into an ADIF file
http://www.wb8nut.com/download/Manual_ADI_entry.xls

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

372. testing synchronization with logging applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 18:13:19 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 372 Message: 372

LOTW team:

Exploiting the nice new downloading mechanism you've provided for logging applications to synchronize with LOTW requires adding some substantial functionality to those logging applications. As a logging application developer, I'd like to be able to test this functionality before inflicting it on users. While I am a registered LOTW user and have uploaded ~15K QSOs, I am reluctant to use my own account for testing. Even if I weren't hesitant to do so, I don't see a way to clear all my uploaded QSOs and start over, as is required to verify each the many scenarios that users will encounter.

Can you establish test accounts for use by logging application developers and provide us with the necessary control over these accounts?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

373. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 19:15:19 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 369 Message: 373

At 03:12 AM 10/18/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>2. if you publish TQSL's criteria for "valid amateur callsign",
>logging applications can help users avoid logging callsigns that LOTW
>won't accept.

tQSL is Open Source so the criteria that it tests for are right there to be
read by all.

Currently tQSL considers that a "valid amateur call sign":

1) Is composed only of the characters [a-zA-Z0-9/]
2) Contains at least one letter ([a-zA-Z])
3) Contains at least one digit ([0-9])
4) Is three (3) or more character long
5) Does not have '/' as the first or last character

The bad call checking in tQSL can be switched off in Preferences if it is
necessary to sign a log entry for WAR or RAEM

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

374. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 21:20:49 UTC
From: Tom Wood
Topic: 369 Message: 374

Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:
> At 03:12 AM 10/18/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
>
>>2. if you publish TQSL's criteria for "valid amateur callsign",
>>logging applications can help users avoid logging callsigns that LOTW
>>won't accept.
>
>
> tQSL is Open Source so the criteria that it tests for are right there to be
> read by all.
>
> Currently tQSL considers that a "valid amateur call sign":
>
> 1) Is composed only of the characters [a-zA-Z0-9/]
> 2) Contains at least one letter ([a-zA-Z])
> 3) Contains at least one digit ([0-9])
> 4) Is three (3) or more character long
> 5) Does not have '/' as the first or last character
>
> The bad call checking in tQSL can be switched off in Preferences if it is
> necessary to sign a log entry for WAR or RAEM
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK

Mike are you referring to the 'Allow non amateur callsign' option?

Tom, n4cid

375. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-18 22:27:21 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 369 Message: 375

Thanks, Mike.

Putting 40 files of uncommented source code "right there to be read by
all" isn't a particularly effective way to make this sort of information
available, but if you're willing to answer such questions I'm a happy
camper.

73,

Dave AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2003 3:15 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

At 03:12 AM 10/18/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>2. if you publish TQSL's criteria for "valid amateur callsign",
>logging applications can help users avoid logging callsigns that LOTW
>won't accept.

tQSL is Open Source so the criteria that it tests for are right there to
be
read by all.

Currently tQSL considers that a "valid amateur call sign":

1) Is composed only of the characters [a-zA-Z0-9/]
2) Contains at least one letter ([a-zA-Z])
3) Contains at least one digit ([0-9])
4) Is three (3) or more character long
5) Does not have '/' as the first or last character

The bad call checking in tQSL can be switched off in Preferences if it
is
necessary to sign a log entry for WAR or RAEM

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=254613959>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

376. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-19 03:19:17 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 369 Message: 376

At 05:20 PM 10/18/03, Tom Wood wrote:

>Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:
> >
> > The bad call checking in tQSL can be switched off in Preferences if it is
> > necessary to sign a log entry for WAR or RAEM
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike K1MK
>
>Mike are you referring to the 'Allow non amateur callsign' option?

Yes Tom, that would be it.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

377. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-19 11:41:31 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 8 Message: 377

What a group!

Yesterday I asked how to get my old Excel log onto this new computer.
Within minutes of my post, the answer came to me! Thanks Gil & Lance.
Joe even offered to convert them for me!
That's what I like about this group, lots of knowledge and willing to share it.
Thanks for being here.
Bill W5EC

You cannot copy it but you can get open office. It is a free office suite that will read an save Excel sheets. I can't remember the address but do a goggle on Open Office and you will find it.

378. RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 11:57:12 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 378 Message: 378

All of my old logs are on paper.
I have hand entered the last 15 years into Excel and to save a lot of time I left put a lot of stuff
such as name, comments, and RST received. Now I am wondering if LoTW will require the rst I received
in order to prove the contact. I don't think so since all most reports are 599 anyway plus they have the other stations logs.
I am just worried about having to re-enter the reports.
TIA
Bill W5EC

379. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 12:15:57 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 378 Message: 379

I can't say for sure Bill but it doesn't show up in any of the matches
for QSL's so I can't imagine why you would have to include it.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Bill Hawkins wrote:
> All of my old logs are on paper.
> I have hand entered the last 15 years into Excel and to save a lot of time I left put a
lot of stuff
> such as name, comments, and RST received. Now I am wondering if LoTW will require the rst
I received
> in order to prove the contact. I don't think so since all most reports are 599 anyway
plus they have the other stations logs.
> I am just worried about having to re-enter the reports.
> TIA
> Bill W5EC

380. Re: RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 12:52:54 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 378 Message: 380

I think that the matches required are call/date/time (within the window)/band and mode. I think everything else is not required to match.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

381. Re: RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 13:28:28 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 378 Message: 381

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb0wao" <wb0wao@y...> wrote:

> I think that the matches required are call/date/time (within the
> window)/band and mode. I think everything else is not required to
> match.

Not only are signal reports not required for match, RST sent/rcvd
is not uploaded to the server.

tQSL only reads, signs and uploads the values from a small number of
fields. In the case of an ADIF file, tQSL only recognizes the fields:

QSO_DATE, TIME_ON, CALL, BAND, FREQ, MODE,
PROP_MODE, SAT_NAME, BAND_RX, FREQ_RX

the values for all other fields including RST_SENT and RST_RCVD are
simply ignored by tQSL.

73,
Mike K1MK

382. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 16:38:22 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 369 Message: 382

Dave, how do you make a, "fast first pass"??

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2003 4:12 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Suggestions

> 1. in TQSL, make a fast first pass that scans for and reports all
> errors; if there are no errors, or if the user wants to proceed then
> initiate the more lengthy second pass that does the signing.
>
> 2. if you publish TQSL's criteria for "valid amateur callsign",
> logging applications can help users avoid logging callsigns that LOTW
> won't accept.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

383. Re: TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 17:16:33 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 369 Message: 383

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <smidtca@s...> wrote:
> Dave, how do you make a, "fast first pass"??
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV

Read through the input file but skip the signing and don't write
the .tq8 ouput file.

Generation of a digital signature for each record is the slow step.

73,
Mike K1MK

384. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 17:37:53 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 369 Message: 384

Thanks Mike, I uploaded a lot of QSO's, some of them with "out of range" errors. I would have liked to have stopped right there and correct the errors, but didn't know that I could. I will probably have to re-submit the whole file for each of my calls, having now corrected the flagged items and hope that the ARRL server doesn't mind the duplications. Thanks for your comments.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2003 2:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: TQSL Suggestions

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <smidtca@s...> wrote:
> > Dave, how do you make a, "fast first pass"??
> >
> > 73, Carl VE9OV
>
> Read through the input file but skip the signing and don't write
> the .tq8 ouput file.
>
> Generation of a digital signature for each record is the slow step.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

385. Re: TQSL Suggestions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 21:22:02 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 369 Message: 385

Precisely. And process the entire file during the first pass so that
all errors are reported.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@a...>
wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <smidtca@s...> wrote:
> > Dave, how do you make a, "fast first pass"??
> >
> > 73, Carl VE9OV
>
> Read through the input file but skip the signing and don't write
> the .tq8 ouput file.
>
> Generation of a digital signature for each record is the slow step.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK

386. Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 23:52:06 UTC
From: Rick Prather
Topic: 386 Message: 386

What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after submitting a request for access?

I am going on 10 days and anxious to get going!

Rick
K6LE

387. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-20 23:56:36 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 386 Message: 387

Rick Prather wrote:

> What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after
> submitting a request for access?

1 week. Is your address correct in the FCC database?

Ron

388. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 00:04:52 UTC
From: Rick Prather
Topic: 386 Message: 388

I will check again but I believe so. I'll make the big assumption that you are in the SE so a week for you could be over 10 days for me in California I guess.

Thanks,

Rick
On Monday, October 20, 2003, at 04:56 PM, Ron Wetjen wrote:

> Rick Prather wrote:
>
> > What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after
> > submitting a request for access?
>
> 1 week. Is your address correct in the FCC database?
>
> Ron
>
>

389. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 00:28:58 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 386 Message: 389

Less than a week.
Bill W5EC

What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after
submitting a request for access?

390. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 00:48:18 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 386 Message: 390

3 days...but it was right at the start of the program.
Tom K5IID

At 00:28 10/21/03, Bill Hawkins wrote:
>Less than a week.
>Bill W5EC
>
>What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after
>submitting a request for access?
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=244522.3707890.4968055.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1595054/R=0/SIG=124ukap9t/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=3707890&yhad=1595054>
>Click Here!
>
>[]
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

391. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 01:27:26 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 386 Message: 391
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Ten days Rick. Filed my request the very same day they started.

My wife's took 4 days to get here...
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Prather
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2003 6:52 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard

What are your experiences in the time to get your postcard after
submitting a request for access?

I am going on 10 days and anxious to get going!

Rick
K6LE

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

392. Re: Postcard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 05:37:36 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 386 Message: 392

Mine took 8 days from request to mailbox. That included Columbus day. I would say the more they are starting to process, the delay may increase a bit. Good luck. I also was anxious to get it going. The wait will be worth it.

393. Hyperlog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 07:06:40 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 393 Message: 393

Can someone also using Hyperlog please help me in the way to upload my log as an Adif file to LoTW? I would appreciate it very much!
73, Leo CT1CSN

394. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 11:07:37 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 378 Message: 394

Thanks for good news re rst.
Now about field headings-mine read DATE instead of QSO_DATE, TIME instead of TIME_ON,FREQ instead of BAND. Will I have to change these and will the software automatically change the freq to band?
Bill W5EC
Not only are signal reports not required for match, RST sent/rcvd
is not uploaded to the server.

tQSL only reads, signs and uploads the values from a small number of
fields. In the case of an ADIF file, tQSL only recognizes the fields:

QSO_DATE, TIME_ON, CALL, BAND, FREQ, MODE,
PROP_MODE, SAT_NAME, BAND_RX, FREQ_RX

395. Re: Manual to ADIF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 13:24:20 UTC
From: qrp2010
Topic: 8 Message: 395

I don't think there is an easier answer than this:

I use N1MM Logger (I don't do Sat QSO's so don't know if it has the fields. It will have the key fields you need CALL BAND MODE DATE TIME_ON. It couldn't be simplier. It's free. It's fast and builds the ADIF file - do export - ADIF.

Use the DX "Contest." It's for general QSO logging, but has limited fields. You can do separate DX Contests for a date of entry and upload the ADIF for that date. That way you can break down your work.

Most word processors should allow allow looking at the ADIF file.

I use Microsoft Word.

If you want more info in your log use any of a number of log entry programs... See eham.net for reviews...

Chet - K8YTO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...> wrote:
> What tools are out there that will allow quick entry of old manual log
> data so it can be converted to an ADIF file? I have uploaded all my
> data logs, but would like to start working on my manual logs when I
> have leisure time.
>
> Duffy

396. Re: Manual to ADIF - N1MM - oops

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 13:55:32 UTC
From: qrp2010
Topic: 8 Message: 396

OOPS - Please ignore my previous post on N1MM.

The program requires editing the log for date, time, and freq, after
entering the QSO (which uses current date time and freq of the radio.

I need another cup of coffee!

Chet

397. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Hyperlog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 14:13:36 UTC
From: Rick Prather
Topic: 393 Message: 397

Leo,

What version of Hyperlog are you using?

Starting with version 4 you can save your file as an ADIF file.

Rick

398. Re: RST received requirements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 17:10:42 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 378 Message: 398

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@b...> wrote:

> Now about field headings-mine read DATE instead of QSO_DATE, TIME
> instead of TIME_ON,FREQ instead of BAND.

The field headings that appear in your Excel spreadsheet are not a
big issue. But that's becuase tQSL can't read Excel directly.

You are going to have to convert the data from the spreadsheet into a
format that tQSL does recognize, either ADIF or Cabrillo.

What matters are the field names in the ADIF file that's created in
performing the Excel -> ADIF conversion. It's within the ADIF file
the field names must be QSO_DATE, TIME_ON, etc.

> Will I have to change these

That'll depends on the details of the tools you use for converting
the Excel over to ADIF.

> will the software automatically change the freq to band?

One of FREQ or BAND must be present; both may be present. When BAND
is not present tQSL infers a band from the frequency.

73,
Mike K1MK

400. What frequency format?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 17:46:49 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 400 Message: 400

What frequency format does LOTW accept so the correct band shows when
importing a adi log from another program?
20 meter RTTY example, 14.08000 or 14080.000 ?

TIA,

Jerry K0HZI

401. Re: What frequency format?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 18:28:29 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 400 Message: 401

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry" <k0hzi@c...> wrote:

> What frequency format does LOTW accept so the correct band shows
> when importing a adi log from another program?

The ADIF spec calls for the value of the FREQ field to be given in MHz

> 20 meter RTTY example, 14.08000 or 14080.000 ?

In an ADIF file it should appear as <FREQ:8>14.08000

73,
Mike K1MK

402. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What frequency format?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-21 19:29:33 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 400 Message: 402

I am using N3FJP's logging program which logs band only, ie, 160, 80, 60, 40, etc. The program dumps to an ADIF File, and LOTW accepts that data with no problems.

At 05:46 PM 10/21/2003 +0000, you wrote:
>What frequency format does LOTW accept so the correct band shows when
>importing a adi log from another program?
>20 meter RTTY example, 14.08000 or 14080.000 ?
>
>TIA,
>
>Jerry K0HZI
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

403. No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-22 21:44:13 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 403 Message: 403

I noticed on some of my "QSLs" that there is
no state/county data.
I thought this was required.
What good is a confirmation if
we don't know where the station is?
Is that psrt optional?
Thanks, Tom K5IID

404. Re: No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-22 22:12:43 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 403 Message: 404

Most likely that the arrl TrustedQSL will go by the location entered
by the station uploading the log and then will go by that info?

A lot of information left out in the help files, guess the author of
the software thinks we are to understand or know what we are doing?

Found that ISS Packet contacts were not supported and wrote to the
help@lotw, guess that ISS will be added to the satellite list in the
future.

A lot of things would be nice to have with editing QSO's.
Like one has 2000 QSO's on the adi log file and there is an error on
line 1100 or somewhere in the middle, one has to click on the > 1100
times to go to that QSO and enter the correct info. Finger gets sore
doing this. I know someone on the list suggested a appliaction to edit
line numbers, but why can't we enter the QSO' number in the "box"
direct and correct the info? Think the software could be a little
more "user friendly", IMHO ;)

Jerry K0HZI

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Tom Horton" <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> I noticed on some of my "QSLs" that there is
> no state/county data.
> I thought this was required.
> What good is a confirmation if
> we don't know where the station is?
> Is that psrt optional?
> Thanks, Tom K5IID

405. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-22 23:35:22 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 403 Message: 405

Because they expect you to do it in your ADIF and resubmit. NOT NICE I agree.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry [mailto:k0hzi@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2003 5:13 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: No State/county data
>
>
> Most likely that the arrl TrustedQSL will go by the location entered
> by the station uploading the log and then will go by that info?
>
> A lot of information left out in the help files, guess the author of
> the software thinks we are to understand or know what we are doing?
>
> Found that ISS Packet contacts were not supported and wrote to the
> help@lotw, guess that ISS will be added to the satellite list in the
> future.
>
> A lot of things would be nice to have with editing QSO's.
> Like one has 2000 QSO's on the adi log file and there is an error on
> line 1100 or somewhere in the middle, one has to click on the > 1100
> times to go to that QSO and enter the correct info. Finger gets sore
> doing this. I know someone on the list suggested a appliaction to edit
> line numbers, but why can't we enter the QSO' number in the "box"
> direct and correct the info? Think the software could be a little
> more "user friendly", IMHO ;)
>
> Jerry K0HZI
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Tom Horton" <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > I noticed on some of my "QSLs" that there is
> > no state/county data.
> > I thought this was required.
> > What good is a confirmation if
> > we don't know where the station is?
> > Is that psrt optional?

> > Thanks, Tom K5IID
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

406. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-23 00:07:27 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 403 Message: 406

I must be missing something. I must admit that the majority, 90%, are DX contacts but checked the stateside one that are in my list and find that they contain state and county info. Maybe, just maybe, it depends on what the entering station enters when he applies for his certificate. Don't know.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2003 2:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] No State/county data

I noticed on some of my "QSLs" that there is
no state/county data.
I thought this was required.
What good is a confirmation if
we don't know where the station is?
Is that psrt optional?
Thanks, Tom K5IID

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

407. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-23 00:46:33 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 403 Message: 407

At 23:35 10/22/03, you wrote:
>Because they expect you to do it in your ADIF and resubmit. NOT NICE I
>agree.
>
>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Gil,
I don't really think that is the case.
When we enter the data for our "STUFF",
it asks for state and county. If people choose
not to enter this info, so be it. But It ain't
gonna do a lot for folks that want to do
WAS or 5BWAS.
I would think that would be required.
Just MHO.
73, Tom K5IID

> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry [mailto:k0hzi@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2003 5:13 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: No State/county data
> >
> >
> > Most likely that the arrl TrustedQSL will go by the location entered
> > by the station uploading the log and then will go by that info?
> >
> > A lot of information left out in the help files, guess the author of
> > the software thinks we are to understand or know what we are doing?
> >
> > Found that ISS Packet contacts were not supported and wrote to the
> > help@lotw, guess that ISS will be added to the satellite list in the
> > future.
> >
> > A lot of things would be nice to have with editing QSO's.
> > Like one has 2000 QSO's on the adi log file and there is an error on
> > line 1100 or somewhere in the middle, one has to click on the > 1100
> > times to go to that QSO and enter the correct info. Finger gets sore
> > doing this. I know someone on the list suggested a appliaction to edit
> > line numbers, but why can't we enter the QSO' number in the "box"
> > direct and correct the info? Think the software could be a little
> > more "user friendly", IMHO ;)
> >

> > Jerry K0HZI
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Tom Horton" <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > > I noticed on some of my "QSLs" that there is
> > > no state/county data.
> > > I thought this was required.
> > > What good is a confirmation if
> > > we don't know where the station is?
> > > Is that psrt optional?
> > > Thanks, Tom K5IID
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

408. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] No State/county data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-23 03:03:47 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 403 Message: 408

State/County/IOTA/zones have nothing to do with the certificate. They have to do with the location information that is entered in addition to the certificate. For US stations, state/county/zones should be mandatory and trigger rejection within the TQsl signing software. It doesn't. Correcting merely involves using the same ADIF file, updating the location to include the more specific data, signing, and downloading. Not a big deal.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: WC7N [mailto:wc7n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2003 19:07
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No State/county data

I must be missing something. I must admit that the majority, 90%, are DX contacts but checked the stateside one that are in my list and find that they contain state and county info. Maybe, just maybe, it depends on what the entering station enters when he applies for his certificate. Don't know.

Rod WC7N

409. Upgrade available

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-24 13:38:14 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 409 Message: 409

Version 1.10 is available at the LoTW web page.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

410. how to log county line QSOs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-25 22:58:02 UTC
From: Wayne Wright
Topic: 410 Message: 410

I think I understand that if I operate on a county line, that I have to submit each QSO to LOTW twice--once for each county (and that I have to fudge the time for the second submission so that it doesn't replace the first in the LOTW database).

What happens if I am the other station in the QSO and also submit my log to LOTW? Does the LOTW show two QSLs for my single QSO? or do I have to submit two different QSOs (even though, as far as LOTW is concerned, I have nothing different to report on my second QSO--the LOTW format does not have me report the other guy's QTH--just my own).

Wayne, W5XD

411. Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 00:47:35 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 411 Message: 411

I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that the certicate has no expiration date in the future?

Andy K3UK

412. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 01:07:52 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 411 Message: 412

They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say it is?
Do your log entries say 2004?

obrienaj wrote:
> I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but
> discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean
> I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that
> the certicate has no expiration date in the future?
>
> Andy K3UK
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

413. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 01:20:17 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 411 Message: 413

Was yor certifictate from the Bata testing period which ended, I think on or before 15 September 03.

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: Bruce Ferry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2003 8:07 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say it is?
Do your log entries say 2004?

obrienaj wrote:
> I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but
> discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean
> I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that
> the certicate has no expiration date in the future?
>
> Andy K3UK
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

414. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 01:18:42 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 411 Message: 414

At 07:47 PM 10/26/2003, obrienaj wrote:
>I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but
>discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean
>I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that
>the certicate has no expiration date in the future?

I've seen that happen when the original certificate request had an
expiration date.
Bring up TQSLcert and select the certificate that corresponds to your
callsign.
Then, use the "Certificate/Properties" menu selection to view the valid
date for that certificate. By default, it will be valid for one year
from when you submitted the request *unless you gave an end date*.

If you have a cert that's already expired, you can request a new one.
Select the expired cert, then the "Certificate/Renew Certificate" menu
selection. Make sure the "QSO End Date" on the request window are
blank. (QSO begin date from whenever your callsign was issued, end date
of nothing.)
Upload that cert request to ARRL and they'll give you a new cert valid
for a year - no need to go through the identify proof again.
-Rick

415. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 04:05:57 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 415

I don't understand the reason for this. Why should I be required to get another certificate every year. That seems to indicate not much faith in the security of a certificate which is ridiculous.
The maldicaha cosa is just certain to expire just when I most need it!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bruce Ferry [mailto:bferry@...]
> Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2003 7:08 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates
>
>
> They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say it is?
> Do your log entries say 2004?
>
> obrienaj wrote:
> > I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but
> > discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean
> > I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that
> > the certicate has no expiration date in the future?
> >
> > Andy K3UK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Bruce Ferry, AK8B
>
>

>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

416. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 04:26:50 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon - W7TS
Topic: 411 Message: 416

I imagine the expiration is covering for someone who trades in their call
for a new one. The new holder of the old call (when it becomes available
for reissue) could not get a certificate if the one from the first holder
never expired.
Just my idea of why it may be done this way.
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106
Voice: (303) 684-0037
Fax: (303) 776-5088
E-mail: W7TS@...
W7TS@...
W7TS@...
ALPCA #8339
ARRL-LM

-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2003 21:06
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

I don't understand the reason for this. Why should I be required to get
another certificate every year. That seems to indicate not much faith in
the
security of a certificate which is ridiculous.
The maldicaha cosa is just certain to expire just when I most need it!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bruce Ferry [mailto:bferry@...]
> Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2003 7:08 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates
>
>
> They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say it is?
> Do your log entries say 2004?

>
> obrienaj wrote:
> > I tried to upload some QSO's from this weekend's contest to LOTW but
> > discovered that my certificate expired in September. Does this mean
> > I have to start all over again with the ARRL? How do I ensure that
> > the certicate has no expiration date in the future?
> >
> > Andy K3UK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Bruce Ferry, AK8B
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

417. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 07:58:08 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 411 Message: 417

On Sun, Oct 26, 2003 at 10:05:57PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> I don't understand the reason for this. Why should I be required to get
> another certificate every year. That seems to indicate not much faith in the
> security of a certificate which is ridiculous.

No, it's good sense. It means that if your private key is compromised
without you knowing it, it can only be used for at most one more year
before it expires.

As to how your key could be compromised, are you running a good firewall
while you're connected to the Internet? Are you running email and web
clients which are not virus incubators? (ie not Outlook and Internet
Explorer?)

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

418. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 08:07:11 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 411 Message: 418

Then "one year" seems a peculiar choice. If a compromised key can be used to cause serious damage, wouldn't "one week" or even "one day" be the more reasonable interval? If allowing compromised keys to persist for a year is ok, why is five years not ok?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Sun, Oct 26, 2003 at 10:05:57PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > I don't understand the reason for this. Why should I be required to get
> > another certificate every year. That seems to indicate not much faith in the
> > security of a certificate which is ridiculous.
>
> No, it's good sense. It means that if your private key is compromised
> without you knowing it, it can only be used for at most one more year
> before it expires.
>
> As to how your key could be compromised, are you running a good firewall
> while you're connected to the Internet? Are you running email and web
> clients which are not virus incubators? (ie not Outlook and Internet
> Explorer?)
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

419. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 13:01:07 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 411 Message: 419

On Mon, Oct 27, 2003 at 08:07:11AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> Then "one year" seems a peculiar choice. If a compromised key can be
> used to cause serious damage, wouldn't "one week" or even "one day"
> be the more reasonable interval? If allowing compromised keys to
> persist for a year is ok, why is five years not ok?

It's a trade-off between security and convenience.
It wouldn't be convenient to update your certificate every day or even
every week, but annually is reasonable.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

420. Contest QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 13:27:52 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 420 Message: 420

I have already submitted ALL Eleven of my contest QSO's to LOTW. Be sure to get yours in.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

421. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Contest QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 15:26:55 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 420 Message: 421

At 08:27 AM 10/27/03 -0500, you wrote:

>I have already submitted ALL Eleven of my contest QSO's to LOTW. Be sure
>to get yours in.
>

C'est dommage... we didn't work, or at least not in the 77K QSOs I've
entered. It was gratifying, though, to download the ADIF report of the
2000 or so confirmed QSOs I have to date and see that DX make up a majority
of new confirmations over the last 10 days.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

422. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Contest QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 15:22:01 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 420 Message: 422

WoW! You are certainly doing better than I am. Of the 953 I have uploaded, I have 29 confirmations. But that's OK, it's a lotta fun. As you can see from my participation in the last contest, I'm not much into it, however, I'm likely to participate significantly more in the CW portion, and also the propagation on 80 & 160 should improve by then. Congratulations!!!!

At 10:26 AM 10/27/2003 -0500, Pete Smith wrote:
>At 08:27 AM 10/27/03 -0500, you wrote:
>
> >I have already submitted ALL Eleven of my contest QSO's to LOTW. Be sure
> >to get yours in.
> >
>
>C'est dommage... we didn't work, or at least not in the 77K QSOs I've
>entered. It was gratifying, though, to download the ADIF report of the
>2000 or so confirmed QSOs I have to date and see that DX make up a majority
>of new confirmations over the last 10 days.
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
>2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
>Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

423. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 17:06:02 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 411 Message: 423

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:

> Then "one year" seems a peculiar choice.

The specification of a one year period of validity as opposed to reasonable alternatives is arbitrary to a fair degree.

In it's defense, a one year validity period is an interval that is well aligned with industry "best practices"; annual renewal is provided for in the certificate policies of a majority of Certifcation Authorities. However it is certainly possible to find counter-examples of CAs having renewal policies of six months or two-years, etc.

> If a compromised key can be used to cause serious damage,
> wouldn't "one week" or even "one day" be the more reasonable
> interval? If allowing compromised keys to persist for a year
> is ok, why is five years not ok?

The technical motivation for enforcing a maximum key pair lifetime via certificate expiration is to limit the length of time a key pair is in circulation and subject to compromise via direct attack by an external attacker.

Of course a successful attack by factoring of the public key/signature is already extraordinarily improbable even without setting a time limit on potential adversaries. On a purely technical basis the maximum desirible key lifetime would be specified in terms of decades and not years.

The ubiquity of annual renewal that one finds amongst other CAs can be attributed to business or administative concerns more so than a technical requirement. For LoTW there would not have been any serious technical objections raised to making certifcate validity concurrent with license term as an example.

The much more likely means of key compromise is an outside agent gaining unauthorized access to the machine on which the user's private key is stored. In this case the renewal interval doesn't help very much in compartmentalizing the damage. If the user's computer has been compromised, renewals must also be viewed as having been compromised. And all data signed by the user would have to be treated as suspect.

73,
Mike K1MK

424. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 17:10:45 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 424

If you use a strong pas phrase your key CANNOT be compromised, period. That is not the reason.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 1:58 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates
>
>
> On Sun, Oct 26, 2003 at 10:05:57PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > I don't understand the reason for this. Why should I be required to get
> > another certificate every year. That seems to indicate not much
> faith in the
> > security of a certificate which is ridiculous.
>
> No, it's good sense. It means that if your private key is compromised
> without you knowing it, it can only be used for at most one more year
> before it expires.
>
> As to how your key could be compromised, are you running a good firewall
> while you're connected to the Internet? Are you running email and web
> clients which are not virus incubators? (ie not Outlook and Internet
> Explorer?)
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

425. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 17:34:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 411 Message: 425

Thanks, Mike, I understand. Perhaps LOTW will consider a longer renewal period -- say 10 years -- once they become more comfortable with the security model. Unlike businesses, most hams don't have admistration departments to handle such things. One year is just long enough to have forgotten how you did it last time...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@a...> wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
>
> > Then "one year" seems a peculiar choice.
>
> The specification of a one year period of validity as opposed to
> reasonable alternatives is arbitrary to a fair degree.
>
> In it's defense, a one year validity period is an interval that is
> well aligned with industry "best practices"; annual renewal is
> provided for in the certificate policies of a majority of
> Certifcation Authorities. However it is certainly possible to find
> counter-examples of CAs having renewal policies of six months or two-
> years, etc.
>
> > If a compromised key can be used to cause serious damage,
> > wouldn't "one week" or even "one day" be the more reasonable
> > interval? If allowing compromised keys to persist for a year
> > is ok, why is five years not ok?
>
> The technical motivation for enforcing a maximum key pair lifetime
> via certificate expiration is to limit the length of time a key pair
> is in circulation and subject to compromise via direct attack by an
> external attacker.
>
> Of course a successful attack by factoring of the public
> key/signature is already extraordinarily improbable even without
> setting a time limit on potential adversaries. On a purely technical
> basis the maximum desirible key lifetime would be specified in terms
> of decades and not years.

>
> The ubiquity of annual renewal that one finds amongst other CAs can
> be attributed to business or administative concerns more so than a
> technical requirement. For LoTW there would not have been any
serious
> technical objections raised to making certifcate validity
concurrent
> with license term as an example.
>
> The much more likely means of key compromise is an outside agent
> gaining unauthorized access to the machine on which the user's
> private key is stored. In this case the renewal interval doesn't
help
> very much in compartmentalizing the damage. If the user's computer
> has been compromised, renewals must also be viewed as having been
> compromised. And all data signed by the user would have to be
treated
> as suspect.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK

426. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 17:38:53 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 411 Message: 426

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> If you use a strong pas phrase your key CANNOT be compromised,
> period. That is not the reason.

It is absolutely trivial for a Trojan horse that substitutes itself
for tQSL/tQSLCert to capture the pass phrase and decrypt the key.

427. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 23:56:38 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 411 Message: 427

On Mon, Oct 27, 2003 at 05:06:02PM -0000, Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:
> The much more likely means of key compromise is an outside agent
> gaining unauthorized access to the machine on which the user's
> private key is stored. In this case the renewal interval doesn't help
> very much in compartmentalizing the damage. If the user's computer
> has been compromised, renewals must also be viewed as having been
> compromised. And all data signed by the user would have to be treated
> as suspect.

Well, if the key owner is active they will renew it themselves upon
expiry. If it's been renewed by the attacker already it should become obvious
and it can be sorted out manually, perhaps by following the original
authentication process again.

The risk is that the original key owner stops using it, and the attacker
renews it.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

428. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-27 23:58:38 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 411 Message: 428

On Mon, Oct 27, 2003 at 05:34:20PM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> with the security model. Unlike businesses, most hams don't have
> admistration departments to handle such things. One year is just long
> enough to have forgotten how you did it last time...

Well, the renewal doesn't have to be difficult, especially once
TQSL/TQSLCERT functions are integrated into logging programs.

Also, amateur radio is a technical hobby and I hope that it's reasonable
to expect some technical proficiency. I don't find "it's difficult" to
be a very compelling argument.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

429. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 00:42:19 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 411 Message: 429

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bruce Ferry <bferry@b...> wrote:
> They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say
it is?
> Do your log entries say 2004?
> >

The logs are for 10/26/03 and the certificate expired Sept 03, so do
I now submit a new request?

430. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 00:47:24 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 411 Message: 430

Sounds like your certificates are from the beta program. That system was wiped from existence when LoTW went live.

You need to start all over again - get a new certificate and then sign and send ALL of your log.

obrienaj wrote:

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bruce Ferry <bferry@b...> wrote:
>
>>They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say
>
> it is?
>
>>Do your log entries say 2004?
>>
>
>
> The logs are for 10/26/03 and the certificate expired Sept 03, so do
> I now submit a new request?
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

431. Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 01:31:41 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 431 Message: 431

I've been following the messages for some time and also sent an (unanswered) message to the ARRL. I routinely operate mobile from my car and have been recording all my QSO's. With the LOTW, how do I get these mobile contacts into the logbook and keep them separate from my home QTH operation. I recognize that the DX contacts count either way, but the WAS ones will not since many are from adjacent States.

Haven't seen this addressed clearly. Any suggestions?

Thanks in advance.

Bill
KF0OH

432. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 01:47:19 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 411 Message: 432

At 07:47 PM 10/27/2003, Bruce Ferry wrote:
>Sounds like your certificates are from the beta program. That system was
>wiped from existence when LoTW went live.
>
>You need to start all over again - get a new certificate and then sign
>and send ALL of your log.

While it's true that you can't use a beta certificate any longer,
there's no evidence here that "obrienaj" ever used the beta LOTW. I
have helped people who entered an expiration date when they requested
their production LOTW certificate since it's not clear that you
shouldn't enter any date there. Using the renewal procedure fixes that,
and won't cause you to lose anything. I'm assuming that LOTW will
reject renewal requests signed by beta certificates - THEN it's
reasonable to start over.
-Rick

433. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 01:49:15 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 411 Message: 433

At 07:42 PM 10/27/2003, obrienaj wrote:
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bruce Ferry <bferry@b...> wrote:
> > They should be good for a year. What year does your computer say
>it is?
> > Do your log entries say 2004?
> > >
>
>
>The logs are for 10/26/03 and the certificate expired Sept 03, so do
>I now submit a new request?

Is the certificate you're using a new one, generated from the
production LOTW?
If so, you probably entered an end date when you requested your LOTW
certificate. Just generate a new certificate request like I described
recently.
Again, you can tell the date range that your certificate is valid - use
TQSLcert, select the certificate, then use the "Certificate/Properties"
menu selection.
If it's a real LOTW cert, use the "Certificate/Renew Certificate" to
generate a renewal request that you can upload to the LOTW web site.
-Rick

434. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 02:55:06 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 411 Message: 434

You are correct Rick , here is what my certificate says.

Valid: 2003-09-25 00:00:00 - 2004-09-24 23:59:59
Call sign: K3UK
DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
QSO Dates: 2003-05-16 00:00:00 - 2003-09-19 23:59:59

I did go to the "request new certificate" area of the software and
it tells me that K3UK already has a certificate, should I delete
that and submit a new request?

Andy K3UK

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
wrote:
> At 07:42 PM 10/27/2003, obrienaj wrote:
> >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bruce Ferry <bferry@b...> wrote:
> > > They should be good for a year. What year does your computer
say
> >it is?
> > > Do your log entries say 2004?
> > > >
> >
> >
> >The logs are for 10/26/03 and the certificate expired Sept 03, so
do
> >I now submit a new request?
>
> Is the certificate you're using a new one, generated from the
> production LOTW?
> If so, you probably entered an end date when you requested your
LOTW
> certificate. Just generate a new certificate request like I
described
> recently.
> Again, you can tell the date range that your certificate is valid -
use
> TQSLcert, select the certificate, then use
the "Certificate/Properties"
> menu selection.
> If it's a real LOTW cert, use the "Certificate/Renew Certificate"
to
> generate a renewal request that you can upload to the LOTW web
site.
> -Rick

435. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 03:12:29 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 411 Message: 435

At 09:55 PM 10/27/2003, obrienaj wrote:
>You are correct Rick , here is what my certificate says.
>
>
>Valid: 2003-09-25 00:00:00 - 2004-09-24 23:59:59
>Call sign: K3UK
>DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
>QSO Dates: 2003-05-16 00:00:00 - 2003-09-19 23:59:59
>
>I did go to the "request new certificate" area of the software and
>it tells me that K3UK already has a certificate, should I delete
>that and submit a new request?

No, you want to renew your existing certificate. Renewal uses your
current certificate to verify the request for the new one - if you
delete the existing certificate, you won't be able to use it to sign
the new certificate request.

Start TQSLcert. You should see a window with a list of all your
certificates (which may be only one - I've got certs for operations
outside the US as well as my old call.) Click on the certificate for
K3UK to select it.
Then, click on the "Certificate" menu entry. On that menu, select
"Renew Certificate".
You'll get a window with "This will create a new certificate request
file" in the title. Click "Next" to proceed.
Set the QSO begin date in the next window to the start date of your
callsign.
Leave the QSO end date blank - this is VERY important: if you enter a
date here, that's when the certificate will expire. Leaving it blank
gives it a one year lifetime.
Click "Next" to proceed. Enter your name and address in the address window.
Click "Next" to proceed. Enter your email address.
Click "Next" to proceed. Enter the password for the new certificate's
private key.
Save the certificate request somewhere (probably to K3UK.tq5).
Log in to the LOTW web site at <http://www.arrl.net/lotw/> and click
the "Upload a certificate request" link. Upload the file you created
earlier to register your new certificate. You'll get an e-mail message
with the response, which you can then load into TQSLcert to give you a
new cert, good for another year.

Whew!
Hope this helps..
-Rick

436. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 03:34:24 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 436

Yes that is true IF you allow Trojans and if you are on the internet with
that computer. But that is up to the user. If they have access to your
machine they can do anything.
You must think this is important to anybody that they would go to that
trouble.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 11:39 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> > If you use a strong pas phrase your key CANNOT be compromised,
> > period. That is not the reason.
>
> It is absolutely trivial for a Trojan horse that substitutes itself
> for tQSL/tQSLCert to capture the pass phrase and decrypt the key.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

437. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 03:32:12 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 437

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 11:06 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
>
> > Then "one year" seems a peculiar choice.
>
> The specification of a one year period of validity as opposed to
> reasonable alternatives is arbitrary to a fair degree.
>
> In it's defense, a one year validity period is an interval that is
> well aligned with industry "best practices"; annual renewal is
> provided for in the certificate policies of a majority of
> Certifcation Authorities. However it is certainly possible to find
> counter-examples of CAs having renewal policies of six months or two-
> years, etc.
>
> > If a compromised key can be used to cause serious damage,
> > wouldn't "one week" or even "one day" be the more reasonable
> > interval? If allowing compromised keys to persist for a year
> > is ok, why is five years not ok?
>
> The technical motivation for enforcing a maximum key pair lifetime
> via certificate expiration is to limit the length of time a key pair
> is in circulation and subject to compromise via direct attack by an
> external attacker.
>
> Of course a successful attack by factoring of the public
> key/signature is already extraordinarily improbable even without
> setting a time limit on potential adversaries. On a purely technical
> basis the maximum desirible key lifetime would be specified in terms
> of decades and not years.
>
> The ubiquity of annual renewal that one finds amongst other CAs can
> be attributed to business or administative concerns more so than a
> technical requirement. For LoTW there would not have been any serious
> technical objections raised to making certifcate validity concurrent

> with license term as an example.
>
> The much more likely means of key compromise is an outside agent
> gaining unauthorized access to the machine on which the user's
> private key is stored. In this case the renewal interval doesn't help
> very much in compartmentalizing the damage. If the user's computer
> has been compromised, renewals must also be viewed as having been
> compromised. And all data signed by the user would have to be treated
> as suspect.
>

Which is the reason to use a strong pass phrase. That can be as difficult to
crack as the factoring or more so.
The only thing left is rubber hose decryption!

> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

438. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 03:45:44 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 411 Message: 438

"Its difficult" is your characterization; I'd have said "its annoying": remember which program to use, remember which password to use, remember the URL with which to upload, remember to process all of your certs, etc. The requirement isn't technical proficiency, its administration.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
> On Mon, Oct 27, 2003 at 05:34:20PM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > with the security model. Unlike businesses, most hams don't have
> > admistration departments to handle such things. One year is just long
> > enough to have forgotten how you did it last time...
>
> Well, the renewal doesn't have to be difficult, especially once
> TQSL/TQSLCERT functions are integrated into logging programs.
>
> Also, amateur radio is a technical hobby and I hope that it's reasonable
> to expect some technical proficiency. I don't find "it's difficult" to
> be a very compelling argument.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>

439. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Contest QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 04:23:38 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 420 Message: 439

My 360 went in on Sunday night. Hopefully the CQWW contest will spur an increase in use.

Pat

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 7:28 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Contest QSO's

I have already submitted ALL Eleven of my contest QSO's to LOTW. Be sure to get yours in.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.4074964.5287182.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1732161/R=0/SIG=11p5b9ris/*http:/www.ediets.com/start.cfm?code=30509&media=atkins> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=194081.4074964.5287182.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1732161/rand=510238840>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

440. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 05:48:26 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 440

Bill Metzger wrote:

> I routinely operate mobile from my car and have been recording all my
> QSO's. With the LOTW, how do I get these mobile contacts into
> the logbook and keep them separate from my home QTH operation.

I went through something similar.

I operated the Florida QSO Party earlier this year mobile from 12 different counties, and signed my call as NJ4M/xxx (xxx being the 3 letter county abbreviation). Some stations logged us as just NJ4M and others logged us as NJ4M/xxx.

I already had an NJ4M certificate, so I signed and uploaded a log from each county we operated in. This would give credit to those who logged us as NJ4M.

Then I got a certificate for NJ4M/xxx (for each county we operated from), signed and uploaded those logs from each county. This would give credit to those who logged us as NJ4M/xxx. Both calls have generated QSL's.

If you signed differently, you might want to do the same. If you used the same call, then you can use one certificate for the call you used, but "sign" it from each different county/state you operated in. There is some work involved sorting them all out, but once you do it the first time, adding to those logs later should be pretty simple.

There might be a better way ... but that's how I handled our mobile logs.

73, Ron WD4AHZ

441. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 11:01:50 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 411 Message: 441

On Tue, Oct 28, 2003 at 03:45:44AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> "Its difficult" is your characterization; I'd have said "its
> annoying": remember which program to use, remember which password to
> use, remember the URL with which to upload, remember to process all
> of your certs, etc. The requirement isn't technical proficiency, its
> administration.

I'm sure you can automate that in your logging software.
It might be a nuisance to do in TQSLCERT but there's no reason to think
every user will have to use that.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

442. do I renew a cert I've already uploaded the whole log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 11:35:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 442 Message: 442

The talk about Certification expiry made me wonder if I need to renew for a log I've uploaded but will never again need entries.
I uploaded my WN9VOL log from (ahem, lets just say a long time ago).
Haven't gotten any qsl's as yet. (ahem, probably most of the contactees have gone to the big sunspot in the sky)

But seeing as it won't be added to, do I have to renew that cert?

Bureaucrat, n.: A person who cuts red tape sideways.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

443. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] do I renew a cert I've already uploaded the whole log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 11:45:38 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 442 Message: 443

On Tue, Oct 28, 2003 at 05:35:59AM -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> The talk about Certification expiry made me wonder if I need to renew for a
> log I've uploaded but will never again need entries.
> I uploaded my WN9VOL log from (ahem, lets just say a long time ago).
> Haven't gotten any qsl's as yet. (ahem, probably most of the contactees have
> gone to the big sunspot in the sky)
>
> But seeing as it won't be added to, do I have to renew that cert?

I wouldn't think so. The certificate should only be used to verify QSOs when
uploaded, and since you won't be doing any more uploading..

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

444. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] do I renew a cert I've already uploaded the whole log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 11:59:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 442 Message: 444

Thanks, that's what I figured from reading the FAQ's and instructions.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish Moffatt" <hamish@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 5:45 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] do I renew a cert I've already uploaded the whole log?

> On Tue, Oct 28, 2003 at 05:35:59AM -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> > The talk about Certification expiry made me wonder if I need to renew for a
> > log I've uploaded but will never again need entries.
> > I uploaded my WN9VOL log from (ahem, lets just say a long time ago).
> > Haven't gotten any qsl's as yet. (ahem, probably most of the contactees have
> > gone to the big sunspot in the sky)
> >
> > But seeing as it won't be added to, do I have to renew that cert?
>
> I wouldn't think so. The certificate should only be used to verify QSOs when
> uploaded, and since you won't be doing any more uploading..
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

445. LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 12:07:36 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 411 Message: 445

As an active contester, for several years I have followed a policy of QSLing, via the bureau system, all DX QSOs that are the first with that station on each band/mode combination. This is done to reduce the time required to look up and answer each received bureau QSL individually.

With the advent of LotW, I am thinking of adopting the following practice:

All QSOs, both domestic and DX, will be reported to LotW monthly. No more "pre-emptive" bureau cards will be sent. I will respond to QSL requests sent direct. When postage is included, I will reply directly. When it is not included, DX cards will go via the bureau system, and domestic cards will go as postcards.

I'm particularly interested to know how this strikes non-contesters. The people who get into contests to work DX or accomplish award goals are crucial to the success of contesters like me, and I don't want to turn anyone off. I'm interested in cutting down on "overhead" while, at the same time, making sure that anyone who wants credit for a QSO with me can get it.

If I did such a thing, I would put the word out during non-contest QSOs, and also add a line across the bottom of my cards that says something like "all QSOs may be confirmed via ARRL's Logbook of the World -- www.arrl.org/lotw/default."

What do you think?

73, Pete N4ZR

446. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 12:34:38 UTC
From: Mel
Topic: 411 Message: 446

On Tue, Oct 28, 2003 at 03:45:44AM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> "Its difficult" is your characterization; I'd have said "its
> annoying": remember which program to use, remember which password to
> use, remember the URL with which to upload, remember to process all
> of your certs, etc. The requirement isn't technical proficiency, its
> administration.

I can identify with that...

Every time we switch between DST and EST one has to re-set many
electronic timekeepers... It seems every one has a different method of
doing it. None are particularly challenging, but it is irritating...

It would be nice if certificate renewal was automated...

Mel...
VE2DC

447. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 14:06:53 UTC
From: Somerset Electronics Co.
Topic: 431 Message: 447

>Then I got a certificate for NJ4M/xxx (for each county we operated
>from), signed and uploaded those logs from each county. This would give
>credit to those who logged us as NJ4M/xxx. Both calls have generated QSL's.

Here's how I'm doing it from the "receiving end" of the state qso parties -

I've used NA for contest logging since 1996. I usually used the CALLSIGN/CNTY
format when logging in order for the duping algorithm to function correctly.

I am going back through the logs and using NA to edit out the /CNTYs, and
regenerating the ADIF files for submission to LoTW. I'm making the
assumption that,
for example, W1AW/CUMB will be submitting logs as W1AW, and attaching a
station
location as Cumberland (or whatever) county to the log file. If their log
is submitted as
W1AW/CUMB, there will be no qsl.

The only question that remains is if the station location attached to the
signed ADIF
will negate the cancelling effect that LoTW places on qsos within the same
time window.
If not, I think it should.

Note to NA users: Be sure to check the option to make "QTH must match for
dupe" before
editing your log in order to preserve the correct score. There is an
excellent article by K8CC
on the Datom web site that describes this -

http://www.datomonline.com/dtn0799.html

And of course, always make backups, backups, and more backups.

tu de Rich, KA8OKH
Phyllis, KB4NPI

448. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 14:12:42 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 411 Message: 448

I am also going to use this same approach Pete and I hope the DX
stations will also follow this pattern. That way the confirmation is
readily available but if someone still wants card they can get one. I
am a DXer at heart but do work a few contests and I think this approach
will work best for all of us. It saves some time and money but still
makes the cards easy to obtain.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Pete Smith wrote:

> As an active contester, for several years I have followed a policy of
> QSLing, via the bureau system, all DX QSOs that are the first with that
> station on each band/mode combination. This is done to reduce the time
> required to look up and answer each received bureau QSL individually.
>
> With the advent of LotW, I am thinking of adopting the following practice:
>
> All QSOs, both domestic and DX, will be reported to LotW monthly. No more
> "pre-emptive" bureau cards will be sent. I will respond to QSL requests
> sent direct. When postage is included, I will reply directly. When it is
> not included, DX cards will go via the bureau system, and domestic cards
> will go as postcards.
>
> I'm particularly interested to know how this strikes non-contesters. The
> people who get into contests to work DX or accomplish award goals are
> crucial to the success of contesters like me, and I don't want to turn
> anyone off. I'm interested in cutting down on "overhead" while, at the
> same time, making sure that anyone who wants credit for a QSO with me can
> get it.
>
> If I did such a thing, I would put the word out during non-contest QSOs,
> and also add a line across the bottom of my cards that says something like
> "all QSOs may be confirmed via ARRL's Logbook of the World --
> www.arrl.org/lotw/default."
>
> What do you think?
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=194081.4074964.5287182.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1706996/R=0/SIG=11p5b9ris/*http://www.ediets.com/start.cfm?code=30509&media=atkins>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

449. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 15:23:36 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 449

Somerset Electronics Co. wrote:

> I am going back through the logs and using NA to edit out the /CNTYs, and
> regenerating the ADIF files for submission to LoTW. I'm making the
> assumption that, for example, W1AW/CUMB will be submitting logs as W1AW,
> and attaching a station location as Cumberland (or whatever) county
> to the log file. If their log is submitted as W1AW/CUMB, there will be
> no qsl.

That's one reason I did it with and without the /cty designator ... to cover both ways. There are probably some who logged me with /m ... and since I have not "signed" any logs that way, those QSO's are not confirmed.

There probably needs to be a "standard" developed, so that both the mobiles and those who work them, know what LoTW expects in order to confirm the QSO. Right now, for mobiles submitting logs, it means having to submit them twice. For those working the mobiles, they're not sure what to log.

The only other choice, would be to make LoTW a little more flexible when it comes to mobiles, being able to confirm a QSO logged as either NJ4M or NJ4M/cty, if everything else matches.

73, Ron WD4AHZ

450. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 18:06:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 450

I LIKE IT!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 6:08 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing
>
>
> As an active contester, for several years I have followed a policy of
> QSLing, via the bureau system, all DX QSOs that are the first with that
> station on each band/mode combination. This is done to reduce the time
> required to look up and answer each received bureau QSL individually.
>
> With the advent of LotW, I am thinking of adopting the following practice:
>
> All QSOs, both domestic and DX, will be reported to LotW monthly.
> No more
> "pre-emptive" bureau cards will be sent. I will respond to QSL requests
> sent direct. When postage is included, I will reply directly.
> When it is
> not included, DX cards will go via the bureau system, and domestic cards
> will go as postcards.
>
> I'm particularly interested to know how this strikes non-contesters. The
> people who get into contests to work DX or accomplish award goals are
> crucial to the success of contesters like me, and I don't want to turn
> anyone off. I'm interested in cutting down on "overhead" while, at the
> same time, making sure that anyone who wants credit for a QSO with me can
> get it.
>
> If I did such a thing, I would put the word out during non-contest QSOs,
> and also add a line across the bottom of my cards that says
> something like
> "all QSOs may be confirmed via ARRL's Logbook of the World --
> www.arrl.org/lotw/default."
>
> What do you think?
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
>

>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

451. Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 21:12:23 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 451 Message: 451

Hello LoTW Users,
Is there someone with confirmed 100 or more entities on LoTW? After few weeks and uploaded ~51000 contacts my summary is 54 entities, 44 US States and 23 WAZ zones. What is your score?

73's
Pawel, SP7PS (SN7N in contests)
ex-SP7NMW
http://sp7ps.pl

452. Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 21:25:02 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 411 Message: 452

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:

> Yes that is true IF you allow Trojans and if you are on the
> internet with that computer. But that is up to the user. If they
> have access to your machine they can do anything.

Okay...

But that is exactly the point that hamish was making originally to which you responded: "If you use a strong pas phrase your key CANNOT be compromised, period."

So which do you really think it is?

453. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 21:31:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 451 Message: 453

This is and will continue to be the problem. LOTW is a good concept but if everyone does not upload the log then it will turn out to be useless except for specific events like big contests. That means you get your QSL for the contest contacts much easier then before. IT is of course useless for scoring, why pay for than when you can just send you Cabrillo?
With mail you go after the exact stations you need and you try to get them to respond. That can be hard and expensive. With LOTW you just HOPE everyone you need uploads, a forlorn hope for now. I hope it succeeds but I am not hooding my breath.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pawel SP7PS [mailto:pawel@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 3:12 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW
>
>
> Hello LoTW Users,
> Is there someone with confirmed 100 or more entities on LoTW? After few
> weeks and uploaded ~51000 contacts my summary is 54 entities, 44 US States
> and 23 WAZ zones. What is your score?
>
> 73's
> Pawel, SP7PS (SN7N in contests)
> ex-SP7NMW
> http://sp7ps.pl
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

454. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 22:00:27 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 451 Message: 454

At 09:12 PM 10/28/03 +0000, you wrote:

>Hello LoTW Users,
>Is there someone with confirmed 100 or more entities on LoTW? After few
>weeks and uploaded ~51000 contacts my summary is 54 entities, 44 US States
>and 23 WAZ zones. What is your score?

Hi Pawel -- How did you determine this? The hard way, looking at each QSL
to determine zone and entity?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

455. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 22:22:44 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 451 Message: 455

"Pete Smith" wrote:
> Hi Pawel -- How did you determine this? The hard way, looking at
> each QSL to determine zone and entity?

Hi Pete,
The way is easy. Open LoTW web page, log on and go to "Your QSOs", next press "Download Report" button. Window with ARRL World Status Report is generated. Select all contacts and information from this window and save it as text file using Worldpad. Next rename this text file from "xyz.txt" to "xyz.ADI". After that import the contacts from ADIF file to logging software, in my case DX4WIN. That is all. Fast and simple. All information about Entities, Zones and States are just from DX4WIN.

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl

456. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 22:24:02 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 411 Message: 456

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 3:25 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Expired certificates
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
>
> > Yes that is true IF you allow Trojans and if you are on the
> > internet with that computer. But that is up to the user. If they
> > have access to your machine they can do anything.
>
> Okay...
>
> But that is exactly the point that hamish was making originally to
> which you responded: "If you use a strong pas phrase your key CANNOT
> be compromised, period."
>
> So which do you really think it is?
>

I think if you use a strong phrase and if you make sure you have no Trojan or use a firewall to block the outgoing signals form the Trojan that you cannot be compromised. Furthermore I think it is not important. I think the whole business of military security is vast overkill. I think that if LotW does not make it (I hope it does make it) that the complexity will be one of the biggest causes.

>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

457. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 22:39:43 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 451 Message: 457

I guess I don't see the "problem" Gil.

I've uploaded about 25,000 QSO's, and after only about a month into the program I have just over 1,000 matches, or about a 4% return, at precisely $00.00 cost to me.

I haven't taken the time to tally how many 'entities' or states or zones or grid squares or IOTAs or counties are represented, but it's quite a few. One of the DX matches I have is for a country I've spent perhaps $10.00 trying to snag via various routes, and now I can get credit for a measly 25-cents! Quite a bargain, I'd say.

I'm sure LOTW won't completely displace paper cards (and I don't even think I'd want it to), but it sure will be a nice cheap and painless way of augmenting the traditional methods.

So where's the "problem" your jeremiad alludes to?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/28/03 9:31:37 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW
>
> This is and will continue to be the problem. LOTW is a good concept but if
> everyone does not upload the log then it will turn out to be useless
except
> for specific events like big contests.

458. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 22:43:16 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 451 Message: 458

At 10:22 PM 10/28/03 +0000, you wrote:

>"Pete Smith" wrote:
> > Hi Pawel -- How did you determine this? The hard way, looking at
> > each QSL to determine zone and entity?
>
>Hi Pete,
>The way is easy. Open LoTW web page, log on and go to "Your QSOs", next
>press "Download Report" button.

You're right -- it was a snap. Thanks! as a DX4WIN user, it took me all
of 3 minutes to come up with:

2003 confirmations (72K QSOs)
54 DXCC entities
48 states
21 zones

Wonder who'll be the first ARRL-LOTW reader to get A-L DXCC?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

459. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-28 23:59:53 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 451 Message: 459

There is no problem. It may work fine for you. The problem is that if the ones you have contacts with don't send a log then you do not get a match. I hope everyone does. I hope it works. I just don't think it will be of much use for prior contacts for many people. I don't have anything close to 25000 to upload so it won't help me that much. The entities that never replied to me are probably not going to upload so it means I have to give up or try postage again.

I really do think it is great if you get this low cost response, it is the idea of the thing and it just may work, if you are very active and the ones you work send a log. The problem is that you are hoping to have people send a log in the hope that they will benefit rather than depending on specific requests for a qsl from specific people. If you get 4% response of worthwhile cards that is good but not great. That is the whole thing. If you don't get a lot of entities or other award items then it did not help you much. Who cares about confirmations of casual QSOes this way. I would much rather have a paper card for the friendly chat if they want to send one. The LotW entry means nothing. It is very important for everyone to thin that every contact should go up as it can be important to someone. You just don't know. Many are not going to bother, they don't even log at all, much less log on a computer, so those are lost.

.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2003 4:40 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW
>
>
> I guess I don't see the "problem" Gil.
>
> I've uploaded about 25,000 QSO's, and after only about a month into the
> program I have just over 1,000 matches, or about a 4% return, at precisely
> $00.00 cost to me.
>
> I haven't taken the time to tally how many 'entities' or states
> or zones or
> grid squares or IOTAs or counties are represented, but it's quite a few.
> One of the DX matches I have is for a country I've spent perhaps $10.00
> trying to snag via various routes, and now I can get credit for a measly

> 25-cents! Quite a bargain, I'd say.
>
> I'm sure LOTW won't completely displace paper cards (and I don't
> even think
> I'd want it to), but it sure will be a nice cheap and painless way of
> augmenting the traditional methods.
>
> So where's the "problem" your jeremiad alludes to?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 10/28/03 9:31:37 PM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW
> > >
> > This is and will continue to be the problem. LOTW is a good
> concept but if
> > everyone does not upload the log then it will turn out to be useless
> except
> > for specific events like big contests.
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

460. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 12:53:51 UTC
From: Mike Fulcher
Topic: 451 Message: 460

Here's what I show from my LOTW submission and DX4WIN:

73 Entities
29 Zones
50 States

153,000 plus QSO's

Mike
KC7V

At 17:43 10/28/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>At 10:22 PM 10/28/03 +0000, you wrote:
>
> >"Pete Smith" wrote:
> > > Hi Pawel -- How did you determine this? The hard way, looking at
> > > each QSL to determine zone and entity?
> >
> >Hi Pete,
> >The way is easy. Open LoTW web page, log on and go to "Your QSOs", next
> >press "Download Report" button.
>
>You're right -- it was a snap. Thanks! as a DX4WIN user, it took me all
>of 3 minutes to come up with:
>
>2003 confirmations (72K QSOs)
>54 DXCC entities
>48 states
>21 zones
>
>Wonder who'll be the first ARRL-LOTW reader to get A-L DXCC?
>
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
>2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
>Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Mike Fulcher
kc7v@...
One of the "VOO-DUDES"
VooDoo Contest Group
ZF2WW, ZC4MF, 5V7MF, TY5MF, 9G5MF, CN2MF, C56N, XT2MF, 5U7MF
http://www.mrspycam.com

461. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 13:26:13 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 451 Message: 461

I've got several calls registered on LoTW. A downloaded report includes
QSL's of all the calls. Any way to separate the callsigns from the report?

Ron, WD4AHZ

462. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Number of DXCC Entites Confirmed on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 13:45:58 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 451 Message: 462

At 08:26 AM 10/29/03 -0500, Ron Wetjen wrote:

>I've got several calls registered on LoTW. A downloaded report includes
>QSL's of all the calls. Any way to separate the callsigns from the report?
>

If you could load the .adi file into Excel, it would be easy to sort, but
the format does not seem to lend itself to that, or anyhow I can't figure
it out. One way to do it would be to load the whole thing into DX4WIN (if
you have it) or some other converter and re-export as CSV format, then load
THAT into Excel and sort on OwnCall, then extract the data and convert back
to .adi format. PITA, that....

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

463. Multiple calls -- correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 13:58:51 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 463 Message: 463

At 08:26 AM 10/29/03 -0500, Ron Wetjen wrote:

>I've got several calls registered on LoTW. A downloaded report includes
>QSL's of all the calls. Any way to separate the callsigns from the report?

I double-checked myself, and unfortunately DX4WIN can only write CSVs (so far as I can tell) from a logbook report that is generated from its own log files, and does not include the data about your own call. I think this means that you'd need some sort of direct .adi to CSV converter, and I'm not aware that there is one out there. Maybe someone else can help.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

464. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple calls -- correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 15:02:10 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 463 Message: 464

The call is indicated by the ADIF 2.0 field <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:n>
You can use open the "lotwreport.adi" file in Notepad, search for
"APP_LoTW_OWNCALL" and replace it with "Operator" and save the
file. Then import the resulting file into DXKeeper which supports
multiple calls in a single log (www.qsl.net/DXLab)

You might also look into LogCONV (http://www.qsl.net/ka5wss/logconv/)
The documentation seems to indicate LogConv will convert ADIF to
Cabrillo (fixed width records). It might be possible to do ADIF -> CAB
and then import the CAB file into EXCEL. I haven't tied that approach
since I'm using DXKeeper/DXLab.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

465. Re: Multiple calls -- correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 15:54:27 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 463 Message: 465

After you import your ADIF into DXKeeper, you can filter the Log display to show only QSOs made with a specific operator callsign and then export an ADIF file containing only those QSOs.

For those needing to slice and dice logs, DXKeeper provides filtering driven by SQL queries and the ability to make bulk changes on the filtered subset. Like all DXLab applications, DXKeeper is free.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@s...>
wrote:
>
> The call is indicated by the ADIF 2.0 field <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:n>
> You can use open the "lotwreport.adi" file in Notepad, search for
> "APP_LoTW_OWNCALL" and replace it with "Operator" and save the
> file. Then import the resulting file into DXKeeper which supports
> multiple calls in a single log (www.qsl.net/DXLab)
>
> You might also look into LogCONV
(http://www.qsl.net/ka5wss/logconv/)
> The documentation seems to indicate LogConv will convert ADIF to
> Cabrillo (fixed width records). It might be possible to do ADIF ->
CAB
> and then import the CAB file into EXCEL. I haven't tied that
approach
> since I'm using DXKeeper/DXLab.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

466. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple calls -- correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 19:42:00 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 463 Message: 466

At 10:02 AM 10/29/03 -0500, you wrote:
>You might also look into LogCONV (http://www.qsl.net/ka5wss/logconv/)
>The documentation seems to indicate LogConv will convert ADIF to
>Cabrillo (fixed width records). It might be possible to do ADIF -> CAB
>and then import the CAB file into EXCEL. I haven't tied that approach
>since I'm using DXKeeper/DXLab.

I think you're better off. I have tried logconv conversions to .dbf, .cbr
and ARRL .log. None of them work properly.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 3.
2440 stations are listed -- 104 stations were added or updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

467. Am I missing something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 19:52:44 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 467 Message: 467

Hi all,

On the latest DXCC AWARD APPLICATION form on the ARRL website, there is a box at the top left, just under the award chart, that asks if you want them to "Check for LOTW QSL's on the web". It then says that you MUST AUTHORISE THE USE OF LOTW QSL's by going to the website.

Can someone please tell me where I give this permission? I cannot find ANY mention of this on the LOTW website at all.

73 all
de Phil GU0SUP

468. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 34

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 19:59:39 UTC
From: skendel
Topic: 468 Message: 468

Sounds reasonable to me. That is exactly what I plan to do, as well as upload to eQSL for those who use that service.

Skip Kendel
WA5KQU

On Tue, 28 Oct 2003 07:07:36 Pete Smith <n4zr@...> wrote:
Subject: LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing

As an active contester, for several years I have followed a policy of QSLing, via the bureau system, all DX QSOs that are the first with that station on each band/mode combination. This is done to reduce the time required to look up and answer each received bureau QSL individually.

With the advent of LotW, I am thinking of adopting the following practice:

All QSOs, both domestic and DX, will be reported to LotW monthly. No more "pre-emptive" bureau cards will be sent. I will respond to QSL requests sent direct. When postage is included, I will reply directly. When it is not included, DX cards will go via the bureau system, and domestic cards will go as postcards.

I'm particularly interested to know how this strikes non-contesters. The people who get into contests to work DX or accomplish award goals are crucial to the success of contesters like me, and I don't want to turn anyone off. I'm interested in cutting down on "overhead" while, at the same time, making sure that anyone who wants credit for a QSO with me can get it.

If I did such a thing, I would put the word out during non-contest QSOs, and also add a line across the bottom of my cards that says something like "all QSOs may be confirmed via ARRL's Logbook of the World -- www.arrl.org/lotw/default."

What do you think?

73, Pete N4ZR

469. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 20:05:14 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 467 Message: 469

My guess is that the form developer is a step ahead of the LoTW web developer, and this feature is not yet implemented.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

470. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 20:12:46 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 411 Message: 470

> [Original Message]
> From: Pete Smith <n4zr@...>
>
> What do you think?
>

I think it's well thought out, reasonable, and should be completely
acceptable to anyone.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

471. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 34

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 21:01:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 468 Message: 471

Pete,

As I become more active contesting from here that's the policy I
will be following ... except domestic cards without return postage
will not be answered. In general, I expect to upload logs from
non-contest operations monthly and contest logs once they have been
sent to the contest sponsor. I hope most active contesters will
do the same.

I have a couple dozen shoeboxes full of JA, DL, G, F, etc. cards
from contests over the years ... I certainly don't need any more.
I'll QSL Dxpeditions direct (where I need the card) but I hope
most of them will upload their logs ... perhaps once the direct
cards have been answered - or after the Honor Roll deadline ...
in any case.

I had planned on going back and entering all my logs from the
late 70's and 80's so they could be uploaded. However, with
only three "QSLs" on anything that I've uploaded that's more
than five years old, it doesn't seem that it would be worth the
effort to enter 100K+ QSOs.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> On Tue, 28 Oct 2003 07:07:36 Pete Smith <n4zr@...> wrote:
> Subject: LotW as substitute for bureau QSLing
>
> With the advent of LotW, I am thinking of adopting the
> following practice:
>
> All QSOs, both domestic and DX, will be reported to LotW
> monthly. No more "pre-emptive" bureau cards will be sent.
> I will respond to QSL requests sent direct. When postage
> is included, I will reply directly. When it is not included,
> DX cards will go via the bureau system, and domestic cards
> will go as postcards.

472. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 21:57:42 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 467 Message: 472

I also noticed this Phil and it is very confusing. Perhaps they are talking about the actual signed QSLs but who knows. I sent an email to the folks at ARRL and will let you know what I find out about this.

Phil Cooper wrote:
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

> Hi all,
>
> On the latest DXCC AWARD APPLICATION form on the ARRL website, there is a
> box at the top left, just under the award chart, that asks if you want them
> to "Check for LOTW QSL's on the web". It then says that you MUST AUTHORISE
> THE USE OF LOTW QSL's by going to the website.
>
> Can someone please tell me where I give this permission? I cannot find ANY
> mention of this on the LOTW website at all.
>
> 73 all
> de Phil GU0SUP
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

473. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-29 22:42:07 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 467 Message: 473

Hi Guys

Everyone is doing really well! As mentioned here in an earlier post, this form is ahead of its time slightly.

Because the automation/implementation of the DXCC software isn't ready yet, we are trying to find ways to not have to charge people "processing fees" for both traditional cards and LoTW cards. So, while we aren't ready yet to apply credits 'solely' from LoTW, we are ready to 'combine' a traditional application with any credits that may be available from LoTW. Checking the new box on the form tells DXCC to look for any indicated confirmations that may be needed and are confirmed on LoTW.

Once the Awards sections get up and running together it will be easier.

73, Dave, NN1N

Was down in South America for a week, so am getting caught up with the email.

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 4:58 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

I also noticed this Phil and it is very confusing. Perhaps they are
talking about the actual signed QSLs but who knows. I sent an email to
the folks at ARRL and will let you know what I find out about this.

Phil Cooper wrote:
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

> Hi all,
>
> On the latest DXCC AWARD APPLICATION form on the ARRL website, there is a
> box at the top left, just under the award chart, that asks if you want them
> to "Check for LOTW QSL's on the web". It then says that you MUST AUTHORISE
> THE USE OF LOTW QSL's by going to the website.
>
> Can someone please tell me where I give this permission? I cannot find ANY
> mention of this on the LOTW website at all.
>
> 73 all
> de Phil GU0SUP
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> < http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556 <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM> &lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> < http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=781214129>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

474. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-30 18:04:58 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 467 Message: 474

Hi Hans and the group,

Yes, I guess that is the case, but maybe we should be able to add claims in this way.
I've ticked the box anyway, and will wait and see whether they do check my LOTW records.

73 all
Phil GU0SUP

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hans K0HB"
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 8:05 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Am I missing something?

> My guess is that the form developer is a step ahead of the LoTW web
> developer, and this feature is not yet implemented.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --

475. Response from NT1N

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-30 18:25:14 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 475 Message: 475

Hi Dave,

Many thanks for clarifying the issue for us.
Believe me, I do understand the issues you are facing with LOTW, and I am sure many of these problems didn't show up during the beta testing phase! I was part of that beta testing, and I hadn't thought about many of the issues facing us now, as I was more concerned about how to upload, whether that worked, and whether I had any confirmations.

Please don't think we are complaining! I am sure there will be many more queries in the months ahead, especially when you start processing actual and virtual cards!

Hopefully, we can all learn from the experiences, and help LOTW to find ways of overcoming problems.

Personally, I am still not sure how I will utilise the confirmations download, but that is between me and my logging software. However, I am a little concerned that some of the US stations appear to have neglected to fill in the State details, and more so about those who have incorrect Zones.

It is satisfying to see the number of confirmations growing daily, especially from some of those countries that are difficult to get confirmed in the traditional manner.

Thanks again for your reply, and I am sure we are all looking forward to seeing the Awards section up and running!

Very best 73
Phil GU0SUP

476. FW: [mn-wireless-assn] LOTW and portable calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-30 23:44:37 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 476 Message: 476

Comments?
----- Original Message -----
From: Ron Stordahl
To: Minnesota Wireless Association
Sent: 10/30/03 9:08:03 PM
Subject: [mn-wireless-assn] LOTW and portable calls

Let's say that you work W1AA/PJ8 but that you record it in your log as PJ8/W1AA and submit it that way to the LoTW. W1AA/PJ8 submits his Q's as W1AA/PJ8.

The result--no match. I posed this question to lotw-help@... and they confirmed my analysis...no match. One would think their software could deal with this since it doesn't tax my brain all that much to see they are the same station. Of course it could get more complicated. Would W1AA/PJ8/P be the same as W1AA/PJ8? How wbout VE4AA/3 and VE4AA/VE3. Or VE4AA/K3 or VE4AA/W3. It does get messy.

Ron - N5IN

477. Cross Mode QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 01:29:07 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 477 Message: 477

After computerizing some of the old logs I noticed I had quite a few Cross Mode QSO's on the 40m band. (My CW - DX SSB). These were all made pre-1985. At the time the contacts were perfectly okay for DXCC credit. As I understand it LoTW requires that the mode entered at both ends must match for a confirmation. How can I get a match on these QSO's. The DX station will show an SSB QSO and I'll show a CW QSO?

BTW - Not many QSL's yet, but I got one confirmed from 1978 that I still needed.

73's all Bob

478. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] FW: [mn-wireless-assn] LOTW and portable calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 05:26:34 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 476 Message: 478

At 06:44 PM 10/30/03, Hans K0HB wrote:

>Comments?

We've been here before haven't we? :-)

A few comments:

(i) This particular rule for matching is entirely policy driven. There's no compelling technical justification for the restriction

(ii) It's fair to say that the negative response it has received could not have been unexpected. At each step of LoTW's development outsiders encountering this rule for the first time provided fairly consistent feedback: "that's a particularly dumb way to do matches."

(iii) The hard part is not U.S. domestic calls where "we" understand the status of self-assigned indicators and the rules governing them. It's the need for LoTW to also take into account the corresponding rules from 190 odd other licensing authorities. Plus these rules have evolved over time.

(iv) While it is often said that self-assigned indicators "don't mean anything", it is true that portable variants such as WN5N and WN5N/7 still do confer different WPX credit. To the extant that careless or arrogant loggers are prevalent, enforcing an exact match disadvantages those stations who attempt to do the right thing by others and submit their logs using what was signed over the air.

One final comment on the specific example of PJ8/W1AA vs. W1AA/PJ8: the operator sent his call sign the way he did (and that would have been PJ8/W1AA) because the Netherlands (or CEPT, or the ITU or some other legal authority) proscribed the manner in which the call sign shall be sent. Just as in the U.S. where W1/PJ8AA and VE8AA/W1 are specified by rule, the format for reciprocal calls signs is rarely discretionary.

A better example might have been W1AA/HI8 where the D.R.'s rules, as do the FCC's rules for reciprocal VE's, specify a call sign format that's unexpected or unintentional because it's contrary to the ITU rules.

When someone does take it upon themselves to log a call sign the way they think it should have been sent rather than what was sent, I have no strong objection to that individual having to expend somewhat more than the minimum effort in receiving a confirmation.

73,
Mike K1MK

>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:ron.stordahl@...>Ron Stordahl
>To: <mailto:mn-wireless-assn@yahoogroups.com>Minnesota Wireless Association
>Sent: 10/30/03 9:08:03 PM
>Subject: [mn-wireless-assn] LOTW and portable calls
>
>Let's say that you work W1AA/PJ8 but that you record it in your log as
>PJ8/W1AA and submit it that way to the LoTW. W1AA/PJ8 submits his Q's
>as W1AA/PJ8.
>
>The result--no match. I posed this question to lotw-help@... and
>they confirmed my analysis...no match. One would think their software
>could deal with this since it doesn't tax my brain all that much to see
>they are the same station. Of course it could get more complicated.
>Would W1AA/PJ8/P be the same as W1AA/PJ8? How wbout VE4AA/3 and
>VE4AA/VE3. Or VE4AA/K3 or VE4AA/W3. It does get messy.
>
>Ron - N5IN

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

479. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Cross Mode QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 14:59:40 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 477 Message: 479

Hi Bob

wow, 1978 and needed! That's a success story.

We thought about cross modes. Decided it wasn't worth the programming effort. You will likely have worked those locations again.

I would put in CW and forget about them.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Daniels [mailto:k8kwt@...]
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2003 8:29 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Cross Mode QSO's

After computerizing some of the old logs I noticed I had quite a few Cross Mode QSO's on the 40m band. (My CW - DX SSB). These were all made pre-1985. At the time the contacts were perfectly okay for DXCC credit. As I understand it LoTW requires that the mode entered at both ends must match for a confirmation. How can I get a match on these QSO's. The DX station will show an SSB QSO and I'll show a CW QSO?

BTW - Not many QSL's yet, but I got one confirmed from 1978 that I still needed.

73's all Bob

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1754452/R=0/SIG=11tpoan5t/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178324&partid=4116732> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1754452/rand=116514488>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

480. LOTW - QSO Records vs QSL Records?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:16:27 UTC
From: George
Topic: 480 Message: 480

I just finished uploading all my logs to LOTW.

When I. Log On, I am informed that I have 9127 QSO records (these are the ones I uploaded). However, I am also informed that I have 259 QSL records. The emails that I received after processing indicated 133 QSL records and 126 QSL records for a total of 259 QSL records. Some of the "QSO record QSLs a contact" additional QSL notices were suppressed. How do I view these QSL notices? I would like to be able to update my logging program.

If I have already used the a contact for DXCC credit prior to LOTW, how will I know if it is new or old?

73,
George K3GV

DX: Long may it live !

If it isn't LOGic, then it isn't LOGging

481. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW - QSO Records vs QSL Records?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:22:09 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 480 Message: 481

At 08:16 PM 10/31/03 +0000, you wrote:
>How do I view these QSL notices? I would like to be able
>to update my logging program.
>
>If I have already used the a contact for DXCC credit prior to LOTW,
>how will I know if it is new or old?

Hi George -- Go to the Your QSOs link on the LotW homepage and you'll find a search screen that will let you look at each one individually. As for your last question, I suspect you will have to rely on your logging program to keep track of that unless/until there's a lot more integration between LotW and DXCC.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

482. DXpedition participation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:38:22 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 482 Message: 482

A propos of worries that DXpeditions wouldn't participate, I was delighted to find QSLs for XZ0A and XZ1N waiting for me in LotW this afternoon, as well as a bunch of other goodies (TU5A among them).

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

483. Re: Cross Mode QSO's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:40:32 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 477 Message: 483

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@a...>
wrote:
> Hi Bob
>
> wow, 1978 and needed! That's a success story.
>
> We thought about cross modes. Decided it wasn't worth the
programming effort. You will likely have worked those locations
again.
>
> I would put in CW and forget about them.
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>

Dave

Thanks for the quick answer. Actually I have worked all of those
Entities on other bands, and a few I have reworked on 40 meters, but
unfortunately there are a few that I could still use as new band
entities that I only have the one Cross Mode QSO with.

Oh well getting them confirmed after this much time via LoTW or any
other way was probably a pipe dream anyway.

73's Bob

484. YO3APJ uploaded to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:49:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 484 Message: 484

I have uploaded the log of YO3APG to LoTW.
I will continue to manage qsl cards for Adrian.

I will continue to fill all qsl and swl requests as follows:
USA requests require a sase only.
NonUSA direct requests require sae & 1 IRC or equivalent.
All bureau requests will be returned via the bureau.

I am in the process of computerizing old paper logs
from other stations I manage and will announce their
availability upon completion.

I strongly urge all Non USA hams to take advantage
of the LoTW and submit their logs.

For a reply, send a self-abused, stomped elephant to.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

485. Sorry for typo, should be YO3APJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 20:54:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 485 Message: 485

I put the correct call, YO3APJ in the subject line.
But typed the incorrect call in the first line of the message.
It should have read:

"I have uploaded the log of YO3APJ to LoTW.
I will continue to manage qsl cards for Adrian."

You May be a CheeseHead if: You know what to do with a Blatz.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

486. DXCC entities using LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-10-31 22:38:08 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 486 Message: 486
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Of my 6000+ QSO's that I uploaded to LOTW, here are the countries that I have had "confirmed" .

LITHUANIA
GRENADA
NORTHERN IRELAND
NEW ZEALAND
ENGLAND
CANADA
MEXICO
ITALY
SCOTLAND
SAINT MARTIN
CAYMAN ISLANDS
TURKS & CAICOS ISLANDS
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS
ALASKA
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
HAITI
HAWAII
AUSTRALIA
SWEDEN
WALES
BARBADOS
United States

22 DXCC Entities, I wonder how many other countries use the system?

Anyone know when awards tracking will start on LOTW?

487. minor LoTW ADIF download defect

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 07:24:58 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 487 Message: 487

qso_withown with no argument has no effect; qso_withown=yes is
required if you want APP_LoTW_OWNCALL included with each QSO or QSL.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

488. Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 12:58:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 488 Message: 488

This probably doesn't bother anybody else but:

On the home page it gives me the total of qso's I've entered and total qsl's I've received.
As I have 3 certificates for my own calls and 1 for the non USA station I manage, it totals them all up.

Basically this is useless information for me.

Wouldn't it be better to list qso's/qsl's for each certificate such as

WN9VOL 1200 QSO RECORDS & 1100 QSL RECORDS
W9OL 4000 QSO RECORDS & 3000 QSL RECORDS
YO3APJ 51000 QSO RECORDS & 41 QSL RECORDS
ETC.

Pretty sure the system can do this.

And the LoTW qsl report will probably list all logs together which is really a problem for me.
Shouldn't the report allow us to select which callsign or certificate we want the report for?

Or is this a future enhancement?

A woman's heart is a deep ocean of secrets.

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

489. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:14:58 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 488 Message: 489

The QSL report one is easy. Go to the Query form on the Your QSO's page and select "Your Callsign", then check "Confirmed QSO's only", then push the "Submit" button.

The summaries are another story...

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2003 05:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

This probably doesn't bother anybody else but:

On the home page it gives me the total of qso's I've entered and total qsl's I've received.
As I have 3 certificates for my own calls and 1 for the non USA station I manage, it totals them all up.

Basically this is useless information for me.

Wouldn't it be better to list qso's/qsl's for each certificate such as

WN9VOL 1200 QSO RECORDS & 1100 QSL RECORDS
W9OL 4000 QSO RECORDS & 3000 QSL RECORDS
YO3APJ 51000 QSO RECORDS & 41 QSL RECORDS
ETC.

Pretty sure the system can do this.

And the LoTW qsl report will probably list all logs together which is really a problem for me.
Shouldn't the report allow us to select which callsign or certificate we want the report for?

Or is this a future enhancement?

A woman's heart is a deep ocean of secrets.

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

490. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:23:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 488 Message: 490

I'm aware of that.
but that doesn't help with the downloadable lotwreport.adi
and it doesn't help with the summaries.

I do the select callsign now as I'm not really interested how many qsl's the YO3 gets. hihi
(I'm still waiting to see if I get a qsl for WN9VOL from the 60's. As yet...none)

> The QSL report one is easy. Go to the Query form on the Your QSO's page
and
> select "Your Callsign", then check "Confirmed QSO's only", then push the
> "Submit" button.
>
> The summaries are another story...
>

491. Pretty lean numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:29:39 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 491 Message: 491

I was hoping that after the CQWW week-end, my number of matches would rise. So far only 2 of my 360 CQWW contacts uploaded logs to the LOTW. How has it been going for you? I am just trying to get a feel for the kind of return others are getting. eQSL has returned 12 and I haven't sent out my paper QSL cards yet - but I expect a much higher return on those.

Just Curious,

Pat

WW9R

492. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:36:04 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 488 Message: 492

At 07:58 AM 11/1/03, you wrote:

>This probably doesn't bother anybody else but: ...
>
>Wouldn't it be better to list qso's/qsl's for each certificate...
>
>Pretty sure the system can do this.

Of course the system can do that.

All it will takes is the programmer time to implement it. Make the suggestion to lotw-help@.... Let them know what you'd like to see and maybe they can get it put on their list of things to do. If it isn't there already.

>And the LoTW qsl report will probably list all logs together which is really
>a problem for me.

That is true for the "stock" report (the ADIF file) that you'll get if you press the "Download Report" button on the "Download Report" web page.

>Shouldn't the report allow us to select which callsign or certificate we
>want the report for?

Well LoTW already has the capability to produce custom reports. For example it's possible right now to have LoTW generate an ADIF file containing QSOs or QSLs for just one "owncall" rather than all of them.

>Or is this a future enhancement?

What's missing is a convenient way for the user to generate a custom query.

The web-savvy user can see how to go about generating an appropriate query URL from the docs at <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html>.

For the rest, look for it as new feature either from LoTW or outside developers.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

493. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:47:43 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 491 Message: 493

At 07:29 AM 11/1/03 -0600, Patrick Hoppe wrote:

>I was hoping that after the CQWW week-end, my number of matches would
>rise. So far only 2 of my 360 CQWW contacts uploaded logs to the
>LOTW. How has it been going for you? I am just trying to get a feel for
>the kind of return others are getting. eQSL has returned 12 and I havent
>sent out my paper QSL cards yet but I expect a much higher return on those.

I think you're a bit too impatient. Non-US submissions to LotW have really
picked up just in the last couple of weeks, and I expect that trend will
continue as the word gets around, but many people are probably uploading
their earlier logs first, maybe a year at a time as I did.

I'm running about 3 percent so far, mostly contest QSOs.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

494. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 13:52:04 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 491 Message: 494

On the other hand many contesters don't look too favorably on the practice
of uploading contest logs prior to the log submittal deadline.

This thread is currently being discussed over on CQ-Contest.

Therefore it should not be all that surprising not to see very many CQWW
SSB logs prior to December 2.

73,
Mike K1MK

At 08:29 AM 11/1/03, Patrick Hoppe wrote:

>I was hoping that after the CQWW week-end, my number of matches would
>rise. So far only 2 of my 360 CQWW contacts uploaded logs to the
>LOTW. How has it been going for you? I am just trying to get a feel for
>the kind of return others are getting. eQSL has returned 12 and I haven□t
>sent out my paper QSL cards yet □ but I expect a much higher return on those.
>
>Just Curious,
>
>Pat
>WW9R

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

495. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 14:12:03 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 488 Message: 495

Michael Keane, K1MK wrote:

>>Shouldn't the report allow us to select which callsign or certificate we
>>want the report for?
>
>
> Well LoTW already has the capability to produce custom reports. For example
> it's possible right now to have LoTW generate an ADIF file containing QSOs
> or QSLs for just one "owncall" rather than all of them.
>
>
>>Or is this a future enhancement?
>
>
> What's missing is a convenient way for the user to generate a custom query.
>
> The web-savvy user can see how to go about generating an appropriate query
> URL from the docs at <http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html>.

AHHHH ... I asked this same question a couple days ago. With Mike's
information, was able to generate a report for just ONE callsign.

You'll have to type in the query string into your web browser. An
example (which would be all one line - broken here for display purposes) is:

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=yourcall&
password=yourpassword&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=yourcall

The login=yourcall is the callsign you use to log into LoTW

The password=yourpassword is the password you use to log into LoTW

The qso_owncall=yourcall is the callsign you want to generate an ADIF
QSL report on. If you have several calls registered, use the call you
want to check.

There are other query strings you can use, but I think this is the one
most with multiple calls are interested in.

Tried it, and my "all call" QSL record went from 3,016 down to 2,190 for
one call I wanted to check, so it appears to work.

73, Ron

496. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 14:42:29 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 488 Message: 496

I wrote:

> https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=yourcall&
> password=yourpassword&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=yourcall
>
> The login=yourcall is the callsign you use to log into LoTW
>
> The password=yourpassword is the password you use to log into LoTW
>
> The qso_owncall=yourcall is the callsign you want to generate an ADIF
> QSL report on. If you have several calls registered, use the call you
> want to check.
>
> There are other query strings you can use, but I think this is the one
> most with multiple calls are interested in.

After further experimentation, the URL above does not return the QSL details (grid square, county, etc.). You must add an additional search string.

A corrected URL that will include all the QSL data for a specific call (if you have more than one registered) is:

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=yourcall&
password=yourpassword&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=yourcall&qso_qsldetail=yes

73, Ron

497. thanks to all

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 15:05:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 497 Message: 497

With Mike original post and others I figured it out.
I can even brag I figured it out before I got all the other suggestions but
I appreciate them also.

so old, so much to learn, and so little time to do it in. :-(((

Your gene pool could use a little chlorine.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

498. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 15:12:41 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 491 Message: 498

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2003 7:52 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers
>
>
> On the other hand many contesters don't look too favorably on the
> practice
> of uploading contest logs prior to the log submittal deadline.

Why , what is the problem? I can't find the group you list here. A search on Yahoo does not return it or I would have read over there.

>
> This thread is currently being discussed over on CQ-Contest.
>
> Therefore it should not be all that surprising not to see very many CQWW
> SSB logs prior to December 2.
>

That would still be much faster than by mail so not a big problem.

> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> At 08:29 AM 11/1/03, Patrick Hoppe wrote:
>
> >I was hoping that after the CQWW week-end, my number of matches would
> >rise. So far only 2 of my 360 CQWW contacts uploaded logs to the
> >LOTW. How has it been going for you? I am just trying to get a
> feel for
> >the kind of return others are getting. eQSL has returned 12 and
> I haven?t
> >sent out my paper QSL cards yet ? but I expect a much higher
> return on those.
> >
> >Just Curious,
> >
> >Pat
> >WW9R

>
> Michael Keane K1MK
> k1mk@...
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

499. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 15:14:19 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 488 Message: 499

At 08:36 AM 11/1/03 -0500, K1MK wrote:
>What's missing is a convenient way for the user to generate a custom query.

Would it be difficult for them to create a form with a series of checkboxes
and fill-in fields? For example, in this case you'd check the qso_owncall
(or a user-friendly label for same) box and fill in the callsign you wanted
to specify.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

500. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 16:28:37 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 488 Message: 500

Hi Pete,

At 10:14 AM 11/1/03, Pete Smith wrote:
>At 08:36 AM 11/1/03 -0500, K1MK wrote:
> >What's missing is a convenient way for the user to generate a custom query.
>
>Would it be difficult for them to create a form with a series of checkboxes
>and fill-in fields?

Technically it's trivial. I'm quite sure the difficulty to be encountered
is finding enough time to get this done plus do all the other good things
that are on their To Do and Wish lists... patience ;-)

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

501. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 16:42:48 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 488 Message: 501

At 11:28 AM 11/1/03 -0500, you wrote:

>Hi Pete,
>
>At 10:14 AM 11/1/03, Pete Smith wrote:
> >At 08:36 AM 11/1/03 -0500, K1MK wrote:
> > >What's missing is a convenient way for the user to generate a custom
> query.
> >
> >Would it be difficult for them to create a form with a series of checkboxes
> >and fill-in fields?
>
>Technically it's trivial. I'm quite sure the difficulty to be encountered
>is finding enough time to get this done plus do all the other good things
>that are on their To Do and Wish lists... patience ;-)
>

Indeed, I'm looking forward to a series of pleasant surprises from LotW
over the months ahead.

Do we need a new Q signal for "will QSL via LotW?" I've tried that on CW a
few times and have yet to get any coherent response.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

502. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 17:12:57 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 491 Message: 502

CQ-Contest list is located at CQ-Contest@... !!!

Major reason stated is to prevent doctoring of logs before submission deadline.

I'm not sure how to utilize LoTW to do this, but I'm not interested in doctoring
my logs (read cheating) !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 10:12 11/1/2003 , rojomn wrote:

>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> > Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2003 7:52 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Cc: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Pretty lean numbers
> >
> >
> > On the other hand many contesters don't look too favorably on the
> > practice
> > of uploading contest logs prior to the log submittal deadline.
>
>Why , what is the problem? I can't find the group you list here. A search on
>Yahoo does not return it or I would have read over there.
>
> > >
> > > This thread is currently being discussed over on CQ-Contest.
> > >
> > > Therefore it should not be all that surprising not to see very many CQWW
> > > SSB logs prior to December 2.
> > >
>
>That would still be much faster than by mail so not a big problem.
>
> > > 73,
> > > Mike K1MK
> > >
> > > At 08:29 AM 11/1/03, Patrick Hoppe wrote:
> > >

> > >I was hoping that after the CQWW week-end, my number of matches would
> > >rise. So far only 2 of my 360 CQWW contacts uploaded logs to the
> > >LOTW. How has it been going for you? I am just trying to get a
> > feel for
> > >the kind of return others are getting. eQSL has returned 12 and
> > I haven□t
> > >sent out my paper QSL cards yet but I expect a much higher
> > return on those.
> > >
> > >Just Curious,
> > >
> > >Pat
> > >WW9R
> >
> > Michael Keane K1MK
> > k1mk@...
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

503. one more little browser type problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 18:08:07 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 503 Message: 503

For some crazy reason, clicking on the links within any of the LoTW pages
does not always work.
I get the dreaded "Page not found" error screen.
I hit the back button, and usually, but not always, it works on the second
try.

THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN WITH ANY OTHER WEB SITE I VISIT!

I've changed mice, tried a different computer.
I've changed the mouse click speed.

It keeps happening.
I'm running winxp, on a home LAN connected to a cable modem and normally a
very fast connection.
I have a Zone Alarm Firewall.
I've tried turning off the firewall to no avail.

This even happens if I use the Tab and Enter keys...

Someone must have an idea, it's frustrating to have to make two attempts on
most of the links.

First Rule of Intelligent Tinkering: Save all the parts.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

504. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] one more little browser type problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 18:22:01 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 503 Message: 504

Sometimes this happens at secure sites (LOTW is a secure site) if your computer is choked up with a lot of "temporary internet files".

Assuming you're using IE, try this. On the menu bar go to TOOLS > INTERNET OPTIONS > GENERAL

On the center panel click DELETE FILES.

Works for me. Don't know why.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: FireBrick <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 11/1/03 6:09:52 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] one more little browser type problem
>
> For some crazy reason, clicking on the links within any of the LoTW pages
> does not always work.
> I get the dreaded "Page not found" error screen.
> I hit the back button, and usually, but not always, it works on the second
> try.
>
> THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN WITH ANY OTHER WEB SITE I VISIT!
>
> I've changed mice, tried a different computer.
> I've changed the mouse click speed.
>
> It keeps happening.
> I'm running winxp, on a home LAN connected to a cable modem and normally a
> very fast connection.
> I have a Zone Alarm Firewall.
> I've tried turning off the firewall to no avail.
>
> This even happens if I use the Tab and Enter keys...
>
> Someone must have an idea, it's frustrating to have to make two attempts
on
> most of the links.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> First Rule of Intelligent Tinkering: Save all the parts.

> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

505. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] one more little browser type problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 20:09:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 503 Message: 505

No Joy, I keep them clean. I'd thought of that.
Really frustrating. Only site that I have this problem.
I'm sure it's something with it being a 'secure' site.
I have some security setting too tight but not sure what it is.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2003 12:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] one more little browser type problem

> Sometimes this happens at secure sites (LOTW is a secure site) if your
> computer is choked up with a lot of "temporary internet files".
>
> Assuming you're using IE, try this. On the menu bar go to TOOLS >
INTERNET
> OPTIONS > GENERAL
>
> On the center panel click DELETE FILES.
>
> Works for me. Don't know why.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: FireBrick <w9ol@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 11/1/03 6:09:52 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] one more little browser type problem
> >
> > For some crazy reason, clicking on the links within any of the LoTW
pages
> > does not always work.
> > I get the dreaded "Page not found" error screen.
> > I hit the back button, and usually, but not always, it works on the
second
> > try.
> >
> > THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN WITH ANY OTHER WEB SITE I VISIT!
> >
> > I've changed mice, tried a different computer.
> > I've changed the mouse click speed.

> >
> > It keeps happening.
> > I'm running winxp, on a home LAN connected to a cable modem and normally
a
> > very fast connection.
> > I have a Zone Alarm Firewall.
> > I've tried turning off the firewall to no avail.
> >
> > This even happens if I use the Tab and Enter keys...
> >
> > Someone must have an idea, it's frustrating to have to make two attempts
> on
> > most of the links.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > First Rule of Intelligent Tinkering: Save all the parts.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

506. New Release

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-01 20:30:20 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 506 Message: 506

A MacOS X version 1.10 has been released for those you who don't have a real computer <grinnin, duckin, and runnin>.

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/mac/110/TrustedQSL_Mac.sitx

73, de Hans, K0HB

507. Is this a problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 00:35:36 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 507 Message: 507

My log and therefore my ADIF log has for SSB contacts, signal reports in a 5x9 format instead of 59.
Does the "x" pose a problem? Will LoTW ignore it? Do I have to go back and get rid on the "x" ? :-(
Thanks
Bill W5EC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

508. Re: Is this a problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 01:09:23 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 507 Message: 508

LoTW is using the proposed ADIF 2.0 field definitions. In ADIF 2.0, the RST_Sent and RST_Rcvd fields may contain numbers and letters.

According to the FAQ -- https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq -- and posts here by LoTW deveopers, contents RST fields are not considered when matching QSOs.

I don't think you need to edit your log, Bill.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@b...> wrote:
> My log and therefore my ADIF log has for SSB contacts, signal reports in a 5x9 format instead of 59.
> Does the "x" pose a problem? Will LoTW ignore it? Do I have to go back and get rid on the "x" ? :-(
> Thanks
> Bill W5EC
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

509. ADIF report enhancement request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 01:13:52 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 509 Message: 509

Since the matching of satellite QSOs depends on PROP_MODE and BAND_RX
or FREQ_RX, it would be helpful if these fields were included with
the returned QSO data.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

510. Waiting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 01:24:18 UTC
From: Rick Prather
Topic: 510 Message: 510

Ok, I give up.

Three weeks and no postcard from the ARRL.

I must have screwed something up but how do I check and or submit again without messing up the certificate if it has been issued.

Rick
K6LE

511. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is this a problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 04:09:57 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 507 Message: 511

At 07:35 PM 11/1/03, Bill Hawkins wrote:

>My log and therefore my ADIF log has for SSB contacts, signal reports in a
>5x9 format instead of 59.
>Does the "x" pose a problem? Will LoTW ignore it? Do I have to go back and
>get rid on the "x" ? :-(
>Thanks
>Bill W5EC

Shouldn't pose any problem at all. tQSL ignores the signal report.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

512. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Waiting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 04:12:23 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 510 Message: 512

At 08:24 PM 11/1/03, Rick Prather wrote:

>how do I check and or submit again without messing up the certificate if
>it has been issued.

Don't re-submit. Send an email to lotw-help@... asking what's up.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

513. busted calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 17:33:57 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 513 Message: 513

I checked the FAQ, but found no answer to the following questions:

I log a 160m CW QSO with P5/4L4FT (pretend he came back to my CQ), and upload this QSO to LoTW. Later, I receive a hardcopy QSL from P5/4L4FN for the matching date, time, and frequency; clearly I miscopied Ed's call through the QRN. After correcting my log, can I simply re-upload the corrected QSO to LoTW, or is some other action required?

If instead of Ed sending me a hardcopy QSL, he uploads his log to LoTW, our two QSOs don't match. Is there a way for me to query unmatched QSOs with AA6YQ submitted by other stations, or is my only recourse to send email to Ed asking why he hasn't QSL'd after a some period of time?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

514. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] busted calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 19:07:09 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 513 Message: 514

At 12:33 PM 11/2/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>I log a 160m CW QSO with P5/4L4FT (pretend he came back to my CQ),
>and upload this QSO to LoTW. Later, I receive a hardcopy QSL from
>P5/4L4FN for the matching date, time, and frequency; clearly I
>miscopied Ed's call through the QRN. After correcting my log, can I
>simply re-upload the corrected QSO to LoTW, or is some other action
>required?

You just have to upload a QSO with the corrected info, nothing else.

>If instead of Ed sending me a hardcopy QSL, he uploads his log to
>LoTW, our two QSOs don't match. Is there a way for me to query
>unmatched QSOs with AA6YQ submitted by other stations,

No.

>or is my only recourse to send email to Ed asking why he hasn't QSL'd
>after a some period of time?

Yes, you're going to have to get in contact with Ed (or his manager) directly.

You can do a Find Call <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/act> to verify that
P5/4L4FN is enrolled in LoTW and the date when a log for that call was last
uploaded. But you can't do anymore specific of a query.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

515. Re: busted calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 19:31:18 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 513 Message: 515

Thanks, Mike. As you can probably tell, I'm in the middle of
implementing LoTW-DXKeeper synchronization.

Based on your response, I will arrange for any change to CALL,
DATE/TIME, FREQ, or MODE (and in the case of satellite QSOs,
PROP_MODE or BAND_RX) in an uploaded but as-yet unconfirmed QSO to
trigger a re-upload. If the user changes one of these fields in an
already-confirmed QSO, they will get a warning; no re-upload will be
triggered.

Unrequited QSLs will be dealt with by the same process now used with
hardcopy QSLs -- an aging report.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Keane, K1MK" <k1mk@a...>
wrote:
> At 12:33 PM 11/2/03, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
> >I log a 160m CW QSO with P5/4L4FT (pretend he came back to my CQ),
> >and upload this QSO to LoTW. Later, I receive a hardcopy QSL from
> >P5/4L4FN for the matching date, time, and frequency; clearly I
> >miscopied Ed's call through the QRN. After correcting my log, can I
> >simply re-upload the corrected QSO to LoTW, or is some other action
> >required?
>
> You just have to upload a QSO with the corrected info, nothing else.
>
> >If instead of Ed sending me a hardcopy QSL, he uploads his log to
> >LoTW, our two QSOs don't match. Is there a way for me to query
> >unmatched QSOs with AA6YQ submitted by other stations,
>
> No.
>
> >or is my only recourse to send email to Ed asking why he hasn't
QSL'd
> >after a some period of time?
>
> Yes, you're going to have to get in contact with Ed (or his
manager) directly.
>
> You can do a Find Call <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/act> to
verify that
> P5/4L4FN is enrolled in LoTW and the date when a log for that call

was last
> uploaded. But you can't do anymore specific of a query.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> Michael Keane K1MK
> k1mk@a...

516. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]Find Call ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-02 20:40:51 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 513 Message: 516

Hi Mike:
When I go to the URL below it takes me to the LoTW logon page.

What am I missing ???

73
Bob, K1VU

>You can do a Find Call <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/act> to verify that
>P5/4L4FN is enrolled in LoTW and the date when a log for that call was last
>uploaded. But you can't do anymore specific of a query.
>
>73,
>Mike K1MK
>
>Michael Keane K1MK
>k1mk@...

517. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]Find Call ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 02:24:29 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 513 Message: 517

At 03:40 PM 11/2/03, R Johnson wrote:
>Hi Mike:
>When I go to the URL below it takes me to the LoTW logon page.
>
>What am I missing ???
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU

Bob,

I don't think you're missing anything. The URL specifies a page on the secure website. You can't access the page without being logged onto the secure server.

If you're not already logged onto the secure server, you're first redirected to the login page. Once logged in you're taken to the specified destination, rather than the default home page.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

518. Uh-oh

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 13:25:30 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 518 Message: 518

What do I do now?
I loaded my signed log into TQSL and it soon began giving me a lot of "invalid band" error messages
and some invalid modes. It kept on loading until completion, I think. It did not give me completion message or the number of contacts loaded. The invalid band messages were caused by my log having
the frequency as "3" (instead 3.5 I guess).
What happened to the invalid contacts? I can't read the TQSL file to correct them.
Do I have to correct them and resubmit them? If so, how?
I can not find any info on this problem.
Thanks
Bill W5EC

519. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uh-oh

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 13:51:21 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 518 Message: 519

I checked LoTW and it does not show any uploads from you so they have
not been submitted. Either edit your log and change the 3 to 3.5 or make
a new ADI file and do a Find for the 3 and then replace them with 3.5
and then sign them all again and see where you stand. You can do this
for any errors that may occur until you get it correct and then upload
the file.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Bill Hawkins wrote:

>
> What do I do now?
> I loaded my signed log into TQSL and it soon began giving me a lot of
> "invalid band" error messages
> and some invalid modes. It kept on loading until completion, I think. It
> did not give me completion message or the number of contacts loaded. The
> invalid band messages were caused by my log having
> the frequency as "3" (instead 3.5 I guess).
> What happened to the invalid contacts? I can't read the TQSL file to
> correct them.
> Do I have to correct them and resubmit them? If so, how?
> I can not find any info on this problem.
> Thanks
> Bill W5EC
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1754
452/R=0/SIG=11tpoan5t/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178324&partid=4116732>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

520. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uh-oh

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 14:06:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 518 Message: 520

Usually errors in the signing process indicate a error in line number.
You can open the file your are attempting to 'Sign' with NOTEPAD, and choose Edit, Goto Number and put in the indicated line number.

That way you can check to see what's wrong and use Search/Replace to correct instances.

And Remember to Check Preferences to Map Modes not already listed in LoTW to something LoTW accepts.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 7:25 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Uh-oh

What do I do now?
I loaded my signed log into TQSL and it soon began giving me a lot of "invalid band" error messages
and some invalid modes. It kept on loading until completion, I think. It did not give me completion message or the number of contacts loaded. The invalid band messages were caused by my log having
the frequency as "3" (instead 3.5 I guess).
What happened to the invalid contacts? I can't read the TQSL file to correct them.
Do I have to correct them and resubmit them? If so, how?
I can not find any info on this problem.
Thanks
Bill W5EC

521. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 14:17:59 UTC
From: David Gynn
Topic: 488 Message: 521
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Have just seen your email and totally agree with you. I have uploaded my
UK logs and one of my older Hong Kong logs. I don't really want to see the
Summaries combined either

Dave (G3SBP)

At 06:58 01/11/2003 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
>This probably doesn't bother anybody else but:
>
>On the home page it gives me the total of qso's I've entered and total qsl's
>I've received.
>As I have 3 certificates for my own calls and 1 for the non USA station I
>manage, it totals them all up.
>
>Basically this is useless information for me.
>
>Wouldn't it be better to list qso's/qsl's for each certificate such as
>
>WN9VOL 1200 QSO RECORDS & 1100 QSL RECORDS
>W9OL 4000 QSO RECORDS & 3000 QSL RECORDS
>YO3APJ 51000 QSO RECORDS & 41 QSL RECORDS
>ETC.
>
>Pretty sure the system can do this.
>
>And the LoTW qsl report will probably list all logs together which is really
>a problem for me.
>Shouldn't the report allow us to select which callsign or certificate we
>want the report for?
>
>Or is this a future enhancement?
>
>--
>A woman's heart is a deep ocean of secrets.
>--
>
>W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=15249
63/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&pro
p=egroupweb&pos=HM>19b126.jpg

>
>19b1b2.jpg
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

522. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 15:07:22 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 488 Message: 522

Ditto!

I have logs from four previous locations plus my current QTH I'd like to upload, plus I am trustee for two radio club calls which are very active in field day, contests, etc. That is really 7 different stations, and LoTW seems to mingle them all together in one stew.

73, Hans, K0HB

BTW: Seems to me that contesters are the major users of LoTW. Sweepstakes CW weekend is just 12-hours ended, and I already have several dozen hits on the log I uploaded last evening.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: David Gynn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/3/03 2:15:55 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Have just seen your email and totally agree with you. I have uploaded my UK logs and one of my older Hong Kong logs. I don't really want to see the Summaries combined either

Dave (G3SBP)

523. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 15:28:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 488 Message: 523

I had my SS log up onto LoTW about an hour after contest end.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: "lotw-help" <lotw-help@...>
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 9:07 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?

> Ditto!
>
> I have logs from four previous locations plus my current QTH I'd like to upload, plus I am trustee for two radio club calls which are very active in field day, contests, etc. That is really 7 different stations, and LoTW seems to mingle them all together in one stew.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> BTW: Seems to me that contesters are the major users of LoTW. Sweepstakes CW weekend is just 12-hours ended, and I already have several dozen hits on the log I uploaded last evening.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: David Gynn
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 11/3/03 2:15:55 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Should this be changed?
>
>
> Have just seen your email and totally agree with you. I have uploaded my UK logs and one of my older Hong Kong logs. I don't really want to see the Summaries combined either
>
> Dave (G3SBP)

524. Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 17:16:14 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 524 Message: 524

I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the trouble. The problem sis the problem of the ambiguous date.

In the USA it is USUALLY give MM/DD/YY BUT in the rest of the world ?? What part? it is USUALLY given DD/MM/YY

That is my assumption but it is not always true. Especially in SA and CA it of ten is the same as here and even in parts of the rest of the world. That means there will be a lot of QSOes that don't match, even though the card is in my hand and perfectly valid.

What are others doing? I suppose I could send to entries but that is just too much work and perhaps would be considered unethical? It would be great if LOTW could notify us of these by testing both and giving a tentative match. I suppose there could be cheating here but VERY unlikely!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

525. Re: Uh-oh

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 17:22:34 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 518 Message: 525

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@b...> wrote:

> I loaded my signed log into TQSL and it soon began giving
> me a lot of "invalid band" error messages and some invalid modes.

The "invalid band" errors need to be fixed in the .adi file or your
log (see below). The invalid modes can be dealt with in TQSL.

Select

File -> Preferences -> ADIF Modes

Click on Add...

For "ADIF mode" enter the name of the "invalid" mode as it appears in
your .adi file. Then select the most similar "TQSL mode" from the
list and press OK

>It kept on loading until completion, I think.

Most likely. When the first error was reported, if you clicked "Yes"
in response to the "Ignore errors?" popup then TQSL will attempt to
process the entire input log.

> The invalid band messages were caused by my log having
> the frequency as "3" (instead 3.5 I guess).

TQSL recognizes a frequency between 3.5 and 4 inclusive
as 80M.

One way to fix this would be use a text editor to modify the .adi
file; search for <FREQ:1>3 and replace all of them with <FREQ:1>4
Alternatively you could also change the frequencies in the log and
then recreate the .adi file.

> Do I have to correct them and resubmit them? If so, how?

You must make the necessary changes to either the original log or to
the .adi file; run the corrected .adi file through TQSL again; and
finially send the resulting '.tq8' file to LoTW via email or the
website to submit them.

> I can not find any info on this problem.

Error reporting is not one of TQSL's strong points (That's kinda
like saying the sun has been experiencing a few minor hiccups these
past couple of weeks)

73,
Mike K1MK

526. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 18:12:26 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 524 Message: 526

My logs are computerized back to 1977, and I submitted them all.

But the earliest QSL I have received on LoTW is a 1988 contact with Botswana. Then the "next earliest" is 1993 (2 matches), then 1995 (where they start to come pretty thick).

Of my almost 25,000 QSO's on LoTW, about 11,000 are before 1995. I'm sure glad I didn't enter them all by hand.

As of this morning I have 1,090 matches, 3 before 1/1/1995 and 1,087 after.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Observation the morning after the Sweepstakes contest:

"A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than
any invention in human history -- with the possible
exception of a quart of tequila and a borrowed
Vibroplex key." -K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: gbaron <rojomn@...>
> To: AA/ARRL-LOTW <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 11/3/03 5:16:14 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Ambiguous Dates
>
> I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the trouble.

527. Re: Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 20:53:06 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 524 Message: 527

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gbaron" <rojomn@c...> wrote:

> I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the
> trouble. The problem is the problem of the ambiguous date.
>
> What are others doing?

Well, this is one I wouldn't loose very much sleep over.

An invalid date error is generated during signing for any contact having a "date" in which the month is greater than 12. Thus, in most cases the transposition of month and day results in an error message and not a valid QSO record.

Presumably if someone were to systematically make this sort of error in transcribing their old paper logs they would eventually encounter enough problems during signing to alert them to the underlying error. And, presumably, they would then fix their problem.

73,
Mike K1MK

528. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 21:17:32 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 524 Message: 528

Transposition would look valid for any date <= 12 so there are MANY problems here. The other problem is time, many people did not use UTC in the past. OTOH it probably does not matter as most of these are so old that they don't matter much any more.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Michael Keane, K1MK [mailto:k1mk@...]
> Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 2:53 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Ambiguous Dates
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gbaron" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
>
> > I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the
> > trouble. The problem is the problem of the ambiguous date.
> >
> > What are others doing?
>
> Well, this is one I wouldn't loose very much sleep over.
>
> An invalid date error is generated during signing for any contact
> having a "date" in which the month is greater than 12. Thus, in most
> cases the transposition of month and day results in an error message
> and not a valid QSO record.
>
> Presumably if someone were to systematically make this sort of error
> in transcribing their old paper logs they would eventually encounter
> enough problems during signing to alert them to the underlying error.
> And, presumably, they would then fix their problem.
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

529. LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 21:32:36 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 529 Message: 529

I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
response.
A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

530. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 21:40:50 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 529 Message: 530

At 22:32 03/11/2003, you wrote:
>I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
>They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
>I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
>response.
>A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
Gil,
usually I just have to wait for a couple of seconds, it seems pretty
fast to me...

Ciao
Fabio IZ4AFW

531. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 21:46:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 529 Message: 531

I get practically instantaneous response.
Occasionally, and I do mean just once in a while, there is a few second
delay.

----- Original Message -----
From: "gbaron" <rojomn@...>
To: "AA/ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 3:32 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

> I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee
hours.
> They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
> I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
> response.
> A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> 44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

532. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:07:02 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 529 Message: 532

At 03:32 PM 11/3/03 -0600, you wrote:

>I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
>They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
>I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
>response.
>A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
>

Gil, I've noticed that occasionally the ARRL server has a conniption and everything runs very slowly for a while, but right now -- I just checked -- it took 13 seconds to get to the LotW site from the main ARRL page, 4 seconds to get to the sign-in screen, and entry to the LotW user page after that was too quick to measure. It may be that the bottleneck is somewhere else on the way to you,

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

533. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:08:53 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 524 Message: 533

gbaron wrote:

> I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the trouble. The
> problem sis the problem of the ambiguous date.

Enter the date the way you logged it ... the DX logs it the way they logged it ... and in the end, it'll match. No problem. Seems like a waste of time anyway to reconfirm QSLed QSO's anyway.

LoTW is supposed to confirm those QSO's you don't have QSL's for. Looks like I've got at least 2 unconfirmed countries on LoTW that I never bothered getting a QSL for. Looking forward to the day when my DXCC records are merged with LoTW, and don't have to go through the hassle of messing with QSL cards. It might even get me back into DXing seriously again since I won't need "wallpaper" to prove a QSO.

73, Ron

534. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:14:48 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 529 Message: 534

I can't ever remember getting an abnormal delay at LoTW.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: gbaron <rojomn@...>
> To: AA/ARRL-LOTW <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 11/3/03 9:32:36 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server
>
> I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee
hours.
> They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
> I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
> response.
> A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> 44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

535. LotW DXCC/WAS/WAZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:16:26 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 535 Message: 535

A week since my last check, and I'm up to 72 DXCC entities confirmed, 48 states for WAS, and 28 zones -- about a 50 percent improvement in DXCC and WAZ totals just since October 28!

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

537. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:29:44 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 529 Message: 537

Better check with your ISP. About 2 seconds here on a broadband connection for sign in window.

Rod WC7N
----- Original Message -----
From: gbaron
To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 1:32 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

538. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW DXCC/WAS/WAZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:32:15 UTC
From: Scott Millick
Topic: 535 Message: 538

well I must have missed something but how are you finding this out I see where the number goes up but did not know there was a way to check what it applied to where is this at

At 04:16 PM 11/3/2003, you wrote:
>A week since my last check, and I'm up to 72 DXCC entities confirmed, 48
>states for WAS, and 28 zones -- about a 50 percent improvement in DXCC and
>WAZ totals just since October 28!
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
>2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
>Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1754451/R=0/SIG=11tpj98m3/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178323&partid=4116719>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Scott K9SM
'God please save us from the Good People'

If you don't know what I mean you are part of the problem.

Remember another saying

'You can't fix stupid'

540. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 22:38:54 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 529 Message: 540

You have an ISP problem. It has never taken me more than 3-5 seconds to get to logon and maybe another 5 seconds after that. This is with 31,468 Q's in the database and 3,067 QSL's. Even random queries seldom run more than 10 seconds. The longest execution time is when I took an ADIF output of all 3,000 Q's with QSO detail. This is consistent whether on the DSL at the office, the T1 at the St. Louis home, or the wireless ISP in NM.

Get a real ISP.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: gbaron [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 14:33
To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no response.
A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

541. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 23:02:58 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 529 Message: 541

I have to agree with Mike. I have a 56 k dialup connection (with maximum connection speed always at 49.2 k), and the loading/sign-in speeds are the same, no problems at all. Even when I'm playing with the 4 accounts things don't get as slow as you experience.

Those 15 minutes of waiting occured today, I assume. I noticed the whole ARRL site was down for quite some time on Saturday. Time to look for some alternative ISPs, maybe?
73,

Gert - K5WW

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 4:38 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

You have an ISP problem. It has never taken me more than 3-5 seconds to get to logon and maybe another 5 seconds after that. This is with 31,468 Q's in the database and 3,067 QSL's. Even random queries seldom run more than 10 seconds. The longest execution time is when I took an ADIF output of all 3,000 Q's with QSO detail. This is consistent whether on the DSL at the office, the T1 at the St. Louis home, or the wireless ISP in NM.

Get a real ISP.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: gbaron [mailto:rojomn@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 14:33
To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no response.
A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

542. 20 million???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 23:34:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 542 Message: 542

If I'm reading the opening page correctly (btw: instant connections and page changes),
LoTW is almost 20 millions qso's.
But less than 4000 users?
Just over 5K certificates?

You May be a CheeseHead if: You got a passport to go to Minnesota.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

543. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-03 23:53:10 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 529 Message: 543
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I tried several things just now, no individual action took longer than a second. Getting to the site, Logging on, choosing my QSO's and then selecting my QSL'd QSOs.

Andy K3UK

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, November 03, 2003 5:12:38 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

I can't ever remember getting an abnormal delay at LoTW.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: gbaron <rojomn@...>
> To: AA/ARRL-LOTW <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 11/3/03 9:32:36 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server
>
> I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
> They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
> I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
> response.
> A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> 44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

544. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW DXCC/WAS/WAZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 00:40:40 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 535 Message: 544

Scott,
Not sure what Pete is doing, but I download the ADIF file,
then import it to my regular logging program where my
DXCC,et al, records are stored.
It really works great that way.
73, Tom K5IID

At 22:32 11/03/03, you wrote:
>well I must have missed something but how are you finding this out I see
>where the number goes up but did not know there was a way to check what it
>applied to where is this at
>
>At 04:16 PM 11/3/2003, you wrote:
> >A week since my last check, and I'm up to 72 DXCC entities confirmed, 48
> >states for WAS, and 28 zones -- about a 50 percent improvement in DXCC and
> >WAZ totals just since October 28!
> >
> >73, Pete N4ZR
> >The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
> >2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
> >Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> ><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=17050
> 63108:HM/A=1754451/R=0/SIG=11tpj98m3/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178323&partid=4116719>
> >click here
> >
> >[]
> >
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>

>Scott K9SM
>'God please save us from the Good People'
>
>If you don't know what I mean you are part of the problem.
>
>Remember another saying
>
>'You can't fix stupid'
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

545. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 00:48:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 524 Message: 545

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
> Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 4:09 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Ambiguous Dates
>
>
> gbaron wrote:
>
> > I am entering my older cards but I wonder if it is worth the
> trouble. The
> > problem sis the problem of the ambiguous date.
>
> Enter the date the way you logged it ... the DX logs it the way they
> logged it ... and in the end, it'll match. No problem. Seems like a
> waste of time anyway to reconfirm QSLed QSO's anyway.
>

Suppose the other station does not have my QSL, suppose I never sent it? The idea is not only to benefit yourself but EVERYONE should send EVERY contact to LotW. If they do not there will not be enough matches to make it work. Besides, this also applies to logged QSOes that are kept in a time format that does not match the other side.

> LoTW is supposed to confirm those QSO's you don't have QSL's for. Looks
> like I've got at least 2 unconfirmed countries on LoTW that I never
> bothered getting a QSL for. Looking forward to the day when my DXCC
> records are merged with LoTW, and don't have to go through the hassle of
> messing with QSL cards. It might even get me back into DXing seriously
> again since I won't need "wallpaper" to prove a QSO.
>
> 73, Ron
>
>

Yes, that will be great.

>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

546. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 00:50:35 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 529 Message: 546

It may not be the server. Shortly after the post it was working again. It is fairly often that the wait is longer than it should be. It does seem however, that once logged in the response is fast and they are VERY FAST at processing the uploaded data. I was very happy with that, seemed nearly instantaneous.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 4:07 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server
>
>
> At 03:32 PM 11/3/03 -0600, you wrote:
>
> >I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the
> wee hours.
> >They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
> >I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
> >response.
> >A 5 second response would be bothersome but reasonable.
> >
>
> Gil, I've noticed that occasionally the ARRL server has a conniption and
> everything runs very slowly for a while, but right now -- I just
> checked --
> it took 13 seconds to get to the LotW site from the main ARRL page, 4
> seconds to get to the sign-in screen, and entry to the LotW user
> page after
> that was too quick to measure. It may be that the bottleneck is
> somewhere
> else on the way to you,
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
> 2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
> Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>

>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

547. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 00:52:33 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 529 Message: 547

It is not my ISP. I am on Charter Broadband and all else is fine. LotW is fine now too. I have no idea what it was or what it has been. I agree this can happen and it is not always the server.
My speed is regularly about 2 Mbps.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: WC7N [mailto:wc7n@...]
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 4:30 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Better check with your ISP. About 2 seconds here on a broadband connection for sign in window.

Rod WC7N
----- Original Message -----
From: gbaron
To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 1:32 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

548. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Bandwidth of server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 01:06:17 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 529 Message: 548

At 03:32 PM 11/3/2003 -0600, you wrote:
>I have yet to get quick response on the LOTW serve except in the wee hours.
>They sure could use more bandwidth as it is only going to get worse.
>I have been waiting 15 minutes just to get the sign on screen and no
snip
Strange i get fast response from all lotw functions.. .6 sec or faster.
i do have a DSL hookup..
73 Tony wa4jqs

549. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Ambiguous Dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 01:58:52 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 524 Message: 549

At 04:17 PM 11/3/03, rojomn wrote:

>Transposition would look valid for any date <= 12 so there are MANY
>problems here.

If someone were to consistently transpose their dates, 40% of their Q's
would appear to be okay but 60% of their log entries end up being rejected
due to invalid dates.

Wouldn't you expect seeing 60% of a log marked invalid to raise some sort
of red flag for most people? I'd expect most would quickly sense that
something was not as it should be and begin to ask questions and investigate.

>The other problem is time, many people did not use UTC in the past.

Even if they'd been using sundials to keep time is not a valid reason for
them (or the software they use) not to convert their log times into UTC now.

That's a big part of the rationale for requiring ADIF or Cabrillo files as
input, those files have standard date and time formats specified.

Even if someone were to insist on ignoring the standards and
exporting local times and dates rather than UTC, the process is to some
degree self-correcting: the combination of 0 matches with a flood of emails
asking "why not in the log" should be a clue to such people that
something's wrong.

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

553. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW DXCC/WAS/WAZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 11:53:16 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 535 Message: 553

Can you pull the totals from the database or do you have to count by
hand?

Pat

WW9R

-----Original Message-----
From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
Sent: Monday, November 03, 2003 4:16 PM
To: arrl-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW DXCC/WAS/WAZ

A week since my last check, and I'm up to 72 DXCC entities confirmed, 48

states for WAS, and 28 zones -- about a 50 percent improvement in DXCC
and
WAZ totals just since October 28!

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705
063108:HM/A=1754451/R=0/SIG=11tpj98m3/*http:/www.netflix.com/Default?mqs
o=60178323&partid=4116719> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egrou
pmail/S=:HM/A=1754451/rand=347957888>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

554. archives

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 17:10:03 UTC
From: Wayne King
Topic: 554 Message: 554

Hey Gang,

Where are the LOTH archives located?

Wayne N2WK

555. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] archives

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 17:12:23 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 554 Message: 555

At 12:10 PM 11/4/03 -0500, you wrote:

>Hey Gang,
>
>Where are the LOTH archives located?
>

www.yahoogroups.com

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

556. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] archives

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 17:27:34 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 554 Message: 556

> Hey Gang,
>
> Where are the LOTH archives located?
>
> Wayne N2WK

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

557. When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 18:50:23 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 557 Message: 557

Our attention span is quickly reaching the 'boredom thresh-hold'.

We've uploaded the contacts. We've seen that some other folks have uploaded some matching contacts.

LoTW is rapidly slipping into the category of "mildly interesting curiosity" at our shack.

Wake us up when it does something useful.

73/88, de K0CKB & K0HB

558. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 19:09:22 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 557 Message: 558

At 06:50 PM 11/4/03 +0000, you wrote:
>Wake us up when it does something useful.

At the rate it's going, I'll have DXCC/LOTW within about 2 weeks. Now THAT is above my attention threshold. I've already got a number of new band-countries (my 5B total is around 1250) which suggests that it's not just the ordinary stations that are submitting their logs. ARRL will already check LOTW for additions to any card submission you make -- after less than 2 months, aren't you getting a little impatient?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

559. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 19:52:12 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 557 Message: 559

> At the rate it's going, I'll have DXCC/LOTW within about 2 weeks.

>>>>>>>>> Yawn. <<<<<<<<<<

My first DXCC is dated July 28, 1968, signed by George Hart, W1NJM. We don't need LoTW to handle mundane clerical tasks like counting DXCC clicks.

Show me some good stuff, like a map showing a propagation summary (gleaned from LoTW contact records) on 40 meters/by hour during the 2002 ARRL Phone Sweepstakes, or a visual representation of how the bands recovered after the solar flare last weekend.

73, Hans

560. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 20:32:32 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 557 Message: 560

At 07:52 PM 11/4/03 +0000, you wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Yawn. <<<<<<<<<<
>
>My first DXCC is dated July 28, 1968, signed by George Hart, W1NJM. We
>don't need LoTW to handle mundane clerical tasks like counting DXCC clicks.

So? Did you read on down about new band-countries in the rarified area above 250? My first paper DXCC was signed by the same guy, though a few years later (I was overseas from 1964 till 1974).

>Show me some good stuff, like a map showing a propagation summary (gleaned
>from LoTW contact records) on 40 meters/by hour during the 2002 ARRL Phone
>Sweepstakes, or a visual representation of how the bands recovered after
>the solar flare last weekend.

If you want those things, then you should go to the log checkers, because they are the ones who have a complete database within a few months after the contest. Tree published an hour-by-hour, band-by-band table of the number of contacts made during the 2002 CW SS; the log checkers can do *much* more refined analysis than that, given time and interest. For example, I'd like to see similar stats by the section of the station on one or the other end of the QSO.

But back to LotW -- it would be nice to know what the ARRL vision for its future is, but I can state my personal expectation:

* Logging software will be revised to keep track of paper and LotW QSLs separately, and eventually to do submissions automatically as award thresholds are reached.

* ARRL awards will fully integrate LotW data, reducing the cost of getting ARRL awards for everyone.

* Contesters and DXpeditions will be able to reduce their costs (acknowledging that there are sponsorship issues that will need to be worked out). At a minimum, the "run-of-the-mill" Caribbean and Pacific DXpeditions will benefit immensely.

* Other contest sponsors will come on board, so that one database can serve ARRL, CQ, RSGB, DARC, JARL, etc. etc. There's certainly no reason to duplicate.

Will this be a transformation like the advent of SSB? Of course not. Will it make things better? You bet.

I repeat, it's only been operational for 2 months. Patience.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

561. Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 21:17:47 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 557 Message: 561

Hmmmm, well sorry to see that you are bored with the system, but your expectations are not what this system is designed to do. It is an on-line logbook to make chasing for awards faster and less expensive. Maybe your first DXCC was decades ago, but there are plenty of hams still chasing theirs and always new hams that will start chasing DX, states, grid squares, counties, islands, etc. for their award. We obviously need LOTW to handle "mundane" tasks since the current manual mundane process takes months. I could care less about a propagation summary. I don't care what happened with propagation in the past, I only care about upcoming predictions. What happened in the past is the past. It does not help you make more contacts in the future. If you don't want to use LOTW for its intended purpose, that's your choice. But don't complain because LOTW is not doing something it was never intended to do.

JMHO

Duffy

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
>
> > At the rate it's going, I'll have DXCC/LOTW within about 2 weeks.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yawn. <<<<<<<<<<
>
> My first DXCC is dated July 28, 1968, signed by George Hart, W1NJM. We
> don't need LoTW to handle mundane clerical tasks like counting DXCC
clicks.
>
> Show me some good stuff, like a map showing a propagation summary
(gleaned
> from LoTW contact records) on 40 meters/by hour during the 2002 ARRL
Phone
> Sweepstakes, or a visual representation of how the bands recovered after
> the solar flare last weekend.
>
> 73, Hans

562. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 21:24:08 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 557 Message: 562

But we need it to make the confirmations easy and cheap. Anyone already on
the list might not need it as much but the potential is huge. It is up to
everyone to upload every log and then it will match the potential.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hans K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2003 1:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?
>
>
>
> > At the rate it's going, I'll have DXCC/LOTW within about 2 weeks.
>
> >>>>>>>>> Yawn. <<<<<<<<<<
>
> My first DXCC is dated July 28, 1968, signed by George Hart, W1NJM. We
> don't need LoTW to handle mundane clerical tasks like counting
> DXCC clicks.
>
> Show me some good stuff, like a map showing a propagation summary (gleaned
> from LoTW contact records) on 40 meters/by hour during the 2002 ARRL Phone
> Sweepstakes, or a visual representation of how the bands recovered after
> the solar flare last weekend.
>
> 73, Hans
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

>

563. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 22:07:22 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 557 Message: 563

> [Original Message]
> From: Beischel <duffyb01@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 11/4/03 9:23:58 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?
>
> Hmmmm, well sorry to see that you are bored with the system, but your
> expectations are not what this system is designed to do. It is an
> on-line logbook to make chasing for awards faster and less expensive.

That's one version of the story, and the easiest to visualize. Some significant fraction of the users will be satisfied with just that and nothing more. Fair enough.

But let's not stop there and say "mission accomplished". Hopefully ARRL has a larger vision of "what this system is designed to do", and hopefully that vision includes scientific applications using that wondrous data base which are more than just clerical tasks.

As an example, last weekend several thousand North American amateurs were continuously working each other all across the HF bands in the SweepStakes contest. In the middle of it all, we were creamed with a solar flare. I can imagine a visual presentation of the cumulative data which would show the effects of the flare, maybe in 3D graphics with frequency on one axis, number of contacts on another axis, and distance on the third --- then roll it as series of snapshots 1 minute apart and see the effects as it hit and how the ionosphere recovered over time. Thats just one quick scenario off the top of my head. It wouldn't take me long to come up with a dozen other "kewl things" to do with this information.

Hey, let's do more than just another version of eQSL.

73, de Hans, K0HB

564. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 22:18:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 557 Message: 564

I'm with Hans on this one.
I'm grateful for the qsling option. Makes it cheaper to get those 'not rare, but expensive to accumulate band/modes.

But I'm a report/data junky, give me options to look at my qsl's/qso's, see where and whom I should watch for in contests etc.

Wonder if manufacturers could get ideas from seeing operating trends?
Don't know what kind of gold is in that qsl mine...till you dig...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hans K0HB" <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2003 4:07 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

> > [Original Message]
> > From: Beischel <duffyb01@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 11/4/03 9:23:58 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?
> >
> > Hmmmm, well sorry to see that you are bored with the system, but your
> > expectations are not what this system is designed to do. It is an
> > on-line logbook to make chasing for awards faster and less expensive.
>
> That's one version of the story, and the easiest to visualize. Some
> significant fraction of the users will be satisfied with just that and
> nothing more. Fair enough.
>
> But let's not stop there and say "mission accomplished". Hopefully ARRL
> has a larger vision of "what this system is designed to do", and hopefully
> that vision includes scientific applications using that wondrous data base
> which are more than just clerical tasks.
>
> As an example, last weekend several thousand North American amateurs were
> continuously working each other all across the HF bands in the SweepStakes
> contest. In the middle of it all, we were creamed with a solar flare. I
> can imagine a visual presentation of the cumulative data which would show
> the effects of the flare, maybe in 3D graphics with frequency on one axis,
> number of contacts on another axis, and distance on the third --- then
roll
> it as series of snapshots 1 minute apart and see the effects as it hit and
> how the ionosphere recovered over time. Thats just one quick scenario off
> the top of my head. It wouldn't take me long to come up with a dozen
other

> "kewl things" to do with this information.
>
> Hey, let's do more than just another version of eQSL.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

565. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 22:33:28 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 557 Message: 565

At 04:18 PM 11/4/03 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
>I'm with Hans on this one.
>I'm grateful for the qsling option. Makes it cheaper to get those 'not rare,
>but expensive to accumulate band/modes.
>
>But I'm a report/data junky, give me options to look at my qsl's/qso's, see
>where and whom I should watch for in contests etc.
>
>Wonder if manufacturers could get ideas from seeing operating trends?
>Don't know what kind of gold is in that qsl mine...till you dig...

Trying to use LotW for purposes like this reminds me of the old adage "When all you have is a hammer, every problem tends to look like a nail." LotW is not the tool of choice. If you want data, get after contest sponsors to provide it, or the finished reports you want. LotW isn't going to have inclusive-enough data for years (if ever) on QSOs made during, for example, a 2-day period at the beginning of November. The SS log checkers will have it in a month, with over 70 percent (their figure) verified through cross-checking the logs.

I know CQ is extremely proprietary about their raw data, but if someone wanted to volunteer to provide them with software to crunch it, on the basis of a small/disguised sample, maybe they would see the public relations benefit. ARRL may be more likely to be receptive, but we won't know till we ask.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

566. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 22:43:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 557 Message: 566

Not sure I agree. I've been looking at my LoTWREPORT.adi.
I find it interesting, but wondering how my trends will change as more data becomes available.
Right now 60% of my qsls are from Saturday Operations. only 10% from Sunday...why???
Contests? I operate contests on Sunday.
I also have far more qsl's for SSB than cw, even though I operate CW far more than SSB.

As for hammer and nail...if the data I have is only from LoTW...I guess I gotta go with the hammer...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2003 4:33 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

> At 04:18 PM 11/4/03 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> >I'm with Hans on this one.
> >I'm grateful for the qsling option. Makes it cheaper to get those 'not rare,
> >but expensive to accumulate band/modes.
> >
> >But I'm a report/data junky, give me options to look at my qsl's/qso's, see
> >where and whom I should watch for in contests etc.
> >
> >Wonder if manufacturers could get ideas from seeing operating trends?
> >Don't know what kind of gold is in that qsl mine...till you dig...
>
> Trying to use LotW for purposes like this reminds me of the old adage "When
> all you have is a hammer, every problem tends to look like a nail." LotW
> is not the tool of choice. If you want data, get after contest sponsors to
> provide it, or the finished reports you want. LotW isn't going to have
> inclusive-enough data for years (if ever) on QSOs made during, for example,
> a 2-day period at the beginning of November. The SS log checkers will have
> it in a month, with over 70 percent (their figure) verified through
> cross-checking the logs.
>
> I know CQ is extremely proprietary about their raw data, but if someone
> wanted to volunteer to provide them with software to crunch it, on the

> basis of a small/disguised sample, maybe they would see the public
> relations benefit. ARRL may be more likely to be receptive, but we won't
> know till we ask.
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
> 2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
> Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

567. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 22:55:35 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 557 Message: 567

It will not do anything useful until you, I, and all others do their part.

At 06:50 PM 11/4/2003 +0000, you wrote:
>Our attention span is quickly reaching the 'boredom thresh-hold'.
>
>We've uploaded the contacts. We've seen that some other folks have
>uploaded some matching contacts.
>
>LoTW is rapidly slipping into the category of "mildly interesting
>curiosity" at our shack.
>
>Wake us up when it does something useful.
>
>73/88, de K0CKB & K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

568. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-04 23:17:25 UTC
From: Hans K0HB
Topic: 557 Message: 568

Pete, you've just made my argument for me.

There are dozens of contest sponsors out there, but only one (well, maybe two) LoTW.

QSO's from EVERY contest are in LoTW, all in the same identical format. Also data from non-contest operation which is available nowhere else. If a scientist (or just a curious amateur) wanted to do any comprehensive propagation study, they'd have to deal with dozens of contest sponsors with different database formats, or a single database at LoTW.

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: Pete Smith <n4zr@...>

> If you want data, get after contest sponsors to
> provide it, or the finished reports you want.

569. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 04:03:11 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 557 Message: 569

Well, we've uploaded about 30,000 QSO's, we've paid our ARRL dues for about 40 years, we QRS when asked, we answer all cards (even bureau cards from JA/DL/UA), and we're kind to QRP'ers.

73/88, de K0CKB & K0HB

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/4/03 10:55:16 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

It will not do anything useful until you, I, and all others do their part.

570. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 09:26:06 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 557 Message: 570

At 04:03 AM 11/5/2003 +0000, you wrote:
>Well, we've uploaded about 30,000 QSO's, we've paid our ARRL dues for
>about 40 years, we QRS when asked, we answer all cards (even bureau cards
>from JA/DL/UA), and we're kind to QRP'ers.

There ya go! Relax and enjoy
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

571. Just did it! But a problem.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 12:54:09 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 571 Message: 571

WOW! Just uploaded my modest log and got the response in about 30 seconds.
Now that's fast.
Only problem is it only uploaded about 3/4 of the total I sent. Do I have to go back and match
my log page by page to the records in order to find the missing contacts?
It reported no errors.
Thanks
Bill

572. what's wrong with my syntax? please

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 13:05:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 572 Message: 572

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?w9ol&password=XXXXXX&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=w9ol&qso_qslsince(2003-09-15)

I've gone over the FAQ for developers and can't see what I'm doing wrong.
I thought the above URL should log me in and then request the report.
But...it gets me to the log in site and waits till I manually enter the log in info.
Then is offers to download the report.

Obviously my password is NOT xxxxxx.

as always, Please and thank you.

I've learned that you can keep puking long after you think you're finished.

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

573. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] what's wrong with my syntax? please

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 13:29:58 UTC
From: Fidel Leon
Topic: 572 Message: 573

At 14:05 05/11/2003, you wrote:

>https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?w9ol&password=XXXXXX&qso_query=

The correct sintax for automatic login is:

?login=w9ol&password=your_password&...

73,

Fidel Leon - EA3GIP
ea3gip@...

--
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 7.0.197 / Virus Database: 260.2.8 - Release Date: 03/11/2003

574. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] what's wrong with my syntax? please

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-05 13:56:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 572 Message: 574

thank you.
you can look and look and look and never see an obvious mistake until
someone points it out
and then it glares and you wonder how you missed it.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Fidel Leon" <ea3gip@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2003 7:29 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] what's wrong with my syntax? please

> At 14:05 05/11/2003, you wrote:
>
>
>https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?w9ol&password=XXXXXX&qso_query
=
>
> The correct sintax for automatic login is:
>
> ?login=w9ol&password=your_password&...
>
> 73,
>
> Fidel Leon - EA3GIP
> ea3gip@...
>
>
> --
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 7.0.197 / Virus Database: 260.2.8 - Release Date: 03/11/2003
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

>

575. ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:27:13 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 575 Message: 575

I have been trying to do a download adif file that included grid square information. I tried this but no go.

www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y"&qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare

What did I do wrong?

Julio, W4HY

576. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:33:49 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 575 Message: 576
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I suggest you change your password - immediately.
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2003 6:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

I have been trying to do a download adif file that included grid square information. I tried this but no go.

www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y"&qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare

What did I do wrong?

Julio, W4HY

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

577. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:35:52 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 575 Message: 577

Try it with "https:// ..." and without the quotes around the 'y' and 'yes'.

Julio Peralta wrote:
> I have been trying to do a download adif file that included grid square information. I tried this but no go.
>
> www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y" &qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare
>
> What did I do wrong?
>
> Julio, W4HY

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

578. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:38:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 575 Message: 578

>What did I do wrong?

Julio, W4HY

NOTHING EXCEPT: you forgot to XXXXX out your password...
suggest you change it...

579. Password

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:42:36 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 579 Message: 579

I told myself I wasn't going to do that.
I've already changed it.

Julio, W4HY

580. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:44:53 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 575 Message: 580

Changed it before it posted. Realized what I had done as soon as I hit send button.

Julio
----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2003 7:38 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

>
> >What did I do wrong?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> NOTHING EXCEPT: you forgot to XXXXX out your password...
> suggest you change it...
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

581. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 00:49:26 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 575 Message: 581

I tried it without the " ". Didn't work.

Julio
----- Original Message -----
From: Bruce Ferry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2003 7:35 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Try it with "https:// ..." and without the quotes around the 'y' and 'yes'.

Julio Peralta wrote:
> I have been trying to do a download adif file that included grid square information. I tried this but no go.
>
> www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y"&qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare
>
> What did I do wrong?
>
> Julio, W4HY

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

582. finished product

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 01:14:28 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 582 Message: 582

If anyone needs to get grid square info from the ADIF download here is the whole thing.

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=YOURCALL&password=XXXXXX&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd=y&qso_qsldetail=yes&gridsquare

Thanks to Bruce and others who helped.

Julio, W4HY

583. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 02:47:46 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 575 Message: 583

At 07:49 PM 11/5/2003, Julio Peralta wrote:
>www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y"&
qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare
gridsquare is an *output* field in the ADIF file being output. There's
no reason to add that parameter to your query. (I've just checked my
output without the "&gridsquare" and I'm seeing grids in the ADIF output.

You're welcome (anyone on the list is, actually) to try my query page -
<http://www.itm-inst.com/lotwquery.htm> - to play around with simple
queries. I haven't implemented the entire query set - QSL start/end
dates and times, DXCC entity selections haven't been done yet.

Feedback on the query page would be appreciated.
-Rick

584. ITUZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 03:17:38 UTC
From: Wendell Carrington
Topic: 584 Message: 584

Can someone tell me how to change my itu zone number on my account as it was entered wrong when first set up. Thanks, Wendell N5WC

585. ADIF download enhancement request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 05:10:56 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 585 Message: 585

It would be helpful to provide a parameter that when present returns the number of records that would have been returned had the parameter not been present. This would make it possible to present users with a progress bar during the process of downloading the ADIF file; downloads can be quite lengthy when a lot of QSOs are returned, or when the internet link is running at low speed.

I realize that the header contains the desired recordcount, but its hard to reliably grab that on the fly given chunking etc.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

586. how do I correct an error in a certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 12:46:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 586 Message: 586

I goofed and transposed the CQ & ITU zones for a station I manage.
Consequently, someone who received a qsl for this station noticed the zone errors.

Can I fix this? How?

And just purely academic question, Can I withdraw a certificate.
For Example: Say I was to cease being a manager for a station who then took on a new manager.
Could I just let the certificate die of old age or is there a way to end it before it's expiry?

When your over 50: You quit trying to hold your stomach in, no matter who walks into the room.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

587. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] how do I correct an error in a certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 13:13:32 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 586 Message: 587

There's nothing wrong with the certificate itself. I think all you need
to do is update the location entry in TQSL for that station and
resign/resubmit the QSO information.

Don't know about withdrawing a certificate ...

FireBrick wrote:
> I goofed and transposed the CQ & ITU zones for a station I manage.
> Consequently, someone who received a qsl for this station noticed the zone
> errors.
>
> Can I fix this? How?
>
> And just purely academic question, Can I withdraw a certificate.
> For Example: Say I was to cease being a manager for a station who then took
> on a new manager.
> Could I just let the certificate die of old age or is there a way to end it
> before it's expiry?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> When your over 50: You quit trying to hold your stomach in, no matter who
> walks into the room.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

588. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] how do I correct an error in a certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 14:16:33 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 586 Message: 588

Many have done that. They have them in the WRONG order, since almost
everyone else has the order the reverse of LotW, this was BOND to happen.

The Station Location I do not think is part of the certificate. Go to TQSL
and File/Edit location. After you change the location , resign the log and
resend it, problems solved.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 6:46 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] how do I correct an error in a certificate
>
>
> I goofed and transposed the CQ & ITU zones for a station I manage.
> Consequently, someone who received a qsl for this station noticed the zone
> errors.
>
> Can I fix this? How?
>
> And just purely academic question, Can I withdraw a certificate.
> For Example: Say I was to cease being a manager for a station who
> then took
> on a new manager.
> Could I just let the certificate die of old age or is there a way
> to end it
> before it's expiry?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> When your over 50: You quit trying to hold your stomach in, no matter who
> walks into the room.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

589. Re: When will it DO something?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 16:33:43 UTC
From: Keith Morehouse
Topic: 557 Message: 589

*Date: Wed, 5 Nov 2003 04:03:11 -00
*From: "K0HB & K0CKB" <K-Zero-HB@...>
*Subject: Re: When will it DO something?
*
*Well, we've uploaded about 30,000 QSO's, we've paid
*our ARRL dues for
*about 40 years, we QRS when asked, we answer all
*cards (even bureau
*cards from JA/DL/UA), and we're kind to QRP'ers.
*
*73/88, de K0CKB & K0HB

Well Hans, raise your hand and ask Dave and the boys
at the league when you can start cranking out lines of
code. I'm sure they have their hands full just making
it act as a collector of QSL data.

It would be great if eventually that vast deposit of
data could be used for something else, but lets allow
it to do what it was intended first.

Keith
W9RM

=====
Keith J. Morehouse
Probe Electronics
102 C S Park St
Hampshire IL 60140
847.683.9195

Do you Yahoo!?
Protect your identity with Yahoo! Mail AddressGuard
http://antispam.yahoo.com/whatsnewfree

590. LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 18:09:02 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 590 Message: 590

Hi Gang,
Starting on LoTW's page 81 of my log submission. LoTW dropped huge chunks of my contacts. It doesn't seem to be any reason as they appear to be in proper form and it reported no errors.
The chunks are as few as one record and as many as an entire month and are scattered through the last part of the log. It totals about 1/3 of my log.
My question is can I go back and resubmit starting with page 80 without any repercussions from LoTW
for reporting the duplicates?
Thanks
Bill

591. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 18:19:48 UTC
From: Bruce Ferry
Topic: 590 Message: 591

Yes, you can just upload over top of what is already there.

And I'd email it in instead of uploading it on the web site. There have
been problems processing large files in the past that were uploaded. In
fact, I'd just send the whole thing over again to be sure.

Bill Hawkins wrote:
> Hi Gang,
> Starting on LoTW's page 81 of my log submission. LoTW dropped huge chunks of my contacts.
> It doesn't seem to be any reason as they appear to be in proper form and it reported no
errors.
> The chunks are as few as one record and as many as an entire month and are scattered
through the last part of the log. It totals about 1/3 of my log.
> My question is can I go back and resubmit starting with page 80 without any repercussions
from LoTW
> for reporting the duplicates?
> Thanks
> Bill
>
>

--
Bruce Ferry, AK8B

592. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 18:20:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 590 Message: 592

I've seen that also. resubmit the log and it seems to pick up the ones it missed.
Seems only to happen with large log file uploads.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 12:09 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Hi Gang,
Starting on LoTW's page 81 of my log submission. LoTW dropped huge chunks of my contacts.
It doesn't seem to be any reason as they appear to be in proper form and it reported no errors.
The chunks are as few as one record and as many as an entire month and are scattered through the last part of the log. It totals about 1/3 of my log.
My question is can I go back and resubmit starting with page 80 without any repercussions from LoTW
for reporting the duplicates?
Thanks
Bill

593. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 18:24:17 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 590 Message: 593

How did you know that records were dropped. I hope you did not have to scan by hand. This is bad news if it is common because it will up the t traffic. One easy way to test fro this is to submit another time and if all are reported as duplicate then you know it did not drop any. This is not what should be done but is one practical way if you have a lot of records, let the computer do it. I assume though that there is a better way!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Hawkins [mailto:w5ec@...]
Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 12:09 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Hi Gang,
Starting on LoTW's page 81 of my log submission. LoTW dropped huge chunks of my contacts.
It doesn't seem to be any reason as they appear to be in proper form and it reported no errors.
The chunks are as few as one record and as many as an entire month and are scattered through the last part of the log. It totals about 1/3 of my log.
My question is can I go back and resubmit starting with page 80 without any repercussions from LoTW
for reporting the duplicates?
Thanks
Bill

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

594. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 19:31:54 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 590 Message: 594

I have just resubmitted the entire log.
The way I knew some were missing was LoTW reported the number or records and it was way below the number I sent.
Yes, I had to scan by "hand" as I don't know a better way.
My original log is in Excel, so I copied the Date, time and call to a new page and began pasteing
LoTW 25 record pages side beside onto Excel. They matched up to page LoTW page 81. There the omissions began.
UH OH
Just got response from LoTW. All records were duplicates.
I will advise y'all when I see what is happening.
Bill

How did you know that records were dropped. I hope you did not have to scan by hand. This is bad news if it is common because it will up the t traffic. One easy way to test fro this is to submit another time and if all are reported as duplicate then you know it did not drop any. This is not what should be done but is one practical way if you have a lot of records, let the computer do it. I assume though that there is a better way!

595. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 21:27:12 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 590 Message: 595

On Thu, Nov 06, 2003 at 01:31:54PM -0600, Bill Hawkins wrote:
> Just got response from LoTW. All records were duplicates.
> I will advise y'all when I see what is happening.

Do you know that they are making it into the .TQ5 file OK?
Maybe they are being dropped by TQSL, not by the LOTW server.

Maybe you can split the log into multiple TQ5s. TQSL lets you ignore
QSOs outside of a certain date range.

Did date range did you specify for your certificate? Maybe it is
ignoring the later QSLs because they are after your certificate is valid
for.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

596. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 21:46:29 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 590 Message: 596

I thought the dupes were disregarded

Tom K5IID

At 18:24 11/06/03, you wrote:
>How did you know that records were dropped. I hope you did not have to
>scan by hand. This is bad news if it is common because it will up the t
>traffic. One easy way to test fro this is to submit another time and if
>all are reported as duplicate then you know it did not drop any. This is
>not what should be done but is one practical way if you have a lot of
>records, let the computer do it. I assume though that there is a better way!
>
>
>
>Gil, W0MN
><http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron>http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Bill Hawkins [mailto:w5ec@...]
>Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 12:09 PM
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records
>
>Hi Gang,
>Starting on LoTW's page 81 of my log submission. LoTW dropped huge chunks
>of my contacts.
>It doesn't seem to be any reason as they appear to be in proper form and
>it reported no errors.
>The chunks are as few as one record and as many as an entire month and are
>scattered through the last part of the log. It totals about 1/3 of my log.
>My question is can I go back and resubmit starting with page 80 without
>any repercussions from LoTW
>for reporting the duplicates?
>Thanks
>Bill
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1524963/R=0/SIG=12o885gmo/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>[]
>
>[]
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

597. WE NEED SOME icons

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 22:47:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 597 Message: 597

As I keep the LoTW Icons on my desktop, they look a little bland and not self-descriptive.

I have one for the LoTW home page, 1 for the Login Page (saves one step) and 1 each for the certificate and for signing logs.

Anybody good at icon graphics come up with something a little more distinctive?

Before you brag about your family tree, better do some pruning!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

598. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 22:49:17 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 590 Message: 598

It is dropping the records because it doesn't like what I used for some of the fields.
I used PSK--what should it be? PSK31? RTTY? DIGI?
I used freq = 10 and changed it to 10.1--worked
I used freq = 18 and changed it to 18.1--worked
I used freq = 24 and changed it to 24.8--DIDN'T WORK- what should it be?

Where are the rules for this stuff? I can't find them.
Thanks
Bill

599. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 23:05:16 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 590 Message: 599
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Bill

When you go to the "Your QSOs" window and open the drop-down menu for the modes you can see what the program uses.

Try 24.9 for 12 m.
73,

Gert

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 4:49 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

It is dropping the records because it doesn't like what I used for some of the fields.
I used PSK--what should it be? PSK31? RTTY? DIGI?
I used freq = 10 and changed it to 10.1--worked
I used freq = 18 and changed it to 18.1--worked
I used freq = 24 and changed it to 24.8--DIDN'T WORK- what should it be?

Where are the rules for this stuff? I can't find them.
Thanks
Bill

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

600. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-06 22:29:23 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 575 Message: 600

Hi Julio:
You don't have to go thru all these gyrations to get grid square info in your ADIF file.

Log onto Lotw, go to "Your QSO'S", select "Download report" and check "Include QSO Details".

I've been doing this since LoTW added the report function and they all have had gridsquare info included in the ADIF file.

I then import the ADIF into LOGIC 6 and can look at my grid totals by band. Also DXCC, States, Counties, Zones, PFX, etc.

I wish I had seen your post sooner and saved you some trouble.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 19:27 11/5/2003 , you wrote:
>I have been trying to do a download adif file that included grid square information. I tried this but no go.
>
>www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=w4hy&password=PRMJEZ&qso_query=1&qsl_rcvd="y"&qso_qsldetail="yes"&gridsquare
>
>What did I do wrong?
>
>Julio, W4HY
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

601. ADIF download defect

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 01:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 601 Message: 601

I am downloading QSOs with the following Query:

&qso_query=1&qso_qsl=no&qso_withown=yes&qso_qsorxsince=2003-11-05
04:52:17

The header in the returned data is

ARRL Logbook of the World Status Report
Generated at 2003-11-07 00:53:20
for aa6yq
Query:
QSORX SINCE: 2003-11-05 04:52:17

<PROGRAMID:4>LoTW
<APP_LoTW_LASTQSORX:19>2003-11-05 04:52:17

<APP_LoTW_NUMREC:1>3

<eoh>

Notice that APP_LoTW_LASTQSORX is identical to the date and time
specified in the query's qso_qsorxsince parameter, and yet 3 QSOs are
returned. Shouldn't 0 QSOs be returned in this case?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

602. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 01:09:33 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 590 Message: 602

At 05:49 PM 11/6/03, you wrote:

>I used PSK--what should it be? PSK31? RTTY? DIGI?

PSK31

>I used freq = 24 and changed it to 24.8--DIDN'T WORK- what should it be?

It looking from something between 24.890 and 24.990 inclusive...
try 24.9

>Where are the rules for this stuff? I can't find them.

It's not well documented at all. However most of these rules can be found in

.../Application Data/TrustedQSL/config.xml

Under Win 2K & XP .../Application Data/ can be found by looking in
C:\Documents and Settings\<user name>\Application Data

Under Win98 look for it in C:\Windows\Profiles\<user>\Application Data\

...\Application Data is typically hidden so you'll need to show hidden
files in the file manager in order to see it.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

603. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 03:18:57 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 590 Message: 603

On Thu, Nov 06, 2003 at 04:49:17PM -0600, Bill Hawkins wrote:
> It is dropping the records because it doesn't like what I used for some of the fields.
> I used PSK--what should it be? PSK31? RTTY? DIGI?

PSK31. You can set up mode mappings in the menus though so that
TQSL will translate it to PSK31.

BTW, TQSL doesn't silently drop QSOs with invalid modes - it lists them
in the main window and will stop and ask you after the first one.

> I used freq = 10 and changed it to 10.1--worked
> I used freq = 18 and changed it to 18.1--worked
> I used freq = 24 and changed it to 24.8--DIDN'T WORK- what should it be?

If you're not going to report exact frequencies you might be better off
using the BAND field in the ADIF format rather than frequency.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

604. Early experience with synchronizing LotW and a logging application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 08:41:45 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 604 Message: 604

I have extended DXKeeper to independently track QSL status for hardcopy, eQSL.cc, and LotW; 3 sets of 4 fields are maintained for each QSO: QSL_Sent, QSL_Rcvd, QSL_Sent_Date, and QSL_Rcvd_Date.

I have implemented automated downloading of QSOs and QSLs from LoTW via the ADIF reporting mechanism; this mechanism has in general performed well; kudos to its designers.

I had previously uploaded 15776 QSOs to LotW, using the traditional export-ADIF-and-sign-with-QSLCert approach. Validating these by downloading LotW QSOs resulted in 15776 QSOs with LotW QSL_Sent set to 'Y' - every QSO matched. This process is rather slow , given the need to query the database for each QSO, but future invocations of this function will likely encounter a few tens of QSOs rather than 15K at once.

The 15776 uploaded QSOs produced 207 downloaded QSLs. The downloading code checks logged data (e.g. CQ zone) against LotW data and lets the operator decide how to deal with inconsistencies. It quickly became clear that the downloaded data must be carefully inspected -- e.g. ensuring that gridsquares are valid, and that IOTA tags are properly constructed. In some cases, the data can be reformatted on the fly, as in AS004 -> AS-004. Of the 207 downloaded QSOs, there were

- 26 IOTA tags that required hyphen insertion

- 4 bad CQ zones

- 2 bad grid squares

- 1 bad ITU zone

Thus the uncorrectable error rate was around 3%.

Before releasing this version of DXKeeper, I want to add a report that shows DXCC status separately for each of the three modes of QSL conveyance, as well as execute a few more tests. DXKeeper is free, so anyone interested in synchronizing their ADIF log with LotW will be able to do so.

Alas, the 207 LotW QSLs did not improve my DXCC Challenge statistics...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

605. LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 20:13:16 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 605 Message: 605

In response to LoTW query:

"Last upload for W1AW: No log data found"

606. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 20:28:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 605 Message: 606

I checked mine, I've got a bunch of qsl's with W1AW...all contest type but
they are there.

----- Original Message -----
From: "K0HB & K0CKB" <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: "ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; <W1AW@...>
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 2:13 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

> In response to LoTW query:
>
> "Last upload for W1AW: No log data found"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

607. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 20:41:51 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 605 Message: 607

Are you sure you have QSL's and not just QSO's? I have W1AW, W1AW/5, W1AW/9, and NU1AW in the log maybe 40 times and *ZERO* QSL's.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 13:28
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

I checked mine, I've got a bunch of qsl's with W1AW...all contest type but they are there.

608. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 20:51:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 605 Message: 608

Duh!
I really should go have my eyes checked by a real Eye Doctor.
These K Mart Mail Order specs are making a fool out of me.

I must have hit the wrong buttons.
Wish I could say it won't happen again. :-(((

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Mellinger WA0SXV" <wa0sxv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 2:41 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

> Are you sure you have QSL's and not just QSO's? I have W1AW, W1AW/5,
> W1AW/9, and NU1AW in the log maybe 40 times and *ZERO* QSL's.
>
> Mike
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 13:28
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship
>
> I checked mine, I've got a bunch of qsl's with W1AW...all contest type but
> they are there.
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

609. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 20:57:41 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 605 Message: 609

Been there, done that, have the T-shirt.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 13:52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Duh!
I really should go have my eyes checked by a real Eye Doctor.
These K Mart Mail Order specs are making a fool out of me.

I must have hit the wrong buttons.
Wish I could say it won't happen again. :-(((

610. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW drops records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 21:13:37 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 590 Message: 610

OK, OK, so it wasn't LoTW dropping the records. I guess I will now blame it on TQSL. I thought it only gave me one error on the first submission but on the second submission it gave a bunch of them. So I corrected all of them before submitting again . This time it went smooooth.
Well, maybe it really was my fault.
Bill W5EC

On Thu, Nov 06, 2003 at 04:49:17PM -0600, Bill Hawkins wrote:
> It is dropping the records because it doesn't like what I used for some of the fields.
> I used PSK--what should it be? PSK31? RTTY? DIGI?

BTW, TQSL doesn't silently drop QSOs with invalid modes - it lists them
in the main window and will stop and ask you after the first one.

>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

611. What is this?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 22:53:54 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 611 Message: 611

When I was signing my log to submit, there was a line at the top that said
"Signing using CALL = W5EC, DXCC = 291". I wonder what the 291 means.
It is not my dxcc total. Any ideas?
Bill W5EC

612. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What is this?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 23:08:30 UTC
From: Fidel Leon
Topic: 611 Message: 612

At 23:53 07/11/2003, you wrote:

>When I was signing my log to submit, there was a line at the top that said
>"Signing using CALL = W5EC, DXCC = 291". I wonder what the 291 means.

291 is the ARRL number for a country called U.S.A... Maybe you know have
visited this country hi!

73,

Fidel Leon - EA3GIP
ea3gip@...

--
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 7.0.197 / Virus Database: 260.2.9 - Release Date: 06/11/2003

613. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] What is this?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 23:12:02 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 611 Message: 613
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Each DXCC entity has a number. USA is #291, so your DXCC entity = 291.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
I found it! http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb/id7.html

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/7/03 10:55:34 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What is this?

When I was signing my log to submit, there was a line at the top that said
"Signing using CALL = W5EC, DXCC = 291". I wonder what the 291 means.
It is not my DXCC total. Any ideas?
Bill W5EC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

614. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-07 23:18:21 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 605 Message: 614

I second that !!!
W1AW has NOT uploaded any logs as of this time.

Bob, K1VU

At 15:13 11/7/2003 , you wrote:
>In response to LoTW query:
>
> "Last upload for W1AW: No log data found"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

615. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What is this?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-08 01:29:56 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 611 Message: 615
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

USA is # 291

At 04:53 PM 11/7/2003 -0600, you wrote:
>When I was signing my log to submit, there was a line at the top that said
>"Signing using CALL = W5EC, DXCC = 291". I wonder what the 291 means.
>It is not my dxcc total. Any ideas?
>Bill W5EC
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1853618/R=0/SIG=11tco8nod/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116732>8b650.jpg
>8b6b5.jpg
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

616. Early experience with synchronizing LotW and a logging application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-08 05:41:45 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 604 Message: 616

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Friday, November 7, 2003 Dave Bernstein wrote to 'Art RX9TX':

as in AS004 ->> AS-004. Of the 207 downloaded QSOs, there were

DB> - 26 IOTA tags that required hyphen insertion

That's what I've reported to N7NG too. Standard IOTA number format (AS-004) recommendation should be shown on T-QSL "Add location" IOTA field.

DB> - 4 bad CQ zones

DB> - 2 bad grid squares

DB> - 1 bad ITU zone

Unfortunately "Add location" utility allows users to enter wrong zones and non-standard IOTA formats.

One more thing, concerning Alaska county names. I've checked AK QSO records, and instead of Judicial Districts, which are counted as Alaska counties for USA-CA Award, records show town names. So loggers does not count any AK QSO records for USA-CA.

--
73...Art RX9TX 08.11.2003 5:31 UTC

"The nice thing about standards is that there are so many of them to choose from." [Andres S. Tannenbaum]

617. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Early experience with synchronizing LotW and a logging application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-08 07:20:49 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 604 Message: 617

At some point, I will extend DXKeeper to accept only valid County names.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: Art RX9TX [mailto:rx9tx@...]
Sent: Saturday, November 08, 2003 00:42
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Early experience with synchronizing LotW and a logging application

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Friday, November 7, 2003 Dave Bernstein wrote to 'Art RX9TX':

as in AS004 ->> AS-004. Of the 207 downloaded QSOs, there were

DB> - 26 IOTA tags that required hyphen insertion

That's what I've reported to N7NG too. Standard IOTA number format (AS-004) recommendation should be shown on T-QSL "Add location" IOTA field.

DB> - 4 bad CQ zones

DB> - 2 bad grid squares

DB> - 1 bad ITU zone

Unfortunately "Add location" utility allows users to enter wrong zones and non-standard IOTA formats.

One more thing, concerning Alaska county names. I've checked AK QSO records, and instead of Judicial Districts, which are counted as Alaska counties for USA-CA Award, records show town names. So loggers does not count any AK QSO records for USA-CA.

--
73...Art RX9TX 08.11.2003 5:31 UTC

"The nice thing about standards is that there are so many of them to choose from." [Andres S. Tannenbaum]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1853619/R=0/SIG=11tp41t7d/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=4116719> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1853619/rand=116727758>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

618. I can't seem to figure out the syntax

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-09 15:39:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 618 Message: 618

I'm trying to create a URl to use as a Desktop shortcut to autmagically log me in, and take me to the Your QSO'S page

This url will log me in get me to the page where I can choose My Qso's
https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default?login=mylogin&password=mypassword

but I'm stumped on how to then have it select the link to take me to the Your QSO's page where I can select which callsign to search on and whether to return "My Recent QSL's" or "My Recent QSO's".

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos
I think I have to then make it execute the above URL but not sure how to do that.

Thanks in advance.

You May be a CheeseHead if: You know that Eau Claire is not something you eat.

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

619. Bad upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-09 19:22:45 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 619 Message: 619

I'm a participant in both LoTW and eQSL.

Last weekend K0CKB and I ran SSCW Sweepstakes from a club station, K0RT.

After the contest I uploaded the 800-QSO log to both LoTW and eQSL.
Inadvertently, I uploaded the log from my K0HB identity.

In the case of LoTW I got no QSL credits, because LoTW matches both logs
against each other and of course no-one had worked K0HB in the contest.

From eQSL I got 8 QSL's (which I really didn't deserve because those folks
didn't work K0HB). Seven other folks responded from eQSL that they
couldn't find K0HB in their log. So it appears that right around half the
stations actually cross-checked their logs manually before responding, but
it appears that a person could randomly upload bogus QSO's to eQSL and
eventually qualify for one of their awards.

Can eQSL upgrade their system to issue credits ONLY for matched QSO's?

73, de Hans, K0HB

620. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Bad upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-09 20:52:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 619 Message: 620

Yes, you are correct. That is the biggest error with eqsl. I never bother to cross check, just too damned much work. if they can't bother to implement matching then who cares?
I would bet it would be easy to cheat the way you stated and I don't care about that either, the cheater knows they are a worthless piece of trash so why should I care.
Now you may say I am cheating I don't cross check and just confirm? It may have been a cheat or may have been a simple error but if real matching is not done we will never know. I feel that the VAST majority of unmatched QSOes that need confirmation are actual QSOes that I just never logged, lost log, or simple mistakes. Most of them are for things in the distant past that I can't confirm but I still think they happened so I accept them.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
44.08N 92.512835W 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: K0HB & K0CKB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Sunday, November 09, 2003 1:23 PM
> To: OnLineQSL@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Bad upload
>
>
>
> I'm a participant in both LoTW and eQSL.
>
> Last weekend K0CKB and I ran SSCW Sweepstakes from a club station, K0RT.
>
> After the contest I uploaded the 800-QSO log to both LoTW and eQSL.
> Inadvertently, I uploaded the log from my K0HB identity.
>
> In the case of LoTW I got no QSL credits, because LoTW matches both logs
> against each other and of course no-one had worked K0HB in the contest.
>
> >From eQSL I got 8 QSL's (which I really didn't deserve because
> those folks
> didn't work K0HB). Seven other folks responded from eQSL that they
> couldn't find K0HB in their log. So it appears that right around half the
> stations actually cross-checked their logs manually before responding, but
> it appears that a person could randomly upload bogus QSO's to eQSL and
> eventually qualify for one of their awards.
>
> Can eQSL upgrade their system to issue credits ONLY for matched QSO's?
>

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

621. RE: Corrupt upload (Lang:EN)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-10 15:48:23 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 621 Message: 621

Thanks, Tim. That was quite easy. The entries have been deleted from my log.

To everyone at eQSL, my apologies. Even though I've been accused of sloppiness and worse, it was an inadvertent error and I am embarrassed that some small number of you have a bogus entry in your QSL file because you "took my word for it".

73, de Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: <Support@...>
> To: <K-ZERO-HB@...>
> Date: 11/10/03 12:34:17 PM
> Subject: Corrupt upload (Lang:EN)
>
>
> Hans,
>
> No problem. Go to http://www.eqsl.org/qslcard/DeleteBlockLog.cfm and you can delete the offending entries.
>
> 73,
> Tim, G4VXE
> Support Volunteer
>
> At 20:44 09-Nov-2003 you wrote:
> In a recent upload of my station log, there is included about 2,000 QSO's from two club stations that I operated.
>
> Basically all contacts dated Nov 1 thru Nov 3 of 2003 belong to K0RT, and all contacts dated Nov 2 thru Nov 4 of 2002 belong to W0AA.
>
> Is there a way for me to remove those contacts?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
> (System Info: K0HB, Lang: EN, Browser: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows 98; YComp 5.0.0.0; FunWebProducts))
>
> (Please do not delete or change this [TRACKID=EQSBN115426Y] so we can track our conversation!)
>

622. Re: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-10 19:09:18 UTC
From: andreash23y
Topic: 605 Message: 622

W1AW/3 has uploaded QSO's (and QSLed) for me. Make sure you search
with wildcards like "W1AW*".

73
Andreas, KU7T

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@t...> wrote:
> I second that !!!
> W1AW has NOT uploaded any logs as of this time.
>
> Bob, K1VU

623. FW: RE: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-10 20:03:18 UTC
From: K0HB & K0CKB
Topic: 623 Message: 623

----- Original Message -----
From: W1AW
To: K-Zero-HB@...;ARRL-LOTW
Sent: 11/10/03 1:12:36 PM
Subject: RE: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Really? That's not quite right.
So far, I've uploaded the logs for the W1AW/1 DCC event and for IARU W1AW/3.
My total log database will take some time to recompile since it contains ALL the QSOs made since late 1990. This includes all IARU events, too. And, it's in MS Access format. So I have to convert it over.
73,
Joe, NJ1Q
W1AW Station Manager

> -----Original Message-----
> From: K0HB & K0CKB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 3:13 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW; W1AW
> Subject: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship
>
>
> In response to LoTW query:
>
> "Last upload for W1AW: No log data found"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

624. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] FW: RE: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-10 20:24:07 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 623 Message: 624

Hi Joe,

Well, this is interesting. I have uploaded a contact with W1AW/3 from July, 1996 and show no QSL match. Did you not upload the qsos that had already been confirmed with a QSL card? I do have a card and the info matches what I uploaded.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

K0HB & K0CKB wrote:

>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: W1AW <mailto:W1AW@...>
> To: K-Zero-HB@...
> <mailto:K-Zero-HB@...>;ARRL-LOTW
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: 11/10/03 1:12:36 PM
> Subject: RE: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship
>
> Really? That's not quite right.
>
> So far, I've uploaded the logs for the W1AW/1 DCC event and for IARU
> W1AW/3.
>
> My total log database will take some time to recompile since it
> contains ALL the QSOs made since late 1990. This includes all IARU
> events, too. And, it's in MS Access format. So I have to convert
> it over.
>
> 73,
>
> Joe, NJ1Q
> W1AW Station Manager
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: K0HB & K0CKB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> > Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 3:13 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW; W1AW
> > Subject: LOTW participation by the Mother Ship

> >
> >
> > In response to LoTW query:
> >
> > "Last upload for W1AW: No log data found"
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1853
618/R=0/SIG=11tco8nod/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116732>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

625. problem with syntax again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-10 22:58:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 625 Message: 625

I'm trying to create a URl to use as a Desktop shortcut to autmagically log me in, and take me to the Your QSO'S page

This url will log me in get me to the page where I can choose My Qso's
https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default?login=mylogin&password=mypassword

but I'm stumped on how to then have it select the link to take me to the Your QSO's page where I can select which callsign to search on and whether to return "My Recent QSL's" or "My Recent QSO's".

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos
I think I have to then make it execute the above URL but not sure how to do that.

Keep using my name in vain, I'll make rush hour longer. -God

Bill H. in Chicagoland

626. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] problem with syntax again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-11 01:33:38 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 625 Message: 626

At 05:58 PM 11/10/2003, FireBrick wrote:
>I'm trying to create a URl to use as a Desktop shortcut to
>autmagically log
>me in, and take me to the Your QSO'S page
>
>This url will log me in get me to the page where I can choose My Qso's
>https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/default?login=mylogin&password=mypassword
>
>but I'm stumped on how to then have it select the link to take me to the
>Your QSO's page where I can select which callsign to search on and whether
>to return "My Recent QSL's" or "My Recent QSO's".
>
>https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos
>I think I have to then make it execute the above URL but not sure how
>to do
>that.

It doesn't look like the QSOs query will take login/password
parameters. If you don't already have a logged-in session, it will
prompt you for login and password even if the login and password are
included.

If you use two shortcuts - one to log you in, then a second to bring up
the QSOs query - that'll work.
-Rick

627. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] problem with syntax again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-11 03:10:07 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 625 Message: 627

> >
> > https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos?login=mylogin&password=mypassword
> >
> > seems to work for me.
> >
> >
yeah, worked for me too. hihi
It's backwards from the way I was trying.
I was logging in first....

so much for logic progression. hihi

tnx

628. UTC date and time ??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-11 07:45:38 UTC
From: Chris
Topic: 628 Message: 628

I was going through my cards and log today and noticed that some stations were using local dates rather than UTC dates. The LOTW website says it will compare UTC times.

My question is, will the date matter or not?

Chris N0TTW

629. A feature that is missing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-11 07:52:39 UTC
From: Chris
Topic: 629 Message: 629

After visiting eQSL's site and LOTW's site. One feature that would be nice if the LOTW site would indicate (in the QSO listings) if the stations had uploaded their logs. Some type of Highlight or marker. This way people can work out an logging problems.

Chris N0TTW

630. Re: A feature that is missing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-11 20:53:06 UTC
From: scott_n9ai
Topic: 629 Message: 630

I suspect that "feature" is not included to help insure the
integrity of the program.

73,
Scott, W9AL

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Chris" <n0ttw@y...> wrote:
> After visiting eQSL's site and LOTW's site. One feature that would
be
> nice if the LOTW site would indicate (in the QSO listings) if the
> stations had uploaded their logs. Some type of Highlight or
marker.
> This way people can work out an logging problems.
>
> Chris N0TTW

631. ADIF download report: useability enhancement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-14 06:09:55 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 631 Message: 631

In response to a query whose username and/or password are invalid, the response should be an ADIF file containing a header bearing an APP_LOTW_ERROR_MESSAGE tag followed by no QSO/QSL data. At present, a page of HTML is returned, making it unnecessarily difficult for client applications to properly inform the user.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

632. Christmas

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-15 15:11:04 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 632 Message: 632

It's like Christmas almost every day. The logs from DX Stations are beginning to come in, and new confirmations are showing up. Thanks to ON4ANT for confirmation from 1996, and ZP6CW for one from 1999.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

633. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Christmas

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-15 15:40:42 UTC
From: Winford Barnes
Topic: 632 Message: 633

Mine says that "youth and skill are no match for old age and treachery"
and it is titled "RESPECT" ha ha.
W8XC
<http://skins.hotbar.com/skins/mailskins/em/082502/082502cheers_prv.gif>

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
Sent: Saturday, November 15, 2003 10:11 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Christmas

It's like Christmas almost every day. The logs from DX Stations are
beginning to come in, and new confirmations are showing up. Thanks to
ON4ANT for confirmation from 1996, and ZP6CW for one from 1999.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cv9hua4/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1068995431/A=1524963/R=0/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lineid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1524963/rand=748620791>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

<http://promos.hotbar.com/promos/promodll.dll?RunPromo&El=em%3b&SG=&RAND=16751> Upgrade Your Email - Click here!

634. ADIF download security defect

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-16 04:10:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 634 Message: 634

While testing a new version of DXKeeper that automatically synchronizes by downloading QSOs and QSLs from LotW, I discovered that after successfully downloading a a file, subsequent downloads made with an incorrect username and/or password succeeded; I double-checked the query supplied to the file transfer control to be certain. A day later, my attempt to synchronize my own log failed with a "no data returned" error. I'd forgotten to replace the bogus username and password used in the earlier testing with the correct values. After doing so, attempts to download continued to fail with no data returned. I tried an hour later, and could successfully obtain my latest QSLs.

Sounds like the username and password is being inappropriately cached somewhere. This will be frustrating for users who enter an incorrect username and password, attempt a download, correct their mistake, and then still cannot download.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

635. LOST LOG

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-18 21:31:30 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 635 Message: 635

Hello All
Since LoTW started I have been typing my paper logs into the computer.
Well after several hours of typing I screwed up my log and all data is lost.
I have already uploaded all this data to the LoTW.

Is there a way to download my whole log from LoTW?

Or is there away to change the TQ8 files back into ADIF format.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

636. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOST LOG

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-18 21:40:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 635 Message: 636

unless you deleted the .adi file, it should still be there.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dan" <w0vd@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2003 3:31 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOST LOG

> Hello All
> Since LoTW started I have been typing my paper logs into the computer.
> Well after several hours of typing I screwed up my log and all data is
lost.
> I have already uploaded all this data to the LoTW.
>
> Is there a way to download my whole log from LoTW?
>
> Or is there away to change the TQ8 files back into ADIF format.
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

637. Re: LOST LOG

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-18 23:01:47 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 635 Message: 637

I FOUND MY OWN ANSWER HIHI.
When I upgraded to my new version Logging program I did not follow the instructions. So in the process I trashed my log file.
As I was playing with the new version I noticed it has a LoTW menu.
Opened the menu and there is a utility that will let me download all my records or just the confirmed if I choose.
So Log found and replaced. I sure did not want to retype all those records.
Also learned a lesson make a back up ADIF file.
This is the reason I have always kept my logs on paper just dont trust a computer. HIHI
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dan" <w0vd@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2003 3:31 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOST LOG
>
>
> > Hello All
> > Since LoTW started I have been typing my paper logs into the computer.
> > Well after several hours of typing I screwed up my log and all data is
> lost.
> > I have already uploaded all this data to the LoTW.
> >
> > Is there a way to download my whole log from LoTW?
> >
> > Or is there away to change the TQ8 files back into ADIF format.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Dan W0VD
> > grid: EM27ud
> > w0vd@...
> > www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

638. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] ADIF download security defect

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-20 19:14:58 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 634 Message: 638

Hello all

We are interested in listing a set of links on the LoTW website, to the webpages of any logging software that has incorporated any part of LoTW beyond the simple creation of ADIF/Cabrillo files.

We are aware that DXLab software, Writelog, and N3FJP's Amateur Contact Log all have extra support for LoTW. Perhaps MacLogger, by Dogpark software has also.

If anyone knows of others please let me know, and if there is further information about these and others, also please let me know.

73, Dave, NN1N

nn1n@...

639. LoTW support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-21 16:01:28 UTC
From: Tom Kenny
Topic: 639 Message: 639

Dave (and all),

I've heard that the author of CommCat will soon have a
release to support LoTW but I don't know the exact
time frame.

73, Tom NJ2DX

> Date: Thu, 20 Nov 2003 14:14:58 -0500
> From: "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@...>
> Subject: RE: ADIF download security defect
>
> Hello all
>
> We are interested in listing a set of links on the
> LoTW website, to the webpages of any logging
> software that has incorporated any part of LoTW
> beyond the simple creation of ADIF/Cabrillo files.
>
> We are aware that DXLab software, Writelog, and
> N3FJP's Amateur Contact Log all have extra support
> for LoTW. Perhaps MacLogger, by Dogpark software
> has also.
>
> If anyone knows of others please let me know, and if
> there is further information about these and others,
> also please let me know.
>
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>
> nn1n@...

640. HELP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-21 23:34:45 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 640 Message: 640

HELP.

641. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] HELP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-21 23:52:10 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 640 Message: 641

At 06:34 PM 11/21/2003, Gary Stilwell wrote:
>HELP.

Can you be more specific? :-)
-Rick

642. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] HELP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-22 00:17:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 640 Message: 642

If your going under for the first time, I will try to help if there is enough time.
If your problem is Siegfried and Roy's tiger...well I think my response may be a bit too slow to be of assistance.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gary Stilwell" <GARY@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2003 5:34 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] HELP

HELP.

643. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOST LOG

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-22 03:20:17 UTC
From: Wayne Wright
Topic: 635 Message: 643

> Or is there away to change the TQ8 files back into ADIF format.

Sort of. If you have WinZip.

With Windows File Explorer, rename filename.TQ8 to filename.adi.gz (Yes, there are two dots in the file name).

Double click the new .gz file and WinZip will propose to extract it to filename.adi. Say yes.

Now your filename.adi is pretty close to an ADI file. Maybe the TrustedQSL ADI reader will even read it? Other ADI readers probably won't. For starters, it needs a line with <EOH> somewhere before the QSOs start in the file. Then it needs <TIME_ON> instead of <QSO_TIME>.

GL,
Wayne, w5xd

644. can't load certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-22 20:46:55 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 644 Message: 644

Ok, what am I doing wrong ? I received a new certificate from the ARRL via email. I saved the attachment (K3UK2.tq6) to my hard drive in my desktop folder. I then loaded TQSLCERT and clicked on the load certificate option. I select the path for the k3uk2.tq6 file , currently in my dekstop folder and then get this error

system error :c:\Documents and Settings\andy\application data/TrustedQSL/keys/K3UK :no such file or directory

I also tried simply downloaded my certificates from the LOTW web site but I get the same error when i then try to load the new certificate.

I have tried this on both of my networked computers and get the same error.

Seems that TQSL is looking for something but can't find it.

Andy K3UK

645. Stupid Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-23 01:46:21 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 645 Message: 645

Ok,
I think I know the answer.
Eventually, I want to computerize my "old" logs from
several different QTHs.
I can go in and just add a new location since it will
still be the same call, right ?
Has anyone had any luck getting confirmations back from
Qs made back in the 60s, 70s and 80s...ok... 50s too.

73, Tom K5IID
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

646. Re: Stupid Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-23 03:55:04 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 645 Message: 646

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> Has anyone had any luck getting confirmations back from
> Qs made back in the 60s, 70s and 80s...ok... 50s too.
>

My log only goes back to 1987 but I have quite a few from the late
80's

647. Re: Stupid Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-23 17:40:12 UTC
From: reallybogus555
Topic: 645 Message: 647

Tom,

I've gotten one as far back as 1983 (for a JA station).
BTW - thanks for handling all the cards!

Tom, N5EG

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> Has anyone had any luck getting confirmations back from
> Qs made back in the 60s, 70s and 80s...ok... 50s too.
>
> 73, Tom K5IID

648. Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 01:34:25 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 648 Message: 648

I am trying to transfer LoTW from my old computer to the new one, but my certificate won't transfer.
The folder "tQSL Certificates" copies but the folder "ARRL" and the certificate for W5EC does not copy.
How can I get my certificate over there?
I am using a USB pen drive as the transfer medium.
Bill W5EC

649. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 01:42:54 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 648 Message: 649

Hi Bill,
Not too sure if you can do this.
I had to reformat my hard drive and couldn't get things
going again. Had to talk to the folks in Newington, and they
gave me a new certificate. But, I may be totally wrong.
73, Tom K5IID

At 01:34 11/25/03, Bill Hawkins wrote:
>I am trying to transfer LoTW from my old computer to the new one, but my
>certificate won't transfer.
>The folder "tQSL Certificates" copies but the folder "ARRL" and the
>certificate for W5EC does not copy.
>How can I get my certificate over there?
>I am using a USB pen drive as the transfer medium.
>Bill W5EC
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
><http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cs3i62r/M=259395.3614674.4902533.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/EXP=1069810620/A=1524963/R=0/*http://hits.411web.com/cgi-bin/autoredir?camp=556&lin
eid=3614674&prop=egroupweb&pos=HM>
>[]
>
>[]
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

650. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 01:53:32 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 648 Message: 650

Bill,

ON your old machine: from tQSLCert ...

1) Highlight your certificate
2) Right click (or select Certificate in the menu bar)
3) Select "Save"
4) Navigate to your USB pen drive and save there.
5) You will need to go through the passwords, etc.

On your new machine ...

1) Open tQSLCert (assuming it's installed)
2) Select "File" on the menu bar
3) Select "Load Certificate File"
4) Select PKCS#12(.p12) and click on "Next>"
5) Navigate to your USB pen drive
6) Select the file you saved above
7) click on "open"
8) go through the password routine

Certificate will be loaded

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Hawkins [mailto:w5ec@...]
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2003 8:34 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

I am trying to transfer LoTW from my old computer to the
new one, but my certificate won't transfer. The folder "tQSL
Certificates" copies but the folder "ARRL" and the certificate
for W5EC does not copy. How can I get my certificate over
there? I am using a USB pen drive as the transfer medium.
Bill W5EC

651. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 02:15:41 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 648 Message: 651

At 08:34 PM 11/24/2003, Bill Hawkins wrote:
>I am trying to transfer LoTW from my old computer to the new one, but
>my certificate won't transfer.
>The folder "tQSL Certificates" copies but the folder "ARRL" and the
>certificate for W5EC does not copy.
>How can I get my certificate over there?

I think this will work ---
Export your certificate using TQSLcert (Select the callsign, then use
the Certificate/Save menu. Write the .p12 file to your USB drive.
On the target machine, use File/Load Certificate File to load the
exported certificate file.
-Rick

652. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 16:08:19 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 648 Message: 652

Thanks to Rick & Joe who showed me how to get the certificate transferred.
But now that it is transferred, if I try to sign an existing adi log it
says--error:configuration file error. Everything looks ok but I don't know
what the config file error is. Any way to find out?
Bill

> At 08:34 PM 11/24/2003, Bill Hawkins wrote:
> >I am trying to transfer LoTW from my old computer to the new one, but
> >my certificate won't transfer.
>

> I think this will work ---
> Export your certificate using TQSLcert (Select the callsign, then use
> the Certificate/Save menu. Write the .p12 file to your USB drive.
> On the target machine, use File/Load Certificate File to load the
> exported certificate file.

653. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Transferring certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-25 23:41:40 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 648 Message: 653

At 11:08 AM 11/25/2003, Bill Hawkins wrote:
>Thanks to Rick & Joe who showed me how to get the certificate transferred.
>But now that it is transferred, if I try to sign an existing adi log it
>says--error:configuration file error. Everything looks ok but I don't know
>what the config file error is. Any way to find out?

On my XP system, TrustedQSL has a directory in
c:\Documents and Settings\<username>\Application Data\TrustedQSL
(where "username" is my login name on the system.) Depending on your
OS, that may be there or somewhere else.)
That directory has a "config.xml" file, a "station_data" file, and a
couple of interesting-looking directories - especially the "certs" one.
Maybe moving this directory to your new system will work for you.
-Rick

654. New computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-26 02:06:13 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 654 Message: 654

I am in the process of upgrading my shack/officer computer from a WIN-98 machine to a new WIN-XP machine.

Is there a document which describes where the current important public/private key files reside, what their extensions are, and where they should be placed in the new computer. Are there any system registry issues which need to be dealt with? Are there any other "gotchas" lurking?

If this information is not currently documented, could you publish a short set of instructions?

Thanks

73, Hans, K0HB

--
I found it! http://tinyurl.com/upn9

655. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-26 03:27:51 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 654 Message: 655

Hans,

The only issue ...

1) Save your current certificate(s) on the old machine by
doing the Certificate -> Save process in tQSLCert.

2) Copy the resulting .P12 files to a floppy/CD/etc.

3) Install tQSL from scratch on the new machine.

4) Load the .P12 files (created above) on the new
machine (tQSLCERT -> File -> Load)

I'm running tQSL on two different machines interchangeably
with no problems after that process ... after having made
all the errors possible and losing my original certificate
... during the start-up phase.

Good luck with the new toy <G>.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@earthlink.net]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2003 9:06 PM
> To: lotw-help
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer
>
>
> I am in the process of upgrading my shack/officer computer
> from a WIN-98
> machine to a new WIN-XP machine.
>
> Is there a document which describes where the current important
> public/private key files reside, what their extensions are,
> and where they
> should be placed in the new computer. Are there any system
> registry issues
> which need to be dealt with? Are there any other "gotchas" lurking?
>
> If this information is not currently documented, could you
> publish a short
> set of instructions?
>

> Thanks
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> I found it! http://tinyurl.com/upn9
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

656. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-26 04:05:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 654 Message: 656

To put it on your new machine is simplicity itself. You only have to save the certificate. Go TO TQSL Certificate/Save. You can then load that on the new machine after you do a normal install. Move your ADI files that you want to keep too. Do not try to move directories and registry entries and such, you are just setting yourself up for a fall if you do it that way.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2003 8:06 PM
> To: lotw-help
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer
>
>
> I am in the process of upgrading my shack/officer computer from a WIN-98
> machine to a new WIN-XP machine.
>
> Is there a document which describes where the current important
> public/private key files reside, what their extensions are, and where they
> should be placed in the new computer. Are there any system
> registry issues
> which need to be dealt with? Are there any other "gotchas" lurking?
>
> If this information is not currently documented, could you publish a short
> set of instructions?
>
> Thanks
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> I found it! http://tinyurl.com/upn9
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

657. Re: Stupid Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 05:25:39 UTC
From: al7ga
Topic: 645 Message: 657

The multi QTH / Multi Call feature works very well. I inputted my previous call (WD0CQA) from North Dakota, My KL7/WD0CQA from when I moved home, and both of my Alaska QTH's (North Pole & Anchorage). It went very smmothly. The oldest QSL I have received so far is January 9, 1978!

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> Ok,
> I think I know the answer.
> Eventually, I want to computerize my "old" logs from
> several different QTHs.
> I can go in and just add a new location since it will
> still be the same call, right ?
> Has anyone had any luck getting confirmations back from
> Qs made back in the 60s, 70s and 80s...ok... 50s too.
>
> 73, Tom K5IID
> "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
> Williamstown, WV

658. Proof to toher award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 11:53:10 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 658 Message: 658

LotW is neat for ARRL awards BUT how do I use these cards for others. For instance, how do I provide ,if asked, my log to the CQ County Award program. Is there a way I can prove to them that I have certain cards or do they have to join some organization and pay some money to ARRL and how soon will this happen. Again, the log is nice but useless for many things and in fact currently useless for anything since no award provisions are yet available.

What all this means is that I may still have to get paper QSL cards if I can't prove what I have to others.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

659. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to toher award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 13:28:17 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 658 Message: 659

At 05:53 AM 11/27/03 -0600, you wrote:
>LotW is neat for ARRL awards BUT how do I use these cards for others. For
>instance, how do I provide ,if asked, my log to the CQ County Award program.
>Is there a way I can prove to them that I have certain cards or do they have
>to join some organization and pay some money to ARRL and how soon will this
>happen. Again, the log is nice but useless for many things and in fact
>currently useless for anything since no award provisions are yet available.
>
>What all this means is that I may still have to get paper QSL cards if I
>can't prove what I have to others.

I believe ARRL has stated that it intends to work with other award sponsors
so that LotW confirmations can be used for those too.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

660. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to toher award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:01:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 658 Message: 660

I am glad they will work with them but I certainly would like to see details soon. The earlier this is published the better LotW will be. It will be a huge incentive to people to upload logs when they see what can really be done. Do not get me wrong, this is a huge step forward in logging IF it is used correctly and soon.

Nevertheless, I intend to send everything I log and to send it often. I only hope all do the same!
Programs like DXLABS suite make this easy too, and easy to keep in sync.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 7:28 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to toher award providers
>
>
> At 05:53 AM 11/27/03 -0600, you wrote:
> >LotW is neat for ARRL awards BUT how do I use these cards for others. For
> >instance, how do I provide ,if asked, my log to the CQ County
> Award program.
> >Is there a way I can prove to them that I have certain cards or
> do they have
> >to join some organization and pay some money to ARRL and how
> soon will this
> >happen. Again, the log is nice but useless for many things and in fact
> >currently useless for anything since no award provisions are yet
> available.
> >
> >What all this means is that I may still have to get paper QSL cards if I
> >can't prove what I have to others.
>
>
> I believe ARRL has stated that it intends to work with other
> award sponsors
> so that LotW confirmations can be used for those too.
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
> 2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
> Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

661. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to toher award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:24:09 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 658 Message: 661

There is a short quip in the December CQ that says they are working with
ARRL on establishing their awards to work with LoTW. It all takes a bit
of time but it is happening and things are moving forward.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

rojomn wrote:

> I am glad they will work with them but I certainly would like to see details
> soon. The earlier this is published the better LotW will be. It will be a
> huge incentive to people to upload logs when they see what can really be
> done. Do not get me wrong, this is a huge step forward in logging IF it is
> used correctly and soon.
>
> Nevertheless, I intend to send everything I log and to send it often. I only
> hope all do the same!
> Programs like DXLABS suite make this easy too, and easy to keep in sync.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> > Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 7:28 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to toher award providers
> > >
> > >
> > At 05:53 AM 11/27/03 -0600, you wrote:
> > >LotW is neat for ARRL awards BUT how do I use these cards for
> others. For
> > >instance, how do I provide ,if asked, my log to the CQ County
> > Award program.
> > >Is there a way I can prove to them that I have certain cards or
> > do they have
> > >to join some organization and pay some money to ARRL and how
> > soon will this
> > >happen. Again, the log is nice but useless for many things and in fact
> > >currently useless for anything since no award provisions are yet
> > available.
> > >
> > >What all this means is that I may still have to get paper QSL cards if I

> > >can't prove what I have to others.
> >
> >
> > I believe ARRL has stated that it intends to work with other
> > award sponsors
> > so that LotW confirmations can be used for those too.
> >
> >
> > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
> > 2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
> > Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cso8g7p/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1070028169/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=
4116730>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

662. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:30:10 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 658 Message: 662

At 08:01 AM 11/27/03 -0600, you wrote:
>I am glad they will work with them but I certainly would like to see details
>soon. The earlier this is published the better LotW will be. It will be a
>huge incentive to people to upload logs when they see what can really be
>done. Do not get me wrong, this is a huge step forward in logging IF it is
>used correctly and soon.

How soon is soon enough? It's only been 3 months since the operational
roll-out, and if I were a non-ARRL award sponsor I would have waited to see
how it went before getting into serious discussions.

According to the web site this morning:

24,499,174 QSO records have been entered into the system.
468,846 QSL records have resulted.
4,638 Users are registered in the system
6,133 Certificates are active
18,151 User files have been processed

Not bad for 3 months and an entirely new concept. I am kind of intrigued
by the gap between registered users and active certificates. Maybe people
with more than one call, but wouldn't they we registered as users under
each one?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated October 29.
2469 stations are listed -- 29 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

663. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:41:07 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 658 Message: 663

Hello Pete,

Thursday, November 27, 2003 Pete Smith wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

PS> 24,499,174 QSO records have been entered into the system.
PS> 468,846 QSL records have resulted.
PS> 4,638 Users are registered in the system
PS> 6,133 Certificates are active
PS> 18,151 User files have been processed

PS> Not bad for 3 months and an entirely new concept. I am kind of intrigued
PS> by the gap between registered users and active certificates. Maybe people
PS> with more than one call, but wouldn't they we registered as users under
PS> each one?

Nope, I have 3 cert and the only login (user) name.

--
73...Art RX9TX

"Most people are about as happy as they make up their minds to be." (Abraham Lincoln)

664. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:45:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 658 Message: 664

I am kind of intrigued
> by the gap between registered users and active certificates. Maybe people
> with more than one call, but wouldn't they we registered as users under
> each one?

I entered my entire log. 3 different callsigns and obviously 3 certificates.
I'm also entering the logs of the stations I manage.
So at present I have 4 cert. total.

I'm assuming I count as:
1 registered user
but
4 active certificate holder

BTW: Earliest qso date I've received a qsl for is 1971.
(Yes, I got my first license when I was 3) :-)))

You are depriving some poor village of its idiot.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

665. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 14:47:26 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 658 Message: 665

Pete,

> I am kind of intrigued by the gap between registered users
> and active certificates. Maybe people with more than one
> call, but wouldn't they we registered as users under
> each one?

It is the "users with more than one call."

Due to LotW's design, a user is required a different certificate for each call (and each variant of that call). For example, I have certificates for WN8HWE, WB8HWE, AD8I, W8IK, W8IK/4, and K4IK. I would not have been able to upload old logs otherwise.

I expect that some QSL managers will eventually have a dozen or more certificates.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

666. Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 15:05:48 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 658 Message: 666

I have six cert's and all are under one log in user. I just pick which call sign after i get logged in.
73 Tony

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
South Sandwich Island Antarctic Dxpedition Group
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/K4C WA4JQS/ZS1
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35

667. zero records shown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-27 15:24:31 UTC
From: Wendell Carrington
Topic: 667 Message: 667

I have two certificates registered, for my current call of N5WC and my old call of N4ATP. When trying to retrieve information on the N4ATP it always says zero records found. I have uploaded my entire log several times without any change in results. Can anyone tell me what I am doing wrong? Thanks for your help. Wendell N5WC

668. Claiming a QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-28 01:13:43 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 668 Message: 668

How does one go about claiming a QSL on LoTW?
I do know that you have to pay the ARRL for QSLs claimed, how is this done?
I looked on the LoTW site, and did not see anything to show how it is done.

Tnx,
Vince. K6BIR

669. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Claiming a QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-28 02:07:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 668 Message: 669

You only have to pay if you use the QSL for an award.
You could even prove to someone that you have the QSL and it could be for an award not sponsored by ARRL if you gave them your password and login but that would be dangerous.

I think what they need is a way to provide you with a list of your log that is digitally signed by ARRL to certify that it is correct. It would be proof that could not be altered for you to use to claim another award. This would be a very easy and cheap way to do it but I don't hold my breath.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Vince [mailto:rradiohham1@...]
Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 7:14 PM
To: LoTW Reflector
Cc: LoTW -help
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Claiming a QSL

How does one go about claiming a QSL on LoTW?
I do know that you have to pay the ARRL for QSLs claimed, how is this done?
I looked on the LoTW site, and did not see anything to show how it is done.

Tnx,
Vince. K6BIR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

670. New DXKeeper (DXLab) support for LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-28 03:27:51 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 634 Message: 670

DXKeeper is a full-function logging application for Windows; its a member of the free-ware DXLab Suite of interoperating applications. Since the beginning of LotW beta test, DXKeeper has prepared ADIF files for signing and encryption by TQSLCert.

Yesterday, I released DXKeeper 3.0.8, which

- independently tracks hardcopy QSL, eQSL.cc, and LotW status for each QSO

- with a single click, verifies that QSOs uploaded to LotW have been accepted and updates the logged QSOs accordingly

- with a single click, downloads all newly-arrived QSLs from LotW, updating each logged QSO to reflect both confirmation status and complementary information (DXCC entity, CQ zone, ITU zone, Iota tag, Gridsquare, State, Province)

- produces reports that show award progress by QSL kind (card, eQSL.cc, LotW)

Sample reports can be viewed in http://www.qsl.net/dxkeeper/DXKeeperQSLByKindReport.txt and http://www.qsl.net/dxkeeper/DXKeeperWASReport.txt

I am happy to say that LotW's ADIF Query mechanism was well above the bar in its ability to support synchronization with logging applications. There are a few deficiencies and defects, but no showstoppers. My fear that late introduction of this mechanism might expose defects whose resolution required architectural change were unfounded; kudos to the LotW team.

DXKeeper is available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@a...> wrote:
> Hello all
>
> We are interested in listing a set of links on the LoTW website, to the webpages of any logging software that has incorporated any part of LoTW beyond the simple creation of ADIF/Cabrillo files.
>
> We are aware that DXLab software, Writelog, and N3FJP's Amateur Contact Log all have extra support for LoTW. Perhaps MacLogger, by

Dogpark software has also.
>
> If anyone knows of others please let me know, and if there is
further information about these and others, also please let me know.
>
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>
> nn1n@a...

671. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Proof to other award providers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-11-28 21:57:17 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 658 Message: 671

> [Original Message]
> From: Pete Smith <n4zr@...>

> I am kind of intrigued
> by the gap between registered users and active certificates. Maybe
people
> with more than one call, but wouldn't they we registered as users under
> each one?

I have 6 of my own callsigns (KG6AQI, WA0PQF, WB9DLL, WB4GXH, WB0WFF, and
K0HB), and two active clubs for which I'm trustee. When I get all the logs
up there, that will be 8 certificates.

73, de Hans, K0HB

672. Claimng QSL,s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-01 18:02:58 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 672 Message: 672

I sent this out before, did not have any answers for it.

How do you claim a QSL that is a valid contact on LoTW?
I do know that you have to pay for it. but I do not see any
infomation as to how to claim a confirmed QSL.
Or is this something yet to come?

I have over 2000 valid QSL on LoTW, but there are just a few that I
like to claim.

Vince, K6BIR

673. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-01 18:18:29 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 672 Message: 673

Yes Vince it is yet to come as I understand it. The LOTW is a work in progress.

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: Vince
To: LoTW Reflector
Cc: LoTW -help
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 1:02 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s

I sent this out before, did not have any answers for it.

How do you claim a QSL that is a valid contact on LoTW?
I do know that you have to pay for it. but I do not see any
infomation as to how to claim a confirmed QSL.
Or is this something yet to come?

I have over 2000 valid QSL on LoTW, but there are just a few that I
like to claim.

Vince, K6BIR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

674. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-01 18:47:30 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 672 Message: 674

Also, if you plan to send any QSL cards to the ARRL DXCC desk download
the new submittal form from their website. They have added a little box
near the upper left-hand corner of the page which instructs the DXCC
folks to check LoTW for any applicable contacts that you have in the
computer. This will save sending in the cards for entities that are also
confirmed on LoTW.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Julio Peralta wrote:

>
> Yes Vince it is yet to come as I understand it. The LOTW is a work in
> progress.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Vince <mailto:rradiohham1@...>
> To: LoTW Reflector <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Cc: LoTW -help <mailto:lotw-help@...>
> Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 1:02 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s
>
> I sent this out before, did not have any answers for it.
>
> How do you claim a QSL that is a valid contact on LoTW?
> I do know that you have to pay for it. but I do not see any
> infomation as to how to claim a confirmed QSL.
> Or is this something yet to come?
>
> I have over 2000 valid QSL on LoTW, but there are just a few that I
> like to claim.
>
> Vince, K6BIR
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cr1hrce/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1070389119/A=1853619/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=4116730>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

675. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-01 18:59:39 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 672 Message: 675

I forgot about that Chris, thanks for bringing it up.

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: C.M. Christensen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 1:47 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s

Also, if you plan to send any QSL cards to the ARRL DXCC desk download
the new submittal form from their website. They have added a little box
near the upper left-hand corner of the page which instructs the DXCC
folks to check LoTW for any applicable contacts that you have in the
computer. This will save sending in the cards for entities that are also
confirmed on LoTW.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Julio Peralta wrote:

>
> Yes Vince it is yet to come as I understand it. The LOTW is a work in
> progress.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Vince <mailto:rradiohham1@...>
> To: LoTW Reflector <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Cc: LoTW -help <mailto:lotw-help@...>
> Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 1:02 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Claimng QSL,s
>
> I sent this out before, did not have any answers for it.
>
> How do you claim a QSL that is a valid contact on LoTW?
> I do know that you have to pay for it. but I do not see any
> infomation as to how to claim a confirmed QSL.
> Or is this something yet to come?
>
> I have over 2000 valid QSL on LoTW, but there are just a few that I
> like to claim.
>
> Vince, K6BIR
>

>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cr1hrce/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1070389119/A=1853619/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=
4116730>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

676. Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 00:54:08 UTC
From: Wendell Carrington
Topic: 676 Message: 676

Did not get any response to this inquiry. Maybe due to holiday season. Thought I would try again. Thanks, Wendell
----- Original Message -----
From: Wendell Carrington
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 9:24 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

I have two certificates registered, for my current call of N5WC and my old call of N4ATP. When trying to retrieve information on the N4ATP it always says zero records found. I have uploaded my entire log several times without any change in results. Can anyone tell me what I am doing wrong? Thanks for your help. Wendell N5WC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

677. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 02:14:32 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 667 Message: 677

Did you separate the contacts from the different calls and upload and sign each batch of QSO's with the appropriate certificate?

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: Wendell Carrington
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 7:54 PM
Subject: Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Did not get any response to this inquiry. Maybe due to holiday season. Thought I would try again. Thanks, Wendell
----- Original Message -----
From: Wendell Carrington
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 9:24 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

I have two certificates registered, for my current call of N5WC and my old call of N4ATP. When trying to retrieve information on the N4ATP it always says zero records found. I have uploaded my entire log several times without any change in results. Can anyone tell me what I am doing wrong? Thanks for your help. Wendell N5WC

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@...m

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

678. Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 03:40:51 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 431 Message: 678

Well, I'm confused and need your input. I operate both from home (fixed location) as KF0OH and mobile as KF0OH/M. As a mobile, I usually operate within 15 miles of my home QTH, but have driven from Missouri to Wyoming and back, passing through several States and making a number of mobile QSO's. From previous postings, I found that I needed to obtain another certificate for KF0OH/M, which I have done. I have not yet uploaded my log for mobile operations since I'm not exactly sure how to do this. First, If I upload my log and "sign it" with KF0OH/M, what happens if another station enters it into their log without the "M". Assumably, that QSO will not find a match under KF0OH, (per ARRL note below). It also seems, that once I get resolution of the mobile operation, then I need to separate my log into the various locations I've operated from and "sign" each with the KF0OH/M certificate but using different locations.

While it doesn't matter much for DXCC, it will for WAS. What brought this to light for me was a recently received QSL card from a station that I worked while mobile, outside of Missouri. The card is from a US station and notes a QSO with KF0OH. The /M was not included on the card.

Any help? Thanks in advance. Bill

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

The following section comes from the LOTW website and quite honestly, is still somewhat confusing.

I used the same call from several different locations in the same DXCC entity -- I didn't sign portable. Do I need a separate certificate for each location?

No. In TQSL you can create as many different station locations (the QTH from where you operated) as you need. You will need to consolidate those QSOs from each different location, however. Once you have parsed your log correctly, you then sign those log extracts with your primary certificate, but make sure you select the correct station location for that particular log extract. Be sure to give each station location a different name.

If you signed with a portable, such as NT1N/6, or NT1N/R, you should obtain a separate certificate for those calls. Remember, those stations who worked you as NT1N/6 will not get a match to their data if you sign your NT1N/6 log with your NT1N certificate -- each QSO you submit will be tagged with NT1N instead of the correct NT1N/6.

679. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 04:22:00 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 679

Bill Metzger wrote:

> First, If I upload my log and "sign it" with KF0OH/M, what
> happens if another station enters it into their log without the "M".
> Assumably, that QSO will not find a match under KF0OH, (per ARRL note
> below). It also seems, that once I get resolution of the mobile
> operation, then I need to separate my log into the various locations
> I've operated from and "sign" each with the KF0OH/M certificate but
> using different locations.

Had the same question when I was uploading my Florida QSO Party logs from this year ... operated from several different counties (signing NJ4M/xxx where xxx is the 3 letter abbreviation for each county.)

What I did, is sign and upload each county log (signing each log with the county operated from) as NJ4M ... and obtained additional certificates for each county as NJ4M/xxx.

This allows those who logged us as either NJ4M or NJ4M/xxx to get credit. Didn't send in any logs as NJ4M/M since that is NOT what I was signing. (If someone wants the QSL or questions the QSO, I'm willing to upload an individual QSO as NJ4M/M). I've had QSO's confirmed as both NJ4M and NJ4M/xxx, so both methods work.

There needs to be some "standard" LoTW way to log mobiles, so everyone knows how they should be logged and how the uploaded logs will be signed, so that proper credit can be given.

73, Ron WD4AHZ

680. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 04:32:56 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 431 Message: 680

Exactly what I was looking for. This makes sense. I realized after reading your note and the LOTW FAQ that certificates can be signed with various locations. All of the home QTH contacts were uploaded without specifying any location (just USA). Now I'm wondering if I shouldn't have created a St. Louis location and selected that when I uploaded my entire log.

I'm sure that most users are unaware of this and wonder if it really makes any difference. The primary certificate location may just default to the FCC address of record.

Any thoughts on this?

Bill

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 10:22 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

> Bill Metzger wrote:
>
> > First, If I upload my log and "sign it" with KF0OH/M, what
> > happens if another station enters it into their log without the "M".
> > Assumably, that QSO will not find a match under KF0OH, (per ARRL note
> > below). It also seems, that once I get resolution of the mobile
> > operation, then I need to separate my log into the various locations
> > I've operated from and "sign" each with the KF0OH/M certificate but
> > using different locations.
>
> Had the same question when I was uploading my Florida QSO Party logs
> from this year ... operated from several different counties (signing
> NJ4M/xxx where xxx is the 3 letter abbreviation for each county.)
>
> What I did, is sign and upload each county log (signing each log with
> the county operated from) as NJ4M ... and obtained additional
> certificates for each county as NJ4M/xxx.
>
> This allows those who logged us as either NJ4M or NJ4M/xxx to get
> credit. Didn't send in any logs as NJ4M/M since that is NOT what I was
> signing. (If someone wants the QSL or questions the QSO, I'm willing to
> upload an individual QSO as NJ4M/M). I've had QSO's confirmed as both
> NJ4M and NJ4M/xxx, so both methods work.
>
> There needs to be some "standard" LoTW way to log mobiles, so everyone
> knows how they should be logged and how the uploaded logs will be

> signed, so that proper credit can be given.
>
> 73, Ron WD4AHZ
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

681. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 04:45:52 UTC
From: Wayne Wright
Topic: 431 Message: 681

I have a rather different understanding of how to submit a log from a roving station based on correspondence some time back with KE3Z.

You only need to get additional certificates if you operated under different calls. I personally would NOT use the /M designator either on the air or in a LOTW submission, nor would I add the county in a QSO party, but that's my preference. For the system to work you must use the same call on the air and in the LOTW submit and your QSO partners must do the same (which is why I would not sign /M -- just use the same call everywhere).

The thing that must be different in your LOTW submission for each QSO location is the "Station Location". You need to create as many of those as QTH's that you operated from. (I am vague here because the LOTW allows you to be vague when you create a Station Location). If you want your QSLs to confirm STATE, or GRID SQUARE, or COUNTY, then you must create as many different Station Locations as you logged QSOs in. (And if you fill in ALL those, then you have to remember that GRID SQUARE boundaries cross STATE and COUNTY boundaries, so you would have to create separate Station Locations for each unique combination).

After you have created all the Station Locations, you have another chore--if you are using the Trusted QSL software to upload your log (and almost everyone is for now) then you must create a separate ADIF file for each Station Location, and that ADIF file must have only the QSOs in it that were made from that location.

Finally, you have to individually sign all those ADIF files you created and upload them.

All the manual labor involved in the above process is a big reason why WriteLog supports the TrustedQSL format. For a state QSO party, WL "knows" which QSO was logged in which county and eliminates both the manual steps above. It internally creates a separate Station Location for each county of operation (you can't see these internal ones in Trusted QSL), and it puts all of them in a single export file, individually signing each QSO with the right county.

One (major) caveat: WriteLog supports those rover operations only on a contest-by-contest basis and none of the available contest modules actually support rover logs for LOTW yet, but it should happen over time (but I can't promise any dates).

Wayne, W5XD

Ron Wetjen <wd4ahz@...> wrote:
Bill Metzger wrote:

> First, If I upload my log and "sign it" with KF0OH/M, what
> happens if another station enters it into their log without the "M".
> Assumably, that QSO will not find a match under KF0OH, (per ARRL note
> below). It also seems, that once I get resolution of the mobile
> operation, then I need to separate my log into the various locations
> I've operated from and "sign" each with the KF0OH/M certificate but
> using different locations.

Had the same question when I was uploading my Florida QSO Party logs from this year ... operated from several different counties (signing NJ4M/xxx where xxx is the 3 letter abbreviation for each county.)

What I did, is sign and upload each county log (signing each log with the county operated from) as NJ4M ... and obtained additional certificates for each county as NJ4M/xxx.

This allows those who logged us as either NJ4M or NJ4M/xxx to get credit. Didn't send in any logs as NJ4M/M since that is NOT what I was signing. (If someone wants the QSL or questions the QSO, I'm willing to upload an individual QSO as NJ4M/M). I've had QSO's confirmed as both NJ4M and NJ4M/xxx, so both methods work.

There needs to be some "standard" LoTW way to log mobiles, so everyone knows how they should be logged and how the uploaded logs will be signed, so that proper credit can be given.

73, Ron WD4AHZ

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

682. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 05:03:08 UTC
From: Wendell Carrington
Topic: 667 Message: 682

Thanks for your help. I finally figured it out. 73, Wendell
----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 8:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Did you separate the contacts from the different calls and upload and sign each batch of QSO's with the appropriate certificate?

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: Wendell Carrington
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2003 7:54 PM
Subject: Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

Did not get any response to this inquiry. Maybe due to holiday season. Thought I would try again. Thanks, Wendell
----- Original Message -----
From: Wendell Carrington
To: arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 27, 2003 9:24 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] zero records shown

I have two certificates registered, for my current call of N5WC and my old call of N4ATP. When trying to retrieve information on the N4ATP it always says zero records found. I have uploaded my entire log several times without any change in results. Can anyone tell me what I am doing wrong? Thanks for your help. Wendell N5WC

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

683. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 14:57:19 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 683

Wayne Wright wrote:

> I personally would NOT use the /M designator either on the air
> or in a LOTW submission, nor would I add the county in a QSO
> party, but that's my preference.

In a QSO party, there is no other way to let other stations know you have changed counties unless you add some kind of designator to the call. (The pileups created when you change counties is amazing!)

> For the system to work you must use the same call on the air and
> in the LOTW submit and your QSO partners must do the same
> (which is why I would not sign /M -- just use the same call
> everywhere).

And that's the reason I submitted 2 sets of logs, because we don't know how the other guy is going to log it. If everyone logs what was sent, NJ4M/xxx, for example, then I'd only have to upload one log from each county. But looking over the 660 or so FQP logs, the majority logged mobiles as either NJ4M or NJ4M/xxx (with a few /M thrown in here and there). I feel if folks took the time to get on and work me, I can take the time to go through the process of obtaining additional certificates and signing a log from each county operated, to try and give as many folks whatever credit I can.

Of course, the easiest solution (but not necessarily the best) would be if LoTW could "wildcard" anything appended to the callsign, such as /M, /xxx, /QRP, /4, etc. (except for valid DX prefixes, such as DL/WD4AHZ). Then it wouldn't matter what each station logged ... as long as the rest of the QSO data matches.

Ron, WD4AHZ

684. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 15:35:47 UTC
From: rin JG1VGX
Topic: 431 Message: 684

Hi,
Sorry to jump in to the discussion.
I've been also worrying about this problem since I became LoTW user, as
my current operating style is 80% mobile.

Obviously one solution to the matching problem is simply uploading my
log as a duplicate, one signing as /M and the other without it. I'm not,
however, doing this because I don't think this is the best solution.

One of the problem comes from the fact that there are no formal regulations
whether we should sign portable/mobile and people tend to omit it,
especially on the receiving side. This applies to US and other countries,
including Japan. Some other countries, however, eg. UK, signing /M or /P
is mandatory (written in the license booklet), i.e. without signing it
could be illegal.

As LoTW may, in the future, become more global database, not only for US
and ARRL, I wish more sensible solution to this problem should come.

At the moment, I don't want to upload my logs in duplicates simply for
the purpose of matching.

73 de rin JG1VGX/M0CFF/N1MH

wd4ahz> Wayne Wright wrote:
wd4ahz>
wd4ahz> > I personally would NOT use the /M designator either on the air
wd4ahz> > or in a LOTW submission, nor would I add the county in a QSO
wd4ahz> > party, but that's my preference.
wd4ahz>
wd4ahz> In a QSO party, there is no other way to let other stations know you
wd4ahz> have changed counties unless you add some kind of designator to the
wd4ahz> call. (The pileups created when you change counties is amazing!)
wd4ahz>
wd4ahz> > For the system to work you must use the same call on the air and
wd4ahz> > in the LOTW submit and your QSO partners must do the same
wd4ahz> > (which is why I would not sign /M -- just use the same call
wd4ahz> > everywhere).
wd4ahz>
wd4ahz> And that's the reason I submitted 2 sets of logs, because we don't know
wd4ahz> how the other guy is going to log it. If everyone logs what was sent,
wd4ahz> NJ4M/xxx, for example, then I'd only have to upload one log from each
wd4ahz> county. But looking over the 660 or so FQP logs, the majority logged
wd4ahz> mobiles as either NJ4M or NJ4M/xxx (with a few /M thrown in here and
wd4ahz> there). I feel if folks took the time to get on and work me, I can take
wd4ahz> the time to go through the process of obtaining additional certificates

wd4ahz> and signing a log from each county operated, to try and give as many
wd4ahz> folks whatever credit I can.
wd4ahz>
wd4ahz> Of course, the easiest solution (but not necessarily the best) would be
wd4ahz> if LoTW could "wildcard" anything appended to the callsign, such as /M,
wd4ahz> /xxx, /QRP, /4, etc. (except for valid DX prefixes, such as DL/WD4AHZ).
wd4ahz> Then it wouldn't matter what each station logged ... as long as the
wd4ahz> rest of the QSO data matches.

685. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-02 15:52:09 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 685

rin JG1VGX wrote:

> As LoTW may, in the future, become more global database, not only for US
> and ARRL, I wish more sensible solution to this problem should come.

Agreed.

> At the moment, I don't want to upload my logs in duplicates simply for
> the purpose of matching.

Until a better solution is found, right now that's the only way to do it.

Ron

686. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 01:05:56 UTC
From: Wayne Wright
Topic: 431 Message: 686

>Of course, the easiest solution (but not necessarily the best) would be
>if LoTW could "wildcard" anything appended to the callsign, such as /M,
>/xxx, /QRP, /4, etc. (except for valid DX prefixes, such as DL/WD4AHZ).
> Then it wouldn't matter what each station logged ... as long as the
>rest of the QSO data matches.

I guess I don't buy the claim that the "easiest solution" is for the LOTW database to match stuff a certain way. It looks easier to me for everyone to log everyone else's call sign rather than stuff like /M and /County that are sent over the air to solicit QSOs, but definitely are not part of the callsign. (For my own part, I would not log WD4AHZ/XYZ as it is perfectly clear to me that your call is WD4AHZ and XYZ is the county you are in and not part of your callsign).

The argument about DXCC prefixes has lots of exceptions, and ifs, ands and buts, and that means trouble for users. Does KH6/W5XD match W5XD/KH6? What does /MM0 mean? Its not so easy to make LOTW this smart, and, once you've made it smart, you have uniformly inform all LOTW users of exactly how smart it is, else the cure is worse than the disease--folks will fail to understand why one thing matches and another doesn't. My experience is that one doesn't want to be working with a software system with thousands of users that don't quite understand what its doing--simple is way better if it gets the job done.

So if there is a measure for "easy", then I claim its easier to simply tell all LOTW users to log anbd submit only callsigns than it is to accomplish both (a) implement LOTW so it matches exactly the right things and (b) inform all LOTW users exactly what matches with what.

Wayne, W5XD

687. CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 02:52:40 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 687 Message: 687

OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

688. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Operation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 02:58:20 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 431 Message: 688

Wayne Wright wrote:

> So if there is a measure for "easy", then I claim its easier to simply
> tell all LOTW users to log anbd submit only callsigns than it is to
> accomplish both (a) implement LOTW so it matches exactly the right
> things and (b) inform all LOTW users exactly what matches with what.

True. There needs to be some "standard" so everything matches - and it probably should have been figured out BEFORE folks started uploading logs. So until that happens, you have several choices ...

1) upload logs using just your call, and hope the stations logged you the same way (or that way eventually becomes the "standard").

2) upload multiple logs in order to match those that logged different ways (in order to match as many as you can now).

3) don't upload any logs until some "standard" is established (which may never happen).

I just opt for #2 right now and will do #3 (if needed) when the time comes.

Just for fun, I checked my LoTW records for my mobile operation. I have 22 QSO's confirmed as NJ4M and 41 confirmed as NJ4M/xxx from the various counties I operated in. This tells me I'm doing the right thing to match as many QSO's as possible ... and that's my goal. If you disagree, that's fine, since there appears to be no wrong or right (or better) way to do it at the moment.

Ron

689. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:07:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 687 Message: 689

Within 1 Minute after the test ended!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson [mailto:w5uc@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2003 8:53 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>
> OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?
>
> 73
> Mike, W5UC
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

690. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:13:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 687 Message: 690

As the clock struck 00:01

What took you so long???? lol

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2003 8:52 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

> OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?
>
> 73
> Mike, W5UC
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

691. Contest points for using LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:43:12 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 691 Message: 691

The Michigan DX Association Death Match digital contest this weekend gives bonus points to operators who send their contest log into LoTW.

Rules at http://www.mdxa1.org/deathmatch

73, de Hans, K0HB

692. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:44:18 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 687 Message: 692

HI HI I keep a paper log and transfer that to the electronic log after
the fact. Gotta get in the habit of doing real time electronic logging.

At 09:13 PM 12/2/2003 -0600, you wrote:
>As the clock struck 00:01
>
>What took you so long???? lol

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

693. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:47:03 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 687 Message: 693

On Dec 2, 2003, at 9:52 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson wrote:

> OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?

Mine will go up after the deadline for contest entry submissions.

73,

J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
jbastin@... K8AJS@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

694. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 03:51:37 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 687 Message: 694

>AHA!! The strategy of competition creeps in. I hope the Dx guys get
>theirs in soon. Got 2 QSL's from ZF2NT as soon as I uploaded.

>Mine will go up after the deadline for contest entry submissions.
>
>73,
>
>J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
>jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> <http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs>http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

695. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 06:52:32 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 687 Message: 695

On Tue, Dec 02, 2003 at 09:13:05PM -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> As the clock struck 00:01
>
> What took you so long???? lol

So far I've only got two QSLs for the SSB contest, despite uploading my
log straight after......

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

696. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 06:52:43 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 687 Message: 696

On Tue, Dec 02, 2003 at 10:47:03PM -0500, John E Bastin wrote:
> On Dec 2, 2003, at 9:52 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson wrote:
>
> > OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?
>
> Mine will go up after the deadline for contest entry submissions.

Why the delay?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

697. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 12:38:05 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 687 Message: 697

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John E Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2003 9:47 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>
> On Dec 2, 2003, at 9:52 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson wrote:
>
> > OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?
>
> Mine will go up after the deadline for contest entry submissions.
>
> 73,
>
> J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
> jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

I see no reason to wait. LotW securtiy prevetns any trolling and such.

>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

698. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 20:46:40 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 687 Message: 698

On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:

> On Tue, Dec 02, 2003 at 10:47:03PM -0500, John E Bastin wrote:
>> On Dec 2, 2003, at 9:52 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson wrote:
>>
>>> OK Gang. My CQWW DX logs have been uploaded to LOTW. Have Yours?
>>
>> Mine will go up after the deadline for contest entry submissions.
>
> Why the delay?

I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
no reason to change it.

On Dec 3, 2003, at 7:38 AM, rojomn wrote:
>
> I see no reason to wait. LotW securtiy prevetns any trolling and such.

You can do whatever you like, Gil. As soon as it leaves my QTH it's
subject to someone else's standard of security, not mine. By doing it
the way I do, there's no doubt.

73,

J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
jbastin@... K8AJS@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

699. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 21:21:37 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 687 Message: 699

On Wed, Dec 03, 2003 at 03:46:40PM -0500, John E Bastin wrote:
> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> > Why the delay?
>
> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
> no reason to change it.

What use of it could they make? I don't understand.

Thanks.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

700. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 21:52:04 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 687 Message: 700

On Dec 3, 2003, at 4:21 PM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:

>> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>>> Why the delay?
>>
>> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
>> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
>> no reason to change it.
>
> What use of it could they make? I don't understand.

As has been pointed out, it's probably less likely with LoTW, but in the case of eQSL I have already received confirmations for contest contacts that revealed that I had blown the callsign in my log. Had I not already submitted the log, I could have corrected the error before submitting my entry (I usually have my log submitted within 24 hours of the end of the contest, but there's no reason to expect that everyone does that).

Even if the contest entry is already submitted, it's usually possible to submit a corrected log any time before the contest entry deadline. The last log submitted is the one that is used.

As I said, the habit started with eQSL. I have a routine now, and no reason to change it for LoTW.

73,
J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
jbastin@... K8AJS@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

701. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 23:11:01 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 687 Message: 701

With LotW it is IMPOSSIBLE to do that. If the contact does not match, you cannot see it, period. There is no reason to delay and maybe forget. I hope most do not do that.
There is no reason to penalize LotW because of the bad behavior of eqsl.cc.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John E Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 3:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>
>
> On Dec 3, 2003, at 4:21 PM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>
> >> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> >>> Why the delay?
> >>
> >> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
> >> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
> >> no reason to change it.
> >
> > What use of it could they make? I don't understand.
>
> As has been pointed out, it's probably less likely with LoTW, but in
> the case of eQSL I have already received confirmations for contest
> contacts that revealed that I had blown the callsign in my log. Had I
> not already submitted the log, I could have corrected the error before
> submitting my entry (I usually have my log submitted within 24 hours of
> the end of the contest, but there's no reason to expect that everyone
> does that).
>
> Even if the contest entry is already submitted, it's usually possible
> to submit a corrected log any time before the contest entry deadline.
> The last log submitted is the one that is used.
>
> As I said, the habit started with eQSL. I have a routine now, and no
> reason to change it for LoTW.
>
> 73,
> J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S

> jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

702. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-03 23:42:25 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 687 Message: 702

Which simply means you CAN confirm you're NOT in the log.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 4:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

With LotW it is IMPOSSIBLE to do that. If the contact does not match, you
cannot see it, period. There is no reason to delay and maybe forget. I hope
most do not do that.
There is no reason to penalize LotW because of the bad behavior of eqsl.cc.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John E Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 3:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>
>
> On Dec 3, 2003, at 4:21 PM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>
> >> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> >>> Why the delay?
> >>
> >> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
> >> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
> >> no reason to change it.
> >
> > What use of it could they make? I don't understand.
>
> As has been pointed out, it's probably less likely with LoTW, but in
> the case of eQSL I have already received confirmations for contest
> contacts that revealed that I had blown the callsign in my log. Had I
> not already submitted the log, I could have corrected the error before

> submitting my entry (I usually have my log submitted within 24 hours of
> the end of the contest, but there's no reason to expect that everyone
> does that).
>
> Even if the contest entry is already submitted, it's usually possible
> to submit a corrected log any time before the contest entry deadline.
> The last log submitted is the one that is used.
>
> As I said, the habit started with eQSL. I have a routine now, and no
> reason to change it for LoTW.
>
> 73,
> J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
> jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

703. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-04 00:51:38 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 687 Message: 703

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 5:42 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Which simply means you CAN confirm you're NOT in the log.

So what? I find I am not in the log and so I remove it from mine? The
scoring code will do that anyway. There is no penalty and no gain from
leaning this so as I say there is no reason to wait.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 4:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

With LotW it is IMPOSSIBLE to do that. If the contact does not match,
you
cannot see it, period. There is no reason to delay and maybe forget. I
hope
most do not do that.
There is no reason to penalize LotW because of the bad behavior of
eqsl.cc.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John E Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 3:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>

>
> On Dec 3, 2003, at 4:21 PM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>
> >> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> >>> Why the delay?
> >>
> >> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late
for
> >> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I
see
> >> no reason to change it.
> >
> > What use of it could they make? I don't understand.
>
> As has been pointed out, it's probably less likely with LoTW, but in
> the case of eQSL I have already received confirmations for contest
> contacts that revealed that I had blown the callsign in my log. Had I
> not already submitted the log, I could have corrected the error before
> submitting my entry (I usually have my log submitted within 24 hours
of
> the end of the contest, but there's no reason to expect that everyone
> does that).
>
> Even if the contest entry is already submitted, it's usually possible
> to submit a corrected log any time before the contest entry deadline.
> The last log submitted is the one that is used.
>
> As I said, the habit started with eQSL. I have a routine now, and no
> reason to change it for LoTW.
>
> 73,
> J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
> jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

704. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-04 03:18:27 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 687 Message: 704

On Dec 3, 2003, at 6:11 PM, rojomn wrote:

> With LotW it is IMPOSSIBLE to do that. If the contact does not match,
> you
> cannot see it, period. There is no reason to delay and maybe forget. I
> hope
> most do not do that.
> There is no reason to penalize LotW because of the bad behavior of
> eqsl.cc.

Where's the penalty? It only means my logs are in LoTW 4 to 6 weeks
after the contest, instead of the next day. They'll be there, and those
who need the confirmations will have them.

You wouldn't have a QSL card that quick, so what's your problem?

73,

J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
jbastin@... K8AJS@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

705. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-04 03:51:14 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 687 Message: 705

You may not understand the penalty system.

Here is the quote from the CQWW web site:

4. SCORE PENALTIES

For each -N or -B callsign, contact-point and multiplier credit is

denied. In addition, a point penalty of 3 times the

claimed-contact-point-value is assessed.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 5:51 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 5:42 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

Which simply means you CAN confirm you're NOT in the log.

So what? I find I am not in the log and so I remove it from mine? The scoring code will do that anyway. There is no penalty and no gain from leaning this so as I say there is no reason to wait.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 4:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW

With LotW it is IMPOSSIBLE to do that. If the contact does not match, you cannot see it, period. There is no reason to delay and maybe forget. I hope most do not do that.
There is no reason to penalize LotW because of the bad behavior of eqsl.cc.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: John E Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2003 3:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQWW CW
>
>
>
> On Dec 3, 2003, at 4:21 PM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>
> >> On Dec 3, 2003, at 1:52 AM, Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> >>> Why the delay?
> >>
> >> I just delay releasing the contents of my log until it's too late for
> >> anyone to make use of it. It's a habit I got into with eQSL and I see
> >> no reason to change it.
> >
> > What use of it could they make? I don't understand.
>
> As has been pointed out, it's probably less likely with LoTW, but in
> the case of eQSL I have already received confirmations for contest
> contacts that revealed that I had blown the callsign in my log. Had I
> not already submitted the log, I could have corrected the error before
> submitting my entry (I usually have my log submitted within 24 hours of
> the end of the contest, but there's no reason to expect that everyone
> does that).
>
> Even if the contest entry is already submitted, it's usually possible
> to submit a corrected log any time before the contest entry deadline.
> The last log submitted is the one that is used.
>
> As I said, the habit started with eQSL. I have a routine now, and no
> reason to change it for LoTW.
>
> 73,
> J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
> jbastin@... K8AJS@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

706. KX6PO uploaded to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-04 22:20:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 706 Message: 706

I've uploaded the entire log of KX6PO, June 9, 1983 through December 14,
1983, to LoTW.
We even have hits already.

Anyone having questions about a NIL, can contact me via email.
The original logs were hand written.
That's being very generous.
I spent many hours making sure I was reading them correctly but translation
errors may have inevitable.

All generalizations are false, including this one.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

707. 160 Mtr Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-06 15:30:56 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 707 Message: 707

Good Saturday Morning:

Hi Gang. Please upload your logs from the current ARRL 160 meter contest. This looks like a fun opportunity to get as close to 160 Mtr WAS as possible without mailing a buncha cards. A big deal? No, just something fun to do with LOTW and to stimulate activity there.

See you tonight on 160. (With my mighty 100 watts. HA HA YUK YUK)

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

708. "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-06 19:12:32 UTC
From: geerja_be
Topic: 708 Message: 708

I was just looking at the latest ARRL Letter, reading the bit about the LoTW.

Among other things it says "This has resulted in more than 1 million QSL records."

Am I missing something here? Did I only get half the deal? My screen says there are a little over half a million QSLs. Or is my screen faulty?

73,

Gert - K5WW

709. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-06 19:15:11 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 708 Message: 709

Slightly aggressive marketing, I'd guess. 517k QSL records represents twice that many QSL's since each side of the QSO gets a "card".

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: geerja_be [mailto:k5ww@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 13:13
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

I was just looking at the latest ARRL Letter, reading the bit about the LoTW.

Among other things it says "This has resulted in more than 1 million QSL records."

Am I missing something here? Did I only get half the deal? My screen says there are a little over half a million QSLs. Or is my screen faulty?

73,

Gert - K5WW

710. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-06 19:27:03 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 708 Message: 710

At 07:12 PM 12/6/03 +0000, you wrote:

>I was just looking at the latest ARRL Letter, reading the bit about
>the LoTW.
>
>Among other things it says "This has resulted in more than 1 million
>QSL records."
>
>Am I missing something here? Did I only get half the deal? My screen
>says there are a little over half a million QSLs. Or is my screen
>faulty?
>

I see 517K QSLs. Odd.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database was updated December 2.
2541 stations are listed -- 72 new and over 100 updated.
Are you current? www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

711. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 03:56:16 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 708 Message: 711

Hmm. I see what you mean, that's right. They need to change it on the user page too then, so us users have a better idea of the progress.
Thanks, 73,

Gert - K5WW

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 1:15 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

Slightly aggressive marketing, I'd guess. 517k QSL records represents twice that many QSL's since each side of the QSO gets a "card".

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: geerja_be [mailto:k5ww@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 13:13
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???

I was just looking at the latest ARRL Letter, reading the bit about the LoTW.

Among other things it says "This has resulted in more than 1 million QSL records."

Am I missing something here? Did I only get half the deal? My screen says there are a little over half a million QSLs. Or is my screen faulty?

73,

Gert - K5WW

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

712. Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 14:55:35 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 712 Message: 712

The sun is up
My logs are in
Thanks to all
What fun it's been

The D layer is forming it's killer cap
Oh well
So what
I'll take a nap.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

713. RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 16:51:25 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 713 Message: 713

I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will work with LoTW?

The TARA contest was this weekend and I would like to have a program before the next RTTY contest.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

714. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 17:18:18 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 713 Message: 714

Writelog works very well
73, K5IID

At 16:51 12/07/03, you wrote:
>I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will
>work with LoTW?
>
>The TARA contest was this weekend and I would like to have a program before
>the next RTTY contest.
>
>Thanks
>Dan W0VD
>grid: EM27ud
>w0vd@...
>www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

715. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 17:19:21 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 713 Message: 715

I have no direct personal experience, but I hear a lot of folks bragging about WriteLog.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: Dan <w0vd@...>

> I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will
> work with LoTW?

716. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 17:30:05 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 713 Message: 716

At 10:51 AM 12/7/03 -0600, you wrote:

>I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will
>work with LoTW?
>
>The TARA contest was this weekend and I would like to have a program before
>the next RTTY contest.
>

N1MMLogger, which is freeware, supports RTTY through incorporating the
MMTTY software kernel, and PSK as well. Very neat stuff, and tremendous
support. Exports ADIF and Cabrillo for LotW compatibility. Go to
http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/

73, Pete N4ZR
Happy Holidays
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

717. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 17:43:21 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 713 Message: 717

Hello Dan,

Sunday, December 7, 2003 Dan wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

D> I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will
D> work with LoTW?

Any modern contest logger with ADIF export support. N1MM (free) and
WriteLog (expensive) are the most known two.

--
73...Art RX9TX 07.12.2003 17:39 UTC

"To see things in the seed, that is genius." (Lao-tzu)

718. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 18:28:48 UTC
From: Winford Barnes
Topic: 713 Message: 718

I have been using WriteLog for about 3 years now and for my money it is the best RTTY program available. I say this considering the price, functionality, ease of use and of course support. Funds permitting I recommend it highly!

-----Original Message-----
From: K0HB [mailto:K-Zero-HB@earthlink.net]
Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 12:19 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

I have no direct personal experience, but I hear a lot of folks bragging about WriteLog.

73, Hans, K0HB

> [Original Message]
> From: Dan <w0vd@...>

> I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will
> work with LoTW?

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cj0026f/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1070903966/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116730> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1853618/rand=662155443>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

719. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 18:43:45 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 713 Message: 719

Dan,

May I suggest using Writelog. Wonderful program for all types of contesting and used by most of the top amateurs in the contesting world.
Here's the web address. www.writelog.com
One of the best reflector support groups as well.

Bill KF8HR

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 11:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export logs that will work with LoTW?

The TARA contest was this weekend and I would like to have a program before the next RTTY contest.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

720. Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 22:41:13 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 720 Message: 720

Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and apply for LOTW??

Thank you!

Bry, AF4K

721. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 22:46:54 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 720 Message: 721

At 05:41 PM 12/7/03 -0500, you wrote:
>Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get
>the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and
>apply for LOTW??

mine arrived in less than a week.

73, Pete N4ZR
Happy Holidays
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

722. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 22:49:57 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 720 Message: 722

It's been a while, but I seem to recall mine was here within a week.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 05:41 PM 12/7/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get
>the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and
>apply for LOTW??
>
>Thank you!
>
>Bry, AF4K
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

723. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-07 22:47:05 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 720 Message: 723

3 to 4 days.
Bill W5EC
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Carling
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 16:41 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get
the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and
apply for LOTW??

Thank you!

Bry, AF4K

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

724. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 01:18:53 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 720 Message: 724

Mine was also about 3 days, but that was at the very beginning
of the program. Probably takes longer now.
e-mail and ask.
73, Tom K5IID

At 22:47 12/07/03, you wrote:
>3 to 4 days.
>Bill W5EC
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:bcarling@...>Brian Carling
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 16:41 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?
>
>Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get
>the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and
>apply for LOTW??
>
>Thank you!
>
>Bry, AF4K
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
><mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12c7qk60t/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/EXP=1070923812/A=1853619/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=4
116732>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

725. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 01:27:33 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 720 Message: 725
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

A week to ten days
73 Tony wa4jqs

At 05:41 PM 12/7/2003 -0500, you wrote:
>Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get
>the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and
>apply for LOTW??
>
>Thank you!
>
>Bry, AF4K
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cgv5i5n/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/EXP=1070923262/A=1853619/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=4
116732>390aabb0.jpg
>390aace6.jpg
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

726. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 01:29:57 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 713 Message: 726

I would get N1MM Logger from

http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/

and you will be happy. It is free, it has the best support, it is in my opinion the best contest program you can find. It supports RTTY, PSK, and CW.

I used to use WRITELOG which is also good but expensive and not nearly the support that N1MM gets.
One of the neatest things is called Enter Sends Message. With this you can do a contest without using the Function key. It knows what to send next when you press enter AND it always makes clear what is next. If you used this in TRLOG in the past you probably got frustrated since you never knew what came next but with N1MM you do.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 11:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RTTY Contest Program
>
>
> At 10:51 AM 12/7/03 -0600, you wrote:
>
> >I am looking for a good RTTY Contest program that will export
> logs that will
> >work with LoTW?
> >
> >The TARA contest was this weekend and I would like to have a
> program before
> >the next RTTY contest.
> >
>
> N1MMLogger, which is freeware, supports RTTY through incorporating the
> MMTTY software kernel, and PSK as well. Very neat stuff, and tremendous
> support. Exports ADIF and Cabrillo for LotW compatibility. Go to
> http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Happy Holidays

> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

727. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 01:45:31 UTC
From: Gert E. Janssens
Topic: 720 Message: 727

I imagine things go a little faster now! After all, the initial bulk of application should've been dealt with.

I sent in my request the very same day the program started, and it took almost two weeks to get the card.
73,

Gert - K5WW

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Mine was also about 3 days, but that was at the very beginning
of the program. Probably takes longer now.
e-mail and ask.
73, Tom K5IID

At 22:47 12/07/03, you wrote:

3 to 4 days.
Bill W5EC

----- Original Message -----

From: Brian Carling

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 16:41 PM

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Postcard?

Can anyone tell me how long I should expect to wait to get

the postcard sent to me after I send in my certificate and

apply for LOTW??

Thank you!

Bry, AF4K

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

728. Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 14:41:56 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 728 Message: 728

Thanks to those who have submitted their 160 meter contest logs to
LOTW! They have confirmed several new states for me.

73
Mike, W5UC
Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

729. test....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-08 22:52:19 UTC
From: k0xm
Topic: 729 Message: 729

I am sending this via the web page, as I haven't seen ANY messages
come thru....

Sorry for the bandwith

Chuck/K0XM

730. Station Locaiton, Howis it handled?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-09 23:47:55 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 730 Message: 730

Here is a situation. In my haste to send my logs I signed several files with the wrong station location. Is it possible in any way to correct this. It is important because some awards are not valid if you are at widely varying locations. For instance WAS would not be allowed if 25 were worked from Texas and 25 From Minnesota.

To make it even more complex, I have had 2 calls and each call has had varying locations.

If I resent all the contacts I assume the locations will be corrected IF IT IS THE SAME CALL but what if it is the same contact but I also had sent the wrong Operator Call as well as the wrong location?

Is there any way out?

I assume you can't wipe your log and start again. That would be unfair to people that were counting on it for an award. After all , once you mail a card, there is no way to take it back.

Interesting isn't it?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

731. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locaiton, Howis it handled?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-10 02:14:35 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 730 Message: 731

Hi Gil

No biggie. Just take the same logs and re-sign them with the correct station location (and certificate/call of course) and send them in. If the other station's call(s), time, date, band, and mode don't change the old data will simply be replaced with the 'new' data that has the proper geography attached.

If I resent all the contacts I assume the locations will be corrected IF IT
IS THE SAME CALL but what if it is the same contact but I also had sent the
wrong Operator Call as well as the wrong location?

I'm not exactly sure of what you ask here, but if you mean, for example, that you signed the data with your old call what can be done- the answer is, just sign the same data as I wrote above and it will go in correctly this time with the right callsign and geography attached. It won't replace the previous data because that was signed with a different certificate. The previous data does no harm because it cannot be matched. It will sit there harmlessly.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: gbaron [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2003 6:48 PM
To: AA/ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locaiton, Howis it handled?

Here is a situation. In my haste to send my logs I signed several files with
the wrong station location. Is it possible in any way to correct this.
It is important because some awards are not valid if you are at widely
varying locations. For instance WAS would not be allowed if 25 were worked
from Texas and 25 From Minnesota.

To make it even more complex, I have had 2 calls and each call has had
varying locations.

If I resent all the contacts I assume the locations will be corrected IF IT
IS THE SAME CALL but what if it is the same contact but I also had sent the
wrong Operator Call as well as the wrong location?

Is there any way out?

I assume you can't wipe your log and start again. That would be unfair to
people that were counting on it for an award. After all , once you mail a
card, there is no way to take it back.

Interesting isn't it?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cmfvck5/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1071100204/A=1853619/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178356&partid=4116732> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1853619/rand=188250617>

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

732. Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 17:47:46 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 708 Message: 732

I think what is happening is the system is noting the number of QSL records - e.g. n1aa and w0aa send in their logs - one QSL record results in which 2 QSLs are generated. So the system is showing the number of records - not the number of QSLs which is twice the number of records.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gert E. Janssens" <k5ww@w...> wrote:
> Hmm. I see what you mean, that's right. They need to change it on the user page too then, so us users have a better idea of the progress.
> Thanks, 73,
>
> Gert - K5WW
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 1:15 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> Slightly aggressive marketing, I'd guess. 517k QSL records represents twice
> that many QSL's since each side of the QSO gets a "card".
>
> 73,
> Mike WA0SXV
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: geerja_be [mailto:k5ww@w...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 13:13
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "1 million QSLs" ???
>
> I was just looking at the latest ARRL Letter, reading the bit about
> the LoTW.
>
> Among other things it says "This has resulted in more than 1 million
> QSL records."
>

> Am I missing something here? Did I only get half the deal? My screen
> says there are a little over half a million QSLs. Or is my screen
> faulty?
>
> 73,
>
> Gert - K5WW
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

733. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 19:29:11 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 733

Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I am.

I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.

LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!

On 12 Dec 2003 at 17:47, Beischel wrote:

> I think what is happening is the system is noting the number of QSL
> records - e.g. n1aa and w0aa send in their logs - one QSL record
> results in which 2 QSLs are generated. So the system is showing the
> number of records - not the number of QSLs which is twice the number
> of records.
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com

734. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 19:39:02 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 708 Message: 734

> [Original Message]
> From: Brian Carling <bcarling@...>

>
> I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
>

The post card is sent to your address of record at FCC. Is it correct?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

735. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 19:44:58 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 708 Message: 735

At 02:29 PM 12/12/03 -0500, you wrote:
>Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I am.
>
>I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
>
>LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!

Yours is the first such case I've heard of -- have you e-mailed NN1N and
asked him what's going on?

73, Pete N4ZR
Happy Holidays
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

736. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 21:33:52 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 736

I e-mailed someone who said I have t9o CANCEL the first two applications and do
a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur community.

I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...

When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.

I like eQSL.cc and it is free.

ARRL charges money (for what?!) and it won't even work (for me).
Your mileage may vary...

On 12 Dec 2003 at 14:44, Pete Smith wrote:

> At 02:29 PM 12/12/03 -0500, you wrote:
> >Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I
> >am.
> >
> >I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
> >
> >LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!
>
>
> Yours is the first such case I've heard of -- have you e-mailed NN1N
> and asked him what's going on?
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Happy Holidays
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your
> HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders
> $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~
> ->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

737. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 21:33:52 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 737

I guess it is supposed to be, but mine never got sent.

On 12 Dec 2003 at 19:39, K0HB wrote:

>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Brian Carling <bcarling@...>
>
> >
> > I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
> >
>
> The post card is sent to your address of record at FCC. Is it
> correct?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your
> HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders
> $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~
> ->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

738. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 21:44:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 708 Message: 738

I'm NOT computer savvy.
but
I uploaded my 3 callsign logs
I uploaded 2 logs of stations I manage.

LoTW is free.
There is a small charge for crediting a qsl to your dxcc or other award.

I THOUGHT to get a card from eQSL you did have to pay a larger fee.
And it was creditable only if the DX station authorized eQSL to serve as
their manager.
(the above may have changed since I read their rules).

So...if I could do it....a blind wino can.

so mileage does vary....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...>
To: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:33 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

> I e-mailed someone who said I have t9o CANCEL the first two applications
and do
> a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur community.
>
> I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
> I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...
>
> When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.
>
> I like eQSL.cc and it is free.
>
> ARRL charges money (for what?!) and it won't even work (for me).
> Your mileage may vary...

739. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-12 22:14:44 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 739

He he - OK congrats then!
I didn't know you were a blind wino, but I am no better, grin!

I now see it is free except for cerificate hunters so no problem
with the fees. eQSL.cc has been free. If I can ever get STARTED with LOTW I am
sur eit WILL be easy!

Seriously, I have not got to the stage of sending any logs because you have to
have your certificate acknowledged first and since they can't sem to accomplish
that so far after my two submissions, it is not me that has the limitation, but the
certificate system itself.

I am going to try again this weekend.

On 12 Dec 2003 at 15:44, FireBrick wrote:

> I'm NOT computer savvy.
> but
> I uploaded my 3 callsign logs
> I uploaded 2 logs of stations I manage.
>
> LoTW is free.
> There is a small charge for crediting a qsl to your dxcc or other
> award.
>
> I THOUGHT to get a card from eQSL you did have to pay a larger fee.
> And it was creditable only if the DX station authorized eQSL to serve
> as their manager. (the above may have changed since I read their
> rules).
>
> So...if I could do it....a blind wino can.
>
> so mileage does vary....
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...>
> To: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:33 PM Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:
> "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> > I e-mailed someone who said I have t9o CANCEL the first two
> > applications
> and do

> > a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur
> > community.
> >
> > I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
> > I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...
> >
> > When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.
> >
> > I like eQSL.cc and it is free.
> >
> > ARRL charges money (for what?!) and it won't even work (for me).
> > Your mileage may vary...
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your
> HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders
> $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~
> ->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

740. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 00:37:27 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 708 Message: 740

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:34 PM
> To: Pete Smith; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> I e-mailed someone who said I have t9o CANCEL the first two
> applications and do
> a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur community.
>
> I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
> I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...
>
> When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.
>
> I like eQSL.cc and it is free.
>
> ARRL charges money (for what?!)

ONLY if you use it for awards and for that it would be worth the NOMINAL
charge.
I agree that your experience should NOT happen and for most it has not.

IS your FCC address of record correct?

>and it won't even work (for me).
> Your mileage may vary...
>
>
> On 12 Dec 2003 at 14:44, Pete Smith wrote:
>
> > At 02:29 PM 12/12/03 -0500, you wrote:
> > >Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I
> > >am.
> > >
> > >I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
> > >
> > >LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!
> >
> >
> > Yours is the first such case I've heard of -- have you e-mailed NN1N

> > and asked him what's going on?
> >
> >
> > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > Happy Holidays
> > Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> > www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your
> > HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders
> > $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---~
> > ->
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

741. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 00:40:13 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 708 Message: 741

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:44 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> I'm NOT computer savvy.
> but
> I uploaded my 3 callsign logs
> I uploaded 2 logs of stations I manage.
>
> LoTW is free.
> There is a small charge for crediting a qsl to your dxcc or other award.
>
> I THOUGHT to get a card from eQSL you did have to pay a larger fee.

No ppaer cards are availabel. Having your records in the log is free.

> And it was creditable only if the DX station authorized eQSL to serve as
> their manager.

IT has NOTHING to do with that. If they send up their log, it counts if you
match a QSO, period.

> (the above may have changed since I read their rules).
>
> So...if I could do it....a blind wino can.
>
> so mileage does vary....
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...>
> To: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:33 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> > I e-mailed someone who said I have t9o CANCEL the first two applications
> and do

> > a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur community.
> >
> > I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
> > I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...
> >
> > When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.
> >
> > I like eQSL.cc and it is free.
> >
> > ARRL charges money (for what?!) and it won't even work (for me).
> > Your mileage may vary...
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

742. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 00:50:20 UTC
From: James
Topic: 708 Message: 742

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
> Sent: 13 December 2003 00:40
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> > Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:44 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
> >
> >
> > I'm NOT computer savvy.
> > but
> > I uploaded my 3 callsign logs
> > I uploaded 2 logs of stations I manage.
> >
> > LoTW is free.
> > There is a small charge for crediting a qsl to your dxcc or other
> > award.
> >
> > I THOUGHT to get a card from eQSL you did have to pay a larger fee.
>
> No ppaer cards are availabel. Having your records in the log is free.

Paper Cards are available, and there is a mailing facility to have them
sent to you through the post, so presumably if the DX station authorises
eQSL to be their manager the cards would be valid for DXCC.

>
> > And it was creditable only if the DX station authorized
> eQSL to serve
> > as their manager.
>
> IT has NOTHING to do with that. If they send up their log, it
> counts if you match a QSO, period.

QSO's matches only count towards eQSL's own awards and then only if the
DX Station has "Authenticity Guaranteed" status
>

743. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 00:30:14 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 708 Message: 743

Brian,
Like K0HB said...make sure the address you put on th eapplication is the one
that is listed in the FCC data base. If you have moved at all, you might
just want to check.
Zip code changes do happen from time to time.
I sort for the W5 bureau, and I had a guy whose envelopes kept coming back.
He checked with the post office and they had changed the zip and he didn't
know it.
Gosh, just think of all the bills you wouldn't get! But oh, the late fees!

73, Tom K5IID

At 19:29 12/12/03, Brian Carling wrote:
>Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I am.
>
>I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
>
>LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!
>
>
>On 12 Dec 2003 at 17:47, Beischel wrote:
>
> > I think what is happening is the system is noting the number of QSL
> > records - e.g. n1aa and w0aa send in their logs - one QSL record
> > results in which 2 QSLs are generated. So the system is showing the
> > number of records - not the number of QSLs which is twice the number
> > of records.
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

744. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 01:27:19 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 708 Message: 744

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: James [mailto:james@...]
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 6:50 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
> > Sent: 13 December 2003 00:40
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> > > Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 3:44 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
> > >
> > >
> > > I'm NOT computer savvy.
> > > but
> > > I uploaded my 3 callsign logs
> > > I uploaded 2 logs of stations I manage.
> > >
> > > LoTW is free.
> > > There is a small charge for crediting a qsl to your dxcc or other
> > > award.
> > >
> > > I THOUGHT to get a card from eQSL you did have to pay a larger fee.
> >
> > No ppaer cards are availabel. Having your records in the log is free.

>
> Paper Cards are available, and there is a mailing facility to have them
> sent to you through the post, so presumably if the DX station authorises
> eQSL to be their manager the cards would be valid for DXCC.
>

This has been answered many times in the ONLINE QSL group. They tried but
ARRL refused. Thos cards are not acceptable, even if they are a QSL manager
UNLESS the log was received directly from the station. ANYTHING that has
gone through the eqsl.cc path is totally unusable for an ARRL award program.
Basically ARRL said , sorry boys to eqsl.cc, you cannot be a manager.
Now that eqsl.cc does not do double blind matching even if they were to want
to accept them it will not happen as the security of the match at eqsl.cc is
not existent.

> >
> > > And it was creditable only if the DX station authorized
> > eQSL to serve
> > > as their manager.
> >
> > > IT has NOTHING to do with that. If they send up their log, it
> > counts if you match a QSO, period.
>
> QSO's matches only count towards eQSL's own awards and then only if the
> DX Station has "Authenticity Guaranteed" status
> >
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

745. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 03:12:53 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 745

Thanks, I will check with the post office, but I think the chances
of this being the problem are somewhere in between "slim" and "none!"

On 13 Dec 2003 at 0:30, Tom Horton wrote:

> Brian,
> Like K0HB said...make sure the address you put on th eapplication is
> the one
> that is listed in the FCC data base. If you have moved at all, you
> might just want to check. Zip code changes do happen from time to
> time. I sort for the W5 bureau, and I had a guy whose envelopes kept
> coming back. He checked with the post office and they had changed the
> zip and he didn't know it.
> Gosh, just think of all the bills you wouldn't get! But oh, the late
> fees!
>
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
>
> At 19:29 12/12/03, Brian Carling wrote:
> >Well, you guys are getting a LOT farther with this LOTW thing than I
> >am.
> >
> >I have applied twice now and they don't ever send me the postcard.
> >
> >LOTW may be doomed to failure if this is the best that it can do!
> >
> >
> >On 12 Dec 2003 at 17:47, Beischel wrote:
> >
> > > I think what is happening is the system is noting the number of
> > > QSL records - e.g. n1aa and w0aa send in their logs - one QSL
> > > record results in which 2 QSLs are generated. So the system is
> > > showing the number of records - not the number of QSLs which is
> > > twice the number of records.
> > >
> > > Duffy
> > > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >

> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
> "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
> Williamstown, WV

746. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 03:12:53 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 746

On 12 Dec 2003 at 18:37, rojomn wrote:

> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

I had one of those but the wheels fell off it!

> > From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> > I e-mailed someone who said I have to CANCEL the first two
> > applications and do
> > a THIRD One... I don't think this will fly with the amateur
> > community.

> > I am fairly computer savvy and followed all of the directions.
> > I just haven't had time to follow the latest set of instructions...
> >
> > When I do, this will proobably be my last attempt if it fails.
> >
> > I like eQSL.cc and it is free.
> >
> > ARRL charges money (for what?!)
>
> ONLY if you use it for awards and for that it would be worth the
> NOMINAL charge. I agree that your experience should NOT happen and for
> most it has not.
>
> IS your FCC address of record correct?

YES.

We'll see what happens with this third attempt!

747. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 03:17:37 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 747

On 12 Dec 2003 at 19:27, rojomn wrote:

> This has been answered many times in the ONLINE QSL group. They tried
> but ARRL refused. Thos cards are not acceptable, even if they are a
> QSL manager UNLESS the log was received directly from the station.
> ANYTHING that has gone through the eqsl.cc path is totally unusable
> for an ARRL award program. Basically ARRL said , sorry boys to
> eqsl.cc, you cannot be a manager. Now that eqsl.cc does not do double
> blind matching even if they were to want to accept them it will not
> happen as the security of the match at eqsl.cc is not existent.

I like eQSL.cc for friendly relaxed, easy QSLing.

Maybe LOTW is better suited to the hard core contesters and
the paper chasers.

748. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 03:56:29 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 708 Message: 748

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 9:13 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
>
>
> On 12 Dec 2003 at 18:37, rojomn wrote:
>
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> I had one of those but the wheels fell off it!
>
>

The modismo "Idiom in english" means

"Strike while the iron is hot"

>

749. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-13 05:30:26 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 708 Message: 749

Brian,
To me LOTW is MUCH easier in the long run than e-qsl. Plus,
these confirmations will count for something.
73, Tom K5IID

At 03:56 12/13/03, you wrote:

>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> > Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 9:13 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
> >
> >
> > On 12 Dec 2003 at 18:37, rojomn wrote:
> >
> > > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > I had one of those but the wheels fell off it!
> >
> >
>
>The modismo "Idiom in english" means
>
>"Strike while the iron is hot"
>
>
>
> >
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

750. QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 00:40:09 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 750 Message: 750

I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15 and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.

73, Carl VE9OV

All outgoing e-mails checked by
Norton 2004 Anti-Virus program.

751. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 01:25:51 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 750 Message: 751

Carl,

It would appear the major use of LoTW right now is by Contest Stations.

This may change as time goes on but I think there needs to be an incentive for DXpeditions to put their on.

I, for one would be willing to pay a premium to encourage major DXpeditions to do this.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 13, 2003 5:40 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15 and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.

73, Carl VE9OV

All outgoing e-mails checked by
Norton 2004 Anti-Virus program.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

752. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 01:35:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 750 Message: 752

I'm pretty sure the group that invaded T32 enmass said they would be putting all qso's on LoTW.
Which would be nice for me as I got a couple new band/mode slots.

(but I also sent a donation to help with expenses)

I actually think it's cheaper for me to send a donation with no qsl card but have the qsl via LoTW.

As I have the countries, I don't need the cards, just band/mode credits.

your mileage may vary obviously.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 13, 2003 7:25 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Carl,

It would appear the major use of LoTW right now is by Contest Stations.

This may change as time goes on but I think there needs to be an incentive for DXpeditions to put their on.

I, for one would be willing to pay a premium to encourage major DXpeditions to do this.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 13, 2003 5:40 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15 and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.

73, Carl VE9OV

All outgoing e-mails checked by
Norton 2004 Anti-Virus program.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

753. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 02:27:10 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 750 Message: 753

Howdy all,

I think Carl is correct when he says it will take some time but the only way to hasten the project is to encourage folks to take advantage of it. I have uploaded 10,503 qsos with stations worked since 1992.

I now have 487 confirmations and eight of them are new band countries. Four from 2003, one from 2001, one from 1998, one from 1997, and one from 1993. Several of these I have been trying to confirm for a long time and have sent several cards. I consider this a fairly good response for the short period of time LoTW has been active. There are a large number of contest logs in the mix but there are some pretty rare DX logs also.

With only 6,640 certificates active we certainly have a long way to go to approach full usage. I don't know how many active DXers there are in the world or how many DXers are listed in ARRL's annual DX list so it is tough to know what percentage we have in LoTW. When Ducie Is. became a new entity they logged 51,000 qsos with over 22,000 unique callsigns. Because this was an all time new one it would seem that the total number of active DXers in the world must exceed 22,000 so there are a lot of them that are not yet on LoTW. That coupled with the large number of contest stations should make the figure for major users in the vicinity of 30,000 plus.

I don't know what all of this means but it is something to chew on while we wait to see what happens over the years. I do know that if we talk it up at club meetings and on the air, and take the time to answer some questions, it will encourage others to join in the fun.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Carl Smidt wrote:
>
> I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to
> collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15
> and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of
> 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering
> that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
> All outgoing e-mails checked by
> Norton 2004 Anti-Virus program.

>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cke6n65/M=267637.4116719.5338353.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1071448820/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116719>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

754. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 04:16:44 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 750 Message: 754

I don't think LoTW will ever completely replace paper QSL's (I certainly hope not).

Having said that, I think we're just starting to see the tip of the iceberg, since the program has only been in "production use" for a little less than two months. I'm pleased with my results so far --- I've uploaded 27,825 QSO's and received 1,697 matched contacts for about a 6% return. Far and away the majority (>90%) of these are US stations but even so I have 52 DXCC countries confirmed on LoTW, including one that I had been chasing "paper" QSL's for 18 years.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 12/14/2003 12:40:15 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15 and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.

755. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 04:51:31 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 750 Message: 755

Hans,
I too hope that "real" QSLs stay around!
So far I have uploaded 53,218 Qs and have 2814
QSLs. It will take a long time for a large amount of folks
to get involved, and very many never will. This, however,
will probably affect the DX stations more than stateside.
It's just a lot harder for them to get certified.
I wish there was an easier way for them to get involved.
Then it truly could be a Logbook of The World.
73, Tom K5IID

At 04:16 12/14/03, you wrote:
>I don't think LoTW will ever completely replace paper QSL's (I certainly
>hope not).
>
>Having said that, I think we're just starting to see the tip of the
>iceberg, since the program has only been in "production use" for a little
>less than two months. I'm pleased with my results so far --- I've uploaded
>27,825 QSO's and received 1,697 matched contacts for about a 6% return.
>Far and away the majority (>90%) of these are US stations but even so I
>have 52 DXCC countries confirmed on LoTW, including one that I had been
>chasing "paper" QSL's for 18 years.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: Carl Smidt
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: 12/14/2003 12:40:15 AM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records
>
>
>I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need to
>collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since September 15
>and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in excess of 6,500,
>the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal considering that I have
>over a thousand QSL cards in my files.
>
>
>
>
>

>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

756. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 07:04:15 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 750 Message: 756

Hello C.M.,

Sunday, December 14, 2003 C.M. Christensen wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

CMC> but the only way to hasten the project is to encourage folks to
CMC> take advantage of it.

LoTW folks must think about it. There are many different ways to
encourage people use LoTW in addition to paper QSLs.

It could be prices discounts in case of LoTW participation (current
LoTW prices make using LoTW credits a bit more expensive just because
you do not have to pay 0.15-0.25 cents for each submitted paper QSL).
First of all, in case of LoTW, annual submission fees (20-30 USD)
should be deleted. Then, additional 15 cents for every QSL over 120
should be deleted as well, just because in case of LoTW this is an
automatic process without human participation.

DXCC Desk could give a DXCC accreditation to those only, who have
uploaded their logs to the loTW.

DXCC Desk could credit QSL cards from those only, who have uploaded
their logs to the LoTW.

DXCC Desk could take DXCC Award submissions from those only.....

etc, etc...

--
73...Art RX9TX 14.12.2003 6:45 UTC

"Patriotism is the last refuge of the scoundrel." [Samuel Johnson]

757. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 07:20:07 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 750 Message: 757

Here is an old message from Wayne Mills saying that they intend
to do much of what you're asking (reduce the cost of DXCC
processing for LotW credits) ... I had originally asked about
"buying" the credits in bulk.

As Wayne said ... "stay tuned"

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mills, Wayne N7NG [mailto:N7NG@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2003 7:49 PM
> To: Joe Subich, K4IK
> Subject: RE: LoTW Certificate
>
>
> Absolutely! We encourage it. You can buy them as you use them,
> or you can buy them in advance. Logbook will keep track of how
> many you buy, and how many you use. You will note that the price
> goes down substantially with volume. You should also know know
> that although there is no connection between Logbook fees and
> DXCC (and other award fees), we will be offering a discount for
> those credits being submitted via electronic means. That means
> that we have to hire less labor to enter the data, and we will
> pass the savings on. Details are being worked out.
>
> 73, Wayne
>
>

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Art RX9TX [mailto:rx9tx@esoo.ru]
> Sent: Sunday, December 14, 2003 2:04 AM
> To: C.M. Christensen
> Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records
>
>
> Hello C.M.,
>
> Sunday, December 14, 2003 C.M. Christensen wrote to
> ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> CMC> but the only way to hasten the project is to encourage folks to
> CMC> take advantage of it.

>
> LoTW folks must think about it. There are many different ways to
> encourage people use LoTW in addition to paper QSLs.
>
> It could be prices discounts in case of LoTW participation (current
> LoTW prices make using LoTW credits a bit more expensive just because
> you do not have to pay 0.15-0.25 cents for each submitted paper QSL).
> First of all, in case of LoTW, annual submission fees (20-30 USD)
> should be deleted. Then, additional 15 cents for every QSL over 120
> should be deleted as well, just because in case of LoTW this is an
> automatic process without human participation.
>
> DXCC Desk could give a DXCC accreditation to those only, who have
> uploaded their logs to the loTW.
>
> DXCC Desk could credit QSL cards from those only, who have uploaded
> their logs to the LoTW.
>
> DXCC Desk could take DXCC Award submissions from those only.....
>
> etc, etc...
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 14.12.2003 6:45 UTC
>
> "Patriotism is the last refuge of the scoundrel."
> [Samuel Johnson]
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ~-------------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

758. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 13:36:16 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 750 Message: 758

Hi Art,

I agree that ARRL can do a better job of promoting LoTW and I know they are continuing to look at that as well as the fee structure. For me at least LoTW is far less expensive than the paper cards. Because the Buro method is essentially a waste of time with only about a 50 percent return rate I have resorted to sending most of my cards direct. If you add up the price of this it is significant. Approximately twenty cents for two envelopes and a card, eighty cents for postage, and two dollars for return postage makes the price of getting the card three dollars. A US station is allowed to submit 120 qsos for a ten dollar fee. The fee for those over 120 is fifteen cents per qso. Last year I submitted 320 cards with 360 qsos. Direct cards numbered 270 and buro cards numbered 50 (even these involve some expense). If you add all of this into a nice tidy yearly sum you will see that I invested the following:

$810.00 for direct mailing of 270 cards
$ 12.00 for buro mailings
$ 10.00 for ARRL fee
$ 36.00 for extra qsos at 0.15 cents
$ 8.00 for postage to ARRL
$ 8.00 for return postage
which makes
$884.00 for a hefty grand total -- from this total you can subtract $76.00 for 38 cards sent direct to stateside managers. This makes the actual total for the year $808.00.

Not included in this evaluation is the expense of sending cards to stations either direct or via the buro and never getting a card in return. This alone, at least in my case, far exceeds the cost of all 360 cards if they had been confirmed through LoTW. All 360 qsos, if they had been confirmed by LoTW at 15 cents each, would have cost $54.00.

I know that many folks continue to appreciate receiving the cards and I do too but I have over 6,000 cards and they represent every entity except Scarborough Reef and I am now only interested in band and mode countries.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Art RX9TX wrote:

> Hello C.M.,
>
> Sunday, December 14, 2003 C.M. Christensen wrote to

> ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> CMC> but the only way to hasten the project is to encourage folks to
> CMC> take advantage of it.
>
> LoTW folks must think about it. There are many different ways to
> encourage people use LoTW in addition to paper QSLs.
>
> It could be prices discounts in case of LoTW participation (current
> LoTW prices make using LoTW credits a bit more expensive just because
> you do not have to pay 0.15-0.25 cents for each submitted paper QSL).
> First of all, in case of LoTW, annual submission fees (20-30 USD)
> should be deleted. Then, additional 15 cents for every QSL over 120
> should be deleted as well, just because in case of LoTW this is an
> automatic process without human participation.
>
> DXCC Desk could give a DXCC accreditation to those only, who have
> uploaded their logs to the loTW.
>
> DXCC Desk could credit QSL cards from those only, who have uploaded
> their logs to the LoTW.
>
> DXCC Desk could take DXCC Award submissions from those only.....
>
> etc, etc...
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 14.12.2003 6:45 UTC
>
> "Patriotism is the last refuge of the scoundrel." [Samuel Johnson]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cs724hk/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1071471923/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116730>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

759. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 14:10:45 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 750 Message: 759

Hello C.M.,

Sunday, December 14, 2003 C.M. Christensen wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

CMC> $810.00 for direct mailing of 270 cards
CMC> $ 12.00 for buro mailings
CMC> $ 10.00 for ARRL fee
CMC> $ 36.00 for extra qsos at 0.15 cents
CMC> $ 8.00 for postage to ARRL
CMC> $ 8.00 for return postage
CMC> which makes
CMC> $884.00 for a hefty grand total -- from this total you can subtract
CMC> $76.00 for 38 cards sent direct to stateside managers. This makes the
CMC> actual total for the year $808.00.

Chris, all of your calculations are good for a newcomer, just making
his first QSOs now. As for me or you, I have about 1000 QSLs already
in my hand to submit to the DXCC, and I am not sure I will submit them
using LoTW, just because I don't want to pay 15-25 additional cents
for each QSL. Note that you will be have to pay 0.15 for every QSL
over 120 in any case. The other truth is that independently of the
LoTW most of us go on sending and receiving paper cards.

CMC> I know that many folks continue to appreciate receiving the cards and I
CMC> do too but I have over 6,000 cards and they represent every entity
CMC> except Scarborough Reef and I am now only interested in band and mode
CMC> countries.

So do I, one QSO far from DXCC HR. Hope to join the club this winter
after Aves dxped.

--
73...Art RX9TX 14.12.2003 13:58 UTC

"Life is a mystery to be lived, not a problem to be solved." (Van Kaam)

760. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 14:55:39 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 750 Message: 760

Hi Art,

Art RX9TX wrote:

> Hello C.M.,
>
> Sunday, December 14, 2003 C.M. Christensen wrote to
> ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> CMC> $810.00 for direct mailing of 270 cards
> CMC> $ 12.00 for buro mailings
> CMC> $ 10.00 for ARRL fee
> CMC> $ 36.00 for extra qsos at 0.15 cents
> CMC> $ 8.00 for postage to ARRL
> CMC> $ 8.00 for return postage
> CMC> which makes
> CMC> $884.00 for a hefty grand total -- from this total you can subtract
> CMC> $76.00 for 38 cards sent direct to stateside managers. This makes the
> CMC> actual total for the year $808.00.
>
> Chris, all of your calculations are good for a newcomer, just making
> his first QSOs now. As for me or you, I have about 1000 QSLs already
> in my hand to submit to the DXCC, and I am not sure I will submit them
> using LoTW, just because I don't want to pay 15-25 additional cents
> for each QSL.

You can upload your entire log to LoTW for free. There is no charge unless you specifically claim a qsl credit for a contact. You do not have to claim them both as a paper card and an electronic submission. You can choose one or the other and the result is the same -- you will get credit for the confirmation either way. The main difference is that by uploading your log you give others the opportunity to confirm the contact with you via LoTW. I have several instances where I have sent several cards to a DX station and have received no reply. I have emailed them and they said they received my cards but the ones they sent (?) never arrived. If their log is in LoTW my problem is solved. I can claim the credit there and stop worrying about the card getting to me.

Note that you will be have to pay 0.15 for every QSL
> over 120 in any case. The other truth is that independently of the
> LoTW most of us go on sending and receiving paper cards.

I am not sure I understand when you say you have to pay 0.15 for every qsl in either case. If you use LoTW qsls they are 0.15 per qsl if you use the lowest bulk rate. They have nothing at all to do with what you send in as paper cards. I agree that if I send 120 cards to the bureau

it will cost $10.00 and if I added an additional 400 cards it would cost
and additional $60.00. If I confirmed all 500 cards via LoTW it would
cost $75.00 which is $5.00 more. But, I do not have to pay postage both
ways, wait three months for the confirmations, and take a chance on
losing the cards. All of this also depends on how many cards you send in
each year.
>
> CMC> I know that many folks continue to appreciate receiving the cards and I
> CMC> do too but I have over 6,000 cards and they represent every entity
> CMC> except Scarborough Reef and I am now only interested in band and mode
> CMC> countries.
>
> So do I, one QSO far from DXCC HR. Hope to join the club this winter
> after Aves dxped.
>
Congrats on this Art. I have been chasing DX for many years and still
remember the thrill of every certificate and award. DXing is still the
main thrill for me in ham radio. Good luck on all of your DX ventures. I
am now trying hard to make the Honor Roll on rtty. That is a tough one!!

> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 14.12.2003 13:58 UTC
>
> "Life is a mystery to be lived, not a problem to be solved." (Van Kaam)
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12ciahsf6/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1071497446/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=
4116732>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

761. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 15:26:12 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 708 Message: 761

In the long run... yes you are probably right.
I haven't used it yet so I don't know.

I have heard from a couple of other guys now who have had the same problems
as me though.

On 13 Dec 2003 at 5:30, Tom Horton wrote:

> Brian,
> To me LOTW is MUCH easier in the long run than e-qsl. Plus,
> these confirmations will count for something.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
>
>
>
> At 03:56 12/13/03, you wrote:
>
>
> >Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> >N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> >Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> > > Sent: Friday, December 12, 2003 9:13 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: "1 million QSLs" ???
> > >
> > >
> > > On 12 Dec 2003 at 18:37, rojomn wrote:
> > >
> > > > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > > > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > > > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> > >
> > > I had one of those but the wheels fell off it!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >The modismo "Idiom in english" means
> >
> >"Strike while the iron is hot"
> >
> >
> >

> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
> "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
> Williamstown, WV

762. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 15:26:13 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 750 Message: 762

Maybe it will eventually catch on like eQSL.cc

I have received a fairly HIGH rate of confirmations on eQSL.
Hundreds of them in fact. I am a casual op too!

But I also work a lot of digital mode contacts who are
computer-literate and use such things a lot!

On 13 Dec 2003 at 20:40, Carl Smidt wrote:

> I think that it will be a long time before LoTW will replace the need
> to collect QSL cards. Having been an active participant since
> September 15 and having uploaded most of my recent QSO records, now in
> excess of 6,500, the QSL record stands at only 92, pretty dismal
> considering that I have over a thousand QSL cards in my files.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
> All outgoing e-mails checked by
> Norton 2004 Anti-Virus program.

763. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 15:26:13 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 750 Message: 763

On 14 Dec 2003 at 4:51, Tom Horton wrote:

> I wish there was an easier way for them to get involved.

I see that postcard step as the largest obstacle!!
A lot of guys are going to lose interest after the first postcard
fails to arrive.

764. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 19:16:21 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 750 Message: 764

Brian,
I cannot imagine what happened to your cards. Most of us have received
ours in a very timely manner. mine was received in 2-3- days at the opening
of LoTW.
I had a problem and they had to issue me another certificate and it took
no time at
all to get straightened out.
Sorry that your experience has been a bad one. However, I'm sure it is a
very isolated
incident.
73, Tom K5IID

At 15:26 12/14/03, you wrote:
>On 14 Dec 2003 at 4:51, Tom Horton wrote:
>
> > I wish there was an easier way for them to get involved.
>
>I see that postcard step as the largest obstacle!!
>A lot of guys are going to lose interest after the first postcard
>fails to arrive.
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

765. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-14 21:36:18 UTC
From: Rick Prather
Topic: 750 Message: 765

I had a long wait for the postcard but it turned out to be a
transposition problem either by me or the FCC on my address.

After getting very impatient and discouraged I found out that my FCC
address was 718 12th street instead of the proper 712 18th street. So,
I figure my problems were probably self-inflicted!

Rick K6LE, ex KB9E

On Dec 14, 2003, at 11:16 AM, Tom Horton wrote:

> Brian,
> I cannot imagine what happened to your cards. Most of us have
> received ours in a very timely manner. mine was received in 2-3- days
> at the opening of LoTW.
> I had a problem and they had to issue me another certificate and it
> took no time at
> all to get straightened out.
> Sorry that your experience has been a bad one. However, I'm sure it
> is a very isolated
> incident.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
>
>
>
> At 15:26 12/14/03, you wrote:
>
> On 14 Dec 2003 at 4:51, Tom Horton wrote:
>
> > I wish there was an easier way for them to get involved.
>
> I see that postcard step as the largest obstacle!!
> A lot of guys are going to lose interest after the first postcard
> fails to arrive.

766. T32WW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-15 01:44:15 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 766 Message: 766

The T32WW DXpedition logs from last month are now posted to LoTW.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

767. just updated

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-15 20:57:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 767 Message: 767

YO3APJ contest logs updated to LoTW including 2003 CQWW CW.
Printed QSL cards still available of course via W9OL.
USA---sase
NonUSA---sae/irc
Bureau---returned via bureau

But LoTW is the preferred method.

My hockey mom can beat up your soccer mom.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

768. QSL,s are working here

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-16 00:50:25 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 768 Message: 768

To the many that said that they are not getting QSL,s on
LoTW. I have submited 19000 QSO from my logs that
start in 1997, and have over 2500 QSL on LoTW, with more
coming in weekly.
Now if I only could claim some of them, it would be nice.

Vince, K6BIR

769. DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-16 20:48:43 UTC
From: k5ww@wcsonline.net
Topic: 769 Message: 769

Has anyone else received a confirmation from T32ZM that says "DXCC = NONE"?

I wonder how that happened. I thought you couldn't even apply without indicating your DXCC?

73,

Gert - K5WW

770. LoTW getting popular?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-16 21:10:22 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 770 Message: 770

Yesterday, I uploaded my 10 Meter Contest logs, and about 20 minutes ago, uploaded our M/S Sweepstakes SSB log.

The SS log was 1797 QSO's - had 238 QSL's within minutes.

The 10 Meter log was 850 QSO's - have 73 QSL's at the moment.

Total QSO records uploaded from various calls = 97,353
Total QSL records = 4,116

Uploaded QSO's only go back to 1991 (including DXCC QSO's back to 1976). QSO's from 1976 through 1990 are still on paper, and not sure I want to go back and enter all those into the computer!

I'd say folks are using LoTW quite a bit ... BUT, that use seems to be limited to a small number so far.

Happy Holidays.

Ron, WD4AHZ

771. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-16 21:51:58 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 769 Message: 771

> Has anyone else received a confirmation from T32ZM that says
> "DXCC = NONE"?

Yes ...

> I wonder how that happened. I thought you couldn't even apply without
> indicating your DXCC?

The person who uploaded those logs probably set up the location
information incorrectly (like DL2FAG who insists he's in "deleted"
Germany, CQ Zone 28 and ITU Zone 14!).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

772. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW getting popular?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-16 22:02:07 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 770 Message: 772

> QSO's from 1976 through 1990 are still on paper, and not
> sure I want to go back and enter all those into the computer!

I've been slowly converting my 1977-1985 contest logs to the computer and uploading them to LotW. At this point, I'm not sure its worth the effort ... the "yield" on those logs is less than 0.1% (fewer than 1 in 1,000 QSOs).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

773. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW getting popular?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 00:26:38 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 770 Message: 773

I have the same feeling. It will not be for LotW but just to have them all n
the PC for my own satisfaction. Luckily I don't have as many as some!
It still is gong to take a LONG time as getting 130 cards an hour would be
doing VERY well. The way some of them are written and the fact that there is
no standard way and that many are going to be wrong anyhow.

The biggest problem is that you have no idea what format they used for date
and time on old cards or even what time zone in may cases.

Don't count on much in the way of QSL for older cards.

I doubt I will ever get to the 18 full log books on paper that are mostly
without QSL cards.

Maybe I should be like IBM and hire it done off shore. Boy it sure was nice
to work for them in the 60-80s frame but stunk from 1989 on.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 4:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; 'Ron Wetjen'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW getting popular?
>
>
>
> > QSO's from 1976 through 1990 are still on paper, and not
> > sure I want to go back and enter all those into the computer!
>
> I've been slowly converting my 1977-1985 contest logs to the
> computer and uploading them to LotW. At this point, I'm not
> sure its worth the effort ... the "yield" on those logs is
> less than 0.1% (fewer than 1 in 1,000 QSOs).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

774. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 02:27:00 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 769 Message: 774

Hello all

Tim, T32ZM is obtaining a new certificate now. FYI the NONE option when asking for a cert is intended for Maritime Mobiles, or Air Mobiles, or those really rare places (are there any left?) that do not fall under a DXCC entity.

DL2FAG and DL2KQ are the only two stations we know of so far that incorrectly obtained certs for deleted Germany. Both certificates have been revoked and we are trying to get them started again so they can re-sign and re-send their logs.

If anyone sees anything funny in their confirmations in the way of a DXCC country being wrong please let me know.

Obviously we have all seen quite a few stations that have entered incorrect zone/grid/IOTA information, and we are contacting as many of those folks as we can. Most of these errors are pretty benign. When the Awards pages get going most of the bad data will not be useable. We will also remove any bad country data that still remains before the Awards section goes live.

We are planning to make the entering of zones/grids/iota in the station location more error-proof in the near future.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 4:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

> Has anyone else received a confirmation from T32ZM that says
> "DXCC = NONE"?

Yes ...

> I wonder how that happened. I thought you couldn't even apply without
> indicating your DXCC?

The person who uploaded those logs probably set up the location
information incorrectly (like DL2FAG who insists he's in "deleted"
Germany, CQ Zone 28 and ITU Zone 14!).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

775. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 03:05:56 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 769 Message: 775

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 8:27 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Hello all

Tim, T32ZM is obtaining a new certificate now. FYI the NONE option when asking for a cert is intended for Maritime Mobiles, or Air Mobiles, or those really rare places (are there any left?) that do not fall under a DXCC entity.

DL2FAG and DL2KQ are the only two stations we know of so far that incorrectly obtained certs for deleted Germany. Both certificates have been revoked and we are trying to get them started again so they can re-sign and re-send their logs.

If anyone sees anything funny in their confirmations in the way of a DXCC country being wrong please let me know.

Obviously we have all seen quite a few stations that have entered incorrect zone/grid/IOTA information, and we are contacting as many of those folks as we can. Most of these errors are pretty benign. When the Awards pages get going most of the bad data will not be useable. We will also remove any bad country data that still remains before the Awards section goes live.

We are planning to make the entering of zones/grids/iota in the station location more error-proof in the near future.

73, Dave, NN1N

What would really be nice is if you were required to enter your Lat / Longitude to the level needed to calculate any needed location information and let the program do the rest. That would be easy and foolproof. There really is no other way to reliably calculate the data. At the very least there should be a calculator provided that converts Lat/Long to this information and then you could enter it.

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2003 4:52 PM

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

> Has anyone else received a confirmation from T32ZM that says
> "DXCC = NONE"?

Yes ...

> I wonder how that happened. I thought you couldn't even apply without
> indicating your DXCC?

The person who uploaded those logs probably set up the location
information incorrectly (like DL2FAG who insists he's in "deleted"
Germany, CQ Zone 28 and ITU Zone 14!).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

776. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 03:24:56 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 769 Message: 776

What would be even nicer is if everyone would snip off all the other messages in their reply . the same person never does this and we have to scroll down thru all the other stuff we have already read to find his remarks. he had six other messages before his remarks last night.
thank you
Tony

777. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 11:47:28 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 769 Message: 777

On 16 Dec 2003 at 16:51, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:

> (like DL2FAG who insists he's in "deleted"
> Germany, CQ Zone 28 and ITU Zone 14!).

What the heck is "deleted" Germany?
Do you mean the old "East Germany" or what?

778. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 12:00:44 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 769 Message: 778

I think Germany Deleted was both East and West Germany. You had 2 countries.
Now you have one and any contact with West Germany or East Germany are now
deleted entities, no?

I think calling it Germany in the list was a big mistake as this confusion
is caused.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Carling [mailto:bcarling@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 5:47 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?
>
>
> On 16 Dec 2003 at 16:51, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
>
> > (like DL2FAG who insists he's in "deleted"
> > Germany, CQ Zone 28 and ITU Zone 14!).
>
> What the heck is "deleted" Germany?
> Do you mean the old "East Germany" or what?
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

779. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC = NONE ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 14:10:26 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 769 Message: 779

> What the heck is "deleted" Germany?
> Do you mean the old "East Germany" or what?

"Deleted" Germany is pre-1972 Germany. In September 1973
East and West Germany were recognized as separate
"countries." Frankly, I was surprised that the "old"
Germany was not reactivated and East/West deleted after
reunification.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

780. P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 18:51:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 780 Message: 780

Ed's logs are on LoTW
Way to go Bruce and ED

When there's a will, I want to be in it.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

781. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 19:35:52 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 780 Message: 781

Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
>Ed's logs are on LoTW
>Way to go Bruce and ED
>
>
>
>--
>When there's a will, I want to be in it.
>--
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

782. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 20:08:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 780 Message: 782

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

> Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
>
> 73
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> >Way to go Bruce and ED
>
The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.

seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
your section as yet???

783. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 20:15:48 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 780 Message: 783

Mine are also there. Did you upload P5/4L4FN or 4L4FN/P5? I pull that
stunt every now and then, hi. The only other things that could be wrong
are date, time, or band in your log. Seems strange indeed.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

FireBrick wrote:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
> >
> > 73
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> >
> The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
>
> seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
> that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
> your section as yet???
>
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

784. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 20:53:41 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 780 Message: 784

Oh well. I'm just thankful for the hard copy, and very appreciative of Ed
and His efforts while there.

At 02:08 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
> >
> > 73
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> >
>The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
>
>seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
>that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
>your section as yet???
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

785. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 20:56:25 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 780 Message: 785

These ARE the same station and OUGHT to be treated that way by LotW in my opinion. This type of thing is going to cause a lot of nightmares and frustration for a lot of people.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 2:16 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> Mine are also there. Did you upload P5/4L4FN or 4L4FN/P5? I pull that
> stunt every now and then, hi. The only other things that could be wrong
> are date, time, or band in your log. Seems strange indeed.
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
> FireBrick wrote:
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
> > >
> > >
> > > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
> > > >
> > > 73
> > > Mike, W5UC
> > > >
> > > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> > > >
> > The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
> > >
> > seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
> > that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to

> > your section as yet???
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

786. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-17 21:18:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 780 Message: 786

I meant to tell you to match your qsl cards call format to the entry in the
log.
If you transposed the portable, that could be the problem.
Not hard to fix,
Just upload the log qso's with the portable changed to LoTW.

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 2:08 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
> >
> > 73
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> >
> The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
>
> seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
> that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
> your section as yet???
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

787. Logbook of the World -> CSV conversion utility

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-18 00:41:20 UTC
From: Jim Reisert AD1C
Topic: 787 Message: 787

I wrote a Logbook of the World (LoTW) to CSV (Excel) conversion utility. Some people in the Yankee Clipper Contest Club were interested in such a utility. Download it from:

http://www.ad1c.com/HamRadio/lotw2csv.zip

The program reads the "lotwreport.adi" file that you can download from the ARRL site and produces a comma-delimited ASCII file that you can read into Excel or other spreadsheet program.

The program is mean to be run in a DOS box under Windows. It will not run in stand-alone DOS.

If you run the program with no arguments, it will attempt to read the input file "logreport.adi" and write the output file "logreport.csv".

C:\tools\lotw2csv <ENTER>

You can also specify an input file name, in which case the output file will have the same name, except with a ".csv" extension, i.e.

C:\tools\lotw2csv ad1c.adi

which will produce the output file "ad1c.csv"

Please send me any comments etc. directly. I do not presently read the ARRL-LOTW reflector.

73 - Jim AD1C

--
Jim Reisert AD1C, 7 Charlemont Court, North Chelmsford, MA 01863
USA +978-251-9933, <jjreisert@...>, http://www.ad1c.com
PGP Fingerprint: D8E2 3D78 339F A7F1 8C13 1193 B5D1 4FB6 79D1 70DC

788. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-18 01:02:35 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 780 Message: 788

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 3:18 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> I meant to tell you to match your qsl cards call format to the
> entry in the
> log.
> If you transposed the portable, that could be the problem.
> Not hard to fix,
> Just upload the log qso's with the portable changed to LoTW.
>
>

We already have to do too many uploads and many are not going to bother. That is not an acceptable solution. Anything that the computer can do better it should do. Unless I really really need that contact myself I certainly not going to bother and most other won't either. Lets see 2 uploads so I get it both ways should do it. Man I hope you have a lot of storage available if everyone stars doing this sort of thing, already needed for station locations and such. This is a really big mess when someone goes mobile on county line!

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 2:08 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
>
>
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
> > >
> > >
> > > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.

> > >
> > > 73
> > > Mike, W5UC
> > >
> > > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> > >
> > The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
> >
> > seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
> > that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
> > your section as yet???
> >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

789. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-18 03:35:05 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 780 Message: 789
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

At 07:02 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:

>Gil, W0MN
><http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron>http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 3:18 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
> >
> >
> > I meant to tell you to match your qsl cards call format to the
> > entry in the
> > log.
> > If you transposed the portable, that could be the problem.
> > Not hard to fix,
> > Just upload the log qso's with the portable changed to LoTW.
> >
> >
>
>We already have to do too many uploads and many are not going to bother.
>That is not an acceptable solution. Anything that the computer can do better
>it should do. Unless I really really need that contact myself I certainly
>not going to bother and most other won't either. Lets see 2 uploads so I get
>it both ways should do it. Man I hope you have a lot of storage available if
>everyone stars doing this sort of thing, already needed for station
>locations and such. This is a really big mess when someone goes mobile on
>county line!
>
>
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 2:08 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
> >
> >
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>

> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2003 1:35 PM
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] P5/4l4fn on LoTW
> > >
> > >
> > > > Interesting. I have hard Copy P5/4L4FN but nothing on LOTW.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > Mike, W5UC
> > > >
> > > > At 12:51 PM 12/17/2003 -0600, you wrote:
> > > > >Ed's logs are on LoTW
> > > > >Way to go Bruce and ED
> > > >
> > > The rules require you burn your hardcopy and wait and work a new P5.
> > >
> > > seriously, can't answer why mine came up and yours didn't except
> > > that Bruce may be uploading the logs piecemeal and he hasn't gotten to
> > > your section as yet???
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > >
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12c9u84qn/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/EXP=1071795999/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4
116730>6cfded01.jpg
>6cfded7a.jpg
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

790. small wish list item

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-21 14:38:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 790 Message: 790

Really a minor type request.
Maybe other LoTW users would like it, maybe not.

As a manager I have a number of certificates
I've uploaded MY entire log also.

But when logging on, I see an aggregate total of qso's/qsl's.
This may not be useful for ANY purpose but I would like to
see a breakdown such as:
Log 1 xxxx qso's, yyyy qsl's
Log 2 etc. etc.

Sure there are more important issues to work on.

Happiness is not a destination. It's the trip.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

791. What is the mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-28 02:19:17 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 791 Message: 791

For a match to confirm a QSL is RTTY and BPSK and QPSK and MT63 and all the other digital keyboard modes considered the same mode or is it not a match. Since for Contest purposes they almost always are the same and I thought ARRL considered them all RTTY they ought to match. Do they? If not, why not?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

792. TYA11 logs now on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2003-12-31 20:24:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 792 Message: 792

TYA11
yep it's Tango Yankee Alpha One One
This is a log of Jacques Bernier from Benin.
It's one of the W4FRU managership logs and it's now online at LoTW.
This logs is for the June 19th, 1981 through June 21st, 1981 only.

I will continue to provide hardcard qsl's.
USA requests require sase.
Non USA requests require a sae/1 IRC or equivalent postage.
All bureau request will be replied via the bureau.

As these were handwritten logs, I tried my best to insure accuracy
but an error in deciphering may have occurred.
If you are NIL. Email me with qso particulars and I will double
check and make corrections if needed.

This log was one of the rescue projects of the QSL MANAGER'S SOCIETY.
Check out the Web Page at www.qsl.net/qslmanagers/

Who is General Failure and why is he reading my hard disk?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

793. LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 00:08:26 UTC
From: k7xm
Topic: 793 Message: 793

I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am not having any luck at all. I have gone to Preference/qso/qso date/ changed to RANGE. I have then used the filter Selection to select the beginning date and ending date for that particular call. All looks good. I then save that log under the call for which I'm working. I then reopen that log only to find my complete log. In other words I have the right name on the log but the data for my complete log for which I'm selecting from. The dates for that log are 26/03/1975 thru today. I'm trying to select 26/03/1975 thru 26/06/1975, for my novice call WN6KCX. What am I doing wrong??? I have a few more to do as well. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Thanks,
K7XM
Hugh

794. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 00:25:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 793 Message: 794

I selected the different calls by using my logbooks selection system.
But...
I may be wrong....but check the date format:
I thought the selection system uses yyyy-mm-dd format.
maybe I'm confusing that.

I asked for 3 different certificates, WN9VOL, WA9VOL, & W9OL.

----- Original Message -----
From: "k7xm" <hphillips@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 6:08 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

> I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
> not having any luck at all. I have gone to Preference/qso/qso date/
> changed to RANGE. I have then used the filter Selection to select
> the beginning date and ending date for that particular call. All
> looks good. I then save that log under the call for which I'm
> working. I then reopen that log only to find my complete log. In
> other words I have the right name on the log but the data for my
> complete log for which I'm selecting from. The dates for that log
> are 26/03/1975 thru today. I'm trying to select 26/03/1975 thru
> 26/06/1975, for my novice call WN6KCX. What am I doing wrong??? I
> have a few more to do as well. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Thanks,
> K7XM
> Hugh
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

795. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 00:46:26 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 793 Message: 795

k7xm wrote:

> I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
> not having any luck at all.

<snip>

> What am I doing wrong???

Do you have a separate certificate for each call?

Ron

796. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 01:11:35 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 793 Message: 796

I have several call on LOTW and no problem. As Ron mentions, you need a separate certificate for each call.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Ron Wetjen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 5:46 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

k7xm wrote:

> I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
> not having any luck at all.

<snip>

> What am I doing wrong???

Do you have a separate certificate for each call?

Ron

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

797. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 01:21:26 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 793 Message: 797

At 07:08 PM 1/3/2004, k7xm wrote:
>I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
>not having any luck at all. I have gone to Preference/qso/qso date/
>changed to RANGE.

I don't see a Preference/QSO menu on TQSL or TQSLCERT, which leads me to assume that you're having problem with your logging software, not with the TQSL applications.
Is that the case? You're trying to export an ADIF log file from your logging application? If so, please let us know what you're using.

>I have then used the filter Selection to select
>the beginning date and ending date for that particular call. All
>looks good. I then save that log under the call for which I'm
>working. I then reopen that log only to find my complete log. In
>other words I have the right name on the log but the data for my
>complete log for which I'm selecting from. The dates for that log
>are 26/03/1975 thru today. I'm trying to select 26/03/1975 thru
>26/06/1975, for my novice call WN6KCX. What am I doing wrong??? I
>have a few more to do as well. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!

For DX4WIN (what I use) - you set up a filter for the date range then invoke the export function. The resulting ADIF file only contains records matching the filter.
Saving the log doesn't obey the filter as far as I know; if you really want a separate log file for just the WN6KCX call, export those QSOs in ADIF then import the ADIF file into an empty log. If you're not using DX4WIN, you'll probably need a different set of steps.
-Rick

798. Need computer expert

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 01:36:59 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 798 Message: 798

Yesterday I wanted to put all my LOTW stuff on a CD but to my dismay my computer suddenly doesn't think it has a CD drive. It actually has a CD ROM and a CD-RW drive but nowhere can either be found.
They both are there, they open and close and the CD ROM worked 2 weeks ago. They are not in any directory including "My Computer" and if I try to play a cd it says "The system can not find the device" (both drives).
What would make the drives "disappear"? More importantly, how can I get them back?
I am running Windows 98 and I have not been in the cabinet.
TIA
Bill W5EC

799. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 02:10:24 UTC
From: Hugh Phillips - K7XM
Topic: 793 Message: 799

No, I was told by ARRL I use my current call for each old call I have. I haven't got as for as converting to send to LOTW log site. I'm still trying to just gather the logs for my former calls.
Thanks
Hugh

-----Original Message-----
From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 5:46 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

k7xm wrote:

> I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
> not having any luck at all.

<snip>

> What am I doing wrong???

Do you have a separate certificate for each call?

Ron

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

800. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 02:25:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 793 Message: 800

The way I understood it, and currently have it working.
You use your CURRENT call to get the first certificate.
Then you apply for and 'SIGN' a new certificate for the other calls you had.

Then when you create your .adi file for each callsign, you use that callsigns certificate to 'Sign' that .adi file for that particular callsign.

Sort of...the first Certificate you apply for (you present callsign) give you the bona vides to request alternate Certificates for your past callsigns.

The problem comes in that ARRL uses the FCC database to confirm that your present callsign was indeed licensed to you.
Your previous callsigns can no longer be vetted as they are no longer in the FCC dbase.
So you use the Certificate that is in the FCC files to apply for other callsign certificates.

Boy I hope I said this correctly....
If not...in the words of Saturday Night Lives' Emily Luttila.."NEVERMIND"!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hugh Phillips - K7XM" <hphillips@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 8:10 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls

> No, I was told by ARRL I use my current call for each old call I have. I
> haven't got as for as converting to send to LOTW log site. I'm still trying
> to just gather the logs for my former calls.
> Thanks
> Hugh
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
> Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 5:46 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW logs for several calls
>
> k7xm wrote:
>
> > I have been trying to create logs for all of my former calls and am
> > not having any luck at all.
>
> <snip>
>
> > What am I doing wrong???
>

> Do you have a separate certificate for each call?
>
> Ron
>
>
> _____
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>

801. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need computer expert

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-04 12:05:17 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 798 Message: 801

Go to the control panel and see if the hardware is found. If it is then do a remove and reboot. It should find it again.
If you cannot find it in Control Panel/System/Device Manager/Hardware then you can try disconnecting the hardware and doing a reboot and then connecting it again and doing another reboot. It should find it and you should be ready. I am assuming that the devices are IDE devices. If they are proprietary connected to sound cards or SCSI then you have to go through the whole install process again. I don't know anyone still using that type of device today though.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Hawkins [mailto:w5ec@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2004 7:37 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Need computer expert

Yesterday I wanted to put all my LOTW stuff on a CD but to my dismay my computer suddenly doesn't think it has a CD drive. It actually has a CD ROM and a CD-RW drive but nowhere can either be found.
They both are there, they open and close and the CD ROM worked 2 weeks ago. They are not in any directory including "My Computer" and if I try to play a cd it says "The system can not find the device" (both drives).
What would make the drives "disappear"? More importantly, how can I get them back?
I am running Windows 98 and I have not been in the cabinet.
TIA
Bill W5EC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

802. Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 18:47:01 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 802 Message: 802

Does anyone besides me find it annoying that in the "Find Call" area of the LoTW web site you can only check one call at a time? Why can't we just see who all has logs entered? A downloadable list would be great.

I've been told that this keeps folks from trolling for busted calls. That doesn't make any sense to me. The "Find Call" area only tells you if a particular station has entered log data. It doesn't tell you what is in the log.

I have literally hundreds of stations that I would be interested in knowing if they have entered a log or not. I could find out if I sat down at the computer for several hours and entered each one individually. So this isn't information that's off limits, its just information that has been made very difficult to get.

The reason this interests me is because I have had two occasions where messages posted here announced that DX stations, that I worked, from the distant past sent logs to LoTW. For these two stations I wasn't in the log as it was initially entered. If someone had not taken the time to post those messages I would have never known that I needed to get in touch with the other station to make corrections. Both of them turned out to be old paper logs that had been reconstructed (with a few typos).

It sure would be easier to get info for who has sent in a log from LoTW than it would be to watch for "Announcements" on all of the discussion groups.

Bob K8KWT

803. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 18:51:04 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 802 Message: 803

I was told that this was being considered as a future enhancement.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Daniels
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 11:47 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Does anyone besides me find it annoying that in the "Find Call" area
of the LoTW web site you can only check one call at a time? Why
can't we just see who all has logs entered? A downloadable list
would be great.

I've been told that this keeps folks from trolling for busted calls.
That doesn't make any sense to me. The "Find Call" area only tells
you if a particular station has entered log data. It doesn't tell
you what is in the log.

I have literally hundreds of stations that I would be interested in
knowing if they have entered a log or not. I could find out if I sat
down at the computer for several hours and entered each one
individually. So this isn't information that's off limits, its just
information that has been made very difficult to get.

The reason this interests me is because I have had two occasions
where messages posted here announced that DX stations, that I worked,
from the distant past sent logs to LoTW. For these two stations I
wasn't in the log as it was initially entered. If someone had not
taken the time to post those messages I would have never known that I
needed to get in touch with the other station to make corrections.
Both of them turned out to be old paper logs that had been
reconstructed (with a few typos).

It sure would be easier to get info for who has sent in a log from
LoTW than it would be to watch for "Announcements" on all of the
discussion groups.

Bob K8KWT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

804. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 18:56:55 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 802 Message: 804

Bob,
I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort according to
callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
Good luck.
73, Tom K5IID

At 18:47 01/08/04, you wrote:
>Does anyone besides me find it annoying that in the "Find Call" area
>of the LoTW web site you can only check one call at a time? Why
>can't we just see who all has logs entered? A downloadable list
>would be great.
>
>I've been told that this keeps folks from trolling for busted calls.
>That doesn't make any sense to me. The "Find Call" area only tells
>you if a particular station has entered log data. It doesn't tell
>you what is in the log.
>
>I have literally hundreds of stations that I would be interested in
>knowing if they have entered a log or not. I could find out if I sat
>down at the computer for several hours and entered each one
>individually. So this isn't information that's off limits, its just
>information that has been made very difficult to get.
>
>The reason this interests me is because I have had two occasions
>where messages posted here announced that DX stations, that I worked,
>from the distant past sent logs to LoTW. For these two stations I
>wasn't in the log as it was initially entered. If someone had not
>taken the time to post those messages I would have never known that I
>needed to get in touch with the other station to make corrections.
>Both of them turned out to be old paper logs that had been
>reconstructed (with a few typos).
>
>It sure would be easier to get info for who has sent in a log from
>LoTW than it would be to watch for "Announcements" on all of the
>discussion groups.
>
>Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

805. A4XYS is uploaded to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 19:37:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 805 Message: 805

A4XYS logs for Sept. 1983 through March 1984
have been uploaded to the LoTW.
I'm still working on some of them.
I will continue to provide hardcard qsls upon
request.
USA only require a sase.
Non USA only require a sae, 1 IRC is sufficient.
All bureau requests will be replied via the bureau.

If you feel that you have a qso that is not included
in the LoTW log, feel free to email me with particulars
and I will re-examine the log.

If everything seems to be going well, you have obviously overlooked something.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

806. Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 20:52:08 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 802 Message: 806

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> Bob,
> I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort according to
> callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
> Good luck.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>

Tom
Thanks for the reply. I am aware that I can download all of my QSL's from the LoTW web site and sort them any way I like. In this case it isn't my QSL's I'm interested in. (Well okay I am interested in my QSL's, but indirectly in this case.).

What I would like to know is the Call Signs for all of the logs submitted to LoTW. You can get this info from the "Find Call" area in the LoTW web site. My problem is you can only get this info one call at a time.

Currently I have thousands of QSO's entered into LoTW that have not been matched, so there is no QSL. So in the future if one of those stations uploads his log I should automatically get a QSL. But what if there was a logging error like I described before. I wouldn't get the QSL. Heck I wouldn't even know he uploaded his log unless some kind soul made an "Announcement" on the message board or I just happened to pick that one call out of thousands to try in the "Find Call" area.

Glad to hear from Gerry VE6LB that he heard that this was being considered as a future enhancement.

73's
Bob

807. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 21:30:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 802 Message: 807

I'm not sure why you would want to do this.
Just upload your log. If the other person uploaded his, and you have a valid qso, it will show up.
If it's posted that a log was uploaded and your qso doesn't show, then email/send a qsl card with a note explaining.

By making it one at a time, it makes it more tedious to troll.

Now I've made a couple of posts about my uploading some logs I manage qsl's for.
I've as yet to received a single email asking me to check the log at a time/date to see if I misread/typoed.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 2:52 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > Bob,
> > I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort
> according to
> > callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
> > Good luck.
> > 73, Tom K5IID
> >
>
>
> Tom
> Thanks for the reply. I am aware that I can download all of my QSL's
> from the LoTW web site and sort them any way I like. In this case it
> isn't my QSL's I'm interested in. (Well okay I am interested in my
> QSL's, but indirectly in this case.).
>
> What I would like to know is the Call Signs for all of the logs
> submitted to LoTW. You can get this info from the "Find Call" area
> in the LoTW web site. My problem is you can only get this info one
> call at a time.
>
> Currently I have thousands of QSO's entered into LoTW that have not
> been matched, so there is no QSL. So in the future if one of those
> stations uploads his log I should automatically get a QSL. But what
> if there was a logging error like I described before. I wouldn't get
> the QSL. Heck I wouldn't even know he uploaded his log unless some
> kind soul made an "Announcement" on the message board or I just

> happened to pick that one call out of thousands to try in the "Find
> Call" area.
>
> Glad to hear from Gerry VE6LB that he heard that this was being
> considered as a future enhancement.
>
> 73's
> Bob
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

808. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 22:56:52 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 802 Message: 808

I have to agree here. There will be no errors in the vast majority of cases since the vast majority of operations that are going to be in demand are using computerized logs, not paper. That means that a typo is not possible since it can't be verified. hat is in the computerized log is it, right or wrong.

OTOH since this does not allow for trolling it would be a nice function to have but I would hope that they work on award and contest functions first. If these don't happen AND SOON, then LotW will die and will deserve to die.

If the major awards are not supported within the next 3 months, or at the very lease a hard date for support given, it is going to be the begging in of the end it seems to me.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 3:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find
> Call" area?
>
>
> I'm not sure why you would want to do this.
> Just upload your log. If the other person uploaded his, and you
> have a valid qso, it will
> show up.
> If it's posted that a log was uploaded and your qso doesn't show,
> then email/send a qsl
> card with a note explaining.
>
> By making it one at a time, it makes it more tedious to troll.
>
> Now I've made a couple of posts about my uploading some logs I
> manage qsl's for.
> I've as yet to received a single email asking me to check the log
> at a time/date to see if
> I misread/typoed.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 2:52 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW
> "Find Call" area?
>
>
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > > Bob,
> > > I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort
> > according to
> > > callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
> > > Good luck.
> > > 73, Tom K5IID
> > >
> >
> >
> > Tom
> > Thanks for the reply. I am aware that I can download all of my QSL's
> > from the LoTW web site and sort them any way I like. In this case it
> > isn't my QSL's I'm interested in. (Well okay I am interested in my
> > QSL's, but indirectly in this case.).
> >
> > What I would like to know is the Call Signs for all of the logs
> > submitted to LoTW. You can get this info from the "Find Call" area
> > in the LoTW web site. My problem is you can only get this info one
> > call at a time.
> >
> > Currently I have thousands of QSO's entered into LoTW that have not
> > been matched, so there is no QSL. So in the future if one of those
> > stations uploads his log I should automatically get a QSL. But what
> > if there was a logging error like I described before. I wouldn't get
> > the QSL. Heck I wouldn't even know he uploaded his log unless some
> > kind soul made an "Announcement" on the message board or I just
> > happened to pick that one call out of thousands to try in the "Find
> > Call" area.
> >
> > Glad to hear from Gerry VE6LB that he heard that this was being
> > considered as a future enhancement.
> >
> > 73's
> > Bob
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:

> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

809. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 23:25:07 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 802 Message: 809

It would be of interest to know who LOTW users are.

From appearances, it's heavily weighted to US and Canadian contesters.

One purpose I can see for having a list of user available is for the fellows that don't computer log. I know of several folk that still paper log and intend to continue to do so. They would use LOTW for those Qs that are likely in the data base (calls listed) and they would upload small batches of their Qs created manually thought TQSL.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 3:56 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

I have to agree here. There will be no errors in the vast majority of cases since the vast majority of operations that are going to be in demand are using computerized logs, not paper. That means that a typo is not possible since it can't be verified. hat is in the computerized log is it, right or wrong.

OTOH since this does not allow for trolling it would be a nice function to have but I would hope that they work on award and contest functions first. If these don't happen AND SOON, then LotW will die and will deserve to die.

If the major awards are not supported within the next 3 months, or at the very lease a hard date for support given, it is going to be the begging in of the end it seems to me.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 3:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find
> Call" area?
>

> I'm not sure why you would want to do this.
> Just upload your log. If the other person uploaded his, and you
> have a valid qso, it will
> show up.
> If it's posted that a log was uploaded and your qso doesn't show,
> then email/send a qsl
> card with a note explaining.
>
> By making it one at a time, it makes it more tedious to troll.
>
> Now I've made a couple of posts about my uploading some logs I
> manage qsl's for.
> I've as yet to received a single email asking me to check the log
> at a time/date to see if
> I misread/typoed.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 2:52 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW
> "Find Call" area?
>
>
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > > Bob,
> > > I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort
> > according to
> > > callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
> > > Good luck.
> > > 73, Tom K5IID
> > >
> >
> >
> > Tom
> > Thanks for the reply. I am aware that I can download all of my QSL's
> > from the LoTW web site and sort them any way I like. In this case it
> > isn't my QSL's I'm interested in. (Well okay I am interested in my
> > QSL's, but indirectly in this case.).
> >
> > What I would like to know is the Call Signs for all of the logs
> > submitted to LoTW. You can get this info from the "Find Call" area
> > in the LoTW web site. My problem is you can only get this info one
> > call at a time.
> >
> > Currently I have thousands of QSO's entered into LoTW that have not
> > been matched, so there is no QSL. So in the future if one of those

> > stations uploads his log I should automatically get a QSL. But what
> > if there was a logging error like I described before. I wouldn't get
> > the QSL. Heck I wouldn't even know he uploaded his log unless some
> > kind soul made an "Announcement" on the message board or I just
> > happened to pick that one call out of thousands to try in the "Find
> > Call" area.
> >
> > Glad to hear from Gerry VE6LB that he heard that this was being
> > considered as a future enhancement.
> >
> > 73's
> > Bob
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

810. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 23:53:23 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 802 Message: 810

Hi:
You seem to miss the point of Bob's request.

He is not looking for the matched QSO, but rather WHO has uploaded logs to LOTW.
He already knows who has uploaded logs that cause matching QSO's.

What Bob wants is a list of ALL CALLSIGN that are registered (have active certificates)
with LOTW so he can check his log for NON-MATCHING QSO's.
I.E. those that he has in HIS UPLOADED log and CALLSIGNS that have UPLOADED to
LOTW THAT didn't RESULT IN A MATCH.

Bob can then contact the station in question and try to resolve the mismatch.

THIS IS NOT a TROLL !!!!

It would also be nice to see the Time/Date of their LAST UPLOADS. Just incase4 they
are doing their logs on a one at a time basis !!!

THIS STILL IS NOT A TROLL !!!!

73
Bob, K1VU /ex:WA1OFR

At 13:56 1/8/2004 , you wrote:
>Bob,
> I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort according to
>callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
>Good luck.
>73, Tom K5IID
>
>
>
>At 18:47 01/08/04, you wrote:
>>Does anyone besides me find it annoying that in the "Find Call" area
>>of the LoTW web site you can only check one call at a time? Why
>>can't we just see who all has logs entered? A downloadable list
>>would be great.
>>
>>I've been told that this keeps folks from trolling for busted calls.
>>That doesn't make any sense to me. The "Find Call" area only tells
>>you if a particular station has entered log data. It doesn't tell
>>you what is in the log.
>>
>>I have literally hundreds of stations that I would be interested in
>>knowing if they have entered a log or not. I could find out if I sat
>>down at the computer for several hours and entered each one
>>individually. So this isn't information that's off limits, its just

>>information that has been made very difficult to get.
>>
>>The reason this interests me is because I have had two occasions
>>where messages posted here announced that DX stations, that I worked,
>>from the distant past sent logs to LoTW. For these two stations I
>>wasn't in the log as it was initially entered. If someone had not
>>taken the time to post those messages I would have never known that I
>>needed to get in touch with the other station to make corrections.
>>Both of them turned out to be old paper logs that had been
>>reconstructed (with a few typos).
>>
>>It sure would be easier to get info for who has sent in a log from
>>LoTW than it would be to watch for "Announcements" on all of the
>>discussion groups.
>>
>>Bob K8KWT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
>> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.
>
>"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
>Williamstown, WV

811. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-08 23:46:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 802 Message: 811

I hope that is not the truth. If it is then the usefulness is greatly diminished to the point of why bother. LotW MUST be for the majority of DX operations or it is worthless.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 5:25 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

It would be of interest to know who LOTW users are.

From appearances, it's heavily weighted to US and Canadian contesters.

One purpose I can see for having a list of user available is for the fellows that don't computer log. I know of several folk that still paper log and intend to continue to do so. They would use LOTW for those Qs that are likely in the data base (calls listed) and they would upload small batches of their Qs created manually thought TQSL.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 3:56 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

I have to agree here. There will be no errors in the vast majority of cases
since the vast majority of operations that are going to be in demand are using computerized logs, not paper. That means that a typo is not possible
since it can't be verified. hat is in the computerized log is it, right or

wrong.

OTOH since this does not allow for trolling it would be a nice function
to
have but I would hope that they work on award and contest functions
first.
If these don't happen AND SOON, then LotW will die and will deserve to
die.

If the major awards are not supported within the next 3 months, or at
the
very lease a hard date for support given, it is going to be the begging
in
of the end it seems to me.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 3:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find
> Call" area?
>
>
> I'm not sure why you would want to do this.
> Just upload your log. If the other person uploaded his, and you
> have a valid qso, it will
> show up.
> If it's posted that a log was uploaded and your qso doesn't show,
> then email/send a qsl
> card with a note explaining.
>
> By making it one at a time, it makes it more tedious to troll.
>
> Now I've made a couple of posts about my uploading some logs I
> manage qsl's for.
> I've as yet to received a single email asking me to check the log
> at a time/date to see if
> I misread/typoed.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 2:52 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW
> "Find Call" area?

>
>
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@n...> wrote:
> > > Bob,
> > > I can download the ADIF file into my logging program then sort
> > according to
> > > callsign. That seems to give me what you need...hi.
> > > Good luck.
> > > 73, Tom K5IID
> > >
> >
> >
> > Tom
> > Thanks for the reply. I am aware that I can download all of my
QSL's
> > from the LoTW web site and sort them any way I like. In this case
it
> > isn't my QSL's I'm interested in. (Well okay I am interested in my
> > QSL's, but indirectly in this case.).
> >
> > What I would like to know is the Call Signs for all of the logs
> > submitted to LoTW. You can get this info from the "Find Call" area
> > in the LoTW web site. My problem is you can only get this info one
> > call at a time.
> >
> > Currently I have thousands of QSO's entered into LoTW that have not
> > been matched, so there is no QSL. So in the future if one of those
> > stations uploads his log I should automatically get a QSL. But what
> > if there was a logging error like I described before. I wouldn't
get
> > the QSL. Heck I wouldn't even know he uploaded his log unless some
> > kind soul made an "Announcement" on the message board or I just
> > happened to pick that one call out of thousands to try in the "Find
> > Call" area.
> >
> > Glad to hear from Gerry VE6LB that he heard that this was being
> > considered as a future enhancement.
> >
> > 73's
> > Bob
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >

> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

812. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 00:37:58 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 802 Message: 812

rojomn wrote:

> I hope that is not the truth. If it is then the usefulness is greatly
> diminished to the point of why bother. LotW MUST be for the majority of
> DX operations or it is worthless.

Why? Don't remember reading that LoTW is strictly for DXers.

I though LoTW is for anyone to use ... DX'ers, ragchewers, contesters, etc. Right now, the majority of users (or those who generate the most numbers) appear to be contesters who upload their logs shortly after a contest. What's wrong with that? If that's not correct, I simply won't upload anymore logs, since I don't want to diminish LoTW's usefulness for the rest of you.

73, Ron WD4AHZ

101,969 QSO's uploaded
4,898 QSL's

813. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 01:56:50 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 802 Message: 813

Nobody says you should not use the LotW. What I am saying is that the majority are as you say are contesters but the main need is for DX. The reason that many are from Contesters is that all or nearly all use logging programs in the contest and that makes it easy to upload. The real need and the main reason it was created was to handle QSL from DX fest and cheap. Uploading your contest log is a very good idea too IF the contest robot becomes active and if you did not have to upload twice (what a ridiculous situation) and if DXers upload all the expeditions. that would be the big plus. It would also be good if all DX stations would upload logs. If the majority of entities do not do this and if contests and awards are not made easy then I just think it will get less use and die.
The more the merrier. Do not stop uploading but if using it for credit does not happen soon what have you gained, nothing.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2004 6:38 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find
> Call" area?
>
>
> rojomn wrote:
>
> > I hope that is not the truth. If it is then the usefulness is greatly
> > diminished to the point of why bother. LotW MUST be for the majority of
> > DX operations or it is worthless.
>
> Why? Don't remember reading that LoTW is strictly for DXers.
>
> I though LoTW is for anyone to use ... DX'ers, ragchewers,
> contesters, etc. Right now,
> the majority of users (or those who generate the most numbers)
> appear to be contesters who
> upload their logs shortly after a contest. What's wrong with
> that? If that's not
> correct, I simply won't upload anymore logs, since I don't want
> to diminish LoTW's
> usefulness for the rest of you.
>

> 73, Ron WD4AHZ
>
> 101,969 QSO's uploaded
> 4,898 QSL's
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

814. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 02:48:41 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 802 Message: 814

rojomn wrote:

> if DXers upload all the expeditions. that would be the big plus.

Ahhh ... and why don't they? Could it be because if they give away a "FREE" QSL on LoTW, no one will need to send them money for a postcard to help fund their exotic trip? That's one, of several, reasons I've given up the chase for "wallpaper". I don't feel I should have to pay for someone else's "fun". A postcard is just not that important to me. Give me that credit on LoTW, and I'll be a happy camper!

Yes, it would be nice if everyone would upload their logs, but it's not going to happen. I imagine some folks still paper log, and some newer hams don't keep a log at all, since it's no longer required! (Ever get a QSL or try getting a QSL from one of these?)

> If the majority of entities do not do this and if contests and awards
> are not made easy then I just think it will get less use and die.
> The more the merrier. Do not stop uploading but if using it for credit does
> not happen soon what have you gained, nothing.

As others have already said, it WOULD be big plus if/when the ARRL gets their awards programs tied into LoTW. Then it would make it worthwhile to upload any kind of logs. Maybe that'll help. I realize LoTW is still a work in progress and am patient enough to wait until all of it's features are ready to be utilized. I also agree, the sooner they are, the better.

Ron, WD4AHZ

815. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call"area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 03:19:21 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 815 Message: 815

Yes, that is the problem or at least many think so. Itwould be interestingto know the real tory offinance. I think very little is from green tamps for the majors. I think a trip to a nice acation spot that is neat but way downthe most wanted is perhaps one that should inance itself. OTOH Those may count on green stamps, but I doubt they get that many?
Gil WOMN (PDA Mail)
Hierro Candente Batir de Repente
http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron

Ron Wetjen <wd4ahz@...>
was written successfully
> rojomn wrote:
>
> > if DXers upload all the expeditions. that would be the big plus.
>
> Ahhh ... and why don't they? Could it be because if they give away a "FREE" QSL on LoTW,
> no one will need to send them money for a postcard to help fund their exotic trip? That's
> one, of several, reasons I've given up the chase for "wallpaper". I don't feel I should
> have to pay for someone else's "fun". A postcard is just not that important to me. Give
> me that credit on LoTW, and I'll be a happy camper!
>
> Yes, it would be nice if everyone would upload their logs, but it's not going to happen.
> I imagine some folks still paper log, and some newer hams don't keep a log at all, since
> it's no longer required! (Ever get a QSL or try getting a QSL from one of these?)
>
> > If the majority of entities do not do this and if contests and awards
> > are not made easy then I just think it will get less use and die.
> > The more the merrier. Do not stop uploading but if using it for credit does
> > not happen soon what have you gained, nothing.
>
> As others have already said, it WOULD be big plus if/when the ARRL gets their awards
> programs tied into LoTW. Then it would make it worthwhile to upload any kind of logs.
> Maybe that'll help. I realize LoTW is still a work in progress and am patient enough to

> wait until all of it's features are ready to be utilized. I also agree,
the sooner they
> are, the better.
>
> Ron, WD4AHZ
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

816. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 07:49:16 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 802 Message: 816

At 07:37 PM 1/8/04 -0500, Ron Wetjen wrote:

>rojomn wrote:
>
> > I hope that is not the truth. If it is then the usefulness is greatly
> > diminished to the point of why bother. LotW MUST be for the majority of
> > DX operations or it is worthless.
>
>Why? Don't remember reading that LoTW is strictly for DXers.
>
>I though LoTW is for anyone to use ... DX'ers, ragchewers, contesters,
>etc. Right now,
>the majority of users (or those who generate the most numbers) appear to
>be contesters who
>upload their logs shortly after a contest. What's wrong with that? If
>that's not
>correct, I simply won't upload anymore logs, since I don't want to
>diminish LoTW's
>usefulness for the rest of you.

This thread began as I was working my way through the latest box from the bureau, and just for fun, I decided to look and see how many of the obvious DXpedition QSLs were also reflected in LOTW. I was blown away -- virtually all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:

T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW, 8Q7IJ, VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.

The perception that participation is mainly W/VE, and that DXpeditions won't play, clearly is not borne out by this small sample. I suspect that the pattern that will emerge is that even the major high-cost dxpeditions will participate, but with a delay so that those who just have to have their QSLs NOW can be induced to contribute.

73, Pete N4ZR
LOTW/DXCC 90 confirmed
75,827 QSO records
3,261 QSL records

817. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 11:54:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 817 Message: 817

>
> This thread began as I was working my way through the latest box from the
> bureau, and just for fun, I decided to look and see how many of the obvious
> DXpedition QSLs were also reflected in LOTW. I was blown away -- virtually
> all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
> DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
>
> T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW, 8Q7IJ,
> VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.
>
> The perception that participation is mainly W/VE, and that DXpeditions
> won't play, clearly is not borne out by this small sample. I suspect that
> the pattern that will emerge is that even the major high-cost dxpeditions
> will participate, but with a delay so that those who just have to have
> their QSLs NOW can be induced to contribute.
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> LOTW/DXCC 90 confirmed
> 75,827 QSO records
> 3,261 QSL records

As they say on the cluster BINGO! You hit the nail on the head Pete.
I've noticed the same thing.
The group that went to Kiribati last month had their logs on LoTW practically the day they returned.
(btw: I sent them a donation anyway)

I've noticed much greater response from NonUSA hams lately.

I really love the 'doom sayers' and 'prognosticators' who demand results now.
It took almost 40 years of hamming before I got my logs onto LoTW.
I guess I can wait a year more before all features are implemented.
And if it takes longer...well I guess I'll just wait a bit longer.

Sheesh. "I want it my way, and I want it yesterday". Probably the same sort that didn't send a donation to the anti BPL fund either.

818. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 12:13:27 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 802 Message: 818

At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
>
>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW,
>8Q7IJ,
>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.

I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of the callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I look them up in LoTW.
Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you have confirmations from those calls?

For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of them are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a log, I haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's something not right here.

>The perception that participation is mainly W/VE, and that DXpeditions
>won't play, clearly is not borne out by this small sample. I suspect
>that
>the pattern that will emerge is that even the major high-cost dxpeditions
>will participate, but with a delay so that those who just have to have
>their QSLs NOW can be induced to contribute.

That's quite correct - for me, there's YA5MM, FO0AAA (Clipperton), and VK0IR (Heard) among the interesting DXCC entities that I have LoTW QSLs from. QSLs are slowly arriving, but that's understandable given the level of effort necessary for a non-US ham to get a certificate for the system.
-Rick

819. QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 13:12:23 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 802 Message: 819

At 07:13 AM 1/9/04 -0500, K1MU wrote:

>At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
>>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
>>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
>>
>>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW, 8Q7IJ,
>>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.
>
>I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of the
>callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I look
>them up in LoTW.
>Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you have
>confirmations from those calls?
>
>For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of them
>are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a log, I
>haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's something not
>right here.

I don't know when all those logs were uploaded, but it was before early December, because I just went back in my QSLs chronologically that far. All I did was type the callsign in the call field on the lookup form. Of course, unless YOU have a matching QSO, nothing will come up.

I just tried a search on d68c, after the chronological QSLs search, and had nothing come up! So then I used the clear form button on the lookup form, re-entered d68c and up they all came. It looks to me as if the chronological search for QSLs is setting some variables that need to be cleared manually before a single call-sign search works.

Maybe this is what is happening to you(?)

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

820. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 13:25:03 UTC
From: Chuck Kraly
Topic: 802 Message: 820

Could it be that the query is showing the ones in YOUR log??? I have
numerous qso's with D68C for example, but the LoTW doesn't show them
uploaded yet.
Likewise, during BETA testing I showed VP8THU confirmed, but they haven't
uploaded the logs yet "for real".
Chuck/K0XM

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
To: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 7:12 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

> At 07:13 AM 1/9/04 -0500, K1MU wrote:
>
> >At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
> >>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
> >>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
> >>
> >>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW,
8Q7IJ,
> >>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.
> >
> >I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of the
> >callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I look
> >them up in LoTW.
> >Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you have
> >confirmations from those calls?
> >
> >For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of them
> >are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a log, I
> >haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's something not
> >right here.
>
> I don't know when all those logs were uploaded, but it was before early
> December, because I just went back in my QSLs chronologically that
> far. All I did was type the callsign in the call field on the lookup
> form. Of course, unless YOU have a matching QSO, nothing will come up.
>
> I just tried a search on d68c, after the chronological QSLs search, and
> had nothing come up! So then I used the clear form button on the lookup
> form, re-entered d68c and up they all came. It looks to me as if the
> chronological search for QSLs is setting some variables that need to be
> cleared manually before a single call-sign search works.
>

> Maybe this is what is happening to you(?)
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

821. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 14:06:13 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 817 Message: 821

Pete,

My math from your numbers is 90 DX out of 3261 QSL Records =2.76% DX. That, to me, appears heavily weighted to W/VE usage.

I certainly believe that over time more DX stations will become users. Also some Expeditions and DX contesters are coming on board which is great.

It's nice to see the upcoming 3B9 Expedition trying real time LOTW and hoping they get financial support without the "few buck with the card" method. Should this contribution effort fail, it will not encourage other Expedition to do the same.

Personally, I think the LOTW is a great tool and off to a good start. There simply need to be encouragements for DX stations and Expeditions to get on the band wagon.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Pete Smith
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 12:49 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

At 07:37 PM 1/8/04 -0500, Ron Wetjen wrote:

>rojomn wrote:
>
> > I hope that is not the truth. If it is then the usefulness is greatly
> > diminished to the point of why bother. LotW MUST be for the majority of
> > DX operations or it is worthless.
>
>Why? Don't remember reading that LoTW is strictly for DXers.
>
>I though LoTW is for anyone to use ... DX'ers, ragchewers, contesters,
>etc. Right now,
>the majority of users (or those who generate the most numbers) appear to
>be contesters who
>upload their logs shortly after a contest. What's wrong with that? If
>that's not
>correct, I simply won't upload anymore logs, since I don't want to
>diminish LoTW's

>usefulness for the rest of you.

This thread began as I was working my way through the latest box from the bureau, and just for fun, I decided to look and see how many of the obvious DXpedition QSLs were also reflected in LOTW. I was blown away -- virtually all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:

T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW, 8Q7IJ, VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.

The perception that participation is mainly W/VE, and that DXpeditions won't play, clearly is not borne out by this small sample. I suspect that the pattern that will emerge is that even the major high-cost dxpeditions will participate, but with a delay so that those who just have to have their QSLs NOW can be induced to contribute.

73, Pete N4ZR
LOTW/DXCC 90 confirmed
75,827 QSO records
3,261 QSL records

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

822. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 14:09:26 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 817 Message: 822

Rick,

As an Non-US ham, I found the certification process just fine. Send a copy of my license and piece of picture ID by mail and had a my electronic certificate by email in about 10 days.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: Pete Smith ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 5:13 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
>
>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW,
>8Q7IJ,
>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.

I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of the callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I look them up in LoTW.
Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you have confirmations from those calls?

For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of them are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a log, I haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's something not right here.

>The perception that participation is mainly W/VE, and that DXpeditions
>won't play, clearly is not borne out by this small sample. I suspect
>that
>the pattern that will emerge is that even the major high-cost dxpeditions
>will participate, but with a delay so that those who just have to have
>their QSLs NOW can be induced to contribute.

That's quite correct - for me, there's YA5MM, FO0AAA (Clipperton), and VK0IR (Heard) among the interesting DXCC entities that I have LoTW QSLs from. QSLs are slowly arriving, but that's understandable given the level of effort necessary for a non-US ham to get a certificate for the system.
-Rick

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

823. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 14:15:12 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 817 Message: 823

At 07:06 AM 1/9/04 -0700, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>My math from your numbers is 90 DX out of 3261 QSL Records =2.76% DX.
>That, to me, appears heavily weighted to W/VE usage.

Probably not THAT heavy a tilt. That was 90 DXCC *entities* confirmed,
most of them multiple times. But yes, so far acceptance is clearly
predominantly US and Canada.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

824. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 14:40:01 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 802 Message: 824

Pete I agree with Chuck. I think you are seeing only the logs YOU uploaded and not confirmations. If you check "Find Call" on the LoTW page none of these logs (of the ones I checked, including D68C) show as even being uploaded. If you check them under the category of "Your Qso's" they will appear but there are no confirmations associated with them.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Chuck Kraly wrote:

> Could it be that the query is showing the ones in YOUR log??? I have
> numerous qso's with D68C for example, but the LoTW doesn't show them
> uploaded yet.
> Likewise, during BETA testing I showed VP8THU confirmed, but they haven't
> uploaded the logs yet "for real".
> Chuck/K0XM
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
> To: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 7:12 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions
>
>
> > At 07:13 AM 1/9/04 -0500, K1MU wrote:
> >
> > >At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
> > >>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
> > >>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system. Examples:
> > >>
> > >>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C, ZL7/G3SXW,
> 8Q7IJ,
> > >>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.
> > >
> > >I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of the
> > >callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I look
> > >them up in LoTW.
> > >Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you
> have
> > >confirmations from those calls?
> > >
> > >For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of
> them

> > >are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a log, I
> > >haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's
> something not
> > >right here.
> >
> > I don't know when all those logs were uploaded, but it was before early
> > December, because I just went back in my QSLs chronologically that
> > far. All I did was type the callsign in the call field on the lookup
> > form. Of course, unless YOU have a matching QSO, nothing will come up.
> >
> > I just tried a search on d68c, after the chronological QSLs search, and
> > had nothing come up! So then I used the clear form button on the lookup
> > form, re-entered d68c and up they all came. It looks to me as if the
> > chronological search for QSLs is setting some variables that need to be
> > cleared manually before a single call-sign search works.
> >
> > Maybe this is what is happening to you(?)
> >
> > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> > www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

825. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 16:16:03 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 802 Message: 825

At 08:40 AM 1/9/04 -0600, C.M. Christensen wrote:

>Pete I agree with Chuck. I think you are seeing only the logs YOU
>uploaded and not confirmations. If you check "Find Call" on the LoTW
>page none of these logs (of the ones I checked, including D68C) show as
>even being uploaded. If you check them under the category of "Your
>Qso's" they will appear but there are no confirmations associated with
>them.

My apologies to everyone -- I had overlooked the checkbox om the search form for "Confirmed QSOs only." Those WERE indeed only the QSOs I had uploaded. That'll teach me to do these things at 3 AM!

That being the case, the only good example of LOTW participation by DXpeditions that leaps to hand is 3B6RF. Hopefully, since the people doing 3B9C are the same ones who did D68C, the latter will come up on LOTW soon as well.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

826. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 16:51:20 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 802 Message: 826

> [Original Message]
> From: Pete Smith <n4zr@...>

>
> That being the case, the only good example of LOTW participation by
> DXpeditions that leaps to hand is 3B6RF.
>

Last time I checked, I had over 80 'entities' in LoTW. Most, obviously,
are pretty garden variety, but I'm sure there were some good DXpeditions in
there too. VK0IR and A52A for sure, and perhaps some others that didn't
'pop up' when I glanced through the list.

73, de Hans, K0HB

827. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 18:41:58 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 802 Message: 827

Same here Hans -- I think I have about 75 entities out of 532 confirmations but nine of them are for band or mode entities and it will at least save sending in cards for those contacts. In fact, I do not even have cards for some of them.

Like you, most of my confirmations are garden variety and many are rtty contacts. I work quite a few rtty contests so that is to be expected. There are, however, some rare ones too.

We all know it is going to take time for everything to get up to speed but I still think the concept is terrific. There will never come a time when we will not have to send in some cards. Some operators will never be able to use LoTW and some will just never take the time to get their act together. As the years go by we will see fewer and fewer cards and more LoTW and every little bit helps.

The price for direct qsling will continue to rise and encourage at least a few more operators to join. I have essentially stopped using the bureau except to answer cards that were sent to me by that route. I find the percentage of returns via the bureau to be way to low to even bother using it.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

K0HB wrote:

> Last time I checked, I had over 80 'entities' in LoTW. Most, obviously,
> are pretty garden variety, but I'm sure there were some good DXpeditions in
> there too. VK0IR and A52A for sure, and perhaps some others that didn't
> 'pop up' when I glanced through the list.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

828. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 18:47:55 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 802 Message: 828

I agree, D68C has NOT uploaded the log yet. Check under 'Find Call'.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

> Pete I agree with Chuck. I think you are seeing only the logs YOU
> uploaded and not confirmations. If you check "Find Call" on the LoTW
> page none of these logs (of the ones I checked, including D68C) show as
> even being uploaded. If you check them under the category of "Your
> Qso's" they will appear but there are no confirmations associated with
> them.
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
> Chuck Kraly wrote:
>
> > Could it be that the query is showing the ones in YOUR log??? I have
> > numerous qso's with D68C for example, but the LoTW doesn't show them
> > uploaded yet.
> > Likewise, during BETA testing I showed VP8THU confirmed, but they
haven't
> > uploaded the logs yet "for real".
> > Chuck/K0XM
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
> > To: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>;
<ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 7:12 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions
> >
> >
> > > At 07:13 AM 1/9/04 -0500, K1MU wrote:
> > >
> > > >At 02:49 AM 1/9/2004, Pete Smith wrote:
> > > >>all of them, and a few relatively rare ones that clearly weren't
> > > >>DXpeditions, came up as confirmed QSOs in the LOTW system.
Examples:
> > > >>

> > > >>T32CY, CE0Z/OH3JF, FK8GJ,HZ1AB, YB0DX, 4S7VK, ZD7K, D68C,
ZL7/G3SXW,
> > 8Q7IJ,
> > > >>VP8SDX, 9G5SX, H2G, VK9CXJ, XU7ABR, EY90MT.
> > > >
> > > >I've worked several of those and no QSLs in LoTW. In fact, all of
the
> > > >callsigns in the list above come up with "No log data found" when I
look
> > > >them up in LoTW.
> > > >Does that mean the "Call Sign Activity" lookup is broken? How do you
> > have
> > > >confirmations from those calls?
> > > >
> > > >For example, I have 4 QSO records in LoTW with D68C in 2001. None of
> > them
> > > >are QSLd. However, since the system says they haven't uploaded a
log, I
> > > >haven't worried about that. Now, I'm concerned that there's
> > something not
> > > >right here.
> > >
> > > I don't know when all those logs were uploaded, but it was before
early
> > > December, because I just went back in my QSLs chronologically that
> > > far. All I did was type the callsign in the call field on the lookup
> > > form. Of course, unless YOU have a matching QSO, nothing will come
up.
> > >
> > > I just tried a search on d68c, after the chronological QSLs search,
and
> > > had nothing come up! So then I used the clear form button on the
lookup
> > > form, re-entered d68c and up they all came. It looks to me as if the
> > > chronological search for QSLs is setting some variables that need to
be
> > > cleared manually before a single call-sign search works.
> > >
> > > Maybe this is what is happening to you(?)
> > >
> > > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > > Check out the World HF Contest Station Database at
> > > www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links

> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

829. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 18:56:22 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 802 Message: 829

I see VK0IR in there, but NOT A52A???

73, Carl VE9OV

> K0HB wrote:
>
> > Last time I checked, I had over 80 'entities' in LoTW. Most, obviously,
> > are pretty garden variety, but I'm sure there were some good DXpeditions
in
> > there too. VK0IR and A52A for sure, and perhaps some others that didn't
> > 'pop up' when I glanced through the list.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB

830. Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 19:45:06 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 802 Message: 830

After reading all of the other messages on this thread I can see I'm not the only one interested in knowing who has loaded a log into LoTW.

I have to agree with those that said that getting the Awards tracking up is much more important than providing a downloadable list of LoTW users.

But watching everyone try to figure out who has and who hasn't uploaded logs has been very entertaining and informative. It kind of shows why it would be nice to get the Call Signs from the LoTW site en mass instead of one at a time.

I realize that LoTW is a work in progress, and I know it will take time to get everything clicking. I don't need to have a downloadable list right now. I just hoped that this would be one of the things that the developers would consider in the future, and apparently it is.

73's all
Bob K8KWT

831. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 19:48:22 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 802 Message: 831

K0HB wrote:

> Last time I checked, I had over 80 'entities' in LoTW. Most, obviously,
> are pretty garden variety, but I'm sure there were some good DXpeditions in
> there too. VK0IR and A52A for sure, and perhaps some others that didn't
> 'pop up' when I glanced through the list.

Just comparing my "real" log to LoTW.

My "real" log shows I have 330 CW entities worked, 322 confirmed, and 8 not confirmed. LoTW shows I have 65 CW entities worked. Of those, only 1 (EY) is one I don't have a card for.

On the other hand, LoTW CW WAS looks a bit better, with 49 States on 10 (WY) and 15 (SC), 48 States on 20 (ND, SC), and 47 States on 40 (KS, ND, OR). I'm not even close to those numbers in my "real" log (haven't done any QSLing specifically for single band WAS).

I guess that shows who most of the users are at the moment.

Ron

832. KB4ATV/4S7 logs now on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 22:35:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 832 Message: 832

KB4ATV/4S7 logs for April 4, 1984 through April 8, 1984
are now on LoTW.

I will continue to provide hardcard qsls upon
request.
USA only require a sase.
Non USA only require a sae, 1 IRC is sufficient.
All bureau requests will be replied via the bureau.

If you feel that you have a qso that is not included
in the LoTW log, feel free to email me with particulars
and I will re-examine the log.

When tracing ancestors, please stay within the lines!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

833. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-09 22:39:26 UTC
From: Chuck Kraly
Topic: 802 Message: 833

Well Ron, this is the weekend to get those states.....NAQP CW Contest Saturday. I know I will be on for one of the 40 mtr ones....Kansas.
Chuck/K0XM

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 1:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs from DXpeditions

> On the other hand, LoTW CW WAS looks a bit better, with 49 States on 10 (WY) and 15 (SC),
> 48 States on 20 (ND, SC), and 47 States on 40 (KS, ND, OR). I'm not even close to those
> numbers in my "real" log (haven't done any QSLing specifically for single band WAS).
>
> I guess that shows who most of the users are at the moment.
>
> Ron

834. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-10 00:47:59 UTC
From: John Wilcox / NS1Z
Topic: 817 Message: 834

This reply is too well thought out and mature for the ARRL LoTW reflector.
Please refrain from further mail of this type 8-0

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 11:55 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

As they say on the cluster BINGO! You hit the nail on the head Pete.
I've noticed the same thing.
The group that went to Kiribati last month had their logs on LoTW practically the day they
returned.
(btw: I sent them a donation anyway)

I've noticed much greater response from NonUSA hams lately.

I really love the 'doom sayers' and 'prognosticators' who demand results now.
It took almost 40 years of hamming before I got my logs onto LoTW.
I guess I can wait a year more before all features are implemented.
And if it takes longer...well I guess I'll just wait a bit longer.

Sheesh. "I want it my way, and I want it yesterday". Probably the same sort that didn't
send a donation to the anti BPL fund either.

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

835. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-10 00:52:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 817 Message: 835

----- Original Message -----
From: "John Wilcox / NS1Z" <ns1z@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2004 6:47 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why only one call at a time in LotW "Find Call" area?

> This reply is too well thought out and mature for the ARRL LoTW reflector.
> Please refrain from further mail of this type 8-0

Could this be one of those "Even a blind gorilla can paint a nice picture if given enough canvases and enough paint" situations?

Your trying to ruin my hard earned reputation for insolence and incompetence. :-)))

You need a Lifestyle change if: You think a subdivision is part of a math problem.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

836. LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-12 17:23:00 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 836 Message: 836

Is there something wrong with LoTW?
I have been sending logs and no problems.
I cant get my NAPQ CW logs to show on the LotW site.
Tried again this morning and still doesnt take the logs.

Anyone else having a problem?

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

837. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-12 17:30:03 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 836 Message: 837

By doing a find call in LoTW I show the last upload for W0VD on
2004-01-12 at 1627:40Z so it must have uploaded.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Dan wrote:
> Is there something wrong with LoTW?
> I have been sending logs and no problems.
> I cant get my NAPQ CW logs to show on the LotW site.
> Tried again this morning and still doesnt take the logs.
>
> Anyone else having a problem?
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

838. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-12 18:20:12 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 836 Message: 838

Hi guys

apparently there was a mail glitch at HQ over the weekend. It is fixed now. Most data coming in was unaffected.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2004 12:30 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

By doing a find call in LoTW I show the last upload for W0VD on
2004-01-12 at 1627:40Z so it must have uploaded.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Dan wrote:
> Is there something wrong with LoTW?
> I have been sending logs and no problems.
> I cant get my NAPQ CW logs to show on the LotW site.
> Tried again this morning and still doesnt take the logs.
>
> Anyone else having a problem?
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service < http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cle4tqm/M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1074015012/A=1853618/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60178338&partid=4116730> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=267637.4116730.5333196.1261774/D=egroupmail/S=:HM/A=1853618/rand=176209206>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

839. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-12 18:23:52 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 836 Message: 839

Looks like it's working. Our NAQP QSO of this weekend shows as a confirmed contact on my list of QSL's, so it's certainly loaded. Don't know why you wouldn't see it from your end.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Dan <w0vd@...>
> To: <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 1/12/2004 5:23:07 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem
>
> Is there something wrong with LoTW?
> I have been sending logs and no problems.
> I cant get my NAPQ CW logs to show on the LotW site.
> Tried again this morning and still doesnt take the logs.
>
> Anyone else having a problem?
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

840. LoTW new ones

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-14 11:12:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 840 Message: 840

Was pleasantly surprised when I found two LoTW qsl's for BA4RF in the list this morning. Trying to increase my Challenge numbers and these were two band/mode slots I hadn't been able to get qsl's for.

Thank you David

If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

841. YB1AQC logs going onto LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-17 23:21:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 841 Message: 841

I'm putting the logs of YB1AQC, Feb-16-1988 through Oct-11-1988 onto LoTW.
Got some of them up and will put the rest as I transcribe them.

I will continue to provide hardcard qsls upon
request.
USA only require a sase.
Non USA only require a sae, 1 IRC is sufficient.
All bureau requests will be replied via the bureau.

If you feel that you have a qso that is not included
in the LoTW log, feel free to email me with particulars
and I will re-examine the log.

It may be that your sole purpose in life is simply to serve as a warning to others.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

842. Last upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-18 13:26:05 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 842 Message: 842

I uploaded my log up to January 15, 2004. Now, last QSO shown as uploaded is dated December 30, 2003.Can you please tell me what have I done wrong? Is the 2004 info not shown for any particular reason?
Wishing you all a happy new year!
73, Leo CT1CSN

843. Last upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-18 13:27:33 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 842 Message: 843

I uploaded my log up to January 15, 2004. Now last QSO shown as uploaded is dated December 30, 2003.Can you please tell me what have I done wrong? Is the 2004 info not shown for any particular reason?
Wishing you all a happy new year!
73, Leo CT1CSN

844. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Last upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-18 14:15:07 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 842 Message: 844

Hi Leo,

Go to TQSL Certificate and click on Certificates and look at the dates
in Certificate Properties. There is a Begins date and an Expires date
and also a QSO Start Date and QSO End Date. The end dates should be
approximately one year from when you received your certificate. If these
dates are ok then there must have been something wrong with your upload.
I have uploaded twice in January, 2004 and they went in just fine as
have many other uploads from other folks. Good luck.

--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Aur?lio da Costa wrote:

> I uploaded my log up to January 15, 2004. Now last QSO shown as uploaded is
> dated December 30, 2003.Can you please tell me what have I done wrong? Is
> the 2004 info not shown for any particular reason?
> Wishing you all a happy new year!
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

845. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Last upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-18 15:27:10 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 842 Message: 845

Hi Chris,
I must have done something wrong because I repeated the download just for
the missing period and everything is OK know.
Best of luck!
73, Leo CT1CSN

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2004 2:15 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Last upload

> Hi Leo,
>
> Go to TQSL Certificate and click on Certificates and look at the dates
> in Certificate Properties. There is a Begins date and an Expires date
> and also a QSO Start Date and QSO End Date. The end dates should be
> approximately one year from when you received your certificate. If these
> dates are ok then there must have been something wrong with your upload.
> I have uploaded twice in January, 2004 and they went in just fine as
> have many other uploads from other folks. Good luck.
>
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
> Aur?lio da Costa wrote:
>
> > I uploaded my log up to January 15, 2004. Now last QSO shown as uploaded
is
> > dated December 30, 2003.Can you please tell me what have I done wrong?
Is
> > the 2004 info not shown for any particular reason?
> > Wishing you all a happy new year!
> > 73, Leo CT1CSN
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

846. New Callsign

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-19 01:55:33 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 846 Message: 846

Had everything working fine until I did the stupid thing of upgrading and getting new call. Instructions not very clear to this ole brain. I have original certificate and as I understand I create new request for new call using old certificate to sign. Do I then actually request a new cert from arrl using the old one as signature??

847. YB1AQC & ZD8XX logs loaded to LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-20 16:22:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 847 Message: 847

I just put the YB1AQC log
and
The FIRST operations of ZD8XX (Aug-5 through 24, 1989)
onto LoTW.
The ZD8XX 1991 log will be loaded after transcription.

I will continue to provide hardcard qsls upon
request.
USA only require a sase.
Non USA only require a sae, 1 IRC is sufficient.
All bureau requests will be replied via the bureau.

If you feel that you have a qso that is not included
in the LoTW log, feel free to email me with particulars
and I will re-examine the log.

Do Old Men Wear Boxers or Briefs? ... Depends.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

848. W4LZZ/V21 log now on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-23 19:16:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 848 Message: 848

I have just uploaded the log of
W4LZZ/V21 Nov-01-1988 through Dec-25-1988
to LoTW.

I will continue to provide hardcard qsls upon
request.
USA only require a sase.
Non USA only require a sae, 1 IRC is sufficient.
All bureau requests will be replied via the bureau.

If you feel that you have a qso that is not included
in the LoTW log, feel free to email me with particulars
and I will re-examine the log.

I'm miserable without you, it's like having you here.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

849. Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 16:27:54 UTC
From: wb0wao
Topic: 849 Message: 849

Not a complaint or anything, but was wondering when the awards function will be QRV. Any rough idea of a time frame?

TNX es 73

Dennis - WB0WAO

850. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 16:30:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 849 Message: 850

I heard a rumor that Feb. 15, the LoTW qsl's will start to be used for DXCC.
Not sure of the other ARRL awards.

On 1/24/2004 10:27:54 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Not a complaint or anything, but was wondering when the awards
> function will be QRV. Any rough idea of a time frame?
>
>
> TNX es 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

851. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 21:46:26 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 849 Message: 851

It is also important that they set up for other awards, like US County for instance. I fear that jealousy and competition may prevent this from happening. It would be a terrible tragedy if that happens and LotW will be a big effort that does not realize the potential. I hope and pray that I am wrong. It is going to be up to ARRL to give this the HARD sell.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2004 10:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards
>
>
> I heard a rumor that Feb. 15, the LoTW qsl's will start to be
> used for DXCC.
> Not sure of the other ARRL awards.
>
>
>
> On 1/24/2004 10:27:54 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Not a complaint or anything, but was wondering when the awards
> > function will be QRV. Any rough idea of a time frame?
> >
> >
> > TNX es 73
> >
> > Dennis - WB0WAO
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

852. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 21:55:41 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 849 Message: 852

At 03:46 PM 1/24/04 -0600, rojomn wrote:
>It is also important that they set up for other awards, like US County for
>instance. I fear that jealousy and competition may prevent this from
>happening. It would be a terrible tragedy if that happens and LotW will be a
>big effort that does not realize the potential. I hope and pray that I am
>wrong. It is going to be up to ARRL to give this the HARD sell.

ARRL has stated that they intend to work with other award sponsors. The
US-ACA would be one -- but I don't even know who manages it. I'd sure like
to see WAZ and WAS confirmable through LOTW, as well as IOTA and various
other major awards. From the standpoint of popularizing LOTW outside the
US, we need the big DX awards onboard.

I guess I don't see the jealousy angle -- they would still be the sponsor's
awards, not ARRL's. I presume the mechanics about how much an award
applicant would pay, and how much of that would flow on to the sponsor, all
remain to be worked out...

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

853. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 22:24:29 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 849 Message: 853

Pete,
Being a county hunter for hundreds of years(seems like),
I can address some of this.
CQ is the sponsor for USA-CA, and of course for WPX, and WAZ, as well
as their own DX award.
Another sponsor of many County Hunter awards is MARAC. The Mobile
Amateur Radio Awards Club. There are lots of variations for working all
counties. MARAC awards do not require QSLs. So they may not be too
needed for LOTW consideration.
It would be very cost effective for USA-CA, however. Confirmations are
required for
it...that's a bunch of cards...and then when some of us are trying to work
em all
on 5 bands...well, you know.
Getting ready for the big move....boxes, boxes, boxes...ugh!
73, Tom, K5iid

At 21:55 01/24/04, you wrote:
>At 03:46 PM 1/24/04 -0600, rojomn wrote:
> >It is also important that they set up for other awards, like US County for
> >instance. I fear that jealousy and competition may prevent this from
> >happening. It would be a terrible tragedy if that happens and LotW will be a
> >big effort that does not realize the potential. I hope and pray that I am
> >wrong. It is going to be up to ARRL to give this the HARD sell.
>
>ARRL has stated that they intend to work with other award sponsors. The
>US-ACA would be one -- but I don't even know who manages it. I'd sure like
>to see WAZ and WAS confirmable through LOTW, as well as IOTA and various
>other major awards. From the standpoint of popularizing LOTW outside the
>US, we need the big DX awards onboard.
>
>I guess I don't see the jealousy angle -- they would still be the sponsor's
>awards, not ARRL's. I presume the mechanics about how much an award
>applicant would pay, and how much of that would flow on to the sponsor, all
>remain to be worked out...
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

854. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-24 22:33:52 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 849 Message: 854

At 10:24 PM 1/24/04 +0000, you wrote:

>Pete,
> Being a county hunter for hundreds of years(seems like),
>I can address some of this.
> CQ is the sponsor for USA-CA, and of course for WPX, and WAZ, as well
>as their own DX award.
> Another sponsor of many County Hunter awards is MARAC. The Mobile
>Amateur Radio Awards Club. There are lots of variations for working all
>counties. MARAC awards do not require QSLs. So they may not be too
>needed for LOTW consideration.
> It would be very cost effective for USA-CA, however. Confirmations are
> required for
>it...that's a bunch of cards...and then when some of us are trying to work
>em all
>on 5 bands...well, you know.
> Getting ready for the big move....boxes, boxes, boxes...ugh!

Keep the faith, Tom -- you'll get there. do you have the house in TX yet?

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

855. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-25 00:03:19 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 849 Message: 855

The contract has been signed, and hopefully just waiting on the
closing date.
Tom

At 22:33 01/24/04, you wrote:
>At 10:24 PM 1/24/04 +0000, you wrote:
>
> >Pete,
> > Being a county hunter for hundreds of years(seems like),
> >I can address some of this.
> > CQ is the sponsor for USA-CA, and of course for WPX, and WAZ, as well
> >as their own DX award.
> > Another sponsor of many County Hunter awards is MARAC. The Mobile
> >Amateur Radio Awards Club. There are lots of variations for working all
> >counties. MARAC awards do not require QSLs. So they may not be too
> >needed for LOTW consideration.
> > It would be very cost effective for USA-CA, however. Confirmations are
> > required for
> >it...that's a bunch of cards...and then when some of us are trying to work
> >em all
> >on 5 bands...well, you know.
> > Getting ready for the big move....boxes, boxes, boxes...ugh!
>
>Keep the faith, Tom -- you'll get there. do you have the house in TX yet?
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:

> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

856. Confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-25 14:53:49 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 346 Message: 856

Just a note about confirmations. Keep submitting your logs and
data. Confirmation wise, I am up to 5.7% and still growing. As expected,
the non US confirmations are beginning to trickle in. I had a total of 5
new ones last week. It's like the lottery. You have to play to win. It's
a great program. I love it.

73
Mike, W5UC

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

857. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-25 17:22:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 346 Message: 857

Even though I'm pretty well up there in Challenge totals, I've still received 17 qsl's via LoTW that I'd previously had no luck in getting band/mode confirmations.
Thanks to those people who submitted logs.

Starting to see more non USA qsls. Let's keeps the logs coming in.

Moaners, nay-sayers, and whiners need not reply.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2004 8:53 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmations

>
> Just a note about confirmations. Keep submitting your logs and
> data. Confirmation wise, I am up to 5.7% and still growing. As expected,
> the non US confirmations are beginning to trickle in. I had a total of 5
> new ones last week. It's like the lottery. You have to play to win. It's
> a great program. I love it.
>
> 73
> Mike, W5UC
>
> Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
> Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003
>

858. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-25 21:22:48 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 849 Message: 858

aiming for Feb 15th. KI6T

----- Original Message -----
From: "wb0wao" <wb0wao@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2004 8:27 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

> Not a complaint or anything, but was wondering when the awards
> function will be QRV. Any rough idea of a time frame?
>
>
> TNX es 73
>
> Dennis - WB0WAO
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

859. LOTW surpasses eQSL in records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-27 23:15:35 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 859 Message: 859

LOTW showed 33.1 million QSOs loaded today where eQSL only shows 33.0.
First time LOTW beat eQSL on a given day. LOTW is growing much faster.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

860. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW surpasses eQSL in records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 01:09:19 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 859 Message: 860

On Tue, Jan 27, 2004 at 11:15:35PM -0000, Beischel wrote:
> LOTW showed 33.1 million QSOs loaded today where eQSL only shows 33.0.
> First time LOTW beat eQSL on a given day. LOTW is growing much faster.

What do you mean on a given day? Those are totals, not daily counts.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

861. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW surpasses eQSL in records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 03:03:12 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 859 Message: 861

> LOTW showed 33.1 million QSOs loaded today where eQSL only shows 33.0.
> First time LOTW beat eQSL on a given day. LOTW is growing
> much faster.

LotW says 33.2 million QSO records entered into the system while
eQSL says 33.0 million eQSLs. I know what QSO records are but
what constitutes an eQSL record?

That said, LotW is certainly growing much faster than eQSL. I'm
seeing ever more DX QSLs (as opposed to QSLs for contest QSOs
from domestic stations). It looks like LotW is rapidly reaching
"critical mass" if it's not there already.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

862. delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 18:39:57 UTC
From: Mel Martin
Topic: 862 Message: 862

Any way to delete QSOs uploaded in error?

863. LoTW QSO numbering and an update

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 18:59:28 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 863 Message: 863

Hello LoTW users

~$From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
~$Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 12:53 PM
~$To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
~$Cc: Patton, David NN1N

~$Hi:
~$These QSO numbers from LoTW are strictly BS in my opinion !!!!

The numbers provided for counting QSOs and QSLs are there for fun and serve no useful purpose. They do indeed count logs that were sent-in with someone using the wrong certificate and then sent-in again with the correct certificate. They also include lots of identical logs that are sent in with two sets of calls to account for the ways others may have logged the QSO, such as NT1N and NT1N/2. One should not use the numbers to keep track of what logs are sent-in etc. The activity files take care of that.

~$
~$I have approximately 5073 QSO's uploaded to LoTW !!!
~$
~$LoTW show's that I have 7620 uploaded as op today !!!
~$
~$This means that LoTW that LoTW has me credited with UPLOADING
~$about 50% more
~$QSO's than I actually have !!!

That's probably accurate.

~$
~$I have contacted the ARRL (NN1N and subordinates) about this
~$problem and have
~$received NEGATIVE results from my inquiries.

If you mean way back when when you asked if you could delete the wrong data that you sent-in, that's correct. The answer was negative, you cannot do that.

~$
~$I have been working with LoTW since the early stages of the
~$BETA test and kept
~$getting messages that they were going to correct their method
~$of counting
~$QSO's and CREDITED CONFORMATIONS !!!

We do have some things to fix. Those who have obtained renewed, or replaced certificates will have new usernames too, and the numbering doesn't follow over from the old numbers (K1VU and K1VU01 for example). We are working on a fix for the username problems (that aren't really problems, the data is there and will be the same for awards, it's just a

counting issue).

~$
~$I have CEASED to upload ANY HF QSO's latter than 7/30/03 to
~$LoTW, with the exception
~$of any GENERAL and VHF/UHF QSO's !!!

Why? Or, why some of them?

~$
~$I have asked the ARRL LoTW program to DELETE any QSO UPLOADS
~$from me so that I
~$might CORRECT any UPLOADS from my station that do not MATCH
~$the LoTW requirements !!!

Almost all the data that goes into the system under incorrect certificates, or with date/time/call errors etc., is of no harm and no consequence other than using a bit more storage in LoTW. We would have to shut the system down and waste time and resources to track down and remove this data. We may get to that someday, but not now.

~$
~$ALL my requests to date have been met with a NEGATIVE response !!!

I assume that means "No you can't do that" negative response.

Quick progress update; We are working hard on the Awards page implementation. We have identified some interesting issues to be worked-out and Jon has done a terrific job building the architecture for a global awards utility. We will put it up there as soon as we can.

There are 600 certificate applicants from outside the USA for whom we are awaiting documentation, and a similar number of US stations that have been sent a postcard and not yet activated their certificates. We are VERY pleased with the interest shown in LoTW at this early stage in its life. Thinking about the number of active stations/operators who participate in DXCC and other awards chasing, we have realized that we have just started to skim the top of possible/probable users in the system. It wouldn't surprise me that by the end of 2004 we will have between 15000 and 20000 users.

We are also very impressed with the amount of effort given to placing old logs into the system. W9OL, WA4JQS, K4UTE, KC7V, and many others have put considerable effort into this. There may not be immediate pay-offs in QSL matching but it serves the purpose of backing-up history.

73, Dave, NN1N

864. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 19:04:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 862 Message: 864

Pretty sure I read in the FAQ's that:
You can't delete.
You can upload the correct one, the incorrect one may be overwritten depending upon the error but most likely will be retained but will not cause a problem.
I'm sure I read that a exact duplicate will just overwrite and only one instance will exist for that qso.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mel Martin" <ve2dc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 12:39 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

> Any way to delete QSOs uploaded in error?
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

865. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 19:10:52 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 862 Message: 865

You cannot do that but you can change them and upload again. Since the wrong ones will not match they have decided to just leave them. I am not truly comfortable with that deign because one could troll that way but that is how it is.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mel Martin [mailto:ve2dc@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 12:40 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs
>
> Any way to delete QSOs uploaded in error?
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

866. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW surpasses eQSL in records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 17:53:15 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 859 Message: 866

Hi:
These QSO numbers from LoTW are strictly BS in my opinion !!!!

I have approximately 5073 QSO's uploaded to LoTW !!!

LoTW show's that I have 7620 uploaded as op today !!!

This means that LoTW that LoTW has me credited with UPLOADING about 50% more
QSO's than I actually have !!!

I have contacted the ARRL (NN1N and subordinates) about this problem and have
received NEGATIVE results from my inquiries.

I have been working with LoTW since the early stages of the BETA test and kept
getting messages that they were going to correct their method of counting
QSO's and CREDITED CONFORMATIONS !!!

I have CEASED to upload ANY HF QSO's latter than 7/30/03 to LoTW, with the exception
of any GENERAL and VHF/UHF QSO's !!!

I have asked the ARRL LoTW program to DELETE any QSO UPLOADS from me so that I
might CORRECT any UPLOADS from my station that do not MATCH the LoTW requirements !!!

ALL my requests to date have been met with a NEGATIVE response !!!

Guess I'll just keep saving my logs until LoTW gets their act together.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 18:15 1/27/2004 , you wrote:
>LOTW showed 33.1 million QSOs loaded today where eQSL only shows 33.0.
>First time LOTW beat eQSL on a given day. LOTW is growing much faster.
>
>Duffy
>www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

867. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 19:22:55 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 862 Message: 867

rojomn wrote:

> I am not truly comfortable with that deign because one could
> troll

How can one "troll" LoTW? All you can see are your own QSO's, and what good is a bad QSO anyway? If you "bust" it, you don't get credit for it.

Ron

868. [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 20:13:43 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 862 Message: 868

Last night I added the 160Meter CW QSOs for last weekend's contest. My
DXBase log has 4933 QSOs in its log. After uploading, LoTW showed only 4918.
I said "no problem", I would resubmit the entire log which I did. Now I have
5089 in LoTW with many dupes. It needs an edit file some kind of bad.
Also, why can't the QSOs/QSLs in LoTW be made to use a vertical slide
bar instead of showing only 25 at a time. This sucks.
I get more irritated every time I try to use this program.
JV Staples KT4U
==========

Pretty sure I read in the FAQ's that:
You can't delete.
You can upload the correct one, the incorrect one may be overwritten
depending upon the
error but most likely will be retained but will not cause a problem.
I'm sure I read that a exact duplicate will just overwrite and only one
instance will
exist for that qso.

> Any way to delete QSOs uploaded in error?
>

869. TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:00:43 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 869 Message: 869

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

870. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:08:20 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 869 Message: 870

At 22:00 28/01/2004, you wrote:
> Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from
> one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder
> trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
>JV Staples KT4U

Of course!
You have to export it in the PKCS#12 format.
Launch TQSLCert, then click on the certificate you want to save, then
select Certificate/Save from the top menu.
You will be asked for a filename and then a password. Don't forget them or
you will never be able to use that certificate...

73 & Ciao from Italy
Fabio IZ4AFW

Certificate #72240 & #73027 - Using LotW since 2003-09-24

871. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:09:46 UTC
From: Mel Martin
Topic: 862 Message: 871

That's unfortunate... over time the system will have to bear the overhead of incorrectly uploaded data. For example, if someone loads a log with a date or offset... re-loading the data will double the number of records.

I understand that a record, once matched, cannot be deleted... but completely disallowing a delete function is doesn't make any sense to me.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Nenad Stevanovic" <nenad@...>
To: <ve2dc@...>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 14:38
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] delete QSOs

> Mel,
>
> You cannot delete but you can add the correct one.
>
> cases like those are analysed in the article:
>
>
> http://www.magma.ca/~ve3exy/new_lotw.html
>
>
> Nenad ...
>
>
>
>
> Mel Martin wrote:
>
> > Any way to delete QSOs uploaded in error?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

872. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:09:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 869 Message: 872

Yes you can. Dave or Wayne told me how to do it but I can' find the email with the instructions.
It must have worked as I have it working on the new computer.

Email Dave or Wayne, I'm sure they will help.
But remember, you aren't the only email they will be getting and they have to wade through them so it may not be an instantaneous reply.

----- Original Message -----
From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
To: "Z LoTA" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

873. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:11:27 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 869 Message: 873

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

874. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:30:05 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 869 Message: 874

It is a easy thing to transfer to another computer.
Go to your TQSLCERT program and click on your certificate you want to transfer.
Go to the top and click on the certificate tab and a drop down will come down.
Click the save .
Then it will ask will ask where you want the "yourcall.p12" file.
You then save this file to a floppy and take that file and your "yourcall.tq6" file you received to the other computer.
Then on the new computer install the TQSL programs.
Go to TQSLcert program and click on file.
Then click on file go to load certificate file and follow the prompts.
It is easy.
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:11 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.

JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

875. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:44:35 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 869 Message: 875

I simply copied the following folders from my old machine to my new machine.

- The folder containing the TQSL applications (TQSL and TQSLcert) and my sent QSO files.
- Copied folder: C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL from my old machine to the same directory path on my new machine.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

It is a easy thing to transfer to another computer.
Go to your TQSLCERT program and click on your certificate you want to transfer.
Go to the top and click on the certificate tab and a drop down will come down.
Click the save .
Then it will ask will ask where you want the "yourcall.p12" file.
You then save this file to a floppy and take that file and your "yourcall.tq6" file you received to the other computer.
Then on the new computer install the TQSL programs.
Go to TQSLcert program and click on file.
Then click on file go to load certificate file and follow the prompts.
It is easy.
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:11 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate
from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder
trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

876. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 21:44:53 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 869 Message: 876

Run tQSLCert on the computer where your certificate is installed and
follow the following:

1) highlight your certificate
2) right click and select "Save"
a file save dialog will open with a file name
("yourcall".p12)
3) save the .p12 file to your zip disk
4) enter any password (just remember it) in
the password dialog (this is only used to save/restore
the certificate and can be left blank)

Take the zip disk to the new computer and run tQSLCERT there

1) Start tQSLCERT
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
you created above
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

That should do it ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: JV [mailto:kt4u@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 4:01 PM
To: Z LoTA
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from
one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder
trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master
computer.
JV Staples KT4U

877. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 22:10:42 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 869 Message: 877

A simple copy will NOT transfer the certificate as you will see when you try
to use it. You MUST use the save and import.
'I posted the method as have several others today.

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:45 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I simply copied the following folders from my old machine to my new machine.

- The folder containing the TQSL applications (TQSL and TQSLcert) and my
sent QSO files.
- Copied folder: C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL from my old machine
to the same directory path on my new machine.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan <mailto:w0vd@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

It is a easy thing to transfer to another computer.
Go to your TQSLCERT program and click on your certificate you want to
transfer.
Go to the top and click on the certificate tab and a drop down will come
down.
Click the save .
Then it will ask will ask where you want the "yourcall.p12" file.
You then save this file to a floppy and take that file and your
"yourcall.tq6" file you received to the other computer.
Then on the new computer install the TQSL programs.
Go to TQSLcert program and click on file.
Then click on file go to load certificate file and follow the prompts.
It is easy.
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...

www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:11 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my
certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the
process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate
from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder
trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

878. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 22:57:27 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 869 Message: 878

Worked for me! And I've uploaded log since.

Gerry
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:10 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

A simple copy will NOT transfer the certificate as you will see when you try to use it. You MUST use the save and import.
'I posted the method as have several others today.

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:45 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I simply copied the following folders from my old machine to my new machine.

- The folder containing the TQSL applications (TQSL and TQSLcert) and my sent QSO files.
- Copied folder: C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL from my old machine to the same directory path on my new machine.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

It is a easy thing to transfer to another computer.
Go to your TQSLCERT program and click on your certificate you want to transfer.
Go to the top and click on the certificate tab and a drop down will come down.
Click the save .
Then it will ask will ask where you want the "yourcall.p12" file.
You then save this file to a floppy and take that file and your "yourcall.tq6" file you received to the other computer.
Then on the new computer install the TQSL programs.

Go to TQSLcert program and click on file.
Then click on file go to load certificate file and follow the prompts.
It is easy.
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn <telwest@telusplanet.net>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:11 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my
certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the
process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate
from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder
trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

879. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-28 23:24:32 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 869 Message: 879

OK Guys, got it all worked out. Many thanks for the help.
JV
==========

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

880. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 00:08:08 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 869 Message: 880

You were lucky and yes , it is possible that it MAY work for soem. that is not the way to do it and one day it will bite you adn then you lose your certrificate if you have not saved ti. I woudl never do it that way if you wish to be safe.

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 4:57 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Worked for me! And I've uploaded log since.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <mailto:rojomn@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:10 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

A simple copy will NOT transfer the certificate as you will see when you try to use it. You MUST use the save and import.
'I posted the method as have several others today.

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:45 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I simply copied the following folders from my old machine to my new machine.

- The folder containing the TQSL applications (TQSL and TQSLcert) and my sent QSO files.
- Copied folder: C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL from my old machine to the same directory path on my new machine.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dan <mailto:w0vd@...>

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

It is a easy thing to transfer to another computer.
Go to your TQSLCERT program and click on your certificate you want to transfer.
Go to the top and click on the certificate tab and a drop down will come down.
Click the save .
Then it will ask will ask where you want the "yourcall.p12" file.
You then save this file to a floppy and take that file and your "yourcall.tq6" file you received to the other computer.
Then on the new computer install the TQSL programs.
Go to TQSLcert program and click on file.
Then click on file go to load certificate file and follow the prompts.
It is easy.
Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:11 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I just loaded up a new machine and had no problem transferring my certificates (once I found them in the Windows folder).

Let me know if you need help and I'll re-figure it out and send you the process.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: JV
To: Z LoTA
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 2:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Just discovered another thing. I can't transfer my certificate from one computer to another. Any way to do that? I copied the folder trustedQSL to a zip disk but can't drag and drop that to my master computer.
JV Staples KT4U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

881. reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 00:29:14 UTC
From: wb4mnk
Topic: 881 Message: 881

I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW working again.
The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.

73
Art
WB4MNK

882. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 00:56:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 881 Message: 882

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>

> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

883. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 01:06:39 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 869 Message: 883

Gerry,

Copying "C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL" from one
machine to another is fraught with problems and should not
be counted on to work on all platforms and under all versions
of the software.

I posted the proper (and safe) certificate backup/restore
procedure earlier. It is wise to use that procedure to
create backups for all of one's certificates (I keep copies
of all my certificates on a floppy and have burned a CD in
case I should need to install on a machine with no floppy
drive).

Without the .p12 file, should anything happen to the
"\application data\TRUSTEDQSL\" folder you will have lost
all your private keys, will have to register de novo with
LotW, and will lose all your LotW data (believe me ... I
learned the hard way when the problems with tQSL 1.08
wiped out my private keys and I had no backup!).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 5:57 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

Worked for me! And I've uploaded log since.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:10 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

A simple copy will NOT transfer the certificate as you
will see when you try to use it. You MUST use the save
and import.
'I posted the method as have several others today.

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 3:45 PM

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL

I simply copied the following folders from my old machine
to my new machine.

- The folder containing the TQSL applications (TQSL and
TQSLcert) and my sent QSO files.
- Copied folder: C:\Windows\application data\TRUSTEDQSL
from my old machine to the same directory path on my
new machine.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

884. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 01:40:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 881 Message: 884

This is a drastic remainder to back up your certificate and keep that p12
file in a safe place. If you crash and you lose that file it is impossible
to get LotW running again with the same sate you had before. You will have
to get a new certificate and that will not be a fun deal.
Save and probably save it twice.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 6:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now
> have everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how
> to get LOTW working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning
> (You have no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click
> on new and get error (No certificates available)I have no
> Idea at this time how to correct these problems. Any help
> will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

885. Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 16:36:19 UTC
From: Keith Morehouse
Topic: 885 Message: 885

After some of the slagging this system has been taking in the last few days, I'm compelled to add my multiple cents.

Great Job so far LoTW !

I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.

I'm not a computer professional nor do I play one on TV - just a long time computer and Internet user.

It's amusing how every internet group has those that treat the topic of interest like a life or death situation. Except for a very few subjects, it's not. If the ARRL wants to waste server space and increase lookup times by a few hundred milliseconds through keeping duplicate or dead records, fine - let them. We're not paying for the CPU cycles. The variable latency of your internet connection is probably more then the extra time it takes to deal with dupe's in the LoTW system. If you object because it's "just bad code", then offer to help them re-write it (off list) for free.

Again - good job to all involved at the ARRL. I'm looking forward to the award system, but not like my next meal depends on it...

Keith (Jay)
W9RM
trustee K9NS

886. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 17:00:01 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 885 Message: 886

Well said Keith!

Julio

----- Original Message -----
From: "Keith Morehouse" <w9rm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 11:36 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

> After some of the slagging this system has been taking in the last
> few days, I'm compelled to add my multiple cents.
>
> Great Job so far LoTW !
>
> I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and
> almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
> not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-
> ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
> errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.
>
> I'm not a computer professional nor do I play one on TV - just a long
> time computer and Internet user.
>
> It's amusing how every internet group has those that treat the topic
> of interest like a life or death situation. Except for a very few
> subjects, it's not. If the ARRL wants to waste server space and
> increase lookup times by a few hundred milliseconds through keeping
> duplicate or dead records, fine - let them. We're not paying for the
> CPU cycles. The variable latency of your internet connection is
> probably more then the extra time it takes to deal with dupe's in the
> LoTW system. If you object because it's "just bad code", then offer
> to help them re-write it (off list) for free.
>
> Again - good job to all involved at the ARRL. I'm looking forward to
> the award system, but not like my next meal depends on it...
>
> Keith (Jay)
> W9RM
> trustee K9NS
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

887. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 17:08:25 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 885 Message: 887

HERE HERE!!!!!!!
Tom K5IID

At 17:00 01/29/04, you wrote:
>Well said Keith!
>
>Julio
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Keith Morehouse" <w9rm@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 11:36 AM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
>
>
> > After some of the slagging this system has been taking in the last
> > few days, I'm compelled to add my multiple cents.
> >
> > Great Job so far LoTW !
> >
> > I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and
> > almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
> > not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-
> > ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
> > errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.
> >
> > I'm not a computer professional nor do I play one on TV - just a long
> > time computer and Internet user.
> >
> > It's amusing how every internet group has those that treat the topic
> > of interest like a life or death situation. Except for a very few
> > subjects, it's not. If the ARRL wants to waste server space and
> > increase lookup times by a few hundred milliseconds through keeping
> > duplicate or dead records, fine - let them. We're not paying for the
> > CPU cycles. The variable latency of your internet connection is
> > probably more then the extra time it takes to deal with dupe's in the
> > LoTW system. If you object because it's "just bad code", then offer
> > to help them re-write it (off list) for free.
> >
> > Again - good job to all involved at the ARRL. I'm looking forward to
> > the award system, but not like my next meal depends on it...
> >
> > Keith (Jay)
> > W9RM
> > trustee K9NS

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

888. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 17:45:42 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 885 Message: 888

Amen! LOTW is a great asset to the Ham community. Keep up the great work!

At 04:36 PM 1/29/2004 +0000, you wrote:
>After some of the slagging this system has been taking in the last
>few days, I'm compelled to add my multiple cents.
>
>Great Job so far LoTW !
>
>I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and
>almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
>not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-
>ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
>errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.
>
>I'm not a computer professional nor do I play one on TV - just a long
>time computer and Internet user.
>
>It's amusing how every internet group has those that treat the topic
>of interest like a life or death situation. Except for a very few
>subjects, it's not. If the ARRL wants to waste server space and
>increase lookup times by a few hundred milliseconds through keeping
>duplicate or dead records, fine - let them. We're not paying for the
>CPU cycles. The variable latency of your internet connection is
>probably more then the extra time it takes to deal with dupe's in the
>LoTW system. If you object because it's "just bad code", then offer
>to help them re-write it (off list) for free.
>
>Again - good job to all involved at the ARRL. I'm looking forward to
>the award system, but not like my next meal depends on it...
>
>Keith (Jay)
>W9RM
>trustee K9NS
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

889. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 19:27:51 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 885 Message: 889

At 04:36 PM 1/29/04 +0000, Keith Morehouse wrote:
>I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and
>almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
>not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-
>ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
>errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.

Amen -- I've uploaded only 75,000, and have about 3,600 confirmations so far, but have similarly had no problems with the system. I was pleased to discover that in the last 3 weeks I've gotten confirmations on 15 more countries, bringing my total now (solely through LOTW) to 97. I know that big multis like K9NS must be well over the century mark by now. This thing works!

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

890. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 20:31:13 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 885 Message: 890

You are correct in many ways. It works for big pistols like you but for those of us that barely have enough contacts to make our century mark it does not work at all YET! I think one day it will. For now if you only have one or 2 contacts with many of the countries you need then postal will have to do. OBVIOUSLY if you have 200,000 contacts you have a great chance, big deal!
It is also useless for other types of awards at this point.

Now I am still thinking it is a GREAT step forward and in the future may (probably will) fulfill it promise. It will be quite a while before it happens I fear, but HOPE I am WRONG. I again am not attacking this system. IT is all there is to do what should have been done long ago and one day it will really make all say "why did this take so long"

I wish I could brag of thousands and thousands of contacts, but I can't so there it is.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 1:28 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
>
> At 04:36 PM 1/29/04 +0000, Keith Morehouse wrote:
> >I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS
> and almost
> >50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
> not number
> >2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi- ops have
> >uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
> errors on MY
> >part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.
>
>
> Amen -- I've uploaded only 75,000, and have about 3,600
> confirmations so far, but have similarly had no problems with
> the system. I was pleased to discover that in the last 3
> weeks I've gotten confirmations on 15 more countries,
> bringing my total now (solely through LOTW) to 97. I know
> that big multis like K9NS must be well over the century mark
> by now. This thing works!
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database Updated 9 Jan
> 04 www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ~---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

891. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 21:02:59 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 885 Message: 891

On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 02:31:13PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> You are correct in many ways. It works for big pistols like you but for
> those of us that barely have enough contacts to make our century mark it
> does not work at all YET! I think one day it will. For now if you only have

Not work in what way Gil?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

892. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 21:31:27 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 885 Message: 892

I don't mean that it does not work. The function works perfectly. It is only that it is mostly useless if you do not have a huge number of contacts or many with the more rare entities, because your chance of getting a match is much , much, much less than that of the big pistol. It is probably even less than the return rate of QSL via direct or even bureau.
It certainly is much less for me!

OTOH there may be a much better and much easier and cheaper set of QSLes from new stations that are in a contest or an expedition. The new contacts serious contester or dxpedition operations is where this is likely to shine, which is good and a very big incentive to upload your logs.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 3:03 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
>
> On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 02:31:13PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > You are correct in many ways. It works for big pistols like you but
> > for those of us that barely have enough contacts to make
> our century
> > mark it does not work at all YET! I think one day it will.
> For now if
> > you only have
>
> Not work in what way Gil?
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>
>
>

893. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 22:00:02 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 885 Message: 893

This is a GREAT system.
It just takes time for more people to get signed up.
It only started back in September of 2003.
It will be alot different a year or 2 down the road.
When I first uploaded my logs I only got 3 confirmations.
Now I have 909 confirmations with 5857 total qsos, it just takes time.

Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 3:31 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

> I don't mean that it does not work. The function works perfectly. It is
only
> that it is mostly useless if you do not have a huge number of contacts or
> many with the more rare entities, because your chance of getting a match
is
> much , much, much less than that of the big pistol. It is probably even
less
> than the return rate of QSL via direct or even bureau.
> It certainly is much less for me!
>
> OTOH there may be a much better and much easier and cheaper set of QSLes
> from new stations that are in a contest or an expedition. The new contacts
> serious contester or dxpedition operations is where this is likely to
shine,
> which is good and a very big incentive to upload your logs.
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
> > Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 3:03 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
> >
> > On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 02:31:13PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > > You are correct in many ways. It works for big pistols like you but
> > > for those of us that barely have enough contacts to make
> > our century
> > > mark it does not work at all YET! I think one day it will.

> > For now if
> > > you only have
> >
> > Not work in what way Gil?
> >
> >
> > Hamish
> > --
> > Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

894. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-29 22:17:31 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 885 Message: 894

I certainly am a little pistol. I have just over 1200 contacts in the system with 74 returns, and I consider this total success.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 04:36 PM 1/29/2004 +0000, you wrote:
>After some of the slagging this system has been taking in the last
>few days, I'm compelled to add my multiple cents.
>
>Great Job so far LoTW !
>
>I've uploaded almost 200,000 QSO's for contest station K9NS and
>almost 50,000 for myself. Which probably puts me in the top 5 (if
>not number 2 behind HC8N, depends on how many of the E coast multi-
>ops have uploaded logs...) of ALL users. So far, except for some
>errors on MY part, I have had no (none,nada) problems with the system.
>
>I'm not a computer professional nor do I play one on TV - just a long
>time computer and Internet user.
>
>It's amusing how every internet group has those that treat the topic
>of interest like a life or death situation. Except for a very few
>subjects, it's not. If the ARRL wants to waste server space and
>increase lookup times by a few hundred milliseconds through keeping
>duplicate or dead records, fine - let them. We're not paying for the
>CPU cycles. The variable latency of your internet connection is
>probably more then the extra time it takes to deal with dupe's in the
>LoTW system. If you object because it's "just bad code", then offer
>to help them re-write it (off list) for free.
>
>Again - good job to all involved at the ARRL. I'm looking forward to
>the award system, but not like my next meal depends on it...
>
>Keith (Jay)
>W9RM
>trustee K9NS
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links

> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Age and treachery will overcome youth and skill
Outbound mail checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2003

895. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-30 00:39:42 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 885 Message: 895

On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 03:31:27PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> I don't mean that it does not work. The function works perfectly. It is only
> that it is mostly useless if you do not have a huge number of contacts or
> many with the more rare entities, because your chance of getting a match is
> much , much, much less than that of the big pistol. It is probably even less
> than the return rate of QSL via direct or even bureau.
> It certainly is much less for me!

Well your chance to getting a QSL is the same as your change of working
them. LoTW doesn't favour the big guns except that the big guns are more
likely to have the QSOs to confirm.

I've got 1999 QSOs uploaded and 130 QSLs. I've got plenty of QSLs for
which I don't have cards, though I haven't actively sought out cards
myself. But there are QSLs in LOTW for me which don't appear to be too
easy to get on paper. So I'm pretty pleased.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

896. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-30 01:31:37 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 885 Message: 896

My point is that I have a CHANCE of getting a card form every single station I work via mail. Every station has some sort of mail address. It may be slow, it may be expensive, but it almost certainly does exist. OTOH for a LARGE number of contacts they do not upload to LotW so there is absolutely no chance of getting a card that way. Thais does not matter to the big gun that has worked so many that they have a much better chance of finding a station that does upload.

The other need is the ease of using them for awards. It is ZERO right now. It probably will change soon for ARRL DX awards , but , that is a small percentage of the total. If it is useless for other awards I still have to get the paper card so I have gained nothing in that case except perhaps a quicker credit for the few supported awards.

It is still not clear what all this awards support will cost. Currently the paper card can be sued for every award, so I need to go to the expense only once. If LotW charges separately for every award it will support and it supports a lot of them then the expense will be just as great. That is not acceptable and indeed it may not work that way. I have not been able to determine that answer since the rules are not out yet.

Until the chance of getting a card via LotW is equal to or greater than the chance of getting it by mail it will continue to be an unrealized dream. How soon this happens is anyone's guess. I really think the advantages of LotW are SO GREAT that EVERYONE ought to use it. They are a fool if they can and they don't. The question still remains though, will they? It is not answered yet.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
> Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 6:40 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
>
> On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 03:31:27PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > I don't mean that it does not work. The function works
> perfectly. It
> > is only that it is mostly useless if you do not have a huge
> number of
> > contacts or many with the more rare entities, because your
> chance of
> > getting a match is much , much, much less than that of the
> big pistol.
> > It is probably even less than the return rate of QSL via
> direct or even bureau.
> > It certainly is much less for me!

>
> Well your chance to getting a QSL is the same as your change
> of working them. LoTW doesn't favour the big guns except that
> the big guns are more likely to have the QSOs to confirm.
>
> I've got 1999 QSOs uploaded and 130 QSLs. I've got plenty of
> QSLs for which I don't have cards, though I haven't actively
> sought out cards myself. But there are QSLs in LOTW for me
> which don't appear to be too easy to get on paper. So I'm
> pretty pleased.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

897. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-30 03:40:05 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 885 Message: 897

I think you are expecting too much too soon. Who's to say that all or
most stations won't upload in the future?

The awards stuff is coming, next month I read on this list. It might
take time for providers other than the ARRL to get involved but there's
no reason to think that it won't happen in time.

Hamish

On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 07:31:37PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> My point is that I have a CHANCE of getting a card form every single station
> I work via mail. Every station has some sort of mail address. It may be
> slow, it may be expensive, but it almost certainly does exist. OTOH for a
> LARGE number of contacts they do not upload to LotW so there is absolutely
> no chance of getting a card that way. Thais does not matter to the big gun
> that has worked so many that they have a much better chance of finding a
> station that does upload.
>
> The other need is the ease of using them for awards. It is ZERO right now.
> It probably will change soon for ARRL DX awards , but , that is a small
> percentage of the total. If it is useless for other awards I still have to
> get the paper card so I have gained nothing in that case except perhaps a
> quicker credit for the few supported awards.
>
> It is still not clear what all this awards support will cost. Currently the
> paper card can be sued for every award, so I need to go to the expense only
> once. If LotW charges separately for every award it will support and it
> supports a lot of them then the expense will be just as great. That is not
> acceptable and indeed it may not work that way. I have not been able to
> determine that answer since the rules are not out yet.
>
>
> Until the chance of getting a card via LotW is equal to or greater than the
> chance of getting it by mail it will continue to be an unrealized dream. How
> soon this happens is anyone's guess. I really think the advantages of LotW
> are SO GREAT that EVERYONE ought to use it. They are a fool if they can and
> they don't. The question still remains though, will they? It is not answered
> yet.
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
> > Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2004 6:40 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Good Work LoTW
> >

> > On Thu, Jan 29, 2004 at 03:31:27PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> > > I don't mean that it does not work. The function works
> > perfectly. It
> > > is only that it is mostly useless if you do not have a huge
> > number of
> > > contacts or many with the more rare entities, because your
> > chance of
> > > getting a match is much , much, much less than that of the
> > big pistol.
> > > It is probably even less than the return rate of QSL via
> > direct or even bureau.
> > > It certainly is much less for me!
> >
> > Well your chance to getting a QSL is the same as your change
> > of working them. LoTW doesn't favour the big guns except that
> > the big guns are more likely to have the QSOs to confirm.
> >
> > I've got 1999 QSOs uploaded and 130 QSLs. I've got plenty of
> > QSLs for which I don't have cards, though I haven't actively
> > sought out cards myself. But there are QSLs in LOTW for me
> > which don't appear to be too easy to get on paper. So I'm
> > pretty pleased.
> >
> >
> > Hamish
> > --
> > Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

898. Re: LOTW surpasses eQSL in records

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-30 12:37:41 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 859 Message: 898

Interesting, as mine shows exactly the same number as I uploaded. I wonder if you have uploaded some duplicate records that are different enough that LOTW can distinguish. For example, if the record is uploaded a a 20 meter CW contact and uploaded as a 14.028 CW contact with everything else being the same, that will show as two records even though it is the same QSO record.

As for eQSL, what constitutes a QSL - it is the same as a QSO record - there does not have to be any matching QSO record. A QSO record in LOTW is the same as a QSL with eQSL.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@t...> wrote:
> Hi:
> These QSO numbers from LoTW are strictly BS in my opinion !!!!
>
> I have approximately 5073 QSO's uploaded to LoTW !!!
>
> LoTW show's that I have 7620 uploaded as op today !!!
>
> This means that LoTW that LoTW has me credited with UPLOADING about 50% more
> QSO's than I actually have !!!
>
> I have contacted the ARRL (NN1N and subordinates) about this problem and have
> received NEGATIVE results from my inquiries.
>
> I have been working with LoTW since the early stages of the BETA test and kept
> getting messages that they were going to correct their method of counting
> QSO's and CREDITED CONFORMATIONS !!!
>
> I have CEASED to upload ANY HF QSO's latter than 7/30/03 to LoTW, with the exception
> of any GENERAL and VHF/UHF QSO's !!!
>
> I have asked the ARRL LoTW program to DELETE any QSO UPLOADS from me so that I
> might CORRECT any UPLOADS from my station that do not MATCH the LoTW requirements !!!
>
> ALL my requests to date have been met with a NEGATIVE response !!!

>
> Guess I'll just keep saving my logs until LoTW gets their act together.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 18:15 1/27/2004 , you wrote:
> >LOTW showed 33.1 million QSOs loaded today where eQSL only shows 33.0.
> >First time LOTW beat eQSL on a given day. LOTW is growing much faster.
> >
> >Duffy
> >www.wb8nut.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> >To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

899. so which is more economical

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-31 01:34:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 899 Message: 899

I've accumulated a bunch of cards to be submitted for DXCC/Challenge.
I've recently received a few cards that duplicate LoTW qsl's.

If I had known they were going to upload to LoTW I wouldn't have requested
the card and just sent the donation.

I've read that a LoTW qsl would be credited at approx. $0.25 each with a possibility
of a sliding scale.

This will be my first submission of the year, so that is $10.00
I have a less than 120 qso's on cards so no per/card extra charge if I submit the 6 cards
that are duplicates of the LoTW qsls.

That leaves approx. 15 LoTW qsl's at the $0.25/each rate or an additional $4.00.
So the question is, considering the weight of the additional cards to be sent to and
returned from ARRL DXCC desk, is it more economical to send the duplicated qso cards or
let them be
credited via LoTW.

Now I realize I've already saved 15 qso's worth of cards, stamps envelopes/irc or green
stamps by using LoTW.
This is purely an academic question for the more financially astute on the reflector.

Seeing as how there hasn't been all that much to discuss lately.

So what do you think, what is the bottom line?

The more beautiful the woman is who loves you, the easier it is to leave her with no hard
feelings.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

900. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-31 02:19:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 899 Message: 900

> So the question is, considering the weight of the additional
> cards to be sent to and returned from ARRL DXCC desk, is it
> more economical to send the duplicated qso cards or let them be
> credited via LoTW.

If you're already doing a hardcopy submission (shipping to/from Newington rather than having the cards field checked) go ahead and send the cards. The weight of six additional cards should not be enough to make any difference in the shipping cost. At 120 cards or less you're probably within the limits of most of the flat rate, two or thee day small package rates.

Even if the six cards caused the package to weigh into the next pound, the increase in rate for USPS Priority Mail is $1.80 per pound at zone 8 (the maximum zone). If the cards qualify for "media mail" rates the step is $0.42 per additional pound.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

901. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-31 02:35:51 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 899 Message: 901

Which also brings up the question of which confirmation will be used?

If you check the box to use LOTW QSLs and you also have "real" cards (or have use a field checker) there may be duplicates since your not specifying which to use. I'm sure there are those who don't track the LOTW confirmations closely and will simply check the box "in case".

This also brings up the issue of once the LOTW box is checked off, one no longer controls which confirmations are being credited to band/mode awards. For example, maybe I only want CW QSL credited (maybe not really chasing RTTY DXCC but play a bit and don't ever plan on applying for Digital DXCC) and don't want the expense of unwanted credits.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2004 7:19 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

> So the question is, considering the weight of the additional
> cards to be sent to and returned from ARRL DXCC desk, is it
> more economical to send the duplicated qso cards or let them be
> credited via LoTW.

If you're already doing a hardcopy submission (shipping to/from Newington rather than having the cards field checked) go ahead and send the cards. The weight of six additional cards should not be enough to make any difference in the shipping cost. At 120 cards or less you're probably within the limits of most of the flat rate, two or thee day small package rates.

Even if the six cards caused the package to weigh into the next pound, the increase in rate for USPS Priority Mail is $1.80 per pound at zone 8 (the maximum zone). If the cards qualify for "media mail" rates the step is $0.42 per additional pound.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

902. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-01-31 03:00:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 899 Message: 902

Top posted. Purely academic questions.

That brings up the question.
Are the LoTW qsl's credited to you records charged to you when you apply for the award?
I would think so.
I think when I qualified for rtty dxcc, I got a note asking if I wanted a certificate, etc.
If you say no, I would think there would be no application, hence no charge.
The qsl's would just sit in the bank in case you ever changed your mind.

But your right, if you had two different ops credited for BS7H on 160 cw. Can you choose which one would be the one that's credited.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2004 8:35 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

Which also brings up the question of which confirmation will be used?

If you check the box to use LOTW QSLs and you also have "real" cards (or have use a field checker) there may be duplicates since your not specifying which to use. I'm sure there are those who don't track the LOTW confirmations closely and will simply check the box "in case".

This also brings up the issue of once the LOTW box is checked off, one no longer controls which confirmations are being credited to band/mode awards. For example, maybe I only want CW QSL credited (maybe not really chasing RTTY DXCC but play a bit and don't ever plan on applying for Digital DXCC) and don't want the expense of unwanted credits.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2004 7:19 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

> So the question is, considering the weight of the additional
> cards to be sent to and returned from ARRL DXCC desk, is it
> more economical to send the duplicated qso cards or let them be
> credited via LoTW.

If you're already doing a hardcopy submission (shipping to/from Newington rather than having the cards field checked) go ahead and send the cards. The weight of six additional cards should not be enough to make any difference in the shipping cost. At 120 cards or less you're probably within the limits of most of the flat rate, two or thee day small package rates.

Even if the six cards caused the package to weigh into the next pound, the increase in rate for USPS Priority Mail is $1.80 per pound at zone 8 (the maximum zone). If the cards qualify for "media mail" rates the step is $0.42 per additional pound.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

903. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] so which is more economical

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 00:40:17 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 899 Message: 903

On Fri, Jan 30, 2004 at 09:00:24PM -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> Top posted. Purely academic questions.
>
> That brings up the question.
> Are the LoTW qsl's credited to you records charged to you when you apply for the award?
> I would think so.
> I think when I qualified for rtty dxcc, I got a note asking if I wanted a certificate,
> etc.
> If you say no, I would think there would be no application, hence no charge.
> The qsl's would just sit in the bank in case you ever changed your mind.

Also, if you use a QSL for credit in multiple awards (eg WAS and DXCC)
do you pay twice?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

904. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 00:39:02 UTC
From: N2TK
Topic: 881 Message: 904

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn?t show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?
I have my old certificates ? certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.
I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don?t get a ?save? command as listed below.

Any ideas?
Thanks
N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ------------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT
click here
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cmhf5ki/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroup
web/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1075424208/A=1945637/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Def

ault?mqso=60178397&partid=4116732>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

905. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 01:02:33 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 881 Message: 905

Tony, I have tried everything that was suggested and everything I could think of with no luck. I even E-Mailed ARRL help but have not had any response from them at all.
I did request a new Cert. and when I receive it if I do I will try it and see if it works. I guess if it does not work I will just use EQSL.

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: N2TK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; wb4mnk@...
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?

I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.

I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get a "save" command as listed below.

Any ideas?

Thanks

N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@bellsouth.net
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file

6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

· To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

· To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

· Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

906. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 01:23:23 UTC
From: r b
Topic: 881 Message: 906

Art,

Don't give up. I had the same problem and contacted
Wayne Mills at 860-594-0291. I have erased all the
email that was sent to me so I can not tell you how to
cure it but it has to do with removing all tq 5 files
before installing the new certif. Sorry I can't be of
more help.

Randy

--- Art <wb4mnk@...> wrote:
> Tony, I have tried everything that was suggested and
> everything I could think of with no luck. I even
> E-Mailed ARRL help but have not had any response
> from them at all.
> I did request a new Cert. and when I receive it if I
> do I will try it and see if it works. I guess if it
> does not work I will just use EQSL.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: N2TK
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ;
> wb4mnk@...
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> crash
>
>
> I am having the same problem copying the files to
> a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of
> LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old
> hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files.
> As I kept everything in this folder and my backups
> also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it
> could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting
> a certificate?
>
> I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my
> request n2tk.tq5.
>
> I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file >
> load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next,

> but it was looking for a .p12 file.
>
>
>
> I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but
> I don't get a "save" command as listed below.
>
>
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Thanks
>
> N2TK, Tony
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;
> wb4mnk@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> crash
>
>
>
>
> Art,
>
> 1) Start tQSLCert
> 2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
> 3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
> 4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the
> .P12 file
> for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
> 5) enter the password you used to create the .p12
> file
> 6) enter your private key
>
> Now your certificate should be reinstalled.
>
> 7) Start tQSL
> 8) select Station -> Add Location
> 9) follow the normal process to enter the data
> for your
> station location (grid square, ITUzone,
> Cqzone, etc.)
> 10) Save the location
>

> Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> crash
> > >
> > >
> > > I have had to format my hard drive and start
> over and now have
> > > everything as it should be but I cannot figure
> out how to get LOTW
> > > working again.
> > > The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert,
> P12 file.
> > > When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get
> a warning (You have
> > > no station location assigned)I then click Ok,
> Click on new and get
> > > error (No certificates available)I have no Idea
> at this time how to
> > > correct these problems. Any help will be
> appreciated.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ~-->
> > > Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP,
> Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> > > Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or
> more to the
> > > US & Canada.
> > > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> > >
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM

> >
>

> > --------~->
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email
> to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> ? To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>
> ? To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> ? Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free web site building tool. Try it!
http://webhosting.yahoo.com/ps/sb/

907. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 01:25:05 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 881 Message: 907

If you can sign using the certificate on the original machine you are set. You simply go to the TQSL and do a SAVE of the certificate. THAT is how you get the p12 file, NO OTHER WAY.

Go to the second machine and LOAD the certificate. Then you are done. When all else fails, read the manual.

_____

From: N2TK [mailto:n2tk@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 6:39 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?
I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.
I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get a "save" command as listed below.

Any ideas?
Thanks
N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

908. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 01:32:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 881 Message: 908

I may have missed the point here. I thought it was to transfer the certificate to a new machine. If you did not do a save of your certificate (as warned at install time) so that you have the p12 file then you are out of luck. It is IMPOSSIBLE to recreate it , even ARRL cannot do that. That is how public key encryption works. You must get a new certificate and start over.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: r b [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:23 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
> Art,
>
> Don't give up. I had the same problem and contacted Wayne
> Mills at 860-594-0291. I have erased all the email that was
> sent to me so I can not tell you how to cure it but it has to
> do with removing all tq 5 files before installing the new
> certif. Sorry I can't be of more help.
>
> Randy
>
> --- Art <wb4mnk@...> wrote:
> > Tony, I have tried everything that was suggested and everything I
> > could think of with no luck. I even E-Mailed ARRL help but have not
> > had any response from them at all.
> > I did request a new Cert. and when I receive it if I do I
> will try it
> > and see if it works. I guess if it does not work I will
> just use EQSL.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: N2TK
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ;
> > wb4mnk@...
> > Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
> >
> >
> > I am having the same problem copying the files to a new
> hard drive.

> > I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the
> certificates
> > from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files.
> > As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also
> didn't show a
> > .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to
> start over
> > requesting a certificate?
> >
> > I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.
> >
> > I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load
> certificate
> > file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.
> >
> >
> >
> > I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get a
> > "save" command as listed below.
> >
> >
> >
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > N2TK, Tony
> >
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;
> > wb4mnk@...
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Art,
> >
> > 1) Start tQSLCert
> > 2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
> > 3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
> > 4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the
> > .P12 file
> > for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
> > 5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
> > 6) enter your private key

> >
> > Now your certificate should be reinstalled.
> >
> > 7) Start tQSL
> > 8) select Station -> Add Location
> > 9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
> > station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
> > 10) Save the location
> >
> > Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> > > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
> > >
> > >
> > > I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> > > everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how
> to get LOTW
> > > working again.
> > > The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert,
> > P12 file.
> > > When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You
> > have
> > > no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on
> new and get
> > > error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this
> time how
> > to
> > > correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------------------~-->
> > > Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or
> > Lexmark

> > > Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> > > US & Canada.
> > > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> > >
> >
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> > >
> >
> ---
> > > --------~->
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email
> > to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ---
> ----------------
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > 7 To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> >
> > 7 To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> > email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> > 7 Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> --
> ----------------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >
> >
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free web site building tool. Try it!
> http://webhosting.yahoo.com/ps/sb/
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

909. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 01:47:37 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 881 Message: 909

Tony,

On a WIN98SE system, these files are in C:\Windows\Application data\TRUSTEDQSL.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: N2TK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; wb4mnk@...t
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 5:39 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?

I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.

I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get a "save" command as listed below.

Any ideas?

Thanks

N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file

for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@bellsouth.net]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

· To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

· To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

· Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

910. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 02:00:02 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 881 Message: 910

Just to be clear, on your OLD system.

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 6:47 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Tony,

On a WIN98SE system, these files are in C:\Windows\Application data\TRUSTEDQSL.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: N2TK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; wb4mnk@...
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 5:39 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?

I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.

I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get a "save" command as listed below.

Any ideas?

Thanks

N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...

Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ~-------------------------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark

> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

· To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

· To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

· Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

911. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 17:51:27 UTC
From: N2TK
Topic: 881 Message: 911

Thanks for the replies and suggestions. I want to especially thank K4IK who talked me through moving LOTW from a WIN98SE pc to a WIN2000PRO pc. It works fine. Just made a submission.

Now that I have all of my recent QSO?s and recent DXPediiton logs uploaded, I have some questions on what you do with it.
- What?s the best way to check if a needed band/mode QSL is there for you?
- What do you do with this info?
- How do you get credit for DXCC?
- Is there a way to download the confirmed QSL info into a program like DXBase without having to go through every page or QSO?
- How do you handle uploading QSO?s with calls like RAEM? That was the only call that was rejected for creating the tq8 file.

Thanks again for the help
N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: N2TK [mailto:tony.kaz@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
To: 'ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com'; 'wb4mnk@...'
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept everything in this folder and my backups also didn?t show a .p12 file, I do not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a certificate?
I have my old certificates ? certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.
I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.

I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don?t get a ?save? command as listed below.

Any ideas?
Thanks
N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Art,

1) Start tQSLCert
2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
6) enter your private key

Now your certificate should be reinstalled.

7) Start tQSL
8) select Station -> Add Location
9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
10) Save the location

Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> working again.
> The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You have
> no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how to
> correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511

> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT
click here
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cmhf5ki/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroup
web/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1075424208/A=1945637/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Def
ault?mqso=60178397&partid=4116732>

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

912. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 20:27:25 UTC
From: r b
Topic: 881 Message: 912

You might want to look into a logging program put out
by N3FJP. Costs less than $20.00, you can down loadit
from the internet and it handles the LOTW upload and
download of confirmed going as far to put sent and
confirmed into your log.

Randy
AG8A
--- N2TK <n2tk@...> wrote:
> Thanks for the replies and suggestions. I want to
> especially thank K4IK who
> talked me through moving LOTW from a WIN98SE pc to a
> WIN2000PRO pc. It works
> fine. Just made a submission.
>
> Now that I have all of my recent QSO?s and recent
> DXPediiton logs uploaded,
> I have some questions on what you do with it.
> - What?s the best way to check if a needed
> band/mode QSL is there
> for you?
> - What do you do with this info?
> - How do you get credit for DXCC?
> - Is there a way to download the confirmed
> QSL info into a program
> like DXBase without having to go through every page
> or QSO?
> - How do you handle uploading QSO?s with
> calls like RAEM? That was
> the only call that was rejected for creating the tq8
> file.
>
> Thanks again for the help
> N2TK, Tony
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: N2TK [mailto:tony.kaz@...]
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
> To: 'ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com';
> 'wb4mnk@...'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> crash
>
> I am having the same problem copying the files to a
> new hard drive. I

> installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over
> the certificates from
> the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12
> files. As I kept
> everything in this folder and my backups also didn?t
> show a .p12 file, I do
> not where it could have gone. Do I need to start
> over requesting a
> certificate?
> I have my old certificates ? certs.tq6 and my
> request n2tk.tq5.
> I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file >
> load certificate file >
> clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a
> .p12 file.
>
> I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I
> don?t get a ?save?
> command as listed below.
>
> Any ideas?
> Thanks
> N2TK, Tony
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> crash
>
>
> Art,
>
> 1) Start tQSLCert
> 2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
> 3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
> 4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the
> .P12 file
> for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
> 5) enter the password you used to create the .p12
> file
> 6) enter your private key
>
> Now your certificate should be reinstalled.
>
> 7) Start tQSL
> 8) select Station -> Add Location
> 9) follow the normal process to enter the data for
> your

> station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone,
> etc.)
> 10) Save the location
>
> Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
> > >
> > >
> > I have had to format my hard drive and start over
> and now have
> > everything as it should be but I cannot figure out
> how to get LOTW
> > working again.
> > The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12
> file.
> > When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a
> warning (You have
> > no station location assigned)I then click Ok,
> Click on new and get
> > error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at
> this time how to
> > correct these problems. Any help will be
> appreciated.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------~-->
> > > Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP,
> Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> > > Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or
> more to the
> > > US & Canada.
> > > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511

> >
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> >
>

> > -------~->
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cmhf5ki/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=egroup
>
web/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1075424208/A=1945637/R=0/*http://www.netflix.com/Def
> ault?mqso=60178397&partid=4116732>
>
>
> _____
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free web site building tool. Try it!
http://webhosting.yahoo.com/ps/sb/

913. Re: reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-01 20:54:54 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 881 Message: 913

DXKeeper tracks hardcopy, eQSL, and LotW confirmation status for each logged QSO. It will automatically download LotW QSLs, match them against logged QSOs, update confirmation status, and optionally update the logged QSO with additional information provided with the LotW QSL (e.g. US county, CQ zone, ITU zone). DXKeeper also provides reports that show progress by all three forms of confirmation, as well as DXCC progress (hardcopy + LotW).

DXKeeper is free, and available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "N2TK" <n2tk@a...> wrote:
> Thanks for the replies and suggestions. I want to especially thank K4IK who
> talked me through moving LOTW from a WIN98SE pc to a WIN2000PRO pc. It works
> fine. Just made a submission.
>
> Now that I have all of my recent QSO's and recent DXPediiton logs uploaded,
> I have some questions on what you do with it.
> - What's the best way to check if a needed band/mode QSL is there
> for you?
> - What do you do with this info?
> - How do you get credit for DXCC?
> - Is there a way to download the confirmed QSL info into a program
> like DXBase without having to go through every page or QSO?
> - How do you handle uploading QSO's with calls like RAEM? That was
> the only call that was rejected for creating the tq8 file.
>
> Thanks again for the help
> N2TK, Tony
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: N2TK [mailto:tony.kaz@v...]
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
> To: 'ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com'; 'wb4mnk@b...'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>

> I am having the same problem copying the files to a new hard drive.
I
> installed the latest version of LOTW. I copied over the
certificates from
> the old hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files. As I kept
> everything in this folder and my backups also didn't show a .p12
file, I do
> not where it could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting a
> certificate?
> I have my old certificates ☐ certs.tq6 and my request n2tk.tq5.
> I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file > load certificate
file >
> clicked tqsl , then next, but it was looking for a .p12 file.
>
> I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but I don't get
a "save"
> command as listed below.
>
> Any ideas?
> Thanks
> N2TK, Tony
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@s...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; wb4mnk@b...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
>
>
> Art,
>
> 1) Start tQSLCert
> 2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
> 3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
> 4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the .P12 file
> for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
> 5) enter the password you used to create the .p12 file
> 6) enter your private key
>
> Now your certificate should be reinstalled.
>
> 7) Start tQSL
> 8) select Station -> Add Location
> 9) follow the normal process to enter the data for your
> station location (grid square, ITUzone, Cqzone, etc.)
> 10) Save the location
>
> Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.
>

> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@b...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash
> >
> >
> > I have had to format my hard drive and start over and now have
> > everything as it should be but I cannot figure out how to get LOTW
> > working again.
> > The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert, P12 file.
> > When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get a warning (You
have
> > no station location assigned)I then click Ok, Click on new and get
> > error (No certificates available)I have no Idea at this time how
to
> > correct these problems. Any help will be appreciated.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~-->
> > Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or
Lexmark
> > Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> > US & Canada.
> > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---------- ~->
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12cmhf5ki/M=267637.4116732.5333197.1261774/D=
egroup
>
web/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1075424208/A=1945637/R=0/*http://www.netflix.c
om/Def
> ault?mqso=60178397&partid=4116732>
>
>
> _____
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

914. Question for the group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 07:18:01 UTC
From: larry fields
Topic: 914 Message: 914

I been contemplating using the LOTW program for several days now and was wondering if I can just download all this log stuff to zip until I get next to a computer of my own to use it on.

Larry Fields,n6hpx

n6hpx@...

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free web site building tool. Try it!

915. LoTW program screen placements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 12:00:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 915 Message: 915

Not a question on LoTW primarily!

I use multiple monitors.
When I open the TQSL.exe portion (that's the screen that is used to 'sign' a log and prepare it for uploading), It always opens in the same spot on the Main Windows screen. Windows XP has a function that window positions are remembered and reopened in the last position and size that was in use when the window was closed.
The Tqslcert.exe window also exhibits this.
The LoTW main screen (which is a browser) always opens on the same screen and same size as last used. (I'm sure it's because it's a windows browser and this is normal).

I think the remembering position and size is a feature that must be programmed into the code by the authors.

Has anyone been able to get the two TQSL windows to 'remember' their last position used? Or is my computer so old it's having a senior electron moment?

You're just jealous because the voices are talking to me and not you!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

916. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW program screen placements

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 12:16:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 915 Message: 916

Two things are important here. First is that the program itself is in total control of where it displays itself on the screen. It can set position or it can use defaults. The default will be stored in the registry. Usually if correctly coded and the program is resizable and repositionable (as any windows program ought to be) then it will also store that in the registry. That is how how it should work. If that is done and the program retrieves the last position when opening then all should work EXCEPT, many programs do not know how to handle a negative position. Yu get negative position if the prime monitor is on the right and the secondary is on the left. If than happens and the program can't handle a negative number for position you can get really crazy results, like losing the program altogether or always coming up on the primary or many other things. I have not tried it with tqsl so do not know what they do but that is the basics.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Monday, February 02, 2004 6:00 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW program screen placements
>
> Not a question on LoTW primarily!
>
> I use multiple monitors.
> When I open the TQSL.exe portion (that's the screen that is
> used to 'sign' a log and prepare it for uploading), It always
> opens in the same spot on the Main Windows screen.
> Windows XP has a function that window positions are
> remembered and reopened in the last position and size that
> was in use when the window was closed.
> The Tqslcert.exe window also exhibits this.
> The LoTW main screen (which is a browser) always opens on the
> same screen and same size as last used. (I'm sure it's
> because it's a windows browser and this is normal).
>
> I think the remembering position and size is a feature that
> must be programmed into the code by the authors.
>
> Has anyone been able to get the two TQSL windows to
> 'remember' their last position used?
> Or is my computer so old it's having a senior electron moment?
>

>
> ---
> You're just jealous because the voices are talking to me and not you!
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

917. 100 confirmed via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 15:58:12 UTC
From: William Hein, AA6TT
Topic: 917 Message: 917

Just passed 100 DXCC (mixed) confirmed via LOTW.

73,
Bill NT1Y/ex-AA6TT

918. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 100 confirmed via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 16:07:17 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 917 Message: 918

At 07:58 AM 2/2/04 -0800, William Hein, NT1Y wrote:

>Just passed 100 DXCC (mixed) confirmed via LOTW.
>

Me too, Bill -- picked up the last 3 over the weekend.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

919. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 100 confirmed via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-02 16:17:00 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 917 Message: 919

Congrats Bill!
I am waiting for another three to reach 100. Hope to get them before Fab.
15, when the LoTW Award should start.

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl
http://shop.sp7ps.pl

"William Hein, AA6TT" wrote:
> Just passed 100 DXCC (mixed) confirmed via LOTW.
>
> 73,
> Bill NT1Y/ex-AA6TT

920. Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 22:04:03 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 920

After noting several of my LoTW QSL confirmations were lacking complete "Station" info !!! I decided to download my latest "LoTW Report" and do some analysis of the situation.

I must admit that I was a bit surprised at how bad this problem was.

Of my 356 LoTW QSL's, 30% of them(108) had incomplete "Station Data" making many of the QSL's useless for Awards other than DXCC !!!

Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
No Grid = 22
No County = 2
No County & Grid = 1
No ITU Zone = 11
No CQ Zone = 3
No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
No Grid & ITU Zone = 8

Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.

So what is the bottom line ???

I don't know, but as far as I can see these type of statistics tend to make LoTW useless as far as being able to use LoTW QSL's for credit on awards other than the ARRL DXCC, WAS and WAC Awards !!!

The ARRL VUCC Award is questionable due to lack of grid info AND the fact the LoTW has very little acceptance by the VHF/UHF/SHF community, but that another story !!!

Use of LoTW for Non-ARRL Awards is also up for grabs. CQ Magazines Awards and County Hunters will need some of the missing data. I don't know about US Islands, Canadian Islands and IOTA awards. Awards like 10-10, SMIRK and FISTS are not even supported by providing a place to enter their #'s in the "Station" info.

I think it is up to LoTW to make sure that the "Submitted Logs" contain all of the "Station" information.

This could be accomplished in a number of ways:

1-LoTW could review (electronically) all of the currently received records and ask submitter to update his "Station" info (supply ALL info) and resign and resubmit their logs.

2-Anyone finding incomplete info on a LoTW QSL could submit a list of the offending callsigns to LoTW and LoTW could ask them resign and resubmit their logs or have them rejected.

3-LoTW could refuse to accept any NEW submissions lacking complete "Station" data. This might cause some of the current "offending" users to take notice and resign and resubmit their logs on their own.

4-LoTW could make the importance supplying ALL of the "Station" data better know in the setup instructions. I consider it a poorly documented point.

I support LoTW, but I'm starting to see it bogging down in a quagmire.

So far there are less than 6000 Hams worldwide using LoTW at this time, so I see that this is the ideal time to make changes.

73
Bob, K1VU

921. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 22:21:18 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 920 Message: 921

At 05:04 PM 2/3/04 -0500, R Johnson wrote:
>I think it is up to LoTW to make sure that the "Submitted Logs" contain
>all of the
>"Station" information.

I don't know. I agree with you that the statistic about missing ITU&CQ zones is dismaying, but it may be a little over-fierce to say that LotW should reject any log submissions that aren't complete to the Nth level. After all, as I understand it, any of these folks is free to re-upload any of these QSOs with the fuller information. A case can be made that in the early months of a system like this, you want to minimize rejection of submitted logs for secondary reasons, lest you turn people off. When/if CQ comes on board, part of the deal might be a strong promotional effort by ARRL to get people to insert their zone data and resubmit.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

922. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 22:30:44 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 920 Message: 922

I could not agree with you more. I do think though, that it must be FORCED, if the information is not TOTALLY complete the submission should be rejected out of hand. There is NO excuse to do it any other way.
The other point about space for support of other awards is CRITICAL to the survival of LotW. I and many others will simply give up if these things are not done. It would be a shame for such a promising system.

With all of the time spent on this in design and beta it is amazing that these things were missed. Who programmed this, a cber?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2004 4:04 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???
>
> After noting several of my LoTW QSL confirmations were
> lacking complete "Station" info !!! I decided to download my
> latest "LoTW Report" and do some analysis of the situation.
>
> I must admit that I was a bit surprised at how bad this problem was.
>
> Of my 356 LoTW QSL's, 30% of them(108) had incomplete
> "Station Data" making many of the QSL's useless for Awards
> other than DXCC !!!
>
> Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> No Grid = 22
> No County = 2
> No County & Grid = 1
> No ITU Zone = 11
> No CQ Zone = 3
> No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
>
> Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
>
> So what is the bottom line ???
>
> I don't know, but as far as I can see these type of
> statistics tend to make LoTW useless as far as being able to

> use LoTW QSL's for credit on awards other than the ARRL DXCC,
> WAS and WAC Awards !!!
>
> The ARRL VUCC Award is questionable due to lack of grid info
> AND the fact the LoTW has very little acceptance by the
> VHF/UHF/SHF community, but that another story !!!
>
> Use of LoTW for Non-ARRL Awards is also up for grabs. CQ
> Magazines Awards and County Hunters will need some of the
> missing data. I don't know about US Islands, Canadian
> Islands and IOTA awards. Awards like 10-10, SMIRK and FISTS
> are not even supported by providing a place to enter their
> #'s in the "Station" info.
>
> I think it is up to LoTW to make sure that the "Submitted
> Logs" contain all of the "Station" information.
>
> This could be accomplished in a number of ways:
>
> 1-LoTW could review (electronically) all of the currently
> received records and ask
> submitter to update his "Station" info (supply ALL info)
> and resign and resubmit
> their logs.
>
> 2-Anyone finding incomplete info on a LoTW QSL could submit a
> list of the offending
> callsigns to LoTW and LoTW could ask them resign and
> resubmit their logs or have
> them rejected.
>
> 3-LoTW could refuse to accept any NEW submissions lacking
> complete "Station" data.
> This might cause some of the current "offending" users to
> take notice and resign
> and resubmit their logs on their own.
>
> 4-LoTW could make the importance supplying ALL of the
> "Station" data better know in
> the setup instructions. I consider it a poorly documented point.
>
> I support LoTW, but I'm starting to see it bogging down in a quagmire.
>
> So far there are less than 6000 Hams worldwide using LoTW at
> this time, so I see that this is the ideal time to make changes.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

923. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 23:22:01 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 923

Hi:
Glad to see that I'm not alone looking at the numbers.

I see no reason not to reject for lack of GRID, CQZ, or ITUZ as EVERYONE has that info.
County should be required for ALL US submissions as EVERYONE has one.

Right now LoTW will bounce an upload for errors, just make "Incomplete Station Data" an ERROR.

73
Bob, K1VU

When I said At 17:21 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
>At 05:04 PM 2/3/04 -0500, R Johnson wrote:
> >I think it is up to LoTW to make sure that the "Submitted Logs" contain
> >all of the
> >"Station" information.
>
>
>I don't know. I agree with you that the statistic about missing ITU&CQ
>zones is dismaying, but it may be a little over-fierce to say that LotW
>should reject any log submissions that aren't complete to the Nth
>level. After all, as I understand it, any of these folks is free to
>re-upload any of these QSOs with the fuller information. A case can be
>made that in the early months of a system like this, you want to minimize
>rejection of submitted logs for secondary reasons, lest you turn people
>off. When/if CQ comes on board, part of the deal might be a strong
>promotional effort by ARRL to get people to insert their zone data and
>resubmit.
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

924. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 23:21:49 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 920 Message: 924

On Tue, Feb 03, 2004 at 04:30:44PM -0600, rojomn wrote:
> With all of the time spent on this in design and beta it is amazing that
> these things were missed. Who programmed this, a cber?

Now that's insulting. Did you participate in the beta or are you just an
armchair critic with 20/20 hindsight?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

925. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 23:31:51 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 920 Message: 925

>
> Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> No Grid = 22
> No County = 2
> No County & Grid = 1
> No ITU Zone = 11
> No CQ Zone = 3
> No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
>
> Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.

Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
a completely different continent!

>
> 3-LoTW could refuse to accept any NEW submissions lacking
> complete "Station" data. This might cause some of the current
> "offending" users to take notice and resign and resubmit their
> logs on their own.

I don't see how this can be enforced ... e.g., I have no IOTA
or 10-10 number (and don't care for one). How do you determine
what data is appropriate for each station and what is not?

> 4-LoTW could make the importance supplying ALL of the
> "Station" data better know in the setup instructions. I
> consider it a poorly documented point.

"User education" is always good ... but the more pressing need
is to educate those who are not using LotW about its ease of
use, etc. We need far more than 6000 registered users (some
of which are "bad accounts") worldwide for LoTw to really fly.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

926. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-03 23:40:03 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 926

At 17:30 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
>I could not agree with you more. I do think though, that it must be FORCED,
>if the information is not TOTALLY complete the submission should be rejected
>out of hand. There is NO excuse to do it any other way.

Hope the LoTW people take note.

>The other point about space for support of other awards is CRITICAL to the
>survival of LotW. I and many others will simply give up if these things are
>not done. It would be a shame for such a promising system.

This may be one of the reasons that less than 6000 hams world wide are using LoTW.
Maybe a good subject for another discussion "Why LoTW is NOT being used".

>
>
>With all of the time spent on this in design and beta it is amazing that
>these things were missed.

I didn't find LoTW very interested in getting inputs during the Beta stage where
some of these problems could have been caught.

I'd hate to see LoTW go the same way as the ill fated "QST Index Database Project"
that I participated in. Belly up !!!

> Who programmed this, a cber?

I think the documentation was done by the CB'er and the programming was done by
a No-Coder <VBG> !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2004 4:04 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???
> >
> > After noting several of my LoTW QSL confirmations were
> > lacking complete "Station" info !!! I decided to download my
> > latest "LoTW Report" and do some analysis of the situation.
> >

> > I must admit that I was a bit surprised at how bad this problem was.
> >
> > Of my 356 LoTW QSL's, 30% of them(108) had incomplete
> > "Station Data" making many of the QSL's useless for Awards
> > other than DXCC !!!
> >
> > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > No Grid = 22
> > No County = 2
> > No County & Grid = 1
> > No ITU Zone = 11
> > No CQ Zone = 3
> > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> >
> > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> >
> > So what is the bottom line ???
> >
> > I don't know, but as far as I can see these type of
> > statistics tend to make LoTW useless as far as being able to
> > use LoTW QSL's for credit on awards other than the ARRL DXCC,
> > WAS and WAC Awards !!!
> >
> > The ARRL VUCC Award is questionable due to lack of grid info
> > AND the fact the LoTW has very little acceptance by the
> > VHF/UHF/SHF community, but that another story !!!
> >
> > Use of LoTW for Non-ARRL Awards is also up for grabs. CQ
> > Magazines Awards and County Hunters will need some of the
> > missing data. I don't know about US Islands, Canadian
> > Islands and IOTA awards. Awards like 10-10, SMIRK and FISTS
> > are not even supported by providing a place to enter their
> > #'s in the "Station" info.
> >
> > I think it is up to LoTW to make sure that the "Submitted
> > Logs" contain all of the "Station" information.
> >
> > This could be accomplished in a number of ways:
> >
> > 1-LoTW could review (electronically) all of the currently
> > received records and ask
> > submitter to update his "Station" info (supply ALL info)
> > and resign and resubmit
> > their logs.
> >
> > 2-Anyone finding incomplete info on a LoTW QSL could submit a
> > list of the offending
> > callsigns to LoTW and LoTW could ask them resign and

> > resubmit their logs or have
> > them rejected.
> >
> > 3-LoTW could refuse to accept any NEW submissions lacking
> > complete "Station" data.
> > This might cause some of the current "offending" users to
> > take notice and resign
> > and resubmit their logs on their own.
> >
> > 4-LoTW could make the importance supplying ALL of the
> > "Station" data better know in
> > the setup instructions. I consider it a poorly documented point.
> >
> > I support LoTW, but I'm starting to see it bogging down in a quagmire.
> >
> > So far there are less than 6000 Hams worldwide using LoTW at
> > this time, so I see that this is the ideal time to make changes.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

927. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 00:39:59 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 927

At 18:31 2/3/2004 , you wrote:

> >
> > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > No Grid = 22
> > No County = 2
> > No County & Grid = 1
> > No ITU Zone = 11
> > No CQ Zone = 3
> > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> >
> > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
>
>Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
>CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
>a completely different continent!

I must admit that you have me scratching my head on this one !!!
Are you saying that ONLY US Hams have these abilities ???
I always assumed that Ham Radio was considered a "Technical" hobby and
most non-US Hams were required to pass a test of some sort.
I assume that most people would ask if they didn't know where they were
located and that this info was necessary for LoTW use.

>
>
> >
> > 3-LoTW could refuse to accept any NEW submissions lacking
> > complete "Station" data. This might cause some of the current
> > "offending" users to take notice and resign and resubmit their
> > logs on their own.
>
>I don't see how this can be enforced ... e.g., I have no IOTA
>or 10-10 number (and don't care for one). How do you determine
>what data is appropriate for each station and what is not?

A guy ought to know if he was on an Island or not.
As far as the 1010#, SMIRK# or FISTS#'s go, these would be optional. If you
had one you would know it.

Just because YOU wouldn't care for a 1010# doesn't mean that other Hams wouldn't
like to be able to use LoTW for 1010 Awards or that a "CW ONLY" operator who
belongs to FISTS wouldn't like to be able to use LoTW for his Awards. Same for
6M op's and SMIRK.

>
>
> > 4-LoTW could make the importance supplying ALL of the
> > "Station" data better know in the setup instructions. I
> > consider it a poorly documented point.
>
>"User education" is always good ... but the more pressing need
>is to educate those who are not using LotW about its ease of
>use, etc. We need far more than 6000 registered users (some
>of which are "bad accounts") worldwide for LoTw to really fly.

Before you can "educate those who are not using LotW about its ease of use", LoTW MUST be made easy to use !!! Right now it is VERY User unfriendly and is one of the major reasons that we don't see more users. Also remember that LESS than 25% of US Hams belong to the ARRL (23% and falling IIRC) so the other 75% only know about LoTW by word of mouth or some other means.

>
>
>73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

928. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 01:12:45 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 920 Message: 928

Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
JV

> >
> > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > No Grid = 22
> > No County = 2
> > No County & Grid = 1
> > No ITU Zone = 11
> > No CQ Zone = 3
> > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> >
> > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
>
>Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
>CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
>a completely different continent!

929. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 01:34:53 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 929

No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file"
Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???

I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL detail:"

ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.

73 Bob, K1VU

At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
>JV
>
> > >
> > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > No Grid = 22
> > > No County = 2
> > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > >
> > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> >
> >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> >a completely different continent!
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

930. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 01:54:56 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 920 Message: 930

Hi Bob
Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
JV

No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the
adif file"
Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???

I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL
detail:"

ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.

73 Bob, K1VU

At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
>JV
>
> > >
> > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > No Grid = 22
> > > No County = 2
> > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > >
> > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> >
> >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> >a completely different continent!
>

931. Fw: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:19:17 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 931 Message: 931

Bob
I should have mention that I use the adif progam written by EA3TB. His
program is on the DXBase web site http://www.dxbase.com/.
Sorry
JV
==========

Hi Bob
Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
JV

No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the
adif file"
Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???

I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL
detail:"

ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.

73 Bob, K1VU

At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
>JV
>
> > >
> > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > No Grid = 22
> > > No County = 2
> > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > >
> > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> >
> >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> >a completely different continent!
>

932. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:20:47 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 920 Message: 932

> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>
> I must admit that you have me scratching my head on this one !!!
> Are you saying that ONLY US Hams have these abilities ???
> I always assumed that Ham Radio was considered a "Technical" hobby and
> most non-US Hams were required to pass a test of some sort.
> I assume that most people would ask if they didn't know where
> they were located and that this info was necessary for LoTW use.

No, I'm saying that between 10% and 20% of the confirmations I have
received through LotW have had CQ and ITU zones reversed. It is
not a US or European or South American issue ... I've seen in from
all continents.

> A guy ought to know if he was on an Island or not.

Sure, an operator would know but how do you propose to enforce a
requirement that the upload/station information include that
information ... if it is valid?

> As far as the 1010#, SMIRK# or FISTS#'s go, these would be
> optional. If you had one you would know it.

Again, how do you enforce a requirement to include the
information? How do you determine who has a number and
who doesn't?

A better solution would be to design an interface to LotW so
the various organizations (SMIRK, FISTS, etc.) could upload a
list of members/numbers and have LotW match/add the data on
download.

> Before you can "educate those who are not using LotW about
> its ease of use", LoTW MUST be made easy to use !!! Right
> now it is VERY User unfriendly and is one of the major
> reasons that we don't see more users.

The only thing user unfriendly about LotW (tQSL/tQSLCert) is
the lack of usable documentation. There is precious little
data on public/private key authentication ... about saving
and protecting keys/certificates, etc.

Several logging programs already have excellent LotW support
and that support will only improve as the writers are able to
figure out the necessary procedure calls to fully integrate
the "signing" process.

> Also remember that LESS than 25% of US Hams belong to the
> ARRL (23% and falling IIRC) so the other 75% only
> know about LoTW by word of mouth or some other means.

So? Why aren't there articles about LotW in the foreign amateur magazines? Why are there no articles about it in CQ, Worldradio, etc.? Why isn't there a "LotW Introduction" package sent to every new licensee and every local club newsletter editor?

Why are ARRL contest submissions tied to LotW (upload the log to LotW and have it automatically copied to the contest desk)? Why isn't that facility offered as a "collection point" for non-ARRL contests in order to collect the log data?

There are many ways to enhance participation and ease of use ... it just takes a commitment to do so.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

933. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:19:49 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 933

Where do you find this after you log into LoTW ???
I see no tabs for "Check Files"

After I login to LoTW the Web Site
I have TABS on the main page (left to right):
"Home", "Your QSOs", Awards", "Find Call", "Upload File", and "Your Account"

Where should I go next ???

73
Bob, K1VU

At 20:54 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
>Hi Bob
> Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
>the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
>JV
>
>
>No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
>There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file"
>Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???
>
>I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
>set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL detail:"
>
>ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.
>
>73 Bob, K1VU
>
>At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> > Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
> >JV
> >
> > > >
> > > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > > No Grid = 22
> > > > No County = 2
> > > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > > >
> > > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.

> > >
> > >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> > >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> > >a completely different continent!
> >
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

934. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:30:00 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 920 Message: 934

JV,
I too am confused...where is this Check Files tab?
Tom K5IID

At 01:54 02/04/04, you wrote:
>Hi Bob
> Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
>the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
>JV
>
>
>No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
>There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the
>adif file"
>Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???
>
>I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
>set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include
>QSL detail:"
>
>ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.
>
>73 Bob, K1VU
>
>At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> > Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
> >JV
> >
> > > >
> > > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > > No Grid = 22
> > > > No County = 2
> > > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > > > >
> > > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> > > >
> > >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> > >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> > >a completely different continent!
> >

>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12c4v0dgb/M=268585.4464812.5643763.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1075946059/A=1950448/R=0/*http://ashnin.com/clk/muryutaitakenattogyo?YH=4464812&yhad=1950448>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

935. Re: Fw: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:30:03 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 931 Message: 935

OK, JV now I understand what you are doing.

I'm bringing my LoTW report into LOGic and I've checked the LoTW ADIF downloaded file and ALL of the fields are imported into LOGic and everything matches. So I got all my data.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

At 21:19 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> Bob
> I should have mention that I use the adif progam written by EA3TB. His program is on the DXBase web site <http://www.dxbase.com/>http://www.dxbase.com/.
>Sorry
>JV
>========================
>
>
>Hi Bob
> Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
>the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
>JV
>
>
>No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
>There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file"
>Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???
>
>I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
>set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL detail:"
>
>ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.
>
>73 Bob, K1VU
>
>At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> > Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif file.
> >JV
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > > > No Grid = 22
> > > > > No County = 2
> > > > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56

> > > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > > >
> > > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> > >
> > >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> > >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> > >a completely different continent!
> >
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

936. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 02:34:16 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 920 Message: 936

Bob
You may know this but one must create an adif of his QSOs
first. Then create a tq8 file using TQSL. Now you go to LoTW
and upload. The "check files/ all fields" step is done in the first
step.
JV

-------Original Message-------

Where do you find this after you log into LoTW ???
I see no tabs for "Check Files"

After I login to LoTW the Web Site
I have TABS on the main page (left to right):
"Home", "Your QSOs", Awards", "Find Call", "Upload File", and "Your Account"

Where should I go next ???

73
Bob, K1VU

At 20:54 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
>Hi Bob
> Look again. It is actually called "All Fields". It is at
>the bottom of the "Check Files" tab.
>JV
>
>
>No, I have no idea what you are talking about.
>There is no place to "check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the
adif file"
>Maybe you are talking about some logging program ???
>
>I logged on to LoTW, went to "Your QSO", selected "Download Report",
>set "Show QSL received since:" for 01/01/2000, and checked off "Include QSL
detail:"
>
>ADIF file has ALL that LoTW has to offer.
>
>73 Bob, K1VU
>
>At 20:12 2/3/2004 , you wrote:
> > Did you check "ALL" in the fields tab when you created the adif
file.
> >JV
> >

> > > >
> > > > Here is a breakdown of my 108 bad QSL's:
> > > > No Grid = 22
> > > > No County = 2
> > > > No County & Grid = 1
> > > > No ITU Zone = 11
> > > > No CQ Zone = 3
> > > > No ITU & CQ Zones = 56
> > > > No Grid & BOTH Zones = 5
> > > > No Grid & ITU Zone = 8
> > > >
> > > > Note: I have no means (yet) of checking for missing IOTA data.
> > >
> > >Nor do you account for those who don't know the difference between
> > >CQ and ITU zones or can't even read a zone map and post zones on
> > >a completely different continent!
>

937. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete LoTW QSL Records, Worthless ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-04 21:30:49 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 920 Message: 937

At 21:20 2/3/2004 , you wrote:

> > From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> >
> > I must admit that you have me scratching my head on this one !!!
> > Are you saying that ONLY US Hams have these abilities ???
> > I always assumed that Ham Radio was considered a "Technical" hobby and
> > most non-US Hams were required to pass a test of some sort.
> > I assume that most people would ask if they didn't know where
> > they were located and that this info was necessary for LoTW use.
>
>No, I'm saying that between 10% and 20% of the confirmations I have
>received through LotW have had CQ and ITU zones reversed. It is
>not a US or European or South American issue ... I've seen in from
>all continents.

I'm sorry Joe, I misunderstood what you were saying about the Zones.
I remember a few people saying that they had reverse them initially, but
had corrected the error and resigned and resubmitted their logs.

I have made no attempt to check the accuracy of the Zone Data in my analysis
and only checked to see if the data was omitted from the LoTW QSL's.

>
>
>
> > A guy ought to know if he was on an Island or not.
>
>Sure, an operator would know but how do you propose to enforce a
>requirement that the upload/station information include that
>information ... if it is valid?

For the basic information like Grid and Zones which EVERY Ham
WORLD WIDE has could force a bounce if not included in the submitted log.

Every US HAM has a State and County, again if these are not included it should
force a bounce.

Both of the bounce conditions could be handled by programing "TQSL" to not allow
a log to be "Signed" if the required data is missing.

As far as the "validity of the data goes, I would assume each of us would
have to check on his own. If I got LoTW QSL from a DL station with a CQZ of 5
it would raise a red flag for me. The next step would be to notify LoTW or the
station directly.

> > As far as the 1010#, SMIRK# or FISTS#'s go, these would be
> > optional. If you had one you would know it.
>
>Again, how do you enforce a requirement to include the
>information? How do you determine who has a number and
>who doesn't?

You don't need to enforce these. The fact that someone had gone to the trouble
of joining one of these organizations would probably mean that he would WANT to
make sure HE included the data. It is self enforcing, those that have the data
will include it, those that don't belong have no data and leave the field bank.

>
>
>A better solution would be to design an interface to LotW so
>the various organizations (SMIRK, FISTS, etc.) could upload a
>list of members/numbers and have LotW match/add the data on
>download.

That is an EXCELLENT idea !!! It would give LoTW the flexibility of
adding new Award Sponsors without forcing everyone to update their logs.

>
>
> > Before you can "educate those who are not using LotW about
> > its ease of use", LoTW MUST be made easy to use !!! Right
> > now it is VERY User unfriendly and is one of the major
> > reasons that we don't see more users.
>
>The only thing user unfriendly about LotW (tQSL/tQSLCert) is
>the lack of usable documentation. There is precious little
>data on public/private key authentication ... about saving
>and protecting keys/certificates, etc.

Yes, and I feel that this is one of the reasons that LoTW has had such
poor acceptance so far. The documentation STINKS !!!

>
>
>Several logging programs already have excellent LotW support
>and that support will only improve as the writers are able to
>figure out the necessary procedure calls to fully integrate
>the "signing" process.
>
> > Also remember that LESS than 25% of US Hams belong to the
> > ARRL (23% and falling IIRC) so the other 75% only
> > know about LoTW by word of mouth or some other means.
>
>So? Why aren't there articles about LotW in the foreign
>amateur magazines? Why are there no articles about it in
>CQ, Worldradio, etc.? Why isn't there a "LotW Introduction"
>package sent to every new licensee and every local club
>newsletter editor?

At the risk of sounding "Anti-League", I see little incentive for other organizations or publications to give the League FREE advertising for their LoTW product !!!

Remember LoTW is not a benevolent endeavor on the part of the ARRL, it is supposed to be a money maker, Just like their Publication and Awards programs.

>
>
>Why are ARRL contest submissions tied to LotW (upload the
>log to LotW and have it automatically copied to the contest
>desk)? Why isn't that facility offered as a "collection
>point" for non-ARRL contests in order to collect the log
>data?

That is something the ARRL will have to answer. Right now LoTW is strictly an ARRL thing. If and when Non-ARRL Awards are going to maybe use LoTW, the ARRL will have to deal with this type of issue.

>
>
>There are many ways to enhance participation and ease of use
>... it just takes a commitment to do so.

Yes, and a receptive ear from the ARRL and LoTW people.

This list is great for bringing up and solving LoTW issues.

>
>
>
>73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:

> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

938. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-05 02:33:29 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 881 Message: 938

Randy, Thanks for the number to Wayne. I called him and he got me back on LOTW. Very nice person.

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: "r b" <printgreen@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 8:23 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after crash

> Art,
>
> Don't give up. I had the same problem and contacted
> Wayne Mills at 860-594-0291. I have erased all the
> email that was sent to me so I can not tell you how to
> cure it but it has to do with removing all tq 5 files
> before installing the new certif. Sorry I can't be of
> more help.
>
> Randy
>
> --- Art <wb4mnk@...> wrote:
> > Tony, I have tried everything that was suggested and
> > everything I could think of with no luck. I even
> > E-Mailed ARRL help but have not had any response
> > from them at all.
> > I did request a new Cert. and when I receive it if I
> > do I will try it and see if it works. I guess if it
> > does not work I will just use EQSL.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: N2TK
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ;
> > wb4mnk@...
> > Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2004 7:39 PM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> > crash
> >
> >
> > I am having the same problem copying the files to

> > a new hard drive. I installed the latest version of
> > LOTW. I copied over the certificates from the old
> > hard drive. However, I do not have any .p12 files.
> > As I kept everything in this folder and my backups
> > also didn't show a .p12 file, I do not where it
> > could have gone. Do I need to start over requesting
> > a certificate?
> >
> > I have my old certificates - certs.tq6 and my
> > request n2tk.tq5.
> >
> > I tried running tqslcert.exe then selecting file >
> > load certificate file > clicked tqsl , then next,
> > but it was looking for a .p12 file.
> >
> >
> >
> > I also tried right clinking on the .tq6 file, but
> > I don't get a "save" command as listed below.
> >
> >
> >
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > N2TK, Tony
> >
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:56 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;
> > wb4mnk@...
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> > crash
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Art,
> >
> > 1) Start tQSLCert
> > 2) Select File -> Load Certificate File
> > 3) Select PKCS#12 (.p12) certificate file
> > 4) At the file dialog navigate to and select the
> > .P12 file
> > for your certificate (probably WB4MNK.p12)
> > 5) enter the password you used to create the .p12

> > file
> > 6) enter your private key
> >
> > Now your certificate should be reinstalled.
> >
> > 7) Start tQSL
> > 8) select Station -> Add Location
> > 9) follow the normal process to enter the data
> > for your
> > station location (grid square, ITUzone,
> > Cqzone, etc.)
> > 10) Save the location
> >
> > Now you should be able to sign an ADIF normally.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: wb4mnk [mailto:wb4mnk@...]
> > > Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2004 7:29 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] reinstalling LOTW after
> > crash
> > >
> > >
> > > I have had to format my hard drive and start
> > over and now have
> > > everything as it should be but I cannot figure
> > out how to get LOTW
> > > working again.
> > > The files I have on disk are .TQ5, TQSL cert,
> > P12 file.
> > > When I go to TQSL, File,Sign existing adif I get
> > a warning (You have
> > > no station location assigned)I then click Ok,
> > Click on new and get
> > > error (No certificates available)I have no Idea
> > at this time how to
> > > correct these problems. Any help will be
> > appreciated.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------~-->
> > > Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP,
> > Epson, Canon or Lexmark
> > > Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or
> > more to the
> > > US & Canada.
> > > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> > >
> >
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> > >
> >
> ---
> > > -------~->
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email
> > to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> > > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ---

> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > ? To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> >
> > ? To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> > email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> >
> >
> > ? Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> > email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! SiteBuilder - Free web site building tool. Try it!
> http://webhosting.yahoo.com/ps/sb/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

939. I'm seeing progress in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-06 00:36:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 939 Message: 939

Of my last 50 LoTW qsl's, only 5 were for stateside qso's.
Looks like the system is spreading.

You May be a CheeseHead if: You design your Halloween costumes to fit over a snowsuit.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

940. finishe uploading V29A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-07 15:10:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 940 Message: 940

Wow, big big log and I finished transcribing it and it's up on LoTW.

Anybody have a body of eyewash they can spare?

Love is the delusion that one woman differs from another.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

941. 100 Entities Confirmed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-11 18:11:35 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 941 Message: 941

Hello LoTW-ers
At last passed 100 DXCC mixed confirmed via LOTW today. Most of the contacts were CW (87 entities), RTTY (53) and only 22 on SSB as I usually work CW.

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl
http://shop.sp7ps.pl

942. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 100 Entities Confirmed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-11 18:21:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 941 Message: 942

Congrats....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pawel SP7PS" <pawel@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2004 12:11 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 100 Entities Confirmed

> Hello LoTW-ers
> At last passed 100 DXCC mixed confirmed via LOTW today. Most of the contacts
> were CW (87 entities), RTTY (53) and only 22 on SSB as I usually work CW.
>
> 73's
> Pawel, SP7PS
> http://sp7ps.pl
> http://shop.sp7ps.pl
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

943. Sad Day! K4OJ SK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-12 21:26:52 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 943 Message: 943

Folks,

It is a terribly sad day!
The following is a message from W1YL.
OJ was as N3BB said earlier today a real sparkplug.
We have all lost another friend!
Tom K5IID

Dear friends:
In September of 2002 K4OJ sat at this computer and wrote a poignant obituary honoring his father, W1CW, who had died that very morning.
On this afternoon of February 12, 2004, it is my reluctant turn to sit here and tell you that Jim did not survive yesterday's surgery. He left us about 11:30 a.m. this morning following liver failure after the somewhat risky heart valve replacement.
Just this past weekend he had a tremendous time operating the FOC Marathon and producing a splendid accounting of himself. This was just one more achievement during his lifetime of fun in and service to Amateur Radio and, in particular, the Florida Contest Group of which he was the first president. His operating abilities were manifest and he almost always the first one to volunteer his help, in spite of his declining physical abilities.
I know many of you will share my loss.

Ellen, W1YL/4 "ln"

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

944. The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 14:27:34 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 944

I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?

What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records of both stations and shown as QSLed.

I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to get certificates to access their records -- but are there any show-stoppers? Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate in LotW?

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

945. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 14:58:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 944 Message: 945

I wondered at first but then came up with this.

Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may NOT want them included in LoTW because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.

Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this wouldn't create false qsl's due to both parties would have to submit the same inaccurate info, which would then BE accurate.
Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
"Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"

I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due to the START/END date info requirement.
But maybe there is something there I don't realize.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
To: <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 8:27 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

> I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is
> considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in
> its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?
>
> What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all
> QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not
> either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as
> simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
> cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in
> some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records
> of both stations and shown as QSLed.
>
> I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to
> get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
> show-stoppers? Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate
> in LotW?
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
> Updated 9 Jan 04
> www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>

>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

946. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 15:08:42 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 946

At 08:58 AM 2/13/04 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
>I wondered at first but then came up with this.
>
> Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may NOT want them
> included in LoTW
>because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.

A contestant has the right to assume that his log won't be disclosed to his competition, but since you can only see your own QSOs in LotW, and the people you work can only see their QSOs with you which they already knew about, I don't think there is any diminution of security or violation of the submitting station's rights. If a contestant wants a paper QSL, why wouldn't he send a QSL requesting one, either via the bureau or direct with SASE?

>Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
>Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this wouldn't
>create false qsl's
>due to both parties would have to submit the same inaccurate info, which
>would then BE
>accurate.

That's why I specified that only cross-checked QSOs would be uploaded.

>Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
>"Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"

Maybe -- but it seems over-cautious to me. Maybe CQ would want to include something like that, because I know they have a very strong position on confidentiality.

>I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due to the
>START/END date info
>requirement.
>But maybe there is something there I don't realize.

That is only really an issue with regard to certificates, and in my thought process I've assumed that the robot would have a special certificate identifying it as the secure provider of the data.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

947. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 15:18:26 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 944 Message: 947

GM guys

every bit of data that goes into LoTW is signed with a certificate. Contest logs sent to sponsors are not signed. They could be, I suppose, but right now they are not. And if they were signed it wouldn't be a big help for adding QSOs to the database because it would be simple enough to send the same signed log to LoTW.

Remember, when you sign your log you also attach geographic value to your log i.e., the grid square, zone, county, etc.... None of that is available with contest log submissions.

So, we can't use contest logs as they are submitted now.

I also wouldn't want to require contest logs be signed.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 10:09 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

At 08:58 AM 2/13/04 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
>I wondered at first but then came up with this.
>
> Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may NOT want them
> included in LoTW
>because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.

A contestant has the right to assume that his log won't be disclosed to his competition, but since you can only see your own QSOs in LotW, and the people you work can only see their QSOs with you which they already knew about, I don't think there is any diminution of security or violation of the submitting station's rights. If a contestant wants a paper QSL, why wouldn't he send a QSL requesting one, either via the bureau or direct with SASE?

>Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
>Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this wouldn't
>create false qsl's
>due to both parties would have to submit the same inaccurate info, which
>would then BE
>accurate.

That's why I specified that only cross-checked QSOs would be uploaded.

>Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
>"Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"

Maybe -- but it seems over-cautious to me. Maybe CQ would want to include something like that, because I know they have a very strong position on confidentiality.

>I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due to the
>START/END date info
>requirement.
>But maybe there is something there I don't realize.

That is only really an issue with regard to certificates, and in my thought process I've assumed that the robot would have a special certificate identifying it as the secure provider of the data.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12clig1ae/M=274551.4550177.5718621.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1076771325/A=1994230/R=2/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/jump/N3349.yahoo1/B1282054.22;abr=!ie4;abr=!ie5;sz=300x250;code=18634;dcopt=rcl;ord=1076684925905088?> Click HereClick Here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=274551.4550177.5718621.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=:HM/A=1994230/rand=900960777>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

948. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 15:31:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 944 Message: 948

Yes, that's why I said if he wants a contest log which is probably in cabrillo already, submitted to LoTW, all he has to do is upload it again.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 9:18 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

GM guys

every bit of data that goes into LoTW is signed with a certificate. Contest logs sent to sponsors are not signed. They could be, I suppose, but right now they are not. And if they were signed it wouldn't be a big help for adding QSOs to the database because it would be simple enough to send the same signed log to LoTW.

Remember, when you sign your log you also attach geographic value to your log i.e., the grid square, zone, county, etc.... None of that is available with contest log submissions.

So, we can't use contest logs as they are submitted now.

I also wouldn't want to require contest logs be signed.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 10:09 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

At 08:58 AM 2/13/04 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
>I wondered at first but then came up with this.
>
> Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may NOT want them
> included in LoTW
>because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.

A contestant has the right to assume that his log won't be disclosed to his competition, but since you can only see your own QSOs in LotW, and the people you work can only see their QSOs with you which they already knew about, I don't think there is any diminution of security or violation of the submitting station's rights. If a contestant wants a paper QSL, why wouldn't he send a QSL requesting one, either via the bureau or direct with SASE?

>Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
>Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this wouldn't
>create false qsl's
>due to both parties would have to submit the same inaccurate info, which
>would then BE
>accurate.

That's why I specified that only cross-checked QSOs would be uploaded.

>Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
>"Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"

Maybe -- but it seems over-cautious to me. Maybe CQ would want to include
something like that, because I know they have a very strong position on
confidentiality.

>I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due to the
>START/END date info
>requirement.
>But maybe there is something there I don't realize.

That is only really an issue with regard to certificates, and in my thought
process I've assumed that the robot would have a special certificate
identifying it as the secure provider of the data.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12clig1ae/M=274551.4550177.5718621.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1076771325/A=1994230/R=2/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/jump/N3349.yahoo1/B1282054.22;abr=!ie4;abr=!ie5;sz=300x250;code=18634;dcopt=rcl;ord=1076684925905088?> Click HereClick Here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=274551.4550177.5718621.1261774/D=egroupweb/S=:HM/A=1994230/rand=900960777>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

949. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 16:20:22 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 949

At 10:18 AM 2/13/04 -0500, you wrote:
>GM guys
>
>every bit of data that goes into LoTW is signed with a
>certificate. Contest logs sent to sponsors are not signed. They could
>be, I suppose, but right now they are not. And if they were signed it
>wouldn't be a big help for adding QSOs to the database because it would be
>simple enough to send the same signed log to LoTW.
>
>Remember, when you sign your log you also attach geographic value to your
>log i.e., the grid square, zone, county, etc.... None of that is
>available with contest log submissions.
>
>So, we can't use contest logs as they are submitted now.
>
>I also wouldn't want to require contest logs be signed.

Well, I certainly agree with Dave's last point, but I'm a bit disappointed with the rest of it.

What would be the point of "Slim" submitting a contest log? Doesn't seem to me that the security risk is significant, but you could always sequester the contest-based confirmations (even your own contests) for a period of time on a trial basis and determine if there is a real-world problem. In fact, the world wouldn't even have to know that you were running such a test

I think this security thing can be overdone. Does anyone else recall when ARRL contest QSOs that were in both stations' submitted logs counted for award credit? It wasn't *that* long ago. I'm having a hard time coming up with scenarios where both parties to a DXCC counter would conspire, in an ARRL contest setting, to forge a QSO so that one or the other got credit toward DXCC. Let's face it, anyone who wants that badly to fake out the system is going to get hold of a real non-US license, modify it digitally, and submit it to get a security certificate anyway.

As for the additional geographic data, I think we have just read on this mailing list how much of that is missing from the current database. Adding a few lines to Cabrillo headers would scarcely be that onerous. In many contests, much of the needed data (State, section, zone) are inferrable anyway. Who cares if the resulting QSO record wasn't usable for all awards -- many existing records aren't either. I'm not an advocate of strictly requiring all data fields be filled before accepting a record into LotW, but shouldn't we be realistic about what is and isn't there?

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database

Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

950. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 16:21:28 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 950

At 09:31 AM 2/13/04 -0600, you wrote:
>Yes, that's why I said if he wants a contest log which is probably in
>cabrillo already,
>submitted to LoTW, all he has to do is upload it again.

And get a LotW certificate, which a great many people have not done so far.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

951. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 18:20:02 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 951

At 09:27 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
>I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is
>considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in
>its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?

This is something that CAN NOT and SHOULD NOT be done !!!

You would be essentially FORCING a person to participate in a program
(LoTW) in which they may have the CHOSEN NOT to participate in.

Remember a lot of people want nothing to do with LoTW !!!

>What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all
>QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not
>either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as
>simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
>cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in
>some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records
>of both stations and shown as QSLed.

Technically this could be done. Legally I don't think it could be done.
LoTW would be stealing the logs which BELONG to the contester !!!

>I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to
>get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
>show-stoppers?

Lots of issues:
1-Contester may not want to use LoTW for QSLing !!!

2-Contester may be operating from a location other than his home location.
He requires ANOTHER certificate for that location which indicates in the
TQSL "Station Data" his Country, State, County, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, Grid
and IOTA# (if any). The Robot has no knowledge of this info and would lead
to further "Incomplete LoTW QSL Records" or worse "Incorrect LoTW QSL Records".

> Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate
>in LotW?

I don't think so. It might be an incentive NOT to submit their logs to the Robot.

>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

952. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 18:53:43 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 952

At 01:20 PM 2/13/04 -0500, R Johnson wrote:

>At 09:27 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
> >I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is
> >considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in
> >its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?
>
>This is something that CAN NOT and SHOULD NOT be done !!!
>
>You would be essentially FORCING a person to participate in a program
>(LoTW) in which they may have the CHOSEN NOT to participate in.
>
>Remember a lot of people want nothing to do with LoTW !!!

Frankly, Bob, you seem to be devoting a lot of time these days to beating
the drums against LotW.

> >What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all
> >QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not
> >either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as
> >simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
> >cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in
> >some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records
> >of both stations and shown as QSLed.
>
>Technically this could be done. Legally I don't think it could be done.
>LoTW would be stealing the logs which BELONG to the contester !!!
>
>
> >I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to
> >get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
> >show-stoppers?
>
>Lots of issues:
>1-Contester may not want to use LoTW for QSLing !!!

Fine ... then make a part of the Cabrillo header "Submit for LotW -
yes/no." I think the "property" argument is weak anyway, because one of
the beauties of submitting your log to LotW is that it doesn't tip off
anything about your operating strategy or tactics because only you, the
contester, and the other party in a given QSO can see the QSO record.

>2-Contester may be operating from a location other than his home location.
> He requires ANOTHER certificate for that location which indicates in the
> TQSL "Station Data" his Country, State, County, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, Grid
> and IOTA# (if any). The Robot has no knowledge of this info and would lead

> to further "Incomplete LoTW QSL Records" or worse "Incorrect LoTW QSL
> Records".

So far as I know, all the major contests require you to submit your location as a part of your entry. I was suggesting that the log-checking robot should be the submitter, and part of the ADIF it would submit would be as much of the geographic data as was required for that contest. No special certificate would be required.

>
>
> > Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate
> >in LotW?
>
>I don't think so. It might be an incentive NOT to submit their logs to the
>Robot.
>

Obviously, I disagree.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

953. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 19:31:34 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 953

At 10:08 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
>At 08:58 AM 2/13/04 -0600, FireBrick wrote:
> >I wondered at first but then came up with this.
> >
> > Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may NOT want them
> > included in LoTW
> >because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.
>
>
>A contestant has the right to assume that his log won't be disclosed to his
>competition, but since you can only see your own QSOs in LotW, and the
>people you work can only see their QSOs with you which they already knew
>about, I don't think there is any diminution of security or violation of
>the submitting station's rights. If a contestant wants a paper QSL, why
>wouldn't he send a QSL requesting one, either via the bureau or direct with
>SASE?

LoTW legally does NOT have the right to do this !!!

The contestant may feel that if his QSO ends up on LoTW he may NOT get
a PAPER QSL from the other station !!!

A LOTW QSL is ONLY useful for ARRL Awards at this time. A PAPER QSL
is good for ANY Award !!!

> >Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
> >Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this wouldn't
> >create false qsl's
> >due to both parties would have to submit the same inaccurate info, which
> >would then BE
> >accurate.

This COULD produce far more "Inaccurate LoTW QSL Records" than contest
logs would. The Robot does not know where you operated from !!!
Each operating location REQUIRES a separate certificate AND TQSL "Station
Data" indicating where QSO took place from. That is one of the reasons you
must "Sign" your log and create a ".TQ8" file for submission.

>That's why I specified that only cross-checked QSOs would be uploaded.
>
> >Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
> >"Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"

Still need to know the operating location data !!!

>Maybe -- but it seems over-cautious to me. Maybe CQ would want to include
>something like that, because I know they have a very strong position on

>confidentiality.
>
>
> >I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due to the
> >START/END date info
> >requirement.
> >But maybe there is something there I don't realize.

Yes, where it was located !!!
The "START/END date info" is not important on a per contest basis. That data is only meant for different callsigns you may have held.

>That is only really an issue with regard to certificates, and in my thought
>process I've assumed that the robot would have a special certificate
>identifying it as the secure provider of the data.

It would need ONE for EACH operating location !!

>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

954. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 21:43:17 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 954

At 11:21 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
>At 09:31 AM 2/13/04 -0600, you wrote:
> >Yes, that's why I said if he wants a contest log which is probably in
> >cabrillo already,
> >submitted to LoTW, all he has to do is upload it again.
>
>
>And get a LotW certificate, which a great many people have not done so far.

Yes, and supply ALL of the required TQSL "Station Data" for that Certificate !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

955. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 22:39:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 944 Message: 955

> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
>
> I wondered at first but then came up with this.
>
> Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may
> NOT want them included in LoTW because he wants them to qsl
> with hardcards.

Contest submissions (entries) are and always have been the "property" of the sponsor of the contest. That said, I can certainly remember being able to claim DXCC credit for QSOs in the ARRL DX Contest as long as the other station submitted a log (and I was in that log). What is the difference between that and automatically copying the Cabrillo file for a contest to LotW?

I think it is a good idea ... all contest log "robots" should copy the entry file to LotW. The log could be "signed" by the robot to verify the accuracy of the data as received.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

956. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 23:22:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 956

If you submit a log then it is no longer your property and ARRL or any other
contest organizer can do what they wish with them.
At least that is the usual thing when you enter any type of thing like this.
Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 8:59 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
> I wondered at first but then came up with this.
>
> Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may
> NOT want them included in LoTW because he wants them to qsl
> with hardcards.
>
> Where as if they do, they can upload them easily.
> Also, contest logs are notoriously inaccurate. Although this
> wouldn't create false qsl's due to both parties would have to
> submit the same inaccurate info, which would then BE accurate.
> Maybe in the future they will include an Upload option such as:
> "Do you want your contest log to be included in LoTW also???"
>
> I don't think the 'Contest Station for Rent' is an issue due
> to the START/END date info requirement.
> But maybe there is something there I don't realize.
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
> To: <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 8:27 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
>
> > I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask
> whether ARRL is
> > considering using the cross-check records from robot
> checking of logs in
> > its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in

> the LotW database?
> >
> > What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed
> to import all
> > QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot,
> whether or not
> > either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW.
> It might be as
> > simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
> > cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to
> be uploaded in
> > some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to
> the QSO records
> > of both stations and shown as QSLed.
> >
> > I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people
> would still have to
> > get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
> > show-stoppers? Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people
> to participate
> > in LotW?
> >
> > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
> > Updated 9 Jan 04
> > www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> ------------------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> Terms of Service.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

957. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 23:28:27 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 957

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 12:20 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
> At 09:27 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
> >I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether
> >ARRL is considering using the cross-check records from robot
> checking
> >of logs in its contests to substantially enlarge the body of
> QSOs in the LotW database?
>
> This is something that CAN NOT and SHOULD NOT be done !!!
>
> You would be essentially FORCING a person to participate in a program
> (LoTW) in which they may have the CHOSEN NOT to participate in.
>
> Remember a lot of people want nothing to do with LoTW !!!
>
>
> >What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import
> >all QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking
> robot, whether
> >or not either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It
> >might be as simple as adding a routine to the robot to
> produce ADIF of
> >all cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be
> >uploaded in some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs
> are added to
> >the QSO records of both stations and shown as QSLed.
>
> Technically this could be done. Legally I don't think it
> could be done.
> LoTW would be stealing the logs which BELONG to the contester !!!
>

WRONG, they do not belong to the contester once they are sub. Does not it say that submission give permission, just like submitting a letter to a paper gives permission to publish it.

>
> >I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still
> >have to get certificates to access their records -- but are
> there any
> >show-stoppers?
>
> Lots of issues:
> 1-Contester may not want to use LoTW for QSLing !!!
>
> 2-Contester may be operating from a location other than his
> home location.
> He requires ANOTHER certificate for that location which
> indicates in the
> TQSL "Station Data" his Country, State, County, ITU Zone,
> CQ Zone, Grid
> and IOTA# (if any). The Robot has no knowledge of this
> info and would lead
> to further "Incomplete LoTW QSL Records" or worse
> "Incorrect LoTW QSL Records".
>
>
> > Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate in LotW?
>
> I don't think so. It might be an incentive NOT to submit
> their logs to the Robot.
>
>
> >73, Pete N4ZR
> >Check out the World HF Contest Station Database Updated 9 Jan 04
> >www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

958. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 23:31:58 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 958

You have hit the nail on the head. As I said previously the legal argument does not exist and the signing by the robot takes care of the other objections.

This leaves only the unfortunate thing that some people will refuse to QSL hard copy, even if you send SASE. That is the character of the responder and you cannot do anything about that.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Friday, February 13, 2004 4:40 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
>
>
>
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> >
> > I wondered at first but then came up with this.
> >
> > Contest Logs may be the property of the contestant who may
> NOT want
> > them included in LoTW because he wants them to qsl with hardcards.
>
> Contest submissions (entries) are and always have been the
> "property" of the sponsor of the contest. That said, I can
> certainly remember being able to claim DXCC credit for QSOs
> in the ARRL DX Contest as long as the other station submitted
> a log (and I was in that log). What is the difference
> between that and automatically copying the Cabrillo file for
> a contest to LotW?
>
> I think it is a good idea ... all contest log "robots" should
> copy the entry file to LotW. The log could be "signed" by
> the robot to verify the accuracy of the data as received.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>

>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Send the freshest Valentine's
> flowers with a FREE vase from only $29.99!
> Shipped direct from the grower with a 7 day freshness
> guarantee and prices so low you save 30-55% off retail!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/_iAw9B/xdlHAA/3jkFAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---------- ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

959. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 23:27:47 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 959

At 13:53 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
>At 01:20 PM 2/13/04 -0500, R Johnson wrote:
>
> >At 09:27 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
> > >I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is
> > >considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in
> > >its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?
> >
> >This is something that CAN NOT and SHOULD NOT be done !!!
> >
> >You would be essentially FORCING a person to participate in a program
> >(LoTW) in which they may have the CHOSEN NOT to participate in.
> >
> >Remember a lot of people want nothing to do with LoTW !!!
>
>
>Frankly, Bob, you seem to be devoting a lot of time these days to beating
>the drums against LotW.

Sure, "Shoot the Messenger" !!!

What I'm trying to do is point out the problems that exist with LoTW
and get it back on track so it succeeds !!!

> > >What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all
> > >QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not
> > >either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as
> > >simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
> > >cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in
> > >some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records
> > >of both stations and shown as QSLed.
> >
> >Technically this could be done. Legally I don't think it could be done.
> >LoTW would be stealing the logs which BELONG to the contester !!!
> >
> >
> > >I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to
> > >get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
> > >show-stoppers?
> >
> >Lots of issues:
> >1-Contester may not want to use LoTW for QSLing !!!
>
>
>Fine ... then make a part of the Cabrillo header "Submit for LotW -
>yes/no."

LoTW CAN'T do that, it doesn't have the INFO !!!
Dave Patton, NN1N from the LoTW staff says LoTW can't do that !!!

>I think the "property" argument is weak anyway, because one of
>the beauties of submitting your log to LotW is that it doesn't tip off
>anything about your operating strategy or tactics because only you, the
>contester, and the other party in a given QSO can see the QSO record.

It is not about "Tipping Off" anything !!!

If someone doesn't want some of his QSO's entered into LoTW, then that is
his right.

You can't "Steal" them just because he submitted his log to a "Contest Robot".

> >2-Contester may be operating from a location other than his home location.
> > He requires ANOTHER certificate for that location which indicates in the
> > TQSL "Station Data" his Country, State, County, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, Grid
> > and IOTA# (if any). The Robot has no knowledge of this info and would lead
> > to further "Incomplete LoTW QSL Records" or worse "Incorrect LoTW QSL
> > Records".
>
>
>So far as I know, all the major contests require you to submit your
>location as a part of your entry. I was suggesting that the log-checking
>robot should be the submitter, and part of the ADIF it would submit would
>be as much of the geographic data as was required for that contest. No
>special certificate would be required.

That is NOT the way LoTW works !!! It has nothing to do with an ADIF file !!!

Please read how to setup TQSL !!!

Further more LoTW DOES require a "Special Certificate", one for each Callsign
and Station Location you use.

Please read the LoTW FAQ's !!!

> >
> >
> > > Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate
> > >in LotW?
> >
> >I don't think so. It might be an incentive NOT to submit their logs to the
> >Robot.
> >
>
>Obviously, I disagree.

Quit obviously, I disagree with you !!!

>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04

>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

960. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-13 23:43:09 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 944 Message: 960

On Fri, 2004-02-13 at 15:31, rojomn wrote:
> You have hit the nail on the head. As I said previously the legal argument
> does not exist and the signing by the robot takes care of the other
> objections.

Signing by the robot would defeat the entire purpose of signing logs.

961. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-14 00:19:38 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 961

At 11:20 2/13/2004 , you wrote:
>At 10:18 AM 2/13/04 -0500, you wrote:
> >GM guys
> >
> >every bit of data that goes into LoTW is signed with a
> >certificate. Contest logs sent to sponsors are not signed. They could
> >be, I suppose, but right now they are not. And if they were signed it
> >wouldn't be a big help for adding QSOs to the database because it would be
> >simple enough to send the same signed log to LoTW.
> >
> >Remember, when you sign your log you also attach geographic value to your
> >log i.e., the grid square, zone, county, etc.... None of that is
> >available with contest log submissions.
> >
> >So, we can't use contest logs as they are submitted now.
> >
> >I also wouldn't want to require contest logs be signed.
>
>
>Well, I certainly agree with Dave's last point, but I'm a bit disappointed
>with the rest of it.

Why ??? Shouldn't you want the LoTW QSL Records to be Complete and Correct ???

>What would be the point of "Slim" submitting a contest log? Doesn't seem
>to me that the security risk is significant, but you could always sequester
>the contest-based confirmations (even your own contests) for a period of
>time on a trial basis and determine if there is a real-world problem. In
>fact, the world wouldn't even have to know that you were running such a test

This is NOT a valid security issue !!!

A "Slim" can't get an "Original" LoTW Certificate (I hope). He needs a
VALID Callsign, be in the FCC Database, and reside at the address listed
there so he can get his "Snail Mail" postcard with password from LoTW

>I think this security thing can be overdone. Does anyone else recall when
>ARRL contest QSOs that were in both stations' submitted logs counted for
>award credit? It wasn't *that* long ago.

No, not in my 32 years of Hamming. When ???

As far back as I can remember DXCC ALWAYS required QSL cards, in fact some
of the "Old Time" Card Checkers wanted the cards signed or initialed to be
accepted for credit. That seems to have been relaxed now.

> I'm having a hard time coming up
>with scenarios where both parties to a DXCC counter would conspire, in an
>ARRL contest setting, to forge a QSO so that one or the other got credit
>toward DXCC. Let's face it, anyone who wants that badly to fake out the
>system is going to get hold of a real non-US license, modify it digitally,
>and submit it to get a security certificate anyway.
>
>As for the additional geographic data, I think we have just read on this
>mailing list how much of that is missing from the current database. Adding
>a few lines to Cabrillo headers would scarcely be that onerous.

Wrong !!!

This info MUST be in the TQSL "Station Data" for each Certificate you hold
so when "Sign" your log (create a ".TQ8") for uploading to LoTW it is correct
for the location used !!!

This has ABSOLUTELY nothing to do with Cabrillo or ADIF file headers !!!

You MUST setup your TQSL data ONCE with ALL the info. Then ANY log you
"sign" is correct for logs from that location.

Further, I personally consider a 30% rate "BAD LoTW QSL's" intolerable !!!

Did you ever check to see how many of your LoTW QSL Records are "GOOD" ???

> In many
>contests, much of the needed data (State, section, zone) are inferrable
>anyway.

ABSOLUTELY NOT !!! That is why LoTW REQUIRES "Individual Certificates" for
EACH "Callsign" OR "Location" you submit a log for/from !!!

>Who cares if the resulting QSO record wasn't usable for all awards
>-- many existing records aren't either.

I care !!!

If LoTW is going to be used even for just ARRL Awards, the data MUST be there !!!

There ARE other ARRL Awards besides DXCC, such as WAS, WAC, and VUCC that many
of us would like to use LoTW for. DXCC is not the only thing in the world !!!

If you take such a narrow view by saying "Who cares if the resulting QSO record
wasn't usable for all awards", you are dooming the concept of LoTW for ARRL Awards, never
mind any other Awards Sponsors making use of LoTW !!!

>I'm not an advocate of strictly
>requiring all data fields be filled before accepting a record into LotW,
>but shouldn't we be realistic about what is and isn't there?

No, not if LoTW is going to work. Advocating this position makes you
"Part of the Problem", not "Part of the Solution" !!!

>73, Pete N4ZR
>Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
>Updated 9 Jan 04
>www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

962. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-14 01:14:57 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 962

At 06:27 PM 2/13/04 -0500, K1VU wrote:
> >So far as I know, all the major contests require you to submit your
> >location as a part of your entry. I was suggesting that the log-checking
> >robot should be the submitter, and part of the ADIF it would submit would
> >be as much of the geographic data as was required for that contest. No
> >special certificate would be required.
>
>That is NOT the way LoTW works !!! It has nothing to do with an ADIF file !!!
>
>Please read how to setup TQSL !!!
>
>Further more LoTW DOES require a "Special Certificate", one for each Callsign
>and Station Location you use.
>
>Please read the LoTW FAQ's !!!

Spare me the flames. I know that an ADIF has to be signed before it
becomes input for LotW. My point, which you ignored, was that in many case
the information is there, whether expicit or not.

As for the certificate, as I've said over and over again, the system simply
has to decide whether to trust the contest robot as thedata source. Since
in this case it would be ARRL's Contest Branch, that would not seem to be
an issue.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

963. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-14 01:19:23 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 963

At 07:19 PM 2/13/04 -0500, you wrote:
>No, not in my 32 years of Hamming. When ???

I don't think you were paying attention, then. Others remember it, and I
do, too. Think early 70's.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 9 Jan 04
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

964. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-14 19:28:47 UTC
From: Michael Keane, K1MK
Topic: 944 Message: 964

At 08:14 PM 2/13/04, Pete Smith wrote:

>As for the certificate, as I've said over and over again, the system simply
>has to decide whether to trust the contest robot as thedata source.

The robot itself has to be considered as inherently untrustworthy because it is not the source of the data, it's just a relay. In receiving logs, the robot performs zero authentication of the log's origin. So we don't have absolutely no idea what the actual source of the data. Anyone can just mail in a log for any call to the robot.

"The robot", as we have come to know it, doesn't do any cross-checking of QSOs. That work is done "off-line" by the actual log checkers. The only form of authentication is the cross-checking.

Thinking about re-establishing the old policy of trusting cross-checked contest QSOs from the log-checkers database and accepting them for awards credit, a few things have changed.

Back with handwritten logs and real postmarks, the prospect of such coordinated forgeries likely would have been viewed as being difficult and therefore unlikely. Today it is trivially easy to e-mail several forged contest logs that cross-check against each other; the aim being to falsely establish awards credit.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

965. No awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-16 16:33:54 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 965 Message: 965

Hi!
If I remember correctly, some rumors were around several days ago... rumors about having the Awards page available on Feb, 15th... it seems nothing changed for now... neither a "Breaking News" entry on the LotW site... anyone?

73
Fabio IZ4AFW

966. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-16 17:17:37 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 965 Message: 966

The ARRL is closed today. In the US, it is "President's Day," a national holiday. No mail, no banking, etc.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Fabio IZ4AFW" <iz4afw@...>
To: <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2004 10:33 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] No awards?

> Hi!
> If I remember correctly, some rumors were around several days ago... rumors
> about having the Awards page available on Feb, 15th... it seems nothing
> changed for now... neither a "Breaking News" entry on the LotW site...
> anyone?
>
> 73
> Fabio IZ4AFW
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

967. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-16 17:21:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 965 Message: 967

I was told that they would be 'testing' the awards system this week.
hang in there, it's coming.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Fabio IZ4AFW" <iz4afw@...>
To: <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2004 10:33 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] No awards?

> Hi!
> If I remember correctly, some rumors were around several days ago... rumors
> about having the Awards page available on Feb, 15th... it seems nothing
> changed for now... neither a "Breaking News" entry on the LotW site...
> anyone?
>
> 73
> Fabio IZ4AFW
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

968. LOTW Service

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-17 15:26:18 UTC
From: g7tmu
Topic: 968 Message: 968

Anyone know if the LOTW is out of action please?

I have tried to upload my log from the weekends CQ WW RTTY contest and I get no response to my email upload. Normally I get a reply straight away.

Thanks

73's de Victor G7TMU

969. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Service

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-17 15:37:48 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 968 Message: 969

Victor - I don't know about the email uploads but the uploads via the
web page are working normally.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

g7tmu wrote:
> Anyone know if the LOTW is out of action please?
>
> I have tried to upload my log from the weekends CQ WW RTTY contest
> and I get no response to my email upload. Normally I get a reply
> straight away.
>
> Thanks
>
> 73's de Victor G7TMU

970. Have you saved your LoTW Certificate?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-18 14:19:56 UTC
From: r b
Topic: 970 Message: 970

I received this yesterday and thought it might be of interest to the group.

Randy
AG8A

Subject: Have you saved your LoTW certificate?
>
>
> > We've been contacted by a number of Logbook of the World users who
> > have lost their LoTW digital certifcate through disk crashes or
> > other mishaps. If this happens to you, YOUR LOTW CERTIFCATE IS GONE
> > and you have to apply for a new one, from the beginning.
> >
> > Unless, that is, you have SAVED YOUR CERTIFICATE. Note that we are
> > NOT referring to a .tq5 or .tq6 file. Rather, we are referring to
> > the .p12 file that is produced when you use the TQSLCert
> > "Certificate/Save" menu selection. If you don't have a .p12 file,
> > you haven't saved your certificate!
> >
> > To save a certificate in TQSLCert:
> >
> > 1) Click on the certificate in the certificate list to select it.
> >
> > 2) In the "Certificate" menu, choose "Save"
> >
> > 3) In the dialog that appears, pick a location on your system to
> > save the .p12 file.
> >
> > 4) Optionally (but recommended), pick a password to use to protect
> > the file. This password will have to be supplied if and when you
> > want to restore the certificate later. Note that the password IS

> > case sensitive.
> >
> > 5) If you originally protected your certificate
with a password,
> > you'll have to supply that password, too, when
asked to do so.
> > (More information about TQSLCert's use of
passwords is available at
> > https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#whypasswords.)
> >
> > 6) After saving the .p12 file, TQSLCert displays a
notice telling
> > you exactly where on your system it saved the
file. Using that
> > information, copy the file to an off-line medium
(floppy, CD-R,
> > etc.)
> >
> > If you don't have the ability to copy the file to
another medium
> > but are connected to a network, perhaps you can
copy the file to
> > another computer or file server on the network.
The main thing is
> > to have a copy of the .p12 file available to you
in case your hard
> > drive crashes.
> >
> > 7) Store the off-line medium somewhere where you
will be able to
> > find it later. You may want to write down the
password you used to
> > protect the .p12 file and save that somewhere,
too.
> >
> > That's it. If you have more than one certificate,
you must perform
> > the save procedure separately for each
certificate.
> >
> > If/when you ever need to load the .p12 file into
TQSLCert, on the
> > same computer or on a different one, just insert
the medium and use
> > TQSLCert's "File/Load Certificate File" menu
command.
> >
> > 73,
> > The Logbook Team

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools

971. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Have you saved your LoTW Certificate?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-18 14:30:10 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 970 Message: 971

This was sent to every person that has a certificate.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: r b [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2004 8:20 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Have you saved your LoTW Certificate?
>
> I received this yesterday and thought it might be of interest
> to the group.
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
> Subject: Have you saved your LoTW certificate?
> >
> >
> > > We've been contacted by a number of Logbook of the
> World users who
> > > have lost their LoTW digital certifcate through
> disk crashes or
> > > other mishaps. If this happens to you, YOUR LOTW
> CERTIFCATE IS GONE
> > > and you have to apply for a new one, from the
> beginning.
> > >
> > > Unless, that is, you have SAVED YOUR CERTIFICATE.
> Note that we are
> > > NOT referring to a .tq5 or .tq6 file. Rather, we
> are referring to
> > > the .p12 file that is produced when you use the
> TQSLCert
> > > "Certificate/Save" menu selection. If you don't
> have a .p12 file,
> > > you haven't saved your certificate!
> > >
> > > To save a certificate in TQSLCert:
> > >
> > > 1) Click on the certificate in the certificate
> list to select it.
> > >

> > > 2) In the "Certificate" menu, choose "Save"
> > >
> > > 3) In the dialog that appears, pick a location on
> your system to
> > > save the .p12 file.
> > >
> > > 4) Optionally (but recommended), pick a password
> to use to protect
> > > the file. This password will have to be supplied
> if and when you
> > > want to restore the certificate later. Note that
> the password IS
> > > case sensitive.
> > >
> > > 5) If you originally protected your certificate
> with a password,
> > > you'll have to supply that password, too, when
> asked to do so.
> > > (More information about TQSLCert's use of
> passwords is available at
> > > https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#whypasswords.)
> > >
> > > 6) After saving the .p12 file, TQSLCert displays a
> notice telling
> > > you exactly where on your system it saved the
> file. Using that
> > > information, copy the file to an off-line medium
> (floppy, CD-R,
> > > etc.)
> > >
> > > If you don't have the ability to copy the file to
> another medium
> > > but are connected to a network, perhaps you can
> copy the file to
> > > another computer or file server on the network.
> The main thing is
> > > to have a copy of the .p12 file available to you
> in case your hard
> > > drive crashes.
> > >
> > > 7) Store the off-line medium somewhere where you
> will be able to
> > > find it later. You may want to write down the
> password you used to
> > > protect the .p12 file and save that somewhere,
> too.
> > >
> > > That's it. If you have more than one certificate,
> you must perform
> > > the save procedure separately for each

> certificate.
> > >
> > > If/when you ever need to load the .p12 file into
> TQSLCert, on the
> > > same computer or on a different one, just insert
> the medium and use
> > > TQSLCert's "File/Load Certificate File" menu
> command.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > The Logbook Team
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
> http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

972. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Have you saved your LoTW Certificate?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-18 15:38:26 UTC
From: Vern
Topic: 970 Message: 972

The suggested scheme to save the .p12 file did not work for me.
The four options under CERTIFICATE were gray/inactive. Any
others find the same?

Vern W9HLY

On 18 Feb 2004 at 6:19, r b wrote:

> I received this yesterday and thought it might be of
> interest to the group.
>
> Randy

973. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Have you saved your LoTW Certificate?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-18 15:49:00 UTC
From: David O Hachadorian
Topic: 970 Message: 973

On Wed, 18 Feb 2004 10:38:26 -0500 "Vern" <w9hly@...> writes:
> The suggested scheme to save the .p12 file did not work for me.
> The four options under CERTIFICATE were gray/inactive. Any
> others find the same?

You have to highlight one of the certificates by
clicking on it. Then the options become active.

Dave Hachadorian, K6LL
Yuma, AZ

974. Movin on down!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-21 04:52:11 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 974 Message: 974

Just to let everyone know...
Candy and I are leaving here Monday en route to our
new home in Hillsboro, TX.
This e-mail address will stay active until Sunday afternoon.
After that I will disconnect all this mess and will not have
any access to e-mail until March 1-4 or so.
Hope to hear from, you all again very soon!
tom

If anyone is interested our new place can be viewed at
http://www.juliesiddons.com/1406%20Alford.htm
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

975. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Movin on down!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-21 05:07:57 UTC
From: Wendell Carrington
Topic: 974 Message: 975

Welcome to Texas. I am in Waxahachie, TX., about 30 miles north of Hillsboro. I ride down there occasionally on the motorcycle. Would be happy to meet you sometime. Have a safe trip. 73, Wendell N5WC
----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: abmorgan@... ; n8km@... ; rfjones@... ; k5yaa@... ; ceejayone@... ; couch0101@... ; cwf9@... ; ash@... ; Bob.Crossland@... ; wa3eop@... ; k3ft@... ; n2ocw@... ; stank4uk@... ; w3tb@... ; k5iid@... ; wa4pgm@... ; mclarson@... ; n4tw@... ; W2WJO@... ; cq-contest@... ; ctdxcc@... ; Joesydee2@... ; edbacon@... ; jsmith52@... ; k2nj@... ; k5dut@... ; joemelton@... ; w8cfl@... ; LDAVIS5784@... ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; mid-atlantic_qso_party@... ; mamameli21@... ; k5eg@... ; mrrc@... ; n6hc@... ; na-user@... ; nf4a@... ; k8rk@... ; horton_lp@... ; rcrose@... ; rmaull@... ; thetahall@... ; lmccauley@... ; veldambree@... ; wendell@...
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 10:52 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Movin on down!

Just to let everyone know...
Candy and I are leaving here Monday en route to our
new home in Hillsboro, TX.
This e-mail address will stay active until Sunday afternoon.
After that I will disconnect all this mess and will not have
any access to e-mail until March 1-4 or so.
Hope to hear from, you all again very soon!
tom

If anyone is interested our new place can be viewed at
http://www.juliesiddons.com/1406%20Alford.htm
"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Williamstown, WV

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

976. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Movin on down!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-21 12:18:50 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 974 Message: 976

Good luck on the move Tom.
Don't leave my cards in W. Va :-)
Bill W5EC

Just to let everyone know...
Candy and I are leaving here Monday en route to our
new home in Hillsboro, TX.

977. Logger32 1.2.0 synchronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-22 20:34:19 UTC
From: reallybogus555
Topic: 977 Message: 977

The latest logger32 *almost* synchronizes to LOTW. I got about an 80% success rate. 20% of the calls are listed 'not in log' even though they are perfect matches (as far as I can tell: date, time, band, mode, operator are exact). Logger32 implemented separate database items: LOTW-SENT and LOTW-RCVD in addition to QSL-SENT and QSL-RCVD. Was wondering how paper and LOTW QSLs would be kept separate, and that seems like as good an approach as any.

-- Tom, N5EG

978. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logger32 1.2.0 synchronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-23 18:28:49 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 977 Message: 978

At 21:34 22/02/2004, you wrote:
>The latest logger32 *almost* synchronizes to LOTW. I got about an
>80% success rate. 20% of the calls are listed 'not in log' even
>though they are perfect matches (as far as I can tell: date, time,
>band, mode, operator are exact). Logger32 implemented separate
>database items: LOTW-SENT and LOTW-RCVD in addition to QSL-SENT and
>QSL-RCVD. Was wondering how paper and LOTW QSLs would be kept
>separate, and that seems like as good an approach as any.
>
> -- Tom, N5EG

Tom,
I had no problems synchronizing Logger with LotW, the few bad QSOs went into bad.adi file. Most of them had IOTA info not correctly written (NA110 for example instead of NA-110 (no hyphen)) or grid locator info wrong (5 chars or separated by punctuation when they don't need to).
Anyway, a fast check of bad.adi brought to a fast solution (correction, file renaming & reimporting).

73 & Ciao from Italy
Fabio IZ4AFW

979. anybody haveing trouble connecting to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-23 19:12:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 979 Message: 979

I have a fast connection and I'm just stalling out.
Anybody else having problems?

I just got lost in thought. It was unfamiliar territory.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

980. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] anybody haveing trouble connecting to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-23 19:17:48 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 979 Message: 980

Yes, I believe the site is down.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2004 3:12 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] anybody haveing trouble connecting to LoTW

> I have a fast connection and I'm just stalling out.
> Anybody else having problems?
>
>
> ---
> I just got lost in thought. It was unfamiliar territory.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

981. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] anybody haveing trouble connecting to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-23 19:20:23 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 979 Message: 981

FireBrick wrote:

> I have a fast connection and I'm just stalling out.
> Anybody else having problems?

Yep ... was just trying to upload my ARRL DX Contest logs. Will try
again later.

Ron

982. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] anybody haveing trouble connecting to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-23 19:25:12 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 979 Message: 982

I wrote:

> Yep ... was just trying to upload my ARRL DX Contest logs. Will try
> again later.

.... and less than 5 minutes later, it's working again!!! (21 QSL's
waiting!)

Ron

983. Unable to upload PSK files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-26 15:08:05 UTC
From: aboushner
Topic: 983 Message: 983

Hi to the Group,

Uploaded my entire file from 1990 to present successfully, LoTW
rejected my entire PSK contacts, Saying something wrong with the mode.
I've converted my files to ADIF from DX4WIN 503.
What has to be done to modify the PSK contacts to satisfy LoTW?

Thanks for any simlified help you can offer.

73, N3NZ Noel (om)

984. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Unable to upload PSK files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-26 15:21:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 983 Message: 984

What flavor of PSK is the ADI file listing?
For example.
LoTW does not include every variant.
Say you log had them listed as BPSK
That's wasn't one of the accepted modes back when I uploaded.
So Using the Preferences option in TQSL (the signing portion)
I told TQSL the BPSK was PSK31

Then it accepted them all.
Same thing with saying a qso was LSB or USB
LoTW accepts SSB, it doesn't differentiate between the two forms.

So use Notepad to view the .adi file and find what flavor of digital is in you log and make the appropriate changes in the Preferences option.

Good Luck

----- Original Message -----
From: "aboushner" <n3nznoel@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2004 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Unable to upload PSK files

> Hi to the Group,
>
> Uploaded my entire file from 1990 to present successfully, LoTW
> rejected my entire PSK contacts, Saying something wrong with the mode.
> I've converted my files to ADIF from DX4WIN 503.
> What has to be done to modify the PSK contacts to satisfy LoTW?
>
> Thanks for any simlified help you can offer.
>
> 73, N3NZ Noel (om)
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

985. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Unable to upload PSK files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-26 15:35:03 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 983 Message: 985

Check the FAQ on the LotW web site. I believe ADIF (and LotW)
recognizes PSK31, PSK63, PSK125 but not a "catch all" PSK.

If DX4WIN is using PSK in its ADIF output it is not properly
compliant with the ADIF standard.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: aboushner [mailto:n3nznoel@...]
> Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2004 10:08 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Unable to upload PSK files
>
>
> Hi to the Group,
>
> Uploaded my entire file from 1990 to present successfully, LoTW
> rejected my entire PSK contacts, Saying something wrong with the mode.
> I've converted my files to ADIF from DX4WIN 503.
> What has to be done to modify the PSK contacts to satisfy LoTW?
>
> Thanks for any simlified help you can offer.
>
> 73, N3NZ Noel (om)
>

986. getting PSK recognised for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-26 17:59:23 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 986 Message: 986

Hi all,

Not so sure about the ADIF standards not being followed, but there is an easy solution!
Start TQSL, then choose Preferences under FILE.
Go to the tab marked ADIF Modes, and there, you will be able to add a conversion factor for the various digital modes.
Click on ADD, and it brings up a table. Enter PSK in the ADIF MODE box at the top, and then scroll the slider down in the box below to choose what it ought to be.
This can also solve the problem of the various ways that some logging programs use to log the various modes.
For example, some use PACT for Pactor, while some use PATR.
Some only had PSK when there WAS only PSK31.

Hope that helps.....

73 de Phil GU0SUP

987. Re: Unable to Upload PSK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 01:20:40 UTC
From: aboushner
Topic: 987 Message: 987

Thank you Bill W9OL and Joe K4IK for your quick response.
Checked the DX4WIN adif file and all the contacts were listed as PSK.

Spent couple of hours this afternoon and changed all 477 QSO's to PSK31.
Uploaded the file and was accepted.

Thank you & 73, N3NZ Noel (om)

988. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Unable to Upload PSK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 01:56:22 UTC
From: Bill Metzger
Topic: 987 Message: 988

Wow, you spent a lot of needless effort on this. All you need to do is go to the TQSL program file, click on preferences under the file pulldown menu. Then on ADIF Modes tab (the middle tab) and then add. Then add BPSK in the ADIF box and select PSK31 in the list. This will add a conversion process into TQSL so that whenever it "sees" BPSK it will load it to LOTW as PSK31. I believe this is fully addressed in the help file where I learned it. I've entered several files this way and it works fine. Good luck.

Bill
KF0OH

----- Original Message -----
From: "aboushner" <n3nznoel@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2004 7:20 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Unable to Upload PSK

>
> Thank you Bill W9OL and Joe K4IK for your quick response.
> Checked the DX4WIN adif file and all the contacts were listed as PSK.
>
> Spent couple of hours this afternoon and changed all 477 QSO's to PSK31.
> Uploaded the file and was accepted.
>
> Thank you & 73, N3NZ Noel (om)
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

989. qsl received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 11:04:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 989 Message: 989

Checked my account this morning and was amazed to see 5 qsl's from BY1DX.
Holy Smoke, even China is taking part!

Then the sleepy fog cleared and I realized that it was Maarti,
OH2BH's log from his trip to China. lol

A truly wise man never plays leapfrog with a Unicorn.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

990. Re: qsl received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 13:52:52 UTC
From: k5ww_2004
Topic: 989 Message: 990

Hi Bill.

I got some confirmations from Martti too.

Did you by any chance get one for OH0B in 2000?

Thanks, 73,

Gert - K5WW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Checked my account this morning and was amazed to see 5 qsl's from
BY1DX.
> Holy Smoke, even China is taking part!
>
> Then the sleepy fog cleared and I realized that it was Maarti,
> OH2BH's log from his trip to China. lol
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> A truly wise man never plays leapfrog with a Unicorn.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

991. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qsl received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 14:53:02 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 989 Message: 991

BA4RF DAVID CHEN of NANJING posted his log to LOTW some time ago.

Gerry

Gerry Hohn
TelWest Consulting Services
(403) 251-6520 - office
(403) 803-8170 - cell
telwest@...
http://www.telusplanet.net/public/telwest/home.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: k5ww_2004
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2004 6:52 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qsl received via LoTW

Hi Bill.

I got some confirmations from Martti too.

Did you by any chance get one for OH0B in 2000?

Thanks, 73,

Gert - K5WW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Checked my account this morning and was amazed to see 5 qsl's from
BY1DX.
> Holy Smoke, even China is taking part!
>
> Then the sleepy fog cleared and I realized that it was Maarti,
> OH2BH's log from his trip to China. lol
>
>
>
>
> ---
> A truly wise man never plays leapfrog with a Unicorn.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

992. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qsl received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 15:12:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 989 Message: 992

Nope, don't see any. Fortunately, I already had cards for them.
----- Original Message -----
From: "k5ww_2004" <k5ww@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2004 7:52 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qsl received via LoTW

> Hi Bill.
>
> I got some confirmations from Martti too.
>
> Did you by any chance get one for OH0B in 2000?
>
> Thanks, 73,
>
> Gert - K5WW
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > Checked my account this morning and was amazed to see 5 qsl's from
> BY1DX.
> > Holy Smoke, even China is taking part!
> >
> > Then the sleepy fog cleared and I realized that it was Maarti,
> > OH2BH's log from his trip to China. lol
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > A truly wise man never plays leapfrog with a Unicorn.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

993. Re: Logger32 1.2.0 synchronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 15:39:02 UTC
From: reallybogus555
Topic: 977 Message: 993

Fabio:

The bad.adi file had a few IOTA problems, but also many 'not in the log' errors as well. I verified date, time, callsign, operator, mode, and band were all exactly correct in the log, but for some reason they are not found by the synchronization process. The vast majority (80%) were sucessfully found in the log, just 20% were not found in logger32's log. By looking at the logger database, I cannot understand why logger is complaining, and wonder what fields in addition to these is it trying to find a match?

I posted the problem to the logger list, but no response.

-- Tom, N5EG

>
> Tom,
> I had no problems synchronizing Logger with LotW, the few bad QSOs went
> into bad.adi file. Most of them had IOTA info not correctly written (NA110
> for example instead of NA-110 (no hyphen)) or grid locator info wrong (5
> chars or separated by punctuation when they don't need to).
> Anyway, a fast check of bad.adi brought to a fast solution (correction,
> file renaming & reimporting).
>
> 73 & Ciao from Italy
> Fabio IZ4AFW

994. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Logger32 1.2.0 synchronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-27 19:45:13 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 977 Message: 994

At 16:39 27/02/2004, you wrote:
>The bad.adi file had a few IOTA problems, but also many 'not in the
>log' errors as well. I verified date, time, callsign, operator, mode,
>and band were all exactly correct in the log, but for some reason
>they are not found by the synchronization process. The vast majority
>(80%) were sucessfully found in the log, just 20% were not found in
>logger32's log. By looking at the logger database, I cannot
>understand why logger is complaining, and wonder what fields in
>addition to these is it trying to find a match?
>
>I posted the problem to the logger list, but no response.

Tom,
it's my fault: I read your message too fast... HI :)
I read something about your problem in the Logger32 development reflector,
and I can assure you that Bob (K4CY) is investigating the problem...
even if it seems a not-so-common one!

Ciao
Fabio IZ4AFW

995. Re: Logger32 1.2.0 synchronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 02:48:56 UTC
From: reallybogus555
Topic: 977 Message: 995

Hi Fabio, thanks for the comments. Bob must know that his wonderful program is very popular; within a day or two of release there were a hundred messages! We are all fortunate that Bob devotes so much time to his hobby.

-- tom, N5EG

>
> Tom,
> it's my fault: I read your message too fast... HI :)
> I read something about your problem in the Logger32 development reflector,
> and I can assure you that Bob (K4CY) is investigating the problem...
> even if it seems a not-so-common one!
>
> Ciao
> Fabio IZ4AFW

996. Breaking news on the website today!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 16:18:31 UTC
From: k5ww_2004
Topic: 996 Message: 996

Go have a look...

997. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Breaking news on the website today!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 18:55:47 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 996 Message: 997

"k5ww_2004" wrote in letter "[ARRL-LOTW] Breaking news on the website today!":
> Go have a look...

Yes, but Award is still "Coming soon....".

73's
Pawel, SP7PS

998. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Breaking news on the website today!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 19:02:29 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 996 Message: 998

Not that big of deal !!! Might be a help to QSL Managers.
I've been sorting things out just fine with my LOGic5 logging program.

I was kind of hoping to be able to download a report of all of the QSO that I have UPLOADED to LoTW for each callsign so that I could track down why LoTW has me credited with about 50% more Q's than I have !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:18 2/28/2004 , you wrote:
>Go have a look...
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

999. Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 22:09:20 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 999 Message: 999

I tried help here a few months ago to no avail, let me try again.

I successfully got my PC up and running with my first certificate application, it was for my old callsign , KB2EOQ. Unfortnately the one for my new call, K3UK, had the wrong dates in my application and it expired. I received a new certificate with the appropraite dates but had trouble installing it, never did get it going. I fear I zapped the certificate sent by the ARRL, I did a search today and cannot find it.

I guess I could simply request a new certificate, but what is the meaning of the "download certificate" option on the LOTW web site? When I choose that option , the file "certs.tq6" is downloaded to my PC. Am I suppose to be able to use the certs.tq6 to install my certificates?

If I click on the file I get an error indicating a "system error" that lists a path (C:/documents and settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory).

After clicking "okay" a few times on the error box I am told "you have no certificate with which to sign log submissions, would you like to request a certificate now " ?

I have selected "no" and then selected the option to load a certificate. I have tried then to load the cert.tq6 file and also another file I have on my PC called K3UK.tq6, when I try to load either of them I again get the error .... C:/documents and settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory

I'm stuck!

73 de Andy K3UK

1000. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 22:31:57 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 999 Message: 1000

Hi Andy,
I am not sure from your explanation exactly what you have done but every one of your questions is answered in the FAQ. I would suggest that you go into the ARRL web site and download The Logbook of The World - FAQ. It explains everything that you have questions about, including the error messages.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

obrienaj wrote:

> I tried help here a few months ago to no avail, let me try again.
>
>
> I successfully got my PC up and running with my first certificate
> application, it was for my old callsign , KB2EOQ. Unfortnately the
> one for my new call, K3UK, had the wrong dates in my application and
> it expired. I received a new certificate with the appropraite dates
> but had trouble installing it, never did get it going. I fear I
> zapped the certificate sent by the ARRL, I did a search today and
> cannot find it.
>
> I guess I could simply request a new certificate, but what is the
> meaning of the "download certificate" option on the LOTW web site?
> When I choose that option , the file "certs.tq6" is downloaded to my
> PC. Am I suppose to be able to use the certs.tq6 to install my
> certificates?
>
> If I click on the file I get an error indicating a "system error"
> that lists a path (C:/documents and settings/andy/application
> data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory).
>
> After clicking "okay" a few times on the error box I am told "you
> have no certificate with which to sign log submissions, would you
> like to request a certificate now " ?
>
> I have selected "no" and then selected the option to load a
> certificate. I have tried then to load the cert.tq6 file and also
> another file I have on my PC called K3UK.tq6, when I try to load
> either of them I again get the error .... C:/documents and
> settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file
> or directory
>
> I'm stuck!

>
> 73 de Andy K3UK

1001. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 22:29:10 UTC
From: Winford Barnes
Topic: 999 Message: 1001

You need the "key"! Without it you cannot do anything. I had the same problem. I am now up to W8XC (4). Each time I had a computer problem I had to get a new certificate and with it a new key. I finally called them and was told that without the key there is nothing they can do. After you get your new certificates be sure to save the key!!!

DE W8XC

-----Original Message-----
From: obrienaj [mailto:obrienaj@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 5:09 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

I tried help here a few months ago to no avail, let me try again.

I successfully got my PC up and running with my first certificate application, it was for my old callsign , KB2EOQ. Unfortnately the one for my new call, K3UK, had the wrong dates in my application and it expired. I received a new certificate with the appropraite dates but had trouble installing it, never did get it going. I fear I zapped the certificate sent by the ARRL, I did a search today and cannot find it.

I guess I could simply request a new certificate, but what is the meaning of the "download certificate" option on the LOTW web site? When I choose that option , the file "certs.tq6" is downloaded to my PC. Am I suppose to be able to use the certs.tq6 to install my certificates?

If I click on the file I get an error indicating a "system error" that lists a path (C:/documents and settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory).

After clicking "okay" a few times on the error box I am told "you have no certificate with which to sign log submissions, would you like to request a certificate now " ?

I have selected "no" and then selected the option to load a certificate. I have tried then to load the cert.tq6 file and also another file I have on my PC called K3UK.tq6, when I try to load either of them I again get the error .... C:/documents and settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory

I'm stuck!

73 de Andy K3UK

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

1002. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 22:45:21 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 999 Message: 1002

I read the FAQ's many times, can you point me to where it explains this
error?

-----Original Message-----
From: C.M. Christensen [mailto:cchrisac0m@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 5:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Hi Andy,
I am not sure from your explanation exactly what you have done but every

one of your questions is answered in the FAQ. I would suggest that you

go into the ARRL web site and download The Logbook of The World - FAQ.
It explains everything that you have questions about, including the
error messages.
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

obrienaj wrote:

> I tried help here a few months ago to no avail, let me try again.
>
>
> I successfully got my PC up and running with my first certificate
> application, it was for my old callsign , KB2EOQ. Unfortnately the
> one for my new call, K3UK, had the wrong dates in my application and
> it expired. I received a new certificate with the appropraite dates
> but had trouble installing it, never did get it going. I fear I
> zapped the certificate sent by the ARRL, I did a search today and
> cannot find it.
>
> I guess I could simply request a new certificate, but what is the
> meaning of the "download certificate" option on the LOTW web site?
> When I choose that option , the file "certs.tq6" is downloaded to my
> PC. Am I suppose to be able to use the certs.tq6 to install my
> certificates?
>
> If I click on the file I get an error indicating a "system error"
> that lists a path (C:/documents and settings/andy/application
> data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file or directory).
>
> After clicking "okay" a few times on the error box I am told "you
> have no certificate with which to sign log submissions, would you

> like to request a certificate now " ?
>
> I have selected "no" and then selected the option to load a
> certificate. I have tried then to load the cert.tq6 file and also
> another file I have on my PC called K3UK.tq6, when I try to load
> either of them I again get the error .... C:/documents and
> settings/andy/application data/trustedQSL/keys/k3uk : no such file
> or directory
>
> I'm stuck!
>
> 73 de Andy K3UK

Yahoo! Groups Links

1003. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 22:49:51 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 999 Message: 1003

Did you have the same system error? If I am missing the key, what is the purpose of the "download you certificate" option on the LOTW website?

Andy K3UK

-----Original Message-----
From: Winford Barnes [mailto:winfordb@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 5:29 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

You need the "key"! Without it you cannot do anything. I had the same problem. I am now up to W8XC (4). Each time I had a computer problem I had to get a new certificate and with it a new key. I finally called them and was told that without the key there is nothing they can do. After you get your new certificates be sure to save the key!!!

DE W8XC

1004. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 23:23:01 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 999 Message: 1004

On Sat, Feb 28, 2004 at 05:49:51PM -0500, Andrew O'Brien wrote:
> Did you have the same system error? If I am missing the key, what is
> the purpose of the "download you certificate" option on the LOTW
> website?

LOTW uses a public key system, which means that everyone has two keys, a public key and a private key. In such a system the public key is distributed freely but the private key is always kept secret.

In a public key system, messages can be encrypted with the private key and decrypted with the public key, or encrypted with the public key and decrypted with the private key. The keys are equivalent but different. If you have one you cannot determine the other.

When you use TQSLCERT you create both keys. You keep the private key and upload the public key to the LOTW site in the form of the certificate request. The ARRL signs your public key with their private key to form your certificate (this means they have checked it and approved it).

After that, you sign your QSLs with your private key and the ARRL site checks them with your public key (certificate). The ARRL never has your private key and so if you lose it, it's gone and you'll have to replace it.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1005. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 23:22:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 999 Message: 1005

Once you get a certificate it is told over and over and over again that you have one and only one choice for safety. That is you MUST save the certificate. IT will be in a .p12 file when you do it. You have to do it for every certificate. If you do that and keep it you can format your drive and reload it later. You have all you need. If you do not do that there is nothing whatsoever that you can do other than request another certificate, they can;t help you, I can't help you ,nobody can help you.
READ and obey, that is all I can say. It is easy but it is required.

When you request a certificate BASED on one that you have, You signed the request with your certificate) then you have the key. Without any valid certificate you are out of luck and MUST request a new one. Once you get it and install it AVE it RIGHT AWAY to a floppy is best. Then you are \safe no mater what happens.

It is all explained but I agree they don';t make it very clear. You have to read the documentation and that is a VERY bad thing in my opinion. They should force the save of the certificate. it would help a lot.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

_____

From: Andrew O'Brien [mailto:obrienaj@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 4:50 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Did you have the same system error? If I am missing the key, what is the purpose of the "download you certificate" option on the LOTW website?

Andy K3UK

-----Original Message-----
From: Winford Barnes [mailto:winfordb@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 5:29 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

You need the "key"! Without it you cannot do anything. I had the same problem. I am now up to W8XC (4). Each time I had a computer problem I had to get a new certificate and with it a new key. I finally called them and was told that without the key there is nothing they can do. After you get your new certificates be sure to save the key!!!

DE W8XC

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1006. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Breaking news on the website today!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-28 23:38:44 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 996 Message: 1006

Not that big of deal !!! Might be a help to QSL Managers.
I've been sorting things out just fine with my LOGic5 logging program.

I was kind of hoping to be able to download a report of all of the QSO that I have UPLOADED to LoTW for each callsign so that I could track down why LoTW has me credited with about 50% more Q's than I have !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:18 2/28/2004 , you wrote:
>Go have a look...
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1007. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-29 01:24:31 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 999 Message: 1007
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Thanks Hamish, it makes sense now. By the way I was reading the HELP files with QSLCERT NOT the FAQs on the web site, I see the error message I have is on the web site and is a networking issue.
73 de Andy K3UK

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2004 18:23:08
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

On Sat, Feb 28, 2004 at 05:49:51PM -0500, Andrew O'Brien wrote:
> Did you have the same system error? If I am missing the key, what is
> the purpose of the "download you certificate" option on the LOTW
> website?

LOTW uses a public key system, which means that everyone has two keys, a public key and a private key. In such a system the public key is distributed freely but the private key is always kept secret.

In a public key system, messages can be encrypted with the private key and decrypted with the public key, or encrypted with the public key and decrypted with the private key. The keys are equivalent but different. If you have one you cannot determine the other.

When you use TQSLCERT you create both keys. You keep the private key and upload the public key to the LOTW site in the form of the certificate request. The ARRL signs your public key with their private key to form your certificate (this means they have checked it and approved it).

After that, you sign your QSLs with your private key and the ARRL site checks them with your public key (certificate). The ARRL never has your private key and so if you lose it, it's gone and you'll have to replace it.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Links

.

1008. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-29 05:21:08 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 999 Message: 1008

The single most common reason for the failure of large scale software systems is the tendency to write them for the ease of the developer, at the expense of the user.

IMHO, the below paragraph is a classic example of such a design.
-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 3:22 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate

Once you get a certificate it is told over and over and over again that you have one and only one choice for safety. That is you MUST save the certificate. IT will be in a .p12 file when you do it. You have to do it for every certificate. If you do that and keep it you can format your drive and reload it later. You have all you need. If you do not do that there is nothing whatsoever that you can do other than request another certificate, they can;t help you, I can't help you ,nobody can help you.
READ and obey, that is all I can say. It is easy but it is required.

1009. Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-29 05:36:16 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 999 Message: 1009

I disagree. The largest factor in the failure of large-scale software systems is the use waterfall-like development processes that impede the discovery of high-risk issues until late in the project. Most of these failures occur before real users ever see the system.

I do agree that this application is not particularly user-friendly; automatically creating an archival copy of the certificate when it generates the certificate would be a step in the right direction. Validating CQ and ITU zones and enforcing a standard IOTA tag format would also be helpful.

I'm sure the LotW team would welcome an improved implementation, as would the user community.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> The single most common reason for the failure of large scale software
> systems is the tendency to write them for the ease of the developer, at the
> expense of the user.
>
> IMHO, the below paragraph is a classic example of such a design.
> -----Original Message-----
> From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@c...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 3:22 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need help with certificate
>
>
> Once you get a certificate it is told over and over and over again that
> you have one and only one choice for safety. That is you MUST save the
> certificate. IT will be in a .p12 file when you do it. You have to do it for
> every certificate. If you do that and keep it you can format your drive and
> reload it later. You have all you need. If you do not do that there is
> nothing whatsoever that you can do other than request another certificate,
> they can;t help you, I can't help you ,nobody can help you.

> READ and obey, that is all I can say. It is easy but it is
required.

1010. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-02-29 05:51:15 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 999 Message: 1010

We're talking about two different things. You're talking about methods, (about which, we could have an interesting discussion, but it would range far afield of this topic) and I'm talking about mindsets, (about which, of course, the classic reference is Brook's comments on second system syndrome.) And because of NIH, and the ornate mindset that had to be behind the LOTW design, I doubt very much that the LOTW team would be interested in a solution, as they've already pretty clearly dismissed the obvious one: reduce the supposed 'security' to a level appropriate for the nature of the application. public-key crypto systems, especially those developed without any infrastructure support are massive overkill for the level of security required for this application.

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 9:36 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate

I disagree. The largest factor in the failure of large-scale software systems is the use waterfall-like development processes that impede the discovery of high-risk issues until late in the project. Most of these failures occur before real users ever see the system.

1011. Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-01 06:12:55 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 999 Message: 1011

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> We're talking about two different things. You're talking about
methods,
> (about which, we could have an interesting discussion, but it would
range
> far afield of this topic) and I'm talking about mindsets, (about
which, of
> course, the classic reference is Brook's comments on second system
> syndrome.) And because of NIH, and the ornate mindset that had to
be behind
> the LOTW design, I doubt very much that the LOTW team would be
interested in
> a solution, as they've already pretty clearly dismissed the obvious
one:
> reduce the supposed 'security' to a level appropriate for the
nature of the
> application. public-key crypto systems, especially those developed
without
> any infrastructure support are massive overkill for the level of
security
> required for this application.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 9:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate
>
>
> I disagree. The largest factor in the failure of large-scale
software
> systems is the use waterfall-like development processes that impede
> the discovery of high-risk issues until late in the project. Most of
> these failures occur before real users ever see the system.

1012. Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-01 06:13:00 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 999 Message: 1012

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> We're talking about two different things. You're talking about
methods,
> (about which, we could have an interesting discussion, but it would
range
> far afield of this topic) and I'm talking about mindsets, (about
which, of
> course, the classic reference is Brook's comments on second system
> syndrome.) And because of NIH, and the ornate mindset that had to
be behind
> the LOTW design, I doubt very much that the LOTW team would be
interested in
> a solution, as they've already pretty clearly dismissed the obvious
one:
> reduce the supposed 'security' to a level appropriate for the
nature of the
> application. public-key crypto systems, especially those developed
without
> any infrastructure support are massive overkill for the level of
security
> required for this application.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 9:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate
>
>
> I disagree. The largest factor in the failure of large-scale
software
> systems is the use waterfall-like development processes that impede
> the discovery of high-risk issues until late in the project. Most of
> these failures occur before real users ever see the system.

1013. Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-01 06:24:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 999 Message: 1013

Sorry, I didn't mean that you should re-architect and re-implement the entire system. As your earlier note seemed to focus on the user interface, I thought you might be interested in re-implementing TQSL and/or TQSLCert to address their acknowledged shortcomings in this area.

I am of the opinion that LotW's useability can be incrementally improved to the point where most users will find it "natural". Whether or not the current complexity -- generated by the security requirements, the centralized architecture, and other design decisions -- was justified is moot, unless one is writing a case study.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> We're talking about two different things. You're talking about methods,
> (about which, we could have an interesting discussion, but it would range
> far afield of this topic) and I'm talking about mindsets, (about which, of
> course, the classic reference is Brook's comments on second system
> syndrome.) And because of NIH, and the ornate mindset that had to be behind
> the LOTW design, I doubt very much that the LOTW team would be interested in
> a solution, as they've already pretty clearly dismissed the obvious one:
> reduce the supposed 'security' to a level appropriate for the nature of the
> application. public-key crypto systems, especially those developed without
> any infrastructure support are massive overkill for the level of security
> required for this application.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2004 9:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate
>

>
> I disagree. The largest factor in the failure of large-scale
software
> systems is the use waterfall-like development processes that impede
> the discovery of high-risk issues until late in the project. Most of
> these failures occur before real users ever see the system.

1014. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-02 01:21:53 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 999 Message: 1014

The user interface is merely a symptom of the design failure of the underlying architecture.

If the "security requirements" led to the arcane "security" approach, then the underlying failure goes back as far as understanding the actual requirements of the system.

Any system can be incrementally modified into some other system. The question isn't "can we", the question is "should we"; and unless you're interests run to wallowing in the Turing tar pit, for the "security" architecture of LotW, the answer is a resounding no.

Sometimes, especially when it's still early days, it's better to fix the mistake than to paper over it with a UI kludge
-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
Sent: Sunday, February 29, 2004 10:24 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate

Sorry, I didn't mean that you should re-architect and re-implement the entire system. As your earlier note seemed to focus on the user interface, I thought you might be interested in re-implementing TQSL and/or TQSLCert to address their acknowledged shortcomings in this area.

I am of the opinion that LotW's useability can be incrementally improved to the point where most users will find it "natural". Whether or not the current complexity -- generated by the security requirements, the centralized architecture, and other design decisions -- was justified is moot, unless one is writing a case study.

1015. Re: Need help with certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-02 05:06:56 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 999 Message: 1015

I agree that architecturally flawed systems in general can't be papered over with better UIs. I don't believe that LotW's security requirements were inappropriate. I do think that users are unnecessarily exposed to complexity and error-prone manual procedures, but believe that this can be rectified with by adding the appropriate functionality.

It would have been entertaining to see your description of how a disk drive's head positioning system can be incrementally modified into an air traffic control system, but I think we're better off agreeing to disagree.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> The user interface is merely a symptom of the design failure of the
> underlying architecture.
>
> If the "security requirements" led to the arcane "security" approach, then
> the underlying failure goes back as far as understanding the actual
> requirements of the system.
>
> Any system can be incrementally modified into some other system. The
> question isn't "can we", the question is "should we"; and unless you're
> interests run to wallowing in the Turing tar pit, for the "security"
> architecture of LotW, the answer is a resounding no.
>
> Sometimes, especially when it's still early days, it's better to fix the
> mistake than to paper over it with a UI kludge
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> Sent: Sunday, February 29, 2004 10:24 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need help with certificate
>
>
> Sorry, I didn't mean that you should re-architect and re-implement
> the entire system. As your earlier note seemed to focus on the user
> interface, I thought you might be interested in re-implementing TQSL
> and/or TQSLCert to address their acknowledged shortcomings in this

> area.
>
> I am of the opinion that LotW's useability can be incrementally
> improved to the point where most users will find it "natural".
> Whether or not the current complexity -- generated by the security
> requirements, the centralized architecture, and other design
> decisions -- was justified is moot, unless one is writing a case
> study.

1016. LoTW Server work

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-02 15:56:30 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1016 Message: 1016

Just an FYI,

Off and on today and this week there will be delays in log processing as we build tables for the DXCC award module and generally test the system.

So, there will not be instant responses from the server.

73, Dave, NN1N

1017. Re: A milestone!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-07 20:02:03 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1017 Message: 1017

Today I hit 500 QSL's (out of 10,000+ QSO's). This is not bad. In comparison, I have about 600 QSL's on Eqsl and it has been in operation a lot longer. A number of DX stations have finally joined LoTW. Interestingly, there have fewer "hits" than expected from the last contest season. My premise is that many contesters use some sort of computer logging, and many submit to the contest robot, therefore it would follow that they would also submit to LoTW. Apparently this is not the case.

Jim

1018. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: A milestone!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-07 20:51:39 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1017 Message: 1018

Jim Brannigan wrote:

> Interestingly, there have fewer "hits" than expected from the last contest
> season. My premise is that many contesters use some sort of computer
> logging, and many submit to the contest robot, therefore it would follow
> that they would also submit to LoTW. Apparently this is not the case.

They'll show up. For whatever reason, there is a misunderstanding that logs can be "trolled", and that some "post contest" log cleaning can take place. For that reason, many wait until well after the log deadline to upload their logs. I usually upload mine the next day - just so I don't mess anything up 5 minutes after the contest.

Ron, WD4AHZ

1019. IOTA number standard form

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-08 07:44:57 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1019 Message: 1019

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

LoTW developers, please, is that that hard to place a note about
"standard form" of IOTA number records to let the people know they
should enter OC-100 instead of OC100, for example? That would make
LoTW syncronization much easier. Or at least you can do it by the
LoTW software when LoTW ADIF report file is being created.

--
73...Art RX9TX 08.03.2004 7:35 UTC

"Real knowledge is to know the extent of one's ignorance." (Confucious)

1020. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-10 23:31:22 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 944 Message: 1020

I think the problem is part technical and part philosophical.

I have no idea of the technical difficulties, but it will take some effort and I would rather see the Awards program development take precedent.

The League requires Certificates for each call, this would also apply for any QSO's imported from the contest robot.
Yes, I understand that a matched QSO is a matched QSO and that should be sufficient proof. However....back to the phisophical premise....

At the end of a contest I create a Cabrillo file for the robot and an AD1F file for LoTW and import to my daily logging program. This is just a few extra steps and I do not understand why more contest stations do not do it.

Jim

> I realize everything takes time, but is it too early to ask whether ARRL is
> considering using the cross-check records from robot checking of logs in
> its contests to substantially enlarge the body of QSOs in the LotW database?
>
> What I'm suggesting is that an interface could be designed to import all
> QSOs which have been confirmed by the log-checking robot, whether or not
> either or both parties have uploaded their logs to LotW. It might be as
> simple as adding a routine to the robot to produce ADIF of all
> cross-checked QSOs, and then allowing the robot's output to be uploaded in
> some sort of "super-user" mode, where the QSOs are added to the QSO records
> of both stations and shown as QSLed.
>
> I'm sure there are complications -- for example, people would still have to
> get certificates to access their records -- but are there any
> show-stoppers? Wouldn't this be a big incentive to people to participate
> in LotW?
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
> Updated 9 Jan 04

1021. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 00:56:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 944 Message: 1021

?

> I think the problem is part technical and part philosophical.
>
> I have no idea of the technical difficulties, but it will take some effort
> and I would rather see the Awards program development take precedent.
>
> The League requires Certificates for each call, this would also apply for
> any QSO's imported from the contest robot.
> Yes, I understand that a matched QSO is a matched QSO and that should be
> sufficient proof. However....back to the phisophical premise....
>
> At the end of a contest I create a Cabrillo file for the robot and an AD1F
> file for LoTW and import to my daily logging program. This is just a few
> extra steps and I do not understand why more contest stations do not do it.
>
> Jim

Jimbo
I do exactly the same thing. It takes just a few moments.
I've been doing it since the beta testing started.

It's easy, even a blind wino like me can do ...correct on the first try!

Reality is a crutch for people who can't handle drugs.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1022. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 01:45:37 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 944 Message: 1022

> At the end of a contest I create a Cabrillo file for the
> robot and an AD1F file for LoTW and import to my daily
> logging program. This is just a few extra steps and I do
> not understand why more contest stations do not do it.

If you're not importing to a daily log program, you don't
even need to create the ADIF. Just sign the Cabrillo file
with tQSL and upload the signed copy to LotW.

Of course, the next step is to require a certificate in order
to upload contest logs ... that would eliminate any question
about direct usability of robot uploads and authenticate the
contest submission at the same time <G>.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1023. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 01:55:57 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 1023

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2004 7:46 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
>
>
> > At the end of a contest I create a Cabrillo file for the
> robot and an
> > AD1F file for LoTW and import to my daily logging program. This is
> > just a few extra steps and I do not understand why more contest
> > stations do not do it.
>
> If you're not importing to a daily log program, you don't
> even need to create the ADIF. Just sign the Cabrillo file
> with tQSL and upload the signed copy to LotW.
>

Yes.

> Of course, the next step is to require a certificate in order
> to upload contest logs ... that would eliminate any question
> about direct usability of robot uploads and authenticate the
> contest submission at the same time <G>.
>

NO, NO, and NO, no need to alienate contesters.
If they can't upload to the log, they are simply not interested nor nice!
I do think that in the end ARRL MUST make this automatic in some way though.

> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.

> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1024. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 15:42:52 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 944 Message: 1024

> From: Gil, W0MN
>
> NO, NO, and NO, no need to alienate contesters.
> If they can't upload to the log, they are simply not
> interested nor nice!
> I do think that in the end ARRL MUST make this automatic in
> some way though.
>

The ARRL cannot and based on the current authentication and
security paradigm, arguably should not, automate the acceptance
of contest QSOs uploaded without a valid certificate.

Absent any security (and the contest robots have none), as it
stands it would be very easy to "fake" a QSO in any contest and
get it accepted. Since there is no current way to validate
the authenticity of a log submitted (an e-mail) all one needs
to do is e-mail a bogus log with the QSO to be matched.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1025. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 16:03:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 1025

I realize that but if and only if it would automate the contest robot then a certificate system could be added. I think most would use it if they were saved the trouble of 2 separate operations. Contesting produces a very large set of DX opportunities and if there is not a very large part of them found in LotW it would be another nail in the coffin of acceptability of LotW and that would be a shame. You should not REQUIRE a certificate for contest logs but should do EVERYTHING possible to strongly encourage it and that would only happen if it would mean that all contesting logs with a certificate attached would be automatically added to LotW.

OTOH, I feel only contempt for those that have a certificate and do not send the log from a contest to LotW, it is so easy to do once you are set up.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2004 9:43 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
>
>
> > From: Gil, W0MN
> >
> > NO, NO, and NO, no need to alienate contesters.
> > If they can't upload to the log, they are simply not interested nor
> > nice!
> > I do think that in the end ARRL MUST make this automatic in
> some way
> > though.
> >
>
> The ARRL cannot and based on the current authentication and
> security paradigm, arguably should not, automate the
> acceptance of contest QSOs uploaded without a valid certificate.
>
> Absent any security (and the contest robots have none), as it
> stands it would be very easy to "fake" a QSO in any contest
> and get it accepted. Since there is no current way to
> validate the authenticity of a log submitted (an e-mail) all
> one needs to do is e-mail a bogus log with the QSO to be matched.
>
> 73,

>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1026. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 17:33:07 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 1026

At 10:42 AM 3/11/04 -0500, you wrote:
>Absent any security (and the contest robots have none), as it
>stands it would be very easy to "fake" a QSO in any contest and
>get it accepted. Since there is no current way to validate
>the authenticity of a log submitted (an e-mail) all one needs
>to do is e-mail a bogus log with the QSO to be matched.

Is this really true? Following the 1970s precedent (no security then,
either), the log would have to be matched by the log of the station with
which the contact is claimed. If I wanted to claim a bogus 5V7C QSO, for
example, I'd have to get 5V7C to cooperate. I suppose you could fabricate
an entire DX station to claim a QSO with, and submit contest logs for both
your own station and the DX, but wouldn't that pretty quickly become evident?

Considering that most (all?) of the big frauds of the 60's through 90's
involved real QSOs from fraudulent locations, how big a problem would
giving credit for "matched" contest QSOs really be? I expect a cry of pain
from the ARRL on this, but it just doesn't wash for me.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 8 Mar 04 -- 2671 stations listed
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

1027. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 17:40:35 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 944 Message: 1027

> From: Gil, W0MN
>
>
> I realize that but if and only if it would automate the
> contest robot then a certificate system could be added.
> I think most would use it if they were saved the trouble
> of 2 separate operations.

I would agree that the ARRL Contest Robot should be modified
to accept "signed" Cabrillo files and automatically copy them
to the LotW server. I would further like to see a system
where other robots (KKN, etc.) also copy signed logs to the
LotW Server.

> OTOH, I feel only contempt for those that have a certificate
> and do not send the log from a contest to LotW, it is so easy
> to do once you are set up.

I would go one step further ... contest log submissions should
not be accepted and DXCC accreditation of any station (including
DX-pedition) should be withheld for any station/operation (where
the licensee, guest operator, or ANY operator of a multi-operator
station/DX-pedition) holds or has ever held a LotW certificate.

If an operator expects to participate in the benefits of LotW,
he/she should be expected carry his share of the "load."

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1028. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 18:27:23 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 1028

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2004 11:33 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
> At 10:42 AM 3/11/04 -0500, you wrote:
> >Absent any security (and the contest robots have none), as
> it stands it
> >would be very easy to "fake" a QSO in any contest and get it
> accepted.
> >Since there is no current way to validate the authenticity of a log
> >submitted (an e-mail) all one needs to do is e-mail a bogus log with
> >the QSO to be matched.
>
>
> Is this really true? Following the 1970s precedent (no
> security then, either), the log would have to be matched by
> the log of the station with which the contact is claimed. If
> I wanted to claim a bogus 5V7C QSO, for example, I'd have to
> get 5V7C to cooperate. I suppose you could fabricate an
> entire DX station to claim a QSO with, and submit contest
> logs for both your own station and the DX, but wouldn't that
> pretty quickly become evident?
>
> Considering that most (all?) of the big frauds of the 60's
> through 90's involved real QSOs from fraudulent locations,
> how big a problem would giving credit for "matched" contest
> QSOs really be? I expect a cry of pain from the ARRL on
> this, but it just doesn't wash for me.
>

I agree with you completely. The only problem is that ARRL would have to admit the obvious. The onerous security for LotW is totally unneeded. I know this is heresy but nevertheless it is true.
Anyone that fabricates would soon me exposed and become a pariah, so what does it matter? Nevertheless, I think we are stuck with this system. I don't expect change sop somehow it must be eased?

> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database Updated 8 Mar
> 04 -- 2671 stations listed www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---------- ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1029. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 18:34:06 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 944 Message: 1029

It is a matter of degree. With the paper logs of the 70's it would take a very determined individual to falsify one or more contra station submissions. With computers and electronic submission it is really a simple matter to "create" any number of bogus logs.

There will always be someone who will try to "game" the system. The big frauds of the past were uncovered and many of the credits reversed. The hallmark of the ARRL awards program has been the honesty of the participants (mostly)enforced by vigilance at the League. With all the work that needs to be done on LoTW, this is really a minor issue. I find it difficult to believe that anyone who goes to the trouble and expense of mounting a major contest effort is now too stupid or lazy to make two log submissions.

Jim

Is this really true? Following the 1970s precedent (no security then, either), the log would have to be matched by the log of the station with which the contact is claimed. If I wanted to claim a bogus 5V7C QSO, for example, I'd have to get 5V7C to cooperate. I suppose you could fabricate an entire DX station to claim a QSO with, and submit contest logs for both your own station and the DX, but wouldn't that pretty quickly become evident?

Considering that most (all?) of the big frauds of the 60's through 90's involved real QSOs from fraudulent locations, how big a problem would giving credit for "matched" contest QSOs really be? I expect a cry of pain from the ARRL on this, but it just doesn't wash for me.

73, Pete N4ZR

1030. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 19:12:49 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 1030

At 01:34 PM 3/11/04 -0500, Jim Brannigan wrote:
>I find it difficult to believe that anyone who goes to the trouble and
>expense of mounting a major contest effort is now too stupid or lazy to
>make two log submissions.

I agree with you, of course, but 3/4 of the individuals participating in a major contest are not mounting major efforts, and it would add very considerably to the number of confirmed QSOs available for award credit through LOTW if some way could be found to include matched contest QSOs.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 8 Mar 04 -- 2671 stations listed
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

1031. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 19:15:53 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 944 Message: 1031

> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
>
> At 10:42 AM 3/11/04 -0500, you wrote:
> >Absent any security (and the contest robots have none), as it
> >stands it would be very easy to "fake" a QSO in any contest and
> >get it accepted. Since there is no current way to validate
> >the authenticity of a log submitted (an e-mail) all one needs
> >to do is e-mail a bogus log with the QSO to be matched.
>
>
> Is this really true?

Wait until the last day and upload a "log" with the DX station's call. It will overwrite the older logs ... if there is no human checking the QSOs will match and !!! credit !!!

Yes, it's a stretch but entirely possible with no active security and/or error checking. The system currently relies on the certificate to authenticate the QSO and the uploader ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1032. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 19:19:09 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 944 Message: 1032

At 01:34 PM 3/11/04 -0500, Jim Brannigan also wrote:
>It is a matter of degree. With the paper logs of the 70's it would take a
>very determined individual to falsify one or more contra station
>submissions. With computers and electronic submission it is really a
>simple matter to "create" any number of bogus logs.
>

Yes, but I submit that it would be very easy to catch someone who did this more than once or twice at most. He could either fabricate logs from real licensees, and risk being exposed when one of them notices a QSO he didn't make, or make up call-signs, and get busted even more quickly.

I submit that this is really like most low-intensity law-enforcement situations. Take speeding for example -- properly-set speed limits will generate about 75 percent compliance with no enforcement at all. Minimal police presence occasionally will raise it above 85 percent. Serious policing, together with stiff penalties for violation (banning from the DXCC program for 5 years?), can achieve better rates. Eventually, though, perfect compliance requires infinite enforcement effort.

The question is where on the curve it is reasonable for LotW to be.

73, Pete N4ZR
Check out the World HF Contest Station Database
Updated 8 Mar 04 -- 2671 stations listed
www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm

1033. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-11 20:34:32 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 944 Message: 1033

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Pete Smith [mailto:n4zr@...]
> Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2004 1:19 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step?
>
> At 01:34 PM 3/11/04 -0500, Jim Brannigan also wrote:
> >It is a matter of degree. With the paper logs of the 70's it would
> >take a very determined individual to falsify one or more
> contra station
> >submissions. With computers and electronic submission it is
> really a
> >simple matter to "create" any number of bogus logs.
> >
>
> Yes, but I submit that it would be very easy to catch someone
> who did this more than once or twice at most. He could
> either fabricate logs from real licensees, and risk being
> exposed when one of them notices a QSO he didn't make, or
> make up call-signs, and get busted even more quickly.
>
> I submit that this is really like most low-intensity
> law-enforcement situations. Take speeding for example --
> properly-set speed limits will generate about 75 percent
> compliance with no enforcement at all. Minimal police
> presence occasionally will raise it above 85 percent.
> Serious policing, together with stiff penalties for violation
> (banning from the DXCC program for 5 years?), can achieve
> better rates. Eventually, though, perfect compliance
> requires infinite enforcement effort.
>
> The question is where on the curve it is reasonable for LotW to be.
>
>

A VERY neat and actually quite mathematical explanation and the need to be
at the infinite point where LotW is attempting is silly.
Nevertheless the NIH and other syndromes are going to preclude any
reasonable change it seems to me.

> 73, Pete N4ZR
> Check out the World HF Contest Station Database Updated 8 Mar

> 04 -- 2671 stations listed www.pvrc.org/wcsd/wcsdsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1034. [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 19:26:23 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 944 Message: 1034

>OTOH, I feel only contempt for those that have a certificate
>and do not send the log from a contest to LotW, it is so easy
>to do once you are set up.

and

>I would go one step further ... contest log submissions should
>not be accepted and DXCC accreditation of any station (including
>DX-pedition) should be withheld for any station/operation (where
>the licensee, guest operator, or ANY operator of a multi-operator
>station/DX-pedition) holds or has ever held a LotW certificate.

After reading the these comments it only me wonder more about the future of LoTW.

The last I knew it was a "Free Country" and people had the "Right of Choice" !!!
I thought Joe Stalin was dead and buried, I can see the smile on his face now !!!

Comments like these will only serve to alienate the Contest Community and reduce
their participation in LoTW and possibly "Log Submission".

There are many options open for the "Contester" to QLS: LoTW, BURO, eQSL, or not
bothering at all. It's THERE choice !!!

At this point in time the only awards that LoTW appears to be addressing are the
ARRL sponsored awards. There are "Other Awards" in the world !!!

Remember the fact that a "Paper QSL" is UNIVERSAL, a "LoTW QSL" will be limited, and
an "eQSL QSL" is worthless (my opinion).

Another point, right now LoTW lacks the numbers. Currently there are only 6,640
LoTW users World Wide !!!

By the ARRL's own figures only approximately 23% of the U.S. Hams are League Members
and that number is falling.

A recent ARRL Web Survey on LoTW showed: 15.8% used , 19.4% maybe, 23.6% don't use,
35.5% don't keep "Electronic Logs", and 5.8% don't what LoTW is.

While these numbers are subject to debate, they do show a need to increase LoTW use
VOLUNTARILY, not by edict !!!

LoTW Zealots need not apply !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

1035. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 20:45:52 UTC
From: Hugh Phillips - K7XM
Topic: 944 Message: 1035

This is the exact reason I'm selling everything Ham related I own.
346 Mixed, 337 Phone, 339 CW.

-----Original Message-----
From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 11:26 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: Patton, David NN1N
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

>OTOH, I feel only contempt for those that have a certificate
>and do not send the log from a contest to LotW, it is so easy
>to do once you are set up.

and

>I would go one step further ... contest log submissions should
>not be accepted and DXCC accreditation of any station (including
>DX-pedition) should be withheld for any station/operation (where
>the licensee, guest operator, or ANY operator of a multi-operator
>station/DX-pedition) holds or has ever held a LotW certificate.

After reading the these comments it only me wonder more about the future of
LoTW.

The last I knew it was a "Free Country" and people had the "Right of Choice"
!!!
I thought Joe Stalin was dead and buried, I can see the smile on his face
now !!!

Comments like these will only serve to alienate the Contest Community and
reduce
their participation in LoTW and possibly "Log Submission".

There are many options open for the "Contester" to QLS: LoTW, BURO, eQSL, or
not
bothering at all. It's THERE choice !!!

At this point in time the only awards that LoTW appears to be addressing are
the
ARRL sponsored awards. There are "Other Awards" in the world !!!

Remember the fact that a "Paper QSL" is UNIVERSAL, a "LoTW QSL" will be
limited, and
an "eQSL QSL" is worthless (my opinion).

Another point, right now LoTW lacks the numbers. Currently there are only
6,640

LoTW users World Wide !!!

By the ARRL's own figures only approximately 23% of the U.S. Hams are League Members
and that number is falling.

A recent ARRL Web Survey on LoTW showed: 15.8% used , 19.4% maybe, 23.6% don't use,
35.5% don't keep "Electronic Logs", and 5.8% don't what LoTW is.

While these numbers are subject to debate, they do show a need to increase LoTW use
VOLUNTARILY, not by edict !!!

LoTW Zealots need not apply !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1036. March 12 update

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 21:03:36 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1036 Message: 1036

Hello all

Thanks for patiently waiting for news on the Awards Section of LoTW.

I am happy to report that progress is being made. We have decided to go a little farther than planned for the inital Awards activity for DXCC.

When we turn the DXCC support on, not only will it be able to automatically show you the confirmations for each country on each band that you have in the system, it will also be able to show you your entire universe of DXCC records as maintained in the DXCC program--incorporated into LoTW.

Each user will have to request that their associated DX records be merged into LoTW--we will not be doing this automatically. These requests will be made via the Usersite. After this is done, you will be able to tell LoTW which of your calls you want under a single DXCC. E.g., I want my old call's confirmations (NT1N) to be combined with those for NN1N. Under DXCC rules all QSOs made from the same entity, by the same operator who has had other calls, may be combined. Club callsigns in the US cannot be combined in with primary calls for DXCC.

The system will check to make sure that combined calls fit the rules-- where possible.

I am not able to assign a date to when we will activate this function, but I can tell you that I have seen it, and it is GOOD! :-) Our small shop is juggling multiple tasks, so please bear with us. We are going to attack each award one by one and eventually we will have enough built that adding support for each award will get easier and easier.

Some of you have noticed that the column reporting Date of QSL has disappeared from the Usersite. That was done to make room for the Awards displays. The data is still available for each QSO, but just not displayed en masse. If we can find a way to easily put that back we will, but for the most part it is irrelevant.

The effort to notify everyone about the importance of back-up certificates was worth it. But, over the last week the number of "I lost my hard drive" and "somehow upgrading my OS crashed my system" issues have become commonplace again. If you haven't backed-up your certificates, please do so.

73, Dave, NN1N

1037. great news Dave

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 21:42:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1037 Message: 1037

But what will the whiners have to crab about next???

I tried sniffing Coke once, but the ice cubes got stuck in my nose.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1038. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] March 12 update

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 21:58:43 UTC
From: m5aex (Andrew)
Topic: 1036 Message: 1038

This is great news - and here over the other side of the pond - I am looking forward to when it is fully operational. Until then I shall just continue to watch the number of LoTW qsls increase and the DXCCs confirmed rise. Thanks for a great facility.

Andrew M5AEX
-----Original Message-----
From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: 12 March 2004 21:04
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] March 12 update

Hello all

Thanks for patiently waiting for news on the Awards Section of LoTW.

I am happy to report that progress is being made. We have decided to go a little farther than planned for the inital Awards activity for DXCC.

When we turn the DXCC support on, not only will it be able to automatically show you the confirmations for each country on each band that you have in the system, it will also be able to show you your entire universe of DXCC records as maintained in the DXCC program--incorporated into LoTW.

Each user will have to request that their associated DX records be merged into LoTW--we will not be doing this automatically. These requests will be made via the Usersite. After this is done, you will be able to tell LoTW which of your calls you want under a single DXCC. E.g., I want my old call's confirmations (NT1N) to be combined with those for NN1N. Under DXCC rules all QSOs made from the same entity, by the same operator who has had other calls, may be combined. Club callsigns in the US cannot be combined in with primary calls for DXCC.

The system will check to make sure that combined calls fit the rules--where possible.

I am not able to assign a date to when we will activate this function, but I can tell you that I have seen it, and it is GOOD! :-) Our small shop is juggling multiple tasks, so please bear with us. We are going to attack each award one by one and eventually we will have enough built that adding support for each award will get easier and easier.

Some of you have noticed that the column reporting Date of QSL has disappeared from the Usersite. That was done to make room for the Awards displays. The data is still available for each QSO, but just not displayed en masse. If we can find a way to easily put that back we will, but for the

most part it is irrelevant.

The effort to notify everyone about the importance of back-up certificates was worth it. But, over the last week the number of "I lost my hard drive" and "somehow upgrading my OS crashed my system" issues have become commonplace again. If you haven't backed-up your certificates, please do so.

73, Dave, NN1N

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1039. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] March 12 update

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 22:12:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1036 Message: 1039

----- Original Message -----
From: "m5aex (Andrew)" <m5aex@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 3:58 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] March 12 update

> This is great news - and here over the other side of the pond - I am looking
> forward to when it is fully operational. Until then I shall just continue
> to watch the number of LoTW qsls increase and the DXCCs confirmed rise.
> Thanks for a great facility.
>
Oh don't say that Andrew
The naysayers will go pout in the bathroom and quit the hobby in frustration. ROFLMAO

You May be a CheeseHead if: The local paper covers major headlines on 1 page, but requires 4 pages for sports.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1040. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 23:42:30 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 944 Message: 1040

Not Me!!
After 50 years it's still too much fun to throw in the towel.
Besides, I still need 2 more.
Bill W5EC
351 Mixed, 330 CW

This is the exact reason I'm selling everything Ham related I own.

346 Mixed, 337 Phone, 339 CW.

1041. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-12 23:58:33 UTC
From: OZ4RT, J Arnvig
Topic: 944 Message: 1041

It's not mandatory. If you don't like it, don't use it. Start chasing islands or something. There are certainly many other aspects.

Cheer up, 73 ... John, OZ4RT

----- Original Message -----
From: Hugh Phillips - K7XM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: fredag, marts 12, 2004 21:45
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

This is the exact reason I'm selling everything Ham related I own.

346 Mixed, 337 Phone, 339 CW.

1042. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-13 00:29:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 944 Message: 1042

Absolutely right Bill
the new technology, the new systems, and new rigs.
BPL may be a hurdle,
adapt, compensate, and overcome.

There's still a lot of fun for us...if we let it.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 5:42 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The next step - LOTW Dictatorship ???

Not Me!!
After 50 years it's still too much fun to throw in the towel.
Besides, I still need 2 more.
Bill W5EC
351 Mixed, 330 CW

This is the exact reason I'm selling everything Ham related I own.

346 Mixed, 337 Phone, 339 CW.

1043. Re: March 12 update

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-14 04:23:34 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1036 Message: 1043

It will be important for this information to be included in downloaded QSOs so that logging applications can appropriately present it to users. I suggest that a confirmed QSO validated by the ARRL be indicated in a downloaded QSL by a QSL_RCVD status of 'V'. A QSO that doesn't "count" should be indicated by a QSL_RCVD status of 'I'.

Looking ahead one step further, it would be useful to define an electronic submission form by which users (or their logging applications) can "submit" QSOs for award credit. A set of ADIF records preceeded by an appropriately-tagged header seems like a simple, consistent way to accomplish this.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@a...> wrote:
> Hello all
>
> Thanks for patiently waiting for news on the Awards Section of LoTW.
>
> I am happy to report that progress is being made. We have decided to go a little farther than planned for the inital Awards activity for DXCC.
>
> When we turn the DXCC support on, not only will it be able to automatically show you the confirmations for each country on each band that you have in the system, it will also be able to show you your entire universe of DXCC records as maintained in the DXCC program--incorporated into LoTW.
>
> Each user will have to request that their associated DX records be merged into LoTW--we will not be doing this automatically. These requests will be made via the Usersite. After this is done, you will be able to tell LoTW which of your calls you want under a single DXCC. E.g., I want my old call's confirmations (NT1N) to be combined with those for NN1N. Under DXCC rules all QSOs made from the same entity, by the same operator who has had other calls, may be combined. Club callsigns in the US cannot be combined in with primary calls for DXCC.
>
> The system will check to make sure that combined calls fit the rules-- where possible.
>

> I am not able to assign a date to when we will activate this function, but I can tell you that I have seen it, and it is GOOD! :-) Our small shop is juggling multiple tasks, so please bear with us. We are going to attack each award one by one and eventually we will have enough built that adding support for each award will get easier and easier.
>
> Some of you have noticed that the column reporting Date of QSL has disappeared from the Usersite. That was done to make room for the Awards displays. The data is still available for each QSO, but just not displayed en masse. If we can find a way to easily put that back we will, but for the most part it is irrelevant.
>
> The effort to notify everyone about the importance of back-up certificates was worth it. But, over the last week the number of "I lost my hard drive" and "somehow upgrading my OS crashed my system" issues have become commonplace again. If you haven't backed-up your certificates, please do so.
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N

1044. thank you PJ2/AE9B

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 12:57:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1044 Message: 1044

Thanks to AE9B for the LoTW qsl for our recent 160 qso.
That's another set of SASE & mailer saved.

You need a Lifestyle change if: Your lifetime goal is to own a fireworks stand.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1045. TQ5 file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 20:38:19 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1045 Message: 1045

I was trying to help a friend with his application to LoTH. Since I haven't done it in a while I have a question.

After you complete the process and the wizard asks/or advises you to upload the .TQ5 file, is there still a copy of that file in your directory on your hard drive?

After my friend closed the wizard we checked his drive and there was no .TQ5 file there. I'm thinking a copy is sent rather than the original file.

BTW I was not physically present at his QTH while we were doing this. I was advising him long distance.

Julio, W4HY

1046. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQ5 file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 21:24:06 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1045 Message: 1046

> After you complete the process and the wizard asks/or advises
> you to upload the .TQ5 file, is there still a copy of that file
> in your directory on your hard drive?
>
> After my friend closed the wizard we checked his drive and
> there was no .TQ5 file there. I'm thinking a copy is sent rather
> than the original file.

At the end of the process of generating the certificate request,
tQSL Cert will raise a file dialog ("Save Request") asking to
save the file as "type .tq5." Where did your friend save the
file?

If he can't remember try using the Windows search tool ...

Start -> Search -> for files or folders

In the "search for file or folders named:" box enter: *.tq5

In the "Look in:" box: select "Local Harddrives"

Click on "Search Now"

a list of *.tq5 files on the system should be shown in the
search results box ... note its location ("In Folder") so
you can return to it when you next attempt to upload it!

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1047. QSL Dates and Sorting - Broken ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 22:12:11 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1047 Message: 1047

Hi:

When I log on to LoTW it says at the top of the page:
"Most recent QSO record received 2004-02-18 23:02:31Z"

When I click on "Most recent QSls" and check the details on each QSL I find
that I have received 16 QSLs since the above date and most of them in March !!!
The most recent QSL is dated today 3/17/04 !!!

When I try to use the "Sort by" (QSO Date, QSL Date, Reciept Date or Call Sign)
it always shows the QSO Date !!!

I would tend to think if a LoTW User submits a "Sort by" (QSL Date), that they
expect to see a chronological list of their QSOs showing the QSL Date instead
of the QSO Date.

Is the "QSL Date" sort feature broken ???

73
Bob, K1VU

1048. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Dates and Sorting - Broken ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 22:36:25 UTC
From: OZ4RT, J Arnvig
Topic: 1047 Message: 1048

Hi Bob,

>>When I log on to LoTW it says at the top of the page:
>>"Most recent QSO record received 2004-02-18 23:02:31Z"

That is the time of your most recent upload to the server.

>>Is the "QSL Date" sort feature broken ??

It looks like it.

73 ... John, OZ4RT

1049. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Dates and Sorting - Broken ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-17 22:49:00 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1047 Message: 1049

It works here Bob but I an not sure why it works the way that it does.

R Johnson wrote:

> Hi:
>
> When I log on to LoTW it says at the top of the page:
> "Most recent QSO record received 2004-02-18 23:02:31Z"

This is the date and time of your last submission to LoTW.

> When I click on "Most recent QSls" and check the details on each QSL I find
> that I have received 16 QSLs since the above date and most of them in
> March !!!
> The most recent QSL is dated today 3/17/04 !!!

These have come in since your last submission.

> When I try to use the "Sort by" (QSO Date, QSL Date, Reciept Date or
> Call Sign)
> it always shows the QSO Date !!!

It does show the QSO date but it is sorted on the QSL date and that date
is the date it was QSLed in the LoTW system. They are sorted from oldest
to youngest and you can only view that date by looking at the details
for each call sign.

> I would tend to think if a LoTW User submits a "Sort by" (QSL Date),
> that they
> expect to see a chronological list of their QSOs showing the QSL Date
> instead
> of the QSO Date.

I agree -- I am not really sure if this is useful or not!

> Is the "QSL Date" sort feature broken ???
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1050. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL Dates and Sorting - Broken ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-18 05:37:13 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1047 Message: 1050

On Wed, Mar 17, 2004 at 05:12:11PM -0500, R Johnson wrote:
> When I log on to LoTW it says at the top of the page:
> "Most recent QSO record received 2004-02-18 23:02:31Z"
>
> When I click on "Most recent QSls" and check the details on each QSL I find
> that I have received 16 QSLs since the above date and most of them in March !!!
> The most recent QSL is dated today 3/17/04 !!!

Well right now the "Most Recent QSLs" query is showing my all my QSOs,
even unconfirmed. I've seen this happen before too.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1051. sure am disapointed that I haven't....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 00:34:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1051 Message: 1051

gotten a LoTW QSL for my WN9VOL
callsign as yet.
I know that there weren't many computerized logs
for a 1967 qso.

But if you have your old logs, I'd get a kick out
of seeing if anyone has me in there log.

You can never tell which way the train went by looking at the track.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1052. Two Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 13:41:34 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1052 Message: 1052

Hello, I'm new to the group and would like to know how to deal with this situation. My call was KC8SEV and changed to AB8NI when I upgraded. I have got my certificate and uploaded everything for AB8NI but what do I need to do now to get KC8SEV uploaded?

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

1053. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 13:51:57 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 1052 Message: 1053
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

You need to create a certificate request for KC2SEV and upload it to the ARRW/LOTW. They will then send you a certificate for that call, if you do it today you should get it by Monday. When you get in, and install it, you will then be able to create a LOTW compatible file for uploading.

Andy K3UK
ex KB2EOQ

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, March 20, 2004 08:42:00
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Hello, I'm new to the group and would like to know how to deal with this situation. My call was KC8SEV and changed to AB8NI when I upgraded. I have got my certificate and uploaded everything for AB8NI but what do I need to do now to get KC8SEV uploaded?

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1054. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 13:51:37 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1052 Message: 1054

roofus wrote:

> My call was KC8SEV and changed to AB8NI when I upgraded. I
> have got my certificate and uploaded everything for AB8NI but
> what do I need to do now to get KC8SEV uploaded?

Once you're registered with your "primary" callsign (AB8NI), it's easy. Use TQSLCert to make a new certificate request. Fill out all the info, then upload that certificate request to LoTW. In a few hours, you should get the new certificate e-mailed to you. (Going off the top of my head, since it's been a while since I needed to do that myself!)

Ron

1055. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 13:55:16 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1052 Message: 1055

All you need to do is apply for a second certificate using you AB8NI certificate to sign the request for the KC8SEV call. Make sure you get the dates right!

You can do that by opening the TQSLCert program clicking on FILE on the top tool bar.Then click on NEW CERTIFICATE REQUEST and follow the on screen instructions.

Julio, W4HY

----- Original Message -----
From: roofus
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 8:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Hello, I'm new to the group and would like to know how to deal with this situation. My call was KC8SEV and changed to AB8NI when I upgraded. I have got my certificate and uploaded everything for AB8NI but what do I need to do now to get KC8SEV uploaded?

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1056. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 14:09:17 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1052 Message: 1056

Thanks for all of the fast responses, it worked like a charm.

Thanks,

Dennis AB8NI
----- Original Message -----
From: roofus
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 8:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Two Callsigns

Hello, I'm new to the group and would like to know how to deal with this
situation. My call was KC8SEV and changed to AB8NI when I upgraded. I have
got my certificate and uploaded everything for AB8NI but what do I need to
do now to get KC8SEV uploaded?

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1057. Initial Signup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 16:18:03 UTC
From: NN8L
Topic: 1057 Message: 1057

After requesting your initial certificate, how long does it normally
take to receive the post card in the mail with your password?

Hal
NN8L

1058. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Initial Signup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 16:22:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1057 Message: 1058

Depends slightly on when you asked for it.
But most people have theirs within 5 working days.
That's stateside of course.

----- Original Message -----
From: "NN8L" <nn8l@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 10:18 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Initial Signup

> After requesting your initial certificate, how long does it normally
> take to receive the post card in the mail with your password?
>
> Hal
> NN8L
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1059. XEFJTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-20 17:23:16 UTC
From: ke7gi
Topic: 1059 Message: 1059

I uploaded about 10,000 Qso's yesterday to LotW and had one "bounce."

Does any one remember XEFJTW in 1986? There was some issue about the call at the time. I don't remember the details. Anyway, LotW didn't like it. (I doesn't really matter to me--but it did bring back old memories!) I am just curious, does anyone remember this station or the circumstances?

73,
Dave Ke7gi

1060. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] sure am disapointed that I haven't....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-22 03:56:09 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 1051 Message: 1060

Well Bill, I will have to pull out my logs and check - I started uploading
from 1977 - but still have to put the logs in for the sixties when I signed
W7ZQV/KG6 from Guam. Also have the logs from the start - 1955, but
figure most of all that I worked back then have either changed their
calls or have when to their ham shack in the sky.
73,
Joe W7LPF
BTW - I hank poked in my W7LPF/DU2 logs - all 26+K of them!!

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 00:34
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] sure am disapointed that I haven't....

gotten a LoTW QSL for my WN9VOL
callsign as yet.
I know that there weren't many computerized logs
for a 1967 qso.

But if you have your old logs, I'd get a kick out
of seeing if anyone has me in there log.

You can never tell which way the train went by looking at the track.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1061. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] sure am disapointed that I haven't....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-22 11:59:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1051 Message: 1061

Well W7LPF did get a SS qso in my LoTW log. But alas that was the only one.
50K qso's.

1,771 lotw qsls, and a total mixed of 131 countries.

So, if there was such a thing as a LoTW DXCC, I'd have it.

In that bunch, were approx. 15 band/mode qsl's I'd been stymied
on getting a hardcard for.
Hopefully the Awards feature will be implemented soon.
They will give me a minor jump in my Challenge numbers.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joseph Lutz" <kwz99@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 21, 2004 9:56 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] sure am disapointed that I haven't....

Well Bill, I will have to pull out my logs and check - I started uploading
from 1977 - but still have to put the logs in for the sixties when I signed
W7ZQV/KG6 from Guam. Also have the logs from the start - 1955, but
figure most of all that I worked back then have either changed their
calls or have when to their ham shack in the sky.
73,
Joe W7LPF
BTW - I hank poked in my W7LPF/DU2 logs - all 26+K of them!!

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 00:34
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] sure am disapointed that I haven't....

gotten a LoTW QSL for my WN9VOL
callsign as yet.
I know that there weren't many computerized logs
for a 1967 qso.

But if you have your old logs, I'd get a kick out
of seeing if anyone has me in there log.

You can never tell which way the train went by looking at the track.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1062. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] XEFJTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-22 21:34:46 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1059 Message: 1062

Hi Dave

XEFJTW was operated by Bruce, AA5B, for about 600 QSOs before he gave up in frustration.

The call was the result of a bureacratic typo on the license issued.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: ke7gi [mailto:no_reply@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 12:23 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] XEFJTW

I uploaded about 10,000 Qso's yesterday to LotW and had one "bounce."

Does any one remember XEFJTW in 1986? There was some issue about
the call at the time. I don't remember the details. Anyway, LotW
didn't like it. (I doesn't really matter to me--but it did bring
back old memories!) I am just curious, does anyone remember this
station or the circumstances?

73,
Dave Ke7gi

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1063. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] XEFJTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-22 23:42:12 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1059 Message: 1063

Hi Dave:
Humm, that's an interesting scenario !!!

Will any of Bruce's QSO's using XEFJTW count for LoTW/DXCC credit ???

Just wondering if a legally issued "Non-Valid" (Legal Mistake) Callsign can
be recognized for DXCC ?

If so, can LoTW be programmed to accept it ???

73
Bob. K1VU

At 16:34 3/22/2004 , you wrote:
>Hi Dave
>
>XEFJTW was operated by Bruce, AA5B, for about 600 QSOs before he gave up in frustration.
>
>The call was the result of a bureacratic typo on the license issued.
>
>73, Dave, NN1N
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: ke7gi [mailto:no_reply@yahoogroups.com]
>>Sent: Saturday, March 20, 2004 12:23 PM
>>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] XEFJTW
>>
>I uploaded about 10,000 Qso's yesterday to LotW and had one "bounce."
>
>Does any one remember XEFJTW in 1986? There was some issue about
>the call at the time. I don't remember the details. Anyway, LotW
>didn't like it. (I doesn't really matter to me--but it did bring
>back old memories!) I am just curious, does anyone remember this
>station or the circumstances?
>
>73,
>Dave Ke7gi
>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1064. XEFJTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-23 16:36:49 UTC
From: ke7gi
Topic: 1059 Message: 1064

Thanks all for the reply! I thought I had a card but couldn
t put my hands on it right away. (My filing system needs
improvement!)

73, Dave ke7gi

1065. Straightening out old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-24 19:52:18 UTC
From: Don Inbody AD0K
Topic: 1065 Message: 1065

Interesting problem here. I am in the Navy and have operated from multiple QTHs over the years, some of them maritime mobile. Going over my logs and entering old log data into my program (DXKeeper) has been a real drill. I am sure I have many mistakes, including uploading data to LotW as though I was operating from my present QTH. I now realize that many of the older QSOs should have been uploaded using a different certificate with a different QTH attached.

Is that correct? Is there a way to correct what I have uploaded, as I do not want anyone to think I am trying to sneak in invalid QSOs for some award.

Don/AD0K

1066. Maritime Mobile

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-24 20:10:48 UTC
From: Don Inbody AD0K
Topic: 1066 Message: 1066

Another question related to my logs:

When I was operating maritime mobile, I signed AD0K/R3 (I was in the South China Sea and Java Sea at the time). When I got the QSL cards for that, they came in with my callsign variously reported as AD0K, AD0K/R3, AD0K/MM3, and AD0K/MM. If I upload my LotW data from AD0K/R3, and if the other station is logging me as one of the others, am I correct that the QSO will be busted?

Don/AD0K

1067. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Straightening out old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-24 20:58:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1065 Message: 1067

Fix the log and send again. You will have some wrong but they will not match anything so you will not have a problem of sneaking in. Remember, both ends must match.
That said I think it is a HUGE error not to let anyone delete any qso. For instance, they do not check carefully and I have several in the log twice, same time, same date etc and it screws up my log so that LotW says I have 291 QSLes but I really have only 287!
This stinks!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Don Inbody AD0K [mailto:ad0k@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2004 1:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Straightening out old logs
>
> Interesting problem here. I am in the Navy and have operated
> from multiple QTHs over the years, some of them maritime
> mobile. Going over my logs and entering old log data into my
> program (DXKeeper) has been a real drill. I am sure I have
> many mistakes, including uploading data to LotW as though I
> was operating from my present QTH. I now realize that many
> of the older QSOs should have been uploaded using a different
> certificate with a different QTH attached.
>
> Is that correct? Is there a way to correct what I have
> uploaded, as I do not want anyone to think I am trying to
> sneak in invalid QSOs for some award.
>
> Don/AD0K
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1068. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-24 21:00:37 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1066 Message: 1068

Sadly you are correct. You must have a certificate for each call. This is another of the design problems with LotW in my opinion. It is a real PITA for those with many call signs.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Don Inbody AD0K [mailto:ad0k@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2004 2:11 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile
>
> Another question related to my logs:
>
> When I was operating maritime mobile, I signed AD0K/R3 (I was
> in the South China Sea and Java Sea at the time). When I got
> the QSL cards for that, they came in with my callsign
> variously reported as AD0K, AD0K/R3, AD0K/MM3, and AD0K/MM.
> If I upload my LotW data from AD0K/R3, and if the other
> station is logging me as one of the others, am I correct that
> the QSO will be busted?
>
> Don/AD0K
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1069. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-24 21:38:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1066 Message: 1069

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2004 3:00 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile

> Sadly you are correct. You must have a certificate for each call. This is
> another of the design problems with LotW in my opinion. It is a real PITA
> for those with many call signs.

As a manager I have a few callsigns. 10 plus my current and 2 past calls.
It's NOT that big a deal.

In fact, I see it as a plus, I can't screw up and upload the wrong log to a call.
A couple times I clicked on the wrong 'Station Location' when signing a log.
And it told me right away that I'd made a mistake.

1070. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 01:36:46 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1066 Message: 1070

The software could still do that without requiring a different certificate
for each one.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2004 3:38 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2004 3:00 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maritime Mobile
>
>
> > Sadly you are correct. You must have a certificate for each
> call. This is
> > another of the design problems with LotW in my opinion. It
> is a real PITA
> > for those with many call signs.
>
> As a manager I have a few callsigns. 10 plus my current and 2
> past calls.
> It's NOT that big a deal.
>
> In fact, I see it as a plus, I can't screw up and upload the
> wrong log to a call.
> A couple times I clicked on the wrong 'Station Location' when
> signing a log.
> And it told me right away that I'd made a mistake.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ~-->
> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark

> Printer at MyInks.com. Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the
> US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1071. Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 12:31:23 UTC
From: g7tmu
Topic: 849 Message: 1071

I am not sure what the ARRL propose in their forthcoming awards section for LOTW, but it might encourage more users for LOTW, if there was a more progressive awards system. What about an intermediate level award for say 50 confirmed countries? This might even increase income for the ARRL!

I have been somewhat disappointed at the slow take up of the LOTW system, for stations outside the US and Canada. My current return rate is around 6%, but a fair proportion of those are for North American stations. Personally I have found the LOTW system works very well and seems to be the answer to confirming contacts for award purposes.

de Victor G7TMU

1072. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 12:47:31 UTC
From: Don Inbody
Topic: 849 Message: 1072

My return rate is currently 8%. I, too, am hoping for a better participation rate. The system is easy to administer, despite some of the complaints I read on other reflectors, and is clearly the system of choice.

Don

Don Inbody/AD0K

QSL via LotW and eQSL

From: g7tmu [mailto:g7tmu@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 06:31
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

I am not sure what the ARRL propose in their forthcoming awards section for LOTW, but it might encourage more users for LOTW, if there was a more progressive awards system. What about an intermediate level award for say 50 confirmed countries? This might even increase income for the ARRL!

I have been somewhat disappointed at the slow take up of the LOTW system, for stations outside the US and Canada. My current return rate is around 6%, but a fair proportion of those are for North American stations. Personally I have found the LOTW system works very well and seems to be the answer to confirming contacts for award purposes.

de Victor G7TMU

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

1073. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 13:10:42 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 849 Message: 1073

You guys are doing much better than me, my return rate is 2.3%.

It, unfortunately, will be years before this system becomes viable; however, I am doing my part and I will continue to upload my QSO's.

I found the system extremely easy to use from its inception and with a logging program like PDA's LOGic 7, it is now automatic.

73, Carl VE9OV.
----- Original Message -----
From: Don Inbody
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 8:47 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

My return rate is currently 8%. I, too, am hoping for a better participation rate. The system is easy to administer, despite some of the complaints I read on other reflectors, and is clearly the system of choice.

Don

Don Inbody/AD0K

QSL via LotW and eQSL

From: g7tmu [mailto:g7tmu@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 06:31
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

I am not sure what the ARRL propose in their forthcoming awards section for LOTW, but it might encourage more users for LOTW, if there was a more progressive awards system. What about an intermediate level award for say 50 confirmed countries? This might even increase income for the ARRL!

I have been somewhat disappointed at the slow take up of the LOTW system, for stations outside the US and Canada. My current return rate is around 6%, but a fair proportion of those are for North American stations. Personally I have found the LOTW system works very well and seems to be the answer to confirming contacts for award purposes.

de Victor G7TMU

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1074. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 13:28:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 849 Message: 1074

> I am not sure what the ARRL propose in their forthcoming awards
> section for LOTW, but it might encourage more users for LOTW, if
> there was a more progressive awards system. What about an
> intermediate level award for say 50 confirmed countries? This might
> even increase income for the ARRL!
>
> I have been somewhat disappointed at the slow take up of the LOTW
> system, for stations outside the US and Canada. My current return
> rate is around 6%, but a fair proportion of those are for North
> American stations. Personally I have found the LOTW system works
> very well and seems to be the answer to confirming contacts for
> award purposes.
>
> de Victor G7TMU

That's been a discussion here for quite a while.
But I don't see any intermediate awards such as 50 confirmed countries.
Almost any weekend, you can get 50 countries, even qrp.

I have over 150 different countries on LoTW, just from my old logs.
I'm seeing more and more non USA.
A lot of the contest stations are now uploading.
That takes the 'qsl' burden off of them.
I've uploaded ALL the logs for the stations I manage.
Those callsigns have LOTS of LoTW qsls. hihi

Plus, don't know the cost breakdown, but I'm betting, that Awards certificates, plaques,
and the subsequent checking and paper work are money losers for all the Awards issuers.

LoTW will lessen that loss, hopefully.

1075. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 13:33:10 UTC
From: Don Inbody
Topic: 849 Message: 1075

It may not be as bad as it looks. I did a bit of additional analysis, and if I only look at the QSOs I have entered since the first of this year, I am running 20% return rate. Many of my older QSOs are not QSLd, but that is to be expected.

Don Inbody/AD0K

QSL via LotW and eQSL

From: Carl Smidt [mailto:smidtca@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 07:11
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

You guys are doing much better than me, my return rate is 2.3%.

It, unfortunately, will be years before this system becomes viable; however, I am doing my part and I will continue to upload my QSO's.

I found the system extremely easy to use from its inception and with a logging program like PDA's LOGic 7, it is now automatic.

73, Carl VE9OV.

----- Original Message -----

From: Don Inbody <mailto:ad0k@...>

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 8:47 AM

Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

My return rate is currently 8%. I, too, am hoping for a better participation rate. The system is easy to administer, despite some of the complaints I read on other reflectors, and is clearly the system of choice.

Don

Don Inbody/AD0K

QSL via LotW and eQSL

From: g7tmu [mailto:g7tmu@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 06:31

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

I am not sure what the ARRL propose in their forthcoming awards section for LOTW, but it might encourage more users for LOTW, if there was a more progressive awards system. What about an intermediate level award for say 50 confirmed countries? This might even increase income for the ARRL!

I have been somewhat disappointed at the slow take up of the LOTW system, for stations outside the US and Canada. My current return rate is around 6%, but a fair proportion of those are for North American stations. Personally I have found the LOTW system works very well and seems to be the answer to confirming contacts for award purposes.

de Victor G7TMU

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

1076. return percentage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 13:51:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1076 Message: 1076

For my current call, I have:
50K qso's, 5K LoTW qsls
142 different countries

and best of all
~15 LoTW qsl's for band/modes that I had NO success getting
hardcards for.
And hopefully, they will be credited to my DXCC stats very soon
when the Awards routine goes online.

Newspaper Headline: Include Your Children When Baking Cookies
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1077. anomaly in my qsl's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 14:08:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1077 Message: 1077

What I find funny is that my old call, pre 1996, has far more of a percentage of LoTW qsls being non USA.
Most are dxpeditions and non USA contest operations.

While I only have a few dozen pre 1996 lotw qsos confirmed via LoTW, only 5 are stateside calls.

My oldest LoTW qsl is for 1971.
Guess I wasn't the only person to put my logs on computer when it first became possible.

A balanced diet is a cookie in each hand.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1078. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] anomaly in my qsl's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-25 14:45:05 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1077 Message: 1078

I built a DX QSL's received only database in 1973. The database was later incorporated into my daily logging program and uploaded into LoTW. Through the 80's and 90's I only logged contest QSO's (with CT) These were also incorporated into my daily logging program (Logic). I did not begin to use a daily logging program until 1996.

My first DX QSL "hit" is dated 1986. Most of the QSL's are from 1995 and later.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] anomaly in my qsl's

What I find funny is that my old call, pre 1996, has far more of a percentage of LoTW qsls being non USA.
Most are dxpeditions and non USA contest operations.

While I only have a few dozen pre 1996 lotw qsos confirmed via LoTW, only 5 are stateside calls.

My oldest LoTW qsl is for 1971.
Guess I wasn't the only person to put my logs on computer when it first became possible.

A balanced diet is a cookie in each hand.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1079. Awards - was - Re: return percentage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 01:18:06 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 1076 Message: 1079

Here's just a little whine. If the ARRL is going to put "coming soon" on the awards page, what does that mean? How soon is "soon"? To me, "soon" is less than a month. Is "soon" a year? Is "soon" five years - what does "soon" mean? Instead of saying "soon" how about saying "we expect to have it up within a year" so I know what to expect. "Soon" is not being used correctly. The system went live in September and now it is March - "soon" passed a long time ago. Hope to talk with all of you soon! :-)

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> For my current call, I have:
> 50K qso's, 5K LoTW qsls
> 142 different countries
>
> and best of all
> ~15 LoTW qsl's for band/modes that I had NO success getting
> hardcards for.
> And hopefully, they will be credited to my DXCC stats very soon
> when the Awards routine goes online.
>
>
> ----------
> Newspaper Headline: Include Your Children When Baking Cookies
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

1080. Re: return percentage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 14:21:37 UTC
From: k5ww_2004
Topic: 1076 Message: 1080

I have 3 logs in the system, but they're really only for two station locations.

My current call and the one before that has a return percentage of a little over 23 percent; mainly USA stations, but DX is going up slowly. All these QSOs were made between December 2000 and today.

The third log, for QSOs made from within Belgium (ON4CBJ) in between April 1996 and April 2000 doesn't even have a return rate of 1 (one) %. The majority of those QSOs were with European stations, yet the confirmed ones are mostly from the USA.

Obviously the ARRL needs to do some more promoting overseas; but I believe the number one reason for the "lack of participation" is that many people are still working very hard on transferring their paper log to computer. I haven't had to do any of that, but I'm sure that for some it's a huge task.

I haven't reached DXCC on the LoTW yet, but my WAS statistics got a real nice boost!

With a bit of patience I'm sure we'll get there.

73,

Gert - K5WW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> For my current call, I have:
> 50K qso's, 5K LoTW qsls
> 142 different countries
>
> and best of all
> ~15 LoTW qsl's for band/modes that I had NO success getting
> hardcards for.
> And hopefully, they will be credited to my DXCC stats very soon
> when the Awards routine goes online.
>
>
> ----------
> Newspaper Headline: Include Your Children When Baking Cookies
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

1081. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards - was - Re: return percentage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 16:50:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1076 Message: 1081

When your mother was carrying you...I bet she said "Sure hope this guy comes SOON!"

It hasn't even been 9 months yet. LOL

----- Original Message -----
From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2004 7:18 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards - was - Re: return percentage

> Here's just a little whine. If the ARRL is going to put "coming soon"
> on the awards page, what does that mean? How soon is "soon"? To me,
> "soon" is less than a month. Is "soon" a year? Is "soon" five years
> - what does "soon" mean? Instead of saying "soon" how about saying
> "we expect to have it up within a year" so I know what to expect.
> "Soon" is not being used correctly. The system went live in September
> and now it is March - "soon" passed a long time ago. Hope to talk
> with all of you soon! :-)
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > For my current call, I have:
> > 50K qso's, 5K LoTW qsls
> > 142 different countries
> >
> > and best of all
> > ~15 LoTW qsl's for band/modes that I had NO success getting
> > hardcards for.
> > And hopefully, they will be credited to my DXCC stats very soon
> > when the Awards routine goes online.
> >
> >
> > ----------
> > Newspaper Headline: Include Your Children When Baking Cookies
> > ----------
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> ----------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1082. OOPS!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 22:09:55 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1082 Message: 1082

I may have screwed up royally!
I was trying to remove a program using the Add/Delete in the control panel
of windows 98SE.
Yep, I accidentally hit the Trusted QSL program.
Am I dead?
Tom K5IID

Finally back home in TEXAS!

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Hillsboro, TX

1083. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OOPS!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 22:14:36 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 1082 Message: 1083

Tom, just go to the LotW web site and redownload and reinstall it...Just never delete you certificate!!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tom Horton" <k5iid@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2004 5:09 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] OOPS!

> I may have screwed up royally!
> I was trying to remove a program using the Add/Delete in the control panel
> of windows 98SE.
> Yep, I accidentally hit the Trusted QSL program.
> Am I dead?
> Tom K5IID
>
> Finally back home in TEXAS!
>
> "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1084. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OOPS!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-03-26 22:23:25 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1082 Message: 1084

Dave,
Thanks...I think I knew that, but I just got rattled and shook up.
Thanks!
Tom K5IID

At 22:14 03/26/04, Dave wrote:
>Tom, just go to the LotW web site and redownload and reinstall it...Just
>never delete you certificate!!
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Tom Horton" <k5iid@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Friday, March 26, 2004 5:09 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] OOPS!
>
>
> > I may have screwed up royally!
> > I was trying to remove a program using the Add/Delete in the control panel
> > of windows 98SE.
> > Yep, I accidentally hit the Trusted QSL program.
> > Am I dead?
> > Tom K5IID
> >
> > Finally back home in TEXAS!
> >
> > "E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
> > Hillsboro, TX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>

Finally back home in TEXAS!

"E" Sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL Bureau
Hillsboro, TX

1085. Rapid Confirmation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-04 13:28:47 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1085 Message: 1085

Just uploaded my logs for the last few days. I worked ZC4TS on April 1, 2004 and his confirmation showed up when I uploaded today. Thanks for the new band country Tom. That is the kind of fast service we can all provide with LoTW.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1086. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Rapid Confirmation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-04 14:33:33 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1085 Message: 1086

I agree with you Chris.
ZC4TS uploads on a regular basis, as we all should if we are going to make this work.
I do it myself every few days or after three or four contacts.
With LOGic 7 it is, of course, child's play.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: "LoTW,yahoo" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 04, 2004 10:28 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Rapid Confirmation

> Just uploaded my logs for the last few days. I worked ZC4TS on April 1,
> 2004 and his confirmation showed up when I uploaded today. Thanks for
> the new band country Tom. That is the kind of fast service we can all
> provide with LoTW.
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1087. YO3APJ updated to LoTW and hardcards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-05 18:58:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1087 Message: 1087

I've received the latest logs from YO3APJ.
All qsl cards pending have been processed
and a full YO3APJ log is available on LoTW.

Notice to non USA hardcard qsl requesters.
1 IRC or GS is sufficient at this time.

http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/ircchart.txt

NON USA hams, please email me direct with
any changes to the above URL irc chart.

Thank you.

A day without sunshine is like, you know, night.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1088. Excel Spreadsheet to Create ADIF Log Files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-09 03:52:11 UTC
From: Clark Stewart
Topic: 1088 Message: 1088

I have recently completed an Excel spreadsheet to allow paper logs to be put into ADIF format for easy uploading to LoTW or for importing into regular logging programs. There are similar programs around but my focus was to make this as fast as possible.

After the first QSO is entered, the spreadsheet will automatically enter the same Date, Band and Mode for the next QSO so you don't have to type that for each QSO. It will auto-complete the Time field so you can type "1" and it will log "0001".

Since only the Band is important for LoTW, you need only type in the whole number of the frequency (e.g. "28" instead of "28.562"). And, you can just type in a "c" or "s" for CW or SSB or a "1" or "3" for A1a or A3a Modes. Or, you can type in any Mode that is accepted by LoTW.

Using this program I can enter paper logs six to eight times faster than by using a regular logging program. Especially when you make several contacts on the same Date, Band and Mode the entries go very fast.

Once you have entered the data the program will create an ADIF file that you can immediately upload to LoTW or import into a logging program. Or, you can combine it with other ADIF files in a word processor and upload the combined file all at once.

I have posted a description of this program on my web site along with a ZIP file containing the spreadsheet program and a Microsoft Word document with the full instructions. Basic instructions are in the spreadsheet as well.

Here is the link:

http://www.w8tn.com/software.htm

If you find this program useful I would appreciate hearing about it. Otherwise, there is no charge, it is Freeware.

CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN

1089. TO4WW via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-12 16:11:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1089 Message: 1089

Pleasantly surprised to find two TO4WW QSL'S on LoTW for me this morning.

New Jersey State Motto: You Want A ##$%##! Motto? I Got Yer ##$%##! Motto Right Here!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1090. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TO4WW via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-12 22:32:41 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1089 Message: 1090

Now if only the 3B9C would get there :-)_ :-)
Yes I sent him a card and extra green stamps too but it would be nice to
know and have it right away, impatient me :-)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 11:12 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: QSL List; GOLIST; Bernie McClenny
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TO4WW via LoTW
>
> Pleasantly surprised to find two TO4WW QSL'S on LoTW for me
> this morning.
>
>
> ----------
> New Jersey State Motto: You Want A ##$%##! Motto? I Got Yer
> ##$%##! Motto Right Here!
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> ----------
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1091. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TO4WW via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-13 00:27:45 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 1089 Message: 1091

In a reply email, 3B9C told me they will be putting their logs up on LOTW
but probably not until September......No explanation as to why Sept......
Be patient!! Dave, K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TO4WW via LoTW

> Now if only the 3B9C would get there :-)_ :-)
> Yes I sent him a card and extra green stamps too but it would be nice to
> know and have it right away, impatient me :-)
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> > Sent: Monday, April 12, 2004 11:12 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Cc: QSL List; GOLIST; Bernie McClenny
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TO4WW via LoTW
> >
> > Pleasantly surprised to find two TO4WW QSL'S on LoTW for me
> > this morning.
> >
> >
> > ----------
> > New Jersey State Motto: You Want A ##$%##! Motto? I Got Yer
> > ##$%##! Motto Right Here!
> > ----------
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~--> Buy Ink Cartridges or Refill Kits
> > for your HP, Epson, Canon or Lexmark Printer at MyInks.com.
> > Free s/h on orders $50 or more to the US & Canada.
> > http://www.c1tracking.com/l.asp?cid=5511

> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/mOAaAA/3exGAA/qnsNAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1092. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3B9C; Awards module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-13 11:42:08 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1089 Message: 1092

At 08:27 PM 4/12/04, Dave wrote:
>In a reply email, 3B9C told me they will be putting their logs up on LOTW
>but probably not until September......No explanation as to why Sept......
>Be patient!!

If I were them, this is exactly what I would be doing. Let the folks who want QSLs sooner ship them green stamps, and then take care of the rest of us. I suspect from their D68 experience they can judge pretty well when the flow of donations that exceeds break-even will taper down sufficiently so that it makes sense to go on LOTW.

Has anyone heard how the ARRL's testing of the Award module is going?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
is back, at www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1093. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3B9C; Awards module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-13 14:44:20 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 1089 Message: 1093

"Pete Smith" wrote:

> Has anyone heard how the ARRL's testing of the Award module is going?

Yes, "Coming soon..." ;-))))))

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl
http://shop.sp7ps.pl

1094. Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-21 11:15:08 UTC
From: on1wv
Topic: 849 Message: 1094

Maybe I am just too inpatient, but has anyone any idea when the award section will become available? If the ARRL is so certain that the QSO Matching rules are 100% secure, then it couldn't be that hard to make some funtion to count the QSL and DXCC entities or US States ? And that's a programmers point of view. 15th of February was the last date I heard through the rumours. Maybe they meant the year 2017. Or as said before, maybe I'm just inpatient.

73 ...-.-
ON7BS
Ben

1095. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-21 14:07:56 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 849 Message: 1095

Ben, and others

I too am hopeful they will roll something out in my lifetime. For the most part, I have been using the 'download ADIF' function with good success. I can capture 100% of the confirms to date (about 11% of my 20,000 Qs) using the ADIF function and simply load it into a new file in my log. The local log then does the analysis for me. What I cannot capture using this method is the "worked/confirmed" info as the ADIF only contains "confirmed." I wouldn't be surprized if the local log may eventually be preferred to the on-line analysis they develop. Pure speculation on my part.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: "on1wv" <bendeschacht@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2004 6:15 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

> Maybe I am just too inpatient, but has anyone any idea when the award
> section will become available? If the ARRL is so certain that the
> QSO Matching rules are 100% secure, then it couldn't be that hard to
> make some funtion to count the QSL and DXCC entities or US States ?
> And that's a programmers point of view. 15th of February was the
> last date I heard through the rumours. Maybe they meant the year 2017.
> Or as said before, maybe I'm just inpatient.
>
> 73 ...-.-
> ON7BS
> Ben
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1096. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-21 14:20:09 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 849 Message: 1096

On Wed, Apr 21, 2004 at 11:15:08AM -0000, on1wv wrote:
> Maybe I am just too inpatient, but has anyone any idea when the award
> section will become available? If the ARRL is so certain that the
> QSO Matching rules are 100% secure, then it couldn't be that hard to
> make some funtion to count the QSL and DXCC entities or US States ?
> And that's a programmers point of view. 15th of February was the
> last date I heard through the rumours. Maybe they meant the year 2017.
> Or as said before, maybe I'm just inpatient.

Counting the DXCC entries is one thing, but the facilities to apply for
the awards etc are a lot more work.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1097. LOTW DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-27 19:37:20 UTC
From: Hans Brakob
Topic: 1097 Message: 1097

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gary Stilwell" <gary@...>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2004 4:55 PM
Subject: [Dx-qsl] LOTW

Have not seen much about it, but Wayne Mills of the ARRL announced at the Visalia DX Convention that effective Monday, May 3rd, Logbook of the World will open its award link to your DXCC records. You will be able to see all your entity credits, it will tell you what in LOTW you need and you can request credits. Also Wayne said LOTW will be available for use by other organizations and said there has been contact on this with RSGB and CQ.

Gary, KI6T

1098. I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 12:22:48 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1098 Message: 1098

That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
And I see very few earlier than 1990.

I guess there are a lot of people who have not transcribed their old logs onto computer.
or
maybe I just outlived them?????

I'd suspect that readers of this newsgroup probably have put their logs onto computer.

What do you mean my Birth Certificate expired?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1099. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 12:41:14 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1098 Message: 1099

My earliest QSL is 1986, with most of the QSL's in the late 90's.
I have no intention of transcribing my logs from the 60's and 70's. Most of these people are either gone or have changed their callsigns.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 08:22
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
And I see very few earlier than 1990.

I guess there are a lot of people who have not transcribed their old logs onto computer.
or
maybe I just outlived them?????

I'd suspect that readers of this newsgroup probably have put their logs onto computer.

What do you mean my Birth Certificate expired?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1100. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 12:49:52 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1098 Message: 1100

It doesn't matter Jim if they have changed their call signs. I they have registered all of their call signs there will still be matches. If they have become SK's, well.....

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 9:41 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

My earliest QSL is 1986, with most of the QSL's in the late 90's.
I have no intention of transcribing my logs from the 60's and 70's. Most of these people are either gone or have changed their callsigns.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 08:22
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
And I see very few earlier than 1990.

I guess there are a lot of people who have not transcribed their old logs onto computer.
or
maybe I just outlived them?????

I'd suspect that readers of this newsgroup probably have put their logs onto computer.

What do you mean my Birth Certificate expired?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1101. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 12:50:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1098 Message: 1101

your probably right Jim.
I put my logs on computer early and just kept them up.
I wonder if someone ever did an analysis of the turnover rate of hams?
Like how many years did someone stay active.
There's old farts like me and I'm betting the average age is getting older.

I know a lot of the guys who Elmered me when I was starting are gone now.

I put the logs I inherited from W4FRU onto LoTW, they were late 80's.
Just for posterity sake.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 7:41 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

> My earliest QSL is 1986, with most of the QSL's in the late 90's.
> I have no intention of transcribing my logs from the 60's and 70's. Most of these
people are either gone or have changed their callsigns.
>
> Jim
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: FireBrick
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 08:22
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised
>
>
> That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
> And I see very few earlier than 1990.
>
> I guess there are a lot of people who have not transcribed their old logs onto
computer.
> or
> maybe I just outlived them?????
>
> I'd suspect that readers of this newsgroup probably have put their logs onto computer.
>
>
>
> ---
> What do you mean my Birth Certificate expired?
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

>
>

1102. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 12:52:15 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1098 Message: 1102

In my case, the earliest log you'll find at LOTW is 1991, which is when I started my first computer log. No way I'm going to move 30 years worth of pre-1991 'paper' QSO's onto the computer, to get perhaps 25 more 'hits' on LOTW!

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: FireBrick <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 4/30/2004 1:24:50 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised
>
> That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
> And I see very few earlier than 1990.
>
> I guess there are a lot of people who have not transcribed their old logs onto computer.
> or
> maybe I just outlived them?????
>
> I'd suspect that readers of this newsgroup probably have put their logs onto computer.
>
>
>
> ---
> What do you mean my Birth Certificate expired?
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1103. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 13:05:30 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1098 Message: 1103

FireBrick wrote:

> That the earliest qso confirmed by LoTW was 1971.
> And I see very few earlier than 1990.

I started using computer logging for contesting in 1991. My LoTW QSL's show that ... all but one "QSL" is from 1991 and later.

The only QSO's that I have in my computer prior to 1991, are my CW DXCC confirmations. The one QSL prior to 1991, is a DXCC confirmation from 1978 (I was licensed in 1976). (Don't intend to go back to enter all my paper logs into the computer!)

By the way ... uploaded 46 Florida QSO Party logs from this past weekend a couple days ago.

Uploaded 23 (one from each county we operated in) as just NJ4M, and then uploaded them a second time as NJ4M/xxx (xxx is the 3 letter county abbreviation we signed while mobile). I've gotten confirmations both ways. Haven't done any as /M, but I'm sure there are some waiting for that one. Maybe when I get time, I'll upload them that way as well ... just to make sure we cover all possibilities.

73, Ron

1104. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm still surprised

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-04-30 16:07:16 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1098 Message: 1104

Interesting question . I have been active since day one.. have been thinking hard about putting my old logs 20+ into the system. but like you I wonder if anyone else will. guess i might just start with the last paper log and work backwards for a while and see what happens.
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1105. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-01 04:12:41 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1105 Message: 1105

Hello ,

> The application fee for a basic DXCC Award is $10 and includes up to 120
> card credits. Applicants pay 15 cents per each additional card applied. A
> similar fee structure applies for those going the LoTW route, Mills says,
> and you won't have to pay any additional fees on the DXCC side. The site
> provides for secure payment via credit card.

I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each applied
QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?

--
73...Art RX9TX 01.05.2004 4:08 UTC

"A person needs a little madness, or else they never dare cut the rope and be free." [Nikos Kazantzakis]

1106. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-01 07:11:50 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1105 Message: 1106

At 12:12 AM 5/1/04, Art RX9TX wrote:
>I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each applied
>QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?

I don't think it was written very clearly. I believe that the intention was to compare the cost using LOTW with the cost using paper QSL cards. If I'm right, then the 15-25 cents per credit under LOTW compares with 15 cents per paper QSL card (over the first 120), and is *not* in addition to it. Hopefully there will be some further clarification when the system becomes active on Monday.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1107. Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-01 14:45:45 UTC
From: Ben Deschacht
Topic: 1105 Message: 1107

Is it already official the system gets live or is this based on
rumours.

73 de ON7BS

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Pete Smith <n4zr@c...> wrote:
> At 12:12 AM 5/1/04, Art RX9TX wrote:
> >I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each
applied
> >QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?
>
>
> I don't think it was written very clearly. I believe that the
intention
> was to compare the cost using LOTW with the cost using paper QSL
cards. If
> I'm right, then the 15-25 cents per credit under LOTW compares
with 15
> cents per paper QSL card (over the first 120), and is *not* in
addition to
> it. Hopefully there will be some further clarification when the
system
> becomes active on Monday.
>
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
> The World HF Contest Station Database
> was updated on April 26, 2004
> 2706 contest stations at
> www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1108. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-01 15:54:38 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 1105 Message: 1108

ARRL says going live on Wed May 5th.

KI6T

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Deschacht" <bendeschacht@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 01, 2004 7:45 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

> Is it already official the system gets live or is this based on
> rumours.
>
>
> 73 de ON7BS
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Pete Smith <n4zr@c...> wrote:
> > At 12:12 AM 5/1/04, Art RX9TX wrote:
> > >I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each
> applied
> > >QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?
> >
> >
> > I don't think it was written very clearly. I believe that the
> intention
> > was to compare the cost using LOTW with the cost using paper QSL
> cards. If
> > I'm right, then the 15-25 cents per credit under LOTW compares
> with 15
> > cents per paper QSL card (over the first 120), and is *not* in
> addition to
> > it. Hopefully there will be some further clarification when the
> system
> > becomes active on Monday.
> >
> >
> > 73, Pete N4ZR
> > The World HF Contest Station Database
> > was updated on April 26, 2004
> > 2706 contest stations at
> > www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1109. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-01 15:57:59 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1105 Message: 1109

At 10:45 AM 5/1/04, you wrote:

>Is it already official the system gets live or is this based on
>rumours.
>

Official, according to the ARRL Letter this
week. <http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/04/0430/>. I mis-stated the date,
though -- it's Wednesday, May 5.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1110. LoTW Award Upgrade Soon

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-03 21:26:01 UTC
From: Pawel SP7PS
Topic: 1110 Message: 1110

Hello Friends,
I have just found the following information on the LoTW Web Page:

"The Logbook of the World Web site will be off-line starting Monday night,
May 3, approximately 2000 EDST (0000Z May 4), until some time Wednesday
morning, May 5 (~1600Z).
The LoTW Web site will be off-line in order to update the system with new
software necessary to support the DXCC award............."

As you see, the award possibility is comming. Great!

73's
Pawel, SP7PS
http://sp7ps.pl
http://shop.sp7ps.pl

1111. Confirmed Entities so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-04 18:38:11 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1111 Message: 1111

While LoTW is down for the DCXX Software Update I thought I would take advantage of this down time and see where I stand on my Awards.

I use LOGic as my logging program, so it was easy to check my status.

Yesterday afternoon I downloaded my (detailed) report from LoTW and imported the ADIF file into a blank copy LOGic and ran the "Awards Update" program.

Here are the results of "Raw Data" from my 411 LoTW QSL's (All Bands):
116 - US Counties
4 - Continents
14 - CQ Zones
27 - DXCC Countries
12 - IOTA Entities
19 - ITU Zones
40 - US States
4 - VE Provinces

It was interesting to note that 119 (29%) of my 411 LoTW QSL's contained incomplete "Station Data" when they initially setup their TQSL.

They failed to include either one or more of the following:
Grid, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, or County. I have no way to check for missing IOTA data as not everyone qualifies for IOTA.

I have no idea what my actual Award Stats would be had everyone setup TQSL properly as many of the missing zones, grids, etc. would be duplicates.

73
Bob, K1VU

1112. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmed Entities so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 12:25:59 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1111 Message: 1112

A clever use of Logic.
I can understand not knowing ones GRID, Zone or IOTA, but county......
Jim

While LoTW is down for the DCXX Software Update I thought I would take advantage of this down time and see where I stand on my Awards.

I use LOGic as my logging program, so it was easy to check my status.

Yesterday afternoon I downloaded my (detailed) report from LoTW and imported the ADIF file into a blank copy LOGic and ran the "Awards Update" program.

Here are the results of "Raw Data" from my 411 LoTW QSL's (All Bands):
116 - US Counties
4 - Continents
14 - CQ Zones
27 - DXCC Countries
12 - IOTA Entities
19 - ITU Zones
40 - US States
4 - VE Provinces

It was interesting to note that 119 (29%) of my 411 LoTW QSL's contained incomplete "Station Data" when they initially setup their TQSL.

They failed to include either one or more of the following:
Grid, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, or County. I have no way to check for missing IOTA data as not everyone qualifies for IOTA.

I have no idea what my actual Award Stats would be had everyone setup TQSL properly as many of the missing zones, grids, etc. would be duplicates.

73
Bob, K1VU

1113. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmed Entities so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 13:05:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1111 Message: 1113

Funny you mention this.
Because just over the weekend I checked my 4k plus LoTW Qsls.
Over 1400 had some instance of incorrect data.
Usually misspelled counties, incorrect ITU zones.
Seems that because when you are entering your data for your original certificate, LoTW asks for ITU zone first and CQ Zone second.
I'm pretty sure that was the way it was.
I'm betting that a lot of people may have missed that and put them in CQ then ITU.

I'm not counting zone discrepancies where a W1 is now living in California etc.

I hope people will check their data and 'Renew' their certificates with the correct info.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 7:25 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmed Entities so far

> A clever use of Logic.
> I can understand not knowing ones GRID, Zone or IOTA, but county......
> Jim
>
>
> While LoTW is down for the DCXX Software Update I thought I would take
> advantage of this down time and see where I stand on my Awards.
>
> I use LOGic as my logging program, so it was easy to check my status.
>
> Yesterday afternoon I downloaded my (detailed) report from LoTW and
> imported the ADIF file into a blank copy LOGic and ran the "Awards Update"
> program.
>
> Here are the results of "Raw Data" from my 411 LoTW QSL's (All Bands):
> 116 - US Counties
> 4 - Continents
> 14 - CQ Zones
> 27 - DXCC Countries
> 12 - IOTA Entities
> 19 - ITU Zones
> 40 - US States
> 4 - VE Provinces
>
> It was interesting to note that 119 (29%) of my 411 LoTW QSL's contained
> incomplete "Station Data" when they initially setup their TQSL.
>

> They failed to include either one or more of the following:
> Grid, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, or County. I have no way to check for missing IOTA
> data as not everyone qualifies for IOTA.
>
> I have no idea what my actual Award Stats would be had everyone setup TQSL
> properly as many of the missing zones, grids, etc. would be duplicates.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>

1114. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 13:24:19 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1105 Message: 1114

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> Hello ,
>
> > The application fee for a basic DXCC Award is $10 and includes up to 120
> > card credits. Applicants pay 15 cents per each additional card applied. A
> > similar fee structure applies for those going the LoTW route, Mills says,
> > and you won't have to pay any additional fees on the DXCC side. The site
> > provides for secure payment via credit card.
>
> I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each applied
> QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 01.05.2004 4:08 UTC
>
> "A person needs a little madness, or else they never dare cut the rope and be free." [Nikos Kazantzakis]

Hi Art. I had a long e-mail exchange with the folks at the ARRL concerning the fees. This is what I think I understood them to say. There were some contradictions in the messages they sent me so I'm not sure this is 100% right.

The ARRL looks at DXCC and LogBook as two completely different entities.

DXCC is an entity that has its own fee structure, which for all intents and purposes has not changed.
Those fees are:
1.) First submission - Application fee $10.00 and .15 cents for each QSO over the 120 max allowed.
2.) Subsequent submissions ☐ Application fee $10.00, Surcharge $10 and .15 cents for each QSO over the 100 max allowed.

LogBook is a new entity with its own fee structure. It is an entirely new revenue stream for the ARRL. If you want to use it, it will cost you .15 cents to .25 cents per LoTW credit.

Example:
Lets say you have 200 LoTW credits that you would like to claim. The

cost to you would be .15 to .25 cents per credit. (It can vary because you are allowed to buy credits in advance of having actual confirmations). Lets just say in this case .25 cents a credit. That would put the cost to you at $50.00 for the LoTW credits. You are now done dealing with the LoTW entity.

Now lets say this is your first submission of the calendar year of any kind to the DXCC entity. And, Lets say that you want to submit 200 QSOs. They could be paper QSLs or LoTW credits the DXCC entity does not care which it is. The cost to you would be a $10.00 Application fee plus .15 cents for each QSO/Credit over the 120 max allowed. (80 * .15 = $12.00). That would put the cost to you at $22.00 for claiming 200 DXCC QSOs/Credits. You are now done dealing with the DXCC entity.

Now lets say this was a subsequent submission to the DXCC entity and, that you want to submit 200 QSO's. The cost to you would be a $10.00 Application fee plus a $10.00 Surcharge plus .15 cents for every QSO/Credit over the 100 max allowed. (100 * .15 = $15.00). That would put the cost to you at $35.00 for claiming 200 DXCC QSOs/Credits. You are now done dealing with the DXCC entity.

Note: According to the ARRL ☐ "For a limited time, you may make a second (or subsequent) application in the same year with no $10 surcharge".

First Submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW credits and electing to use them in one submission to DXCC would be $72.00. ($22.00 DXCC + $50.00 LoTW)

Subsequent submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW credits and electing to use them in one submission to DXCC would be $85.00. ($35.00 DXCC + $50.00 LoTW)

According to the ARRL : "Logbook is an alternative to spending postage collecting cards. It is not intended as an alternative to submitting paper cards, although it can be used in that way."

The big benefit provided by LoTW is less money spent acquiring QSLs. This is a great deal for newer Hams that have not collected many paper QSLs yet. They stand to save a bundle on postage and printing cards. Older more established Hams that already have a lot of paper QSLs in hand would do better to just submit them the old fashion way. The only real LoTW benefit for the Older Ham is if they are just starting out on the DXCC chase, or they happen to have cards that they would rather not risk via Post/UPS. If a Ham is looking at LogBook as an alternative to risking submission of his cards via Post/UPS he should be prepared to pay the LogBook fees.

Bob K8KWT

1115. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 14:08:27 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1105 Message: 1115

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
>
>> The application fee for a basic DXCC Award is $10 and includes up
>> to 120 card credits. Applicants pay 15 cents per each additional
>> card applied. A similar fee structure applies for those going the
>> LoTW route, Mills says, and you won't have to pay any additional
>> fees on the DXCC side. The site provides for secure payment via
>> credit card.
>
> I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each
> applied QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?

IF one can believe Wayne Mills, there will be a discount to the
DXCC fees for credits from LotW. Here is an e-mail exchange I
had with him very early in the process.

I hope they're not backing away from that now ... after being told
that I will have to pay the current PER QSO rate to get over 1000
QSOs from my old awards (CW/Phone/Mixed/5BDXCC/160 DXCC) converted
and credited in the new record format because I've been inactive
since BC (before computerization). With 1800+/- band/entities
depending on card and LotW returns in the next couple of months,
the 1000 old credits represent a substantial part of "getting
current." I was looking forward using LotW credits, where possible,
as one way to minimize "bite."

If ARRL are planning to charge on both ends, LotW and the entire
DXCC program are in for a very quick and PAINFUL death.

73,

... Joe, K4IK (ex- AD8I, W8IK)

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mills, Wayne N7NG [mailto:N7NG@...]
> Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2003 7:49 PM
> To: Joe Subich, K4IK
> Subject: RE: LoTW Certificate
>
>
> Absolutely! We encourage it. You can buy them as you use them,
> or you can buy them in advance. Logbook will keep track of how
> many you buy, and how many you use. You will note that the price
> goes down substantially with volume. You should also know know
> that although there is no connection between Logbook fees and
> DXCC (and other award fees), we will be offering a discount for

> those credits being submitted via electronic means. That means
> that we have to hire less labor to enter the data, and we will
> pass the savings on. Details are being worked out.
>
> 73, Wayne
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Thu 2003-10-02 7:44 PM
> To: Mills, Wayne N7NG
> Subject: RE: LoTW Certificate
>
>
> A question about purchasing credits while I have you ...
>
> Can one purchase a batch of credits (say 250 as a "round number")
> before they are needed and use them over the course of a couple
> years or must credits be purchased as they are needed?
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>

1116. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 15:07:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1105 Message: 1116

In a couple of hours, these questions will be answered.
But for those who can't wait....I'll try.
(boy I hope I'm right, my memory isn't as good as it never was)

First off.
I was one of the beta testers. Yes there is a sliding fee scale for the number of LoTW country credits you are submitting.
I 'THINK' you get the same "1 free submission" a year which includes up to 120 qsls.
I 'DON'T THINK' the DXCC differentiates between a hard card or LoTW submission in this regard.
I don't have my notes available so I'm NOT carving this in stone.

Second
If you already have submitted to DXCC and have an account which contains records of qsls submitted, you don't HAVE to do anything with these.
LoTW knows what they are and you should be able to see them listed.
Very early submissions may not have info such as band or mode.
The records from long ago only contained the Call, Date, Time, and whether it was credited as Mixed or SSB. As DXCC improved it's software that info was recorded.
Later submission recorded the other information.

Third
While testing, there was the provision to purchase a number of credits before hand.
I can't remember the exact increments but the large increments were definitely sufficient.
I bought 100 using my credit card on a 'Secure' system.
I still had 70 left the last time I looked.

From that, you can do the math and see I had approx. 30 LoTW qsl's that I had not been able to get qsls for.
Hence my Challenge total just got a nice jump at a minimal expense.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <w4rh@...>; <w4ru@...>; <k8je@...>; <k5ur@...>
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:08 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> >
> >> The application fee for a basic DXCC Award is $10 and includes up
> >> to 120 card credits. Applicants pay 15 cents per each additional
> >> card applied. A similar fee structure applies for those going the
> >> LoTW route, Mills says, and you won't have to pay any additional

> >> fees on the DXCC side. The site provides for secure payment via
> >> credit card.
> >
> > I did not get it, if I use LoTW I'll pay 15-25 cents for each
> > applied QSO and additional 15 cents for every QSO over 120?
>
> IF one can believe Wayne Mills, there will be a discount to the
> DXCC fees for credits from LotW. Here is an e-mail exchange I
> had with him very early in the process.
>
> I hope they're not backing away from that now ... after being told
> that I will have to pay the current PER QSO rate to get over 1000
> QSOs from my old awards (CW/Phone/Mixed/5BDXCC/160 DXCC) converted
> and credited in the new record format because I've been inactive
> since BC (before computerization). With 1800+/- band/entities
> depending on card and LotW returns in the next couple of months,
> the 1000 old credits represent a substantial part of "getting
> current." I was looking forward using LotW credits, where possible,
> as one way to minimize "bite."
>
> If ARRL are planning to charge on both ends, LotW and the entire
> DXCC program are in for a very quick and PAINFUL death.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK (ex- AD8I, W8IK)
>
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Mills, Wayne N7NG [mailto:N7NG@...]
> > Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2003 7:49 PM
> > To: Joe Subich, K4IK
> > Subject: RE: LoTW Certificate
> >
> >
> > Absolutely! We encourage it. You can buy them as you use them,
> > or you can buy them in advance. Logbook will keep track of how
> > many you buy, and how many you use. You will note that the price
> > goes down substantially with volume. You should also know know
> > that although there is no connection between Logbook fees and
> > DXCC (and other award fees), we will be offering a discount for
> > those credits being submitted via electronic means. That means
> > that we have to hire less labor to enter the data, and we will
> > pass the savings on. Details are being worked out.
> >
> > 73, Wayne
> >

> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> > Sent: Thu 2003-10-02 7:44 PM
> > To: Mills, Wayne N7NG
> > Subject: RE: LoTW Certificate
> >
> >
> > A question about purchasing credits while I have you ...
> >
> > Can one purchase a batch of credits (say 250 as a "round number")
> > before they are needed and use them over the course of a couple
> > years or must credits be purchased as they are needed?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1117. Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 15:44:10 UTC
From: k5ww_2004
Topic: 1105 Message: 1117

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> In a couple of hours, these questions will be answered.
>

How about: at the end of the week? :-)

Quote:

The system is undergoing a significant software upgrade and will be
off-line until approximately 1300Z, Thu, May 6.

Unquote.

73,

Gert - K5WW

1118. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Confirmed Entities so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 15:58:18 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1111 Message: 1118

Hi Jim:
I'm not sure if they don't know their county, if they didn't see the
entry window on TQSL, or they figured it didn't matter for DXCC.

Just for the fun of it I counted the number of stations who didn't
know where they at, Hi. Some of these have multiple QSO's with me so
I didn't count the dupes.

6 - County missing
29 - Grid missing
30 - ITU Zone missing
15 - CQ Zone missing

BTW: I wonder if they bothered to look themselves up on WWW.QRZ.COM or
on a CD Callbook Hi.

1600Z, time for LoTW to come back on.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 08:25 5/5/2004 , you wrote:
>A clever use of Logic.
>I can understand not knowing ones GRID, Zone or IOTA, but county......
>Jim
>While LoTW is down for the DCXX Software Update I thought I would take
>advantage of this down time and see where I stand on my Awards.
>
>I use LOGic as my logging program, so it was easy to check my status.
>
>Yesterday afternoon I downloaded my (detailed) report from LoTW and
>imported the ADIF file into a blank copy LOGic and ran the "Awards Update"
>program.
>
>Here are the results of "Raw Data" from my 411 LoTW QSL's (All Bands):
>116 - US Counties
> 4 - Continents
> 14 - CQ Zones
> 27 - DXCC Countries
> 12 - IOTA Entities
> 19 - ITU Zones
> 40 - US States
> 4 - VE Provinces
>
>It was interesting to note that 119 (29%) of my 411 LoTW QSL's contained
>incomplete "Station Data" when they initially setup their TQSL.

>
>They failed to include either one or more of the following:
>Grid, ITU Zone, CQ Zone, or County. I have no way to check for missing IOTA
>data as not everyone qualifies for IOTA.
>
>I have no idea what my actual Award Stats would be had everyone setup TQSL
>properly as many of the missing zones, grids, etc. would be duplicates.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

1119. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 16:05:32 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1105 Message: 1119

Bah Hum Bug !!! Just tried to log on to LoTW at 1601Z and saw the news.
Will try again tomorrow morning.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:44 5/5/2004 , you wrote:
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > In a couple of hours, these questions will be answered.
> >
>
>How about: at the end of the week? :-)
>
>Quote:
>
>The system is undergoing a significant software upgrade and will be
>off-line until approximately 1300Z, Thu, May 6.
>
>Unquote.
>
>73,
>
>Gert - K5WW
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1120. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 16:20:01 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1105 Message: 1120

Hello FireBrick,

Wednesday, May 5, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

F> I was one of the beta testers. Yes there is a sliding fee scale for the number of LoTW
F> country credits you are submitting.
F> I 'THINK' you get the same "1 free submission" a year which includes up to 120 qsls.

What do you mean by "free" in here? "Free" is free of any charge or
"free" is 0 cents for first 120 QSOs plus 15-25 cents per QSO, while
"not free" is 15 cents for every QSO over 120 and 15-25 cents for
every QSO?

Looks like we should expect pricing of 30-40 cents total per QSO.

--
73...Art RX9TX 05-May-04 15:54 UTC

"If you don't like something, change it. If you can't change it, change the way you think about it!"

1121. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 16:23:29 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1105 Message: 1121

> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
>

> I was one of the beta testers. Yes there is a sliding fee
> scale for the number of LoTW country credits you are submitting.

I've seen the LotW fee schedule and don't have a problem with it.
My problem is with the DXCC side if ARRL/DXCC is charging the same
for "hard copy" QSO confirmations as "electronic" confirmations.
Wayne's e-mail indicated a substantial discount to the per QSO rate
since "we have to hire less labor to enter the data, and we will
pass the savings on." In fact, the system is (or could be)
completely automated which means NO LABOR to handle electronic
confirmations.

> If you already have submitted to DXCC and have an account
> which contains records of qsls submitted, you don't HAVE
> to do anything with these.

But I will ... my records were never converted to the computer.
If I want credit for the 1000 or so QSOs, Wayne is insisting
that I have to submit the cards de novo and pay the $0.15 per
card beyond the 120 card limit. Since 95% of the cards are
more than 10 years old, they have to be sent to Newington, I
can't even deal with a local card checker.

> LoTW knows what they are and you should be able to see them
> listed. Very early submissions may not have info such as
> band or mode. The records from long ago only contained the
> Call, Date, Time, and whether it was credited as Mixed or
> SSB. As DXCC improved it's software that info was recorded.
> Later submission recorded the other information.

I would not have a problem shipping them the old cards if they
wanted to verify band to "complete" the records. All I would
need is a list of calls credited for CW/Phone/Mixed and calls
credited on each band for 5BDXCC/160 ... I have all of the cards
I've submitted (and new ones I plan to submit "some day") filed
by band. Frankly though, if I have to pay $0.15 per QSO for
600 QSOs (5BDXCC plus 160 DXCC) as well as 500 plus QSOs from
the basic CW/Phone/Mixed awards (they are/were separate), I
might as well apply for the awards all over and have all the
plaques/certificates issued with my current call. That's what
I mean about "paying all over."

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1122. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 16:19:02 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1105 Message: 1122

Hello Bob,

Wednesday, May 5, 2004 Bob Daniels wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

BD> Example:
BD> Lets say you have 200 LoTW credits that you would like to claim. The

BD> Now lets say this is your first submission of the calendar year of

BD> Now lets say this was a subsequent submission to the DXCC entity and,

BD> Note: According to the ARRL ? "For a limited time, you may make a

BD> First Submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW credits and

BD> Subsequent submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW credits

VERY and TOO complicated. And the target is that no one can finally clarify for himself the whole pricing sceme :) That is similar to cellular phone companies pricing schemes, they offer you limited discounts etc which you can not keep in mind, but never say they just decrease a price of a minute. Why ARRL would not just say that EVERY QSO costs 15 cents? First submission in a calendar year costs me, not an ARRL member, 20 dollars. And I can check 120 QSO for free. 120 x 0.15 = 18 dollars. They just steal my 2 dollars on that 120 "free" cards :))

I know, I know, I am "free" not to participate in it :)

BD> Bob K8KWT

Thanks Bob.

--
73...Art RX9TX 05-May-04 16:04 UTC

"To give pleasure to a single heart by a single act is better than a thousand heads bowing in prayer." (Gandhi)

1123. Re: LoTW Fee Structure (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 17:41:05 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1105 Message: 1123

Thanks for the note back Art.

Like I said before there was some contradiction in the e-mails I got from the ARRL. It sounds like they are not sure if the .15-cent per QSO beyond the 120 QSO/Credit max will be imposed on LoTW credits. I think their confusion here is what to do about an application that includes both paper QSLs and LoTW credits. If you go over the 120 QSO/Credit max how should it be charged. Did the paper QSLs put you over the 120 max limit or did the LoTW credits put you over 120 max limit.

At any rate it is obvious to me that if you have a paper card in hand and a LoTW credit for the same country you would be better off just turning it in using the old traditional method. I have about 975 paper QSL-QSOs to turn in. Most of them are actually QSOs I turned in years ago for 5BDXCC, CW, SSB That was before they kept full records of everything sent in. Darn !!

It does occur to me that LoTW can still benefit the more established Hams that are looking for fills on their Challenge Cup and don't necessarily want the card.

Bottom line as I was told by the ARRL: Logbook is an alternative to spending postage collecting cards. It is not a means to decrease the cost of DXCC. (The two are Separate)

So for me the best option is to use the old fashion method for the many paper QSLs I've already got, because no matter how you look at it that is the most cost effective option. I will however use LoTW for future Challenge Cup fills because that will also be my most cost effective option.

For those non-North American Hams that were looking for a safer alternative to sending their cards via Post, LogBook is available, but unfortunately it looks like it will cost quite a bit more than just a normal DXCC submission.

Bob K8KWT

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> Hello Bob,
>
> Wednesday, May 5, 2004 Bob Daniels wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> BD> Example:
> BD> Lets say you have 200 LoTW credits that you would like to

claim. The
>
> BD> Now lets say this is your first submission of the calendar year
of
>
> BD> Now lets say this was a subsequent submission to the DXCC
entity and,
>
> BD> Note: According to the ARRL ☐ "For a limited time, you may
make a
>
> BD> First Submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW
credits and
>
> BD> Subsequent submission total cost to you for claiming 200 LoTW
credits
>
> VERY and TOO complicated. And the target is that no one can
finally
> clarify for himself the whole pricing sceme :) That is similar
to
> cellular phone companies pricing schemes, they offer you
limited
> discounts etc which you can not keep in mind, but never say they
just
> decrease a price of a minute. Why ARRL would not just say that
EVERY
> QSO costs 15 cents? First submission in a calendar year costs me,
not
> an ARRL member, 20 dollars. And I can check 120 QSO for free.
120 x
> 0.15 = 18 dollars. They just steal my 2 dollars on that
120 "free"
> cards :))
>
> I know, I know, I am "free" not to participate in it :)
>
> BD> Bob K8KWT
>
> Thanks Bob.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 05-May-04 16:04 UTC
>
> "To give pleasure to a single heart by a single act is better than
a thousand heads bowing in prayer." (Gandhi)

1124. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 17:57:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1105 Message: 1124

----- Original Message -----
From: "k5ww_2004" <k5ww@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 10:44 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: (unknown)

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > In a couple of hours, these questions will be answered.
> >
>
> How about: at the end of the week? :-)
>
> Quote:
>
> The system is undergoing a significant software upgrade and will be
> off-line until approximately 1300Z, Thu, May 6.
>
> Unquote.

yep, I wasn't going to bet the farm on it going as originally planned. LOL

Check again tomorrow.

What's the song in 'Annie'??? :-))

1125. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW Fee Structure (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 18:04:19 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1105 Message: 1125

Hello Bob,

Wednesday, May 5, 2004 Bob Daniels wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

BD> At any rate it is obvious to me that if you have a paper card in hand
BD> and a LoTW credit for the same country you would be better off just
BD> turning it in using the old traditional method. I have about 975
BD> paper QSL-QSOs to turn in.

Unbelievable, in front of me I have a sheet of paper on which I count
cards to be submitted, it says "974 QSO" and "771 QSL" :) You are 1
QSO ahead :)

BD> So for me the best option is to use the old fashion method for the
BD> many paper QSLs I've already got, because no matter how you look at
BD> it that is the most cost effective option.

Thats right, that's what I will do when I get last one needed for
Honor Roll.

BD> For those non-North American Hams that were looking for a safer
BD> alternative to sending their cards via Post, LogBook is available,
BD> but unfortunately it looks like it will cost quite a bit more than
BD> just a normal DXCC submission.

Yep, our expectations did not match severe reality :)

5BDXCC #4999 of Sep 30, 2000

--
73...Art RX9TX 05-May-04 17:57 UTC

"People only see what they are prepared to see." [Ralph Waldo Emerson]

1126. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 18:10:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1105 Message: 1126

Ok Now I understand what you mean.

Just to reaffirm....I know all my qso's are 'in the computer' it's just that the computer doesn't know exactly which band or mode.
The reason I know that is because during the testing, I could see all of them.
The qsl's submitted BEFORE they entered ALL the data had the callsign but just not the details.
I even found an error in the DXCC records for me that was corrected when I pointed it out to the DXCC people.

But I'm in the same boat. MANY of my qsl's are prior 5BDXCC and prior to the using the full detail software.
If I want the full details, I will have to resubmit them, pay the fees and not get a single thing out of it except a more correctly detailed set of records.

Just a clarification for MY mind.
Submitting hardcards to DXCC does NOT have a sliding scale dependent on the amount of Cards submitted?
Submitting LoTW DOES have sliding scale. I saw it...unfortunately I didn't have enough LoTW qsl's to qualify.

But I do have a lot of already paid for QSL payment credits waiting in case I get more. :-))

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 11:23 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

>
>
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> >
>
> > I was one of the beta testers. Yes there is a sliding fee
> > scale for the number of LoTW country credits you are submitting.
>
> I've seen the LotW fee schedule and don't have a problem with it.
> My problem is with the DXCC side if ARRL/DXCC is charging the same
> for "hard copy" QSO confirmations as "electronic" confirmations.
> Wayne's e-mail indicated a substantial discount to the per QSO rate
> since "we have to hire less labor to enter the data, and we will
> pass the savings on." In fact, the system is (or could be)
> completely automated which means NO LABOR to handle electronic
> confirmations.

>
> > If you already have submitted to DXCC and have an account
> > which contains records of qsls submitted, you don't HAVE
> > to do anything with these.
>
> But I will ... my records were never converted to the computer.
> If I want credit for the 1000 or so QSOs, Wayne is insisting
> that I have to submit the cards de novo and pay the $0.15 per
> card beyond the 120 card limit. Since 95% of the cards are
> more than 10 years old, they have to be sent to Newington, I
> can't even deal with a local card checker.
>
> > LoTW knows what they are and you should be able to see them
> > listed. Very early submissions may not have info such as
> > band or mode. The records from long ago only contained the
> > Call, Date, Time, and whether it was credited as Mixed or
> > SSB. As DXCC improved it's software that info was recorded.
> > Later submission recorded the other information.
>
> I would not have a problem shipping them the old cards if they
> wanted to verify band to "complete" the records. All I would
> need is a list of calls credited for CW/Phone/Mixed and calls
> credited on each band for 5BDXCC/160 ... I have all of the cards
> I've submitted (and new ones I plan to submit "some day") filed
> by band. Frankly though, if I have to pay $0.15 per QSO for
> 600 QSOs (5BDXCC plus 160 DXCC) as well as 500 plus QSOs from
> the basic CW/Phone/Mixed awards (they are/were separate), I
> might as well apply for the awards all over and have all the
> plaques/certificates issued with my current call. That's what
> I mean about "paying all over."
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1127. LoTW and DXCC fees and pricing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 20:38:15 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1127 Message: 1127

GA all

Here is some guidance regarding pricing and fees related to LoTW and DXCC.

73, Dave, NN1N

A Word (or two) about Fees

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

Logbook of the World is designed to be an alternative to the traditional method of collecting confirmations and award credits. It can also provide an alternative to submitting cards that are already in hand, but its primary purpose is to lessen the costs associated with traditional QSLing (printing, mailing, tracking, filing, and then packaging and sending them to awards sponsors). Logbook is a stand-alone system, with a fee structure to help offset its development and operating costs.

There is a perception that LoTW is an integral part of the DXCC program, and that the Logbook per-credit fee replaces the usual DXCC fee. Perhaps part of the reason for this perception is that we have allowed the submission of old logs, which contain many QSOs that have already been QSLed. Another part of this misperception may be that LoTW will initially be coupled only to the DXCC program. But what happens when Logbook credits are applied to other, non-ARRL awards? As soon as possible, Logbook credits will be offered for awards other than DXCC and others sponsored by ARRL. How would Logbook recover expenses in these cases? In the end, we feel it is practical only to separate the award program from Logbook and deal with fees separately, and that is what we have chosen to do.

While there are situations where a slight cost advantage can be attained (if one has hundreds of traditional QSLs that need to be checked) by submitting cards to ARRL, over the use of LoTW for the same credits, although in most cases it will benefit the participant to use LoTW confirmations first. Bought in bulk, LoTW credits can cost as little as 15 cents ($0.15) each. And LoTW removes the following costs from the traditional course of DXCC submissions: Postage, handling, boxing and shipping materials, insurance, return shipping charges, risk of loss or damage to cards, and the time it takes to do all of these tasks as well as the time it takes to organize an application, write an application, find the proper QSLs to submit, and record these actions in your log.

On the DXCC side will there be a discount for credits submitted through Logbook? The answer in general is yes (in several ways) but again, remember to think of Logbook and DXCC as separate entities. To the extent that the DXCC software can accept data input electronically and reduce DXCC operating expenses, we will adopt a price schedule that reflects this. For up to 120 cards, there is currently no per-card charge. From the beginning of LoTW operation, you will be able to submit an unlimited number of LoTW credits without incurring the $0.15 per-card charge after 120 credits. That fee will continue to apply only to paper-based credits, sent direct to HQ or via Card Checkers. In addition, and for a limited time, you will be able to submit second and subsequent applications without the $10 surcharge as long as Logbook credits are included in your application.

Pricing example 1:

NA7XXX:

*has 150 confirmations in LoTW for DXCC awards. LoTW Cost = $22.50 to $37.50 depending on bulk purchase rate

*has a Mixed DXCC award, but made no submissions in 2004, so this LoTW-only application will be submitted to the DXCC desk. DXCC desk will charge a $10 application fee for the first DXCC application of the year.

TOTAL = $32.50 to $47.50

Pricing Example 2:

NB9CCC

*has 120 traditional QSLs ready for his first application of the year to be sent to ARRL HQ for checking. He also has 17 LoTW confirmations that he wants to use. He starts his application on the LoTW web site, and notes there that he is sending a paper application also, making this a hybrid application. The LoTW charge is $0.25 for each of 17 credits = $4.25

*Has a Mixed DXCC award, and this is his first submission in 2004, so DXCC will charge $10 for the application fee, which includes checking 120 QSLs.

*Needs to have his QSLs returned via registered mail at a cost of $15.

TOTAL = $29.25

Pricing Example 3:

NQ3WWW:

*Has never applied for DXCC

*Has 101 confirmations in LoTW, and submits his application entirely via LoTW to the DXCC desk. He buys 500 credits for LoTW. These 101 LoTW credits cost $0.15 at this rate, and totals $15.15 (his purchase credit balance is reduced by 101 leaving a surplus of 399 purchase credits).

*Because this is his first DXCC ever, the DXCC desk will charge $10, and this includes a Mixed DXCC Certificate, a Mixed DXCC pin, and the application.

TOTAL = $25.15

NI0FFF:

*Has many different DXCC awards, and is working mainly on individual band countries for his Challenge award. He has purchased 500 LoTW credits previously, at a rate of $0.15 each. He has 74 confirmations in LoTW that he would like to use for a DXCC application. LoTW fee total = $11.10 (his purchase credit balance is reduced by 74 leaving a surplus of 426 purchase credits).

*Has already made one DXCC submission in 2004, but with the present special offer from ARRL to use LoTW for applying credits, the application fee is $10 (instead of the normal $20 for

subsequent applications in the same year). DXCC application fee total = $10

*Earns the 160 Meter DXCC award and wants a certificate, DXCC charges $10 for the certificate

TOTAL = $31.10

XZ8RRR:

*Has a mixed DXCC award. He has 190 confirmations in LoTW that he would like to use for a DXCC application. He does not want to buy extra LoTW credits, so is charged $0.20 per credit - Total = $38

*He is not a member of ARRL, so DXCC will charge the normal $20 application fee.

*With a traditional, paper QSL card DXCC application, DXCC would normally charge $0.15 per QSO over 120. But because this applicant is only using LoTW confirmations, he is not being charged this fee, and saving $10.50.

Total = $58.00

1128. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-05 14:48:07 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner
Topic: 1105 Message: 1128

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
Past President - Nashua Area Radio Club
"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 10:08 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: w4rh@...; w4ru@...; k8je@...; k5ur@...
>
> IF one can believe Wayne Mills, there will be a discount to the
> DXCC fees for credits from LoTW.

I think that comment is totally uncalled for! It is very insulting to
Wayne Mills and shouldn't have been said without supporting evidence
that Wayne is untrustworthy. It seems to me that Wayne has done a very
good job and doesn't deserve those types of comments.

73
Darryl Wagoner WA1GON

1129. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 01:06:47 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1105 Message: 1129

Darryl:
I personally think you are over reacting !!!

I don't see that Joe make any disparaging remarks about Wayne Mills at ALL !!!

I suspect that you, being closely involved with the LoTW concept, are trying reading to much into Joe's comments.

As far as Wayne Mills doing a good job, I'll take exception to that !!!

If you want specifics, I'll site the arbitrary cancellation, without any prior notice to the membership of the following Awards:
-Rag Chewers' Club (RCC) $3
-ARRL Friendship Award $5
-Old-Timers Club (OTC) $3

All these Awards did was foster "Good Will" for the League. They didn't cost the League much of anything. The applicant paid a small fee which should have more that covered the cost of the Award !!!

The "New Ham" doesn't get much out of his League Membership anymore. Keeping a couple of easily obtainable Awards on the books for the Newbie to earn seems to me like a reasonable thing to keep.

Rather than cancel them for lack of interest, I feel that the League should have tried to promote these Awards. Heck we freed up a bunch of pages by eliminating contest line scores, Annual table of contense, other things like that.

League membership keeps falling each year, thing like this don't help !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 10:48 5/5/2004 , you wrote:

>--
>Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
>Past President - Nashua Area Radio Club
>"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
>
>Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
>Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
>Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
>List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
>http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]

> > Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 10:08 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Cc: w4rh@...; w4ru@...; k8je@...; k5ur@...
> >
> > IF one can believe Wayne Mills, there will be a discount to the
> > DXCC fees for credits from LoTW.
>
>I think that comment is totally uncalled for! It is very insulting to
>Wayne Mills and shouldn't have been said without supporting evidence
>that Wayne is untrustworthy. It seems to me that Wayne has done a very
>good job and doesn't deserve those types of comments.
>
>73
>Darryl Wagoner WA1GON
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1130. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW and DXCC fees and pricing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 01:21:55 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1127 Message: 1130

Dave, don't forget to add in the REQUIRED $39 FEE for joining the League that the Non US Hams don't have to pay to get their DXCC.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 16:38 5/5/2004 , you wrote:
>GA all
>
>Here is some guidance regarding pricing and fees related to LoTW and DXCC.
>
>73, Dave, NN1N
>
>
>
>A Word (or two) about Fees
>
>
>
> <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
>
>Logbook of the World is designed to be an alternative to the traditional method of collecting confirmations and award credits. It can also provide an alternative to submitting cards that are already in hand, but its primary purpose is to lessen the costs associated with traditional QSLing (printing, mailing, tracking, filing, and then packaging and sending them to awards sponsors). Logbook is a stand-alone system, with a fee structure to help offset its development and operating costs.
>
>
>
>There is a perception that LoTW is an integral part of the DXCC program, and that the Logbook per-credit fee replaces the usual DXCC fee. Perhaps part of the reason for this perception is that we have allowed the submission of old logs, which contain many QSOs that have already been QSLed. Another part of this misperception may be that LoTW will initially be coupled only to the DXCC program. But what happens when Logbook credits are applied to other, non-ARRL awards? As soon as possible, Logbook credits will be offered for awards other than DXCC and others sponsored by ARRL. How would Logbook recover expenses in these cases? In the end, we feel it is practical only to separate the award program from Logbook and deal with fees separately, and that is what we have chosen to do.
>
>
>
>While there are situations where a slight cost advantage can be attained (if one has hundreds of traditional QSLs that need to be checked) by submitting cards to ARRL, over the use of LoTW for the same credits, although in most cases it will benefit the participant to

use LoTW confirmations first. Bought in bulk, LoTW credits can cost as little as 15 cents ($0.15) each. And LoTW removes the following costs from the traditional course of DXCC submissions: Postage, handling, boxing and shipping materials, insurance, return shipping charges, risk of loss or damage to cards, and the time it takes to do all of these tasks as well as the time it takes to organize an application, write an application, find the proper QSLs to submit, and record these actions in your log.
>
>
>
>On the DXCC side will there be a discount for credits submitted through Logbook? The answer in general is yes (in several ways) but again, remember to think of Logbook and DXCC as separate entities. To the extent that the DXCC software can accept data input electronically and reduce DXCC operating expenses, we will adopt a price schedule that reflects this. For up to 120 cards, there is currently no per-card charge. From the beginning of LoTW operation, you will be able to submit an unlimited number of LoTW credits without incurring the $0.15 per-card charge after 120 credits. That fee will continue to apply only to paper-based credits, sent direct to HQ or via Card Checkers. In addition, and for a limited time, you will be able to submit second and subsequent applications without the $10 surcharge as long as Logbook credits are included in your application.
>
>
>
>
>
>Pricing example 1:
>
>
>
>NA7XXX:
>
>*has 150 confirmations in LoTW for DXCC awards. LoTW Cost = $22.50 to $37.50 depending on bulk purchase rate
>
>*has a Mixed DXCC award, but made no submissions in 2004, so this LoTW-only application will be submitted to the DXCC desk. DXCC desk will charge a $10 application fee for the first DXCC application of the year.
>
>TOTAL = $32.50 to $47.50
>
>
>
>Pricing Example 2:
>
>
>
>NB9CCC
>
>*has 120 traditional QSLs ready for his first application of the year to be sent to ARRL HQ for checking. He also has 17 LoTW confirmations that he wants to use. He starts his application on the LoTW web site, and notes there that he is sending a paper application also, making this a hybrid application. The LoTW charge is $0.25 for each of 17 credits =

$4.25
>
>*Has a Mixed DXCC award, and this is his first submission in 2004, so DXCC will charge $10 for the application fee, which includes checking 120 QSLs.
>
>*Needs to have his QSLs returned via registered mail at a cost of $15.
>
>TOTAL = $29.25
>
>
>
>Pricing Example 3:
>
>
>
>NQ3WWW:
>
>*Has never applied for DXCC
>
>*Has 101 confirmations in LoTW, and submits his application entirely via LoTW to the DXCC desk. He buys 500 credits for LoTW. These 101 LoTW credits cost $0.15 at this rate, and totals $15.15 (his purchase credit balance is reduced by 101 leaving a surplus of 399 purchase credits).
>
>*Because this is his first DXCC ever, the DXCC desk will charge $10, and this includes a Mixed DXCC Certificate, a Mixed DXCC pin, and the application.
>
>
>TOTAL = $25.15
>
>
>
>
>
>NI0FFF:
>
>*Has many different DXCC awards, and is working mainly on individual band countries for his Challenge award. He has purchased 500 LoTW credits previously, at a rate of $0.15 each. He has 74 confirmations in LoTW that he would like to use for a DXCC application. LoTW fee total = $11.10 (his purchase credit balance is reduced by 74 leaving a surplus of 426 purchase credits).
>
>*Has already made one DXCC submission in 2004, but with the present special offer from ARRL to use LoTW for applying credits, the application fee is $10 (instead of the normal $20 for subsequent applications in the same year). DXCC application fee total = $10
>
>*Earns the 160 Meter DXCC award and wants a certificate, DXCC charges $10 for the certificate
>
>
>TOTAL = $31.10

>
>
>
>
>
>XZ8RRR:
>
>*Has a mixed DXCC award. He has 190 confirmations in LoTW that he would like to use for a DXCC application. He does not want to buy extra LoTW credits, so is charged $0.20 per credit Total = $38
>
>*He is not a member of ARRL, so DXCC will charge the normal $20 application fee.
>
>*With a traditional, paper QSL card DXCC application, DXCC would normally charge $0.15 per QSO over 120. But because this applicant is only using LoTW confirmations, he is not being charged this fee, and saving $10.50.
>
>Total = $58.00
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1131. League management

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 01:33:16 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1131 Message: 1131

I tend to stay out of the ARRL politics. I simply don't attend enough hamfests or club meetings to know the players.

But I do know that if the explanation of LOTW and DXCC and their relationship takes 4 long paragraphs and 5 examples to explain, there is something dreadfully wrong.

Observe:

1. LOTW and DXCC are completely separate. So they say. But somehow they're at the same web page. Why not completely integrate them?

2. LOTW is supposedly to save the DXCC people money. So is the automation of the DXCC records. I don't see reduced fees.

3. You get two bills when you use LOTW credits. Once by pre-buying LOTW credits and a second time when you apply for your DXCC update. Excuse is that the credit might also be used for WAS or CQ or something. See next item. May save money -- who can tell?

4. Do these people have accountants and accounting software? Why not just incorporate the LOTW charges into the DXCC, WAS, or whatever award charges? Minor accounting entries to account for this.

No point in griping to ARRL management -- they'll simply blame it on the Board. For good reason. Ever read the ARRL Board minutes? Absolutely classic micromanagement.

That said, LOTW is great and has potential. Assuming brain-dead policies don't kill it off.

73
Mike WA0SXV
Member since 1961, Life Member

1132. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 01:50:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1105 Message: 1132

Here here! Well said. I remember getting my RCC award as a fun thing in the early days. I guess I missed out on OTC by never having applied. Always meant to get around to that. Maybe I am too old time !!! :=)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:07 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)
>
> Darryl:
> I personally think you are over reacting !!!
>
> I don't see that Joe make any disparaging remarks about Wayne
> Mills at ALL !!!
>
> I suspect that you, being closely involved with the LoTW
> concept, are trying reading to much into Joe's comments.
>
> As far as Wayne Mills doing a good job, I'll take exception
> to that !!!
>
> If you want specifics, I'll site the arbitrary cancellation,
> without any prior notice to the membership of the following Awards:
> -Rag Chewers' Club (RCC) $3
> -ARRL Friendship Award $5
> -Old-Timers Club (OTC) $3
>
> All these Awards did was foster "Good Will" for the League.
> They didn't cost the League much of anything. The applicant
> paid a small fee which should have more that covered the cost
> of the Award !!!
>
> The "New Ham" doesn't get much out of his League Membership
> anymore. Keeping a couple of easily obtainable Awards on the
> books for the Newbie to earn seems to me like a reasonable
> thing to keep.
>
> Rather than cancel them for lack of interest, I feel that the
> League should have tried to promote these Awards. Heck we
> freed up a bunch of pages by eliminating contest line scores,

> Annual table of contense, other things like that.
>
> League membership keeps falling each year, thing like this
> don't help !!!
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
> At 10:48 5/5/2004 , you wrote:
>
>
> >--
> >Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
> >Past President - Nashua Area Radio Club "Evil triumphs when
> good men do
> >nothing." - Edmund Burke [1729-1797]
> >
> >Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
> >Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
> >Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
> http://www.trustedQSL.org
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> > > Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 10:08 AM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Cc: w4rh@...; w4ru@...; k8je@...; k5ur@...
> > >
> > > IF one can believe Wayne Mills, there will be a discount
> to the DXCC
> > > fees for credits from LoTW.
> >
> >I think that comment is totally uncalled for! It is very
> insulting to
> >Wayne Mills and shouldn't have been said without supporting evidence
> >that Wayne is untrustworthy. It seems to me that Wayne has
> done a very
> >good job and doesn't deserve those types of comments.
> >
> >73
> >Darryl Wagoner WA1GON
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1133. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 02:49:17 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1105 Message: 1133

> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>
> I don't see that Joe make any disparaging remarks about Wayne
> Mills at ALL !!!
>
> I suspect that you, being closely involved with the LoTW
> concept, are trying reading to much into Joe's comments.

Thank you ...

You are correct, too much is being read into my comments.
However, I am still steamed after that getting my hardcopy
DXCC record some time ago (and was told there would be "no
cost" to convert to the computer) that when I got ready to
do the update after a relocation halfway across the country
and callsign change, I was told, by Wayne, that it would cost
me $10 plus $0.15 per QSO for all QSOs over 120 ... just as
never had the credits.

Quite frankly the ARRL Field Organization (ARES and NTS) are
outmoded and a joke - technology has passed them by ... the
"professional staff" in Newington has run away from contesting
and would probably terminate Sweepstakes and the DX Contest
if they could get away with it (from the contest coverage in
QST, one could almost assume they have) ... and participants
in the DXCC program is being nickel and dimed to death. If I
hadn't been licensed for more than 30 years and a Life Member
for almost as long, I would not give ARRL a second look today.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1134. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 03:01:50 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 1105 Message: 1134

I'm going to stay an ARRL member for the simple reason that I have to be in order to be a VE in my particular VEC.

LoTW would make a good case study in how not to develope software, but I suppose it will survive -- there are plenty of examples of poorly designed software in widespread use.

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 7:49 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: 'R Johnson'
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>
> I don't see that Joe make any disparaging remarks about Wayne
> Mills at ALL !!!
>
> I suspect that you, being closely involved with the LoTW
> concept, are trying reading to much into Joe's comments.

Thank you ...

You are correct, too much is being read into my comments. However, I am still steamed after that getting my hardcopy DXCC record some time ago (and was told there would be "no cost" to convert to the computer) that when I got ready to do the update after a relocation halfway across the country and callsign change, I was told, by Wayne, that it would cost me $10 plus $0.15 per QSO for all QSOs over 120 ... just as never had the credits.

Quite frankly the ARRL Field Organization (ARES and NTS) are outmoded and a joke - technology has passed them by ... the "professional staff" in Newington has run away from contesting and would probably terminate Sweepstakes and the DX Contest if they could get away with it (from the contest coverage in QST, one could almost assume they have) ... and participants in the DXCC program is being nickel and dimed to death. If I hadn't been licensed for more than 30 years and a Life Member for almost as long, I would not give ARRL a second look today.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Links

1135. [ARRL-LOTW] League management

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 03:41:35 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1131 Message: 1135

LOTW doesn't need to charge per contact. They could charge a $5.00 fee to use LOTW credits for one award, or $10.00 for as many awards as you want to use them in a year. This would allow them to recoup their fees for operating LOTW and not be excessive.

How is LOTW going to charge for contest entries? I believe that was one purpose of the LOTW, upload contest logs.... How much will a large club have to pay for making 20,000 contacts during Field Day when they apply for their award?

My club would find an alternative contest in a hurry!

Buck

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV [mailto:wa0sxv@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:33 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] League management
>
>
> I tend to stay out of the ARRL politics. I simply don't
> attend enough hamfests or club meetings to know the players.
>
> But I do know that if the explanation of LOTW and DXCC and
> their relationship takes 4 long paragraphs and 5 examples to
> explain, there is something dreadfully wrong.
>
> Observe:
>
> 1. LOTW and DXCC are completely separate. So they say. But
> somehow they're at the same web page. Why not completely
> integrate them?
>
> 2. LOTW is supposedly to save the DXCC people money. So is
> the automation of the DXCC records. I don't see reduced fees.
>
> 3. You get two bills when you use LOTW credits. Once by
> pre-buying LOTW credits and a second time when you apply for
> your DXCC update. Excuse is that the credit might also be
> used for WAS or CQ or something. See next item. May save
> money -- who can tell?
>
> 4. Do these people have accountants and accounting software?
> Why not just incorporate the LOTW charges into the DXCC,
> WAS, or whatever award charges? Minor accounting entries to

> account for this.
>
> No point in griping to ARRL management -- they'll simply
> blame it on the Board. For good reason. Ever read the ARRL
> Board minutes? Absolutely classic micromanagement.
>
> That said, LOTW is great and has potential. Assuming
> brain-dead policies don't kill it off.
>
> 73
> Mike WA0SXV
> Member since 1961, Life Member
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar. Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for
> free! http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post?>
act=reply&messageNum=1131
> Please do not reply to this message via email. More
> information here:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1136. [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW and DXCC fees and pricing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 03:41:49 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1127 Message: 1136

I was just reading that the award automatically credits recipients for LOTW contacts according to the cost. For example, I believe the DXCC award allows for up to 125 free QSLs from LOTW. That being the case, LOTW would be free to use. If WAS allows 50, then, of course, it would be free to use LOTW contacts. This would make sense. How many contacts does a person turn in at one time for a DXCC? County hunters, on the other hand, would prefer the paper method for most of their contacts: not that it is a League award.

I wonder how many people turn in 300 cards to confirm DXCC at one time.....

Buck

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 4:38 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW and DXCC fees and pricing
>
>
> GA all
>
> Here is some guidance regarding pricing and fees related to
> LoTW and DXCC.
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>
>
> A Word (or two) about Fees
>
>
>
>
>
> Logbook of the World is designed to be an alternative to the
> traditional method of collecting confirmations and award
> credits. It can also provide an alternative to submitting
> cards that are already in hand, but its primary purpose is to
> lessen the costs associated with traditional QSLing
> (printing, mailing, tracking, filing, and then packaging and
> sending them to awards sponsors). Logbook is a stand-alone
> system, with a fee structure to help offset its development
> and operating costs.
>
>
>

> There is a perception that LoTW is an integral part of the
> DXCC program, and that the Logbook per-credit fee replaces
> the usual DXCC fee. Perhaps part of the reason for this
> perception is that we have allowed the submission of old
> logs, which contain many QSOs that have already been QSLed.
> Another part of this misperception may be that LoTW will
> initially be coupled only to the DXCC program. But what
> happens when Logbook credits are applied to other, non-ARRL
> awards? As soon as possible, Logbook credits will be offered
> for awards other than DXCC and others sponsored by ARRL. How
> would Logbook recover expenses in these cases? In the end, we
> feel it is practical only to separate the award program from
> Logbook and deal with fees separately, and that is what we
> have chosen to do.
>
>
>
> While there are situations where a slight cost advantage can
> be attained (if one has hundreds of traditional QSLs that
> need to be checked) by submitting cards to ARRL, over the use
> of LoTW for the same credits, although in most cases it will
> benefit the participant to use LoTW confirmations first.
> Bought in bulk, LoTW credits can cost as little as 15 cents
> ($0.15) each. And LoTW removes the following costs from the
> traditional course of DXCC submissions: Postage, handling,
> boxing and shipping materials, insurance, return shipping
> charges, risk of loss or damage to cards, and the time it
> takes to do all of these tasks as well as the time it takes
> to organize an application, write an application, find the
> proper QSLs to submit, and record these actions in your log.
>
>
>
> On the DXCC side will there be a discount for credits
> submitted through Logbook? The answer in general is yes (in
> several ways) but again, remember to think of Logbook and
> DXCC as separate entities. To the extent that the DXCC
> software can accept data input electronically and reduce DXCC
> operating expenses, we will adopt a price schedule that
> reflects this. For up to 120 cards, there is currently no
> per-card charge. From the beginning of LoTW operation, you
> will be able to submit an unlimited number of LoTW credits
> without incurring the $0.15 per-card charge after 120
> credits. That fee will continue to apply only to paper-based
> credits, sent direct to HQ or via Card Checkers. In addition,
> and for a limited time, you will be able to submit second and
> subsequent applications without the $10 surcharge as long as
> Logbook credits are included in your application.
>
>

>
>
>
> Pricing example 1:
>
>
>
> NA7XXX:
>
> *has 150 confirmations in LoTW for DXCC awards. LoTW Cost =
> $22.50 to $37.50 depending on bulk purchase rate
>
> *has a Mixed DXCC award, but made no submissions in 2004, so
> this LoTW-only application will be submitted to the DXCC
> desk. DXCC desk will charge a $10 application fee for the
> first DXCC application of the year.
>
> TOTAL = $32.50 to $47.50
>
>
>
> Pricing Example 2:
>
>
>
> NB9CCC
>
> *has 120 traditional QSLs ready for his first application of
> the year to be sent to ARRL HQ for checking. He also has 17
> LoTW confirmations that he wants to use. He starts his
> application on the LoTW web site, and notes there that he is
> sending a paper application also, making this a hybrid
> application. The LoTW charge is $0.25 for each of 17 credits = $4.25
>
> *Has a Mixed DXCC award, and this is his first submission in
> 2004, so DXCC will charge $10 for the application fee, which
> includes checking 120 QSLs.
>
> *Needs to have his QSLs returned via registered mail at a
> cost of $15.
>
> TOTAL = $29.25
>
>
>
> Pricing Example 3:
>
>
>
> NQ3WWW:

>
> *Has never applied for DXCC
>
> *Has 101 confirmations in LoTW, and submits his application
> entirely via LoTW to the DXCC desk. He buys 500 credits for
> LoTW. These 101 LoTW credits cost $0.15 at this rate, and
> totals $15.15 (his purchase credit balance is reduced by 101
> leaving a surplus of 399 purchase credits).
>
> *Because this is his first DXCC ever, the DXCC desk will
> charge $10, and this includes a Mixed DXCC Certificate, a
> Mixed DXCC pin, and the application.
>
>
> TOTAL = $25.15
>
>
>
>
> NI0FFF:
>
> *Has many different DXCC awards, and is working mainly on
> individual band countries for his Challenge award. He has
> purchased 500 LoTW credits previously, at a rate of $0.15
> each. He has 74 confirmations in LoTW that he would like to
> use for a DXCC application. LoTW fee total = $11.10 (his
> purchase credit balance is reduced by 74 leaving a surplus of
> 426 purchase credits).
>
> *Has already made one DXCC submission in 2004, but with the
> present special offer from ARRL to use LoTW for applying
> credits, the application fee is $10 (instead of the normal
> $20 for subsequent applications in the same year). DXCC
> application fee total = $10
>
> *Earns the 160 Meter DXCC award and wants a certificate, DXCC
> charges $10 for the certificate
>
>
> TOTAL = $31.10
>
>
>
>
> XZ8RRR:
>
> *Has a mixed DXCC award. He has 190 confirmations in LoTW
> that he would like to use for a DXCC application. He does
> not want to buy extra LoTW credits, so is charged $0.20 per
> credit - Total = $38

>
> *He is not a member of ARRL, so DXCC will charge the normal
> $20 application fee.
>
> *With a traditional, paper QSL card DXCC application, DXCC
> would normally charge $0.15 per QSO over 120. But because
> this applicant is only using LoTW confirmations, he is not
> being charged this fee, and saving $10.50.
>
> Total = $58.00
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> To reply to this message, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post?act=reply&message
Num=1127Pl
ease do not reply to this message via email. (more info)

To visit your group on the web, go to:
HYPERLINK
"http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/"http://groups.yahoo.com/group/
/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
HYPERLINK
"mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe"

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the HYPERLINK
"http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/"Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1137. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 03:51:56 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1105 Message: 1137

On Wed, May 05, 2004 at 08:01:50PM -0700, Martin Fouts wrote:
> LoTW would make a good case study in how not to develope software

Why do you say that?

You could say that TQSL/TQSLCERT are not ideal programs for end users.
I'd agree with that. I see them as demonstrations; the technology is
intended to be incoporated into logging software over time.

I don't see anything much wrong with the mechanisms/algorithms and
the web site though.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1138. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 04:02:43 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1105 Message: 1138

I quit the league years ago after having a discussion with my area representative. He said that he only counted votes that were based on factual evidence in favor of the majority of Amateur Radio operators. I had him clarify it to this degree: He said if I could not justify a "no" vote with evidence acceptible to him, when he reported votes to ARRL, my No vote would not be included. Therefore, only votes that agreed with him or had what might appear to be valid arguments to him to the contrary, would be counted. It was clear that the majority of people in the room at that time wanted him to vote "NO" but he said only a few would be counted as no votes because they were backed up by facts and not by emotion or lack of understanding.

That is the first and last experience I ever had with an officer of the League.

I am now awaiting membership papers again. I have joined for similar reasons to Martin, I want to be part of the VE team, part of ARES and other things that require membership here. ARES doesn't require membership, but training reimbursement costs are only granted to those who complete the courses AND are ARRL members and I intend to take all the courses I can for emergency service.

Politics? Well, I'll just have to be one more voice they won't listen to.

Buck

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Martin Fouts [mailto:lists@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 11:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: 'R Johnson'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)
>
>
> I'm going to stay an ARRL member for the simple reason that
> I have to be in order to be a VE in my particular VEC.
>
> LoTW would make a good case study in how not to develope
> software, but I suppose it will survive -- there are plenty
> of examples of poorly designed software in widespread use.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 7:49 PM

> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: 'R Johnson'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)
>
>
>
> > From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> >
> > I don't see that Joe make any disparaging remarks about
> Wayne Mills at
> > ALL !!!
> >
> > I suspect that you, being closely involved with the LoTW
> concept, are
> > trying reading to much into Joe's comments.
>
> Thank you ...
>
> You are correct, too much is being read into my comments.
> However, I am still steamed after that getting my hardcopy
> DXCC record some time ago (and was told there would be "no
> cost" to convert to the computer) that when I got ready to do
> the update after a relocation halfway across the country and
> callsign change, I was told, by Wayne, that it would cost me
> $10 plus $0.15 per QSO for all QSOs over 120 ... just as
> never had the credits.
>
> Quite frankly the ARRL Field Organization (ARES and NTS) are
> outmoded and a joke - technology has passed them by ... the
> "professional staff" in Newington has run away from
> contesting and would probably terminate Sweepstakes and the
> DX Contest if they could get away with it (from the contest
> coverage in QST, one could almost assume they have) ... and
> participants in the DXCC program is being nickel and dimed to
> death. If I hadn't been licensed for more than 30 years and
> a Life Member for almost as long, I would not give ARRL a
> second look today.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar. Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for
> free! http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post?>
act=reply&messageNum=1134
> Please do not reply to this message via email. More
> information here:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1139. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 05:06:39 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 1105 Message: 1139

As I write this, LOTW is still down for its "upgrade". As I understand it, it was supposed to be back up, if I recall the original message correctly, 10 hours ago. The new ETA has been shifted forward 24 hours. Another 24 hour delay would not surprise me.

All of this just to add a feature that was supposed to have already been beta tested.

Why do I say it makes a good case study?

Because, the system design is inherently flawed. It makes two bad assumptions from the start. First, it assumes that users are not to be trusted. Second, it assumes that the social issue of lack of trust can be solved by, in this case, excessive use of technology. More energy seems to have been put into 'securing' the system than into every other aspect of it, combined.

Rather than build a system that helps hams use computers to verify their QSLs with each other, ARRL built a system that makes it hard for hams, because it doesn't trust them. It then forces these untrusted hams to use two tools that even you admit are "not ideal".

Not only is the system poorly designed from a social perspective, and the visible interface to it poorly implemented, it doesn't even do simple QSL related things very wel, such as dup checking. This means that if I don't want my LoTW records screwed up, I have to keep careful track of when I last uploaded, so that I can export only records it hasn't already seen. Even eQSL gets this right.

Does it, by the way, allow me any way to delete log records that I discover are broken and need to be replaced? I tried to find some documentation on how to deal with this, but I couldn't.

Which reminds me of another failure: I haven't used a system this poorly documented since I used IBM mainframes in the early '70s.

The system fails to follow what few interchange standards there are, as far as I understand it, having invented new fields for adif. Is the ARRL attempting to participate in the formulation of the adif 2 spec? I believe not.

It is based on the hubris that there should be only one online QSL database, and that the ARRL should manage it. No thought has been given to interchange with other such databases, and, if I have been correctly informed, no effort was made to learn from the experience those databases already have generated.

The whole process has been clouded in a secrecy that borders on the mystical. Sadly, it appears this secrecy is best attributed to incompetence rather than malice, but it has made the process unnecessarily difficult and has led to what appears to be several bad design features.

By the way, how do I manage logs for special event stations on LoTW? For that matter, how do I manage logs for multiple stations? The system appears to have given no thought to QSL managers or to special events and their use of recycled call signs drawn from a limited pool.

I have ported TQSL/TQSLCERT to freebsd. It is safe to say that the code is not production quality, hinting at a poor QA process. It is also safe to say that your assertions about mechanisms and algorithms are, shall we say, excessively optimistic.

I have no comment on the internal implementation of the web site, having not seen it, but given the rest of the system, I doubt much thought has been given to robustness and availability. The "upgrade" now in progress makes it painfully clear that no thought was given to rolling update.

What's wrong with the system? If you want a shorter answer, ask what's right, since there seems to be precious little of that.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)

On Wed, May 05, 2004 at 08:01:50PM -0700, Martin Fouts wrote:
> LoTW would make a good case study in how not to develope software

Why do you say that?

You could say that TQSL/TQSLCERT are not ideal programs for end users. I'd agree with that. I see them as demonstrations; the technology is intended to be incoporated into logging software over time.

I don't see anything much wrong with the mechanisms/algorithms and the web site though.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1140. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] League management

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 12:54:43 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1131 Message: 1140

NA4FM (Buck) wrote:

> How much will a large club have to pay for making 20,000
> contacts during Field Day when they apply for their award?

Where is your Field Day? I want to see the one that can make 20,000
QSO's??? What "FD" award are you applying for that needs 20,000 QSL?

> My club would find an alternative contest in a hurry!

Alternative "contest" for what?

Please explain ... I'm confused!

Ron

1141. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] League management

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 13:03:07 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1131 Message: 1141

Don't be confused -- it is just a persistent and incorrect rumor that LOTW has something to do with uploading contest logs. I see nothing that indicates that the current handling of contest logs by uploading Cabrillo files to the contest log checkers won't continue.

There was some complaining on this frequency about having to upload Q's to both the contest address and LOTW (if you wanted the logs in LOTW) but nothing more.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 06:55
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] League management

NA4FM (Buck) wrote:

> How much will a large club have to pay for making 20,000
> contacts during Field Day when they apply for their award?

Where is your Field Day? I want to see the one that can make 20,000 QSO's??? What "FD" award are you applying for that needs 20,000 QSL?

> My club would find an alternative contest in a hurry!

Alternative "contest" for what?

Please explain ... I'm confused!

Ron

1142. LoTW is on-line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 13:50:48 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1142 Message: 1142

Have it gentlepeople.

Please take your time, do a little reading, check out the new DXCC awards pages, etc.

73, NN1N

1143. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW is on-line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 14:03:32 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1142 Message: 1143

At quick first look at the awards module.

Looks pretty good to me.

I think the ARRL development team has produced more than what I expected!

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 7:50 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW is on-line

Have it gentlepeople.

Please take your time, do a little reading, check out the new DXCC awards pages, etc.

73, NN1N

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1144. It's working !!!!!! But...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 13:07:43 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1144 Message: 1144

Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)

:-)))

OK, let's wait.

73,
Vladimir EU1SA

1145. DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:20:50 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1145 Message: 1145

Nifty! But a question begging for an answer.

I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will the countries and modes for which I already have credit be indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1146. paper vs lotw qsl's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:28:08 UTC
From: k2qpn
Topic: 1146 Message: 1146

I do not have DXCC yet. I need a combination of paper qsl's and lotw QSL's to reach 100 countries. Since I don't have an existing DXCC record, how do I get my paper cards registered?? How do I get future paper QSL's registered?? 73.

1147. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:35:34 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1147

Look for the linking option. I think that will do it. Unfortunately they have to manually approve the linking. I just don't understand that. Either they have my record on line or they do not? If they do the link should be automated and immediate, no use having a man do what a computer can do better!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:21 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC
>
> Nifty! But a question begging for an answer.
>
> I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will
> the countries and modes for which I already have credit be
> indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits
> if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for
> only $14.70 http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1148. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:38:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1145 Message: 1148

> I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will
> the countries and modes for which I already have credit be
> indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits
> if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.

Yes and maybe ...

If you have a DXCC and endorsements issued since the DXCC was computerized you can "link" your DXCC account information to the LotW account and the "Awards" display will show a combined view ... current DXCC credits and LotW "confirmations" that would advance your DXCC status.

If your DXCC and endorsements are "old" and your records are not computerized or the band information was not recorded when the records were computerized, you will have to resubmit all of the cards and pay fees for that submission de novo - e.g., if you had 300 countries each Mixed/Phone/CW plus 5BDXCC and 160 DXCC, it could cost as much as $160 (1200 QSOs) plus the cost of shipping/insuring the cards just to claim the credit you have already earned (and paid for).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1149. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:42:29 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1145 Message: 1149

Hi Ford

RR. Anything that the DXCC desk has recorded for you can be brought into LoTW by "linking" your LoTW account with your DXCC record. LoTW will then be able to display the calls (and links from the calls to QSO data if known) for each band/mode/award etc.

A long step-by-step guide to the application process is available from the user site home page with a link: Applying for DXCC Credits with Logbook of the World

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:21 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Nifty! But a question begging for an answer.

I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will the countries and modes for which I already have credit be indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=1296ignkg/M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083943346/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=317714401>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1150. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] paper vs lotw qsl's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:41:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1146 Message: 1150

When you apply for DXCC you can apply all the credits on LotW that you wish to apply. Pick only those that do not have paper cards. Send your application with your cards and request the missing be gotten form LotW. The data base will be linked and you will get the award. Now you have a record. You can register paper again later if you wish when you want endorsements and do the same thing, part paper part LotW.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: k2qpn [mailto:K2QPN@...]
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:28 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] paper vs lotw qsl's
>
> I do not have DXCC yet. I need a combination of paper qsl's
> and lotw QSL's to reach 100 countries. Since I don't have an
> existing DXCC record, how do I get my paper cards
> registered?? How do I get future paper QSL's registered?? 73.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1151. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] paper vs lotw qsl's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:44:27 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1146 Message: 1151

Any traditional QSLs will still need to be checked either by sending them to HQ, or checked by a Card Checker in the field.

After checking the data is keyed into the system as normal, and will then be available to be linked into LoTW.

You can create a hybrid application using LoTW by completing an LoTW application and then indicating to ARRL therein that you will also be sending cards to HQ.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: k2qpn [mailto:K2QPN@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:28 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] paper vs lotw qsl's

I do not have DXCC yet. I need a combination of paper qsl's and lotw
QSL's to reach 100 countries. Since I don't have an existing DXCC
record, how do I get my paper cards registered?? How do I get future
paper QSL's registered?? 73.

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1152. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 15:57:03 UTC
From: Scott Millick
Topic: 1145 Message: 1152

they are quick mine was linked in an hour looks real nice and has a grid
to show what is credited

At 10:42 AM 5/6/2004, you wrote:
>Hi Ford
>
>RR. Anything that the DXCC desk has recorded for you can be brought into
>LoTW by "linking" your LoTW account with your DXCC record. LoTW will then
>be able to display the calls (and links from the calls to QSO data if
>known) for each band/mode/award etc.
>
>A long step-by-step guide to the application process is available from the
>user site home page with a link: Applying for DXCC Credits with Logbook
>of the World
>
>73, Dave, NN1N
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
>Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:21 AM
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC
>
>Nifty! But a question begging for an answer.
>
>I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will the countries
>and modes for which I already have credit be indicated someplace on
>LOTW? No sense applying for credits if they are confirmed the old
>fashioned way.
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Scott K9SM
'God please save us from the Good People'

If you don't know what I mean you are part of the problem.

Remember another saying

'You can't fix stupid'

1153. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:07:23 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1153

Mine was linked within minutes.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 9:35 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Look for the linking option. I think that will do it. Unfortunately they have to manually approve the linking. I just don't understand that. Either they have my record on line or they do not? If they do the link should be automated and immediate, no use having a man do what a computer can do better!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:21 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC
>
> Nifty! But a question begging for an answer.
>
> I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will
> the countries and modes for which I already have credit be
> indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits
> if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for
> only $14.70 http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1154. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW is on-line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:07:38 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1142 Message: 1154

At 9:50 AM, Patton, David NN1N wrote:

> Have it gentlepeople.

At 11:35AM, rojomn wrote:

> Unfortunately they have to manually approve the linking. I just don't
> understand that. Either they have my record on line or they do not?
If > they do the link should be automated and immediate, no use having a
> man do what a computer can do better!

First complaint took 1 hour and 15 minutes to show up. A lot better
than I expected!

Ron

1155. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW is on-line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:12:32 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1142 Message: 1155

I wrote:

> First complaint took 1 hour and 15 minutes to show up. A lot better
> than I expected!

Should have been 1 hour and 45 minutes ... even better!

Ron

1156. Re: LotW's system design

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:13:45 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1105 Message: 1156

All of LotW's extensions to ADIF are in fact consistent with the proposed ADIF 2.0 draft specification. By its adoption of ADIF 2.0 functionality, LotW has done more to move ADIF 2.0 forward than has any other organization, commercial or non-profit.

With respect to system design, the ARRL's DXCC program has always exerted tight control, demanding official documentation of permission to operate and rejecting QSL cards showing any hint of ex post facto modification. LotW's top-level security requirements were driven by this orientation, and are in my personal view reasonable.

That said, there is no reasonable technical explanation for the ARRL's decision to ignore eQSL.cc and build a new system from scratch. LotW's biggest problem is its severe shortage of implementation resources; far more could have been achieved by applying those limited resources to extending eQSL.cc to meet the needs of the DXCC program, rather than by first re-inventing the wheel. This decision may have primarily been driven by the ARRL's perceived need for exclusive control, but interactions with the players over the past years leads me to suspect that ego played no small part.

Some of LotW's useability problems could have been mitigated by better integration with existing logging applications, but the project's management put little energy in this direction. To this day, for example, there is no "LotW Developer" forum in which questions can be posed and answers shared. Clearly, the LotW team lacks experience in collaborative software development -- another factor that may have driven the "ignore eQSL.cc" decision.

While case studies are useful for students and practitioners of software engineering seeking to do better "next time", we DXers have a more pragmatic interest: electronic QSLing and recordkeeping should be a lot less time-consuming and expensive than snail-mailing cards and hand-checking reports printed by the DXCC desk's computer system. For better or for worse, LotW is our starting point. Far uglier systems have been hammered into reasonability via sustained, constructive critique from their user communities.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Martin Fouts" <lists@f...> wrote:
> As I write this, LOTW is still down for its "upgrade". As I understand it,
> it was supposed to be back up, if I recall the original message

correctly,
> 10 hours ago. The new ETA has been shifted forward 24 hours.
Another 24
> hour delay would not surprise me.
>
> All of this just to add a feature that was supposed to have already
been
> beta tested.
>
> Why do I say it makes a good case study?
>
> Because, the system design is inherently flawed. It makes two bad
> assumptions from the start. First, it assumes that users are not
to be
> trusted. Second, it assumes that the social issue of lack of trust
can be
> solved by, in this case, excessive use of technology. More energy
seems to
> have been put into 'securing' the system than into every other
aspect of it,
> combined.
>
> Rather than build a system that helps hams use computers to verify
their
> QSLs with each other, ARRL built a system that makes it hard for
hams,
> because it doesn't trust them. It then forces these untrusted hams
to use
> two tools that even you admit are "not ideal".
>
> Not only is the system poorly designed from a social perspective,
and the
> visible interface to it poorly implemented, it doesn't even do
simple QSL
> related things very wel, such as dup checking. This means that if
I don't
> want my LoTW records screwed up, I have to keep careful track of
when I last
> uploaded, so that I can export only records it hasn't already
seen. Even
> eQSL gets this right.
>
> Does it, by the way, allow me any way to delete log records that I
discover
> are broken and need to be replaced? I tried to find some
documentation on
> how to deal with this, but I couldn't.
>
> Which reminds me of another failure: I haven't used a system this
poorly

> documented since I used IBM mainframes in the early '70s.
>
> The system fails to follow what few interchange standards there
are, as far
> as I understand it, having invented new fields for adif. Is the
ARRL
> attempting to participate in the formulation of the adif 2 spec? I
believe
> not.
>
> It is based on the hubris that there should be only one online QSL
database,
> and that the ARRL should manage it. No thought has been given to
> interchange with other such databases, and, if I have been correctly
> informed, no effort was made to learn from the experience those
databases
> already have generated.
>
> The whole process has been clouded in a secrecy that borders on the
> mystical. Sadly, it appears this secrecy is best attributed to
incompetence
> rather than malice, but it has made the process unnecessarily
difficult and
> has led to what appears to be several bad design features.
>
> By the way, how do I manage logs for special event stations on
LoTW? For
> that matter, how do I manage logs for multiple stations? The
system appears
> to have given no thought to QSL managers or to special events and
their use
> of recycled call signs drawn from a limited pool.
>
> I have ported TQSL/TQSLCERT to freebsd. It is safe to say that the
code is
> not production quality, hinting at a poor QA process. It is also
safe to say
> that your assertions about mechanisms and algorithms are, shall we
say,
> excessively optimistic.
>
> I have no comment on the internal implementation of the web site,
having not
> seen it, but given the rest of the system, I doubt much thought has
been
> given to robustness and availability. The "upgrade" now in
progress makes
> it painfully clear that no thought was given to rolling update.
>
> What's wrong with the system? If you want a shorter answer, ask

what's
> right, since there seems to be precious little of that.
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@c...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] (unknown)
>
>
> On Wed, May 05, 2004 at 08:01:50PM -0700, Martin Fouts wrote:
> > LoTW would make a good case study in how not to develope software
>
> Why do you say that?
>
> You could say that TQSL/TQSLCERT are not ideal programs for end
users.
> I'd agree with that. I see them as demonstrations; the technology is
> intended to be incoporated into logging software over time.
>
> I don't see anything much wrong with the mechanisms/algorithms and
> the web site though.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

1157. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:27:30 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1145 Message: 1157

Patton, David NN1N wrote:

> RR. Anything that the DXCC desk has recorded for you can be brought
> into LoTW by "linking" your LoTW account with your DXCC record. LoTW
> will then be able to display the calls (and links from the calls to QSO
> data if known) for each band/mode/award etc.

Dave, I read somewhere this morning (can't find it now), that said DXCC records after 1993 can be linked. Don't think I've sent anything in since then. Will pre-1993 DXCC records eventually be able to be linked? (Not a complaint ... just a question!)

Ron

1158. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:40:30 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1145 Message: 1158

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

1159. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 16:47:56 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1159

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX
To: Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged
RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ
login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked
to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1160. New LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 17:56:45 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1160 Message: 1160

WOW now that is nice. The new additions really make it nice and very usable.

Rod WC7N

1161. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 18:10:39 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1145 Message: 1161

Hi Art

you will continue to log-on under N2WZ. Then select RX9TX as the main call, and merge UA9SIT with that one.

If you have a DXCC record at ARRL, then you will ask to link your RX9TX (or UA9SIT if the DXCC is for that call and no new ones since) DXCC record with the RX9TX LoTW account.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Art RX9TX [mailto:rx9tx@esoo.ru]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 12:41 PM
To: Gerry Hohn
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1162. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 18:05:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1162

That means they must have it automated. The note that you get saying it has to be approved would certainly lead one to think that it was a manual operation. It must not be.
In that case I say great and I was wrong.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:48 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX <mailto:rx9tx@...>
To: Gerry Hohn <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129meip46/M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083948478/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=456455532>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1163. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 18:18:00 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1163

Well I guess that you are lucky? Maybe my comments are being punished :-) It has been hours and my link is not there yet, still says has been requested but nothing has changed.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:48 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX <mailto:rx9tx@...>
To: Gerry Hohn <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129meip46/M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083948478/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6052515.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=456455532>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1164. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 18:52:25 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1164

Gil,

Maybe luck or good timing!

I think I was one of the first to log on when the system went live. I had tried to connect and got the "later" message and did a refresh a few seconds later and up it came.

Did the LINK as soon as I realized my totals where only LoTW.

Gerry
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 12:18 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Well I guess that you are lucky? Maybe my comments are being punished :-) It has been hours and my link is not there yet, still says has been requested but nothing has changed.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:48 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX
To: Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1165. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 19:26:59 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 1145 Message: 1165

Think they will when you "link" to your DXCC Award.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "'Ford Peterson'" <n0fp@...>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 8:38 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

>
> > I currently hold a DXCC with a couple of endorsements. Will
> > the countries and modes for which I already have credit be
> > indicated someplace on LOTW? No sense applying for credits
> > if they are confirmed the old fashioned way.
>
> Yes and maybe ...
>
> If you have a DXCC and endorsements issued since the DXCC was
> computerized you can "link" your DXCC account information to
> the LotW account and the "Awards" display will show a combined
> view ... current DXCC credits and LotW "confirmations" that
> would advance your DXCC status.
>
> If your DXCC and endorsements are "old" and your records are
> not computerized or the band information was not recorded
> when the records were computerized, you will have to resubmit
> all of the cards and pay fees for that submission de novo -
> e.g., if you had 300 countries each Mixed/Phone/CW plus
> 5BDXCC and 160 DXCC, it could cost as much as $160 (1200 QSOs)
> plus the cost of shipping/insuring the cards just to claim the
> credit you have already earned (and paid for).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

1166. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:27:39 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1145 Message: 1166

Thanks to Dave, NN1N for prompt attention to a password problem. I signed into LoTW and WOW!!!!!

What a great job!!!!

Jim

1167. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 141

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:43:30 UTC
From: Skip Kendel
Topic: 1167 Message: 1167

Well said. I've been a Life Member of ARRL for more than 30 years, but I've finally had my fill of its management. Services to the membership seem to be reduced just as quickly as membership fees are increased. If LoTW succeeds, it will be in spite of the leadership, not because of it. If I were not a Life Member, I wouldn't join now.

73,

Skip Kendel
WA5KQU
NNN0HPU/NNN0ASF-3

> Quite frankly the ARRL Field Organization (ARES and NTS) are
> outmoded and a joke - technology has passed them by ... the
> "professional staff" in Newington has run away from contesting
> and would probably terminate Sweepstakes and the DX Contest
> if they could get away with it (from the contest coverage in
> QST, one could almost assume they have) ... and participants
> in the DXCC program is being nickel and dimed to death. If I
> hadn't been licensed for more than 30 years and a Life Member
> for almost as long, I would not give ARRL a second look today.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

1168. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:44:19 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1168

I got this message. It makes no sense to me

We can find no existing DXCC record under your name or the call signs W0MN
or W0RYM. If you have questions, please contact dxcc@...

Since I have the award setting here in front of me Number 11546, Sep 28 1970
and since I have a paper record sent to me long ago I must have a record on
the computer system, right?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 1:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Gil,

Maybe luck or good timing!

I think I was one of the first to log on when the system went live.
I had tried to connect and got the "later" message and did a refresh a few
seconds later and up it came.

Did the LINK as soon as I realized my totals where only LoTW.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <mailto:rojomn@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 12:18 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Well I guess that you are lucky? Maybe my comments are being
punished :-) It has been hours and my link is not there yet, still says has
been requested but nothing has changed.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:48 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and
it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first
looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after
linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX <mailto:rx9tx@...>
To: Gerry Hohn
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to
ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look
to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed:
I've lately received merged
RX9TX account password, though all of my
records are shown under N2WZ
login, so where, under what login my old
DXCC records will be linked
to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another
opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT
click here
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12910omc5/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups
/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083956006/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companio
n.yahoo.com>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=
:HM/A=2128215/rand=919408369>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1169. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:36:07 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1169

Or perhaps they lost it? I went to check again and it is still missing. Even worse, it has no record that I ever requested it. About an hour ago it said link requested when I went to the Link account but now it says nothing at all so I submitted again. I still do not understand what they have to approve, either I have a DXCC record (I do) or I do not. In either case why can't the computer handle it? Don't hey have enough work to do already?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 1:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Gil,

Maybe luck or good timing!

I think I was one of the first to log on when the system went live. I had tried to connect and got the "later" message and did a refresh a few seconds later and up it came.

Did the LINK as soon as I realized my totals where only LoTW.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <mailto:rojomn@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 12:18 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Well I guess that you are lucky? Maybe my comments are being punished :-) It has been hours and my link is not there yet, still says has been requested but nothing has changed.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 11:48 AM

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Art,

I simply followed the process to LINK my account and it was there within minute. I could tell it was linked as when I first looked at my Mixed account status, it only showed LoTW QSLs and after linking, the it accurately shoed my total DXCC counts (bands/modes).

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX <mailto:rx9tx@...>
To: Gerry Hohn <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Hello Gerry,

Thursday, May 6, 2004 Gerry Hohn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

GH> Mine was linked within minutes.

How do you know that? Where I have to look to?

One more question, ARRL stuff addressed: I've lately received merged RX9TX account password, though all of my records are shown under N2WZ login, so where, under what login my old DXCC records will be linked to?

--
73...Art RX9TX 06-May-04 16:36 UTC

"When one door of happiness closes, another opens." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12910omc5/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083956006/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=919408369>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1170. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:54:28 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1145 Message: 1170

I wrote:

> Dave, I read somewhere this morning (can't find it now), that said DXCC
> records after 1993 can be linked. Don't think I've sent anything in
> since then. Will pre-1993 DXCC records eventually be able to be linked?

My DXCC records are now linked to LoTW (took a few hours, so pre-1993
records may not be instantaneous).

Sweet!!! Got 7 new ones on CW (some I've got cards for but never
applied to DXCC). I'm almost tempted to get the rest of my cards out
and get my records up to date!

Ron

1171. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 141

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 20:59:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1167 Message: 1171

----- Original Message -----
From: "Skip Kendel" <skendel@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 3:43 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 141

> Well said. I've been a Life Member of ARRL for more than 30 years, but I've
> finally had my fill of its management. Services to the membership seem to
> be reduced just as quickly as membership fees are increased. If LoTW
> succeeds, it will be in spite of the leadership, not because of it. If I
> were not a Life Member, I wouldn't join now.
>
> 73,
>
> Skip Kendel
> WA5KQU
> NNN0HPU/NNN0ASF-3
>
>

ROFL
I've been a Life Member longer and have Seniority on you.
I'm sorry your so disappointed.
I bet if you write them a short note...they will remove you from the membership list.
ROFLMAO

W9OL

1172. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 21:24:17 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1145 Message: 1172

Gil

In the early 90s, when ARRL computerized, DXCC staff entered data from paper records as new applications and endorsements were submitted.

I have located your paper record (I like that VK9BM confirmation for the old Papua territory!). You haven't made a DXCC submission in the last 34 years. There is a paper record of that submission, and it only has callsigns for the countries for which you were given credit for. With no recent (since 1992) submissions, your record wasn't placed into the computer, but we will enter what information is known for you, if you make a submission.

After entering this data, it will only be good for Mixed DXCC because the only information captured on the paper records is the callsign of the station worked.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:44 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

I got this message. It makes no sense to me

We can find no existing DXCC record under your name or the call signs W0MN
or W0RYM. If you have questions, please contact dxcc@arrl.org

Since I have the award setting here in front of me Number 11546, Sep 28 1970
and since I have a paper record sent to me long ago I must have a record on
the computer system, right?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

1173. what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 21:53:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1173 Message: 1173

Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.

Will some program display and print this directly?
or
What should I use to print it out for my records?

I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1174. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW is on-line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 21:54:38 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1142 Message: 1174

>
> First complaint took 1 hour and 15 minutes to show up. A lot better
> than I expected!
>

The UnaBashers are getting old, and their reaction times are creeping
upward.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1175. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 21:53:12 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1145 Message: 1175

David,

> Anything that the DXCC desk has recorded for you can
> be brought into LoTW by "linking" your LoTW account
> with your DXCC record. LoTW will then be able to
> display the calls (and links from the calls to QSO
> data if known) for each band/mode/award etc.

Why are my linked records (Mixed/Phone/CW) not showing
calls ... they only show the ADIF country code?

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1176. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 22:09:16 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1145 Message: 1176

N0FP asked:

> Will the countries and modes for which I already have
> credit be indicated someplace on LOTW? No
> sense applying for credits if they are confirmed
> the old fashioned way.

In a word, "Yes". After you have linked LoTW to your DXCC record, get on your LoTW page. Go to Account Status --> Application

There you will find a neat form which list only the LoTW credits which are "new" (new entity, new band, new mode) to your DXCC record. There you can select (via checkboxes) which you wish to credit to DXCC.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1177. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 22:15:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1173 Message: 1177

Acrobat reader is what you need and it is free. I thought everyone had that
by default these days.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:54 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] what will print that PDF file
>
> Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
> I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.
>
> Will some program display and print this directly?
> or
> What should I use to print it out for my records?
>
>
> --
> I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!
> --
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

1178. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 22:22:40 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1178

I had the same problem. The records on paper from 34 years ago do not have band/mode etc. I have to submit again to get that but I have to give them credit for the quick reply to my query and their offer to enter what they can form the paper record. It probably is enough as I doubt I will ever get to those Band/Mode etc awards.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ron Wetjen [mailto:wd4ahz@...]
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 3:54 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC
>
> I wrote:
>
> > Dave, I read somewhere this morning (can't find it now), that said
> > DXCC records after 1993 can be linked. Don't think I've
> sent anything
> > in since then. Will pre-1993 DXCC records eventually be
> able to be linked?
>
> My DXCC records are now linked to LoTW (took a few hours, so
> pre-1993 records may not be instantaneous).
>
> Sweet!!! Got 7 new ones on CW (some I've got cards for but
> never applied to DXCC). I'm almost tempted to get the rest
> of my cards out and get my records up to date!
>
> Ron
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

1179. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 22:20:06 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1179

Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything and what if anything would be the cost. It would not really be a new submission since you already have them but hmm, I don't know what to do now. I doubt I will go for anything but mixed since I don't enough time to get 5BDXCC or anything like that although a band might be possible?

What do you suggest, wait until I want another award (if I want other than mixed) and submit paper at that time and then it can combine with LotW ?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:24 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Gil

In the early 90s, when ARRL computerized, DXCC staff entered data from paper records as new applications and endorsements were submitted.

I have located your paper record (I like that VK9BM confirmation for the old Papua territory!). You haven't made a DXCC submission in the last 34 years. There is a paper record of that submission, and it only has callsigns for the countries for which you were given credit for. With no recent (since 1992) submissions, your record wasn't placed into the computer, but we will enter what information is known for you, if you make a submission.

After entering this data, it will only be good for Mixed DXCC because the only information captured on the paper records is the callsign of the station worked.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:44 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

I got this message. It makes no sense to me

We can find no existing DXCC record under your name or the call signs W0MN or W0RYM. If you have questions, please contact dxcc@...

Since I have the award setting here in front of me Number 11546, Sep 28 1970 and since I have a paper record sent to me long ago I must have a record on the computer system, right?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=1296iatac/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083965062/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=106952670>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1180. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 22:54:36 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1145 Message: 1180

> Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything
> and what if anything would be the cost.

Sure, you can send them but (as I've been complaining about) it
will cost you $10 for the first submission in a year and $0.15
per QSO credit for all QSOs over 120 EVEN IF YOUR ONLY UPDATING
RECORDS FOR AN AWARD YOU ALREADY HAVE. Thus if you have 300
mixed, it would cost you $10 + ((300 - 120) * $0.15) or $37 plus
shipping and insurance.

> It would not really be a new submission since you already have
> them but hmm,

Doesn't matter ... the tribute must be paid.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1181. can anyone buy LoTW credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:03:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1181 Message: 1181

I used to be able to during Beta trials.
But now when I try to buy the credits, fill in my name, credit card info and 'submit', I keep getting a 404 error, page not found.

I've cleared the cache, cleared auto complete, turned off my firewall.
Tried it on the other computer.

All with the same result.
Can't be THAT many people tying up the page....

What am I doing wrong here...

COMMAND: A suggestion made to a computer.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1182. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:10:41 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1145 Message: 1182

This constant whining and carping is getting tiresome and my delete finger is wearing out.

Under the old paper DXCC system, band and mode information was not archived. To get that information into the new computerized system, all the information must be re-entered. Someone has to pay the data entry clerk and someone has to pay for the computer system. You have an option, don't update or pay for the service rendered.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 18:54
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

> Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything
> and what if anything would be the cost.

Sure, you can send them but (as I've been complaining about) it
will cost you $10 for the first submission in a year and $0.15
per QSO credit for all QSOs over 120 EVEN IF YOUR ONLY UPDATING
RECORDS FOR AN AWARD YOU ALREADY HAVE. Thus if you have 300
mixed, it would cost you $10 + ((300 - 120) * $0.15) or $37 plus
shipping and insurance.

> It would not really be a new submission since you already have
> them but hmm,

Doesn't matter ... the tribute must be paid.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1183. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone buy LoTW credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:21:10 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1181 Message: 1183

I bought 100 credits this morning by FAX and applied for crediting my DXCC this afternoon. I saw a note about not being able to buy credits by Credit Card yet at the bottom of https://www.arrl.org/lotw/fees.

The credits appeared in my account within 1 hour (or less). and some where debited when I submitted my application (still pending).

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 5:03 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone buy LoTW credits

I used to be able to during Beta trials.
But now when I try to buy the credits, fill in my name, credit card info and 'submit', I keep getting a 404 error, page not found.

I've cleared the cache, cleared auto complete, turned off my firewall.
Tried it on the other computer.

All with the same result.
Can't be THAT many people tying up the page....

What am I doing wrong here...

COMMAND: A suggestion made to a computer.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1184. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:22:08 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1184

EXACTLY!

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 5:10 PM
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

This constant whining and carping is getting tiresome and my delete finger is wearing out.

Under the old paper DXCC system, band and mode information was not archived. To get that information into the new computerized system, all the information must be re-entered. Someone has to pay the data entry clerk and someone has to pay for the computer system. You have an option, don't update or pay for the service rendered.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 18:54
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

> Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything
> and what if anything would be the cost.

Sure, you can send them but (as I've been complaining about) it
will cost you $10 for the first submission in a year and $0.15
per QSO credit for all QSOs over 120 EVEN IF YOUR ONLY UPDATING
RECORDS FOR AN AWARD YOU ALREADY HAVE. Thus if you have 300
mixed, it would cost you $10 + ((300 - 120) * $0.15) or $37 plus
shipping and insurance.

> It would not really be a new submission since you already have
> them but hmm,

Doesn't matter ... the tribute must be paid.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1185. [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:36:29 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1145 Message: 1185

David,

Another problem ... why is my 160 M DXCC (#50, issued
30 April 1984) not reflected in the linked record?

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 5:53 PM
To: 'ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

David,

> Anything that the DXCC desk has recorded for you can
> be brought into LoTW by "linking" your LoTW account
> with your DXCC record. LoTW will then be able to
> display the calls (and links from the calls to QSO
> data if known) for each band/mode/award etc.

Why are my linked records (Mixed/Phone/CW) not showing
calls ... they only show the ADIF country code?

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1186. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone buy LoTW credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:37:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1181 Message: 1186

Thanks Gerry, I thought I was going nuts.
Ok well maybe I am but it worked during beta testing and I was told it was working.
Spent like 3 hours trying to figure out if I had some sort of problem. hihi

On 5/6/2004 6:21:10 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> I bought 100 credits this morning by FAX and applied for crediting my DXCC
> this afternoon. I saw a note about not being able to buy credits by Credit
> Card yet at the bottom of https://www.arrl.org/lotw/fees.
>
> The credits appeared in my account within 1 hour (or less). and some where
> debited when I submitted my application (still pending).
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: FireBrick [link: mailto:w9ol@...]
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 5:03 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone buy LoTW credits
>
>
> I used to be able to during Beta trials.
> But now when I try to buy the credits, fill in my name, credit card info
> and 'submit', I
> keep getting a 404 error, page not found.
>
> I've cleared the cache, cleared auto complete, turned off my firewall.
> Tried it on the other computer.
>
> All with the same result.
> Can't
> be THAT many people tying up the page....
>
> What am I doing wrong here...
>
>
>
> --
> COMMAND: A sugg

1187. Credit for DXCC credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-06 23:51:42 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1187 Message: 1187

David - NN1N,

Last time I made a DXCC paper application (last fall), I paid too much. So there is actually a credit balance on my account at the DXCC desk. Can this be applied to my LOTW account? Linking the calls and dxcc information didn't yield any sort of credit balance on my Account, so either it cannot be done or there is another procedure I must execute. Can I buy a clue?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1188. Re: what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 00:06:02 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1173 Message: 1188

PDF is an abbreviation for "Portable Document Format"; it was created by Adobe to provide a platform-independent way of representing rich content.

An free application called "Adobe Reader" will let you view and print PDF files; its available via http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html .

73,

Dave, AA6yq

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
> I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.
>
> Will some program display and print this directly?
> or
> What should I use to print it out for my records?
>
>
> ----------
> I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

1189. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 00:20:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1173 Message: 1189

I should have figured that.
I was stumped because normally that would come as the 'choice' to use the file and in this case nothing did....
Thanks.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 7:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: what will print that PDF file

> PDF is an abbreviation for "Portable Document Format"; it was created
> by Adobe to provide a platform-independent way of representing rich
> content.
>
> An free application called "Adobe Reader" will let you view and print
> PDF files; its available via
> http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html .
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6yq
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
> > I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.
> >
> > Will some program display and print this directly?
> > or
> > What should I use to print it out for my records?
> >
> >
> > ---
> > I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>

>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1190. Re: what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 00:32:25 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1173 Message: 1190

On installation, the Adobe Reader does register itself with Windows as the handler of PDF files. If that's not working, right-click on the PDF file in Windows Explorer, and choose Open With ...

In the Open With window, check the "Always use this program to open these files" box; then click the Other... button, and use the file selector to locate your Adobe Reader executable. From then on, opening a PDF file should properly invoke the Adobe Reader.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> I should have figured that.
> I was stumped because normally that would come as the 'choice' to use the file and in this
> case nothing did....
> Thanks.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 7:06 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: what will print that PDF file
>
>
> > PDF is an abbreviation for "Portable Document Format"; it was created
> > by Adobe to provide a platform-independent way of representing rich
> > content.
> >
> > An free application called "Adobe Reader" will let you view and print
> > PDF files; its available via
> > http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html .
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6yq
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > > Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
> > > I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.

> > >
> > > Will some program display and print this directly?
> > > or
> > > What should I use to print it out for my records?
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!
> > > ---
> > >
> > > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >

1191. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 00:46:31 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1187 Message: 1191

LOL

Sure Ford. The DXCC folks will make the final determinations of cost on these applications, so they will notice the balance (actually the DXCC software will notice it first). But, when in doubt, ship them an email reminder.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@arrl.net]
Sent: Thu 5/6/2004 7:51 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc:
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

David - NN1N,

Last time I made a DXCC paper application (last fall), I paid too much. So there is actually a credit balance on my account at the DXCC desk. Can this be applied to my LOTW account? Linking the calls and dxcc information didn't yield any sort of credit balance on my Account, so either it cannot be done or there is another procedure I must execute. Can I buy a clue?

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT
click here <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129j465mq/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083973920/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com>
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=959310620>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1192. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: what will print that PDF file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 00:49:34 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1173 Message: 1192

The problem here is really that although you request "Get application as printable PDF", it produces a file called application.php which is not automatically opened by Acrobat Reader. Acrobat will open it fine if you start Acrobat and open this document from the FILE/OPEN menu.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 6:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: what will print that PDF file

PDF is an abbreviation for "Portable Document Format"; it was created
by Adobe to provide a platform-independent way of representing rich
content.

An free application called "Adobe Reader" will let you view and print
PDF files; its available via
http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html .

73,

Dave, AA6yq

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Just for my records, I saved the Application as the PDF file.
> I'm pretty sure that means a 'Printer Definition File'.
>
> Will some program display and print this directly?
> or
> What should I use to print it out for my records?
>
>
> --
> I'm not crazy, but I may have lost my census!
> --
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1193. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 01:56:33 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1145 Message: 1193

Bravo Zulu

Rod WC7N
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:10 PM
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

This constant whining and carping is getting tiresome and my delete finger is wearing out.

Under the old paper DXCC system, band and mode information was not archived. To get that information into the new computerized system, all the information must be re-entered. Someone has to pay the data entry clerk and someone has to pay for the computer system. You have an option, don't update or pay for the service rendered.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 18:54
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

> Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything
> and what if anything would be the cost.

Sure, you can send them but (as I've been complaining about) it
will cost you $10 for the first submission in a year and $0.15
per QSO credit for all QSOs over 120 EVEN IF YOUR ONLY UPDATING
RECORDS FOR AN AWARD YOU ALREADY HAVE. Thus if you have 300
mixed, it would cost you $10 + ((300 - 120) * $0.15) or $37 plus
shipping and insurance.

> It would not really be a new submission since you already have
> them but hmm,

Doesn't matter ... the tribute must be paid.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1194. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 03:31:36 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1187 Message: 1194

Well, I found a bug. Not a big bug, and a simple work around.

I went to the screen that allows me to make application for an endorsement. I already have enough manual checked cards to get a 20M band endorsement, I've just never done the application. No better time than now.

So I'm looking at the matrix screen that allows me to claim credits. I click 20M and the page puts a check mark in all the 'uncredited' 20M QSOs--nifty! But I don't need any QSOs since I have enough credits already. So I remove all the check marks and go to the next page.

ERROR!!!!

I cannot go past the page that allows me to check off the QSOs I want credit for. So I check one of them and proceed to order my endorsement. My application has then 1 QSO I'm applying for credit, even though I don't need the credit.

Total fee? $20.25. $10 for the first application of the year, and $10 for the endorsement. The $0.25 is for the credit that allowed me past the matrix screen to get to the application screen.

Like I said, not a big bug...

Pretty nifty process! It took me, even with the work around, about 5 minutes to complete the application for a band endorsement. Nice job Dave...

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 7:46 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

LOL

Sure Ford. The DXCC folks will make the final determinations of cost on these applications, so they will notice the balance (actually the DXCC software will notice it first). But, when in doubt, ship them an email reminder.

73, Dave, NN1N
-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
Sent: Thu 5/6/2004 7:51 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc:
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

David - NN1N,

Last time I made a DXCC paper application (last fall), I paid too much. So there is actually a credit balance on my account at the DXCC desk. Can this be applied to my LOTW account? Linking the calls and dxcc information didn't yield any sort of credit balance on my Account, so either it cannot be done or there is another procedure I must execute. Can I buy a clue?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1195. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 03:48:28 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1145 Message: 1195

Jim Brannigan said:

> This constant whining and carping is getting tiresome
> and my delete finger is wearing out.
.
> You have an option, don't update or pay for
> the service rendered.

Finally someone who "gets it". Thanks, Jim!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1196. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 04:00:50 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1187 Message: 1196

Dave,

I just went to place an application for another endorsement, the CW endorsement. But apparently you can only have one application in process at a time. I have enough credits to get the CW endorsement too, but I've never made the application.

Frankly, when I applied for the DXCC, I gave them enough money to do the CW and 20M, which is why my account had a $20 credit. For whatever reason, they gave me the Mixed mode DXCC certificate but did not do the endorsements--I must have forgotten to check a box at the W0DXCC conference where I applied for the award. Because that was in a prior year, I now need to pay the $10 'first application of the year' fee of $10, so my account is short $10 but I just wanted the two endorsements. Again, there appears to be no way to contact anybody about the application--no link provided.

I was going to apply for both at the same time, but when I clicked on 20M and CW, the matrix got pretty complicated and since I didn't need ANY credits to make the application, I figured I would just do two separate apps. Apparently, that is beyond the scope of the software build.

What the heck. I've waited this long, I guess I can wait until they process the 20M and then apply for the CW. By then, maybe the rest of the world will 'discover' LOTW and cough up their logs so I can grab the rest of the bands too...

None of this is a big deal. And I'm certain my account is somewhat of a fluke of nature. And once my existing credits are burned, then it won't happen to me again.

Just an FYI on all the above...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 10:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

Well, I found a bug. Not a big bug, and a simple work around.

I went to the screen that allows me to make application for an endorsement. I already have enough manual checked cards to get a 20M band endorsement, I've just never done the application. No better time than now.

So I'm looking at the matrix screen that allows me to claim credits. I click 20M and the page puts a check mark in all the 'uncredited' 20M QSOs--nifty! But I don't need any QSOs since I have enough credits already. So I remove all the check marks and go to the next page.

ERROR!!!!

I cannot go past the page that allows me to check off the QSOs I want credit for. So I check one of them and proceed to order my endorsement. My application has then 1 QSO I'm applying for credit, even though I don't need the credit.

Total fee? $20.25. $10 for the first application of the year, and $10 for the endorsement. The $0.25 is for the credit that allowed me past the matrix screen to get to the application screen.

Like I said, not a big bug...

Pretty nifty process! It took me, even with the work around, about 5 minutes to complete the application for a band endorsement. Nice job Dave...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 7:46 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

LOL

Sure Ford. The DXCC folks will make the final determinations of cost on these applications, so they will notice the balance (actually the DXCC software will notice it first). But, when in doubt, ship them an email reminder.

73, Dave, NN1N
-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
Sent: Thu 5/6/2004 7:51 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc:
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit for DXCC credits

David - NN1N,

Last time I made a DXCC paper application (last fall), I paid too much. So there is actually a credit balance on my account at the DXCC desk. Can this be applied to my LOTW account? Linking the calls and dxcc information didn't yield any sort of credit balance on my Account, so either it cannot be done or there is another procedure I must execute. Can I buy a clue?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1197. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 04:14:43 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1145 Message: 1197

Hans wrote:

> Jim Brannigan said:
>
>
> > This constant whining and carping is getting tiresome
> > and my delete finger is wearing out.
> .
> > You have an option, don't update or pay for
> > the service rendered.
>
> Finally someone who "gets it". Thanks, Jim!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I agree! The whining is just that--a nuisance.

Somewhere in my dark and distant past, I was charged with the responsibility of figure out how much it cost the company (a bank) to make a corporate deposit or cut a company check. 15 years ago, the number was $62--today, it must be $80-$90. A fully loaded payroll will run, at a minimum, about $60 per hour. Getting 'knicked' $10 for anything that requires a person with a brain to handle is a HUGE bargain.

As an Accountant, I'm confronted all the time with whining about charges for this and charges for that. Reality bites... Any time a real person must handle a piece of paper, and handle it accurately, it is going to cost money. Just entering a name and address on a computer screen takes 2 minutes. Figure it out boys and girls. 2 minutes, times 225 a day, is AT LEAST $480 a day! And that is just the payroll for one person entering names and addresses. Nothing about computers, programmers, supervision, telephones, office space, benefits, etc. So processing your DXCC application, automated or otherwise, is $$$$$.

The fact that the ARRL does it for as little as it does is a testimony to the dedication and "just do it" attitude at the ARRL.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1198. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 04:17:10 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1145 Message: 1198

> Under the old paper DXCC system, band and mode information was
> not archived. To get that information into the new computerized
> system, all the information must be re-entered. Someone has to
> pay the data entry clerk and someone has to pay for the computer
> system.

Doesn't have to be that way ... the infrastructure is already in
place for the user to enter the missing data, and print a data
sheet. Since the cards have already been "checked" by HQ, it
should only be necessary to make photocopies of the cards to send
with the sheet, or take the cards to a field checker, an officer
of a SSC or officer of a DX club to verify the existence of (not
check) the cards.

If on receiving the list and certification, the DXCC desk had a
question about a card they could request that card for audit.

> You have an option, don't update or pay for the service rendered.

The cost should be minimal as verifying already earned credit is
minimal service rendered ... actually it is part of the cost of
upgrading systems (data conversion). I've never known any other
business (that wanted to stay in business) to charge its customers
a data conversion fee when upgrading systems or to go back and
charge customers new price to continue using a product purchased
20 years earlier.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; 'rojomn'
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 18:54
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

> Can I send my cards to you so that you could enter everything
> and what if anything would be the cost.

Sure, you can send them but (as I've been complaining about) it
will cost you $10 for the first submission in a year and $0.15
per QSO credit for all QSOs over 120 EVEN IF YOUR ONLY UPDATING
RECORDS FOR AN AWARD YOU ALREADY HAVE. Thus if you have 300
mixed, it would cost you $10 + ((300 - 120) * $0.15) or $37 plus
shipping and insurance.

> It would not really be a new submission since you already have
> them but hmm,

Doesn't matter ... the tribute must be paid.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1199. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 15:36:07 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1145 Message: 1199

I am not quite clear but this is my understanding. I must send the cards again and make a formal submission. I know it is the only way you can get the dates and bands. I think I have enough to make a 20 Meter mixed endorsement.

The question though is will ALL of my data form ALL of my cards be entered so that in the future I can use them (EVEN THOUGH IT IS NOT NEEDED TO HAVE ALL FOR THE FACT I HAVE A DXCC ALREADY) or will you enter only what is needed to complete the submission? I hope you will be telling me that every bit of data from every card that I send will be entered to the full extent of what the data base can contain.

Is that true? Is so I am ready to submit.

Thanks for your reply.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Patton, David NN1N [mailto:nt1n@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:24 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

Gil

In the early 90s, when ARRL computerized, DXCC staff entered data from paper records as new applications and endorsements were submitted.

I have located your paper record (I like that VK9BM confirmation for the old Papua territory!). You haven't made a DXCC submission in the last 34 years. There is a paper record of that submission, and it only has callsigns for the countries for which you were given credit for. With no recent (since 1992) submissions, your record wasn't placed into the computer, but we will enter what information is known for you, if you make a submission.

After entering this data, it will only be good for Mixed DXCC because the only information captured on the paper records is the callsign of the station worked.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2004 4:44 PM

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC

I got this message. It makes no sense to me

We can find no existing DXCC record under your name or the call signs W0MN
or W0RYM. If you have questions, please contact dxcc@...

Since I have the award setting here in front of me Number 11546, Sep 28 1970
and since I have a paper record sent to me long ago I must have a record on
the computer system, right?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=1296iatac/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups
/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1083965062/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companio
n.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=
:HM/A=2128215/rand=106952670>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1200. Features request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 16:22:15 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1200 Message: 1200

Hello Arrl-lotw,

After the time spent on the LoW site, some suggestions came to my mind.

1. For the log synchronization purposes it would be useful if we could download the file containing all DXCC credited contacts. May be these contacts could be just added to the lotwreport.adi file? I do not know if ADIF file has an appropriate field for this kind of information, AA6YQ could clarify that. I know paper credits have no time data in your records, anyway I think software developers can handle this.

2. Concerning LoTW submissions, "20 USD for 1st application and 30 USD for all next applications during a calendar year fee" looks senseless. This rule avoids me and others of submitting the LoTW confirmations more than 1 time in a year, while you lose my money :)). We'll just will be have to wait until next year. Since LoTW submissions are free of human efforts maybe you could allow us, ARRL members and non-members, submit the LoTW confirmations all the year based on the only application fee during a year?

--
73...Art RX9TX 07-May-04 16:21 UTC

"He who has nothing to die for has nothing to live for." (Moroccan Proverb)

1201. CONGRATS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 21:26:04 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 1201 Message: 1201

CONGRATS to all at ARRL for a fine product in the Awards module of Logbook.

The selection program has already produced a 'new' one from 3 years ago which I had overlooked.

KI6T

1202. Syncronizing logging applications with DXCC award status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 21:43:34 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1202 Message: 1202

Is there a means by which a logging application can determine which QSLs are included in a user's DXCC award status? If so, where is this capability documented? If not, is such a capability planned?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1203. LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 21:47:20 UTC
From: m5aex (Andrew)
Topic: 1201 Message: 1203

Linked my existing DXCC award and LOTW overnight. Not sure how long it took but the message and the linking were ready for me at breakfast time in the morning. Totally unproblematic - thankyou.

I'm impressed by the coverage of the awards module - it makes it very explicit what awards one is eligible for. Very useful.

Now I've seen how useful the link is between LoTW and DXCC I'm looking forward now to non-ARRL awards being linked into LoTW.

Thanks for a great facility.

Andrew M5AEX (England)

1204. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 22:48:46 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1204

One thing that seems to be missing is a list of which cards in your matrix of credits came from LotW and which from paper? You can determine it but a special flag would be very nice.

Another thing is needed and that is a query to return a list of VERIFIED BY ARRL cards.

NOT whining here, just thinking about some enhancements that would be nice.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: m5aex (Andrew) [mailto:m5aex@...]
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2004 4:47 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Linked my existing DXCC award and LOTW overnight. Not sure how long it took but the message and the linking were ready for me at breakfast time in the morning. Totally unproblematic - thankyou.

I'm impressed by the coverage of the awards module - it makes it very explicit what awards one is eligible for. Very useful.

Now I've seen how useful the link is between LoTW and DXCC I'm looking forward now to non-ARRL awards being linked into LoTW.

Thanks for a great facility.

Andrew M5AEX (England)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=12965u70m/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1084052845/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=941675952>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1205. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-07 23:55:11 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1205

John,

As far as I know, there are NO LoTW QSLs credited yet so there may well be this indication in ones DXCC record (I would also hope this is so).

I was told that it will take 2-3 weeks for my LoTW application (#63) to be processed by the DXCC desk. Apparently it goes into the regular queue for validation before the QSL are officially good. This makes some sense as I doubt that log placed on LoTW are validated as to the various DXCC criteria such as License/Landing Permit/etc.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2004 4:48 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

One thing that seems to be missing is a list of which cards in your matrix of credits came from LotW and which from paper? You can determine it but a special flag would be very nice.

Another thing is needed and that is a query to return a list of VERIFIED BY ARRL cards.

NOT whining here, just thinking about some enhancements that would be nice.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: m5aex (Andrew) [mailto:m5aex@stargate.clara.co.uk]
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2004 4:47 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Linked my existing DXCC award and LOTW overnight. Not sure how long it took but the message and the linking were ready for me at breakfast time in the morning. Totally unproblematic - thankyou.

I'm impressed by the coverage of the awards module - it makes it very explicit what awards one is eligible for. Very useful.

Now I've seen how useful the link is between LoTW and DXCC I'm looking forward now to non-ARRL awards being linked into LoTW.

Thanks for a great facility.

Andrew M5AEX (England)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1206. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-08 01:09:42 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 1201 Message: 1206

On May 7, 2004, at 6:48 PM, rojomn wrote:

> One thing that seems to be missing is a list of which cards in your
> matrix of credits came from LotW and which from paper? You can
> determine it but a special flag would be very nice.

Once the credit is awarded, what does it matter? The credit is worth
the same either way.
>
> Another thing is needed and that is a query to return a list of
> VERIFIED BY ARRL cards.

The "awarded" column in the chart gives you the total, click on a band
or mode, in the next window select "All Credits" to get a list of the
callsigns. I'm not sure what more you need.

>
> NOT whining here, just thinking about some enhancements that would be
> nice.
>

Except for separating paper credits from LoTW credits, I think your
"enhancements" are already there.

>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

J o h n B a s t i n K 8 A J S
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs

1207. Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 05:49:53 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 1201 Message: 1207

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@t...> wrote:
> I was told that it will take 2-3 weeks for my LoTW application (#63) to be processed by the DXCC desk. Apparently it goes into the regular queue for validation before the QSL are officially good. This makes some sense as I doubt that log placed on LoTW are validated as to the various DXCC criteria such as License/Landing Permit/etc.
>

I thought the validation was done prior to the issue of the digital certificate required for uploading? If not, then what is the point of all of the front end security in LoTW?

My question is - are LoTW and the DXCC system linked in a "duplex mode", i.e. data from one system can flow to the other both ways automatically? If not, i.e. the DXCC system will not automatically add the appropriate band/mode entity credit from the LoTW system when a LoTW credit is used, then I can see the reason for the delay in your DXCC record being updated as the data still has to be hand entered by the DXCC staff.

However, if the DXCC system _WILL_ accept direct input from LoTW automatically, then there shouldn't be any delay in the updating of your DXCC record. If that is the case, I would even take it farther by saying that the use of LoTW credits should not be counted against your normal submission(s) to DXCC. Since there is no labor overhead (if the update is being made automatically by the system), the fee incurred by using the LoTW credit should suffice IMHO. I would think that by doing this, LoTW usage would increase. Right now as it stands, it is cheaper to send in existing cards than to use LoTW. For example, I have a card from 6Y with Q's on it for 80/40/20/15. I also have a LoTW confirmation for 6Y on 20. Providing I do not exceed 120 QSO's on my submission, it is cheaper for me to send in the card than it is to use the LoTW credit. Of course, this is all predicated on the interface between the systems.

I would hope that the ARRL would configure both systems to talk to each other automatically and they would modify the protocols used to apply LoTW confirmations to your DXCC record - this I feel, IMHO, would increase the usage of LoTW and increase participation in DXCC.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

1208. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 05:59:39 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1201 Message: 1208

Hello Dennis,

Sunday, May 9, 2004 Dennis wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

>> I was told that it will take 2-3 weeks for my LoTW application
D> (#63) to be processed by the DXCC desk. Apparently it goes into the
D> regular queue for validation before the QSL are officially good. This
D> makes some sense as I doubt that log placed on LoTW are validated as
D> to the various DXCC criteria such as License/Landing Permit/etc.
>>

D> I thought the validation was done prior to the issue of the digital
D> certificate required for uploading? If not, then what is the point
D> of all of the front end security in LoTW?

If LoTW confirmations still need validation, this makes all the LoTW
statistics senseless. I was absolutely sure that if I see a QSO
confirmed by the LoTW , the other QSO side is good for DXCC as well.

--
73...Art RX9TX 09 May 2004 05:55 UTC

"Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it." [George Santayana]

1209. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 10:04:32 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1201 Message: 1209

At 01:59 AM 5/9/2004, Art RX9TX wrote:
>If LoTW confirmations still need validation, this makes all the LoTW
>statistics senseless. I was absolutely sure that if I see a QSO
>confirmed by the LoTW , the other QSO side is good for DXCC as well.

Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not been scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights, it would make sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed operations from the database, rather than rescrubbing them each time a set of credits is applied to DXCC. Perhaps Dave can clear this up.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1210. Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 10:39:59 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 1201 Message: 1210

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Pete Smith <n4zr@c...> wrote:
> At 01:59 AM 5/9/2004, Art RX9TX wrote:
> >If LoTW confirmations still need validation, this makes all the
LoTW
> >statistics senseless. I was absolutely sure that if I see a
QSO
> >confirmed by the LoTW , the other QSO side is good for DXCC as
well.
>
> Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not
been
> scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights, it would
make
> sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed operations from
the
> database, rather than rescrubbing them each time a set of credits
is
> applied to DXCC. Perhaps Dave can clear this up.

Agreed, I would think that the vetting would take place WHEN the certificate is issued. The ARRL states..

"To minimize the chance of fraudulent submissions to LoTW, all QSO records must be digitally signed using a digital certificate obtained from ARRL. Obtaining such a certificate requires verification of the licensee's identity either through mail verification (US) or inspection by ARRL of required documentation (non-US). "

What I haven't been able to find are the security protocols for issuing additional certificates (if any), but maybe I am not looking in the right place. For example, Art goes to Mongolia for holiday and operates there as JT/RX9TX. When Art returns home he will need to have an additional certificate to upload his JT/RX9TX Q's. Does Art have to go through a vetting proceedure BEFORE the certificate for JT/RX9TX is issued, i.e. proof of operation - or is the issuance automatic because he signed the certificate request with his exisiting certificate for RX9TX? While it may be acceptable for portable/mobile operations within ones own DXCC entity to be automatically given additional cert(s), I would think that a request for an additional certificate for a seperate DXCC entity would require proof of operation. I would think that it should be the responsability of the LoTW section to make sure that the Q's entered into the system are acceptable to the DXCC Desk.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

1211. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 13:20:45 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1201 Message: 1211

N4ZR, Pete writes:

> Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not
> been scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights,
> it would make sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed
> operations from the database, rather than rescrubbing them each
> time a set of credits is applied to DXCC.

I don't known that any disallowed logs should be removed from the database. There are those who are "waiting documentation." If they are removed they logs would need to be uploaded again if/when documentation is received ... potentially many years later. It is also possible that a given record would not be valid for DXCC but might be acceptable for other non-ARRL awards (e.g., a maritime mobile might be acceptable for an award based on ITU zones or grid squares).

Better solutions are: (1) adding a "not valid for DXCC" flag to the record which could be removed if/when documentation is received, or (2) not issuing a certificate until the documentation has been approved.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1212. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 13:29:56 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1212

As a Canadian ham, I needed to submit a paper copy of my license and personal ID to get my root certificate.

Once I had that root certificate, I applied and received certificates for CK6LB, XM6LB, VX6LB, VA6XDX (my contest call) and XL6XDX without any further documentation.

I also operated as BY1PK/VE6LB and it appears, based on the certificate issue date and email dates, that I had a certificate for that call issued before I received confirmation that my submitted documentation was OK ad DXCC.

I'm sure Dave, Bill or Wayne can and will clarify this process for us.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dennis
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 4:39 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Pete Smith <n4zr@c...> wrote:
> At 01:59 AM 5/9/2004, Art RX9TX wrote:
> >If LoTW confirmations still need validation, this makes all the
LoTW
> >statistics senseless. I was absolutely sure that if I see a
QSO
> >confirmed by the LoTW , the other QSO side is good for DXCC as
well.
>
> Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not
been
> scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights, it would
make
> sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed operations from
the
> database, rather than rescrubbing them each time a set of credits
is
> applied to DXCC. Perhaps Dave can clear this up.

Agreed, I would think that the vetting would take place WHEN the certificate is issued. The ARRL states..

"To minimize the chance of fraudulent submissions to LoTW, all QSO records must be digitally signed using a digital certificate obtained from ARRL. Obtaining such a certificate requires verification of the licensee's identity either through mail verification (US) or inspection by ARRL of required documentation (non-US). "

What I haven't been able to find are the security protocols for issuing additional certificates (if any), but maybe I am not looking in the right place. For example, Art goes to Mongolia for holiday and operates there as JT/RX9TX. When Art returns home he will need to have an additional certificate to upload his JT/RX9TX Q's. Does Art have to go through a vetting proceedure BEFORE the certificate for JT/RX9TX is issued, i.e. proof of operation - or is the issuance automatic because he signed the certificate request with his exisiting certificate for RX9TX? While it may be acceptable for portable/mobile operations within ones own DXCC entity to be automatically given additional cert(s), I would think that a request for an additional certificate for a seperate DXCC entity would require proof of operation. I would think that it should be the responsability of the LoTW section to make sure that the Q's entered into the system are acceptable to the DXCC Desk.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1213. Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 13:47:40 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 1201 Message: 1213

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@t...> wrote:
>
> As a Canadian ham, I needed to submit a paper copy of my license
>and personal ID to get my root certificate.
>
> Once I had that root certificate, I applied and received
>certificates for CK6LB, XM6LB, VX6LB, VA6XDX (my contest call) and
>XL6XDX without any further documentation.
>
> I also operated as BY1PK/VE6LB and it appears, based on the
>certificate issue date and email dates, that I had a certificate
>for that call issued before I received confirmation that my
>submitted documentation was OK ad DXCC.

If that is the case, then I can understand why they are manually checking the LoTW confirmations at the same time they are doing the rest of the submission - interesting. I would have thought that they would have vetted the validity of the additional certificate(s) before issuing it/them. If that is the reasoning behind that, I can live with it.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

1214. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 14:10:11 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1201 Message: 1214

> If that is the case, then I can understand why they are manually
> checking the LoTW confirmations at the same time they are doing the
> rest of the submission - interesting. I would have thought that
> they would have vetted the validity of the additional certificate(s)
> before issuing it/them.

As pointed out several times, DXCC and LotW are separate operations.
LotW certificates are issued by a different group/office/program
than the DXCC desk.

OTOH, it would make sense for the LotW database to identify those
calls/operations not acceptable for DXCC. I would hate to "waste"
a LotW credit on a QSO that the DXCC desk would not accept. Does
anyone know if a policy has been developed for those cases yet?

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1215. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 14:15:49 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1215

Dennis,

I can live with this as well.

The reality of paper QSLs is that ever card needs to be checked to Call, Country, Band, Mode and Date by either a field checker of the DXCC desk, even if it's WB0WAO. To do this for the on input for 42,000,000 QSO records doesn't make much sense since the vast majority of these QSOs will never be used to apply for DXCC credit.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dennis
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 7:47 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@t...> wrote:
>
> As a Canadian ham, I needed to submit a paper copy of my license
>and personal ID to get my root certificate.
>
> Once I had that root certificate, I applied and received
>certificates for CK6LB, XM6LB, VX6LB, VA6XDX (my contest call) and
>XL6XDX without any further documentation.
>
> I also operated as BY1PK/VE6LB and it appears, based on the
>certificate issue date and email dates, that I had a certificate
>for that call issued before I received confirmation that my
>submitted documentation was OK ad DXCC.

If that is the case, then I can understand why they are manually checking the LoTW confirmations at the same time they are doing the rest of the submission - interesting. I would have thought that they would have vetted the validity of the additional certificate(s) before issuing it/them. If that is the reasoning behind that, I can live with it.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1216. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 14:46:44 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1201 Message: 1216

GM all

these are good questions.

LoTW does the authentication of users as best it can. It follows the documentation rules here: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/docreq

If someone operates portable from a DXCC country that requires additional documentation, we ask for it at the certificate issuance stage because the certificate is tied to DXCC country.

As Joe pointed out though, as a general rule, the data entered into LoTW is allowed to go in as long as we know who is placing it into the system. LoTW is a stand-alone system. The DXCC system still has its own filters installed that look for disallowed/illegal operations, or any other sort of issue that would stop DXCC credit from being granted.

But, just because DXCC disallows some QSOs from counting, doesn't mean that those QSOs aren't good for other awards, such as someone operating MM from a rare grid square. So, we want to make sure that all the data possible gets into LoTW, and then the various awards programs will do their thing.

As for the timing of processing DXCC applications, right now LoTW outputs a file that can be printed and machine-read with a scanner, but the DXCC software is old so the automation 'into' DXCC is not going to be ready for a while. So, there is still human intervention required to get records into DXCC--hence the reason for putting LoTW applications into the queue at DXCC.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@subich.com]
Sent: Sun 5/9/2004 9:20 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc:
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

N4ZR, Pete writes:

> Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not
> been scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights,
> it would make sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed
> operations from the database, rather than rescrubbing them each
> time a set of credits is applied to DXCC.

I don't known that any disallowed logs should be removed from the
database. There are those who are "waiting documentation." If
they are removed they logs would need to be uploaded again if/when
documentation is received ... potentially many years later. It is

also possible that a given record would not be valid for DXCC but might be acceptable for other non-ARRL awards (e.g., a maritime mobile might be acceptable for an award based on ITU zones or grid squares).

Better solutions are: (1) adding a "not valid for DXCC" flag to the record which could be removed if/when documentation is received, or (2) not issuing a certificate until the documentation has been approved.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1217. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 16:45:44 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1217

Dave,

Thanks for further clarification (appears you're always there as well).

My guess would be that I could add Qs to my BY1PK/VE6LB LoTW file at this point without submitting further documentation that I had returned to China. My guess would also be that the DXCC desk would be the ones who would expect or ask for proof of my new operation from China before accrediting and of these new Qs for DXCC.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 8:46 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

GM all

these are good questions.

LoTW does the authentication of users as best it can. It follows the documentation rules here: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/docreq

If someone operates portable from a DXCC country that requires additional documentation, we ask for it at the certificate issuance stage because the certificate is tied to DXCC country.

As Joe pointed out though, as a general rule, the data entered into LoTW is allowed to go in as long as we know who is placing it into the system. LoTW is a stand-alone system. The DXCC system still has its own filters installed that look for disallowed/illegal operations, or any other sort of issue that would stop DXCC credit from being granted.

But, just because DXCC disallows some QSOs from counting, doesn't mean that those QSOs aren't good for other awards, such as someone operating MM from a rare grid square. So, we want to make sure that all the data possible gets into LoTW, and then the various awards programs will do their thing.

As for the timing of processing DXCC applications, right now LoTW outputs a file that can be printed and machine-read with a scanner, but the DXCC software is old so the automation 'into' DXCC is not going to be ready for a while. So, there is still human intervention required to get records into DXCC--hence the reason for putting LoTW applications into the queue at DXCC.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
Sent: Sun 5/9/2004 9:20 AM

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc:
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

N4ZR, Pete writes:

> Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database have not
> been scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing rights,
> it would make sense to scrub them once and delete any disallowed
> operations from the database, rather than rescrubbing them each
> time a set of credits is applied to DXCC.

I don't known that any disallowed logs should be removed from the database. There are those who are "waiting documentation." If they are removed they logs would need to be uploaded again if/when documentation is received ... potentially many years later. It is also possible that a given record would not be valid for DXCC but might be acceptable for other non-ARRL awards (e.g., a maritime mobile might be acceptable for an award based on ITU zones or grid squares).

Better solutions are: (1) adding a "not valid for DXCC" flag to the record which could be removed if/when documentation is received, or (2) not issuing a certificate until the documentation has been approved.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1218. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 17:12:34 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1201 Message: 1218

A logical and probably correct explanation. It might be nice if a valid flag was there that could be set whenever possible. I bet the majority of useful ones would be set. Who cares about K , anyone can get that at anytime but 3B9C is another matter. It would help them a LOT if that one is in LotW and marked valid as it could save a LOT of time with the proper software of course.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

_____

From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 9:16 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Dennis,

I can live with this as well.

The reality of paper QSLs is that ever card needs to be checked to Call, Country, Band, Mode and Date by either a field checker of the DXCC desk, even if it's WB0WAO. To do this for the on input for 42,000,000 QSO records doesn't make much sense since the vast majority of these QSOs will never be used to apply for DXCC credit.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dennis <mailto:wb0wao@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 7:47 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@t...> wrote:
>
> As a Canadian ham, I needed to submit a paper copy of my license
>and personal ID to get my root certificate.
>
> Once I had that root certificate, I applied and received
>certificates for CK6LB, XM6LB, VX6LB, VA6XDX (my contest call) and

>XL6XDX without any further documentation.
>
> I also operated as BY1PK/VE6LB and it appears, based on the
>certificate issue date and email dates, that I had a certificate
>for that call issued before I received confirmation that my
>submitted documentation was OK ad DXCC.

If that is the case, then I can understand why they are manually checking the LoTW confirmations at the same time they are doing the rest of the submission - interesting. I would have thought that they would have vetted the validity of the additional certificate(s) before issuing it/them. If that is the reasoning behind that, I can live with it.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129idvkq7/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1084198557/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=851821921>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1219. Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-09 19:16:30 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1201 Message: 1219

If that's the case, then I suggest that a "DXCC Approved" field be maintained for each LotW QSL. This field should be set on record creation to "Yes" for operations from the vast majority of entities that are not presently subject to the DXCC system's "potential illegal operation" filters (VK, G, K, PY, JA, etc), but would otherwise be set to "No".

When the DXCC desk approves an operation, LotW would then set this field to "Yes" for all LotW QSLs with that operation's callsign(s). While the communciation from DXCC to LotW might be manual, the actually implementation would in LotW would of course be automated.

Assuming this field were made accessible via the LotW ADIF output facility, then logging applications could appropriately synchronize with DXCC credit; changes to a QSL's "DXCC Approved" field would of course result in an update to its "last change" date. This scheme retains LotW's ability to support award programs other than DXCC.

By enabling DXers to more automatically -- and accurately -- track their verified DXCC statistics using their own logging applications, the administrative burden on DXCC desk personnel would be lightened. DXers would like it too...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@a...> wrote:
> GM all
>
> these are good questions.
>
> LoTW does the authentication of users as best it can. It follows the documentation rules here: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/docreq
>
> If someone operates portable from a DXCC country that requires additional documentation, we ask for it at the certificate issuance stage because the certificate is tied to DXCC country.
>
> As Joe pointed out though, as a general rule, the data entered into LoTW is allowed to go in as long as we know who is placing it into the system. LoTW is a stand-alone system. The DXCC system still has its own filters installed that look for disallowed/illegal operations, or any other sort of issue that would stop DXCC credit from being granted.

>
> But, just because DXCC disallows some QSOs from counting, doesn't
mean that those QSOs aren't good for other awards, such as someone
operating MM from a rare grid square. So, we want to make sure that
all the data possible gets into LoTW, and then the various awards
programs will do their thing.
>
> As for the timing of processing DXCC applications, right now LoTW
outputs a file that can be printed and machine-read with a scanner,
but the DXCC software is old so the automation 'into' DXCC is not
going to be ready for a while. So, there is still human intervention
required to get records into DXCC--hence the reason for putting LoTW
applications into the queue at DXCC.
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@s...]
> Sent: Sun 5/9/2004 9:20 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc:
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW
>
>
>
> N4ZR, Pete writes:
>
> > Surely, if the DX station logs now in the LotW database
have not
> > been scrubbed for issues like proper licensing/landing
rights,
> > it would make sense to scrub them once and delete any
disallowed
> > operations from the database, rather than rescrubbing them
each
> > time a set of credits is applied to DXCC.
>
> I don't known that any disallowed logs should be removed from
the
> database. There are those who are "waiting documentation."
If
> they are removed they logs would need to be uploaded again
if/when
> documentation is received ... potentially many years later.
It is
> also possible that a given record would not be valid for DXCC

but
> might be acceptable for other non-ARRL awards (e.g., a
maritime
> mobile might be acceptable for an award based on ITU zones or
grid
> squares).
>
> Better solutions are: (1) adding a "not valid for DXCC" flag
to the
> record which could be removed if/when documentation is
received, or
> (2) not issuing a certificate until the documentation has
been
> approved.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> -----
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-
LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1220. LoTW with Paper Applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 18:48:10 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1220 Message: 1220

Folks,

From an exchange I had with the DXCC desk today, I discovered some information that you migh want to be aware of. Although one gets the impression from the LoTW Applying for DXCC Credits with Logbook of the World information that as long as you identify that you're "planning" to submit a paper submission, one should go ahead with a LoTW submission, get those credited and send the paper sometime later in the year, that appear to not be the case.

I've done just that and now know that the DXCC desk will hold my LoTW application until they get my paper application. This is also true if you check the box on the paper application to indicate that you are also submitting a LoTW application.

The point of this note is to advise that since the paper and LoTW applications will be co-ordinated by the DXCC desk, there is little benifit in submitting one without the other.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1221. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW with Paper Applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 19:12:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1220 Message: 1221

My comment at the end: w9ol

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 1:48 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW with Paper Applications

Folks,

From an exchange I had with the DXCC desk today, I discovered some information that you migh want to be aware of. Although one gets the impression from the LoTW Applying for DXCC Credits with Logbook of the World information that as long as you identify that you're "planning" to submit a paper submission, one should go ahead with a LoTW submission, get those credited and send the paper sometime later in the year, that appear to not be the case.

I've done just that and now know that the DXCC desk will hold my LoTW application until they get my paper application. This is also true if you check the box on the paper application to indicate that you are also submitting a LoTW application.

The point of this note is to advise that since the paper and LoTW applications will be co-ordinated by the DXCC desk, there is little benifit in submitting one without the other.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

I <THINK> I understand it this way.
If this is the members FIRST submission of the year, and eligible for the free first submission, you can 'link' the hardcard and LoTW submission together by so indicating on the paper form and the section on the LoTW Application.
They will be held till both arrive and count as one submission.
But.
After that, additional submissions are subject to the $10.00 charge.
If you link them by marking the paper form or the LoTW application do they count as one submission or two?
I read it as one.

I'm confused, and I'm usually too ignorant to be confused so easily.

Bill W9OL

1222. Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 20:27:43 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1222 Message: 1222

Sometime ago there was a thread on backing up your certificate (s). I followed the procedure outlined in the e-mail.

Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes reference to a .p12 file extension for the certificate file. Just for grins I checked my backup copy and was unable to determine what the file extension is. The 2 files I backed up appear to have no extension.

Is this correct?

Julio, W4HY

1223. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 23:01:56 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1222 Message: 1223

Julio,

> Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes
> reference to a .p12 file extension for the certificate
> file. Just for grins I checked my backup copy and was
> unable to determine what the file extension is. The 2 files
> I backed up appear to have no extension.

By default Windows hides the extensions (e.g., .p12) of any
file type that is registered. tQSL registers the extension
.p12.

To see if it is correct, try right clicking on the file and
select "properties." I think that will display the full
file name and extension.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1224. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 23:27:44 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1222 Message: 1224

Yes a stupid design flaw of Windows. Why they use an extension and not make it display by default is something I do not understand. That is the first thing I do is go to My Computer/Tools/Folder Options/View and set what I want and apply to all files. Sheesh what a pain. At least it holds this .

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 6:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files
>
>
> Julio,
>
> > Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes
> reference to a
> > .p12 file extension for the certificate file. Just for
> grins I checked
> > my backup copy and was unable to determine what the file
> extension is.
> > The 2 files I backed up appear to have no extension.
>
> By default Windows hides the extensions (e.g., .p12) of any
> file type that is registered. tQSL registers the extension .p12.
>
> To see if it is correct, try right clicking on the file and
> select "properties." I think that will display the full file
> name and extension.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>

1225. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 23:59:28 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 1222 Message: 1225

It's a "feature" borrowed from the original Mac/OS design -- where it works reasonably well most of the time. Of course, the Mac/OS design relied on something called a resource fork, not present in windoze...

-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 4:28 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yes a stupid design flaw of Windows. Why they use an extension and not make it display by default is something I do not understand. That is the first thing I do is go to My Computer/Tools/Folder Options/View and set what I want and apply to all files. Sheesh what a pain. At least it holds this .

1226. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-11 01:08:36 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1222 Message: 1226

I tried that Joe and no extension is shown. I clicked on the icon and a certificate import wizard pops up so I guess it's OK.

Julio, W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 7:01 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

>
> Julio,
>
> > Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes
> > reference to a .p12 file extension for the certificate
> > file. Just for grins I checked my backup copy and was
> > unable to determine what the file extension is. The 2 files
> > I backed up appear to have no extension.
>
> By default Windows hides the extensions (e.g., .p12) of any
> file type that is registered. tQSL registers the extension
> .p12.
>
> To see if it is correct, try right clicking on the file and
> select "properties." I think that will display the full
> file name and extension.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1227. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-11 01:12:49 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1222 Message: 1227

Yes that worked thanks.

Julio, W4HY

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 7:27 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

> Yes a stupid design flaw of Windows. Why they use an extension and not
make
> it display by default is something I do not understand. That is the first
> thing I do is go to My Computer/Tools/Folder Options/View and set what I
> want and apply to all files. Sheesh what a pain. At least it holds this .
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> > Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 6:02 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files
> >
> >
> > Julio,
> >
> > > Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes
> > reference to a
> > > .p12 file extension for the certificate file. Just for
> > grins I checked
> > > my backup copy and was unable to determine what the file
> > extension is.
> > > The 2 files I backed up appear to have no extension.
> >
> > By default Windows hides the extensions (e.g., .p12) of any
> > file type that is registered. tQSL registers the extension .p12.
> >
> > To see if it is correct, try right clicking on the file and
> > select "properties." I think that will display the full file
> > name and extension.
> >
> > 73,

> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1228. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-10 21:39:15 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1222 Message: 1228

You likely don't have the file extensions viewing turned on on your system (common extensions a not normally displayed). All file have an extension in the Windows world.

Right click on the files you want to look and select properties. You should see the extension displayed there.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 2:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Sometime ago there was a thread on backing up your certificate (s). I followed the procedure outlined in the e-mail.

Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes reference to a .p12 file extension for the certificate file. Just for grins I checked my backup copy and was unable to determine what the file extension is. The 2 files I backed up appear to have no extension.

Is this correct?

Julio, W4HY

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1229. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-12 11:13:36 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1222 Message: 1229

I tried that Gerry and it didn't work. However another user suggested going to My Computer-tools-Folder Options and changing the file extension display there and that worked.

Thanks, Julio W4HY
----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 5:39 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

You likely don't have the file extensions viewing turned on on your system (common extensions a not normally displayed). All file have an extension in the Windows world.

Right click on the files you want to look and select properties. You should see the extension displayed there.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 2:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Back up files

Sometime ago there was a thread on backing up your certificate (s). I followed the procedure outlined in the e-mail.

Today I happened across the e-mail and noticed it makes reference to a .p12 file extension for the certificate file. Just for grins I checked my backup copy and was unable to determine what the file extension is. The 2 files I backed up appear to have no extension.

Is this correct?

Julio, W4HY

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1230. Account status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-14 18:39:15 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 1230 Message: 1230

Hi all,

Just a couple of observations now that I have linked my paper DXCC to the LoTW list.....

When I go through it and and click on APPLICATION, it comes up with a list of stations confirmed by LoTW that I can add to my DXCC total.
I have to click on each of those to add them, which is fine, but why doesn't it say which mode they are?

Also, as I am only interested in my RTTY totals, I would like to be able to check my totals by band as well for RTTY, but it brings up all QSO's, and again, does not mention mode.

In that small "pink box", there are links across the top that list and one is for RTTY, but when I click on that, nothing happens.

Is it just me, or has anyone else noticed this?

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

1231. Curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-14 19:59:43 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1231 Message: 1231

Well hello to all of you who didn't get to DAyton...like me.

Some time in the future I intend to computerize all of my logs.
I just did a 1992 ARRL DX log and got 2 QSl responses from
LOTW. I imagine that is probably about right for that date frame.
Anyone have really good or really bad thoughts on that?

73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1232. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-14 20:31:51 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1231 Message: 1232

At 03:59 PM 5/14/2004, you wrote:

>Well hello to all of you who didn't get to DAyton...like me.
>
> Some time in the future I intend to computerize all of my logs.
> I just did a 1992 ARRL DX log and got 2 QSl responses from
> LOTW. I imagine that is probably about right for that date frame.
> Anyone have really good or really bad thoughts on that?
>

Sounds pretty normal to me, Tom -- considering that many of the people you worked then weren't using computer logging. People seem to have started, at least, with their logs that were already computerized. For my 76K QSOs from 1994 to the present, I have gotten about 4500 matches so far.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1233. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-14 21:58:07 UTC
From: Cliff Ahrens
Topic: 1231 Message: 1233

Hi Tom. So far I've had 861 QSL matches out of 24,483 QSO's in LoTW - about 3.5% return, including 111 DXCC entities. My LoTW percentage returns to date range from 0.4% in the 1980's to 6.4% for the 2000 to 2004 period. My average return of 3.5% for 25 years (except 1987 yet to be transferred from paper logs) seems to track closely with the total LoTW stat's of about 3.1% "return" for all users.

I think the numbers from the 1980's and early 1990's will grow as more DX'ers and contesters dig out those old logs. Here's my stat's:

Years - QSO's - LoTW QSL's - Percent Matches
1979 - 52 - 0 - 0.0%
1980's (minus 1987) - 5978 - 26 - 0.4% (includes 4 matches from the year 1980)
1990-1994 - 6073 - 146 - 2.4%
1995-1999 - 7514 - 377 - 5.0 %
2000-2004 to date - 4866 - 312 - 6.4%
Total: 1979-2004 to date - 24,483 - 861 - 3.5%

73, Cliff K0CA

1234. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 00:11:20 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1231 Message: 1234

Tom wrote:

Some time in the future I intend to computerize all of my logs.
I just did a 1992 ARRL DX log and got 2 QSl responses from
LOTW. I imagine that is probably about right for that date frame.
Anyone have really good or really bad thoughts on that?

73, Tom K5IID

It makes me wonder whether people logged with enough time stamp accuracy (manual logging) for LOTW to be able to make an automatic match. So data entry from an old log would be of limited value. I have often wondered how many busted QSOs are in the older log. Whether for bad copy on the call, bad logging technique (beginning or end of QSO?) etc. My contest logs are pretty accurate time stamps because the exchange is so short.

I was involved with a thread on the eQSL reflector at one point where people were discussing when they logged the Q. I found the discussion very frustrating to say the least. If memory serves me correctly, about 50% of the folks logged the time the instant the QSO was official--even if the QSO lasted for 10 minutes. Some would enter the time that the callsign was entered into the callsign field. The other 50% logged at the end of the QSO. For contest Qs, it generally does not matter. Except that for many folks chasing DX, the callsign may have been entered into the log the instant they started calling the station in a pile-up. The log I was using early in my computerized logging experience was Logger by Bob Furzer. He would log the time when I hit the enter key. And I always hit the enter key on the final exchange. For many folks, this just is not the case, which is why I was so frustrated with the process. I wonder what is the correct technique? I assume that the correct method is to time stamp the Q when the enter key is pressed at the end of the QSO, which is the method I continue to use to this day (Writelog and Logger). Apparently, this is not the case for many folks, which just baffles me. Hence, my query about busted time stamps.

Hand picking log entries might be a valuable tool. I just think of all the JAs worked in a DX contest and ponder how long it would take to enter all that data. And of what value would it be to either the JA or you?

I've got 2327 QSLs from 19876 contacts going back to 1997, which is when I obtained a dedicated computer for the operating position. The first two QSLs on the list are from 1998 and 1999. By 2000, the rate picks up dramatically.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1235. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 01:42:20 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1231 Message: 1235

Guys,
So far, my computerized WV logs have garnered the following:

54,670 QSO records4,213 QSL records
These logs are are from about 1995 or 96. I was late coming to the table
with the computer logging. I still have some more to dig out...if I can find the
floppies.
Then all the logs prior to that are paper. Lots of contest Q's in there. I won't go all the
way back to 1956 though!
73, tom K5IID

Cliff Ahrens <cahrens@...> wrote:
Hi Tom. So far I've had 861 QSL matches out of 24,483 QSO's in LoTW - about 3.5% return,
including 111 DXCC entities. My LoTW percentage returns to date range from 0.4% in the
1980's to 6.4% for the 2000 to 2004 period. My average return of 3.5% for 25 years (except
1987 yet to be transferred from paper logs) seems to track closely with the total LoTW
stat's of about 3.1% "return" for all users.

I think the numbers from the 1980's and early 1990's will grow as more DX'ers and
contesters dig out those old logs. Here's my stat's:

Years - QSO's - LoTW QSL's - Percent Matches
1979 - 52 - 0 - 0.0%
1980's (minus 1987) - 5978 - 26 - 0.4% (includes 4 matches from the year 1980)
1990-1994 - 6073 - 146 - 2.4%
1995-1999 - 7514 - 377 - 5.0 %
2000-2004 to date - 4866 - 312 - 6.4%
Total: 1979-2004 to date - 24,483 - 861 - 3.5%

73, Cliff K0CA

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1236. Time differential

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 04:31:41 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1236 Message: 1236

Seems like I have seen it somewhere, but can't remember.
What is the time differential that LOTW allows to QSL a record?
Anyone know?
Thanks, Tom K5IID

1237. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Time differential

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 04:38:35 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1236 Message: 1237

Hello Tom,

Saturday, May 15, 2004 Tom Horton wrote to LOTW:

TH> Seems like I have seen it somewhere, but can't remember.
TH> What is the time differential that LOTW allows to QSL a record?
TH> Anyone know?
TH> Thanks, Tom K5IID

30 min.

--
73...Art RX9TX 15 May 2004 04:38 UTC

"In a dark time, the eye begins to see." [Theodore Roethke, "In a Dark Time"]

1238. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Time differential

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 04:44:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1236 Message: 1238

>
> 30 min.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 15 May 2004 04:38 UTC

If it is 30 minutes, then I am much more comfortable with the time stamp matching. eQSL was only 5 minutes or so. Heck, I've been known to pound my key for an hour trying to bust a pile-up.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1239. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Time differential

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 16:34:38 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1236 Message: 1239

Thanks guys!

That makes it a lot better for me too. On these paper logs that i am computerizing,
I'm not trying to get the time exactly right, so I will just attempt to keep it in a 15-20 minute time frame.
Thanks!
73, Tom K5IID

Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
>
> 30 min.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 15 May 2004 04:38 UTC

If it is 30 minutes, then I am much more comfortable with the time stamp matching. eQSL was only 5 minutes or so. Heck, I've been known to pound my key for an hour trying to bust a pile-up.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1240. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-15 22:15:30 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 1230 Message: 1240

Hi Phil,

Didn't see anyone give you an answer to your query -
was sure wishing someone would, as I have the same
problem here - if you are doing something wrong, then
I am doing the same thing because I can't figure out
this '''super matrix''.
Cheers,
Joe W7LPF
----- Original Message -----
From: Phil Cooper
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2004 19:39
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Account status

Hi all,

Just a couple of observations now that I have linked my paper DXCC to the
LoTW list.........

When I go through it and and click on APPLICATION, it comes up with a list
of stations confirmed by LoTW that I can add to my DXCC total.
I have to click on each of those to add them, which is fine, but why doesn't
it say which mode they are?

Also, as I am only interested in my RTTY totals, I would like to be able to
check my totals by band as well for RTTY, but it brings up all QSO's, and
again, does not mention mode.

In that small "pink box", there are links across the top that list and one
is for RTTY, but when I click on that, nothing happens.

Is it just me, or has anyone else noticed this?

73 for now
Phil GU0SUP

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1241. Re:Account status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-16 04:04:30 UTC
From: n9qqk@urbancom.net
Topic: 1230 Message: 1241

> why doesn't it say which mode they are?

Note that there are boxes for modes and bands.

All modes and/or bands that a Q will count for have an "X" in their box.
If a Q has no mode X'ed, it means you already have credit for the mode.

For example, lets say that 2 years ago you worked your 1st Conway Reef
on 20m CW, submitted and got DXCC credit for it. Then lets say you later
worked another Conway Reef on 10m CW. Assuming you and the 3D2/C
both submitted your logs to LotW, your application matrix would show
the 10m box X'ed for 3D2/C. It would NOT show CW X'ed, as you already have
CW counted from the previous contact. The only boxes X'ed are those
the will be credited.

-Ron - N9QQK

1242. Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-18 18:19:26 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1242 Message: 1242

I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD", the file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask me where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it is my browser config but I can't find any settings which would force to download to ask how I want it saved...

Using XP and IE....any help?

Thanks...Lou N2TU

1243. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-18 19:02:23 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 1242 Message: 1243

Lou, do the download from the site...next, it will open up in a window. This is where you do a save. Under "File", drop down will show "save as" Follow usual rules from there......Dave, K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "n2tu" <n2tu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 2:19 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

> I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD", the
> file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask me
> where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it is
> my browser config but I can't find any settings which would force to
> download to ask how I want it saved...
>
> Using XP and IE....any help?
>
> Thanks...Lou N2TU
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1244. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-18 20:06:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1242 Message: 1244

In Windows Explorer, under TOOLS menu|FOLDER OPTIONS|FILE TYPES tab,
locate the listing for the file type being downloaded. Click ADVANCED and
put a checkmark in the box that reads "Confirm open after download."

An alternative: Hold down the <shift> key when clicking the link to the file.

This is the Windows XP instructions, if your using an older OS, it may look slightly
different.

After you change the Explorer setting, it should ask you for a location to save that file
to.

----- Original Message -----
From: "n2tu" <n2tu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 1:19 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

> I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD", the
> file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask me
> where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it is
> my browser config but I can't find any settings which would force to
> download to ask how I want it saved...
>
> Using XP and IE....any help?
>
> Thanks...Lou N2TU
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>

1245. Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-19 15:58:57 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1242 Message: 1245

Under folder options, there are no references for ADI files.

I also tried holding the shift when I click the "Download Report"
link on LOTW...again the page loads as HTML...

I tried the Save As from the HTML page...marked it as .txt....then
renamed it as an .adi file and it worked...

So....again the question....how do I load this file as an ADIF file
without going through the manipulations I described above...

Thanks for the help!...very much appreciated!

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> In Windows Explorer, under TOOLS menu|FOLDER OPTIONS|FILE TYPES
tab,
> locate the listing for the file type being downloaded. Click
ADVANCED and
> put a checkmark in the box that reads "Confirm open after
download."
>
> An alternative: Hold down the <shift> key when clicking the link
to the file.
>
> This is the Windows XP instructions, if your using an older OS, it
may look slightly
> different.
>
> After you change the Explorer setting, it should ask you for a
location to save that file
> to.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "n2tu" <n2tu@o...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 1:19 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
>
>
> > I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD",
the
> > file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask
me

> > where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it
is
> > my browser config but I can't find any settings which would
force to
> > download to ask how I want it saved...
> >
> > Using XP and IE....any help?
> >
> > Thanks...Lou N2TU
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
> >
> >

1246. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-19 19:43:37 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1242 Message: 1246

Whatever type of file is sent to you, right click and select save target as,
you can name it anything that you want to, including changing the file type.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: n2tu [mailto:n2tu@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2004 10:59 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download ADIF Problems
>
> Under folder options, there are no references for ADI files.
>
> I also tried holding the shift when I click the "Download Report"
> link on LOTW...again the page loads as HTML...
>
> I tried the Save As from the HTML page...marked it as
> .txt....then renamed it as an .adi file and it worked...
>
> So....again the question....how do I load this file as an
> ADIF file without going through the manipulations I described above...
>
> Thanks for the help!...very much appreciated!
>
> Lou N2TU
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> >
> > In Windows Explorer, under TOOLS menu|FOLDER OPTIONS|FILE TYPES
> tab,
> > locate the listing for the file type being downloaded. Click
> ADVANCED and
> > put a checkmark in the box that reads "Confirm open after
> download."
> >
> > An alternative: Hold down the <shift> key when clicking the link
> to the file.
> >
> > This is the Windows XP instructions, if your using an older OS, it
> may look slightly
> > different.
> >
> > After you change the Explorer setting, it should ask you for a

> location to save that file
> > to.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "n2tu" <n2tu@o...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 1:19 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
> >
> >
> > > I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD",
> the
> > > file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask
> me
> > > where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it
> is
> > > my browser config but I can't find any settings which would
> force to
> > > download to ask how I want it saved...
> > >
> > > Using XP and IE....any help?
> > >
> > > Thanks...Lou N2TU
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> ---------------
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
> of Service.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1247. Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-19 23:52:35 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1247 Message: 1247

Dave, et al.,
Were there any eans available to have guys sign up for LOTW at Dayton?
Seems like it would have been an eays thing to set up.
Just curious.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1248. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 00:00:33 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1247 Message: 1248

I walked all through the ARRL booth at Dayton on Friday and thought it
was strange that they were not advertising LOTW with big signs, banners
or whatever. I asked the question of one of the ARRL guys and his answer
was kind of a half hearted "I guess we never thought about it". They
missed a great opportunity.

Bob W4OWY

Tom Horton wrote:

> Dave, et al.,
> Were there any eans available to have guys sign up for LOTW at Dayton?
> Seems like it would have been an eays thing to set up.
> Just curious.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
>
> Tom Horton K5IID
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129tkqbgj/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1085097169/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

>
>

1249. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 00:18:54 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1247 Message: 1249

Bob,
I agree, if that's the case...what a waste!
Hopefully they can have something going for
HamCom next month!
73, Tom

Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...> wrote:
I walked all through the ARRL booth at Dayton on Friday and thought it was strange that they were not advertising LOTW with big signs, banners or whatever. I asked the question of one of the ARRL guys and his answer was kind of a half hearted "I guess we never thought about it". They missed a great opportunity.

Bob W4OWY

Tom Horton wrote:
Dave, et al.,
Were there any eans available to have guys sign up for LOTW at Dayton?
Seems like it would have been an eays thing to set up.
Just curious.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1250. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 01:33:07 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1247 Message: 1250

I'm afraid that if LOTW is going to be 'promoted,' it will be the users that have to do it. I seriously doubt there is promotion money in the ARRL budget for LOTW. Since LOTW is a 'cost center' and not a 'profit center,' expanding the user base is of limited value to the ARRL. It is of GREAT value to us, the users. So any brilliant ideas about promoting to the masses would be great--especially the DX masses! Promote or perish...

My DX totals haven't moved in weeks. I'm stuck at 72 countries out of 20K Qs--about 1/2 of which are DX and about 260 countries represented. So how do we get people in Africa to sign up? How do we get folks that don't speak english in the former soviet union to sign up? Southeast Asia? Ditto... I seriously doubt many of those folks have even heard of LOTW! And how many language translations do you see in the instructions for LOTW? It's pretty arrogant for us to think that EVERYBODY speaks and reads English...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2004 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Bob,
I agree, if that's the case...what a waste!
Hopefully they can have something going for
HamCom next month!
73, Tom

Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...> wrote:
I walked all through the ARRL booth at Dayton on Friday and thought it was strange that they were not advertising LOTW with big signs, banners or whatever. I asked the question of one of the ARRL guys and his answer was kind of a half hearted "I guess we never thought about it". They missed a great opportunity.

Bob W4OWY

Tom Horton wrote:

Dave, et al.,
Were there any eans available to have guys sign up for LOTW at Dayton?
Seems like it would have been an eays thing to set up.
Just curious.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1251. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 01:44:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1247 Message: 1251

My RTTY buffers have:

...pse qsl via LoTW...
and
...the URL

I also request 'qsl via ARRL LoTW' on cw.

only 6800 different users registered as yet
I do notice a lot more non USA hams submitting logs lately.
So the sytem is growing,

If your eyes hurt after you drink coffee, you have to take the spoon out of the cup. - -Norm Crosby
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1252. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 12:06:24 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1247 Message: 1252

Well said Ford, you hit the nail right on its head. My QSL return is dismal and mostly from Western Europe and North America.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2004 10:33 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

I'm afraid that if LOTW is going to be 'promoted,' it will be the users that have to do it. I seriously doubt there is promotion money in the ARRL budget for LOTW. Since LOTW is a 'cost center' and not a 'profit center,' expanding the user base is of limited value to the ARRL. It is of GREAT value to us, the users. So any brilliant ideas about promoting to the masses would be great--especially the DX masses! Promote or perish...

My DX totals haven't moved in weeks. I'm stuck at 72 countries out of 20K Qs--about 1/2 of which are DX and about 260 countries represented. So how do we get people in Africa to sign up? How do we get folks that don't speak english in the former soviet union to sign up? Southeast Asia? Ditto... I seriously doubt many of those folks have even heard of LOTW! And how many language translations do you see in the instructions for LOTW? It's pretty arrogant for us to think that EVERYBODY speaks and reads English...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2004 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Bob,
I agree, if that's the case...what a waste!
Hopefully they can have something going for
HamCom next month!
73, Tom

Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...> wrote:
I walked all through the ARRL booth at Dayton on Friday and thought it was strange that they were not advertising LOTW with big signs, banners or whatever. I asked the question of one of the ARRL guys and his answer was kind of a half hearted "I guess we never thought about it". They missed a great opportunity.

Bob W4OWY

Tom Horton wrote:

Dave, et al.,
Were there any eans available to have guys sign up for LOTW at Dayton?
Seems like it would have been an eays thing to set up.
Just curious.
73, Tom K5IID

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1253. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 13:08:37 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1247 Message: 1253

Hello Ford,

Thursday, May 20, 2004 Ford Peterson wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

FP> How do we get folks that don't speak english in the former soviet
FP> union to sign up?

Andy RW3AH who just returned after his Thursday 4U1UN operation , says
that the whole world suddenly started to speak russian trying to get
thru the pileups :) The way to go :)

--
73...Art RX9TX 20-May-04 13:04 UTC

"To give pleasure to a single heart by a single act is better than a thousand heads bowing in prayer." (Gandhi)

1254. Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 14:26:23 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1254 Message: 1254

I think the notion of users promoting LOTW is important to the continued success of LOTW. Now that it is a more 'full featured' application, the time is ripe for starting to promote its use worldwide. In my case, almost 12% of 20,000 QSOs are confirmed. 260 countries are represented in the log and only 72 countries are represented in the QSLs. This needs to change. To that end, I have several notions to toss into the ring for discussion...

Regarding promotion:

1) Somebody needs to develop a display for use at hamfests. A typical commercial trade show display should cost $10,000US to $50,000US. Obviously, this is out of reach as the 'budget' for LOTW is no doubt minimal. I can envision a display that can be either replicated for use by volunteers at hamfests, or loaned out to similar groups willing to be LOTW advocates. Graphics can become very expensive. They can also be developed inexpensively with some thoughtful creativity. What is needed is a 'champion' for the cause. An individual or group with appropriate skills to undertake the project. Display a collection of awards, information handouts, instructions, etc. I am confident that the ARRL would be supportive of such an approach if somebody can champion the cause.

2) Somebody needs to develop bridges to the language barriers that currently exist with LOTW. I would love to have a LOTW QSL from all the exotic DX in my log--a sentiment that is shared by most DX hounds that haunt this reflector. The current roll-out pretty much assumes that English is a universal language. Many around the world are able to communicate using a minimal English vocabulary. But how many of these folks can decipher the LOTW user instructions? I believe this explains the level of participation from Western Europe, and the lack of participation from countries whose natural political mindset aligns with the east rather than the west. Again, a collection of champions with appropriate language skills are needed to develop translations to be posted on the LOTW website.

3) As #2 is developed, appropriate promotional links need to be developed. I recently viewed the web page for the Gibraltor amateur radio group. It happened to be in English. The organization's webpage could easily host a link to LOTW. An information page could also be provided to describe the system in detail--language appropriate. A group of champions are needed to orchestrate these seamingly simple tasks. Cross pollination of organizations using web hot-links is an excellent promotional tool--but time consuming and riddled with language barriers as those with the language skills need to be matched with people able to insert links.

4) Identifying foreign amateurs willing to present LOTW to their country's amateur community. In some cases, articles authored in native language would be excellent. In other cases, presenting LOTW in a local gathering (possibly using tools developed as #1 above) may be available.

Comments? Better yet...volunteers?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1255. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 15:37:45 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1254 Message: 1255

Ford Peterson wrote:

> 3) As #2 is developed, appropriate promotional links need to
> be developed. I recently viewed the web page for the
> Gibraltor amateur radio group. It happened to be in English.
> The organization's webpage could easily host a link to LOTW.

Thought this was such a great and simple idea, just added links from the
Florida Contest Group's Web site, http://www.floridacontestgroup.org
to the "LoTW" Introduction page, https://www.arrl.org/lotw/intro

Probably not the most informative Introduction page, but it gets folks
on the "LoTW" site, where they can start poking around for more info.

Ron, WD4AHZ

1256. Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 16:42:43 UTC
From: SV1CRX
Topic: 1242 Message: 1256

hi LOU
you can add the <adi> extencion by yourself go to file types click
new and add <adi> then click ok and reboot your pc ....
after go to arrl and see....
that,s the way worked for me.
73 SV1CRX
PANOS

*sorry for bad english

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n2tu" <n2tu@o...> wrote:
> Under folder options, there are no references for ADI files.
>
> I also tried holding the shift when I click the "Download Report"
> link on LOTW...again the page loads as HTML...
>
> I tried the Save As from the HTML page...marked it as .txt....then
> renamed it as an .adi file and it worked...
>
> So....again the question....how do I load this file as an ADIF file
> without going through the manipulations I described above...
>
> Thanks for the help!...very much appreciated!
>
> Lou N2TU
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> >
> > In Windows Explorer, under TOOLS menu|FOLDER OPTIONS|FILE TYPES
> tab,
> > locate the listing for the file type being downloaded. Click
> ADVANCED and
> > put a checkmark in the box that reads "Confirm open after
> download."
> >
> > An alternative: Hold down the <shift> key when clicking the link
> to the file.
> >
> > This is the Windows XP instructions, if your using an older OS,
it
> may look slightly
> > different.
> >
> > After you change the Explorer setting, it should ask you for a
> location to save that file

> > to.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "n2tu" <n2tu@o...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 1:19 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
> >
> >
> > > I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD",
> the
> > > file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask
> me
> > > where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say
it
> is
> > > my browser config but I can't find any settings which would
> force to
> > > download to ask how I want it saved...
> > >
> > > Using XP and IE....any help?
> > >
> > > Thanks...Lou N2TU
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
-
> ---------------
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
> of Service.
> > >
> > >

1257. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 18:04:27 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1254 Message: 1257

At 10:26 AM 5/20/2004, Ford Peterson wrote:
>1) Somebody needs to develop a display for use at hamfests. A typical
>commercial trade show display should cost $10,000US to
>$50,000US. Obviously, this is out of reach as the 'budget' for LOTW is no
>doubt minimal. I can envision a display that can be either replicated for
>use by volunteers at hamfests, or loaned out to similar groups willing to
>be LOTW advocates. Graphics can become very expensive. They can also be
>developed inexpensively with some thoughtful creativity. What is needed
>is a 'champion' for the cause. An individual or group with appropriate
>skills to undertake the project. Display a collection of awards,
>information handouts, instructions, etc. I am confident that the ARRL
>would be supportive of such an approach if somebody can champion the cause.

With the growing availability of computer projectors in businesses
worldwide, another approach might be development of a Powerpoint program
with professional audio and/or video content that could be lent to local
clubs for use in their booths at hamfests. I suspect many clubs would be
able to find a projector and screen, plus some amplified speakers for use
with a laptop. Together with some well worked-out paper handouts, it could
be a lot of bang for the buck.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1258. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 18:19:51 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1254 Message: 1258

Pete Smith wrote:

> With the growing availability of computer projectors in businesses
> worldwide, another approach might be development of a Powerpoint program
> with professional audio and/or video content that could be lent to local
> clubs for use in their booths at hamfests.

Better yet ... make something folks can download from the Internet and
burn onto a CD, like some of the other ARRL material.

Hmmm ... might have to do some homework on LoTW and give a talk to our
local club about it. Has anyone already done this? If so, what
material did you use and what did you talk about?

Ron

1259. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 19:59:14 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1254 Message: 1259

Ford,

I have made similar suggestions privately ... unfortunately the people involved with LotW also have other tasks not related to LotW.

1) There should be major "what is LotW" and "how to use LotW" push - both in the US amateur publications *AND* in various international publications *IN NATIONAL LANGUAGES*

2) ARRL should be working through IARU to create a more user friendly way for DX stations to register ... perhaps enlist RSGB, DARC, REF, RAC, etc. to check credentials closer to the user.

3) LotW should be represented at every major US hamfest, ARRL Convention, and international hamfest with both "how to" literature and a way to register on the spot.

4) A multimedia presentation, suitable for presentation at local "general interest" radio clubs should be prepared and a cadre of volunteers recruited to make those presentations, answer questions *AND* register users. Perhaps, the same individuals could be certified as field checkers for DXCC to provide "full service"

5) LotW should be promoted in the National Contest Journal (NCJ) to encourage the use of LotW by contest DXpeditions.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1260. Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 19:59:56 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1242 Message: 1260

Panos,

Thanks ...I'll give it a try...

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "SV1CRX" <mastelos@m...> wrote:
> hi LOU
> you can add the <adi> extencion by yourself go to file types click
> new and add <adi> then click ok and reboot your pc ....
> after go to arrl and see....
> that,s the way worked for me.
> 73 SV1CRX
> PANOS
>
>
>
>
> *sorry for bad english
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n2tu" <n2tu@o...> wrote:
> > Under folder options, there are no references for ADI files.
> >
> > I also tried holding the shift when I click the "Download
Report"
> > link on LOTW...again the page loads as HTML...
> >
> > I tried the Save As from the HTML page...marked it
as .txt....then
> > renamed it as an .adi file and it worked...
> >
> > So....again the question....how do I load this file as an ADIF
file
> > without going through the manipulations I described above...
> >
> > Thanks for the help!...very much appreciated!
> >
> > Lou N2TU
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > >
> > > In Windows Explorer, under TOOLS menu|FOLDER OPTIONS|FILE
TYPES
> > tab,

> > > locate the listing for the file type being downloaded. Click
> > ADVANCED and
> > > put a checkmark in the box that reads "Confirm open after
> > download."
> > >
> > > An alternative: Hold down the <shift> key when clicking the
link
> > to the file.
> > >
> > > This is the Windows XP instructions, if your using an older
OS,
> it
> > may look slightly
> > > different.
> > >
> > > After you change the Explorer setting, it should ask you for a
> > location to save that file
> > > to.
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "n2tu" <n2tu@o...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 1:19 PM
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
> > >
> > >
> > > > I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I
click "DOWNLOAD",
> > the
> > > > file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it
ask
> > me
> > > > where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW
say
> it
> > is
> > > > my browser config but I can't find any settings which would
> > force to
> > > > download to ask how I want it saved...
> > > >
> > > > Using XP and IE....any help?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks...Lou N2TU
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --

> -
> > ---------------
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > > >
> > > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms
> > of Service.
> > > >
> > > >

1261. Re: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 20:18:47 UTC
From: jlhoffman@alltel.net
Topic: 1254 Message: 1261

I had emailed the LoTW Help Desk twice asking for promotional material. Both emails went unanswered!

While at Dayton, I took the opportunity to discuss the promotion of LoTW with Wayne Mills, who graciously offered to email his PowerPoint Presentations to me. I received them last Tuesday and am in the process of modifying them to present to our local club. They are very basic, but it is something to help with promoting it!

I appreciated the quick response - when I spoke to the correct person!

Lance - WN0L
>
> From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
> Date: 2004/05/20 Thu PM 02:59:14 CDT
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide
>
>
> Ford,
>
> I have made similar suggestions privately ... unfortunately the
> people involved with LotW also have other tasks not related to
> LotW.
>
> 1) There should be major "what is LotW" and "how to use LotW"
> push - both in the US amateur publications *AND* in various
> international publications *IN NATIONAL LANGUAGES*
>
> 2) ARRL should be working through IARU to create a more user
> friendly way for DX stations to register ... perhaps enlist
> RSGB, DARC, REF, RAC, etc. to check credentials closer to
> the user.
>
> 3) LotW should be represented at every major US hamfest, ARRL
> Convention, and international hamfest with both "how to"
> literature and a way to register on the spot.
>
> 4) A multimedia presentation, suitable for presentation at local
> "general interest" radio clubs should be prepared and a cadre
> of volunteers recruited to make those presentations, answer
> questions *AND* register users. Perhaps, the same individuals
> could be certified as field checkers for DXCC to provide "full
> service"
>
> 5) LotW should be promoted in the National Contest Journal (NCJ)
> to encourage the use of LotW by contest DXpeditions.

>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1262. RE: Question for the LOTW Gurus

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 21:21:51 UTC
From: Roger Hackler (KN6RO)
Topic: 1247 Message: 1262

Here's a thought:

Fund another BS7 (SCARBOROUGH or should I say SCAFFOLD reef) dxpedition and QSL is only via LOTW. Bet that would get tons of folks registered .

Seriously, I agree that promotion is the key! The LOTW site really needs to have multiple language selections, and the League needs to make an effort to get other countries societies to link to it. It's not much, but it is a first step, and relatively cheap to do.

Ford, I think you're right on track! How about running for president???

73 de KN6RO

Message: 3
Date: Thu, 20 May 2004 09:26:23 -0500
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
Subject: Promoting LOTW Worldwide

I think the notion of users promoting LOTW is important to the continued success of LOTW. Now that it is a more 'full featured' application, the time is ripe for starting to promote its use worldwide. In my case, almost 12% of 20,000 QSOs are confirmed. 260 countries are represented in the log and only 72 countries are represented in the QSLs. This needs to change. To that end, I have several notions to toss into the ring for discussion...

Regarding promotion:

1) Somebody needs to develop a display for use at hamfests. A typical commercial trade show display should cost $10,000US to $50,000US. Obviously, this is out of reach as the 'budget' for LOTW is no doubt minimal. I can envision a display that can be either replicated for use by volunteers at hamfests, or loaned out to similar groups willing to be LOTW advocates. Graphics can become very expensive. They can also be developed inexpensively with some thoughtful creativity. What is needed is a 'champion' for the cause. An individual or group with appropriate skills to undertake the project. Display a collection of awards, information handouts, instructions, etc. I am confident that the ARRL would be supportive of such an approach if somebody can champion the cause.

2) Somebody needs to develop bridges to the language barriers that currently exist with LOTW. I would love to have a LOTW QSL from all the exotic DX in my log--a sentiment that is shared by most DX hounds that haunt this reflector. The current roll-out pretty much assumes that English is a universal language. Many around the world are able to communicate using a minimal English vocabulary. But how many of these folks can decipher the LOTW user instructions? I believe this explains the level of participation

from Western Europe, and the lack of participation from countries whose natural political mindset aligns with the east rather than the west. Again, a collection of champions with appropriate language skills are needed to develop translations to be posted on the LOTW website.

3) As #2 is developed, appropriate promotional links need to be developed. I recently viewed the web page for the Gibraltor amateur radio group. It happened to be in English. The organization's webpage could easily host a link to LOTW. An information page could also be provided to describe the system in detail--language appropriate. A group of champions are needed to orchestrate these seamingly simple tasks. Cross pollination of organizations using web hot-links is an excellent promotional tool--but time consuming and riddled with language barriers as those with the language skills need to be matched with people able to insert links.

4) Identifying foreign amateurs willing to present LOTW to their country's amateur community. In some cases, articles authored in native language would be excellent. In other cases, presenting LOTW in a local gathering (possibly using tools developed as #1 above) may be available.

Comments? Better yet...volunteers?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 16:38
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 154

1263. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 21:53:20 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1242 Message: 1263

Hi Lou:
I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
updates on both and have never had a problem.

Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a checklist:
1- logon to lotw
2- Click on "Your QSO's"
3- click on "Download report"
4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs received since:"
to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of the screen
NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!

At this point you should get the you should get the "File Download Window"
and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".

If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for downloading from another
site ???

I'll be setting up a customers computer next week with XP Home Edition.
I'll try to see if I can setup LoTW on it and download my report if your
still having problems.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 14:19 5/18/2004 , you wrote:
>I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD", the
>file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask me
>where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it is
>my browser config but I can't find any settings which would force to
>download to ask how I want it saved...
>
>Using XP and IE....any help?
>
>Thanks...Lou N2TU
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>

1264. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 23:44:14 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 1242 Message: 1264

Bob & Lou.....Let me chime in again. What we get is the save file as option hoever, we can only proceed and save as a .txt of .html file. After it downloads, a html file opens in IE and it needs to be saved as a .txt and then the extension needs to be renamed to .adi.
Try as I did, can not work around this method...Right Lou! I use Win2K Pro although the operating system seems to not make any difference. Dave, K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 5:53 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

> Hi Lou:
> I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
> I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
> updates on both and have never had a problem.
>
> Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a checklist:
> 1- logon to lotw
> 2- Click on "Your QSO's"
> 3- click on "Download report"
> 4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs received since:"
> to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
> 5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of the screen
> NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!
>
> At this point you should get the you should get the "File Download Window"
> and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".
>
> If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for downloading from
another
> site ???
>
> I'll be setting up a customers computer next week with XP Home Edition.
> I'll try to see if I can setup LoTW on it and download my report if your
> still having problems.
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
> At 14:19 5/18/2004 , you wrote:
> >I am trying to download the ADIF file. When I click "DOWNLOAD", the

> >file is display as HTML...that is, a web page. Shouldn't it ask me
> >where I want to save the info....?? The guys at ARRL LOTW say it is
> >my browser config but I can't find any settings which would force to
> >download to ask how I want it saved...
> >
> >Using XP and IE....any help?
> >
> >Thanks...Lou N2TU
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1265. Re: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Promoting LOTW Worldwide

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-20 23:44:52 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1254 Message: 1265

I just received the weekly ARRL DX bulletin. It had the usual list of DX stations and their managers, including an American on station in Iraq. Nary a mention of LoTW.....

I don't know the circulation of the DX bulletin, but it is sent every week. Here is an easy, cheap (free?) way of advertising to the DX community. I'm sure that the other bulletin editors could be persuaded to add a line to their weekly bulletins.
This might persuade the casual DXpeditioner to simply upload their logs, instead of burdening themselves or a manager with months of paperwork.

Jim

1266. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 00:13:01 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1242 Message: 1266

At 05:53 PM 5/20/2004, R Johnson wrote:
>Hi Lou:
>I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
>I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
>updates on both and have never had a problem.
>
>Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a checklist:
>1- logon to lotw
>2- Click on "Your QSO's"
>3- click on "Download report"
>4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs received since:"
> to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
>5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of the screen
> NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!
>
>At this point you should get the you should get the "File Download Window"
>and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".

I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same problem Lou is having when I use Internet Explorer.

Let me explain what happens in detail:
1. Logon, then use "Your QSOs".
2. Click "Download Report".
3. You get a callsign, received since, etc. form. At the bottom there's a "Download Report" button.
4. Click "Download Report". You can not right click here as some have suggested.
5. The ADIF file is displayed in my web browser. Not a "File download window", etc.
6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web Page, complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you get "filename.adi.htm".

This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream". That's a binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to save the file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the binary, sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first few characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats it as a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested filename is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).

Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla Firefox, etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.

(Actually, putting more stuff in the beginning of the downloaded report
will probably make it work - put several more lines of comments prior
to the PROGRAMID tag, and IE will start to act right.)

>If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for downloading from
>another
>site ???

Downloads work fine everywhere else. I just rename the .htm file after
saving it.
-Rick

1267. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 01:37:50 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1242 Message: 1267

Gosh guys I am sure glad I haven't had these problems with LoTW. I am using XP home addition and have had zero problems downloading LoTW to my DX4WIN program.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: R Johnson ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 5:13 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

At 05:53 PM 5/20/2004, R Johnson wrote:
>Hi Lou:
>I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
>I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
>updates on both and have never had a problem.
>
>Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a checklist:
>1- logon to lotw
>2- Click on "Your QSO's"
>3- click on "Download report"
>4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs received since:"
> to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
>5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of the screen
> NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!
>
>At this point you should get the you should get the "File Download Window"
>and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".

I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same problem Lou is having when I use Internet Explorer.

Let me explain what happens in detail:
1. Logon, then use "Your QSOs".
2. Click "Download Report".
3. You get a callsign, received since, etc. form. At the bottom there's a "Download Report" button.
4. Click "Download Report". You can not right click here as some have suggested.
5. The ADIF file is displayed in my web browser. Not a "File download window", etc.
6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web Page, complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you get "filename.adi.htm".

This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW
is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream". That's a
binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to save the
file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the binary,
sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first few
characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats it as
a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested filename
is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).

Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla Firefox,
etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.

(Actually, putting more stuff in the beginning of the downloaded report
will probably make it work - put several more lines of comments prior
to the PROGRAMID tag, and IE will start to act right.)

>If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for downloading from
>another
>site ???

Downloads work fine everywhere else. I just rename the .htm file after
saving it.
-Rick

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1268. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 01:58:46 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1242 Message: 1268

> I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same
> problem Lou is having when I use Internet Explorer.

I'm also running Windows XP (Professional) and it works
perfectly for me. You have a configuration problem with
IE 6.

> 6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web Page,
> complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get
> "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you get
"filename.adi.htm".

DO NOT select "Web Page complete" ... select all file types and
leave the file name alone: "lotwreport.adi"

If necessary, select file type as TEXT.

> This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW
> is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream".

No bug with IE ... you have IE and/or Windows misconfigured.

Find an ADIF file (.adi),
right click on it
select "open with"
select "choose program"
choose "Notepad"
check "always use selected program to open this kind of file"
click "OK"

Now any file with a .adi extension will be considered a text
file and will be treated as such in IE.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1269. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 02:51:19 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1242 Message: 1269

At 09:58 PM 5/20/2004, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:

> > I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same
> > problem Lou is having when I use Internet Explorer.
>
>I'm also running Windows XP (Professional) and it works
>perfectly for me. You have a configuration problem with
>IE 6.

How IE handles "application/octet-stream" files is described at
http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/workshop/networking/moniker/overview/appendix_a.asp
- this article explains how IE tries to be helpful with binary
downloads such as those from IE.

However, it looks like specifying that .ADI files should open with
notepad (right click, open with) allows the file to be recognized as a
download versus displaying in the web browser.

It's still a bug since using a properly working web browser (Netscape
or Mozilla) works. LoTW could make it always work by including a >256
byte header before the ADIF content.

> > 6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web Page,
> > complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get
> > "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you get
>"filename.adi.htm".
>
>DO NOT select "Web Page complete" ... select all file types and
>leave the file name alone: "lotwreport.adi"

That's the problem - if you don't have an action associated with .ADI
files, IE changes the ".ADI" to "_ADI" - that's why it's not working
for some of us. Perhaps your logging program grabs ADIF files by default.

>If necessary, select file type as TEXT.

That doesn't work - you get lotwreport_adi.htm.txt, which you then need
to rename after you save it - that's what we're trying to fix. IE, in
the absence of a known file type for .ADI files, decides that the
report is a HTML file and always adds '.htm' to the filename.

> > This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW
> > is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream".
>
>No bug with IE ... you have IE and/or Windows misconfigured.

See the article above. Definite bug with IE. (Trust me here - I've worked for years on HTML firewall proxies that have to work around what browsers do. I know what I'm talking about.) Not having windows configured to know what a .ADI file is is NOT a misconfiguration.

> Find an ADIF file (.adi),
> right click on it
> select "open with"
> select "choose program"
> choose "Notepad"
> check "always use selected program to open this kind of file"
> click "OK"

That worked around the bug for me. Regardless, LoTW is sending a binary file, marking it as such, and IE decides to display it because what looks like a HTML tag appears in the first 256 bytes - *that's the bug in IE.* Requiring users to take additional action to tell the browser to not screw around with this content is wrong. Compliant browers do the right thing without being forced to do so.

I was trying to help the LoTW developers understand how to work around the bug. Asking everyone using LoTW to associate .ADI files with notepad isn't very user friendly. Adding a few hundred bytes to the front of every report might make this problem transparent to everyone by moving the first HTML-looking tag past the boundary.
-Rick

1270. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 03:23:16 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1242 Message: 1270

> Regardless, LoTW is sending a binary file, marking it as such,
> and IE decides to display it because what looks like a HTML
> tag appears in the first 256 bytes - *that's the bug in IE.*

If LotW would identify the file as text instead of binary
IE would allow it to be downloaded rather than display it as
HTML.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1271. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 04:29:59 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1242 Message: 1271

On Thu, May 20, 2004 at 08:13:01PM -0400, Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:
> This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW
> is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream". That's a
> binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to save the
> file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the binary,
> sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first few
> characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats it as
> a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested filename
> is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).

Well, one possible workaround (if the <PROGRAMID:4 is significant) would
be to include some text header followed by <EOH> as allowed by ADIF.

> Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla Firefox,
> etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.

Hear hear.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1272. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 04:30:40 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1242 Message: 1272

On Thu, May 20, 2004 at 11:23:16PM -0400, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> > Regardless, LoTW is sending a binary file, marking it as such,
> > and IE decides to display it because what looks like a HTML
> > tag appears in the first 256 bytes - *that's the bug in IE.*
>
> If LotW would identify the file as text instead of binary
> IE would allow it to be downloaded rather than display it as
> HTML.

How so? If you use type text/plain, any browser will display it without
evening offering you the option to download it.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1273. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 06:33:20 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 1242 Message: 1273

There is *no* standard requirement for how a program is to handle mime content-type application/octet-stream. There is, in RFC-2046 (4.5.1) a _recommendation_ that a program offer to save octet-stream data as a file *or* {well, I'll let you look up the alternative suggested}.

A more consistent use of MIME types by the LoTW group would have been to invent a mime type "application/x-lotw-adif" or some such and then to register an application to handle mime data of that type during the TSQL install. One might go so far as to read RFC-2048, and register an LoTW adif content-type.

The assertion that IE looks at the binary and "sees what looks like a HTML tag" in the first few characters is an imprecise description of how IE handles octet-stream.

http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/workshop/networking/moniker/overview/appendix_a.asp

gives an accurate description. In particular, item 6 describes the scan used to determine how to process ambiguous types. Including text headers wouldn't make a difference, as IE is not looking for HTML, it is merely checking for printable characters.

In this particular instance, IE is not broken. The standards, such as they are, are ambiguous; IE is within standard, and LoTW is not following best practice for new data types. (Starting with giving a binary mime type to a text data file.)

-----Original Message-----
From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 9:30 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

On Thu, May 20, 2004 at 08:13:01PM -0400, Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:
> This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW
> is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream". That's a
> binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to save the
> file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the binary,
> sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first few
> characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats it as
> a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested filename
> is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).

Well, one possible workaround (if the <PROGRAMID:4 is significant) would be to include some text header followed by <EOH> as allowed by ADIF.

> Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla Firefox,
> etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.

Hear hear.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1274. lotwreport opening

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 10:51:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1274 Message: 1274

From the first time I downloaded my lotwreport
each of the dozens of times I've downloaded it since
and still today when I downloaded the latest qsls
It opens with a windows asking me where I wish to save this file.

Running XP Pro with no problems in this regard.

Ten out of ten people die, so don't take life too seriously.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1275. potpourri

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 11:02:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1275 Message: 1275

YO3APJ recent contest logs uploaded to LoTW
9X5AA more of that 1989 logs uploaded to LoTW (still a lot to do yet)
IRC info for Belarus updated to http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/ircchart.txt
(thanks to Andrey, 9U7SA)

Heard a ESP signal on the bands
copied it as:
"Build LOTW, and they will come"

A clear conscience is usually the sign of a bad memory.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1276. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 12:41:10 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1242 Message: 1276

On Thu, May 20, 2004 at 11:33:20PM -0700, Martin Fouts wrote:
> There is *no* standard requirement for how a program is to handle mime
> content-type application/octet-stream. There is, in RFC-2046 (4.5.1) a
> _recommendation_ that a program offer to save octet-stream data as a file
> *or* {well, I'll let you look up the alternative suggested}.
>
> A more consistent use of MIME types by the LoTW group would have been to
> invent a mime type "application/x-lotw-adif" or some such and then to
> register an application to handle mime data of that type during the TSQL
> install. One might go so far as to read RFC-2048, and register an LoTW adif
> content-type.

None of the TQSL applications reads the downloaded ADIF files, so
there'd be no benefit in that.

[...]
> In this particular instance, IE is not broken. The standards, such as they
> are, are ambiguous; IE is within standard, and LoTW is not following best
> practice for new data types. (Starting with giving a binary mime type to a
> text data file.)

Well, what would you suggest? text/plain is clearly not useful because
any browser would display it in plain text format.

RFC 2046 says application/octet-stream indicates that the file body
contains "arbitrary binary data", of which text files (including
ADIF files) are a subset. It recommends simply allowing the user to
save the file to disk.

It does not recommend trying to guess what type the file might be.
IE should not do that - it should expect the HTTP server to be
configured correctly. HTML files should be sent as text/html.

Microsoft applications have a habit of trying to work around problems
where they shouldn't. IE will deal with URLs containing spaces for
example; of course spaces aren't allowed and an encoded format should be
used (ie %20 for spsace). Thus people write broken web pages which
happen to work in IE but don't work in standards-compliant browsers.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1277. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 13:53:53 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1242 Message: 1277

Same here.

Using PDA's LOGic 7 logging program makes it a snap.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: WC7N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; R Johnson
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 10:37 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Gosh guys I am sure glad I haven't had these problems with LoTW. I am using XP home addition and have had zero problems downloading LoTW to my DX4WIN program.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: R Johnson ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 5:13 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

At 05:53 PM 5/20/2004, R Johnson wrote:
>Hi Lou:
>I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
>I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
>updates on both and have never had a problem.
>
>Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a checklist:
>1- logon to lotw
>2- Click on "Your QSO's"
>3- click on "Download report"
>4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs received since:"
> to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
>5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of the screen
> NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!
>
>At this point you should get the you should get the "File Download
Window"
>and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".

I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same problem Lou is having when I use Internet Explorer.

Let me explain what happens in detail:
1. Logon, then use "Your QSOs".
2. Click "Download Report".
3. You get a callsign, received since, etc. form. At the bottom there's a "Download Report" button.
4. Click "Download Report". You can not right click here as some have suggested.
5. The ADIF file is displayed in my web browser. Not a "File download window", etc.
6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web Page, complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you get "filename.adi.htm".

This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that LoTW is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream". That's a binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to save the file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the binary, sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first few characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats it as a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested filename is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).

Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla Firefox, etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.

(Actually, putting more stuff in the beginning of the downloaded report will probably make it work - put several more lines of comments prior to the PROGRAMID tag, and IE will start to act right.)

>If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for downloading from
>another
>site ???

Downloads work fine everywhere else. I just rename the .htm file after saving it.
-Rick

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1278. RE: [CQ-Contest] LotW - Needs More Participation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 14:33:57 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1278 Message: 1278

> So for the time being I will continue sending updates of my log
> to my QSL manager who does not require my sending him photocopy
> of passport and license by snail mail.

Does anyone know what standard/documentation ARRL/LotW requires of a QSL manager in order to obtain a certificate for a station he/she might manage?

For those DX stations with a traditional QSL manager, I don't see why the manager couldn't upload logs in any case ... for others, perhaps one way to deal with the requirement for documentation for foreign amateurs is for US (and other) registered individuals to serve as "on-line QLS managers."

The US station could use his/her certificate to sign the certificate request for the DX station. The DX station could send logs to the "electronic QSL manager" (signed with PGP or some other unique ID), the "on-line manager" could then convert the log to ADIF (if necessary), sign the ADIF and upload it to LotW.

Although I do not have the time & resources to act as a traditional QSL manager, I would certainly be willing to act as an on-line QSL manager if such were possible.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1279. Streamlining DX LotW Certification

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 17:38:22 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1279 Message: 1279

I would surely like to see the ARRL's response to the idea of authorizing IARU member societies to approve certificates for stations in their jurisdictions. It wouldn't have to be a blanket authorization for all IARU member societies; ARRL could reasonably require that the societies set their systems up in an acceptably secure way, paralleling the ARRL/FCC database ties-in. Are we really suggesting that DARC, RSGB, REF, JARL et al can't do this job?

How about it, ARRL?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on April 26, 2004
2706 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1280. Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 20:19:14 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1242 Message: 1280

All,

Thanks....I am able, through some renaming, to use the .html format.
We should, however, have the process for renaming documented, so
others will not have the same problem.

I can't believe how many people responsed..and I, and others for
sure, are greatful. The responses, other than "gee, it worked for
me!" were very, very helpful!

Let's hope that LOTW finds a way of linking the application process
to real time credits. It is amasing to me that the LOTW must pass
off the info to the DXCC desk in order for th "credits" to be
offical.

LOTW is the way to go....but it has a way to go!

Best DX
Lou N2TU

>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 10:37 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
>
>
> Gosh guys I am sure glad I haven't had these problems with LoTW.
I am using
> XP home addition and have had zero problems downloading LoTW to my
DX4WIN
> program.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
> To: R Johnson ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 5:13 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems
>
>
> At 05:53 PM 5/20/2004, R Johnson wrote:

> >Hi Lou:
> >I have been downloading my LoTW reports Since day 1 of Lotw.
> >I using Win ME and the latest version of IE with all latest
> >updates on both and have never had a problem.
> >
> >Just to make sure we are both on the same page, here is a
checklist:
> >1- logon to lotw
> >2- Click on "Your QSO's"
> >3- click on "Download report"
> >4- fill in info as required (Suggest setting "Show QSLs
received since:"
> > to 2000-01-01 and check off "Include QSL detail:"
> >5- click on "Download Report" , the gray box at the bottom of
the screen
> > NOT the yellow box on the side of the screen !!!
> >
> >At this point you should get the you should get the "File
Download
> Window"
> >and should allow you to check off "Save this file to disk".
>
> I'm running Windows XP (Professional) and have the same problem
Lou is
> having when I use Internet Explorer.
>
> Let me explain what happens in detail:
> 1. Logon, then use "Your QSOs".
> 2. Click "Download Report".
> 3. You get a callsign, received since, etc. form. At the bottom
there's
> a "Download Report" button.
> 4. Click "Download Report". You can not right click here as some
have
> suggested.
> 5. The ADIF file is displayed in my web browser. Not a "File
download
> window", etc.
> 6. File/Save as suggests lotwreport_adi as filename, type "Web
Page,
> complete (*.htm, *.html). If you enter a filename here, you get
> "filename.htm". If you type "filename.adi", you
get "filename.adi.htm".
>
> This is because of an Internet Explorer bug. The problem is that
LoTW
> is sending a file of MIME type "application/octet-stream".
That's a
> binary file, and any reasonable web browser would ask you to
save the

> file. IE is broken (and has been for years) - it looks at the
binary,
> sees what looks like a HTML tag (<PROGRAMID:4>LotW) in the first
few
> characters, and overrides the file type LoTW sends it and treats
it as
> a HTML file. (It ignores the fact that LoTW says the suggested
filename
> is "lotwreport.adi", converting it to lotwreport_adi.htm).
>
> Use a web browser that follows standards (Netscape, Mozilla
Firefox,
> etc.) and it'll work fine. IE is broken, ARRL can't fix it.
>
> (Actually, putting more stuff in the beginning of the downloaded
report
> will probably make it work - put several more lines of comments
prior
> to the PROGRAMID tag, and IE will start to act right.)
>
> >If this doesn't work, let me ask you if it works for
downloading from
> >another
> >site ???
>
> Downloads work fine everywhere else. I just rename the .htm file
after
> saving it.
> -Rick
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms

of Service.

1281. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 21:39:52 UTC
From: Martin Fouts
Topic: 1242 Message: 1281

I am amused that you cut my suggestion out and then asked what I would
suggest. Here's yet another suggestion:

I suggest that people other than LoTW routinely make it possible to download
text-files via web pages. If LoTW doesn't wish to go to the trouble of
registering application/lotw-adif (or whatever they want to call it) then I
would suggest that the simple act of modifying the web site to use one of
the common approaches would be most suitable.

(By the way, IE is not treating the adif file as if it were text/html. It
is treating it as if it were text/plain -- which, in fact, it should be.)

That the adif file is indended for download, but presented in a form that
does not require file-saving by the browser, is not Microsoft's fault -- it
is poor design on the part of LoTW. Complaining about Microsoft neither
fixes the design, nor solves the user's problem.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hamish Moffatt [mailto:hamish@...]
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2004 5:41 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download ADIF Problems

On Thu, May 20, 2004 at 11:33:20PM -0700, Martin Fouts wrote:
> There is *no* standard requirement for how a program is to handle mime
> [...]
> In this particular instance, IE is not broken. The standards, such as they
> are, are ambiguous; IE is within standard, and LoTW is not following best
> practice for new data types. (Starting with giving a binary mime type to
a
> text data file.)

Well, what would you suggest? text/plain is clearly not useful because
any browser would display it in plain text format.

[... RFC 2046] does not recommend trying to guess what type the file might
be.
IE should not do that - it should expect the HTTP server to be
configured correctly. HTML files should be sent as text/html.

Microsoft applications have a habit of trying to work around problems
where they shouldn't. IE will deal with URLs containing spaces for
example; of course spaces aren't allowed and an encoded format should be
used (ie %20 for spsace). Thus people write broken web pages which
happen to work in IE but don't work in standards-compliant browsers.

Hamish

1282. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download ADIF Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-21 23:29:01 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1242 Message: 1282

At 04:19 PM 5/21/2004, n2tu wrote:
>All,
>
>Thanks....I am able, through some renaming, to use the .html format.
>We should, however, have the process for renaming documented, so
>others will not have the same problem.
>
>I can't believe how many people responsed..and I, and others for
>sure, are greatful. The responses, other than "gee, it worked for
>me!" were very, very helpful!

I understand that the League folks will be fixing this using what
Martin Fouts suggested - using a MIME type other than text/plain.
Hopefully this discussion contributed to the fix.
-Rick

1283. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [CQ-Contest] LotW - Needs More Participation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 00:21:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1278 Message: 1283

comments at end of email
----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <cq-contest@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2004 9:33 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [CQ-Contest] LotW - Needs More Participation

>
>
> > So for the time being I will continue sending updates of my log
> > to my QSL manager who does not require my sending him photocopy
> > of passport and license by snail mail.
>
> Does anyone know what standard/documentation ARRL/LotW requires of
> a QSL manager in order to obtain a certificate for a station he/she
> might manage?
>
> For those DX stations with a traditional QSL manager, I don't see
> why the manager couldn't upload logs in any case ... for others,
> perhaps one way to deal with the requirement for documentation for
> foreign amateurs is for US (and other) registered individuals to
> serve as "on-line QLS managers."
>
> The US station could use his/her certificate to sign the certificate
> request for the DX station. The DX station could send logs to the
> "electronic QSL manager" (signed with PGP or some other unique ID),
> the "on-line manager" could then convert the log to ADIF (if
> necessary), sign the ADIF and upload it to LotW.
>
> Although I do not have the time & resources to act as a traditional
> QSL manager, I would certainly be willing to act as an on-line QSL
> manager if such were possible.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
I am the manager for both a current operation from a DX Country active in DXing and
contesting.
And
A number of long since inactive operations but from so medium rare entities.

For both, I've uploaded the logs to LoTW.
For the long since ended operations, the fact that these were well known operations and
were previously managed by a person now passed on, made them easily certifiable by LoTW

administrators.

For the current operation I manage, the operator provided LoTW administrators with copies of his license and proof of his residence.

For the long since ended operations this presented no problems.

But if I had it to do over again, I would have had the current DX station obtain his own certificate.
Only because now he will have to submit for awards and credits the long way around.

I will suggest that if the DX station has internet capability, persuade him to obtain his own certificate and upload his logs directly.
This in no way limits your ability to handle qsl card requests.

1284. Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 12:12:11 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 1284 Message: 1284

Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the Account status Table for awards. For instance the most confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT. I thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus the deleted entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1 so that is not it?

What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW credits but they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as applied? I am assuming these credits are for awards not applied for yet and are telling me what is available. I assume that includes the entire linked account. I have ZERO in all the AWARD column except Mixed since my original submission was 34 years ago and they did not keep the records.

Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and Total/Current needs a definition and the Applied needs a definition.

I could not find this in the FAQ.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

1285. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 12:38:50 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1284 Message: 1285

If you have a hardcard submission sheet that the DXCC desk returns to you with your cards, it shows CURRENT/TOTAL.
That should explain it.
On 5/22/2004 7:12:11 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the Account
> status Table for awards. For instance the most confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT.
> I
> thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus the deleted
> entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1 so that is not it?
>
> What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW credits but
> they
> have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as applied? I
> am
> assuming these credits are for awards not applied for yet and are telling
> me
> what is available. I assume that includes the entire linked account. I
> have
> ZERO in all the AWARD column except Mixed since my original submission
> was
> 34 years ago and they did not keep the records.
>
>
> Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and Total/Current needs a
> definition and the Applied needs a definition.
>
> I could not find this in the FAQ.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> [Image "click"] [link:
rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129du4pvi/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1085314471/A=21282

1286. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 14:39:07 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1284 Message: 1286

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic
(scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 7:39 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.
>
> If you have a hardcard submission sheet that the DXCC desk
> returns to you with your cards, it shows CURRENT/TOTAL.
> That should explain it.

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic
(scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

> On 5/22/2004 7:12:11 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the
> > Account status Table for awards. For instance the most
> confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT.
> > I
> > thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus
> the deleted
> > entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1 so that
> is not it?
> >
> > What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW
> credits but
> > they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as
> > applied? I am assuming these credits are for awards not applied for
> > yet and are telling me what is available. I assume that
> includes the
> > entire linked account. I have ZERO in all the AWARD column except
> > Mixed since my original submission was
> > 34 years ago and they did not keep the records.
> >
> >
> > Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and
> Total/Current needs
> > a definition and the Applied needs a definition.
> >
> > I could not find this in the FAQ.

> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> > [Image "click"] [link:
> rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129du4pvi/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=
> groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1
> 085314471/A=21282
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1287. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 15:24:39 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1284 Message: 1287

You received an answer, but apparently you do not understand the current DXCC rules.
Total countries refers to the your total of current and deleted entities.
Deleted entities are not counted towards DXCC or Honor Roll, but are included in the Total (that word again)
Current countries are those entities that have not been deleted and are eligible for the awards program.
see http://www.remote.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/ for the DXCC rules.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 10:39
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic (scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 7:39 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.
>
> If you have a hardcard submission sheet that the DXCC desk
> returns to you with your cards, it shows CURRENT/TOTAL.
> That should explain it.

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic (scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

> On 5/22/2004 7:12:11 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the
> > Account status Table for awards. For instance the most
> confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT.
> > I
> > thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus
> the deleted
> > entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1 so that
> is not it?
> >
> > What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW

> credits but
> > they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as
> > applied? I am assuming these credits are for awards not applied for
> > yet and are telling me what is available. I assume that
> includes the
> > entire linked account. I have ZERO in all the AWARD column except
> > Mixed since my original submission was
> > 34 years ago and they did not keep the records.
> >
> >
> > Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and
> Total/Current needs
> > a definition and the Applied needs a definition.
> >
> > I could not find this in the FAQ.
> >

1288. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 16:36:48 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1284 Message: 1288

I thought that was it but as I stated, I have 8 deleted entities but my current is just 7 less than the deleted. That seems to say that one of the deleted entities is being counted. That is why I asked for an explanation. I do understand the rules, I don't understand what is happening here.
I also think that any table, list, etc, ought to have a full field by filed explanation for stupid people like myself that can't figure it out without documentation, what a concept!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 10:25 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

You received an answer, but apparently you do not understand the current DXCC rules.
Total countries refers to the your total of current and deleted entities.
Deleted entities are not counted towards DXCC or Honor Roll, but are included in the Total (that word again)
Current countries are those entities that have not been deleted and are eligible for the awards program.
see http://www.remote.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/ for the DXCC rules.

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <mailto:rojomn@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 10:39
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic (scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 7:39 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.
>
> If you have a hardcard submission sheet that the DXCC desk
> returns to you with your cards, it shows CURRENT/TOTAL.
> That should explain it.

But it does not. How about an explanation rather than a short sardonic
(scornful or cynically mocking) reply.

> On 5/22/2004 7:12:11 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the
> > Account status Table for awards. For instance the most
> confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT.
> > I
> > thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus
> the deleted
> > entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1 so that
> is not it?
> >
> > What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW
> credits but
> > they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as
> > applied? I am assuming these credits are for awards not applied for
> > yet and are telling me what is available. I assume that
> includes the
> > entire linked account. I have ZERO in all the AWARD column except
> > Mixed since my original submission was
> > 34 years ago and they did not keep the records.
> >
> >
> > Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and
> Total/Current needs
> > a definition and the Applied needs a definition.
> >
> > I could not find this in the FAQ.
> >

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=129d98b8d/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups
/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1085325902/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companio
n.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=
:HM/A=2128215/rand=290221707>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1289. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 17:23:12 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1284 Message: 1289

> Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item
> in the Account status Table for awards. For instance the most
> confusing is TOTAL/CURRENT.

Selected: LotW confirmations that will advance an award. This
is generated by the LotW computer based on your current
awards credit/status

Applied: The number of LotW Confirmations that you have included
in pending applications.

Awarded: Your current "all time" total as recorded by DXCC

Total: Awarded + Selected

Current: (Awarded + Selected - Deleted) or (Total - Deleted)

> I thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus
> the deleted entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1
> so that is not it?

You probably have one fewer deleted countries that you thought.
Look carefully at the "Award Credit Matrix" to see exactly what
the DXCC desk on record (i.e., "awarded").

> What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW
> credits but they have not been actually credited yet so why
> does it show as applied?

See above ... you have made application (used the credits) but
they have not been awarded yet.

> Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and Total/Current
> needs a definition and the Applied needs a definition.

It is rather self-explanatory ... the only thing confusing is
that your records don't match those of the DXCC desk.

1290. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 18:06:24 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1284 Message: 1290

Joe, THANKS greatly for the explanation. Perhaps you could get it in the
FAQ. Thanks.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 12:23 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; rojomn@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.
>
>
>
> > Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the
> > Account status Table for awards. For instance the most confusing is
> > TOTAL/CURRENT.
>
> Selected: LotW confirmations that will advance an award. This
> is generated by the LotW computer based on your current
> awards credit/status
>
> Applied: The number of LotW Confirmations that you have included
> in pending applications.
>
> Awarded: Your current "all time" total as recorded by DXCC
>
> Total: Awarded + Selected
>
> Current: (Awarded + Selected - Deleted) or (Total - Deleted)
>
> > I thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus the
> > deleted entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1
> so that is
> > not it?
>
> You probably have one fewer deleted countries that you thought.
> Look carefully at the "Award Credit Matrix" to see exactly
> what the DXCC desk on record (i.e., "awarded").
>
> > What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW
> credits but
> > they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as
> > applied?

>
> See above ... you have made application (used the credits)
> but they have not been awarded yet.
>
> > Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and
> Total/Current needs
> > a definition and the Applied needs a definition.
>
> It is rather self-explanatory ... the only thing confusing is
> that your records don't match those of the DXCC desk.
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1291. FW: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-22 18:18:52 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1291 Message: 1291

By the way Joe, I had it correct in the first place but counted wrong. I counted only 7 deleted credits. If I had known for certain the definition I would have looked more times before wondering what was missing. Thanks again.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
-----Original Message-----
From: rojomn [mailto:rojomn@...]
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 1:06 PM
To: 'ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.

Joe, THANKS greatly for the explanation. Perhaps you could get it in the FAQ. Thanks.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2004 12:23 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; rojomn@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Table confusing.
>
>
>
> > Can someone explain EXACTLY the definition of every item in the
> > Account status Table for awards. For instance the most confusing is
> > TOTAL/CURRENT.
>
> Selected: LotW confirmations that will advance an award. This
> is generated by the LotW computer based on your current
> awards credit/status
>
> Applied: The number of LotW Confirmations that you have included
> in pending applications.
>
> Awarded: Your current "all time" total as recorded by DXCC
>
> Total: Awarded + Selected
>
> Current: (Awarded + Selected - Deleted) or (Total - Deleted)
>

> > I thought it meant all my QSLes in the linked account minus the
> > deleted entities, but no. My current id TOTAL - DELETED +1
> so that is
> > not it?
>
> You probably have one fewer deleted countries that you thought.
> Look carefully at the "Award Credit Matrix" to see exactly what the
> DXCC desk on record (i.e., "awarded").
>
> > What does applied mean? I have applied for all of my LotW
> credits but
> > they have not been actually credited yet so why does it show as
> > applied?
>
> See above ... you have made application (used the credits) but they
> have not been awarded yet.
>
> > Again, the exact relationship between Awarded and
> Total/Current needs
> > a definition and the Applied needs a definition.
>
> It is rather self-explanatory ... the only thing confusing is that
> your records don't match those of the DXCC desk.
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo!
> Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> --------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1292. if you made an error?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-23 02:10:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1292 Message: 1292

Let's see guys.
If someone gave you a simple, correct answer to your question.
but
because you made an error in math,
you accused someone of sending a "short sardonic
(scornful or cynically mocking) reply."

would you apologies after the error was recognized????

ah nevermind....
consider the source.

FATAL ERROR! SYSTEM HALTED! - Press any key to do nothing...

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1293. DX4WIN and LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-26 12:54:03 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1293 Message: 1293

After I successfully uploaded all my logs to LOTW initially, the upload LOTW functions does not work...

Here's how I did it in the past...I did a selection of my first QSO and my last....then Set the Upload Flags...then Export for LOTW...all went well...and my ADIF file was created and the upload date field got populated.

Then I tried to upload my more recent QSOs...those made after the initial upload....

I used the exact same procedure...set my selection...set the upload flag...and Export for LOTW...the export seemed to work but the ADIF file was blank...and the upload date field never changed.....

I've tried just about everything...but to no avail....

On the other hand if I do a Database Export as we did pre 6.03, all worked naturally. If I do a Database Export function rather than the Export of LOTW, the ADIF file is created normally...just the Upload date field is not populated...

What am I doing wrong?

Lou N2TU

1294. Re: DX4WIN and LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-26 13:55:47 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1293 Message: 1294

All,

Problem solved!....

Wendell Wyly W5FL told me to check the Import/ Export window to make sure there were no other files listed above the ADIF entry. Sure enough there was a blank!

I changed my preferences to Expert, deleted the entry...and all worked FB...

Many thanks to Wendell!

Lou N2TU

-- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n2tu" <n2tu@o...> wrote:
> After I successfully uploaded all my logs to LOTW initially, the
> upload LOTW functions does not work...
>
> Here's how I did it in the past...I did a selection of my first QSO
> and my last....then Set the Upload Flags...then Export for
> LOTW...all went well...and my ADIF file was created and the upload
> date field got populated.
>
> Then I tried to upload my more recent QSOs...those made after the
> initial upload....
>
> I used the exact same procedure...set my selection...set the upload
> flag...and Export for LOTW...the export seemed to work but the ADIF
> file was blank...and the upload date field never changed.....
>
> I've tried just about everything...but to no avail....
>
> On the other hand if I do a Database Export as we did pre 6.03, all
> worked naturally. If I do a Database Export function rather than the
> Export of LOTW, the ADIF file is created normally...just the Upload
> date field is not populated...
>
> What am I doing wrong?
>
> Lou N2TU

1295. My First LoTW submission was a success

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-26 22:07:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1295 Message: 1295

I was fortunate enough to gain 27 band/modes via LoTW that I'd never been able to obtain qsl cards for.
I submitted a LoTW DXCC submission when the awards module went active.
Today I see my application of May 6th showed a status of 'Finished'.

I checked 'Your Account' and did not find any messages saying that the application was accepted nor any sort of summary.
Although the Account Status seems to show the increase in Challenge totals.

12007 qsl's as of today.
I've seen a increase in the number of qsl's the past few weeks/days.

As Perry Como used to say "Keep those cards and letters coming".

Man has his will. Woman has her won't!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1296. Satellite LoTW question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-28 00:49:21 UTC
From: William H. Hein
Topic: 1296 Message: 1296

I uploaded about 100 satellite QSOs to LoTW yesterday (several hundred to go, I have to enter them from paper logs into Dxbase 2005 first). Here is one of the ADIF records from the file I created under DXB's Selection Wizard:

<CALL:5>3A2MD <QSO_DATE:8>19941228 <TIME_ON:4>2040 <RST_SENT:2>53
<RST_RCVD:2>53 <QSL_VIA:8>Direct$$ <TX_PWR:3>100
<ITUZ:2>27 <OPERATOR:5>AA6TT <PFX:3>3A2 <QSL_RCVD:1>Y <DXBQSL_RCVD:1>1
<NAME:5>Laura <FREQ:9>435.50000
<BAND:4>70CM <CQZ:2>14 <GRIDSQUARE:6>JN33RR <SAT_NAME:5>AO-13
<PROP_MODE:3>SAT
<MODE:3>USB <QSL_SENT:1>Y <DXBQSL_SENT:1>1 <QSLRDATE:8>19950530
<COMMENT:6>mode B
<DXBPFX:2>3A <DXBMGRN:1>1 <DXBAWD:1>0 <DXBSEL:1>0 <DXBVAL:1>3
<EOR>

Here is the QSL match from LoTW for that QSO. Note that LoTW has set Receive Band to 70CM, which is of course incorrect.

Station
Call Sign AA6TT
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
CQ Zone 4
ITU Zone 7
Grid DM67FB
State CO
County LA PLATA

Worked Station
Worked 3A2MD
Date/Time 1994-12-28 20:40:00
Mode SSB (PHONE)
Band 70CM
Frequency 435.50000
Receive Band 70CM
Propagation Mode SAT
Satellite AO-13

Record ID 43360639 Received: 2004-05-27 02:34:42

Note that the Receive Band field says 70CM, which is of course wrong (mode B downlink is 2M). How did this happen? All of the satellite QSOs I uploaded to LoTW from DXB have the same error.

1297. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Satellite LoTW question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-05-28 21:13:51 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1296 Message: 1297

Hi Bill

The receive band is set to equal the (transmit) band if the receive band

is not supplied in the ADIF record. For satellite contacts, this doesn't

matter. See:

<https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#datamatch> https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#datamatch

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: William H. Hein [mailto:Bill@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 8:49 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Satellite LoTW question

I uploaded about 100 satellite QSOs to LoTW yesterday (several hundred to
go, I have to enter them from paper logs into Dxbase 2005 first). Here is
one of the ADIF records from the file I created under DXB's Selection
Wizard:

<CALL:5>3A2MD <QSO_DATE:8>19941228 <TIME_ON:4>2040 <RST_SENT:2>53
<RST_RCVD:2>53 <QSL_VIA:8>Direct$$ <TX_PWR:3>100
<ITUZ:2>27 <OPERATOR:5>AA6TT <PFX:3>3A2 <QSL_RCVD:1>Y <DXBQSL_RCVD:1>1
<NAME:5>Laura <FREQ:9>435.50000
<BAND:4>70CM <CQZ:2>14 <GRIDSQUARE:6>JN33RR <SAT_NAME:5>AO-13
<PROP_MODE:3>SAT
<MODE:3>USB <QSL_SENT:1>Y <DXBQSL_SENT:1>1 <QSLRDATE:8>19950530
<COMMENT:6>mode B
<DXBPFX:2>3A <DXBMGRN:1>1 <DXBAWD:1>0 <DXBSEL:1>0 <DXBVAL:1>3
<EOR>

Here is the QSL match from LoTW for that QSO. Note that LoTW has set
Receive Band to 70CM, which is of course incorrect.

Station
Call Sign AA6TT
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
CQ Zone 4
ITU Zone 7
Grid DM67FB
State CO
County LA PLATA

Worked Station
Worked 3A2MD

Date/Time 1994-12-28 20:40:00
Mode SSB (PHONE)
Band 70CM
Frequency 435.50000
Receive Band 70CM
Propagation Mode SAT
Satellite AO-13

Record ID 43360639 Received: 2004-05-27 02:34:42

Note that the Receive Band field says 70CM, which is of course wrong (mode B
downlink is 2M). How did this happen? All of the satellite QSOs I uploaded
to LoTW from DXB have the same error.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://rd.yahoo.com/SIG=1295itsaj/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:
HM/EXP=1085791807/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/
rand=335947293>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1298. received today; Lotw Summary Sheet, GM5A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-01 18:27:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1298 Message: 1298

FIRST THE QSL.
GM5A via GM0RLZ sae/gs sent Mar-3-2004
for a very difficult 80 cw qsl. Thanks guys.

and then
I was wondering what kind of acknowledgement I'd get
after a LoTW submission.
Today I received the same type summary sheet you receive
as if you had submitted a qsl card DXCC submissions.

Thanks guys.
Only thing, I wish there was an easy way to print out the
callsign, and it's info of LoTW qsl that LoTW says you can
submit for credit.
I did it the hard way and handwrote them.
Maybe I'm missing something obvious

Any family tree produces some lemons, nuts and bad apples.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1299. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today; Lotw Summary Sheet, GM5A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-01 19:04:56 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1298 Message: 1299

Not sure of your question but if you're wanting to print and of the LOTW records in the awards section, the way I do it is :

- Highlight the text in the report.
- CTRL C to copy
- Open MS Word (or any other WP)
- Paste the copied text into the WP (CTRL V)
- Print

The report you print will be exactly what you see on the WEB page report including lines and colors.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: QSL List
Cc: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2004 12:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] received today; Lotw Summary Sheet, GM5A

FIRST THE QSL.
GM5A via GM0RLZ sae/gs sent Mar-3-2004
for a very difficult 80 cw qsl. Thanks guys.

and then
I was wondering what kind of acknowledgement I'd get
after a LoTW submission.
Today I received the same type summary sheet you receive
as if you had submitted a qsl card DXCC submissions.

Thanks guys.
Only thing, I wish there was an easy way to print out the
callsign, and it's info of LoTW qsl that LoTW says you can
submit for credit.
I did it the hard way and handwrote them.
Maybe I'm missing something obvious

Any family tree produces some lemons, nuts and bad apples.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1300. Support for other awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-03 15:20:03 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 1300 Message: 1300

I wonder if the ARRL is going to enhance the award system for other awards like WAS, WAC, VUCC, etc. That would also be very nice.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

1301. Account Status Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 18:06:40 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1301 Message: 1301

Hi:
Previously when I checked my K1VU "Account Status" for DX Chalange
the "Total/Current" column showed 41/41.

Since I received a QSL from DF3GY the "Total/Current" column shows ---/42 .

The same is true for my WA1OFR account.

What does this indicate ???

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

1302. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Support for other awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 18:16:54 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1300 Message: 1302

Hi:
According to the guys who talked to Wayne Mills at Dayton, WAC and WAS are next.
They didn't ask about VUCC as the are HF'ers only.

No time schedule given, but I think WAC should be an easy one to implement quickly !!!

WAS shouldn't be to difficult as much of the basic work was done for DXCC.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:20 6/3/2004 , you wrote:
>I wonder if the ARRL is going to enhance the award system for other
>awards like WAS, WAC, VUCC, etc. That would also be very nice.
>
>Duffy
>www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1303. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Support for other awards?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 18:33:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1300 Message: 1303

> According to the guys who talked to Wayne Mills at Dayton,
> WAC and WAS are next. They didn't ask about VUCC as the
> are HF'ers only.
>
> No time schedule given, but I think WAC should be an easy
> one to implement quickly !!!

From my discussions with Staff at Dayton, the issue is one of time and programming resources. The one individual who does the bulk of the internal software development had to spend more time than anticipated on the DXCC program (due to the ancient system on the DXCC side) and has some other, higher priority, tasks elsewhere that must be tacked before spending more time on the awards programs.

However, Bob is right ... since WAS has no honor roll, no endorsement structure, and a much less complicated record keeping requirement, it should be much easier to implement.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1304. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 18:37:18 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1301 Message: 1304

Bob,

This appears to be a change in how the Challenge numbers are presented.

Mine used to show 1688/1688 and since I've done an application (and it's been processed) it now shows ---/1835.

This makes total sense as the Total/Current column indicated Total (including DELTED) and Current (NO DELETED) and the Challenge does not include deleted countries.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: nt1n@...
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2004 12:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Account Status Problem

Hi:
Previously when I checked my K1VU "Account Status" for DX Chalange
the "Total/Current" column showed 41/41.

Since I received a QSL from DF3GY the "Total/Current" column shows ---/42 .

The same is true for my WA1OFR account.

What does this indicate ???

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1305. Hard to QSL DXCC Entities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 18:47:02 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1305 Message: 1305

I am going though a "QSL Chase" trying to get QSLs from a number of entities that have problems with mail not getting through. I've exchanged email with a number of these that confirm my QSO but also confirm that my various "stealth" mailing attempts have not met with success. Most of these outstanding QSL don't seem to be "green stamp collectors" and simple ask for an SAE with an IRC or GS to cover the costs.

Clearly th LoTW would be the perfect vehicle to obtain these confirmations. Since the LoTW appears to have hit a plateau and the number of new DX users does appear to be increasing much, there may be a need for the ARRL to promote this tool more in the rest of the world (as per some of the previous discussion on language and presentations.

What was going though my mind was that maybe the ARRL could take a more proactive role (may it easy to sign up, offer assistance, etc.) in getting QSL managers and high volume operators in these problem countries to ustilize the LoTW to elleviate the mail problems.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1306. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Hard to QSL DXCC Entities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-04 21:12:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1305 Message: 1306

As money moves the world.
I put into my rtty and cw buffers.....

"Pse qsl via the FREE ARRL LoTW QSL system".

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, June 04, 2004 1:47 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Hard to QSL DXCC Entities

I am going though a "QSL Chase" trying to get QSLs from a number of entities that have problems with mail not getting through. I've exchanged email with a number of these that confirm my QSO but also confirm that my various "stealth" mailing attempts have not met with success. Most of these outstanding QSL don't seem to be "green stamp collectors" and simple ask for an SAE with an IRC or GS to cover the costs.

Clearly th LoTW would be the perfect vehicle to obtain these confirmations. Since the LoTW appears to have hit a plateau and the number of new DX users does appear to be increasing much, there may be a need for the ARRL to promote this tool more in the rest of the world (as per some of the previous discussion on language and presentations.

What was going though my mind was that maybe the ARRL could take a more proactive role (may it easy to sign up, offer assistance, etc.) in getting QSL managers and high volume operators in these problem countries to ustilize the LoTW to elleviate the mail problems.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1307. YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 01:14:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1307 Message: 1307

I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through May 2004.

I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and money be joining LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.

I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((

Haven't I seen you on a milk carton?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1308. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 05:13:25 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1307 Message: 1308

Firebrick wrote:

> I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through May 2004.
>
> I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and money be joining
> LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.
>
> I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
> Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((

Thanks for the new one(s) on 4 bands! That's the first progress I've seen on my stats for some time. A big round of applause is in order! Now if we can just locate another 700 logs and get them into the system too!

I just worked 7X4AN (again) on 40M tonight. I cannot tell you how many green stamps he's got of mine. Only one 20M QSL after all the effort. Admittedly, Albania is a bit backwards. But Come On Mohamed! I'm trying to put my kids through college too!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

1309. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 10:24:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1307 Message: 1309

I have included the following buffers into my RTTY and CW system.
"Please qsl via free ARRL LoTW System"

I have gotten replies such as "Will check them out".
and
"No internet here, sri"
Unfortunately, Al Gore hasn't gotten the internet into every nook and cranny yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2004 12:13 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

> Firebrick wrote:
>
> > I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through May 2004.
> >
> > I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and money be
joining
> > LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.
> >
> > I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
> > Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((
>
> Thanks for the new one(s) on 4 bands! That's the first progress I've seen on my stats
for some time. A big round of applause is in order! Now if we can just locate another
700 logs and get them into the system too!
>
> I just worked 7X4AN (again) on 40M tonight. I cannot tell you how many green stamps
he's got of mine. Only one 20M QSL after all the effort. Admittedly, Albania is a bit
backwards. But Come On Mohamed! I'm trying to put my kids through college too!
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1310. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 12:05:58 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1307 Message: 1310

Many thanks, I got nine "hits", the first in a while. It seems that LoTW use has slowed down since DXCC was added. go figure!!!

Jim

I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through May 2004.

I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and money be joining LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.

I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((

1311. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 12:59:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1307 Message: 1311

Actually, I think it's gained momentum.
I'm at 12K+ qsl's, and a lot of that has been post DXCC award roll out.

Now admittedly the US qsl's outnumber the non USA.
But I've seen increases in those also.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
Cc: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2004 7:05 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

> Many thanks, I got nine "hits", the first in a while. It seems that LoTW use has slowed down since DXCC was added. go figure!!!
>
> Jim
>
>
> I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through May 2004.
>
> I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and money be joining
> LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.
>
> I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
> Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((
>
>

1312. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 14:00:27 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1307 Message: 1312

Out of close to 7K submitted QSO's, I have only 166 confirmations :<(

A long way still to go if this is going to be at all useful.

Still have logs that I have not computerized, may not be worth the effort.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2004 9:59 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Actually, I think it's gained momentum.
I'm at 12K+ qsl's, and a lot of that has been post DXCC award roll out.

Now admittedly the US qsl's outnumber the non USA.
But I've seen increases in those also.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
Cc: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2004 7:05 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

> Many thanks, I got nine "hits", the first in a while. It seems that LoTW
use has slowed
down since DXCC was added. go figure!!!
>
> Jim
>
>
> I've received, transcribed, and uploaded the latest YO3APJ logs through
May 2004.
>
> I will ALWAYS answer hard card qsl requests, but you can save time and
money be
joining
> LoTW and getting your qsl credits there.
>
> I still have approx. 150 pages of 9X5AA logs to transcribe and upload.
> Those are tough, they eyes ain't what they used to be. :-((
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1313. LoTW BUG!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 14:14:07 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1313 Message: 1313

Folks,

You may want to keep an eye out for this problem. I reported it some time ago but it appears to still be an issue.

With the reposting of the YO3APJ logs (they had, at least partially, been posted to LoTW once before some time ago), the following happened.

I had aplied for LoTW credits several weeks ago (including YO3APJ on 17 and 10 meters) and they where applied last week to my DXCC record. YO3APJ showed as comfirmed in my on line DXCC Credit Matrix for 17 and 10 meters and in the band specific listings (bold).

Today, when I check my LoTW status and on line DXCC record it shows that these QSOs are selected and available to be applied for for credit (again).

If I check the detailed DXCC credit matrix, it shows these are already confirmed and credited.

It appears that when logs are reloaded to the LoTW, the system doesn't recognize that this is not a new upload and that credits have already been applied.

The good news is the DXCC Credit Matrix is right.

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1314. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW BUG!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 15:03:49 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1313 Message: 1314

Interesting!

I just logged on again and the problem has gone away.

All is as it should be.

Just to be clear, the first log on was from "start up" so it wasn't a "refresh" issue. I may have been a Data Base synchronization delay between the LoTW and DXCC systems.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: lotw-help@... ; DXCC
Sent: Monday, June 07, 2004 8:14 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW BUG!

Folks,

You may want to keep an eye out for this problem. I reported it some time ago but it appears to still be an issue.

With the reposting of the YO3APJ logs (they had, at least partially, been posted to LoTW once before some time ago), the following happened.

I had aplied for LoTW credits several weeks ago (including YO3APJ on 17 and 10 meters) and they where applied last week to my DXCC record. YO3APJ showed as comfirmed in my on line DXCC Credit Matrix for 17 and 10 meters and in the band specific listings (bold).

Today, when I check my LoTW status and on line DXCC record it shows that these QSOs are selected and available to be applied for for credit (again).

If I check the detailed DXCC credit matrix, it shows these are already confirmed and credited.

It appears that when logs are reloaded to the LoTW, the system doesn't recognize that this is not a new upload and that credits have already been applied.

The good news is the DXCC Credit Matrix is right.

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1315. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-07 15:41:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1307 Message: 1315

Carl,

> Out of close to 7K submitted QSO's, I have only
> 166 confirmations :<(
>
> A long way still to go if this is going to be at
> all useful.

My results are 556 confirmations out of 30K QSOs (about 1.8%) so you're doing just a bit better than I am.

> Still have logs that I have not computerized, may
> not be worth the effort.

Breaking down my results between my current QTH and the old contest logs shows 483 confirmations out of 3300 "current" QSOs and only 71 confirmations out of 27K "old" QSOs. I still have a few small contest logs to enter and I□m searching for a couple large multi-op logs that seem to be missing. I'm not sure that I would have started entering all the old logs if I had any idea the confirmation rate was going to be only 0.25%.

BTW, the confirmation rate for the same "old" logs on eQSL is almost three times greater ... still a very disappointing rate of less than 1% (every QSO I upload to to eQSL includes the comment "Please QSL via ARRL Logbook of the World").

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1316. Stats...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-08 13:24:44 UTC
From: ve9dx
Topic: 1316 Message: 1316

Was just reading Carl, VE9OV's comments re results. I for one am quite impressed at how well LOTW seems to be working. Daily I am seeing new matches, granted lots of them US matches but more and more DX stations seem to be coming onboard. For 50,518 qso's as VE9DX, I now show 6,686 matches.
Honestly I have never been one to chase awards but with the simplicity of LOTW... this is going to change.
I say hats off to the those at ARRL who worked this through. Great job.
73 Andy (VE9DX)

ve9dx@...

1317. Stuck at the Post Card

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-08 14:47:31 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1317 Message: 1317

Hi, I'm a newbie at LOTW, this is my first post here. Need a little help.
I have received the postcard from ARRL with the password, but I'm not sure what to do next. I tried
this once before, but somehow I messed things up and had to start over with a new registration.
Could someone please walk me thru it step-by-step, or refer me to instructions that aren't too
difficult to follow?
73, Jerry K3BZ

1318. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-08 15:30:43 UTC
From: Edgar Brown, N6OU
Topic: 1307 Message: 1318

Out of 18244 submitted Qs here, there are 690 QSLs, thats 3.78% return.
QSLs go back 1979 with the lion's share year 2000 and later. Spread is as
follows:
1979 1
1980 0
1981 0
1982 0
1983 1
1984 0
1985 1
1986 1
1987 1
1988 3
1989 2
1990 6
1991 5
1992 13
1993 13
1994 0
1995 1
1996 16
1997 6
1998 21
1999 42
2000 154
2001 151
2002 54
2003 147
2004 52

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <xveoneov@...>
Sent: Monday, 07 June, 2004 8:41 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Carl,

> Out of close to 7K submitted QSO's, I have only
> 166 confirmations :<(

1319. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-08 20:31:55 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1307 Message: 1319

My earliest QSL is 1977 ... I'll add the number of "old" QSOs
uploaded and number of resulting QSLs in your table ...

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Edgar Brown, N6OU [mailto:yungthor@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2004 11:31 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] YO3APJ logs
>
>
> Out of 18244 submitted Qs here, there are 690 QSLs, thats
> 3.78% return. QSLs go back 1979 with the lion's share year
> 2000 and later.
>
> Spread is as follows:

AD8I/W8IK/K4IK
N6OU QSOs QSLs
> 1979 1 4299 10
> 1980 0 5406 12
> 1981 0 6225 21
> 1982 0 5539 11
> 1983 1 5043 12
> 1984 0 186 1
> 1985 1 5 0
> 1986 1 1 0
> 1987 1 3 0
> 1988 3 0 0
> 1989 2 1 0
> 1990 6 0 0
> 1991 5 44 2
> 1992 13 3 0
> 1993 13 58 0
> 1994 0 6 0
> 1995 1 7 0
> 1996 16 0 0
> 1997 6 6 0
> 1998 21 7 0
> 1999 42 1440 189
> 2000 154 37 5
> 2001 151 64 8
> 2002 54 21 0
> 2003 147 1171 239
> 2004 52 560 42

To distil the results ... For QSOs five years old or less, returns are running in teens ... the returns from 20+ years ago are/were not worth the effort.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1320. D2PFN confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-09 23:29:44 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1320 Message: 1320

Worked D2PFN on 12 meter rtty on June 5 and on 17 meter rtty this
afternoon and they are both confirmed already via LoTW. That is what it
is all about. Thanks Ed!!!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1321. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] D2PFN confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-10 15:41:02 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1320 Message: 1321

> Worked D2PFN on 12 meter rtty on June 5 and on 17 meter rtty this
> afternoon and they are both confirmed already via LoTW. That
> is what it

Odd ... my 15/17 meter RTTY QSOs from April are not online yet.
Oh, well ... time to send a card.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1322. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] D2PFN confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-10 16:04:27 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1320 Message: 1322

That is odd Joe -- I have a 15 and 20 rtty with Ed from December and November of last year and they are both there along with the two I mentioned earlier.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:

>
>
> > Worked D2PFN on 12 meter rtty on June 5 and on 17 meter rtty this
> > afternoon and they are both confirmed already via LoTW. That
> > is what it
>
> Odd ... my 15/17 meter RTTY QSOs from April are not online yet.
> Oh, well ... time to send a card.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

1323. LoTW Certificate breakdown by DXCC country

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-10 20:34:52 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1323 Message: 1323

Hello all

Just for fun, following is a breakdown of number of certificates issued to callsigns from 242 DXCC countries, including deleted countries. A good many of these certificates are issued to US stations who have QSL manager duties, or operated from outside the US. There is of course plenty of room for expansion and we will continue to work on that.

73, Dave, NN1N

DXCC_NAME NUM_CERTS
-NONE- 5
AFGHANISTAN 9
AGALEGA & ST BRANDON ISLANDS 1
ALAND ISLAND 5
ALASKA 45
ALBANIA 2
AMERICAN SAMOA 4
ANGOLA 2
ANGUILLA 8
ANTARCTICA 1
ANTIGUA & BARBUDA 22
ARGENTINA 8
ARUBA 14
ASCENSION ISLAND 3
AUSTRAL ISLAND 1
AUSTRALIA 43
AUSTRIA 19
AZORES 4
BAHAMAS 20
BAKER HOWLAND ISLAND 1
BALEARIC ISLAND 4
BANGLADESH 1
BARBADOS 12
BELARUS 8
BELGIUM 44
BELIZE 12
BENIN 3
BERMUDA 6
BHUTAN 10
BONAIRE,CURACAO (NETH ANTILLES) 17
BOTSWANA 4
BRAZIL 32
BRITISH VIRGIN ISLAND 7
BRUNEI 1
BURKINA FASO 4
CAMBODIA 2

CANADA 243
CANARY ISLAND 4
CAPE VERDE 2
CAYMAN ISLAND 23
CENTRAL KIRIBATI 1
CHAGOS ISLAND 1
CHESTERFIELD IS. 1
CHILE 1
CHINA 15
CHRISTMAS ISLAND 2
CLIPPERTON ISLAND 1
COCOS-KEELING ISLAND 2
COLOMBIA 1
COMOROS 1
CORSICA 1
COSTA RICA 10
COTE D'IVOIRE 2
CRETE 5
CROATIA 4
CUBA 2
CYPRUS 14
CZECH REPUBLIC 17
CZECHOSLOVAKIA 3
DENMARK 24
DODECANESE 4
DOMINICA 3
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC 5
DPRK (NORTH KOREA) 1
DUCIE ISLAND 1
EAST MALAYSIA 2
EASTERN KIRIBATI 7
ECUADOR 5
EL SALVADOR 1
ENGLAND 227
EQUATORIAL GUINEA 1
ERITREA 2
ESTONIA 10
ETHIOPIA 1
FALKLAND ISLANDS 3
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY 143
FERNANDO DE NORONHA 1
FIJI ISLANDS 4
FINLAND 13
FRANCE 76
FRENCH POLYNESIA 3
GALAPAGOS ISLANDS 7
GAMBIA 4
GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC 4
GERMANY (DELETED) 6
GHANA 4

GIBRALTAR 1
GREECE 14
GREENLAND 3
GRENADA 11
GUADELOUPE 3
GUAM 12
GUANTANAMO BAY 9
GUATEMALA 4
GUERNSEY 11
HAITI 6
HAWAII 60
HEARD ISLAND 1
HONDURAS 4
HONG KONG 7
HUNGARY 16
ICELAND 10
INDONESIA 8
IRAQ 4
IRELAND 15
ISLE OF MAN 8
ISRAEL 12
ITALY 83
ITU GENEVA SWITZERLAND 4
JAMAICA 6
JAPAN 101
JERSEY 9
JOHNSTON ISLAND 2
JORDAN 4
JUAN DE NOVA, EUROPA 2
JUAN FERNANDEZ ISLAND 1
KAZAKHSTAN 2
KENYA 2
KUWAIT 2
KYRGYZSTAN 1
LATVIA 1
LIECHTENSTEIN 1
LITHUANIA 6
LUXEMBOURG 4
MACAO 3
MADAGASCAR 1
MADEIRA ISLANDS 8
MALAWI 1
MALDIVE ISLANDS 5
MALTA 3
MARIANA ISLANDS 5
MARKET REEF 2
MARSHALL ISLANDS 2
MARTINIQUE 1
MAURITIUS ISLAND 2
MAYOTTE ISLAND 2

MEXICO 16
MICRONESIA 4
MIDWAY ISLAND 1
MONACO 4
MONGOLIA 4
MONTSERRAT 6
MOROCCO 2
MYANMAR 3
NAMIBIA 2
NAURU 1
NEPAL 3
NETHERLANDS 31
NEW ZEALAND 21
NICARAGUA 1
NIGER 4
NIUE 2
NORFOLK ISLAND 1
NORTH COOK ISLANDS 2
NORTHERN IRELAND 4
NORWAY 20
OGASAWARA 2
OMAN 4
PALAU 11
PALESTINE 2
PANAMA 24
PAPUA TERRITORY 1
PARAGUAY 5
PENGUIN ISLANDS 1
PERU 1
PETER 1 ISLAND 1
PHILIPPINES 10
POLAND 35
PORTUGAL 13
PRATAS ISLAND 2
PUERTO RICO 29
QATAR 1
REPUBLIC OF KOREA 9
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA 14
REUNION ISLAND 1
ROMANIA 2
RUSSIAN FEDERATION (ASIATIC) 9
RUSSIAN FEDERATION (EUROPEAN) 38
RWANDA 1
SABLE ISLAND 3
SAINT HELENA 1
SAINT KITTS & NEVIS 7
SAINT LUCIA 5
SAINT MARTIN 9
SAINT PIERRE & MIQUELON 2
SAINT VINCENT 1

SAMOA 1
SAN ANDRES ISLAND 4
SAN FELIX ISLAND 2
SAN MARINO 1
SARDINIA 1
SAUDI ARABIA 1
SCARBOROUGH REEF 1
SCOTLAND 37
SENEGAL 1
SERBIA & MONTENEGRO 2
SEYCHELLES ISLANDS 2
SINGAPORE 2
SINT MAARTEN, SABA, ST EUSTATIUS 3
SLOVAK REPUBLIC 5
SLOVENIA 8
SOLOMON ISLANDS 1
SOUTH COOK ISLANDS 5
SOUTH SHETLAND ISLANDS 1
SPAIN 84
SPRATLY ISLANDS 1
SRI LANKA 2
SUDAN 1
SURINAME 3
SVALBARD 4
SWAZILAND 3
SWEDEN 51
SWITZERLAND 51
TAIWAN 1
TAJIKISTAN 3
TANZANIA 2
TEMOTU PROVINCE 1
THAILAND 7
TIMOR - LESTE 5
TOGO 2
TONGA 2
TRINIDAD & TOBAGO 1
TRISTAN DA CUNHA & GOUGH IS 1
TUNISIA 2
TURKEY 3
TURKS & CAICOS ISLANDS 21
TUVALU 2
U K BASES ON CYPRUS 5
UGANDA 1
UKRAINE 17
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES 4
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 7473
URUGUAY 2
US VIRGIN ISLANDS 22
VANUATU 3
VENEZUELA 5

VIET NAM 4
WALES 11
WALLIS & FUTUNA ISLAND 1
WEST MALAYSIA 3
ZIMBABWE 2

1324. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stuck at the Post Card

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-11 23:50:24 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1317 Message: 1324

Yes... I think I know how to filter my log by the callsign I used when I made each QSO. With help from Bruce KD6WW and others I have somehow managed to actually get certs set up for my current and prior callsigns!! What I'm wondering now is whether I do actually have to send a separate log file for each callsign, signed with the cert for that callsign... that sounds reasonable, but is it correct? 73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Bruce Lee
To: Jerry Keller
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 12:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stuck at the Post Card

You will need to get certificates for the other callsigns. I do not know
that you can send in a single log from 3 callsigns, I have not tried it. I
used a different certificate for each call sign to sign the log associated
with that call sign.

Can you break the log into three parts easily?

Bruce

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: "Bruce Lee" <kd6ww@...>
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 9:04 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stuck at the Post Card

> Hi, Bruce. Thanks for the offer. If I could just ask a couple of questions
if/when I get stuck, I'd
> appreciate. Based on responses I got, I have completed the sequence to the
point where my current
> callsign cert has been accepted and the red "circle&bar" has gone away in
TQSLCert.
> I think that what I have to do now is get certs for my two previous
callsigns. All 3 are in my log,
> so I guess I need the 2 previous call certs to be listed before I make my
first upload, right?
>
> Tnx, 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bruce Lee" <kd6ww@...>
> To: <k3bz@...>
> Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2004 11:20 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stuck at the Post Card
>
>

> > Jerry:
> >
> > I am willing to try.
> >
> > Please let me know if you have no one else already lined up.
> >
> > Bruce
> > kd6ww
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
> > To: "(Reflector) ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2004 2:47 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stuck at the Post Card
> >
> >
> > > Hi, I'm a newbie at LOTW, this is my first post here. Need a little
help.
> > > I have received the postcard from ARRL with the password, but I'm not
sure
> > what to do next. I tried
> > > this once before, but somehow I messed things up and had to start over
> > with a new registration.
> > > Could someone please walk me thru it step-by-step, or refer me to
> > instructions that aren't too
> > > difficult to follow?
> > > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1325. LoTW and DXCC Data Base Syncronization

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-12 21:47:11 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1325 Message: 1325

Fellow Users,

You should be aware that there is a time delay in the Syncronization of the LoTW data base and your DXCC credit records. I've been told that this happens nightly so in the interim between when a new credit appears on the LoTW system and it's validated aganst the DXCC records, it mat take upwards of a day.

As an example:

Today a LoTW RTTY confirmation appeared for CX2AQ. This shows as a "selected" QSO in the awards section of LoTW but when one looks at the DXCC record under "view award credit matrix", my record show this as a confirmed credit.

I expect tomorrow morning it will all be as it should be.

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1326. DXCC credits synchronization with logger applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-18 13:27:35 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1326 Message: 1326

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Again a question concerning synchronization of LoTW DXCC credits
records with the logging applications, for the DXCC statistics
purposes. I hate correcting it manually :)

DXCC staff addressed: any plans for that?

--
73...Art RX9TX 18-Jun-04 13:16 UTC

"Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it." [George Santayana]

1327. Timing on Cerficate mailing vs processing on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-18 23:19:48 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1327 Message: 1327

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I've finally gotten my initial mixed DXCC done, a 'mixed' application:
99 cards and 4 LOTW credits. I did the LOTW application on May 31, and
had my cards field-checked at the Atlanta Hamfest on June 5. I notice
that today I received my certificate and pin in the mail, but LOTW still
shows my application as 'in process'. Somehow I expected things to
happen in the opposite order: I'd see the LOTW application finish before
getting the cerficate in the mail. Perhaps the certificate is mailed
out before the contacts are logged in the DXCC system?

BTW, when I got the certificate, I figured that maybe I need to link
LOTW to my new DXCC, and went ahead and did the Link Account bit. When
someone gets a new DXCC via LOTW (or via a mixed application), do they
have to do the linking, or is that going to be done as part of
processing the DXCC app?

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFA03iTRgRgmxNburQRAj/WAJ9RxHOSgN7oTHIC8wYtMdSjK2flfACfYdWK
g+HseNfvQZfT/Mi471y6P8g=
=LkXf
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1328. Certificates for awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-18 23:41:57 UTC
From: gbaron
Topic: 1328 Message: 1328

I got my cards back today and credited with 2 additional awards. I did not get certificates or stamps or any other acknowledgement other than the list. Should I and how do I correct this? I did send an email to ARRL dxcc@a... I think.

They listed the entities but not the call signs of the credited cards. They used to do that on the paper sheet. Is there any way to find the call signs for which I was credited including those from WAY back in 1970?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

1329. RE: [dxlab] Re: Award Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-21 18:19:46 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1329 Message: 1329

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: edctexas [mailto:ed.cox@...]
> Sent: Monday, June 21, 2004 11:46 AM
> To: dxlab@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dxlab] Re: Award Certificates
>

>
> If I wanted another certificate I'd kick in the other $10 for
> a new certificate. Sort of like robbery isn't it! Well its
> their game and they set the fees! I guess we sort of agreed
> to play the game.

I don't mind paying but I did NOT get the certificates and I DID pay 20
bucks, 10 for each certificate I should have received.

> understand.). To get current mode/band credits for old 5B
> DXCC and other old contacts you must cycle the QSOs thru the
> HQ or conventions with HQ present to get the specific credit
> you seek. I only have another 600 cards to cycle thru the

Just what I did, sent the cards and for the most part I THINK I got
the credits. I am furious that they did NOT tell me which card got which
credit since they included ONLY the entities credited in the report. That is
careless and there is no excuse for that with what we pay.
Those calls are right in front of them when they checked the cards and they
ought to be included!
Even my old PAPER report from 1970 had that!

On top of that, nobody from ARRL had replied to my query yet either. You
have done way more than those I paid my 70 bucks to did. AT LEAST , I got
the award credit, although I have no paper work to prove it, NOT EVEN the
stickers!

I suppose I should chill out but after a 2 month wait I am a bit steamed.

>
> Thats all I know hope it helps
>
> Ed K3SWJ
>

THANKS, if only ARRL could be so good as you.

>
> --- In dxlab@yahoogroups.com, "gbaron" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> > I got my cards back today and credited with 2 additional awards. I
> did not
> > get certificates or stamps or any other acknowledgement other than
> the list.
> > Should I and how do I correct this? I did send an email to ARRL
> > dxcc@a... I think.
> >
> > They listed the entities but not the call signs of the credited
> cards. They
> > used to do that on the paper sheet. Is there any way to find the
> call signs
> > for which I was credited including those from WAY back in 1970?
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1330. Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 20:59:59 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1330

Hi:
While I played with Eqsl before LoTW came into existence, I always wondered how many "REAL" QSL cards I was loosing from Eqsl.

After LoTW came into existence, I wanted to have my QSL's useful for awards.

The first thing I did with Eqsl was NOT to apply for an "Authenticity Guarantee" (AG) Certificate. I did this hoping the to render the QSL's useless for other than the fun aspect.

After talking with a number of other HAMS, I found that they thought the Eqsl's were good awards and were printing them out for such.

After finding no way to remove my QSL card from Eqsl and still be able to "Play" with Eqsl for "Grins & Giggles" I finally came up with a possible solution.

In the Eqsl card design, the give you 4 lines of free text in addition to your Callsign, name and address, Grid Zones and 1010#.

I set the 4 line of free text to:
Line 1: (Left BLANK for seperator)
Line 2: This is NOT a VALID QSL Card
Line 3: No Eqsl's Accepted for ANY Awards
Line 4: Please Respond via DIRECT, BURO or LOTW

If this works I'll be able to get QSL conformation in the order I want them.
1- "Real" QSL's direct or via the BURO (useful for all awards)
2- "Electronic QSL's" via LoTW (useful for some awards for now)

My other option was to just quit Eqsl as they provide a method to do that, but then I couldn't play.

I don't know if this is going to help my QSL return count or not, but it can't hurt.

If any of you have Eqsl's with me as WA1OFR or K1VU, take a look and let me know what you think.

73
Bob, K1VU

1331. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 21:48:31 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1331

Bob wrote:

...SNIP...

> The first thing I did with Eqsl was NOT to apply for an "Authenticity Guarantee"
> (AG) Certificate. I did this hoping the to render the QSL's useless for other than
> the fun aspect.

All I can say is that the only thing you have done is to clog cyberspace with more gibberish. Without the AG, your eQSL is truly meaningless for ALL awards, even the ones eQSL offers. Lots of guys are collecting states, counties, grids, and other goofy stuff that makes no sense to me but means a bunch to them. And you cannot do that with LOTW. They have lots of awards, but your log is meaningless until you get AGed. You cannot even see YOUR progress. I don't get it.

> After talking with a number of other HAMS, I found that they thought the Eqsl's
> were good awards and were printing them out for such.
>
> After finding no way to remove my QSL card from Eqsl and still be able to "Play"
> with Eqsl for "Grins & Giggles" I finally came up with a possible solution.

Why would you NOT participate in eQSL? They don't count for DXCC credit, but there are LOADs of guys and gals chasing eAWARDS. You cannot chase WAS or VUCC or COUNTIES or GRIDS on LOTW. You likely will never be able to get those on LOTW. But they are doing it on eQSL. It is purely personal satisfaction for the recipient of the eAward anyway.

>
> In the Eqsl card design, the give you 4 lines of free text in addition to your
> Callsign, name and address, Grid Zones and 1010#.
>
> I set the 4 line of free text to:
> Line 1: (Left BLANK for seperator)
> Line 2: This is NOT a VALID QSL Card
> Line 3: No Eqsl's Accepted for ANY Awards
> Line 4: Please Respond via DIRECT, BURO or LOTW
>
> If this works I'll be able to get QSL conformation in the order I want them.
> 1- "Real" QSL's direct or via the BURO (useful for all awards)
> 2- "Electronic QSL's" via LoTW (useful for some awards for now)

Nice try. But it won't work. Nobody actually prints those eQSL cards, or even reads them. The only thing important is matching the Qs automatically for "E" awards credit.

>
> My other option was to just quit Eqsl as they provide a method to do that, but
> then I couldn't play.

And you would continue to ruin it (you are not AGed) for those that are playing in both eQSL and LOTW. My inclination is that a VERY high percentage of eQSL users are people just like you that upload their logs to both places. But YOU cannot see YOUR progress until you get AGed.

I just faxed them my latest FCC license a long time ago. It took me about 3 minutes and has not slowed my direct QSL count one little bit.

>
> I don't know if this is going to help my QSL return count or not, but it can't hurt.

And neither will being AGed.

>
> If any of you have Eqsl's with me as WA1OFR or K1VU, take a look and let me know what
> you think.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU

I participate in LOTW and am a big supporter of the ARRL's efforts in this direction. But I have never understood the angry bias against eQSL and those that use it. I just don't get it. DXCC has a great tradition in ham radio. A tradition that should not be tainted by relaxing the rules one little bit. The other awards are not as meaningful to you and me, but they are very meaningful to the new hams that are finding it a big chore to bag a WAS. Most contesters do that in the first 24 hours of a domestic contest. But if you are a newbie running a 706 into a outbacker tied to the hand rail of your 3rd floor apartment, it is a BIG deal.

I would encourage you and the rest of the Award Bigots to go ahead and get AGed on eQSL, upload your logs, and let these folks play ham radio too... Getting AGed does not change your alliance with the ARRL and the true DXCC program, it does not slow your QSL rates, it does not inhibit your ability to play in LOTW. I do not even view eQSL as a competitor to LOTW. They have a different program and a similar audience, but that is it.

My $0.02

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

1332. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:14:54 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1330 Message: 1332

I agree. I am a huge supporter of the ARRL and LoTW, but that is not to the exclusion of other awards, activities or promoters. All of these activities help HAM RADIO!!!!!
I am not interested in E-QSL awards, but many hams are. I got my "AG" some time ago and regularly upload QSO's to E-QSL.

Dissing E-QSL will not help LoTW. Look at the country statistics than N1NN posted a few weeks ago. The problem is a lack of participation by non-W/K hams. We need to encourage them to see the benefits of enrollment. Also, we need to encourage the ARRL to add more awards to LoTW.
WAS would probably encourage non-W/K hams to sign up and links to other awards would help.

I really want to see GRID SQUARES for VHF added, but I will be patient.

Jim

All I can say is that the only thing you have done is to clog cyberspace with more gibberish. Without the AG, your eQSL is truly meaningless for ALL awards, even the ones eQSL offers. Lots of guys are collecting states, counties, grids, and other goofy stuff that makes no sense to me but means a bunch to them. And you cannot do that with LOTW. They have lots of awards, but your log is meaningless until you get AGed. You cannot even see YOUR progress. I don't get it.

1333. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:34:40 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1333

What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:

http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm

The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not invented syndrome" at the ARRL.

73
Bob W4OWY

R Johnson wrote:

>Hi:
>While I played with Eqsl before LoTW came into existence, I always wondered
>how many "REAL" QSL cards I was loosing from Eqsl.
>
>After LoTW came into existence, I wanted to have my QSL's useful for awards.
>
>The first thing I did with Eqsl was NOT to apply for an "Authenticity Guarantee"
>(AG) Certificate. I did this hoping the to render the QSL's useless for other than
>the fun aspect.
>
>After talking with a number of other HAMS, I found that they thought the Eqsl's
>were good awards and were printing them out for such.
>
>After finding no way to remove my QSL card from Eqsl and still be able to "Play"
>with Eqsl for "Grins & Giggles" I finally came up with a possible solution.
>
>In the Eqsl card design, the give you 4 lines of free text in addition to your
>Callsign, name and address, Grid Zones and 1010#.
>
>I set the 4 line of free text to:
>Line 1: (Left BLANK for seperator)
>Line 2: This is NOT a VALID QSL Card
>Line 3: No Eqsl's Accepted for ANY Awards
>Line 4: Please Respond via DIRECT, BURO or LOTW
>
>If this works I'll be able to get QSL conformation in the order I want them.
>1- "Real" QSL's direct or via the BURO (useful for all awards)
>2- "Electronic QSL's" via LoTW (useful for some awards for now)
>
>My other option was to just quit Eqsl as they provide a method to do that, but
>then I couldn't play.
>
>I don't know if this is going to help my QSL return count or not, but it can't hurt.
>

>If any of you have Eqsl's with me as WA1OFR or K1VU, take a look and let me know what
>you think.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com

1334. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:44:45 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1334

I agree also, I support ARRL and LOTW as well as eQSL and I do paper. We should be here to help other hams with the many varied aspects of the hobby and awards, not try to drive people away from whatever turns them on. Different strokes...

73
Bob W4OWY

Jim Brannigan wrote:

> I agree. I am a huge supporter of the ARRL and LoTW, but that is not
> to the exclusion of other awards, activities or promoters. All of
> these activities help HAM RADIO!!!!!
> I am not interested in E-QSL awards, but many hams are. I got my "AG"
> some time ago and regularly upload QSO's to E-QSL.
>
> Dissing E-QSL will not help LoTW. Look at the country statistics than
> N1NN posted a few weeks ago. The problem is a lack of participation
> by non-W/K hams. We need to encourage them to see the benefits of
> enrollment. Also, we need to encourage the ARRL to add more awards to
> LoTW.
> WAS would probably encourage non-W/K hams to sign up and links to
> other awards would help.
>
> I really want to see GRID SQUARES for VHF added, but I will be patient.
>
> Jim
>
>
> All I can say is that the only thing you have done is to clog
> cyberspace with more gibberish. Without the AG, your eQSL is
> truly meaningless for ALL awards, even the ones eQSL offers. Lots
> of guys are collecting states, counties, grids, and other goofy
> stuff that makes no sense to me but means a bunch to them. And
> you cannot do that with LOTW. They have lots of awards, but your
> log is meaningless until you get AGed. You cannot even see YOUR
> progress. I don't get it.
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129mbr260/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1088028905/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com>
>
>

>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

1335. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:46:05 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1335

Left out one word, should have said "not invented here syndrome"

W4OWY

Bob Fuss wrote:

> What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:
>
> http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm
>
> The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not invented
> syndrome" at the ARRL.
>
> 73
> Bob W4OWY
>
>
>
> R Johnson wrote:
>
>>Hi:
>>While I played with Eqsl before LoTW came into existence, I always wondered
>>how many "REAL" QSL cards I was loosing from Eqsl.
>>
>>After LoTW came into existence, I wanted to have my QSL's useful for awards.
>>
>>The first thing I did with Eqsl was NOT to apply for an "Authenticity Guarantee"
>>(AG) Certificate. I did this hoping the to render the QSL's useless for other than
>>the fun aspect.
>>
>>After talking with a number of other HAMS, I found that they thought the Eqsl's
>>were good awards and were printing them out for such.
>>
>>After finding no way to remove my QSL card from Eqsl and still be able to "Play"
>>with Eqsl for "Grins & Giggles" I finally came up with a possible solution.
>>
>>In the Eqsl card design, the give you 4 lines of free text in addition to your
>>Callsign, name and address, Grid Zones and 1010#.
>>
>>I set the 4 line of free text to:
>>Line 1: (Left BLANK for seperator)
>>Line 2: This is NOT a VALID QSL Card
>>Line 3: No Eqsl's Accepted for ANY Awards
>>Line 4: Please Respond via DIRECT, BURO or LOTW
>>
>>If this works I'll be able to get QSL conformation in the order I want them.

>>1- "Real" QSL's direct or via the BURO (useful for all awards)
>>2- "Electronic QSL's" via LoTW (useful for some awards for now)
>>
>>My other option was to just quit Eqsl as they provide a method to do that, but
>>then I couldn't play.
>>
>>I don't know if this is going to help my QSL return count or not, but it can't hurt.
>>
>>If any of you have Eqsl's with me as WA1OFR or K1VU, take a look and let me know what
>>you think.
>>
>>73
>>Bob, K1VU
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>--
>For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:
>
>http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129ts0rjm/M=298184.5022502.6152625.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1088030085/A=2197946/R=0/SIG=11elgumq7/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0183367>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com

1336. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:49:03 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1330 Message: 1336

If you are going to rant and rave you might are well get it right. The term of art is "Not invented here" syndrome.
As someone said "for every complex problem there is a simple answer, and it is usually wrong"
I'm sure that "NIH" is part of the ARRL reason, but the cost and effort necessary to develop the system would mitigate against would make it more than a small part.

The integrity of the ARRL awards system is paramount. While we may argue the methodology, but we can't argue that they achieved the result.

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Fuss
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 18:34
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:

http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm

The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not invented syndrome" at the ARRL.

73
Bob W4OWY

1337. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 22:58:15 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1337

Bob wrote:

What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:

http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm

The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not invented syndrome" at the ARRL.

73
Bob W4OWY

Well, I can see from the link that there are no less than 60 organizations that accept eQSLs for the awards they offer. And the link at the top of the page describes the efforts expended in an attempt to satisfy the ARRL DXCC rules. Further, they have gone back to a more relaxed approach to matching QSOs for eAwards.

So your 'not invented here' comment is valid, but misplaced. DXCC was invented at the ARRL, not eQSL. And the traditions surrounding DXCC cannot be truly replicated on-line by either eQSL or LOTW. The Staff at ARRL does a great job at processing lots of information and is jealously protecting the integrity of DXCC. I cannot fault the ARRL for jealously protecting those that have achieved what all of us can attribute to being very difficult to attain.

From a marketing standpoint, LOTW is going to be a tough sell without the rest of the awards being available for credit. I can personally attest to the fact that my JA QSL requests have all but gone away now that my log is AGed at eQSL. Those folks are passionate about paper on the wall. For a while, I was thinking of applying for the 'Worked All JAs" award. My buro decks were about 70% contest JAs. They were collecting counties.

Makes me wonder if the ARRL could charge a subscription for people to track all their awards like we are now enjoying tracking DXCC. My hunch is that lots of DX would not subscribe to a magazine they cannot read, but they would subscribe to a database like none other in the world! ARRL? Are you listening?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1338. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 23:13:08 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1338

The integrity of the ARRL awards system is paramount. While we may
argue the methodology, but we can't argue that they achieved the result.

The ARRL's integrity is no more paramount then eQSL. I can cheat just as
easily on one as the other. As a matter of fact, I had a ham provide me
an invalid confirmation, on LOTW, although it was unintentional..

73
Bob

Jim Brannigan wrote:

> If you are going to rant and rave you might are well get it right.
> The term of art is "Not invented here" syndrome.
> As someone said "for every complex problem there is a simple answer,
> and it is usually wrong"
> I'm sure that "NIH" is part of the ARRL reason, but the cost and
> effort necessary to develop the system would mitigate against would
> make it more than a small part.
>
> The integrity of the ARRL awards system is paramount. While we may
> argue the methodology, but we can't argue that they achieved the result.
>
> Jim
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Bob Fuss <mailto:bobfuss@...>
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 18:34
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
> What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:
>
> http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm
>
> The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not
> invented syndrome" at the ARRL.
>
> 73
> Bob W4OWY
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1293f3526/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=17050

63108:HM/EXP=1088030977/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com

1339. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 23:11:43 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1339

I just logged into eQSL for the first time in weeks. An interesting message popped up...

"* Thanks to the SDXG (South German DX Group) for hosting a presentation on eQSL.cc by Dave N5UP on May 22. Photos here"

This is marketing folks. Promote or perish! Dave's doing it! We, as a US/VE community, have got to figure out ways to get the LOTW message into the hands of the DX public before we are going to see wide acceptance of LOTW around the world.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1340. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:13:13 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1340

> From: Ford Peterson
>
> Why would you NOT participate in eQSL? They don't count for
> DXCC credit, but there are LOADs of guys and gals chasing
> eAWARDS. You cannot chase WAS or VUCC or COUNTIES or GRIDS
> on LOTW. You likely will never be able to get those on LOTW.
> But they are doing it on eQSL. It is purely personal
> satisfaction for the recipient of the eAward anyway.

WAS, VUCC, Grids will all be supported on LotW eventually. That had already been announced. Further, ARRL and CQ have been in discussion about making use of LotW for CQ awards.

What that happens LotW will be useful for recognized awards beyond DXCC. Until eQSL strengthen their QSO matching system to prevent fraud, I will personally not recognize any award that accepts eQSL "confirmations" without requiring some other independent means of verification.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1341. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:13:13 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1341

From: Bob Fuss

> The ARRL's integrity is no more paramount then eQSL. I
> can cheat just as easily on one as the other.

Absolutely not true ... I regularly reject (and have had rejected) invalid QSOs on eQSL. I have also received eQSL "confirmations" for QSOs that had been "rejected" as "not in the log" when I attempted to get the "real" card.

I have never received a LotW confirmation for an invalid QSL ... the benefit of a double blind system.

> As a matter of fact, I had a ham provide me an invalid
> confirmation, on LOTW, although it was unintentional..

The only way that can happen is if the two of you make the same mistake independently - or if the two of you conspire to upload the same non-existent QSO data.

Lotw is a far more robust and secure system than eQSL. eQSL.cc had an opportunity to adopt a double blind system and require public/private key encryption to secure the data and they refused. Seems to me if they did not chose to adopt the security and validation standards that ARRL required, they have no reason to complain about the NIH syndrome.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1342. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:27:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1330 Message: 1342

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 8:13 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

>
> From: Bob Fuss
>
> > The ARRL's integrity is no more paramount then eQSL. I
> > can cheat just as easily on one as the other.
>
> Absolutely not true ... I regularly reject (and have had
> rejected) invalid QSOs on eQSL. I have also received
> eQSL "confirmations" for QSOs that had been "rejected"
> as "not in the log" when I attempted to get the "real"
> card.
>
> I have never received a LotW confirmation for an invalid
> QSL ... the benefit of a double blind system.
>
> > As a matter of fact, I had a ham provide me an invalid
> > confirmation, on LOTW, although it was unintentional..
>
> The only way that can happen is if the two of you make the
> same mistake independently - or if the two of you conspire
> to upload the same non-existent QSO data.
>
> Lotw is a far more robust and secure system than eQSL.
> eQSL.cc had an opportunity to adopt a double blind system
> and require public/private key encryption to secure the
> data and they refused. Seems to me if they did not chose
> to adopt the security and validation standards that ARRL
> required, they have no reason to complain about the NIH
> syndrome.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

I gotta agree with you Joe.
I think your right on target.

Two people making almost identical date/time/band errors CAN happen.
But not too likely.

1343. Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:26:25 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1343 Message: 1343

Hi:
An "Interesting Development" !!!

After my earlier post today on Eqsl, I did some prowling around the Eqsl site.

Much to my surprise CQ is already accepting Eqsl's for the CQZ Award !!!

This puts a whole new light on Eqsl !!!

Check on your "Standings" for the eWAZ Award and scroll to the bottom of the list and you will see a button "Submit to CQ". You check off the EQSL's you want to use and then send them for credit. NO CHARGE mentioned !!!

I sent one of my 10M Zone 33 Eqsl's as a test. Worked FB !!!

I guess I have to rethink how I do things now !!!

Wonder how I'll get these Eqsl's into LOGic !!!

What a nightmare this is going to be keeping track of Paper, LoTW, & Eqsl QSL's for these awards.

Looks like Eqsl scooped LoTW on the CQZ Award !!!

Probably just as well as about 40% of my LoTW QSL's are bad for anything other than DXCC !!! Had missing CQZ, ITUZ, Grids, US Counties, and bad IOTA formats.

Sure wish LoTW would force people to include ALL of their "Station Info" in the TQSL setup so their LoTW matches would be worth something !!!

Do it Right, and do it Once !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

1344. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:46:14 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1344

Joe wrote:

...SNIP...

> WAS, VUCC, Grids will all be supported on LotW eventually. That
> had already been announced. Further, ARRL and CQ have been in
> discussion about making use of LotW for CQ awards.

But will eWAS, eVUCC, eWAZ, and others be supported? I doubt it. They are different awards with different sponsors. And lots of folks collect them.

>
> What that happens LotW will be useful for recognized awards
> beyond DXCC. Until eQSL strengthen their QSO matching system
> to prevent fraud, I will personally not recognize any award
> that accepts eQSL "confirmations" without requiring some other
> independent means of verification.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

Well, you might as well tear up your CQ awards. I just went to the bottom of my eWAZ document and there was a button, which if pressed, submits all of the zones I have confirmed with my eQSL account to CQ Magazine. Most of these non-DXCC awards are linked in this fashion. Are you going to tear up your CQ awards? If so, please report this. I seriously doubt you will. Why? Because you worked hard for those awards. If you didn't cheat, you know it. If you did cheat to get it, then your personal satisfaction derived from having the CQ WAZ award would no doubt mean you were indifferent to tearing it up anyway.

Look, I'm not advocating that ARRL accept eQSL's methods. That is a dead discussion for many good reasons. Trying to twist what I'm advocating is hardly fair. All I'm describing is that the enjoyment of ham radio is being damaged for many decent, non-cheating hams because LOTW snobs have boycotted eQSL for reasons that completely escape me. There is not ONE valid argument for the eQSL boycott. eQSL are different awards. ARRL doesn't need to accept them, and neither do you. And just because somebody chases counties or zones and uses eQSL does not mean they have cheated and lied their way to get it. It's a personal thing, and very rewarding for many hams.

Besides DXCC, there really is no formal reporting of "who's who" for most awards. The ranking is an exclusively DXCC thing to my knowledge, which gives the owner some braggin' rights. So besides DXCC, ALL awards are simply a personal thing. Anybody stupid enough to cheat to get one of those awards is stupid enough to cheat at solitaire, which makes a challenging game just a complicated card sorting method.

It seems to me, if Dave at eQSL has figured out how to submit credits to these other awards, like WAZ, with the click of a button, then ARRL should be able to figure out the link too. And collect fees in the process! I'll bet it is as simple as just asking CQ what format and information they want contained in the email. Ditto for the various State's QSO parties, and dozens of other Domestic and DX Clubs.

Holding out because the methods don't meet your personal standards means you are boycotting the sponsor of the award. Kinda backwards logic isn't it?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1345. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 01:53:14 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1330 Message: 1345

Of course, we shouldn't point out that eQSL will tell you about where and when the DX station has you logged so that you can conveniently confirm it and then send that QSO information to LOTW and get a DXCC credit for it...

eQSL isn't double-blind and in the process lowers LOTW's security level.

We should discuss the complete inability of eQSL to deal with one call shared between a couple of locations.

Mike

1346. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:00:09 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1346

Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!

What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
want to pay for.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 21:27 6/22/2004 , you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 8:13 PM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
> >
> > From: Bob Fuss
> >
> > > The ARRL's integrity is no more paramount then eQSL. I
> > > can cheat just as easily on one as the other.
> >
> > Absolutely not true ... I regularly reject (and have had
> > rejected) invalid QSOs on eQSL. I have also received
> > eQSL "confirmations" for QSOs that had been "rejected"
> > as "not in the log" when I attempted to get the "real"
> > card.
> >
> > I have never received a LotW confirmation for an invalid
> > QSL ... the benefit of a double blind system.
> >
> > > As a matter of fact, I had a ham provide me an invalid
> > > confirmation, on LOTW, although it was unintentional..
> >
> > The only way that can happen is if the two of you make the
> > same mistake independently - or if the two of you conspire
> > to upload the same non-existent QSO data.
> >
> > Lotw is a far more robust and secure system than eQSL.
> > eQSL.cc had an opportunity to adopt a double blind system
> > and require public/private key encryption to secure the
> > data and they refused. Seems to me if they did not chose
> > to adopt the security and validation standards that ARRL
> > required, they have no reason to complain about the NIH
> > syndrome.

> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
>
>I gotta agree with you Joe.
>I think your right on target.
>
>Two people making almost identical date/time/band errors CAN happen.
>But not too likely.
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1347. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:22:54 UTC
From: kt4nm@bellsouth.net
Topic: 1330 Message: 1347

All I can say is that I have a very high percentage of qsl's with e-qsl and very little with lotw.....check out the number of certificates issued by country......not very impressive....

> From: Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...>
> Date: 2004/06/22 Tue PM 06:34:40 EDT
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
> What you are saying is not true. You need to go here and read:
>
> http://www.eqsl.cc/qslcard/OrgBB.cfm
>
> The reason eQSL's are not accepted for ARRL awards is a "not invented
> syndrome" at the ARRL.
>
> 73
> Bob W4OWY
>
>
>
> R Johnson wrote:
>
> >Hi:
> >While I played with Eqsl before LoTW came into existence, I always wondered
> >how many "REAL" QSL cards I was loosing from Eqsl.
> >
> >After LoTW came into existence, I wanted to have my QSL's useful for awards.
> >
> >The first thing I did with Eqsl was NOT to apply for an "Authenticity Guarantee"
> >(AG) Certificate. I did this hoping the to render the QSL's useless for other than
> >the fun aspect.
> >
> >After talking with a number of other HAMS, I found that they thought the Eqsl's
> >were good awards and were printing them out for such.
> >
> >After finding no way to remove my QSL card from Eqsl and still be able to "Play"
> >with Eqsl for "Grins & Giggles" I finally came up with a possible solution.
> >
> >In the Eqsl card design, the give you 4 lines of free text in addition to your
> >Callsign, name and address, Grid Zones and 1010#.
> >
> >I set the 4 line of free text to:
> >Line 1: (Left BLANK for seperator)
> >Line 2: This is NOT a VALID QSL Card

> >Line 3: No Eqsl's Accepted for ANY Awards
> >Line 4: Please Respond via DIRECT, BURO or LOTW
> >
> >If this works I'll be able to get QSL conformation in the order I want them.
> >1- "Real" QSL's direct or via the BURO (useful for all awards)
> >2- "Electronic QSL's" via LoTW (useful for some awards for now)
> >
> >My other option was to just quit Eqsl as they provide a method to do that, but
> >then I couldn't play.
> >
> >I don't know if this is going to help my QSL return count or not, but it can't hurt.
> >
> >If any of you have Eqsl's with me as WA1OFR or K1VU, take a look and let me know what
> >you think.
> >
> >73
> >Bob, K1VU
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:
>
> http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com
>
>
>

1348. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:24:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1330 Message: 1348

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

> Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
>
> What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> want to pay for.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.

Or am I missing something obvious here????

May all your family trees branch toward the stars!

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1349. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:27:04 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1349

Mike wrote:

>
> Of course, we shouldn't point out that eQSL will tell you about where and
> when the DX station has you logged so that you can conveniently confirm it
> and then send that QSO information to LOTW and get a DXCC credit for it...

I am not aware of the ability to get one side of the QSO until there is a match.

>
> eQSL isn't double-blind and in the process lowers LOTW's security level.

Hmmm. Seems you don't understand the eQSL system. I cannot get the info on a QSO until there is a match in my log. I get rejects if I'm 'not in his log,' but that's it.

>
> We should discuss the complete inability of eQSL to deal with one call
> shared between a couple of locations.
>
> Mike

QTH doesn't matter. Not one whit! Your argument proves beyond a doubt that ARRL cannot accept eQSL for DXCC credit, but not the other way around. The award sponsor dictates the level of security involved in procuring the award, not you, me, or the ARRL. Get this...the county hunters allow 'relays.' No kidding! If you can hear the station and I can't but I can hear you, I can work the guy via a relay through you and eQSL the QSO. Whatsupwithat? Seems to make the county chase pretty bogus. But that's what the award sponsor allows, and lots of folks chase counties. I've had many requests for relays when mobile and simply refuse the QSO. We cannot change methods or procedures of the sponsor no matter how ridiculous they seem. If they accept eQSL, or relays, they accept it. End of discussion no matter how much 'we' don't like it.

My point? If the sponsor allows eQSL for credit, then withholding a confirm via eQSL is like refusing to allow the recipient of a QSL to submit it to a card checker. The sponsor allows eQSL as a checker--end of discussion. ARRL does not accept eQSL as a checker for a number of reasons--end of discussion. I would be CQ would accept LOTW confirms, as would the county hunters, as would _____ fill in the blank. Although sponsors requiring grid or some other data could not use it if it isn't in the LOTW database.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

1350. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:31:53 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1350

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV [mailto:wa0sxv@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 8:53 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
> Of course, we shouldn't point out that eQSL will tell you
> about where and when the DX station has you logged so that
> you can conveniently confirm it and then send that QSO
> information to LOTW and get a DXCC credit for it...
>

That reduces the security not at all. If you really worked him, then what is
the problem with sending in the report to LotW.
If you did not really work him then the entry in eqsl.cc is useless for
submission to LotW.

You are no going to say, but you may have logged it wrongly, well that is
just a typo, you really did work the station. I see no problems here.
If it is a problem they are going to have to outlaw all of the master data
and country files in contest logging programs and all of the other computer
assisted logging methods, gimme a break.

> eQSL isn't double-blind and in the process lowers LOTW's
> security level.
>
> We should discuss the complete inability of eQSL to deal with
> one call shared between a couple of locations.
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for
> only $14.70 http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1351. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:22:49 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1351

Maybe you did or maybe I didn't make it clear enough !!!

What I was referring to were LoTW QSL's that say I bought for DXCC credit, but were faulty in some other respect like lack of GRID for VUCC credit.

Of course I'm assuming that if I "Bought" an LoTW QSL I could apply it to as many Awards that the ARRL/LoTW system supports.

On the other hand maybe we will have to pay 3 times for the same QSL if we want to apply it to DXCC, WAS, & VUCC Awards !!!

73 Bob

At 22:24 6/22/2004 , you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
> > Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
> >
> > What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> > want to pay for.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
>Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
>Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
>Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.
>
>Or am I missing something obvious here????
>
>
>
>---
>May all your family trees branch toward the stars!
>---
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1352. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:47:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1330 Message: 1352

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:22 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

> Maybe you did or maybe I didn't make it clear enough !!!
>
> What I was referring to were LoTW QSL's that say I bought for DXCC credit, but were
> faulty in some other respect like lack of GRID for VUCC credit.
>
> Of course I'm assuming that if I "Bought" an LoTW QSL I could apply it to as many
> Awards that the ARRL/LoTW system supports.
>
> On the other hand maybe we will have to pay 3 times for the same QSL if we want
> to apply it to DXCC, WAS, & VUCC Awards !!!
>
> 73 Bob
>

That still doesn't make sense to me.
Why would you pay/claim for credit, a lotw qsl that was missing information you need for an award.
If you got a qsl in the mail and it didn't have an iota number you wouldn't send it in to iota desk.

and are you saying that if you do claim a lotw qsl, one payment should cover all awards, from any group, that it would be acceptable for?

Presently, you can send in a qsl card to the DXCC desk for credit as a USA contact.
And then send the same card in for a WAS contact.
But you would have to pay the fee required for each award submission even though it's the same card.

I'm having a bit of trouble following you, but then I admit to being dense.

1353. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:57:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1353

> What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> want to pay for.

You only "pay" for those that you select to apply for an ARRL
award. Otherwise LotW cost you nothing.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1354. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 02:58:05 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1354

KT4NM wrote:

> All I can say is that I have a very high percentage of qsl's with e-qsl and very little with lotw.....check out the number of certificates issued by country......not very impressive....

That's interesting. I have 19,876 QSOs in both systems.

LOTW 2443 75 countries
eQSL 2238 73 countries

The country count is approximately the same. Figuring out the cross-over is difficult.

Out of the 20,000 QSOs, 15 people have requested a eQSL from me and they are not in my log. Of these, 12 of these are from SWLers, which are requesting a confirm of a QSO with another ham, which is why eQSL rejected the Q (it's not a QSO).

I'll have to research the SWLers and accept them if they have a good match. SWLing is BIG in many countries and an absolute requirement for several years before they can get a ticket. Another reason to get AGed.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1355. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 03:07:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1355

> But will eWAS, eVUCC, eWAZ, and others be supported? I doubt
> it. They are different awards with different sponsors. And
> lots of folks collect them.

That's a matter for the sponsors (eQSL.cc). I doubt they will
be willing to pay for access to QSO credits from ARRL.

I question if the eQSL.cc awards are recognized by anyone outside
of eQSL. They haven't even been able to aggregate QSLs/QSOs I
have under multiple calls into a single awards account - at least
LotW has done that right.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1356. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 03:07:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1356

> Hmmm. Seems you don't understand the eQSL system. I cannot
> get the info on a QSO until there is a match in my log. I
> get rejects if I'm 'not in his log,' but that's it.

You are the one that doesn't understand the eQSL system.

If VU4DX uploads a log that shows he worked K4IK at 16:00z,
April 1, 2000 on 1.825 MHz, that QSL with show up in my eQSL
inbox with the reject and accept columns marked. If that
QSO is not in my log (he miscopied IK4IK or 4K4IK), I can
still accept that eQSL.

In addition, I can put that "QSO" in my log and upload the
record to LotW. If VU4DX uploads the same log to LotW that
was uploaded to eQSL, I have an Andaman & Nicobar confirmation
that is entirely bogus.

Thus eQSL's security flaws have compromised LotW (and also
DXCC).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1357. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 03:27:48 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1357

Joe wrote:

>
> > Hmmm. Seems you don't understand the eQSL system. I cannot
> > get the info on a QSO until there is a match in my log. I
> > get rejects if I'm 'not in his log,' but that's it.
>
> You are the one that doesn't understand the eQSL system.
>
> If VU4DX uploads a log that shows he worked K4IK at 16:00z,
> April 1, 2000 on 1.825 MHz, that QSL with show up in my eQSL
> inbox with the reject and accept columns marked. If that
> QSO is not in my log (he miscopied IK4IK or 4K4IK), I can
> still accept that eQSL.
>
> In addition, I can put that "QSO" in my log and upload the
> record to LotW. If VU4DX uploads the same log to LotW that
> was uploaded to eQSL, I have an Andaman & Nicobar confirmation
> that is entirely bogus.

Why would you do that? That's quite an admission in such a public place. Seems to me, you just jeopardized the integrity your whole log--and possibly participation in the DXCC program! Intentionally tampering with internal controls is a serious issue. How many other times did you do this?

>
> Thus eQSL's security flaws have compromised LotW (and also
> DXCC).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

As far as your example, I'll have to look at my eQSL pages a bit closer. I guess I have not seen what you describe. Only the 'not in log' items. But then, I've never attempted to cheat so I don't look for the opportunity. Even if I found the opportunity, I wouldn't take advantage of it...

For what it's worth, you could do the same stunt with either a direct or a buro QSL card, a 20M QSO, or an email to your buddy VU4DX. The security measures advocated suggest that everybody should send all QSL cards to ARRL direct and let them match them up. So are direct and buro QSLs going to be questioned too?

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

1358. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 04:09:10 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1358

> Why would you do that? That's quite an admission in such a
> public place. Seems to me, you just jeopardized the
> integrity your whole log--and possibly participation in the
> DXCC program! Intentionally tampering with internal controls
> is a serious issue. How many other times did you do this?

I did not say I did it ... I said it could be done (I don't
have VU4 on ANY band). eQSL offers a significant opportunity
to "game" LotW and if I were part of the ARRL staff working in
the awards area I would think about suggesting that the Board
of Directors consider any and all avenues to protect LotW and
DXCC from possible dilution by eQSL.

> As far as your example, I'll have to look at my eQSL pages a
> bit closer. I guess I have not seen what you describe. Only
> the 'not in log' items. But then, I've never attempted to
> cheat so I don't look for the opportunity. Even if I found
> the opportunity, I wouldn't take advantage of it...

You might not ... however, the same kind of person who would
submit an altered 17 meter QSL for 160 meter WAZ would do it
in a flash.

> For what it's worth, you could do the same stunt with either
> a direct or a buro QSL card, a 20M QSO, or an email to your
> buddy VU4DX.

I didn't say that a user could not conspire with a friend to
upload matching but false "QSOs." However, one would have to
have "friends" in many rare "countries" willing to jeopardize
their own status to make a significant difference. With eQSL
all one needs is a sloppy log keeper.

> The security measures advocated suggest that everybody should
> send all QSL cards to ARRL direct and let them match them up.
> So are direct and buro QSLs going to be questioned too?

Physical cards have always been examined with great care. Why
do you think ARRL does not allow 160 meter cards or cards for
QSOs more than 10 calendar years old to be processed by field
checkers? The staff who process cards on a daily basis know
what to look for, know what cards may be bogus, etc. Perhaps
they will eventually develop a list of known "sloppy logs" and
require some additional documentation for QSOs with those
> stations.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1359. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 04:45:31 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1359

Joe explained:

> > Why would you do that? That's quite an admission in such a
> > public place. Seems to me, you just jeopardized the
> > integrity your whole log--and possibly participation in the
> > DXCC program! Intentionally tampering with internal controls
> > is a serious issue. How many other times did you do this?
>
> I did not say I did it ... I said it could be done (I don't
> have VU4 on ANY band).

Thanks Joe for clearing that up. It's amazing how a little word "If" can change the whole meaning of an entire paragraph. I re-read your post. My mistake... Sorry...

> eQSL offers a significant opportunity
> to "game" LotW and if I were part of the ARRL staff working in
> the awards area I would think about suggesting that the Board
> of Directors consider any and all avenues to protect LotW and
> DXCC from possible dilution by eQSL.
>
> > As far as your example, I'll have to look at my eQSL pages a
> > bit closer. I guess I have not seen what you describe. Only
> > the 'not in log' items. But then, I've never attempted to
> > cheat so I don't look for the opportunity. Even if I found
> > the opportunity, I wouldn't take advantage of it...
>
> You might not ... however, the same kind of person who would
> submit an altered 17 meter QSL for 160 meter WAZ would do it
> in a flash.

But I wonder how often this occurs? People spend their lives in jail because of mistakes, but I have to believe it's rare. Others get ham radio awards they are not entitled to, but again, I have to believe it's rare. Cheating is just too much work! One errant eQSL will not change the actual intent of the awards being granted.

>
> > For what it's worth, you could do the same stunt with either
> > a direct or a buro QSL card, a 20M QSO, or an email to your
> > buddy VU4DX.
>
> I didn't say that a user could not conspire with a friend to
> upload matching but false "QSOs." However, one would have to
> have "friends" in many rare "countries" willing to jeopardize
> their own status to make a significant difference. With eQSL
> all one needs is a sloppy log keeper.

We've identified yet another important internal control. "Independence" is important. It would be virtually impossible to alter a felonous DXCC participant's award outcome when said felon needs to 'befriend' people separated by such distance, and further motivate them to 'cheat.'

>
> > The security measures advocated suggest that everybody should
> > send all QSL cards to ARRL direct and let them match them up.
> > So are direct and buro QSLs going to be questioned too?
>
> Physical cards have always been examined with great care. Why
> do you think ARRL does not allow 160 meter cards or cards for
> QSOs more than 10 calendar years old to be processed by field
> checkers? The staff who process cards on a daily basis know
> what to look for, know what cards may be bogus, etc. Perhaps
> they will eventually develop a list of known "sloppy logs" and
> require some additional documentation for QSOs with those
> > stations.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

So we've identified important internal controls and one internal control that cannot be replaced--the eyes and ears of staffers with a brain connected to the fingers shuffling paper at ARRL the DXCC desk--a control that is not implimented at eQSL. So we are again in complete agreement, the ARRL cannot accept eQSL as a card checker.

However, my participation in this thread is really about the non-DXCC awards sponsored by entities other than the ARRL. My argument is that the DXing and Domestic ham radio community's boycott of eQSL is unfounded. I have yet to hear ONE valid argument in favor of not participating in eQSL by uploading logs and getting AGed... This observer and participant in both programs (LOTW and eQSL) would appreciate an explanation from those participating in the boycott of eQSL.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1360. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 05:15:16 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1330 Message: 1360

On Tue, Jun 22, 2004 at 10:22:54PM -0400, kt4nm@... wrote:
> All I can say is that I have a very high percentage of qsl's with e-qsl and very little with lotw.....check out the number of certificates issued by country......not very impressive....

Sure. And now remember that eQSL has been around for several years and
LoTW about 8 months.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1361. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 05:42:22 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1361

> However, my participation in this thread is really about the
> non-DXCC awards sponsored by entities other than the ARRL.
> My argument is that the DXing and Domestic ham radio
> community's boycott of eQSL is unfounded. I have yet to hear
> ONE valid argument in favor of not participating in eQSL by
> uploading logs and getting AGed... This observer and
> participant in both programs (LOTW and eQSL) would appreciate
> an explanation from those participating in the boycott of eQSL.

Although I do upload my logs in both places and ALL of my various calls are "AG" I do object to those who gladly accept my eQSL but refuse to participate in LotW. They may be able to use my eQSL for their virtual awards but they do not return the favor by providing a confirmation that is accepted for the award program I care about ... the first and only universally recognized awards program.

Every one of my eQSLs carries the comment:

"Please QSL via ARRL Logbook of the World"

Unless the eQSL community begins to participate in Lotw in larger numbers, I could support a boycott of eQSL.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1362. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 06:37:26 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1343 Message: 1362

Don't make a mistake in LOTW, you can't delete the contact. (unless
they changed that.)

Thanks,
Buck
NA4FM

****
Reliable, Fast, Cheap
Pick any two
****

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]

> Sure wish LoTW would force people to include ALL of their
> "Station Info" in the TQSL setup so their LoTW matches would
> be worth something !!!
>
> Do it Right, and do it Once !!!
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for only $14.70
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA> /ELTolB/TM
>
>
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1363. still waiting for a WN9VOL qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-22 17:40:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1363 Message: 1363

Come on guys, someone out there must have worked me as a Novice.
I almost made DXCC as a Novice.
I was WN9VOL between July 67 and through April 68.

You don't have to upload your entire old log...
But if your looking through your oldies and spot me.
I'd love to get ONE qsl for my old Novice call.

LOL

When you kill a bug, ten more come for the funeral.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1364. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 10:46:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1343 Message: 1364

----- Original Message -----
From: "NA4FM (Buck)" <na4fm-list@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 1:37 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

> Don't make a mistake in LOTW, you can't delete the contact. (unless
> they changed that.)
>
> Thanks,
> Buck
> NA4FM
>
That's the double blind aspect of LoTW.
If I make a mistake and copied wa4fm as na4fm, and submit a LoTW,
YOU WILL NEVER SEE IT. You will never know it's there

unless you make the identical mistake with regard to date/time/band.
probably have a better chance at the lottery.

1365. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 10:55:45 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1330 Message: 1365

Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare -- instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.

LOTW handles this quite well. It also handled my /KH6 stuff from 20 years ago quite well. Had to get an additional certificate but that took about one minute to request and about an hour to get granted.

On the other hand, the "committee" at eQSL required me to resend my license to get a registration for "WA0SXV/5" AG'ed even though WA0SXV was already AG'ed. And I can't aggregate any of the numbers. And I can't operate WA0SXV from two locations.

The failure of hams to "AG" their eQSL registration is a real nuisance. In 120 days I was well over DXCC in LOTW. I'm currently at 98 countries in eQSL. I have well more than that in eQSL -- but they're not "AG".

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 21:27
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Mike wrote:

>
> Of course, we shouldn't point out that eQSL will tell you about where and
> when the DX station has you logged so that you can conveniently confirm it
> and then send that QSO information to LOTW and get a DXCC credit for it...

I am not aware of the ability to get one side of the QSO until there is a match.

>
> eQSL isn't double-blind and in the process lowers LOTW's security level.

Hmmm. Seems you don't understand the eQSL system. I cannot get the info on a QSO until there is a match in my log. I get rejects if I'm 'not in his log,' but that's it.

>
> We should discuss the complete inability of eQSL to deal with one call
> shared between a couple of locations.
>
> Mike

QTH doesn't matter. Not one whit! Your argument proves beyond a doubt that ARRL cannot accept eQSL for DXCC credit, but not the other way around. The award sponsor dictates the level of security involved in procuring the award, not you, me, or the ARRL. Get this...the county hunters allow 'relays.' No kidding! If you can hear the station and I can't but I can hear you, I can work the guy via a relay through you and eQSL the QSO. Whatsupwithat? Seems to make the county chase pretty bogus. But that's what the award sponsor allows, and lots of folks chase counties. I've had many requests for relays when mobile and simply refuse the QSO. We cannot change methods or procedures of the sponsor no matter how ridiculous they seem. If they accept eQSL, or relays, they accept it. End of discussion no matter how much 'we' don't like it.

My point? If the sponsor allows eQSL for credit, then withholding a confirm via eQSL is like refusing to allow the recipient of a QSL to submit it to a card checker. The sponsor allows eQSL as a checker--end of discussion. ARRL does not accept eQSL as a checker for a number of reasons--end of discussion. I would be CQ would accept LOTW confirms, as would the county hunters, as would _____ fill in the blank. Although sponsors requiring grid or some other data could not use it if it isn't in the LOTW database.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1366. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:19:11 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1366

Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:

>Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but
>some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you
>get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare --
>instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.
>
>LOTW handles this quite well. It also handled my /KH6 stuff from 20 years
>ago quite well. Had to get an additional certificate but that took about
>one minute to request and about an hour to get granted.
>
>On the other hand, the "committee" at eQSL required me to resend my license
>to get a registration for "WA0SXV/5" AG'ed even though WA0SXV was already
>AG'ed. And I can't aggregate any of the numbers. And I can't operate
>WA0SXV from two locations.
>

Under FAQ in eQSL:

More than one QTH or owner for the same callsign. If the same callsign
needs to be registered to multiple owners or in multiple QTHes to the
same owner, each account must have a different effective date range.
This is all handled automatically within eQSL.cc. Go to MY PROFILE, and
follow the link to MY ACCOUNTS. There you can add a new account with the
same or a different callsign and attach it to your current account. Then
you can log into that account, change the profile to show the new QTH
and the dates of operation at that QTH. Any cards that come in for the
time period covered under that profile will be automatically routed into
the correct InBox. This is also the way we handle multiple owners of the
same callsign, as in SK or re-issued callsigns, special event stations,
etc. It is extremely important that you have the correct dates set up in
MY PROFILE for each callsign! If for some reason you are not able to
register the date range you need, please contact our Support volunteers.

I think this addresses your problem?

73
Bob W4OWY

>
>The failure of hams to "AG" their eQSL registration is a real nuisance. In
>120 days I was well over DXCC in LOTW. I'm currently at 98 countries in
>eQSL. I have well more than that in eQSL -- but they're not "AG".
>
>Mike
>

>-----Original Message-----
>From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
>Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 21:27
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>Mike wrote:
>
>
>
>>Of course, we shouldn't point out that eQSL will tell you about where and
>>when the DX station has you logged so that you can conveniently confirm it
>>and then send that QSO information to LOTW and get a DXCC credit for it...
>>
>>
>
>I am not aware of the ability to get one side of the QSO until there is a
>match.
>
>
>
>>eQSL isn't double-blind and in the process lowers LOTW's security level.
>>
>>
>
>Hmmm. Seems you don't understand the eQSL system. I cannot get the info on
>a QSO until there is a match in my log. I get rejects if I'm 'not in his
>log,' but that's it.
>
>
>
>>We should discuss the complete inability of eQSL to deal with one call
>>shared between a couple of locations.
>>

>>
>>Mike
>>
>>
>
>QTH doesn't matter. Not one whit! Your argument proves beyond a doubt that
>ARRL cannot accept eQSL for DXCC credit, but not the other way around. The
>award sponsor dictates the level of security involved in procuring the
>award, not you, me, or the ARRL. Get this...the county hunters allow
>'relays.' No kidding! If you can hear the station and I can't but I can
>hear you, I can work the guy via a relay through you and eQSL the QSO.
>Whatsupwithat? Seems to make the county chase pretty bogus. But that's
>what the award sponsor allows, and lots of folks chase counties. I've had
>many requests for relays when mobile and simply refuse the QSO. We cannot
>change methods or procedures of the sponsor no matter how ridiculous they

>seem. If they accept eQSL, or relays, they accept it. End of discussion no
>matter how much 'we' don't like it.
>
> My point? If the sponsor allows eQSL for credit, then withholding a
>confirm via eQSL is like refusing to allow the recipient of a QSL to submit
>it to a card checker. The sponsor allows eQSL as a checker--end of
>discussion. ARRL does not accept eQSL as a checker for a number of
>reasons--end of discussion. I would be CQ would accept LOTW confirms, as
>would the county hunters, as would ______ fill in the blank. Although
>sponsors requiring grid or some other data could not use it if it isn't in
>the LOTW database.
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1367. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:33:48 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1330 Message: 1367

No, it absolutely does not. I live at both locations. Dates are interspersed. I even operated one contest from both locations - one on Friday evening, one on Saturday evening.

I have read the FAQ. Several times.

At one point there was an announcement that eQSL was doing a beta test of some kind of multiple location handling. That was a while ago and I've never heard anything else about it.

LOTW allows you to attach as many locations as you wish to a given call. Works great.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Fuss [mailto:bobfuss@...t]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 06:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:

Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare -- instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.

LOTW handles this quite well. It also handled my /KH6 stuff from 20 years ago quite well. Had to get an additional certificate but that took about one minute to request and about an hour to get granted.

On the other hand, the "committee" at eQSL required me to resend my license to get a registration for "WA0SXV/5" AG'ed even though WA0SXV was already AG'ed. And I can't aggregate any of the numbers. And I can't operate WA0SXV from two locations.

Under FAQ in eQSL:

More than one QTH or owner for the same callsign. If the same callsign needs to be registered to multiple owners or in multiple QTHes to the same owner, each account must have a different effective date range. This is all handled automatically within eQSL.cc. Go to MY PROFILE, and follow the link to MY ACCOUNTS. There you can add a new account with the same or a different callsign and attach it to your current account. Then you can log into that account, change the profile to show the new QTH and the dates of operation

at that QTH. Any cards that come in for the time period covered under that profile will be automatically routed into the correct InBox. This is also the way we handle multiple owners of the same callsign, as in SK or re-issued callsigns, special event stations, etc. It is extremely important that you have the correct dates set up in MY PROFILE for each callsign! If for some reason you are not able to register the date range you need, please contact our Support volunteers.

I think this addresses your problem?

73
Bob W4OWY

1368. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:41:21 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1368

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 11:46 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>

> However, my participation in this thread is really about the
> non-DXCC awards sponsored by entities other than the ARRL.
> My argument is that the DXing and Domestic ham radio
> community's boycott of eQSL is unfounded. I have yet to hear
> ONE valid argument in favor of not participating in eQSL by
> uploading logs and getting AGed...

And you will not hear one because there are none. Keep pushing, eqsl.cc is a very nice addition to ham radio. It does not and should not replace DXCC but it is a very useful item.
By the way, they were at one time requiring the double blind match which would have been nearly as secure as LotW but the screaming started and the membership declined and they changed it. For what they do that was the right thing to do.

1369. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:44:14 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1369

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Joe Subich, K4IK [mailto:k4ik@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 12:42 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
>
> > However, my participation in this thread is really about
> the non-DXCC
> > awards sponsored by entities other than the ARRL.
> > My argument is that the DXing and Domestic ham radio community's
> > boycott of eQSL is unfounded. I have yet to hear ONE valid
> argument
> > in favor of not participating in eQSL by uploading logs and getting
> > AGed... This observer and participant in both programs (LOTW and
> > eQSL) would appreciate an explanation from those
> participating in the
> > boycott of eQSL.
>
> Although I do upload my logs in both places and ALL of my
> various calls are "AG" I do object to those who gladly accept
> my eQSL but refuse to participate in LotW. They may be able
> to use my eQSL for their virtual awards but they do not
> return the favor by providing a confirmation that is accepted
> for the award program I care about ... the first and only
> universally recognized awards
> program.
>
> Every one of my eQSLs carries the comment:
>
> "Please QSL via ARRL Logbook of the World"
>
> Unless the eQSL community begins to participate in Lotw in
> larger numbers, I could support a boycott of eQSL.
>
> 73,

You have a very good point here. There is no excuse at all for a person not to participate in LotW, none that I can think of at any rate unless they have no computer with access to the internet. Of course if they are on

eqsl.cc they must have that!

>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1370. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:45:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1343 Message: 1370

I think that is bad design but it does NOT affect the system integrity. It
is just an orphaned record with no match so it does not matter.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: NA4FM (Buck) [mailto:na4fm-list@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 1:37 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry
>
> Don't make a mistake in LOTW, you can't delete the contact.
> (unless they changed that.)
>
> Thanks,
> Buck
> NA4FM
>
> **********
> Reliable, Fast, Cheap
> Pick any two
> **********
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>
> > Sure wish LoTW would force people to include ALL of their "Station
> > Info" in the TQSL setup so their LoTW matches would be
> worth something
> > !!!
> >
> > Do it Right, and do it Once !!!
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ~--> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for
> only $14.70
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA> /ELTolB/TM
> >

> >
> > --
> > ------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for only $14.70
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1371. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] still waiting for a WN9VOL qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:49:03 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1363 Message: 1371

I can understand that. I am luck, lots of novice QSLes and I have a QSL with
my first EVER contact back in 1953 WN0RYM-WN0QHS
Unfortunately you are not in my log, at least not in the computerized
portion.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 12:40 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] still waiting for a WN9VOL qsl
>
> Come on guys, someone out there must have worked me as a Novice.
> I almost made DXCC as a Novice.
> I was WN9VOL between July 67 and through April 68.
>
> You don't have to upload your entire old log...
> But if your looking through your oldies and spot me.
> I'd love to get ONE qsl for my old Novice call.
>
> LOL
>
>
> --
> When you kill a bug, ten more come for the funeral.
> --
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>

1372. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:53:27 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 1330 Message: 1372

Mike:

OK, understand, I would be tempted to send Rich, W3ZJ, an email and ask why they can't fix the problem

73
Bob W4OWY.

Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:

> No, it absolutely does not. I live at both locations. Dates are
> interspersed. I even operated one contest from both locations - one
> on Friday evening, one on Saturday evening.
>
>
>
> I have read the FAQ. Several times.
>
>
>
> At one point there was an announcement that eQSL was doing a beta test
> of some kind of multiple location handling. That was a while ago and
> I've never heard anything else about it.
>
>
>
> LOTW allows you to attach as many locations as you wish to a given
> call. Works great.
>
>
>
> 73,
>
> Mike WA0SXV
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bob Fuss [mailto:bobfuss@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 06:19

> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
>
>
>
> Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:
>
>Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but
>
>some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you
>
>get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare --
>
>instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.
>
>
>
>LOTW handles this quite well. It also handled my /KH6 stuff from 20 years
>
>ago quite well. Had to get an additional certificate but that took about
>
>one minute to request and about an hour to get granted.
>
>
>
>On the other hand, the "committee" at eQSL required me to resend my license
>
>to get a registration for "WA0SXV/5" AG'ed even though WA0SXV was already
>
>AG'ed. And I can't aggregate any of the numbers. And I can't operate
>
>WA0SXV from two locations.
>
>
>
> Under FAQ in eQSL:
>
> More than one QTH or owner for the same callsign. If the same callsign
> needs to be registered to multiple owners or in multiple QTHes to the
> same owner, each account must have a different effective date range.
> This is all handled automatically within eQSL.cc. Go to MY PROFILE,
> and follow the link to MY ACCOUNTS. There you can add a new account
> with the same or a different callsign and attach it to your current
> account. Then you can log into that account, change the profile to
> show the new QTH and the dates of operation at that QTH. Any cards
> that come in for the time period covered under that profile will be
> automatically routed into the correct InBox. This is also the way we
> handle multiple owners of the same callsign, as in SK or re-issued

> callsigns, special event stations, etc. It is extremely important that
> you have the correct dates set up in MY PROFILE for each callsign! If
> for some reason you are not able to register the date range you need,
> please contact our Support volunteers.
>
> I think this addresses your problem?
>
> 73
> Bob W4OWY
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1293tjvlg/M=298184.5022502.6152625.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1088076838/A=2164339/R=0/SIG=11e2d64in/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0183348>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.homestead.com

1373. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 11:54:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1373

Mike is correct an beat me to the post. What a pain to do this by date unless you were at one location for a while and then another and don't go back and forth. It is also not unique as he said since time is not included. I don't bother, old QTHes are just not uploaded and will not be until and unless this is fixed.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV [mailto:wa0sxv@...]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 6:34 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

No, it absolutely does not. I live at both locations. Dates are interspersed. I even operated one contest from both locations - one on Friday evening, one on Saturday evening.

I have read the FAQ. Several times.

At one point there was an announcement that eQSL was doing a beta test of some kind of multiple location handling. That was a while ago and I've never heard anything else about it.

LOTW allows you to attach as many locations as you wish to a given call. Works great.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Fuss [mailto:bobfuss@...]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 06:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:

Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare -- instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.

LOTW handles this quite well. It also handled my /KH6 stuff from 20 years ago quite well. Had to get an additional certificate but that took about one minute to request and about an hour to get granted.

On the other hand, the "committee" at eQSL required me to resend my license to get a registration for "WA0SXV/5" AG'ed even though WA0SXV was already AG'ed. And I can't aggregate any of the numbers. And I can't operate WA0SXV from two locations.

Under FAQ in eQSL:

More than one QTH or owner for the same callsign. If the same callsign needs to be registered to multiple owners or in multiple QTHes to the same owner, each account must have a different effective date range. This is all handled automatically within eQSL.cc. Go to MY PROFILE, and follow the link to MY ACCOUNTS. There you can add a new account with the same or a different callsign and attach it to your current account. Then you can log into that account, change the profile to show the new QTH and the dates of operation at that QTH. Any cards that come in for the time period covered under that profile will be automatically routed into the correct InBox. This is also the way we handle multiple owners of the same callsign, as in SK or re-issued callsigns, special event stations, etc. It is extremely important that you have the correct dates set up in MY PROFILE for each callsign! If for some reason you are not able to register the date range you need, please contact our Support volunteers.

I think this addresses your problem?

73
Bob W4OWY

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1293tjvlg/M=298184.5022502.6152625.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1088076838/A=2164339/R=0/SIG=11e2d64in/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60183348> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=298184.5022502.6152625.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2164339/rand=318343330>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1374. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 12:21:06 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1343 Message: 1374

If you make a mistake with a LoTW upload, simply redo the upload with the correct information.
The incorrect QSO will sit on the database with no match and the corrected QSO will be available.

Jim

Don't make a mistake in LOTW, you can't delete the contact. (unless
they changed that.)

Thanks,
Buck
NA4FM

1375. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 13:32:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1375

The "process" is totally useless for county hunters and
mobiles/rovers in QSO parties/VHF/UHF contests. They
often operate from a dozen or more different counties
or grid squares during a single 48 hour weekend.

LotW handles those stations just fine although there
is a minor issue with self-assigned identifiers - e.g.,
K4IK vs. K4IK/ORG vs. K4IK/SEM, etc.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bob Fuss [mailto:bobfuss@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 7:19 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
> Under FAQ in eQSL:
>
> More than one QTH or owner for the same callsign. If the same
> callsign needs to be registered to multiple owners or in multiple
> QTHes to the same owner, each account must have a different
> effective date range. This is all handled automatically within
> eQSL.cc. Go to MY PROFILE, and follow the link to MY ACCOUNTS.
> There you can add a new account with the same or a different
> callsign and attach it to your current account. Then you can
> log into that account, change the profile to show the new QTH
> and the dates of operation at that QTH. Any cards that come in
> for the time period covered under that profile will be auto-
> matically routed into the correct InBox. This is also the way
> we handle multiple owners of the same callsign, as in SK or
> re-issued callsigns, special event stations, etc. It is
> extremely important that you have the correct dates set up
> in MY PROFILE for each callsign! If for some reason you are
> not able to register the date range you need, please contact
> our Support volunteers.
>
> I think this addresses your problem?
>
> 73
> Bob W4OWY

1376. WSJT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 14:00:22 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1376 Message: 1376

OK Gang, here is the answer straight from HQ.

Mike,
Right now, it appears that FSK-441 and JT-65 are not specifically
supported. You can use the mapping utility in TQSL to present the QSOs as
some other mode, but there is no accepted standard. We should be doing a
new tqsl software release in a month or so, and I hope we will address
these and newer modes at that time.
Wayne, N7NG/1

73
Mike, W5UC
"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
All outgoing mail checked for virus content

1377. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 14:20:52 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1330 Message: 1377

I have sent feedback to eQSL on this matter at least twice. No substantive response.

On the other hand, having been warned by the failures in eQSL I did correspond quite a bit with the ARRL during the LOTW design and copied my division director on the correspondence. I was assured that they had taken multiple locations into account and as it turns out they certainly did.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Fuss [mailto:bobfuss@...]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 06:53
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

* Mike:

OK, understand, I would be tempted to send Rich, W3ZJ, an email and ask why they can't fix the problem

73
Bob W4OWY.

1378. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 15:54:00 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1378

Mike wrote:

> Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM but
> some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you
> get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare --
> instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.

You are missing the point Mike. If the award sponsor allows completely fabricated logs, then they are allowed. If they will grant an eWAS with the full knowledge that you have been all over the planet when you worked those Qs, and the sponsor allows that, then QTH does NOT matter one whit.

For the vast majority of folks, one QTH at a time is quite sufficient. For them, the eWAS means something to THEM, which is why they chase the award. Your point clearly identifies yet one more reason why the ARRL cannot accept eQSL as a card checker, but it does not identify a reason why LOTW cannot check cards for eQSL, or why people would choose to boycott eQSL and all the award sponsors that choose to accept eQSL as a card checker.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1379. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 17:28:32 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1330 Message: 1379

I'm not boycotting either and you *still* miss the point.

There will always be log fabrication. No matter what. That is the problem of the idiot who gets pleasure out of receiving an award that they don't deserve. Their lack of self-esteem and need for attention isn't my problem.

I'm a full participant in eQSL annoying though it is. I have similar return rates on both. In LOTW I upload my logs and I'm finished. I look at the results occasionally.

On eQSL I have to check constantly to see whether someone has loaded yet another attempt at getting a card which isn't in the log. Even though I have well more than a hundred countries I have to wait until the DX authenticates. I have to field the complaints from the guys who wanted a NM QSO and got credit for a MO QSO. In an effort to accommodate them I have registered /5 and they can log to that to get their NM credit. Of course when I download the /5 stuff I then get a couple dozen emails complaining about duplicate log entries. BAD DESIGN. And the location problem exists not just for those fortunate enough to have second homes. Mobiles, portables, lighthouse stations, and everyone else that doesn't stay put has the problem.

Inadequate design, arbitrary authentication checking, but you're right -- the eQSL awards are fun and those I get I'll know I got honestly.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Ford Peterson [mailto:n0fp@...]
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 10:54
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Mike wrote:

> Of course QTH matters. eQSL has a WAS award. I operate mostly from NM
but
> some from MO. My call is registered in MO. So when you work me in NM you
> get MO credit from eQSL. In addition my county in NM is relatively rare
--
> instead of Taos County you get St. Louis County.

You are missing the point Mike. If the award sponsor allows completely fabricated logs, then they are allowed. If they will grant an eWAS with the full knowledge that you have been all over the planet when you worked those Qs, and the sponsor allows that, then QTH does NOT matter one whit.

For the vast majority of folks, one QTH at a time is quite sufficient. For them, the eWAS means something to THEM, which is why they chase the award. Your point clearly identifies yet one more reason why the ARRL cannot accept eQSL as a card checker, but it does not identify a reason why LOTW cannot check cards for eQSL, or why people would choose to boycott eQSL and all the award sponsors that choose to accept eQSL as a card checker.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1380. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 18:04:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1380

Ford writes:

> .. but it does not identify a reason why LOTW cannot check
> cards for eQSL,

Because eQSL will not accept, nor will they pay the cost for
LotW checked cards.

> or why people would choose to boycott eQSL and all the
> award sponsors that choose to accept eQSL as a card checker.

for the very reason that ARRL will not can cannot accept eQSL
cards for DXCC. eQSL DOES NOT DO any "card checking." It is
a totally insecure system with no way to assure or prevent
tampering. In addition, eQSL does not (properly) support
multiple QTHs and rover operations necessary for VUCC/HF
Grid/US counties/ITU zones and other awards.

eQSL is the electronic equivalent of, and no better than, a
"QSL bulletin board" at any large hamfest.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1381. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 18:27:27 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1381

> Ford writes:
>
> > .. but it does not identify a reason why LOTW cannot check
> > cards for eQSL,
>
> Because eQSL will not accept, nor will they pay the cost for
> LotW checked cards.

And why would they need to?. If I were to ask LOTW to verify the QSOs, pay the fee to the ARRL for doing so, they could eMail eQSL, or CQ, or the Michigan QSO party, or whoever I direct them to send the 'credits' to. Boda-Bing-Boda-Boom. ARRL gets its cut, the award sponsor gets the QSOs confirmed, and I get my award based on the sponsor's criteria.

>
> > or why people would choose to boycott eQSL and all the
> > award sponsors that choose to accept eQSL as a card checker.
>
> for the very reason that ARRL will not can cannot accept eQSL
> cards for DXCC. eQSL DOES NOT DO any "card checking."

Geez Joe. This is obvious--a point you keep hammering home and I keep agreeing with you. But in this case, LOTW does the 'checking' and sends the credit to eQSL, or CQ, or whoever.

> It is
> a totally insecure system with no way to assure or prevent
> tampering. In addition, eQSL does not (properly) support
> multiple QTHs and rover operations necessary for VUCC/HF
> Grid/US counties/ITU zones and other awards.

So what? LOTW is secure. And it is LOTW that is collecting the fee for doing the checking, which is better than the sponsor's award criteria. Why would CQ or the MI QSO Party deny a LOTW 'checked' QSO?

>
> eQSL is the electronic equivalent of, and no better than, a
> "QSL bulletin board" at any large hamfest.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

So what? I guess I'm confused as to where you are going with this. Do you want the award sponsor to beef up their criteria? Then the issue should be taken up with the sponsor. Meanwhile, let's free up the credits already in LOTW to claim the other awards.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1382. Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 19:19:33 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1382

Let me try it again.
FIRST, "Major Assumption": LoTW IS NOT GOING TO BE FOR JUST FOR DXCC !!!!

About 40% of my LoTW QSL's are missing some "Station Data", Grid, Zones,. etc. !!!

I should have said:
"What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" so that if I had an Incomplete QSL, I could reject the QSO for say "Lack of Grid" or whatever was missing."

This "Rejection" could be processed by LoTW and LoTW could notify the sender that they need to go back to TQSL and fill in ALL of their "Station Data" and resubmit their logs. so that they are useful for awards other than DXCC

Assumptions:
1- ARRL/LoTW supports (someday) the following awards: DXCC,WAS,VUCC,CQZ, & IOTA.
2- I'm working on my 6M DXCC and VUCC
3- I work OX3?? on 6M
4- He has NOT filled in ALL of his "Station Data" in TQSL.
5- I get an LoTW QSL showing that it is only good for DXCC (missing Grid, Zones, & IOTA).

So I miss out on VUCC, CQZ, and IOTA credit. Now I have to hope I can work another OX station on 6M to get a Grid for my 6M VUCC !!! Not to lightly !!!

This whole situation could be avoided if LoTW required stations to setup TQSL completely.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 22:24 6/22/2004 , you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
>
> > Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
> >
> > What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> > want to pay for.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
>Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
>Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
>Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.

>
>Or am I missing something obvious here????
>
>
>
>---
>May all your family trees branch toward the stars!
>---
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1383. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 20:31:29 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1330 Message: 1383

I have also been "skunked" by incomplete station data. in my case an ON without his grid for a 6M contact.
But, you can't require the data. Many stations simply do not know the relevant information. If you force them to complete a form they will either give up, or fill in any thing that comes to mind.

What we need is PR and education.....

We need to talk it up on the air and the ARRL should be beating the drum in every issue of QST...

Jim

Let me try it again.
FIRST, "Major Assumption": LoTW IS NOT GOING TO BE FOR JUST FOR DXCC !!!!

About 40% of my LoTW QSL's are missing some "Station Data", Grid, Zones,. etc. !!!

I should have said:
"What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" so that if I had an Incomplete QSL, I could reject the QSO for say "Lack of Grid" or whatever was missing."

This "Rejection" could be processed by LoTW and LoTW could notify the sender that they need to go back to TQSL and fill in ALL of their "Station Data" and resubmit their logs. so that they are useful for awards other than DXCC

Assumptions:
1- ARRL/LoTW supports (someday) the following awards: DXCC,WAS,VUCC,CQZ, & IOTA.
2- I'm working on my 6M DXCC and VUCC
3- I work OX3?? on 6M
4- He has NOT filled in ALL of his "Station Data" in TQSL.
5- I get an LoTW QSL showing that it is only good for DXCC (missing Grid, Zones, & IOTA).

So I miss out on VUCC, CQZ, and IOTA credit. Now I have to hope I can work another OX station on 6M to get a Grid for my 6M VUCC !!! Not to lightly !!!

This whole situation could be avoided if LoTW required stations to setup TQSL completely.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 22:24 6/22/2004 , you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

>
>
> > Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
> >
> > What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> > want to pay for.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
>Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
>Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
>Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.
>
>Or am I missing something obvious here????
>
>
>
>--
>May all your family trees branch toward the stars!
>--
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1384. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 20:49:36 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1330 Message: 1384

Jim Brannigan wrote:

> I have also been "skunked" by incomplete station data. in my case an ON
> without his grid for a 6M contact.

You'd figure a guy active on 6 would know his Grid!

> But, you can't require the data. Many stations simply do not know the
> relevant information.

If folks weren't lazy, they could easily look it up ... or ask their buddy across town! With the Internet available, these days "not knowing" is a lame excuse. It's like the guys who ask ... "I'm looking for info on ______." Why not go to "Google" and find it yourself?

> We need to talk it up on the air and the ARRL should be beating the drum
> in every issue of QST.

It's like every other thing in Amateur Radio (CW, ARES/RACES, contesting, etc.,) ... those who are interested, will do it, those who are not, won't. You won't magically make someone interested in something they don't care about, no matter how loudly you "beat the drum"! After a while, the rhythm gets boring and you start tuning it out altogether.

Ron

1385. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 21:35:45 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1385

Hi Jim:
TNX for your reply on this subject, YOU are the first one to agree that LoTW has a problem with "Incomplete Station Data" !!! It's hurting the LoTW program.

I agree 100% that LoTW can't force LoTW users to supply the required info in TQSL, but maybe they could provide a "Check List" in the documentation or the FAQ's outlining just what info they NEED to supply before setting up TQSL.

Maybe another thing that LoTW could do is provide a mechanism so that we could notify LoTW of stations with bad/incomplete info. LoTW could then notify the offending station of the problem and what data is missing, the correct data, and how to correct problem.

Another thing I have noticed, some LoTW Users have computer crashes and when they reinstalled TQSL they neglected to redo there "Station Data".

If LoTW is to succeed with anything other than DXCC, I think they should move fast on getting the WAS & VUCC Awards up and running. These are ARRL Awards and not subject to the vagaries other "Award Sponsors" requirements. First thing First !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 16:31 6/23/2004 , you wrote:
>I have also been "skunked" by incomplete station data. in my case an ON without his grid for a 6M contact.
>But, you can't require the data. Many stations simply do not know the relevant information. If you force them to complete a form they will either give up, or fill in any thing that comes to mind.
>
>What we need is PR and education........
>
>We need to talk it up on the air and the ARRL should be beating the drum in every issue of QST...
>
>Jim
>>
>>
>>
>Let me try it again.
>FIRST, "Major Assumption": LoTW IS NOT GOING TO BE FOR JUST FOR DXCC !!!!
>
>About 40% of my LoTW QSL's are missing some "Station Data", Grid, Zones,. etc. !!!
>
>I should have said:
>"What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" so that if I had an Incomplete QSL, I could
>reject the QSO for say "Lack of Grid" or whatever was missing."

>
>This "Rejection" could be processed by LoTW and LoTW could notify the sender that
>they need to go back to TQSL and fill in ALL of their "Station Data" and resubmit
>their logs. so that they are useful for awards other than DXCC
>
>Assumptions:
>1- ARRL/LoTW supports (someday) the following awards: DXCC,WAS,VUCC,CQZ, & IOTA.
>2- I'm working on my 6M DXCC and VUCC
>3- I work OX3?? on 6M
>4- He has NOT filled in ALL of his "Station Data" in TQSL.
>5- I get an LoTW QSL showing that it is only good for DXCC (missing Grid, Zones, & IOTA).
>
>So I miss out on VUCC, CQZ, and IOTA credit. Now I have to hope I can work another OX
>station on 6M to get a Grid for my 6M VUCC !!! Not to lightly !!!
>
>This whole situation could be avoided if LoTW required stations to setup TQSL completely.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>At 22:24 6/22/2004 , you wrote:
>
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
> >To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
> >Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
> > >
> > >
> > > > Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
> > > >
> > > > What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> > > > want to pay for.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > Bob, K1VU
> >Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
> >Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
> >Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.
> > >
> >Or am I missing something obvious here????
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >>----------
> >May all your family trees branch toward the stars!

> >---
> >
> >Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

1386. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 21:47:37 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1330 Message: 1386

At 05:35 PM 6/23/2004, R Johnson wrote:
>TNX for your reply on this subject, YOU are the first one to agree that
>LoTW has
>a problem with "Incomplete Station Data" !!! It's hurting the LoTW program.

I'm curious -- what happens if someone discovers, for example, that he had
his CQ and IARU zones confused. Say he corrects this and reuploads to
LOTW. Do the new data overwrite the old, correcting any error or omission?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on June 5, 2004
2728 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1387. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 21:49:58 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1387

A simple answer is YES !!!

Just correct you "Station Data" and resubmit your logs !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 17:47 6/23/2004 , you wrote:
>At 05:35 PM 6/23/2004, R Johnson wrote:
> >TNX for your reply on this subject, YOU are the first one to agree that
> >LoTW has
> >a problem with "Incomplete Station Data" !!! It's hurting the LoTW program.
>
>
>I'm curious -- what happens if someone discovers, for example, that he had
>his CQ and IARU zones confused. Say he corrects this and reuploads to
>LOTW. Do the new data overwrite the old, correcting any error or omission?
>
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>The World HF Contest Station Database
>was updated on June 5, 2004
>2728 contest stations at
>www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1388. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-23 22:10:21 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1388

> Ford writes:
>
> And why would they need to?.

That's what you want? eQSL to accept LotW credits ...

> If I were to ask LOTW to verify the QSOs, pay the fee to
> the ARRL for doing so, they could eMail eQSL, or CQ, or
> the Michigan QSO party, or whoever I direct them to send
> the 'credits' to. Boda-Bing-Boda-Boom.

You could probably do so right now - without paying a dime -
by doing a "QSL only" download and sending that ADIF to the
award issuer. However, I doubt that any legitimate award
authority would accept an unverified download file. You
could, if you cared to do so, supply the award authority
with your login id (call - not so difficult) and password
(I question that) and allow them to download the QSL records.

IMO, any access to the database by a third party award sponsor
shold be automatic and not require ARRL staff resources to
print, certify, mail/e-mail or otherwise process data.

> ARRL gets its cut, the award sponsor gets the QSOs confirmed,
> and I get my award based on the sponsor's criteria.

ARRL have regularly expressed an interest in making the LotW
available to any legitimate award issuer. If there isn't
already an API in place, it should not be very hard to create
one if there were serious interest from a responsible award
sponsor.

Again, there is nothing that would prevent eQSL from gaining
access to the LotW database if they chose to set down and
work out the details with ARRL. If it required custom software
or staff time to print, certify and mail data records, I'm sure
there would be a cost to be born by eQSL -- I would not favor
spending ARRL membership funds to provide a benefit to eQSL
(not given the costs charged to every DXCC and LotW user!).

>
> So what? I guess I'm confused as to where you are going with
> this. Do you want the award sponsor to beef up their
> criteria?

Yes, I want (expect) every award sponsor to require at least the
level of checking involved with GCR. eQSL does not even rise to

that minimal level.

I would prefer that every sponsor require submission of cards for checking or an authenticated, double-blind electronic confirmation but I realize that level of scrutiny may not be justified for something like "Worked all Michigan" or "The Potomac Basin Filibuster Award."

> Meanwhile, let's free up the credits already in LOTW to claim
> the other awards.

The credits are already there ... it is up to the sponsors of the other awards to approach ARRL for access and work out the details for obtaining that access.

If ARRL/LotW is to spend time and resources on "outreach" it is far better spent on raising awareness of LotW among the foreign user community and getting more DX stations signed up.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1389. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 00:19:59 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1389

Sorry for the re-post guys, but it looks like "Jon Bloom" <bloom@...> is no longer with us and I got a "Bounce" on him !!! Maybe Wayne and Dave are still there at the League.

Hi Jim:
TNX for your reply on this subject, YOU are the first one to agree that LoTW has a problem with "Incomplete Station Data" !!! It's hurting the LoTW program.

I agree 100% that LoTW can't force LoTW users to supply the required info in TQSL, but maybe they could provide a "Check List" in the documentation or the FAQ's outlining just what info they NEED to supply before setting up TQSL.

Maybe another thing that LoTW could do is provide a mechanism so that we could notify LoTW of stations with bad/incomplete info. LoTW could then notify the offending station of the problem and what data is missing, the correct data, and how to correct problem.

Another thing I have noticed, some LoTW Users have computer crashes and when they reinstalled TQSL they neglected to redo there "Station Data".

If LoTW is to succeed with anything other than DXCC, I think they should move fast on getting the WAS & VUCC Awards up and running. These are ARRL Awards and not subject to the vagaries other "Award Sponsors" requirements. First thing First !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 16:31 6/23/2004 , you wrote:
>I have also been "skunked" by incomplete station data. in my case an ON without his grid for a 6M contact.
>But, you can't require the data. Many stations simply do not know the relevant information. If you force them to complete a form they will either give up, or fill in any thing that comes to mind.
>
>What we need is PR and education........
>
>We need to talk it up on the air and the ARRL should be beating the drum in every issue of QST...
>
>Jim
>>
>>
>>
>Let me try it again.
>FIRST, "Major Assumption": LoTW IS NOT GOING TO BE FOR JUST FOR DXCC !!!!
>
>About 40% of my LoTW QSL's are missing some "Station Data", Grid, Zones,. etc. !!!
>

>I should have said:
>"What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" so that if I had an Incomplete QSL, I could
>reject the QSO for say "Lack of Grid" or whatever was missing."
>
>This "Rejection" could be processed by LoTW and LoTW could notify the sender that
>they need to go back to TQSL and fill in ALL of their "Station Data" and resubmit
>their logs. so that they are useful for awards other than DXCC
>
>Assumptions:
>1- ARRL/LoTW supports (someday) the following awards: DXCC,WAS,VUCC,CQZ, & IOTA.
>2- I'm working on my 6M DXCC and VUCC
>3- I work OX3?? on 6M
>4- He has NOT filled in ALL of his "Station Data" in TQSL.
>5- I get an LoTW QSL showing that it is only good for DXCC (missing Grid, Zones, & IOTA).
>
>So I miss out on VUCC, CQZ, and IOTA credit. Now I have to hope I can work another OX
>station on 6M to get a Grid for my 6M VUCC !!! Not to lightly !!!
>
>This whole situation could be avoided if LoTW required stations to setup TQSL completely.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>At 22:24 6/22/2004 , you wrote:
>
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
> >To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2004 9:00 PM
> >Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
> >
> >
> > > Yes, but I got a lot of useless QSL's (about 40%) from LoTW !!!
> > >
> > > What we need is a LoTW "REJECT BUTTON" to get rid of the ones I don't
> > > want to pay for.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Bob, K1VU
> >Boy you have me confused. I didn't think you HAD to pay for any.
> >Except those you specify you want to apply for credit.
> >Which is the same thing as paying for a hardcard you sent to DXCC desk.

> >
> >Or am I missing something obvious here????
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >May all your family trees branch toward the stars!
> >----------
> >
> >Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscrib
e@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!
Terms of Service.

1390. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 00:40:38 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1390

> You have a very good point here. There is no excuse at all for a person not
> to participate in LotW, none that I can think of at any rate unless they
> have no computer with access to the internet. Of course if they are on
> eqsl.cc they must have that!

How about this one?

As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of a
government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of my government
issued ID, and I will not send it. They even suggest the first page of
my passport!

Yes, I know they are only going to use it to verify my ID and then
destroy it. They still have no business with it.

Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.

I have talked to a lot of amateurs from various countries who feel the
same way. I keep seeing complaints of not enough DX stations
participating - well, I suspect this requirement is keeping a lot out.

1391. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 02:49:25 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1391

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 4:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: Mills, Wayne N7NG; nn1n@...; bloom@...
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer
> & LoTW plug)
>
> Hi Jim:
> TNX for your reply on this subject, YOU are the first one to
> agree that LoTW has a problem with "Incomplete Station Data"
> !!! It's hurting the LoTW program.
>

I have said this since day one.

> I agree 100% that LoTW can't force LoTW users to supply the

Why not? I think the data is nto wroht anythig if it is nto complete. Any card you get on paper has all of this information in 99.99999 % of cases becaseu it has the address.

1392. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 02:53:34 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1330 Message: 1392

Don't they accept a scanned copy of your license? If not, they should. You can't complain about sending that, or do you want eqsl.cc to start issuing digital certificates too?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Mury [mailto:ve7ngr@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 7:41 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
>
> > You have a very good point here. There is no excuse at all for a
> > person not to participate in LotW, none that I can think of at any
> > rate unless they have no computer with access to the internet. Of
> > course if they are on eqsl.cc they must have that!
>
> How about this one?
>
> As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of
> a government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of
> my government issued ID, and I will not send it. They even
> suggest the first page of my passport!
>
> Yes, I know they are only going to use it to verify my ID and
> then destroy it. They still have no business with it.
>
> Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.
>
> I have talked to a lot of amateurs from various countries who
> feel the same way. I keep seeing complaints of not enough DX
> stations participating - well, I suspect this requirement is
> keeping a lot out.
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ~---

> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1393. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 03:35:03 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1393

They want a copy of my license AND a copy of one other government-issued
id, and they want them sent via postal mail, not email.

On Wed, 2004-06-23 at 19:53, rojomn wrote:
> Don't they accept a scanned copy of your license? If not, they should. You
> can't complain about sending that, or do you want eqsl.cc to start issuing
> digital certificates too?
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Brian Mury [mailto:ve7ngr@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 7:41 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!
> >
> > > You have a very good point here. There is no excuse at all for a
> > > person not to participate in LotW, none that I can think of at any
> > > rate unless they have no computer with access to the internet. Of
> > > course if they are on eqsl.cc they must have that!
> >
> > How about this one?
> >
> > As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of
> > a government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of
> > my government issued ID, and I will not send it. They even
> > suggest the first page of my passport!
> >
> > Yes, I know they are only going to use it to verify my ID and
> > then destroy it. They still have no business with it.
> >
> > Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.
> >
> > I have talked to a lot of amateurs from various countries who
> > feel the same way. I keep seeing complaints of not enough DX
> > stations participating - well, I suspect this requirement is
> > keeping a lot out.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> > ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > ------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

1394. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 03:46:07 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1330 Message: 1394

On Wed, Jun 23, 2004 at 05:40:38PM -0700, Brian Mury wrote:
> > You have a very good point here. There is no excuse at all for a person not
> > to participate in LotW, none that I can think of at any rate unless they
> > have no computer with access to the internet. Of course if they are on
> > eqsl.cc they must have that!
>
> How about this one?
>
> As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of a
> government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of my government
> issued ID, and I will not send it. They even suggest the first page of
> my passport!

Well, I'm a non-US station and I can't really understand your point. I
sent in a copy of the front page of my passport. It contains my name,
address, date of birth and nationality, none of which is a great secret.
(In fact it's pretty much all on qrz.com, and aca.gov.au).

> Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.

Make a suggestion and perhaps they'll consider it. I suppose a photocopy
of my radio license should be good enough; would you consider that
acceptable to you?

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1395. Re[3]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 04:11:48 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1395 Message: 1395

AR> Isn't that what your passport or any other ID was given to you for? If
AR> a foreign customs worker asks for my password,

woops, passport, of course.

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Jun-04 04:10 UTC

"When a man says he had pleasure with a woman he does not mean conversation." [Samuel Johnson]

1396. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 04:08:48 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1330 Message: 1396

Hello Brian,

Thursday, June 24, 2004 Brian Mury wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

BM> How about this one?

BM> They even suggest the first page of my passport!

Isn't that what your passport or any other ID was given to you for? If
a foreign customs worker asks for my password, isn't that a reason to
complain to UN and Haague Tribunal?

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Jun-04 04:02 UTC

"A journey of thousand miles begins with a single step." (Chinese Proverb)

1397. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 04:22:45 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1397

> Brian Mury writes:
>
> How about this one?
>
> As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of a
> government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of my
> government issued ID, and I will not send it. They even suggest
> the first page of my passport!
>
> Yes, I know they are only going to use it to verify my ID and then
> destroy it. They still have no business with it.
>
> Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.

How else do you suggest they verify your ID? A photocopy of a
driver's license or other photo ID is a minimal imposition.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1398. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 05:02:01 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1398

I'm replying to a couple messages here to reduce traffic.

> Well, I'm a non-US station and I can't really understand your point. I
> sent in a copy of the front page of my passport. It contains my name,
> address, date of birth and nationality, none of which is a great secret.
> (In fact it's pretty much all on qrz.com, and aca.gov.au).

All of my government issued ID (passport, drivers licence, military ID,
etc) contain name and address, as you say, but also other information
that could be used for nefarious purposes (such as identity theft).

To expect me to make a photocopy of this information and drop it in a
mailbox (from where it could be stolen), then to be passed through the
hands of a multitude of postal employees of two different countries (or
more for some DX stations) as well as ARRL employees, any of whom could
be unreliable (not likely, but possible), is ridiculous.

> Isn't that what your passport or any other ID was given to you for?

No. Government issued ID is generally issued for a very specific use. A
passport is to allow me to cross international borders - I'll show it to
customs and immigration officials, border guards, etc. A drivers licence
identifies me as being allowed to operate a motor vehicle; I'll show it
to traffic police, department of motor vehicle officials, etc.
Photocopying ID and mailing it to organizations like the ARRL was never
the intended purpose of these documents, and they have no business
having that information.

> Make a suggestion and perhaps they'll consider it. I suppose a photocopy
> of my radio license should be good enough; would you consider that
> acceptable to you?

They already want that in addition to the ID. I suggest that the
postcard method used for US stations could be used for any country that
has a database of licensed amateurs available.

--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

1399. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 12:15:03 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1330 Message: 1399

At 08:40 PM 6/23/2004, Brian Mury wrote:
>As a non-US station, LOTW requires me to send a photocopy of a
>government issued ID. They have no business with a copy of my government
>issued ID, and I will not send it. They even suggest the first page of
>my passport!
>
>Yes, I know they are only going to use it to verify my ID and then
>destroy it. They still have no business with it.
>
>Surely there are other ways they can verify my ID.
>
>I have talked to a lot of amateurs from various countries who feel the
>same way. I keep seeing complaints of not enough DX stations
>participating - well, I suspect this requirement is keeping a lot out.

Given the close relationship between ARRL and the major member societies of IARU, why wouldn't it be viable to set up a system that allowed non-US hams to do the verification process with their society as US hams do it with the ARRL? Unless there are privacy law issues with IARU societies' access to the government databases (I vaguely remember there used to be such a problem in Germany), this would address Brian's concern. To the extent that it imposed costs on the IARU society, they could charge for the service, or some sort of reimbursement mechanism could be worked out, since it would be very much in ARRL's interest to have more DX hams in the database, enhancing the flow of income from DXCC.

Since many of the rarer countries are activated by hams from developed countries, I think it follows that setting up a process like this would significantly improve the attraction of LotW for DXCC.

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on June 5, 2004
2728 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1400. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 12:23:22 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1330 Message: 1400

Correct, you will never convince the clueless and uncommitted, but education might make some hams aware of the need to enter the correct information.
Many Hams simply don't know their zone, section, etc. Listen to a contest weekend and you will hear some poor contester trying to explain the exchange format to a caller.

My favorite, from the SS, "I'm not a member of the ARRL, so I don't have a section".....

Jim

It's like every other thing in Amateur Radio (CW, ARES/RACES,
contesting, etc.,) ... those who are interested, will do it, those who
are not, won't. You won't magically make someone interested in
something they don't care about, no matter how loudly you "beat the
drum"! After a while, the rhythm gets boring and you start tuning it
out altogether.

Ron

1401. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 13:01:56 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1330 Message: 1401

Hello Brian,

Thursday, June 24, 2004 Brian Mury wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

>> Isn't that what your passport or any other ID was given to you for?

BM> No. Government issued ID is generally issued for a very specific
BM> use. A passport is to allow me to cross international borders -
BM> I'll show it to customs and immigration officials, border guards,
BM> etc.

So if you cross your borders and show your passport to foreign officials, and let them scan it and do with your passport whatever they want, what identity theft are you talking about?

BM> Photocopying ID and mailing it to organizations like the ARRL was
BM> never the intended purpose of these documents, and they have no
BM> business having that information.

Ah oh. Let's finish it with "...and they have no business having my QSOs in LoTW database." First of all, YOU need your QSOs to be placed in the LoTW, not them.

>> Make a suggestion and perhaps they'll consider it. I suppose a
>> photocopy of my radio license should be good enough; would you
>> consider that acceptable to you?

BM> They already want that in addition to the ID. I suggest that the
BM> postcard method used for US stations could be used for any country
BM> that has a database of licensed amateurs available.

It's been discussed many times on different reflectors earlier. LoTW staffers can't make everyone happy. You are afraid of identity theft, other guy hates snail mail in Internet era but does not care about what you're afraid of, third wants his dollars in envelopes, fourth admires paper cards, fifth wants the things never change and go "old fashiond way", etc.

Below WC1M's posting on the topic on the CQ-Contest reflector. It answers your last question.

Online confirmation of identity is a complex subject. In the security biz, we call it "authentication". The bottom line is that pure online authentication of identity is not possible without using certain special techniques. After all, anyone can logon to a website and claim to be someone else. You need something extra.

One way to do it is by asking the user for some piece of information that he/she, and only he/she, is supposed to know -- such as a social security number, driver's license, credit card number, etc. This is the method used by the online financial institutions you mention. It requires that they somehow know your identifying information. If they permit you to supply the information over the Internet, the system is not very secure -- as demonstrated by the escalating nightmare of identity theft.

A more secure method is to use an "out-of-band" (non-Internet) channel through which information can be passed. The channel is usually the mail or in-person. In other words, you have to either send the identifying information by mail, usually with your signature, or give it to them in person. Mail is less secure, of course, and neither method can resist determined attack. Still, it's better than pure online authentication. Once you send in the information, the rest of the transaction can take place over the Internet. This approach is more of a hassle, but you can't get the security without the hassle. This is a golden rule of security: there is a tradeoff between convenience and security (note this the next time you have to wait for screening before you get on an airplane.)

Note that LoTW probably wouldn't be able to use these methods due to restrictions on who can verify your social security number, credit card number, driver's license, etc.

For USA hams, LoTW uses the FCC database and U.S. Postal service as an out-of-band channel. The system relies on the fact that the address in the FCC database is the actual address of the person who holds the callsign and not the address of an identity impersonator. If not, then someone perpetrated a fraud on the U.S. Government -- which is a very low-probability event (most rational people wouldn't risk jail to get Honor Roll.) Once LoTW has the address, the password can be sent through the out-of-band channel (snail mail) to ensure that only the true holder of the callsign can get the certificate.

Unfortunately, the same method can't be used for non-USA hams because most DX licensing authorities do not maintain online callsign/address databases. LoTW therefore requires a copy of the license and a government-issued identification document to complete the identification. Although the license could be faked, in theory it is much harder to fake a government ID and is a serious crime in most countries. This reduces the probability of fraud. The copies must be mailed in, not scanned and e-mailed, to verify that they come from the DXCC entity in question.

No security system is perfect. However, LoTW has been designed to substantially reduce the probability of identity theft, to detect when it has occurred, and to recover (back out bogus data) when fraud is detected.

Incidentally, the 128-bit encryption channel you mention has nothing to do with verifying identity. It's used to prevent someone from eavesdropping on the connection and stealing your identification information, user ID, password, etc.

73, Dick WC1M

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Jun-04 12:35 UTC

"Just trust yourself, then you will know how to live." (Goethe)

1402. Response eQSL vs LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 20:34:00 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1402 Message: 1402

With 20,000 Qs in my log, I thought it would be interesting to review the country results between the two services to see if any patterns in participation resulted.

LOTW reports 81 of my 265 countries confirmed. eQSL reports 75 countries confirmed.

38 of the 81 countries reported by LOTW as confirmed are unique to LOTW (e.g. not on eQSL). I attribute this to the lack of DX becoming AGed on eQSL. A similar problem may exist with the certificate process for DX at LOTW.

31 of the 75 countries reported by eQSL as confirmed are unique to eQSL. The two logs are identical in all respects (as far as the ADIF submitted).

So what countries and how many confirms were received by eQSL and not LOTW?

Andorra 1
Bahrain 1
Belize 1
Bosnia-Herzegovina 1
Bulgaria 1
Chile 1
Colombia 1
Costa Rica 1
Croatia 1
Cuba 1
Dominican Republic 3
Estonia 4
Grenada 1
Iceland 1
India 1
Jersey 1
Jordan 1
Kaliningrad 1
Latvia 2
Luxembourg 4
Marshall Island 1
Pakistan 1
Peru 1
Poland 8
Serbia and Montenegro 2
Slovak Republic 1
Tinidad and Tobago 1
Turks and Caicos 1
Ukraine 2
Uruguay 3
Venezuela 5

What is interesting is not so much the single 'uniques' to eQSL, but the prevalence of places like Poland with 8 confirms on eQSL and exactly zero on LOTW. Venezuela=5; Estonia=4; Luxembourg=4; Dominican Republic=3; Uruguay=3; Latvia=2; Serbia=2; Ukraine=2.

Is this a promotion problem or a 'process' problem?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1403. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 21:43:56 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1403

> So if you cross your borders and show your passport to foreign
> officials, and let them scan it and do with your passport whatever
> they want, what identity theft are you talking about?

I don't understand your question. That is the intended use of a passport. Mailing a copy to the ARRL is not, and is inappropriate use of a passport.

> Ah oh. Let's finish it with "...and they have no business having my
> QSOs in LoTW database." First of all, YOU need your QSOs to be placed
> in the LoTW, not them.

I'm not sure where you are going with this one either. I never said they had no business having my QSOs in their database - I said they have no business with my passport number or driver's licence number.

Oh - and I don't need my QSO's in LOTW. I don't chase awards. I'd like to put them there for people who do chase awards.

> It's been discussed many times on different reflectors earlier. LoTW
> staffers can't make everyone happy. You are afraid of identity theft,
> other guy hates snail mail in Internet era but does not care about
> what you're afraid of, third wants his dollars in envelopes, fourth
> admires paper cards, fifth wants the things never change and go "old
> fashiond way", etc.

They can't make everyone happy, and I'm willing to accept some inconvenience - but the current system is unacceptable, in my opinion.

This may not even be legal in some countries. Over here, the military used to use social insurance numbers to uniquely identify people. Just about every piece of paperwork required it, if you went to the QM to draw equipment they would ask for it, etc. Some years ago we were all given "service numbers" that are now used for this purpose, because the use of social insurance numbers in this manner is illegal, because that is not the intended use of that information. I don't know what Canadian law says about other such information (such as passport and driver's licence numbers), and I don't know what the laws of other countries say, but it is plausible that some people may not be able to join LOTW without breaking the law. Even if it is legal, I do not feel it is appropriate.

> Below WC1M's posting on the topic on the CQ-Contest reflector. It
> answers your last question.

That doesn't answer my question. True, not all countries have online databases, but for those that do, the postcard system would work. For

those that don't, a copy of the radio licence should be sufficient. WC1M says "Although the license could be faked, in theory it is much harder to fake a government ID and is a serious crime in most countries." Um... gee, my radio licence *is* a government ID.

1404. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 23:00:28 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1404

(in reference to the ARRL requirement for DX to supply personal legal documents to obtain a certificate)

Brian Mury wrote:

...SNIP...

> This may not even be legal in some countries. Over here, the military
> used to use social insurance numbers to uniquely identify people. Just
> about every piece of paperwork required it, if you went to the QM to
> draw equipment they would ask for it, etc. Some years ago we were all
> given "service numbers" that are now used for this purpose, because the
> use of social insurance numbers in this manner is illegal, because that
> is not the intended use of that information. I don't know what Canadian
> law says about other such information (such as passport and driver's
> licence numbers), and I don't know what the laws of other countries say,
> but it is plausible that some people may not be able to join LOTW
> without breaking the law. Even if it is legal, I do not feel it is
> appropriate.
>
> > Below WC1M's posting on the topic on the CQ-Contest reflector. It
> > answers your last question.
>
> That doesn't answer my question. True, not all countries have online
> databases, but for those that do, the postcard system would work. For
> those that don't, a copy of the radio licence should be sufficient. WC1M
> says "Although the license could be faked, in theory it is much harder
> to fake a government ID and is a serious crime in most countries."
> Um... gee, my radio licence *is* a government ID.

Look at my recent post "Response eQSL vs LOTW" earlier today.

I did some analysis of my LOTW log and compared it to the eQSL log in direct response to Brian's speculation. There are quite a few countries that are well represented in my eQSL log and not in or poorly represented in my LOTW log, even though they are identical logs. So my analysis DOES indicate that there may be a problem with hams from certain countries surrendering private information to obtain a certificate. If others could check their logs for countries like Argentina, Czech Republic, Estonia, Italy, Luxembourg, Poland, Slovenia, Venezuela, there is the possiblity that Brian's speculation that surrender of these documents is illegal in these countries.

Thanks for the comments Brian. We may be on to something here...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1405. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 23:03:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1405

> I don't know what Canadian law says about other such information
> (such as passport and driver's licence numbers), and I don't know
> what the laws of other countries say, but it is plausible that
> some people may not be able to join LOTW without breaking the
> law. Even if it is legal, I do not feel it is appropriate.

If you are concerned about misuse (although I don't know what use
ARRL or one clerk could make of them) of your social insurance,
driver's license, or passport number, BLACK THEM OUT of the photocopy.

ARRL need an independent document to verify the address and identity
of the amateur license. "At least Two independent sources" is a
standard level of verification for most commercial purposes.

The mere existence of a government issued identification with your
name, address, and photograph that matches the name and address on
the amateur license is a minimal imposition.

> True, not all countries have online databases, but for those that
> do, the postcard system would work.

How many countries have online databases that are maintained by
the licensing authorities and can legally be used by the general
public?

> For those that don't, a copy of the radio licence should be
> sufficient.

A copy of the radio license is not, by definition, sufficient.
The system requires verification and an amateur license is not,
by itself, verifiable. That is the very reason for the cross
check against the FCC database (in the case of US amateurs) or
a government issued ID (in the case of non-US licensees).

1406. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 23:11:51 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1330 Message: 1406

On Thu, Jun 24, 2004 at 07:03:08PM -0400, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> If you are concerned about misuse (although I don't know what use
> ARRL or one clerk could make of them) of your social insurance,
> driver's license, or passport number, BLACK THEM OUT of the photocopy.
>
> ARRL need an independent document to verify the address and identity
> of the amateur license. "At least Two independent sources" is a
> standard level of verification for most commercial purposes.

That's a good point. In Australia, banks require the equivalent of your passport AND your birth certificate in order to open an account. Those are commercial organisations without link to the government, so should they not ask for this information?

I think it would be fair to blank out your passport number in the photocopy, but the rest of the detail is nothing secret. (Name, address, birthdate, place of birth, nationality.)

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1407. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-24 23:33:45 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1407

> If others could check their logs for countries like:

Argentina, - no
Czech Republic - yes (multiple)
Estonia, - no (but multiple from YL and LY)
Italy - yes (multiple)
Luxembourg, - n/a
Poland, - yes (multiple)
Slovenia, - yes (multiple)
Venezuela, - yes (multiple)

> there is the possiblity that Brian's speculation that
> surrender of these documents is illegal in these countries.

Not likely ... including Luxembourg which is not a valid comparison since I only have one call "confirmed" by eQSL, only Argentina shows significant response via eQSL and not LotW. Even then, fewer than 25% of the Argentine stations from whom I have received eQSL "confirmations" are AG ... I would postulate that the issue is not a legal prohibition against copying the required documentation but either unwillingness or simple procrastination.

> Thanks for the comments Brian. We may be on to something here...

My response rate from Canadians is essentially the same on LotW and eQSL (about 5% of responses). I don't think most Canadians have a problem supplying the ARRL required documentation. What's your problem, Brian, eh?

1408. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 00:50:08 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1330 Message: 1408

Joe wrote:

> Argentina, - no
> Czech Republic - yes (multiple)
> Estonia, - no (but multiple from YL and LY)
> Italy - yes (multiple)
> Luxembourg, - n/a
> Poland, - yes (multiple)
> Slovenia, - yes (multiple)
> Venezuela, - yes (multiple)
> > there is the possiblity that Brian's speculation that
> > surrender of these documents is illegal in these countries.
>
> Not likely ... including Luxembourg which is not a valid comparison
> since I only have one call "confirmed" by eQSL, only Argentina
> shows significant response via eQSL and not LotW. Even then,
> fewer than 25% of the Argentine stations from whom I have received
> eQSL "confirmations" are AG ... I would postulate that the issue is
> not a legal prohibition against copying the required documentation
> but either unwillingness or simple procrastination.

Ah but there is the rub. Don't they need to surrender similar documents to eQSL? My analysis only included the AGed responses. Your includes the non-AGed responses as well. Give this one more look before we call it a dead horse.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1409. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 02:44:59 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1409

> Ah but there is the rub. Don't they need to surrender similar
> documents to eQSL? My analysis only included the AGed responses.
> Your includes the non-AGed responses as well. Give this one more look
> before we call it a dead horse.

eQSL requires a phtocopy or scan of the radio licence only.

1410. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 02:46:16 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1410

> What's your problem, Brian, eh?

I've already explained that more than once, Joe!

1411. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 02:48:24 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1411

> If you are concerned about misuse (although I don't know what use
> ARRL or one clerk could make of them) of your social insurance,
> driver's license, or passport number, BLACK THEM OUT of the photocopy.

Will LOTW accept that? I'll email them and ask. If they will, then I
have no problem doing that.

> How many countries have online databases that are maintained by
> the licensing authorities and can legally be used by the general
> public?

I don't know.

1412. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 03:16:06 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1412

> > How many countries have online databases that are maintained by
> > the licensing authorities and can legally be used by the general
> > public?
>
> I don't know.

You need to know before you blast LotW for not using that solution
for DX. In my experience, a great number of the country specific
"online callbooks" were maintained by clubs or even an individual.

It appears that even the Canadian database is maintained by RAC and
not Industry Canada ... at least the IC web pages refer the user
to RAC's web site. Under those conditions, the Canadian database,
while good, cannot be considered authoritative.

1413. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 03:33:50 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1330 Message: 1413

> It appears that even the Canadian database is maintained by RAC and
> not Industry Canada ... at least the IC web pages refer the user
> to RAC's web site. Under those conditions, the Canadian database,
> while good, cannot be considered authoritative.

No, it is maintained by Industry Canada, it is just hosted on the web by
RAC. RAC gets a copy of IC's database once a day and makes it publicly
available, they have nothing to do with the actual data.

1414. LoTW and eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 05:05:30 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1330 Message: 1414

I have been reading all the comments about the advantages of using eQSL or LoTW, and how important it is for others that I use them.

I took a few minutes to ponder the importance of my being a confirmed participant in each of the two programs, as well as for those I talk to. Then, add to my conclusions, the advantages or disadvantages of either one. The first consideration I have to make is that I currently log all my QSOs on a logbook program that can easily upload the log to either LoTW or eQSL. This, of course, means that once approved by each, it only takes a moment of my time each month to keep them updated.

eQSL offers awards that can only be earned by AG participants communicating with other AG participants, confirmed by the eQSL Logbook. In order to achieve these awards, I must be AG and I must upload my log. Also, others with whom I contact must also be AG and confirm my eQSLs. Without participation from both myself and enough of my contacts, there is no way I may receive any of these awards.

LoTW offers no awards that I am aware of. It is an alternative method of confirming QSOs, and, as far as I can tell, nothing more. I do not know of any ARRL award that requires participation in LoTW.

How does my participation help others in these programs? With eQSL, others cannot use my contact to achieve awards unless I am AG and confirm their contacts. With LoTW, my contacts can participate in any League award without my participation in LoTW.

I haven't heard of any arguments on behalf of me or other stations participation in LoTW as being essential actually holding water. The biggest argument seems to be related to DX contacts. Before I was a League member, I was unable to use the bureau, but I have had and can QSL direct in many cases. For domestic contacts and awards, I QSL direct to almost all first-time QSOs and absolutely to every one who sends me a QSL first. Many times I send QSLs first, and in most cases, I receive a card in return. In a very few cases I receive a card in an envelope with a notice that "It is customary to send a self-addressed stamped envelope with each card in which you would like a card in return." or something to that effect. In a few cases I don't receive a card. If I don't and need it, or if it is a special event station or a station at the bottom of a pileup(the station everyone is piling up to contact), I send an SASE with a QSL card. Regardless of one's situation, if a person with whom I have had QSO sends me a card, emails me or eQSLs me and requests a hard card, I send it. There may be times such as now, in which my time, budget, work, or just my dis-organization prevents me from responding more quickly, but I do respond to all requests.

While my return rate is close to 100%, I occasionally have to resend a card with an SASE or get a "no such name/address" or "deceased" stamp on the card. Rarely, I get a "I don't have you in my log" message and once I got a letter of explanation that I was talking to a bootleg station who was intentionally using his call to torment him. Three times I received a card from others stating that I was either a "poor control operator" or someone was bootlegging my call. (Three months later, a friend confessed to having fired up his old rig and using my call to test it to see if it worked.) But I have digressed here....

LoTW is nothing more than an alternative method of confirming QSOs. There is no award dependent on that service. eQSL is more than an alternative method of confirming QSOs. One of it's advantages is that it is easy to become AG, but its disadvantage is that it is poorly designed and requires a different account for each different call, it doesn't combine the different accounts well and it is pathetic when it comes to handling accounts where the operator is mostly mobile or has several operating locations from which they operate often. They do a really poor job of handling my method of operating and fulfilling my needs in a service. (this doesn't make them overall bad as many others are doing well with the service, but it does not work well for me at this time.) I once signed up with LoTW when it was in beta. They confirmed my license and then dumped all the data when they went live. Now I have tried several times to get reconfirmed. They send a card which I receive in about a week or so, which, as it happens, seems to always turn out, is when I am working again and don't have time to handle it until it expires. When it doesn't, I have to work with it for several hours, complain that it doesn't work and get the answer after the expiration of the card and the process starts all over again.

All this and for what advantage? None, really. I would like to get re-confirmed when I have time to fool with it again, but I don't see it being a priority any more. It really does no one any good whether or not I use LoTW.

This is not intended as a message to bash LoTW, eQSL, the ARRL, or even the stations that don't reply without an SASE or don't reply at all. (please don't hijack the thread with these off-topics.) This is just the way I see things. I sat down and took a serious look at how either service would or could benefit me or the people I have QSO with and this is my conclusion.

This doesn't mean it isn't important to those of you who are DX chasers, can't or won't afford to send cards, or who are in any way dependent on or happy with using either of these services to accomplish your goals.

For what it's worth,
Buck
NA4FM

****
Reliable, Fast, Cheap
Pick any two

****

1415. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Incomplete QSL's(was:Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 06:05:19 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1330 Message: 1415

I am a county hunter. I have posted a message on my QSL cards
requesting the station identify his county (assuming he was home) and
yet I receive very many cards where that request is overlooked and
pre-printed cards without that information.

There is another option, send the station a physical card and letter
requesting the information and/or hard card. It might work.

Just a thought,
Buck
NA4FM

****
Reliable, Fast, Cheap
Pick any two
****

>
> > But, you can't require the data. Many stations simply do
> not know the
> > relevant information.
>

1416. Re[4]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 06:21:35 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1330 Message: 1416

Hello Brian,

Thursday, June 24, 2004 Brian Mury wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

>> So if you cross your borders and show your passport to foreign
>> officials, and let them scan it and do with your passport whatever
>> they want, what identity theft are you talking about?

BM> I don't understand your question. That is the intended use of a
BM> passport. Mailing a copy to the ARRL is not, and is inappropriate
BM> use of a passport.

Come on, this is ridiculous.

>> It's been discussed many times on different reflectors earlier. LoTW
>> staffers can't make everyone happy. You are afraid of identity theft,
>> other guy hates snail mail in Internet era but does not care about
>> what you're afraid of, third wants his dollars in envelopes, fourth
>> admires paper cards, fifth wants the things never change and go "old
>> fashiond way", etc.

BM> They can't make everyone happy, and I'm willing to accept some
BM> inconvenience - but the current system is unacceptable, in my opinion.

Since you have burned all the bridges behind you the only way I can
imagine for you to participate in the project is to ask canadian
government to issue you a special "VE7NGR LoTW ID". All we can do is
to wish you luck with that.

>> Below WC1M's posting on the topic on the CQ-Contest reflector. It
>> answers your last question.

BM> Um... gee, my radio licence *is* a government ID.

It is. Mine too, but it has no my face on it, and I can fake it much
easier than my passport. And no one take me to the jail if I fake my
license, but they will do that if I fake my passport.

Here's one more WC1M's postings on the subject. Note that:
"It's certainly possible that someone will find a security hole in
LoTW -- no system is perfect. Hopefully, the design is strong enough
that such a breach will be quickly detected and corrected. You can bet
that if this ever happens, loud voices will ask why the security was
not made stronger."

A few opinions of my own (not necessarily ARRL's):

First of all, LoTW is not more strict than most well-engineered online financial systems. The person who wrote that was mistaken.

Second, although I am certainly biased on this subject, I would not characterize LoTW's security system as obsessive. In designing LoTW, ARRL understood that amateur radio is a hobby. However, many holders of DXCC and Honor Roll take the program very, very seriously. In some cases it has taken 20 years or more and hundreds or thousands in postage to attain the top awards. For decades, DXCC has meticulously checked licenses and other authentication documents for rare DX QSLs, adding significant time and cost to the program. The Honor Roll listings continue to be sorted by deleted countries because there would be a gigantic outcry if only current countries were used (resulting in no "first place".) Past attempts to defraud DXCC (e.g., Don Miller, Romeo, etc.) have resulted in cries for blood. It's not a stretch to say that some hams care as much about the integrity of DXCC as they care about money (well, almost... ;-)

So, it was very important to protect the integrity of DXCC and LoTW. Online systems are different in that they permit a much broader level of fraud than manual or paper-based systems. There is no question that among the million or more hams in the world, some bad apples will try to cheat the system -- to gain an undeserved award, to embarrass the sponsor or simply out of sheer malice. If the system can be compromised in such a way that the participants lose confidence in its integrity, then the program will collapse.

It's certainly possible that someone will find a security hole in LoTW -- no system is perfect. Hopefully, the design is strong enough that such a breach will be quickly detected and corrected. You can bet that if this ever happens, loud voices will ask why the security was not made stronger. The answer will be that it could have been made even stronger, but there would have been too large a price to pay in terms of usability. The present system balances the ever-conflicting properties of security and convenience fairly well. Hopefully, a one-time inconvenience for authentication will pay dividends in decades of hassle-free QSLing and awards submission.

73, Dick WC1M

--
73...Art RX9TX 25-Jun-04 05:50 UTC

"A journey of thousand miles begins with a single step." (Chinese Proverb)

1417. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 07:10:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1417

> > Not likely ... including Luxembourg which is not a valid comparison
> > since I only have one call "confirmed" by eQSL, only Argentina
> > shows significant response via eQSL and not LotW. Even then,
> > fewer than 25% of the Argentine stations from whom I have received
> > eQSL "confirmations" are AG ... I would postulate that the issue is
> > not a legal prohibition against copying the required documentation
> > but either unwillingness or simple procrastination.
>
> Ah but there is the rub. Don't they need to surrender
> similar documents to eQSL? My analysis only included the
> AGed responses. Your includes the non-AGed responses as
> well. Give this one more look before we call it a dead horse.

The countries you asked about were those from which I had multiple
LotW confirmations. I merely pointed out that even though I had
no LU confirmations on LotW, the majority of the LU stations on
eQSL (in my log) were not AG. I still had multiple AG LU stations
although they were far from the majority.

If, as you proposed, the problem were a legal prohibition on
copying the required identification documents, I would have
no LotW confirmations from those countries you listed.

I believe the problem with DX adoption of LotW is nothing more
that distance and a terrible lack of marketing by the ARRL staff.
I don't know if they did it ... but someone representing LotW
should be in Friedrischafen this weekend to explain the system
and verify documents on the spot. ARRL, through the IARU should
be working on a system to establish "regional registrars" from
among the larger societies to verify documents and register
participants. Those two steps, along with "how to" articles
in the non-English amateur publications would go a long way
toward increasing participation in LotW.

1418. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW and eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 09:45:55 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1330 Message: 1418

Buck, ARRL has linked LotW to DXCC, and makes it possible for people applying for DXCC credits to use LotW confirmations in lieu of QSLs. That's enough for me to feel that I should upload all my logs to LotW, which I have done (77K QSOs). I don't chase DXCC, but a lot of others do, and they can now use QSOs with me for DXCC whether or not they have received a card. Hopefully, other ARRL awards will have similar links before long, and ARRL is in touch with other major award sponsors to work out similar arrangements.

73, Pete N4ZR

1419. Re[4]: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl Disclaimer & LoTW plug !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 09:56:03 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1330 Message: 1419

Hello Brian,

Friday, June 25, 2004 Brian Mury wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

>> Ah but there is the rub. Don't they need to surrender similar
>> documents to eQSL? My analysis only included the AGed responses.
>> Your includes the non-AGed responses as well. Give this one more look
>> before we call it a dead horse.

BM> eQSL requires a phtocopy or scan of the radio licence only.

If you are an US call holder and want to operate from CEPT countries,
you must have both your license and passport in hand. So as you can
see, CEPT countries have the same ID requirements like LoTW staff.

--
73...Art RX9TX 25-Jun-04 09:50 UTC

"When I read about the evils of drinking, I gave up reading." [Henny Youngman]

1420. lack of marketing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 11:00:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1420 Message: 1420

Two of the last posting on the reflector were the most interesting.

Joe suggested that the ARRL could/should (maybe they have and I'm not aware) send representatives to the various other ham conventions Fsh in Germany, Seanet, come to mind. What a great opportunity to fully explain in a face to face setting, the full details of LoTW and possibly as Joe suggested, 'certify' users on the spot.
I was a bit surprised that ARRL did not have a LoTW info booth at Dayton.

The other comment was that someone who doesn't chase awards, realizing that others do, will upload his logs for the benefit of those award chasers.
That was the 'Ham Spirit' I remember seeing and benefited from as a Novice and later.

Even though I live in one of the most populated counties, Cook; I occasionally get a qsl request including a note.
"Pse QSL, for my first Cook County qso".

I reply as fast as my arthritic fingers can fill out the card and I can crawl to the mailbox.

I've uploaded over 141K qso's. I will feel very proud if one of those gives someone a Illinois state for WAS or a Cook County for the County Hunters.

(I'm aware WAS and County Hunting awards are not YET implemented via LoTW. But they will be.)

PS: I've also benefited from LoTW in receiving over 30 LoTW qsl's for band/mode credits I had been unsuccessful in obtaining hardcard qsl's for in the past.
If you consider that I will not have to try again for those qsl's, that should be about $60 minimum savings, about the cost of a nice meal out with the XYL.

I feel like I'm diagonally parked in a parallel universe.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1421. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW and eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 13:07:43 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1330 Message: 1421

> LoTW offers no awards that I am aware of. It is an alternative method
> of confirming QSOs, and, as far as I can tell, nothing more. I do not
> know of any ARRL award that requires participation in LoTW.

You are correct, there is no ARRL award that requires participation
in LotW. However, unless you have a very large collection of QSLs
it will be to your advantage to participate if you are attempting
to qualify for any ARRL award.

> How does my participation help others in these programs? With LoTW,
> my contacts can participate in any League award without my
participation
> in LoTW.

Again, they can participate in the ARRL awards programs without your
participation in LotW. However, you must take the added time to
fill out a QSL and bear the expense of QSL printing, etc.

Some time ago I did an analysis of the cost of getting a traditional
"paper" confirmation.

First, it costs you nothing to upload your log. Thus you immediately
save the cost of printing cards and the time necessary to answer QSL
requests for QSOs where you do not need the confirmation for an award.

If you are need the confirmation the cost difference is dramatic,
even the card comes from a US based manager. There are the costs
of printing a card, envelopes, postage, labels, etc.

For a US station to a US manager I figure the cost:

Low High
QSL Card $0.04 $0.25
Labels (QSO/address) .01 .10
Envelopes (2) .15 .15
Printer consumables (?) .05 .05
Postage (SASE) .74 .74
------ -----
Total: $0.99 $1.29

If the station has no US manager, outbound postage is $0.80 and
the return postage will be $2.00 (or 1 - 2 IRC) in most cases.
That makes the cost of a single card sent to the UK, Russia, etc.
between $3.05 and $3.50.

Let's look at bureau QSLing: If one is not sending cards by
the pound, the bureau fees are $0.10 each. 10 cards should

fit in a 2 oz. first class letter ... the postage is $.60. The postage for getting cards from the incoming bureau will be about $0.60 per ten cards. The cost of envelopes (three) will be around $0.30. For the round trip, a "bureau card" will cost between $0.45 and $0.65 each (and take perhaps a year or more).

Based on those numbers, obtaining the confirmations for a basic DXCC would cost about $100 using traditional cards. Using LotW the cost for the "credits" would be $20.00 (100 LotW "credits" @ $0.20 each). LotW confirmations for 5BDXCC would cost $75.00 vs. nearly $500 for "paper" confirmations.

There are significant savings of both time and money for all LotW users ... a semi-active station might save $100 or more a year in reduced printing, postage, and bureau charges for unneeded QSLs.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1422. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lack of marketing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-25 13:26:52 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1420 Message: 1422

The IARU might be the right vehicle to promote LoTW.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, June 25, 2004 5:00 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] lack of marketing

Two of the last posting on the reflector were the most interesting.

Joe suggested that the ARRL could/should (maybe they have and I'm not aware) send representatives to the various other ham conventions Fsh in Germany, Seanet, come to mind. What a great opportunity to fully explain in a face to face setting, the full details of LoTW and possibly as Joe suggested, 'certify' users on the spot.
I was a bit surprised that ARRL did not have a LoTW info booth at Dayton.

The other comment was that someone who doesn't chase awards, realizing that others do, will upload his logs for the benefit of those award chasers.
That was the 'Ham Spirit' I remember seeing and benefited from as a Novice and later.

Even though I live in one of the most populated counties, Cook; I occasionally get a qsl request including a note.
"Pse QSL, for my first Cook County qso".

I reply as fast as my arthritic fingers can fill out the card and I can crawl to the mailbox.

I've uploaded over 141K qso's. I will feel very proud if one of those gives someone a Illinois state for WAS or a Cook County for the County Hunters.

(I'm aware WAS and County Hunting awards are not YET implemented via LoTW. But they will be.)

PS: I've also benefited from LoTW in receiving over 30 LoTW qsl's for band/mode credits I had been unsuccessful in obtaining hardcard qsl's for in the past.
If you consider that I will not have to try again for those qsl's, that should be about $60 minimum savings, about the cost of a nice meal out with the XYL.

I feel like I'm diagonally parked in a parallel universe.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1423. Keep Field Day Contacts Separate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-28 22:02:02 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 1423 Message: 1423

My local club used my call for Field Day. I would like to upload these contactS to LOTW but I dont want to mix them with my regular log. I have created certificates for 2 old calls but haven't used them yet. Seems like I saw there was an issue with LOTW for county hunters using call like W1ABC/COUNTY... Ideally I would like to had a log for something like N4LR/FD2004..

Can this be done.

P.S.

Using my normal certificate with a date range of 2 days wouldn't be a good solution. When I deal with data I would probably forget to exclude those dates.

Gordon N4LR 3A GA

1424. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Keep Field Day Contacts Separate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-28 22:33:37 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1423 Message: 1424

> My local club used my call for Field Day. I would like to upload
> these contactS to LOTW but I dont want to mix them with my regular
> log. I have created certificates for 2 old calls but haven't used
> them yet. Seems like I saw there was an issue with LOTW for county
> hunters using call like W1ABC/COUNTY... Ideally I would like to had a
> log for something like N4LR/FD2004..
>
> Can this be done.

It can be done but the other stations wanting confirmation would
need to upload their QSOs with you as N4LR/FD2004.

I don't know of a good way to keep those QSOs separate.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1425. Re: Keep Field Day Contacts Separate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-28 22:41:03 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 1423 Message: 1425

Hi Joe,

I was justing looking over the FAQ. Its been awhile since I've
read it. It kinda sounded like the location field would be good but
it's not available on the web query options so it wouldn't work.

I just don't want credit for contest qso's I didn't make. They
weren't even made from my home qth. BUT they are legitimate qso's
that I would like to confirm for anyone who needs it.

Gordon N4LR
>
> It can be done but the other stations wanting confirmation would
> need to upload their QSOs with you as N4LR/FD2004.
>
> I don't know of a good way to keep those QSOs separate.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

1426. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Keep Field Day Contacts Separate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-28 23:49:19 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1423 Message: 1426

> I was justing looking over the FAQ. Its been awhile since I've
> read it. It kinda sounded like the location field would be good but
> it's not available on the web query options so it wouldn't work.
>
> I just don't want credit for contest qso's I didn't make. They
> weren't even made from my home qth. BUT they are legitimate qso's
> that I would like to confirm for anyone who needs it.

You could create a separate "location" and sign them with that
location but you're correct, I don't see any way to separate it
out on the web query. Did the Field Day operation, perhaps, take
place in a different county? That location marker might allow
filtering in our own log (some logs keep track of multiple
locations, e.g., "rover" operations) and you could exclude those
QSOs when applying for any awards.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1427. Re: Keep Field Day Contacts Separate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-29 13:16:19 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 1423 Message: 1427

We operated from Fulton County same as my home QTH.. In fact only a couple of miles away..

www.sfares.org is our site.. we dont have any 2004 pictures up yet but there are 2002, 2003 pictures

73 Gordon

1428. re: FD Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-06-29 23:36:55 UTC
From: Frank A. Lynch
Topic: 1428 Message: 1428

Here's what I do.

Since our FD site is a seperate location from my home QTH, I have a certificate just for that location (I'm in NC, but our FD site and VHF contest site is on a mountain in VA).

It still lets others get credit (primarly for WAS and VUCC when those awards become available via LoTW).

73,

Frank, W4FAL

1429. What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-01 17:26:37 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1429 Message: 1429

As we've all noticed by now LoTW seems to have a lot more participation from U.S. stations then from DX. In fact most of the DX QSO's I have confirmed via LoTW are U.S Licensees working portable in another Entity.

Out of curiosity I recently sorted my logbook to show me only the QSO's that were with US stations operating from a DX location, that are not yet confirmed via LoTW. (e.g. VP5/K8KWT). Then using the "Find Call" function in the LoTW website I checked many of the U.S. stations calls to see if they had made a submission with their home call (e.g. worked VP5/K8KWT checked K8KWT). I was amazed at the high percentage of Stations that have uploaded logs from home but not from the DX location.

Most of these are RTTY QSO's with guys in the Caribbean or Pacific Islands.

What are you waiting for guys !!

Just because those Entities are not on the Ten Most wanted list doesn't mean that someone out there doesn't need it for a new band counter.

I'd love to see a few "easy" ones added to my numbers. Especially on 40/80 meters. That would be less cards for me to package up to send to Newington.

Bob (K8KWT)

1430. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 03:08:37 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1429 Message: 1430

Bob queries:

...snip...

> What are you waiting for guys !!

...snip...

My guess? Green stamps...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1431. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 16:25:05 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1429 Message: 1431

It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
73, Tom K5IID

Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
Bob queries:

...snip...

> What are you waiting for guys !!

...snip...

My guess? Green stamps...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1432. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 16:32:45 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1429 Message: 1432

I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia) that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign up.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
73, Tom K5IID

Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
Bob queries:

...snip...

> What are you waiting for guys !!

...snip...

My guess? Green stamps...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

Tom Horton K5II! D
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@...m

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1433. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 17:16:48 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1429 Message: 1433

Rod,

They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market. I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: WC7N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia) that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign up.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom Horton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
73, Tom K5IID

Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
Bob queries:

...snip...

> What are you waiting for guys !!

...snip...

My guess? Green stamps...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

Tom Horton K5II! D
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1434. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 20:31:05 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1429 Message: 1434

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have
that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>
> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign
> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:

> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

1435. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 20:39:51 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1429 Message: 1435

I have always received quick and concise answers to my questions.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 16:31
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have
that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>
> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign
> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM

> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

> click here
>
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1436. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 21:12:04 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1429 Message: 1436

Jim,

I've had good and fast answers to some questions, other nothing.

This is the one I've sent 3 times:

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

==========
to: lotw-help@...

This is a message I sent to the reflector on June 12th.

I expected that the records would be synchronized by this morning at the latest.

Is this a problem or am I just not understanding the data presented?

Gerry VE6LB

Fellow Users,

You should be aware that there is a time delay in the Synchronization of the LoTW data base and your DXCC credit records. I've been told that this happens nightly so in the interim between when a new credit appears on the LoTW system and it's validated against the DXCC records, it mat take upwards of a day.

As an example:

Today a LoTW RTTY confirmation appeared for CX2AQ. This shows as a "selected" QSO in the awards section of LoTW but when one looks at the DXCC record under "view award credit matrix", my record show this as a confirmed credit.

I expect tomorrow morning it will all be as it should be.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 2:39 PM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I have always received quick and concise answers to my questions.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 16:31
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have
that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>
> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign
> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:

> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1437. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 22:07:09 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1429 Message: 1437

Folks,
Concerning the "selling of LOTW", I brought this up quite a while ago.
Apparently thay are doing this to some extent. Wayne was at HAM-COM
in Arlington a couple of weeks ago and did in fact put on a program about it.
Unfortunately I missed it.
Just as we had to be patient for LOTW to come alive, then had to be patient to see the awards program start up...I guess we have to be patient with th eDX stations. Hopefully they will join in. I've had some pretty rare stuff come through so far.
Concerning the help...early on I had a problem, by my own hand, and Dave and Wayne both were very patient and helped me iron things out.
A phone call might get a quicker answer.
As for me, so far no real complaints, although I woyld like to see a bunch more confirmations myself.
73, Tom K5IID

Gerry <telwest@...> wrote:

Jim,

I've had good and fast answers to some questions, other nothing.

This is the one I've sent 3 times:

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

==========
to: lotw-help@...

This is a message I sent to the reflector on June 12th.

I expected that the records would be synchronized by this morning at the latest.

Is this a problem or am I just not understanding the data presented?

Gerry VE6LB

Fellow Users,

You should be aware that there is a time delay in the Synchronization of the LoTW data base and your DXCC credit records. I've been told that this happens nightly so in the interim between when a new credit appears on the LoTW system and it's validated against the DXCC records, it mat take upwards of a day.

As an example:

Today a LoTW RTTY confirmation appeared for CX2AQ. This shows as a "selected" QSO in the awards section of LoTW but when one looks at the DXCC record under "view award credit matrix", my record show this as a confirmed credit.

I expect tomorrow morning it will all be as it should be.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 2:39 PM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I have always received quick and concise answers to my questions.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 16:31
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>
> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign

> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
>
> ~~~~~~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1438. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 22:07:59 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1429 Message: 1438

I don't know what their staffing or workload is.
I've received fast answers to "critical" problems, i.e. my password stopped working due to the DXCC connection.

They should post a note "that due to workload they can only answer XYZ"

Jim

Jim,

I've had good and fast answers to some questions, other nothing.

This is the one I've sent 3 times:

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

==========
to: lotw-help@...

This is a message I sent to the reflector on June 12th.

I expected that the records would be synchronized by this morning at the latest.

Is this a problem or am I just not understanding the data presented?

Gerry VE6LB

Fellow Users,

You should be aware that there is a time delay in the Synchronization of the LoTW data base and your DXCC credit records. I've been told that this happens nightly so in the interim between when a new credit appears on the LoTW system and it's validated against the DXCC records, it mat take upwards of a day.

As an example:

Today a LoTW RTTY confirmation appeared for CX2AQ. This shows as a "selected" QSO in the awards section of LoTW but when one looks at the DXCC record under "view award credit matrix", my record show this as a confirmed credit.

I expect tomorrow morning it will all be as it should be.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 2:39 PM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I have always received quick and concise answers to my questions.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 16:31
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have
that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>
> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign
> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM

> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

> click here
>
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1439. DX PARTICIPATION IN LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 22:16:31 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1439 Message: 1439

I think the systems works great and only wish more DX stations were participating in it. I realize Green Stamps are a part of the problem but I believe that the ARRL sends reps to the big DX conventions in Asia and Europe and they could put out the word for those DXer to bring their documentation with them and the documentation could be inspected and they could be signed up on site with out mailing copies, etc to the ARRL.

Rod WC7N

1440. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-02 22:42:13 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1429 Message: 1440

Jim,

I agree, it would be nice to simply be told "we're busy and will get back to you some time".

I guess my issue, if it's real-not just my misreading of the reports, it is a serious problem. My LoTW credits are out of sync with my DXCC credits. I know the DXCC report is correct and I know the LoTW shows a "selected" QSO that reduced my "awarded" by 1. Unfortunately there doesn't appear to be a formal definition of "Selected" and Awarded". My assumption is "selected" means a New One (these appear in the Application form as available for submission) and "awarded" means applied to my DXCC records.

I've had this happen before and the DBs synchronized a few days later.

This appear to be caused by a station re-downloading their logs with duplicate QSOs in them and LoTW not looking at your DXCC record to validate that these are no longer needed. I had done a LoTW and a paper submission in May where this Country/mode was credited (from a LoTW QSL) to my DXCC.

Maybe someone can help clear this up.

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 4:07 PM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I don't know what their staffing or workload is.
I've received fast answers to "critical" problems, i.e. my password stopped working due to the DXCC connection.

They should post a note "that due to workload they can only answer XYZ"

Jim

Jim,

I've had good and fast answers to some questions, other nothing.

This is the one I've sent 3 times:

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

==========
to: lotw-help@...

This is a message I sent to the reflector on June 12th.

I expected that the records would be synchronized by this morning at the latest.

Is this a problem or am I just not understanding the data presented?

Gerry VE6LB

Fellow Users,

You should be aware that there is a time delay in the Synchronization of the LoTW data base and your DXCC credit records. I've been told that this happens nightly so in the interim between when a new credit appears on the LoTW system and it's validated against the DXCC records, it mat take upwards of a day.

As an example:

Today a LoTW RTTY confirmation appeared for CX2AQ. This shows as a "selected" QSO in the awards section of LoTW but when one looks at the DXCC record under "view award credit matrix", my record show this as a confirmed credit.

I expect tomorrow morning it will all be as it should be.

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 2:39 PM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

I have always received quick and concise answers to my questions.

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Mury
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 16:31
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!

Same here - no answers to my emails to lotw-help@.... Why even have that email address if they're not going to answer?

On Fri, 2004-07-02 at 10:16, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Rod,
>

> They don't seem to have time to answer questions. Never mind market.
> I've sent a simple question to lotw-help@... a couple time with
> no response. To be fair, some others have been answered.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: WC7N
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 10:32 AM
> Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting for guys!
>
> I must be missing something but it doesn't appear to me that
> the ARRL is out marketing the system. I would think they
> would announce at all of the big hamfast (Both EU and Asia)
> that they will have a rep there (I think they usually do) and
> you should bring your documentation and get on the spot sign
> up.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Tom Horton
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, July 02, 2004 9:25 AM
> Subject: spam: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What are you waiting
> for guys!
>
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get
> involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID
>
> Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
> Bob queries:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > What are you waiting for guys !!
>
> ...snip...
>
> My guess? Green stamps...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...

>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom Horton K5II! D
> Hillsboro, TX
>
>
> USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
> WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ
>
> Finally back home in Texas after all these years!
>
> "E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
>
>
> ~~~~~~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
--
73, Brian
VE7NGR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1441. Re: What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-03 21:40:34 UTC
From: Darrell Frappier
Topic: 1429 Message: 1441

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@s...> wrote:
> It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
> 73, Tom K5IID

But it is easy for US amateurs with DX calls to get involved. A significant percentage of registered DX are US call sign holders. For instance, of the 14 registered in Greece, I account for 2. Once I had N3JWJ in via post card, it was easy to add SV1/N3JWJ and J42004/N3JWJ for this year. (Much easier than eQSL by the way, but I get a much better return rate from eQSL so far.)

73 de Darrell N3JWJ in Detroit

1442. has the Canadian group signed on to LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-05 10:21:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1442 Message: 1442

Forgive me if I have the wrong info.
Which Canadian org. administers the Worked all Canadian Provinces?
What are the requirements for Worked all VE provinces?
If there is a group, have they joined with LoTW to administer this certificate?

I probably do not have the cards, but I'm sure I've worked them all on most bands.

As always, please and thank you.

I used to have an open mind but my brains kept falling out.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1443. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] has the Canadian group signed on to LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-05 12:55:35 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1442 Message: 1443

Bill,

Go to: http://www.rac.ca/opsinfo/awards.htm

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, July 05, 2004 4:21 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] has the Canadian group signed on to LoTW?

Forgive me if I have the wrong info.
Which Canadian org. administers the Worked all Canadian Provinces?
What are the requirements for Worked all VE provinces?
If there is a group, have they joined with LoTW to administer this certificate?

I probably do not have the cards, but I'm sure I've worked them all on most bands.

As always, please and thank you.

I used to have an open mind but my brains kept falling out.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1444. WARNING DANGER DANGER Will Robinson

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-05 17:24:09 UTC
From: Robert McGwier
Topic: 1444 Message: 1444

Do not do what I have done or I promise, you will regret it.
I have lost five years worth of logs to hard disk failure and
the LOTW not having a back door and my failure to back the
logs up. You cannot believe the armor plating I am doing to
build the new system up. Incremental automatic backup, UPS,
etc. I will never ever be able to replace all that I have
lost. Do not make my mistake.

In agony in New Jersey,
Bob
N4HY

1445. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 183

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-05 20:10:21 UTC
From: Robert McGwier
Topic: 1445 Message: 1445

Thanks very very much to K4IK who showed me how to get a report in adi form
on my QSO's.
I am ecstatic.

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=n4hy&password=XXXXXXXX&qs
o_query=1&qso_owncall=N4HY&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1960-01-
01&qso_withown=yes

where XXXXXXXXXX is your personal password will get you and .adi report
file. I have
recovered all my QSO's. Thanks so much for your help!

Bob
N4HY

1446. Re: What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-06 20:21:57 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1429 Message: 1446

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darrell Frappier" <darrell@f...>
wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@s...> wrote:
> > It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
> > 73, Tom K5IID
>
> But it is easy for US amateurs with DX calls to get involved. A
> significant percentage of registered DX are US call sign holders.
> For instance, of the 14 registered in Greece, I account for 2.
Once
> I had N3JWJ in via post card, it was easy to add SV1/N3JWJ and
> J42004/N3JWJ for this year. (Much easier than eQSL by the way, but
I
> get a much better return rate from eQSL so far.)
>
> 73 de Darrell N3JWJ in Detroit

Well, Darrell N3JWJ seems to have understood what I was trying to say with my original message on this Thread. What I was getting at is that there are a bunch of U.S. stations out there that have used LoTW with their U.S. Call sign. But, when they sign portable from another Entity... nothing. Since they use LoTW for the home U.S. Call they obviously are aware that LoTW exists, so it isn't a matter of selling LoTW to them. That's why I asked what they were waiting for. From what I've read on this site getting a Certificate for a DX call once you have your U.S. Call Certificate is as easy as adding an old Callsign.

Obviously a lot of U.S. Stations operating portable from another entity do use LoTW for both the home call and the DX Call. If they didn't I wouldn't have half as many DX confirmations as I do now. I was just surprised by how many U.S. Stations operating portable from another entity use LoTW for only the home call but not the DX Call.

If it was rare DX I could believe that they were looking for $$$. But common Caribbean and Pacific Islands ... I don't think so. I get the feeling that they don't think anyone really needs them. It is probably true that they wouldn't be New Entities for many folks, but they would probably give a lot of folks band fills. So if any of you guys out there are reading this PLEASE Upload your DX logs. Somebody is bound to need a band fill.

That said I have to agree with those that have made the observation that the ARRL hasn't made much effort in "Selling" LoTW. Heck at Dayton they seemed darn near embarrassed to even talk about it. I couldn't believe it when I was told by an ARRL staffer that it never

occurred to them to have a LoTW booth set up. DOH!!!!

Bob K8KWT

1447. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-06 23:20:03 UTC
From: N2TK
Topic: 1429 Message: 1447

Hi Bob,

LOTW is a piece of cake to use, both for my home call and my DX calls. Most of my off-shore operating has been in the Caribbean. I am still surprised how many green stamps I get for QSL's. But, it doesn't cover expenses. In fact, I'm still surprised how many QSL's I still get from the bureau too. So, not sure why more don't upload their logs to LOTW

73,
N2TK
Tony
-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Daniels [mailto:k8kwt@...]
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2004 4:22 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What are you waiting for guys!

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Darrell Frappier" <darrell@f...>
wrote:
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Tom Horton <k5iid@s...> wrote:
> > It's also not quite as easy for the DXers to get involved.
> > 73, Tom K5IID
>
> But it is easy for US amateurs with DX calls to get involved. A
> significant percentage of registered DX are US call sign holders.
> For instance, of the 14 registered in Greece, I account for 2.
Once
> I had N3JWJ in via post card, it was easy to add SV1/N3JWJ and
> J42004/N3JWJ for this year. (Much easier than eQSL by the way, but
I
> get a much better return rate from eQSL so far.)
>
> 73 de Darrell N3JWJ in Detroit

Well, Darrell N3JWJ seems to have understood what I was trying to say with my original message on this Thread. What I was getting at is that there are a bunch of U.S. stations out there that have used LoTW with their U.S. Call sign. But, when they sign portable from another Entity... nothing. Since they use LoTW for the home U.S. Call they obviously are aware that LoTW exists, so it isn't a matter of selling LoTW to them. That's why I asked what they were waiting for. From what I've read on this site getting a Certificate for a DX call once you have your U.S. Call Certificate is as easy as adding an old Callsign.

Obviously a lot of U.S. Stations operating portable from another entity do use LoTW for both the home call and the DX Call. If they didn't I wouldn't have half as many DX confirmations as I do now. I was just surprised by how many U.S. Stations operating portable from another entity use LoTW for only the home call but not the DX Call.

If it was rare DX I could believe that they were looking for $$$. But common Caribbean and Pacific Islands ... I don't think so. I get the feeling that they don't think anyone really needs them. It is probably true that they wouldn't be New Entities for many folks, but they would probably give a lot of folks band fills. So if any of you guys out there are reading this PLEASE Upload your DX logs. Somebody is bound to need a band fill.

That said I have to agree with those that have made the observation that the ARRL hasn't made much effort in "Selling" LoTW. Heck at Dayton they seemed darn near embarrassed to even talk about it. I couldn't believe it when I was told by an ARRL staffer that it never occurred to them to have a LoTW booth set up. DOH!!!!

Bob K8KWT

Yahoo! Groups Links

1448. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: What are you waiting for guys!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-06 23:35:55 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1429 Message: 1448

I agree, FP/KB9LIE has been working HUGE pile-ups on 6M for the last three days.....This is a vacation operation, and the OP. has been saying "QSL via home call"
If it were me, I would be saying "QSL via LoTW and keep the paper"......

Jim

Hi Bob,

LOTW is a piece of cake to use, both for my home call and my DX calls. Most of my off-shore operating has been in the Caribbean. I am still surprised how many green stamps I get for QSL's. But, it doesn't cover expenses. In fact, I'm still surprised how many QSL's I still get from the bureau too. So, not sure why more don't upload their logs to LOTW

73,
N2TK
Tony

1449. received today: 9K2ZZ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-09 21:43:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1449 Message: 1449

9K2ZZ via LoTW for numerous qso's
Uploaded by W3CNL
thanks Ray.

Sign In Pet Store: "Buy one dog, regular price, get one flea..."

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1450. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-11 20:10:12 UTC
From: NA4FM (Buck)
Topic: 1343 Message: 1450

Thanks,
Buck
NA4FM

****
Reliable, Fast, Cheap
Pick any two
****

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2004 8:21 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Eqsl, LoTW, & CQZ Quandry
>
>
> If you make a mistake with a LoTW upload, simply redo the
> upload with the correct information.
> The incorrect QSO will sit on the database with no match and
> the corrected QSO will be available.
>
> Jim
>
> Don't make a mistake in LOTW, you can't delete the contact. (unless
> they changed that.)
>
> Thanks,
> Buck
> NA4FM
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> HYPERLINK
> "http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296hd6vq/M=295196.4901138.607130
5.3001176/
D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1088079651/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*htt
p://companion.yahoo.com"click here

HYPERLINK
"http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=group
s/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=760818219"

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
HYPERLINK
"mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe"ARRL-L
OTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the HYPERLINK
"http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/"Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1451. IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-12 03:47:09 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1451 Message: 1451

Hello Arrl-lotw,

I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-)) logging
software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-report file,
logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices like this:

Error in the IOTA field ....

<APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
<CALL:6>7L4IOU
<BAND:3>15M
<MODE:4>RTTY
<QSO_DATE:8>20040613
<TIME_ON:6>072354
<QSL_RCVD:1>Y
<QSLRDATE:8>20040711
<DXCC:3>339
<CQZ:2>25
<ITUZ:2>45

<IOTA:5>AS007

<GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
<eor>

This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.

The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for IOTA number (if
you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org IOTA website and
see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file should look like
<IOTA:6>AS-007.

So my request to place a notice for operators about correct spelling
of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert application,
it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this reflector, of
course.

--
73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC

"I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress." [Jane Siberry]

1452. Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-12 04:06:07 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1451 Message: 1452

Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to make life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The code to interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA tags -- AS7, AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5 minutes to write.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> Hello Arrl-lotw,
>
> I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-)) logging
> software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-report file,
> logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices like this:
>
> Error in the IOTA field ....
>
> <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> <BAND:3>15M
> <MODE:4>RTTY
> <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> <TIME_ON:6>072354
> <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> <DXCC:3>339
> <CQZ:2>25
> <ITUZ:2>45
>
> <IOTA:5>AS007
>
> <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> <eor>
>
> This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
>
> The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for IOTA number (if
> you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org IOTA website and
> see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file should look like
> <IOTA:6>AS-007.

>
> So my request to place a notice for operators about correct
spelling
> of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
application,
> it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this reflector,
of
> course.
>
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC
>
> "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress."
[Jane Siberry]

1453. Re[2]: [hamlogger] Fwd: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-12 08:19:10 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1453 Message: 1453

Hello Andrei,

Monday, July 12, 2004 Andrei wrote to hamlogger@yahoogroups.com:

A> You are correct about ADIF for IOTA. ADIF spec
A> http://www.hosenose.com/adif/adif.html
A> says "HYPHEN MUST BE INCLUDED" for IOTA field. But doesn't that
A> mean that "AS7, AS07, AS007" are NOT compliant with ADIF? IMHO LoTW
A> should not accept records which are not compliant with ADIF spec
A> (be it IOTA, or, say, date, like 01-01-2001) otherwise writers of
A> logging software are forced to fix bugs which they can't be
A> possibly responsible for. At the end of the day, this is what all
A> the specs are written for - for everybody to comply.

:-) Who is responsible, logging software developers or IOTA operators,
you can argue directly to Dave AA6YQ. When you both come to one
opinion, let me know so I can ask for needed enhancements in the right
place :-)

--
73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 08:13 UTC

"In theory, there is no difference between theory and practice. In practice, there is."
(Yogi Berra)

1454. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-12 11:11:10 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1451 Message: 1454

Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper won't let
a user make that mistake.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2004 11:06 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
>
> Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to
> make life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The
> code to interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA
> tags -- AS7, AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5
> minutes to write.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> > Hello Arrl-lotw,
> >
> > I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-))
> logging
> > software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-report
> file,
> > logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices like this:
> >
> > Error in the IOTA field ....
> >
> > <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> > <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> > <BAND:3>15M
> > <MODE:4>RTTY
> > <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> > <TIME_ON:6>072354
> > <QSL_RCVD:1>Y

> > <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> > <DXCC:3>339
> > <CQZ:2>25
> > <ITUZ:2>45
> >
> > <IOTA:5>AS007
> >
> > <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> > <eor>
> >
> > This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
> >
> > The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for IOTA number
> (if
> > you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org IOTA website
> and
> > see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file should look
> like
> > <IOTA:6>AS-007.
> >
> > So my request to place a notice for operators about correct
> spelling
> > of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
> application,
> > it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this reflector,
> of
> > course.
> >
> >
> > --
> > 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC
> >
> > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress."
> [Jane Siberry]
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>

1455. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 01:32:03 UTC
From: Brian Mury
Topic: 1451 Message: 1455

Neither will Logger32, so it's certainly not an indictment of Logger32.

On Mon, 2004-07-12 at 04:11, rojomn wrote:
> Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper won't let
> a user make that mistake.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> > Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2004 11:06 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
> >
> > Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to
> > make life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The
> > code to interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA
> > tags -- AS7, AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5
> > minutes to write.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> > > Hello Arrl-lotw,
> > >
> > > I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-))
> > logging
> > > > software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-report
> > file,
> > > > logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices like this:
> > > >
> > > > Error in the IOTA field ....
> > > >
> > > > <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> > > > <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> > > > <BAND:3>15M

> > > <MODE:4>RTTY
> > > <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> > > <TIME_ON:6>072354
> > > <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> > > <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> > > <DXCC:3>339
> > > <CQZ:2>25
> > > <ITUZ:2>45
> > >
> > > <IOTA:5>AS007
> > >
> > > <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> > > <eor>
> > >
> > > This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
> > >
> > > The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for IOTA number
> > (if
> > > you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org IOTA website
> > and
> > > see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file should look
> > like
> > > <IOTA:6>AS-007.
> > >
> > > So my request to place a notice for operators about correct
> > spelling
> > > of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
> > application,
> > > it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this reflector,
> > of
> > > course.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC
> > >
> > > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress."
> > [Jane Siberry]
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > ------~->
> >
> >

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1456. Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 01:38:49 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1451 Message: 1456

I suspect that most of the ADIF-incompliant IOTA tags are being
generated by TQSL when a new location is created.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Mury <ve7ngr@r...> wrote:
> Neither will Logger32, so it's certainly not an indictment of
Logger32.
>
> On Mon, 2004-07-12 at 04:11, rojomn wrote:
> > Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper
won't let
> > a user make that mistake.
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > > Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2004 11:06 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
> > >
> > > Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to
> > > make life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The
> > > code to interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA
> > > tags -- AS7, AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5
> > > minutes to write.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> > > > Hello Arrl-lotw,
> > > >
> > > > I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-))
> > > logging

> > > > software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-
report
> > > file,
> > > > logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices like
this:
> > > >
> > > > Error in the IOTA field ....
> > > >
> > > > <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> > > > <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> > > > <BAND:3>15M
> > > > <MODE:4>RTTY
> > > > <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> > > > <TIME_ON:6>072354
> > > > <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> > > > <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> > > > <DXCC:3>339
> > > > <CQZ:2>25
> > > > <ITUZ:2>45
> > > > <IOTA:5>AS007
> > > > <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> > > > <eor>
> > > > This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
> > > > The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for IOTA
number
> > > (if
> > > > you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org IOTA
website
> > > and
> > > > see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file should
look
> > > like
> > > > <IOTA:6>AS-007.
> > > > So my request to place a notice for operators about correct
> > > spelling
> > > > of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
> > > application,
> > > > it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this
reflector,
> > > of
> > > > course.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC

> > > >
> > > > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good
waitress."
> > > [Jane Siberry]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > ---
> > > ------~->
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >

1457. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 02:24:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1451 Message: 1457

> I suspect that most of the ADIF-incompliant IOTA tags are being
> generated by TQSL when a new location is created.

In fact, that seems to be the only place that one can enter the
IOTA, grid, etc. tags. If the user does not enter properly
formatted data, LotW will happily pass along the improperly
formatted (or incorrect) data.

Unfortunately, tQSL needs better data validation routines ...
GIGO as always.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1458. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 02:37:30 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1451 Message: 1458

That is not what Art said. I don't know, have never used it. How did he get
the wrong data?

LotW certainly ahs not excuse here!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Brian Mury [mailto:ve7ngr@...]
> Sent: Monday, July 12, 2004 8:32 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
>
> Neither will Logger32, so it's certainly not an indictment of
> Logger32.
>
> On Mon, 2004-07-12 at 04:11, rojomn wrote:
> > Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper
> > won't let a user make that mistake.
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@...]
> > > Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2004 11:06 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
> > >
> > > Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to make
> > > life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The code to
> > > interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA tags -- AS7,
> > > AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5 minutes to write.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...> wrote:
> > > > Hello Arrl-lotw,
> > > >
> > > > I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-))
> > > logging
> > > > software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-report
> > > file,
> > > > logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices
> like this:
> > > >
> > > > Error in the IOTA field ....
> > > >
> > > > <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> > > > <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> > > > <BAND:3>15M
> > > > <MODE:4>RTTY
> > > > <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> > > > <TIME_ON:6>072354
> > > > <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> > > > <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> > > > <DXCC:3>339
> > > > <CQZ:2>25
> > > > <ITUZ:2>45
> > > >
> > > > <IOTA:5>AS007
> > > >
> > > > <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> > > > <eor>
> > > >
> > > > This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
> > > >
> > > > The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for
> IOTA number
> > > (if
> > > > you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org
> IOTA website
> > > and
> > > > see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file
> should look
> > > like
> > > > <IOTA:6>AS-007.
> > > >
> > > > So my request to place a notice for operators about correct
> > > spelling
> > > > of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
> > > application,
> > > > it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this
> > > > reflector,
> > > of
> > > > course.

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC
> > > >
> > > > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good
> waitress."
> > > [Jane Siberry]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > ---
> > > -------~->
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>

1459. Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 02:56:30 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1451 Message: 1459

My understanding of Art's post is that his logging application was rejecting QSLs downloaded from LotW during the synchronization process because they contained invalid IOTA tags. I suspect that TQSL is the source of these invalid tags; as Joe K4IK pointed out, it seems to pass on whatever the user places in its IOTA textbox without ensuring that the tag is in XX-NNN format. There is a similar problem with CQ and ITU zones, which should be checked for reasonability with respect to the DXCC entity; this would prevent most of the "zone reversal" cases we've all observed.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> That is not what Art said. I don't know, have never used it. How did he get
> the wrong data?
>
> LotW certainly ahs not excuse here!
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Brian Mury [mailto:ve7ngr@r...]
> > Sent: Monday, July 12, 2004 8:32 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
> >
> > Neither will Logger32, so it's certainly not an indictment of
> > Logger32.
> >
> > On Mon, 2004-07-12 at 04:11, rojomn wrote:
> > > Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper
> > > won't let a user make that mistake.
> > >
> > >
> > > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> > >

> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > From: Dave Bernstein [mailto:aa6yq@a...]
> > > > Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2004 11:06 PM
> > > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers
> > > >
> > > > Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to
make
> > > > life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The code
to
> > > > interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA tags --
AS7,
> > > > AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5 minutes to
write.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > >
> > > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@e...>
wrote:
> > > > > Hello Arrl-lotw,
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm using Logger32 free (and definitely the best :-
))
> > > > logging
> > > > > software, and when I synchronize my log with LoTW ADIF-
report
> > > > file,
> > > > > logger places some QSOs to "bad" file with the notices
> > like this:
> > > > >
> > > > > Error in the IOTA field ....
> > > > >
> > > > > <APP_LoTW_OWNCALL:5>RX9TX
> > > > > <CALL:6>7L4IOU
> > > > > <BAND:3>15M
> > > > > <MODE:4>RTTY
> > > > > <QSO_DATE:8>20040613
> > > > > <TIME_ON:6>072354
> > > > > <QSL_RCVD:1>Y
> > > > > <QSLRDATE:8>20040711
> > > > > <DXCC:3>339
> > > > > <CQZ:2>25
> > > > > <ITUZ:2>45
> > > > >

> > > > > <IOTA:5>AS007
> > > > >
> > > > > <GRIDSQUARE:4>PM95
> > > > > <eor>
> > > > >
> > > > > This is really annoying, and could be easily solved.
> > > > >
> > > > > The reason is that there IS some sort of STANDARD for
> > IOTA number
> > > > (if
> > > > > you do believe, just go to http://www.rsgbiota.org
> > IOTA website
> > > > and
> > > > > see how they do spell them), IOTA number in ADIF file
> > should look
> > > like
> > > > > <IOTA:6>AS-007.
> > > > >
> > > > > So my request to place a notice for operators about
correct
> > > spelling
> > > > > of IOTA numbers, on the LOTW web page, or inside TQSLcert
> > > application,
> > > > > it does not a matter. If any of LoTW staffers read this
> > > > > reflector,
> > > > of
> > > > > course.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > 73...Art RX9TX 12-Jul-04 03:32 UTC
> > > > >
> > > > > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good
> > waitress."
> > > > [Jane Siberry]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > > ----------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > > > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > > > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > > ---
> > > > ------~->
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~--> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads.
> > Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1460. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: IOTA numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 04:30:26 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1451 Message: 1460

Hello Brian,

Tuesday, July 13, 2004 Brian Mury wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

BM> Neither will Logger32, so it's certainly not an indictment of
BM> Logger32.

That was my fault, as I did not notice last ver of L32 already can handle wrong IOTA number formats. Anyway, from my point of view, any user data entered to TQSLcert application should be checked by the application for ADIF, DXCC, WAZ or whatever compliance.

>> Which is actually an indictment of both Logger and LotW. DXKeeper
>> won't let a user make that mistake.

>> Expecting users to conform to predefined formats in order to make
>> life easier for computer programs is ass backwards. The code to
>> interpret all of the reasonable variations of IOTA tags -- AS7,
>> AS-7, AS07, AS007, AS-007, etc. -- takes about 5 minutes to write.

--
73...Art RX9TX 13-Jul-04 04:21 UTC

"A prudent question is one half of wisdom." [Francis Bacon]

1461. iota numbers, grids, zones

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-13 11:07:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1461 Message: 1461

I agree that the info being entered to LoTW in correct format is a problem.
Canadian Provinces being returned as 'States' also should be addressed.

But just for a moment look at this.

One of the big complaints is that there are not enough Non USA contributors.

When you 'Sign' a log, errors in time frame are rejected.
As a manager who uploaded LARGE numbers of logs, I frequently encountered data entry errors.
I transposed a date, or typed a 'OH' for a "ZERO", or even an a incorrect call sign.
(some of these were due to the incorrect deciphering of the handwriting)

These errors would pop up during the signing process.
I would then have to take the error report, compare it the log, find/fix the error, remake a .adi file with the corrections and then 're-sign' and upload the corrected file.

I can see where this process could be frustrating to a non English speaking contributor.
The addition of error checking for iota, and zones, could really add to the confusion.

Part of the zone problem is that the certificate process asks for ITU zone first and then CQ which is different from most logging programs.

I agree that accuracy is the job of the submitter.
but:
I HOPE that a process that corrects errors can be a combination of logging and TQSL.
Where detected errors can pop up with a "Zone indicates a possible error, do you wish to correct or continue?" type message.

To paraphrase. "Make it easier, and they will come".

As an aside, last night I was on PSK and a Columbian station asked me for instructions on joining LoTW. Immediately after ending our qso, 4X6UO called me and informed me that he uploads his logs to LoTW once a week.

So LoTW is catching on, I'm old enough to remember people saying "SSB will NEVER be popular!" :-)

As an retired firefighter, I still have my turnout gear and am used to 'flames' so don't bother!.

"The wise person writes bomb-proof code.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1462. 9K2USA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-14 18:08:26 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1462 Message: 1462

A short time ago I enjoyed seeing the logs from 9K2ZZ appear on LoTW eventhough I previously had all of these contacts with Bob confirmed via W8CNL. However, it was really a suprise today to see my 1991, 15 meter RTTY contact with 9K2USA, on LoTW. I had sent a card direct to 9K2RA, as instructed, but never received a reply. Now if I could only get some sort of confirmation for contacts with 9K2RA and 9K2SD, which have also been hanging since 1991, it would really be a good week.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1463. return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 11:54:22 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1463 Message: 1463

A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LOTW. How long is it taking for the return e-mail? Four days have already passed.

Julio, W4HY

1464. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 12:07:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1463 Message: 1464

not sure what you mean.
If he's a stateside ham, and is starting with LoTW.
Then he gets his password that allows him to upload files via a postcard in the US mail.
This takes from 3 to 7 days 'USUALLY' depending on his location and the idiosyncrasies of the postal service.

I hope that helps...if we are talking about the same part of the process.
Check the FAQ's on the lotw site, it explains the process chronologically.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 6:54 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LOTW. How long is it taking for the return e-mail? Four days have already passed.

Julio, W4HY

1465. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 16:08:51 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1463 Message: 1465

Isn't there something, maybe it's the actual certificate that is sent by
e-mail to the applicant? It's been a long time since I made my application
and my memory of the process is a little fuzzy.

Julio
----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 8:07 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

> not sure what you mean.
> If he's a stateside ham, and is starting with LoTW.
> Then he gets his password that allows him to upload files via a postcard
in the US mail.
> This takes from 3 to 7 days 'USUALLY' depending on his location and the
idiosyncrasies of
> the postal service.
>
> I hope that helps...if we are talking about the same part of the process.
> Check the FAQ's on the lotw site, it explains the process chronologically.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 6:54 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
>
>
> A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LOTW. How long is it
taking for the
> return e-mail? Four days have already passed.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>

1466. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 16:22:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1463 Message: 1466

the chronology of events can be found at
https://www.arrl.org/lotw/getstart

If in doubt, email Wayne Mills.

On 7/15/2004 11:08:51 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Isn't there something, maybe it's the actual certificate that is sent by
> e-mail to the applicant?
> It's been a long time since I made my application
> and my memory of the process is a little fuzzy.
>
> Julio
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 8:07 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
>
>
> > not sure what you mean.
> > If he's
> a stateside ham, and is starting with LoTW.
> > Then he gets his password that allows him to upload files via a
> postcard
> in the US mail.
> > This takes from 3 to 7 days 'USUALLY' depending on his location and the
> idiosyncrasies of
> > the postal service.
> >
> > I hope that helps...if we are talking about the same part of the process.
>
> > Check the FAQ's on the lotw site, it explains the process chronologically.
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 6:54 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
> >
> >
> > A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LO

1467. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 16:36:10 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 1463 Message: 1467

The chronology is what we have been using. It just seems to me that the e-mail response was very quick on the order of a couple of days. My friend has had 4 days pass and was getting worried that something was wrong.

Julio

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 12:22 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

> the chronology of events can be found at
> https://www.arrl.org/lotw/getstart
>
> If in doubt, email Wayne Mills.
>
>
> On 7/15/2004 11:08:51 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Isn't there something, maybe it's the actual certificate that is sent by
> > e-mail to the applicant?
> > It's been a long time since I made my application
> > and my memory of the process is a little fuzzy.
> >
> > Julio
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 8:07 AM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
> >
> >
> > > not sure what you mean.
> > > If he's
> > a stateside ham, and is starting with LoTW.
> > > Then he gets his password that allows him to upload files via a
> > postcard
> > in the US mail.
> > > This takes from 3 to 7 days 'USUALLY' depending on his location and
the
> > idiosyncrasies of
> > > the postal service.
> > >
> > > I hope that helps...if we are talking about the same part of the
process.
> >

> > > Check the FAQ's on the lotw site, it explains the process chronologically.
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 6:54 AM
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
> > >
> > >
> > > A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LO
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1468. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 16:31:54 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1463 Message: 1468

Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 12:22 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

the chronology of events can be found at
https://www.arrl.org/lotw/getstart

If in doubt, email Wayne Mills.

On 7/15/2004 11:08:51 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Isn't there something, maybe it's the actual certificate that is sent by
> e-mail to the applicant?
> It's been a long time since I made my application
> and my memory of the process is a little fuzzy.
>
> Julio
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 8:07 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
>
>
> > not sure what you mean.
> > If he's
> a stateside ham, and is starting with LoTW.
> > Then he gets his password that allows him to upload files via a
> postcard
> in the US mail.
> > This takes from 3 to 7 days 'USUALLY' depending on his location and the
> idiosyncrasies of
> > the postal service.
> >
> > I hope that helps...if we are talking about the same part of the process.
>
> > Check the FAQ's on the lotw site, it explains the process chronologically.
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 6:54 AM

> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
> >
> >
> > A friend of mine is in the process of applying for LO

Yahoo! Groups Links

1469. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 17:34:32 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1463 Message: 1469

> Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?

Wayne Mills, N7NG
Membership Services Manager
n7ng@...

For LotW questions, I would be contacting Dave Patton, NN1N
nt1n@... ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1470. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 17:42:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1463 Message: 1470

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:31 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?
73, Jerry K3BZ

Your kidding aren't you? lol

Wayne Mills
N7NG@...

LoTW Administrator
&
Membership Services Manager

1471. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 18:05:13 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1463 Message: 1471

My understanding is that all LoTW questions should be directed to
lotw-help@... and the right person will pick them up be it Wayne, Dave
or others.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:42 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:31 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
>
>
> Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> Your kidding aren't you? lol
>
> Wayne Mills
> N7NG@...
>
> LoTW Administrator
> &
> Membership Services Manager
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1472. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 18:24:34 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1463 Message: 1472

With apologies and much embarassment for being so ignorant :-), actually, no....I wasn't kidding. Thanks for the info, now I know. 73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 1:42 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:31 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?
73, Jerry K3BZ

Your kidding aren't you? lol

Wayne Mills
N7NG@...

LoTW Administrator
&
Membership Services Manager

Yahoo! Groups Links

1473. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 18:37:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1463 Message: 1473

On 7/15/2004 1:05:13 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> My understanding is that all LoTW questions should be directed to
> lotw-help@... and the right person will pick them up be it Wayne,
> Dave
> or others.
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB
Your right Gerry.

I just got used to getting answers to my questions from Wayne of Dave and didn't think to mention the special help email address.

My wife says I'm loosing my mind so as she has ALWAYS been right,
I'm going to blame it on CRS.

If at first you don't succeed, redefine success.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1474. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 18:30:22 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1463 Message: 1474

Thanks, Gerry. 73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 2:05 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

My understanding is that all LoTW questions should be directed to
lotw-help@... and the right person will pick them up be it Wayne, Dave
or others.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:42 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 11:31 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail
>
>
> Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> Your kidding aren't you? lol
>
> Wayne Mills
> N7NG@...
>
> LoTW Administrator
> &
> Membership Services Manager
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1475. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-15 18:25:09 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1463 Message: 1475

Thanks, Joe.
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2004 1:34 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] return e-mail

> Who is Wayne Mills, and what's his call and email address?

Wayne Mills, N7NG
Membership Services Manager
n7ng@...

For LotW questions, I would be contacting Dave Patton, NN1N
nt1n@... ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Links

1476. more 9X5AA qso uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 14:35:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1476 Message: 1476

I had an emergency grandkid babysitting gig.
So I took my laptop along and was able to transcribe another K of written log qso's.
I'm current up to April 1989.

Still got about 2K left to transcribe....

Anything worth taking seriously is worth making fun of.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1477. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] more 9X5AA qso uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 14:59:37 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 1476 Message: 1477

Hi Bill,
As far as I am concerned, this is effort far and beyond what others expect.
You are probably aware of it, but there are a lot of us out here that
appreciate it.
Thanks,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...
W7TS@...
W7TS@...
ALPCA #8339
ARRL-LM

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2004 08:35
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: QSL List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] more 9X5AA qso uploaded

I had an emergency grandkid babysitting gig.
So I took my laptop along and was able to transcribe another K of written
log qso's.
I'm current up to April 1989.

Still got about 2K left to transcribe....

Anything worth taking seriously is worth making fun of.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

1478. purely academic question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 15:01:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1478 Message: 1478

When should a qso be logged?
I usually hit the 'log it' key when the station comes back to my call.
But I see in a lot of PSK or RTTY programs that people use a 'logging buffer' that says something like:
"qso logged at 12:00z etc. etc."

In most contest of dxing situations this isn't an issue, but for a rag chew, it could go over the LoTW time span criteria and not show up as a qsl.

So what's your opinions?

Idaho State Motto: More Than Just Potatoes. Well Okay, We're Not, But The Potatoes Sure Are Real Good
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1479. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] more 9X5AA qso uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 15:17:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1476 Message: 1479

thank you but it's far more self serving than you may imagine.
When the word got out that I'd inherited these old logs, I got LOT'S of qsl requests and emails.
Even a few phone calls.
By putting all the logs online, it cut down the mail load CONSIDERABLY.

It's a good way to pass the time in airports or when baby sitting. Better than watching soaps!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ken Kinyon" <w7ts@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; <w9ol@...>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2004 9:59 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] more 9X5AA qso uploaded

1480. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] purely academic question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 15:32:26 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1478 Message: 1480

> In most contest of dxing situations this isn't an issue, but
> for a rag chew, it could go over the LoTW time span criteria
> and not show up as a qsl.

With the LotW criteria something like +/- 30 minutes, I don't think there is much chance of "missing" a legitimate QSO unless someone's clock is badly off ... or as I found in many of my old cards stations were logging in local time but indicating GMT.

Further, most computer logging programs record both start and end times ... with the start time being the one that LotW will accept.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1481. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] purely academic question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 15:36:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1478 Message: 1481

I must have had a brain fart, for some reason I though 5 minutes was the LoTW span.
Blame it on too many Margaritas.

On 7/17/2004 10:32:26 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > In most contest of dxing situations this
> isn't an issue, but
> > for a rag chew, it could go over the LoTW time span criteria
> > and not show up as a qsl.
>
> With the LotW criteria something like +/- 30 minutes, I don't
>
> think there is much chance of "missing" a legitimate QSO unless
> someone's clock is badly off ... or as I found in many of my old
> cards stations were logging in local time but indicating GMT.
>
> Further, most computer logging programs record both start and
> end times ... with the start time being the one that LotW will
> accept.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> [Image "click"] [link:
us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1298fs49v/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/E
XP=1090164774/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com]
>
> [Image]
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]

1482. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] purely academic question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 21:03:55 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1478 Message: 1482

Blame it on too many Margaritas.

if you have extras send em on down
73 K5IID

FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
I must have had a brain fart, for some reason I though 5 minutes was the LoTW span.
Blame it on too many Margaritas.

On 7/17/2004 10:32:26 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > In most contest of dxing situations this
> isn't an issue, but
> > for a rag chew, it could go over the LoTW time span criteria
> > and not show up as a qsl.
>
> With the LotW criteria something like +/- 30 minutes, I don't
>
> think there is much chance of "missing" a legitimate QSO unless
> someone's clock is badly off ... or as I found in many of my old
> cards stations were logging in local time but indicating GMT.
>
> Further, most computer logging programs record both start and
> end times ... with the start time being the one that LotW will
> accept.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> [Image "click"] [link:
us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1298fs49v/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/E
XP=1090164774/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com]
>
> [Image]
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX

USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1483. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] purely academic question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-17 21:14:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1478 Message: 1483

just made a pitcher for supper, your welcome to join us.

On 7/17/2004 4:03:55 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Blame it on too many Margaritas.
>
> if you have extras send em on down
> 73 K5IID
>
> FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
> I must have had a brain fart, for some reason I though 5 minutes was the
> LoTW span.
> Blame it on too many Margaritas.
>
>
>
> On 7/17/2004 10:32:26 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > > In most contest of dxing situations this
> >
> isn't an issue, but
> > > for a rag chew, it could go over the LoTW time span criteria
> > > and not show up as a qsl.
> >
> > With the LotW criteria something like +/- 30 minutes, I don't
> >
> > think there is much chance of "missing" a legitimate QSO unless
> > someone's clock is badly off ... or as I found in many of my old
> > cards stations were logging in local time but indicating GMT.
> >
> > Further, most computer logging programs record both start and
> > end times ... with the start time being the one that LotW will
> > accept.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> > [Image "click"] [link:
> us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1298fs49v/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=group

1484. ZC4CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-18 21:50:14 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1484 Message: 1484

Worked on a couple of bands on the 15th and checked today and noticed my QSO's with him are already confirmed.

Now that guy has it all in one sock. Wish more were like him.

Rod WC7N

1485. Aves Island

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-24 01:39:05 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1485 Message: 1485

From the OPDX bulletin......
YV0, AVES ISLAND. The official YV0 DXpedition announcement was finally released this past week by the RCV. The press release states:
"The Radio Club Venezolano (RCV) is celebrating the 70th anniversary and would hereby announce an expedition to Aves Island - one of the top ten most wanted DXCC entities.

Logs will be on ARRL's LOTW (Log of the World) but the expedition will NOT load logs into EQSL but right QSO's on the InBox will be honored.

As far as I know this is the first major DX-pedition to use LoTW.

I hope this will encourage more stations to participate

Jim

1486. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Aves Island

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-24 02:09:07 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1485 Message: 1486

>> YV0, AVES ISLAND. The official YV0 DXpedition announcement
>> was finally released this past week by the RCV. The press
>> release states:
>> "The Radio Club Venezolano (RCV) is celebrating the 70th
>> anniversary and would hereby announce an expedition to
>> Aves Island - one of the top ten most wanted DXCC entities.
>>
>> Logs will be on ARRL's LOTW (Log of the World) but the
>> expedition will NOT load logs into EQSL but right QSO's
>> on the InBox will be honored.
>
>
> As far as I know this is the first major DX-pedition to use
> LoTW.

Not only that, they're handling the "other" on-line service
correctly ... responding to valid requests but not posting a
"treasure map" for those trolling for busted QSO.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1487. The Way LotW Should Work!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-28 03:56:51 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 869 Message: 1487

I worked ZP6CW on 160 last night ... just for grins
I uploaded the single QSO to LotW this evening and
the confirmation was waiting!

I hope we see more [DX] stations uploading logs on
a regular basis.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1488. Turn Around Time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-28 19:19:08 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1488 Message: 1488

I just (for the first time) applied for DXCC credits via LOTW and wonder how long it takes for confirmation or is there such a thing.

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

1489. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Turn Around Time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-28 19:33:20 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1488 Message: 1489

Dennis,

Depends if it's LoTW only or coordinated with a paper submission. I've been told, in either case, it simple drops into the regular DXCC processing queue. I submitted a combined submission early on (LoTW #63) and it was done in a few weeks but I think that was due to the desire to "test" the system.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: roofus
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2004 1:19 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Turn Around Time

I just (for the first time) applied for DXCC credits via LOTW and wonder how long it takes for confirmation or is there such a thing.

Thank you,
Dennis AB8NI

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1490. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Turn Around Time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-28 21:07:06 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1488 Message: 1490

Thanks Gerry, I thought it might be faster due to being computerized.....should have known better. Anyway, it was a neat experience and picked up a few that had never sent paper cards.

Thanks again,
Dennis AB8NI

Dennis,

Depends if it's LoTW only or coordinated with a paper submission. I've been told, in either case, it simple drops into the regular DXCC processing queue. I submitted a combined submission early on (LoTW #63) and it was done in a few weeks but I think that was due to the desire to "test" the system.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1491. Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 15:04:59 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1491 Message: 1491
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

There is an ARRL WEB survey about log usage. The results are interesting.

Yes, a computer log and I log every contact 28.8 % (248)
Yes, a computer log, but I only log memorable QSOs 4.2 % (36)
Yes, a paper log and I log every contact 38.2 % (329)
Yes, a paper log, but I only log memorable QSOs 12.4 % (107)
No 16.5 % (142)
Total votes: 862

Only 29% of us use computer logging!!!!!

Jim

1492. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 15:41:45 UTC
From: Buck
Topic: 1491 Message: 1492
Attachments: 5 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Wouldn't that be 33%?

Buck

NA4FM

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 11:05 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

There is an ARRL WEB survey about log usage. The results are interesting.

Yes, a computer log and I log every contact

28.8 % (248)

Yes, a computer log, but I only log memorable QSOs

4.2 % (36)

Yes, a paper log and I log every contact

38.2 % (329)

Yes, a paper log, but I only log memorable QSOs

12.4 % (107)

No

16.5 % (142)

Total votes: 862

Only 29% of us use computer logging!!!!!

Jim

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129kbc2go/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1091372703/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http:/companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=
:HM/A=2128215/rand=504006734>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

1493. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 16:56:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1491 Message: 1493

28.8 + 4.2 = 29% ??????
I didn't use a computer to compute the %....
maybe my OLD style math has been upgraded

But I just went and voted.
I use computer and log ALL qso's.
My entire log from 1967.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 10:04 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

> There is an ARRL WEB survey about log usage. The results are interesting.
>
> Yes, a computer log and I log every contact 28.8 % (248)
> Yes, a computer log, but I only log memorable QSOs 4.2 % (36)
> Yes, a paper log and I log every contact 38.2 % (329)
> Yes, a paper log, but I only log memorable QSOs 12.4 % (107)
> No 16.5 % (142)
> Total votes: 862
>
>
> Only 29% of us use computer logging!!!!!
>
> Jim
>

1494. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 17:03:13 UTC
From: N0UF
Topic: 1491 Message: 1494

28 + 4 =32
.8 + .2 + 1
32 + 1 = 33
Very Old Math
----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 11:56 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

> 28.8 + 4.2 = 29% ??????
> I didn't use a computer to compute the %....
> maybe my OLD style math has been upgraded
>
> But I just went and voted.
> I use computer and log ALL qso's.
> My entire log from 1967.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 10:04 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting
>
>
> > There is an ARRL WEB survey about log usage. The results are
interesting.
> >
> > Yes, a computer log and I log every contact 28.8 % (248)
> > Yes, a computer log, but I only log memorable QSOs 4.2 % (36)
> > Yes, a paper log and I log every contact 38.2 % (329)
> > Yes, a paper log, but I only log memorable QSOs 12.4 % (107)
> > No 16.5 % (142)
> > Total votes: 862
> >
> >
> > Only 29% of us use computer logging!!!!!
> >
> > Jim
> >
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1495. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 18:16:23 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1491 Message: 1495

I don't consider occasional or "memorable QSO" logging maintaining a log.

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 12:56
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

28.8 + 4.2 = 29% ??????
I didn't use a computer to compute the %....
maybe my OLD style math has been upgraded

But I just went and voted.
I use computer and log ALL qso's.
My entire log from 1967.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 10:04 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

> There is an ARRL WEB survey about log usage. The results are interesting.
>
> Yes, a computer log and I log every contact 28.8 % (248)
> Yes, a computer log, but I only log memorable QSOs 4.2 % (36)
> Yes, a paper log and I log every contact 38.2 % (329)
> Yes, a paper log, but I only log memorable QSOs 12.4 % (107)
> No 16.5 % (142)
> Total votes: 862
>
>
> Only 29% of us use computer logging!!!!!
>
> Jim
>

1496. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 18:28:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1491 Message: 1496

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 31, 2004 1:16 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

> I don't consider occasional or "memorable QSO" logging maintaining a log.
>
I keep a full, every qso, log.
That's the way I learned back when you even had to log start/end times of cqing.
And as a low power novice I did a lot of cqing to no responses. hihi
But to each his own....

Any one remember what year they relaxed the logging requirements?
I can barely remember breakfast.

Milton Berle: "Sex is only dirty if you're doing it right.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1497. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 19:28:54 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1491 Message: 1497

Presuming that survey is a representative sample, it means 67% of us keep a complete log and another almost 17% keep a log of important contacts. That's a much higher rate than I'd have expected.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1498. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-07-31 22:23:17 UTC
From: NN8L
Topic: 1491 Message: 1498

Considering this is a WEB survery, the numbers are skewed to begin with.
To take the survey you must have a computer and an Internet account.
Most of us do, but it still skews the results. Obviously, those without
a computer don't keep a computerized log and can't answer the survey.

Hal
NN8L

1499. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-01 00:46:40 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1491 Message: 1499

Quite right !!!

However, only 33% of those with computers bother to keep an "Electronic Log"
so I think the final number would be much lower than 33%.

Maybe in the 8% to 10% region for "Electronic Logs" overall.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 18:23 7/31/2004 , you wrote:
>Considering this is a WEB survery, the numbers are skewed to begin with. To take the survey you must have a computer and an Internet account. Most of us do, but it still skews the results. Obviously, those without a computer don't keep a computerized log and can't answer the survey.
>
>Hal
>NN8L
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1500. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-01 03:45:04 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1491 Message: 1500

> [Original Message]
> From: R Johnson <k1vu@...>

> However, only 33% of those with computers bother to keep an "Electronic Log"
> so I think the final number would be much lower than 33%.

Mark me down in the "so what and who cares" camp.

Ham radio is about radio, not about how (or even whether) anyone keeps a log.

Back when I was a pup it was the law, so I did it. Became a habit, and I still do it, but doesn't make someone a child of a lesser god if they happen not to keep one, or what media they prefer to use.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1501. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-01 04:31:23 UTC
From: NN8L
Topic: 1491 Message: 1501

Well, EXCUSE us for discussing electronic logging in what seems to be an electronic logging board. I don't think anybody was being condemned for anything Hans. Obviously you must have some intrest in computer logging or you wouldn't be a member of this board. In the past individuals have expressed their dismay about the number of hams involved in LotW, and I think someone was only trying to shed some light on the subject.

Hal
NN8L

> Mark me down in the "so what and who cares" camp.

> Ham radio is about radio, not about how (or even whether) anyone keeps a
> log.

> Back when I was a pup it was the law, so I did it. Became a habit, and I
> still do it, but doesn't make someone a child of a lesser god if they
> happen not to keep one, or what media they prefer to use.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129jn6kqc/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1091418310/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=508214748>

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1502. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-01 04:49:27 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1491 Message: 1502

MessageWhere does the attitude come from Hans????
I was simply stating the results of the ARRL survey. As someone else pointed out it is a skewed survey, so the actual number of hams using computer logging is less than 28%. This is the MAXIMUM number of Hams that are candidates for LoTW.
Your comments add What, to the discussion?????

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: NN8L
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 01, 2004 00:31
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Interesting

Well, EXCUSE us for discussing electronic logging in what seems to be an electronic logging board. I don't think anybody was being condemned for anything Hans. Obviously you must have some intrest in computer logging or you wouldn't be a member of this board. In the past individuals have expressed their dismay about the number of hams involved in LotW, and I think someone was only trying to shed some light on the subject.

Hal
NN8L

> Mark me down in the "so what and who cares" camp.

> Ham radio is about radio, not about how (or even whether) anyone keeps a
> log.

> Back when I was a pup it was the law, so I did it. Became a habit, and I
> still do it, but doesn't make someone a child of a lesser god if they
> happen not to keep one, or what media they prefer to use.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1503. July incoming

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-01 17:16:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1503 Message: 1503

Pretty good month, 303 LoTW qsls arrived in July.
13K+ overall.
Most were contest qsl's but I did get 1 new band/mode for Challenge.

User not Found. (S)mile, (L)augh, (T)hank God?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1504. Need help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-05 15:04:13 UTC
From: ke7gi
Topic: 1504 Message: 1504

I have a LOTW account set up and working and have DXCC. I have several QSL's that I would like to apply towards a couple of other awards, but don't yet have enough to qualify for the award. (I already purchased 500) I can't figure out how to apply these qsl's without applying for an actual award. Maybe I can't?

Thanks for any help.

73, Dave ke7gi

1505. Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-06 16:34:41 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 1505 Message: 1505

Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present certificate that expires in October.
73, Leo CT1CSN

1506. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-06 17:32:21 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1505 Message: 1506

Leo,

1) Open "tQSL Cert"
2) Select (highlight) the certificate
3) Click on "Certificate" on the menu bar
4) Click on "Renew Certificate"
5) Follow the prompts to fill data
6) When completed, e-mail/upload the renewal

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
> Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 12:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate
>
>
> Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present
> certificate that
> expires in October.
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

1507. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-06 18:07:47 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 1505 Message: 1507

Thank you Joe for your assistance. Do I receive an e-mail from ARRL with the new certificate in the same way I did with my first certificate?
Have a good week end.
73, Leo CT1CSN
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; ct1csn@...
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Leo,

1) Open "tQSL Cert"
2) Select (highlight) the certificate
3) Click on "Certificate" on the menu bar
4) Click on "Renew Certificate"
5) Follow the prompts to fill data
6) When completed, e-mail/upload the renewal

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
> Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 12:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate
>
>
> Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present
> certificate that
> expires in October.
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1508. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-06 18:46:54 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1505 Message: 1508

Hello Leo

It is not necessary to renew your certificate at this time. Approximately ten days prior to expiration, LoTW will send an email to that alerts you to the renewal and provides a way for you to do that.

It won't hurt to renew as Joe explains. Yes, you will receive a new certificate the same way as the first time.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 2:08 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Thank you Joe for your assistance. Do I receive an e-mail from ARRL with the new certificate in the same way I did with my first certificate?
Have a good week end.
73, Leo CT1CSN

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK <mailto:k4ik@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; ct1csn@...
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Leo,

1) Open "tQSL Cert"
2) Select (highlight) the certificate
3) Click on "Certificate" on the menu bar
4) Click on "Renew Certificate"
5) Follow the prompts to fill data
6) When completed, e-mail/upload the renewal

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
> Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 12:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate
>
>

> Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present
> certificate that
> expires in October.
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129014vt8/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1091902141/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/rand=537416084>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1509. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-06 20:58:04 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1505 Message: 1509

Leo,

It looks like Dave gave you the answer direct from the source.

I have used the "renewal" process as I described to correct
problems ("valid" dates for a call, location data, etc.) and
received an updated certificate by e-mail.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 2:08 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Thank you Joe for your assistance. Do I receive an e-mail from ARRL
with the new certificate in the same way I did with my first
certificate?
Have a good week end.
73, Leo CT1CSN

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; ct1csn@mail.telepac.pt
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 6:32 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Leo,

1) Open "tQSL Cert"
2) Select (highlight) the certificate
3) Click on "Certificate" on the menu bar
4) Click on "Renew Certificate"
5) Follow the prompts to fill data
6) When completed, e-mail/upload the renewal

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@...]
> Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 12:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

>
>
> Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present
> certificate that
> expires in October.
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1510. some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-07 02:48:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1510 Message: 1510

http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/lotw_stats2.htm

I wasn't able to get the formatting quite right
But I think you can see what the report is saying.

This is a conversion of a DXBase2005 Report to html.

Do you think that when they asked George Washington for ID that he just
whipped out a quarter?

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

1511. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-08 09:00:44 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1505 Message: 1511

Hello David,

Friday, August 6, 2004 Patton, David NN1N wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

PDN> It is not necessary to renew your certificate at this time.
PDN> Approximately ten days prior to expiration, LoTW will send an

Dave, any plans for letting the LoTW users download credited DXCC
records, ADIF format preferable, for the statistics purposes on the
user's side?

--
73...Art RX9TX 08-Aug-04 08:51 UTC

"No one can make you feel inferior without your consent." (Eleanor Roosevelt)

1512. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 03:01:15 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1510 Message: 1512

I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys and asking for SASE's.

I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The answer was "he was an old fashioned guy and wanted the cards."
It is going to be a long up-hill battle.....

Jim

1513. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 14:29:46 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1513

Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States" card? It's displays one of the nicest
looking "ends" you could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on my wall as well
as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating shack walls out there.

It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there that "still want the cards". Many hams
(myself included) like to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them in my shack to
help visitors (especially the kids) better understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of
the hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.

LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must admit... I do still like the way the
cards from NP2I, TU2JL, and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that "certain look"
;-) from my XYL...

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys and asking for SASE's.
>
> I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
and wanted the cards."
> It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
>
> Jim
>
>

1514. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 15:48:13 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1514

It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also send to LOTW.
It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force a real card due to their rarity.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
> Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> shack walls out there.
>
> It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
>
> LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> "certain look"
> ;-) from my XYL...
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>

> > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> and asking for SASE's.
> >
> > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> and wanted the cards."
> > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1515. YV0D and LotW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 16:03:49 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1515

The YV0D advance publicity indicated that logs would
be uploaded to LotW. Since they are now online at the
search site, does anyone know when/if they will be
available on LotW?

Does anyone know if they have received a certificate?

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1516. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 16:50:52 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1516

I don't believe that the LoTW was meant to (or ever will) "replace" QSLs but rather alternate method of confirming contacts. Much like the bureau vs. direct for cards.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also send to LOTW.
It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force a real card due to their rarity.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
> Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> shack walls out there.
>
> It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
>
> LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must

> admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> "certain look"
> ;-) from my XYL...
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> and asking for SASE's.
> >
> > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> and wanted the cards."
> > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1517. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 17:53:39 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1517

Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as well
as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.

There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many
people just won't use it.

Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those who
have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it work.

I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet, and
I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.

How many others are in this boat with me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> send to LOTW.
> It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
> a real card due to their rarity.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>

> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > shack walls out there.
> >
> > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> >
> > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > "certain look"
> > ;-) from my XYL...
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> >
> > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > and asking for SASE's.
> > >
> > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > and wanted the cards."
> > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > >
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> > ----------------------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1518. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 18:40:58 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1518

Jerry,

I have used the LoTW pretty well from day one including submitting (and receiving credit) LoTW hits for DXCC. Although it's a bit of work to get through it the first time, once you've got there, it works well and is very useful in tracking your current DXCC/Challenge totals.

I can see non English readers having significant difficulty in setting up and using LoTW. Translation to other languages is critical to getting more global participation.

The other thing that we all need to remember is that:

- many hams don't have computers.

- the ones that have don't all use computer logging.

- the ones that do computer logging do not all have access to the internet.

- even if the have a computer and log on it and have internet access, they choose not to use LoTW for a variety of personal reasons. Their prerogative!

To me "the spirit of amateur radio" has little to do with ones personal desire to confirm a contact. I respect the request of the operator of the station I want the card from. If he says "direct only with 2 GSs" and if I want that confirmation, he gets a direct mailing with an SAE and 2 GS.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as well
as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.

There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many

people just won't use it.

Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those who
have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it work.

I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet, and
I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.

How many others are in this boat with me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> send to LOTW.
> It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
> a real card due to their rarity.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > shack walls out there.
> >
> > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them

> > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> >
> > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > "certain look"
> > ;-) from my XYL...
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> >
> > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > and asking for SASE's.
> > >
> > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > and wanted the cards."
> > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > >
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1519. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 18:55:34 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1519

You said it well. We... as QSL "collectors"... must bear the cost of expanding our collections. If
we want it, we pay for it. LOTW isn't free either, but it sure helps lower the cost of DXCC achievement and recognition, so I'd love to participate if I can find the right help.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 2:40 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jerry,

I have used the LoTW pretty well from day one including submitting (and receiving credit) LoTW hits
for DXCC. Although it's a bit of work to get through it the first time, once you've got there, it
works well and is very useful in tracking your current DXCC/Challenge totals.

I can see non English readers having significant difficulty in setting up and using LoTW. Translation to other languages is critical to getting more global participation.

The other thing that we all need to remember is that:

- many hams don't have computers.

- the ones that have don't all use computer logging.

- the ones that do computer logging do not all have access to the internet.

- even if the have a computer and log on it and have internet access, they choose not to use LoTW
for a variety of personal reasons. Their prerogative!

To me "the spirit of amateur radio" has little to do with ones personal desire to confirm a contact.
I respect the request of the operator of the station I want the card from. If he says "direct only
with 2 GSs" and if I want that confirmation, he gets a direct mailing with an SAE and 2 GS.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as
well
as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.

There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many
people just won't use it.

Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those
who
have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it
work.

I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet,
and
I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.

How many others are in this boat with me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> send to LOTW.
> It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
> a real card due to their rarity.
>
>

> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > shack walls out there.
> >
> > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> >
> > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > "certain look"
> > ;-) from my XYL...
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> >
> > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > and asking for SASE's.
> > >
> > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > and wanted the cards."
> > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > >
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > ~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1520. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 19:59:33 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1510 Message: 1520

Hi All,

If LOTW is really that hard for many to use, that is a real shame.

I found it quite straight forward, after some initial head scratching and some excellent help from the ARRL's LOTW crew, to upload my logs for my different calls.

I am still not sure how to really use it for DXCC credits, etc., but I am working on it.

Fortunately, with the recent new issue of PDA's LOGic 7 logging software, uploads and downloads have become just a simple click away. A complete, no brainer.

I now upload my log almost on a daily basis, wasting no more than a few seconds each time.

Sad to say, my return LOTW QSL record is rather dismal at around 3%, but hopefully that will improve with time.

I would think that LOTW's greatest asset would be nullifying the requirement to send one's precious and irreplaceable QSL cards to the ARRL for contact verification.

If they get lost in the mail how do they get replaced???????

Thousands of dollars down the drain and worse, many would be impossible to replace. I have a large pile, bringing me up to over 326, that I am loath to mail in.

It certainly has little to do with receiving, or not receiving, QSL cards in the mail, that is still the choice of each individual.

I QSL ALL of my contacts, direct or via the bureau, depending on their importance to me.

Perhaps the ARRL should post a simple, and I mean simple, step-by-step procedure for uploading one's log on their web site, listing various examples and scenarios.

If we don't get more participants, and fast, the whole thing will just have been an exercise in futility.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>

Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 2:53 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
> amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as well
> as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.
>
> There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
> "secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many
> people just won't use it.
>
> Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
> current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those who
> have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it work.
>
> I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
> not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet, and
> I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.
>
> How many others are in this boat with me?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> > send to LOTW.
> > It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> > more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> > Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force

> > a real card due to their rarity.
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> > >
> > > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > > shack walls out there.
> > >
> > > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> > >
> > > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > > "certain look"
> > > ;-) from my XYL...
> > >
> > > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> > >
> > >
> > > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > > and asking for SASE's.
> > > >
> > > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > > and wanted the cards."
> > > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > > >
> > > > Jim

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ~-->
> > > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > ---------- ~->
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> ----------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups
Sponsor ---------------------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~-
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

1521. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 19:41:44 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1521

Jerry,

I'd certainly suggest you send you problem to lotw-help@... and I'm sure Dave or Wayne will set you straight.

I'd be happy to help as well if I can. I have about 8 certificates for my various calls and prefixes. I assume you followed the process in: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/getstart

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 12:55 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

You said it well. We... as QSL "collectors"... must bear the cost of expanding our collections. If
we want it, we pay for it. LOTW isn't free either, but it sure helps lower the cost of DXCC achievement and recognition, so I'd love to participate if I can find the right help.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 2:40 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jerry,

I have used the LoTW pretty well from day one including submitting (and receiving credit) LoTW hits
for DXCC. Although it's a bit of work to get through it the first time, once you've got there, it
works well and is very useful in tracking your current DXCC/Challenge totals.

I can see non English readers having significant difficulty in setting up and using LoTW. Translation to other languages is critical to getting more global participation.

The other thing that we all need to remember is that:

- many hams don't have computers.

- the ones that have don't all use computer logging.

- the ones that do computer logging do not all have access to the internet.

- even if the have a computer and log on it and have internet access, they choose not to use LoTW
for a variety of personal reasons. Their prerogative!

To me "the spirit of amateur radio" has little to do with ones personal desire to confirm a contact.
I respect the request of the operator of the station I want the card from. If he says "direct only
with 2 GSs" and if I want that confirmation, he gets a direct mailing with an SAE and 2 GS.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as
well
as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.

There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many
people just won't use it.

Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those
who
have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it
work.

I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet,
and
I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.

How many others are in this boat with me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> send to LOTW.
> It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
> a real card due to their rarity.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > shack walls out there.
> >
> > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> >
> > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > "certain look"
> > ;-) from my XYL...
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> >
> >
> > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > and asking for SASE's.
> > >
> > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > and wanted the cards."
> > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > >
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1522. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 22:26:05 UTC
From: AG2A
Topic: 1510 Message: 1522

I am in the same boat....can't get started...

Tom ag2a

At 01:53 PM 8/9/2004, you wrote:
>Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is
>not "in the spirit of
>amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone
>uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as well
>as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE
>or green stamp.
>
>There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I
>suppose it has to be
>"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that
>it's hard to use, many
>people just won't use it.
>
>Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my
>certs (for previous and
>current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions
>(many thanks to those who
>have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet
>been able to make it work.
>
>I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously
>"it works". The problem is
>not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So
>it's not foolproof yet, and
>I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to
>work.
>
>How many others are in this boat with me?
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also

> > send to LOTW.
> > It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> > more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> > Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to
> force
> > a real card due to their rarity.
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> > >
> > > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > > shack walls out there.
> > >
> > > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> > >
> > > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > > "certain look"
> > > ;-) from my XYL...
> > >
> > > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> > >
> > >
> > > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > > and asking for SASE's.
> > > >
> > > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The

> > > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > > and wanted the cards."
> > > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > > >
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------------------~-->
> > > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > ---
> > > -------~->
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
>

>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1523. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 22:52:08 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 1510 Message: 1523

LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn

I don't believe that the LoTW was meant to (or ever will) "replace" QSLs but rather alternate method of confirming contacts. Much like the bureau vs. direct for cards.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
send to LOTW.
It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
a real card due to their rarity.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
> Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on

> my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> shack walls out there.
>
> It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
>
> LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> "certain look"
> ;-) from my XYL...
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> and asking for SASE's.
> >
> > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> and wanted the cards."
> > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1524. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 23:18:10 UTC
From: bobk8ia@aol.com
Topic: 1510 Message: 1524

I am as dumb as a submerged rock and I had absolutely no problems with LOTW.
Whats IS the big deal? If I can get it first time, most anyone ought to be
able to.

73, Bob K8IA
nr Apache Junction, Arizona USA
in the shadow of the Superstition Mtns
http://members.aol.com/bobk8ia/index.htm

In a message dated 8/9/2004 3:35:44 PM US Mountain Standard Time, K3BZ writes:
There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated.

1525. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 23:22:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1510 Message: 1525

----- Original Message -----
From: <bobk8ia@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 6:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> I am as dumb as a submerged rock and I had absolutely no problems with LOTW.
> Whats IS the big deal? If I can get it first time, most anyone ought to be
> able to.
>
> 73, Bob K8IA
> nr Apache Junction, Arizona USA
> in the shadow of the Superstition Mtns
> http://members.aol.com/bobk8ia/index.htm
>

Wait a minute here Bob.
My brain is smaller and emptier than yours!
I'm far dumber than you....and I have my wife to back that up.
And I was able to get all 10 of the combo mine and stations I manage onto LoTW.
So there!

If I can do it (albeit with a bit of help from LoTW desk)
ANYBODY CAN!

1526. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 23:52:50 UTC
From: bobk8ia@aol.com
Topic: 1510 Message: 1526

I detect a trend here. Maybe one HAS to be as dullheaded as Bill and I in order to have a smooth time with LOTW. Yeah that's it. Its you GENIUSES that are having the problems. ;-)

73, Bob K8IA

In a message dated 8/9/2004 4:38:33 PM US Mountain Standard Time, w9ol@... writes:
Wait a minute here Bob.
My brain is smaller and emptier than yours!
I'm far dumber than you....and I have my wife to back that up.
And I was able to get all 10 of the combo mine and stations I manage onto LoTW.
So there!

If I can do it (albeit with a bit of help from LoTW desk)
ANYBODY CAN!

1527. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-09 23:25:08 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1527

Hey Bob...Are you saying that anyone that is having problems with LOTW is "dumber than a submerged
rock"?

Just wundrin'.... :-) 73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: <bobk8ia@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> I am as dumb as a submerged rock and I had absolutely no problems with LOTW.
> Whats IS the big deal? If I can get it first time, most anyone ought to be
> able to.
>
> 73, Bob K8IA
> nr Apache Junction, Arizona USA
> in the shadow of the Superstition Mtns
> http://members.aol.com/bobk8ia/index.htm
>
>
> In a message dated 8/9/2004 3:35:44 PM US Mountain Standard Time, K3BZ writes:
> There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated.
>
>
>
>
>

1528. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 00:18:37 UTC
From: bobk8ia@aol.com
Topic: 1510 Message: 1528

In a message dated 8/9/2004 5:12:04 PM US Mountain Standard Time,
k3bz@... writes:
Hey Bob...Are you saying that anyone that is having problems with LOTW is
"dumber than a submerged
rock"?

Just wundrin'.... :-) 73, Jerry K3BZ

Heck no, Jerry. Just messin' with you. ;-) I guess I was one of the lucky ones that just didnt run into any obstacles. A lot of the very necessary security in place necessitates a more difficult "user path". Just something we all will have to deal with.

Then again, I only have about 23K qso's loaded, so what do I know? A friend of mine in CADXA has over 250K loaded!

73, Bob K8IA

1529. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 00:41:43 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1510 Message: 1529

Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only 25% of US Hams use computer logging.
Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1530. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 00:39:29 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1530

Yeah, you were just messing with me....I figured that was it :-) But you know, there's a certain
serious aspect to this discussion. It doesn't help me (or anyone else that's having problems) when
we ask for help and those of you who have been successful don't treat it seriously.

I can tell you that on some other occasions (on other ham reflectors) the situation has been
reversed, and I have been one of the guys who knew the answers. What I thought I'd do then was write
a "dick-and-jane", very simple and detailed, step-by-step procedure that clarified the complicated
parts. I tried to anticipate questions, not make any assumptions, clear up what I saw as problems
with the "book" instructions, and not leave any little piece out.

But above all, I tried to put myself in the other guy's shoes and remember how difficult it is to
admit that you "just don't get" something that a whole lot of other people seem to easily
understand, and ask for help. I didn't take offense here, Bob, but I easily could have... and I
mention all this to maybe prevent that from happening in the future, to some other "dumb" ham.

So how about it? Any of you smart guys out there that have this LOTW thing down pat... are you
willing to write up something that will help a guy who's dumber than a submerged rock :-) ??

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: <bobk8ia@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 8:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> In a message dated 8/9/2004 5:12:04 PM US Mountain Standard Time,
> k3bz@... writes:
> Hey Bob...Are you saying that anyone that is having problems with LOTW is
> "dumber than a submerged
> rock"?
>
> Just wundrin'.... :-) 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> Heck no, Jerry. Just messin' with you. ;-) I guess I was one of the lucky

> ones that just didnt run into any obstacles. A lot of the very necessary security
> in place necessitates a more difficult "user path". Just something we all
> will have to deal with.
>
> Then again, I only have about 23K qso's loaded, so what do I know? A friend
> of mine in CADXA has over 250K loaded!
>
> 73, Bob K8IA
>

1531. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 01:04:51 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1510 Message: 1531

As I got older I found it interesting and rewarding to "read the instructions" and follow them to the letter..
This works for Radios, cars, drills, coffee makers, electric can openers and kids toys (mine)...
I was having trouble with LoTW, old age kicked in and I read the instructions. DUH, IT worked!!!! They were simpler then the instructions for setting the clock on my VCR 20 years ago....

Jim

So how about it? Any of you smart guys out there that have this LOTW thing down pat... are you
willing to write up something that will help a guy who's dumber than a submerged rock :-) ??

73, Jerry K3BZ

1532. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 01:09:03 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1510 Message: 1532

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1533. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 01:22:00 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1510 Message: 1533

Adding Awards would help, but I still see strange things. In July, we had some spectacular 6M openings. I had a chat with a vacationing WA4/VP9. He liked the openings, but bemoaned the QSL card deluge. I mentioned LoTW, but he said that he liked the post cards. Subsequently I see a QSL on eQSL, but not LoTW.

Go figure...

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

1534. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 01:57:39 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 1510 Message: 1534

Well Jerry,
I looked on the map to see if you were close to me, and
found you are on the other side of the state. If you were
close I would have be more than glad meet with you and
try to help you. I had a start with some 'bumps' in it, but
after some great help from the group and after putting in
all kinds of logs for both stateside and overseas operations
it got pretty easy. If you want to try via e-mail, just shoot
me where you are having the trouble and I'll try to walk you
through it - and if I can't we sure can find someone that
can.
73,
Joe W7LPF [New Florence, PA]

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 18:55
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

You said it well. We... as QSL "collectors"... must bear the cost of expanding our collections. If
we want it, we pay for it. LOTW isn't free either, but it sure helps lower the cost of DXCC achievement and recognition, so I'd love to participate if I can find the right help.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@telusplanet.net>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 2:40 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jerry,

I have used the LoTW pretty well from day one including submitting (and receiving credit) LoTW hits
for DXCC. Although it's a bit of work to get through it the first time, once you've got there, it
works well and is very useful in tracking your current DXCC/Challenge totals.

I can see non English readers having significant difficulty in setting up and using LoTW. Translation to other languages is critical to getting more global participation.

The other thing that we all need to remember is that:

- many hams don't have computers.

- the ones that have don't all use computer logging.

- the ones that do computer logging do not all have access to the internet.

- even if the have a computer and log on it and have internet access, they choose not to use LoTW
for a variety of personal reasons. Their prerogative!

To me "the spirit of amateur radio" has little to do with ones personal desire to confirm a contact.
I respect the request of the operator of the station I want the card from. If he says "direct only
with 2 GSs" and if I want that confirmation, he gets a direct mailing with an SAE and 2 GS.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gee, Gil...I don't know if there's universal agreement on what is or is not "in the spirit of
amateur radio", but I certainly agree it would be nice if everyone uploaded QSO's to LOTW... as
well
as giving the opportunity to get a card too, if I send a card and an SASE or green stamp.

There is, however, a big problem with LOTW: it's still too complicated. I suppose it has to be
"secure" so people won't cheat, but if that makes it so complicated that it's hard to use, many
people just won't use it.

Take me, for example...please :-) I've gotten partway into LOTW, I have my certs (for previous and
current callsigns) but at that point I'm stuck. I've been given directions (many thanks to those
who
have tried), I've tried to follow them several times, but I have not yet been able to make it
work.

I realize thousands have successfully managed to use LOTW, so obviously "it works". The problem is
not with LOTW, the problem is I have not been able to understand it. So it's not foolproof yet,
and

I submit my own experience as evidence. This fool hasn't yet gotten it to work.

How many others are in this boat with me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 11:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> It is fine that they still want the cards, nevertheless they ought to also
> send to LOTW.
> It is certainly not in the sprit of Amateur Radio not to do so. I would be
> more than happy to send them a real card if they ask.
> Very fortunately there are only a few operators that have the power to force
> a real card due to their rarity.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> > Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 9:30 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> > Have you seen NP2I's "Easternmost End of the United States"
> > card? It's displays one of the nicest looking "ends" you
> > could imagine, and it deservedly occupies a prominent spot on
> > my wall as well as... I'm sure... quite a few discriminating
> > shack walls out there.
> >
> > It wouldn't surprise me if there's a lot of hams out there
> > that "still want the cards". Many hams (myself included) like
> > to display the cards for a variety of reasons... I like them
> > in my shack to help visitors (especially the kids) better
> > understand the globe-hopping, culture-spanning aspects of the
> > hobby. Along with award certificates they make fun wallpaper.
> >
> > LOTW is great, wave of the future and all that. But I must
> > admit... I do still like the way the cards from NP2I, TU2JL,
> > and a few similar others... brighten up my shack and get that
> > "certain look"
> > ;-) from my XYL...
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >

> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, August 08, 2004 11:01 PM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
> >
> >
> > > I heard NP2I on 20M this evening working a bunch of guys
> > and asking for SASE's.
> > >
> > > I gave him a call and asked why he did not use LoTW. The
> > answer was "he was an old fashioned guy
> > and wanted the cards."
> > > It is going to be a long up-hill battle........
> > >
> > > Jim
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1535. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 02:49:24 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1535

I fear that there is only one way that LotW will EVER in a lifetime become
useful to the majority, if ARRL REQUIRED that it be used for the awards.
Since that is obviously not possible of fair to many, perhaps some new
highly sort after award that does require LotW would help?

I don't know, it is really a huge disappointment at this point.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:39 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
> Yeah, you were just messing with me....I figured that was it
> :-) But you know, there's a certain serious aspect to this
> discussion. It doesn't help me (or anyone else that's having
> problems) when we ask for help and those of you who have been
> successful don't treat it seriously.
>
> I can tell you that on some other occasions (on other ham
> reflectors) the situation has been reversed, and I have been
> one of the guys who knew the answers. What I thought I'd do
> then was write a "dick-and-jane", very simple and detailed,
> step-by-step procedure that clarified the complicated parts.
> I tried to anticipate questions, not make any assumptions,
> clear up what I saw as problems with the "book" instructions,
> and not leave any little piece out.
>
> But above all, I tried to put myself in the other guy's shoes
> and remember how difficult it is to admit that you "just
> don't get" something that a whole lot of other people seem to
> easily understand, and ask for help. I didn't take offense
> here, Bob, but I easily could have... and I mention all this
> to maybe prevent that from happening in the future, to some
> other "dumb" ham.
>
> So how about it? Any of you smart guys out there that have
> this LOTW thing down pat... are you willing to write up
> something that will help a guy who's dumber than a submerged
> rock :-) ??
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <bobk8ia@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 8:18 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > In a message dated 8/9/2004 5:12:04 PM US Mountain Standard Time,
> > k3bz@... writes:
> > Hey Bob...Are you saying that anyone that is having
> problems with LOTW is
> > "dumber than a submerged
> > rock"?
> >
> > Just wundrin'.... :-) 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > Heck no, Jerry. Just messin' with you. ;-) I guess I was
> one of the lucky
> > ones that just didnt run into any obstacles. A lot of the
> very necessary security
> > in place necessitates a more difficult "user path". Just
> something we all
> > will have to deal with.
> >
> > Then again, I only have about 23K qso's loaded, so what do
> I know? A friend
> > of mine in CADXA has over 250K loaded!
> >
> > 73, Bob K8IA
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for only $14.70
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>

1536. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 03:09:26 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1510 Message: 1536

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

K0HB wrote:

| in the
| real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of
| usefulness we actually get from it.

Not all of us. In addition to uploading my contest logs, I've gone back
in keyed in all of my paper logs. I'll admit it wasn't only for LOTW -
I used the opportunity move all of my logs to a PostgreSQL database.
But the two made for a nicely complementary project: I used XLog to key
~ in the paper logs (the shack computer runs Linux), generated ADIFs, and
imported them both into LOTW and into the database. Currently, I'm
using tlf for contesting and XLog for day-to-day logging, but I suppose
eventually I'll grow my own interface incorporating the database. I've
been poking at this for while, but it was LOTW that gave me the final
impetus to finally get a move on.

I didn't have that much trouble getting LOTW going, but I've been in the
computer industry for over 25 years, so I don't make for an 'average
amateur' comparision point.

It's going to be interesting to see what happens when WAS is linked in
to LOTW - I wouldn't be surprised to see a hefty number of
multiple-WAS-submissions coming out of LOTW immediately following.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFBGDxlRgRgmxNburQRAsreAJ4panO/heJKDXFXrlgkEPoSR7VjvwCZAVi3
Gg3uTs05TNqfxyhCQlAKU+E=
=tvNv
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1537. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 03:17:38 UTC
From: jbrannig@optonline.net
Topic: 1510 Message: 1537
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

1538. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 11:58:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1510 Message: 1538

>
>
> No promotion outside QST readership.
>
I agree that it seems LoTW could use a 'Publicity Agent'.

I've included the buffer:
"Please join the free ARRL LoTW QSL system"

to my cw & rtty programs.

and...I'm proud to say people have asked me about the program.
so I've now included a buffer that gives the LoTW info URL.

and I'm also proud to say this resulted in two non USA hams
joining the program. (that I know of)

My 'two' aren't many but if all the reflector members who bemoan the slow growth beat my number by one....?????

and with regards to those who have had problems getting their logs up and running, between Dave, Wayne, and this reflector, I KNOW that there are people willing to help.

How can there be self-help "groups"?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

PS: LOL, that tagline was purely random. but amazingly appropriate

1539. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 13:51:34 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1539

Ford,

DX participation could be encouraged if ARRL would work closely with the IARU to promote LoTW. An IARU exec. friend of mine mention that the QSL bureau system supported by IARU is having problems and something like the LoTW could be a logical alternative.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1540. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 13:59:54 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1540

Gil,

Should ARRL follow your advise, it would likely damage DX participation in the DXCC program overall.

ARRL can't mandate that every DXCC participant globally have access to a computer and the internet.

I, for one, will continue to use the LoTW as a supplement to paper cards (which I like to send and receive) that are obtained by many methods (direct, bureaus, QSL services, managers,etc.)

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 8:49 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

I fear that there is only one way that LotW will EVER in a lifetime become
useful to the majority, if ARRL REQUIRED that it be used for the awards.
Since that is obviously not possible of fair to many, perhaps some new
highly sort after award that does require LotW would help?

I don't know, it is really a huge disappointment at this point.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jerry Keller [mailto:k3bz@...]
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:39 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
> Yeah, you were just messing with me....I figured that was it
> :-) But you know, there's a certain serious aspect to this
> discussion. It doesn't help me (or anyone else that's having
> problems) when we ask for help and those of you who have been
> successful don't treat it seriously.
>

> I can tell you that on some other occasions (on other ham
> reflectors) the situation has been reversed, and I have been
> one of the guys who knew the answers. What I thought I'd do
> then was write a "dick-and-jane", very simple and detailed,
> step-by-step procedure that clarified the complicated parts.
> I tried to anticipate questions, not make any assumptions,
> clear up what I saw as problems with the "book" instructions,
> and not leave any little piece out.
>
> But above all, I tried to put myself in the other guy's shoes
> and remember how difficult it is to admit that you "just
> don't get" something that a whole lot of other people seem to
> easily understand, and ask for help. I didn't take offense
> here, Bob, but I easily could have... and I mention all this
> to maybe prevent that from happening in the future, to some
> other "dumb" ham.
>
> So how about it? Any of you smart guys out there that have
> this LOTW thing down pat... are you willing to write up
> something that will help a guy who's dumber than a submerged
> rock :-) ??
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <bobk8ia@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 8:18 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
> > In a message dated 8/9/2004 5:12:04 PM US Mountain Standard Time,
> > k3bz@... writes:
> > Hey Bob...Are you saying that anyone that is having
> problems with LOTW is
> > "dumber than a submerged
> > rock"?
> >
> > Just wundrin'.... :-) 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > Heck no, Jerry. Just messin' with you. ;-) I guess I was
> one of the lucky
> > ones that just didnt run into any obstacles. A lot of the
> very necessary security
> > in place necessitates a more difficult "user path". Just
> something we all
> > will have to deal with.

> >
> > Then again, I only have about 23K qso's loaded, so what do
> I know? A friend
> > of mine in CADXA has over 250K loaded!
> >
> > 73, Bob K8IA
> >
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Yahoo! Domains - Claim yours for only $14.70
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/Z1wmxD/DREIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1541. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 14:46:08 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1510 Message: 1541

Gerry,

Great idea. The trouble is, somebody has to 'do it.' I get the impression that the ARRL has no budget assigned for promotion, which is largely why it is not being done by staffers today. I also get the impression that there is no mechanism to empower a volunteer to get it done either. It makes me wonder if there couldn't be a method such as a "LOTW Promotion Board" or "Committee" made up of interested and capable volunteers appointed by the ARRL Board. At least then there would be a body of people energized to take advantage of these opportunities. Until this happens, nobody outside the ARRL will feel it to be "their job" to get it done...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Ford,

DX participation could be encouraged if ARRL would work closely with the IARU to promote LoTW. An IARU exec. friend of mine mention that the QSL bureau system supported by IARU is having problems and something like the LoTW could be a logical alternative.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation

by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@...m

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1542. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-10 15:29:35 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1510 Message: 1542
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

At 11:52 PM 8/9/2004 +0100, you wrote:
>LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of
>seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the
>real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of
>usefulness we actually get from it.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>188b56.jpg
>Hans :

very true i was gun ho for a while but no so anymore.. seem more contest
ops use lotw then anything else. maybe the vhf and eme boys will start
using it.. hi might cause arrl to start working on was wac and vucc ..
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1543. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 00:13:36 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1510 Message: 1543

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Ford Peterson wrote:

| It makes me wonder if there couldn't be a method such as a
| "LOTW Promotion Board" or "Committee" made up of interested and capable
| volunteers appointed by the ARRL Board. At least then there would be a
| body of people energized to take advantage of these opportunities.
| Until this happens, nobody outside the ARRL will feel it to be "their
| job" to get it done...

Do we really have to wait for ARRL to appoint people? I'm reminded of
the 'Team OS/2' groups that sprung up to push OS/2. They pretty much
got started despite IBM's lousy marketing. If ARRL doesn't have the
budget for LOTW PR, maybe some enthusiasts outside of ARRL should have a
try at forming 'Team LOTW'.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFBGWSwRgRgmxNburQRAmi0AJ0eYmFKjPS+I6QjGkBlDfVTxjsAmACfT+pI
cb1ed/Mj4Dc/T1kEWQxGj/0=
=5HiY
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1544. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 01:12:54 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1510 Message: 1544

This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5 and "stating QSL via home call"
I asked him about LoTW and he said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

If League employees aren't buying into LoTW, then why should anyone else?

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 10:46
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gerry,

Great idea. The trouble is, somebody has to 'do it.' I get the impression that the ARRL has no budget assigned for promotion, which is largely why it is not being done by staffers today. I also get the impression that there is no mechanism to empower a volunteer to get it done either. It makes me wonder if there couldn't be a method such as a "LOTW Promotion Board" or "Committee" made up of interested and capable volunteers appointed by the ARRL Board. At least then there would be a body of people energized to take advantage of these opportunities. Until this happens, nobody outside the ARRL will feel it to be "their job" to get it done...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Ford,

DX participation could be encouraged if ARRL would work closely with the IARU to promote LoTW. An IARU exec. friend of mine mention that the QSL bureau system supported by IARU is having problems and something like the LoTW could be a logical alternative.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1545. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 01:53:09 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1545

why don't you give Dennis a chance to get home.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Brannigan
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 6:12 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5 and "stating QSL via home call"
I asked him about LoTW and he said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

If League employees aren't buying into LoTW, then why should anyone else?

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 10:46
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gerry,

Great idea. The trouble is, somebody has to 'do it.' I get the impression that the ARRL has no budget assigned for promotion, which is largely why it is not being done by staffers today. I also get the impression that there is no mechanism to empower a volunteer to get it done either. It makes me wonder if there couldn't be a method such as a "LOTW Promotion Board" or "Committee" made up of interested and capable volunteers appointed by the ARRL Board. At least then there would be a body of people energized to take advantage of these opportunities. Until this happens, nobody outside the ARRL will feel it to be "their job" to get it done...

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Ford,

DX participation could be encouraged if ARRL would work closely with the IARU to promote LoTW. An IARU exec. friend of mine mention that the QSL bureau system supported by IARU is

having problems and something like the LoTW could be a logical alternative.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1546. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 02:09:00 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1546

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1547. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 13:45:24 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1547

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; jbrannig@...
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:09 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1548. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 13:47:22 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1548

Ford,

Maybe, IARU can be pro-active on this.

I'll see what my contact says.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:46 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Gerry,

Great idea. The trouble is, somebody has to 'do it.' I get the impression that the ARRL has no budget assigned for promotion, which is largely why it is not being done by staffers today. I also get the impression that there is no mechanism to empower a volunteer to get it done either. It makes me wonder if there couldn't be a method such as a "LOTW Promotion Board" or "Committee" made up of interested and capable volunteers appointed by the ARRL Board. At least then there would be a body of people energized to take advantage of these opportunities. Until this happens, nobody outside the ARRL will feel it to be "their job" to get it done...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Ford,

DX participation could be encouraged if ARRL would work closely with the IARU to promote LoTW. An IARU exec. friend of mine mention that the QSL bureau system supported by IARU is having problems and something like the LoTW could be a logical alternative.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Jim wrote:

>Unfortunately, I have to agree. DX participation is low and in a recent ARRL survey only
>25% of US Hams use computer logging.
>Perhaps it is an idea who's time has not yet come.....
LoTW to date has over-promised and under-delivered. While a lot of seductive word-pictures have been painted about it's 'potential', in the real world hams seem to be increasingly bored with the modest amount of usefulness we actually get from it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

I would bet a similar % would apply to a good number of 'areas' of interest. % that use HTs? % that use repeaters? % that use HF? % that use a mobile? % that build equipment? So that 25% number did not surprise me. What has been a surprise is the lack of participation by DX. Although the so-called "domestic DX" seem to be participating, the lack of promotion around the world can be attributed to a number of reasons. How about...

Language barriers (Everybody else needs to know the English language in addition to understanding the procedural methods of LOTW)

Computer / internet access in distant parts of the world is limited.

No promotion outside QST readership.

The need to integrate other awards into the process renders the LOTW listing of limited value. They still need the card to use the credits elsewhere.

The 'word pictures' Hans is talking about are models of how it could be if LOTW were a full-featured awards application. I haven't heard a recent update on the 'progress' or even whether the ARRL intends to develop LOTW further or not. I also think LOTW could be a major contributor to increased activity on all bands if and when participation increases.

I've got 20K QSOs, about 1/2 of which is DX from 265 countries, and over 10% response, yet I have yet to make DXCC using LOTW. And I cannot even tell if I have a WAS or not. As far as being 'bored?' You bet! My numbers have not moved all summer...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1549. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 14:40:28 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1549

OK, I went back and checked more carefully ...

I have two hits out of 44 QSOs .. two stations out of 18.
In one case the card preceded the LotW confirmation by
more than six months ... in the other I neglected to get
the card. However, there are a lot of "easy" stations
managed by KU9C not confirmed by LotW for which I have
cards (J75KG, J7OJ, 8P2K, 8P6SH, CO8DM, V26DX, VP6/K7BV).

Given electronic logs, I do not understand why QSL managers
are not uploading as soon as they receive the periodic
updates from their stations. That the big QSL managers do
not seem to put logs from 100% of their stations on LotW
is certainly another reason for the low rate of adoption.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 9:45 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and
have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1550. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 15:18:52 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1550

Joe... do you think maybe in some instances green stamps could be an influence? Or maybe the logs
from some DX still come in on paper?
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 10:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

>
> OK, I went back and checked more carefully ...
>
> I have two hits out of 44 QSOs .. two stations out of 18.
> In one case the card preceded the LotW confirmation by
> more than six months ... in the other I neglected to get
> the card. However, there are a lot of "easy" stations
> managed by KU9C not confirmed by LotW for which I have
> cards (J75KG, J7OJ, 8P2K, 8P6SH, CO8DM, V26DX, VP6/K7BV).
>
> Given electronic logs, I do not understand why QSL managers
> are not uploading as soon as they receive the periodic
> updates from their stations. That the big QSL managers do
> not seem to put logs from 100% of their stations on LotW
> is certainly another reason for the low rate of adoption.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 9:45 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats
>
>
>
> Joe,
>
> I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and
> have many, many hits.

>
> A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1551. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 15:42:45 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 1510 Message: 1551

Guys, I checked my K7BV contacts on LoTW and have four of them from 2002 to the present. The
first one was placed on LoTW on 2003-10-22. So, he is a League employee who is using the system.
Remember, he's now K7BV/1.
73 de WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 8:45 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; jbrannig@...
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:09 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1552. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 15:46:12 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1552

You don't suppose they follow the instructions of the stations they are managing do u ?

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 7:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

OK, I went back and checked more carefully ...

I have two hits out of 44 QSOs .. two stations out of 18.
In one case the card preceded the LotW confirmation by
more than six months ... in the other I neglected to get
the card. However, there are a lot of "easy" stations
managed by KU9C not confirmed by LotW for which I have
cards (J75KG, J7OJ, 8P2K, 8P6SH, CO8DM, V26DX, VP6/K7BV).

Given electronic logs, I do not understand why QSL managers
are not uploading as soon as they receive the periodic
updates from their stations. That the big QSL managers do
not seem to put logs from 100% of their stations on LotW
is certainly another reason for the low rate of adoption.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 9:45 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and
have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1553. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 15:52:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1553

Jerry,

> Joe... do you think maybe in some instances green stamps
> could be an influence? Or maybe the logs from some DX
> still come in on paper?

I don't know ...

However, in my relatively small sample, the two LotW confirms
are the more rare of the group (YA and 4W). Those cards that
I have are from contest type operations that I know were done
on computer.

As far as green stamps ... with stateside managers I always
use SASE only. In some cases will "double up" and send two
or three cards/stations in one mailing. When I choose to make
a contribution, it is done separately ... never as part of the
QSL process. I strongly object the "sawbuck for a QSL" game.

Perhaps DXAC and Awards Committee should state that any station,
operation or manager using computer based logging and fails to
upload logs to LotW is not conducting themselves in an exemplary
manner (Rule 12). After all, one need not have internet access
to participate in LotW ... it is even possible to receive a
certificate, sign files, and submit log data all by mailing
computer media (floppy, CD, etc.).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1554. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 16:21:12 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1554

Maybe the first thing is you have to sign up for LoTW. I checked a few of the KU9C managed stations and find hits for BS7H, BQ9P, E44DX, 4W/W3UR, 4W/N5KO,4W/K7BV AND 4W/N6FF. I am sure there are more but appears that Steve is participating in LoTW.

Rod WC7N
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; jbrannig@...
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 7:09 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1555. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 17:37:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1555

My E44DX is via OH2BN and those QSOs are not on LotW - even though
I have cards. Again, I have LotW confirms for only two of 18 KU9C
stations worked so it seems as if the participation is far from
universal.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: WC7N [mailto:wc7n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 12:21 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Maybe the first thing is you have to sign up for LoTW. I checked a few
of the KU9C managed stations and find hits for BS7H, BQ9P, E44DX,
4W/W3UR, 4W/N5KO,4W/K7BV AND 4W/N6FF. I am sure there are more but
appears that Steve is participating in LoTW.

Rod WC7N

1556. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 17:59:14 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1556

Read what you say but mine were received from KU9C. Check the below.
---GoWin QSL Manager List, Issue 292, AUGUST-07-2004
KU9C - 1999 July - via KU9C - Direct+SASE or Bureau
----Address for KU9C

Rod WC7N

-- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 10:37 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

My E44DX is via OH2BN and those QSOs are not on LotW - even though
I have cards. Again, I have LotW confirms for only two of 18 KU9C
stations worked so it seems as if the participation is far from
universal.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: WC7N [mailto:wc7n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 12:21 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Maybe the first thing is you have to sign up for LoTW. I checked a few
of the KU9C managed stations and find hits for BS7H, BQ9P, E44DX,
4W/W3UR, 4W/N5KO,4W/K7BV AND 4W/N6FF. I am sure there are more but
appears that Steve is participating in LoTW.

Rod WC7N

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1557. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 18:27:34 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1510 Message: 1557

Yes, but...

BQ9P stuff was 2001. He hasn't loaded the 2003 stuff. Would seem like he could save himself a lot of work by doing so but then again it might slow the donations to a trickle - not that there are likely any more coming in at this late date.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 08:45
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1558. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 20:40:30 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1558

Well I hate to tell you this Mike but I just checked LoTW for my BQ9P QSO's and it show 9-12 Oct 2003.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 11:27 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yes, but...

BQ9P stuff was 2001. He hasn't loaded the 2003 stuff. Would seem like he could save himself a lot of work by doing so but then again it might slow the donations to a trickle - not that there are likely any more coming in at this late date.

Mike

-----Original Message-----
From: Gerry Hohn [mailto:telwest@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 08:45
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1559. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 20:56:37 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1510 Message: 1559

Actually that is pretty funny. According to the online BQ9P log, I had 7 Q's with them. For some reason, I've only sent 5 of them to LOTW. And none of them are confirmed.

Guess it is time to send the paper card and figure out what happened. All of my logging is electronic and the computer is synchronized to network time standards so this should be an interesting one to figure out!

73,

Mike WA0SXV

From: WC7N [mailto:wc7n@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 15:41
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Well I hate to tell you this Mike but I just checked LoTW for my BQ9P QSO's and it show 9-12 Oct 2003.

Rod WC7N

1560. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-11 17:17:53 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 1510 Message: 1560

-
Well Gerry,

I just checked the last 4 on your email, and I got my Q's that I
sent direct to Steve for, and quite quick - but not one via LOTW.
FWIW.
73,
Joe W7LPF

---- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 13:45
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; jbrannig@...
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:09 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1561. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 00:16:20 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1561

Lest anyone think this is "beat on KU9C" ... my results
are similar for several other well known QSL managers:

W3HNK: 1 QSO out of 39
W3HC: 0 for 8
AC7DX: 0 for 9
WA3HUP: 0 for 16

I haven't looked at some of the bigger DX managers ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Joseph Lutz [mailto:kwz99@...]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 1:18 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

-
Well Gerry,

I just checked the last 4 on your email, and I got my Q's that I
sent direct to Steve for, and quite quick - but not one via LOTW.
FWIW.
73,
Joe W7LPF

1562. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 01:03:11 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1510 Message: 1562

I don't think it's the manager's call to load to LoTW, it's his client that would decide, if and when to load and likely have to acquire (or at least approve) the Certificate.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 6:16 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Lest anyone think this is "beat on KU9C" ... my results
are similar for several other well known QSL managers:

W3HNK: 1 QSO out of 39
W3HC: 0 for 8
AC7DX: 0 for 9
WA3HUP: 0 for 16

I haven't looked at some of the bigger DX managers ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: Joseph Lutz [mailto:kwz99@localnet.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 1:18 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

-
Well Gerry,

I just checked the last 4 on your email, and I got my Q's that I
sent direct to Steve for, and quite quick - but not one via LOTW.
FWIW.
73,
Joe W7LPF

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1563. [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW & Old Geezers (Was:Interesting)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 01:25:27 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1491 Message: 1563

Hi Hans:
Just found you reply after vacation. !!!

Gee, I don't know what I said or did to "Over Torque your Head Bolt" ???

First:
I was a "Young Pup" just like YOU !!! A LOT of us WERE !!!
As you said "When first I got my license" we had to keep "Paper Logs" of EVERYTHING we did (except passing gas)!!! Lots of CQ ---- No Response, entries in my old logs books !!! Lots of entries for all the nets I used to check into !!! Lots of old ARRL "Log Books" stacked up in the bookcase. Then we got computers and WE still kept logging ALL QSO's !!!

Then when you wanted to move up, you had go to the FCC office in the old "Customs House" in Boston, MA for your exam and let the FCC people watch you SWEAT bullets (and pass a lot of gas from both ends) !!!

Next:
The subject this "thread" was about the ARRL poll on who kept logs and how.

Since this list is about LoTW, Electronic logging, and therefor requires the use of computers to accomplish this feat; I felt my comments were in order.

The final results of the ARRL poll, with 2,789 respondents were:
32.7% kept an "Electronic Log" (call it 33%)
50.3% kept a "Paper Log"
17.0% kept "No Log"

So the bottom line of the poll was ONLY 1/3 of the Hams responding kept a log that could be immediately (more or less) utilized to LoTW !!!

Trying to put these numbers into prospective, I'm going to assume that 99% of the respondents were ARRL Members. How many of U.S. Hams respond to "Electronic Polls" from the Lithuanian, German, East Timor or New Zealand equivalent of the ARRL ???

If I look at the ARRL Membership we have, according to Ward Silver, N0AX and Tom Frenaye, K1KI, the ARRL has a membership of about 165K out of the 700K U.S. licensed Hams. This results in about a 22.8% League membership !!! Reducing this number by the ARRL "Guesstimate" that this number is reduced by about 2% to 5% for SK's. So I'm going to make an ASSUMPTION that if only 20% of the US Hams are ARRL members. This number is further reduced by the number of INACTIVE U.S. Hams (Working to many jobs, RFI, Zoning, etc) and will not be renewing their Ham License, so this final number will be less than 20% !!!

If we assume that the number 700K U.S. hams is correct AND assuming that ALL of the 7,680 LoTW users are U.S. Hams, that means that we have about a 1.097%

utilization factor for LoTW !!! Rounded off is 1.1% using LoTW !!!!

These numbers (1.1% of the U.S. Ham population) sure don't don't recommend usage of LoTW to the general Ham population "World Wide" !!!

What do we need to do to increase the utilization numbers for LoTW ???

73
Bob, K1VU
FN42ma

At 23:45 7/31/2004 , you wrote:
> > [Original Message]
> > From: R Johnson <k1vu@...>
>
> > However, only 33% of those with computers bother to keep an "Electronic
>Log"
> > so I think the final number would be much lower than 33%.
>
>Mark me down in the "so what and who cares" camp.
>
>Ham radio is about radio, not about how (or even whether) anyone keeps a
>log.
>
>Back when I was a pup it was the law, so I did it. Became a habit, and I
>still do it, but doesn't make someone a child of a lesser god if they
>happen not to keep one, or what media they prefer to use.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1564. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 01:29:09 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1564

Sounds strange. Why would I have them showing in LoTW if you don't. Beats me but double checked today and they are there.\

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Joseph Lutz
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 10:17 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

-
Well Gerry,

I just checked the last 4 on your email, and I got my Q's that I
sent direct to Steve for, and quite quick - but not one via LOTW.
FWIW.
73,
Joe W7LPF

---- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 13:45
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Joe,

I just checked a few of my Qs managed by Steven, KU9C and have many, many hits.

A few are: 4W/K7BV, H40AA, WP2Z, BQ9P, FM5DN AND J75KG.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; jbrannig@...
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 8:09 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

> This evening a League employee is running a pile-up from VP5
> and "stating QSL via home call" I asked him about LoTW and he
> said sure. I then checked my LoTW log and NONE of my many
> contest QSO's with him have a QSL hit!!!

Interesting ... since */K7BV is via KU9C ... any you're correct,
I don't have a single LotW hit for him either (or any of KU9C's
many stations AFAIK).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1565. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 01:46:57 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1510 Message: 1565

> Lest anyone think this is "beat on KU9C" ... my results
> are similar for several other well known QSL managers:
>
> W3HNK: 1 QSO out of 39
> W3HC: 0 for 8
> AC7DX: 0 for 9
> WA3HUP: 0 for 16
>
> I haven't looked at some of the bigger DX managers ...
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

I think you need to look at the motivation behind being a QSL manager. Why do they do it? Lots of reasons. Not all of them altruistic. And for all we know, they are using paper logs!

Some of these guys collect stamps. Not the green stamps, postage stamps. I seriously doubt any of them do it for the green stamps. Others get paid to do it, but even then I'll bet they actually do it for reasons other than $$$.

Lemmeessee... They must ponder "QSLing the old fashioned way and I have fun...use LOTW, eQSL, etc. and my 'worth' to society as a QSL manager is gone. What should I do? Hmmmm... Punch in all these QSOs and rid the world of the need for my job, or do nothing? I guess I'll do nothing!"

I would bet that KU9C is not boycotting LOTW. He's busy! Imputing ulterior motives, sinister and otherwise, is just not fair! If you guys really care, why doesn't somebody just ask him? Then ask all the QSL managers. This seems all too simple to me...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1566. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW & Old Geezers (Was:Interesting)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 02:47:37 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1491 Message: 1566

Bob... I'm rather disappointed to hear that you haven't been keeping your log up to date on gas-passing.... shape up, OM :-)

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 9:25 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW & Old Geezers (Was:Interesting)

> Hi Hans:
> Just found you reply after vacation. !!!
>
> Gee, I don't know what I said or did to "Over Torque your Head Bolt" ???
>
> First:
> I was a "Young Pup" just like YOU !!! A LOT of us WERE !!!
> As you said "When first I got my license" we had to keep "Paper Logs" of
> EVERYTHING we did (except passing gas)!!! Lots of CQ ---- No Response,
> entries in my old logs books !!! Lots of entries for all the nets I used
> to check into !!! Lots of old ARRL "Log Books" stacked up in the bookcase.
> Then we got computers and WE still kept logging ALL QSO's !!!
>
> Then when you wanted to move up, you had go to the FCC office in the old
> "Customs House" in Boston, MA for your exam and let the FCC people watch
> you SWEAT bullets (and pass a lot of gas from both ends) !!!
>
> Next:
> The subject this "thread" was about the ARRL poll on who kept logs and how.
>
> Since this list is about LoTW, Electronic logging, and therefor requires the
> use of computers to accomplish this feat; I felt my comments were in order.
>
> The final results of the ARRL poll, with 2,789 respondents were:
> 32.7% kept an "Electronic Log" (call it 33%)
> 50.3% kept a "Paper Log"
> 17.0% kept "No Log"
>
> So the bottom line of the poll was ONLY 1/3 of the Hams responding kept a log
> that could be immediately (more or less) utilized to LoTW !!!
>
> Trying to put these numbers into prospective, I'm going to assume that 99% of
> the respondents were ARRL Members. How many of U.S. Hams respond to
> "Electronic Polls" from the Lithuanian, German, East Timor or New Zealand
> equivalent of the ARRL ???

>
> If I look at the ARRL Membership we have, according to Ward Silver, N0AX and
> Tom Frenaye, K1KI, the ARRL has a membership of about 165K out of the 700K
> U.S. licensed Hams. This results in about a 22.8% League membership !!!
> Reducing this number by the ARRL "Guesstimate" that this number is reduced by
> about 2% to 5% for SK's. So I'm going to make an ASSUMPTION that if only 20%
> of the US Hams are ARRL members. This number is further reduced by the number
> of INACTIVE U.S. Hams (Working to many jobs, RFI, Zoning, etc) and will not be
> renewing their Ham License, so this final number will be less than 20% !!!
>
> If we assume that the number 700K U.S. hams is correct AND assuming that ALL of
> the 7,680 LoTW users are U.S. Hams, that means that we have about a 1.097%
> utilization factor for LoTW !!! Rounded off is 1.1% using LoTW !!!!
>
> These numbers (1.1% of the U.S. Ham population) sure don't don't recommend
> usage of LoTW to the general Ham population "World Wide" !!!
>
> What do we need to do to increase the utilization numbers for LoTW ???
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
> FN42ma
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> At 23:45 7/31/2004 , you wrote:
> > > [Original Message]
> > > From: R Johnson <k1vu@...>
> >
> > > However, only 33% of those with computers bother to keep an "Electronic
> >Log"
> > > so I think the final number would be much lower than 33%.
> >
> >Mark me down in the "so what and who cares" camp.
> >
> >Ham radio is about radio, not about how (or even whether) anyone keeps a
> >log.
> >
> >Back when I was a pup it was the law, so I did it. Became a habit, and I
> >still do it, but doesn't make someone a child of a lesser god if they
> >happen not to keep one, or what media they prefer to use.
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1567. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 02:25:18 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1567

I do as you do, SASE....but I have heard of green stamps being sent along with SASE to Stateside
mgrs, to help defray expenses. I've been under the impression it's pretty common, but it seems like
something that would be very hard to check. If it's a significant practice, it might help explain
the reluctance of mgrs to use LoTW.

I'd be very surprised it green stamps were not a significant influence on a lot of the
DX...especially in some of the poorer economies, some of those guys may really depend on the income,
to get better gear, maybe even help to better feed their families and improve their lifestyle... I
sure don't begrudge them my buck, I'm happy to be of help if they need it. But I can't see a lot of
those guys embracing LoTW, even if they have a computer and internet access, can you?

It does seem as though the ARRL, and those of us with any influence or a forum to speak from, could
make a better case to DXpeditions, contesters, and Stateside QSL managers about uploading their logs
to LoTW. All the DXpeditions need ARRL recognition for DXCC... there might be some gentle leverage
there. It shouldn't be hard to convert Cabrillo format to something that LoTW would accept, so
copies of contest logs could be uploaded to LoTW after the contest managers are through with them...
the intent to do so could be announced in the contest rules.

Someone up in the ARRL needs to be making this kind of push... and perhaps they are, for all I know,
unless there's some good reasons why these ideas won't work. Most of the ARRL guys and gals are
pretty aggressive on behalf of the membership and HR in general, I think. Maybe some of them read
this reflector and are getting an earful.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2004 11:52 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

>
> Jerry,
>
> > Joe... do you think maybe in some instances green stamps
> > could be an influence? Or maybe the logs from some DX
> > still come in on paper?
>
> I don't know ...
>
> However, in my relatively small sample, the two LotW confirms
> are the more rare of the group (YA and 4W). Those cards that
> I have are from contest type operations that I know were done
> on computer.
>
> As far as green stamps ... with stateside managers I always
> use SASE only. In some cases will "double up" and send two
> or three cards/stations in one mailing. When I choose to make
> a contribution, it is done separately ... never as part of the
> QSL process. I strongly object the "sawbuck for a QSL" game.
>
> Perhaps DXAC and Awards Committee should state that any station,
> operation or manager using computer based logging and fails to
> upload logs to LotW is not conducting themselves in an exemplary
> manner (Rule 12). After all, one need not have internet access
> to participate in LotW ... it is even possible to receive a
> certificate, sign files, and submit log data all by mailing
> computer media (floppy, CD, etc.).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1568. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 03:14:32 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1568

> And for all we know, they are using paper logs!

Of the managers I listed, only one returned a hand written
card. All the rest were computer generated labels.

> Imputing ulterior motives, sinister and otherwise, is just
> not fair! If you guys really care, why doesn't somebody just
> ask him? Then ask all the QSL managers. This seems all too
> simple to me...

Nobody (at least not me) is imputing ulterior motives. I'm
simply saying that LotW would probably get a higher profile,
more quickly, if the well known QSL managers would upload
100% of the logs for the stations they manage as soon as they
receive them.

Putting the logs on LotW would also reduce the load and cost
for the QSL manager. I know bureau cards are a loser for
every manger (and some will not even accept bureau cards
for that reason). Even if a manager receives 100% SASE or
SAE & IRC, he's still likely to be out of pocket anywhere
from $0.03 to $0.07 per card for printing, labels and other
consumables.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1569. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 03:33:56 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1510 Message: 1569

Well I know from personally talking to one of the QSL managers that it is unbelievable the shape some of the logs they get are in. But that is also their big time ham radio hobby, thank God as I sure wouldn't want to do it and it does cost them bucks.

I have been Pilot several times for DXpeditions and that takes a lot of time but really doesn't cost me anything but time and I really enjoyed it. I also know that is some cases the DX station doesn't even provide them money for cards so they do hope for some green stamps.

Again ham radio is a hobby and that is the part that they enjoy doing. A couple green stamps won't hurt the majority of us and we might think of that when we send them a card and look forward to the return card.

Rod WC7N

1570. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 03:55:50 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1510 Message: 1570

> I'd be very surprised it green stamps were not a significant
> influence on a lot of the DX...especially in some of the
> poorer economies, some of those guys may really depend on
> the income, to get better gear, maybe even help to better
> feed their families and improve their lifestyle...

I've been doing a fair amount of surfing to the post office
web site for as many countries as I can find and looking up
the cost of a 20 gm letter to the US in local currency. I
have been using the interbank conversion rate to convert that
back to US Dollars ... by the time a foreign station pays a
premium (ranging from 10% to 50% depending on the bank,
currency exchange or post office), pays anywhere from $0.03
to $0.10 per card for printing, etc. there isn't a whole lot
left from a GS (or two in some cases).

> It shouldn't be hard to convert Cabrillo format to something
> that LoTW would accept, so copies of contest logs could be
> uploaded to LoTW after the contest managers are through with
> them... the intent to do so could be announced in the contest
> rules.

LotW already accepts signed Cabrillo files. The problem is
that LotW will not accept unsigned files from the contest
managers. Now ... if the contest sponsors started requiring
signed logs that would be another thing.

> Someone up in the ARRL needs to be making this kind of
> push... and perhaps they are, for all I know, unless there's
> some good reasons why these ideas won't work.

Several people have been advocating for staff to "evangelize"
on behalf of LotW ... both domestically and globally. There
have also been calls to make the system easier to access for
non-US station. Nobody has seen any evidence of movement on
wither front yet.

> Most of the ARRL guys and gals are pretty aggressive on
> behalf of the membership and HR in general, I think.

True ... but they can only do as much as the management
will allow them to do. Frankly, I do not see any evidence
the top brass at ARRL is committed to LotW ... promotion
efforts have been essentially invisible, award integration
has lagged, usability is an issue for many foreign stations,
and the fees for award credits vs. DXCC fees represents a
disincentive for using LotW.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1571. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 03:53:10 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1510 Message: 1571

> Imputing ulterior motives, sinister and otherwise, is just
> not fair! If you guys really care, why doesn't somebody just
> ask him? Then ask all the QSL managers. This seems all too
> simple to me...

Seems pretty simple to me too. If the concerned expressed above for "imputing ulterior motives"
refers to my comment about green stamps having an influence on whether or not a station joins LoTW,
I'd like to clarify.

I have no problem with anyone accepting green stamps, and I don't think wanting or accepting
donations to defray expenses is an "ulterior motive" at all. In my view, we, the QSL "collectors",
the DXers, have a positive responsibility to help pay the expenses of adding to our "collections".
If we find someone is not playing the game properly, we shouldn't support their play, that's all.
Simple.

QSLing costs money. If we want the cards, or the DXCC credits, we should be willing to pay reasonable costs. A buck or two is pretty reasonable. What is unreasonable is for us to expect a DX
station that receives support for an expensive hobby via green stamps to give that up in favor of
LoTW. Some will, if they can afford to. And some won't. It's not an "ulterior motive", it's just
what's available, what's possible, or what's needed. If the green stamps received by some of our
less well off brother hams overseas end up helping him have a better station and also help him and
his family live a better life, I say that's just fine... and I'm glad to help in that way.

Just my two cents... 73, Jerry K3BZ

1572. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] some LoTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-12 07:05:05 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1510 Message: 1572

Hello K4IK,

Wednesday, August 11, 2004 Joe Subich, K4IK wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

JSK> Again, I have LotW confirms for only two of 18 KU9C stations
JSK> worked so it seems as if the participation is far from universal.

Obviously all of KU9C managed stations logs, uploaded to the LoTW,
were uploaded by the operators themselves, not the manager.

> W3HNK: 1 QSO out of 39
> W3HC: 0 for 8
> AC7DX: 0 for 9
> WA3HUP: 0 for 16

Another obvious thing is that "big" managers usually do not greet the
LoTW idea itself.

--
73...Art RX9TX 12-Aug-04 06:57 UTC

"49% of the U.S. population belongs to some minority group."

1573. Unknown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-16 04:18:37 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1573 Message: 1573

I just had a nice chat with ZL1AV and said I would QSL via LoTW.
He is an old time DX'er and had no idea that LoTW was good for DXCC. He also said he would be glad to upload his logs.

If the League is not promoting LoTW, we have to do it.....

Jim

1574. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Unknown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-16 11:41:08 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1573 Message: 1574

Good Job Jim
I did the same with a well know VK contester just the other day.

Ok Jim & I covered Down Under
Who wants to volunteer for Europe, Asia, Africa etc.??? :-)))

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 15, 2004 11:18 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Unknown

>I just had a nice chat with ZL1AV and said I would QSL via LoTW.
> He is an old time DX'er and had no idea that LoTW was good for DXCC. He also said he
> would be glad to upload his logs.
>
> If the League is not promoting LoTW, we have to do it.......
>
> Jim
>

1575. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-17 02:15:48 UTC
From: Edgar Brown, N6OU
Topic: 1505 Message: 1575

Hi Art, That can be done now. I would have to go back to the site and check the steps but that's exactly what I did. So its there.
Edgar
----- Original Message -----
From: "Art RX9TX" <rx9tx@...>
To: "Patton, David NN1N" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, 08 August, 2004 2:00 AM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

> Hello David,
>
> Friday, August 6, 2004 Patton, David NN1N wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> PDN> It is not necessary to renew your certificate at this time.
> PDN> Approximately ten days prior to expiration, LoTW will send an
>
> Dave, any plans for letting the LoTW users download credited DXCC
> records, ADIF format preferable, for the statistics purposes on the
> user's side?
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 08-Aug-04 08:51 UTC
>
> "No one can make you feel inferior without your consent." (Eleanor Roosevelt)
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1576. Questions for the LotW staff

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-20 01:00:54 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1576 Message: 1576

When LotW was first released, I successfully uploaded all of my FJ/AA6YQ QSOs to LotW; many are now confirmed. When I display the DXCC Award Application -- Part 1, several of these confirmed QSOs are missing an X in the Challenge column; such QSOs are also missing either an X in a mode column, or an X in a band column. In each case, double-clicking on the hyperlinked callsign shows that the QSO possesses a valid band and a valid mode. What causes the missing Xs, and how do I correct the situation?

In what order are the entries on the DXCC Award Application -- Part 1 table sorted? It looks like the primary sort key is the Entity name, ascending, but after that its not obvious. I'd like my logging software to generate an LotW submission report in the same sort order that LotW presents its application so that its easy for users to check the right boxes.

If the ability to upload a list of LotW-confirmed QSOs for DXCC credit is imminent, you can disregard the sort order question.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1577. Test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-28 23:45:27 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 223 Message: 1577

September 11, 2001
We can never, never forget !
If we aren't free and alive,
absolutely nothing else matters !

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX
USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1578. W1AW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-31 14:16:01 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 1578 Message: 1578

Just curious if w1aw uploads their logs to LOTW?

1579. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-31 14:21:55 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 1578 Message: 1579

It appears to depend on the operator or something. As a general practice, no they don't. 11 Q's with W1AW 1999-2003, only one of them for CW SS 2000 is in LOTW.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: tad [mailto:vohlj0@...]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2004 08:16
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW

Just curious if w1aw uploads their logs to LOTW?

1580. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-31 14:24:46 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1578 Message: 1580

Tad,
I've got quite a few of them , but they do seem to not be the most recent ones.
Actually, they run from 1994 -2002. I would assume that the later years will be there
eventually.
73, Tom K5IID

tad <vohlj0@...> wrote:
Just curious if w1aw uploads their logs to LOTW?

Yahoo! Groups Links

September 11, 2001
We can never, never forget !
If we aren't free and alive,
absolutely nothing else matters !

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX
USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1581. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-31 14:47:06 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1578 Message: 1581

Well appears they are not setting a good example. I show only one W1AW/90 from May of this year.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: tad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2004 7:16 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW

Just curious if w1aw uploads their logs to LOTW?

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1582. Excel Spreadsheet to Create ADIF Log Files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-08-31 14:49:13 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 1088 Message: 1582

I have mentioned this spreadsheet on the reflector before but for
those who may be new to the LoTW reflector, I'll post this again.

==========

I have recently completed an Excel spreadsheet to quickly convert
paper logs into ADIF format for easy uploading to LoTW or for importing
into regular logging programs. There are similar programs around but my
focus was to make this as fast as possible.

After the first QSO is entered, the spreadsheet will automatically
enter the same Date, Band and Mode for the next QSO so you don't have to
type that for each QSO. It will auto-complete the Time field so you can
just type a "1" and it will log the time as "0001".

Since only the Band is important for LoTW, you need only type in the
whole number of the frequency (e.g. "28" instead of "28.562"). And, you
can just type in a "c" or "s" for CW or SSB or a "1" or "3" for A1a or A3a
Modes. Or, you can type in any Mode that is accepted by LoTW.

Using this program I can enter paper logs six to eight times faster
than by using a regular logging program. Especially when you make several
contacts on the same Date, Band and Mode the entries go very fast.

Once you have entered the data, (maximum of 100 QSO's per run) the
program will create an ADIF file that you can immediately upload to LoTW or
import into a logging program. Or, you can combine it with other ADIF files
in a word processor and upload the combined file all at once.

I have posted a description of this program on my web site along with
a ZIP file containing the spreadsheet program and a Microsoft Word document
with the full instructions. Basic instructions are in the spreadsheet as well.

Here is the link:

<http://www.w8tn.com/software.htm>

This spreadsheet was created with Microsoft Excel 97 so you will need
a version of Excel that is no older than that. Also, you will need a
program that can read .DOC files from Microsoft Word to read the full
instructions.

If you find this program useful I would appreciate hearing about
it. Otherwise, there is no charge, it is Freeware.

CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN

1583. LoTW Stats for this year

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-08 13:01:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1583 Message: 1583

http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/2004_lotw_stats.htm

Joe, I exported from Crystal to Word, changed the font to Andale Mono and saved it as a .htm file.
Using a fixed width font made the spacing and column line up better for me.

Any tool, when dropped, will roll into the least accessible corner of the workshop.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1584. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-08 18:03:36 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 1505 Message: 1584

Hi all,

Got my 'renewal' notification today and decided to make sure I
didn't goof, so I renewed certs for HB and CT ops - no problem.
Will do the DU ops and regular call later on this week. I have to
find the time so I can put in logs from 62-64 [KG6] ops that are
ALAS in logbooks by hand!! But after receiving a QSL from OH2FS
for a 1962 QSO while I was operating up in WA state as K7LRO,
I figured someone may still need that Guam QSL. I know a lot
have got the DU2 on - and that is sort of an oldie [78-81].
Finding more and more hits from overseas which is great - now
if I could only find either a hamfest or a authorized Card checker
that isn't on the other side of the state from me, I could get
a couple of hundred more cards done that counts [and that I
don't want to send via mail if at all possible].
Have a great day - we have been getting the rain from Francis
since early last nite. Stay dry.
73,
Joe W7LPF

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; ct1csn@...
Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 17:32
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate

Leo,

1) Open "tQSL Cert"
2) Select (highlight) the certificate
3) Click on "Certificate" on the menu bar
4) Click on "Renew Certificate"
5) Follow the prompts to fill data
6) When completed, e-mail/upload the renewal

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Aurélio da Costa [mailto:ct1csn@....pt]
> Sent: Friday, August 06, 2004 12:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal of certificate
>
>
> Can someone please help me with the renewal of my present

> certificate that
> expires in October.
> 73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1585. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Stats for this year

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-08 21:37:35 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1583 Message: 1585

That's very cool, Bill./ Seems to me that 15 percent QSLs overall, and
almost 30 percent from digital QSOs, is some pretty good numbers.

73, Pete N4ZR

At 09:01 AM 9/8/2004, FireBrick wrote:

>http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/2004_lotw_stats.htm
>
>
>Joe, I exported from Crystal to Word, changed the font to Andale Mono and
>saved it as a
>.htm file.
>Using a fixed width font made the spacing and column line up better for me.
>
>
>----------
>Any tool, when dropped, will roll into the least accessible corner of the
>workshop.
>----------
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1586. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Stats for this year

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-08 22:05:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1583 Message: 1586

That only shows 2004
The RTTY group got into LoTW in a big way, A couple of us were beta testers.
I also think that because so many digital types are using computers to create the signals, they probably already had online logging so it was just a hop and skip to upload the logs.

I got another needed band mode the other day.
Got LX on 40 meter rtty qsl via LoTW.
It was an open band/mode slot and I had two LX stations in the qsl to print and send list.
So...that one saved me approx. $1.60 in postage alone.

Since the start, I've gotten 37 LoTW qsls that I'd never been able to get confirmations for those band/modes.
So I've been happy.
Seeing a LOT more DX stations now as the word gets around.

I have a 'pse join the free ARRL LoTW qsl system' message in both my cw and digital buffers.
I include the URL.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pete Smith" <n4zr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: "Joe Glockner" <jglock2@...>
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2004 4:37 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Stats for this year

> That's very cool, Bill./ Seems to me that 15 percent QSLs overall, and
> almost 30 percent from digital QSOs, is some pretty good numbers.
>
> 73, Pete N4ZR
>
> At 09:01 AM 9/8/2004, FireBrick wrote:
>
>>http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/2004_lotw_stats.htm
>>
>>
>>Joe, I exported from Crystal to Word, changed the font to Andale Mono and
>>saved it as a
>>.htm file.
>>Using a fixed width font made the spacing and column line up better for me.
>>
>>
>>----------
>>Any tool, when dropped, will roll into the least accessible corner of the
>>workshop.
>>----------

>>
>>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1587. RE: [dxlab] Re: Feature request - Russian Districts Award...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-09 13:41:44 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1587 Message: 1587

Richard B. Drake [mailto:rich@...] writes:

> >K4IK wrote:
> >
> >LotW already supports a field "RU_Oblast:"
>
> eQSL does not support that field but it's not a problem, it's
> just dumped in the bit bucket :-)

To make it clear ... full RDX support requires two fields:
"RU_Oblast" and "RU_District" (or equivalent). Oblast is
analogous to state or province and District is analogous to
county/judicial district/parish ... LotW does not currently
appear to support Russian districts.

For backward support, it would be nice if LotW (and eQSL) would
support Obalsts is all of the former USSR. I think most of the
independent ex-USSR still use Obalsts (or the equivalent) and
there may be some individuals who are trying to backfill the old
awards.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1588. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-09 14:18:13 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 1588 Message: 1588

```
Microsoft Mail Internet Headers Version 2.0
Message-ID: <41406602.903DF5A2@...>
Date: Thu, 09 Sep 2004 10:17:38 -0400
Organization: NORTEL
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.78 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dxlab] Re: Feature request - Russian Districts
Award...
References: <000501c49672$bed0a740$c080a8c0@laptop>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Return-Path: nenad@...
X-OriginalArrivalTime: 09 Sep 2004 14:17:38.0688 (UTC) FILETIME=[C2CFA800:01C49677]

Joe,

Glad to hear you expanded the question of field extensions to
accomodate other awards, different than ARRL program.

I see the problem imprtant, since the more info included,
will automatically increase the interest for more people
to join LoTW. However, how far to go?

One idea is to go through IARU member societies and ask them
what info to include for socities' sponsored awards. Make it time
limited, and accepted field extensions implement as
'Release 1.0'.

Should there be more such requests after the dead line, than
address the problem in the next release. After all LoTW will not
stay in the ongoing developemnt state forever, but rather in well
defined 'Generally Available' releases.

73 Nenad VE3EXY

P.S. I hope you received 'old style' QSLs for my QSL clients
you asked for?

"Joe Subich, K4IK" wrote:

> Richard B. Drake [mailto:rich@...] writes:
>
> > >K4IK wrote:
> > >
> > >LotW already supports a field "RU_Oblast:"
> >
```

> > eQSL does not support that field but it's not a problem, it's
> > just dumped in the bit bucket :-)
>
> To make it clear ... full RDX support requires two fields:
> "RU_Oblast" and "RU_District" (or equivalent). Oblast is
> analogous to state or province and District is analogous to
> county/judicial district/parish ... LotW does not currently
> appear to support Russian districts.
>
> For backward support, it would be nice if LotW (and eQSL) would
> support Obalsts is all of the former USSR. I think most of the
> independent ex-USSR still use Obalsts (or the equivalent) and
> there may be some individuals who are trying to backfill the old
> awards.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>

1589. just though you might like to see

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-09 22:14:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1589 Message: 1589

How good rtty guys are at LoTW qsling compared to other modes.

http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/lotw-last-12.htm

report was created by DXBase2005.
(I can't figure out why that one row don't line up right, duh)

Why is it called lipstick if you can still move your lips?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1590. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] just though you might like to see

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 12:49:56 UTC
From: Wayne KC1YF
Topic: 1589 Message: 1590

Hi Bill, When I clicked on your eMail to the MixW?? site, I was sent elsewhere. Looks like an advertisement to me. Please check it.Wayne from Maine, KC1YF

No banners. No pop-ups. No kidding.
Make My Way your home on the Web - http://www.myway.com

1591. Renewing certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 13:13:19 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 1591 Message: 1591

Just renewed my certificate.
I received it back as an e-mail attachment with instructions to install it.
While trying how to get the attachment into my LoTW file it somehow
got installed and replaced the old certificate.
How did that happen?
Does the certificate software go out and search for the new certificate or what?
Just wondering.
Bill W5EC

1592. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewing certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 13:51:00 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 1591 Message: 1592

Bill,

I followed the instructions and it signed the new certificates and deleted the old so my guess is that it deletes the old certificates.

Bill KF8HR

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2004 9:13 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewing certificate

Just renewed my certificate.
I received it back as an e-mail attachment with instructions to install it.
While trying how to get the attachment into my LoTW file it somehow
got installed and replaced the old certificate.
How did that happen?
Does the certificate software go out and search for the new certificate or what?
Just wondering.
Bill W5EC

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1593. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewing certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 15:17:39 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1591 Message: 1593

At 09:13 AM 9/10/2004, Bill Hawkins wrote:

>Just renewed my certificate.
>I received it back as an e-mail attachment with instructions to install it.
>While trying how to get the attachment into my LoTW file it somehow
>got installed and replaced the old certificate.
>How did that happen?
>Does the certificate software go out and search for the new certificate or
>what?

I don't think this represents a problem -- it's not a new certificate, just
a renewal of the old one, so why leave the old one in TQSL?

73, Pete N4ZR
The World HF Contest Station Database
was updated on August 2, 2004
2753 contest stations at
www.pvrc.org/WCSD/WCSDsearch.htm

1594. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewing certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 17:20:52 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 1591 Message: 1594

I wasn't worried about the old one. I just wondered how the new one got from an e-mail attachment to the
TQSLCert file.
Bill W5EC

I don't think this represents a problem -- it's not a new certificate, just
a renewal of the old one, so why leave the old one in TQSL?

1595. Getting a proper certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 18:31:44 UTC
From: Buck
Topic: 1591 Message: 1595

I have had three callsigns and one used twice.

KA4DPS was used for years as a novice and then General Class operator. Then when I received my Advanced license, I was issued N4PGW. I changed it to NA4FM, but after getting my extra, I chose to go back to N4PGW. I have some log information from all licenses and several QTHs.

What is the proper way for me to handle this so that all the information is properly disbursed between callsigns and locations?

Buck
N4PGW

1596. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 18:45:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1591 Message: 1596

Interesting situation.
I think you should contact Dave Patton at LoTW central.

But...just for grins to see if I understand the system correctly.
You should first apply for a certificate for your present callsign.
As you operated for instance.
Jan 1 to Dec 31 1999
and then got the callsign back and resumed operating under that call from
Jan 1, 2002 till present.

I 'THINK' you should enter the first qso date and leave the end blank.
As if you operated the whole time.

You then use that to also apply for a certificate for your Novice call with it's start/end dates.

and also apply for a certificate for NA4FM with it's start and end date.

Each callsign needs it's own certificate with it's own start and end date, with the obvious exception of a callsign that's presently in use.

It's the call that was used, given up, and then reacquired that has me curious.
I'm not sure you can apply for two certificates, one for each time frame.

I'm sure someone will correct this if I'm wrong, fortunately my wife isn't on LoTW reflector or she would already have. :-)))

----- Original Message -----
From: "Buck" <na4fm-list@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2004 1:31 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

>I have had three callsigns and one used twice.
>
> KA4DPS was used for years as a novice and then General Class operator. Then
> when I received my Advanced license, I was issued N4PGW. I changed it to
> NA4FM, but after getting my extra, I chose to go back to N4PGW. I have some
> log information from all licenses and several QTHs.
>
> What is the proper way for me to handle this so that all the information is
> properly disbursed between callsigns and locations?
>
> Buck
> N4PGW
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1597. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 19:50:30 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1591 Message: 1597

Hi Buck

I see you have a certificate for NA4FM. Because you have no QSOs in the system yet, I would ask us to cancel your NA4FM cert and then apply for a new certificate for N4PGW.

Make the date range for PGW cover the whole time from when you first had the call and leave the end date blank. No one received that call while you didn't have it, so there is no harm done. Then, when you have the PGW cert, just make additional requests for the other calls making sure to sign the request with your NA4FM certificate.

I think Bill has it right.

Technically you would be able to get two certificates for N4PGW--one for each date range, but there have been some bugs associated with that if both certificates reside on the same machine. But, you don't need to worry about it, just start over with PGW.

73! Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Buck [mailto:na4fm-list@...t]
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2004 2:32 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

I have had three callsigns and one used twice.

KA4DPS was used for years as a novice and then General Class operator. Then when I received my Advanced license, I was issued N4PGW. I changed it to NA4FM, but after getting my extra, I chose to go back to N4PGW. I have some log information from all licenses and several QTHs.

What is the proper way for me to handle this so that all the information is properly disbursed between callsigns and locations?

Buck
N4PGW

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129rtpirk/M=298184.5285298.6392945.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1094927620/A=2319498/R=0/SIG=11thfntfp/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185352&partid=5285298> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=298184.5285298.6392945.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2319498/rand=243235887>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1598. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 19:52:49 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1591 Message: 1598

Oooops. I mean sign it with your new N4PGW certificate! :-)

Make the date range for PGW cover the whole time from when you first had the call and leave the end date blank. No one received that call while you didn't have it, so there is no harm done. Then, when you have the PGW cert, just make additional requests for the other calls making sure to sign the request with your NA4FM certificate.

I

1599. Using LOTW to qualify for awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 23:41:48 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1599 Message: 1599

I was just looking at the ARRL website and checking out the 9/12 Hiram Percy Maxim / 135 article. Wouldn't it be great to see the members and non-members of ARRL flock to LOTW because they can participate, upload their logs to LOTW and get the qualifying certificate?

Yours truly will likely be doing the 160M Contest write-up again this year. I've got a query into Dan Henderson to see if it will be possible to offer a "WAS on a Single Weekend on a Single Band" award using LOTW. Wayne Mills has been out of the office so not much in the way of progress. I would really like to see this type of interaction with hams and LOTW. My guess is that if implemented, over time, the ham population would embrace LOTW as a source of real enjoyment.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1600. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting a proper certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-11 00:13:35 UTC
From: larry fields,n6hpx/du1 manila
Topic: 1591 Message: 1600

Here's a question what about the ones who have 2
only one is international.

Larry Fields, n6hpx/du1
--- Buck <na4fm-list@...> wrote:

> I have had three callsigns and one used twice.
>
> KA4DPS was used for years as a novice and then
> General Class operator. Then
> when I received my Advanced license, I was issued
> N4PGW. I changed it to
> NA4FM, but after getting my extra, I chose to go
> back to N4PGW. I have some
> log information from all licenses and several QTHs.
>
>
> What is the proper way for me to handle this so that
> all the information is
> properly disbursed between callsigns and locations?
>
> Buck
> N4PGW
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion
> Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>
---~->
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

>
>
>

1601. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Using LOTW to qualify for awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-10 23:56:40 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 1599 Message: 1601

Ford, this is a great idea. I often thought that a LOTW only contest might not only be fun but would highlight the use and existence of the LOTW. For the past few 160 contests I uploaded my log and was surprised by the number of qsl's received. Anyway, I endorse your idea.... 73, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2004 7:41 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Using LOTW to qualify for awards

> I was just looking at the ARRL website and checking out the 9/12 Hiram Percy Maxim / 135 article. Wouldn't it be great to see the members and non-members of ARRL flock to LOTW because they can participate, upload their logs to LOTW and get the qualifying certificate?
>
> Yours truly will likely be doing the 160M Contest write-up again this year. I've got a query into Dan Henderson to see if it will be possible to offer a "WAS on a Single Weekend on a Single Band" award using LOTW. Wayne Mills has been out of the office so not much in the way of progress. I would really like to see this type of interaction with hams and LOTW. My guess is that if implemented, over time, the ham population would embrace LOTW as a source of real enjoyment.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1602. received today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-11 11:04:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1602 Message: 1602

I've been trying to get a qsl for 20 meter SSB 9V1 for decades.
You would think that one would be easy.

Worked 9V1GO on Sept 4, and mailed a SAE$ qsl request to his manager OK1DOT the same day.

Today I received:
9V1GO via LoTW for that elusive 20 meter SSB qso.

Thank you Bob. That was a hard confirmation to get.
I'll put the card into the file box when it arrives, but crossing out the open band/mode slot was nice.

That's an even 40 qsls confirmed via LoTW that I'd spent a lot of money trying to confirm with no results.

Answers: $1, Short: $5, Correct: $25, dumb looks are still free.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1603. GoList new features you may be interested in

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-15 14:50:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1603 Message: 1603

Just thought you may want to know that GoList Subscribers have two new features available.
Both are downloaded files.
The first is a listing of 'known' DXpeditions that ARE acceptable for DXCC submission.
(I hate submitting a card and having it bounce for non submission of documentation)

The other is a listing of DX or Dxpeditions that have uploaded logs to LoTW.
If you don't need the card and only need the credit, joining LoTW is cost effective.
(remember, there is no way for you to troll LoTW)

GoList is updating this info regularly.

I'd love to go out with you, but the last time I went out, I never came back.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1604. question about qsl numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-17 14:25:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1604 Message: 1604

Seems like the Greek stations upload their entire log to LoTW once a week.
As I've received the same qsl's a number of times.

I'm glad they are taking part, and the repeat qsls are NOT a problem but I'm curious as to how that effects the qsl count for my callsign?

I'm pretty sure that when I check the "Your Account" listing for an upload, it has the message listing if any were duplicates.

Are duplicates ignored in the "You have 13,711 QSL records" line in the heading of the LoTW page????

Did Noah keep his bees in archives?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1605. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question about qsl numbers

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-17 14:38:30 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1604 Message: 1605

Hello FireBrick,

Friday, September 17, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

F> Seems like the Greek stations upload their entire log to LoTW once a week.
F> As I've received the same qsl's a number of times.

Not only Greeks do that, many others do that pretty often as well.

F> Are duplicates ignored in the "You have 13,711 QSL records" line in the heading of the
F> LoTW page????

Yes. Just note same QSLs do not increase number of QSLs received.

--
73...Art RX9TX 17-Sep-04 14:36 UTC

"There are no accidents. God's just trying to remain anonymous." [Brett Butler's unnamed friend]

1606. YV0D

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-23 22:19:12 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1606 Message: 1606

WOW that is quick. YV0D qso's on LoTW now...

Great

Rod WC7N

1607. Two questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-24 03:24:37 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1607 Message: 1607

1. I "applied" 6 LotW QSLs on August 5th; today, my Logbook DXCC Account page on the LotW site still shows these as "sent", but not "accepted". What is the expected turn-around time for award credit applications from LotW?

2. Today's LotW download included a pair of 6O1Z QSLs from May 1999. Does this mean the 601Z operation has now been accepted by the DXCC desk?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1608. LoTW Site Down??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-24 15:25:30 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 1608 Message: 1608

Since I have heard of the YV0D is now on the LoTW i have been trying to get
to the web site.
I get the error that the page cant be displayed.
I can get to the ARRL main page OK.
Is it me or is LoTW down ?

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

1609. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Site Down??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-24 15:33:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1608 Message: 1609

No, it's working for me.
You mean your trying to get to the LoTW web site where you can check which qsl's you have received?
If you have signed on and have a password, it should be working....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dan" <w0vd@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2004 10:25 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Site Down??

> Since I have heard of the YV0D is now on the LoTW i have been trying to get
> to the web site.
> I get the error that the page cant be displayed.
> I can get to the ARRL main page OK.
> Is it me or is LoTW down ?
>
> Thanks
> Dan W0VD
> grid: EM27ud
> w0vd@...
> www.clevengercreations.com
> www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1610. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Site Down??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-24 17:02:00 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 1608 Message: 1610

I found my problem with ARRL LoTW
It was something to do with my IE Security settings.
Now I can get to the LoTW page.
Thanks for the replys back to my problem.

Thanks
Dan W0VD
grid: EM27ud
w0vd@...
www.clevengercreations.com
www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2004 10:33 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Site Down??

> No, it's working for me.
> You mean your trying to get to the LoTW web site where you can check which
qsl's you have
> received?
> If you have signed on and have a password, it should be working....
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dan" <w0vd@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, September 24, 2004 10:25 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Site Down??
>
>
> > Since I have heard of the YV0D is now on the LoTW i have been trying to
get
> > to the web site.
> > I get the error that the page cant be displayed.
> > I can get to the ARRL main page OK.
> > Is it me or is LoTW down ?
> >
> > Thanks
> > Dan W0VD
> > grid: EM27ud
> > w0vd@...
> > www.clevengercreations.com
> > www.clevengercreations.com/w0vd.htm
> >

> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1611. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Two questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-24 18:03:18 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1607 Message: 1611
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>I have a question i have 3 machines running your program 1 is a ME and two
>are XP PRO.. the me machine works great with LOTW but i can not get the
>other two to connect keep getting check log in name or password. have cut
>off the fire walls or at least i think i have hihi.. here is a errow log
>what do you think

73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1612. Re: Two questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-25 01:10:16 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1607 Message: 1612

Hi Tony.

The errorlog you appended is from your ME machine, and shows no errors.

Please check the "Log Debugging Info" box on one of your XP machines, repeat the attempt to sync with LotW, and then send the generated errorlog.txt file to aa6yq@... . Lets take the debugging process off this reflector; if we discover a defect in DXKeeper's LotW synchronization or anything specific to LotW, we can report it here when we're done.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Anthony W. DePrato" <wa4jqs@m...> wrote:
>
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >I have a question i have 3 machines running your program 1 is a ME and two
> >are XP PRO.. the me machine works great with LOTW but i can not get the
> >other two to connect keep getting check log in name or password. have cut
> >off the fire walls or at least i think i have hihi.. here is a errow log
> >what do you think
>
> 73 Tony
>
>
> QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS
>
> ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
> Since 1962
> CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
> A1-OP FISTS # 10573
> South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
> CALLS HELD:
> WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
> ZD8JQS, V31SS,
> VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI
>
>

> 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > DXKeeper version 3.0.8
> 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > App.Path : C:\PROGRAM FILES\DXKEEPER
> 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > App.exe : DXKEEPER
> 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > Operating System: Windows Millennium build
73010104
> 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > Country : United States

1613. Re: Two questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-29 02:39:24 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1607 Message: 1613

For those interested, the instances of DXKeeper on Tony's XP machines were configured for manual operator downloading -- a capability provided for situations where the logging PC has no internet connection. When automatic downloading was selected, automatic LotW synchronization functioned correctly.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> Hi Tony.
>
> The errorlog you appended is from your ME machine, and shows no errors.
>
> Please check the "Log Debugging Info" box on one of your XP machines,
> repeat the attempt to sync with LotW, and then send the generated
> errorlog.txt file to aa6yq@a... . Lets take the debugging
> process off this reflector; if we discover a defect in DXKeeper's LotW
> synchronization or anything specific to LotW, we can report it here
> when we're done.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Anthony W. DePrato" <wa4jqs@m...>
> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > > >I have a question i have 3 machines running your program 1 is a ME
> and two
> > > >are XP PRO.. the me machine works great with LOTW but i can not get
> the
> > > >other two to connect keep getting check log in name or password.
> have cut
> > > >off the fire walls or at least i think i have hihi.. here is a

> errow log
> > >what do you think
> >
> > 73 Tony
> >
> >
> > QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS
> >
> > ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
> > Since 1962
> > CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
> > A1-OP FISTS # 10573
> > South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
> > CALLS HELD:
> > WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
> > ZD8JQS, V31SS,
> > VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI
> >
> >
> > 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > DXKeeper version 3.0.8
> > 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > App.Path : C:\PROGRAM FILES\DXKEEPER
> > 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > App.exe : DXKEEPER
> > 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > Operating System: Windows Millennium build
> 73010104
> > 15-Sep-2004 19:39:38 > Country : United States

1614. advertise LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-09-30 20:40:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1614 Message: 1614

I've said that I created 'Join LoTW etc. buffers for rtty and cw'.

I just got some qsl's in the mail. included was one of those little sheets of paper. Join ..... DX assoc.

Why not include one of these with our qsl requests inviting the people to take part in LoTW.

Might not help you get the LoTW credit, but maybe the next band/mode you work him on you won't have to spend the money.

Maybe the ARRL can have some of these little slips printed up for us to include with our sase's.

There is no security on this earth; there is only opportunity. --Douglas MacArthur
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1615. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] advertise LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-01 01:08:39 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1614 Message: 1615

Hello FireBrick,

Thursday, September 30, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

F> I've said that I created 'Join LoTW etc. buffers for rtty and cw'.
F> I just got some qsl's in the mail. included was one of those little sheets of paper.
F> Join ..... DX assoc.
F> Why not include one of these with our qsl requests inviting the people to take part in
F> LoTW.

I have "Please upload your logs to the LoTW" on my QSL. Hope the
others do the same.

--
73...Art RX9TX 01-Oct-04 01:07 UTC

"Life is a mystery to be lived, not a problem to be solved." (Van Kaam)

1616. ERROR MESSAGE

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-01 19:44:48 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1616 Message: 1616

I have been uploading for some time without any problems until today. I got a error message "Certificate QSO Date Out Of Range Line 15". Every thing looks good to me, any help?

Thanks,
Dennis KI8U

1617. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-01 19:59:38 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1616 Message: 1617

Suggestion.

Go to your adif files and/or log to see if you have logged a
contact wrong ie a day(s) ahead of the date that you submitted
the adif file to Lotw.

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: 10/01/04 15:45:00
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

I have been uploading for some time without any problems until today. I got
a error message "Certificate QSO Date Out Of Range Line 15". Every thing
looks good to me, any help?

Thanks,
Dennis KI8U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1618. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-01 20:03:07 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1616 Message: 1618

The qso date of that particular qso is before or after the date range for that callsign.
The certificate is for a specific date range and this qso is non conforming.

Use Notepad to check the file....Ctr G and enter the line number.
I bet you will see something wrong with the date format.

----- Original Message -----
From: "roofus" <roofus@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 2:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

>I have been uploading for some time without any problems until today. I got
> a error message "Certificate QSO Date Out Of Range Line 15". Every thing
> looks good to me, any help?
>
> Thanks,
> Dennis KI8U
>

1619. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-01 20:33:47 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1616 Message: 1619

DUH.....I found the problem. For some reason the end date for my callsign certificate was 09/20/2004. I have renewed with leaving the end day blank.

Thanks for the replies,
Dennis KI8U
----- Original Message -----
From: roofus
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 3:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] ERROR MESSAGE

I have been uploading for some time without any problems until today. I got a error message "Certificate QSO Date Out Of Range Line 15". Every thing looks good to me, any help?

Thanks,
Dennis KI8U

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1620. YV0D

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-03 07:50:02 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1606 Message: 1620

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

I'm about to send my paper cards along with the LoTW submission for
DXCC Honor Roll and I wonder if recent YV0D is good for DXCC, i.e.
if the papers checked and dxped is credited?

--
73...Art RX9TX 03-Oct-04 07:47 UTC

"Trust in Allah, but tie your camel." [Old Muslim Proverb]

1621. quick qsls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-03 14:13:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1621 Message: 1621

I worked LX1RQ, Robert at 12:34Z on 17 rtty for a new band/mode
I received the LoTW qsl from Rapid Robert at 12:58:56Z.

I wonder what took him so long? :-))

Thanks Robert....

Tennessee State Motto: The Educashun State

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1622. [:dx-news:]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-04 17:19:54 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1622 Message: 1622

Hello dx-news,

I'm preparing a bunch of cards for ARRL checking, 326 entities total,
and have a question: does paper DXCC Honor Roll award exist, or
should I purchase a plaque?

--
73...Art RX9TX 04-Oct-04 17:16 UTC

"Those who wish to sing always find a song." [Swedish proverb]

1623. fast QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-05 16:24:18 UTC
From: Ben Deschacht
Topic: 1623 Message: 1623

Hi,

It took 9V1GO only 17 minutes to upload his QSL's to LoTW. Now, that is what I call fast.

73's de ON7BS

1624. WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-06 11:55:02 UTC
From: A.Robert Pantazes
Topic: 1624 Message: 1624

Does anybody know when, Worked all States (WAS) module will be added
to LoTW?
A. Robert Panazes, W2ARP

1625. Re: WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 11:18:43 UTC
From: Frank A. Lynch
Topic: 1624 Message: 1625

While we're asking, how about VUCC? I would have thought getting DXCC up and running would have laid a lot of the foundation for what's needed for WAS and VUCC.

Frank, W4FAL

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "A.Robert Pantazes" <n2wrd@h...> wrote:
>
> Does anybody know when, Worked all States (WAS) module will be added
> to LoTW?
> A. Robert Panazes, W2ARP

1626. WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:21:18 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1624 Message: 1626

At 11:18 AM 10/7/2004 +0000, you wrote:

>While we're asking, how about VUCC? I would have thought getting
>DXCC up and running would have laid a lot of the foundation for
>what's needed for WAS and VUCC.

Good Question But i have another I wonder if they will be a
downloadable adif file with your dxcc info.. I have 45 yrs of qso info on
my dxcc and would like to put it all into a logging program WITHOUT having
to enter it a qso at a time hi hi..
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1627. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:32:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1624 Message: 1627

Anthony
I'm a bit confused.
If you have your log in .adi format.
You can use the LoTW software to upload it.

LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files. Cabrillo and .ADIF.

Good luck...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Anthony W. DePrato" <wa4jqs@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 12:21 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

> At 11:18 AM 10/7/2004 +0000, you wrote:
>
>
>>While we're asking, how about VUCC? I would have thought getting
>>DXCC up and running would have laid a lot of the foundation for
>>what's needed for WAS and VUCC.
>
> Good Question But i have another I wonder if they will be a
> downloadable adif file with your dxcc info.. I have 45 yrs of qso info on
> my dxcc and would like to put it all into a logging program WITHOUT having
> to enter it a qso at a time hi hi..
> 73 Tony
>
>
> QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS
>
> ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
> Since 1962
> CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
> A1-OP FISTS # 10573
> South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
> CALLS HELD:
> WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
> ZD8JQS, V31SS,
> VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI
>
>

1628. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:41:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1624 Message: 1628

Oh and Anthony
Remember, each callsign you have must be it's own log with it's own certificate
indicating the first/last qso dates
But...
All are uploaded using the certificate of your main callsign.

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 12:32 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

> Anthony
> I'm a bit confused.
> If you have your log in .adi format.
> You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
>
> LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files. Cabrillo and
> .ADIF.
>
> Good luck...
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Anthony W. DePrato" <wa4jqs@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 12:21 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW
>
>
>> At 11:18 AM 10/7/2004 +0000, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>>While we're asking, how about VUCC? I would have thought getting
>>>DXCC up and running would have laid a lot of the foundation for
>>>what's needed for WAS and VUCC.
>>
>> Good Question But i have another I wonder if they will be a
>> downloadable adif file with your dxcc info.. I have 45 yrs of qso info on
>> my dxcc and would like to put it all into a logging program WITHOUT having
>> to enter it a qso at a time hi hi..
>> 73 Tony
>>
>>
>> QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

>>
>> ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
>> Since 1962
>> CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
>> A1-OP FISTS # 10573
>> South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
>> CALLS HELD:
>> WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
>> ZD8JQS, V31SS,
>> VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1629. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:42:52 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1624 Message: 1629

Hello FireBrick,

Thursday, October 7, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

F> Anthony
F> I'm a bit confused.
F> If you have your log in .adi format.
F> You can use the LoTW software to upload it.

F> LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files. Cabrillo and
.ADIF.

No, Tony is talking about DOWNLOADING DXCC credits FROM the LoTW.
That's what I badly miss too. Otherwise you have to mark in your log
manually (or just put them in) what contacts/bands/modes were credited
by DXCC, if your log allows you that.

--
73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 17:36 UTC

"I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress." [Jane Siberry]

1630. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:47:34 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1624 Message: 1630

At 12:32 PM 10/7/2004 -0500, you wrote:

>Anthony
>I'm a bit confused.
>If you have your log in .adi format.
>You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
>
>LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files.
>Cabrillo and .ADIF.
>
>Good luck...
Guess i should have been a little more clear after reading my email i can understand why it was weird hi hi..\
I have all my qso's from 1990 on LOTW . but have had dxcc since 1978 when they only had phone ,cw or mixed. they did not keep band qso's till about 1992. I just sent in 300 qsl's that go back to 1962 last month. and the band qso's are now on LOTW . When i connected my dxcc record to LOTW it put an x in my dxcc matrix . but what i would like to do is be able to download all the dxcc records from my old account and what are now on LOTW in a adif file so that i could load them on a logging program. . without having to enter all the paper qsl's when i get them back.
hope this is a little clearer.
73 Tony

1631. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:55:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1624 Message: 1631

Ok, thanks Art, I misunderstood.

I can download/import the lotwimport.adi file and update a qso in that file as now confirmed.
But it still takes me to manually mark that qso/qsl as the one used for DXCC credit.

the lotwimport.adi is of little use in this regard because just because you received a qsl, does not necessarily mean the qsl is acceptable for DXCC credit.

I received a recent dxpeditions LoTW qsl's before the notice that the operation was accepted.
A trivial matter.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Art RX9TX" <rx9tx@...>
To: "FireBrick" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 12:42 PM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

> Hello FireBrick,
>
> Thursday, October 7, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> F> Anthony
> F> I'm a bit confused.
> F> If you have your log in .adi format.
> F> You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
>
> F> LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files. Cabrillo and
> .ADIF.
>
> No, Tony is talking about DOWNLOADING DXCC credits FROM the LoTW.
> That's what I badly miss too. Otherwise you have to mark in your log
> manually (or just put them in) what contacts/bands/modes were credited
> by DXCC, if your log allows you that.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 17:36 UTC
>
> "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress." [Jane Siberry]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>

>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1632. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:56:57 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1624 Message: 1632

Art,

You wrote:> That's what I badly miss too. Otherwise
you have
> to mark in your log
> manually (or just put them in) what
> contacts/bands/modes were credited
> by DXCC, if your log allows you that.

I use a log program called ACLog 2.6 put out by Scott
(N3FJP) It has an LOTW upload and download function
that automaticly marks your log as sent or received.
He just added color coding to the log lines that show
a seperate color for sent/received and sent and
received.

You can download a free trial at N3FJP.com.

"73"

Randy
AG8A

--- Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:

> Hello FireBrick,
>
> Thursday, October 7, 2004 FireBrick wrote to
> ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> F> Anthony
> F> I'm a bit confused.
> F> If you have your log in .adi format.
> F> You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
>
> F> LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload
> two types of files. Cabrillo and .ADIF.
>
> No, Tony is talking about DOWNLOADING DXCC
> credits FROM the LoTW.
> That's what I badly miss too. Otherwise you have
> to mark in your log
> manually (or just put them in) what
> contacts/bands/modes were credited
> by DXCC, if your log allows you that.
>
> --

> 73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 17:36 UTC
>
> "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good
> waitress." [Jane Siberry]
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1633. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:58:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1624 Message: 1633

>
>>Anthony
>>I'm a bit confused.
>>If you have your log in .adi format.
>>You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
>>
>>LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types of files.
>>Cabrillo and .ADIF.
>>
>>Good luck...
> Guess i should have been a little more clear after reading my email i can
> understand why it was weird hi hi..\
> I have all my qso's from 1990 on LOTW . but have had dxcc since 1978 when
> they only had phone ,cw or mixed. they did not keep band qso's till
> about 1992. I just sent in 300 qsl's that go back to 1962 last month. and
> the band qso's are now on LOTW . When i connected my dxcc record to
> LOTW it put an x in my dxcc matrix . but what i would like to do is be
> able to download all the dxcc records from my old account and what are now
> on LOTW in a adif file so that i could load them on a logging program. .
> without having to enter all the paper qsl's when i get them back.
> hope this is a little clearer.
> 73 Tony

Yes I understand now.
I'm sort of in the same boat...I have many going back to mid 60's that have been credited.
But the DXCC sheet you get back after a submission doesn't show what band whatever.

It will be a MONSTROUS task for me to resubmit these so they are credited by band/mode etc.
But as they are BEFORE the award start dates, and I'm not sure it will matter that much, I've been reluctant to start that task.

1634. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 17:59:56 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1624 Message: 1634

>
>--
> 73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 17:36 UTC
>
> "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress." [Jane
> Siberry]
>Art:

Dxkeeper has a feature that will download LOTW credits that you have
entered into its logging program. and update the load . but you have to
have the qso entered before hand.
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1635. Re[4]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 18:27:12 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1624 Message: 1635

Hello rlb,

Thursday, October 7, 2004 rlb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

r> I use a log program called ACLog 2.6 put out by Scott
r> (N3FJP) It has an LOTW upload and download function
r> that automaticly marks your log as sent or received.
r> He just added color coding to the log lines that show
r> a seperate color for sent/received and sent and
r> received.

Thanks Randy, free logger 32 can do the same. But it's not what I meant. I need a function to download from the LoTW the contacts which gave me DXCC credits, that's what we are talking about. Then I need a logging program feature allowing me import these contacts (if these contacts are not in the log yet) or mark these contacts on the log as credited for DXCC (if they are in the log already). Thus you will have a whole picture of what you have and what you might need.

--
73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 18:20 UTC

"I may not be totally perfect, but parts of me are excellent." [Ashleigh Brilliant]

1636. Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 18:48:37 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1636 Message: 1636

I talked to Wayne Miller today at the ARRL and he
provided me with the following.

The LOTW is not completely bug free yet and they still
have to have the programmer fix some things. He did
not mention what.

Two things are holding this up:
1. Time as the programmer is not LOTW specific
2. Manpower
He went on to say that he hopes that WAS will be the
next feature they add.

To date his latest tally shows:
1. 51,000,000 QSO's up loaded
2. 1,900,000 matches
3. Two (2) QSO's=1 match

These are rounded off figures.

"73"
Randy
AG8A

Do you Yahoo!?
Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
http://vote.yahoo.com

1637. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 18:55:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1636 Message: 1637

Who's Wayne Miller???? LOL
(I know who you meant....that's something my senile brain would do)

On 10/7/2004 1:48:37 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> I talked to Wayne Miller today at the ARRL and he
>
> provided me with the following.
>
>
>
> The LOTW is not completely bug free yet and they still
>
> have to have the programmer fix some things. He did
>
> not mention what.
>
>
>
> Two things are holding this up:
>
> 1. Time as the programmer is not LOTW specific
>
> 2. Manpower
>
> He went on to say that he hopes that WAS will be the
>
> next feature they add.
>
>
>
> To date his latest tally shows:
>
> 1. 51,000,000
> QSO's up loaded
> 2. 1,900,000 matches
> 3. Two (2) QSO's=1 match
>
>
>
>
> These are rounded off figures.
>
>
>
> "73"

>
> Randy
>
> AG8A
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
>
> Do you Yahoo!?
>
> Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
>
> http://vote.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> [Image "click"] [link: us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1299hennj/M=295196.4901138.
> 6071305.
> 3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1097261337/A=2128

1638. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 18:58:14 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1624 Message: 1638

yes it took me two weeks after i downloaded the matrix from lotw to go thru
all my qsl's and put them in band then mode and all the mulit mode or
bands at the end. i checked all the boxes as to cw rtty sat phone and then
sent them in cost $170 bucks as i had $95.00 worth of band contacts. so
now my old 1974 phone cert will have a few others to set with it. found i
had 99 on cw then found another 100+ qsl's that i had boxed up this week
hi.. had 20 some cw qso's so after the first of the year ill send them in
that will give me
dxcc on phone cw rtty 40,20,15 meters. need 35 more to finish up 80 and
10 mts.. got 8 of them this week on lotw when i checked for new qsl's
uploaded by dx. be nice when iota cq and vucc and a few others join up. i
have noticed that more dx stations are starting to upload their logs..
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1639. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 19:20:26 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1636 Message: 1639

Bill,

Talk about being senile.

It was supposed to be Wayne Mills.

Do you have a lower response from CW or Phone
contacts?

I seem to have more trouble getting matches from CW.

Randy
AG8A
--- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:

>
> Who's Wayne Miller???? LOL
> (I know who you meant....that's something my senile
> brain would do)
>
>
>
> On 10/7/2004 1:48:37 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com
> wrote:
> > I talked to Wayne Miller today at the ARRL and he
> >
> > provided me with the following.
> >
> >
> >
> > The LOTW is not completely bug free yet and they
> still
> >
> > have to have the programmer fix some things. He
> did
> >
> > not mention what.
> >
> >
> >
> > Two things are holding this up:
> >
> > 1. Time as the programmer is not LOTW specific
> >
> > 2. Manpower
> >

> > He went on to say that he hopes that WAS will be
> the
> >
> > next feature they add.
> >
> >
> >
> > To date his latest tally shows:
> >
> > 1. 51,000,000
> > QSO's up loaded
> > 2. 1,900,000 matches
> > 3. Two (2) QSO's=1 match
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > These are rounded off figures.
> >
> >
> >
> > "73"
> >
> > Randy
> >
> > AG8A
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Do you Yahoo!?
> >
> > Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
> >
> > http://vote.yahoo.com
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> > [Image "click"] [link:
> us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1299hennj/M=295196.4901138.
> > 6071305.

> >
>
3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1097261337/A=2128
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> $9.95 domain names from Yahoo!. Register anything.
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/J8kdrA/y20IAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>
---~->
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1640. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-07 19:36:08 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1636 Message: 1640

----- Original Message -----
From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 2:20 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the ARRL

> Bill,
>
> Talk about being senile.
>
> It was supposed to be Wayne Mills.
>
> Do you have a lower response from CW or Phone
> contacts?

Absolutely....but think about it.
First off, a MAJOR proportion of my LoTW qsl's are for RTTY/PSK contact.
But this is reasonable as these operators are most likely using computers to generate the RTTY/PSK.
Consequently they are most likely to also computer log.

My SSB and CW are about even.

As CW is still the mode of choice for low power and remote locations that may not have reliable electrical much less internet, than it's reasonable to suspect that they may have a lower response.

And if you wish to get into a Presidential style debate of who's more senile....
I claim seniority. :-)))

And I have my wife to back me up!

Bungee Jumper? Catch you on the rebound.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1641. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 03:15:26 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1624 Message: 1641

At present, LotW does not provide a means of downloading DXCC credits. As soon as such a mechanism is provided, DXKeeper -- the logging component of DXLab -- will support it. I'm sure many other logging applications will do the same.

DXKeeper does have the ability to automatically compute a minimal "submission" -- the smallest set of QSL cards and LotW confirmations for which DXCC desk verification is needed. When you receive notification that your submission has been accepted, all QSOs in the submission can be promoted from "confirmed" to "verified" with a single mouse click. This eliminates the manual processing at the conclusion of the DXCC submission process, but is of no help to ops like Tony who are trying to download DXCC credits for QSOs they did not upload to LotW. That problem will only be solved when verified DXCC QSLs can be downloaded in the same way that confirmed DXCC QSLs can be downloaded today.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Ok, thanks Art, I misunderstood.
>
> I can download/import the lotwimport.adi file and update a qso in that file as now
> confirmed.
> But it still takes me to manually mark that qso/qsl as the one used for DXCC credit.
>
> the lotwimport.adi is of little use in this regard because just because you received a
> qsl, does not necessarily mean the qsl is acceptable for DXCC credit.
>
> I received a recent dxpeditions LoTW qsl's before the notice that the operation was
> accepted.
> A trivial matter.
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Art RX9TX" <rx9tx@e...>
> To: "FireBrick" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>

> Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 12:42 PM
> Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW
>
>
> > Hello FireBrick,
> >
> > Thursday, October 7, 2004 FireBrick wrote to ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
> >
> > F> Anthony
> > F> I'm a bit confused.
> > F> If you have your log in .adi format.
> > F> You can use the LoTW software to upload it.
> >
> > F> LoTW software can presently accept/convert/upload two types
of files. Cabrillo and
> > .ADIF.
> >
> > No, Tony is talking about DOWNLOADING DXCC credits FROM the
LoTW.
> > That's what I badly miss too. Otherwise you have to mark in
your log
> > manually (or just put them in) what contacts/bands/modes were
credited
> > by DXCC, if your log allows you that.
> >
> > --
> > 73...Art RX9TX 07-Oct-04 17:36 UTC
> >
> > "I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good
waitress." [Jane Siberry]
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >

> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >

1642. Re: Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 03:22:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1636 Message: 1642

On August 5, I applied to have 6 LotW-confirmed QSLs credited to my DXCC account; they have not been accepted due to an acknowledged defect in LotW. I have been told that I'll know the defect has been repaired when my credits have been granted; as of 90 seconds ago, they remain pending.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
> I talked to Wayne Miller today at the ARRL and he
> provided me with the following.
>
> The LOTW is not completely bug free yet and they still
> have to have the programmer fix some things. He did
> not mention what.
>
> Two things are holding this up:
> 1. Time as the programmer is not LOTW specific
> 2. Manpower
> He went on to say that he hopes that WAS will be the
> next feature they add.
>
> To date his latest tally shows:
> 1. 51,000,000 QSO's up loaded
> 2. 1,900,000 matches
> 3. Two (2) QSO's=1 match
>
> These are rounded off figures.
>
> "73"
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
> http://vote.yahoo.com

1643. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 11:07:23 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1636 Message: 1643

Bill,

I hadn't thought about CW that way. Good Point.

By the way, you make a good point for being for
claiming to be so senile. Hi

Randy
AG8A

--- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 2:20 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest from talking to the
> ARRL
>
>
> > Bill,
> >
> > Talk about being senile.
> >
> > It was supposed to be Wayne Mills.
> >
> > Do you have a lower response from CW or Phone
> > contacts?
>
> Absolutely....but think about it.
> First off, a MAJOR proportion of my LoTW qsl's are
> for RTTY/PSK contact.
> But this is reasonable as these operators are most
> likely using computers to generate the
> RTTY/PSK.
> Consequently they are most likely to also computer
> log.
>
> My SSB and CW are about even.
>
> As CW is still the mode of choice for low power and
> remote locations that may not have
> reliable electrical much less internet, than it's
> reasonable to suspect that they may have
> a lower response.

>
>
>
> And if you wish to get into a Presidential style
> debate of who's more senile....
> I claim seniority. :-)))
>
> And I have my wife to back me up!
>
>
>
>

> Bungee Jumper? Catch you on the rebound.
>

>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1644. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 15:24:32 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1624 Message: 1644

Hello Dave,

Friday, October 8, 2004 Dave Bernstein wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

DB> At present, LotW does not provide a means of downloading DXCC
DB> credits. As soon as such a mechanism is provided, DXKeeper -- the
DB> logging component of DXLab -- will support it. I'm sure many other
DB> logging applications will do the same.

We hope so, Dave. I see the only problem with downloading DXCC credits
- records made from paper cards do not contain "time" value.

--
73...Art RX9TX 08-Oct-04 15:21 UTC

"What is a rebel ? A man who says no." [Albert Camus]

1645. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 15:41:25 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 1636 Message: 1645

Dave,

I submitted 86 QSL via LoTW, on Sept. 22, and I am still waiting for them to be accepted.
I wonder how long it takes for this process. I would think that it being done by computers, it would not take very long, but maybe I am wrong.I am about to contact LoTW to see if there is a problem on my part.

Vince, K6BIR

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 8:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Latest from talking to the ARRL

>
>
> On August 5, I applied to have 6 LotW-confirmed QSLs credited to my
> DXCC account; they have not been accepted due to an acknowledged
> defect in LotW. I have been told that I'll know the defect has been
> repaired when my credits have been granted; as of 90 seconds ago,
> they remain pending.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>

1646. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Latest from talking to the ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-08 16:32:30 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1636 Message: 1646

Vince:
I usually get a confirming e-mail from the computer within 30 seconds to a
couple of minutes. I believe something is definitely wrong.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 08:41 AM 10/8/2004 -0700, you wrote:
>Dave,
>
>I submitted 86 QSL via LoTW, on Sept. 22, and I am still waiting for them to
>be accepted.
>I wonder how long it takes for this process. I would think that it being
>done by computers, it would not take very long, but maybe I am wrong.I am
>about to contact LoTW to see if there is a problem on my part.
>
>Vince, K6BIR
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 8:22 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Latest from talking to the ARRL
>
>
> >
> >
> > On August 5, I applied to have 6 LotW-confirmed QSLs credited to my
> > DXCC account; they have not been accepted due to an acknowledged
> > defect in LotW. I have been told that I'll know the defect has been
> > repaired when my credits have been granted; as of 90 seconds ago,
> > they remain pending.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT

>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
<http://www.cox-internet.com/w5uc-page/>http://www.cox-internet.com/w5uc-page/

1647. Sort Broken?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-11 13:43:12 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 1647 Message: 1647

Hello All;

I'm new to the group. Love LotW. Very cool idea that, I hope, catches on a bit more than it has. (My current confirmed rate is only 6.32%)

Here's my question:

The last couple of days when I check my QSLs, the list comes back in random order, even thought it says it is sorted by confirmation date. This makes it kind of hard to find the new confirmation. Anybody else have this problem or am I doing something stupid again?

TIA and 73 de
Eric Fitzgerald
KG6MZS

1648. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sort Broken?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-11 14:14:00 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1647 Message: 1648

It is working fine here Eric. It sorts the QSO's by QSO date and the Confirmations by QSL date just like always. If you click on Details of the confirmations you see the QSL date that is was sorted on.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

wringmaster2002 wrote:

>
> Hello All;
>
> I'm new to the group. Love LotW. Very cool idea that, I hope,
> catches on a bit more than it has. (My current confirmed rate is only
> 6.32%)
>
> Here's my question:
>
> The last couple of days when I check my QSLs, the list comes back in
> random order, even thought it says it is sorted by confirmation date.
> This makes it kind of hard to find the new confirmation. Anybody
> else have this problem or am I doing something stupid again?

1649. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sort Broken?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-11 14:18:13 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1647 Message: 1649

..... forgot to mention that you can change how you sort the QSO's and QSL's. I am sure you know this but just in case -- go to the lower part of the screen once you call up the "Your QSOS" screen and you can choose how you want to sort them. Perhaps you checked something different in this box.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

wringmaster2002 wrote:

> Hello All;
>
> I'm new to the group. Love LotW. Very cool idea that, I hope,
> catches on a bit more than it has. (My current confirmed rate is only
> 6.32%)
>
> Here's my question:
>
> The last couple of days when I check my QSLs, the list comes back in
> random order, even thought it says it is sorted by confirmation date.
> This makes it kind of hard to find the new confirmation. Anybody
> else have this problem or am I doing something stupid again?
>
> TIA and 73 de
> Eric Fitzgerald
> KG6MZS
>
>
>

1650. Re: Sort Broken?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-14 02:28:40 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 1647 Message: 1650

Sometimes when I bring up the "last QSLs" they are not always in the order that they were. Any brand new QSL's will usually be at the top of the list but the next most recent might be slightly out of order. It seems like I saw a prior post, maybe on this list, that explained that this was possible but I can't remember why. Maybe if someone resubmits the same QSO it bumps the prior match. Don't know but I have seen it happen.

73, Trav, WX0Y

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, wringmaster2002 <no_reply@y...> wrote:
>
> Hello All;
>
> I'm new to the group. Love LotW. Very cool idea that, I hope,
> catches on a bit more than it has. (My current confirmed rate is only
> 6.32%)
>
> Here's my question:
>
> The last couple of days when I check my QSLs, the list comes back in
> random order, even thought it says it is sorted by confirmation date.
> This makes it kind of hard to find the new confirmation. Anybody
> else have this problem or am I doing something stupid again?
>
> TIA and 73 de
> Eric Fitzgerald
> KG6MZS

1651. just curious

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-15 14:41:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1651 Message: 1651

THIS IS NOT A COMPLAINT, just a question.

I submitted a hardcard and linked LoTW DXCC submission.
I paid via Visa, and the charge on my account indicates the date was Oct. 10.
(in the past with hard card submissions a Visa charge date coincided with the submission completion date)

The LoTW Awards module indicates that the LoTW part of the submission are now included in my Challenge listings.

But the Application status screen still shows it as not 'FINISHED' and '# QSL'S ACCEPTED' still shows ZERO for that submission.

There was some discussion about the data not being upgraded automatically.
Is this the anomaly that they were talking about?

I'm not worried about the submission as I do see that the 4 LoTW qsl's are now included in my total.
I'm just curious as to the time lag.
I don't quite understand the mechanics of the process although I'm aware that LoTW and DXCC are not totally integrated as yet.

as always....Please and thank you

Never interrupt an enemy while he's making a mistake-Napoleon Bonaparte
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1652. is it worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 15:50:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1652 Message: 1652

Using LoTW to look at all my credits, it mirrors the written report you receive with a submission return.
A lot a my credits are for BEFORE they recorded what band the qso was on.
Most (mainly now Deleted) countries just show an X in the Mixed category.

Would it be of any benefit other than just to fill the open boxes in the report, to send these in for data entry.

This would also apply to some countries that are NOT deleted but I worked them before the CW award was started or before the DXCC desk saved that info.

Just a anal data junky and those empty boxes annoy me when I have the info to fill them in with.

Please and thank you.

C program run, C program crash, C programmer cry.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1653. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 16:01:02 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1652 Message: 1653

Bill,
In order to "fill in all the boxes", you have to re-submit all those cards and pay the attending fees.

Jim

Using LoTW to look at all my credits, it mirrors the written report you receive with a submission return.
A lot a my credits are for BEFORE they recorded what band the qso was on.
Most (mainly now Deleted) countries just show an X in the Mixed category.

Would it be of any benefit other than just to fill the open boxes in the report, to send these in for data entry.

This would also apply to some countries that are NOT deleted but I worked them before the CW award was started or before the DXCC desk saved that info.

Just a anal data junky and those empty boxes annoy me when I have the info to fill them in with.

Please and thank you.

C program run, C program crash, C programmer cry.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1654. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 16:03:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1652 Message: 1654

I did say 'to send these in for data entry'.
I knew I'd have to resubmit, I forgot to mention that I was aware I'd have to pay for resubmission.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2004 11:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it worth the effort

> Bill,
> In order to "fill in all the boxes", you have to re-submit all those cards and pay the
> attending fees.
>
> Jim
>
>
> Using LoTW to look at all my credits, it mirrors the written report you receive with a
> submission return.
> A lot a my credits are for BEFORE they recorded what band the qso was on.
> Most (mainly now Deleted) countries just show an X in the Mixed category.
>
> Would it be of any benefit other than just to fill the open boxes in the report, to
> send
> these in for data entry.
>
> This would also apply to some countries that are NOT deleted but I worked them before
> the
> CW award was started or before the DXCC desk saved that info.
>
> Just a anal data junky and those empty boxes annoy me when I have the info to fill them
> in
> with.
>
> Please and thank you.
>
>
> --
> C program run, C program crash, C programmer cry.
> --
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>

1655. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 18:37:08 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1652 Message: 1655

At 10:50 AM 10/22/2004 -0500, you wrote:

>Using LoTW to look at all my credits, it mirrors the written report you
>receive with a
>submission return.

Bill:
I sent in my 45 yrs of qsl's when i found out they did not keep band and mode info before 1990. i still have 250 qsl's to send in as i found a bunch after the first 400 or so hi hi.. only need 38 now to have them all 80-10 phone cw rtty. but u should figure on about $200 bucks before you are thru.
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1656. HOW LONG AFTER????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 19:45:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1656 Message: 1656

I did a combo LoTW and Hardcard submission at the end of August.
I received the submission back today with my totals sheet etc.
The Visa charge for the submission was posted a few weeks back.

but the LoTW part of the combo still doesn't show "finished"

I have accumulated a quite a number of LoTW qsl's since then and would like to submit those as I bought a bunch of Credits when the Awards function went online.

So my question is....what is the time lag between that combo LoTW submission until it's marked as Finished so I can make another submission?

Daddy, why doesn't this magnet pick up this floppy disk?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1657. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 20:00:23 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 1656 Message: 1657

Bill,

My first LOTW submission took a couple months to finalize. The second only took a few days.

Bill KF8HR

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "Patton, David NN1N" <nn1n@...>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2004 3:45 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????

> I did a combo LoTW and Hardcard submission at the end of August.
> I received the submission back today with my totals sheet etc.
> The Visa charge for the submission was posted a few weeks back.
>
> but the LoTW part of the combo still doesn't show "finished"
>
> I have accumulated a quite a number of LoTW qsl's since then and would
> like to submit
> those as I bought a bunch of Credits when the Awards function went online.
>
>
> So my question is....what is the time lag between that combo LoTW
> submission until it's
> marked as Finished so I can make another submission?
>
>
> ---
> Daddy, why doesn't this magnet pick up this floppy disk?
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

1658. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 20:10:08 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1656 Message: 1658

Where either of these a 'Combination' submission, and how recently where they?
I'm not concerned about the time, just curious.
My first LoTW submission was NOT in conjunction with a Hardcard submission.
It didn't take long either but that was back when LoTW submissions first came online.

On 10/22/2004 3:00:23 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Bill,
>
>
>
> My first LOTW submission took a couple months to finalize. The second only
>
>
> took a few days.
>
>
>
> Bill KF8HR
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
>
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "Patton, David NN1N" <nn1n@...>
>
> Sent: Friday, October 22, 2004 3:45 PM
>
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
>
> > I did a combo LoTW and Hardcard submission at the end of August.
>
> > I received the submission back today with my totals sheet etc.
>

> > The Visa charge for the submission was posted a few weeks back.
>
> >
>
> > but the LoTW part of the combo still
> doesn't show "finished"
> >
> > I have accumulated a quite a number of LoTW qsl's
> since then and would
>
> > like to submit
>
> > those as I bought a bunch of Credits when the Awards function went
> online.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > So my question is....what is the time lag between that combo LoTW
>

1659. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 20:41:48 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1656 Message: 1659

I had the same problem and an e-mail to LoTW took care of the mater that same evening. It appears that there are some problems with the two programs talking with each other. Probably like some husbands and wives.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; Patton, David NN1N
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2004 12:45 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] HOW LONG AFTER????

I did a combo LoTW and Hardcard submission at the end of August.
I received the submission back today with my totals sheet etc.
The Visa charge for the submission was posted a few weeks back.

but the LoTW part of the combo still doesn't show "finished"

I have accumulated a quite a number of LoTW qsl's since then and would like to submit those as I bought a bunch of Credits when the Awards function went online.

So my question is....what is the time lag between that combo LoTW submission until it's marked as Finished so I can make another submission?

Daddy, why doesn't this magnet pick up this floppy disk?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1660. Q's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 23:07:14 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 1660 Message: 1660

Hi. I'm new to LOTW but have many of my logs uploaded
and everything seems to work.

Is there a way to export the confirmed Q's into some
sort of database form, or even a printout, so I can
log these Q's as confirmed in my logging program
(DXBase)? I want to see all details per confirmed QSO
in a file I can download or something designed to be
printed out. Right now, the only way I can see that
this can be done is to do a query for all QSO's and
print it off the screen as I go to each page. I must
be missing something.

Bob
W1RH/6

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
http://vote.yahoo.com

1661. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Q's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-22 23:16:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1660 Message: 1661

If you are using DXBase2005, you can directly import the LoTWimport.adi from LOTW and it will update your qsl stats etc.
Use the NonDXBase Import utility from the DXBAse Group and select LOTWIMPORT as the type of file importing.

As always, make a safety copy of your log prior to any data import.

I do it almost once a day, if I can do it.....ANYBODY CAN.

On 10/22/2004 6:07:14 PM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Hi.
> I'm new to LOTW but have many of my logs uploaded
> and everything seems to work.
>
> Is there a way to export the confirmed Q's
> into some
>
> sort of database form, or even a printout, so I can
>
> log these
> Q's as confirmed in my logging program
> (DXBase)? I want to see all details per confirmed QSO
> in a file I can download or something designed to be
> printed out. Right now, the only way I can see that
> this can be done is to do a query for all QSO's
> and
>
> print it off the screen as I go to each page. I must
>
> be missing something.
>
>
>
> Bob
>
> W1RH/6
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Do you Yahoo!?

>
> Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
>
> http://vote.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> [Image "click"] [link: us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129fj3c40/M=294855.5468653.
> 6549235.
> 3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1098572836/A=2376776/R=0/SIG=11ldm1jvc/*http://prom
otions.
> yahoo.com/ydomains2004/index.html]
>
>
>
> [Image]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------------

1662. list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-26 18:00:22 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1662 Message: 1662

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

I ahve recently submitted both paper cards and LoTW applications and
now List of received DXCC applications shows my application was
received. The question is: does this concern paper cards only, or
this concerns LoTW applications as well?

--
73...Art RX9TX 26-Oct-04 17:47 UTC

"All I want is a warm bed and a kind word and unlimited power" [Ashleigh Brilliant]

1663. RE: RX9TX list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-26 19:22:30 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 1662 Message: 1663

Hi Art and the group,

I too have just submitted a paper application, (last weekend at the RSGB HF Convention) and also did a LoTW application yesterday.
I paid for the paper application, and when I did the LoTW submission, it took my card details.

Just to clarify things, I emailed the DXCC desk, and was told by Bill Moore that they call this a HYBRID.

Art, does your paperwork mention HYBRID anywhere?
As far as I can tell, the paperwork you got back from the DXCC desk should be ALL of the cards, both paper and LoTW.

Mine has not yet been processed as Wayne Mills hasn't yet got back to work, but I would imagine you should be able to check on the LoTW website, and see which countries you now have confirmed.
If you check a few of the ones submitted via LoTW, you should be able to tell quite easily.
That is what I am hoping for too!

I am very impressed with LoTW, and it seems quite user-friendly for the most part, BUT..... I wish I could select RTTY ONLY in the list when you get to the stage of selecting contacts to confirm.
I'm not really interested in anything else, so do not need to see a complete list of matches.

If you can confirm the above Art, it would be useful to let us know.

Very best 73
Phil GU0SUP

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.779 / Virus Database: 526 - Release Date: 19/10/2004

1664. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: RX9TX list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-26 19:37:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1662 Message: 1664

Phil et al.

I just got my statement back from DXCC desk. It also was a HYBRID application, hardcards and LoTW.

No where on the DXCC Credit Slip, the sheet that shows the totals and how many were credited, does the word HYBRID appear.

Nor is there any indication on the sheet that it was a combination Hardcard/LoTW submission.

But the numbers were right.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2004 2:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: RX9TX list of received applications

> Hi Art and the group,
>
> I too have just submitted a paper application, (last weekend at the RSGB HF
> Convention) and also did a LoTW application yesterday.
> I paid for the paper application, and when I did the LoTW submission, it
> took my card details.
>
> Just to clarify things, I emailed the DXCC desk, and was told by Bill Moore
> that they call this a HYBRID.
>
> Art, does your paperwork mention HYBRID anywhere?
> As far as I can tell, the paperwork you got back from the DXCC desk should
> be ALL of the cards, both paper and LoTW.
>
> Mine has not yet been processed as Wayne Mills hasn't yet got back to work,
> but I would imagine you should be able to check on the LoTW website, and see
> which countries you now have confirmed.
> If you check a few of the ones submitted via LoTW, you should be able to
> tell quite easily.
> That is what I am hoping for too!
>
> I am very impressed with LoTW, and it seems quite user-friendly for the most
> part, BUT.......... I wish I could select RTTY ONLY in the list when you get
> to the stage of selecting contacts to confirm.
> I'm not really interested in anything else, so do not need to see a complete
> list of matches.
>

> If you can confirm the above Art, it would be useful to let us know.
>
> Very best 73
> Phil GU0SUP
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.779 / Virus Database: 526 - Release Date: 19/10/2004
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1665. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: RX9TX list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-26 22:32:48 UTC
From: m5aex (Andrew)
Topic: 1662 Message: 1665

Hi

I have recently completed a DXCC 20m submission, partly paper and partly LotW. The DXCC Award Credit Slip that arrived by post is clearly a hybrid as the numbers all add up correctly but no where is it marked HYBRID.

Additionally, the DXCCs I used through LotW have not yet been moved from 'Applied' to 'Awarded', even though the application was processed 3 weeks ago. So, I guess there is some delay in linking the paper and LotW systems together.

Andrew M5AEX

-----Original Message-----
From: Phil Cooper [mailto:pcooper@...]
Sent: 26 October 2004 20:23
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: RX9TX list of received applications

Hi Art and the group,

I too have just submitted a paper application, (last weekend at the RSGB HF Convention) and also did a LoTW application yesterday.
I paid for the paper application, and when I did the LoTW submission, it took my card details.

Just to clarify things, I emailed the DXCC desk, and was told by Bill Moore that they call this a HYBRID.

Art, does your paperwork mention HYBRID anywhere?
As far as I can tell, the paperwork you got back from the DXCC desk should be ALL of the cards, both paper and LoTW.

Mine has not yet been processed as Wayne Mills hasn't yet got back to work, but I would imagine you should be able to check on the LoTW website, and see which countries you now have confirmed.
If you check a few of the ones submitted via LoTW, you should be able to tell quite easily.
That is what I am hoping for too!

I am very impressed with LoTW, and it seems quite user-friendly for the most part, BUT.......... I wish I could select RTTY ONLY in the list when you get to the stage of selecting contacts to confirm.
I'm not really interested in anything else, so do not need to see a complete list of matches.

If you can confirm the above Art, it would be useful to let us know.

Very best 73
Phil GU0SUP

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.779 / Virus Database: 526 - Release Date: 19/10/2004

Yahoo! Groups Links

1666. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: RX9TX list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-26 23:12:07 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1662 Message: 1666

Hi Phil -- take a look at the section on the LoTW home page that is
titled "Applying for DXCC Credits with Logbook of the World" and then
scroll down to 5. The Application. It discusses exactly how to apply
just for RTTY, CW, etc.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Phil Cooper wrote:

> Hi Art and the group,
>
> I too have just submitted a paper application, (last weekend at the RSGB HF
> Convention) and also did a LoTW application yesterday.
> I paid for the paper application, and when I did the LoTW submission, it
> took my card details.
>
> Just to clarify things, I emailed the DXCC desk, and was told by Bill Moore
> that they call this a HYBRID.
>
> Art, does your paperwork mention HYBRID anywhere?
> As far as I can tell, the paperwork you got back from the DXCC desk should
> be ALL of the cards, both paper and LoTW.
>
> Mine has not yet been processed as Wayne Mills hasn't yet got back to work,
> but I would imagine you should be able to check on the LoTW website, and see
> which countries you now have confirmed.
> If you check a few of the ones submitted via LoTW, you should be able to
> tell quite easily.
> That is what I am hoping for too!
>
> I am very impressed with LoTW, and it seems quite user-friendly for the most
> part, BUT..... I wish I could select RTTY ONLY in the list when you get
> to the stage of selecting contacts to confirm.
> I'm not really interested in anything else, so do not need to see a complete
> list of matches.
>
> If you can confirm the above Art, it would be useful to let us know.
>
> Very best 73
> Phil GU0SUP
>
>

1667. Re: RX9TX list of received applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-28 01:19:41 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 1662 Message: 1667

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "C.M. Christensen"
<cchrisac0m@c...> wrote:

I may be the lucky one but had no problems when I submitted
a "hybrid" as it seems to be called now. I submitted the application
on the LOTW web site for both cards and LOTW the same day I put the
cards in the mail. I paid for both on the LOTW site. I saw the LOTW
credits go up almost immediately and the cards were added to the
record about two weeks later. The whole works was done in record
time.

The way I think it works is that the only thing common in the system
is that you can pay for both on the LOTW site and the DXCC award
credits are shown for both QSL methods. ARRL stated that LOTW is
entirely seperate from the awards programs administration. I can
understand a number of reasons why they would want it that way but it
is obvious that they are somewhat entwined. I believe they also said
that the LOTW was a different department than the card checkers. As
the paper that comes back is presumedly from the awards department it
would contain all credits and probably comes from the same database
that is presented in the LOTW web site. The awards people don't care
about the QSL method, just that they exist somewhere.

It sounds like there are three departments involved and maybe they
get out of sync occasionally.

73, Trav, WX0Y

1668. Test-Please ignore

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-28 18:51:21 UTC
From: telwest2000
Topic: 1668 Message: 1668

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

1669. I'm getting confuser and confuser

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-28 20:54:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1669 Message: 1669

My Hybrid submission was processed, and I was charged, on the 11th.

But by LoTW Awards stats still shows that application as 'sent'.

But here's the odd part, The Challenge totals on the LoTW Awards module reflect the addition of the LoTW qsl's.

And an even odder part, Yesterday, I had 11 more LoTW qsls in the Challenge/Selected box. This afternoon, I only have 10.

A computer gremlin stole one of my LoTW qsl's....

But after studying the page and my DXCC readout....I 'THINK' I know what happened.
I had a couple of hardcards rejected as dupes on 10 meters.
Even though I'd put postit notes indicating these were 10 mHz, not 10 meters, the data entry person must have missed it.
DXCC desk saw the mix-up and applied these to my 30 meter totals.
This removed one of the LoTW qsls from contention as it now became a dupe for one of the reinstated hardcards. Hence my LoTW number dropped by one.

I wish I could submit all the LoTW qsls I've accumulated since the last submission, but till it's 'cleared' off the system is tells me I can't.

Now that I think I understand this...I have a headache.
Which should I reach for? The 9.3% Polish imported beer....or the bottle of Bayer?????

Once a job is fouled up, anything done to improve it only makes it worse.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1670. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm getting confuser and confuser

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-28 21:24:56 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1669 Message: 1670

> Now that I think I understand this...I have a headache.
> Which should I reach for? The 9.3% Polish imported beer....or
> the bottle of Bayer?????

For a hybrid application you need both <G>!

1671. What a service!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-10-29 15:13:33 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1671 Message: 1671

Hello russiandx,

I have sent my paper cards to ARRL HQ on October 2, and submitted LoTW application on October 17, and today, October 29, "Application history" page shows its status "Finished". Both hardcards and LoTW confirmations were processed and put into the credits listing. Pretty fast, thanks to the LoTW team!

BTW "List of received applications" page still says "DXCC is currently mailing applications logged during the week of September 24, 2004", so I think they are really 3 weeks ahead of what the website states.

Your Logbook DXCC Account (RX9TX)

Account Status

Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
Mixed * 0 0 326 326 / 326
CW 0 0 314 314 / 314
Phone 0 0 156 156 / 156
RTTY 2 0 114 116 / 116
160M 0 0 42 42 / 42
80M 0 0 196 196 / 196
40M 0 0 230 230 / 230
30M 1 0 87 88 / 88
20M 2 0 253 255 / 255
17M 0 0 101 101 / 101
15M 1 0 212 213 / 213
12M 1 0 132 133 / 133
10M 1 0 211 212 / 212
Challenge * 6 0 1464 --- / 1470
5-Band * --- --- --- --- / ---
5-Band 17M * --- --- --- --- / ---
5-Band 12M * --- --- --- --- / ---
* = Award has been issued

--
73...Art RX9TX 29-Oct-04 14:50 UTC

"Sometimes even to live is an act of courage." (Seneca)

1672. Selected vs applied

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-01 22:15:32 UTC
From: ke7gi
Topic: 1672 Message: 1672

Maybe someone can help.

1.) I have purchased 500 payment credits.
2.) I show a number of "selected" contacts under awards.
3.) These selected contacts don't seem to be applied after months of waiting and checking various boxes.
4.) Do I need to apply for a specific award before they are applied?

Any help would be appreciated.

73, Dave KE7GI

1673. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Selected vs applied

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-01 22:37:36 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1672 Message: 1673

Dave,

You need to submit them as a to get Credit.

You need to go to the Application page to submit them for DXCC credit.

There will be an additional DXCC application charge likely.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: ke7gi
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Monday, November 01, 2004 3:15 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Selected vs applied

Maybe someone can help.

1.) I have purchased 500 payment credits.
2.) I show a number of "selected" contacts under awards.
3.) These selected contacts don't seem to be applied after months of
waiting and checking various boxes.
4.) Do I need to apply for a specific award before they are applied?

Any help would be appreciated.

73, Dave KE7GI

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

Get unlimited calls to

U.S./Canada

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1674. DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 01:16:49 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1674 Message: 1674

One of the impediments for DXpeditions to put their logs onto the LoTW is the potential (and likely) loss of contributions that are included by many with their paper QSL requests. In many cases, these contributions are key to mounting increasingly expensive operations in far away places.

One would argue that the stations worked would simply mail some funds to these DXpeditions to offset the lost income included with QSL requests. While this might be the intention of many of us, the reality is that many that would have included a few extra dollars with a card simply won't get around to sending a separate contribution. Also the increasing cost of postage discourages one from spending upwards of $2 to send $2 (or a bit more).

A thought I had was for DXpeditions to establish PayPal (or the like) accounts for contribution. This would reduce the postage overhead and make it easy for people to send contribution when the DXpedition uses the LoTW.

Just a thought.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

1675. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 14:56:18 UTC
From: bendeschacht@pandora.be
Topic: 1674 Message: 1675

It's my policy that if I have to send some amount of money to an OM to receive his or her card, then I simply don't send a card. When I would go on an expedition/holiday, which most likely will happen within two years, I would use the LoTW, and send regular QSL cards to any one who needs it. Because I would do this all for my own satisfaction, and not to make any profit out of it. If you don't want to pay for your dxpedition, you simply shouldn't go on dxpedition. By the way, loads of QSL printing companies do sponsor DXpeditions. An other cheap alternative is to qsl only through the buro and don't QSL direct anymore. But yes, some people don't have any patience anymore. I don't care if it takes a card 2 years to travel to my club, as long as I coming. It might be a thought to consider to pay for membership to your national club instead of sending direct QSLs with a few dollars. I don't say that people aren't allowed to pay for the QSL card. If they feel sympathy with the operators and do want to support them, hey, let them pay, but it shouldn't be mandatory for a card. I think that is what we are proud to call Ham spirit.

Since my native language is not english, some sentences may sound hard and pritty straigth forward. It is not my purpose to offend anyone, nor to say I'm the only one who is right, I just want to spread my idea among other users of this group

73' de ON7BS
Ben Deschacht
>
>One of the impediments for DXpeditions to put their logs onto the LoTW is the potential (and likely) loss of contributions that are included by many with their paper QSL requests. In many cases, these contributions are key to mounting increasingly expensive operations in far away places.
>
>One would argue that the stations worked would simply mail some funds to these DXpeditions to offset the lost income included with QSL requests. While this might be the intention of many of us, the reality is that many that would have included a few extra dollars with a card simply won't get around to sending a separate contribution. Also the increasing cost of postage discourages one from spending upwards of $2 to send $2 (or a bit more).
>
>A thought I had was for DXpeditions to establish PayPal (or the like) accounts for contribution. This would reduce the postage overhead and make it easy for people to send contribution when the DXpedition uses the LoTW.
>
>Just a thought.
>
>
>Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
>DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
>(403) 251-0384
>ve6lb@...
>http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>

>

1676. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 15:58:55 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1674 Message: 1676

Ben... with all respect, I disagree with you. Without financial support, many DXpeditions simply
would not happen. The DX Societies and Clubs and Foundations help, but the contributions of thousands of DXers worldwide is essential also. It's true that the ops who go to these remote places
do it "for love", but nobody "makes a profit" on a DXpedition... it's just too costly. (Not to
mention, sometimes it's also dangerous...some of the guys put their lives on the line!!) And in
almost all cases, by far the biggest piece of the cost is borne by the ops themselves.

I have never seen a notice from a DXpedition that money from a DXer is "required" in order to get a
card. DXers send a buck or two (or five) as a VOLUNTARY gesture to help pay some of the costs, not
to "buy a card". OK, maybe it's a difference of how you look at it, but how many DXers truly cannot
afford to send a couple of bucks with a request for a QSL from an exotic island halfway around the
world? It's not required, merely desired.

Not only that, but there's hams that live in places like JT or A5 or 5X and many others that can
probably use some help in upgrading their rigs and antennas, so they can stay on the air and hand
out QSL's to the rest of us. If we can, we should help, to the best of our individual ability, as a
gesture of international friendship.

My two cents.....73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----

From: <bendeschacht@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 9:56 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

> It's my policy that if I have to send some amount of money to an OM to receive his or her card,
> then I simply don't send a card. When I would go on an expedition/holiday, which most likely will
> happen within two years, I would use the LoTW, and send regular QSL cards to any one who needs it.
> Because I would do this all for my own satisfaction, and not to make any profit out of it. If you

> don't want to pay for your dxpedition, you simply shouldn't go on dxpedition. By the way, loads of
> QSL printing companies do sponsor DXpeditions. An other cheap alternative is to qsl only through
> the buro and don't QSL direct anymore. But yes, some people don't have any patience anymore. I
> don't care if it takes a card 2 years to travel to my club, as long as I coming. It might be a
> thought to consider to pay for membership to your national club instead of sending direct QSLs
> with a few dollars. I don't say that people aren't allowed to pay for the QSL card. If they feel
> sympathy with the op! erators and do want to support them, hey, let them pay, but it shouldn't be
> mandatory for a card. I think that is what we are proud to call Ham spirit.
>
> Since my native language is not english, some sentences may sound hard and pritty straigth
> forward. It is not my purpose to offend anyone, nor to say I'm the only one who is right, I just
> want to spread my idea among other users of this group
>
> 73' de ON7BS
> Ben Deschacht
>>
>>One of the impediments for DXpeditions to put their logs onto the LoTW is the potential (and
>>likely) loss of contributions that are included by many with their paper QSL requests. In many
>>cases, these contributions are key to mounting increasingly expensive operations in far away
>>places.
>>
>>One would argue that the stations worked would simply mail some funds to these DXpeditions to
>>offset the lost income included with QSL requests. While this might be the intention of many of
>>us, the reality is that many that would have included a few extra dollars with a card simply won't
>>get around to sending a separate contribution. Also the increasing cost of postage discourages one
>>from spending upwards of $2 to send $2 (or a bit more).
>>
>>A thought I had was for DXpeditions to establish PayPal (or the like) accounts for contribution.
>>This would reduce the postage overhead and make it easy for people to send contribution when the
>>DXpedition uses the LoTW.
>>
>>Just a thought.

>>
>>
>>Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
>>DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
>>(403) 251-0384
>>ve6lb@...
>>http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1677. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 18:44:59 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1674 Message: 1677

>Please let me add a word I am a dxpeditioner and i can assure everyone
>that i NEVER made any money doing it. my out of pocket cost for
>VP8SSI and 3Y0PI was well over $10,000 USD.. as was each of the others
>they went with me. it was for the LOVE of the hobby and dxing !

God Bless
73 Tony

>

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1678. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 19:09:46 UTC
From: bendeschacht@pandora.be
Topic: 1674 Message: 1678

Jerry,

that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna. So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal, didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so, things have been changed. I do support the idea of helping DXpeditioners. but not now.

I hope you understand my point.

Kindest Regards,

Ben ON7BS

1679. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-04 21:20:05 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1674 Message: 1679

I do understand, Ben, I was there once, and now I'm happy if my extra green stamps help the DX to
send you your card without cost to you. However, there are a lot of people who actually can afford
to send a couple of bucks but don't... they need to be reminded occasionally that DXpeditions cost
big money, and the DXpeditioners don't make any profit from the donations.
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: <bendeschacht@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 2:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

> Jerry,
>
> that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW
> page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna.
> So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal,
> didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just
> trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it
> to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so, things have been changed. I do support the idea of
> helping DXpeditioners. but not now.
>
> I hope you understand my point.
>
> Kindest Regards,
>
> Ben ON7BS
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>

>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1680. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-05 00:31:18 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1674 Message: 1680

Ben,

My point was to start a discussion on how to encourage more widespread use of LoTW while providing ongoing financial support to major DXpeditions. One way may be PayPal. I'm sure there are others.

In no way is one paying for QSLs if sending a donation is voluntary. All an electronic method provide is making it easier for the contributor while not wasting contribution money on postage.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: bendeschacht@...
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 12:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Jerry,

that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna. So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal, didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so, things have been changed. I do support the idea of helping DXpeditioners. but not now.

I hope you understand my point.

Kindest Regards,

Ben ON7BS

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1681. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-05 00:53:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1674 Message: 1681

I've been following this thread. Even though I have gotten my YV0D LoTW qsls and already submitted them via LoTW, I still sent in a donation to the group. Mailed long before the logs were uploaded to LoTW.

One aspect of a PayPal system is....protection against postal pilfering.
I've been the victim of 'non delivered qsl/GS/IRC' etc.
A PayPal system would help this situation.

In 40 years of dxing, I've never experienced a 'pay for qsl' situation nor would I participate in one.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 6:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Ben,

My point was to start a discussion on how to encourage more widespread use of LoTW while providing ongoing financial support to major DXpeditions. One way may be PayPal. I'm sure there are others.

In no way is one paying for QSLs if sending a donation is voluntary. All an electronic method provide is making it easier for the contributor while not wasting contribution money on postage.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: bendeschacht@...
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 12:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Jerry,

that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna. So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal, didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so, things have been changed. I do support the idea of helping DXpeditioners. but not now.

I hope you understand my point.

Kindest Regards,

Ben ON7BS

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1682. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-05 01:05:33 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1674 Message: 1682

Didn't think of the postal theft situation in many countries. I've certainly experienced postal theft of my SAE + GS mailings and was only able to secure some difficult to get countries though email discussions and the kind co-operation of the DX stations/ Managers to send me cards at their expense or having them identify a secure route.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 5:53 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

I've been following this thread. Even though I have gotten my YV0D LoTW qsls and already submitted them via LoTW, I still sent in a donation to the group. Mailed long before the logs were uploaded to LoTW.

One aspect of a PayPal system is....protection against postal pilfering.
I've been the victim of 'non delivered qsl/GS/IRC' etc.
A PayPal system would help this situation.

In 40 years of dxing, I've never experienced a 'pay for qsl' situation nor would I participate in one.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry" <telwest@telusplanet.net>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 6:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Ben,

My point was to start a discussion on how to encourage more widespread use of LoTW while providing ongoing financial support to major DXpeditions. One way may be PayPal. I'm sure there are others.

In no way is one paying for QSLs if sending a donation is voluntary. All an electronic method provide is making it easier for the contributor while not wasting contribution money on postage.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: bendeschacht@...

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 12:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Jerry,

that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna. So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal, didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so, things have been changed. I do support the idea of helping DXpeditioners. but not now.

I hope you understand my point.

Kindest Regards,

Ben ON7BS

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1683. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-05 01:12:32 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1674 Message: 1683

Of course this will only help hams/dxpeditioners with net access or credit card accounts.

A while back a ham in the Far East set up a Visa account system where you sent him a donation via credit card and he used that to mail back a hard card qsl.
Postal theft was the impetus I believe.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 7:05 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Didn't think of the postal theft situation in many countries. I've certainly experienced postal theft of my SAE + GS mailings and was only able to secure some difficult to get countries though email discussions and the kind co-operation of the DX stations/ Managers to send me cards at their expense or having them identify a secure route.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 5:53 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

I've been following this thread. Even though I have gotten my YV0D LoTW qsls and already submitted them via LoTW, I still sent in a donation to the group. Mailed long before the logs were uploaded to LoTW.

One aspect of a PayPal system is....protection against postal pilfering.
I've been the victim of 'non delivered qsl/GS/IRC' etc.
A PayPal system would help this situation.

In 40 years of dxing, I've never experienced a 'pay for qsl' situation nor would I participate in one.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 6:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Ben,

My point was to start a discussion on how to encourage more widespread use of LoTW while providing ongoing financial support to major DXpeditions. One way may be PayPal. I'm sure
there are others.

In no way is one paying for QSLs if sending a donation is voluntary. All an electronic method provide is making it easier for the contributor while not wasting contribution money on postage.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: bendeschacht@...
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 12:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXpedition Support

Jerry,

that's not what I'm trying to explain. I'm just afraid that if we install a PayPal box at the LoTW page, paying can become mandatory before QSL-ing. I myself am working with a simple FD4 Antenna. So here at my home there is also room for improvement. I still young so I thinks this is normal, didn't we all start small. At this moment I have to spend my money in a "better" way. I'm just trying to explain my current situation before someone does install a PayPal box. I can't afford it to pay for QSL. Maybe within 30 years or so,
things have been changed. I do support the idea of helping DXpeditioners. but not now.

I hope you understand my point.

Kindest Regards,

Ben ON7BS

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1684. Is the LoTW Server Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-06 23:24:34 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1684 Message: 1684

I've been trying to log in all day with no results.
LoTW Server or something else ???

I can access other sites so I don't think it is my ISP.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

1685. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-06 23:31:07 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1684 Message: 1685

Bellieve you are right. The site also appears down to me.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 06, 2004 3:24 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?

I've been trying to log in all day with no results.
LoTW Server or something else ???

I can access other sites so I don't think it is my ISP.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1686. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-06 23:38:40 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1684 Message: 1686

TNX for the input.
If it's not me, I'll just wait.
TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

At 18:31 11/6/2004 , you wrote:
>Bellieve you are right. The site also appears down to me.
>
>Rod WC7N
>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: <mailto:k1vu@...>R Johnson
>>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>Sent: Saturday, November 06, 2004 3:24 PM
>>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?
>>
>I've been trying to log in all day with no results.
>LoTW Server or something else ???
>
>I can access other sites so I don't think it is my ISP.
>
>TNX es 73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129eqcmk3/M=281955.5530326.6602771.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1099870272/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12ibvhrmm/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1099783872367839> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129eqcmk3/M=281955.5530326.6602771.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1099870272/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12ibvhrmm/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1099783872367839>
>>Get unlimited calls to
>>
>>U.S./Canada
>>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo!

Terms of Service.

1687. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-07 00:13:45 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1684 Message: 1687

The server is up and running now!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

R Johnson wrote:
> TNX for the input.
> If it's not me, I'll just wait.
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 18:31 11/6/2004 , you wrote:
> >Bellieve you are right. The site also appears down to me.
> >
> >Rod WC7N
> >
> >>----- Original Message -----
> >>From: <mailto:k1vu@...>R Johnson
> >>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >>Sent: Saturday, November 06, 2004 3:24 PM
> >>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?
> >>
> >I've been trying to log in all day with no results.
> >LoTW Server or something else ???
> >
> >I can access other sites so I don't think it is my ISP.
> >
> >TNX es 73
> >Bob, K1VU

1688. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the LoTW Server Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-07 04:48:30 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1684 Message: 1688

Same here.

73,
Vladimir EU1SA

>
> I've been trying to log in all day with no results.
> LoTW Server or something else ???
>
> I can access other sites so I don't think it is my ISP.
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1689. PW0T LOGS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-11 00:39:23 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1689 Message: 1689

Just gathered in a bunch of PW0T logs on LoTW from the DXpedition to Trindade & Martin in February, 2002. There is, however, a slight problem because LoTW shows the confirmations as Fernando de Noronha. I have the paper cards in hand so I know that is not correct. Hmmmm!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1690. PW0T logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-11 15:26:43 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1690 Message: 1690

All is well with the world -- the logs have been reloaded into LoTW.
Thanks Steve!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

1691. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PW0T LOGS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-12 00:22:38 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1689 Message: 1691

My LoTW display show them as they should: Trinidade &.....

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
----- Original Message -----
From: C.M. Christensen
To: LoTW,yahoo
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2004 5:39 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] PW0T LOGS

Just gathered in a bunch of PW0T logs on LoTW from the DXpedition to
Trindade & Martin in February, 2002. There is, however, a slight problem
because LoTW shows the confirmations as Fernando de Noronha. I have the
paper cards in hand so I know that is not correct. Hmmmm!
--
73,
Chris, ACØM

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1692. How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-24 14:02:51 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1692 Message: 1692

I just received notice of renewal for my LOTW.
Progressed up to and including sending it to ARRL.
Now awaiting new certification.

Question?

It says to search for all .tq5/.tq6 files and remove.

Should I do this before getting the new file?

I only show one file and that is my .tq5 that I saved
to a folder and that has last years date.

Do you think this is the old one they are talking
about?

I do not show any .tq6 files.

Is that logical?

I do show .tq8 files.

What is that and should that one be left alone?

After sending the request in what do you find is the
next step in the procedure?

Thanks for your help in advance and happy holidays to
one and all.

Randy
AG8A

Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

1693. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-24 14:19:11 UTC
From: Gil Baron
Topic: 1692 Message: 1693

You want to do the delete before you start the process. It is not required but will keep you from sending the wrong file.

A tq8 is a signed file so you can delete that anytime after having sent it and if you have to recreate it by signing the file that created it. It is useless after your certificate expires.

I think I gave the correct information here but am not positive.

I think the whole process is WAY overboard in user complexity. I don't understand why a certificate should expire in a year, ludicrous in my opinion.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 8:03 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
>
>
> I just received notice of renewal for my LOTW.
> Progressed up to and including sending it to ARRL.
> Now awaiting new certification.
>
> Question?
>
> It says to search for all .tq5/.tq6 files and remove.
>
> Should I do this before getting the new file?
>
> I only show one file and that is my .tq5 that I saved to a
> folder and that has last years date.
>
> Do you think this is the old one they are talking about?
>
> I do not show any .tq6 files.
>
> Is that logical?
>
> I do show .tq8 files.
>
> What is that and should that one be left alone?

>
> After sending the request in what do you find is the next
> step in the procedure?
>
> Thanks for your help in advance and happy holidays to one and all.
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
> _____________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1694. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-24 18:43:58 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1692 Message: 1694

Gil,

Thanks for the info. Got it loaded ok. I'm with you
about it being overboard renewing it every year.
Seems like there would be a better way.

Thanks again,

Randy
AG8A
--- Gil Baron <gbaron@...> wrote:

> You want to do the delete before you start the
> process. It is not required
> but will keep you from sending the wrong file.
>
> A tq8 is a signed file so you can delete that
> anytime after having sent it
> and if you have to recreate it by signing the file
> that created it. It is
> useless after your certificate expires.
>
> I think I gave the correct information here but am
> not positive.
>
>
> I think the whole process is WAY overboard in user
> complexity. I don't
> understand why a certificate should expire in a
> year, ludicrous in my
> opinion.
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 8:03 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
> >
> >
> > I just received notice of renewal for my LOTW.
> > Progressed up to and including sending it to ARRL.
>

> > Now awaiting new certification.
> >
> > Question?
> >
> > It says to search for all .tq5/.tq6 files and
> remove.
> >
> > Should I do this before getting the new file?
> >
> > I only show one file and that is my .tq5 that I
> saved to a
> > folder and that has last years date.
> >
> > Do you think this is the old one they are talking
> about?
> >
> > I do not show any .tq6 files.
> >
> > Is that logical?
> >
> > I do show .tq8 files.
> >
> > What is that and should that one be left alone?
> >
> > After sending the request in what do you find is
> the next
> > step in the procedure?
> >
> > Thanks for your help in advance and happy holidays
> to one and all.
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do you Yahoo!?
> > Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail
> SpamGuard.
> > http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ~-------------------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion
> Toolbar.
> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> >
>

http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> >
>

> > ------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1695. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-24 22:50:50 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1695

Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW. It's just too much trouble. Why
all the "security" anyway?
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gil Baron" <gbaron@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 9:19 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

> You want to do the delete before you start the process. It is not required
> but will keep you from sending the wrong file.
>
> A tq8 is a signed file so you can delete that anytime after having sent it
> and if you have to recreate it by signing the file that created it. It is
> useless after your certificate expires.
>
> I think I gave the correct information here but am not positive.
>
>
> I think the whole process is WAY overboard in user complexity. I don't
> understand why a certificate should expire in a year, ludicrous in my
> opinion.
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
>> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 8:03 AM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
>>
>>
>> I just received notice of renewal for my LOTW.
>> Progressed up to and including sending it to ARRL.
>> Now awaiting new certification.
>>
>> Question?
>>
>> It says to search for all .tq5/.tq6 files and remove.
>>
>> Should I do this before getting the new file?

>>
>> I only show one file and that is my .tq5 that I saved to a
>> folder and that has last years date.
>>
>> Do you think this is the old one they are talking about?
>>
>> I do not show any .tq6 files.
>>
>> Is that logical?
>>
>> I do show .tq8 files.
>>
>> What is that and should that one be left alone?
>>
>> After sending the request in what do you find is the next
>> step in the procedure?
>>
>> Thanks for your help in advance and happy holidays to one and all.
>>
>> Randy
>> AG8A
>>
>>
>>
>> ---------------------------------
>> Do you Yahoo!?
>> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
>> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>>
>>
>> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ----------------------~-->
>> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
>> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
>> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>> ---
>> -------~->
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1696. Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 00:15:38 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1692 Message: 1696

> Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
> It's just too much trouble.

Now there's some of that great old time Amateur Radio spirit.

Whatever happened to the days when amateurs actually took something on
because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days are long gone. Too bad.

Happy Thanksgiving

Ron

1697. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 00:30:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1692 Message: 1697

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 6:15 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

>
>> Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
>> It's just too much trouble.
>
> Now there's some of that great old time Amateur Radio spirit.
>
> Whatever happened to the days when amateurs actually took something on
> because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days are long gone. Too bad.
>
> Happy Thanksgiving
>
> Ron
I didn't see that message and am kind of shocked.

I must admit that I made a few mistakes, but they were correctable when reread the instructions.

And if a Blind Wino like me can manage my calls and all the stations I manage....ANYBODY CAN DO IT.

Sorry... my mind has a few bad sectors.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1698. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 00:35:01 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1698

I've been waiting and watching for some improvement in the LOTW way of doing things. I've written
several e-mails about it. So far, no response. I have a cert, I've uploaded and downloaded and I
feel I've given LOTW a good try. I didn't just look it over and reject it, I gave it a shot. Based
on the results and how much time and effort it takes to operate LOTW, I've tentatively concluded
that it is too much for too little return. I bet I'm not the only one that is nearly ready to write
LOTW off, either. But you notice I say "tentative" and "nearly"... I could still be convinced... but
it would take ARRL making some very significant improvements in the way we have to interface with
the LOTW system. If it's all those layers of "security" that are making it so darned complicated,
I'm wondering... is all that really necessary? Why?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:15 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

>
>> Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
>> It's just too much trouble.
>
> Now there's some of that great old time Amateur Radio spirit.
>
> Whatever happened to the days when amateurs actually took something on
> because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days are long gone. Too bad.
>
> Happy Thanksgiving
>
> Ron
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
> Get unlimited calls to

>
> U.S./Canada
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1699. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 00:43:38 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1699

Bill... I think requesting the cards from the DX works a lot better than LOTW. It costs more money,
but less aggravation. It's not that it's impossible to make it work, just much more of a pain than
it needs to be. Maybe if I were more of a "blind wino" I wouldn't mind so much :-)
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 6:15 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to
>
>
>>
>>> Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
>>> It's just too much trouble.
>>
>> Now there's some of that great old time Amateur Radio spirit.
>>
>> Whatever happened to the days when amateurs actually took something on
>> because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days are long gone. Too bad.
>>
>> Happy Thanksgiving
>>
>> Ron
> I didn't see that message and am kind of shocked.
>
> I must admit that I made a few mistakes, but they were correctable when reread the
> instructions.
>
> And if a Blind Wino like me can manage my calls and all the stations I manage....ANYBODY
> CAN DO IT.
>
>
>
>
> ----------

> Sorry... my mind has a few bad sectors.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1700. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 01:54:04 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1692 Message: 1700

At 05:50 PM 11/24/2004, Jerry Keller wrote:

>Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
>It's just too much trouble.

Doesn't seem like much complexity to me. I think they've done a very good job in providing some real traceability for QSLs. (But I'm used to things like this because I have to go through the same applying for a new certificate once a year to be able to e-mail some of my customers. At least ARRL isn't charging $100 per year for each certificate like the company I'm forced to deal with does. It could be much worse.)

>Why all the "security" anyway?

See above. If you don't have accountability, you don't know if the QSLs are trustworthy. If nobody can be tracked, anybody can upload QSLs. Wanna buy a P5XX qsl from me? And if you don't think that sort of abuse will happen, you're naive. It HAS happened.

The history of attempts to cheat in the DXCC program proves that the security is necessary. Why do you think that field checkers can't check 160M QSOs? It's because of fraudulent QSL attempts. Unfortunately, some people WILL cheat if they think they can't get caught. With LoTW, it may be cumbersome, but I can't come up with a better scheme.

Now, I'll agree that the one year expiration period is quite short - for what we're doing, having certificates reissued once every five years wouldn't really compromise anything.
-Rick

1701. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 02:40:29 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1701

Nor would it really compromise much if the LOTW system worked nice and easy like, say, e-qsl... for
example. If a few bums managed to cheat, so what? They would know who they are, and how would that
diminish anything for those of us that do it fair and square? It's not a case of "nobody can be
tracked", it's that a few might not be able to be tracked. They are making the system like the eye
of a needle... in a misguided attempt to make it beyond question, they are making it beyond reasonable reach. But then, those that manage to make it work can always say "if I can do it, anyone
ought to be able to"... with the implication that anyone that has difficulty with it must be inept.
I don't buy that. And if you were having trouble with it, you wouldn't either.

So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 8:54 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

> At 05:50 PM 11/24/2004, Jerry Keller wrote:
>
>>Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on LOTW.
>>It's just too much trouble.
>
> Doesn't seem like much complexity to me. I think they've done a very
> good job in providing some real traceability for QSLs. (But I'm used to
> things like this because I have to go through the same applying for a
> new certificate once a year to be able to e-mail some of my customers.
> At least ARRL isn't charging $100 per year for each certificate like
> the company I'm forced to deal with does. It could be much worse.)
>
>>Why all the "security" anyway?
>
> See above. If you don't have accountability, you don't know if the QSLs
> are trustworthy. If nobody can be tracked, anybody can upload QSLs.
> Wanna buy a P5XX qsl from me? And if you don't think that sort of abuse
> will happen, you're naive. It HAS happened.
>
> The history of attempts to cheat in the DXCC program proves that the
> security is necessary. Why do you think that field checkers can't check

> 160M QSOs? It's because of fraudulent QSL attempts. Unfortunately, some
> people WILL cheat if they think they can't get caught. With LoTW, it
> may be cumbersome, but I can't come up with a better scheme.
>
> Now, I'll agree that the one year expiration period is quite short -
> for what we're doing, having certificates reissued once every five
> years wouldn't really compromise anything.
> -Rick
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1702. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 03:58:57 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1692 Message: 1702

At 09:40 PM 11/24/2004, Jerry Keller wrote:

>Nor would it really compromise much if the LOTW system worked nice and
>easy like, say, e-qsl... for example.

OK, why is LoTW harder than eQSL? In both cases, you've got to get a logfile in computer readable form, which is the hardest part (because you've got to enter the data into some logging program). For eQSL, you upload that log file directly. For LoTW you sign it, then you upload it. That's it - one extra step. Yes, the certification process isn't quick, but it's painless for US hams at least.

>If a few bums managed to cheat, so what?

Because it's cheating. What good is an award if anyone can game it? ARRL understands that the integrity of the program is paramount and I agree.

>They would know who they are, and how would that diminish anything for
>those of us that do it fair and square? It's not a case of "nobody can
>be tracked", it's that a few might not be able to be tracked. They are
>making the system like the eye of a needle... in a misguided attempt
>to make it beyond question, they are making it beyond reasonable reach.

Again, what's "beyond reasonable reach" for LoTW? What problem are you having? We'll be happy to help you make it easy if you explain what the problem is. The signature step isn't an excessive burden as far as I can see, but there may be an opportunity to improve the documentation if we can help the League to understand what steps are unclear.

>But then, those that manage to make it work can always say "if I can
>do it, anyone
>ought to be able to"... with the implication that anyone that has
>difficulty with it must be inept.

No, that's not the point. I understand that computer software is often difficult to understand, and that the developers of that software always think it's easy to use - but it takes concrete suggestions to make it better. "Beyond reasonable reach" isn't a suggestion, it's a dismissal. If you can use eQSL there is no reason you can't use TQSL - maybe with some help, but that help is available if you wish to accept it.

>I don't buy that. And if you were having trouble with it, you wouldn't
>either.
>
>So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?

Because eQSL is wide open to fraud, perhaps?
-Rick

1703. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 04:31:27 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1703

"Because eQSL is wide open to fraud, perhaps?"

That's not what I've heard. It's the same crosseyed reasoning that won't let field checkers approve
160M QSL's... the fear that a few cheaters will somehow diminish the value of the topband DXCC
achievement. The only value cheaters diminish is their own. BTW, from what I heard there were only a
very few 160M cards that were shown to have been changed, but topbanders are ham radio's elite, and
they have lots of clout at the ARRL.

"What good is an award if anyone can game it?"
First of all, making LOTW more user-friendly doesn't mean "anyone can game it." Maybe a few could,
but probably most couldn't. And of those that could, probably most would not. There are many who lie
about their college degree, but that doesn't diminish the accomplishment of those who actually
earned theirs. People lie about their military service, and all sorts of other personal accomplishments. There will always be those who cheat, or try to cheat. Let them, they only hurt
themselves. Whoever said "Winners don't cheat, and cheaters don't win." made the point nicely
enough.

But at this point, we've both had our say, and it's time to move on. Thanks for the memories ;-) and
the very best of the Holiday to you and yours.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 10:58 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

> At 09:40 PM 11/24/2004, Jerry Keller wrote:
>
>>Nor would it really compromise much if the LOTW system worked nice and
>>easy like, say, e-qsl... for example.
>
> OK, why is LoTW harder than eQSL? In both cases, you've got to get a
> logfile in computer readable form, which is the hardest part (because
> you've got to enter the data into some logging program). For eQSL, you

> upload that log file directly. For LoTW you sign it, then you upload
> it. That's it - one extra step. Yes, the certification process isn't
> quick, but it's painless for US hams at least.
>
>>If a few bums managed to cheat, so what?
>
> Because it's cheating. What good is an award if anyone can game it?
> ARRL understands that the integrity of the program is paramount and I
> agree.
>
>>They would know who they are, and how would that diminish anything for
>>those of us that do it fair and square? It's not a case of "nobody can
>>be tracked", it's that a few might not be able to be tracked. They are
>>making the system like the eye of a needle... in a misguided attempt
>>to make it beyond question, they are making it beyond reasonable reach.
>
> Again, what's "beyond reasonable reach" for LoTW? What problem are you
> having? We'll be happy to help you make it easy if you explain what the
> problem is. The signature step isn't an excessive burden as far as I
> can see, but there may be an opportunity to improve the documentation
> if we can help the League to understand what steps are unclear.
>
>>But then, those that manage to make it work can always say "if I can
>>do it, anyone
>>ought to be able to"... with the implication that anyone that has
>>difficulty with it must be inept.
>
> No, that's not the point. I understand that computer software is often
> difficult to understand, and that the developers of that software
> always think it's easy to use - but it takes concrete suggestions to
> make it better. "Beyond reasonable reach" isn't a suggestion, it's a
> dismissal. If you can use eQSL there is no reason you can't use TQSL -
> maybe with some help, but that help is available if you wish to accept it.
>
>>I don't buy that. And if you were having trouble with it, you wouldn't
>>either.
>>
>>So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?
>
> Because eQSL is wide open to fraud, perhaps?
> -Rick
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>

> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1704. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 06:03:18 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos
Topic: 1692 Message: 1704

The amount of security something like LOTW has is a business decision that follows a careful risk analysis. All security decisions are - or should be.

The strength of DXCC, and the reason for its immense popularity, is quite simply its reputation. Although it's well known that a few cheats have existed and been caught, and certainly some trivial cheating is easy (like a fake confirmation for G on 10M), cheating at a significant level (like going from 100 to 320 countries - err "entities") is probably tougher than working them, and the perpetrators would most likely get caught.

Although each individual may or may not care about DXCC's reputation for integrity, I'm going to take a wild guess (and a somewhat informed one) that the ARRL membership as a whole does, or at least those that care about DXCC. The ARRL does regular statistically valid polling of its members using companies that special in this kind of thing, and knows what its membership thinks as a whole.

I wish LOTW was easier to use. I wish computers were easier to use!!! Before getting back to security, I was fascinated by and worked with human-computer interfaces for a while, and decided they ALL suck. I wish the car I bought my wife today was easier to use - it seems to have about 100 microprocessors in it and requires reading the manual (even the keys have chips in them). The interface on my refrigerator is about right . . . .

Security is about tradeoffs. The biggest one being with useability. I think the ARRL has done a great job. I wish they could afford a full time support staff for LOTW, but I'll bet that's simply not economically feasible at this time. The support does seem decent, doesn't it?

And yes, I did have something to do with the development of LOTW. And I am also a Luddite and ardent fan of paper QSLs as well.

Have a great Thanksgiving everyone, and for those with my particular disease, have a fantastic CQWW!

73

Ted, KT1V, ex KR1G
Ted Demopoulos
Demopoulos Associates, www.demop.com
<http://www.demop.com/> Aligning Information Technology with Business Objectives.

Subscribe to our free E-newsletter "securITy"
Send an email to newsletter@... <mailto:newsletter@...> to
subscribe.

1705. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 13:13:58 UTC
From: AG2A
Topic: 1692 Message: 1705

a-fricken-men!!
At 05:50 PM 11/24/2004, you wrote:
>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

1706. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 13:17:09 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1692 Message: 1706

On Wed, Nov 24, 2004 at 09:40:29PM -0500, Jerry Keller wrote:
> So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?

So what do you want to change exactly?

I can't see what the fuss is myself.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1707. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 14:20:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1692 Message: 1707

Ted
I wish I had said it as well as you did.
Thanks

de W9OL

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ted Demopoulos" <kt1v@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2004 12:03 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

> The amount of security something like LOTW has is a business decision
> that follows a careful risk analysis. All security decisions are - or
> should be.
>
> The strength of DXCC, and the reason for its immense popularity, is
> quite simply its reputation. Although it's well known that a few cheats
> have existed and been caught, and certainly some trivial cheating is
> easy (like a fake confirmation for G on 10M), cheating at a significant
> level (like going from 100 to 320 countries - err "entities") is
> probably tougher than working them, and the perpetrators would most
> likely get caught.
>
> Although each individual may or may not care about DXCC's reputation for
> integrity, I'm going to take a wild guess (and a somewhat informed one)
> that the ARRL membership as a whole does, or at least those that care
> about DXCC. The ARRL does regular statistically valid polling of its
> members using companies that special in this kind of thing, and knows
> what its membership thinks as a whole.
>
> I wish LOTW was easier to use. I wish computers were easier to use!!!
> Before getting back to security, I was fascinated by and worked with
> human-computer interfaces for a while, and decided they ALL suck. I wish
> the car I bought my wife today was easier to use - it seems to have
> about 100 microprocessors in it and requires reading the manual (even
> the keys have chips in them). The interface on my refrigerator is about
> right . . . .
>
> Security is about tradeoffs. The biggest one being with useability. I
> think the ARRL has done a great job. I wish they could afford a full
> time support staff for LOTW, but I'll bet that's simply not economically
> feasible at this time. The support does seem decent, doesn't it?
>
> And yes, I did have something to do with the development of LOTW. And I
> am also a Luddite and ardent fan of paper QSLs as well.

>
> Have a great Thanksgiving everyone, and for those with my particular
> disease, have a fantastic CQWW!
>
> 73
>
> Ted, KT1V, ex KR1G
> Ted Demopoulos
> Demopoulos Associates, www.demop.com
> <http://www.demop.com/> Aligning Information Technology with Business
> Objectives.
>
> Subscribe to our free E-newsletter "securITy"
> Send an email to newsletter@... <mailto:newsletter@...> to
> subscribe.
>

1708. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 15:06:13 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1692 Message: 1708

Having written the original post I feel that thank goodness for this group that seems to take the time and effort to help each other out. I wrote the post prior to trying to renew based on previous experience and frustration from the original certf download and installation.
Thanks to the people that responded this was a pain free installation.

For us people that have basically self learned computers it can be a mind boogling task.

Kind of puts me in mind of a incident that happened to my wife's parents a couple of yrs ago when they were trying to set up their sat. TV system. After becoming totally frustrated they gave me a call to see it I could help, I did the next best thing!!!I sent my 9 year old grandson over to program it. He did it in less than 10 min.

Lesson learned?????Maybe before trying and installing LOTW have your children or grandchildren take a look at it?????

Happy Holidays to all of you on the list and thanks again for the help.

Randy
AG8A
--- Jerry Keller <k3bz@...> wrote:

> Because of that user complexity, I have just about
> given up on LOTW. It's just too much trouble. Why
> all the "security" anyway?
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Gil Baron" <gbaron@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 9:19 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
>
>
> > You want to do the delete before you start the
> process. It is not required
> > but will keep you from sending the wrong file.

> >
> > A tq8 is a signed file so you can delete that
> anytime after having sent it
> > and if you have to recreate it by signing the file
> that created it. It is
> > useless after your certificate expires.
> >
> > I think I gave the correct information here but am
> not positive.
> >
> >
> > I think the whole process is WAY overboard in user
> complexity. I don't
> > understand why a certificate should expire in a
> year, ludicrous in my
> > opinion.
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> >> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 8:03 AM
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
> >>
> >>
> >> I just received notice of renewal for my LOTW.
> >> Progressed up to and including sending it to
> ARRL.
> >> Now awaiting new certification.
> >>
> >> Question?
> >>
> >> It says to search for all .tq5/.tq6 files and
> remove.
> >>
> >> Should I do this before getting the new file?
> >>
> >> I only show one file and that is my .tq5 that I
> saved to a
> >> folder and that has last years date.
> >>
> >> Do you think this is the old one they are talking
> about?
> >>
> >> I do not show any .tq6 files.
> >>
> >> Is that logical?

> >>
> >> I do show .tq8 files.
> >>
> >> What is that and should that one be left alone?
> >>
> >> After sending the request in what do you find is
> the next
> >> step in the procedure?
> >>
> >> Thanks for your help in advance and happy
> holidays to one and all.
> >>
> >> Randy
> >> AG8A
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Do you Yahoo!?
> >> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail
> SpamGuard.
> >> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
> >>
> >>
> >> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >> ----------~-->
> >> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo!
> Companion Toolbar.
> >> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> >>
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> >>
>

> >> ------~->
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email
> to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
>
>
>

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
The all-new My Yahoo! - Get yours free!
http://my.yahoo.com

1709. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 15:36:49 UTC
From: jperalta@tampabay.rr.com
Topic: 1692 Message: 1709

Jerry do you use LOTW with a logging program or have you been using it through the web site interface? I think it's much easier to use with a good logging program such as LOGic7. What do others think about this.

Julio, W4HY

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller <k3bz@...>
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:35 pm
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

>
> I've been waiting and watching for some improvement in the LOTW
> way of doing things. I've written
> several e-mails about it. So far, no response. I have a cert, I've
> uploaded and downloaded and I
> feel I've given LOTW a good try. I didn't just look it over and
> reject it, I gave it a shot. Based
> on the results and how much time and effort it takes to operate
> LOTW, I've tentatively concluded
> that it is too much for too little return. I bet I'm not the only
> one that is nearly ready to write
> LOTW off, either. But you notice I say "tentative" and "nearly"...
> I could still be convinced... but
> it would take ARRL making some very significant improvements in
> the way we have to interface with
> the LOTW system. If it's all those layers of "security" that are
> making it so darned complicated,
> I'm wondering... is all that really necessary? Why?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:15 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to
>
>
>
> > >> Because of that user complexity, I have just about given up on
> LOTW.>> It's just too much trouble.
> > >
> > > Now there's some of that great old time Amateur Radio spirit.
> > >
> > > Whatever happened to the days when amateurs actually took

> something on
> > because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days are long gone.
> Too bad.
> >
> > Happy Thanksgiving
> >
> > Ron
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >
> > Get unlimited calls to
> >
> > U.S./Canada
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> ---------------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.>
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor --------------------
> ~-->
> $9.95 domain names from Yahoo!. Register anything.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/J8kdrA/y20IAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> -~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

1710. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 16:08:19 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1692 Message: 1710

My ONLY frustrations with LoTW is the VERY few that use it, my returns are dismal. What a shame!

Up and downloading of data is a cinch with a good logging program like, for example, LOGic 7, of Personal Database Applications.
A couple of clicks and you are done, I upload my log data every few days, takes about 30 seconds.

Renewing a certificate, once a year, is a lot easier than operating some of our new transceivers to their full design advantage, plus it saves on registered mail submission costs
and relying on the postal service to assure delivery of our precious, irreplaceable, cards.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2004 12:31 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

>
> "Because eQSL is wide open to fraud, perhaps?"
>
> That's not what I've heard. It's the same crosseyed reasoning that
> won't let field checkers approve
> 160M QSL's... the fear that a few cheaters will somehow diminish the
> value of the topband DXCC
> achievement. The only value cheaters diminish is their own. BTW, from
> what I heard there were only a
> very few 160M cards that were shown to have been changed, but
> topbanders are ham radio's elite, and
> they have lots of clout at the ARRL.
>
> "What good is an award if anyone can game it?"
> First of all, making LOTW more user-friendly doesn't mean "anyone can
> game it." Maybe a few could,
> but probably most couldn't. And of those that could, probably most
> would not. There are many who lie
> about their college degree, but that doesn't diminish the
> accomplishment of those who actually
> earned theirs. People lie about their military service, and all sorts
> of other personal
> accomplishments. There will always be those who cheat, or try to
> cheat. Let them, they only hurt

> themselves. Whoever said "Winners don't cheat, and cheaters don't
> win." made the point nicely
> enough.
>
> But at this point, we've both had our say, and it's time to move on.
> Thanks for the memories ;-) and
> the very best of the Holiday to you and yours.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 10:58 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to
>
>
>> At 09:40 PM 11/24/2004, Jerry Keller wrote:
>>
>>>Nor would it really compromise much if the LOTW system worked nice
>>>and
>>>easy like, say, e-qsl... for example.
>>
>> OK, why is LoTW harder than eQSL? In both cases, you've got to get a
>> logfile in computer readable form, which is the hardest part (because
>> you've got to enter the data into some logging program). For eQSL,
>> you
>> upload that log file directly. For LoTW you sign it, then you upload
>> it. That's it - one extra step. Yes, the certification process isn't
>> quick, but it's painless for US hams at least.
>>
>>>If a few bums managed to cheat, so what?
>>
>> Because it's cheating. What good is an award if anyone can game it?
>> ARRL understands that the integrity of the program is paramount and I
>> agree.
>>
>>>They would know who they are, and how would that diminish anything
>>>for
>>>those of us that do it fair and square? It's not a case of "nobody
>>>can
>>>be tracked", it's that a few might not be able to be tracked. They
>>>are
>>>making the system like the eye of a needle... in a misguided attempt
>>>to make it beyond question, they are making it beyond reasonable
>>>reach.
>>
>> Again, what's "beyond reasonable reach" for LoTW? What problem are
>> you

>> having? We'll be happy to help you make it easy if you explain what
>> the
>> problem is. The signature step isn't an excessive burden as far as I
>> can see, but there may be an opportunity to improve the documentation
>> if we can help the League to understand what steps are unclear.
>>
>>>But then, those that manage to make it work can always say "if I can
>>>do it, anyone
>>>ought to be able to"... with the implication that anyone that has
>>>difficulty with it must be inept.
>>
>> No, that's not the point. I understand that computer software is
>> often
>> difficult to understand, and that the developers of that software
>> always think it's easy to use - but it takes concrete suggestions to
>> make it better. "Beyond reasonable reach" isn't a suggestion, it's a
>> dismissal. If you can use eQSL there is no reason you can't use
>> TQSL -
>> maybe with some help, but that help is available if you wish to
>> accept it.
>>
>>>I don't buy that. And if you were having trouble with it, you
>>>wouldn't
>>>either.
>>>
>>>So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?
>>
>> Because eQSL is wide open to fraud, perhaps?
>> -Rick
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service.
>>

>>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1711. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 14:45:00 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1692 Message: 1711

At 08:17 AM 11/25/2004, Hamish Moffatt wrote:

>On Wed, Nov 24, 2004 at 09:40:29PM -0500, Jerry Keller wrote:
> > So tell me, why can't LOTW work more like e-qsl?
>
>So what do you want to change exactly?
>
>I can't see what the fuss is myself.

That was sort of what I was asking, but Jerry's right, it's time to
move on.
Happy Thanksgiving and 73 to all.
-Rick

1712. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 16:54:58 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1692 Message: 1712

Julio,

I agree with you on using a logging program to upload.
I use n3fjp's program, just a matter of hitting about
3 buttons one you have it setup.

Randy

--- jperalta@... wrote:

> Jerry do you use LOTW with a logging program or have
> you been using it through the web site interface? I
> think it's much easier to use with a good logging
> program such as LOGic7. What do others think about
> this.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jerry Keller <k3bz@...>
> Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:35 pm
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to
>
> >
> > I've been waiting and watching for some
> improvement in the LOTW
> > way of doing things. I've written
> > several e-mails about it. So far, no response. I
> have a cert, I've
> > uploaded and downloaded and I
> > feel I've given LOTW a good try. I didn't just
> look it over and
> > reject it, I gave it a shot. Based
> > on the results and how much time and effort it
> takes to operate
> > LOTW, I've tentatively concluded
> > that it is too much for too little return. I bet
> I'm not the only
> > one that is nearly ready to write
> > LOTW off, either. But you notice I say "tentative"
> and "nearly"...
> > I could still be convinced... but
> > it would take ARRL making some very significant
> improvements in
> > the way we have to interface with
> > the LOTW system. If it's all those layers of

> "security" that are
> > making it so darned complicated,
> > I'm wondering... is all that really necessary?
> Why?
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2004 7:15 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to
> >
> >
> > >
> > >> Because of that user complexity, I have just
> about given up on
> > LOTW.>> It's just too much trouble.
> > >
> > > Now there's some of that great old time Amateur
> Radio spirit.
> > >
> > > Whatever happened to the days when amateurs
> actually took
> > something on
> > > because it WAS a challenge? Guess those days
> are long gone.
> > Too bad.
> > >
> > > Happy Thanksgiving
> > >
> > > Ron
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > >
> > > Get unlimited calls to
> > >
> > > U.S./Canada
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

> > ---------------

> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.>
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> --------------------
> > ~-->
> > $9.95 domain names from Yahoo!. Register anything.
> >
>
http://us.click.yahoo.com/J8kdrA/y20IAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> >
>

> > -~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
The all-new My Yahoo! - Get yours free!
http://my.yahoo.com

1713. Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 18:07:52 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1692 Message: 1713

As a software developer, I am dissapointed with user comments of the form "it works for me, what are you complaining about?". The goal of user-friendly software is not "one user is happy with the application", its "no users are unhappy with the application". Criticizing a user who expresses frustration will only discourage other users from reporting the problems they encounter -- the information most needed to improve the application.

LotW's useability problems do not lie in the primary use cases -- uploading QSOs, and downloading QSLs. As has been pointed out, logging applications can automate these and let the user initiate them with simple gestures.

In my experience, the primary problems are:

1. TQSLCert performs no reasonability checking when establishing the user's QTH. Thus if OH2BH specifies his CQ zone as 18 and his ITU zone as 15 -- reversing the correct values -- all QSOs subsequently uploaded will bear incorrect zones. As a result, ops downloading matched QSLs with OH2BH will either pollute their logbooks with incorrect zone credits or, if their logging software detects the error, be required to manually correct the entries. About 15% of the QSLs I download from LotW bear incorrect information.

2. Renewing a certificate is too complicated for some users

3. Moving a certificate from one PC to another is too complicated for some users

4. Requiring users to differentiate between obscurely-tagged file types (.tq5, .tq6, etc.) causes errors of confusion

5. There remains at least one showstopper defect; it prevented me from submitting LotW credits for 3 months until LotW personnel manually worked around it from their end.

There is also important functionality that's missing:

1. Can't upload a submission from a logging application - a set of LotW QSLs to be credited to one's DXCC account

2. Can't download one's DXCC credit report in a form that a logging application can use to synchronize with its status reporting (the analog to synchronizing with LotW's confirmation report)

Having worked with LotW and its developers since before its release, I'm certain that the primary issue is development resources. The LotW team has worked long and hard to get LotW to this point; they

are evidently responsible for its operation, as well as its maintenance and continued expansion. Given their constraints, they have done amazingly well. However, cutting off feedback by criticizing those who report problems serves this team -- and LotW -- poorly.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1714. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-25 21:38:56 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1692 Message: 1714

On Thu, Nov 25, 2004 at 06:07:52PM -0000, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> As a software developer, I am dissapointed with user comments of the
> form "it works for me, what are you complaining about?". The goal of
> user-friendly software is not "one user is happy with the
> application", its "no users are unhappy with the application".
> Criticizing a user who expresses frustration will only discourage
> other users from reporting the problems they encounter -- the
> information most needed to improve the application.

I agree, when the criticism is constructive. Most of it hasn't been.

"It's too hard to use" and "why can't it be like eQSL.cc?" aren't enough
information to use to solve any real problems.

> In my experience, the primary problems are:
>
> 1. TQSLCert performs no reasonability checking when establishing the
> user's QTH. Thus if OH2BH specifies his CQ zone as 18 and his ITU
[..]
> 2. Renewing a certificate is too complicated for some users
[..]
> 3. Moving a certificate from one PC to another is too complicated
> for some users
[..]
> 4. Requiring users to differentiate between obscurely-tagged file
> types (.tq5, .tq6, etc.) causes errors of confusion

Aren't these all caused by lack of integration with logging software?
Perhaps I'm wrong but it seems like the TQSL/TQSLCERT programs are good
demonstrators of the tqsllib API, though not great programs for end
users to use directly. Proper integration with logging software
should make all of the above simpler.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1715. Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-26 04:11:04 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1692 Message: 1715

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:

>> As a software developer, I am dissapointed with user comments of the form "it works for me, what are you complaining about?".

>>>snip<<<

> I agree, when the criticism is constructive. Most of it hasn't been. "It's too hard to use" and "why can't it be like eQSL.cc?" aren't enough information to use to solve any real problems.

>>>Yes, it would be nice if users always filed dispassionate, detail-laden defect and useability reports, but since they are not software testing professionals, this will often not be the case. Our response should be to coax out the needed information, not castigate an already-frustrated user. If you want constructive criticism, set the example by responding constructively no matter how ambiguous or provocative the user's initial volley may be.

>> In my experience, the primary problems are:

>>>snip<<<

> Aren't these all caused by lack of integration with logging software? Perhaps I'm wrong but it seems like the TQSL/TQSLCERT programs are good demonstrators of the tqsllib API, though not great programs for end users to use directly. Proper integration with logging software should make all of the above simpler.

>>>Some of the above problems -- incorrect QTH data, for example -- could be mitigated via integration with logging applications. User awareness of file types is locked in by the web-hosted portion of LotW. Submitting and synchronizing credits cannot be accomplished until LotW provides the required functionality.

>>>Its not obvious that administrative functions like creating, renewing, and moving certificates would be better implemented by multiple logging applications. An improved administrative application utilized by all LotW users would be easier for LotW personnel to support, and would facilitate more rapid evolution. In this case, incrementally improving the useability of TQSL/TSLCERT seems the better path.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1716. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-26 04:39:16 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1692 Message: 1716

As the user around whose criticism this discussion has evolved, I admit my comments were not very
direct or constructive.

It is fortunate, however, that the contributions of other reflector members have risen above my
poorly conceived remarks... in particular, I think those of Dave Bernstein AA6YQ hit the target
pretty well dead center. That's probably because Dave knows what he's talking about... whereas I,
regrettably, really didn't and shouldn't have spoken out on this subject as I did.

The truth is, I don't think I've yet given LOTW my best efforts. Too bad I didn't realize that
before I got things going, but perhaps my impatient mistake helped draw out something useful.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2004 11:11 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to

>
>>>>AA6YQ comments below
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
>
>>> As a software developer, I am dissapointed with user comments of
> the form "it works for me, what are you complaining about?".
>
>>>>snip<<<
>
>> I agree, when the criticism is constructive. Most of it hasn't
> been. "It's too hard to use" and "why can't it be like eQSL.cc?"
> aren't enough information to use to solve any real problems.
>
>>>>Yes, it would be nice if users always filed dispassionate, detail-
> laden defect and useability reports, but since they are not software
> testing professionals, this will often not be the case. Our response
> should be to coax out the needed information, not castigate an
> already-frustrated user. If you want constructive criticism, set the
> example by responding constructively no matter how ambiguous or
> provocative the user's initial volley may be.

>
>
>>> In my experience, the primary problems are:
>
>>>>snip<<<
>
>> Aren't these all caused by lack of integration with logging
> software? Perhaps I'm wrong but it seems like the TQSL/TQSLCERT
> programs are good demonstrators of the tqsllib API, though not great
> programs for end users to use directly. Proper integration with
> logging software should make all of the above simpler.
>
>>>>Some of the above problems -- incorrect QTH data, for example --
> could be mitigated via integration with logging applications. User
> awareness of file types is locked in by the web-hosted portion of
> LotW. Submitting and synchronizing credits cannot be accomplished
> until LotW provides the required functionality.
>
>>>>Its not obvious that administrative functions like creating,
> renewing, and moving certificates would be better implemented by
> multiple logging applications. An improved administrative
> application utilized by all LotW users would be easier for LotW
> personnel to support, and would facilitate more rapid evolution. In
> this case, incrementally improving the useability of TQSL/TSLCERT
> seems the better path.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>

1717. I put some more old logs onto LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-26 15:24:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1717 Message: 1717

Got some more old logs for the stations I manage up onto LoTW.

Back from 1989 and 1998.

Hope they find their way to the deserving.

The Meek shall inherit the earth....after I'm through with it.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1718. New Upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-29 19:13:56 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 1718 Message: 1718

I uploaded 1500 qso's from Sweepstakes SSB. Had 178 qsl's immediately.

FYI...
I have had 48 states worked on LOTW for about a year. Now have South Carolina thanks to W8CNL.. Still need a North Dakota who uses LOTW

73

Gordon N4LR

1719. Re: New Upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-30 13:09:21 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1718 Message: 1719

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@y...> wrote:
>
> I uploaded 1500 qso's from Sweepstakes SSB. Had 178 qsl's
immediately.
>
> FYI...
> I have had 48 states worked on LOTW for about a year. Now have South
> Carolina thanks to W8CNL.. Still need a North Dakota who uses LOTW
>
> 73
>
> Gordon N4LR

You must know the right stations to work. I turned in about 1200
QSO's with a grand total of 1 confirmation from K1ZZ. I'm sure that
will improve over the next few weeks. But,I'm still hovering around a
6% return rate overall.

Bib K8KWT

1720. Re: {SPAMFILTER} [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New Upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-11-30 16:29:00 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1718 Message: 1720

I have uploaded over 5k qso's and have found that 90 % of the rtty ops use lotw and 50% of the cw ops. and 95% of all my confirmed qso's are those made during contests. shame. wish more would just upload their logs.
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1721. Promote or perish

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-01 18:37:34 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1721 Message: 1721

I was encouraged to see the following in the "What have we been up to" article at the ARRL website...

"DXCC Program Manager Bill Moore, NC1L, checked cards for DXCC and gave two talks at the ARI national convention in Pescara, Italy. "

Although the report doesn't include a mention of LOTW, I'm sure Bill presented the nuts-and-bolts of how it works. Way-to-go ARRL! We need more of this...

Ford-N0FP

1722. Re: Promote or perish

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-01 19:00:08 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 1721 Message: 1722

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> I was encouraged to see the following in the "What have we been up to" article at the ARRL website...
>
> "DXCC Program Manager Bill Moore, NC1L, checked cards for DXCC and gave two talks at the ARI national convention in Pescara, Italy. "
>
>
>
> Although the report doesn't include a mention of LOTW, I'm sure Bill presented the nuts-and-bolts of how it works. Way-to-go ARRL! We need more of this...
>
> Ford-N0FP

How do you come to the conclusion that LOTW was promoted here? As you noted there is no mention of LoTW at all.

At the last Dayton convention there was plenty of ARRL - DXCC staff present. None of them seemed to want to talk about LOTW. When asked why there was no LOTW booth the reply was "We didn't think about it."

I don't know if the ARRL isn't promoting LoTW more because they really didn't want it in the first place or because there just isn't money in the budget.

I agree with the subject line "Promote or perish" but I think its going to have to be us the Users of LoTW that do the promoting. I've seen lots of RTTY guys do just that with their final - "73's QSL via LoTW".

Bob K8KWT

1723. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Promote or perish

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-01 19:17:27 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1721 Message: 1723

> I agree with the subject line "Promote or perish" but I think its
> going to have to be us the Users of LoTW that do the promoting. I've
> seen lots of RTTY guys do just that with their final - "73's QSL via
> LoTW".
>
>
> Bob K8KWT

Amen Brother Bob. Keep the faith! Promote or perish!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1724. Hybrid application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-03 17:17:10 UTC
From: dl6fcb
Topic: 1724 Message: 1724

I made a hybrid application about three weeks ago. Yesterday i
received a message in LOTW, that my application has been finished by
DXCC Desk. That means for me, that they put my paper QSL credits into
their database. My first question is, when do the paper QSL credits
appear in the LOTW facility ? Another question, once i own the award,
may i mail all my paper QSLs to ARRL ? Are there any limitations ?

FYI, i uploaded about 2400 QSO and got 192 QSL records until now.

TNX,

Lars DL6FCB

1725. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Hybrid application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-04 03:35:08 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1724 Message: 1725

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

dl6fcb wrote:
|
| I made a hybrid application about three weeks ago. Yesterday i
| received a message in LOTW, that my application has been finished by
| DXCC Desk. That means for me, that they put my paper QSL credits into
| their database. My first question is, when do the paper QSL credits
| appear in the LOTW facility ?

I believe you need to do a linking of your LOTW account wiht your DXCC
record. I know I had to after I submitted my first hybrid application.
~ I kind of expected that they would go ahead and do the link as part of
processing the application(I just did a hybrid application, I'm
obviously using LOTW), but they don't. Treat it as though you already
had DXCC and you need to link your LOTW account to the DXCC records.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFBsTBsRgRgmxNburQRAiD6AJ4q8z/JjKDIzgcOilMBdrWB2/U5lACdFrra
T9xYfQQClnoITpGtSWfc3z0=
=jaHo
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1726. Re: Hybrid application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-04 10:35:21 UTC
From: dl6fcb
Topic: 1724 Message: 1726

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Ben Coleman NJ8J <nj8j@a...> wrote:
> Treat it as though you already
> had DXCC and you need to link your LOTW account to the DXCC records.

Thank you Ben for your useful advice. I have done this link request
now. I'm looking forward to see my DXCC records in LOTW.

Best 73, have a good weekend

Lars DL6FCB

1727. RE: Two logs, Now what?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-06 18:31:45 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 1727 Message: 1727

Thanks Wayne for the quick response.
That was above and beyond.
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Mills, Wayne N7NG [mailto:N7NG@...]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 11:07
To: w7ts@...
Subject: RE: Two logs, Now what?

This is necessary temporarily. We will consolidate the accounts as soon as possible. You will be notified when it's done.

73, Wayne Mills, N7NG/1, Manager
Membership Services Department

-----Original Message-----
From: Ken Kinyon [mailto:w7ts@...]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 1:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: lotw-help
Subject: Two logs, Now what?

My certificate expired. (Sneaked up on me)
I applied for and received a new certificate as per instructions.
I uploaded my log file using my new certificate.
I now have 2 user names (W7TS & W7TS01) and two log files.
I'm sure it is not supposed to be that way.
What now?
Regards,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

1728. Two logs, Now what?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-06 18:00:27 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 1724 Message: 1728

My certificate expired. (Sneaked up on me)
I applied for and received a new certificate as per instructions.
I uploaded my log file using my new certificate.
I now have 2 user names (W7TS & W7TS01) and two log files.
I'm sure it is not supposed to be that way.
What now?
Regards,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

1729. this years LoTW Results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 14:37:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1729 Message: 1729

Hope this comes out on your computers.
Made it a simple .txt file.

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

---
Blessed is the end-user who expects nothing, for ye shall not be disappointed.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1730. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] this years LoTW Results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 14:56:43 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1729 Message: 1730

It came through just fine Bill. It would be interesting to see a
breakdown of contest vs non contest qso's and DX vs stateside qso's.
I find that the contesters get their logs into LoTW rapidly but the
DXers are a lot slower.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

FireBrick wrote:

> Hope this comes out on your computers.
> Made it a simple .txt file.
>
> https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

1731. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] this years LoTW Results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 15:08:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1729 Message: 1731

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 8:56 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] this years LoTW Results

It came through just fine Bill. It would be interesting to see a
breakdown of contest vs non contest qso's and DX vs stateside qso's.
I find that the contesters get their logs into LoTW rapidly but the
DXers are a lot slower.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

FireBrick wrote:

> Hope this comes out on your computers.
> Made it a simple .txt file.
>
> https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

Not sure How I'd do dat. :-)
As I chase both DX and contest.
but I do believe your right, Contesters are more likely to be computer controlled/logged
so more likely to submit.

1732. question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 19:24:01 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1732 Message: 1732

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I
would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least
13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13
or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

1733. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 20:15:13 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1732 Message: 1733

Unfortunately, if you don't use the same call in each location that is true and a huge hole in the usability of LotW, it is really designed for fixed stations. Some real design work is needed here!
At leat that is my intepretation.

Now the only answer I see is to use the same call for all of the work. This will of course lead to problems when new awards are added that require you to be in a particular grid square, state, county etc. I don't know the answer and I think the designers are having huge nightmares trying to solve this, or should be having them.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 1:24 PM
> To: LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question
>
>
> I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW and the
> following question came up. Maybe one of you can answer for
> me and I will send on to the person asking.
>
> Hi Group
>
> I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the ARRLWeb
> page. Quite a few of my contacts are while mobile or from
> mobile operators. From what I see LOTW is pretty complicated
> when it comes to setting up certificates and locations as
> they call it. Correct me if I am wrong but it seems I would
> have to basically set up an account / certificate for all the
> call signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
> have ever operated mobile or portable. For some reason that
> seems to be an awful lot of work.
> If I understand that right I would have to set up
> certificates for at least
> 13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or portable
> in at least 13 or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some
> insight on how easy or hard it is to do that?
>
> 73 dit dit
> de Gary AF4LD
>

>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ---------------------~-->
> $4.98 domain names from Yahoo!. Register anything.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/Q7_YsB/neXJAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1734. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-07 21:13:18 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1732 Message: 1734

All the correct information is on the LoTW FAQ pages.

One of the basic tenets of LoTW is that each different callsign should have a certificate. AF4LD needs a certificate, and AF4LD/0 needs a certificate.

See: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#samedxcc

It is a simple matter to set-up station locations for as many places as you want (note that these are Station Locations in TQSL, not certificates).

It's easier than filling out QSLs for each County and Grid--unless he just sends the same QSL card from his home station with its home grid square and county, no matter that he didn't operate there.

And it's always better to spew mis-information if you don't know the answer. :-)

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 2:24 PM
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I
would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least

13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13
or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129arl9ij/M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063
108:HM/EXP=1102533847/A=2128215/R=0/SIG=10se96mf6/*http://companion.yahoo.com> click here
<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=295196.4901138.6071305.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2128215/
rand=662546798>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@...m
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1735. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 00:10:53 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1732 Message: 1735

you beat me to it Dave, and said it better.....

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 16:13
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

All the correct information is on the LoTW FAQ pages.

One of the basic tenets of LoTW is that each different callsign should have a certificate. AF4LD needs a certificate, and AF4LD/0 needs a certificate.

See: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#samedxcc

It is a simple matter to set-up station locations for as many places as you want (note that these are Station Locations in TQSL, not certificates).

It's easier than filling out QSLs for each County and Grid--unless he just sends the same QSL card from his home station with its home grid square and county, no matter that he didn't operate there.

And it's always better to spew mis-information if you don't know the answer. :-)

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 2:24 PM
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I

would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least
13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13
or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

1736. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 00:22:33 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1732 Message: 1736

You are correct and in my post I also pointed it out indirectly. I said IF the call is different THEN you have this ridiculous situation. It ought to be possible to have a certificate for ALL calls owned by a PARTICULAR person. It OUGHT to be the COMPUTER and NOT the person that could take care of validating the contact for an award. That is what computers COULD be good at.

I have very little trouble determining if a card that get is good for a particular award, the computer should be able to do that too. It is the ridiculous situation of tie of the certificate to the call only that causes this situation. There MUST be a better (read easier) way?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 6:11 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

you beat me to it Dave, and said it better.....

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N <mailto:nt1n@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 16:13
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

All the correct information is on the LoTW FAQ pages.

One of the basic tenets of LoTW is that each different callsign should have a certificate. AF4LD needs a certificate, and AF4LD/0 needs a certificate.

See: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#samedxcc

It is a simple matter to set-up station locations for as many places as you want (note that these are Station Locations in TQSL, not certificates).

It's easier than filling out QSLs for each County and Grid--unless he just sends the same QSL card from his home station with its home grid square and county, no matter that he didn't operate there.

And it's always better to spew mis-information if you don't know the answer.
:-)

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 2:24 PM
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I
would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least
13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13
or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296t061m/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=gr
oups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1102551267/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12i10lkqb/*http://clk.
atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1102464867913218>
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296t061m/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=gr
oups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1102551267/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12i10lkqb/*http://clk.
atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1102464867913218>

Get unlimited calls to

U.S./Canada

<http://view.atdmt.com/VON/view/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=11024648
67913218>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=groups/S=
:HM/A=2343726/rand=698449370>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1737. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 00:28:19 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1732 Message: 1737

I have long tried to build databases using the "Vulcan mind meld" to intuit data.
Perhaps you have succeeded?

Jim

You are correct and in my post I also pointed it out indirectly. I said IF the call is different THEN you have this ridiculous situation. It ought to be possible to have a certificate for ALL calls owned by a PARTICULAR person. It OUGHT to be the COMPUTER and NOT the person that could take care of validating the contact for an award. That is what computers COULD be good at.

I have very little trouble determining if a card that get is good for a particular award, the computer should be able to do that too. It is the ridiculous situation of tie of the certificate to the call only that causes this situation. There MUST be a better (read easier) way?

Gil, W0MN

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 6:11 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

you beat me to it Dave, and said it better.....

Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 16:13
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

All the correct information is on the LoTW FAQ pages.

One of the basic tenets of LoTW is that each different callsign should have a certificate. AF4LD needs a certificate, and AF4LD/0 needs a certificate.

See: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#samedxcc

It is a simple matter to set-up station locations for as many places as you want (note that these are Station Locations in TQSL, not certificates).

It's easier than filling out QSLs for each County and Grid--unless he just sends the same QSL card from his home station with its home grid square and county, no matter that he didn't operate there.

And it's always better to spew mis-information if you don't know the answer. :-)

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 2:24 PM
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I
would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least
13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13
or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

1738. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 01:05:40 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1732 Message: 1738

The comnputer would not be deterining by intuition. It owuld be extra fields that woudl be carried with the certificate. .

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 6:28 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have long tried to build databases using the "Vulcan mind meld" to intuit data.
Perhaps you have succeeded?

Jim

You are correct and in my post I also pointed it out indirectly. I said IF the call is different THEN you have this ridiculous situation. It ought to be possible to have a certificate for ALL calls owned by a PARTICULAR person. It OUGHT to be the COMPUTER and NOT the person that could take care of validating the contact for an award. That is what computers COULD be good at.

I have very little trouble determining if a card that get is good for a particular award, the computer should be able to do that too. It is the ridiculous situation of tie of the certificate to the call only that causes this situation. There MUST be a better (read easier) way?

Gil, W0MN

From: Jim Brannigan [mailto:jbrannig@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 6:11 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question

you beat me to it Dave, and said it better.....

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: Patton, David <mailto:nt1n@...> NN1N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 16:13
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question

All the correct information is on the LoTW FAQ pages.

One of the basic tenets of LoTW is that each different callsign should have a certificate. AF4LD needs a certificate, and AF4LD/0 needs a certificate.

See: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq#samedxcc

It is a simple matter to set-up station locations for as many places as you want (note that these are Station Locations in TQSL, not certificates).

It's easier than filling out QSLs for each County and Grid--unless he just sends the same QSL card from his home station with its home grid square and county, no matter that he didn't operate there.

And it's always better to spew mis-information if you don't know the answer. :-)

73, Dave

-----Original Message-----
From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 2:24 PM
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question

I have been on another board trying to promote LOTW
and the following question came up. Maybe one of you
can answer for me and I will send on to the person
asking.

Hi Group

I just took a look at some of the info on LOTW on the
ARRLWeb page. Quite
a few of my contacts are while mobile or from mobile
operators. From what
I see LOTW is pretty complicated when it comes to
setting up certificates
and locations as they call it. Correct me if I am
wrong but it seems I
would have to basically set up an account /
certificate for all the call
signs I have ever held and a location for everywhere I
have ever operated
mobile or portable. For some reason that seems to be
an awful lot of work.
If I understand that right I would have to set up
certificates for at least
13 or 15 locations being I have operated mobile or
portable in at least 13

or 15 states. Maybe someone can give me some insight
on how easy or hard it
is to do that?

73 dit dit
de Gary AF4LD

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129c2tiae/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1102552158/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185400> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2434971/rand=218050042>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

1739. Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 16:51:05 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1739 Message: 1739

I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes Division director on occasion and the following was in todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder if any others in different divisions are getting this?

LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD

I request your input on the Logbook of The World (LoTW). If you have
not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the following topic if
you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who have tried
Logbook.

The question is simply, "What has been your experience with LoTW?" I
need you to answer this question with one of the 6 answers I give,
below. If you wish to add a written explanation of your experience
please do so, but please be certain to use the written answer only to
expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers are:

5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like everything about it.

4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I like most things
about it and will continue to use it.

3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and in getting it to
work for me. I'll keep using it.

2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to install, but is easy
to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial difficulty I had.

1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much of a pain to use.
I'm thinking of not using it.

0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
that I've given up
on it.

Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
attempted to or
succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
the numeric answer
that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
Feel free to
append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
if you choose to
expand on the numeric answer.

Randy
AG8A

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

1740. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 17:11:41 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1739 Message: 1740

Randy... put me in for #1

Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much effort at being "secure" that
it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let people enter that (like a
credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging programs (DXKeeper) and I can
upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily, I'd definitely use LoTW.

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> Division director on occasion and the following was in
> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>
> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>
>
>
> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> (LoTW). If you have
> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> following topic if
> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> have tried
> Logbook.
>
>
>
> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> with LoTW?" I
> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> answers I give,
> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> your experience
> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> answer only to
> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers

> are:
>
>
>
> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> everything about it.
>
> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> like most things
> about it and will continue to use it.
>
> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> in getting it to
> work for me. I'll keep using it.
>
> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> install, but is easy
> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> difficulty I had.
>
> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> of a pain to use.
> I'm thinking of not using it.
>
> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> that I've given up
> on it.
>
>
>
> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> attempted to or
> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
> the numeric answer
> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> Feel free to
> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> if you choose to
> expand on the numeric answer.
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.

> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1741. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 17:59:16 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 1739 Message: 1741

Probably about a 2 or 3 but this is a useless survey. It is obviously
written to make LotW come out smelling like a Rose!
It also misses some of the good things.
Somebody is waiting a lot of time and money on that.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 10:51 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> Division director on occasion and the following was in todays
> e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder if any others
> in different divisions are getting this?
>
> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>
>
>
> I request your input on the Logbook of The World (LoTW). If
> you have not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> following topic if you wish. My question is directed ONLY to
> members who have tried Logbook.
>
>
>
> The question is simply, "What has been your experience with
> LoTW?" I need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> answers I give, below. If you wish to add a written
> explanation of your experience please do so, but please be
> certain to use the written answer only to expand on your
> numeric answer. The numeric answers
> are:
>
>
>
> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like everything about it.
>
> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I like

> most things about it and will continue to use it.
>
> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and in
> getting it to work for me. I'll keep using it.
>
> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to install,
> but is easy to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the
> initial difficulty I had.
>
> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much of a
> pain to use.
> I'm thinking of not using it.
>
> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use that
> I've given up on it.
>
>
>
> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have attempted
> to or succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use the
> numeric answer that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> Feel free to
> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer if you
> choose to expand on the numeric answer.
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.
> Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

1742. Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 18:08:53 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1739 Message: 1742

The fact that you can't upload to LotW with a single keystroke is a current limitation of DXKeeper, not LotW.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> Randy... put me in for #1
>
> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much effort at being "secure" that
> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let people enter that (like a
> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging programs (DXKeeper) and I can
> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily, I'd definitely use LoTW.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rlb" <printgreen@y...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
> > I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> > Division director on occasion and the following was in
> > todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> > if any others in different divisions are getting this?
> >
> > LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >
> >
> >
> > I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> > (LoTW). If you have
> > not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> > following topic if
> > you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> > have tried
> > Logbook.
> >
> >
> >

> > The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> > with LoTW?" I
> > need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> > answers I give,
> > below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> > your experience
> > please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> > answer only to
> > expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> > are:
> >
> >
> >
> > 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> > everything about it.
> >
> > 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> > like most things
> > about it and will continue to use it.
> >
> > 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> > in getting it to
> > work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >
> > 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> > install, but is easy
> > to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> > difficulty I had.
> >
> > 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> > of a pain to use.
> > I'm thinking of not using it.
> >
> > 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> > that I've given up
> > on it.
> >
> >
> >
> > Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> > attempted to or
> > succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
> > the numeric answer
> > that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> > Feel free to
> > append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> > if you choose to
> > expand on the numeric answer.
> >
> >

> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do you Yahoo!?
> > Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> > http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >

1743. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 18:40:39 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1739 Message: 1743

Nothing similar received here so far, but if this was an action coming out of the most recent Executive Committee meeting it might be that different Directors are moving at different rates of speed.

My answer would be 4.

73, Pete N4ZR

At 11:51 AM 12/8/2004, rlb wrote:

> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>Division director on occasion and the following was in
>todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>
>LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>
>
>
>I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>(LoTW). If you have
>not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>following topic if
>you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>have tried
>Logbook.
>
>
>
>The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>with LoTW?" I
>need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>answers I give,
>below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>your experience
>please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>answer only to
>expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>are:
>
>
>
>5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>everything about it.
>
>4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I

>like most things
>about it and will continue to use it.
>
>3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
>in getting it to
>work for me. I'll keep using it.
>
>2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>install, but is easy
>to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>difficulty I had.
>
>1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>of a pain to use.
>I'm thinking of not using it.
>
>0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>that I've given up
>on it.
>
>
>
>Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>attempted to or
>succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>the numeric answer
>that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>Feel free to
>append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>if you choose to
>expand on the numeric answer.
>
>
>Randy
>AG8A
>
>
>
>
>_____
>Do you Yahoo!?
>Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>

1744. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 19:28:19 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos, KT1V
Topic: 1739 Message: 1744

"Holy Batsh*t, Batman," said Robin. "How anyone could decide this is
fundamentally useless and biased is beyond me!!"
Nice to see the membership being asked,

73
Ted KT1V
At 12:59 PM 12/8/2004, rojomn wrote:
>Probably about a 2 or 3 but this is a useless survey. It is obviously
>written to make LotW come out smelling like a Rose!
>It also misses some of the good things.
>Somebody is waiting a lot of time and money on that.
>
>
>Gil, W0MN
><http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron>http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 10:51 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >
> >
> > I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> > Division director on occasion and the following was in todays
> > e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder if any others
> > in different divisions are getting this?
> >
> > LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >
> >
> >
> > I request your input on the Logbook of The World (LoTW). If
> > you have not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> > following topic if you wish. My question is directed ONLY to
> > members who have tried Logbook.
> >
> >
> >
> > The question is simply, "What has been your experience with
> > LoTW?" I need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> > answers I give, below. If you wish to add a written
> > explanation of your experience please do so, but please be

> > certain to use the written answer only to expand on your
> > numeric answer. The numeric answers
> > are:
> >
> >
> >
> > 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like everything about it.
> >
> > 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I like
> > most things about it and will continue to use it.
> >
> > 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and in
> > getting it to work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >
> > 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to install,
> > but is easy to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the
> > initial difficulty I had.
> >
> > 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much of a
> > pain to use.
> > I'm thinking of not using it.
> >
> > 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use that
> > I've given up on it.
> >
> >
> >
> > Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have attempted
> > to or succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use the
> > numeric answer that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> > Feel free to
> > append written discussion beneath your numeric answer if you
> > choose to expand on the numeric answer.
> >
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do you Yahoo!?
> > Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> > <http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250>http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> >
> >
> > ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------~-->
> > Make a clean sweep of pop-up ads. Yahoo! Companion Toolbar.

> > Now with Pop-Up Blocker. Get it for free!
> >
> <http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5YrjA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM>http://us.click.yahoo.com/L5Yr
jA/eSIIAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > --
> > ------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129gie330/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1102615171/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12i7hib50/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01
900091von/direct/01/&time=1102528771689288>
>[]
>
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129gie330/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1102615171/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12i7hib50/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01
900091von/direct/01/&time=1102528771689288>
>
>Get unlimited calls to
>
>U.S./Canada
>[]
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>
> *

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1745. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 18:50:37 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 1739 Message: 1745

I stand corrected. Mea culpa :-)

I still think LoTW could be made simpler to use. For example, LoTW should automatically add confirmed new ones to the user's DXCC verified list if the user has enough funds on account. It
shouldn't take more than one step.

An account number and a password on a https secure site is good enough for a credit card transaction, and it should be good enough for LoTW. If there's really any need for more security,
perhaps the system should only allow users to upload from their registered computer address or
something transparent like that.
It's not the bleedin' Chase Manhattan Bank, is it?
Sorry. I'm recovering from the flu.

Despite everything, I still support LoTW... it's a good concept and eventually somebody will make it
work better. It too is worth waiting for.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 1:08 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

>
> The fact that you can't upload to LotW with a single keystroke is a
> current limitation of DXKeeper, not LotW.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
>> Randy... put me in for #1
>>
>> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
> much effort at being "secure" that
>> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
> let people enter that (like a
>> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> programs (DXKeeper) and I can
>> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that

> easily, I'd definitely use LoTW.
>>
>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "rlb" <printgreen@y...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>>
>> > I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>> > Division director on occasion and the following was in
>> > todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>> > if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>> >
>> > LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>> > (LoTW). If you have
>> > not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>> > following topic if
>> > you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>> > have tried
>> > Logbook.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>> > with LoTW?" I
>> > need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>> > answers I give,
>> > below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>> > your experience
>> > please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>> > answer only to
>> > expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>> > are:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>> > everything about it.
>> >
>> > 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
>> > like most things
>> > about it and will continue to use it.
>> >
>> > 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and

>> > in getting it to
>> > work for me. I'll keep using it.
>> >
>> > 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>> > install, but is easy
>> > to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>> > difficulty I had.
>> >
>> > 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>> > of a pain to use.
>> > I'm thinking of not using it.
>> >
>> > 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>> > that I've given up
>> > on it.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>> > attempted to or
>> > succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>> > the numeric answer
>> > that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>> > Feel free to
>> > append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>> > if you choose to
>> > expand on the numeric answer.
>> >
>> >
>> > Randy
>> > AG8A
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > _____________________________________
>> > Do you Yahoo!?
>> > Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>> > http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> > ADVERTISEMENT
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > --
> ---------------
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links

>> >
>> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> >
>> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> >
>> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1746. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 19:09:57 UTC
From: Rich Holoch
Topic: 1739 Message: 1746

I also think it's a 4, but the double account "issue" has made LOTW unusable for me (i.e. I can't use LOTW credits until they merge my accounts). Their php / database developer should never have allowed a split user id / account like this for any reason - including user error in applying for a certificate renewal. The system should never send a new cert if a user is asking for one and they already have one that just needs to be renewed - it should simply renew the old one. And if a user has lost their hard drive and needs a new PKI cert, there needs to be a better way to do this than the way LOTW does.

My guess is that this problem is causing a nightmare for the DXCC staff who have to merge user accounts and data while maintaining data integrity. And I want LOTW to succeed - very much so. But this is a real problem.

Rich

KY6R

1747. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 20:49:28 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1739 Message: 1747

#4 KT4U

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Randy... put me in for #1

Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much
effort at being "secure" that
it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let
people enter that (like a
credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging programs
(DXKeeper) and I can
upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily, I'd
definitely use LoTW.

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> Division director on occasion and the following was in
> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>
> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>
>
>
> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> (LoTW). If you have
> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> following topic if
> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> have tried
> Logbook.
>
>
>

> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> with LoTW?" I
> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> answers I give,
> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> your experience
> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> answer only to
> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> are:
>
>
>
> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> everything about it.
>
> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> like most things
> about it and will continue to use it.
>
> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> in getting it to
> work for me. I'll keep using it.
>
> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> install, but is easy
> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> difficulty I had.
>
> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> of a pain to use.
> I'm thinking of not using it.
>
> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> that I've given up
> on it.
>
>
>
> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> attempted to or
> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
> the numeric answer
> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> Feel free to
> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> if you choose to
> expand on the numeric answer.
>
>

> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1748. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 20:54:36 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos, KT1V
Topic: 1739 Message: 1748

#3-4 fro me

KT1V
At 03:49 PM 12/8/2004, JV wrote:
>
>
>#4 KT4U
>
>-------Original Message-------
>
>From: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>Randy... put me in for #1
>
>Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much
>effort at being "secure" that
>it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let
>people enter that (like a
>credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging programs
>(DXKeeper) and I can
>upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily, I'd
>definitely use LoTW.
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
> > I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> > Division director on occasion and the following was in
> > todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> > if any others in different divisions are getting this?
> >
> > LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >
> >
> >
> > I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> > (LoTW). If you have

> > not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> > following topic if
> > you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> > have tried
> > Logbook.
> >
> >
> >
> > The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> > with LoTW?" I
> > need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> > answers I give,
> > below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> > your experience
> > please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> > answer only to
> > expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> > are:
> >
> >
> >
> > 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> > everything about it.
> >
> > 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> > like most things
> > about it and will continue to use it.
> >
> > 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> > in getting it to
> > work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >
> > 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> > install, but is easy
> > to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> > difficulty I had.
> >
> > 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> > of a pain to use.
> > I'm thinking of not using it.
> >
> > 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> > that I've given up
> > on it.
> >
> >
> >
> > Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> > attempted to or
> > succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use

> > the numeric answer
> > that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> > Feel free to
> > append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> > if you choose to
> > expand on the numeric answer.
> >
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do you Yahoo!?
> > Ya
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129eckejo/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1102625385/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12irprlbe/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01
900091von/direct/01/&time=1102538985711854>
>[]
>
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129eckejo/M=324847.5707096.6763800.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1102625385/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12irprlbe/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01
900091von/direct/01/&time=1102538985711854>
>
>Get unlimited calls to
>
>U.S./Canada
>[]
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>

> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1749. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-08 21:10:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1739 Message: 1749

> For example, LoTW should automatically add confirmed new
> ones to the user's DXCC verified list if the user has
> enough funds on account. It shouldn't take more than
> one step.

I don't want LotW to automatically add new ones to my DXCC list. I may have another card in hand that I'm planning to submit as part of an annual submission ... in that case I don't want to waste the credits on something I don't need.

> An account number and a password on a https secure site is
> good enough for a credit card transaction, and it should be
> good enough for LoTW.

Generally account number and password are the result of an off-line verification, unlike LotW. That whole process has been beat to death here more than once. The certificate may be overkill, and annual renewal is certainly a waste (tie it to the 10 year license term). However, LotW is 100% better than the alternative (I can qualify for eQSL.cc's basic eDX award with "bad" confirmations!).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1750. [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 14:58:47 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1739 Message: 1750

Put me in # 4 also.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
> From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>
>
> #4 KT4U
>
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
> Randy... put me in for #1
>
> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much
> effort at being "secure" that
> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let
> people enter that (like a
> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> programs
> (DXKeeper) and I can
> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
> I'd
> definitely use LoTW.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>> Division director on occasion and the following was in

>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>>
>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>>
>>
>>
>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>> (LoTW). If you have
>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>> following topic if
>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>> have tried
>> Logbook.
>>
>>
>>
>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>> with LoTW?" I
>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>> answers I give,
>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>> your experience
>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>> answer only to
>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>> are:
>>
>>
>>
>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>> everything about it.
>>
>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
>> like most things
>> about it and will continue to use it.
>>
>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
>> in getting it to
>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
>>
>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>> install, but is easy
>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>> difficulty I had.
>>
>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>> of a pain to use.
>> I'm thinking of not using it.
>>

>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>> that I've given up
>> on it.
>>
>>
>>
>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>> attempted to or
>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>> the numeric answer
>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>> Feel free to
>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>> if you choose to
>> expand on the numeric answer.
>>
>>
>> Randy
>> AG8A
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____________________________________
>> Do you Yahoo!?
>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> --
> --
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service.
>>
>>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>

1751. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 15:23:49 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1739 Message: 1751

I'd be a number 4.

I'm reasonably computer literate so it wasn't difficult but I have had to help several other locals install and use LoTW. They would have been a 1 or 2 without the help.

My main (and have repeated this several times) issue with LoTW is the lack of participation be stations outside of North America and DXpeditions. 7,000 users out of a global total of 2.6 million amateur licences is pretty light. Yes, they are not all active DXers or even active at all but even at a 10% activity level, LoTW participation is still only 2.7%.

In my humble opinion the ARRL (with our help) need to promote this product more, make it easier to install, maintain and use plus find ways to encourage (equals support) DXpeditions to put their logs up in a timely fashion.

Gerry,

VE6LB/VA6XDX
(403)251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 7:58 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Put me in # 4 also.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
> From: "JV" <kt4u@adelphia.net>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>
>
> #4 KT4U
>
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
> Randy... put me in for #1
>
> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so much
> effort at being "secure" that
> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just let
> people enter that (like a
> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> programs
> (DXKeeper) and I can
> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
> I'd
> definitely use LoTW.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>>
>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>>
>>
>>
>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>> (LoTW). If you have
>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>> following topic if
>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>> have tried
>> Logbook.
>>
>>
>>
>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>> with LoTW?" I
>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>> answers I give,
>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>> your experience
>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>> answer only to

>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>> are:
>>
>>
>>
>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>> everything about it.
>>
>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
>> like most things
>> about it and will continue to use it.
>>
>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
>> in getting it to
>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
>>
>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>> install, but is easy
>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>> difficulty I had.
>>
>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>> of a pain to use.
>> I'm thinking of not using it.
>>
>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>> that I've given up
>> on it.
>>
>>
>>
>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>> attempted to or
>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>> the numeric answer
>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>> Feel free to
>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>> if you choose to
>> expand on the numeric answer.
>>
>>
>> Randy
>> AG8A
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> Do you Yahoo!?

>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> --
> --
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service.
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1752. Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 16:06:13 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1739 Message: 1752

To all of you that responded. I have sent your ratings on to the division director. Now it is a matter of sitting back and see what they are doing with the compiled information.

Thank you

Randy
AG8A

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Meet the all-new My Yahoo! - Try it today!
http://my.yahoo.com

1753. [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 18:34:10 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1739 Message: 1753

Put me in # 4 also.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
>> From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> #4 KT4U
>>
>> -------Original Message-------
>>
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>> Randy... put me in for #1
>>
>> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
>> much
>> effort at being "secure" that
>> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
>> let
>> people enter that (like a
>> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
>> programs
>> (DXKeeper) and I can
>> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
>> I'd
>> definitely use LoTW.
>>
>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>>

>>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
>>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>>>
>>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>>> (LoTW). If you have
>>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>>> following topic if
>>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>>> have tried
>>> Logbook.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>>> with LoTW?" I
>>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>>> answers I give,
>>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>>> your experience
>>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>>> answer only to
>>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>>> are:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>>> everything about it.
>>>
>>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
>>> like most things
>>> about it and will continue to use it.
>>>
>>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
>>> in getting it to
>>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
>>>
>>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>>> install, but is easy
>>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>>> difficulty I had.
>>>
>>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>>> of a pain to use.

>>> I'm thinking of not using it.
>>>
>>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>>> that I've given up
>>> on it.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>>> attempted to or
>>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>>> the numeric answer
>>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>>> Feel free to
>>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>>> if you choose to
>>> expand on the numeric answer.
>>>
>>>
>>> Randy
>>> AG8A
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> _____
>>> Do you Yahoo!?
>>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> --
>> --
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>>
>>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>>
>>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>>> Service.

>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>>
>

1754. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 19:30:12 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1739 Message: 1754

I do believe it's the ARRL doing the survey...NOT this user group......You
might best use you time to email your section manager, NOT this site!!!!!!!!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 1:34 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Put me in # 4 also.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
>> From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> #4 KT4U
>>
>> -------Original Message-------
>>
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>> Randy... put me in for #1
>>
>> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
>> much
>> effort at being "secure" that
>> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
>> let
>> people enter that (like a
>> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
>> programs
>> (DXKeeper) and I can
>> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
>> I'd
>> definitely use LoTW.
>>

>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>>
>>
>>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
>>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
>>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
>>>
>>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
>>> (LoTW). If you have
>>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
>>> following topic if
>>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
>>> have tried
>>> Logbook.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
>>> with LoTW?" I
>>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
>>> answers I give,
>>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
>>> your experience
>>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
>>> answer only to
>>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
>>> are:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
>>> everything about it.
>>>
>>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
>>> like most things
>>> about it and will continue to use it.
>>>
>>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
>>> in getting it to
>>> work for me. I'll keep using it.

>>>
>>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
>>> install, but is easy
>>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
>>> difficulty I had.
>>>
>>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
>>> of a pain to use.
>>> I'm thinking of not using it.
>>>
>>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
>>> that I've given up
>>> on it.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
>>> attempted to or
>>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
>>> the numeric answer
>>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
>>> Feel free to
>>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
>>> if you choose to
>>> expand on the numeric answer.
>>>
>>>
>>> Randy
>>> AG8A
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> _____________________________________
>>> Do you Yahoo!?
>>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
>>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> --
>> --
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>

>>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>>
>>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>>
>>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>>> Service.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

1755. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 20:23:21 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1739 Message: 1755

Dave,

Thanks for the response, but please note that I did
state that, in the orginal post and I quote:

I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
>>> Division director on occasion and the following
was in
>>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but
wonder
>>> if any others in different divisions are getting
this?

The main reason I posted this was that you don't just
have to be an ARRL member to use LOTW for uploads and
not knowing who on the list, is, I thought I would
pose the question and forward the reponses by the
number that was returned to me. Thanks again.

Randy
AG8A

--- Dave-GVK <k8gvk@...> wrote:

> I do believe it's the ARRL doing the survey...NOT
> this user group......You
> might best use you time to email your section
> manager, NOT this site!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 1:34 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>
>
> Put me in # 4 also.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----

> >> From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> #4 KT4U
> >>
> >> -------Original Message-------
> >>
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >> Randy... put me in for #1
> >>
> >> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me
> that there's so
> >> much
> >> effort at being "secure" that
> >> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a
> code number and just
> >> let
> >> people enter that (like a
> >> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab
> suite of logging
> >> programs
> >> (DXKeeper) and I can
> >> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do
> LoTW that easily,
> >> I'd
> >> definitely use LoTW.
> >>
> >> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great
> Lakes
> >>> Division director on occasion and the following
> was in
> >>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but
> wonder

> >>> if any others in different divisions are getting
> this?
> >>>
> >>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> >>> (LoTW). If you have
> >>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to
> the
> >>> following topic if
> >>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to
> members who
> >>> have tried
> >>> Logbook.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> The question is simply, "What has been your
> experience
> >>> with LoTW?" I
> >>> need you to answer this question with one of the
> 6
> >>> answers I give,
> >>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation
> of
> >>> your experience
> >>> please do so, but please be certain to use the
> written
> >>> answer only to
> >>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric
> answers
> >>> are:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> >>> everything about it.
> >>>
> >>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to
> use. I
> >>> like most things
> >>> about it and will continue to use it.
> >>>
> >>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing
> it and
> >>> in getting it to
> >>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >>>

> >>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems
> to
> >>> install, but is easy
> >>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the
> initial
> >>> difficulty I had.
> >>>
> >>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as
> much
> >>> of a pain to use.
> >>> I'm thinking of not using it.
> >>>
> >>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install
> or use
> >>> that I've given up
> >>> on it.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you
> have
> >>> attempted to or
> >>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please
> use
> >>> the numeric answer
> >>> that best states your position/experience with
> LoTW.
> >>> Feel free to
> >>> append written discussion beneath your numeric
> answer
> >>> if you choose to
> >>> expand on the numeric answer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Randy
> >>> AG8A
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> _____
> >>> Do you Yahoo!?
> >>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn
> more.
> >>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> >>>
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >>> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
>

> >> --
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>>
> >>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> >>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >>>
> >>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of
> >>> Service.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>
> >>
> >>
>
=== message truncated ===

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Jazz up your holiday email with celebrity designs. Learn more.
http://celebrity.mail.yahoo.com

1756. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-09 21:29:50 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1739 Message: 1756

At this point, we don't even know if it is the ARRL, or maybe just one Director. I don't recall anyone else reporting receiving such a survey -- certainly I have not. I think it was a good idea to give him a sampling of opinion to pass on to the asker.

73, Pete N4ZR

At 02:30 PM 12/9/2004, Dave-GVK wrote:

>I do believe it's the ARRL doing the survey...NOT this user group......You
>might best use you time to email your section manager, NOT this site!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 1:34 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
>
>
>
>
>
>Put me in # 4 also.
>
>73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> #4 KT4U
> >>
> >> -------Original Message-------
> >>
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>

> >> Randy... put me in for #1
> >>
> >> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
> >> much
> >> effort at being "secure" that
> >> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
> >> let
> >> people enter that (like a
> >> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> >> programs
> >> (DXKeeper) and I can
> >> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
> >> I'd
> >> definitely use LoTW.
> >>
> >> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "rlb" <printgreen@...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> >>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
> >>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> >>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
> >>>
> >>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> >>> (LoTW). If you have
> >>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> >>> following topic if
> >>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> >>> have tried
> >>> Logbook.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> >>> with LoTW?" I
> >>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> >>> answers I give,
> >>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> >>> your experience
> >>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> >>> answer only to

> >>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> >>> are:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> >>> everything about it.
> >>>
> >>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> >>> like most things
> >>> about it and will continue to use it.
> >>>
> >>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> >>> in getting it to
> >>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >>>
> >>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> >>> install, but is easy
> >>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> >>> difficulty I had.
> >>>
> >>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> >>> of a pain to use.
> >>> I'm thinking of not using it.
> >>>
> >>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> >>> that I've given up
> >>> on it.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> >>> attempted to or
> >>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
> >>> the numeric answer
> >>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> >>> Feel free to
> >>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> >>> if you choose to
> >>> expand on the numeric answer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Randy
> >>> AG8A
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> _____
> >>> Do you Yahoo!?

> >>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> >>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> >>>
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >>> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> --
> >> --
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>>
> >>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >>>
> >>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> >>> Service.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>
> >> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >>
> >> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1757. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-10 04:59:19 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos, KT1V
Topic: 1739 Message: 1757

I think this is just one director.

I believe the ARRL conducts their surveys through specialized survey companies that among other things do various mathematical analyzes to ensure they deliver statistically accurate result.

73
Ted KT1VAt 04:29 PM 12/9/2004, you wrote:
>At this point, we don't even know if it is the ARRL, or maybe just one
>Director. I don't recall anyone else reporting receiving such a survey --
>certainly I have not. I think it was a good idea to give him a sampling of
>opinion to pass on to the asker.
>
>73, Pete N4ZR
>
>At 02:30 PM 12/9/2004, Dave-GVK wrote:
>
>
> >I do believe it's the ARRL doing the survey...NOT this user group......You
> >might best use you time to email your section manager, NOT this site!!!!!!!!
> >

Ted Demopoulos
Demopoulos Associates, www.demop.com
Aligning Information Technology with Business Objectives.

See our free E-newsletter "<http://www.demop.com/Newsletter.html>securITy"
- send an email to <mailto:newsletter@...>newsletter@... to
subscribe.

1758. Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-10 15:11:53 UTC
From: c22yacht
Topic: 1739 Message: 1758

I am definately in Category 0.

I have tried for months to get it to work - to no avail. I have
e-mailed ARRL and support about this, and they offer to help me over
the phone, but I'm not home during working hours so I'm stuck.

I'm an IT guy, and find this program just too difficult to set up.
I've spent hours to date. Hours I would have rather spent operating.

Bob Dianetti KT8DX

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@s...> wrote:
>
> Put me in # 4 also.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "JV" <kt4u@a...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> #4 KT4U
> >>
> >> -------Original Message-------
> >>
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >> Randy... put me in for #1
> >>
> >> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
> >> much
> >> effort at being "secure" that
> >> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
> >> let
> >> people enter that (like a
> >> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> >> programs

> >> (DXKeeper) and I can
> >> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
> >> I'd
> >> definitely use LoTW.
> >>
> >> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "rlb" <printgreen@y...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> >>
> >>
> >>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> >>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
> >>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> >>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
> >>>
> >>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> >>> (LoTW). If you have
> >>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> >>> following topic if
> >>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> >>> have tried
> >>> Logbook.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> >>> with LoTW?" I
> >>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> >>> answers I give,
> >>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> >>> your experience
> >>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> >>> answer only to
> >>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> >>> are:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> >>> everything about it.
> >>>
> >>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> >>> like most things

> >>> about it and will continue to use it.
> >>>
> >>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> >>> in getting it to
> >>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
> >>>
> >>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> >>> install, but is easy
> >>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> >>> difficulty I had.
> >>>
> >>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> >>> of a pain to use.
> >>> I'm thinking of not using it.
> >>>
> >>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> >>> that I've given up
> >>> on it.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> >>> attempted to or
> >>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use
> >>> the numeric answer
> >>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> >>> Feel free to
> >>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> >>> if you choose to
> >>> expand on the numeric answer.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Randy
> >>> AG8A
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> _____
> >>> Do you Yahoo!?
> >>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> >>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> >>>
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >>> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>
> >>

> >> --
> >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>>
> >>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >>>
> >>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> >>> Service.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >> ADVERTISEMENT
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>
> >> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >>
> >> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >

1759. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-10 18:35:28 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1739 Message: 1759

Hi Bob
Can't you find someone in your area that is using LoTW? Once you receive
your certificiate, it only takes a few minutes to convert a contest, QSOs,
etc to an ADIF file and submit to the AARL. Once you join, you will probably
find that you have a big bunch of QSLs just waiting for you on LoTW.
GL
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Date: 12/10/04 10:14:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

I am definately in Category 0.

I have tried for months to get it to work - to no avail. I have
e-mailed ARRL and support about this, and they offer to help me over
the phone, but I'm not home during working hours so I'm stuck.

I'm an IT guy, and find this program just too difficult to set up.
I've spent hours to date. Hours I would have rather spent operating.

Bob Dianetti KT8DX

1760. Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-11 06:46:47 UTC
From: k8je
Topic: 1739 Message: 1760

Randy, et. al,

Tnx for the input. Just to set the record straight, the survey Randy mentioned is being done by me. I use such periodic surveys to help me understand the thinking and mood of the Great Lakes Division members. I also listen to non-members, but it is the members who elect me and who support ARRL. Therefore, my obligation to non-members ends at the point at which I do not consider their input useful to protecting and enhancing Amateur Radio.

Frankly, there would be no Amateur Radio without a strong national organization to fight for the welfare of Amateur Radio. Therefore, I feel a personal obligation to the members and to the members, only. If anyone takes issue with this, just stop and think a minute. Would you expect the Masonic Order or the Knights of Columbus, for example, would listen to someone who does not belong to their organization? And, moreover, why should they.

Join and be counted. Join and add your support to the only organization in this country that has the interest and capability to represent Amateur Radio before the FCC, the US Congress and the several State and Local organizations. Join and have your opinions receive serious consideration. This is a democratic organization that ultimately is subject to the will of its members.

'Nuf said. 73,

Jim, K8JE
Director, Great Lakes Division, ARRL

1761. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-11 12:49:24 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1739 Message: 1761

At 10:11 AM 12/10/2004, c22yacht wrote:

>I am definately in Category 0.
>
>I have tried for months to get it to work - to no avail. I have
>e-mailed ARRL and support about this, and they offer to help me over
>the phone, but I'm not home during working hours so I'm stuck.
>
>I'm an IT guy, and find this program just too difficult to set up.
>I've spent hours to date. Hours I would have rather spent operating.

Bob,
If you're having problems, feel free to send me an e-mail - I'll be
happy to help you work through the problems you're having if I can.
Also, there's certainly other people on this list that can help you
work through whatever problems you're having.
-Rick

1762. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-11 12:54:09 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1739 Message: 1762

Bob,
There is only one underwater stone in setting up of the LoTW. If for whatever reason you once failed to install the certificate on your machine, before preparing of a new cert request you have to clean up your harddrive from all previous .tq5, tq6 files. It means, all of them. I even delete all E-Mail messages with these files enclosed, although they are not directly seen by a file manager or whatever. The rest is so straight forward that it's really very difficult to make any mistake.

The yours truly is not an IT guy at all (graduated as a mechanical engineer and in business administration), although there was no problem to install the system easily and quickly. Further to that I have published a series of articles in several magazines, mostly Russian speaking ones, on the LoTW setting up and quite a lot of people have successfully installed it and use it following the recommendations. Most of this people are barely speaking English and reading the English interface might be a challenge for them, but the system worked fine even for them.

Just try it again from the very beginning (fresh tq5, tq6) and it must work for you.

GL and 73,
Vladimir EU1SA

>
>
> I am definately in Category 0.
>
> I have tried for months to get it to work - to no avail. I have
> e-mailed ARRL and support about this, and they offer to help me over
> the phone, but I'm not home during working hours so I'm stuck.
>
> I'm an IT guy, and find this program just too difficult to set up.
> I've spent hours to date. Hours I would have rather spent operating.
>
> Bob Dianetti KT8DX
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@s...> wrote:
> >
> > Put me in # 4 also.
> >
> > 73, Carl VE9OV
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > >> From: "JV" <kt4u@a...>

> > >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:49 PM
> > >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> #4 KT4U
> > >>
> > >> -------Original Message-------
> > >>
> > >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > >> Date: 12/08/04 12:33:37
> > >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> > >>
> > >> Randy... put me in for #1
> > >>
> > >> Comment: LoTW is a great idea, but it seems to me that there's so
> > >> much
> > >> effort at being "secure" that
> > >> it's been made "a real pain". Why not issue a code number and just
> > >> let
> > >> people enter that (like a
> > >> credit card #) to ID themselves? I use the DXLab suite of logging
> > >> programs
> > >> (DXKeeper) and I can
> > >> upload to e-QSL with one keystroke. If I could do LoTW that easily,
> > >> I'd
> > >> definitely use LoTW.
> > >>
> > >> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> > >> ----- Original Message -----
> > >> From: "rlb" <printgreen@y...>
> > >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > >> Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 11:51 AM
> > >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Survey
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>> I receive an e-mail letter from our ARRL Great Lakes
> > >>> Division director on occasion and the following was in
> > >>> todays e-mail. I will be reponding to him but wonder
> > >>> if any others in different divisions are getting this?
> > >>>
> > >>> LOGBOOK OF THE WORLD
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> I request your input on the Logbook of The World
> > >>> (LoTW). If you have

> > >>> not attempted to use LoTW, yet, you can skip to the
> > >>> following topic if
> > >>> you wish. My question is directed ONLY to members who
> > >>> have tried
> > >>> Logbook.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> The question is simply, "What has been your experience
> > >>> with LoTW?" I
> > >>> need you to answer this question with one of the 6
> > >>> answers I give,
> > >>> below. If you wish to add a written explanation of
> > >>> your experience
> > >>> please do so, but please be certain to use the written
> > >>> answer only to
> > >>> expand on your numeric answer. The numeric answers
> > >>> are:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> 5. LoTW was a snap to install and use. I like
> > >>> everything about it.
> > >>>
> > >>> 4. LoTW was moderately easy to install and to use. I
> > >>> like most things
> > >>> about it and will continue to use it.
> > >>>
> > >>> 3. LoTW is OK. I had some difficulty installing it and
> > >>> in getting it to
> > >>> work for me. I'll keep using it.
> > >>>
> > >>> 2. LoTW caused me a moderate level of problems to
> > >>> install, but is easy
> > >>> to use. I'll keep using it in spite of the initial
> > >>> difficulty I had.
> > >>>
> > >>> 1. LoTW has been a pain to install and nearly as much
> > >>> of a pain to use.
> > >>> I'm thinking of not using it.
> > >>>
> > >>> 0. LoTW has been so much difficulty to install or use
> > >>> that I've given up
> > >>> on it.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Again, please answer the question ONLY if you have
> > >>> attempted to or
> > >>> succeeded to install and use LoTW. Also, please use

> > >>> the numeric answer
> > >>> that best states your position/experience with LoTW.
> > >>> Feel free to
> > >>> append written discussion beneath your numeric answer
> > >>> if you choose to
> > >>> expand on the numeric answer.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Randy
> > >>> AG8A
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> _____
> > >>> Do you Yahoo!?
> > >>> Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.
> > >>> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> > >>>
> > >>> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > >>> ADVERTISEMENT
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> --

> > >> --
> > >>> Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >>>
> > >>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > >>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >>>
> > >>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > >>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >>>
> > >>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > >>> Service.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > >> ADVERTISEMENT
> > >>
> > >>

> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >>
> > >> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> > >>
> > >> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > >> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >>
> > >> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1763. survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-11 15:28:46 UTC
From: ke7gi
Topic: 1763 Message: 1763

Put me in #4. I like and use LOTW quite a bit--but it is not always clear to me how to do a specific step. Maybe simpler directions?

73, Dave Ke7gi

1764. LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-11 17:15:11 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 1201 Message: 1764

I find the LOTW system quite easy to use.

Put me in at 4.

Bill KF8HR

1765. Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-12 02:58:29 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 1739 Message: 1765

I guess I missed the original on this. What survey and where can I find it. KI6T

1766. Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-13 03:33:58 UTC
From: k8je
Topic: 1739 Message: 1766

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Stilwell" <GARY@r...> wrote:
> I guess I missed the original on this. What survey and where can I find it. KI6T

Gary,

You didn't miss the survey. I sent it to ARRL members in the Great Lakes Division, only. It is one in a series of periodic surveys I take of members in the Division I represent. The purpose of these surveys is to learn the opinions, suggestions and general attitude of the Division consituency on a variety of issues.

I do much of my opinion gathering through my monthly (or so) E-mail newsletters to Division members. Other Directors use a variety of methods to determine the thinking of members in their respective Divisions, and this is fine.

Incidentally, in answer to a number of questions and comments placed on this reflector, LoTW is fully operational and linked to DXCC. It soon will begin to be promoted throughout the world. Insofar as I am concerned, Dave Patton, NN1N and Wayne Mills, N7NG and others of our HQ staff have done great jobs of getting a highly complicated program operating so well. Look for linkage with other ARRL awards to come along as time and budget permit.

Does LoTW perfectly? It works extremely well; however, nothing in this world works perfectly. There even is error in the atomic clock.

73,

Jim, K8JE
Director, Great Lakes Division, ARRL

1767. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-13 13:48:37 UTC
From: Winford Barnes
Topic: 1739 Message: 1767

I concur with your comments. I have been using LOTW for about a year now and like it very much. My only suggestions are that it should be promoted (noted) and WAS applications should be available. That being said I realize the tremendous amount of work and dedication devoted to this project. Congratulations to those who are diligently working to make it happen.
My only hiccups have been self inflicted and with a phone call to HQ I was able to speak with Wayne and straighten it out in short order.

DE W8XC
On Dec 12, 2004, at 10:33 PM, k8je wrote:

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Stilwell" <GARY@r...> wrote:
> > I guess I missed the original on this. What survey and where can I
> find it.   KI6T
>
> Gary,
>
> You didn't miss the survey. I sent it to ARRL members in the Great
> Lakes Division, only. It is one in a series of periodic surveys I
> take of members in the Division I represent. The purpose of these
> surveys is to learn the opinions, suggestions and general attitude of
> the Division consituency on a variety of issues.
>
> I do much of my opinion gathering through my monthly (or so) E-mail
> newsletters to Division members. Other Directors use a variety of
> methods to determine the thinking of members in their respective
> Divisions, and this is fine.
>
> Incidentally, in answer to a number of questions and comments placed
> on this reflector, LoTW is fully operational and linked to DXCC. It
> soon will begin to be promoted throughout the world. Insofar as I am
> concerned, Dave Patton, NN1N and Wayne Mills, N7NG and others of our
> HQ staff have done great jobs of getting a highly complicated program
> operating so well. Look for linkage with other ARRL awards to come
> along as time and budget permit.
>
> Does LoTW perfectly? It works extremely well; however, nothing in
> this world works perfectly. There even is error in the atomic clock.
>
> 73,
>
> Jim, K8JE
> Director, Great Lakes Division, ARRL

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>
> ADVERTISEMENT
> <111704_1104_g_300250a.gif>
> <l.gif>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> • To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> • To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> • Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>

1768. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-13 20:19:46 UTC
From: Gary Stilwell
Topic: 1739 Message: 1768

Thanks for the info reply. I have been using LOTW since the beginning and
am quite pleased with it to date. KI6T

----- Original Message -----
From: "k8je" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2004 7:33 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Stilwell" <GARY@r...> wrote:
>> I guess I missed the original on this. What survey and where can I
> find it. KI6T
>
> Gary,
>
> You didn't miss the survey. I sent it to ARRL members in the Great
> Lakes Division, only. It is one in a series of periodic surveys I
> take of members in the Division I represent. The purpose of these
> surveys is to learn the opinions, suggestions and general attitude of
> the Division consituency on a variety of issues.
>
> I do much of my opinion gathering through my monthly (or so) E-mail
> newsletters to Division members. Other Directors use a variety of
> methods to determine the thinking of members in their respective
> Divisions, and this is fine.
>
> Incidentally, in answer to a number of questions and comments placed
> on this reflector, LoTW is fully operational and linked to DXCC. It
> soon will begin to be promoted throughout the world. Insofar as I am
> concerned, Dave Patton, NN1N and Wayne Mills, N7NG and others of our
> HQ staff have done great jobs of getting a highly complicated program
> operating so well. Look for linkage with other ARRL awards to come
> along as time and budget permit.
>
> Does LoTW perfectly? It works extremely well; however, nothing in
> this world works perfectly. There even is error in the atomic clock.
>
> 73,
>
> Jim, K8JE
> Director, Great Lakes Division, ARRL
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1769. LoTW user accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-14 22:40:59 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 1769 Message: 1769

Thanks for your work Vlad! Hope to see you in Germany again next year.

For everyone's information, we have succeeded in merging most accounts for those who had more than one. There was a glitch in the email alert in that we delivered the wrong password information. If anyone has difficulties logging into the usersite after the mergers, please send an email to lotw-help@... and we will straighten it out.

Further, there will be no more "numbered, additional accounts," as Jon, KE3Z, gave us a new tool so that we can add a new certificate to an existing account. Merging these accounts turned out to be non-trivial, but it looks good now.

As we can all tell, LoTW is a long-term, in fact, never ending project that will continue to improve for both the user and the administrators. Our time is split among many, many jobs at HQ, so LoTW work gets scheduled-in like anything else.

We have been taking notes about things people have had difficulty with and are always making wish lists for a future release of TQSL. Providing (or forcing) the back-up of certificates and private keys and their associated passwords is about number one on the list. Streamlining the signing process is close to the top. Providing awards data back to the logging programs is high up there also. And the next award to be supported will be WAS and its many variants. When we started thinking about WAS we realized that our rules for the award hamper the ease of LoTW i.e., the rule that says all QSOs must be made from within 50 miles of one location. Automating this process in LoTW is going to be a challenge, but we have some good ideas in place.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov [mailto:eu1sa@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2004 7:54 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Survey

Bob,
There is only one underwater stone in setting up of the LoTW. If for whatever reason you once failed to install the certificate on your machine, before preparing of a new cert request you have to clean up your harddrive from all previous .tq5, tq6 files. It means, all of them. I even delete all E-Mail messages with these files enclosed, although they are not directly seen by a file manager or whatever. The rest is so straight forward that it's really very difficult to make any mistake.

The yours truly is not an IT guy at all (graduated as a mechanical engineer and in business administration), although there was no problem to install the system easily and quickly. Further to that I have published a series of articles in several magazines, mostly Russian speaking ones, on the LoTW setting up and quite a lot of people have successfully installed it and use

it following the recommendations. Most of this people are barely speaking English and reading the English interface might be a challenge for them, but the system worked fine even for them.

Just try it again from the very beginning (fresh tq5, tq6) and it must work for you.

GL and 73,
Vladimir EU1SA

1770. LOTW bonus points

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-15 23:44:52 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1770 Message: 1770

This is not an announcement for the contest but rather
to show you that the club I belong to is advertising
LOTW. See between ****** below.

Randy
AG8A

PSK31 Death Match - PSK31 and PSK63, sponsored by the
Michigan DX
Association, 0000Z Dec 18 - 2400Z Dec 19. Frequencies:
80 - 6 meters,
PSK31 and PSK63 count as separate "bands". Categories:
SO, Class 1
(<100W), Class 2 (<25W), Class 3 (<10W). Exchange:
Name + S/P/C. QSO
Points: 20 meters - 1 pt/QSO, 6 meters - 3 pts/QSO,
other bands - 2
pts/QSO. Bonus points: W8DXI 500 pts (once)**** and
100 pts for uploading
logs to LoTW within 30 days of contest.******* Score:
QSO Points x total
S/P/C + bonus points. For more information:
http://www.mdxa1.org/deathmatch.html. Logs due 30 days
after the
contest to k8khz@... or Brian R. Pawloski W8BRI,
PO Box 140012,
Grand Rapids MI 49514-0012.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

1771. Test - Please Disreguard

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-18 02:04:12 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1771 Message: 1771

Problems between Yahoo and my ISP.
Supposed to be fixed, just checking.

73
Bob, K1VU

1772. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW user accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-18 16:12:57 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1769 Message: 1772

Thanks for your work Vlad! Hope to see you in Germany again next year.

Hi Dave,
It's my pleasure. BTW my series of articles was published in "Radioamateur. HF and VHF" (Belarus), "Radiomir. HF and VHF" (Russia), "Radiohobby" (Ukraine) and MK QTC (Poland). The complete (united) article in Russian is available at www.qsl.net/eu1sa/lotw.htm , so if somebody from the Russian speaking world approaches you in respect of LoTW, you can re-direct him to this site for a basic info (I do hope, it will not harm LoTW so badly...).

Hope to see you next summer,

73,
Vladimir EU1SA

Bob,
There is only one underwater stone in setting up of the LoTW. If for whatever reason you once failed to install the certificate on your machine, before preparing of a new cert request you have to clean up your harddrive from all previous .tq5, tq6 files. It means, all of them. I even delete all E-Mail messages with these files enclosed, although they are not directly seen by a file manager or whatever. The rest is so straight forward that it's really very difficult to make any mistake.

The yours truly is not an IT guy at all (graduated as a mechanical engineer and in business administration), although there was no problem to install the system easily and quickly. Further to that I have published a series of articles in several magazines, mostly Russian speaking ones, on the LoTW setting up and quite a lot of people have successfully installed it and use it following the recommendations. Most of this people are barely speaking English and reading the English interface might be a challenge for them, but the system worked fine even for them.

Just try it again from the very beginning (fresh tq5, tq6) and it must work for you.

GL and 73,
Vladimir EU1SA

1773. question about qsl search

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-23 14:20:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1773 Message: 1773

I've noticed this frequently.
If I search for 'Recent QSL'S' on the LoTW site using my present call,
I get a pretty quick return.
but
If I search for 'Recent QSL'S on the site for one of my older calls,
it takes a moment or two to complete the search.

Just for curiosity, I'm wondering if the LoTW search software keeps
more recent info in a different type of memory, sort of a prefetch system.
Than it does for qso's/qsl's with more distant dates.

As my present call has more qso's, I don't think it's just the size of the search.

I was just wondering, that's all.

Love may be blind, but marriage is a real eye-opener.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1774. Merry Christmas

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-24 15:56:07 UTC
From: Tom Horton
Topic: 1774 Message: 1774

Merry Christmas to everyone!
Tom

September 11, 2001
We can never, never forget !
If we aren't free and alive,
absolutely nothing else matters !

Tom Horton K5IID
Hillsboro, TX
USA-CA All Counties 661---DXCC---5 BAND DXCC
WPX HONOR ROLL---5 BAND WAC---WAZ

Finally back home in Texas after all these years!

"E" sorter for the ARRL W5 QSL bureau

1775. LOTW set up explanation and site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2004-12-29 11:17:14 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1775 Message: 1775

I came across this today while reading a post on
QSLing on eham.net.

http://www.aa5au.com/lotw_beta.htm

While this has to do with the beta version. I was
wondering if some of the people on the list could take
a look at it and see what they thought of it and if it
was accurate and it was something that we might
recommend.

Quite interesting as it gives screen shots that might
make it easier to set up LOTW.

Randy
AG8A

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1776. 2004 belly belly gud LoTW year

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-01 13:33:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1776 Message: 1776

A DXBase2005 report by Joe Glockner showing LoTW qsl stats.

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwmnthyr.txt

If your advance is going well, you are walking into an ambush.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1777. I got a bunch more of 9X5AA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-01 19:36:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1777 Message: 1777

qso's up onto LoTW today.
Only 30 more pages of logs, Should have them by the time the bowl season/playoff is over.

If you worked 9X5AA back in 1989 and you don't see a LoTW qsl, pse email me as deciphering the writing is sort of 'black magic' at best.

A government big enough to give you everything you want is a government big enough to take away everything you have. --President Gerald Ford
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1778. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I got a bunch more of 9X5AA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-02 02:36:02 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 1777 Message: 1778

I do see a 9X5AA in my log that does not show a QSL on
LOTW.

WB6EUQ 1989-06-17, 19:51, 15M SSB

WB6EUQ is one of my previous calls.

Thanks for uploading all of those logs!

Bob
W1RH

--- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:

> qso's up onto LoTW today.
> Only 30 more pages of logs, Should have them by the
> time the bowl season/playoff is over.
>
> If you worked 9X5AA back in 1989 and you don't see a
> LoTW qsl, pse email me as deciphering
> the writing is sort of 'black magic' at best.
>
>
>
>
>

> A government big enough to give you everything you
> want is a government big enough to take
> away everything you have. --President Gerald Ford
>

>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

1779. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I got a bunch more of 9X5AA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-02 02:37:41 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 1777 Message: 1779

Sorry, did not mean for this one to go out over the
list.

Bob
--- Robert Hess <w1rh@...> wrote:

> I do see a 9X5AA in my log that does not show a QSL
> on
> LOTW.
>
> WB6EUQ 1989-06-17, 19:51, 15M SSB
>
> WB6EUQ is one of my previous calls.
>
> Thanks for uploading all of those logs!
>
> Bob
> W1RH
>
> --- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> > qso's up onto LoTW today.
> > Only 30 more pages of logs, Should have them by
> the
> > time the bowl season/playoff is over.
> >
> > If you worked 9X5AA back in 1989 and you don't see
> a
> > LoTW qsl, pse email me as deciphering
> > the writing is sort of 'black magic' at best.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> > A government big enough to give you everything you
> > want is a government big enough to take
> > away everything you have. --President Gerald Ford
> >
>

> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland

> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
>
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Find what you need with new enhanced search.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

1780. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I got a bunch more of 9X5AA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-02 03:45:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1777 Message: 1780

I'm confused, I have it perfectly correct in the log.
Also when I checked the LoTW file, it shows a LoTW qsl with WB6EUQ at the correct time date and band.

Try checking again...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Hess" <w1rh@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 01, 2005 8:36 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I got a bunch more of 9X5AA

>I do see a 9X5AA in my log that does not show a QSL on
> LOTW.
>
> WB6EUQ 1989-06-17, 19:51, 15M SSB
>
> WB6EUQ is one of my previous calls.
>
> Thanks for uploading all of those logs!
>
> Bob
> W1RH
>
> --- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
>> qso's up onto LoTW today.
>> Only 30 more pages of logs, Should have them by the
>> time the bowl season/playoff is over.
>>
>> If you worked 9X5AA back in 1989 and you don't see a
>> LoTW qsl, pse email me as deciphering
>> the writing is sort of 'black magic' at best.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> ----------
>> A government big enough to give you everything you
>> want is a government big enough to take
>> away everything you have. --President Gerald Ford
>>
> ----------
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland

>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> _____________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1781. Finally Hit 10% QSLs Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:21:02 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 1781 Message: 1781

120 out of 1200! According to the stats (2 million ot of 60 million
= 3%), I'm way ahead of the average.

73 de

Eric
KG6MZS

1782. dupes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-09 07:08:48 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 1782 Message: 1782

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Something is wrong with the LoTW, as I received few confirmations,
marked as Challenge new ones on the LoTW, while these entities were
already checked by ARRL, and I have them credited in "DXCC Award
Credits Listing".

--
73...Art RX9TX 1/9/2005 7:04 UTC

"Keep your face to the sunshine and you cannot see the shadow." (Helen Keller)

1783. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] dupes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-09 13:52:53 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1782 Message: 1783

Art,

I had this problem and was told that there had been some problems on early LoTW submissions. An email to the LoTW help address got the problem fixed.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 12:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] dupes

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Something is wrong with the LoTW, as I received few confirmations, marked as Challenge new ones on the LoTW, while these entities were already checked by ARRL, and I have them credited in "DXCC Award Credits Listing".

--
73...Art RX9TX 1/9/2005 7:04 UTC

"Keep your face to the sunshine and you cannot see the shadow." (Helen Keller)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1784. Re: dupes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-09 22:51:09 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 1782 Message: 1784

Guys,

I called LOTW on Friday because a few of my contacts showed up as pending credits...when I had already received them as a LOTW confirmed.....

According to the LOTW desk, there is a problem with LOTW...apparently when the "other" stations resubmit a log, it'll show as a pending for you...

They said the LOTW links to the DXCC record daily and the "dupes" get overwritten with the correct info....so recheck your pending credits after a few days and you should see them "disappear"...

They said this "problem" will be corrected soon....

73

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@t...> wrote:
>
> Art,
>
> I had this problem and was told that there had been some problems on early LoTW submissions. An email to the LoTW help address got the problem fixed.
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb@r...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Art RX9TX
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 12:08 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] dupes
>
>
> Hello ARRL-LOTW,
>
> Something is wrong with the LoTW, as I received few confirmations,

> marked as Challenge new ones on the LoTW, while these
entities were
> already checked by ARRL, and I have them credited in "DXCC
Award
> Credits Listing".
>
>
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 1/9/2005 7:04 UTC
>
> "Keep your face to the sunshine and you cannot see the
shadow." (Helen Keller)
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.

1785. Website down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-10 17:28:32 UTC
From: Aurélio da Costa
Topic: 1785 Message: 1785

Is it me or is there a problem with LOTW website? Can not get in.
73, Leo CT1CSN

1786. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Website down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-10 17:30:01 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1785 Message: 1786

It's Down.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL Card Checker
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Aurélio da Costa
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 10:28 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Website down

Is it me or is there a problem with LOTW website? Can not get in.
73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1787. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Website down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-10 23:54:29 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1785 Message: 1787

It was back up this afternoon.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 12:28 1/10/2005 , you wrote:

>Is it me or is there a problem with LOTW website? Can not get in.
>73, Leo CT1CSN
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1788. Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 13:17:07 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1788 Message: 1788

Gents,
For some reason I don't see anymore a column showing new credits towards DXCC which normally come upon receipt of a new QSL giving a new band/mode. The new ones come and they are included and counted into the overall awards table, but are not seen in the "Your QSL" table.

Is anybody else faced the same problem? Or maybe I have checked some wrong box somehow/somewhere? Anyway, how can I turn it back?

Thanks and 73,

Vladimir EU1SA

1789. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 14:19:56 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 1788 Message: 1789

Vladimir,

Try clicking on the "Awards" tab and on that page, link to your DXCC account by selecting the account and then clicking on "Select DXCC Award Account." Then, when you go to "Your QSO's" and select "Most Recent QSL's" you should see a column with the new ones that will apply to your DXCC indicated by a check mark.

I hope that answers your question.

CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN

At 01:17 PM 01/13/2005 +0000, you wrote:
>Gents,
>For some reason I don't see anymore a column showing new credits towards
>DXCC which normally come upon receipt of a new QSL giving a new band/mode.
>The new ones come and they are included and counted into the overall awards
>table, but are not seen in the "Your QSL" table.
>
>Is anybody else faced the same problem? Or maybe I have checked some wrong
>box somehow/somewhere? Anyway, how can I turn it back?
>
>Thanks and 73,
>
>Vladimir EU1SA

1790. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 14:33:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1788 Message: 1790

I think what Vlad means is...that he saw an extra column in the Your QSO's screen that had a check mark in it.
I've seen it also.
I 'think' that column only appears for qso's that are available to submit for credit on that particular page.
If there are none, that column is not put into the screen.

It's linked to the qso's that are marked in the Selected column of the Awards screen.
Again I 'think' this is what happens
If two qsl for the same band/mode/etc are available to be submitted for credit that column in Your QSO'S appears allowing you to select which of the qsl's you want to be the one that's credited.

But then I have been having a bad month so far and probably have this totally wrong. :-(((

On 1/13/2005 8:19:56 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Vladimir,
>
>
>
> Try clicking on the "Awards" tab and on that page, link to your DXCC
>
>
> account by selecting the account and then clicking on
> "Select DXCC Award
> Account." Then, when you go to "Your QSO's" and
> select "Most Recent QSL's"
>
> you should see a column with the new ones that will apply to your DXCC
>
> indicated by a check mark.
>
>
>
> I hope that answers your question.
>
>
>
> CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN
>
>
>
>
>
>
> At 01:17 PM 01/13/2005 +0000, you wrote:
>

> >Gents,
>
> >For some reason I don't see anymore a column showing new credits towards
> >DXCC which normally come upon receipt of a new QSL giving a new band/mode.
> >The new ones come and they are included and counted into the overall awards
> >table, but are not seen in the "Your QSL" table.
> >
> >Is anybody else faced the same problem? Or maybe I have checked some wrong
> >box somehow/somewhere? Anyway, how can I turn it back?
> >
> >Thanks and 73,
> >
> >Vladimir EU1SA
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

1791. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 15:04:52 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1788 Message: 1791

I have also seen that, and also "think" (because I have not seen any explanation of that, maybe just missed it somewhere) it offers to chose one of two or more options, but I meant that just the whole "DXCC" column completely disappeared in my case. Now it's back again, thatks to Clark!

73,
Vladimir EU1SA

>
> I think what Vlad means is...that he saw an extra column in the Your QSO's screen that had
> a check mark in it.
> I've seen it also.
> I 'think' that column only appears for qso's that are available to submit for credit on
> that particular page.
> If there are none, that column is not put into the screen.
>
> It's linked to the qso's that are marked in the Selected column of the Awards screen.
> Again I 'think' this is what happens
> If two qsl for the same band/mode/etc are available to be submitted for credit that column
> in Your QSO'S appears allowing you to select which of the qsl's you want to be the one
> that's credited.
>
> But then I have been having a bad month so far and probably have this totally wrong. :-(((
>
>
>
>
> On 1/13/2005 8:19:56 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> > Vladimir,
> >
> >
> >
> > Try clicking on the "Awards" tab and on that page, link to your DXCC
> >
> >
> >
> > account by selecting the account and then clicking on
> > "Select DXCC Award
> > Account." Then, when you go to "Your QSO's" and
> > select "Most Recent QSL's"

> >
> > you should see a column with the new ones that will apply to your DXCC
> >
> > indicated by a check mark.
> >
> >
> >
> > I hope that answers your question.
> >
> >
> >
> > CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > At 01:17 PM 01/13/2005 +0000, you wrote:
> >
> > >Gents,
> >
> > >For some reason I don't see anymore a column showing new credits
towards
> > >DXCC which normally come upon receipt of a new QSL giving a new
band/mode.
> > >The new ones come and they are included and counted into the overall
awards
> > >table, but are not seen in the "Your QSL" table.
> > >
> > >Is anybody else faced the same problem? Or maybe I have checked some
wrong
> > >box somehow/somewhere? Anyway, how can I turn it back?
> > >
> > >Thanks and 73,
> > >
> > >Vladimir EU1SA
> >
> >
>
> --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

1792. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 15:05:54 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 1788 Message: 1792

Clark,
Thanks, it really works!!!
I used to miss the "DXCC" column for a few weeks when clicking on "Your QSLs" right after logging in. Now, following your advise I first entered the "Awards" subpage and selected my account. When I clicked on "Your QSLs" AFTER that, the "DXCC" column returned back!
It's quite weird, but anyway.
Now I really see everything I want to see, for example:

Call sign Worked Date/Time Band Mode Freq QSL DXCC
Details EU1SA VP8SDX 2001-05-01 15:46:00 20M CW FALKLAND ISLANDS
20M; Challenge
Details EU1SA NA2NA 2000-03-04 16:55:00 10M SSB UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Details EU1SA M3OKU 2003-02-08 08:39:00 20M RTTY ENGLAND
Details EU1SA M3OKU 2003-01-05 18:44:00 40M RTTY ENGLAND
Details EU1SA M3OKU 2003-01-05 07:21:00 20M RTTY ENGLAND
Details EU1SA 9G5GA 2003-02-13 16:42:00 10M SSB GHANA
Details EU1SA 9G5GA 2003-02-09 21:54:00 20M RTTY GHANA RTTY
Details EU1SA M0ZAK 2002-11-23 08:43:00 15M CW ENGLAND
Details EU1SA YV0D 2004-08-04 04:11:00 20M SSB AVES ISLAND
20M; Challenge; Mixed; Phone
Details EU1SA K3WW 2004-05-30 16:57:00 15M CW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Thanks again and
73,
Vladimir EU1SA

----- Original Message -----
From: "Clark L. Stewart" <w8tn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 2:19 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

> Vladimir,
>
> Try clicking on the "Awards" tab and on that page, link to your DXCC
> account by selecting the account and then clicking on "Select DXCC Award
> Account." Then, when you go to "Your QSO's" and select "Most Recent
QSL's"
> you should see a column with the new ones that will apply to your DXCC
> indicated by a check mark.
>
> I hope that answers your question.
>

> CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN
>
>
> At 01:17 PM 01/13/2005 +0000, you wrote:
> >Gents,
> >For some reason I don't see anymore a column showing new credits towards
> >DXCC which normally come upon receipt of a new QSL giving a new
band/mode.
> >The new ones come and they are included and counted into the overall
awards
> >table, but are not seen in the "Your QSL" table.
> >
> >Is anybody else faced the same problem? Or maybe I have checked some
wrong
> >box somehow/somewhere? Anyway, how can I turn it back?
> >
> >Thanks and 73,
> >
> >Vladimir EU1SA
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

1793. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing DXCC credit

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-13 15:55:37 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1788 Message: 1793

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Clark L. Stewart wrote:
| Vladimir,
|
| Try clicking on the "Awards" tab and on that page, link to your
DXCC
| account by selecting the account and then clicking on "Select DXCC Award
| Account." Then, when you go to "Your QSO's" and select "Most Recent
QSL's"
| you should see a column with the new ones that will apply to your DXCC
| indicated by a check mark.

It's not actually necessary to go to the "Awards" tab. At the bottom of
the "Select QSOs to list" form, there is a drop-down for "Award
Account". Click on it and select the Award account you want to use in
the QSO diaplsy. For most of us, there will only be one, but if you've
got more than one DXCC account (perhaps from different countries), it
will show more than one. I presume that when the WAS award is tied in,
you'll have the choice of showing WAS or DXCC status, if you have both
accounts.

I've pretty much gotten to where I do this by reflex. Going to the
Awards tab and selecting your account merely causes the "Award Account"
to default to your DXCC account instead of '- None -' (until the current
session ends, or you change it). Just changing it on the "Your QSO's"
tab does the same, and requires less clicking.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFB5pn4RgRgmxNburQRAo5fAJ9mY0H6QxiA8UvzKQRvTgzT9p5w3ACaAqi0
1n/LogGOE+Id1wsx7gc3bSc=
=MmCU
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1794. Latest News

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:31:49 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1794 Message: 1794

For those who missed this announcement:

Jan 11, 2005: User Tip #1: Using LoTW to Validate Log Data -- After you place log data into LoTW, it is a good idea to make sure you have some data matches (QSLs). In most cases, if you submit a fairly large log (>100 QSOs) made since about 1995 forward, there will be matches.

If you have zero matches, then it is very likely that something is wrong with your log or your submission. Some of these problems could include (1) The times are wrong in your log; (2) You used the wrong certificate to sign the log data (assigning the wrong call to each of the QSOs you submit); (3) Your log data is very specialized and contains QSOs with stations that have not yet begun to use LoTW.

If you have no matches, it is also useful to look in your log for certain stations that have hundreds of thousands of QSOs in LoTW, such as K9NS, HC8N, 9V1YC, and KC1XX. If you have any of those stations in your log, and you don't have a match, it is likely that your log has a problem.

You can also use the Find Call button to check and see if any particular call has logs in the system. You won't be able to access any other user's QSO data, but you can at least tell if there has been some LoTW activity with that callsign.

A troubleshooting example that occurred recently was that of a station which submitted logs containing about 75,000 QSOs, yet there were only a hundred or so matches. Closer inspection revealed that the RTTY logs were OK (RTTY QSOs were matched) but the general logging program's log had the times off by a number of hours so there were very few matches for other modes (believe it or not the other matches likely occurred because the other station had likely used the same faulty software!). After the QSO times were fixed and the log resubmitted, the number of matches numbered in the thousands. In the event that you do submit a fixed-up log, your QSO totals on the user site will increase by that log amount. You cannot delete the first log, but it is harmless and will not be matched by others.

If you are really stumped over problems with log data, as always you can write us at lotw-help@.... The many logging programs also have excellent support and user groups.

Users report routinely achieving matches on QSO data from back in the mid-1970s, so apparently lots of people have computerized their log data. So, have fun with LoTW as it continues to grow.

****
My Page at http://cablespeed.com/~k8gvk/

1795. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest News

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:44:19 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1794 Message: 1795

How close do the times have to be? Are we talking minutes, hours, etc? I worked one dxpedition that had one computer that was off four minutes. There has got to be some error factor.
JV
==========
Some of these problems could include (1)
The times are wrong in your log;

1796. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest News

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:57:12 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1794 Message: 1796

I believe that it has to be within 30 minutes.

Randy
AG8A
--- JV <kt4u@...> wrote:

> How close do the times have to be? Are we
> talking minutes, hours, etc? I
> worked one dxpedition that had one computer that was
> off four minutes. There
> has got to be some error factor.
> JV
> ====================
> Some of these problems could include (1)
> The times are wrong in your log;

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1797. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Latest News

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 21:43:50 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1794 Message: 1797

Thank you Randy. That sounds reasonable. JV

-------Original Message-------
I believe that it has to be within 30 minutes.
Randy
AG8A
--- JV <kt4u@...> wrote:
> How close do the times have to be? Are we
> talking minutes, hours, etc? I
> worked one dxpedition that had one computer that was
> off four minutes. There
> has got to be some error factor.
> JV
> ====================
> Some of these problems could include (1)
> The times are wrong in your log;

1798. Log Times

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 22:04:32 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1798 Message: 1798

In answer to the time match querry, see below.

What constitutes a QSO "match?"
To match, the two QSO records (yours and the other station's) must have:

a.. Call of each QSO matches own call of the other (including portable identifiers)
b.. The modes are in the same mode group (e.g., SSB and PHONE match because both are PHONE)
c.. The date/time values are the same within 30 minutes (all times are UTC)
****
See the LOTW FAQ's for in depth discussion

1799. anyone submitted a Hybrid this year?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-17 22:52:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1799 Message: 1799

I submitted the LoTW part of my Hybrid on Jan 3rd.
I also mailed the hardcard part on the 3rd.
It was received and 'logged in' on the 6th.

So has anyone submitted this year and has the submission been completed?

I think my family tree is a few branches short.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1800. Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-22 16:28:51 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1800 Message: 1800

Hi Guys:
Well it finally happened !!! Same QSO confirmed TWICE by LoTW!!!

A few days ago a U.S. ham re-uploaded his log bringing his QSO's
with me to the top of the list of "QSO's Received".

When I started checking the LoTW confirmed QSO's, I found 2 QSO's
on the same date and time, but differing by 34 seconds.

Back during the BETA testing I complained to the LoTW people about
the possibility of this occurring when LoTW allowed you to upload a
revised log without(LoTW) deleting the old QSO data from its database.

I have uploaded 5,409 VALID QSO's to LoTW.

LoTW reports that I have a total of 7,891 QSO records uploaded and have
567 QSL records matching.

The bad side is that LoTW has 2,482 bad QSO's from me in its' database.

The good side is that I have 10.4% conformation rate.

The bottom line question is:

If I have some "Double QSO Confirmations", how many of you ALSO have
"Double QSO Confirmations" from LoTW ???

I down load my LoTW "Detailed Report" twice a month, (1st and 15th) so
I can try to back track when this error occurred.

FYI:
I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception
of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
and my general (Daily Logs).

My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
Why pass them onto the world.

73
Bob, K1VU

1801. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 01:48:39 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1800 Message: 1801

...snip...

> FYI:
> I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception
> of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
> and my general (Daily Logs).
>
> My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
> Why pass them onto the world.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU

Bob,

I thought the logic was similar to receiving 15 QSL cards from the same station, confirming the same QSO 15 times--what does it hurt? Due to difficult security issues, this was deemed better than allowing users to delete stuff from the log.

What's the harm in getting a QSO confirmed again?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1802. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 01:55:09 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1800 Message: 1802

What is the problem?
A dupe QSL does not change country, band, state, grid totals....
Annoying, mildly, life threatening, hardly......

How do you promote LoTW by boycotting it?

You have a nice confirmation rate.....Don't you think others would like
their rate to be as high?

Upload your QSO's and give another ham a chance.....

Jim

> Hi Guys:
> Well it finally happened !!! Same QSO confirmed TWICE by LoTW!!!
>
> A few days ago a U.S. ham re-uploaded his log bringing his QSO's
> with me to the top of the list of "QSO's Received".
>
> When I started checking the LoTW confirmed QSO's, I found 2 QSO's
> on the same date and time, but differing by 34 seconds.
>
> Back during the BETA testing I complained to the LoTW people about
> the possibility of this occurring when LoTW allowed you to upload a
> revised log without(LoTW) deleting the old QSO data from its database.
>
> I have uploaded 5,409 VALID QSO's to LoTW.
>
> LoTW reports that I have a total of 7,891 QSO records uploaded and have
> 567 QSL records matching.
>
> The bad side is that LoTW has 2,482 bad QSO's from me in its' database.
>
> The good side is that I have 10.4% conformation rate.
>
> The bottom line question is:
>
> If I have some "Double QSO Confirmations", how many of you ALSO have
> "Double QSO Confirmations" from LoTW ???
>
> I down load my LoTW "Detailed Report" twice a month, (1st and 15th) so
> I can try to back track when this error occurred.
>
> FYI:
> I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception

> of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
> and my general (Daily Logs).
>
> My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
> Why pass them onto the world.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1803. LOGGING BY SECONDS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 02:20:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1803 Message: 1803

just a little comment.
There has been a move to log by seconds.
County chasers, Lighthouse are frequently multiple qso's.
Consequently to log a separate Lighthouse, multiple entries, a second or two apart result.

Is it possible that these multiple qso's could have been the result of one of these newer logging programs?

If it's not in the computer, it doesn't exist.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1804. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 04:12:11 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1800 Message: 1804

Ford:
I've been fighting with you "LEAGUE PEOPLE" since the Beta Phase of LoTW !!!

This post has nothing to do with the LOGIC program at ALL!!

I'm using a second copy of LOGIC on my computer JUST to display the IMPORTED "lotwreport.adi" file results from LoTW that I download twice a month !!!

THIS SHOWS UP ALL THE BAD QSO records that LoTW has credited to my account. It also shows up all of the missing CQ Zones, ITU Zones, Grids, Counties that LoTW users have failed to include in their "Station Data Setup" !!!

With this kind of support, LoTW will be useless for anything except DXCC.

If you "LEAGUE PEOPLE" want LoTW JUST to be a DXCC utility, so be it !!! Most of us were expecting much more support from LoTW like WAS and VUCC for League Awards.

We were also hoping that LoTW might be used by other Awards from CQ, IOTA, etc. !!!

When a "QSO Record" is missing the required details, it renders the ENTIRE "LoTW Record" useless to most users !!!

The bottom line is, if LoTW tells me that I have 566 HITS, but Really I only have 565 what am I supposed to think ??? I don't know how many other QSO's are messed up in my account yet !!! Paper and Pencil time !!!

LoTW worried so much about "Security", I now think it dropped the ball on "Data Integrity" !!!!

Now that I can't rely on LoTW's calculations, I have to do my own on a scratch pad !!!! Just like I used to do before LoTW.

Last thing Ford:
With your "Snipping Out" of the main points of my post, you seemed to have missed the main subject of my post and you are trying to turn it into a "Logging Problem" !!!

It's a "LoTW Problem" !!!

You "LEAGUE PEOPLE" just don't get it at all !!!

BTW:
Seeing that you are a "League" rep., why don't you help the "0 Landers" get their "Station Data" correct ???
They are the represent the largest % of offenders of incomplete data !!!
(Caveat: In most cases) Hi Hi !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 20:48 1/22/2005, Ford Peterson wrote:

>...snip...
>
>> FYI:
>> I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception
>> of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
>> and my general (Daily Logs).
>>
>> My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
>> Why pass them onto the world.
>>
>> 73
>> Bob, K1VU
>
>Bob,
>
>I thought the logic was similar to receiving 15 QSL cards from the same station, confirming the same QSO 15 times--what does it hurt? Due to difficult security issues, this was deemed better than allowing users to delete stuff from the log.
>
>What's the harm in getting a QSO confirmed again?
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1805. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 04:23:48 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1800 Message: 1805

Hi Jim:
"Crap is Crap" !!! "LoTW is LoTW" !!!

Why upload more QSO's that are worthless to both me or others ???

If you are only a DXCC'er, well good luck to you, If you are
interested in anything else then send the CORRECT and COMPLETE
data to LoTW for your QSO's !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 20:55 1/22/2005, you wrote:

>What is the problem?
>A dupe QSL does not change country, band, state, grid totals....
>Annoying, mildly, life threatening, hardly......
>
>How do you promote LoTW by boycotting it?
>
>You have a nice confirmation rate.....Don't you think others would like
>their rate to be as high?
>
>Upload your QSO's and give another ham a chance.....
>
>Jim
>
>>
>> Hi Guys:
>> Well it finally happened !!! Same QSO confirmed TWICE by LoTW!!!
>>
>> A few days ago a U.S. ham re-uploaded his log bringing his QSO's
>> with me to the top of the list of "QSO's Received".
>>
>> When I started checking the LoTW confirmed QSO's, I found 2 QSO's
>> on the same date and time, but differing by 34 seconds.
>>
>> Back during the BETA testing I complained to the LoTW people about
>> the possibility of this occurring when LoTW allowed you to upload a
>> revised log without(LoTW) deleting the old QSO data from its database.
>>
>> I have uploaded 5,409 VALID QSO's to LoTW.
>>
>> LoTW reports that I have a total of 7,891 QSO records uploaded and have
>> 567 QSL records matching.
>>

>> The bad side is that LoTW has 2,482 bad QSO's from me in its' database.
>>
>> The good side is that I have 10.4% conformation rate.
>>
>> The bottom line question is:
>>
>> If I have some "Double QSO Confirmations", how many of you ALSO have
>> "Double QSO Confirmations" from LoTW ???
>>
>> I down load my LoTW "Detailed Report" twice a month, (1st and 15th) so
>> I can try to back track when this error occurred.
>>
>> FYI:
>> I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception
>> of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
>> and my general (Daily Logs).
>>
>> My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
>> Why pass them onto the world.
>>
>> 73
>> Bob, K1VU
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1809. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 06:04:52 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1800 Message: 1809

> Ford:
> I've been fighting with you "LEAGUE PEOPLE" since the Beta Phase of LoTW !!!

Fighting? Why would you need to fight with anybody?

>
> This post has nothing to do with the LOGIC program at ALL!!
>
> I'm using a second copy of LOGIC on my computer JUST to display the IMPORTED
> "lotwreport.adi" file results from LoTW that I download twice a month !!!

I think I was addressing the issue of multiple QSO reports. I have no problem with LOGIC. I know a number of hams that use LOGIC to track their QSOs and they like it.

>
> THIS SHOWS UP ALL THE BAD QSO records that LoTW has credited to my account.
> It also shows up all of the missing CQ Zones, ITU Zones, Grids, Counties
> that LoTW users have failed to include in their "Station Data Setup" !!!

I am sure there are a number of hams that are confused as to how the system works. All I can do is make an effort to ensure that the QSOs I upload are accurate. I've accounted for the several QTHs I've been in over the past 15 or 20 years. The county data and grid data is correct for the QSOs I've uploaded. If there are people using the system incorrectly, they should be encouraged to correct their certificate and upload the log again.

>
> With this kind of support, LoTW will be useless for anything except DXCC.

Unfortunately, you are correct in assuming that LOTW only supports DXCC at this time. I am hopeful that the people developing the system will incorporate the other aspects of paper collecting as well--counties, VUCC, WAS, etc. Until there is support for these other awards, people sharing their precious logs will find little use in accurate certificates besides DXCC support.

>
> If you "LEAGUE PEOPLE" want LoTW JUST to be a DXCC utility, so be it !!!
> Most of us were expecting much more support from LoTW like WAS and VUCC for
> League Awards.

Hmmm. You "league people?" I don't call you names. Why would you be angry with me? I too am anticipating an expansion of the awards process. But the changes demand additional development $$$,$$$. Somebody has to come up with the $$$ to make it happen. And $$$ doesn't grow on trees.

>
> We were also hoping that LoTW might be used by other Awards from CQ, IOTA,
> etc. !!!

Amen brother Bob!

>
> When a "QSO Record" is missing the required details, it renders the ENTIRE
> "LoTW Record" useless to most users !!!

Amen brother Bob!

>
> The bottom line is, if LoTW tells me that I have 566 HITS, but Really I only
> have 565 what am I supposed to think ??? I don't know how many other QSO's
> are messed up in my account yet !!! Paper and Pencil time !!!

Welcome to the real world of data integrity.

>
> LoTW worried so much about "Security", I now think it dropped the ball on
> "Data Integrity" !!!!

I think you are blaming these problems on LOTW when in reality the problem is with individual users. Training, support, and effort on the part of all users is needed for the data to be accurate. Expecting the ARRL to track down every user to see if they really did live in or traveled to, for example, McIntosh County ND is beyond unrealistic. The users need to ensure that their certificate is accurate.

>
> Now that I can't rely on LoTW's calculations, I have to do my own on a
> scratch pad !!!! Just like I used to do before LoTW.
>
> Last thing Ford:
> With your "Snipping Out" of the main points of my post, you seemed to have
> missed the main subject of my post and you are trying to turn it into a
> "Logging Problem" !!!
>
> It's a "LoTW Problem" !!!

I think it is a reality problem Bob. Welcome to the real world of dealing with the public. Garbage in, garbage out. It's as simple as that. All the "support" you seem to think the ARRL is capable of providing can not resolve the problems with hams failing to take the time to report their location when they upload their logs.

>
> You "LEAGUE PEOPLE" just don't get it at all !!!

League people? I am just a guy that enjoys ham radio with gusto. I have never received one dime from the ARRL. Never. Quite the contrary, the money tends to flow the other way.

>
> BTW:
> Seeing that you are a "League" rep., why don't you help the "0 Landers"
> get their "Station Data" correct ???
> They are the represent the largest % of offenders of incomplete data !!!
> (Caveat: In most cases) Hi Hi !!!

"League" rep? Perhaps you can explain what you mean. I have no clue how I managed to strike such a sensitive nerve with you. I think I was attempting to explain a LOTW 'feature', not a bug... You, on the other hand, seem hell bent on laying blame on the ARRL for issues well beyond their control.

>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU

Have a great day Bob. Enjoy your radios and your computers. Enjoy those folks you interact with on your radio. Be an elmer to those that are ignorant of the subtle nuances of LOTW. This is a hobby. I think the whole world will be a better place if you put this in perspective a bit.

END OF THREAD!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1811. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Double QSO Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 12:15:01 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos, KT1V
Topic: 1800 Message: 1811

Disclaimer: its too early, I haven't had enough coffee, but I'm still right!

Bob, I just received a *duplicate* QSL card from P5 - I'm PISSED OFF!!!!!
I don't need no stinking duplicate QSL cards.

And ON4UN keeps sending me cards everytime we work on 80m - I think I'll
bad mouth his book. And those JAs and DLs so many duplicate cards!!!!

And KP1XX keeps sending me QSL cards too :)

Bob, nothing personal, but please find something worth complaining about,
or everyone will think your an ornery old man like me!
It snowing too - that is imperfect as well,

73 - I mean it
Ted KT1V old ornery and absolutely 100% correct dude

At 11:28 AM 1/22/2005, R Johnson wrote:
>Hi Guys:
>Well it finally happened !!! Same QSO confirmed TWICE by LoTW!!!
>
>A few days ago a U.S. ham re-uploaded his log bringing his QSO's
>with me to the top of the list of "QSO's Received".
>
>When I started checking the LoTW confirmed QSO's, I found 2 QSO's
>on the same date and time, but differing by 34 seconds.
>
>Back during the BETA testing I complained to the LoTW people about
>the possibility of this occurring when LoTW allowed you to upload a
>revised log without(LoTW) deleting the old QSO data from its database.
>
>I have uploaded 5,409 VALID QSO's to LoTW.
>
>LoTW reports that I have a total of 7,891 QSO records uploaded and have
>567 QSL records matching.
>
>The bad side is that LoTW has 2,482 bad QSO's from me in its' database.
>
>The good side is that I have 10.4% conformation rate.
>
>The bottom line question is:
>
>If I have some "Double QSO Confirmations", how many of you ALSO have
>"Double QSO Confirmations" from LoTW ???
>
>I down load my LoTW "Detailed Report" twice a month, (1st and 15th) so

>I can try to back track when this error occurred.
>
>FYI:
>I ceased to upload any my contest logs as of 7/30/03 with the exception
>of my VHF/UHF logs (I'm still trying to promote LoTW to the Non-Users)
>and my general (Daily Logs).
>
>My Reason ??? LoTW has identified problems which haven't been addressed.
>Why pass them onto the world.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1812. W9OL LoTW results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-23 13:50:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1812 Message: 1812

this report is generated by DXBase2005
https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

I too wish that LoTW was available for the other ARRL awards.
I too wish that LoTW was connected to IOTA Awards.
I too wish that LoTW was connected to Lighthouses, Castles, and McDonalds I stopped at while traveling Awards.

But it isn't.....as yet. Maybe it won't be before I go to that great Hamvention in the sky.

I too wish that LoTW had more data checking. Like for those people in Missouri and Alaska who incorrectly spell the name of the county they live in.
(I won't mention the counties to protect the spelling bee challenged.)
(Fortunately I live in Cook, and USUALLY get it right.)

Now my data in the above mentioned report is slightly skewed.
Seems that some just re-submit their entire log every few weeks instead of just submitting qso's since last submission.
(Which is sort of like receiving the 3rd qsl for that contest back in 1993 via the ARRL Bureau).
It's not a big deal, doesn't cause me any pain.
I don't go into a rant against 'LEAGUE PEOPLE' because of it.
Even though I think the LoTW software could recognize it as a dupe and not 'process' it.

Software I use also has a 'person said he was in CQ Zone xx and ITU Zone yy but he may have goofed' feature.

So should I dump LoTW because someone incorrectly entered the Zone they live in.
Seems like overkill to me.

I too wish LoTW was farther along, but at this point I'm not going to sweat the 'little' things.

That being said. NO ONE IS MORE ORNERY AND CANTAKEROUS than I.
When I retired, my crew gave me an award for being the 'First Surviving Heart Donor'.

Work smarder and not harder and be careful of yor speling.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1816. Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 17:37:05 UTC
From: c22yacht
Topic: 1816 Message: 1816

Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves mes LOFL, as I cannot get the thing to work.

ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I have more darn "certificate requests" submitted that its all botched up.

ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want people to use it. Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals Association (www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.

I am an IT professional and technical writer by the way, so I'm no babe in the woods when it comes to software usage and installation. This thing is just plain bad.

73s and good luck
Bob KT8DX

1817. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 17:53:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1816 Message: 1817

Sorry to hear that Bob.
I admit it may seem a little cumbersome at times.

If you'd like to explain what problem your having, possibly some of us could offer some suggestions.

If you would like to talk it through, send me an private email with your phone number.

----- Original Message -----
From: "c22yacht" <c22yacht@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 11:37 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

> Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves mes LOFL, as I
> cannot get the thing to work.
>
> ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I have more darn
> "certificate requests" submitted that its all botched up.
>
> ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want people to use it.
> Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals Association
> (www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.
>
> I am an IT professional and technical writer by the way, so I'm no
> babe in the woods when it comes to software usage and installation.
> This thing is just plain bad.
>
> 73s and good luck
> Bob KT8DX
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>

1818. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 19:36:21 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1818

Hi Bob:
Well, I see that LoTW has successfully created one more dissatisfied
potential user !!!

LoTW can be made to work in spite of the poor documentation.
Their are many people on this list who can give you a hand.
Just let us know where things go wrong for you in the setup.

That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.

"Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".

Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in
the long usefulness of LoTW.

Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!

A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS, 5 Band WAS
and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported by LoTW yet.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 12:37 1/29/2005, you wrote:

>Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves mes LOFL, as I
>cannot get the thing to work.
>
>ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I have more darn
>"certificate requests" submitted that its all botched up.
>
>ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want people to use it.
> Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals Association
>(www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.
>
>I am an IT professional and technical writer by the way, so I'm no
>babe in the woods when it comes to software usage and installation.
>This thing is just plain bad.
>
>73s and good luck
>Bob KT8DX
>
>
>

>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1819. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 19:48:50 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1816 Message: 1819

Gosh that is surprising. I am not an IT (think that is what a computer specialist is called) and am a very senior citizen and LOtW presented no problems.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: c22yacht
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves mes LOFL, as I cannot get the thing to work.

ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I have more darn "certificate requests" submitted that its all botched up.

ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want people to use it. Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals Association (www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.

I am an IT professional and technical writer by the way, so I'm no babe in the woods when it comes to software usage and installation. This thing is just plain bad.

73s and good luck
Bob KT8DX

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1820. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 20:19:27 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 1816 Message: 1820

I am also an IT person (Network Specialist) for the local hospital. Initially, I had a problem with LoTW. Then I sat back, looked at the situation, and decided that I was assuming too much. LoTW was designed for the non-computer user. We have many of them at the hospital. When I decided to "forget" about computers and what makes them, and software, work. Everything worked fine for me! Just remember the KISS theory. That's, evidently, what the ARRL has patterned it after.

Good luck & 73,
de WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: WC7N
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 1:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Gosh that is surprising. I am not an IT (think that is what a computer specialist is called) and am a very senior citizen and LOtW presented no problems.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: c22yacht
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves mes LOFL, as I cannot get the thing to work.

ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I have more darn "certificate requests" submitted that its all botched up.

ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want people to use it. Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals Association (www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.

I am an IT professional and technical writer by the way, so I'm no babe in the woods when it comes to software usage and installation. This thing is just plain bad.

73s and good luck
Bob KT8DX

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1821. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 20:43:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1821

Bob,

> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>
> That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
> You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.
>
> "Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".
>
> Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
> data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in
> the long usefulness of LoTW.

The station data returned with a LotW confirmation are only as good
as that entered by the station on the other end ... just like the
data on a "real" QSL card. How may cards have you received that are
not usable for a particular award (e.g., IOTA because it does not
contain the name and number or the island)?

Have you bothered to contact the other station and asked them to
correct/update the station information they have entered into the
tQSL set-up (station location) and re-upload their log? I'll be
you would send another card & letter to a DX station asking for a
corrected card if you needed it!

> Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
> to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!
>
> A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS,
> 5 Band WAS and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported
> by LoTW yet.

ARRL have already announced that WAS will be next to be supported.
I understand that work is in progress but has to take a back seat to
other (current) IT support duties.

With the basic database and QSO matching already in place and proved,
adding additional outputs should be relatively straight forward.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1822. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 21:21:54 UTC
From: OZ4RT, J Arnvig
Topic: 1816 Message: 1822

In the meantime, however, somebody else might be happy to get an LOTW confirmation from one of your "sitting" club members - who would be able to upload a correct log if properly advised (and not advised to do nothing).

73 ... John, OZ4RT
----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: lørdag, januar 29, 2005 20:36
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Hi Bob:
Well, I see that LoTW has successfully created one more dissatisfied
potential user !!!

LoTW can be made to work in spite of the poor documentation.
Their are many people on this list who can give you a hand.
Just let us know where things go wrong for you in the setup.

That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.

"Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".

Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in
the long usefulness of LoTW.

Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!

A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS, 5 Band WAS
and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported by LoTW yet.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

1823. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 21:30:56 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1816 Message: 1823

Very good point John.
Advising members to not even try is counterproductive.
We need more people to take part, that's how the system improves.

I have a macro in my rtty/psk buffers inviting people to view the LoTW webpage.

Saying to wait on LoTW till all the issues are changed is like saying:
"I'll send qsl's when I finally get a design I like".

----- Original Message -----
From: "OZ4RT, J Arnvig" <oz4rt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 3:21 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

In the meantime, however, somebody else might be happy to get an LOTW confirmation from one of your "sitting" club members - who would be able to upload a correct log if properly advised (and not advised to do nothing).

73 ... John, OZ4RT
----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: l?rdag, januar 29, 2005 20:36
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Hi Bob:
Well, I see that LoTW has successfully created one more dissatisfied
potential user !!!

LoTW can be made to work in spite of the poor documentation.
Their are many people on this list who can give you a hand.
Just let us know where things go wrong for you in the setup.

That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.

"Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".

Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in
the long usefulness of LoTW.

Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!

A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS, 5 Band WAS
and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported by LoTW yet.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

1824. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 22:57:35 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1824

Lance wrote:

> When I decided to "forget" about computers and what makes
> them, and software, work. Everything worked fine for me!
> Just remember the KISS theory. That's, evidently, what
> the ARRL has patterned it after.

Finally ... a computer guy who gets it! Seems many folks are "over thinking" LoTW, and in the process, making it much more difficult than it really is. Use common sense ... not your IT knowledge.

Print out the instruction page ... read it over a time or two, then when it's time for the real thing, slowly and carefully, follow along step by step. It's not THAT difficult.

Ron

1825. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-29 23:09:01 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1816 Message: 1825

Bob,

Don't give up. It took me a couple tries and
applications too but now it works. I had good luck in
talking to someone helping me through it. Had
something to do with removing all references to the
tq5 before reinstalling I think.

A few years ago I attended a lecture on education and
in it they were talking about programing the VCR.
According to studies the most successful in that
programing were in two different educational groups.
One being children that had not gone through the 8th
grade and the second being high school dropouts. It
seems as we become more classroom and school educated
we seem to be less inclined to think "out of the box"
and have to march to the beat of the drum. I don't
know if I subscribe to that theory or not but I do
know that my 10 year old grandson sure runs rings
around me we it came to programing the satellite
system setup.

Good Luck and Hang in there.

Randy
AG8A
--- c22yacht <c22yacht@...> wrote:

>
> Well, good luck to you all using LOTW. LOTW leaves
> mes LOFL, as I
> cannot get the thing to work.
>
> ARRL has been unresponsive in their support, and I
> have more darn
> "certificate requests" submitted that its all
> botched up.
>
> ARRL - you need to make usable software if you want
> people to use it.
> Take a lesson from the Usability Professionals
> Association
> (www.upassoc.org) and clean up the software.
>
> I am an IT professional and technical writer by the
> way, so I'm no

> babe in the woods when it comes to software usage
> and installation.
> This thing is just plain bad.
>
> 73s and good luck
> Bob KT8DX
>
>
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

1826. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 00:14:52 UTC
From: AG2A
Topic: 1816 Message: 1826

At 04:30 PM 1/29/2005, you wrote:

>Very good point John.
>Advising members to not even try is counterproductive.
>We need more people to take part, that's how the system improves.
>
>I have a macro in my rtty/psk buffers inviting people to view the LoTW
>webpage.
>
>Saying to wait on LoTW till all the issues are changed is like saying:
>"I'll send qsl's when I finally get a design I like".
>
>
I gave up after receiving 3 or 4 post cards from the ARRL with different cert.#'s. Now too embarrassed to do anything but wait for better days...besides why all the fuss when most of the people I read here are under 10 percent returns even after all the nonsense...Signing up doesn't seem to productive from here!

1827. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 00:32:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1816 Message: 1827

hmmmm
my ssb returns are quite low, like 2%
my cw returns are about 10%
but my rtty/psk returns are running almost 30%

maybe telling people not to join contributes to low returns?????

It's understandable why the rtty/psk are so high as most are using computers for the mode.
It's understandable why the ssb returns are so low.....
(I won't say anything, you can make up your own reasons)
Oh heck...I'll say it anyway!
:Wheelbarrows were invented by cw/rtty ops to teach Sidebanders how to walk on two legs:

----- Original Message -----
From: "AG2A" <ag2a@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 6:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

> At 04:30 PM 1/29/2005, you wrote:
>
>>Very good point John.
>>Advising members to not even try is counterproductive.
>>We need more people to take part, that's how the system improves.
>>
>>I have a macro in my rtty/psk buffers inviting people to view the LoTW
>>webpage.
>>
>>Saying to wait on LoTW till all the issues are changed is like saying:
>>"I'll send qsl's when I finally get a design I like".
>>
>>
> I gave up after receiving 3 or 4 post cards from the ARRL with different
> cert.#'s. Now too embarrassed to do anything but wait for better
> days...besides why all the fuss when most of the people I read here are
> under 10 percent returns even after all the nonsense...Signing up doesn't
> seem to productive from here!
>

1828. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 00:55:12 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1828

Joe... You and other smart guys like Bill (Firebrick) offer help. Much appreciated. But if I can't
make LOTW work by myself it's too complex and I want it fixed, not tolerated. I don't want the
problems covered up, I want them eliminated. I think I have lots of company.

There's absolutely no good reason for the kind of security system (certs, renewal every year, cards
in the mail, etc) that LOTW insists on using and that makes LOTW so bloody difficult to work with.
Banks don't do that. Credit cards don't do that. It's completely unnecessary. And I'm not the only
one saying so, but who's listening?

And if you do manage somehow to get it to work, there's no good reason why a LOTW QSO confirmation
shouldn't be acceptable for any ARRL award. As for the fees, ARRL could double or triple them and it
would still be a bargain over direct QSLing.... but it isn't worth one penny if you can't get it to
work smoothly and easily.

I do support the LOTW idea. Really, I absolutely love the concept, but in the execution it's screwed
up (especially with the method of security) and I can't be bothered with it anymore. I'm with Bob
and many others.... I won't be using LOTW until they fix it. When they do, I'll be back in a flash.

Now, instead of trying to convince us how great LOTW is, or offering to help us poor idiots to
muddle through, maybe some smart, helpful guys (like you Joe) could try convincing ARRL to fix
LOTW. It's not just broke, it was never right.

End of rant. Flamesuit ON....8^)

73, Jerry K3BZ

PS... I wonder why the ARRL LOTW Manager doesn't have an e-mail address in the QST "Guide to Member
Services" (pgs 14 & 15, Jan QST)?? You can e-mail hq@... and hope someone forwards it, I guess,
but why doesn't the LOTW Manager want any mail?

1829. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 00:59:33 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1829

AG2A wrote:

> besides why all the fuss when most of the people I read here are
> under 10 percent returns even after all the nonsense

I guess a lot of others feel the same way.

Look at the numbers ...

62,278,796 QSO records have been entered into the system.
2,540,288 QSL records have resulted.
8,820 Users are registered in the system
13,038 Certificates are active
94,933 User files have been processed

Get more people to upload their logs, instead of waiting for "better days" or the ARRL to fix whatever it is folks are waiting to be fixed ... and guess what? The QSL percentage will go up, and we'll have one less thing to complain about.

(2 more logs have been uploaded since I started this e-mail ... 796 additional QSO's, 288 additional QSL's!)

Ron

1830. Build it...and they shall come....stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:05:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1830 Message: 1830

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

---
The beatings will continue until morale improves.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1831. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:17:05 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1816 Message: 1831

Nothing wrong with it. Pour yourself a glass a wine a give it an hones go... It really is not big deal.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: hq@arrl.org
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 4:55 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Joe... You and other smart guys like Bill (Firebrick) offer help. Much appreciated. But if I can't
make LOTW work by myself it's too complex and I want it fixed, not tolerated. I don't want the
problems covered up, I want them eliminated. I think I have lots of company.

There's absolutely no good reason for the kind of security system (certs, renewal every year, cards
in the mail, etc) that LOTW insists on using and that makes LOTW so bloody difficult to work with.
Banks don't do that. Credit cards don't do that. It's completely unnecessary. And I'm not the only
one saying so, but who's listening?

And if you do manage somehow to get it to work, there's no good reason why a LOTW QSO confirmation
shouldn't be acceptable for any ARRL award. As for the fees, ARRL could double or triple them and it
would still be a bargain over direct QSLing.... but it isn't worth one penny if you can't get it to
work smoothly and easily.

I do support the LOTW idea. Really, I absolutely love the concept, but in the execution it's screwed
up (especially with the method of security) and I can't be bothered with it anymore. I'm with Bob
and many others.... I won't be using LOTW until they fix it. When they do, I'll be back in a flash.

Now, instead of trying to convince us how great LOTW is, or offering to help us poor idiots to
muddle through, maybe some smart, helpful guys (like you Joe) could try convincing ARRL to fix
LOTW. It's not just broke, it was never right.

End of rant. Flamesuit ON....8^)

73, Jerry K3BZ

PS... I wonder why the ARRL LOTW Manager doesn't have an e-mail address in the QST "Guide to Member
Services" (pgs 14 & 15, Jan QST)?? You can e-mail hq@... and hope someone forwards it, I guess,
but why doesn't the LOTW Manager want any mail?

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1832. talk about being insulted

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:28:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1832 Message: 1832

Boy do I resent being called a 'smart guy'.
Next someone will say I'm 'Nice'.

50 years of trying to build the ultimate 'stupid white trash' persona
and that's the kind of respect I get....

:-)

"There are lies, damned lies, and statistics." -Mark Twain

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1833. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:30:55 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1833

Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
It's ME.

You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given LOTW an "HONEST TRY".

Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.

LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use it until the ARRL LOTW
Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.

So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then e-mail hq@... and COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use it until it's fixed.

It's not just broken, it was never right.

73, Jerry K3BZ

1834. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:45:46 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1834

I hope you are not just writing to this reflector. Also write to hq@... Attention: LOTW Manager
and complain about what you find wrong with LOTW. Demand that the problems be fixed. Tell them you
won't use such a flawed system until it's fixed. If enough people do this they may start to pay
attention.

But if everyone just "muddles through" or gets help from the smart, nice guys who managed to get
thru the LOTW maze, or just fade away silently.... if people do that, nothing will change.
Stand up. Be counted. Make a difference. Send a message.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: (removed for privacy)
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 7:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

> I gave up after receiving 3 or 4 post cards from the ARRL with different
> cert.#'s. Now too embarrassed to do anything but wait for better
> days...besides why all the fuss when most of the people I read here are
> under 10 percent returns even after all the nonsense...Signing up doesn't
> seem to productive from here!
>

1835. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:31:02 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1835

You can't tolerate and use and praise LOTW in spite of its flaws and still expect the flaws to be
fixed.

When something is as flawed as LOTW, not using it... and convincing others not to use it... is one
way of drawing attention to the flaws.

Praising LOTW in spite of the flaws just helps to prolong the current situation.

Loud complaints, protests, and boycotting by enough people might eventually help to motivate
meaningful change. I didn't invent this idea... it's basic to the American culture.

Sending copies of this kind of reflector comment to ARRL might also help bring attention to the
problems. Does the ARRL LOTW Manager read this reflector?

I strongly support the LOTW concept, but I don't believe that ignoring the problems will ever
improve it, so I won't use it until it's fixed.

It's not just broken, it was never right.

73, Jerry K3BZ

1836. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 02:48:14 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1816 Message: 1836

I was going to respond to this thread by saying "If you can't figure out LoTW, go down to the FCC and turn in your license because you are really too stupid to be a ham"

But that isn't nice and would really cause some hard feelings.......

Let me rephrase it......

LoTW is a little cranky. It actually requires that you read a paragraph or two of instructions and maybe an e-mail to the ARRL to get it going. (they are very helpful)
I'm not happy with the progress. The DXCC interface is really slick, but what about other awards?????
I've spoken to my Division Reps. about and even to Jim Haynie. The ARRL has priorities that don't include LoTW. I understand, but I'm not happy about it.

Pissing and moaning is not constructive.......send some MEANINGFUL criticisms to the ARRL and let them put them on the "to do" list.

Boycotting the system is self destructive. Upload your logs and SUPPORT the ARRL's effort.

Don't be a schmuck, be helpful.....this is OUR LoTW, make it work.......

Jim

>
> Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
> It's ME.
>
> You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given LOTW an "HONEST TRY".
>
> Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.
>
> LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use it until the ARRL LOTW
> Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.
>
> So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then e-mail hq@... and
> COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use it until it's fixed.
>
> It's not just broken, it was never right.

>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

1837. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] talk about being insulted

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 02:56:17 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1832 Message: 1837

Hey Firebricvk, I have been a licensed ham since 1952 and I found LOTW a piece of cakle////
maye my age make a difference....I sure don't know.

Rod WCv7N

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 5:28 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] talk about being insulted

Boy do I resent being called a 'smart guy'.
Next someone will say I'm 'Nice'.

50 years of trying to build the ultimate 'stupid white trash' persona
and that's the kind of respect I get....

:-)

"There are lies, damned lies, and statistics." -Mark Twain

Bill H. in Chicagoland

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1838. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 02:58:03 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 1816 Message: 1838

Oh Jerry you are wrong.... again WRONG, it is fine.. Just back off a few feet and learn how to use it.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: hq@...
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 5:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
It's ME.

You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given LOTW an "HONEST TRY".

Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.

LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use it until the ARRL LOTW
Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.

So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then e-mail hq@... and COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use it until it's fixed.

It's not just broken, it was never right.

73, Jerry K3BZ

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1839. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 02:12:19 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1839

Of course people should TRY Log of the World. If they don't TRY it, they won't see any of the flaws.

They might get lucky and have it work for them. Many do. But many do NOT. Too many.

Those that have problems with LOTW won't be helping to get those problems addressed if they ignore
them. They should tell someone, hopefully the LOTW Manager at ARRL hq@...

That's all I'm saying.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: (removed for privacy)
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 4:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Very good point John.
> Advising members to not even try is counterproductive.
> We need more people to take part, that's how the system improves.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: (removed for privacy)
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: l?rdag, januar 29, 2005 20:36
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again
>
>
> Hi Bob:
> Well, I see that LoTW has successfully created one more dissatisfied
> potential user !!!
>
> LoTW can be made to work in spite of the poor documentation.
> Their are many people on this list who can give you a hand.
> Just let us know where things go wrong for you in the setup.
>
> That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
> You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.
>
> "Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".
>
> Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
> data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in

> the long usefulness of LoTW.
>
> Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
> to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!
>
> A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS, 5 Band WAS
> and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported by LoTW yet.
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1840. Is it worth the effort?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 03:11:51 UTC
From: k6kyj
Topic: 1840 Message: 1840

I will be candid: after 50 years in amateur radio, I no longer bother with QSL cards, either incoming or outgoing. Nor do I pursue DXCC awards.

However, I do have over 6,000 DX QSO's in various log formats, mostly TR or Excel spreadsheet. I would like to upload these to LOTW to help others that might need my QSL for an award.

Already I have had more than one nightmare dealing with LOTW. My current callsign was active by me during two different time periods from two different QTH's. It took several emails to ARRL to get this resolved.

Now, I am conisdering the time-consuming effort of consolidating my logs into one huge acceptable format log.

Following the posts of this group for the past month, I get the feeling LOTW is not exacting sliced bread.

My question: am I getting myself into more grief than I want?

Please reply directly as it seems this group does not need any more fuel for flames.

K6KYJ
CHICO CA

1841. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 03:32:26 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1841

Let's see... according to Jim... anyone who's having trouble with LOTW is "too stupid to be a ham".
Of course, since Jim ISN'T having trouble, that makes him so much more worthy. Nice, Jim.

Hmmm...lodging a complaint = "pissing and moaning".
However, Jim does agree that LOTW is "a little cranky" and that "the ARRL has other priorities".
Jim is "not happy about it"... but lodging a complaint is "not constructive". Why the heck not??

'Scuse me, Jim... but the point of lodging a complaint with ARRL is to motivate them to CHANGE their
priorities.
Maybe give a LITTLE more priority to making LOTW more "user-friendly".

If you just put up with something, it will never change. If you don't complain, ARRL is justified in
believing it's good enough the way it is, and doesn't deserve any greater priority.

Look, if you're happy enough with it to put up with it, fine.... use it. But....if you're NOT happy
with LOTW, complain and don't use it until it's fixed. That's what will be "helpful".

Of course, if you are worried about being a "schmuck", you could take Jim's approach. Go along.
Tolerate unnecessary complexity and over-the-top "security" that makes things difficult. That way,
Jim won't call you names.

OK, that's about enough from me on this subject. It's turning nasty and we don't need that. Anyone
who's been paying attention knows what the issues are and can choose what makes sense to him or her.

Thanks for giving my point of view more than its fair share of attention.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <hq@...>
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 9:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> I was going to respond to this thread by saying "If you can't figure out

> LoTW, go down to the FCC and turn in your license because you are really too
> stupid to be a ham"
>
> But that isn't nice and would really cause some hard feelings.......
>
> Let me rephrase it......
>
> LoTW is a little cranky. It actually requires that you read a paragraph or
> two of instructions and maybe an e-mail to the ARRL to get it going. (they
> are very helpful)
> I'm not happy with the progress. The DXCC interface is really slick, but
> what about other awards?????
> I've spoken to my Division Reps. about and even to Jim Haynie. The ARRL has
> priorities that don't include LoTW. I understand, but I'm not happy about
> it.
>
> Pissing and moaning is not constructive.......send some MEANINGFUL
> criticisms to the ARRL and let them put them on the "to do" list.
>
> Boycotting the system is self destructive. Upload your logs and SUPPORT the
> ARRL's effort.
>
> Don't be a schmuck, be helpful.....this is OUR LoTW, make it work.......
>
> Jim
>
>>
>> Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing
> wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
>> It's ME.
>>
>> You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given
> LOTW an "HONEST TRY".
>>
>> Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.
>>
>> LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use
> it until the ARRL LOTW
>> Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.
>>
>> So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then
> e-mail hq@... and
>> COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use
> it until it's fixed.
>>
>> It's not just broken, it was never right.
>>
>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1842. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 03:59:01 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1842

Jerry writes:

> Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW,
> there's nothing wrong with LOTW, it's YOU. It's ME.
>
> You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we
> haven't given LOTW an "HONEST TRY".
>
> Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with
> LOTW.
>
> LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to
> refuse to use it until the ARRL LOTW Manager addresses the
> problems and takes steps to fix them.

Jerry, you're completely off base on this one. In fact you're behaving just like my wife who refuses to LEARN how to use any device that doesn't work the way she expects it to. You may not like the security/authentication protocols but that decision was made "above our pay grade."

The ARRL Membership Services Committee, Awards Committee, and Board of Directors determined that the program required that level of authentication/security to maintain its integrity and we need to accept that decision if we want to take advantage of electronic QSLs for DXCC and (soon) other ARRL awards programs.

The system is still evolving ... however, I expect you will soon see transparent support for LotW in DXKeeper.

> So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of
> wine".... and then e-mail hq@... and COMPLAIN about
> LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated.
> Refuse to use it until it's fixed.

There is already to much whine going on ... if you really want to improve things call your ARRL Director and demand that more IT resources be provided so that implementation of WAS/VUCC/etc. can happen more quickly. Demand more IT resources be provided to properly documenting the interface so the developers of amateur software can more easily add LotW support to their products. Demand that more IT resources be provided to upgrade (and update) the DXCC desk programs so that they are completely linked to LotW (instead of requiring that LotW records be printed from one system and SCANNED INTO another system.

If you want to whine ... make it a productive whine.

> It's not just broken, it was never right.

It's not broken ... it just doesn't work the way you think
it should work (go use eQSL - a totally insecure system).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

PS. the LOtW e-mail address is on the LotW homepage:
mailto:lotw-help@...

1843. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 04:08:08 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1843

I don't normally want to weigh in on these angry threads but this one touches a nerve with me.

Jerry wrote:

>
> Of course people should TRY Log of the World. If they don't TRY it, they won't see any of the flaws.
>
> They might get lucky and have it work for them. Many do. But many do NOT. Too many.

What are all these 'flaws' you are talking about? Can you be specific? I set up my LOTW account the first time through. And I'm hardly a techno-weinie IT guy. I think this corresponds to the experience of a great many users of LOTW. You get a certificate for every location and callsign you have held that you intend to upload, upload the log, and wait for the others to do the same. If there is a specific glitch that is causing all the heart-burn, maybe somebody here can help.

>
> Those that have problems with LOTW won't be helping to get those problems addressed if they ignore
> them. They should tell someone, hopefully the LOTW Manager at ARRL hq@arrl.org
>
> That's all I'm saying.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

I don't think anybody is ignoring anything or anybody. You have mentioned that "it was never right." I think the ARRL has stated many times that LOTW is a work-in-progress, as time and $$$$ permits. Asking people who can work through the apparent 'glitches' to abandon LOTW is not exactly progress.

I hear chatter on this reflector about bad data coming through. DUH! Garbage in-garbage out. Paper QSLs are no better. I have hard paper QSLs from the DX's home country when in fact they were working in a different country or a special IOTA within that country, but the QSL does not reflect that. If the DX uploads to the correct certificate, life will be good. If not, more garbage in the log. Come on guys, we've all got problems with our real logs and we know it. The ARRL can't fix all of them. But the certificate process can fix some of them if the people will choose to use it correctly.

If there are big problems that seem insurmountable, you know what? Pick up the dang twisted pair and call the ARRL directly. Some have tried this and don't get very far. I suspect those that have problems getting support from the ARRL no-doubt start the conversation like this... "You lazy no-good overpaid bums at the ARRL need to be fired! I can't get the blah blah blah to work right! That's why I cancelled my membership back in 1962! And I've encouraged every ham I meet to do the same! Now, how the heck do I get service around here?" Anybody calling me with that line of reasoning would get a quick dial tone. No, I

take that back. They would get the standard "have a nice day," and then the dial tone.

A courteous voice will usually generate a sympathetic ear on the other end of the line. Ditto for the email inquiry approach. Squeeky wheels may get grease--eventually. But a calm, reasoned, and professional approach to problems will get fixed in due course.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1844. My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 04:16:12 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 1816 Message: 1844

For What It Is Worth

I have submitted 5934 qso's to both eQSL and LOTW

eQSL LOTW
5934 QSOs submitted 5934 QSOs submitted
841 QSOs confirmed 877 QSOs confirmed
52 Countries confirmed 58 countries confirmed

I use Scott's (www.n3fjp.com) software. It has the upload to both sites built right in. Within 5 minutes after a contest, I have my log submitted to the contest site, eQSL, and LOTW. As you can see, my country conformation on LOTW is actually 6 countries ahead of eQSL... I have 114 countries confirmed via actual qsl card. So they are both running at about 50% of actual. I have no complaints.

Pat
WW9R

1845. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 04:21:46 UTC
From: Jim Brannigan
Topic: 1816 Message: 1845

Well Jerry,
You seem to be in the VCR blinking 12:00 group....
Let's blame the system, but not stick our necks out and give any useful criticism.
A "bomb thrower" in NY parlance a "schmuck"
And you are right, I should have ignored your really useless posts.
I gave you credence to your really idiotic diatribes....
There is another NY word for you ASS_OLE.

Be happy in your little world.

> Let's see... according to Jim... anyone who's having trouble with LOTW is "too stupid to be a ham".
> Of course, since Jim ISN'T having trouble, that makes him so much more worthy. Nice, Jim.
>
> Hmmm...lodging a complaint = "pissing and moaning".
> However, Jim does agree that LOTW is "a little cranky" and that "the ARRL has other priorities".
> Jim is "not happy about it"... but lodging a complaint is "not constructive". Why the heck not??
>
> 'Scuse me, Jim... but the point of lodging a complaint with ARRL is to motivate them to CHANGE their
> priorities.
> Maybe give a LITTLE more priority to making LOTW more "user-friendly".
>
> If you just put up with something, it will never change. If you don't complain, ARRL is justified in
> believing it's good enough the way it is, and doesn't deserve any greater priority.
>
> Look, if you're happy enough with it to put up with it, fine.... use it. But....if you're NOT happy
> with LOTW, complain and don't use it until it's fixed. That's what will be "helpful".
>
> Of course, if you are worried about being a "schmuck", you could take Jim's approach. Go along.
> Tolerate unnecessary complexity and over-the-top "security" that makes things difficult. That way,
> Jim won't call you names.
>
> OK, that's about enough from me on this subject. It's turning nasty and we

don't need that. Anyone
> who's been paying attention knows what the issues are and can choose what
makes sense to him or her.
>
> Thanks for giving my point of view more than its fair share of attention.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Brannigan" <jbrannig@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Cc: <hq@...>
> Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 9:48 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again
>
>
> > > I was going to respond to this thread by saying "If you can't figure out
> > LoTW, go down to the FCC and turn in your license because you are really
too
> > stupid to be a ham"
> >
> > But that isn't nice and would really cause some hard feelings.......
> >
> > Let me rephrase it......
> >
> > LoTW is a little cranky. It actually requires that you read a paragraph
or
> > two of instructions and maybe an e-mail to the ARRL to get it going.
(they
> > are very helpful)
> > I'm not happy with the progress. The DXCC interface is really slick,
but
> > what about other awards?????
> > I've spoken to my Division Reps. about and even to Jim Haynie. The ARRL
has
> > priorities that don't include LoTW. I understand, but I'm not happy
about
> > it.
> >
> > Pissing and moaning is not constructive.......send some MEANINGFUL
> > criticisms to the ARRL and let them put them on the "to do" list.
> >
> > Boycotting the system is self destructive. Upload your logs and SUPPORT
the

> > ARRL's effort.
> >
> > Don't be a schmuck, be helpful.....this is OUR LoTW, make it work.......
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >>
> >> Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing
> > wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
> >> It's ME.
> >>
> >> You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't
given
> > LOTW an "HONEST TRY".
> >>
> >> Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.
> >>
> >> LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to
use
> > it until the ARRL LOTW
> >> Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.
> >>
> >> So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and
then
> > e-mail hq@... and
> >> COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to
use
> > it until it's fixed.
> >>
> >> It's not just broken, it was never right.
> >>
> >> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1847. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 05:16:26 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1847

Interesting Bill!!!
My SSB returns are approaching 11%.
I wonder what makes the difference ???

73
Bob, K1VU

At 19:32 1/29/2005, you wrote:

>hmmmm
>my ssb returns are quite low, like 2%
>my cw returns are about 10%
>but my rtty/psk returns are running almost 30%
>
>maybe telling people not to join contributes to low returns?????
>
>It's understandable why the rtty/psk are so high as most are using computers for the mode.
>It's understandable why the ssb returns are so low.....
>(I won't say anything, you can make up your own reasons)
>Oh heck...I'll say it anyway!
>:Wheelbarrows were invented by cw/rtty ops to teach Sidebanders how to walk on two legs:
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "AG2A" <ag2a@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 6:14 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again
>
>
>> At 04:30 PM 1/29/2005, you wrote:
>>
>>>Very good point John.
>>>Advising members to not even try is counterproductive.
>>>We need more people to take part, that's how the system improves.
>>>
>>>I have a macro in my rtty/psk buffers inviting people to view the LoTW
>>>webpage.
>>>
>>>Saying to wait on LoTW till all the issues are changed is like saying:
>>>"I'll send qsl's when I finally get a design I like".
>>>
>>>
>> I gave up after receiving 3 or 4 post cards from the ARRL with different
>> cert.#'s. Now too embarrassed to do anything but wait for better

>> days...besides why all the fuss when most of the people I read here are
>> under 10 percent returns even after all the nonsense...Signing up doesn't
>> seem to productive from here!
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1848. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 05:47:32 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1848

Hi Joe:
At 15:43 1/29/2005, you wrote:

>Bob,
>
>> From: R Johnson [mailto:k1vu@...]
>>
>> That being said, are you really sure you want to use LoTW just yet ?
>> You might be better off to do as I advise the members of my clubs.
>>
>> "Just sit back and WAIT for LoTW to fix the current problems first".
>>
>> Currently I'm running about a 30% to 40% rate of "Bad or Incomplete"
>> data coming back from LoTW, which doesn't give me much confidence in
>> the long usefulness of LoTW.
>
>The station data returned with a LotW confirmation are only as good
>as that entered by the station on the other end ... just like the
>data on a "real" QSL card. How may cards have you received that are
>not usable for a particular award (e.g., IOTA because it does not
>contain the name and number or the island)?

Yes, "Garbage In, Garbage Out" !!! This points out the need for
better setup instruction for TQSL. It might be worth it to
program TQSL force the user to enter ALL of his "Station Data"
before he could "Sign" any logs for uploading.
As you know, it only has to be done ONCE. Then all uploads will
be correct and complete.

>
>
>Have you bothered to contact the other station and asked them to
>correct/update the station information they have entered into the
>tQSL set-up (station location) and re-upload their log? I'll be
>you would send another card & letter to a DX station asking for a
>corrected card if you needed it!

Yes, I have. Most didn't know there was a place to enter their
"Station Data" or the necessity of filling in all the blanks.
Some made the corrections, some ignored the problem, and some
still don't understand it.

>
>
>> Many of us have ceased uploading our "Contest Files" and are waiting
>> to see if LoTW is going to be useful for anything other than DXCC !!!

>>
>> A lot of the guys in the clubs are working on their WAC, WAS,
>> 5 Band WAS and a few on VUCC. None of these Awards are supported
>> by LoTW yet.
>
>ARRL have already announced that WAS will be next to be supported.
>I understand that work is in progress but has to take a back seat to
>other (current) IT support duties.

Yes, I've heard that. Still waiting to see a LoTW say When !!

>
>
>With the basic database and QSO matching already in place and proved,
>adding additional outputs should be relatively straight forward.

The database has a lot of bad data in it. Things will only get
worse when the other awards are added. Clean up time is now !!!

>73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

73
Bob, K1VU

>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1851. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 07:40:49 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1851

> Yes, "Garbage In, Garbage Out" !!! This points out the need for
> better setup instruction for TQSL. It might be worth it to
> program TQSL force the user to enter ALL of his "Station Data"
> before he could "Sign" any logs for uploading. As you know, it
> only has to be done ONCE. Then all uploads will be correct and
> complete.

I'm fairly confident from looking at the tQSL application that it
was never designed to be anything more than a basic stand-alone
interface to allow log "preparation" ... a fallback application
for those whose logging program did not support LotW.

A few programs have managed to incorporate direct LotW signing
and uploading (WriteLog, etc.). However, the biggest impediment
is the lack of a clean, properly documented, set of procedures
(library) for programmers to incorporate into their work. As I
understand it, the material currently available has no separate
documentation (calls & parameters) ... the package has not been
"cleaned up" to remove depreciated routines and code - and the
only documentation is in the form of comments embedded in the
code itself.

Most logging programs contain the information necessary to
properly create a "station location" ... if the upload prep
were handled by the station log, the data would most likely
be "clean."

> >ARRL have already announced that WAS will be next to be
> >supported. I understand that work is in progress but has
> >to take a back seat to other (current) IT support duties.
>
> Yes, I've heard that. Still waiting to see a LoTW say When !!

I'm hearing "soon" - but that's a relative term <G>.

> >With the basic database and QSO matching already in place
> >and proved, adding additional outputs should be relatively
> >straight forward.
>
> The database has a lot of bad data in it. Things will only get
> worse when the other awards are added. Clean up time is now !!!

The only way to get the bad data cleaned up is for users to
complain to those who have uploaded the bad data and get
them to fix their records.

It might be "nice" if tQSL were "tightened up" to do better error checking but that would probably take resources away from more important things (like implementing WAS, VUCC, etc.).

There are those who argue that their banking and/or brokerage software is not as complex as LotW. However, what they're really saying is that the banking/brokerage software does a better job of "hiding" the complexity from them. The account relationship is set-up off-line (which makes authenticating the individual much easier), the application program handles signing of the data when you enter it, etc.

If an amateur could "sign up" for LotW at a hamfest or a local ARRL office and every logging program could create/upload signed records, LotW would be as easy as UserID and password. If one understands how to use tQSL and creates the certificate, LotW is that easy now(!).

I have had as many problems getting started as anyone - the buggy 1.09 tQSL caused me to lose my first certificate and I was one of the very first to have a "call01" userID. I lost private keys when I moved tQSL to another machine (but I was able to figure out how to recover the keys from the old machine) and I had trouble getting certificates for all of my old calls/station locations for all my various QTHs. Still, every one of those problems was solvable by taking a deep breath and attacking the problem one step at a time.

Could it be better? Sure! However, all the yelling that the system is too complicated ... doesn't work like my bank ... doesn't support my award ... etc. doesn't get the job done. I wish I were a C programmer ... I would have cleaned up the client libraries and written documentation a long time ago but I can't do that. The best I can do is help other users learn to use the system as it is ... and encourage those who don't use it to start doing so (I even put the message "Please QSL via ARRL Logbook of the World" on every QSO I upload to eQSL.cc!).

Make your concerns known ... but don't tell potential users that "LotW is too difficult, just wait." Encourage your Director to see that more resources available to the LotW projects and encourage those volunteers with ability to come forward and help. Encourage those developers who have implemented full LotW interfaces to share the knowledge so other developers can do so as well. Encourage sponsors of non-ARRL awards to recognize LotW confirmations and make the necessary arrangements to provide credit based on those confirmations (the database already has enough data to support the WAZ, WPX and IOTA programs).

There is a lot that can be done in a positive way but encouraging people not to sign up, and not to upload logs

is counterproductive.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1852. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 07:50:15 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1852

On Sun, Jan 30, 2005 at 01:48:51AM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> I encourage you to do just what you described.... make a list of the problems YOU find with LOTW,
> and send it to ARRL. If you like anything about LOTW, you might want to mention that as well....
> fair is fair.

Why don't you post YOUR list of problems to this group?

I haven't seen it yet, only your recommendation to boycott LOTW without rationale.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1853. Re: Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 07:55:21 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1816 Message: 1853

Some comments:

1. Compared to moving around paper QSL cards, LotW is a huge step forward: its faster, cheaper, more accurate, more reliable, and no less secure.

2. One of the interesting properties of software is that even the most poorly-written application will be loved by some fraction of the audience. Good software is characterized by the noticeable absence of users who dislike it, not by the presence of those who defend it.

3. The best way to improve an application is by listening to critique from its users. Loyal supporters may be good for the developers' egos, but critical users are the most helpful when it comes to identifying and correcting problems. If you wish to help move an application forward, driving off critics is the last thing you would do.

4. The current implementation of LotW has many deficiencies -- not because its developers were incompetent or unmotivated, but because they are severely understaffed and appropriately chose to put something into users' hands sooner rather than later. The slow rate of subsequent improvement is dissapointing, but this is another symptom of insufficient development resources.

So if you truly believe in electronic QSLing, then when a frustrated or unhappy LotW user shows up here, draw them out, don't chase them away. Suggesting to ARRL Management that LotW needs more development resources would also be constructive.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1854. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 12:09:29 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1816 Message: 1854

Finally, a voice of reason in this unpleasant thread. Thanks, Joe!

73, Pete

At 02:40 AM 1/30/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
>Make your concerns known ... but don't tell potential users
>that "LotW is too difficult, just wait." Encourage your
>Director to see that more resources available to the LotW
>projects and encourage those volunteers with ability to
>come forward and help. Encourage those developers who have
>implemented full LotW interfaces to share the knowledge so
>other developers can do so as well. Encourage sponsors of
>non-ARRL awards to recognize LotW confirmations and make
>the necessary arrangements to provide credit based on those
>confirmations (the database already has enough data to
>support the WAZ, WPX and IOTA programs).
>
>There is a lot that can be done in a positive way but
>encouraging people not to sign up, and not to upload logs
>is counterproductive.

1855. Jerry et al

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 12:24:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1855 Message: 1855

My offer to help as best I can still stands.

I made a few goofs with the logs of stations I manage.
But they were fixable.
I admit I asked for help as I'm not the brightest bulb in the pantry.

It may not be perfect, but it's sure better than what we had.
Feel free to call me.

And to the others, no need to get 'hyper-ventical'.
Nothing is so broken it can't be fixed if we work together.

Virginity can be cured.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1856. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 13:09:53 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1816 Message: 1856

Is there anyway to have this guy removed from the reflector???????

-------Original Message-------
: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

I don't pay much attention to guys as obviously ignorant and unmannered as Jim.

I knew my message woulkd be unpopular among those who have LOTW figured out, because for them LOTW
is an asset and they don't want any shit disturbers like me messing with their assets. But truth is
an absolute defense, and the truth is that all I suggested was that people not participate in a
system they have problems with, and that they make their feelings known to those at ARRL that have
the power to make changes.

I encourage you to do just what you described.... make a list of the problems YOU find with LOTW,
and send it to ARRL. If you like anything about LOTW, you might want to mention that as well....
fair is fair.

Thanks for standing up and being counted!!

73, Jerry K3BZ

1857. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 13:51:49 UTC
From: AG2A
Topic: 1816 Message: 1857

Ok so now it's out..because I don't like LOTW or want to spend my leisure time fighting with this logging system,I am stupid, backward and uncooperative...Gee you guys make ham radio such fun!!

At 09:58 PM 1/29/2005, you wrote:
>Oh Jerry you are wrong.... again WRONG, it is fine.. Just back off a few
>feet and learn how to use it.
>
>Rod WC7N
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:k3bz@...>Jerry Keller - K3BZ
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Cc: <mailto:hq@...>hq@...
>Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 5:30 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again
>
>Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing
>wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.
>It's ME.
>
>You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given
>LOTW an "HONEST TRY".
>
>Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.
>
>LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use
>it until the ARRL LOTW
>Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.
>
>So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then
>e-mail hq@... and
>COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use
>it until it's fixed.
>
>It's not just broken, it was never right.
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links

>To visit your group on the web, go to:
><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
><mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@y
ahoogroups.com
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1858. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 13:55:11 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 1816 Message: 1858

This whole thread is so stupid!!!
Bill W5EC

Ok so now it's out..because I don't like LOTW or want to spend my leisure time fighting with this logging system,I am stupid, backward and uncooperative...Gee you guys make ham radio such fun!!

At 09:58 PM 1/29/2005, you wrote:

Oh Jerry you are wrong.... again WRONG, it is fine.. Just back off a few feet and learn how to use it.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----

From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Cc: hq@...

Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 5:30 PM

Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Rod implies that if you are having problems with LOTW, there's nothing wrong with LOTW, it's YOU.

It's ME.

You and I are just not smart enough, or patient enough... we haven't given LOTW an "HONEST TRY".

Nonsense. Don't believe it. It's not US. The problem is with LOTW.

LOTW is seriously flawed, and one way to get it fixed is to refuse to use it until the ARRL LOTW

Manager addresses the problems and takes steps to fix them.

So... as Rod suggests..."relax, have another glass of wine".... and then e-mail hq@... and

COMPLAIN about LOTW. Demand that it be fixed, not tolerated. Refuse to use it until it's fixed.

It's not just broken, it was never right.

73, Jerry K3BZ

Yahoo! Groups Links
To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1859. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 14:10:49 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1859

Hamish....Posting MY list of problems to this group would only make sense if I wanted help with
navigating the LOTW maze. I no longer believe that help from this group is doing anything to solve
LOTW's underlying problems... and accepting help may even be counter-productive.

I am very appreciative of those offers of help, but as long as those who have made it thru the LOTW
maze continue to lead others by the hand, the underlying LOTW problems will be covered up, masked,
LESS visible. To get them fixed, we must first acknowledge they exist... and then we have to make
them MORE visible to ARRL.

It's like taking pain pills. The pain is there to indicate an underlying problem. If we mask the
pain with pills, it goes away and we feel OK. But unless we fix the underlying problems, they will
probably get worse. Problems with software won't go away simply because we help each other navigate
through the maze. We have to treat the underlying problem, not just the symptoms.

The ARRL is not paying enough attention to fixing the underlying cause(s). I'm not smart enough to
do much more than recognize a serious problem exists. I don't know how to fix the problem. I'm not
even capable of coming to a proper "diagnosis" of the problem. But I can see clearly that a serious
problem exists, and I can push for something to be done about it. I suspect that system security is
part of the problem. But whatever, the problem exists, and it has existed for a few years now.

And so far what I see happening is
(a) a little progress by ARRL. Far too little, far too slow.
(b) a growing number of those who wish to use LOTW, but are frustrated by the software
(c) a group of smart guys who have managed to overcome the LOTW obstacles and are helping others do
so, but who are helping to mask those obstacles so they are not being removed.
(d) a few guys who think that cheerleading for the team is a positive way to make the problem go
away... but whose efforts are also masking the underlying problem
(e) a few guys who are bringing a message... to use methods that will motivate ARRL to fix the
underlying problem sooner rather than later
(f) a few guys who think that killing the messengers will make the underlying problem go

away.

I strongly support the LOTW concept. I think electronic QSLing is a great idea. If I didn't, I
wouldn't be sticking my neck out to push for a better system.... perhaps one that succeeds in
"hiding the complexity from the user" as one fellow put it very neatly.

For any electronic QSL system to be successful, it absolutely must be operated by the ARRL. However,
while the ARRL may be the world's largest and best ham radio organization, ARRL is also capable of
developing a buggy, frustrating software system that is too difficult for many potential users and
drives them away.

So what to do? Keep quiet about it to demonstrate "loyalty"?? Be stoic and muddle on through with
help?? Be courageous and chase off the critics??

A system like e-QSL is not necessarily the answer... too many smart people say it isn't secure
enough. But maybe the e-QSL system has something to teach the ARRL, because a lot of happy e-QSL
users say it's easy to operate and they just wish it counted for DXCC, etc. Perhaps the answers lie
somewhere in between.

I said in an earlier post that I've made my point and am done with this topic, but I guess I'm not.
Hamish asked why I'm not listing my problems with LOTW here. I'll continue to direct my problem with
LOTW to ARRL, where it might do some good. And I guess I will get drawn out here by well-intended
questioners like Hamish. I truly do appreciate the offers of help, but me accepting help would
simply make the problem a tiny bit bigger or last a tiny bit longer. I'd rather keep stumping for
that much needed attention to the underlying problems.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish xxxxxx" xxxxx@... (altered for privacy)
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 2:50 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> On Sun, Jan 30, 2005 at 01:48:51AM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>> I encourage you to do just what you described.... make a list of the problems YOU find with LOTW,
>> and send it to ARRL. If you like anything about LOTW, you might want to mention that as

well....
>> fair is fair.
>
> Why don't you post YOUR list of problems to this group?
>
> I haven't seen it yet, only your recommendation to boycott LOTW without
> rationale.
>
>
> Hamish (VK3xxxx) (altered for privacy)
> --
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1860. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:01:43 UTC
From: roofus
Topic: 1816 Message: 1860

I am normally in the lurk mode here and seldom read the posts but this one caught my eye. 1) Why subscribe to the group if you don't want their help? 2) Many times I have found (I'm talking about life in general) that I can't see the forest from the trees and a simple nudge in the right direction sets me on the correct path. I am far from one of the "SMART" people on this reflector but have managed to get LOTW working including 3 callsign changes. I am not trying to say you are smarter of dumber than anyone else on the reflector just trying to point out that you might be able to get it working with the help of the group and still continue to confront the ARRL with the problems that do exist.

Dennis KI8U

1861. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:26:53 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1861

Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:

> Posting MY list of problems to this group would only
> make sense if I wanted help with navigating the LOTW maze.

And posting your list of problems to this group won't fix 'em.

Why not start your own "LoTWBashers" reflector? Then all the folks who have problems, can compile your list(s) ... come up with solutions, and present them to the ARRL in a reasonable and sensible way ... instead of trashing it here.

Please give those of us who got it working, are using it, have no complaints, and those who want to try it ... a break!!

Ron

30 Certificates + 124,372 QSO's uploaded = where's the problem?

1862. Made It

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:44:58 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1862 Message: 1862

Last week I made it to a 10% match on LOTW. I consider that a great number given that what we are doing is a lot like fishing. Many thanks to LOTW and to all who submitted logs.

73
Mike, W5UC
"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
http://members.cox.net/propstation

1863. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:44:17 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1863

I wrote:

> Why not start your own "LoTWBashers" reflector? Then all the folks who
> have problems, can compile your list(s) ... come up with solutions, and
> present them to the ARRL in a reasonable and sensible way ... instead of
> trashing it here.

Here ya go! Have at it ... just keep it civil.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/LoTWSolutions

Ron

1864. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:56:43 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1864

Ron... I'm not "bashing" LOTW, I'm supporting it. I see much good potential in LOTW. I have high
hopes for LOTW. But seeing only the good and ignoring the "not-so-good" won't get the "not-so-good"
any remedial attention. Surely that's obvious. Nobody greases wheels that don't squeak.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 10:26 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>
>> Posting MY list of problems to this group would only
> > make sense if I wanted help with navigating the LOTW maze.
>
> And posting your list of problems to this group won't fix 'em.
>
> Why not start your own "LoTWBashers" reflector? Then all the folks who
> have problems, can compile your list(s) ... come up with solutions, and
> present them to the ARRL in a reasonable and sensible way ... instead of
> trashing it here.
>
> Please give those of us who got it working, are using it, have no
> complaints, and those who want to try it ... a break!!
>
> Ron
>
> 30 Certificates + 124,372 QSO's uploaded = where's the problem?
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1865. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 15:52:42 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1865

Dennis... good point. Glad you asked. Actually, after much frustration, I am in LOTW. I've uploaded
my logs. I've got some matches. But that fact doesn't change a thing I've said. It has been entirely too difficult and remains so. But it's a great idea and I support the concept strongly.

Like you, I'm mostly a "lurker", but I'm now convinced that LOTW has serious problems that need
fixing. Too many potential users, here and abroad, are frustrated. ARRL needs a push. I'm only one
voice. Like-minded others are needed to also take a stand with ARRL and take other action they think
might get LOTW fixed. For example, I won't use LOTW anymore until it's fixed, and I'm suggesting to
others that boycotting LOTW and complaining to ARRL are good ways to get ARRL's attention. I'm just
being a squeaky wheel. Many here on the reflector disagree with me, but at least there's a lively
discussion going. The trick is to remain civil and open-minded.

I stay on the reflector because there's a lot of LOTW users here...along with many frustrated guys
who want to use it. Plus, I enjoy hearing opposition... I learn from others who disagree with me.
And I honestly think I'm doing what I can do to make a long-term positive difference to get the very
best LOTW possible.

As I said, the trick is top remain civil and open-minded. And not to be intimidated.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "roofus" <roofus@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 10:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>I am normally in the lurk mode here and seldom read the posts but this one
> caught my eye. 1) Why subscribe to the group if you don't want their help?
> 2) Many times I have found (I'm talking about life in general) that I can't
> see the forest from the trees and a simple nudge in the right direction sets
> me on the correct path. I am far from one of the "SMART" people on this
> reflector but have managed to get LOTW working including 3 callsign changes.
> I am not trying to say you are smarter of dumber than anyone else on the
> reflector just trying to point out that you might be able to get it working

> with the help of the group and still continue to confront the ARRL with the
> problems that do exist.
>
> Dennis KI8U
>

1866. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 16:33:31 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1866

I am a subscriber to several lists where this nonsensical diatribe would
have been shut down long ago.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller - K3BZ" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <hq@...>; <lotw-help@...>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 10:10 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

> Hamish....Posting MY list of problems to this group would only make
> sense if I wanted help with
> navigating the LOTW maze. I no longer believe that help from this
> group is doing anything to solve
> LOTW's underlying problems... and accepting help may even be
> counter-productive.
>
> I am very appreciative of those offers of help, but as long as those
> who have made it thru the LOTW
> maze continue to lead others by the hand, the underlying LOTW problems
> will be covered up, masked,
> LESS visible. To get them fixed, we must first acknowledge they
> exist... and then we have to make
> them MORE visible to ARRL.
>
> It's like taking pain pills. The pain is there to indicate an
> underlying problem. If we mask the
> pain with pills, it goes away and we feel OK. But unless we fix the
> underlying problems, they will
> probably get worse. Problems with software won't go away simply
> because we help each other navigate
> through the maze. We have to treat the underlying problem, not just
> the symptoms.
>
> The ARRL is not paying enough attention to fixing the underlying
> cause(s). I'm not smart enough to
> do much more than recognize a serious problem exists. I don't know how
> to fix the problem. I'm not
> even capable of coming to a proper "diagnosis" of the problem. But I
> can see clearly that a serious
> problem exists, and I can push for something to be done about it. I
> suspect that system security is
> part of the problem. But whatever, the problem exists, and it has
> existed for a few years now.

>
> And so far what I see happening is
> (a) a little progress by ARRL. Far too little, far too slow.
> (b) a growing number of those who wish to use LOTW, but are frustrated
> by the software
> (c) a group of smart guys who have managed to overcome the LOTW
> obstacles and are helping others do
> so, but who are helping to mask those obstacles so they are not being
> removed.
> (d) a few guys who think that cheerleading for the team is a positive
> way to make the problem go
> away... but whose efforts are also masking the underlying problem
> (e) a few guys who are bringing a message... to use methods that will
> motivate ARRL to fix the
> underlying problem sooner rather than later
> (f) a few guys who think that killing the messengers will make the
> underlying problem go away.
>
> I strongly support the LOTW concept. I think electronic QSLing is a
> great idea. If I didn't, I
> wouldn't be sticking my neck out to push for a better system....
> perhaps one that succeeds in
> "hiding the complexity from the user" as one fellow put it very
> neatly.
>
> For any electronic QSL system to be successful, it absolutely must be
> operated by the ARRL. However,
> while the ARRL may be the world's largest and best ham radio
> organization, ARRL is also capable of
> developing a buggy, frustrating software system that is too difficult
> for many potential users and
> drives them away.
>
> So what to do? Keep quiet about it to demonstrate "loyalty"?? Be stoic
> and muddle on through with
> help?? Be courageous and chase off the critics??
>
> A system like e-QSL is not necessarily the answer... too many smart
> people say it isn't secure
> enough. But maybe the e-QSL system has something to teach the ARRL,
> because a lot of happy e-QSL
> users say it's easy to operate and they just wish it counted for DXCC,
> etc. Perhaps the answers lie
> somewhere in between.
>
> I said in an earlier post that I've made my point and am done with
> this topic, but I guess I'm not.
> Hamish asked why I'm not listing my problems with LOTW here. I'll
> continue to direct my problem with
> LOTW to ARRL, where it might do some good. And I guess I will get

> drawn out here by well-intended
> questioners like Hamish. I truly do appreciate the offers of help, but
> me accepting help would
> simply make the problem a tiny bit bigger or last a tiny bit longer.
> I'd rather keep stumping for
> that much needed attention to the underlying problems.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Hamish xxxxxx" xxxxx@... (altered for privacy)
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 2:50 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again
>
>
>>
>> On Sun, Jan 30, 2005 at 01:48:51AM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>>> I encourage you to do just what you described.... make a list of the
>>> problems YOU find with LOTW,
>>> and send it to ARRL. If you like anything about LOTW, you might want
>>> to mention that as well....
>>> fair is fair.
>>
>> Why don't you post YOUR list of problems to this group?
>>
>> I haven't seen it yet, only your recommendation to boycott LOTW
>> without
>> rationale.
>>
>>
>> Hamish (VK3xxxx) (altered for privacy)
>> --
>> >
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>

1867. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 16:29:44 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1867

Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:

> But seeing only the good and ignoring the "not-so-good"
> won't get the "not-so-good" any remedial attention.
> Surely that's obvious. Nobody greases wheels that don't
> squeak.

Not a problem. This just isn't the forum to get things done.

Like I already mentioned ... join the "squeaky wheel" list at
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/LoTWSolutions
Discuss the problems of LoTW and come up with solutions there.

Leave this list for those who are using it, want to use it, or have
questions using it.

Ron

1868. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 16:04:24 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1868

Thanks, Ron!!
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@...>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 10:44 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> I wrote:
>
>> Why not start your own "LoTWBashers" reflector? Then all the folks who
>> have problems, can compile your list(s) ... come up with solutions, and
>> present them to the ARRL in a reasonable and sensible way ... instead of
>> trashing it here.
>
> Here ya go! Have at it ... just keep it civil.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/LoTWSolutions
>
> Ron
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1869. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Made It

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 16:44:32 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1862 Message: 1869

> Last week I made it to a 10% match on LOTW. I consider that
> a great number given that what we are doing is a lot like
> fishing. Many thanks to LOTW and to all who submitted logs.

Congratulations, Mike! I'm far from 10% but since 80% of my entries are pre-1985 contest logs, I'm dealing with a lot of "lost logs," paper logs that people don't want to take the time to enter, electronic logs in the "wrong format" and all LotW bashers who tell their friends not to bother with LotW.

Still, when comparing apples to apples with eQSL (only "AG" eQSL confirmations), LotW confirmations are running 30% ahead of eQSL! Not that even AG eQSL confirmations are good for any award with integrity.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1870. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 16:52:09 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1870

OK, Ron... you have a point. The sted purpose of this reflector, in part... "This discussion group
provides a forum for LoTW users to get technical help and as a collection point for tools and
information."

I've been providing information and tools I think will help get serious LOTW problems fixed. I
remain ready to do so, with the positive goal of making LOTW better. You apparently don't like my
methods: protest to ARRL and boycott until they take notice.

I tried to close this thread down once, but it wouldn't die. I'll try again.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 11:29 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>
>> But seeing only the good and ignoring the "not-so-good"
> > won't get the "not-so-good" any remedial attention.
> > Surely that's obvious. Nobody greases wheels that don't
> > squeak.
>
> Not a problem. This just isn't the forum to get things done.
>
> Like I already mentioned ... join the "squeaky wheel" list at
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/LoTWSolutions
> Discuss the problems of LoTW and come up with solutions there.
>
> Leave this list for those who are using it, want to use it, or have
> questions using it.
>
> Ron
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

1871. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 18:32:01 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1871

Jerry,

KB3Z@... writes:

> I am very appreciative of those offers of help, but as
> long as those who have made it thru the LOTW maze continue
> to lead others by the hand, the underlying LOTW problems
> will be covered up, masked, LESS visible. To get them
> fixed, we must first acknowledge they exist... and then
> we have to make them MORE visible to ARRL.

That's not true ... unless those who are successful (a vast majority of users) are willing to take the time to coach those having problems, LotW will never have sufficient participation to become a truly useful tool. What you see as "problems" are evidence of the security necessary to maintain the integrity of the awards program in an on-line environment.

> It's like taking pain pills. The pain is there to indicate
> an underlying problem. If we mask the pain with pills, it
> goes away and we feel OK. But unless we fix the underlying
> problems, they will probably get worse. Problems with
> software won't go away simply because we help each other
> navigate through the maze. We have to treat the underlying
> problem, not just the symptoms.

Nearly every physician will tell you that patients in pain need palliative or analgesic treatment in addition to the treatment for the underlying cause. It has been shown time and time again that those who receive treatment for pain in addition to treatment for the underlying cause recover more rapidly than those who do not. In some conditions, there is no curative treatment for the underlying cause (it may be chronic or structural) and in that case, palliative treatment is even more important. In still other cases, the underlying "disease" may be nothing more than another symptom of stress-induced (or psychosomatic) pain.

> The ARRL is not paying enough attention to fixing the
> underlying cause(s). I'm not smart enough to do much more
> than recognize a serious problem exists. I don't know how
> to fix the problem. I'm not even capable of coming to a
> proper "diagnosis" of the problem. But I can see clearly
> that a serious problem exists, and I can push for something
> to be done about it.

The solution is not to disparage the system, to tear it down, to run away, or encourage others to not even try. The solution is to advocate (even demand) that your ARRL Director support the additional resources needed to get the job done right.

The "warts" you (and others) see are due to the security structure. They could be completely hidden with a very few steps:

A) Client software has been very slow in appearing because the client side interface is not well documented. Last I heard, the routines exist only in a variant of C, they contain much old (dead) code and superseded routines. The only documentation for developers are terse comments buried in the code.

The original assumption was that much of the development would be done by volunteers ... and it was. However, there has obviously not been enough volunteer development and the ARRL BOARD needs to provide resources - either staff or outside professionals - to move the project forward. With a properly documented library of code, third party developers would very quickly provide transparent LotW functionality in their products.

B) On-line sign-up is difficult for many users. There should be an alternative way for prospective users to sign-up (have their documents examined) at many of the larger (regional) hamfests in both North America and the rest of the world - perhaps something along the lines of field checking cards. The larger IARU member societies (RSGB, DARC, REF, JARL, WIA, LABRE, SARL, SRR, etc.) should be enlisted to perform "by mail" verification on a regional basis.

C) LotW should be promoted vigorously in the larger non-English amateur publications (German, French, Spanish and Japanese at a minimum) as well as every national society publication. Documentation should be written in or translated to eight to ten major languages.

D) Logs submitted to the ARRL "contest robots" should be signed with a master certificate and made available for LotW. The contest logs are already subject to validation and error checking ... the file format includes all the data necessary to create an LotW "station location."

Sponsors of other major contests (CQ, BARTG, RSGB, etc.) should also be encouraged to make the electronic logs submitted in their contests available for LotW.

E) Perhaps the system should be expanded to allow users to attach a donation ... perhaps $0.10 to $0.25 per card or DX station ... for DXpeditions and/or users in the poorest

countries. Such a system might help increase participation by the large DXpeditions and "rare" DX.

There is no reason that the ARRL BOARD should not appoint an ad hoc committee on LotW. The issues are very clear and the solutions almost as clear. LotW should have an evangelist with the authority to seek volunteers (donated services), "tap" staff resources or contract with outside persons to move things forward. For example, cleaning up and documenting the client side libraries should not take a competent programmer/technical writer more than a month to six weeks. Similarly, competent promotions persons should be able to design an ongoing campaign (international) and prepare the basic print material in a very few weeks.

The BOARD squanders more resources than this would require on projects like the ad hoc digital committee and an ongoing restructuring program that would accomplish nothing except open our HF bands to wideband digital modes ... a move that benefits only certain European equipment manufactures and their quasi-commercial clients.

It's time the ARRL BOARD stropped treating LotW like the bastard step-child of a prodigal son and provides the resources to move into the 21st century. The volunteer development efforts and user base to date have proven the program works ... it's time to move it into the mainstream.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1872. a moldy oldy

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 21:07:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1872 Message: 1872

I put the 9 logs of stations I manage onto LoTW.
Mostly from the 80's & early 90's.

I always wonder what the person says when he see's one of these oldies come up in his results.
Today, G4OBK got a 9X5AA LoTW qsl dating in 1988.

Hope it brought a smile to his face.

Sure wish there was a way to use a 'scanner' for people to put those old logs online.
But judging from the handwriting deciphering I had to do..not likely to work very well :-(((

Next time I volunteer to key in some logs....I'm insisting they be written by a Catholic School Nun taught penmanship student! :-)

My personal oldest LoTW qsl is from 1971....anyone beat that?

"Sex at age 90 is like trying to shoot pool with a rope." George Burns
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1873. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 22:18:14 UTC
From: Ron Angle
Topic: 1816 Message: 1873

Am I correct that anything confirmed to E-QSL does not
apply to DXCC as compared to LOTW?

And, thank you for the information...much appreciated.

--- Patrick Hoppe <phoppe@...> wrote:

> For What It Is Worth
>
> I have submitted 5934 qso's to both eQSL and LOTW
>
> eQSL LOTW
> 5934 QSOs submitted 5934 QSOs submitted
> 841 QSOs confirmed 877 QSOs confirmed
> 52 Countries confirmed 58 countries confirmed
>
> I use Scott's (www.n3fjp.com) software. It has
> the upload to both sites
> built right in. Within 5 minutes after a contest, I
> have my log submitted
> to the contest site, eQSL, and LOTW. As you can
> see, my country
> conformation on LOTW is actually 6 countries ahead
> of eQSL... I have 114
> countries confirmed via actual qsl card. So they
> are both running at about
> 50% of actual. I have no complaints.
>
> Pat
> WW9R
>
>

=====
Ron Angle, K6KYJ
Chico CA USA
Celebrating 50 years of amateur radio 1955-2005
K6KYJ@...
http://www.withmy2hands.org/k6kyj/

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1874. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 22:32:57 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1816 Message: 1874

Ron Angle wrote:

> Am I correct that anything confirmed to E-QSL does not
> apply to DXCC as compared to LOTW?

E-QSL's are good for E-QSL awards ... nothing else.

Ron

1875. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 22:39:26 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1875

> From: Ron Angle [mailto:k6kyj@...]
>
> Am I correct that anything confirmed to E-QSL does not
> apply to DXCC as compared to LOTW?

Yes, you are correct. eQSL is not recognized for DXCC
or any other ARRL awards program. The "confirmations"
are not traceable or secure.

1876. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-30 23:06:19 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1876

That is correct. Recently someone sent me an e-mail saying that I had
received an e-QSL confirmation from them. I went to the e-QSL page and it
said all I had to do was register to get that
e-QSL and 83 others. I deleted the message and moved on. What would I do
with them after I got them? With that and 50 cents you can buy a coke.

73
Mike, W5UC

At 05:39 PM 1/30/2005 -0500, you wrote:

> > From: Ron Angle [mailto:k6kyj@...]
> >
> > Am I correct that anything confirmed to E-QSL does not
> > apply to DXCC as compared to LOTW?
>
>Yes, you are correct. eQSL is not recognized for DXCC
>or any other ARRL awards program. The "confirmations"
>are not traceable or secure.
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
http://members.cox.net/propstation

1877. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 02:55:10 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1877

...snip...

With that and 50 cents you can buy a coke.

73
Mike, W5UC

Ok, so where can a guy buy a coke for $0.50?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1878. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My 2 Cents

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 04:56:08 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1878

At 08:55 PM 1/30/2005 -0600, you wrote:
>Ok, so where can a guy buy a coke for $0.50?

HiFord:
Anywhere around here out of a machine. One of the advantages of living in
the boonies... HI
"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
http://members.cox.net/propstation

1879. Fw: Re: DX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 12:41:15 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1879 Message: 1879

Mark
I haven't said any thing about wanting to be removed from this list.
What's going on??? Who is undisclosed-recipients@...-byte.com? If
some one is using my e-mail address, find out who it is and I'll be glad to
talk to them in court.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 01/26/05 07:40:36
To: undisclosed-recipients@...-byte.com
Subject: DX

Here is a good source for DX Operations.
< http://www.cpcug.org/user/wfeidt/Misc/adxo.html>

JV
To be removed from this list email mark@... provide the list you wish
to be removed from and the email address used for this list.

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.298 / Virus Database: 265.7.4 - Release Date: 1/25/2005

.

1880. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 13:11:19 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1880

On Sun, Jan 30, 2005 at 09:10:49AM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> Hamish....Posting MY list of problems to this group would only make sense if I wanted help with
> navigating the LOTW maze. I no longer believe that help from this group is doing anything to solve
> LOTW's underlying problems... and accepting help may even be counter-productive.

Suit yourself. I think you are being counter-productive by complaining
here and suggesting a boycott without spelling out your dislikes.

I don't know if they are valid complaints or not, because you haven't
provided details.

> I am very appreciative of those offers of help, but as long as those who have made it thru the LOTW
> maze continue to lead others by the hand, the underlying LOTW problems will be covered up, masked,
> LESS visible. To get them fixed, we must first acknowledge they exist... and then we have to make
> them MORE visible to ARRL.

Fine. But how I can tell if they exist if you don't say what those
problems are? I can't disagree or agree in the current state.

LoTW works fine for me. I have a single call and a single certificate.
I've uploaded a couple of thousand QSOs, and got about 10% return.
I've used TQSL to sign my uploads.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1881. LoTW milestone

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 16:06:23 UTC
From: Keith Morehouse
Topic: 1881 Message: 1881

...looks like a good time to post this :)

With the successful uploading of the recent NAQP & ARRL RTTY logs, I have hit the 250,000 QSO mark in LoTW (combining my personal logs (3 different certificates) and logs for K9NS, with whom I do trustee duties).

No problems, no worries.

Overall, we're running slightly less then 10% QSL match/return rate. But, for 2004 fall/winter contest logs, I've seen a DRAMATIC increase in matches - sometimes as high as 30%. This is upon posting a log, roughly 30 days after the contest ended.

I also post K9NS logs to the E-QSL site, where we're running slightly better overall % rates. But, they've been around longer...

1882. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 17:20:51 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 1816 Message: 1882

I find this strange also i have 4 cert's listed. and have over 5k uploaded
so far with only a 1 % error rate and those errors were mine when entering
stuff in the logs. all were wrong zones.
it is getting better all the time.
good luck
Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

1883. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 19:13:22 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1883

Geez, Hamish... I've been trying to let this thread DIE... but you and others keep asking me stuff
and making comments that, to be at least polite, I should address. But please, let it go now...

My specific "dislikes" with the LOTW software are:
(1) there are too many concessions to security and not enough to simplicity
(2) the certification process is insufficiently user-friendly, and gives users too many opportunities to make mistakes.
(3) the (unnecessarily) unique Private Key Infrastructure (PKI) introduces an unjustified level of
user difficuty.

All of which is just techie-talk for "LOTW is unnecessarily difficult to use"... which is what I
have been saying all along.

Why hasn't ARRL fixed it yet?

(1) "resource limitations"... translation: insufficient program funding.
(2) "programming resource limitations"... translation: insufficient manpower (due to insufficient
program funding)
(3) the 2004 ARRL Operating Plan included additional manpower for software, but very little work has
been done since LOTW rolled out in May 2004.
BOTTOM LINE: NOT ENOUGH PRIORITY OR MONEY FOR LOTW

Why isn't there a higher priority and more money for LOTW?

(1) ARRL is still funding efforts to "fight" BPL. Ed Hare W1RFI, ARRL's best and brightest tech guy,
says that BPL can't work profitably. Don't they believe him? There are other more technically
feasible, less problematic, and therefore much more potentially profitable broadband distribution
technologies out there that will eventually supplant BPL... it's a dying horse, it's doom is sealed,
all we have to do is be patient. So why throw more money at beating that horse? Throw some at LOTW.

(2) ARRL leadership is afraid that if they spend money on LOTW which they think is only of interest
to a minority of the membership, the majority will be unhappy. So they want LOTW to be self-supporting. They think they've spent enough on LOTW already; and they don't want to spend more.

****
In the real world, "good" and "bad" software is defined by how many users find it easy to use and
productive. In the real world, if a piece of software is unnecessarily complex, I throw it away and
buy another one that's more user-friendly. The marketplace resolves the issue: the "good" software
becomes popular, the "bad" software goes off to the dollar discount store.

With LOTW, there's no alternative software. So all I can do is tear out my hair, jump up and down,
and tell ARRL and all you wonderful (but a little dense) folks here on the reflector that something
needs to be done to make LOTW easier to use.

Now, Hamish, is that what you were looking for? Can we let this thread die, please?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish Moffatt" <hamish@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 8:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> On Sun, Jan 30, 2005 at 09:10:49AM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>> Hamish....Posting MY list of problems to this group would only make sense if I wanted help with
>> navigating the LOTW maze. I no longer believe that help from this group is doing anything to
>> solve
>> LOTW's underlying problems... and accepting help may even be counter-productive.
>
> Suit yourself. I think you are being counter-productive by complaining
> here and suggesting a boycott without spelling out your dislikes.
>
> I don't know if they are valid complaints or not, because you haven't
> provided details.
>
>> I am very appreciative of those offers of help, but as long as those who have made it thru the
>> LOTW
>> maze continue to lead others by the hand, the underlying LOTW problems will be covered up,
>> masked,
>> LESS visible. To get them fixed, we must first acknowledge they exist... and then we have to make
>> them MORE visible to ARRL.
>
> Fine. But how I can tell if they exist if you don't say what those
> problems are? I can't disagree or agree in the current state.

>
>
> LoTW works fine for me. I have a single call and a single certificate.
> I've uploaded a couple of thousand QSOs, and got about 10% return.
> I've used TQSL to sign my uploads.
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1884. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 20:26:36 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1884

> From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ [mailto:k3bz@...]
>
> (3) the (unnecessarily) unique Private Key Infrastructure
> (PKI) introduces an unjustified level of user difficuty.

Illogical and incorrect ... the shared key (public/private key) infrastructure is necessary to maintain the integrity of the system. Without "signing" the original entry, it is not possible to guarantee the authenticity of the record. The is the equivalent of inspecting the original "paper" QSL for changes or forgeries.

It is the infrastructure that distinguishes Logbook of the World from and insecure and fraud prone system like eQSL or "QSL by e-mail."

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1885. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 21:12:59 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1885

Joe... Joe... Joe....You'd be correct if PKI was the only way to achieve "reasonable security" for
LOTW.

It's not.

PKI introduces an unjustified and unnecessary level of user complexity. Hindsight being 20/20, I
think the guys at ARRL know that now....it's just that they have this system with PKI, it's over-the-top and makes things too complex as compared with a reasonable approach, but heck they're
stuck with it because management won't give them any budget to go back and fix it, or replace it
with something that gives an adequate level of security without making it such a pain to use. I
don't detect any "pride of authorship" defending, but there may be a bit of that... nobody likes to
be wrong.

I have seen this exact scenario countless times in a 25 year management career. And in the Government. I'm all too familiar with it, and the only way to get anything done about it is to ...
jump up and down and point at it until it gets noticed by the powers that be. How about you help me
do that, Joe, OK?? If not, will you Puh-leeze just let this thread.... DIE???

73, and I mean that with all possible affection.... Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 3:26 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
>
>
>
>> From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ [mailto:k3bz@...]
>>
>> (3) the (unnecessarily) unique Private Key Infrastructure
>> (PKI) introduces an unjustified level of user difficuty.
>
> Illogical and incorrect ... the shared key (public/private
> key) infrastructure is necessary to maintain the integrity
> of the system. Without "signing" the original entry, it is

> not possible to guarantee the authenticity of the record.
> The is the equivalent of inspecting the original "paper" QSL
> for changes or forgeries.
>
> It is the infrastructure that distinguishes Logbook of the
> World from and insecure and fraud prone system like eQSL or
> "QSL by e-mail."
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1886. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 21:50:48 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1886

On Mon, Jan 31, 2005 at 02:13:22PM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> Geez, Hamish... I've been trying to let this thread DIE... but you and others keep asking me stuff
> and making comments that, to be at least polite, I should address. But please, let it go now...

Why don't you want to discuss this? Your points are anything but
unanimous.

> My specific "dislikes" with the LOTW software are:
> (1) there are too many concessions to security and not enough to simplicity
> (2) the certification process is insufficiently user-friendly, and gives users too many
> opportunities to make mistakes.
> (3) the (unnecessarily) unique Private Key Infrastructure (PKI) introduces an unjustified level of
> user difficuty.
>
> All of which is just techie-talk for "LOTW is unnecessarily difficult to use"... which is what I
> have been saying all along.

It's (arguably) too difficult to use through TQSL + the web interface.
There's no reason why it should be difficult to use by end users once
integrated into log programs like DXKeeper.

All of your points (which are almost all the same) can be solved with
some user interface changes, eg avoiding TQSL.

In my interpretation, TQSL is a demonstration of the tqsllib.dll
library which is the core of the implementation. It lets us get our
logs signed and uploaded, but I don't see it designed to be a long-term
solution.

> Why isn't there a higher priority and more money for LOTW?
>
> (1) ARRL is still funding efforts to "fight" BPL. Ed Hare W1RFI, ARRL's best and brightest tech guy,
> says that BPL can't work profitably. Don't they believe him? There are other more technically
> feasible, less problematic, and therefore much more potentially profitable broadband distribution
> technologies out there that will eventually supplant BPL... it's a dying horse, it's doom is sealed,
> all we have to do is be patient. So why throw more money at beating that horse? Throw some at LOTW.

How long before it dies, though? Is a few years of interference before
the providers realise it's uneconomical acceptable to you? I think
effort spent on fighting BPL IS worthwile, and ultimately more
beneficial to amateur radio than LoTW.

> With LOTW, there's no alternative software. So all I can do is tear out my hair, jump up and down,
> and tell ARRL and all you wonderful (but a little dense) folks here on the reflector that something
> needs to be done to make LOTW easier to use.

The ARRL don't need to do anything to make easier-to-use software.
The TQSL program and library source code is available. Developers can
write friendlier software today.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1887. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 22:49:02 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1887

Hamish.... To answer your question, many on this reflector are tired of this discussion. And it's
pointless to continue it here. Anyone here who's been reading this thread has the info they need to
take a position. Anyone here who wishes to write ARRL and boycott LOTW until it's fixed is already
doing so. So please, let this LOTW thread die now.

As to BPL, that's dead already. It won't lie down yet, but it's dead. BPL was never really an
issue... because BPL never had any chance of succeeding in the first place. The laws of physics
would not allow it (BPL won't work). ARRL's own tech people said so. Plus, the laws of economics
wouldn't allow it (BPL isn't profitable compared to other technologies coming up). There is
absolutely no doubt about this. Continuing to spend money to defeat something that is already
defeated is irresponsible. It's called "beating a dead horse".

And LOTW needs that money.

And last... you wrote: "developers can write better software today"... I KNOW THIS. I'm just trying
to get someone at ARRL working on DOING IT.

OK, Hamish... that's it... no more, please. If you have more questions, please write ARRL, OK?
thanks and 73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish Moffatt" <hamish@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 4:50 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> On Mon, Jan 31, 2005 at 02:13:22PM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
>> Geez, Hamish... I've been trying to let this thread DIE... but you and others keep asking me
>> stuff
>> and making comments that, to be at least polite, I should address. But please, let it go now...
>
> Why don't you want to discuss this? Your points are anything but
> unanimous.
>

>> My specific "dislikes" with the LOTW software are:
>> (1) there are too many concessions to security and not enough to simplicity
>> (2) the certification process is insufficiently user-friendly, and gives users too many
>> opportunities to make mistakes.
>> (3) the (unnecessarily) unique Private Key Infrastructure (PKI) introduces an
unjustified level
>> of
>> user difficuty.
>>
>> All of which is just techie-talk for "LOTW is unnecessarily difficult to use"... which
is what I
>> have been saying all along.
>
> It's (arguably) too difficult to use through TQSL + the web interface.
> There's no reason why it should be difficult to use by end users once
> integrated into log programs like DXKeeper.
>
> All of your points (which are almost all the same) can be solved with
> some user interface changes, eg avoiding TQSL.
>
> In my interpretation, TQSL is a demonstration of the tqsllib.dll
> library which is the core of the implementation. It lets us get our
> logs signed and uploaded, but I don't see it designed to be a long-term
> solution.
>
>> Why isn't there a higher priority and more money for LOTW?
>>
>> (1) ARRL is still funding efforts to "fight" BPL. Ed Hare W1RFI, ARRL's best and
brightest tech
>> guy,
>> says that BPL can't work profitably. Don't they believe him? There are other more
technically
>> feasible, less problematic, and therefore much more potentially profitable broadband
distribution
>> technologies out there that will eventually supplant BPL... it's a dying horse, it's
doom is
>> sealed,
>> all we have to do is be patient. So why throw more money at beating that horse? Throw
some at
>> LOTW.
>
> How long before it dies, though? Is a few years of interference before
> the providers realise it's uneconomical acceptable to you? I think
> effort spent on fighting BPL IS worthwile, and ultimately more
> beneficial to amateur radio than LoTW.
>
>> With LOTW, there's no alternative software. So all I can do is tear out my hair, jump up
and
>> down,
>> and tell ARRL and all you wonderful (but a little dense) folks here on the reflector

that
>> something
>> needs to be done to make LOTW easier to use.
>
> The ARRL don't need to do anything to make easier-to-use software.
> The TQSL program and library source code is available. Developers can
> write friendlier software today.
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1888. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:03:10 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1816 Message: 1888

Jerry,

> Joe... Joe... Joe....You'd be correct if PKI was the only way
> to achieve "reasonable security" for LOTW.

It's not just the security ... shared key encryption also provides
data validation. If all one wanted was security, there ARE other
ways to handle that. However, what you fail to acknowledge, the
system has concerns other than login (access) control.

A station in the middle of nowhere can use a certificate and
tQSL (or a more user friendly client application) to sign,
encrypt and compress the log file. That file can be placed on
a floppy (or CD, DVD, etc.) and sent by mail, courier or even a
bottle to another person/place for up-loading to LotW. Yes,
it's more complex than "UserID/Password" and an https:// web
site but it is infinitely more flexible and secure.

What you object to isn't the public/private key encryption ...
it's the lack of intuitive (to you) client applications. That
problem will only be resolved by the availability of properly
documented client code libraries.

Even the development and release of the client libraries will
not solve other issues with LotW ... the lack of promotion,
the relative difficulty for non-US users to register, and the
relative low levels of participation caused by the language
issues and registration hurdles.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1889. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:09:04 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1889

Jerry,

I know you are a reasonable guy, and deserve a reasonable explanation as to why things are-the-way-they-are with LOTW. Some of the rest of you may learn a thing or two by reading this diatribe.

Somewhere in this thread, either by you or by others, people have expounded on how banks seem to be able to set up on-line processing of transactions with great ease. They do it with seemingly seamless perfection. But they do this at great risk. A little secret from a bank examiner (me)... New account processing is the area of greatest financial risk to every bank. On-line wires are a far greater risk than loans and deposits combined. The fact is, LOTW uses EXACTLY the same procedure as banks, the IRS, the FCC, OCC, US Dept of Ed, US Dept of Transportation, etc., etc.

When I set up my FCC account, I started the application on-line and then they assigned a PIN that allows me to continue processing my applications. They MAIL me the pin to the correct address. Presuming that I know all the other information I need to know to complete the application, they let me in. When I set up on-line processing with the IRS, which allows me to sign and file tax returns on-line, and access customer accounts with the IRS, they used the same procedure with two exceptions (1) I needed to know my personal adjusted gross income for the previous years, and (2) they needed my finger prints on file (you should have heard the hoots-and-hollers at the local PD when I asked to be finger printed). When I set up my account with the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, which allows me to file my banking client's quarterly and annual financial reports to the Federal Reserve Bank, they used the IDENTICAL same procedure. When I helped my daughter to sign up for her college financial aid, I did this on-line too. Guess what? The IDENTICAL procedure was used, and I signed the application on-line using pre-designated passwords. Slight variations on the same theme, but all were identical to LOTW. The exception is my own bank. And I'd like to explain why this relationship is different.

The procedure in every case is to prepare an application for an account. To provide some guarantee that I am me, they mail the confirmation code to my last known address. Once received, I can then continue processing my business. Along the way, they ask all sorts of goofy questions to verify that I am me--mother's maiden name, city of birth, my adjusted gross income, etc.--all externally verifiable by them. The only reason a bank (and I group credit cards and financial brokers with this group) procedure is somewhat different is that they also provide me a MAILED monthly statement, to which I respond confirming receipt with a check for the balance due--EVERY MONTH. In each case, these banking relationships started with a complicated application that included checking with the previous bank AND looking at the "black list" of no-good-dead-beat banking customers (yes, there really is a black list, and if you are on it, you will NEVER get a checking account at a bank until you straighten out the mess you created to get on that list).

So the difference in all these identical sign-up procedures--bar NONE--is the fact that when monthly correspondence occurs already, there is no need for additional internal controls since this particular control is being performed every month. If you walked into a

bank and attempted to open an account, complete with authorization to make wires, I can guarantee that you will be met with great difficulty. Frankly, it may be impossible.

So LOTW is not even remotely unreasonable. If the ARRL LOTW area was sending you some statement periodically, to which you needed to respond with a confirmation (like a check for a balance due), then the certificate process could be eliminated completely. Frankly, there is no reasonable way around this. If your protests fall on deaf ears, I suspect it is because a very many very smart people--inside and outside the ARRL--have looked at these issues for years. This IS the proper way to accomplish what the ARRL fully intends to retain as secure--that being the DXCC desk.

I hope this helps you understand why the world spins the way it does... At least re:LOTW...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1890. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:17:57 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 1816 Message: 1890

Either the moderator is on vacation or is enjoying this head butting
contest. I'm out of here.
JV Staples KT4U

-------Original Message-------
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Jerry,

> Joe... Joe... Joe....You'd be correct if PKI was the only way
> to achieve "reasonable security" for LOTW.

It's not just the security ... shared key encryption also provides
data validation. If all one wanted was security, there ARE other
ways to handle that. However, what you fail to acknowledge, the
system has concerns other than login (access) control.

A station in the middle of nowhere can use a certificate and
tQSL (or a more user friendly client application) to sign,
encrypt and compress the log file. That file can be placed on
a floppy (or CD, DVD, etc.) and sent by mail, courier or even a
bottle to another person/place for up-loading to LotW. Yes,
it's more complex than "UserID/Password" and an https:// web
site but it is infinitely more flexible and secure.

What you object to isn't the public/private key encryption ...
it's the lack of intuitive (to you) client applications. That
problem will only be resolved by the availability of properly
documented client code libraries.

Even the development and release of the client libraries will
not solve other issues with LotW ... the lack of promotion,
the relative difficulty for non-US users to register, and the
relative low levels of participation caused by the language
issues and registration hurdles.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1891. latest 12 month stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:21:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1891 Message: 1891

Created by DXBase2005
https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwprev12mnthsonly.txt

Note: this only counts qso's made in the last 12 months ending Jan. 32 @23:59Z, that were uploaded to LoTW and subsequently resulted in a LoTW qsl.

This does not count LoTW qsl's received for qso's made & uploaded more than 12 months ago.

And a note to the list moderator and readers.
If you feel this stats report is inappropriate, let me know and I'll discontinue submissions.

But in the last few months, I've seen a large jump in non USA submissions and also contest log submissions.

Ya might be a Computer Redneck if Ya think a surge suppressor is a pill for diarrhea.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1892. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:18:11 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1892

On Mon, Jan 31, 2005 at 05:49:02PM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> Hamish.... To answer your question, many on this reflector are tired of this discussion. And it's

That may be true. I don't know why you started it again then?

> pointless to continue it here. Anyone here who's been reading this thread has the info they need to
> take a position. Anyone here who wishes to write ARRL and boycott LOTW until it's fixed is already
> doing so. So please, let this LOTW thread die now.

This is the LOTW mailing list. What else should we discuss here?

> And last... you wrote: "developers can write better software today"... I KNOW THIS. I'm just trying
> to get someone at ARRL working on DOING IT.

The ARRL can't integrate LOTW into your favourite log program - only its
author can do that. I'm not convinced that much needs to be done by the
ARRL to improve LOTW; mostly it needs integration now from third
parties. I would like to see the ARRL get WAS and other awards
integrated though.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1893. LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:27:26 UTC
From: salc_sr
Topic: 1893 Message: 1893

Greetings,

From the many postings I've read on this group, it is safe to say that a lot of people are having trouble with this application. I will tell you up front that I am one of them. I see the great potential that LoTW has. But if it is seen as awkward and cumbersome to use, some people will not us it. That alone will prevent LoTW from reaching its full potential.

I think the documentation is about as bad as any I have ever read. I had to read it over and over before I had a successful data transfer. I felt great that time. Since then I've been trying to get a new certificate for a previous call and I am having problems again.

I really want to use LoTW, because like is said earlier, it has a hugh potential. I think the ARRL documentation is confusing. It is not clear to the casual user like myself. I think it needs something written at a high level that is a clear description or how the software works and how the user interacts with it. I think the addition of a flow diagram would help immensely. It would be nice if one of the power users in this group with the writing skills would create something like I described and post it here in the file section for all members of the group to access.

Is there anyone out there who wants to see LoTW succeed willing to help?

73
Sal WC6SAL

1894. Re: latest 12 month stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:30:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1891 Message: 1894

Yes, I caught it too late.

I guess my secret is out...
On the planet I live on, January does have 32 days....

You need a Lifestyle change if: You consider your license plate personalized because your father made it.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1895. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:32:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1893 Message: 1895

Certainly.
I have certificates for all three of my callsigns.
WN9VOL, WA9VOL, and my current iteration, W9OL.

feel free to email me directly at w9ol@... or if wish, I can supply my phone number.

----- Original Message -----
From: "salc_sr" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues

>
> Greetings,
>
> From the many postings I've read on this group, it is safe to say
> that a lot of people are having trouble with this application. I
> will tell you up front that I am one of them. I see the great
> potential that LoTW has. But if it is seen as awkward and cumbersome
> to use, some people will not us it. That alone will prevent LoTW from
> reaching its full potential.
>
> I think the documentation is about as bad as any I have ever read. I
> had to read it over and over before I had a successful data transfer.
> I felt great that time. Since then I've been trying to get a new
> certificate for a previous call and I am having problems again.
>
> I really want to use LoTW, because like is said earlier, it has a
> hugh potential. I think the ARRL documentation is confusing. It is
> not clear to the casual user like myself. I think it needs something
> written at a high level that is a clear description or how the
> software works and how the user interacts with it. I think the
> addition of a flow diagram would help immensely. It would be nice if
> one of the power users in this group with the writing skills would
> create something like I described and post it here in the file
> section for all members of the group to access.
>
> Is there anyone out there who wants to see LoTW succeed willing to
> help?
>
> 73
> Sal WC6SAL
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1896. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:33:34 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1896

Let me tell you gang, this thread is beginning to bear resemblance to
making love to a skunk, and I have enjoyed about all I can stand. Don't ask.

73
Mike, W5UC
"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
http://members.cox.net/propstation

1897. Re: LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 00:49:56 UTC
From: salc_sr
Topic: 1893 Message: 1897

Greetings,

Thank you. I will not be able to follow up with this for about two
more weeks. But I will write again at that time.

Thanks again,

73,

Sal WC6SAL

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> Certainly.
> I have certificates for all three of my callsigns.
> WN9VOL, WA9VOL, and my current iteration, W9OL.
>
> feel free to email me directly at w9ol@b... or if wish, I can
supply my phone
> number.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "salc_sr" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:27 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues
>
>
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > From the many postings I've read on this group, it is safe to say
> > that a lot of people are having trouble with this application. I
> > will tell you up front that I am one of them. I see the great
> > potential that LoTW has. But if it is seen as awkward and
cumbersome
> > to use, some people will not us it. That alone will prevent LoTW
from
> > reaching its full potential.
> >
> > I think the documentation is about as bad as any I have ever
read. I
> > had to read it over and over before I had a successful data
transfer.
> > I felt great that time. Since then I've been trying to get a new
> > certificate for a previous call and I am having problems again.

> >
> > I really want to use LoTW, because like is said earlier, it has a
> > hugh potential. I think the ARRL documentation is confusing. It is
> > not clear to the casual user like myself. I think it needs
something
> > written at a high level that is a clear description or how the
> > software works and how the user interacts with it. I think the
> > addition of a flow diagram would help immensely. It would be nice
if
> > one of the power users in this group with the writing skills would
> > create something like I described and post it here in the file
> > section for all members of the group to access.
> >
> > Is there anyone out there who wants to see LoTW succeed willing to
> > help?
> >
> > 73
> > Sal WC6SAL
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >

1898. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:27:25 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1898

Hi, Ford....

The way it is, it's too much of a pain to use. I don't care why. It needs to be made easier, or I
won't use it. I suspect I have LOTS more company than anyone cares to acknowledge. They just aren't
as loud and annoying as me.

73 and all the best, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Jerry,
>
> I know you are a reasonable guy, and deserve a reasonable explanation as to why things
> are-the-way-they-are with LOTW. Some of the rest of you may learn a thing or two by reading this
> diatribe.
>
> Somewhere in this thread, either by you or by others, people have expounded on how banks seem to
> be able to set up on-line processing of transactions with great ease. They do it with seemingly
> seamless perfection. But they do this at great risk. A little secret from a bank examiner
> (me)... New account processing is the area of greatest financial risk to every bank. On-line
> wires are a far greater risk than loans and deposits combined. The fact is, LOTW uses EXACTLY the
> same procedure as banks, the IRS, the FCC, OCC, US Dept of Ed, US Dept of Transportation, etc.,
> etc.
>
> When I set up my FCC account, I started the application on-line and then they assigned a PIN that
> allows me to continue processing my applications. They MAIL me the pin to the correct address.
> Presuming that I know all the other information I need to know to complete the application, they
> let me in. When I set up on-line processing with the IRS, which allows me to sign and file tax
> returns on-line, and access customer accounts with the IRS, they used the same procedure

with two
> exceptions (1) I needed to know my personal adjusted gross income for the previous years, and (2)
> they needed my finger prints on file (you should have heard the hoots-and-hollers at the local PD
> when I asked to be finger printed). When I set up my account with the Federal Reserve Bank of
> Minneapolis, which allows me to file my banking client's quarterly and annual financial reports to
> the Federal Reserve Bank, they used the IDENTICAL same procedure. When I helped my daughter to
> sign up for her c!
> ollege financial aid, I did this on-line too. Guess what? The IDENTICAL procedure was used, and
> I signed the application on-line using pre-designated passwords. Slight variations on the same
> theme, but all were identical to LOTW. The exception is my own bank. And I'd like to explain why
> this relationship is different.
>
> The procedure in every case is to prepare an application for an account. To provide some
> guarantee that I am me, they mail the confirmation code to my last known address. Once received,
> I can then continue processing my business. Along the way, they ask all sorts of goofy questions
> to verify that I am me--mother's maiden name, city of birth, my adjusted gross income, etc.--all
> externally verifiable by them. The only reason a bank (and I group credit cards and financial
> brokers with this group) procedure is somewhat different is that they also provide me a MAILED
> monthly statement, to which I respond confirming receipt with a check for the balance due--EVERY
> MONTH. In each case, these banking relationships started with a complicated application that
> included checking with the previous bank AND looking at the "black list" of no-good-dead-beat
> banking customers (yes, there really is a black list, and if you are on it, you will NEVER get a
> checking account at a bank until y!
> ou straighten out the mess you created to get on that list).
>
> So the difference in all these identical sign-up procedures--bar NONE--is the fact that when
> monthly correspondence occurs already, there is no need for additional internal controls since
> this particular control is being performed every month. If you walked into a bank and attempted
> to open an account, complete with authorization to make wires, I can guarantee that you will be
> met with great difficulty. Frankly, it may be impossible.

>
> So LOTW is not even remotely unreasonable. If the ARRL LOTW area was sending you some statement
> periodically, to which you needed to respond with a confirmation (like a check for a balance due),
> then the certificate process could be eliminated completely. Frankly, there is no reasonable way
> around this. If your protests fall on deaf ears, I suspect it is because a very many very smart
> people--inside and outside the ARRL--have looked at these issues for years. This IS the proper
> way to accomplish what the ARRL fully intends to retain as secure--that being the DXCC desk.
>
> I hope this helps you understand why the world spins the way it does... At least re:LOTW...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1899. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:30:12 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1899

Oh, heck, Jim... don't go. You were adding that... special something... to the discussion. If you
go, I'll miss you.
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:17 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Either the moderator is on vacation or is enjoying this head butting
contest. I'm out of here.
JV Staples KT4U

-------Original Message-------
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Jerry,

> Joe... Joe... Joe....You'd be correct if PKI was the only way
> to achieve "reasonable security" for LOTW.

It's not just the security ... shared key encryption also provides
data validation. If all one wanted was security, there ARE other
ways to handle that. However, what you fail to acknowledge, the
system has concerns other than login (access) control.

A station in the middle of nowhere can use a certificate and
tQSL (or a more user friendly client application) to sign,
encrypt and compress the log file. That file can be placed on
a floppy (or CD, DVD, etc.) and sent by mail, courier or even a
bottle to another person/place for up-loading to LotW. Yes,
it's more complex than "UserID/Password" and an https:// web
site but it is infinitely more flexible and secure.

What you object to isn't the public/private key encryption ...
it's the lack of intuitive (to you) client applications. That
problem will only be resolved by the availability of properly
documented client code libraries.

Even the development and release of the client libraries will
not solve other issues with LotW ... the lack of promotion,
the relative difficulty for non-US users to register, and the
relative low levels of participation caused by the language
issues and registration hurdles.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1900. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 01:35:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1816 Message: 1900

Jerry wrote:

> The way it is, it's too much of a pain to use. I don't care why. It needs to be made easier, or I
> won't use it.

I guess that's fine. There are two kinds of hams. Those that use LOTW, and those that don't. Frankly, by not using it you are in the majority. You are more than welcomed to be part of either group. It's your choice... I was only trying to explain about why there are "deaf ears" to eliminating the certificate process.

If you can figure out how to do it and retain security, I can make you a very wealthy man, very quick.

I'm still waiting for somebody to develop a QSLing application that figures out all this stuff--direct QSLs, LOTW confirms, pending, routes, award paperwork, the works. I'd gladly pay for such an application. But it doesn't exist and likely will not because it is a very complicated process to track it all and incorporate all the features I would want to see in such an application.

73 and good luck to you.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1901. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 01:23:39 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1893 Message: 1901

I'd be happy to help, Sal, but I'm afraid I'm in the same boat as you. I find LOTW one of the most
frustrationg bits of software I've ever encountered. The concept is very good, but I think the
program is poorly conceived and poorly executed. It might reach some of the program goals, but it
fails miserably in the user interface. It needs fixing, and only ARRL can do that.

If there were another piece of software we could go to, the marketplace would force ARRL to make
changes or lose customers. But LOTW is the only game in town. About the only thing I can suggest to
you as a way to exert pressure on ARRL to fix it is to ask you to e-mail the go-to guy for LOTW at
ARRL HQ - Wayne Mills N7NG N7NG@... and tell him the same things you said here on the
reflector. I have done that and I've also written to my Division Director and ARRL's president, Jim
Haynie W5JBP. I told them all that until LOTW is fixed, I won't be using it.

There are great guys here on the reflector, Sal, that will help us thru the LOTW maze if we ask.
I've chosen not to do that because I would rather see the software and documentation fixed so you
and I and many, many others won't need so much help, now or in the future. Then we'll know we have
some decent LOTW software.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "salc_sr" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 7:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues

>
>
> Greetings,
>
> From the many postings I've read on this group, it is safe to say
> that a lot of people are having trouble with this application. I
> will tell you up front that I am one of them. I see the great
> potential that LoTW has. But if it is seen as awkward and cumbersome
> to use, some people will not us it. That alone will prevent LoTW from
> reaching its full potential.
>

> I think the documentation is about as bad as any I have ever read. I
> had to read it over and over before I had a successful data transfer.
> I felt great that time. Since then I've been trying to get a new
> certificate for a previous call and I am having problems again.
>
> I really want to use LoTW, because like is said earlier, it has a
> hugh potential. I think the ARRL documentation is confusing. It is
> not clear to the casual user like myself. I think it needs something
> written at a high level that is a clear description or how the
> software works and how the user interacts with it. I think the
> addition of a flow diagram would help immensely. It would be nice if
> one of the power users in this group with the writing skills would
> create something like I described and post it here in the file
> section for all members of the group to access.
>
> Is there anyone out there who wants to see LoTW succeed willing to
> help?
>
> 73
> Sal WC6SAL
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1902. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: latest 12 month stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 01:24:40 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1891 Message: 1902

Bill, I already knew you live on another planet 8^)

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "DXBase List"
<dxbase@...>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 7:30 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: latest 12 month stats

>
> Yes, I caught it too late.
>
> I guess my secret is out...
> On the planet I live on, January does have 32 days....
>
>
>
> ---
> You need a Lifestyle change if: You consider your license plate personalized because your
> father made it.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1903. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 01:44:41 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1816 Message: 1903

"If you can figure out how to do it and retain security.."

Depends on what you mean by "security".

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 8:35 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Jerry wrote:
>
>> The way it is, it's too much of a pain to use. I don't care why. It needs to be made easier, or I
>> won't use it.
>
> I guess that's fine. There are two kinds of hams. Those that use LOTW, and those that don't.
> Frankly, by not using it you are in the majority. You are more than welcomed to be part of either
> group. It's your choice... I was only trying to explain about why there are "deaf ears" to
> eliminating the certificate process.
>
> If you can figure out how to do it and retain security, I can make you a very wealthy man, very
> quick.
>
> I'm still waiting for somebody to develop a QSLing application that figures out all this
> stuff--direct QSLs, LOTW confirms, pending, routes, award paperwork, the works. I'd gladly pay
> for such an application. But it doesn't exist and likely will not because it is a very
> complicated process to track it all and incorporate all the features I would want to see in such
> an application.
>
> 73 and good luck to you.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1904. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 13:21:12 UTC
From: Ted Demopoulos, KT1V
Topic: 1816 Message: 1904

Ford,

Thanks for taking the time for this wonderful perspective and explanation!
I'm sure a lot of people got a lot out of it.

73
Ted KT1V

At 06:09 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
>Jerry,
>
>I know you are a reasonable guy, and deserve a reasonable explanation as
>to why things are-the-way-they-are with LOTW. Some of the rest of you may
>learn a thing or two by reading this diatribe.
>
>Somewhere in this thread, either by you or by others, people have
>expounded on how banks seem to be able to set up on-line processing of
>transactions with great ease. They do it with seemingly seamless
>perfection. But they do this at great risk. A little secret from a bank
>examiner (me)... New account processing is the area of greatest financial
>risk to every bank. On-line wires are a far greater risk than loans and
>deposits combined. The fact is, LOTW uses EXACTLY the same procedure as
>banks, the IRS, the FCC, OCC, US Dept of Ed, US Dept of Transportation,
>etc., etc.
>
>When I set up my FCC account, I started the application on-line and then
>they assigned a PIN that allows me to continue processing my
>applications. They MAIL me the pin to the correct address. Presuming
>that I know all the other information I need to know to complete the
>application, they let me in. When I set up on-line processing with the
>IRS, which allows me to sign and file tax returns on-line, and access
>customer accounts with the IRS, they used the same procedure with two
>exceptions (1) I needed to know my personal adjusted gross income for the
>previous years, and (2) they needed my finger prints on file (you should
>have heard the hoots-and-hollers at the local PD when I asked to be finger
>printed). When I set up my account with the Federal Reserve Bank of
>Minneapolis, which allows me to file my banking client's quarterly and
>annual financial reports to the Federal Reserve Bank, they used the
>IDENTICAL same procedure. When I helped my daughter to sign up for her
>college financial aid, I did this on-line too. Guess what? The IDENTICAL
>procedure was used, and I signed the application on-line using
>pre-designated passwords. Slight variations on the same theme, but all
>were identical to LOTW. The exception is my own bank. And I'd like to
>explain why this relationship is different.
>
>The procedure in every case is to prepare an application for an

>account. To provide some guarantee that I am me, they mail the
>confirmation code to my last known address. Once received, I can then
>continue processing my business. Along the way, they ask all sorts of
>goofy questions to verify that I am me--mother's maiden name, city of
>birth, my adjusted gross income, etc.--all externally verifiable by
>them. The only reason a bank (and I group credit cards and financial
>brokers with this group) procedure is somewhat different is that they also
>provide me a MAILED monthly statement, to which I respond confirming
>receipt with a check for the balance due--EVERY MONTH. In each case,
>these banking relationships started with a complicated application that
>included checking with the previous bank AND looking at the "black list"
>of no-good-dead-beat banking customers (yes, there really is a black list,
>and if you are on it, you will NEVER get a checking account at a bank
>until you straighten out the mess you created to get on that list).
>
>So the difference in all these identical sign-up procedures--bar NONE--is
>the fact that when monthly correspondence occurs already, there is no need
>for additional internal controls since this particular control is being
>performed every month. If you walked into a bank and attempted to open an
>account, complete with authorization to make wires, I can guarantee that
>you will be met with great difficulty. Frankly, it may be impossible.
>
>So LOTW is not even remotely unreasonable. If the ARRL LOTW area was
>sending you some statement periodically, to which you needed to respond
>with a confirmation (like a check for a balance due), then the certificate
>process could be eliminated completely. Frankly, there is no reasonable
>way around this. If your protests fall on deaf ears, I suspect it is
>because a very many very smart people--inside and outside the ARRL--have
>looked at these issues for years. This IS the proper way to accomplish
>what the ARRL fully intends to retain as secure--that being the DXCC desk.
>
>I hope this helps you understand why the world spins the way it
>does... At least re:LOTW...
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *

> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1905. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 14:23:16 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1816 Message: 1905

Hi All,

Further to what Ford, and others, are saying and realizing the genuine usage problems that Jerry is encountering,
I assume that all of the originators of complaints regarding their LoTW usage difficulties have computers.
Being amateur radio operators, they also own and use relatively expensive radio equipment and antenna systems.
That being said, why not make a further, rather small, investment in a good, up to date, computer logging program.
There are several of them out there that make LoTW uploads and downloads a complete 'no-brainer',
necessitating only a few clicks on the mouse or keyboard to successfully accomplish the above tasks.
Then make use of your printer to print out the instructions, found on the LoTW web site, for further,
detailed, study of the use of the many other LoTW facilities and features.
We need to get more people to use LoTW, not scare them all away.
Try it, you might like it.
My LoTW QSL return rate is a dismal 3.8 %.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 7:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

> Jerry,
>
> I know you are a reasonable guy, and deserve a reasonable explanation
> as to why things are-the-way-they-are with LOTW. Some of the rest of
> you may learn a thing or two by reading this diatribe.
>
> Somewhere in this thread, either by you or by others, people have
> expounded on how banks seem to be able to set up on-line processing of
> transactions with great ease. They do it with seemingly seamless
> perfection. But they do this at great risk. A little secret from a
> bank examiner (me)... New account processing is the area of greatest
> financial risk to every bank. On-line wires are a far greater risk
> than loans and deposits combined. The fact is, LOTW uses EXACTLY the
> same procedure as banks, the IRS, the FCC, OCC, US Dept of Ed, US Dept
> of Transportation, etc., etc.
>

> When I set up my FCC account, I started the application on-line and
> then they assigned a PIN that allows me to continue processing my
> applications. They MAIL me the pin to the correct address. Presuming
> that I know all the other information I need to know to complete the
> application, they let me in. When I set up on-line processing with
> the IRS, which allows me to sign and file tax returns on-line, and
> access customer accounts with the IRS, they used the same procedure
> with two exceptions (1) I needed to know my personal adjusted gross
> income for the previous years, and (2) they needed my finger prints on
> file (you should have heard the hoots-and-hollers at the local PD when
> I asked to be finger printed). When I set up my account with the
> Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, which allows me to file my
> banking client's quarterly and annual financial reports to the Federal
> Reserve Bank, they used the IDENTICAL same procedure. When I helped
> my daughter to sign up for her college financial aid, I did this
> on-line too. Guess what? The IDENTICAL procedure was used, and I
> signed the application on-line using pre-designated passwords. Slight
> variations on the same theme, but all were identical to LOTW. The
> exception is my own bank. And I'd like to explain why this
> relationship is different.
>
> The procedure in every case is to prepare an application for an
> account. To provide some guarantee that I am me, they mail the
> confirmation code to my last known address. Once received, I can then
> continue processing my business. Along the way, they ask all sorts of
> goofy questions to verify that I am me--mother's maiden name, city of
> birth, my adjusted gross income, etc.--all externally verifiable by
> them. The only reason a bank (and I group credit cards and financial
> brokers with this group) procedure is somewhat different is that they
> also provide me a MAILED monthly statement, to which I respond
> confirming receipt with a check for the balance due--EVERY MONTH. In
> each case, these banking relationships started with a complicated
> application that included checking with the previous bank AND looking
> at the "black list" of no-good-dead-beat banking customers (yes, there
> really is a black list, and if you are on it, you will NEVER get a
> checking account at a bank until you straighten out the mess you
> created to get on that list).
>
> So the difference in all these identical sign-up procedures--bar
> NONE--is the fact that when monthly correspondence occurs already,
> there is no need for additional internal controls since this
> particular control is being performed every month. If you walked into
> a bank and attempted to open an account, complete with authorization
> to make wires, I can guarantee that you will be met with great
> difficulty. Frankly, it may be impossible.
>
> So LOTW is not even remotely unreasonable. If the ARRL LOTW area was
> sending you some statement periodically, to which you needed to
> respond with a confirmation (like a check for a balance due), then the
> certificate process could be eliminated completely. Frankly, there is

> no reasonable way around this. If your protests fall on deaf ears, I
> suspect it is because a very many very smart people--inside and
> outside the ARRL--have looked at these issues for years. This IS the
> proper way to accomplish what the ARRL fully intends to retain as
> secure--that being the DXCC desk.
>
> I hope this helps you understand why the world spins the way it
> does... At least re:LOTW...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>

1906. How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 14:58:47 UTC
From: c22yacht
Topic: 1906 Message: 1906

Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I would fix LOTW:

1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards should take 30-40 hours to write.

2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens, this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming the front end as a VB or .net application.

So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.

I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.

The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.

ARRL - are you listening? QSL?

Bob Dianetti KT8DX

1907. LOTW Critical Mass...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:12:05 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1907 Message: 1907

Carl wrote:

...snip...

> We need to get more people to use LoTW, not scare them all away.
> Try it, you might like it.
> My LoTW QSL return rate is a dismal 3.8 %.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV

Carl,

I have 4 certificates to cover my previous calls and QTHs. With 23,092 QSOs uploaded, I have a 14.5% return rate. With each contest, I see loads of new matches. But the 'benefit' of using LOTW has not yet happened for this op.

I measure success is by my results. Results are a function of participation. The ARRL measures their success by the sale of credits. I echo Carl's thoughts in terms of not scaring people away from LOTW. It is a marketing problem. Is it easy to use? Apparently not for some. But with each additional station that uses LOTW, there are additional matches that could result in additional purchases of credits. Can it be made easier to use? Without a doubt. But this takes money, and focus. Money that is supposed to come from the purchase of credits by users.

I have yet to actually 'purchase' any credits. I'm waiting for some additional award that can be received before I do write the check. If a WAS award was offered to me (I have yet to actually 'paper' apply for WAS, WAC, WAZ, etc.), I would probably purchase enough credits to secure my 5 band WAS all in one shot. That's a 250 credit purchase--and I'm just one op. Additional credits would be purchased for 160M and WARC bands too. And I might even get excited about working on the challenge at the same time. Lots of additional $$$ for the LOTW folks to play with, progress would happen if many jump on the band wagon and start buying credits. I would write the check. I'm betting others would too.

As it is, my DXCC stats are lacking. I have a 5 Band DXCC in my log, but the LOTW stats are not there to permit my application for additional bands. On some bands, more than one DXCC. Here are my summary stats as of this AM.

23,092 QSOs, 3,349 matches (14.5%)

Your Logbook DXCC Account (N0FP - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Account Status
Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current

Mixed * 48 0 117 165 / 165
CW * 35 0 102 137 / 137
Phone 71 0 16 87 / 87
RTTY 18 0 0 18 / 18

160M 20 0 0 20 / 20
80M 26 0 16 42 / 42
40M 41 0 0 41 / 41
30M 4 0 0 4 / 4
20M * 33 0 102 135 / 135
17M 3 0 0 3 / 3
15M 73 0 0 73 / 73
12M 3 0 0 3 / 3
10M 66 0 0 66 / 66
6M 2 0 0 2 / 2
Challenge271 0 118 --- / 389
* = Award has been issued

I applied for and received a paper submitted application for DXCC, CW endorsement, and 20M endorsement. My log contains DXCC on 80-40-20-15-10, but as you can see, my credits showing up on LOTW are not allowing me to apply for any additional endorsements. All of those awarded so far are for paper submitted QSLs. And the LOTW interface reflects this effort beautifully.

The way I interpret this, there is going to be a 'critical mass' that causes lots of people to start buying credits. It is the credit buying that will get results. I have no clue as to how many credits have actually been purchased by people, but my guess is that it is abismally few. I have to believe that the ARRL's measure of success is largely based on the number of credits sold. It's good business for them to evaluate it in this manner.

So how do we get LOTW to that critical mass? I would vote for adding WAS to the equation. If my view is anything like the typical, then lots of credits would be purchased by many people, and the ball would start rolling.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1908. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Critical Mass...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:22:55 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1907 Message: 1908

I previously wrote:

...snip...

> So how do we get LOTW to that critical mass? I would vote for adding WAS to the equation. If my view is anything like the typical, then lots of credits would be purchased by many people, and the ball would start rolling.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...

I also think VUCC would be an excellent addition, as would incorporating the additional VHF/UHF bands. There are lots of folks participating in VUCC and they too need a venue for tracking their activity. Again, lots of opportunity to 'sell' credits.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1909. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:32:52 UTC
From: Mike Kroh
Topic: 1906 Message: 1909

Hi Bob,

Love your suggestions. As yet I don't use LOTW but would like to as soon as I can figure how to get 80,000 qsos out of an Excel file into the proper format for LOTW. Several years ago I was an Alarm engineer for the local Bell telco and worked on a software design team for an Alarm Engineering program. Our part was to work on the user interface screens. What you said was exactly our consideration. We looked at screen designs and software functionality for the least knowledgeable person using our program. The method works great and only minimal instruction was needed for folks using the Alarm Engineering program for the first time.

Hope the ARRL listens to great suggestions like yours.

73, Mike NW7E

> From: "c22yacht" <c22yacht@...>
> Reply-To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tue, 01 Feb 2005 14:58:47 -0000
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW
>
>
>
> Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> would fix LOTW:
>
> 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so
> that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See
> www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be
> about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards
> should take 30-40 hours to write.
>
> 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens,
> this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming
> the front end as a VB or .net application.
>
> So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone
> 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix
> the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried
> staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.
>
> I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money
> to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some

> of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.
>
> The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at
> ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public
> relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new
> membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a
> member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is
> important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.
>
> ARRL - are you listening? QSL?
>
> Bob Dianetti KT8DX
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1910. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Critical Mass...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:34:03 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) Watson
Topic: 1907 Message: 1910

At 09:22 AM 2/1/2005 -0600, you wrote:
> > So how do we get LOTW to that critical mass? I would vote for adding
> WAS to the equation. If my view is anything like the typical, then lots
> of credits would be purchased by many people, and the ball would start rolling.

It is my understanding that WAS and several other awards will be added to
LOTW. Keep the faith. You have to crawl before you walk. All this
pissing & moaning accomplishes nothing but pissing & moaning. Why don't
you sit down and write a COURTEOUS letter to LOTW and ask a few questions?

73
Mike, W5UC

"age and treachery will overcome youth and skill"
http://members.cox.net/propstation

1911. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:41:52 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 1906 Message: 1911

Mike,

Try: http://www.storm.ca/~ve3iay/spreadsh.html

I found LoTW relatively easy to install and operate as did many of my low Tech friends.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@rac.ca
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike Kroh
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 8:32 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Hi Bob,

Love your suggestions. As yet I don't use LOTW but would like to as soon as I can figure how to get 80,000 qsos out of an Excel file into the proper format for LOTW. Several years ago I was an Alarm engineer for the local Bell telco and worked on a software design team for an Alarm Engineering program. Our part was to work on the user interface screens. What you said was exactly our consideration. We looked at screen designs and software functionality for the least knowledgeable person using our program. The method works great and only minimal instruction was needed for folks using the Alarm Engineering program for the first time.

Hope the ARRL listens to great suggestions like yours.

73, Mike NW7E

> From: "c22yacht" <c22yacht@...>
> Reply-To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tue, 01 Feb 2005 14:58:47 -0000
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW
>
>
>
> Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> would fix LOTW:
>
> 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so

> that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See
> www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be
> about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards
> should take 30-40 hours to write.
>
> 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens,
> this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming
> the front end as a VB or .net application.
>
> So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone
> 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix
> the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried
> staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.
>
> I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money
> to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some
> of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.
>
> The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at
> ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public
> relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new
> membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a
> member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is
> important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.
>
> ARRL - are you listening? QSL?
>
> Bob Dianetti KT8DX
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1912. Instructions?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 16:06:13 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1906 Message: 1912

I posted this within the past month but no replies. I do not consider myself experienced enough to check to see if these instructions are correct so if one of you more experied LOTW users could verify evan though it is for the beta run.

What do you think??

Maybe we could update them if need be and post it as a signature to our emails??

I came across this today while reading a post on QSLing on eham.net.

http://www.aa5au.com/lotw_beta.htm

While this has to do with the beta version. I was wondering if some of the people on the list could take a look at it and see what they thought of it and if it was accurate and it was something that we might recommend.

Quite interesting as it gives screen shots that might make it easier to set up LOTW.

Randy
AG8A

Do you Yahoo!?
Meet the all-new My Yahoo! - Try it today!
http://my.yahoo.com

1913. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 16:13:03 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1906 Message: 1913

Bob,

I would add one other item to your list:

The client side libraries are a mess ... they contain dead (or
depreciated) code and the only documentation is terse comments
in the code. I suspect a good programmer could clean up and
fully document that code in 60 to 80 hours.

That project would be my FIRST priority as it would enable any
third party developer to immediately add transparent LotW support
to their logging application. As it is, those few developers
who provide for transparent signing and upload of log data have
had to develop their own routines from the basic specification
or reverse engineer the public libraries.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: c22yacht [mailto:c22yacht@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 9:59 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW
>
>
>
>
> Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> would fix LOTW:
>
> 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so
> that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See
> www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be
> about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards
> should take 30-40 hours to write.
>
> 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens,
> this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming
> the front end as a VB or .net application.
>
> So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone
> 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix

> the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried
> staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.
>
> I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money
> to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some
> of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.
>
> The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at
> ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public
> relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new
> membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a
> member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is
> important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.
>
> ARRL - are you listening? QSL?
>
> Bob Dianetti KT8DX
>

1914. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:28:24 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1906 Message: 1914

c22yacht wrote:

> Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> would fix LOTW:
>
> 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> instructions on how to set up and use LOTW.

"Technical editor" and "lucid" in the same sentence ... for software?
Doesn't happen!

> The manual should be about 10 pages in length, which by professional
> estimating standards should take 30-40 hours to write.

(See my statement above)

I'm sure someone can bang out a 1 or 2 page LoTW cheat sheet in a couple
hours. (I just followed the instruction on the LoTW Web site, so it was
no biggie for me to get going)

> 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> interface for the application.

<snip>

> So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours.

<snip>

> If volunteer or salaried staff were to do this, then the cost
> would drop.

Sounds like you've got it all figured out. Are you volunteering to
"fix" it?

Ron

1915. Light in the Tunnel?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 16:43:35 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1915 Message: 1915

Ford... I re-read your message below. It seems well-thought out and well-informed. My earlier reply
wasn't. Mea culpa.

What I should have said is... thanks. I don't really understand software mechanics, but I think I
do understand your explanation....and I see why the LOTW system security is designed as it is.

Perhaps what I am really looking for is not a reduction in security, but rather a "nicer face" on
LOTW, one that smiles and takes my logs and gives me whatever credits toward awards I may have
earned.... without all the fuss and aggravation.

Maybe that means another piece of software that will "manage" the annoying LOTW process for me and
those like me who find it too frustrating to go in barefoot. I suppose some of the logging programs
can do that to some extent already.

By the way, I've heard from Wayne Mills N7NG at ARRL HQ that my protests have not gone unnoticed,
and that he is developing a plan to make LOTW easier while maintaining program goals. He can't put a
date on it yet, but at least that's a step forward.

Again, thank you. 73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:09 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Given up again

>
> Jerry,
>
> I know you are a reasonable guy, and deserve a reasonable explanation as to why things
> are-the-way-they-are with LOTW. Some of the rest of you may learn a thing or two by reading this
> diatribe.
>
> Somewhere in this thread, either by you or by others, people have expounded on how banks seem to
> be able to set up on-line processing of transactions with great ease. They do it with seemingly

> seamless perfection. But they do this at great risk. A little secret from a bank examiner
> (me)... New account processing is the area of greatest financial risk to every bank.
On-line
> wires are a far greater risk than loans and deposits combined. The fact is, LOTW uses
EXACTLY the
> same procedure as banks, the IRS, the FCC, OCC, US Dept of Ed, US Dept of Transportation,
etc.,
> etc.
>
> When I set up my FCC account, I started the application on-line and then they assigned a
PIN that
> allows me to continue processing my applications. They MAIL me the pin to the correct
address.
> Presuming that I know all the other information I need to know to complete the
application, they
> let me in. When I set up on-line processing with the IRS, which allows me to sign and
file tax
> returns on-line, and access customer accounts with the IRS, they used the same procedure
with two
> exceptions (1) I needed to know my personal adjusted gross income for the previous years,
and (2)
> they needed my finger prints on file (you should have heard the hoots-and-hollers at the
local PD
> when I asked to be finger printed). When I set up my account with the Federal Reserve
Bank of
> Minneapolis, which allows me to file my banking client's quarterly and annual financial
reports to
> the Federal Reserve Bank, they used the IDENTICAL same procedure. When I helped my
daughter to
> sign up for her c!
> ollege financial aid, I did this on-line too. Guess what? The IDENTICAL procedure was
used, and
> I signed the application on-line using pre-designated passwords. Slight variations on the
same
> theme, but all were identical to LOTW. The exception is my own bank. And I'd like to
explain why
> this relationship is different.
>
> The procedure in every case is to prepare an application for an account. To provide some
> guarantee that I am me, they mail the confirmation code to my last known address. Once
received,
> I can then continue processing my business. Along the way, they ask all sorts of goofy
questions
> to verify that I am me--mother's maiden name, city of birth, my adjusted gross income,
etc.--all
> externally verifiable by them. The only reason a bank (and I group credit cards and
financial
> brokers with this group) procedure is somewhat different is that they also provide me a
MAILED
> monthly statement, to which I respond confirming receipt with a check for the balance

due--EVERY
> MONTH. In each case, these banking relationships started with a complicated application that
> included checking with the previous bank AND looking at the "black list" of no-good-dead-beat
> banking customers (yes, there really is a black list, and if you are on it, you will NEVER get a
> checking account at a bank until y!
> ou straighten out the mess you created to get on that list).
>
> So the difference in all these identical sign-up procedures--bar NONE--is the fact that when
> monthly correspondence occurs already, there is no need for additional internal controls since
> this particular control is being performed every month. If you walked into a bank and attempted
> to open an account, complete with authorization to make wires, I can guarantee that you will be
> met with great difficulty. Frankly, it may be impossible.
>
> So LOTW is not even remotely unreasonable. If the ARRL LOTW area was sending you some statement
> periodically, to which you needed to respond with a confirmation (like a check for a balance due),
> then the certificate process could be eliminated completely. Frankly, there is no reasonable way
> around this. If your protests fall on deaf ears, I suspect it is because a very many very smart
> people--inside and outside the ARRL--have looked at these issues for years. This IS the proper
> way to accomplish what the ARRL fully intends to retain as secure--that being the DXCC desk.
>
> I hope this helps you understand why the world spins the way it does... At least re:LOTW...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

1916. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 17:03:32 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1906 Message: 1916

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
|
| Bob,
|
| I would add one other item to your list:
|
| The client side libraries are a mess ... they contain dead (or
| depreciated) code and the only documentation is terse comments
| in the code. I suspect a good programmer could clean up and
| fully document that code in 60 to 80 hours.

Note that it's not necessary to wait for ARRL to do this. tqsllib is
open source code hosted at SourceForge. Any good programmer willing to
volunteer some time could pull the source from SourceForge, clean it up,
and submit patches. If you can't get enough attention from the Trusted
QSL development team to get your patches inserted into the 'official'
code base, you can always fork it, and make your own version available.
~ Although I have a hard time imagining that the development team would
turn down patches that merely cleaned up code without changing current
functionality.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFB/7ZjRgRgmxNburQRAtVAAJ0UpVSbqqa4NiG/9dsSX4N44h+xowCggdfS
4QC25hhcHE5gbKw/5vAzoIA=
=7qfN
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1917. Re: How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 17:19:55 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1906 Message: 1917

While better screens and better documentation would help, a more comprehensive approach is required to minimize user-visible complexity.

At present, LotW is a system comprising

- the TQSL application

- the TQSLCert application

- several web pages in http://www.arrl.org/lotw/

- files bearing suffixes .tq5, .tq6, .tq8, and .p12

- certs and keys stored in /documents and settings/user/application data/trustedqsl

The user applies these facilities in multiple scenarios:

1. install LotW

2. obtain a certificate

3. create a station location

4. modify a station location

5. sign a set of QSOs

6. upload a signed set of QSOs

7. verify that uploaded QSOs have been accepted

8. apply for award credits

9. verify that award credits have been granted

10. renew a certificate

11. correct previously-uploaded QSOs

12. relocate LotW from one PC to another

13. recover from file system damage

etc.

Ideally, one elaborates each scenario, and then designs the software to provide smooth, consistent automation using concepts already known to the userm community, e.g. "QSO", "Operator", "QTH", etc. Given that we already have an implementation, I suggest

a. fully enumerating documenting all of the usage scenarios; this
provides immediate assistance to the user community, and will help
identify the most egregious "complexity leaks" to be addressed via
incremental modifications to the LotW system. Each usage scenario
becomes a section of the documentation:

To install LotW on a PC, ...

To install LotW on a Mac, ...

To apply for a certificate, ...

To install a certificate ...

etc.

b. updating the LotW system architecture to better conceal complexity
from users (based on a above), and then incrementally update the
componentry to achieve this architecture over time -- ideally
addressing the biggest complexity leaks first. As components are
improved, the usage scenario documentation should be revised to
reflect the improved automation.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "c22yacht" <c22yacht@y...> wrote:
>
> Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> would fix LOTW:
>
> 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so
> that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See
> www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be
> about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards
> should take 30-40 hours to write.
>
> 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens,
> this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming
> the front end as a VB or .net application.
>
> So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone
> 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix
> the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried
> staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.
>
> I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money
> to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some
> of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.
>

> The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at
> ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public
> relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new
> membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a
> member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is
> important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.
>
> ARRL - are you listening? QSL?
>
> Bob Dianetti KT8DX

1918. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 18:50:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1906 Message: 1918

> Note that it's not necessary to wait for ARRL to do this.
> tqsllib is open source code hosted at SourceForge. Any
> good programmer willing to volunteer some time could pull
> the source from SourceForge, clean it up, and submit
> patches.

That's fine ... the problem is that the Trusted QSL development team hasn't been interested in documenting tqsllib "there is enough documentation for a competent programmer to get it running."

I'm not a C programmer or I would do the job myself. Those developers who could make use of the libraries to provide tQSL integration in their logging program have it well down the priority list because their resources are more productively expended on their core program.

If the Trusted QSL Development team does not feel the need to support the client side of the equation, it is up to the ARRL (Member Services and the Board) to step in and either tell the development team to produce a usable user library or contract the job to an outside programmer.

Other than a sad lack of promotion, integration of the LotW client functions into the day to day and contest logging programs used by the majority of active amateurs around the world is the biggest hurdle the growth of LotW.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1919. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Instructions?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 19:05:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1906 Message: 1919

Randy,

I checked Don's site ... It's quite good and probably provides
enough information for the average user to follow even though
it is based on the beta test.

Three pages could be updated (improved) ...

Page 4 (Loading a certificate) needs the "live" process
Page 5 (DXBase 1004) should be generalized for other logs
Page 7 (Accessing LotW) needs to be updated

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rlb [mailto:printgreen@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 11:06 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Instructions?
>
>
>
> I posted this within the past month but no replies. I
> do not consider myself experienced enough to check to
> see if these instructions are correct so if one of you
> more experied LOTW users could verify evan though it
> is for the beta run.
>
> What do you think??
>
> Maybe we could update them if need be and post it as a
> signature to our emails??
>
> I came across this today while reading a post on
> QSLing on eham.net.
>
> http://www.aa5au.com/lotw_beta.htm
>
> While this has to do with the beta version. I was
> wondering if some of the people on the list could take
> a look at it and see what they thought of it and if it
> was accurate and it was something that we might
> recommend.
>

> Quite interesting as it gives screen shots that might
> make it easier to set up LOTW.
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Meet the all-new My Yahoo! - Try it today!
> http://my.yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1920. Re: LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 19:34:39 UTC
From: salc_sr
Topic: 1893 Message: 1920

Hello Jerry,

Thanks for your offer to help. I agree with your comments about the marketplace and the software. I also agree that it is the only game in town. That is precisely why I want to us. It is the only game in town.

I will take your advice and write to the ARRL and I will work with others on this reflector who are willing to help me. I need to create a cheat sheet for me to be successful with LoTW in its current state. I will use the cheat sheet to guide me through the documentation, the software and the certificate process.

The ARRL is a bureaucratic organization and it is going to move slowly. I just don't what to wait for that to happen.

Thanks again and 73.

Sal WC6SAL

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller - K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> I'd be happy to help, Sal, but I'm afraid I'm in the same boat as you. I find LOTW one of the most
> frustrationg bits of software I've ever encountered. The concept is very good, but I think the
> program is poorly conceived and poorly executed. It might reach some of the program goals, but it
> fails miserably in the user interface. It needs fixing, and only ARRL can do that.
>
> If there were another piece of software we could go to, the marketplace would force ARRL to make
> changes or lose customers. But LOTW is the only game in town. About the only thing I can suggest to
> you as a way to exert pressure on ARRL to fix it is to ask you to e-mail the go-to guy for LOTW at
> ARRL HQ - Wayne Mills N7NG N7NG@a... and tell him the same things you said here on the
> reflector. I have done that and I've also written to my Division Director and ARRL's president, Jim
> Haynie W5JBP. I told them all that until LOTW is fixed, I won't be using it.
>
> There are great guys here on the reflector, Sal, that will help us thru the LOTW maze if we ask.

> I've chosen not to do that because I would rather see the software
and documentation fixed so you
> and I and many, many others won't need so much help, now or in the
future. Then we'll know we have
> some decent LOTW software.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "salc_sr" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 7:27 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - documentation issues
>
>
> >
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > From the many postings I've read on this group, it is safe to say
> > that a lot of people are having trouble with this application. I
> > will tell you up front that I am one of them. I see the great
> > potential that LoTW has. But if it is seen as awkward and
cumbersome
> > to use, some people will not us it. That alone will prevent LoTW
from
> > reaching its full potential.
> >
> > I think the documentation is about as bad as any I have ever
read. I
> > had to read it over and over before I had a successful data
transfer.
> > I felt great that time. Since then I've been trying to get a new
> > certificate for a previous call and I am having problems again.
> >
> > I really want to use LoTW, because like is said earlier, it has a
> > hugh potential. I think the ARRL documentation is confusing. It is
> > not clear to the casual user like myself. I think it needs
something
> > written at a high level that is a clear description or how the
> > software works and how the user interacts with it. I think the
> > addition of a flow diagram would help immensely. It would be nice
if
> > one of the power users in this group with the writing skills would
> > create something like I described and post it here in the file
> > section for all members of the group to access.
> >
> > Is there anyone out there who wants to see LoTW succeed willing to

> > help?
> >
> > 73
> > Sal WC6SAL
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1921. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: How to fix LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-01 20:45:39 UTC
From: Pete Smith
Topic: 1906 Message: 1921

This makes a ton of sense to me. All too often, software documentation is done from the programmer's point of view, and amounts to "if I do this then it does that." The scenario idea should be the starting point for all documentation, IMO -- "If you want to do A, then do the following..."

ARRL, are you listening, or has the last ten days on this reflector deadened you as much as it has me...?

73, Pete N4ZR

At 12:19 PM 2/1/2005, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>While better screens and better documentation would help, a more
>comprehensive approach is required to minimize user-visible complexity.
>
>At present, LotW is a system comprising
>
> - the TQSL application
>
> - the TQSLCert application
>
> - several web pages in http://www.arrl.org/lotw/
>
> - files bearing suffixes .tq5, .tq6, .tq8, and .p12
>
> - certs and keys stored in /documents and settings/user/application
>data/trustedqsl
>
>The user applies these facilities in multiple scenarios:
>
>1. install LotW
>
>2. obtain a certificate
>
>3. create a station location
>
>4. modify a station location
>
>5. sign a set of QSOs
>
>6. upload a signed set of QSOs
>
>7. verify that uploaded QSOs have been accepted
>
>8. apply for award credits
>

>9. verify that award credits have been granted
>
>10. renew a certificate
>
>11. correct previously-uploaded QSOs
>
>12. relocate LotW from one PC to another
>
>13. recover from file system damage
>
>etc.
>
>Ideally, one elaborates each scenario, and then designs the software
>to provide smooth, consistent automation using concepts already known
>to the userm community, e.g. "QSO", "Operator", "QTH", etc. Given that
>we already have an implementation, I suggest
>
>a. fully enumerating documenting all of the usage scenarios; this
>provides immediate assistance to the user community, and will help
>identify the most egregious "complexity leaks" to be addressed via
>incremental modifications to the LotW system. Each usage scenario
>becomes a section of the documentation:
>
>To install LotW on a PC, ...
>
>To install LotW on a Mac, ...
>
>To apply for a certificate, ...
>
>To install a certificate ...
>
>etc.
>
>
>b. updating the LotW system architecture to better conceal complexity
>from users (based on a above), and then incrementally update the
>componentry to achieve this architecture over time -- ideally
>addressing the biggest complexity leaks first. As components are
>improved, the usage scenario documentation should be revised to
>reflect the improved automation.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "c22yacht" <c22yacht@y...> wrote:
> >
> > Speaking as a technical writer and IT business owner, here is how I
> > would fix LOTW:

> >
> > 1. Have one of the on-staff technical editors write a lucid set of
> > instructions on how to set up and use LOTW. This should be written so
> > that it would be easy to translate into other languages. See
> > www.stc.org for information on how to do this. The manual should be
> > about 10 pages in length, which by professional estimating standards
> > should take 30-40 hours to write.
> >
> > 2. Have a usability or user interface design specialist design a new
> > interface for the application. Considering the low number of screens,
> > this should take approximately 30-40 hours also, including programming
> > the front end as a VB or .net application.
> >
> > So, the entire effort should take 60-80 hours. If ARRL paid someone
> > 75 dollars per hour to do this, it would take $4,500 to $6,000 to fix
> > the application and its support materials. If volunteer or salaried
> > staff were to do this, then the cost would drop.
> >
> > I find it hard to believe that ARRL can't spend this amount of money
> > to fix as high a profile service as LOTW. Heck, they could sell some
> > of their really fancy equipment at W1AW to finance the effort.
> >
> > The reality is probably that getting LOTW right is a low priority at
> > ARRL. Its right in the same category as marketing and public
> > relations to increase Ham Radio's visibility to potential new
> > membership. I've been a member of ARRL since 1976 and will be a
> > member till I die. But I sure wish they would focus on what is
> > important so that the organization, and ham radio, does not fade away.
> >
> > ARRL - are you listening? QSL?
> >
> > Bob Dianetti KT8DX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1922. shift in LoTW qsl sources

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-02 19:50:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1922 Message: 1922

When LoTW first started, it was mainly USA qsls.
The last few months I've noticed a greater Non-USA participation.

So I asked the Report Guru, Joe Glockner, WA6AXE, to produce this DXBase 2005 report.
I've also noticed a much quicker uploading of contest logs.
Contesters seem not to be worried and holding back on uploading contest logs.

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotw-usa-nonusa.txt

Actually, I think the shift would have been even more dramatic except in the last few months I've done more stateside contests than DX.
(crummy antennas and an amp that has failing health)

Girl of your dreams, unavailable except in GIF

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1923. Time Variance

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 02:39:09 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1923 Message: 1923

Logged times may not always match, due to differences in clock set times, computer clocks, etc.
Logged time needs some leeway or there will be too many legitimate QSO's that won't match. But how
much leeway is appropriate?

Presently, I understand LOTW allows a +/- 30 minute variance. Perhaps it should be more... let's
say 60 min, or 90, or 120. Then, of course, what about date? If I log 2346Z on 01 April and the
other station logs 0014Z on 02April, that's within 30 minutes, but on different dates. Won't happen
much but the greater the time leeway allowed, the more often it will occur.

How many QSO's in LOTW would be matches except that the logged times are 31 or more minutes apart?

This is one of those situations where you can't make everyone happy... so where do you fairly draw
the line?

73, Jerry K3BZ

1924. Some Basic Questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 13:17:30 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1924 Message: 1924

I believe LOTW now compares callsign, mode, band, date, and time and if these parameters match, a
valid QSO credit is issued to both stations.

As I understand it, callsign, band, and mode are go/no go criteria, but date/time can vary in a 30
minute window. So, if there is a date/time variance of more than 30 minutes, LOTW does not recognize a valid QSO.

Question: Is there any way LOTW advises either QSO party of this?

73, Jerry K3BZ

1925. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Time Variance

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 13:28:26 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 1923 Message: 1925

On Fri, Feb 04, 2005 at 09:39:09PM -0500, Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> Logged times may not always match, due to differences in clock set times, computer clocks, etc.
> Logged time needs some leeway or there will be too many legitimate QSO's that won't match. But how
> much leeway is appropriate?

Any more than a few minutes is a mistake. 30 minutes is plenty.
Why reward mistakes?

> Presently, I understand LOTW allows a +/- 30 minute variance. Perhaps it should be more... let's
> say 60 min, or 90, or 120. Then, of course, what about date? If I log 2346Z on 01 April and the
> other station logs 0014Z on 02April, that's within 30 minutes, but on different dates. Won't happen
> much but the greater the time leeway allowed, the more often it will occur.

I'm sure the calculation can cope with a change in date.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

1926. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Some Basic Questions

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 14:42:16 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1924 Message: 1926

> As I understand it, callsign, band, and mode are go/no go
> criteria, but date/time can vary in a 30 minute window.
> So, if there is a date/time variance of more than 30 minutes,
> LOTW does not recognize a valid QSO.
>
> Question: Is there any way LOTW advises either QSO party
> of this?

No. LotW is designed as a double blind system to prevent
"fishing" for broken QSOs. Read the FAQs on the LotW web
page.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1927. sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 15:13:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1927 Message: 1927

Recently sites has shown up that list DX stations/Dxpeditions who have uploaded their logs.

I believe, this is with the intention of informing people that may have not received an expected LoTW from a station, that possibly an error has occurred in the logging of said station.

Then it would be up to the individual to decide if he wants to email the DX station to ascertain whether the qso was correct in it's data.

Previously when I would report a qsl reception and it's route to the dx-qsl reflector, I would include the occasional important (to me) LoTW qsl reception.

I'm now reconsidering this as it may promote phishing (borrowing the jargon from spammers).

I'm curious as to the opinions on this from fellow LoTW and DX-Qsl readers.
While the information that a DXpeditions logs were uploaded may become common knowledge, the individual DX station LoTW information may not.

What do you guys think?

Building Contractors, not to be confused with homemakers

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1928. Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 15:39:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1928 Message: 1928

----- Original Message -----
From: "Leonard Kay" <k1nu@...>
To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:23 AM
Subject: Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

> Bill,
>
> It has always been possible to type in a call on the LOTW web site
> to find out if a station's log has been uploaded. To me that's no different
> than hearing from a DXpedition that 'the cards are in the mail'.

I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.

I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.

1929. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:01:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1928 Message: 1929

Bill,

> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in
> someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>
> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.

It's very correct ... look at the top of the LotW webpage for
"find call." Go to that page, enter a call, and if that station
has uploaded logs LotW will tell you when logs were last uploaded.

There are some "gotchas" ... if I am entering logs for some of my
old (AD8I) contest operations and working back in time, the log
you are in may not have been uploaded. If the persons who have
either of my old calls (AD8I and W8IK) are using LotW, the last
upload of logs found with those calls might be them or might have
been me doing some "backfill" with logs I've recently found.

Still, I quite often use the "find call" if I'm tuning across a
band (or see a spot for) and find a station for which I could use
a confirmation. It the station uses LotW, I'll give them a call
otherwise, I'll wait for the card I've already mailed.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1930. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:01:39 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1928 Message: 1930

If you do a "Find Call" it will give you the date of the station's last
upload. It matters not if you have ever had a qso with that station.
73,
Chris, AC?M

FireBrick wrote:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Leonard Kay" <k1nu@...>
> To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Cc: "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
> Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:23 AM
> Subject: Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees
>
>
> > Bill,
> >
> > It has always been possible to type in a call on the LOTW web site
> > to find out if a station's log has been uploaded. To me that's no
> different
> > than hearing from a DXpedition that 'the cards are in the mail'.
>
> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in someone's
> call into LoTW and
> find out if he has uploaded logs.
> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>
> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

1931. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:07:16 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1928 Message: 1931

Hi Bill:
The "Check Call" will only indicate that a station has uploaded
logs to LoTW.

It does not indicate that their are any existing QSO's with you.

At least that is my understanding/interpertation of the LoTW documentation.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 10:39 2/5/2005, you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Leonard Kay" <k1nu@...>
>To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Cc: "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
>Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:23 AM
>Subject: Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees
>
>
>> Bill,
>>
>> It has always been possible to type in a call on the LOTW web site
>> to find out if a station's log has been uploaded. To me that's no different
>> than hearing from a DXpedition that 'the cards are in the mail'.
>
>I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in someone's call into LoTW and
>find out if he has uploaded logs.
>I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>
>I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1932. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:19:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1928 Message: 1932

I'll have to try that.
I wasn't aware. And that makes my questions mute.
As SNL's famous Emily Lutilla would have said... "Nevermind!"

But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be down.

thanks for the info.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:01 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

>
>
> Bill,
>
>> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in
>> someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
>> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>>
>> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
> It's very correct ... look at the top of the LotW webpage for
> "find call." Go to that page, enter a call, and if that station
> has uploaded logs LotW will tell you when logs were last uploaded.
>
> There are some "gotchas" ... if I am entering logs for some of my
> old (AD8I) contest operations and working back in time, the log
> you are in may not have been uploaded. If the persons who have
> either of my old calls (AD8I and W8IK) are using LotW, the last
> upload of logs found with those calls might be them or might have
> been me doing some "backfill" with logs I've recently found.
>
> Still, I quite often use the "find call" if I'm tuning across a
> band (or see a spot for) and find a station for which I could use
> a confirmation. It the station uses LotW, I'll give them a call
> otherwise, I'll wait for the card I've already mailed.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>

>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1933. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:51:17 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1933 Message: 1933

The "check call" also doesn't tell you that a station has download all or his most recent logs. Only the he downloaded "something" on the date shown.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL Card Checker
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: R Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:07 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Hi Bill:
The "Check Call" will only indicate that a station has uploaded
logs to LoTW.

It does not indicate that their are any existing QSO's with you.

At least that is my understanding/interpertation of the LoTW documentation.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 10:39 2/5/2005, you wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Leonard Kay" <k1nu@...>
>To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>; <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Cc: "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
>Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:23 AM
>Subject: Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees
>
>
>> Bill,
>>
>> It has always been possible to type in a call on the LOTW web site
>> to find out if a station's log has been uploaded. To me that's no different
>> than hearing from a DXpedition that 'the cards are in the mail'.
>
>I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in someone's call into LoTW and
>find out if he has uploaded logs.
>I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>

>I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1934. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:52:37 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1928 Message: 1934

Even arrl.org won't load.
Entire server must be down (or the link to it).

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL Card Checker
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:19 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

I'll have to try that.
I wasn't aware. And that makes my questions mute.
As SNL's famous Emily Lutilla would have said... "Nevermind!"

But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be down.

thanks for the info.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:01 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

>
>
> Bill,
>
>> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in
>> someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
>> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>>
>> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
> It's very correct ... look at the top of the LotW webpage for
> "find call." Go to that page, enter a call, and if that station
> has uploaded logs LotW will tell you when logs were last uploaded.
>
> There are some "gotchas" ... if I am entering logs for some of my
> old (AD8I) contest operations and working back in time, the log
> you are in may not have been uploaded. If the persons who have

> either of my old calls (AD8I and W8IK) are using LotW, the last
> upload of logs found with those calls might be them or might have
> been me doing some "backfill" with logs I've recently found.
>
> Still, I quite often use the "find call" if I'm tuning across a
> band (or see a spot for) and find a station for which I could use
> a confirmation. It the station uses LotW, I'll give them a call
> otherwise, I'll wait for the card I've already mailed.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1935. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 16:53:38 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 1928 Message: 1935

Hi Bill:
I've had the same problem.
Maybe they are installing the "WAS Module" this AM :<) !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:19 2/5/2005, you wrote:

>I'll have to try that.
>I wasn't aware. And that makes my questions mute.
>As SNL's famous Emily Lutilla would have said... "Nevermind!"
>
>But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be down.
>
>thanks for the info.
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:01 AM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees
>
>
>>
>>
>> Bill,
>>
>>> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in
>>> someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
>>> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>>>
>>> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>>
>> It's very correct ... look at the top of the LotW webpage for
>> "find call." Go to that page, enter a call, and if that station
>> has uploaded logs LotW will tell you when logs were last uploaded.
>>
>> There are some "gotchas" ... if I am entering logs for some of my
>> old (AD8I) contest operations and working back in time, the log
>> you are in may not have been uploaded. If the persons who have
>> either of my old calls (AD8I and W8IK) are using LotW, the last
>> upload of logs found with those calls might be them or might have
>> been me doing some "backfill" with logs I've recently found.
>>

>> Still, I quite often use the "find call" if I'm tuning across a
>> band (or see a spot for) and find a station for which I could use
>> a confirmation. It the station uses LotW, I'll give them a call
>> otherwise, I'll wait for the card I've already mailed.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> ... Joe, K4IK
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

1936. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:05:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1928 Message: 1936

I rarely go to the Opening Screen of the LoTW site.
I created a shortcut that just takes me to my log site so I probably missed/forgot about the ability to see who has uploaded logs option.

Thanks for the tips guys.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:52 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Even arrl.org won't load.
Entire server must be down (or the link to it).

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL Card Checker
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:19 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

I'll have to try that.
I wasn't aware. And that makes my questions mute.
As SNL's famous Emily Lutilla would have said... "Nevermind!"

But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be down.

thanks for the info.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:01 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

>
>
> Bill,
>
>> I'm not sure that is correct. I don't believe you can type in

>> someone's call into LoTW and find out if he has uploaded logs.
>> I 'think' it will only indicate if he has uploaded qsos with you.
>>
>> I'll have to check this but maybe others can confirm.
>
> It's very correct ... look at the top of the LotW webpage for
> "find call." Go to that page, enter a call, and if that station
> has uploaded logs LotW will tell you when logs were last uploaded.
>
> There are some "gotchas" ... if I am entering logs for some of my
> old (AD8I) contest operations and working back in time, the log
> you are in may not have been uploaded. If the persons who have
> either of my old calls (AD8I and W8IK) are using LotW, the last
> upload of logs found with those calls might be them or might have
> been me doing some "backfill" with logs I've recently found.
>
> Still, I quite often use the "find call" if I'm tuning across a
> band (or see a spot for) and find a station for which I could use
> a confirmation. It the station uses LotW, I'll give them a call
> otherwise, I'll wait for the card I've already mailed.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1937. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 20:25:27 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1928 Message: 1937

>
> But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be
> down.
>

All or ARRL.net was down this (Saturday) morning. It's back up now
and I just uploaded a couple small files ... stuff that somehow got
overlooked. It was worth three new confirmations <G>.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1938. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-05 20:35:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1928 Message: 1938

Yep, finally got in a few minutes ago.
I found that page you mentioned.

Like I said. I'd created a shortcut that submitted my signin and password and took me straight to My Qsl's so I'd been bypassing those pages for over a year.

And since I can't remember what I had for lunch....it was inevitable that I wouldn't remember those pages were there.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 2:25 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [Dx-qsl] sites with listings of LoTW submittees

>
>
>>
>> But I can't get into the LoTW site all morning as it seems to be
>> down.
>>
>
> All or ARRL.net was down this (Saturday) morning. It's back up now
> and I just uploaded a couple small files ... stuff that somehow got
> overlooked. It was worth three new confirmations <G>.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1939. Mobile designations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-06 03:44:20 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1939 Message: 1939

I just ran the 10 hour long MN QSO party today. What a blast! But now I have a headache. The LOTW certificates... 906 QSOs in 10 hours. Man that was fun!

We ran 19 counties in MN today. 450 miles in a M/2 operation on wheels. In each county, the rules state that a three letter county designation is to be used. E.g. N0FP/MEE for operation in Meeker County. N0FP/POP for operation in Pope county. Does this mean I have to bifurcate my log to indicate operation from each county? In this case, 19 certificates to operate mobile in these counties and have them qualify? And qualify for what? DXCC? I did work a HB9 and a CU2, but everybody else was US or VE. And county awards are not supported by LOTW.

I'm tempted to just leave these out of my log on LOTW. Frankly, I leave them out of my station log too. If confirms are needed by the county hounds, I just go direct with those anyway.

Observations? Am I missing something here?

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

1940. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile designations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-06 04:03:24 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1939 Message: 1940

Ford,

> Does this mean I have to bifurcate my log to indicate operation
> from each county? In this case, 19 certificates to operate mobile
> in these counties and have them qualify? And qualify for what?
> DXCC? I did work a HB9 and a CU2, but everybody else was US or
> VE. And county awards are not supported by LOTW.

There is no structural impediment to using LotW for county awards.
The only necessary actions are for the county award sponsors to
set down with ARRL and work out the logistics and ground rules.

If you want the QSOs to be available through LotW you have two
choices - depending on how you chose to log them:

1) you can get certificates for each county e.g., N0FP/POP, etc.
and upload with the /POP, etc.

2) you can skip the additional certificates and simply create
separate "locations" for each county in tQSL (call, county,
ITU zone, CQ Zone, State, and County). Sort your log by
county and upload it in 19 "chunks" attaching the appropriate
county "location" to each "chunk." In that case, all QSOs
would simply be N0FP.

The other station will need to show the appropriate call (either
N0FP or N0FP/POP) in their log. If it were me, I would use the
second approach ...

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1941. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile designations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-06 12:16:11 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1939 Message: 1941

I had the same dilemma with my Florida QSO Party logs.

We signed NJ4M/cty from several counties. Being able to look at the logs afterwards, I see folks logged us one of 3 ways

NJ4M/cty
NJ4M/M
NJ4M

So, here's what I did.

Obtained a certificate for each NJ4M/cty call we used. Then signed and uploaded each log to LoTW.

Obtained 1 certificate for NJ4M/M. I signed each log with the correct county, and uploaded those.

Using my existing certificate for NJ4M, I signed each log with the correct county, and uploaded those.

It's a little extra work, but it'll maximize the QSL returns, since at least one of your logs will match the way others logged it.

If someone logged something different that those 3 ways, they're SOL.

Ron

1942. LOTW to DXCC - excellent service

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-06 14:16:05 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 1942 Message: 1942

I recently made a DXCC submission of LoTW credits only. There were 186 credits, no new certificates, new endorsements only.

I made the submission electronically on Sunday, 30 January. Parenthetically, the submission shows in the "Recent Applications" list as "mail logged on" Sunday, 30 January. Fees paid were the ten dollar fee for first application in a year, and the "per credit" LoTW fees (no additional charge for over 120 credits submitted, as occurs with paper cards).

On Friday, 4 February I received by US mail the updated DXCC Award Credit Slip and the endorsement stickers. According to the slip, the submission was received on 30 January and processed on 31 January 2005. I think this is excellent service that demonstrates the capabilities of LoTW.

Thank you, ARRL!

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/K8AJS

1943. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW to DXCC - excellent service

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-06 21:35:19 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 1942 Message: 1943

Now if it will just do WAS...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and its prisoners

-----Original Message-----
From: John Bastin [mailto:jbastin@...]
Sent: Sunday, February 06, 2005 06:16
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW to DXCC - excellent service

I recently made a DXCC submission of LoTW credits only. There were 186 credits, no new certificates, new endorsements only.

I made the submission electronically on Sunday, 30 January. Parenthetically, the submission shows in the "Recent Applications" list as "mail logged on" Sunday, 30 January. Fees paid were the ten dollar fee for first application in a year, and the "per credit" LoTW fees (no additional charge for over 120 credits submitted, as occurs with paper cards).

On Friday, 4 February I received by US mail the updated DXCC Award Credit Slip and the endorsement stickers. According to the slip, the submission was received on 30 January and processed on 31 January 2005. I think this is excellent service that demonstrates the capabilities of LoTW.

Thank you, ARRL!

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/K8AJS

Yahoo! Groups Links

1944. /Mobile Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-07 16:38:25 UTC
From: lowga
Topic: 1944 Message: 1944

I'm a LoTW user who works a lot of DX while mobile
during my morning commute. Sometimes I will sign
with the "/mobile" sign and other times I do not.

If a QSO is submitted for credit under N1LF/M and
I only have a certficate for N1LF will I still receive
credit or not?

If I decide to get another certificate for N1LF/M,
can I resubmit my entire log this way...since I often
don't remember to log /M either...?

73,

Les Rayburn, N1LF

1945. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] /Mobile Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-07 16:53:10 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 1944 Message: 1945

lowga wrote:

> If a QSO is submitted for credit under N1LF/M and
> I only have a certficate for N1LF will I still receive
> credit or not?

No. You will only get credit for an exact match. N1LF and N1LF/M are considered two different calls, and why you need two separate certificates.

> If I decide to get another certificate for N1LF/M,
> can I resubmit my entire log this way

Yes.

73, Ron

1946. Re: LoTW - documentation issues

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-07 23:13:20 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1893 Message: 1946

My comments in recent discussions here deserve a follow-up.

Early last year, I was one of many who had LOTW problems and was told to re-register. Very annoying,
but I did so, receiving a new username of k3bz01 and a new PW. That's what I've been using until a
few months ago when it all stopped working, and thinking I had to go thru it all over again, I got
very, very annoyed.

I just discovered that ARRL recently merged accounts for some LOTW members, and supposedly sent out
notices by e-mail....(I never got any notice, and was told they may have been swallowed up by my
SPAM filter... I don't use a SPAM filter. But that's not the point).

Anyway, they tell me they changed my username and PW back to the original ones. I tried them and lo
and behold, they work.

Apparently that was at the root of my LOTW problems. I tried the routine again... and it worked
fine. Just like you all said it should.

So... thanks again to all who tried to help me... and in the immortal words of SNL's Rosanne
Rosannadanna.... never mind.

73, Jerry K3BZ

1947. renewal notice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-09 13:43:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1947 Message: 1947

Below is a copy/paste of the notice I received.
I'm pretty sure every user was sent one.
As the notice was received more than two weeks prior to the expiration date,
I had no problems renewing, noticing that one new certificate did not arrive in the same time frame as all my other 9 did.
Realizing I'd probably made an error, I resubmitted for the missing certificate and it arrived promptly.
The worlds email system is not perfect, occasionally my anti spam filter eats an email.
It may be a good idea for LoTW software to warn of impending certificate expiration dates.
(all my yearly software renewals sure let me know they want their renewal fees)

Just to be on the safe side, my DXBase2005 software, has a Special Dates feature, So I added the Certificate Dates to that calendar with sufficient time frame warning just in case my next LoTW generated expiration warning email goes astray.
(hint: I set the warning for my xyl's Sept. Birthday as this will help me stay out of the doghouse lest I forget)

Sometimes we can't ALWAYS rely on Big Brother. Sometimes we do have to take care of ourselves.

==========
According to our records, your Logbook of the World digital
certificate for:

W9OL - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

expires at:

Sep 21 19:03:27 2004 GMT

To continue submitting log data for this call sign and entity,
you must renew your certificate. Instructions for doing so can
be found at:

https://www.arrl.org/lotw/renew

-- The Logbook team
==========

Computer Lie #1: You'll never use all that disk space.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1948. Matching calls with */*

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-13 05:12:18 UTC
From: taephono
Topic: 1948 Message: 1948

Has I was fixing up my log files and getting ready to
upload my 1st log data to LOTW I've been wondering about calls with
/? What I'm getting at is say back in 1983 I worked K7ZZZ/PJ6 ,
that's how he signed, and that's how his qsl card arrived to me.
Now if I make an entry in my electronic log PJ6/K7ZZZ will this cause
any problems with matching on LOTW?

73,
Clint
ws1v

1949. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching calls with */*

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-13 16:40:53 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1948 Message: 1949

At 12:12 AM 2/13/2005, taephono wrote:
>Has I was fixing up my log files and getting ready to
>upload my 1st log data to LOTW I've been wondering about calls with
>*/*? What I'm getting at is say back in 1983 I worked K7ZZZ/PJ6 ,
>that's how he signed, and that's how his qsl card arrived to me.
>Now if I make an entry in my electronic log PJ6/K7ZZZ will this cause
>any problems with matching on LOTW?

As far as I can tell, you must match the other station's callsign exactly.
If their certificate states that their call is W1XXX/P5, and your log
is for P5/W1XXX it won't match. I ran into this problem because my old
logging program (one that I wrote, so I'm to blame) required the
"prefix/callsign" format. I went back and fixed several QSOs after I
first uploaded my log to LoTW.

A purist would argue that you should have logged what they sent. I
think LoTW is being stricter than necessary in this case.
-Rick

1950. Re: Matching calls with */*

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-14 15:08:44 UTC
From: taephono
Topic: 1948 Message: 1950

I figured this was the case, sine LOTW docs indicate that various
portable callsigns need individula certs.

Thanks,
Clint
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
wrote:
> At 12:12 AM 2/13/2005, taephono wrote:
> >Has I was fixing up my log files and getting ready to
> >upload my 1st log data to LOTW I've been wondering about calls with
> >*/*? What I'm getting at is say back in 1983 I worked K7ZZZ/PJ6 ,
> >that's how he signed, and that's how his qsl card arrived to me.
> >Now if I make an entry in my electronic log PJ6/K7ZZZ will this
cause
> >any problems with matching on LOTW?
>
> As far as I can tell, you must match the other station's callsign
exactly.
> If their certificate states that their call is W1XXX/P5, and your
log
> is for P5/W1XXX it won't match. I ran into this problem because my
old
> logging program (one that I wrote, so I'm to blame) required the
> "prefix/callsign" format. I went back and fixed several QSOs after
I
> first uploaded my log to LoTW.
>
> A purist would argue that you should have logged what they sent. I
> think LoTW is being stricter than necessary in this case.
> -Rick

1951. D68C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:54:34 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 1951 Message: 1951

I have finally made it past the 10% mark with the uploading of the D68C logs. As of today 15,554 uploaded and 1,561 confirmed!

Steve G4OWT

--
Steve G4OWT
email: g4owt@...
Homepage: http://www.g4owt.btinternet.co.uk
Qsl Sub-Manager for G4A & G4H Series Calls

1952. can anyone explain the fee system?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-15 23:13:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1952 Message: 1952

In the first week of the year, I submitted a Hybrid qsl submission.
Both LoTW and hardcards.
I properly indicated on the hardcard submission page to check for LoTW qsls.
I indicated that this was the first submission of 2005

On the LoTW site, I indicated I would be submitting qsl cards directly to the DXCC desk by mail.

When I received the cards back this week I see I was charged $10.00 above the return postage.

I may have misunderstood but I thought the first submission of the year was free.
Does a Hybrid, consisting of both LoTW and Qsl cards via mail count as one submission or two.

It's not the money, It's just that I was thinking they counted as a single submission.

If you want to see a comic strip, you should see me in the shower.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1953. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone explain the fee system?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-15 23:52:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1952 Message: 1953

Thanks Joe.

See, my XYL is correct, my mine is still stuck in the '90's.

Gosh it sucks for your mind to grow older faster than the rest of me!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...>
To: <w9ol@...>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2005 5:36 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] can anyone explain the fee system?

>
>
>
>> When I received the cards back this week I see I was charged
>> $10.00 above the return postage.
>>
>> I may have misunderstood but I thought the first submission
>> of the year was free.
>
> First submission of the year used to be free ... that changed
> a few years ago. Now the first submission of the year is $10
> (for 120 cards) and additional submissions are more expensive.
>
>> c) Each ARRL member will be charged $10.00 for the first
>> submission of the year, up to 120 QSOs and return postage.
>>
>>
>> f) DXCC participants who wish to submit more than once per
>> calendar year will be charged a DXCC fee for each additional
>> submission made during the remainder of the calendar year.
>> These fees are dependent upon membership status: ARRL Members:
>> $20.00 (for the first 100 QSOs); Foreign non-members: $30.00
>> (for the first 100 QSOs). Additionally, return postage must
>> be provided by applicant, and charges from 15. a), 15. b)
>> and 15. d) also apply.
>
>> Does a Hybrid, consisting of both LoTW and Qsl cards via mail
>> count as one submission or two.
>
> One submission ...
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

>
>
>

1954. Re: D68C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-16 14:58:26 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 1951 Message: 1954

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Steve Harwood <g4owt@b...> wrote:
> I have finally made it past the 10% mark with the uploading of the
D68C
> logs. As of today 15,554 uploaded and 1,561 confirmed!
>
> Steve G4OWT
>
> --
> Steve G4OWT
> email: g4owt@b...
> Homepage: http://www.g4owt.btinternet.co.uk
> Qsl Sub-Manager for G4A & G4H Series Calls

Hi Steve,
It's great that the D68C logs have been uploaded - wouldn't it be nice if this revolutionary idea caught one with the QSL managers of other DXpeditions (large and small)!

Although I haven't managed to hit the 10% mark yet, I have been able to claim DXCC mixed and phone from LoTW confirmations (and have to say that the service from both LoTW and DXCC desks was excellent). However, the number of active certificates is still abysmally low - and that is the figure that needs to increase dramatically for LoTW to become really useful.(Though the emphasis will no doubt change when WAS, WAZ, IOTA etc are added to the list of awards which can be claimed).

Of 310 countries worked and confirmed by cardboard, 129 have been confirmed also by LoTW and I am more interested in that figure at the moment. Hopefully, by next January, it will have risen sufficiently to make another electronic claim worth while!!

73 - Ivan G4BGW

1955. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: D68C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-17 00:14:30 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 1951 Message: 1955

Ivan and the Group,

Your numbers are interesting. Of my 306 worked, I have 106 confirmed via LOTW. It is nice to watch the number of QSL's grow on an almost daily basis. Like money in the bank gaining interest! Also nice to see the DXPeditions uploading their logs. I still have two DXPedition logs to upload (J37VG and J3/W1RH) and will get to those as time permits.

Bob
W1RH/6
--- Ivan Wilson <ivanewilson@...> wrote:

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Steve Harwood
> <g4owt@b...> wrote:
> > I have finally made it past the 10% mark with the
> uploading of the
> D68C
> > logs. As of today 15,554 uploaded and 1,561
> confirmed!
> >
> > Steve G4OWT
> >
> > --
> > Steve G4OWT
> > email: g4owt@b...
> > Homepage: http://www.g4owt.btinternet.co.uk
> > Qsl Sub-Manager for G4A & G4H Series Calls
>
> Hi Steve,
> It's great that the D68C logs have been uploaded -
> wouldn't it be
> nice if this revolutionary idea caught one with the
> QSL managers of
> other DXpeditions (large and small)!
>
> Although I haven't managed to hit the 10% mark yet,
> I have been able
> to claim DXCC mixed and phone from LoTW
> confirmations (and have to
> say that the service from both LoTW and DXCC desks
> was excellent).
> However, the number of active certificates is still
> abysmally low -

> and that is the figure that needs to increase
> dramatically for LoTW
> to become really useful.(Though the emphasis will no
> doubt change
> when WAS, WAZ, IOTA etc are added to the list of
> awards which can be
> claimed).
>
> Of 310 countries worked and confirmed by cardboard,
> 129 have been
> confirmed also by LoTW and I am more interested in
> that figure at the
> moment. Hopefully, by next January, it will have
> risen sufficiently
> to make another electronic claim worth while!!
>
> 73 - Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

1956. 5B DXCC via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:49:25 UTC
From: lowga
Topic: 1956 Message: 1956

With thanks to the "Daily DX":

5BDXCC has now been obtained by KC1XX on Logbook of the world (LOTW) reports the ARRL. Matt's contest station has submitted logs to LOTW and has over 255,000 QSOs and just recently confirmed his 100th on 80 meters. On 160 meters KC1XX has 70 countries. Several other multi-multi stations (K9NS, K3LR, have reached 4B DXCC and are very close to 100 on 80 meters. Single-op K5ZD, Randy, also has 4B DXCC and is very close to completing his 5th band.

==========

I do find that RTTY DX ops tend to use LoTW
more than other mode operators, but I still think the
ARRL must do more (much more) to encourage
DX stations to use LoTW.

73,

Les Rayburn, N1LF

1957. can 'credits' be use in lieu of fees?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:42:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1957 Message: 1957

If I was to buy $20. worth of LoTW credits.
Can they be used as fees?

As they are on account, can they be used to pay for submission and return postage fees?

Just curious.

Dime: a dollar with all the taxes taken out.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1958. Re: 5B DXCC via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:45:29 UTC
From: Jim Reisert
Topic: 1956 Message: 1958

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "lowga" <les@h...> wrote:

> 5BDXCC has now been obtained by KC1XX on Logbook of the world (LOTW)
> reports the ARRL. Matt's contest station has submitted logs to LOTW
> and has over 255,000 QSOs and just recently confirmed his 100th on 80
> meters.
>
> ==
>
> I do find that RTTY DX ops tend to use LoTW
> more than other mode operators.

I assume these are unrelated comments. KC1XX has never made a RTTY
contact.

73 - Jim AD1C

1959. am I remembering correctly

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-19 20:11:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1959 Message: 1959

I submit my logs using my logging software to create the .adi file that is signed.

I vaguely remember that a person could use a alternate method.
If he only needed to submit one qso he could fill out a online form or some such?

(Of course he would have had to apply for the proper certificates prior to that)

Or am I confused with something else?

Flabbergasted (adj.), appalled over how much weight you have gained.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1960. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] am I remembering correctly

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-19 20:39:19 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1959 Message: 1960

At 03:11 PM 2/19/2005, FireBrick wrote:

>I submit my logs using my logging software to create the .adi file
>that is signed.
>
>I vaguely remember that a person could use a alternate method.
>If he only needed to submit one qso he could fill out a online form or
>some such?

You can use TQSL to create an ADIF file for a single QSO. (File, Create New ADIF File).
TQSL does no data checking as far as I can tell, so you must be very careful while entering your information to adhere to proper format.

>(Of course he would have had to apply for the proper certificates
>prior to that)
>
>Or am I confused with something else?

I don't know if there's any other way other than submitting signed logs via e-mail or the web. It wouldn't be all that hard to use the TQSL certificates as a browser authentication method that would allow you to fill in a web-based form that submits direct to LoTW. (At least for folks with a small number of callsigns and certificates.)
-Rick

1961. LOTW on my Laptop

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-23 05:05:05 UTC
From: lowga
Topic: 1961 Message: 1961

I'd like to install LOTW (TQSL and TQSL Cert, along with the various certificates for my station) on my laptop as well as the shack computer.

I do a fair amount of mobile SSB work during my commute, and it would certainly be easier to upload contacts directly from there.

Will the software allow this? If so, which files do I need to copy from the shack computer?

Any help gratefully appreciated.

Les Rayburn, N1LF

1962. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW on my Laptop

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-23 12:22:12 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1961 Message: 1962

Hi Les,

The laptop is no different from the desktop. Start by
downloading the program from the ARRL. You will need
a copy of the certificate file that you should have
made on the desktop. I don't remember how it goes
from there as it has been a while since I have done it
so maybe someone else on the board can guide you from
here.

Good Luck

Randy
AG8A
--- lowga <les@...> wrote:

>
>
> I'd like to install LOTW (TQSL and TQSL Cert, along
> with the various certificates for my station) on
> my laptop as well as the shack computer.
>
> I do a fair amount of mobile SSB work during my
> commute, and it would certainly be easier to
> upload contacts directly from there.
>
> Will the software allow this? If so, which
> files do I need to copy from the shack
> computer?
>
> Any help gratefully appreciated.
>
> Les Rayburn, N1LF
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

1963. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW on my Laptop

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-23 14:08:50 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1961 Message: 1963

Les,

> --- lowga <les@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > I'd like to install LOTW (TQSL and TQSL Cert, along
> > with the various certificates for my station) on
> > my laptop as well as the shack computer.
> >
> > I do a fair amount of mobile SSB work during my
> > commute, and it would certainly be easier to
> > upload contacts directly from there.
> >
> > Will the software allow this? If so, which
> > files do I need to copy from the shack
> > computer?
> >
> > Any help gratefully appreciated.
> >
> > Les Rayburn, N1LF

There are two answers:

1) The proper way is to install a fresh copy of tQSL 1.10 on
the laptop and restore the .P12 files (certificate backup)
for each certificate.

2) The "unofficial" way is to install a fresh copy of tQSL
1.10 on the laptop and then copy the contents of the
"C:Documents and Settings\%user%\Application Data\TrustedQSL
directory (including the certs and keys subdirectories)
into the same directory on the laptop.

Note: this version assumes you are using an NT derived version
of Windows (NT 4, Windows 2000, Windows XP). If you are using
one of the flavors of Windows 98, the directory to copy is
different if you are configured for single or multiple user
mode.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1964. User access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-24 16:18:46 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 1964 Message: 1964

Having read the recent discussion regarding the value of LoTW and the need to persuade more folks to sign up, I just wonder what would happen if there was serious world-wide up-take.

I normally check for new confirmations each morning between 0900-1000utc - well I try to, but most mornings I cannot access the LoTW web page (the ARRL web site in general is fine, but not the LoTW page). Perhaps some kind of house-keeping takes place at that time - when lots of Ws are safely tucked up in their beds!.

I have just tried to check my 'score' now (1545utc) and got the following:
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)

Not a frequent occurence I grant, but if that is correct with less than 10,000 registered users, what chance connectivity come the revolution?

Just a thought - Ivan G4BGW

1965. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:38:18 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 1964 Message: 1965

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Ivan Wilson wrote:
| I have just tried to check my 'score' now (1545utc) and got the
| following:
| Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
|
| Not a frequent occurence I grant, but if that is correct with less
| than 10,000 registered users, what chance connectivity come the
| revolution?

There's a good possibility that that's users of the database, not users
of the web site (there are techniques that allow many users accessing a
web site to be handled with a small number of database connections).
The situation this morning may have been a case of several
other-than-website programs accessing the database, effectively locking
out the web site. If that's the case, then adding more web site users
in that case would not cause the same problem.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFCHi0qRgRgmxNburQRAhUrAJ4tDVkGcYPY2qxrt96ic4R1z9i85wCff/IM
IjDMblMdQhMyp2fzuGRkBvE=
=NvRl
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1966. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:39:09 UTC
From: m5aex (Andrew)
Topic: 1964 Message: 1966

Interesting observation

On average I check my LOTW about every other day, often at various times of the day but usually late evening, and have never seen such a message nor noticed any particular slowing down in response times. I can only recall one occasion when I couldn't access LoTW and that, it turned out, was when they were integrating some systems.

Andrew M5AEX

-----Original Message-----
From: Ivan Wilson [mailto:ivanewilson@...]
Sent: 24 February 2005 16:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Having read the recent discussion regarding the value of LoTW and the need to persuade more folks to sign up, I just wonder what would happen if there was serious world-wide up-take.

I normally check for new confirmations each morning between 0900-1000utc - well I try to, but most mornings I cannot access the LoTW web page (the ARRL web site in general is fine, but not the LoTW page). Perhaps some kind of house-keeping takes place at that time - when lots of Ws are safely tucked up in their beds!.

I have just tried to check my 'score' now (1545utc) and got the following:
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)

Not a frequent occurence I grant, but if that is correct with less than 10,000 registered users, what chance connectivity come the revolution?

Just a thought - Ivan G4BGW

Yahoo! Groups Links

1967. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-24 23:57:33 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 1964 Message: 1967

I was told that they run a batch process sometime in the middle of the night to synchronize LoTW with the DXCC records. Don't recall how often this is done. You might just be hitting the "batch" time.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL Card Checker
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: m5aex (Andrew)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2005 12:39 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Interesting observation

On average I check my LOTW about every other day, often at various times of the day but usually late evening, and have never seen such a message nor noticed any particular slowing down in response times. I can only recall one occasion when I couldn't access LoTW and that, it turned out, was when they were integrating some systems.

Andrew M5AEX

-----Original Message-----
From: Ivan Wilson [mailto:ivanewilson@...]
Sent: 24 February 2005 16:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] User access

Having read the recent discussion regarding the value of LoTW and the need to persuade more folks to sign up, I just wonder what would happen if there was serious world-wide up-take.

I normally check for new confirmations each morning between 0900-1000utc - well I try to, but most mornings I cannot access the LoTW web page (the ARRL web site in general is fine, but not the LoTW page). Perhaps some kind of house-keeping takes place at that time - when lots of Ws are safely tucked up in their beds!.

I have just tried to check my 'score' now (1545utc) and got the following:
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)

Not a frequent occurence I grant, but if that is correct with less
than 10,000 registered users, what chance connectivity come the
revolution?

Just a thought - Ivan G4BGW

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

1968. RE: D68C and YV0D

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-25 13:32:48 UTC
From: G.A. MCLELLAN
Topic: 1968 Message: 1968

Wondering if it is only me...!
In the case of D68C... There online log shows:
VE9DX24.9CW
VE9DX21.0CW
VE9DX10.1CW
VE9DX28.0FM
VE9DX14.0SSB
VE9DX21.0SSB
VE9DX28.0CW
VE9DX28.0SSB
VE9DX18.0CW
VE9DX18.0SSB
VE9DX14.0CW
I received one match only from all the contacts. That was for the first contact Feb 11. The rest of my contacts were Feb 18 and after. Guess I am curious as to weather all logs were uploaded.
Can't see it being a time issue as I have contacts just before and just after where the time is fine.
Now on the matter of time... I ran into a time problem with YV0D on one contact. In contacting their qsl manager I found there clock was off by almost 9 hours. My thought is that they used a different computer to log their digital contacts and may not have set their clock. Again the contact in question did show up on their ONLINE log so I knew it had to be a time issue...
Any feedback, please feel free to drop me a line direct... 73 Andy (VE9DX)
ve9dx@...

1969. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: D68C and YV0D

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-25 13:41:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1968 Message: 1969

I didn't receive LoTW qsl's for all of my contacts either.
Only like 3 LoTW qsls even though I'd gotten hardcard qsl's for all of my qso's.
Apparently they haven't gotten them all onto the system as yet.

On 2/25/2005 7:32:48 AM, arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> Wondering if it is only me...!
>
> In the case of D68C... There online log shows:
>
> VE9DX24.9CW
>
> VE9DX21.0CW
>
> VE9DX10.1CW
>
> VE9DX28.0FM
>
> VE9DX14.0SSB
>
> VE9DX21.0SSB
>
> VE9DX28.0CW
>
> VE9DX28.0SSB
>
> VE9DX18.0CW
>
> VE9DX18.0SSB
>
> VE9DX14.0CW
>
> I received one match only from all the contacts. That was for the first
>
> contact Feb 11. The rest of my contacts were Feb 18 and after. Guess I am
>
>
> curious as to weather all logs were uploaded.
>
> Can't see it being a time issue as I have contacts just before and just
> after where the time is fine.
> Now on the matter of time... I ran into a time problem with YV0D on one
> contact. In contacting their qsl manager I found there clock was off by
> almost 9 hours. My thought is that they used a different computer to log
> their digital contacts and may not have set their clock. Again the contact
> in question did show up on their ONLINE log so I knew it had to be a time

> issue...
> Any feedback, please feel free to drop me a line direct... 73 Andy (VE9DX)
> ve9dx@...
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

1970. Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 13:55:58 UTC
From: Phono-Guy
Topic: 1970 Message: 1970

In TQSl once I enter all my staion locations, what
type of file does this info get saved to?
I've looked in the TQSL folder but don't find any file
that would contain this info. If I want to transfer
all my LOTW data to a new computer what file do I have
to move over so I don't have to retype all of the
Station location info?

Thanks for your reply,
Clint

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - 250MB free storage. Do more. Manage less.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

1971. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 14:07:00 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1970 Message: 1971

Should all be in the P-12 file. I bebieve in the Lotw web sire help section
there is a step by step for transfering to a new computer.
Good luck. Dave

----- Original Message -----
From: "Phono-Guy" <taephono@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 8:55 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locations?

>
> In TQSl once I enter all my staion locations, what
> type of file does this info get saved to?
> I've looked in the TQSL folder but don't find any file
> that would contain this info. If I want to transfer
> all my LOTW data to a new computer what file do I have
> to move over so I don't have to retype all of the
> Station location info?
>
> Thanks for your reply,
> Clint
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - 250MB free storage. Do more. Manage less.
> http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1972. Re: Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 15:09:07 UTC
From: taephono
Topic: 1970 Message: 1972

All I can determine from the LOTW web site is how to transfer
Certificates by saving to a P-12 file, but no where do I see how to
transfer the station location data.

73,
Clint

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave-GVK" <k8gvk@c...> wrote:
> Should all be in the P-12 file. I bebieve in the Lotw web sire help
section
> there is a step by step for transfering to a new computer.
> Good luck. Dave
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Phono-Guy" <taephono@y...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 8:55 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locations?
>
>
> > > In TQSl once I enter all my staion locations, what
> > > type of file does this info get saved to?
> > > I've looked in the TQSL folder but don't find any file
> > > that would contain this info. If I want to transfer
> > > all my LOTW data to a new computer what file do I have
> > > to move over so I don't have to retype all of the
> > > Station location info?
> > >
> > > Thanks for your reply,
> > > Clint
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > > Do you Yahoo!?
> > > Yahoo! Mail - 250MB free storage. Do more. Manage less.
> > > http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1973. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 15:26:38 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1970 Message: 1973

Clint,

> All I can determine from the LOTW web site is how to transfer
> Certificates by saving to a P-12 file, but no where do I see how to
> transfer the station location data.
>

There is no "official" way to transfer the location information.
However, it is stored in the "station_data" file located in
"C:\Documents and Settings\%user%\Application Data\TrustedQSL"
(for Win2K and WinXP)

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1974. Re: Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 16:06:01 UTC
From: taephono
Topic: 1970 Message: 1974

Joe,
Thanks... I'll check it out.

73,
Clint

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@s...>
wrote:
>
> Clint,
>
> > All I can determine from the LOTW web site is how to transfer
> > Certificates by saving to a P-12 file, but no where do I see how
to
> > transfer the station location data.
> >
>
> There is no "official" way to transfer the location information.
> However, it is stored in the "station_data" file located in
> "C:\Documents and Settings\%user%\Application Data\TrustedQSL"
> (for Win2K and WinXP)
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

1975. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Station Locations?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-02-26 16:57:22 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 1970 Message: 1975

When my "old" computer died two weeks ago, I was faced with
the same problem. My "station information" was stored in the
"Application Data" folder in a sub-folder called TQSL. When
I found and copied that to the new computer everything worked
again.
73 de WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: "taephono" <taephono@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 9:09 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Station Locations?

>
>
> All I can determine from the LOTW web site is how to transfer
> Certificates by saving to a P-12 file, but no where do I see how to
> transfer the station location data.
>
> 73,
> Clint
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave-GVK" <k8gvk@c...> wrote:
> > Should all be in the P-12 file. I bebieve in the Lotw web sire help
> section
> > there is a step by step for transfering to a new computer.
> > Good luck. Dave
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Phono-Guy" <taephono@y...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 8:55 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Station Locations?
> >
> >
> > >
> > > In TQSl once I enter all my staion locations, what
> > > type of file does this info get saved to?
> > > I've looked in the TQSL folder but don't find any file
> > > that would contain this info. If I want to transfer
> > > all my LOTW data to a new computer what file do I have
> > > to move over so I don't have to retype all of the
> > > Station location info?

> > >
> > > Thanks for your reply,
> > > Clint
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > > Do you Yahoo!?
> > > Yahoo! Mail - 250MB free storage. Do more. Manage less.
> > > http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1976. LOTW for WAS, etc

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-07 22:38:40 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1976 Message: 1976

Has ARRL given any idea as to when to expect LOTW to be tied to WAS and other ARRL Awards?

73, Jerry K3BZ

1977. Second Chances

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 01:53:33 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 1977 Message: 1977

Below is a copy of a post I made tonight on the dx-list reflector, responding to several negative
posts I saw about LOTW. Since most of the "badmouthing" I mentioned was done here on the LOTW
refector, I thought it only fair to post a copy here. The guys that were patient and helped me
out--- they know who they are... and I appreciate it. LOTW is working fine for me now, and I have a
second chance at its benefits, thanks to you.

****

I badmouthed LOTW all over town, on the reflectors, and wrote complaint letters to ARRL.
Fortunately, a few patient guys finally convinced me to re-examine LOTW, give it another chance,
they stuck with me and helped me along, and I am very grateful that they did. Now that I know how to
work it, I think LOTW is a very good idea AND not all that difficult to operate. You do have to read
the instructions and follow them carefully, step by step. But if you do, it works very well.

My objections to LOTW were based on incorrect assumptions about the complexity, security, and
difficulty of operation, and I'm now convinced that anyone that can understand the menus and other
operating complexities of today's radios should... with a little patience... be able to learn to
operate LOTW.

LOTW deserves another look by everyone. This is a very good system and only looks complex because of
the need to maintain some semblance of integrity. I too compared it to a bank account, and asked
why all the big deal security. I think I was probably just being stubborn and blockheaded. I now
understand that banks don't upload data, or at least they don't do it in the same way, so they don't
open themselves up to hacking like the LOTW system does. It makes the security problems different.

While I once urged everyone to boycott LOTW, I would now encourage everyone to try it again, with an
open mind and a little more patience. It worked for me.

73, Jerry K3BZ

1978. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Second Chances

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 12:19:23 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 1977 Message: 1978

You are a big man Jerry Keller.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> Below is a copy of a post I made tonight on the dx-list reflector,
> responding to several negative
> posts I saw about LOTW. Since most of the "badmouthing" I mentioned was
> done here on the LOTW
> refector, I thought it only fair to post a copy here. The guys that were
> patient and helped me
> out--- they know who they are... and I appreciate it. LOTW is working
> fine for me now, and I have a
> second chance at its benefits, thanks to you.
>
> **********
>
> I badmouthed LOTW all over town, on the reflectors, and wrote complaint
> letters to ARRL.
> Fortunately, a few patient guys finally convinced me to re-examine LOTW,
> give it another chance,
> they stuck with me and helped me along, and I am very grateful that they
> did. Now that I know how to
> work it, I think LOTW is a very good idea AND not all that difficult to
> operate. You do have to read
> the instructions and follow them carefully, step by step. But if you do,
> it works very well.
>
> My objections to LOTW were based on incorrect assumptions about the
> complexity, security, and
> difficulty of operation, and I'm now convinced that anyone that can
> understand the menus and other
> operating complexities of today's radios should... with a little
> patience... be able to learn to
> operate LOTW.
>
> LOTW deserves another look by everyone. This is a very good system and
> only looks complex because of
> the need to maintain some semblance of integrity. I too compared it to
> a bank account, and asked
> why all the big deal security. I think I was probably just being
> stubborn and blockheaded. I now
> understand that banks don't upload data, or at least they don't do it in
> the same way, so they don't

> open themselves up to hacking like the LOTW system does. It makes the
> security problems different.
>
> While I once urged everyone to boycott LOTW, I would now encourage
> everyone to try it again, with an
> open mind and a little more patience. It worked for me.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1298rl6mf/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1110507335/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

1979. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Second Chances

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 12:31:56 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1977 Message: 1979

Hi Jerry,

Glad that LOTW is now working for you. Just read a
quote by Georg Christoph Lichtenberg that said:
"It often takes more courage to change one's opinion
than to stick to it."

"73"
Randy
AG8A

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/

1980. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Second Chances

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 13:27:39 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 1977 Message: 1980

Well said Jerry, congratulations.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller - K3BZ" <k3bz@...>
To: "ARRL LOTW" <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2005 9:53 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Second Chances

> Below is a copy of a post I made tonight on the dx-list reflector,
> responding to several negative
> posts I saw about LOTW. Since most of the "badmouthing" I mentioned
> was done here on the LOTW
> refector, I thought it only fair to post a copy here. The guys that
> were patient and helped me
> out--- they know who they are... and I appreciate it. LOTW is working
> fine for me now, and I have a
> second chance at its benefits, thanks to you.
>
> ********************************
>
> I badmouthed LOTW all over town, on the reflectors, and wrote
> complaint letters to ARRL.
> Fortunately, a few patient guys finally convinced me to re-examine
> LOTW, give it another chance,
> they stuck with me and helped me along, and I am very grateful that
> they did. Now that I know how to
> work it, I think LOTW is a very good idea AND not all that difficult
> to operate. You do have to read
> the instructions and follow them carefully, step by step. But if you
> do, it works very well.
>
> My objections to LOTW were based on incorrect assumptions about the
> complexity, security, and
> difficulty of operation, and I'm now convinced that anyone that can
> understand the menus and other
> operating complexities of today's radios should... with a little
> patience... be able to learn to
> operate LOTW.
>
> LOTW deserves another look by everyone. This is a very good system and
> only looks complex because of
> the need to maintain some semblance of integrity. I too compared it
> to a bank account, and asked
> why all the big deal security. I think I was probably just being

> stubborn and blockheaded. I now
> understand that banks don't upload data, or at least they don't do it
> in the same way, so they don't
> open themselves up to hacking like the LOTW system does. It makes the
> security problems different.
>
> While I once urged everyone to boycott LOTW, I would now encourage
> everyone to try it again, with an
> open mind and a little more patience. It worked for me.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>

1981. Second Chances-ACLog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 14:22:00 UTC
From: Les Rayburn
Topic: 1981 Message: 1981

I'd also like to applaud Jerry for being willing to reconsider his position. That
is a rare thing these days...wish more folks were willing to do it.

When I started DXing in December, I did a review and trial of most of the logging programs out there. I finally settled on ACLog by N3FJP. One of my requirements was that I wanted the easiest interface possible for LoTW.

ACLog requires you to have Tqsl set up properly, but after you've successfully uploaded the first file, ACLog then makes it easy to upload new contacts.

Using the software, I can upload literally a single QSO or hundreds after a contest, and both can be done with no effort. It will also download data from LoTW and update the log automatically.

For those who enjoy EQSL, it performs those functions just as easily. I'm not connected to the author, just a satisfied customer.

I still think the league has a lot more work to do in terms of gaining wider acceptance of LoTW. Special awards related to the program are my suggestion. Adding the ability to obtain WAS would also encourage DX stations to participate more. And integrating with CQ Awards would also be a big help.

I know that resources are limited, but I think the program would have been better served if they had worked out these issues prior to launch. The launch of the software seemed to be more of a knee jerk reaction to EQSL.

73,

Les Rayburn, N1LF
Les Rayburn, director
High Noon Film
100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
205.824.8930
205.824.8960 FAX
205.253.4867 CELL
http://www.highnoonfilm.com
205.253.4867

1982. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Second Chances-ACLog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-10 14:47:43 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1981 Message: 1982

Les Rayburn writes:

> ACLog requires you to have Tqsl set up properly, but after you've
> successfully uploaded the first file, ACLog then makes it easy to
> upload new contacts.
>
> Using the software, I can upload literally a single QSO or hundreds
> after a contest, and both can be done with no effort. It will also
> download data from LoTW and update the log automatically.

DXKeeper (the DXLabs Suite) also handles LotW seamlessly -
including multiple QTHs and multiple calls. It is currently
necessary to filter the log display and upload one call/QTH at
a time but that limitation will be gone as soon as the changes
necessary to allow tQSLlib do be called by applications written
in languages other than C++ are complete.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

1983. Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:04:30 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 411 Message: 1983

A friend of mine has not been paying attention to his 30K QSOs on LOTW. He was going to enter the entire list of 2004-2005 contest season QSOs and discovered that his certificate has expired.

Is it true that he will have to start over from ground zero and re-enter the entire list of 30K QSOs?

As I recall, the uploaded QSOs get purged after a period of time anyway. Is this true? If so, then should a guy be claiming credits before they get purged?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1984. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:31:02 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 411 Message: 1984

At 08:04 PM 3/10/2005, Ford Peterson wrote:

>A friend of mine has not been paying attention to his 30K QSOs on
>LOTW. He was going to enter the entire list of 2004-2005 contest
>season QSOs and discovered that his certificate has expired.
>
>Is it true that he will have to start over from ground zero and
>re-enter the entire list of 30K QSOs?
>
>As I recall, the uploaded QSOs get purged after a period of time
>anyway. Is this true? If so, then should a guy be claiming credits
>before they get purged?

Once uploaded to LoTW, QSOs do not get purged. He won't have to upload anything already sent.
He should also be able to renew his certificate without losing anything - just use TQSLCERT to generate a renewal request and upload it. He can't sign a log with the expired certificate, but can use that to renew. (There may be some limit to how old an expired certificate can be and still be acccepted, but I'd give it a try.)
-Rick

1985. Another cert question.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:42:57 UTC
From: Mike & Kathy Watson
Topic: 1985 Message: 1985

I had a MAJOR system failure and now have the system rebuilt and running again. Where do I put the .p12 file in the system?

73
Mike, W5UC

1986. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Another cert question.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:47:03 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1985 Message: 1986

At 08:42 PM 3/10/2005, Mike & Kathy Watson wrote:

>I had a MAJOR system failure and now have the system rebuilt and running
>again. Where do I put the .p12 file in the system?

Use TQSLCERT to import it.
Run TQSLCERT, then select "File", "Load Certificate File".
Make sure the selection next to "PKCS#12 (.p12)" is made, then hit
next. Browse to your saved file, enter the password, and you should be
all set.
-Rick

1987. New download for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 17:50:00 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1987 Message: 1987

This just appeared on the ARRL web site. Think I might wait a week of so to see if bugs are reported.

New TrustedQSL software released for Logbook of the World (Mar 11, 2005) -- Version 1.11 of the TrustedQSL software used with ARRL's Logbook of the World system now is available. Windows, Linux and Mac OS X users are encouraged to update their systems. The new version fixes a serious bug that affected Linux and Mac OS X versions of the software. This bug caused users' saved certificate (.P12) files to be corrupted. Linux and Mac OS X users are strongly advised to install the new version of the TrustedQSL software and save all existing certificates into .P12 files. Older .P12 files saved from these systems should be discarded. Windows users of the TrustedQSL software should update to the new version, in part because the updated Windows version of the TQSL program now signs log data much faster. Instructions for downloading and installing the software are available on ARRL's LoTW Web page.

Randy
AG8A

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

1988. .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 22:31:59 UTC
From: wb4mnk
Topic: 1988 Message: 1988

Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I find it on XP?

73
Art
WB4MNK

1989. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 23:17:25 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1988 Message: 1989

Art, Go to windows search and look for all files entering *.p12 The return will tell you it's location. Now it's not a windows file but the file you originally down;oaded with Lotw. The file contains your peronal certificate info.
73, Dave

----- Original Message -----
From: "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 5:31 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

>
>
> Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I
> find it on XP?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1990. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-11 23:46:52 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 1988 Message: 1990

The *.p12 files are wherever you created it. It is not a
file supplied by tQSL ... it is the backup file you create
when you select a certificate as specify "Certificate -> save"
in tQSLcert.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dave-GVK [mailto:k8gvk@...]
> Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 6:17 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
>
>
>
> Art, Go to windows search and look for all files entering
> *.p12 The
> return will tell you it's location. Now it's not a windows
> file but the file
> you originally down;oaded with Lotw. The file contains your peronal
> certificate info.
> 73, Dave
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 5:31 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
>
>
> >
> >
> > Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I
> > find it on XP?
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >

1991. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-12 00:41:07 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 1988 Message: 1991

OK now I understand. I was trying to find the file by searching but could not find it. I just wanted to save the file just incase when I down loaded and installed ver. 1.11 if I had a problem I would have a backup of it. Thanks for the replys.

73
Art
WB4MNK

Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:

>The *.p12 files are wherever you created it. It is not a
>file supplied by tQSL ... it is the backup file you create
>when you select a certificate as specify "Certificate -> save"
>in tQSLcert.
>
>73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Dave-GVK [mailto:k8gvk@...]
> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 6:17 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
> >
> >
> >
> > Art, Go to windows search and look for all files entering
> > *.p12 The
> > return will tell you it's location. Now it's not a windows
> > file but the file
> > you originally down;oaded with Lotw. The file contains your peronal
> > certificate info.
> > 73, Dave
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 5:31 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
> >
> >
> > >

> > >
> > > Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I
> > > find it on XP?
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
>
>
>*Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
>ADVERTISEMENT
>click here
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129hd0jd6/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1110671215/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>
>
>---
>*Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>

1992. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-12 02:24:50 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1988 Message: 1992

Art, You may or may not run into the problem I did. The 1.11 file would not install over the 1.10 until I removed all the "read only" attributes in Trusted QSL ver 1.10.....Kept getting errors. Just passing this along FYI.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Art" <wb4mnk@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 7:41 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

>
> OK now I understand. I was trying to find the file by searching but
> could not find it. I just wanted to save the file just incase when I
> down loaded and installed ver. 1.11 if I had a problem I would have a
> backup of it. Thanks for the replys.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
> Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
>
>>The *.p12 files are wherever you created it. It is not a
>>file supplied by tQSL ... it is the backup file you create
>>when you select a certificate as specify "Certificate -> save"
>>in tQSLcert.
>>
>>73,
>>
>> ... Joe, K4IK
>>
>>
>> > -----Original Message-----
>> > From: Dave-GVK [mailto:k8gvk@...]
>> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 6:17 PM
>> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Art, Go to windows search and look for all files entering
>> > *.p12 The
>> > return will tell you it's location. Now it's not a windows
>> > file but the file
>> > you originally down;oaded with Lotw. The file contains your peronal

>> > certificate info.
>> > 73, Dave
>> >
>> >
>> > ----- Original Message -----
>> > From: "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@...>
>> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 5:31 PM
>> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
>> >
>> >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I
>> > > find it on XP?
>> > >
>> > > 73
>> > > Art
>> > > WB4MNK
>> > >
>>
>>
>>*Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>>click here
>><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129hd0jd6/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1110671215/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>>
>>
>>---
>>*Yahoo! Groups Links*
>>
>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>>
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>>
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
>> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1993. heads up: certificate #6 requires LotW approval

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-12 02:55:41 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 1993 Message: 1993

Last night, I fired up TQSLCert to create a new certificate that I would "sign" with my original AA6YQ certificate; I'd done this successfully in the past with four other certificates. I was surprised to receive the following message in response:

"Your additional certificate request, plus your existing certificates and/or other pending requests, exceeds the limit. Your request will be reviewed by Logbook of the World staff and you may be contacted prior to the granting of your certificate. Your certificate request processing is completed."

Perhaps this threshold is documented somewhere, but I was unaware. Response time in this case exceeds one business day. If you're in a similar situation, anticipate a delay.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1994. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-12 21:14:24 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 1988 Message: 1994

Dave, Thanks for the info. I have not tried the 1.11 version yet but
will keep this info for when I do update.

73
Art
WB4MNK

Dave-GVK wrote:

>Art, You may or may not run into the problem I did. The 1.11 file would not
>install over the 1.10 until I removed all the "read only" attributes in
>Trusted QSL ver 1.10.....Kept getting errors. Just passing this along FYI.
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Art" <wb4mnk@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 7:41 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
>
>
> >
> > OK now I understand. I was trying to find the file by searching but
> > could not find it. I just wanted to save the file just incase when I
> > down loaded and installed ver. 1.11 if I had a problem I would have a
> > backup of it. Thanks for the replys.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
> > Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> >
> >>The *.p12 files are wherever you created it. It is not a
> >>file supplied by tQSL ... it is the backup file you create
> >>when you select a certificate as specify "Certificate -> save"
> >>in tQSLcert.
> >>
> >>73,
> >>
> >> ... Joe, K4IK
> >>
> >>
> >> > -----Original Message-----
> >> > From: Dave-GVK [mailto:k8gvk@...]
> >> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 6:17 PM
> >> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> >> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Art, Go to windows search and look for all files entering
> >> > *.p12 The
> >> > return will tell you it's location. Now it's not a windows
> >> > file but the file
> >> > you originally down;oaded with Lotw. The file contains your peronal
> >> > certificate info.
> >> > 73, Dave
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > ----- Original Message -----
> >> > From: "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@...>
> >> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> > Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 5:31 PM
> >> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] .p12
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > Could someone please tell me what is the .P12 file and where can I
> >> > > find it on XP?
> >> > >
> >> > > 73
> >> > > Art
> >> > > WB4MNK
> >> > >
> >>
> >>
> >>*Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> >>ADVERTISEMENT
> >>click here
> >><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129hd0jd6/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1110671215/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
> >>
> >>
> >>--
> >>*Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >>
> >> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >>
> >> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >>
> >> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service

> >> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>*Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
>ADVERTISEMENT
>click here
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=12935lvqc/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1110680692/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60
190075>
>
>
>---
>*Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>
>---
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.2 - Release Date: 3/11/2005
>
>

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.2 - Release Date: 3/11/2005

1995. the New Large IRC's will expire in 2006

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-15 22:58:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1995 Message: 1995

I found this on the cluster.

BIG PROBLEM COMING SOON WITH THE 'NEW-TYPE' IRC's.....
AS YOU KNOW, DXers HAVE USED IRC's AS INTERNATIONAL QSL CURRENCY FOR
DECADES. THEN THE INTERNATIONAL POSTAL UNION BROUGHT OUT THE NEW,
LARGER TYPE IRC's IN 2000, THAT ARE DESIGNED TO HAVE A 'SELL-BY' DATE
ON THEM, SO THAT THEY BECOME WORTHLESS IF NOT REDEEMED IN SIX YEARS.
NOT GOOD NEWS FOR HAMS, AS MANY OF THE EXCELLENT OLD-TYPE IRC's HAD BEEN
IN CIRCULATION FOR OVER 30 YEARS WITHOUT PROBLEM. FROM 2000 WE WERE TOLD,
THEY WOULD BE ISSUED WITH A SIX-YEAR EXPIRY DATE.
OK, THAT WAS BAD NEWS BACK IN 2000.
BUT NOW IT'S 2005.
SO WHAT YEAR DO YOU RECKON THAT NEW SIX-YEAR IRC's BEING SOLD TODAY
SHOULD EXPIRE? (BET YOU THOUGHT IT WOULD BE 2011, DIDN'T YOU?)

NO SIR. THE BAD NEW IS, THAT ALL 'NEW' IRC'S EXPIRE IN 2006 !! ALL OF
THEM!
THAT MEANS THAT THE 'SIX-YEAR' COUPONS THAT YOU BUY TODAY WILL BECOME
WORTHLESS IN A MATTER OF MONTHS, AND ALL MONEY PAID WILL BE LOST UNLESS
THE IRC IS CASHED IMMEDIATELY BEFORE THE DEADLINE IN 2006.

AS FAR AS I CAN FIND OUT FROM POST OFFICES IN THE UK AT LEAST, ALL STOCKS
OF IRC's WERE PRINTED WITH THE SAME EXPIRY DATE - 2006.
I GUESS WE ALL THOUGHT THAT THE EXPIRY DATE WOULD TRACK THE DATE OF SALE,
ALWAYS MOVING FORWARD BY A MONTH AT A TIME. BUT NO, THE EXPIRY DATE HAS
REMAINED FIXED AT 2006 WHILST TIME HAS PASSED, MAKING THE 'SIX YEAR'
IRC's NOW ONLY ONE-YEAR IRC's.
IT APPEARS THAT WE'VE BEEN RIPPED-OFF. AND WORSE THAN THAT, THE VALUE OF
THE IRC AS AN 'INTERNATIONAL PROMISE OF VALUE' HAS BEEN BADLY ERODED.
WHAT ARE WE GOING TO USE IN FUTURE?
73 Paul G4XTA @ GB7SAN.#78.GBR.EU

It's only a hobby ... only a hobby ... only a hobby ... only

W9OL, Bill H. in Chicagoland

1996. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] the New Large IRC's will expire in 2006

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-15 23:18:52 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 1995 Message: 1996

...snip...

> WHAT ARE WE GOING TO USE IN FUTURE?
> 73 Paul G4XTA @ GB7SAN.#78.GBR.EU

My vote would be to use LOTW...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

1997. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] the New Large IRC's will expire in 2006

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-15 23:38:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1995 Message: 1997

Well I agree with you on that Ford.

and just for grins, I do keep a web chart of the presently preferred choice or remuneration.
http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/ircchart.txt

At least I do when qsl.net is working.

I also manage a couple of stations and have put their logs onto LoTW.
I'm surprised to get as many mail requests as I do.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2005 5:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] the New Large IRC's will expire in 2006

> ...snip...
>
>> WHAT ARE WE GOING TO USE IN FUTURE?
>> 73 Paul G4XTA @ GB7SAN.#78.GBR.EU
>
> My vote would be to use LOTW...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

1998. LoTW DXCC qsl's received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-17 22:39:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1998 Message: 1998

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwdxccstats.txt

Hit the 200 mark mixed.

Just wondering how you guys are doing

Paranoid schizophrenics outnumber their enemies at least two to one.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

1999. DXCC Submission

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-25 03:47:44 UTC
From: w5ec
Topic: 1999 Message: 1999

I am making my March submission and see on the new application a box to check that says "Check for LOTW QSLs on the web".Then it says to authorize the use of LOTW qsls at ARRL website. Well, I have looked everywhere I can but I can't find anywhere to authorize the use. Any help?
While looking, I see that I should download the new software version and when I try to do it, I am directed to a mirror site selection page. I pick the USA site and have to enter an id and password which, naturally, does not work. Downloading a newer version should be easy. Any help here?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

2000. QSLs in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-30 15:14:55 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 2000 Message: 2000

Hi Guys
Has the format for confirmed QSLs been changed In LoTW?
They use to be displayed with the the latest one on top. Now they
are all over the place. I can't determined which ones that have already
been put in DXBase without looking at each QSL. In the past I
could pick up on the last call sign and enter all new QSLs above
that call into DXBase, now I can't. Open for suggestions.
JV

2001. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-03-30 15:33:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2000 Message: 2001

I don't see that here.
In the MyQSO's page, clicking on the Most Recent QSLs button retrieves qsl with the most recent at the top.

Recently some big contest stations have been re-uploading their logs which appears as if they were recent qsls.

Now if your using a more selective search and hitting the Submit button, you may have your search criteria improperly set.

But selecting a date range in the Download Report can give you a listing in .adi format of your most recent qsl's.

And finally, don't worry, download the report and import it into DXBase and then download the lotwimportqsl.rpt from Joe, WA6AXE, site and it will give you a printout of your qsls in what ever order you want.

----- Original Message -----
From: "JV" <>
To: "Z LoTA" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2005 9:14 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSLs in LoTW

>
> Hi Guys
> Has the format for confirmed QSLs been changed In LoTW?
> They use to be displayed with the the latest one on top. Now they
> are all over the place. I can't determined which ones that have already
> been put in DXBase without looking at each QSL. In the past I
> could pick up on the last call sign and enter all new QSLs above
> that call into DXBase, now I can't. Open for suggestions.
> JV
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>

> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

2002. LOTW Conflict Feedback

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-02 16:10:18 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2002 Message: 2002

When I upload QSOs to LOTW with my logging program (DXKeeper/DXLab), part of the feedback I get is a process where conflicts between what's in LOTW from the other guys differs from what my log says. For example, Grid locations. You have to choose to keep what you have or accept what LOTW shows. So far, I have figured that what LOTW has is probably more correct, but lately I'm wondering. I'd be interested to hear how others deal with those differences.

73, Jerry K3BZ

2003. Re: LOTW Conflict Feedback

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-02 19:02:17 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2002 Message: 2003

In my experience with mismatches, CQ and ITU zones are incorrect about 10% of the time. When wrong, they are usually reversed; you can quickly check using DXView's zone overlay maps. Gridsquares and IOTA tags are generally accurate. Early on, incorrect IOTA tag formats were a problem, but DXKeeper now recognizes these and only brings substantive mismatches to the user's attention.

By the way, DXKeeper doesn't force you to individually resolve conflicts; you have the option of automatically accepting the LotW data or automatically retaining the logged data.

73,

Dave, KH6/AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> When I upload QSOs to LOTW with my logging program (DXKeeper/DXLab), part of the feedback I get is a process where conflicts between what's in LOTW from the other guys differs from what my log says. For example, Grid locations. You have to choose to keep what you have or accept what LOTW shows. So far, I have figured that what LOTW has is probably more correct, but lately I'm wondering. I'd be interested to hear how others deal with those differences.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

2004. LOTW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-03 17:11:25 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 2004 Message: 2004

I have trying to load contest results all morning and get nowhere.
Is the system down ? Mike K9HCK

2005. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-03 17:21:47 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2004 Message: 2005

I cannot connect with LOTW either.
73,
Chris, AC?M

mac2251 wrote:
>
> I have trying to load contest results all morning and get nowhere.
> Is the system down ? Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

2006. Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 00:29:14 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 2006 Message: 2006

Just checking. Am up to 5% hits on lotw.

2007. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 00:39:51 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 2006 Message: 2007

yup

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and
its prisoners

-----Original Message-----
From: tad [mailto:devoman1999@...]
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2005 17:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Just checking. Am up to 5% hits on lotw.

Yahoo! Groups Links

2008. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 00:53:06 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2006 Message: 2008

Hi:
I'm up to 11% !!!

Now the BAD news about 40% of them are bad/incomplete.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 20:29 4/13/2005, you wrote:

>Just checking. Am up to 5% hits on lotw.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2009. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 01:09:02 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2006 Message: 2009

Hi Bob,

My returns are 3701 on a log of 32255, or 11.4%, roughly the same return rate as yours.

What do you mean by "bad/incomplete"? The few of mine that I've examined in detail seem to be just fine.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: R Johnson <k1vu@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 4/14/2005 12:54:50 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???
>
>
> Hi:
> I'm up to 11% !!!
>
> Now the BAD news about 40% of them are bad/incomplete.
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 20:29 4/13/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >Just checking. Am up to 5% hits on lotw.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2010. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 01:56:18 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2006 Message: 2010

Also 11.4% here!

73,
Chris, AC?M

K0HB wrote:
> Hi Bob,
>
> My returns are 3701 on a log of 32255, or 11.4%, roughly the same return
> rate as yours.
>

2011. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 02:30:21 UTC
From: BV2WS
Topic: 2006 Message: 2011

Mine is 5.86%

BV2WS

K0HB wrote:
> Hi Bob,
>
> My returns are 3701 on a log of 32255, or 11.4%, roughly the same return
> rate as yours.
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2012. % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 16:58:15 UTC
From: Gene Case
Topic: 2012 Message: 2012

I've got 17%.

2013. % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:10:58 UTC
From: Bill Brozas
Topic: 2012 Message: 2013

I've got 22%.

AG2S - Bill

2014. RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:44:29 UTC
From: Wayne King
Topic: 2006 Message: 2014

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

2015. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-14 20:30:20 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 2006 Message: 2015

I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2016. % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 02:32:34 UTC
From: Frank Styron
Topic: 2012 Message: 2016

Interesting thread...

I have an overall LOTW confirmation of 14%. With 23 countries confirmed.
49 states worked with 44 LOTW confirmed - need UT, NV, AK, ND, WY,
RI(not worked),

My QSL rate by mode is:
SSB 15%
CW 15% (I thought this would be higher)
RTTY 40% (No surprise there)

73,
Frank W4CWA

2017. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 13:55:59 UTC
From: Gene Case
Topic: 2006 Message: 2017

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent? Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:
I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

2018. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 14:00:08 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 2006 Message: 2018

If the ARRL would only make it approved for WAS it would spark a lot more
interest in the US, and then it may spread... Sigh...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and
its prisoners

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Gene Case
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 06:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates
does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent?
Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded
their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:
I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

--
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2019. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 14:02:58 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2006 Message: 2019

Yes, they would but that is not likely to happen unless it gets MUCH easier to use. It is great and easy if you are interested only in DX form ONE location and one call but if you go beyond that , or if you want to have other awards, forget it. Many people want other awards and they TILL have not been implemented. doubt they will ever be as it is going to cost too much to ARRL!

The problem is that you still have to use paper since only the one award is supported, so why bother?

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gene Case
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 8:56 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent?
Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:

I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=31637/*http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/
> our new resources site!

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2020. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 14:44:15 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2006 Message: 2020

Gil write:

> Yes, they would but that is not likely to happen unless it gets
> MUCH easier to use.

"Easier to use" is a matter for the application developers and NOT ARRL. LotW is, in essence, a large QSO matching service. tQSL is a basic upload application to allow users to get started. When the developers of loggers and award tracking software get fully involved (some are), the ease of use will come.

> Many people want other awards and they TILL have not been
> implemented. doubt they will ever be as it is going to cost
> too much to ARRL!

ARRL have already announced that WAS and VUCC support are "in the works." However, this goes back to resources, development is, in essence, a small part of a much larger job for ONE programmer at ARRL.

> The problem is that you still have to use paper since only the
> one award is supported, so why bother?

There is a public API by which ANY award sponsor can make use of LotW credits. However, those sponsors (CQ, RSGB, etc.) need to step up to the plate and reach an agreement with ARRL to make use of LotW.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

2021. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 15:04:16 UTC
From: Gene Case
Topic: 2012 Message: 2021

What kind of % do you get from hard-card exchanges?

Gene KD5VGT

Frank Styron <w4cwa@...> wrote:
Interesting thread...

I have an overall LOTW confirmation of 14%. With 23 countries confirmed.
49 states worked with 44 LOTW confirmed - need UT, NV, AK, ND, WY,
RI(not worked),

My QSL rate by mode is:
SSB 15%
CW 15% (I thought this would be higher)
RTTY 40% (No surprise there)

73,
Frank W4CWA

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Get it on your mobile phone.

2022. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 15:21:57 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2006 Message: 2022

Yes, some contester up in NH has DXCC on LOTW alone, I believe, just recently announced. Adding WAS to LOTW will be a huge improvement and get a lot more people using it. ARRL is supposedly working on it now, subject to budget restrictions. Most of us know what that's like.

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Case
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 9:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent? Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:
I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2023. Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 15:29:16 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2023 Message: 2023

I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW upload URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts, etc. 73, Jerry K3BZ

2024. Re: Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 15:37:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2023 Message: 2024

The upload URL did change, but web browsers accessing the old URL will
be redirected to the new one.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW upload
URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts, etc.
73, Jerry K3BZ

2025. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 16:15:59 UTC
From: Frank Styron
Topic: 2012 Message: 2025

I do not exchange hard QSL cards often but what I have done is getting
100% returns.
73,
Frank W4CWA

> What kind of % do you get from hard-card exchanges?
>
> Gene KD5VGT
>
> */Frank Styron <w4cwa@...>/* wrote:
>
> Interesting thread...
>
> I have an overall LOTW confirmation of 14%. With 23 countries
> confirmed.
> 49 states worked with 44 LOTW confirmed - need UT, NV, AK, ND, WY,
> RI(not worked),
>
> My QSL rate by mode is:
> SSB 15%
> CW 15% (I thought this would be higher)
> RTTY 40% (No surprise there)
>
> 73,
> Frank W4CWA
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Take Yahoo! Mail with you!
> <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/mobile/*http://mobile.yahoo.com/maildemo>
> Get it on your mobile phone.
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>

>

2026. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 16:21:50 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2023 Message: 2026

Well Dave I just get a hickup when I click on my short cut for LoTW. What is the new URL ?

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 8:37 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed

The upload URL did change, but web browsers accessing the old URL will
be redirected to the new one.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW upload
URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts, etc.
73, Jerry K3BZ

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2027. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 16:37:01 UTC
From: Joseph Glockner
Topic: 2023 Message: 2027

Rod,

Me too! When I click-on the link it take me to another
URL -- but here is the message I get at the new URL:

Bad Gateway

The proxy server received an invalid response from an upstream server.

73 Joe wa6axe

WC7N wrote:

> Well Dave I just get a hickup when I click on my short cut for LoTW. What is the new URL
?
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 8:37 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed
>
>
>
> The upload URL did change, but web browsers accessing the old URL will
> be redirected to the new one.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> > I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW upload
> URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts, etc.
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

2028. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 16:41:42 UTC
From: Patton, David NN1N
Topic: 2006 Message: 2028

Hello all

KC1XX has achieved 5BDXCC just using LoTW confirmations, and K3KO has about 230 different countries confirmed and also recently reached the 1000 band countries needed for an initial DXCC Challenge Award.

Several people have confirmed more than 80 countries on 160 with LoTW now.

We are migrating servers this week hence some of the trouble with log uploads.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@...m [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 11:22 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yes, some contester up in NH has DXCC on LOTW alone, I believe, just recently announced. Adding WAS to LOTW will be a huge improvement and get a lot more people using it. ARRL is supposedly working on it now, subject to budget restrictions. Most of us know what that's like.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Case <mailto:kd5vgt@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 9:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent? Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:

I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=31637/*http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/> our new
resources site!

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2029. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 16:47:10 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2023 Message: 2029

That is exactly what I get.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Joseph Glockner
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed

Rod,

Me too! When I click-on the link it take me to another
URL -- but here is the message I get at the new URL:

Bad Gateway

The proxy server received an invalid response from an upstream server.

73 Joe wa6axe

WC7N wrote:

> Well Dave I just get a hickup when I click on my short cut for LoTW. What is the new URL
?
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 8:37 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed
>
>
>
> The upload URL did change, but web browsers accessing the old URL will
> be redirected to the new one.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> > I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW upload
> URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts, etc.
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2030. Re: Upload URL Changed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 17:23:10 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2023 Message: 2030

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/upload

but my browser reports a "bad gateway" error now when I navigate
there.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> Well Dave I just get a hickup when I click on my short cut for
LoTW. What is the new URL ?
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 8:37 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload URL Changed
>
>
>
> The upload URL did change, but web browsers accessing the old
URL will
> be redirected to the new one.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
> > I just heard that last night ARRL made a change to the LOTW
upload
> URL.... some of us might need to make some changes to shortcuts,
etc.
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.

2031. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-15 17:04:27 UTC
From: Francisco Hennessey Jr. (Laptop)
Topic: 2006 Message: 2031

The last tuesday i got DXCC Awards of, 40, 20, 15 and 10. all VIA LOTW
I need work only 20 countries in 80 for 5BDXCC...
73
Siso, HK3SGP

_____

De: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] En nombre de Patton, David NN1N
Enviado el: Viernes, 15 de Abril de 2005 12:42 p.m.
Para: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Asunto: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Hello all

KC1XX has achieved 5BDXCC just using LoTW confirmations, and K3KO has about 230 different countries confirmed and also recently reached the 1000 band countries needed for an initial DXCC Challenge Award.

Several people have confirmed more than 80 countries on 160 with LoTW now.

We are migrating servers this week hence some of the trouble with log uploads.

73, Dave, NN1N

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 11:22 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yes, some contester up in NH has DXCC on LOTW alone, I believe, just recently announced.
Adding WAS to LOTW will be a huge improvement and get a lot more people using it. ARRL is supposedly working on it now, subject to budget restrictions. Most of us know what that's like.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Case <mailto:kd5vgt@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 9:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates
does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent?
Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their
logs the returns would go up -- I guess.

GeneKD5VGT

Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:

I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
73, Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

-----Original Message-----
From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
To: LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

I'm up 16.8%
Wayne N2WK

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=31637/*http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/
> our new resources site!

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2032. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] % of QSL to QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-16 02:55:41 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2012 Message: 2032

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
> From: Gene Case

> What kind of % do you get from hard-card exchanges?

> Gene KD5VGT

My cards are very nice, and a little expensive to produce (about $0.22 each)

If I mail a card direct, with an SASE (or IRC for DX), my response percentage is nearly 100%. (Cost per card returned around $1.25 melded average DX vs domestic)

If I mail a card direct with no return envelope or postage, my response percentage is around 25%. (Cost per card returned around $2.00)

If I bulk mail cards via the outgoing bureau my response percentage is about 1%. (Cost per card returned around $10.00)

If I bulk mail cards via the WF5E QSL service my response percentage is about 80% (Cost per card returned around $0.75)

If I bulk mail cards via LoTW my response percentage is about 11%. (Cost per card returned $0.00)

73, de Hans, K0HB

2033. Fwd: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-17 19:27:07 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2033 Message: 2033

>DomainKey-Signature:
>X-Yahoo-Newman-Property: groups-email
>X-Sender: nn1n@...
>X-Apparently-To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>X-MS-Has-Attach:
>X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
>Thread-Topic: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???
>Thread-Index: AcVBzxQwWbEFtvucTjyh/CIsXEGF8wACpzDg
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>X-OriginalArrivalTime: 15 Apr 2005 16:41:42.0702 (UTC) FILETIME=[011904E0:01C541DA]
>X-Originating-IP: 69.0.106.222
>X-eGroups-Msg-Info: 1:12:0
>X-eGroups-From: "Patton, David NN1N" <nn1n@...>
>From: "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@...>
>X-Yahoo-Profile: wo0g
>Sender: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Mailing-List: list ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; contact ARRL-LOTW-owner@yahoogroups.com
>Delivered-To: mailing list ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>List-Id: <ARRL-LOTW.yahoogroups.com>
>List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
>Date: Fri, 15 Apr 2005 12:41:42 -0400
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???
>Reply-To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>X-MX-Spam: exempt
>X-MX-MAIL-FROM: <sentto-11116965-2029-1113583305-k1vu=tmlp.com@...>
>X-MX-SOURCE-IP: [66.94.237.41]
>X-RCPT-TO: <k1vu@...>
>
>Hello all
>
>KC1XX has achieved 5BDXCC just using LoTW confirmations, and K3KO has about 230 different countries confirmed and also recently reached the 1000 band countries needed for an initial DXCC Challenge Award.
>
>Several people have confirmed more than 80 countries on 160 with LoTW now.
>
>We are migrating servers this week hence some of the trouble with log uploads.
>
>73, Dave, NN1N
>-----Original Message-----
>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
>Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 11:22 AM
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???
>

>Yes, some contester up in NH has DXCC on LOTW alone, I believe, just recently announced.
>Adding WAS to LOTW will be a huge improvement and get a lot more people using it. ARRL is supposedly working on it now, subject to budget restrictions. Most of us know what that's like.
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:kd5vgt@...>Gene Case
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Friday, April 15, 2005 9:55 AM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???
>
>So, why do we do this thing if the returns(QSL's) are so low? What rates does everybody have for returns on hard-cards: bureau sent, and self sent? Has anyone been awarded DXCC on Lotw data alone?
> Its definately cheaper than cards and easier. If everyone uploaded their logs the returns would go up -- I guess.
>
>GeneKD5VGT
>
>Ken Kinyon <w7ts@...> wrote:
>I am all the way up to 3.1%. But then again, my qso's go back to 1977.
>73, Ken W7TS
>
>--------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: W7TS@...
>------------------
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Wayne King [mailto:wfking@...]
>Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 12:44
>To: LOTW
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Is the group still alive???
>
>I'm up 16.8%
>Wayne N2WK
>
>
>Do you Yahoo!?
>Yahoo! Small Business -
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=31637/*http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/>Try our new resources site!
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links

> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> * <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2034. LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-17 19:35:27 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 2033 Message: 2034

Hi,

Anyone else seeing problems with LOTW who is using ACS logging software? I am unable to upload my logs, and I was able to last week... No changes have been made on my end, that I am aware of.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and its prisoners

2035. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-17 21:49:36 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 1201 Message: 2035

> This is an e-mail I received so if you are having
> trouble with LOTW uploads at the present time here
> might be an explanation.
>
> Hello all
>
>>
> We are migrating servers this week hence some of the
> trouble with log uploads.
>
> 73, Dave, NN1N
>
Randy
AG8A
--- Dave Cole <dave@...> wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Anyone else seeing problems with LOTW who is using
> ACS logging software? I
> am unable to upload my logs, and I was able to last
> week... No changes have
> been made on my end, that I am aware of.
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> The truest measure of a society is how it treats its
> elderly, its pets, and
> its prisoners
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

2036. Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:03:56 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2033 Message: 2036

LotW was moved to a new server. This is transparent to access via a web browser, but depending upon how your logging software performs uploading, an update may be required.

There was a brief interval in which the LotW Query facility was rejecting parameters containing a space character, but this problem was expeditiously corrected.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Cole" <dave@n...> wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Anyone else seeing problems with LOTW who is using ACS logging software? I
> am unable to upload my logs, and I was able to last week... No changes have
> been made on my end, that I am aware of.
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and
> its prisoners

2037. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:36:58 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 2033 Message: 2037

Hi,

Thanks for the update!!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and
its prisoners

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2005 15:04
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW

LotW was moved to a new server. This is transparent to access via a
web browser, but depending upon how your logging software performs
uploading, an update may be required.

There was a brief interval in which the LotW Query facility was
rejecting parameters containing a space character, but this problem
was expeditiously corrected.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Cole" <dave@n...> wrote:
> Hi,
>
> Anyone else seeing problems with LOTW who is using ACS logging
software? I
> am unable to upload my logs, and I was able to last week... No
changes have
> been made on my end, that I am aware of.
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its
pets, and

> its prisoners

Yahoo! Groups Links

2038. LoTW working for me.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:53:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2038 Message: 2038

If your interested in bypassing the login page and going directly to YOUR QSOS page you can put this shortcut on your desktop.

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos?login=######&password=$$$$$$$

obviously you must change ###### to your userid and $$$$$$$$ to your password.

The trouble with ignorance is that it picks up confidence as it goes along.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2039. ADI file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-18 19:45:01 UTC
From: Hugh Phillips - K7XM
Topic: 2039 Message: 2039

I have downloaded my QSL's received file on LOTW site. I would like to view the file to check against my Dx4Win files to see exactly which ones I want to download so as save some credits.
Any ideas on a program or ?? to read the .adi file. Everything I look at is for Autocad .adi files. This won't work.
Any help appreciated.
Hugh
K7XM

Senior Multitasking: Laughing, Coughing, Sneezing and Peeing all at the same time.

All msgs scanned with Norton AntiVirus

2040. From ARRL LOTW site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:22:41 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2040 Message: 2040

The following was on the LOTW web site:

Apr 18, 2005: User site log-in problems -- After migrating LoTW to a new server many people are having problems logging into the system. The problem is usually that the browser seems to have "forgotten" your password. One simply needs to re-enter the password that came in the body of the email with the latest .tq6 file attached. If a user cannot find or remember that password we can help at lotw-help@...

Because people with this problem won't see this message, we are placing it here to help spread the word. It also points up the pitfalls of relying on using the browser to remember passwords, so keep your passwords handy.

Randy
AG8A

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

2041. New file to download

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:33:38 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2041 Message: 2041

I guess I am ready to download the newer LOTW file.
The file is supposed to be ver 1.11 if I read right
but only see the TQSL 111 on the site. Is that the
right one to download?

It also says to remove old pk12 file. Do you do that
before or after the download?

Any other hints or suggestions is greatly appreciated.
Step by step instructions if posible.

Thanks for any help.

Randy
AG8A

Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

2042. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New file to download

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-18 23:05:23 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2041 Message: 2042

At 06:33 PM 4/18/2005, rlb wrote:

>I guess I am ready to download the newer LOTW file.
>The file is supposed to be ver 1.11 if I read right
>but only see the TQSL 111 on the site. Is that the
>right one to download?

I'm not sure what OS you're running, but that's the right file for
Windows, at least.
It's tqsl-111.exe for windows, TrustedQSL1.11-1.dmg for MacOS, and so
forth.

>It also says to remove old pk12 file. Do you do that
>before or after the download?

It says that Linux and Mac OSX users should re-save their certificates
as a .p12 file after upgrade. You do that after you install the new
version of TrustedQSL. You don't need to remove anything beforehand,
and you don't need to do anything if you're running Windows.

>Any other hints or suggestions is greatly appreciated.

There's been at least one person who had a problem because they
restored their TrustedQSL files off of a CD-R disk, which made them
read-only. The installer generated a bunch of errors. You might want to
look at those files to make sure they're not set read-only.

>Step by step instructions if posible.

If you let us know what operating system you're using it'll help with
giving directions.

If windows, just click on the download link, save it to your desktop,
then double-click the saved file to run the installer.
-Rick

2043. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New file to download

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 01:01:24 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2041 Message: 2043

At 06:33 PM 4/18/2005, rlb wrote:

>I guess I am ready to download the newer LOTW file.
>The file is supposed to be ver 1.11 if I read right
>but only see the TQSL 111 on the site. Is that the
>right one to download?
>
>It also says to remove old pk12 file. Do you do that
>before or after the download?
>
>Any other hints or suggestions is greatly appreciated.
> Step by step instructions if posible.

Here's the directions I sent Randy, in the chance they're helpful to
others.
73,
-Rick

This is for a machine running XP Service Pack 2. Some of the details
may not be right prior to SP2 or for systems not running XP, but they
should be pretty close.

First, check your files to see if they're read only:
Double-Click on "My Computer", then put "C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL"
(no quotes!)
into the "Address" bar. Then, use the "Edit/Select All" and
"File/Properties" menu
selections. The "Properties" window that comes up shouldn't have the
"Read Only" box
checked. If it does, click it off and say "OK". Select "Apply changes
to the selected items,
subfolders, and files" if it asks you. If it doesn't say "Read Only"
then just click OK.

Then, download TQSL 1.11. Go to http://www.arrl.org/lotw/#download and
go to the link under
"Windows" that reads "Download tqsl-111.exe V1.11 installer". Pick a
download location
near you, then click on the icon to the right that says "2282 kb".

If you're running XP Service Pack 2, you'll get a warning that Internet
Explorer blocked
the site from downloading, with a "Click here for options..". Click on
the warning and choose "Download File".

The download starts, with a "Do you want to run or save this file". I
click on "Save", then

choose "Desktop" from the "Save In" dialog, then click "Save".

When the download completes, it'll give you the option to Run the file. (The button labeled "Open" will start out grayed out during download, then change to "Run" unless you have the "Close this dialog box when download completes" selected.) Click on "Run", or double click the downloaded file on the desktop. That'll start the installer running.

The first question you'll get may be a security warning that the publisher could not be verified. Click "Run" to allow it to continue.

The next dialog box is the "Welcome to the TrustedQSL Setup Wizard". Click "Next".
You then get to choose where to install TrustedQSL. Assuming C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL, click "Next".

The next dialog box is about the shortcuts for TrustedQSL. The default of "TrustedQSL" is probably what you choose before; Click "Next".

The next dialog is for setting up a desktop shortcut. If you want one, check the "Create a desktop icon" and click "Next".

Next is the "Ready to Install" dialog that lists the options you choose earlier.
Once you verify that things are OK, click "Install".

You'll then get the "Completing the TrustedQSL Setup Wizard" dialog. Click "Finish" and you're done.

When you've done with the upgrade, it's a good idea to save your certificates as new .p12 files just to be sure.

Start/TrustedQSL/TQSLCert. Click on your callsign, then "Certificate/Save". Save the .p12 file off to a floppy and store it in a safe place - that way, you can recover your certs even if your system fails.

2044. Re: ADI file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 01:41:57 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2039 Message: 2044

According to http://www.dx4win.com/features.htm , DX4Win has the ability to import an ADIF file.

I only scanned the web site, but saw nothing about synchronizing downloaded ADIF from LotW with an existing log. Thus, you should import the downloaded ADIF into an empty log and view that. Importing the downloaded ADIF into your current log would probably fill it with duplicates.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hugh Phillips - K7XM <hphillips@m...> wrote:

I have downloaded my QSL's received file on LOTW site. I would like to view the file to check against my Dx4Win files to see exactly which ones I want to download so as save some credits.
Any ideas on a program or ?? to read the .adi file. Everything I look at is for Autocad .adi files. This won't work.
Any help appreciated.
Hugh
K7XM

2045. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: ADI file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 02:05:16 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2039 Message: 2045

At 09:41 PM 4/18/2005, Dave Bernstein wrote:

>According to http://www.dx4win.com/features.htm , DX4Win has the
>ability to import an ADIF file.
>
>I only scanned the web site, but saw nothing about synchronizing
>downloaded ADIF from LotW with an existing log. Thus, you should
>import the downloaded ADIF into an empty log and view that. Importing
>the downloaded ADIF into your current log would probably fill it with
>duplicates.

Actually, the recent version of DX4WIN (6.03) does have the ability to
sync with LoTW.
If you've got something older than that, Dave is quite correct.

For 6.03, DX4WIN keeps track of logfile entries that have been exported
for import into LoTW and allows importing of the confirmation flag from
LoTW. The export is pretty straightforward, but the import isn't
obvious. You import the ADIF file and select "Imported QSO sets Upload
Confirmed Flag" to merge just the confirmations from LoTW into your
log. Again, this is only there in 6.03.

Sorry for not replying earlier - I needed to check out the details of
the two operations and verify the version number of DX4WIN that added LoTW.
-Rick

2046. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: ADI file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 02:27:09 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2039 Message: 2046

I forgot one significant detail: when using DX4WIN to export your log
for LoTW, you DO NOT use the "Import/Export" menu. You use the
"Labels/Export for LOTW" menu selection. A regular export won't set the
flag that keeps track of the uploaded QSO, and won't record an upload date.
-Rick

2047. Problem uploading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 10:27:23 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2047 Message: 2047

Are any of the rest of you having problems uploading
files?

I usually upload through my logging program but not
having any success so tried it directly at the web
site with still no success.

All other parts of LOTW work for me including QSL's
received, all results of past uploads so I know it is
not a password problem.

Upgraded to v1.11 last night and still no luck. Only
trying to load 4 calls. Tried again this AM, at
1015utc thinking maybe an overload at the site but
still no luck.

Any suggestions greatly appreciated!!!!!!

Randy
AG8A

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

2048. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Problem uploading/Now working

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-19 10:51:36 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2048 Message: 2048

Thanks for looking. Now seems to be OK.

Randy
AG8A
--- rlb <printgreen@...> wrote:
> Are any of the rest of you having problems uploading
> files?
>
> I usually upload through my logging program but not
> having any success so tried it directly at the web
> site with still no success.
>
> All other parts of LOTW work for me including QSL's
> received, all results of past uploads so I know it
> is
> not a password problem.
>
> Upgraded to v1.11 last night and still no luck.
> Only
> trying to load 4 calls. Tried again this AM, at
> 1015utc thinking maybe an overload at the site but
> still no luck.
>
> Any suggestions greatly appreciated!!!!!!
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000
> guides!
> http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide
>

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

2049. receeived today bunches

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-20 12:23:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2049 Message: 2049

of LoTW qsls from
ZL7/G3TXF
VP9/G3TXF
VP2V/G3TXF
VK9CF

Looks like Nigel is trying to fill up the LoTW server.
(maybe this explains last weeks transfer to a new server) :-)

Thanks Nigel,

"I can remember when the air was clean and sex was dirty." George Burns
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2050. New Certificate ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-20 14:07:38 UTC
From: obrienaj
Topic: 2050 Message: 2050

Call me dumb, or perhaps just impatient, but I find the LOTW process to be confsing and not particularly customer friendly (help files). Can someone save me having to read the ARRL's help files AGAIN (I did read once today) and give me the simple version of how to get a new certificate?

My old one expired 4-5 months ago. Do I have to go through the process like a brand new user , submit a request and wait for a code on a post card , or do I simply uses their little program and request a new certifcate that gets emailed to me ?

Andy K3UK

2051. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] New Certificate ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-20 15:37:36 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2050 Message: 2051

I am not impressed with ease of use or friendliness of LotW either but this one is fairly easy.

Go to the file menu of TQSL-Cert program and choose new certificate and follow the prompts. You will sign the request with the old certificate, that avoids the postcard junk. I am not sure if it will work if you certificate is expired. It certainly should. If not, the process sucks.

As far as I know, you have to go through the postcard crap only the first tie or if you totally lose your certificates.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of obrienaj
> Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2005 9:08 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New Certificate ?
>
>
>
> Call me dumb, or perhaps just impatient, but I find the LOTW
> process to be confsing and not particularly customer friendly
> (help files). Can someone save me having to read the ARRL's
> help files AGAIN (I did read once today) and give me the
> simple version of how to get a new certificate?
>
> My old one expired 4-5 months ago. Do I have to go through
> the process like a brand new user , submit a request and wait
> for a code on a post card , or do I simply uses their little
> program and request a new certifcate that gets emailed to me ?
>
> Andy K3UK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

2052. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New Certificate ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 00:54:33 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2050 Message: 2052

At 10:07 AM 4/20/2005, obrienaj wrote:

>Call me dumb, or perhaps just impatient, but I find the LOTW process to
>be confsing and not particularly customer friendly (help files). Can
>someone save me having to read the ARRL's help files AGAIN (I did read
>once today) and give me the simple version of how to get a new
>certificate?

See below. This is one of the things about the tQSL process that's very
confusing.
Hopefully the below step-by-step directions will help.

>My old one expired 4-5 months ago. Do I have to go through the process
>like a brand new user , submit a request and wait for a code on a post
>card , or do I simply uses their little program and request a new
>certifcate that gets emailed to me ?

No, you don't need to do that. You use the certificate you've already
received to validate the request for the renewal.

Here's what you do.
1. Start up TQSLCert (Start/TrustedQSL/TQSLCert usually starts this.
You may have a desktop icon you can click to run it.)
2. Select your callsign from the list displayed under "tQSL
Certificates" by clicking on it.
3. Click on the "Certificate" menu, then "Renew Certificate".
4. You'll see the "Generate Certificate Request" dialog. Click "Next".
5. Select the validity date for QSOs with that callsign. It is *very
important* to leave the end date blank unless this is a callsign you no
longer hold. Click "Next".
6. Fill in your current address and click "Next".
7. Make sure your e-mail address is still correct and click "Next".
8. Enter the password for your new certificate (twice) and click
"Finish". This is a brand new password, which doesn't have to match the
password on your old certificate.
9. You'll see a "Save Request" dialog now. Save the renewal request
somewhere that you can find it later; it's going to save it as a
"callsign.tq5" file by default.
10. You'll see an "Enter Password" dialog asking for the password to
unlock the private key. You enter the password on your *old*
certificate next, not necessarily the password you gave in step 8
above. Notice that the icon for the new request has a slashed bar over
it to indicate that the request isn't for a valid certificate.
11. Once done, you'll see submission directions. Either e-mail the .tq5
file to LoTW, or upload it at the web site.
12. To do the web site upload, log in to LoTW and click "Upload file".

13. Browse for the file saved in step 9 above, and click "Upload File".
You should see the status displayed:
Started processing your Renewal Certificate Request.
For call sign: K1MU
For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
For QSOs not before: 1997-12-02 00:00:00
For QSOs not after:
Your renewal certificate request is accepted and awaiting
further processing.
You will receive the certificate after it has been created.
Your certificate request processing is completed.
14. Once LoTW is done with checking your certificate request, you'll
get an e-mail back with an attachment that has a .tq6 file containing
the certificate signed by LoTW. This gets loaded into TQSLCert:
15. Save the attachment file from your e-mail somewhere.
16. Start TQSLCert as above, and pick "File", "Load Certificate File".
Make sure the button next to "TQSL (.tq6) certificate file" is selected
and click "Next".
17. Browse for the saved .tq6 file and click "Open".
18. You'll then see the status from loading the new certificate. The
icon in TrustedQSL should no longer have the red slash mark/circle over it.

73,
-Rick

2053. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New Certificate ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 13:55:37 UTC
From: Gene Case
Topic: 2050 Message: 2053

Uh oh! What if I already send in a new request as if I were a new user? Will that mess me up? Also I moved the software from the computer in the house to one in the shack and the old certs. just didn't jive some how. Other than them being expired could there be any other problem? They are in the correct directory(folder)

Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:At 10:07 AM 4/20/2005, obrienaj wrote:

>Call me dumb, or perhaps just impatient, but I find the LOTW process to
>be confsing and not particularly customer friendly (help files). Can
>someone save me having to read the ARRL's help files AGAIN (I did read
>once today) and give me the simple version of how to get a new
>certificate?

See below. This is one of the things about the tQSL process that's very confusing.
Hopefully the below step-by-step directions will help.

>My old one expired 4-5 months ago. Do I have to go through the process
>like a brand new user , submit a request and wait for a code on a post
>card , or do I simply uses their little program and request a new
>certifcate that gets emailed to me ?

No, you don't need to do that. You use the certificate you've already received to validate the request for the renewal.

Here's what you do.
1. Start up TQSLCert (Start/TrustedQSL/TQSLCert usually starts this. You may have a desktop icon you can click to run it.)
2. Select your callsign from the list displayed under "tQSL Certificates" by clicking on it.
3. Click on the "Certificate" menu, then "Renew Certificate".
4. You'll see the "Generate Certificate Request" dialog. Click "Next".
5. Select the validity date for QSOs with that callsign. It is *very important* to leave the end date blank unless this is a callsign you no longer hold. Click "Next".
6. Fill in your current address and click "Next".
7. Make sure your e-mail address is still correct and click "Next".
8. Enter the password for your new certificate (twice) and click "Finish". This is a brand new password, which doesn't have to match the password on your old certificate.
9. You'll see a "Save Request" dialog now. Save the renewal request somewhere that you can find it later; it's going to save it as a "callsign.tq5" file by default.
10. You'll see an "Enter Password" dialog asking for the password to unlock the private key. You enter the password on your *old* certificate next, not necessarily the password you gave in step 8

above. Notice that the icon for the new request has a slashed bar over
it to indicate that the request isn't for a valid certificate.
11. Once done, you'll see submission directions. Either e-mail the .tq5
file to LoTW, or upload it at the web site.
12. To do the web site upload, log in to LoTW and click "Upload file".
13. Browse for the file saved in step 9 above, and click "Upload File".
You should see the status displayed:
Started processing your Renewal Certificate Request.
For call sign: K1MU
For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
For QSOs not before: 1997-12-02 00:00:00
For QSOs not after:
Your renewal certificate request is accepted and awaiting
further processing.
You will receive the certificate after it has been created.
Your certificate request processing is completed.
14. Once LoTW is done with checking your certificate request, you'll
get an e-mail back with an attachment that has a .tq6 file containing
the certificate signed by LoTW. This gets loaded into TQSLCert:
15. Save the attachment file from your e-mail somewhere.
16. Start TQSLCert as above, and pick "File", "Load Certificate File".
Make sure the button next to "TQSL (.tq6) certificate file" is selected
and click "Next".
17. Browse for the saved .tq6 file and click "Open".
18. You'll then see the status from loading the new certificate. The
icon in TrustedQSL should no longer have the red slash mark/circle over it.

73,
-Rick

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2054. LoTW Presentation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 14:15:35 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2054 Message: 2054

I've created and given a presentation on LoTW to our local clubs. Seemed to go over well and a good general interest.

Some of you may want to use this for clubs in your area.

Please feel free to download this presentation (.zip-power point) from my WEB site (below) and customize it as you see fit.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

2055. Programatically uploading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 14:53:15 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2055 Message: 2055

Any programmers out there wanting to colaberate on working out the methodology for uploading to LoTW transparently from a logging program?
Mike, NF4L

2056. Expired Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 22:39:08 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 2056 Message: 2056

One of my certificates expired while my computer was down due to hard drive failure. I reinstalled the LOTW software and everything works fine with my prime certificate. The certificate for my old call is now expired and I can find no way to update it. I thought that I could import the expired certificate and then update it but any attempt to do that fails and gives an error due to the expired certificate. Anybody got a clue where to go for here?

Another question: Once all of the QSO's are uploaded that will ever be on a particular certificate is it still necessary to keep the certificate alive in order to keep getting credits or is the certificates only function to upload QSO's? The old call in this case is a secondary cert under my present call's cert.

Tnx fer any help, Trav, WX0Y

2057. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-21 23:00:05 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2056 Message: 2057

An expired certificate is useless forever and cannot be updated. Another ridiculous design in my opinion. It is not all bad though. You must get a new certificate and you can do it form the TQSL Certificate file menu new certificate. Is not hard.

All of these questions about how to do these things are glaring proof that the whole design is too complex to ever make this system into a general use system. It won't happen the way it is now, especially since it supports ONLY DXCC.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of greyowl92000
> Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 5:39 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired Certificate
>
>
>
> One of my certificates expired while my computer was down due
> to hard drive failure. I reinstalled the LOTW software and
> everything works fine with my prime certificate. The
> certificate for my old call is now expired and I can find no
> way to update it. I thought that I could import the expired
> certificate and then update it but any attempt to do that
> fails and gives an error due to the expired certificate.
> Anybody got a clue where to go for here?
>
> Another question: Once all of the QSO's are uploaded that
> will ever be on a particular certificate is it still
> necessary to keep the certificate alive in order to keep
> getting credits or is the certificates only function to
> upload QSO's? The old call in this case is a secondary cert
> under my present call's cert.
>
> Tnx fer any help, Trav, WX0Y
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2058. Re: Programatically uploading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:01:05 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2055 Message: 2058

If by "uploading transparently", you mean automatically signing, encrypting, and transferring each QSO as it is logged (as DXKeeper does with eQSL.cc, for example), I don't believe the LotW infrastructure is designed to handle large numbers of single-QSO transactions. I have implemented a "two-click" upload in DXKeeper: the first click assembles a batch of QSOs not yet uploaded, and the second click signs, encrypts, and transfers this batch, conveying LotW's acceptance to the user. If this is of interest, I'm happy to share my experience in getting this to work.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
>
> Any programmers out there wanting to colaberate on working out the
> methodology for uploading to LoTW transparently from a logging program?
> Mike, NF4L

2059. simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 15:20:42 UTC
From: Gordon LaPoint
Topic: 2059 Message: 2059

I'm asking this for a friend, who has 20+ logbooks filled (paper) What is a simple logging program to use to get this into a format that can be uploaded to LOTW?
I use logger32 , which is way more that needed to just convert paper logs, and is hard to use for that purpose, the changing of date/time info is hard to use for that many contacts.

Thanks!!

--
Gordon - N1MGO
n1mgo@...

2060. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 15:52:52 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2059 Message: 2060

The DXLABS suite (using the DXKeeper program) would do this well. The hard part is entering the data. If the data is more than a couple of years old it is probably a useless exercise for the return. You will enter 100 QSO or more for every return.

http://www.qsl.net/dxlab

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gordon LaPoint
> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 10:21 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k
> or more paper log?
>
>
> I'm asking this for a friend, who has 20+ logbooks filled
> (paper) What is a simple logging program to use to get this
> into a format that can be uploaded to LOTW?
> I use logger32 , which is way more that needed to just
> convert paper logs, and is hard to use for that purpose, the
> changing of date/time info is hard to use for that many contacts.
>
> Thanks!!
>
>
> --
> Gordon - N1MGO
> n1mgo@...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

2061. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 15:54:22 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2059 Message: 2061

Gord,

Can't get much simpler than L32 for manual input. Just Ctrl-M and away you go. It remembers last input data so only need to make changes. Not all field need to be populated, only those that are needed (date, time, band, mode, call. RST will default to 59/599). Check the LoTW QSL box and the output will be ready to export for ARRL. The other benefit is that there will now be an L32 log.

The other way is to create an ADIF file using the LoTW TQSL CERT tool. Just select, Create New ADIF File and fill in the blanks, certify and send to LoTW. One could then import this into any logging program.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Gordon LaPoint
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 9:20 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

I'm asking this for a friend, who has 20+ logbooks filled (paper) What
is a simple logging program to use to get this into a format that can be
uploaded to LOTW?
I use logger32 , which is way more that needed to just convert paper
logs, and is hard to use for that purpose, the changing of date/time
info is hard to use for that many contacts.

Thanks!!

--
Gordon - N1MGO
n1mgo@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2062. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 16:01:50 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2059 Message: 2062

> If the data is more than a couple of years old it
> is probably a useless exercise for the return.

I entered my logs from KG6AQI (1965-1969), and got a surprising number of returns, considering that the last QSO on the call was 35 years ago.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2063. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 16:05:38 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2059 Message: 2063

I also got quite a few matches with QSOs made over 10 years ago

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 12:01 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

>
>
> > If the data is more than a couple of years old it
> > is probably a useless exercise for the return.
>
> I entered my logs from KG6AQI (1965-1969), and got a surprising number of
> returns, considering that the last QSO on the call was 35 years ago.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2064. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 16:48:47 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2059 Message: 2064

On the other hand, I have relatively few returns from my AD8I logs (mostly contest logs) from 25 to 30 years ago. Returns on the last five years are running 19% while the old QSOs are returning less than 0.5%.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 12:06 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with
> 20k or more paper log?
>
>
>
> I also got quite a few matches with QSOs made over 10 years ago
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

2065. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 17:18:16 UTC
From: Gordon LaPoint
Topic: 2059 Message: 2065

On Fri, 2005-04-22 at 11:54, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
> Gord,
>
> Can't get much simpler than L32 for manual input. Just Ctrl-M and away
> you go. It remembers last input data so only need to make changes. Not
> all field need to be populated, only those that are needed (date,
> time, band, mode, call. RST will default to 59/599). Check the LoTW
> QSL box and the output will be ready to export for ARRL. The other
> benefit is that there will now be an L32 log.
>
> The other way is to create an ADIF file using the LoTW TQSL CERT tool.
> Just select, Create New ADIF File and fill in the blanks, certify and
> send to LoTW. One could then import this into any logging program.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

To all,
Thanks for all the help and suggestions. I did not know about the
Ctrl-M in Logger32, and have used it for years, but never entered old
data with it!!

Will have Tom try logger32 and see how it goes.

--
Gordon - N1MGO
n1mgo@...

2066. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 17:46:30 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2059 Message: 2066

Was that GUAM at the time? You would get more if you are a somewhat rare entity but I meant for us Midwest common folk :-)
By the way, we worked June 26 , 1969 20M SSB and it was GUAM. The log does not match as a qsl though and my confirmation is with a KH2. Another problem with old QSOes on LotW :-)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 11:02 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with
> 20k or more paper log?
>
>
>
> > If the data is more than a couple of years old it is probably a
> > useless exercise for the return.
>
> I entered my logs from KG6AQI (1965-1969), and got a
> surprising number of
> returns, considering that the last QSO on the call was 35
> years ago.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

2067. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 17:57:29 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2059 Message: 2067

> Was that GUAM at the time? You would get more if you are a somewhat rare
> entity but I meant for us Midwest common folk :-)

Yes. It was Guam then. Now it's a ham in California.

> By the way, we worked June 26 , 1969 20M SSB and it was GUAM. The log does
> not match as a qsl though and my confirmation is with a KH2. Another
problem
> with old QSOes on LotW :-)

Currently entering Q's for 1967. Eventually you'll get a match, presuming
you're in my paper log in 1969.

73, de Hans, K0HB/ex-KG6AQI
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2068. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 18:08:44 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2059 Message: 2068

> By the way, we worked June 26 , 1969 20M SSB and it was GUAM.

Just checked the paper log. No K0MN, but I show a roundtable QSO on that date on 14225KC (before we had hurts) with W0RYM (Gil, Rochester), W8KVT (Ted, Dearborn) and W8SY (Norm, Detroit). Presuming your W0RYM logs are in LoTW, you'll eventually see a match.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2069. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-22 19:37:19 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2059 Message: 2069

It is W0MN but you are correct, it was W0RYM at that time. Your log matches mine and it is in LotW. I have had W0MN, W0RYM and AC0RYM during the Bicentennial. I did some operation from Alaska and some from Arkansas. I also had a few form Korea in 1959, thankfully no war at that time ! I ran AB4CF (Army Mars) for a while.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 1:09 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with
> 20k or more paper log?
>
>
> > By the way, we worked June 26 , 1969 20M SSB and it was GUAM.
>
> Just checked the paper log. No K0MN, but I show a roundtable
> QSO on that date on 14225KC (before we had hurts) with W0RYM
> (Gil, Rochester), W8KVT (Ted, Dearborn) and W8SY (Norm,
> Detroit). Presuming your W0RYM logs are in LoTW, you'll
> eventually see a match.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

2070. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:48:53 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2059 Message: 2070

If you un-check DXKeeper's "optimize for realtime logging" box, it defaults a newly-entered QSO's start date/time to the previously-entered QSO's end date/time plus 1 second; there are shortcut keys for incrementing the start date/time byhours, minutes, or seconds. If you log a QSO without specifying its end date/time, the end date/time is automatically set to the start date/time. Whether that's enough automation for your friend is obviously subjective; DXKeeper is free, so its easy enough to find out. www.qsl.net/dxlab .

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gordon LaPoint
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2005 11:21 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

I'm asking this for a friend, who has 20+ logbooks filled (paper) What is a simple logging program to use to get this into a format that can be uploaded to LOTW?
I use logger32 , which is way more that needed to just convert paper logs, and is hard to use for that purpose, the changing of date/time info is hard to use for that many contacts.

Thanks!!

--
Gordon - N1MGO
n1mgo@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

2071. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-23 05:39:05 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 2059 Message: 2071

Here is one other solution for entering old paper logs into an ADIF format acceptable for LoTW.

==========

I recently completed an Excel spreadsheet to quickly convert paper logs into ADIF format for easy uploading to LoTW or for importing into regular logging programs. There are similar programs around but my focus was to make this as fast as possible.

After the first QSO is entered, the spreadsheet will automatically enter the same Date, Band and Mode for the next QSO so you don't have to type that for each QSO. It will auto-complete the Time field with leading zeros so you can just type a "1" and it will log the time as "0001".

Since only the Band is important for LoTW, you need only type in the whole number of the frequency (e.g. "28" instead of "28.562"). And, you can just type in a "c" or "s" for CW or SSB or a "1" or "3" for A1a or A3a Modes. Or, you can type in any Mode that is accepted by LoTW.

Using this program I can enter paper logs six to eight times faster than by using a regular logging program. Especially when you make several contacts on the same Date, Band and Mode the entries go very fast.

Once you have entered the data, (maximum of 100 QSO's per run) the program will create an ADIF file that you can immediately upload to LoTW or import into a logging program. Or, you can combine it with other ADIF files in a word processor and upload the combined file all at once.

I have posted a description of this program on my web site along with a ZIP file containing the spreadsheet program and a Microsoft Word document with the full instructions. Basic instructions are in the spreadsheet as well.

Here is the link:

<http://www.w8tn.com/software.htm>

This spreadsheet was created with Microsoft Excel 97 so you will need a version of Excel that is no older than that. Also, you will need a program that can read .DOC files from Microsoft Word to read the full instructions.

If you find this program useful I would appreciate hearing about it. Otherwise, there is no charge, it is Freeware.

CUL, 73, Clark, W8TN

2072. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-23 13:46:02 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2059 Message: 2072

I have a similar Excel spreadsheet that I created for entering old
contest logs. It will handle a couple thousand entries at a time
as well as allowing entry of the contest exchange plus DXCC country
(important if you are going to import "old" calls to a "modern"
computer logging program).

Like Clark's program one needs to enter mode/band/date only when
they change and one only needs to enter changes in time (e.g., the
minute digit from xx:00 - xx:09).

I have not taken the time to write any documentation but I will
e-mail a copy of the spreadsheet to anyone who would like it.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Clark writes:

> Here is one other solution for entering old paper logs
> into an ADIF
> format acceptable for LoTW.
>
> ============================
>
> I recently completed an Excel spreadsheet to quickly
> convert paper logs into ADIF format for easy uploading to LoTW
> or for importing into regular logging programs. There are similar
> programs around but my focus was to make this as fast as possible.
>
> After the first QSO is entered, the spreadsheet will
> automatically enter the same Date, Band and Mode for the next
> QSO so you don't have to type that for each QSO. It will auto-
> complete the Time field with leading zeros so you can just type
> a "1" and it will log the time as "0001".
>
> Since only the Band is important for LoTW, you need
> only type in the whole number of the frequency (e.g. "28"
> instead of "28.562"). And, you can just type in a "c" or "s"
> for CW or SSB or a "1" or "3" for A1a or A3a Modes. Or, you
> can type in any Mode that is accepted by LoTW.
>
> Using this program I can enter paper logs six to eight
> times faster than by using a regular logging program. Especially
> when you make several contacts on the same Date, Band and Mode

> the entries go very fast.
>

2073. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] simple log entry ? for someone with 20k or more paper log?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-23 14:01:04 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2059 Message: 2073

I have a similar Excel spreadsheet that I created for entering old
contest logs. It will handle a couple thousand entries at a time
as well as allowing entry of the contest exchange plus DXCC country
(important if you are going to import "old" calls to a "modern"
computer logging program).

Like Clark's program one needs to enter mode/band/date only when
they change and one only needs to enter changes in time (e.g., the
minute digit from xx:00 - xx:09).

I have not taken the time to write any documentation but I will
e-mail a copy of the spreadsheet to anyone who would like it.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

Clark writes:

> Here is one other solution for entering old paper logs
> into an ADIF
> format acceptable for LoTW.
>
> ============================
>
> I recently completed an Excel spreadsheet to quickly
> convert paper logs into ADIF format for easy uploading to LoTW
> or for importing into regular logging programs. There are similar
> programs around but my focus was to make this as fast as possible.
>
> After the first QSO is entered, the spreadsheet will
> automatically enter the same Date, Band and Mode for the next
> QSO so you don't have to type that for each QSO. It will auto-
> complete the Time field with leading zeros so you can just type
> a "1" and it will log the time as "0001".
>
> Since only the Band is important for LoTW, you need
> only type in the whole number of the frequency (e.g. "28"
> instead of "28.562"). And, you can just type in a "c" or "s"
> for CW or SSB or a "1" or "3" for A1a or A3a Modes. Or, you
> can type in any Mode that is accepted by LoTW.
>
> Using this program I can enter paper logs six to eight
> times faster than by using a regular logging program. Especially
> when you make several contacts on the same Date, Band and Mode

> the entries go very fast.
>

2074. Re: Programatically uploading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-24 16:45:34 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2055 Message: 2074

Hi Dave -
Thanks for the response. I sent you an e-mail off-list, but in case
you didn't get it, no I don't want to do single Q's, but rather sign a
.adif file, then upload the .tq8.

When calling tqsl.exe from a dos box, it works fine, but when I call
it programatically, it tells me the .adif file can't be found.

I've read the cited RFC, and find it not to hold a lot of meaning for me.

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
>
> If by "uploading transparently", you mean automatically signing,
> encrypting, and transferring each QSO as it is logged (as DXKeeper
> does with eQSL.cc, for example), I don't believe the LotW
> infrastructure is designed to handle large numbers of single-QSO
> transactions. I have implemented a "two-click" upload in DXKeeper: the
> first click assembles a batch of QSOs not yet uploaded, and the second
> click signs, encrypts, and transfers this batch, conveying LotW's
> acceptance to the user. If this is of interest, I'm happy to share my
> experience in getting this to work.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> >
> > Any programmers out there wanting to colaberate on working out the
> > methodology for uploading to LoTW transparently from a logging
> program?
> > Mike, NF4L

2075. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Programatically uploading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-24 17:26:45 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2055 Message: 2075

Sorry, Mike, I did not receive your off-list email.

I've had no difficulty with command line invocation of TQSL. If its complaining about not finding the ADIF file, I'd be looking hard at the pathname. Feel free to send me the relevant code fragment, and I'll take a look.

If you want to automatically upload the signed .tq8 file to LotW, you will have to implement the client side of that RFC. In what language are you programming?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nf4lham
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 12:46 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Programatically uploading

Hi Dave -
Thanks for the response. I sent you an e-mail off-list, but in case you didn't get it, no I don't want to do single Q's, but rather sign a .adif file, then upload the .tq8.

When calling tqsl.exe from a dos box, it works fine, but when I call it programatically, it tells me the .adif file can't be found.

I've read the cited RFC, and find it not to hold a lot of meaning for me.

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
>
> If by "uploading transparently", you mean automatically signing,
> encrypting, and transferring each QSO as it is logged (as DXKeeper
> does with eQSL.cc, for example), I don't believe the LotW
> infrastructure is designed to handle large numbers of single-QSO
> transactions. I have implemented a "two-click" upload in DXKeeper: the
> first click assembles a batch of QSOs not yet uploaded, and the second
> click signs, encrypts, and transfers this batch, conveying LotW's
> acceptance to the user. If this is of interest, I'm happy to share my
> experience in getting this to work.
>
> 73,

>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> >
> > Any programmers out there wanting to colaberate on working out the
> > methodology for uploading to LoTW transparently from a logging
> program?
> > Mike, NF4L

Yahoo! Groups Links

2076. Downloading what you have uploaded ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-26 03:03:10 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2076 Message: 2076

Hi:
Anyone know if there is any way to download a report of
EVERYTHING you have uploaded to LoTW.

I know that I can download a report of all of the "Matching QSO's",
I do this twice a month for checking.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

2077. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Downloading what you have uploaded ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-26 10:14:39 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2076 Message: 2077

At 11:03 PM 4/25/2005, R Johnson wrote:

>Hi:
>Anyone know if there is any way to download a report of
>EVERYTHING you have uploaded to LoTW.
>
>I know that I can download a report of all of the "Matching QSO's",
>I do this twice a month for checking.

Use my report form at http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm to do this.
Enter your LoTW username and password. You may want to check off the
"Location Data" and "Owner callsign" buttons. Then, click submit.
That'll send a request to the LoTW site to download an ADIF file from
LoTW with all of your QSO records.

What this does is submit a query like:
<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?qso_query=1&login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD
&qso_qsl=no&qso_detail=yes&qso_withown=yes>

You could set up a bookmark with that URL (filling in the CALLSIGN and
PASSWORD, of course) and query LoTW directly.

I don't know why there's no simple report item on the LoTW site for
downloading everything like there is for downloading confirmations.
Seems it would be useful.
-Rick

2078. Re: Downloading what you have uploaded ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-26 15:43:18 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 2076 Message: 2078

Hi,

Seems to me that the problem with the ADIF download from LoTW is that is does not contain the Country number. This means that if, as requested below, you download all the QSOs you have uploaded (thousands), then you decide to import this into your logging program, you are forever going to be asked to confirm the country for all those non-unique prefixes. Some you will remember, but some special calls can be one of quite a gaggle e.g. GBxxxx which produces a choice of 7 DXCC entities!

Certainly, my logging program (and presumably all others) uploads the Country number, pity LoTW doesn't reciprocate.

Having said all that, could Rick's wee report form be modified to include the country number - or did I miss a trick somewhere?

Ivan G4BGW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...> wrote:
> At 11:03 PM 4/25/2005, R Johnson wrote:
>
> >Hi:
> >Anyone know if there is any way to download a report of
> >EVERYTHING you have uploaded to LoTW.
> >
> >I know that I can download a report of all of the "Matching QSO's",
> >I do this twice a month for checking.
>
> Use my report form at http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm to do this.
> Enter your LoTW username and password. You may want to check off the
> "Location Data" and "Owner callsign" buttons. Then, click submit.
> That'll send a request to the LoTW site to download an ADIF file from
> LoTW with all of your QSO records.
>
> What this does is submit a query like:
> <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?qso_query=1&login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_qsl=no&qso_detail=yes&qso_withown=yes>
>
> You could set up a bookmark with that URL (filling in the CALLSIGN and

> PASSWORD, of course) and query LoTW directly.
>
> I don't know why there's no simple report item on the LoTW site for
> downloading everything like there is for downloading confirmations.
> Seems it would be useful.
> -Rick

2079. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Downloading what you have uploaded ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-27 23:50:09 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2076 Message: 2079

At 11:43 AM 4/26/2005, Ivan Wilson wrote:

>Hi,
>
>Seems to me that the problem with the ADIF download from LoTW is that
>is does not contain the Country number. This means that if, as
>requested below, you download all the QSOs you have uploaded
>(thousands), then you decide to import this into your logging
>program, you are forever going to be asked to confirm the country for
>all those non-unique prefixes. Some you will remember, but some
>special calls can be one of quite a gaggle e.g. GBxxxx which produces
>a choice of 7 DXCC entities!
>
>Certainly, my logging program (and presumably all others) uploads the
>Country number, pity LoTW doesn't reciprocate.
>
>Having said all that, could Rick's wee report form be modified to
>include the country number - or did I miss a trick somewhere?

I've looked around and don't see a way to do that for all records.
The LoTW report specification says that it'll only include the DXCC
entity number in the ADIF export file if the QSO is confirmed.
Moreover, you can't query for QSOs in a particular entity - you can
only select those for records where there's a QSL. The same is true for
the sone numbers, IOTA number, gridsquare, and QTH info - it's only
available for confirmed contacts.

I can understand the rationale here - you upload a callsign, date, and
time. It's not until it's confirmed by a station that says "I was in
entity foo" that the system knows for sure where the station is located.

I use DX4WIN; it's able to get the country right for downloads because
the callsign exceptions are stored in a separate database from the
logbook.

Sorry, guess you're not going to get the entity info.
-Rick

2080. Re: Downloading what you have uploaded ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-04-28 23:42:58 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2076 Message: 2080

Ivan -
I agree . I'm amazed at the number of contest and general logging
programs that do not export the country number. That is the greatest
strength of the ADIF format, and one of (IMHO) the chief reasons for
it's invention.
Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@o...> wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> Seems to me that the problem with the ADIF download from LoTW is that
> is does not contain the Country number. This means that if, as
> requested below, you download all the QSOs you have uploaded
> (thousands), then you decide to import this into your logging
> program, you are forever going to be asked to confirm the country for
> all those non-unique prefixes. Some you will remember, but some
> special calls can be one of quite a gaggle e.g. GBxxxx which produces
> a choice of 7 DXCC entities!
>
> Certainly, my logging program (and presumably all others) uploads the
> Country number, pity LoTW doesn't reciprocate.
>
> Having said all that, could Rick's wee report form be modified to
> include the country number - or did I miss a trick somewhere?
>
> Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
> wrote:
> > At 11:03 PM 4/25/2005, R Johnson wrote:
> >
> > >Hi:
> > >Anyone know if there is any way to download a report of
> > >EVERYTHING you have uploaded to LoTW.
> > >
> > >I know that I can download a report of all of the "Matching QSO's",
> > >I do this twice a month for checking.
> >
> > Use my report form at http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm to do
> this.
> > Enter your LoTW username and password. You may want to check off
> the

> > "Location Data" and "Owner callsign" buttons. Then, click submit.
> > That'll send a request to the LoTW site to download an ADIF file
> from
> > LoTW with all of your QSO records.
> >
> > What this does is submit a query like:
> > <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> qso_query=1&login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_qsl=no&qso_detail=yes
> &qso_withown=yes>
> >
> > You could set up a bookmark with that URL (filling in the CALLSIGN
> and
> > PASSWORD, of course) and query LoTW directly.
> >
> > I don't know why there's no simple report item on the LoTW site for
> > downloading everything like there is for downloading confirmations.
> > Seems it would be useful.
> > -Rick

2081. Some yearly and quarterly LoTW results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-01 20:22:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2081 Message: 2081

I've been pretty lucky, dxcc on a couple of modes.
And over all, about 60 band/mode qsl's I'd been unable to obtain via postal means.
Thanks to all.

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotw2005mnthyr.doc

https://home.comcast.net/~w9ol/reports/lotwmnthyr.doc

You need a Lifestyle change if: Your boat has not left the driveway in 15 years.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2082. Re: Expired Certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-02 01:39:38 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 2056 Message: 2082

Tnx for help - I applied for new certificate on the expired call and the certificate now showa that it has been renewed. Can't figure how that happened but I will take it!

Trav, WX0Y

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> An expired certificate is useless forever and cannot be updated. Another
> ridiculous design in my opinion. It is not all bad though. You must get a
> new certificate and you can do it form the TQSL Certificate file menu new
> certificate. Is not hard.
>
> All of these questions about how to do these things are glaring proof that
> the whole design is too complex to ever make this system into a general use
> system. It won't happen the way it is now, especially since it supports ONLY
> DXCC.
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of greyowl92000
> > Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 5:39 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Expired Certificate
> >
> >
> >
> > One of my certificates expired while my computer was down due
> > to hard drive failure. I reinstalled the LOTW software and
> > everything works fine with my prime certificate. The
> > certificate for my old call is now expired and I can find no
> > way to update it. I thought that I could import the expired
> > certificate and then update it but any attempt to do that
> > fails and gives an error due to the expired certificate.
> > Anybody got a clue where to go for here?
> >

> > Another question: Once all of the QSO's are uploaded that
> > will ever be on a particular certificate is it still
> > necessary to keep the certificate alive in order to keep
> > getting credits or is the certificates only function to
> > upload QSO's? The old call in this case is a secondary cert
> > under my present call's cert.
> >
> > Tnx fer any help, Trav, WX0Y
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2083. received today HZ1IK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-02 12:26:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2083 Message: 2083

HZ1IK via LoTW
received the LoTW qsl within 24 hours of the qso.
Thank you Manfred.

If it weren't for the last minute, nothing would get done.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2084. Posting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-03 15:44:22 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 2084 Message: 2084

Hi'

What is the correct data to post into the SAT, MODE, and SUBMODE fields for a contact via the ISS using APRS? I'm receiving error messages back from LOTW saying that APRS is not a correct submode for these contacts.

Thanks in advance

73Phil k1ico

2085. WAS When????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-05 19:42:41 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 2085 Message: 2085

O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled out. When are we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX stations using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a WAS award implementation would be a real benefit.

JMHO

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

2086. CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-06 13:57:01 UTC
From: Les Rayburn
Topic: 2086 Message: 2086

The article announcing the CQ DX Marathon makes it
pretty clear that CQ Magazine is in talks with the ARRL
about using LoTW for that award (if it can be implemented
before Jan. 1, 2006)

So perhaps the league will get off it's duff and overall the
system to include both WAS and CQ awards. I know that
resources are limited, but it appears that the league only
started LoTW to prevent e-qsl and other services from
leaving them behind.

If they don't intend to put the necessary resources behind it,
then they should let the private sector handle it, and just
certify the systems (like e-qsl) so that they can be
accepted for ARRL awards.

Other than RTTY and digital ops, DX usage of LoTW
is minimal...and WAS credit would certainly help that.

73,

Les Rayburn, N1LF

Les Rayburn, director
High Noon Film
100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
205.824.8930
205.824.8960 FAX
205.253.4867 CELL
http://www.highnoonfilm.com
205.253.4867
----- Original Message -----
From: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 6:16 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 322

> There is 1 message in this issue.
>
> Topics in this digest:
>
> 1. WAS When????
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
>
>
> _____

> --
>
> Message: 1
> Date: Thu, 05 May 2005 19:42:41 -0000
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> Subject: WAS When????
>
> O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled out. When are
> we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought
> the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem
> worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX stations
> using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a WAS award
> implementation would be a real benefit.
>
> JMHO
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
> --
> --
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
>

2087. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-06 22:34:47 UTC
From: Dave Cole
Topic: 2086 Message: 2087

Perhaps this is the reason for the server change... ;)

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly, its pets, and
its prisoners

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Les Rayburn
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 06:57
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

The article announcing the CQ DX Marathon makes it
pretty clear that CQ Magazine is in talks with the ARRL
about using LoTW for that award (if it can be implemented
before Jan. 1, 2006)

So perhaps the league will get off it's duff and overall the
system to include both WAS and CQ awards. I know that
resources are limited, but it appears that the league only
started LoTW to prevent e-qsl and other services from
leaving them behind.

If they don't intend to put the necessary resources behind it,
then they should let the private sector handle it, and just
certify the systems (like e-qsl) so that they can be
accepted for ARRL awards.

Other than RTTY and digital ops, DX usage of LoTW
is minimal...and WAS credit would certainly help that.

73,

Les Rayburn, N1LF

Les Rayburn, director
High Noon Film
100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
205.824.8930
205.824.8960 FAX
205.253.4867 CELL

http://www.highnoonfilm.com
205.253.4867
----- Original Message -----
From: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 6:16 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 322

> There is 1 message in this issue.
>
> Topics in this digest:
>
> 1. WAS When????
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
>
>
> ____
> ____
>
> Message: 1
> Date: Thu, 05 May 2005 19:42:41 -0000
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> Subject: WAS When????
>
> O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled out. When are
> we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought
> the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem
> worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX stations
> using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a WAS award
> implementation would be a real benefit.
>
> JMHO
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
> ____
> ____
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

> --
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2088. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-06 22:45:22 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2086 Message: 2088

It surely would but don't hold your breath until it happens, that can be fatal.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole
> Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 5:35 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW
>
> Perhaps this is the reason for the server change... ;)
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly,
> its pets, and its prisoners
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> Behalf Of Les Rayburn
> Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 06:57
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW
>
>
> The article announcing the CQ DX Marathon makes it pretty
> clear that CQ Magazine is in talks with the ARRL about using
> LoTW for that award (if it can be implemented before Jan. 1, 2006)
>
> So perhaps the league will get off it's duff and overall the
> system to include both WAS and CQ awards. I know that
> resources are limited, but it appears that the league only
> started LoTW to prevent e-qsl and other services from leaving
> them behind.
>
> If they don't intend to put the necessary resources behind
> it, then they should let the private sector handle it, and
> just certify the systems (like e-qsl) so that they can be

> accepted for ARRL awards.
>
> Other than RTTY and digital ops, DX usage of LoTW is
> minimal...and WAS credit would certainly help that.
>
> 73,
>
> Les Rayburn, N1LF
>
>
>
>
>
> Les Rayburn, director
> High Noon Film
> 100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
> Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
> 205.824.8930
> 205.824.8960 FAX
> 205.253.4867 CELL
> http://www.highnoonfilm.com
> 205.253.4867
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 6:16 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 322
>
>
> > There is 1 message in this issue.
> >
> > Topics in this digest:
> >
> > 1. WAS When????
> > From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> >
> >
> >
> ____
> > __
> >
> ____
> > __
> >
> > Message: 1
> > Date: Thu, 05 May 2005 19:42:41 -0000
> > From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> > Subject: WAS When????
> >
> > O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled

> out. When are
> > we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought
> > the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem
> > worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX
> stations
> > using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a
> WAS award
> > implementation would be a real benefit.
> >
> > JMHO
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > --
> >
> --
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2089. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-06 23:21:18 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2086 Message: 2089

LoTW just delivered a 1969 QSL to KG6AQI from some W-ZERO in Minnesota.

Sunuvagun!

de Hans, K0HB/ex-KG6AQI
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: rojomn <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 5/6/2005 10:45:25 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW
>
> It surely would but don't hold your breath until it happens, that can be
> fatal.
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole
> > Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 5:35 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW
> >
> > Perhaps this is the reason for the server change... ;)
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dave
> > NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> > http://www.nk7z.net
> >
> > The truest measure of a society is how it treats its elderly,
> > its pets, and its prisoners
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> > Behalf Of Les Rayburn
> > Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 06:57
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW
> >
> >

> > The article announcing the CQ DX Marathon makes it pretty
> > clear that CQ Magazine is in talks with the ARRL about using
> > LoTW for that award (if it can be implemented before Jan. 1, 2006)
> >
> > So perhaps the league will get off it's duff and overall the
> > system to include both WAS and CQ awards. I know that
> > resources are limited, but it appears that the league only
> > started LoTW to prevent e-qsl and other services from leaving
> > them behind.
> >
> > If they don't intend to put the necessary resources behind
> > it, then they should let the private sector handle it, and
> > just certify the systems (like e-qsl) so that they can be
> > accepted for ARRL awards.
> >
> > Other than RTTY and digital ops, DX usage of LoTW is
> > minimal...and WAS credit would certainly help that.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Les Rayburn, N1LF
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Les Rayburn, director
> > High Noon Film
> > 100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
> > Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
> > 205.824.8930
> > 205.824.8960 FAX
> > 205.253.4867 CELL
> > http://www.highnoonfilm.com
> > 205.253.4867
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 6:16 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 322
> >
> >
> > > There is 1 message in this issue.
> > >
> > > Topics in this digest:
> > >
> > > 1. WAS When????
> > > From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > _____
> > > __
> > >
> > _____
> > > __
> > >
> > > Message: 1
> > > Date: Thu, 05 May 2005 19:42:41 -0000
> > > From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> > > Subject: WAS When????
> > >
> > > O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled
> > out. When are
> > > we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought
> > > the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem
> > > worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX
> > stations
> > > using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a
> > WAS award
> > > implementation would be a real benefit.
> > >
> > > JMHO
> > >
> > > Duffy
> > > www.wb8nut.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > _____
> > > __
> > >
> > _____
> > > __
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ---
> > > --
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ---
> > > --

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2090. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-07 03:44:13 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2086 Message: 2090

At 09:57 5/6/2005, you wrote:
>The article announcing the CQ DX Marathon makes it
>pretty clear that CQ Magazine is in talks with the ARRL
>about using LoTW for that award (if it can be implemented
>before Jan. 1, 2006)

I read the write up for the new CQ DX Awards.
First, I commend CQ for their initiative in trying to promote
increased DX activity.

Second, I doubt that the ARRL & LoTW is capable of responding
by the 1/1/06 start time.
This is MY guess based on past LoTW performance !!!

>So perhaps the league will get off it's duff and overall the
>system to include both WAS and CQ awards. I know that
>resources are limited, but it appears that the league only
>started LoTW to prevent e-qsl and other services from
>leaving them behind.

Don't forget the ARRL WAC Award. That should be the easiest to
implement.

After DXCC and WAC, you start running into problems with missing
"Station Data" like missing Grid and CQ Zone that will be needed
for the "CQ DX Field Award" and the "CQ DX Marathon Award" !!!

Currently I'M getting a missing data rate of: Grid 14.5%, ITUZ 21.7%
and CQZ 14.2% !!! Everyone has THAT data, but a lot didn't bother to
include it in their TQSL Setup.

I think LoTW is going to have a lot more problems with Non-ARRL
Awards than they think. Like Cancer, if you ignore the warning signs
long enough you end up 6 feet under.

>If they don't intend to put the necessary resources behind it,
>then they should let the private sector handle it, and just
>certify the systems (like e-qsl) so that they can be
>accepted for ARRL awards.

That seems like a reasonable approach. Seeing that the ARRL only
represents about 20% of the U.S. Hams, maybe another organization,
not ARRL or eQSL, could put the whole show together in some form
acceptable to both the ARRL and the rest of the WORLD Awards.

The ARRL currently seems to be suffering form "Not Invented Here"
and "The Dog in the Manger" syndrome.

Maybe it could also be said that LoTW suffers "Extreme Constipation",
that is they don't seem to be able to get anything out !!!

>
>
>Other than RTTY and digital ops, DX usage of LoTW
>is minimal...and WAS credit would certainly help that.

Most definitely !!!

>73,
>
>Les Rayburn, N1LF

73
Bob, K1VU

>Les Rayburn, director
>High Noon Film
>100 Centerview Drive Suite 111
>Birmingham, AL 35216-3748
>205.824.8930
>205.824.8960 FAX
>205.253.4867 CELL
>http://www.highnoonfilm.com
>205.253.4867
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Friday, May 06, 2005 6:16 AM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 322
>
>
>> There is 1 message in this issue.
>>
>> Topics in this digest:
>>
>> 1. WAS When????
>> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
>>
>>
>> ____
>> ____
>>
>> Message: 1
>> Date: Thu, 05 May 2005 19:42:41 -0000
>> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
>> Subject: WAS When????
>>
>> O.K., we're coming up on two years since LOTW was rolled out. When are
>> we going to get support for WAS awards? Geez, I would have thought
>> the DXCC part would be a harder implementation and it does not seem

>> worth it because from what I can see, there are very few DX stations
>> using the system. Most users appear to be US which means a WAS award
>> implementation would be a real benefit.
>>
>> JMHO
>>
>> Duffy
>> www.wb8nut.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2091. Re: CQ DX Marathon & LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-07 07:31:28 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 2086 Message: 2091

Ummm, why would LoTW need to support the DX Marathon? Rule 6 states that QSL's are not required. In reading the rules, it appears that the only way to submit is electronically via a "downloadable entry matrix" which I don't think is available yet. Any support for automating this should lie with the logging program developers I would think.

I could see native LoTW support for the CQ DX Field award, but as of right now, you CAN use LoTW data for this award:
---
14. Participants in the ARRL Logbook of The World (LoTW) program may use a screen printout instead of cards to claim credit for fields represented by single-field entities. A copy of the LoTW printout must be sent to the Award Manager with the application. This printout will be retained by the Award Manager for future reference. Please list fields, in alphabetical order AA-RR, that are represented by LoTW credits and each call on your CQ DX Field Award application.

Perhaps the notion of how LoTW will be used to support non-ARRL awards needs to be addressed. From a pure business standpoint, the ARRL should not have to subsidize another group or organizations award requirement verification. What LoTW provides is a secure database of confirmed QSO's that should be able to be used for any award. The problem is to determine how others can access that information to verify the QSO's. With ARRL awards, there is no problem, but with other awards how do you provide secure verification? IMHO a good solution would be for the ARRL to provide - at nominal cost - a "certified" printout of verified LoTW QSO's. Think of your logging program and you do a SQL sort to produce a log extract, i.e. 20m CW QSO's. For example, you log into the LoTW system and navigate to a "Award Support Screen" and select the applicable award you wish to apply for. Click a button to confirm you want that certified extract and the "cost" could be deducted from your LoTW account. Then a extract is printed out, certified by whatever method the ARRL proscribes and then it is mailed to you. Once you get it, submit it with your award application instead of the traditional QSL cards. This should not be to daunting of a programming task. Note to LoTW Staff: for this service don't charge per QSO, just a flat fee of a buck or two to cover the costs.

As far as tracking other non ARRL awards, c'mon - if we are uploading to LoTW then we are all using some form of logging program that more than likely tracks all of this stuff for us - and if not, maybe it is time to move to another program! But I do think that LoTW should have native tracking for DXCC (which it does), WAS and VUCC. WAC is

technically a IARU award that can be issued by any IARU member society, the ARRL just happens to the the issuing authority for U.S. (or others without a national society) - so honestly, I don't see the need for LoTW to track it.

73

Dennis - WB0WAO

2092. Programming for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-17 19:18:07 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2092 Message: 2092

Hi group -
Anyone know how to get in touch with a programmer on the LoTW team?
I'd like to know if the station location is accessible programatically.
The e-mail address on the site seems to be for problems with LoTW.
Thanks
Mike NF4L

2093. Re: Programming for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-17 20:34:50 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2092 Message: 2093

LotW developers monitor this reflector, and will also respond to
messages sent to LotW email.

Yes, station location information is programmatically accessible via
the TQSL library, which is available via
http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ . You might also want to
look at http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ for context.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> Hi group -
> Anyone know how to get in touch with a programmer on the LoTW team?
> I'd like to know if the station location is accessible
programatically.
> The e-mail address on the site seems to be for problems with LoTW.
> Thanks
> Mike NF4L

2094. Re: Programming for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-18 20:21:41 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2092 Message: 2094

Hi again Dave -
Thanks for the tip. I'll take a look there, and maybe one of the guys
will contact me.
73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> LotW developers monitor this reflector, and will also respond to
> messages sent to LotW email.
>
> Yes, station location information is programmatically accessible via
> the TQSL library, which is available via
> http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ . You might also want to
> look at http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ for context.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> > Hi group -
> > Anyone know how to get in touch with a programmer on the LoTW team?
> > I'd like to know if the station location is accessible
> programatically.
> > The e-mail address on the site seems to be for problems with LoTW.
> > Thanks
> > Mike NF4L

2095. Re: Programming for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-18 20:31:47 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2092 Message: 2095

Dave -
Did you mean for these URLs to be the same?
Mike
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> LotW developers monitor this reflector, and will also respond to
> messages sent to LotW email.
>
> Yes, station location information is programmatically accessible via
> the TQSL library, which is available via
> http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ . You might also want to
> look at http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ for context.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> > Hi group -
> > Anyone know how to get in touch with a programmer on the LoTW team?
> > I'd like to know if the station location is accessible
> programatically.
> > The e-mail address on the site seems to be for problems with LoTW.
> > Thanks
> > Mike NF4L

2096. Re: Programming for LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-18 22:58:14 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2092 Message: 2096

Sorry, the second URL was meant to be

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/DeveloperIntro.pdf

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> Dave -
> Did you mean for these URLs to be the same?
> Mike
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...>
wrote:
> > LotW developers monitor this reflector, and will also respond to
> > messages sent to LotW email.
> >
> > Yes, station location information is programmatically accessible
via
> > the TQSL library, which is available via
> > http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ . You might also
want to
> > look at http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/ for context.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "nf4lham" <nf4l@p...> wrote:
> > > Hi group -
> > > Anyone know how to get in touch with a programmer on the LoTW
team?
> > > I'd like to know if the station location is accessible
> > programatically.
> > > The e-mail address on the site seems to be for problems with
LoTW.
> > > Thanks
> > > Mike NF4L

2097. My LoTW goodies

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-19 11:08:50 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2097 Message: 2097

Here is a list of the all time new band/mode qsl's I received DXCC/Challenge credit via LoTW.
In many of these entity/band/mode qso's, I've worked multiple stations with no success in obtaining the needed confirmations.
But these good people did confirm via LoTW.

Some of these band/mode confirmations I have spent a bit of time/money on trying to obtain via managers, bureau, direct etc.

Most of these were credited to my Challenge totals.

Dream Total= All entities, on all HF bands, on all 3 modes, cw, ssb, digital.
Present total= 5182 worked, 4965 confirmed.

one of these should be good on your screen.
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/w9ol_alltimenewband-mode.doc

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/w9ol_alltimenewband-mode.rtf

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/w9ol_alltimenewband-mode.txt

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/w9ol_default.htm

(please let me know which of these file types looked best on your screen)

All reports created with DXBase2006 using WA6AXE created reports.
If your a data junky like me, than DXBase2006 will sate your appetite.

"Most of my cliches aren't original." Chuck Knox

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2098. tQSL certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-20 16:08:57 UTC
From: Aurelio da Costa
Topic: 2098 Message: 2098

Though my current certificate is valid until Oct. 2005 I must have done something wrong when I tried to restore it after a crash in my PC, because it shows as being expired now. Can someone please let me know how I can fix this problem? I have already downloaded the new 1.11 version of the TrustedQSL software.
73, Leo CT1CSN

2099. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] tQSL certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-20 16:21:46 UTC
From: Rod Ingram
Topic: 2098 Message: 2099

Leo I had a very similiar problem when I lost my hard drive. I had failed to keep a back up copy of my new certificate. Had the old one on a CD and boy did I get it messed up. I also had failed to keep a copy of the postcard password. Thankfully the ARRL helped me clean it all up but everything I did just messed it up more.

Thanks to the ARRL it is now up and running again.... My suggestion to you is to talk with them as they will help you out in a big way.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Aurelio da Costa
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tQSL certificate

Though my current certificate is valid until Oct. 2005 I must have done
something wrong when I tried to restore it after a crash in my PC, because
it shows as being expired now. Can someone please let me know how I can fix
this problem? I have already downloaded the new 1.11 version of the
TrustedQSL software.
73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2100. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] tQSL certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-21 09:46:27 UTC
From: Aurelio da Costa
Topic: 2098 Message: 2100

I followed your suggestion and contacted ARRL. I was most surprised with their prompt answer to my problem. Thanks to them everything is OK with my LOTW.
73, Leo CT1CSN
----- Original Message -----
From: Rod Ingram
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 5:21 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] tQSL certificate

Leo I had a very similiar problem when I lost my hard drive. I had failed to keep a back up copy of my new certificate. Had the old one on a CD and boy did I get it messed up. I also had failed to keep a copy of the postcard password. Thankfully the ARRL helped me clean it all up but everything I did just messed it up more.

Thanks to the ARRL it is now up and running again.... My suggestion to you is to talk with them as they will help you out in a big way.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Aurelio da Costa
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tQSL certificate

Though my current certificate is valid until Oct. 2005 I must have done
something wrong when I tried to restore it after a crash in my PC, because
it shows as being expired now. Can someone please let me know how I can fix
this problem? I have already downloaded the new 1.11 version of the
TrustedQSL software.
73, Leo CT1CSN

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2101. tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 17:42:30 UTC
From: wb4mnk
Topic: 2101 Message: 2101

The computer that I am using is messed up and I am going to have to format the hard drive and wanted to know how to handle the LOTW programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using DXKeeper to upload and download the LOTW information for the log. I am not sure what to save where I can put things back as they are. Any help would be great.

73
Art
WB4MNK

2102. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:00:29 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2101 Message: 2102

Art,

Save your current certificates as *.p12 files
(use the certificate | save option in tQSLcert).

After you have wiped the disk and reinstalled Windows, install
the current version of tQSL (1.11).

After installing tQSL, run tQSLCert and use File | Load Certificate
File to reload the certificates (*.p12) files you created in the
beginning.

You will need to run tQSL to manually recreate your "locations"
(Station | Add Location).

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb4mnk
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:43 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
>
>
> The computer that I am using is messed up and I am going to have to
> format the hard drive and wanted to know how to handle the LOTW
> programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using DXKeeper to upload and
> download the LOTW information for the log. I am not sure what to save
> where I can put things back as they are. Any help would be great.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

2103. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:31:05 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2101 Message: 2103

I think he will want to save (*.p12) to a floppy or cd
before wiping the hard drive.

Randy
AG8A

--- "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...> wrote:
>
> Art,
>
> Save your current certificates as *.p12 files
> (use the certificate | save option in tQSLcert).
>
> After you have wiped the disk and reinstalled
> Windows, install
> the current version of tQSL (1.11).
>
> After installing tQSL, run tQSLCert and use File |
> Load Certificate
> File to reload the certificates (*.p12) files you
> created in the
> beginning.
>
> You will need to run tQSL to manually recreate your
> "locations"
> (Station | Add Location).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> wb4mnk
> > Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:43 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
> >
> >
> > The computer that I am using is messed up and I am
> going to have to
> > format the hard drive and wanted to know how to

> handle the LOTW
> > programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using
> DXKeeper to upload and
> > download the LOTW information for the log. I am
> not sure what to save
> > where I can put things back as they are. Any help
> would be great.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Yahoo! Mail
Stay connected, organized, and protected. Take the tour:
http://tour.mail.yahoo.com/mailtour.html

2104. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:46:37 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2101 Message: 2104

That goes without saying if he's going to wipe the hard drive.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rlb
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 2:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
>
>
> I think he will want to save (*.p12) to a floppy or cd
> before wiping the hard drive.
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
> --- "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@...> wrote:
> >
> > Art,
> >
> > Save your current certificates as *.p12 files
> > (use the certificate | save option in tQSLcert).
> >
> > After you have wiped the disk and reinstalled
> > Windows, install
> > the current version of tQSL (1.11).
> >
> > After installing tQSL, run tQSLCert and use File |
> > Load Certificate
> > File to reload the certificates (*.p12) files you
> > created in the
> > beginning.
> >
> > You will need to run tQSL to manually recreate your
> > "locations"
> > (Station | Add Location).
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, K4IK
> >
> >

> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> > wb4mnk
> > > Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:43 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
> > >
> > >
> > > The computer that I am using is messed up and I am
> > going to have to
> > > format the hard drive and wanted to know how to
> > handle the LOTW
> > > programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using
> > DXKeeper to upload and
> > > download the LOTW information for the log. I am
> > not sure what to save
> > > where I can put things back as they are. Any help
> > would be great.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Art
> > > WB4MNK
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Mail
> Stay connected, organized, and protected. Take the tour:
> http://tour.mail.yahoo.com/mailtour.html
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

2105. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 19:05:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2101 Message: 2105

Good advice but I have to think there is an easy way to save the locations too. I don't think they are encrypted and probably saving the directory that has the tqsl would do it? I don't know but there must be a way, or at least there ought to be!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, K4IK
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:00 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: 'wb4mnk'
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
>
>
> Art,
>
> Save your current certificates as *.p12 files
> (use the certificate | save option in tQSLcert).
>
> After you have wiped the disk and reinstalled Windows,
> install the current version of tQSL (1.11).
>
> After installing tQSL, run tQSLCert and use File | Load
> Certificate File to reload the certificates (*.p12) files you
> created in the beginning.
>
> You will need to run tQSL to manually recreate your "locations"
> (Station | Add Location).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb4mnk
> > Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:43 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

> >
> >
> > The computer that I am using is messed up and I am going to have to
> > format the hard drive and wanted to know how to handle the LOTW
> > programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using DXKeeper to
> upload and
> > download the LOTW information for the log. I am not sure
> what to save
> > where I can put things back as they are. Any help would be great.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2106. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:47:26 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2101 Message: 2106

At 03:05 PM 5/23/2005, rojomn wrote:
>Good advice but I have to think there is an easy way to save the locations
>too. I don't think they are encrypted and probably saving the
>directory that
>has the tqsl would do it? I don't know but there must be a way, or at
>least
>there ought to be!

You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.

The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my machine it's in
C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application Data\TrustedQSL\station_data
(where 'rmurphy' is my username, of course. This is on Windows XP).
You could probably save this file and restore it to your new hard drive.
Actually, I'd backup the certs as recommended earlier, then backup them
with the entire TrustedQSL data folder onto a floppy or jump drive.
After reformatting, reinstall TrustedQSL then restore the data
directory and you'll probably have everything back in place.
I'm not willing to be the guinea pig on this, though. :-)

If you back up your data onto a CD-R, remember to mark the files as not
"Read Only" after restoring them, otherwise you'll have problems later.
-Rick

2107. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:31:00 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2101 Message: 2107

You might also fire up the DXLab Launcher; it can save your DXKeeper
setup to a file, which you can restore after re-installing everything.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
> The computer that I am using is messed up and I am going to have to
> format the hard drive and wanted to know how to handle the LOTW
> programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using DXKeeper to upload and
> download the LOTW information for the log. I am not sure what to save
> where I can put things back as they are. Any help would be great.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK

2108. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:06:41 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2101 Message: 2108

Rick (K1MU) writes:

> You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
>
> The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> machine it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username,
> of course. This is on Windows XP).
> You could probably save this file and restore it to your new
> hard drive.

You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
However, since the "Application Data" directory is normally a
hidden/system directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems
it is something beyond a "safe" recommendation.

TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
software.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

2109. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:30:42 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2101 Message: 2109

Actually hidden files are an obscenity in the design of windows in my
opinion! The default ought to be visible and so shod the file suffixes.
Making both hidden is an abomination that causes more harm than good.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, K4IK
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 5:07 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl
>
>
> Rick (K1MU) writes:
>
> > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> >
> > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> machine it's
> > in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username, of
> > course. This is on Windows XP).
> > You could probably save this file and restore it to your new hard
> > drive.
>
> You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
> However, since the "Application Data" directory is normally a
> hidden/system directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems
> it is something beyond a "safe" recommendation.
>
> TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the
> station location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the
> design of the
> software.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2110. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 01:17:20 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 2101 Message: 2110

Thanks to everyone for all of the suggestions. With out all of the
replys I would have missed some important things. Thanks again.

73
Art
WB4MNK

Dave Bernstein wrote:

>You might also fire up the DXLab Launcher; it can save your DXKeeper
>setup to a file, which you can restore after re-installing everything.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
> > The computer that I am using is messed up and I am going to have to
> > format the hard drive and wanted to know how to handle the LOTW
> > programs TQSL and TQSLCert. I have been using DXKeeper to upload and
> > download the LOTW information for the log. I am not sure what to save
> > where I can put things back as they are. Any help would be great.
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
>
>
>
>--
>*Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>
>--

>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 266.11.15 - Release Date: 5/22/2005
>
>

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 266.11.15 - Release Date: 5/22/2005

2111. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 02:55:27 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2101 Message: 2111

At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:

>Rick (K1MU) writes:
>
> > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> >
> > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> > machine it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username,
> > of course. This is on Windows XP).
> > You could probably save this file and restore it to your new
> > hard drive.
>
>You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
>However, since the "Application Data" directory is normally a
>hidden/system directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems
>it is something beyond a "safe" recommendation.

I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one file
and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format text file,
after all.

>TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
>location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
>software.

I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
-Rick

2112. Do LOTW credits count in the 120-card limit?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 16:32:24 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 2112 Message: 2112

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I'm about to create another hybrid application, and I'm trying to figure
out how the LOTW credits figure into the 120-card limit. The usual $10
application fee covers submission of 120 'cards'. I presume that LOTW
credits don't count in this? E.g., on this current hybrid application,
I can have 120 cards checked at the upcoming hamfest, and also add LOTW
credits on top of that?

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFCk1cYRgRgmxNburQRAnDRAJ9xJ1q07ec/81f2b9EhuNGGJnFmFACggcPc
yeX0u1wzhp9AbSU+0BvAzH0=
=ILbK
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2113. General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 16:43:31 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2113 Message: 2113

My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is no longer supported, so I am looking for a replacement.

Following are my requirements.

MUST HAVE
--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
--Radio aware
--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
--Callbook CD aware
--Import/Export ADIF
--LoTW integration
--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
--Print QSL report labels
--Impecable track record of author support

Nominations please. (Price not important)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2114. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 16:53:10 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2113 Message: 2114

Hello K0HB,

Tuesday, May 24, 2005 K0HB wrote to MWA Reflector:

K> My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software
K> (HyperLog) is no longer supported, so I am looking for a
K> replacement.

Very dangerous topic, Hans :) My choice is Logger32. It matches all your needs except printing labels, because there are couple of professional label printing applications L32 can export label print data to. It is free.

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-May-05 16:48 UTC

Lady Astor: "Mr. Churchill, you're drunk!" Winston Churchill: "Yes, and you, Madam, are ugly. But tomorrow, I shall be sober."

2115. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 16:59:23 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2113 Message: 2115

Hans, take a look at amateur Contact Log. It does a good job for me. Not sure it meets all of your requirements, but I think so. It is inexpensive, and does a good job.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 04:43 PM 5/24/2005 +0000, you wrote:

>My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is no
>longer supported, so I am looking for a replacement.
>
>Following are my requirements.
>
>MUST HAVE
>--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
>--Radio aware
>--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
>--Callbook CD aware
>--Import/Export ADIF
>--LoTW integration
>--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
>--Print QSL report labels
>--Impecable track record of author support
>
>Nominations please. (Price not important)
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
><http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2116. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 17:01:24 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2113 Message: 2116

Take a look at N3FJP.com

Randy
AG8A

--- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
> My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging
> software (HyperLog) is no longer supported, so I am
> looking for a replacement.
>
> Following are my requirements.
>
> MUST HAVE
> --Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
> --Radio aware
> --Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
> --Callbook CD aware
> --Import/Export ADIF
> --LoTW integration
> --Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
> --Print QSL report labels
> --Impecable track record of author support
>
> Nominations please. (Price not important)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2117. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 17:25:18 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2113 Message: 2117

Hans,

Try the DXLab Suite ... its author happens to be around here as well
as his own support forum. I believe there are enough "hot keys" built
into the program that you would be comfortable with it in "keyboard-
centric" mode after the learning curve. For further information
(and to download the various modules - it's free) see: www.qsl.net/dxlab.

1) Windows based
2) full ADIF import/export
3) built-in LotW integration (bidirectional)
4) top notch Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, VUCC, IOTA, Challenge, etc.)
5) Prints QSL cards or QSL labels
6) Outstanding author and peer support via:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dxlab
7) multiple radio support (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood, Elecraft, TenTec)
8) Support for CW via software generation (serial port DTR or WinKey)
9) Support for RTTY (MMTTY engine) and PSK31/63 (PSKCORE.DLL)
10) DXCluster interface - send/receive spots including multiple
telnet connections, RF connection and IRC.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:44 PM
To: MWA Reflector; tcdxa-list@...; DX-QSO@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is no
longer
supported, so I am looking for a replacement.

Following are my requirements.

MUST HAVE
--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
--Radio aware
--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
--Callbook CD aware
--Import/Export ADIF
--LoTW integration
--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
--Print QSL report labels

--Impecable track record of author support

Nominations please. (Price not important)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2118. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:03:38 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2113 Message: 2118

Logger 32 is my choice.

http://www.kc4elo.com/

Gerry

Gerry Hohn
TelWest Consulting Services
(403) 251-6520 - office
(403) 803-8170 - cell
(403) 251-0384 - fax
telwest@...
http://www.telusplanet.net/public/telwest/home.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: MWA Reflector ; tcdxa-list@... ; DX-QSO@yahoogroups.com ; ARRL-LOTW
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 10:43 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is no longer supported, so I am looking for a replacement.

Following are my requirements.

MUST HAVE
--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
--Radio aware
--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
--Callbook CD aware
--Import/Export ADIF
--LoTW integration
--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
--Print QSL report labels
--Impecable track record of author support

Nominations please. (Price not important)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2119. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:24:38 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2113 Message: 2119

LOGic7 meets all those requirements and more.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:44 PM
To: MWA Reflector; tcdxa-list@...; DX-QSO@yahoogroups.com;
ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is
no longer supported, so I am looking for a replacement.

Following are my requirements.

MUST HAVE
--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)
--Radio aware
--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)
--Callbook CD aware
--Import/Export ADIF
--LoTW integration
--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)
--Print QSL report labels
--Impecable track record of author support

Nominations please. (Price not important)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

2120. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Do LOTW credits count in the 120-card limit?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:43:42 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2112 Message: 2120

Hi Ben,

Actually the 120 count refers to QSO's, not cards, so make certain you count the correct items. You can have the card checker check 120 QSO's (for the ten dollar fee) and then add any number of LoTW credits to that for which will you be charged an additional per QSO LoTW charge. How much you are charged for them will depend on the price you paid when you bought the LoTW credits. Recall that you have to purchase the credits up front and then ARRL subtracts the credits when you submit via LoTW.

One nice thing about the dual application is the speed. The last one I sent in had a total of 139 combined qso's done by a card checker and LoTW and I had everything back from ARRL in two weeks. No cards had to be mailed.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> I'm about to create another hybrid application, and I'm trying to figure
> out how the LOTW credits figure into the 120-card limit. The usual $10
> application fee covers submission of 120 'cards'. I presume that LOTW
> credits don't count in this? E.g., on this current hybrid application,
> I can have 120 cards checked at the upcoming hamfest, and also add LOTW
> credits on top of that?
>
> Ben
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFCk1cYRgRgmxNburQRAnDRAJ9xJ1q07ec/81f2b9EhuNGGJnFmFACggcPc
> yeX0u1wzhp9AbSU+0BvAzH0=
> =ILbK
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

2121. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-25 22:32:12 UTC
From: Ed Pflueger
Topic: 2113 Message: 2121

I have used Dxbase for years, and in my opinion there is nothing better.

Ed AB4IQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 10:44 AM
To: MWA Reflector; tcdxa-list@...; DX-QSO@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] General Purpose Logging Software

My current general purpose (NON-CONTEST) logging software (HyperLog) is no longer supported, so I am looking for a replacement.

Following are my requirements.

MUST HAVE

--Intel/MS platform (Win, DOS, whatever)

--Radio aware

--Keyboard-centric (no mouse for routine logging)

--Callbook CD aware

--Import/Export ADIF

--LoTW integration

--Award tracking (DXCC, WAZ, etc)

--Print QSL report labels

--Impecable track record of author support

Nominations please. (Price not important)

73, de Hans, K0HB

--

http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

2122. still looking for first Novice LoTW qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-26 13:14:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2122 Message: 2122

Got my Novice license in the mail August 9th, 1967.
Operated as WN9VOL till May 8th, 1968.

Working mostly 15 and 40 meters.
Made 1400 qso's.

I'd sure like to get one of those qso's as a LoTW qsl.
Won't mean anything, won't count for anything.
Not even sure why I'd like to get it other than for the novelty.

So If your looking through your old log books for that time frame;
take a quick look for my call.
I'd appreciate it.

Please and thank you.

Light travels faster than sound. This is why some people appear bright until you hear them speak.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2123. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-28 21:35:43 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2101 Message: 2123

Rick -
I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's nothing special about the hidden directories.

I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long since I had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to assume (yeh, I know) the location to be defined as "current user/app data"? Or it that a construct of only NT type systems?

Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer login? Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP machines.

What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command line parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.

While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...> wrote:
> At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
>
> >Rick (K1MU) writes:
> >
> > > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> > >
> > > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> > > machine it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username,
> > > of course. This is on Windows XP).
> > > You could probably save this file and restore it to your new
> > > hard drive.
> >
> >You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
> >However, since the "Application Data" directory is normally a
> >hidden/system directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems
> >it is something beyond a "safe" recommendation.
>
> I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one file
> and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format text file,
> after all.
>
> >TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
> >location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the

> >software.
>
> I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
> -Rick

2124. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-28 23:21:54 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2101 Message: 2124

At 05:35 PM 5/28/2005, nf4lham wrote:
>Rick -
>I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's
>nothing special about the hidden directories.

I don't know about that ("nothing special about the hidden directories") in general, but for this specific case backing up and restoring that file appears to be safe. I tried that and it appeared to work. I didn't suggest backing up the certificates that are also in that directory tree as I hadn't tried that. It appears that restoring that entire directory tree moves the certificates and other TQSL data and it all fits on a single floppy for me.

>I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long
>since I had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to
>assume (yeh, I know) the location to be defined as "current user/app
>data"? Or it that a construct of only NT type systems?

For Windows '98 the files go into C:\Windows\Application Data\TrustedQSL.

>Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer
>login? Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP
>machines.

You'll have one certificate and location database. With different callsigns you should still be OK, but I think it'd be confusing.

>What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command
>line parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.
>
>While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
>anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).

The source .tar.gz file can be opened using WinZIP.
-Rick

2125. Out of the Office

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-28 23:20:48 UTC
From: GOLIST -John
Topic: 2125 Message: 2125

I will be IN and OUT of the office today. I will respond to your message just as quickly as I can

Thank you.

John @ the GOLIST.

2126. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 00:06:34 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2101 Message: 2126

I didn't have any trouble unpacking TQSL on a windows box -- there are zip archives available.

TQSLLib provides access to the information you seek; use the new version that can be invoked via VB.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nf4lham
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2005 17:36 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Rick -
I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's nothing special about the hidden directories.

I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long since I had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to assume (yeh, I know) the location to be defined as "current user/app data"? Or it that a construct of only NT type systems?

Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer login? Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP machines.

What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command line parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.

While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...> wrote:
> At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
>
> >Rick (K1MU) writes:
> >
> > > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> > >
> > > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my machine
> > > it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username, of
> > > course. This is on Windows XP). You could probably save this file

> > > and restore it to your new hard drive.
> >
> >You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file. However,
> >since the "Application Data" directory is normally a hidden/system
> >directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems it is something beyond
> >a "safe" recommendation.
>
> I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one file
> and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format text file,
> after all.
>
> >TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
> >location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
> >software.
>
> I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
> -Rick

Yahoo! Groups Links

2127. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 01:14:34 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 2101 Message: 2127

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:
>>While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
>>anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
>
>
> The source .tar.gz file can be opened using WinZIP.

And Cygwin is not that hard to install.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFCmRd5RgRgmxNburQRAlR0AJ93VHRw4supzWm14o556+YQh3vckwCcC8FK
XF2vmNkYRkikOl7FkHzKxCM=
=dUy6
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2128. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 11:12:21 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2101 Message: 2128

Rick -
Thanks for the info. I'd downloaded the .rpm. I'll get the tar. Sure
would have been nice to have the path to the file in the registry like
the exe. And have the password as a command line parm. I guess if it
were easy, we wouldn't get the big bux. Wait.... I'm not getting paid.
:=>
73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...> wrote:
> At 05:35 PM 5/28/2005, nf4lham wrote:
> >Rick -
> >I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's
> >nothing special about the hidden directories.
>
> I don't know about that ("nothing special about the hidden
> directories") in general, but for this specific case backing up and
> restoring that file appears to be safe. I tried that and it appeared to
> work. I didn't suggest backing up the certificates that are also in
> that directory tree as I hadn't tried that. It appears that restoring
> that entire directory tree moves the certificates and other TQSL data
> and it all fits on a single floppy for me.
>
> >I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long
> >since I had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to
> >assume (yeh, I know) the location to be defined as "current user/app
> >data"? Or it that a construct of only NT type systems?
>
> For Windows '98 the files go into C:\Windows\Application
Data\TrustedQSL.
>
> >Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer
> >login? Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP
> >machines.
>
> You'll have one certificate and location database. With different
> callsigns you should still be OK, but I think it'd be confusing.
>
> >What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command
> >line parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.
> >
> >While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
> >anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
>
> The source .tar.gz file can be opened using WinZIP.
> -Rick

2130. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 11:29:37 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2101 Message: 2130

Thanks, Ben -
I'll look into it.
73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Ben Coleman NJ8J <nj8j@a...> wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:
> >>While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
> >>anyone without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
> > >
> > >
> > > The source .tar.gz file can be opened using WinZIP.
> >
> > And Cygwin is not that hard to install.
> >
> > Ben
> > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> > Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
> > Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
> >
> > iD8DBQFCmRd5RgRgmxNburQRAlR0AJ93VHRw4supzWm14o556+YQh3vckwCcC8FK
> > XF2vmNkYRkikOl7FkHzKxCM=
> > =dUy6
> > -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2131. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 19:41:44 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2101 Message: 2131

Dave -
I got the tar unpacked. Now if I can remember enough C.......

What do you mean by "new version that can be invoked via VB." Is there
a different interface other than calling a method in a dll? I did get
the doc, and have been wading thru it.

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> I didn't have any trouble unpacking TQSL on a windows box -- there
are zip
> archives available.
>
> TQSLLib provides access to the information you seek; use the new version
> that can be invoked via VB.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of nf4lham
> Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2005 17:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl
>
>
> Rick -
> I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's
nothing
> special about the hidden directories.
>
> I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long
since I
> had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to assume (yeh, I
> know) the location to be defined as "current user/app data"? Or it
that a
> construct of only NT type systems?
>
> Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer
login?
> Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP machines.
>

> What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command
line
> parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.
>
> While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
anyone
> without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
>
> 73, Mike NF4L
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
wrote:
> > At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> >
> > >Rick (K1MU) writes:
> > >
> > > > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> > > >
> > > > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my machine
> > > > it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > > > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username, of
> > > > course. This is on Windows XP). You could probably save this file
> > > > and restore it to your new hard drive.
> > >
> > >You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file. However,
> > >since the "Application Data" directory is normally a hidden/system
> > >directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems it is something beyond
> > >a "safe" recommendation.
> >
> > I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one file
> > and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format text
file,
> > after all.
> >
> > >TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
> > >location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
> > >software.
> >
> > I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
> > -Rick
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

2132. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-29 20:04:59 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2101 Message: 2132

Earlier versions of TQSLLib could not be invoked from VB. The most recent version of TQSLLib can be so invoked.

73,

Dvae, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nf4lham
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 7:25 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl

Hi Rick -
I see the .rpm and tar files. I got the rpm of course. What do you mean by "new version that can be invoked via VB." Is there a different interface other than calling a method in a dll? I did get the doc, and have been wadeing thru it.
73, Mike

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> I didn't have any trouble unpacking TQSL on a windows box -- there are zip
> archives available.
>
> TQSLLib provides access to the information you seek; use the new
> version that can be invoked via VB.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of nf4lham
> Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2005 17:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl
>
>
> Rick -
> I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's nothing
> special about the hidden directories.

>
> I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long
since I
> had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to assume (yeh,
> I
> know) the location to be defined as "current user/app data"? Or it
that a
> construct of only NT type systems?
>
> Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer
login?
> Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP machines.
>
> What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command
line
> parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.
>
> While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
anyone
> without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
>
> 73, Mike NF4L
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
wrote:
> > At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> >
> > >Rick (K1MU) writes:
> > >
> > > > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> > > >
> > > > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> > > > machine
> > > > it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > > > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my username, of
> > > > course. This is on Windows XP). You could probably save this file
> > > > and restore it to your new hard drive.
> > >
> > >You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
> > >However,
> > >since the "Application Data" directory is normally a hidden/system
> > >directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems it is something beyond
> > >a "safe" recommendation.
> >
> > I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one
> > file and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format
> > text
file,
> > after all.
> >

> > >TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
> > >location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
> > >software.
> >
> > I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
> > -Rick
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

2133. Re: tqsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-05-30 02:26:35 UTC
From: nf4lham
Topic: 2101 Message: 2133

Dave -
If a user has an older version, and the functions were not exported, this isn't going to work. All I can do is warn MY users to get the latest. AFAICT there is only V2 on SourceForge. I'm using Delphi, not VB. I'm trying to dope out which function(s) need to be called, and translate the parms from C to Delphi. It's been a long time since I did C++.

73, Mike NF4L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> Earlier versions of TQSLLib could not be invoked from VB. The most recent
> version of TQSLLib can be so invoked.
>
> 73,
>
> Dvae, AA6YQ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of nf4lham
> Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 7:25 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl
>
>
> Hi Rick -
> I see the .rpm and tar files. I got the rpm of course. What do you mean by
> "new version that can be invoked via VB." Is there a different interface
> other than calling a method in a dll? I did get the doc, and have been
> wadeing thru it.
> 73, Mike
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> > I didn't have any trouble unpacking TQSL on a windows box -- there are zip
> > archives available.
> >
> > TQSLLib provides access to the information you seek; use the new
> > version that can be invoked via VB.
> >
> > 73,

> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> On Behalf
> > Of nf4lham
> > Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2005 17:36 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: tqsl
> >
> >
> > Rick -
> > I agree backing up/restoring that file would be safe. AFAICT there's
> nothing
> > special about the hidden directories.
> >
> > I'm curious about it's location on 98 machines. It's been too long
> since I
> > had one. Does anyone know? Would it be reasonably safe to assume
(yeh,
> > I
> > know) the location to be defined as "current user/app data"? Or it
> that a
> > construct of only NT type systems?
> >
> > Wonder what would be the result of 2 hams, 1 computer, same computer
> login?
> > Actually I don't log on (overtly) to any of my 3 Windows XP machines.
> >
> > What I'm after is a way to read the location(s) to pass as a command
> line
> > parameter to tqsl for creating the .tq8 file for uploading.
> >
> > While the TQSL source code is available, it's almost impossible for
> anyone
> > without a Linux box to unpack (right Dave?).
> >
> > 73, Mike NF4L
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
> wrote:
> > > At 06:06 PM 5/23/2005, Joe Subich, K4IK wrote:
> > >
> > > >Rick (K1MU) writes:
> > > >
> > > > You're right, there ought to be a way to export this.
> > > >
> > > > The location data is in the TrustedQSL data folder; on my
> > > > machine

> > > > > it's in C:\Documents and Settings\rmurphy\Application
> > > > > Data\TrustedQSL\station_data (where 'rmurphy' is my
username, of
> > > > > course. This is on Windows XP). You could probably save this
file
> > > > > and restore it to your new hard drive.
> > > >
> > > >You are correct ... you can backup the "Station_Data" file.
> > > >However,
> > > >since the "Application Data" directory is normally a hidden/system
> > > >directory on "normal" WindowsXP/Win2K systems it is something
beyond
> > > >a "safe" recommendation.
> > >
> > > I don't think there's anything "unsafe" about backing up that one
> > > file and restoring it to the new system. It's a simple XML-format
> > > text
> file,
> > > after all.
> > >
> > > >TrustedQSL should have a means of saving/restoring the station
> > > >location data in any case. It's a "hole" in the design of the
> > > >software.
> > >
> > > I agree. See the first line of my original reply to this thread.
> > > -Rick
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

2134. LoTW stats report

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-01 01:22:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2134 Message: 2134

I had a good month of May, not in numbers per se, but a MAJOR proportion were DX LoTW QSLs,

Good luck to you all.
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/lotw-default.htm

report written by WA6AXE and created by DXBase2006,

The universe is a figment of its own imagination. There's no future in time travel.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2135. LoTW trend is improving

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-02 11:22:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2135 Message: 2135

I'm sure others have noticed the recent increase of LoTW use, especially among contest and non-USA stations.

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/w9ol_total_stats.htm

I did find it interesting that WARC band qso's resulted in a lower qsl response.

Your mileage may vary.

report created by WA6AXE and generated by DXBase2006.

Vermont State Motto: Yep

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2136. LOTW Submission to DXCC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-03 16:09:37 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2136 Message: 2136

I recently applied on the LOTW website to have my LOTW credits put into my DXCC total. There were 95 LOTW QSOs. I printed out a copy of the LOTW application.

My initial DXCC account was under my previous callsign K1MER. My previous callsign and my current callsign are joined in my LOTW and DXCC accounts, so QSOs for all callsigns are counted in my status.

Why does the application indicate it's for my previous callsign?

DXCC has to do a review of my application before they "accept" it and "verify" the QSOs. What should I receive to indicate that DXCC has "accepted/verified" all 95 QSOs in my application?

73, Jerry K3BZ

2137. LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-12 20:08:58 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2137 Message: 2137

Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2138. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-12 20:47:53 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2137 Message: 2138

Yes, indeed.... but look how much more secure your Log of the World entries are 8^)

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2005 4:08 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

> Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2139. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-13 22:10:26 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2137 Message: 2139

Yes, but it is a log for a hobby. Not National Security..

----- Original Message -----
From: Jerry K3BZ
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2005 3:47 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

Yes, indeed.... but look how much more secure your Log of the World entries are 8^)

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2005 4:08 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

> Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@cmgate.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2140. Re: LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 07:02:13 UTC
From: n9aa
Topic: 2137 Message: 2140

Why is this disappointing? More people own Fords than Mercedes. Does this mean Fords are better? No, it means Fords are more popular.

eQSL is easier to use and less secure than LOTW, so obviously, there's a good chance you'll get more hits per QSO on eQSL than LOTW. If you want a high QSL/QSO ratio, eQSL is probably better for you, but if you're looking to get QSL hits that count for the DXC program, you'll need to use LOTW.

I have almost a 13% QSO/QSO ratio on LOTW, and I'm pretty happy with that.

73,
Scott, N9AA

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@c...

2141. Re: LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 07:07:43 UTC
From: n9aa
Topic: 2137 Message: 2141

Chuck,
It is a hobby, but some people like to cheat to get awards, which
makes those who don't cheat unhappy. LOTW's security helps keep
cheating out of the equation.

This might not matter to you, but it does matter quite a bit to those
people who worked very hard to get DXCC/5BDXCC/Honor Roll.

73,
Scott, N9AA

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Chuck Layton" <aa5kr@a...> wrote:
> Yes, but it is a log for a hobby. Not National Security..
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jerry K3BZ
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2005 3:47 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL
>
>
> Yes, indeed.... but look how much more secure your Log of the
World entries
> are 8^)
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

2142. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 11:13:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2137 Message: 2142

Pretty good analogy Scott.

I was reminded of the craze back in the last century of collecting beer cans.
People went out and collected lots of different brand/style of beers cans.
Almost all were empty.

But there were a group who only collected beer cans that were full.
I'm betting the empty beer cans are now in the trash, while many of those FULL cans are still in on display.

----- Original Message -----
From: "n9aa" <scott.manthe@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 2:02 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW vs eQSL

> Why is this disappointing? More people own Fords than Mercedes. Does
> this mean Fords are better? No, it means Fords are more popular.
>
> eQSL is easier to use and less secure than LOTW, so obviously, there's
> a good chance you'll get more hits per QSO on eQSL than LOTW. If you
> want a high QSL/QSO ratio, eQSL is probably better for you, but if
> you're looking to get QSL hits that count for the DXC program, you'll
> need to use LOTW.
>
> I have almost a 13% QSO/QSO ratio on LOTW, and I'm pretty happy with that.
>
> 73,
> Scott, N9AA
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
>> Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with
> eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on
> LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...
>>
>> Ford-N0FP
>> ford@c...
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

2143. [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 11:57:36 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2143 Message: 2143

What is the point of making it harder than paper QSLs or more secure than Paper QSLs. Your award earned using only LOTW looks just like the one issued on only paper QSLs so it is no better. It is not like the difference between ford or chevy. It is the exact same model and color. No different. And it can still be cheated by people who want to get their award that way. Therefore that still doesn't answer the question of why the national security level for a hobby. It can be beat just as easy as paper QSLs by people who want to cheapen THEIR award. Because Station XZY cheated to get his WAS doesn't make mine any less valuable because I didn't. All it really does is discourages people who are not that computer litterate in first place from using it.

Chuck
AA5KR

Chuck,
It is a hobby, but some people like to cheat to get awards, which
makes those who don't cheat unhappy. LOTW's security helps keep
cheating out of the equation.

This might not matter to you, but it does matter quite a bit to those
people who worked very hard to get DXCC/5BDXCC/Honor Roll.

73,
Scott, N9AA

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2144. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 12:19:35 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2143 Message: 2144

On Wed, Jun 15, 2005 at 06:57:36AM -0500, Chuck Layton wrote:
> What is the point of making it harder than paper QSLs or more secure than Paper QSLs.
> Your award earned using only LOTW looks just like the one issued on only paper QSLs so it is no better. It is not like the difference between ford or chevy. It is the exact same model and color. No different. And it can still be cheated by people who want to get their award that way. Therefore that still doesn't answer the question of why the national security level for a hobby. It can be beat just as easy as paper QSLs by people who want to cheapen THEIR award. Because Station XZY cheated to get his WAS doesn't make mine any less valuable because I didn't. All it really does is discourages people who are not that computer litterate in first place from using it.

Chuck, if you find LoTW too difficult to use, blame the author of your
logging software for not integrating it better.

Public key encryption is hardly only for "national security"!

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2145. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 14:12:17 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2143 Message: 2145

What is SO hard about LOTW???

I make two clicks, put in my password, two more clicks and my QSOs are uploaded and checked in my log. Maybe 30 seconds. Less for downloads into my log.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Chuck Layton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 8:57 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

What is the point of making it harder than paper QSLs or more secure than Paper QSLs. Your award earned using only LOTW looks just like the one issued on only paper QSLs so it is no better. It is not like the difference between ford or chevy. It is the exact same model and color. No different. And it can still be cheated by people who want to get their award that way. Therefore that still doesn't answer the question of why the national security level for a hobby. It can be beat just as easy as paper QSLs by people who want to cheapen THEIR award. Because Station XZY cheated to get his WAS doesn't make mine any less valuable because I didn't. All it really does is discourages people who are not that computer litterate in first place from using it.

Chuck
AA5KR

Chuck,
It is a hobby, but some people like to cheat to get awards, which
makes those who don't cheat unhappy. LOTW's security helps keep
cheating out of the equation.

This might not matter to you, but it does matter quite a bit to those
people who worked very hard to get DXCC/5BDXCC/Honor Roll.

73,
Scott, N9AA

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2146. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 14:26:02 UTC
From: Rod Ingram
Topic: 2143 Message: 2146

Carl I agree with you. I think it will be very hard to make it any easier for those of us who chase DX

Rod WC7N
----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 7:12 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

What is SO hard about LOTW???

I make two clicks, put in my password, two more clicks and my QSOs are uploaded and checked in my log. Maybe 30 seconds. Less for downloads into my log.

73, Carl VE9OV

2147. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-15 15:40:51 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2143 Message: 2147

Carl,

I agree that this a pretty simple tool to operate. It does take a bit of work to set up and I find quite a few folks that I've helped have trouble understanding the rather wordy instructions. A simple point by point would help.

To save all that labor of extra clicking and inputting your password make a WEB link as follows:

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsos?login=xxxx(your user name)&password=yyyy(your password)

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 8:12 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

What is SO hard about LOTW???

I make two clicks, put in my password, two more clicks and my QSOs are uploaded and checked in my log. Maybe 30 seconds. Less for downloads into my log.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Chuck Layton
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 8:57 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

What is the point of making it harder than paper QSLs or more secure than Paper QSLs. Your award earned using only LOTW looks just like the one issued on only paper QSLs so it is no better. It is not like the difference between ford or chevy. It is the exact same model and color. No different. And it can still be cheated by people who want to get their award that way. Therefore that still doesn't answer the question of why the national security level for a hobby. It can be beat just as easy as paper QSLs by people who want to cheapen THEIR award. Because Station XZY cheated to get his WAS doesn't make mine any less valuable because I didn't. All it really does is discourages people who are not that computer litterate in first place from using it.

Chuck
AA5KR

Chuck,
It is a hobby, but some people like to cheat to get awards, which
makes those who don't cheat unhappy. LOTW's security helps keep
cheating out of the equation.

This might not matter to you, but it does matter quite a bit to those people who worked very hard to get DXCC/5BDXCC/Honor Roll.

73,
Scott, N9AA

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2148. Activity

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 01:26:07 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 2137 Message: 2148

Maybe I'm spoiled, but I have not seen any new QSL's
for a couple of weeks now. Generally, I can check in
every couple of days and see the QSL count increase by
a few - probably about 200 in the past year. Might
just be no uploads with my call sign, but thought I'd
mention it.

Bob
W1RH

Discover Yahoo!
Stay in touch with email, IM, photo sharing and more. Check it out!
http://discover.yahoo.com/stayintouch.html

2149. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 01:35:37 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2143 Message: 2149

I dont' have trouble using it. My point on it is maybe we would see more participation if it wasn't so hard to get started in the first place. There are a lot of hams out there that don't know that much about basic security on computers and see it as a lot of work. I'm sure levels will increase but I was disappointed that even after waiting as long as I did I still only had 21 confirmed contacts out of 18 years of being a ham. A friend of mine refuses to even get help with it cause he has trouble using his computer to do more than use MSN or YAHOO messenger and getting his e-mail.

2150. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 02:00:38 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2143 Message: 2150

Chuck wrote:

...snip...

> A friend of mine refuses to even get help with it cause he has trouble using his computer
> to do more than use MSN or YAHOO messenger and getting his e-mail.

What you describe as your friend is more often than not a description of the general population. I am an accountant and work with hundreds of individuals--many of whom are self-employed professionals and hardly 'idiots' by any standard. More often than not, people are able to get basic functionality out of a home computer, but beyond that, they are clueless. Write a memo, hit print. Press the little letter icon, get email. Double click on the IE icon, get yahoo/altavista/google or whatever. Ask them to dig into the bowels of the software controls and you get a terrified glare in return.

I run out of fingers and toes counting the people I know that have a computer, but it simply doesn't work. Virus infestations. Software snaffus. Painfully old equipment. Many invested in the computer foolishly thinking they didn't need to also invest in some software to gain basic functionality. Lots of excuses. But the bottom line is the general population is basically computer illiterate. I'm certain the ham population follows the trend.

Until the population grows up, or operating systems become more friendly, or motivation causes people to focus on their computer, instead of the idiot TV, the trend will not change. I suspect the next generation we will see a difference.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

2151. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 02:12:52 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2143 Message: 2151

I was surprised to get confirmed country though. I worked HC8N back in 2002 and when I uploaded the QSO info I got a confirmed contact out of that. One of 22 that I now have. I wish I had all my logs from the years gone by. I bet I could have a lot more contacts confirmed. It is much easier to get an ARRL award this way than to have to send in cards and send postage to get them back and all the other stuff you have to do to get the award. I have not even gotten close to getting anything but I need to spend a lot more time on the radio and with 10 meters and 15 being dead or so much noise that I think is coming from a transformer I don't enjoy it much. I do spend lots of time on the 40 meter band from time to time.

2152. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 03:36:23 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2143 Message: 2152

On Wed, Jun 15, 2005 at 09:00:38PM -0500, Ford Peterson wrote:
> > A friend of mine refuses to even get help with it cause he has trouble using his computer
> > to do more than use MSN or YAHOO messenger and getting his e-mail.
>
> What you describe as your friend is more often than not a description of the general population. I am an accountant and work with hundreds of individuals--many of whom are self-employed professionals and hardly 'idiots' by any standard. More often than not, people are able to get basic functionality out of a home computer, but beyond that, they are clueless. Write a memo, hit print. Press the little letter icon, get email. Double click on the IE icon, get yahoo/altavista/google or whatever. Ask them to dig into the bowels of the software controls and you get a terrified glare in return.

True or not, we should be able to expect better from hams. This is a technical hobby after all.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2153. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 02:29:01 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2153

I agree with your assessment of the general population, Ford, but I'm not so certain about hams. I think most of us are a few steps beyond the average computer user, at least to the same extent that as individuals we can understand and operate the radio gear. There's beginners and experts and a lot in between, of course, both in using radio gear and computers. Look at the hamfests.... at least here in the East....many are now called hamfests AND computerfests.... the two seem to go together.

I think there's a significant number of longtime hams that are older and less inclined to embrace the computer for one cultural reason or another, but that group is diminishing due to attrition.... although the resistance of some is being worn down by growing ease of computer use and improved software friendliness.

But taken as a group, my impression is that hams are far more computer literate percentagewise than the general population.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 10:00 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> Chuck wrote:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > A friend of mine refuses to even get help with it cause he has trouble using his computer
> > to do more than use MSN or YAHOO messenger and getting his e-mail.
>
> What you describe as your friend is more often than not a description of the general population. I am an accountant and work with hundreds of individuals--many of whom are self-employed professionals and hardly 'idiots' by any standard. More often than not, people are able to get basic functionality out of a home computer, but beyond that, they are clueless. Write a memo, hit print. Press the little letter icon, get email. Double click on the IE icon, get yahoo/altavista/google or whatever. Ask them to dig into the bowels of the software controls and you get a terrified glare in return.
>
> I run out of fingers and toes counting the people I know that have a computer, but it simply doesn't work. Virus infestations. Software

snaffus. Painfully old equipment. Many invested in the computer foolishly thinking they didn't need to also invest in some software to gain basic functionality. Lots of excuses. But the bottom line is the general population is basically computer illiterate. I'm certain the ham population follows the trend.
>
> Until the population grows up, or operating systems become more friendly, or motivation causes people to focus on their computer, instead of the idiot TV, the trend will not change. I suspect the next generation we will see a difference.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2154. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 05:33:54 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2143 Message: 2154

Jerry wrote:

...snip...

> But taken as a group, my impression is that hams are far more computer
> literate percentagewise than the general population.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

Of course, you are thinking about your friends and acquaintances here in the US. I would agree. But what about 3rd world countries, which are missing from my list of confirmations. What about them?

I just got back from VK / ZL a few weeks ago. Sure, lots of people are connected in major population centers. But what about Zimbabwe? Greece? Turkey? The Sudan? Egypt? Just about everywhere in the South Pacific. I need my Qs confirmed from a bunch of places. With 25000 DX in my log, you would think I could get past the 60 country mark--all bands--mixed modes. There is a huge part of this world that is not connected. And THAT is the world I need confirms from.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2155. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 05:55:59 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2143 Message: 2155

On Thu, Jun 16, 2005 at 12:33:54AM -0500, Ford Peterson wrote:
> I just got back from VK / ZL a few weeks ago. Sure, lots of people are connected in major population centers. But what about Zimbabwe? Greece? Turkey? The Sudan? Egypt? Just about everywhere in the South Pacific. I need my Qs confirmed from a bunch of places. With 25000 DX in my log, you would think I could get past the 60 country mark--all bands--mixed modes. There is a huge part of this world that is not connected. And THAT is the world I need confirms from.

I can't see how complexity of LoTW contributes to your hit rate from
those countries though.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2156. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:02:27 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2143 Message: 2156

Ford,

Take Greece off your list. Fastest LOTW reply I
received was from Greece. With in 20 min of working
it I received a confirmation last year. I know you
were just being general in your statement and you are
absolutely correct. What we also fail to think about
is the type of computer equipment many of these
countries have. Much of it hand me downs with slow
modems and processors. Also much more expensive to
connect as compared to their wages.

One thing I have not seen is any offers as QSL
managers to convert logs to LOTW. Has anyone seen
this or is this impossible under ARRL rules to do?
Maybe this would take too much time and commitment on
a managers part.

Randy
AG8A

--- Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:

> Jerry wrote:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > But taken as a group, my impression is that hams
> are far more computer
> > literate percentage wise than the general
> population.
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> Of course, you are thinking about your friends and
> acquaintances here in the US. I would agree. But
> what about 3rd world countries, which are missing
> from my list of confirmations. What about them?
>
> I just got back from VK / ZL a few weeks ago. Sure,
> lots of people are connected in major population
> centers. But what about Zimbabwe? Greece? Turkey?
> The Sudan? Egypt? Just about everywhere in the
> South Pacific. I need my Qs confirmed from a bunch
> of places. With 25000 DX in my log, you would think
> I could get past the 60 country mark--all

> bands--mixed modes. There is a huge part of this
> world that is not connected. And THAT is the world
> I need confirms from.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>

Discover Yahoo!
Use Yahoo! to plan a weekend, have fun online and more. Check it out!
http://discover.yahoo.com/

2157. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:13:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2143 Message: 2157

ALL of the stations I manage have up-to-date LoTW logs.
I'm also helping other managers with the MASSIVE job of onlining (is there such a word?) their logs.

On 6/16/2005 6:02:27 AM, rlb (printgreen@...) wrote:
> Ford,
>
> Take Greece off your list. Fastest LOTW reply I
> received was from Greece. With in 20 min of working
> it I received a confirmation last year. I know you
> were just being general in your statement and you are
> absolutely correct. What we also fail to think about
> is the type of computer equipment many of these
> countries have. Much of it hand me downs with slow
> modems and processors. Also much more expensive to
> connect as compared to their wages.
>
> One thing I have not seen is any offers as QSL
> managers to convert logs to LOTW. Has anyone seen
> this or is this impossible under ARRL rules to do?
> Maybe this would take too much time and commitment on
> a managers part.
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>
> --- Ford Peterson <n0fp@...> wrote:
>
> > Jerry wrote:
> >
> > ...snip...
> >
> > > But taken as a group, my impression is that hams
> > are far more computer
> > > literate percentage wise than the general
> > population.
> > >
> > > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> > Of course, you are thinking about your friends and
> > acquaintances here in the US. I would agree. But

2158. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:15:38 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2143 Message: 2158

Hello Ford,

Thursday, June 16, 2005 Ford Peterson wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

FP> There is a huge part of this world that is not connected. And THAT
FP> is the world I need confirms from.

THAT world all have managers (except Greece, which is a European
country).

From my point of view, if we want to blame someone, blame their hunger
for greenstamps, which number the LoTW would significally decrease.

--
73...Art RX9TX 16-Jun-05 11:06 UTC

"Those who wish to sing always find a song." [Swedish proverb]

2159. Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:14:34 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2159

>
> One thing I have not seen is any offers as QSL
> managers to convert logs to LOTW. Has anyone seen
> this or is this impossible under ARRL rules to do?
> Maybe this would take too much time and commitment on
> a managers part.

If any of my Stations are 'signed up' to LoTW - as two of them are at the moment. Submitting logs takes about 7 seconds. <smiles>

I receive an ADIF file, which is imported into my logging software for QSL management.

The same ADIF is 'signed' - the TQ8 created, and attached to an e-mail.

Job done.

Graham M5AAV

2160. Ok, How do you go putting DX stations on LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:52:40 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2160 Message: 2160

Ok, so someone can become a QSL manager for a DX station and put it on LOTW?

That would help a lot. I spent 11 years in the AF and met a few people back just before Desert Storm and I know of 2 stations in Turkey that would take your money just to get the money and wouldn't send back a card unless they needed yours then they would send a card first just to increase the odds of getting your card.

Anyway, I didn't mean to start such a round of discussion. I had it pointed out to me that security was one reason. It never occured to me someone would want to mess up a data base. But then remembering a lot of the kids I worked with 20 years ago I remembered that just to try to do something to see if they can was the only reason needed.

73s,
Chuck AA5KR

2161. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 11:58:18 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2143 Message: 2161

Graham,

> If any of my Stations are 'signed up' to LoTW - as two of them are at
> the moment. Submitting logs takes about 7 seconds. <smiles>
>
> I receive an ADIF file, which is imported into my logging software for
> QSL management.
>
> The same ADIF is 'signed' - the TQ8 created, and attached to
> an e-mail.

If that's the case, why do I not see LotW confirmations for any of
my QSOs with ZK3SB and 6O0CW? I just checked OZ7C's list again and
those are among the calls shown as managed by you.

It's not as if those operations were "ancient history" ... they're
all within the last 24 months.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

2162. Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 12:24:31 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2162

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, K4IK" <k4ik@s...> wrote:
>
>
> Graham,

>
> If that's the case, why do I not see LotW confirmations for any of
> my QSOs with ZK3SB and 6O0CW? I just checked OZ7C's list again and
> those are among the calls shown as managed by you.
>
> It's not as if those operations were "ancient history" ... they're
> all within the last 24 months.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK

The descision to sign up to LoTW is not mine. It is up to the holder
of the licence. It is they who have to provide the documentation to ARRL.
If they chose not to do so - that is 'their problem'.

I do manage ZK3SB and 6O0CW for BURO ONLY.
The Licence for these as well as TX4PG is held by I2YSB.

I did say TWO of my Stations I did NOT specify which ones.

If you include myself - It is actually Three.

Graham M5AAV

2163. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Ok, How do you go putting DX stations on LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 12:58:49 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2160 Message: 2163

Chuck,

> I had it pointed out to me that security was one reason.
> It never occured to me someone would want to mess up a
> data base.

It is not so much "messing up the database" as it is modifying the details of a QSO after it has been uploaded. I'm not an expert but the concern was things like modifying a QSO on 17 meters to 160 meters (the equivalent of adding a decimal point to a printed QSL card - which has been done) or downloading QSOs for other stations and modifying the call.

Both of those types of "fraud" are easy if one is using a database driven system to print cards on a local printer as was initially proposed with eQSL.cc. By sticking with a system that generates an electronic signature for each record, LotW can verify that the QSO record has not been modified or corrupted and that the record was generated by the "proper" person (if he has not given his key to someone else).

I suspect that the complainers are not really upset with the data verification ("security") features of the system. What seems to bother most people is what they perceive as the "hoops" one must jump through in order to sign up.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

2164. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Ok, How do you go putting DX stations on LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 13:43:49 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2160 Message: 2164

Yes , the hoops and because of the hoops there is a huge lack of usage by
many stations.
The other reason is that STILL after MANY months ONLY DXCC is supported.
I DO realize that it is not cheap to implement this but it was basically
promised in the beginning and if a plan for funds and personnel was not in
place it should never have been hinted at in the beginning.
How many years do we have to wait? Even ARRL awards like WAS are not yet
supported.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, K4IK
> Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 7:59 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Ok, How do you go putting DX
> stations on LOTW?
>
>
> Chuck,
>
> > I had it pointed out to me that security was one reason.
> > It never occured to me someone would want to mess up a data base.
>
> It is not so much "messing up the database" as it is
> modifying the details of a QSO after it has been uploaded.
> I'm not an expert but the concern was things like modifying a
> QSO on 17 meters to 160 meters (the equivalent of adding a
> decimal point to a printed QSL card - which has been done) or
> downloading QSOs for other stations and modifying the call.
>
> Both of those types of "fraud" are easy if one is using a
> database driven system to print cards on a local printer as
> was initially proposed with eQSL.cc. By sticking with a
> system that generates an electronic signature for each
> record, LotW can verify that the QSO record has not been
> modified or corrupted and that the record was generated by
> the "proper"
> person (if he has not given his key to someone else).
>
> I suspect that the complainers are not really upset with the
> data verification ("security") features of the system. What

> seems to bother most people is what they perceive as the
> "hoops" one must jump through in order to sign up.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, K4IK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2165. Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 13:53:06 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2143 Message: 2165

I have quietly watched this thread, and now, I can sit still no longer. Very simply, if as much effort were put into learning too use LOTW as has been spent complaining about it, LOTW wouldn't be a problem.

73, Mike, W5UC

2166. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 14:51:14 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2166

It is not a problem for me except that it only supports DXCC, It is proven that either it is a problem for some or it has not enough worth for them to make the effort. The result is the same.
ANY program that is perceived by the users to be difficult to use IS by definition difficult to use and should be fixed.
There can be no argument with that.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) &
> Kathy(K5MWH)
> Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 8:53 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for
> National Security
>
> I have quietly watched this thread, and now, I can sit still
> no longer. Very simply, if as much effort were put into
> learning too use LOTW as has been spent complaining about it,
> LOTW wouldn't be a problem.
>
> 73, Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2167. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 15:25:38 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2143 Message: 2167

At 09:51 AM 6/16/2005 -0500, you wrote:
>It is not a problem for me except that it only supports DXCC,

I Understand. However, the recent DXCC Yearbook announced that LOTW will soon be expanded to accommodate other awards. Among the other awards which are expected to receive support are:

WAS (Next to be implemented)
WAZ
USCA
CQ DX Field Award
IOTA

Please keep in mind that this an evolving program, which apparently takes a lot of software development. Be patient, learn to use LOTW, and enjoy.

73,
Mike, W5UC

2168. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 16:29:38 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2143 Message: 2168

> On Thu, Jun 16, 2005 at 12:33:54AM -0500, Ford Peterson wrote:
>> I just got back from VK / ZL a few weeks ago. Sure, lots of people are connected in major population centers. But what about Zimbabwe? Greece? Turkey? The Sudan? Egypt? Just about everywhere in the South Pacific. I need my Qs confirmed from a bunch of places. With 25000 DX in my log, you would think I could get past the 60 country mark--all bands--mixed modes. There is a huge part of this world that is not connected. And THAT is the world I need confirms from.
>
> I can't see how complexity of LoTW contributes to your hit rate from
> those countries though.
>
> Hamish

I agree.

The point is, current participation (or lack thereof) has more to do with connectivity than complexity. Until a concerted effort is expended to market LOTW in those remote areas, and perhaps providing organized assistance to the DX, our QSL rates will remain high from modern places, and low from elsewhere.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2169. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 16:43:58 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2143 Message: 2169

Randy wrote:

...snip...

> One thing I have not seen is any offers as QSL
> managers to convert logs to LOTW. Has anyone seen
> this or is this impossible under ARRL rules to do?
> Maybe this would take too much time and commitment on
> a managers part.
>
> Randy
> AG8A

I posted a note on Topband many months ago, indicating that I would attempt to match up people willing to do the leg work with those needing legwork done. Almost no valid responses. There were a few people willing to help, but very few takers on the 'needing help' side of the ledger. The Topband posting was 'preaching to the choir' I'm afraid. New and creative ways to market to distant lands, in native tongues, is the way to go. Finding local club newsletter editors and providing editorial resources in native languages would be a "rifle shot" to the target market. But it takes talented volunteers with language skills to do it. I would sign up immediately but my language skills are limited to English and a pretty long list of Swedish cuss words that my grandfather used to use regularly (grandma would let him cuss in swedish, but never in English).

Many of the QSL managers are doing an FB job with LOTW. But the vast majority of DX do not use managers. The reasons are many. I suspect green stamps factor into the equation. Lack of interest is another. "I don't collect DX so why bother." There are people on this reflector who refuse to participate because they don't need any confirms. One guy posted on Topband "I've confirmed them all" and refused to upload his log because there was nothing in it for him. Attitudes like that are very difficult to change. Lack of participation has little if anything to do with complexity of LOTW.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2170. Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 17:08:01 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2170

As already stated, it is the licencees choice whether or not to sign up to LoTW. However I do not think that 'connectivity' is a 'major'problem - ok it may be in some cases.

There is firstly a misconception that to use LoTW one must be a Member of the ARRL. That was my opinion, until I was advised otherwise. Ignorance or Lack of Understanding ? [Yeah OK, I'm a 'Thick Brit' !] But without searching I can't see on the LoTW website where it says that.

Secondly there is a 'security issue' for some.
To sign up,I had to provide ARRL with proof of ID.
In my case it was a Copy of the Amateur Licence PLUS a copy of my Drivers Licence. This CANNOT be e-mailed but has to be sent by post. Considering that my DL has on it a photo and my signature......nuff said in these days of ID theft ? {The alternative was a copy of my Passport.)

There is also the point that at the moment LoTW is only good for DXCC. WAS has been promised for ages,when it comes it will help takeup - although it means extra fields in the ADIF and the LoTW software.

IOTA {Which is of course an RSGB award] could be a big winner - except that if I go to an English Island /P then I may need a second LoTW 'account' for that island. And a third for the next Island. - The software logistics are horrible. Be thankful that I am not an 'MM' [Although I could be if out /P - and thats a different Country,and another LoTW 'account']

73

Graham M5AAV

2171. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 18:09:03 UTC
From: Joe Subich, K4IK
Topic: 2143 Message: 2171

> Secondly there is a 'security issue' for some.
> To sign up,I had to provide ARRL with proof of ID.
> In my case it was a Copy of the Amateur Licence PLUS a copy of my
> Drivers Licence. This CANNOT be e-mailed but has to be sent by post.
> Considering that my DL has on it a photo and my signature......nuff
> said in these days of ID theft ? {The alternative was a copy of my
> Passport.)

I have long urged that ARRL provide "A LotW Primer" in local languages
(even English <G>) for the national amateur magazines in the rest of
the world. So far, no response. In addition, I have urged that they
do "on site" LotW sign-up at regional (international) ham events
(like next weekend and the big JA show) and seek cooperative agreements
with RSGB, REF, DARC, JARL, etc. to perform "user authentication" closer
to the end users.

> There is also the point that at the moment LoTW is only good for DXCC.
> WAS has been promised for ages,when it comes it will help takeup -
> although it means extra fields in the ADIF and the LoTW software.

Actually, the fields for State, IOTA, Grid Square already exist in
tQSL's "station location" data and are processed by tQSLlib.dll that
can be used by logger developers.

> IOTA {Which is of course an RSGB award] could be a big winner - except
> that if I go to an English Island /P then I may need a second LoTW
> 'account' for that island. And a third for the next Island. - The
> software logistics are horrible. Be thankful that I am not an 'MM'
> [Although I could be if out /P - and thats a different Country,and
> another LoTW 'account']

Once one is registered for LotW (has received the primary certificate),
there is no issue in establishing other "accounts." As long as the
operation were from the same country and you did not care whether the
callsign used /p, you could even create multiple station locations
(e.g., 'home', EU-xx1, EU-xx2, etc.) within your M5AAV account.

It is only when the callsign changes that one needs additional accounts.

73,

... Joe, K4IK

2172. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Secu rity

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 18:41:05 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2172 Message: 2172

Folks,

Just follow your discussion, and from your comments I see
the major shift in our interest for LoTW. From technical novelty
we now move to question of SERVICE?

On one hand is the service provider (s/w production and maintenance,
storage space, backups, saving data for extended period of time in ever
increasing volume, communications costs etc...).

On the other hand we have direct end-users. Most of discussion
coming from them deal with request for new features, support for new
awards, more widespread participation, use of multiple call signs/locations,
authentication policies etc.

Now we start talking about managers, or as I call them 'QSL agents'
for others. We have first to understand the services we expect the 'agent'
will do for his/her own clients using LoTW, as well as what the service
provider needs to do to enable existence of such 'agents'.

Your valuable proposals would be easier to understand if you channel
them along those three (or more) lines.

73 Nenad VE3EXY/NZ2R/4N7ZR ....

-
I posted a note on Topband many months ago, indicating that I would attempt
to match up people willing to do the leg work with those needing legwork
done. Almost no valid responses. There were a few people willing to help,
but very few takers on the 'needing help' side of the ledger. The Topband
posting was 'preaching to the choir' I'm afraid. New and creative ways to
market to distant lands, in native tongues, is the way to go. Finding local
club newsletter editors and providing editorial resources in native
languages would be a "rifle shot" to the target market. But it takes
talented volunteers with language skills to do it. I would sign up
immediately but my language skills are limited to English and a pretty long
list of Swedish cuss words that my grandfather used to use regularly
(grandma would let him cuss in swedish, but never in English).

Many of the QSL managers are doing an FB job with LOTW. But the vast
majority of DX do not use managers. The reasons are many. I suspect green
stamps factor into the equation. Lack of interest is another. "I don't
collect DX so why bother." There are people on this reflector who refuse to
participate because they don't need any confirms. One guy posted on Topband
"I've confirmed them all" and refused to upload his log because there was
nothing in it for him. Attitudes like that are very difficult to change.
Lack of participation has little if anything to do with complexity of LOTW.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

==========

To sign up,I had to provide ARRL with proof of ID.
In my case it was a Copy of the Amateur Licence PLUS a copy of my Drivers Licence. This CANNOT be e-mailed but has to be sent by post. Considering that my DL has on it a photo and my signature......nuff said in these days of ID theft ? {The alternative was a copy of my
Passport.)

There is also the point that at the moment LoTW is only good for DXCC. WAS has been promised for ages,when it comes it will help takeup - although it means extra fields in the ADIF and the LoTW software.

IOTA {Which is of course an RSGB award] could be a big winner - except that if I go to an English Island /P then I may need a second LoTW 'account' for that island. And a third for the next Island. - The software logistics are horrible. Be thankful that I am not an 'MM' [Although I could be if out /P - and thats a different Country,and another LoTW 'account']

73

Graham M5AAV

2173. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 15:16:32 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2173

Gil... I understand and agree with your point... "difficulty", like most attributes, is defined in the eye of the beholder. However, there are thousands of LotW users who find it easy enough to use regularly, and their experience must be counted for something, even though we don't hear from them as often.

I believe LotW has had some recent changes that make it easier to use. But it still needs to expand into other awards, particularly WAS. And we sure need a lot more DX users.

I was a bitter complainer who found LotW's levels of security and complexity intolerable, but with a little patience and help from the guys on this reflector and other friends, I learned....and I'm happy with it now.

Of course, I don't really have to deal with the complexities much anymore, because I use a fantastic free logging program ... DXKeeper by Dave AA6YQ.... which has LotW interface features that make using LotW very quick and easy.

But I still remember the kindness and help I got that put me on the right road. Thanks.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 10:51 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> It is not a problem for me except that it only supports DXCC, It is proven
> that either it is a problem for some or it has not enough worth for them
to
> make the effort. The result is the same.
> ANY program that is perceived by the users to be difficult to use IS by
> definition difficult to use and should be fixed.
> There can be no argument with that.
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) &
> > Kathy(K5MWH)
> > Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 8:53 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for
> > National Security
> >
> > I have quietly watched this thread, and now, I can sit still
> > no longer. Very simply, if as much effort were put into
> > learning too use LOTW as has been spent complaining about it,
> > LOTW wouldn't be a problem.
> >
> > 73, Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2174. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-17 00:48:08 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2174

I have been using DXLABS for a long time. I gave up on Logic and never regretted it one bit.
His program defines both ease of use and technical support. I have seen none better.
This is not a crack at LotW as it has superior support. It unfortunately does have complexity, The complexity is more in the becoming a valid user than actually using it once you have joined. I still think they go way overboard on security. Requiring of renewal every year and no really easy way to handle multiple locations are probably the worst part. Programs like DXKeeper make it a snap.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 10:17 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit
> for National Security
>
> Gil... I understand and agree with your point...
> "difficulty", like most attributes, is defined in the eye of
> the beholder. However, there are thousands of LotW users who
> find it easy enough to use regularly, and their experience
> must be counted for something, even though we don't hear from
> them as often.
>
> I believe LotW has had some recent changes that make it
> easier to use. But it still needs to expand into other
> awards, particularly WAS. And we sure need a lot more DX users.
>
> I was a bitter complainer who found LotW's levels of
> security and complexity intolerable, but with a little
> patience and help from the guys on this reflector and other
> friends, I learned....and I'm happy with it now.
>
> Of course, I don't really have to deal with the complexities
> much anymore, because I use a fantastic free logging program
> ... DXKeeper by Dave AA6YQ.... which has LotW interface
> features that make using LotW very quick and easy.
>

> But I still remember the kindness and help I got that put me
> on the right
> road. Thanks.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 10:51 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit
> for National Security
>
>
> > It is not a problem for me except that it only supports DXCC, It is
> > proven that either it is a problem for some or it has not
> enough worth
> > for them
> to
> > make the effort. The result is the same.
> > ANY program that is perceived by the users to be difficult
> to use IS
> > by definition difficult to use and should be fixed.
> > There can be no argument with that.
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) &
> > > Kathy(K5MWH)
> > > Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 8:53 AM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for
> > > National Security
> > >
> > > I have quietly watched this thread, and now, I can sit still no
> > > longer. Very simply, if as much effort were put into
> learning too
> > > use LOTW as has been spent complaining about it, LOTW
> wouldn't be a
> > > problem.
> > >
> > > 73, Mike, W5UC
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2175. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-17 01:27:34 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2175

You said it! Amen!!

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 8:48 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> I have been using DXLABS for a long time. I gave up on Logic and never
> regretted it one bit.
> His program defines both ease of use and technical support. I have seen none
> better.
> This is not a crack at LotW as it has superior support. It unfortunately
> does have complexity, The complexity is more in the becoming a valid user
> than actually using it once you have joined. I still think they go way
> overboard on security. Requiring of renewal every year and no really easy
> way to handle multiple locations are probably the worst part. Programs like
> DXKeeper make it a snap.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> > Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 10:17 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit
> > for National Security
> >
> > Gil... I understand and agree with your point...
> > "difficulty", like most attributes, is defined in the eye of
> > the beholder. However, there are thousands of LotW users who
> > find it easy enough to use regularly, and their experience
> > must be counted for something, even though we don't hear from
> > them as often.
> >

> > I believe LotW has had some recent changes that make it
> > easier to use. But it still needs to expand into other
> > awards, particularly WAS. And we sure need a lot more DX users.
> >
> > I was a bitter complainer who found LotW's levels of
> > security and complexity intolerable, but with a little
> > patience and help from the guys on this reflector and other
> > friends, I learned....and I'm happy with it now.
> >
> > Of course, I don't really have to deal with the complexities
> > much anymore, because I use a fantastic free logging program
> > ... DXKeeper by Dave AA6YQ.... which has LotW interface
> > features that make using LotW very quick and easy.
> >
> > But I still remember the kindness and help I got that put me
> > on the right
> > road. Thanks.
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 10:51 AM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit
> > for National Security
> >
> >
> > > It is not a problem for me except that it only supports DXCC, It is
> > > proven that either it is a problem for some or it has not
> > enough worth
> > > for them
> > to
> > > make the effort. The result is the same.
> > > ANY program that is perceived by the users to be difficult
> > to use IS
> > > by definition difficult to use and should be fixed.
> > > There can be no argument with that.
> > >
> > > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> > >
> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) &
> > > > Kathy(K5MWH)
> > > > Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 8:53 AM
> > > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> > > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for
> > > > National Security
> > > >
> > > > I have quietly watched this thread, and now, I can sit still no
> > > > longer. Very simply, if as much effort were put into
> > learning too
> > > > use LOTW as has been spent complaining about it, LOTW
> > wouldn't be a
> > > > problem.
> > > >
> > > > 73, Mike, W5UC
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

2176. WSJT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-17 16:21:48 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 1376 Message: 2176

I'm getting old and forgetful. Please, what are the WSJT modes listed as for LOTW entry?

Thanks & 73, Mike

2177. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-16 12:48:52 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2177

Good point, much of the world isn't connected yet. But what about the DXpeditions that cover many of the 3rd world countries? They often are based in highly computerized countries. Many of the ops in 3rd world countries have QSL managers in computerized countries. Almost all of them could easily use LotW if they wanted to.

What I'm hearing is that there are a lot of ops choosing not to use LotW, not because they haven't heard of it and not because they aren't connected, but in spite of it.

So I think the more important question is .... why isn't LotW more attractive to more of them?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2005 1:33 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> Jerry wrote:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > But taken as a group, my impression is that hams are far more computer
> > literate percentagewise than the general population.
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> Of course, you are thinking about your friends and acquaintances here in the US. I would agree. But what about 3rd world countries, which are missing from my list of confirmations. What about them?
>
> I just got back from VK / ZL a few weeks ago. Sure, lots of people are connected in major population centers. But what about Zimbabwe? Greece? Turkey? The Sudan? Egypt? Just about everywhere in the South Pacific. I need my Qs confirmed from a bunch of places. With 25000 DX in my log, you would think I could get past the 60 country mark--all bands--mixed modes. There is a huge part of this world that is not connected. And THAT is the world I need confirms from.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2178. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 05:55:48 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2143 Message: 2178

> So I think the more important question is .... why isn't LotW more
> attractive to more of them?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

Greenstamps?

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

2179. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 10:58:43 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2143 Message: 2179

Dollar bills..

Chuck
AA5KR
----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 12:55 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> So I think the more important question is .... why isn't LotW more
> attractive to more of them?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ

Greenstamps?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2180. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 14:52:39 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2180

That conclusion seems inescapable. I don't think there's anything that's necessarily negative about DX relying on greenstamps, but I may be in the minority on that.. If there's a little left over from buying the postage, I'm happy to think it might help the DX to improve antennas and station gear. LotW won't do that.

ARRL's Wayne Mills N7NG has said that LotW was never intended to actually replace QSL cards, it's just to provide an alternative for working towards DXCC. But I think a large number of us look at LotW as a way to save time and money. If we look at it as partly a money issue, we sure can't fault some of the DX for doing so.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 1:55 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [LOTW] Security Levels more fit for National Security

> > So I think the more important question is .... why isn't LotW more
> > attractive to more of them?
> >
> > 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> Greenstamps?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2181. Green stanps

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 21:02:52 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2181 Message: 2181

I don't have a problem with the DX stations having a little left over after they pay postage. I DO have a problem with them making a business out of it. Specifically, some that say, minimum of X green stamps required, when we all know it doesn't come close to that many to return a QSL.

Question: Is LOTW down? I just uploaded a file with around 134 contacts, and don't have an acknowledgement back from the computer. It usually takes less than 5 minutes.

73,
Mike, W5UC

2182. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 21:24:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2181 Message: 2182

only had a few to submit, just tried it at 21:23Z, almost instant acceptance and display in Your Qso's listing.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 4:02 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps

>I don't have a problem with the DX stations having a little left over after
> they pay postage. I DO have a problem with them making a business out of
> it. Specifically, some that say, minimum of X green stamps required, when
> we all know it doesn't come close to that many to return a QSL.
>
> Question: Is LOTW down? I just uploaded a file with around 134 contacts,
> and don't have an acknowledgement back from the computer. It usually takes
> less than 5 minutes.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

2183. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 21:32:24 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2181 Message: 2183

Strange. I just looked at LOTW, and my total hasn't changed. That's the
first submission since I renewed my certificate not too long ago. I hope
it isn't screwed up.

Mike

At 04:24 PM 6/18/2005 -0500, you wrote:
>only had a few to submit, just tried it at 21:23Z, almost instant
>acceptance and display
>in Your Qso's listing.
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 4:02 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps
>
>
> >I don't have a problem with the DX stations having a little left over after
> > they pay postage. I DO have a problem with them making a business out of
> > it. Specifically, some that say, minimum of X green stamps required, when
> > we all know it doesn't come close to that many to return a QSL.
> >
> > Question: Is LOTW down? I just uploaded a file with around 134 contacts,
> > and don't have an acknowledgement back from the computer. It usually takes
> > less than 5 minutes.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >

> >
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2184. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 21:36:41 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2181 Message: 2184

I guess it needed jogging up. It updated while I was looking at it.

At 04:24 PM 6/18/2005 -0500, you wrote:
>only had a few to submit, just tried it at 21:23Z, almost instant
>acceptance and display
>in Your Qso's listing.
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 4:02 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps
>
>
> >I don't have a problem with the DX stations having a little left over after
> > they pay postage. I DO have a problem with them making a business out of
> > it. Specifically, some that say, minimum of X green stamps required, when
> > we all know it doesn't come close to that many to return a QSL.
> >
> > Question: Is LOTW down? I just uploaded a file with around 134 contacts,
> > and don't have an acknowledgement back from the computer. It usually takes
> > less than 5 minutes.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
>
>
>

>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2185. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 21:55:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2181 Message: 2185

kicking the monitor helps..
:-))

On 6/18/2005 4:36:41 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) (w5uc@...) wrote:
> I guess it needed jogging up. It updated while I was looking at it.
>
>
>
> At 04:24 PM 6/18/2005 -0500, you wrote:
> >only had a few to submit, just tried it at 21:23Z, almost instant
> >acceptance and display
> >in Your
> Qso's listing.
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
> >To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2005 4:02 PM
> >Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Green stanps
> >
> >
> > >I don't
> have a problem with the DX stations having a little left over after
> > > they pay postage. I DO have a problem with them making a business out
> of
> > > it. Specifically, some that say, minimum of X green stamps required,
> when
> > > we all know it
> doesn't come close to that many to return a QSL.
> > >
> > > Question: Is LOTW down? I just uploaded a file with around 134 contacts,
> > > and don't
> have an acknowledgement back from the computer. It usually takes
> > > less than 5 minutes.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Mike, W5UC
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---

2186. [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 22:13:02 UTC
From: Chuck Layton
Topic: 2186 Message: 2186

As far as a station having money left over from the green stamps. I don't have a problem with it either. I only had a problem with the 2 guys I ran into in Turkey back in the early 90s that only wanted the money and had no intention of sending you a card back. I'm not an avid DX chaser but I do like to collect rare cards if I can.. Now those 2 guys are probally more the exception than the rule but you do find on like that from time to time.

At least you can get into the LOTW user web page. I can't get the page to come up so I can even log in and see if there have been any more confirmed QSOs

Chuck
AA5KR

2187. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-18 22:25:17 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2186 Message: 2187

Chuck, try again. I just uploaded some qso's that had errors that I corrected. It responded much faster than an hour or so ago.

At 05:13 PM 6/18/2005 -0500, you wrote:
>As far as a station having money left over from the green stamps. I don't
>have a problem with it either. I only had a problem with the 2 guys I ran
>into in Turkey back in the early 90s that only wanted the money and had no
>intention of sending you a card back. I'm not an avid DX chaser but I do
>like to collect rare cards if I can.. Now those 2 guys are probally more
>the exception than the rule but you do find on like that from time to time.
>
>At least you can get into the LOTW user web page. I can't get the page to
>come up so I can even log in and see if there have been any more confirmed
>QSOs
>
>Chuck
>AA5KR
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2188. Silly Security?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-19 14:10:28 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2188 Message: 2188

For those who complain about the "hoops" that one needs
jump through to sign up for LotW ... and compares it to
eQSL.cc ... here's the "other side of the story."

On Saturday my call changed from K4IK to W4TV ... this
morning I decided to open up an eQSL account for W4TV.
I logged into my K4IK account and "added a new attached
account." Since I do not, as yet, have the paper copy
of the license, I converted the "Reference Copy" I had
downloaded from the FCC web site to .jpg and uploaded it.

eQSL REJECTED IT!

Talk about absurd "authentication" requirements. It's
not as if I am "unknown" to eQSL - I have accounts for
four previous calls and all of them are "AG."

With LotW, I simply generated a new certificate request,
signed it with my K4IK certificate and away it went.
The LotW certificate for W4TV will be processed on Monday
morning with no further documentation, etc.

I find it interesting that the "easier to use" system
has its issues too.

73,

... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)

2189. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-21 02:02:56 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2137 Message: 2189

My experience with eQSL included literally dozens of "QSL's" from stations I had never worked --- yes, DOZENS, including a lot of new "band countries" which I believe were from "fishermen. I finally logged out of eQSL the day I found a QSL card (which I could have easily "claimed") for a 160M QSO with a JA.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 6/12/2005 8:09:00 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW vs eQSL
>
> Interestingly enough, I have now broken into DXCC territory with eQSL at 101 countries confirmed (mixed modes) and only 53 countries on LOTW. The very same log in both instances. Disappointing...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2190. received today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-21 21:06:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1602 Message: 2190

Now this one did surprise me.
Via LoTW:
ZS9Z for a 1994 80 meter cw Walvis Bay. (deleted)

42.7 percent of all statistics are made up on the spot.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2191. DX worked

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-22 16:15:57 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2191 Message: 2191

It really would be nice to see an addition to the on-line matrix for 'worked' instead of just confirmed. Then a guy could use the web page to dial in what is in the log versus just what is confirmed.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

2192. Recent Problems?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-24 15:34:05 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2192 Message: 2192
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2193. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 347

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-06-25 16:12:34 UTC
From: Joe Dubeck
Topic: 2193 Message: 2193

Dick-

At 150Z 6/25 I uploaded a small group of QSOs (automatically with LOGic 7) and had no problems.

I also immediately downloaded the results and to parody the Geico Car Insurance ads, "I just saved a lot of money on my QSLing with LoTW!"

I was ready to start the process of sending out a stack of QSL cards direct for an ARRL Challenge endorsement and found LoTW QSLs of six recent contacts already there. That saved me around $30 counting IRCs, stamp, envelopes and cards. Low cost instant gratification was exemplified by my 6/23 QSO with HR1CP, that I needed on 12 meters, when Herman said that he uploads his QSLs to LoTW every night . . . and he did. That spirit sure makes chasing awards more fun.

73, Joe, NA9A

At 05:40 AM 6/25/2005, you wrote:
> Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2005 08:34:05 -0700
> From: Richard Zalewski <dick.w7zr@...>
>Subject: Recent Problems?
>
>I have uploaded a small additional file now twice in the last week and it
>still has not shown up in my file. Anyone else experiencing a problem with
>uploads? I have done them successfully in the past.
>
>--
>Tnx es 73
>Dick W7ZR

2194. "Unknown contest"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-03 03:45:08 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2194 Message: 2194

Trying to sign my CABRILLO log from this weekends RAC contest, TQSL aborted with the error message "CABRILLO unknow contest: RAC"

73, de Hans, K0hb
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2195. enhancement request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-11 06:56:34 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2195 Message: 2195

Hello ,

> Don't ask for LOTW! The requirement to resister each call (about 10-12)
> each year is a pain. I stopped that too. I will never understand the
> purpose for doing this every year. I guess if you only have the one call it
> is not too bad.

So the request is an option for renewing ALL the active certs by
single mouse click.

--
73...Art RX9TX 11-Jul-05 06:52 UTC

2196. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] enhancement request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-11 12:46:44 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2195 Message: 2196

Art writes:

> > Don't ask for LOTW! The requirement to resister each call
> > (about 10-12) each year is a pain. I stopped that too. I
> > will never understand the purpose for doing this every year.
> > I guess if you only have the one call it is not too bad.
>
> So the request is an option for renewing ALL the active
> certs by single mouse click.

Art,

I saw where this came from ... most people fail to realize there is no need to renew the certificates for Dxpedition and special event calls unless the call is to be reused on a semi-regular basis.

In the case of a DXpedition, once the entire log is uploaded if the certificate expires the log data is still in the database and still available for matching. The one year period should be enough to upload any corrections, if needed.

73,

... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)

2197. Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 00:38:11 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2143 Message: 2197

I've been going back through my logs trying to catch on a large backlog of band/countries. I find it really strange that almost one week to the day after I mail cards/SAE/green stamps - for one and two year old DXpeditions - the QSLs show up on LotW!

The first couple times this happened I put it down to chance. However, I've had it happen four times in the last two weeks - more than enough to decide that it is no coincidence. I really feel this behavior is not consistent with the spirit of amateur radio. If the DXpeditions, large and small, can have the log search on-line - sometimes while they are still "on the island" - there is no reason for complete logs not to be available on Logbook of the World within 30 to 60 days.

73,

... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)

2198. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 01:44:45 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2143 Message: 2198

> If the DXpeditions, large and small, can have the log
> search on-line - sometimes while they are still
> "on the island" - there is no reason for complete logs not
> to be available on Logbook of the World within 30 to 60 days.

There may not be a technical reason, but there is a sound practical reason.

Some of the most ambitious DXpeditions base part of their budget on the support they expect via the QSL mail. Thus it always has been, and it's a generally accepted way of financing these expensive efforts. Only the most "well-heeled" groups could afford to amputate themselves from that source of help, and it would dry up to a trickle if LoTW got early copies of their logs.

To their credit, almost every major DXpedition group eventually seems to provide their logs via LoTW, so the message seems to be that if you're in a hurry send green stamps, and if you're less anxious then check LoTW in about 6-12 months.

Seems fair to me.

73, de Hans
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2199. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 14:29:17 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2143 Message: 2199

Hans writes:

> To their credit, almost every major DXpedition group eventually
> seems to provide their logs via LoTW, so the message seems to be
> that if you're in a hurry send green stamps, and if you're less
> anxious then check LoTW in about 6-12 months.

This isn't a case of six to 12 months ... the last few cases were:
17 months, 20 months, 15 months, and 36 months. Some of the stations
involved were vacation or contest operations - not major/high cost
efforts. That's a far cry from YV0D (five months) and 3B9C (one
week) which were received via LotW before cards were even mailed!

If a station is going to send a contribution (more than the cost
of postage and printing) with a card, he's just as likely to send
it whether the confirmation is on LotW or not.

Uploading the logs to LotW saves the DXpedition the cost of printing
and handling the tons of bureau cards (and direct cards with only
postage) that represent a significant additional net cost.

73,

... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)

2200. Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 15:02:55 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2200

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <k4ik@s...> wrote:

>
> Uploading the logs to LotW saves the DXpedition the cost of printing
> and handling the tons of bureau cards (and direct cards with only
> postage) that represent a significant additional net cost.
>

With great respect, BUT.....until LoTW has more than the current
10,007 users,[15,076 callsigns] any DxPedition / Special Operation is
merely pandering to a very small minority by using LoTW. Any half
decent DxPedition will make more than 5 times that number of QSO's, so
even if they worked all registered LoTW users there would still be
40,000 paper cards to be handled.[assuming incorrectly 100% QSLing]

The argument of saving 'huge' amounts of money just does not currently
hold water, and will not until LoTW has, I suggest,at least 500,000 Users.

My 'return rate' via LoTW is currently at less than 5% overall and
less than 1% for Datamodes.
So far from last weekends IARU 'bash' - I have ONE LoTW confirmation -
thanks K1TTT <smiles>.

For the next few years what is needed is not only the 'qsl sure via
Buro' tag but also 'qsl sure via LoTW'.

As an aside, has anyone on PSK31 noticed the huge increase in stations
saying 'NO - e-qsl ?'

73 Graham
M5AAV

Happy to get cards/confirmations by any route [except e-qsl <smiles>]

2201. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 15:26:43 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2143 Message: 2201

-SLing]

The argument of saving 'huge' amounts of money just does not currently hold water, and will not until LoTW has, I suggest,at least 500,000 Users.

Graham,

In a simple 'guesstimate' exercise I came with number of 70,000 direct L0TW users (ARRL Award program interested + contesters).

The remainer of 430,000 from your estimate will probably need the service of 'an agent'.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

2202. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 15:33:59 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2143 Message: 2202

Hello m5aav,

Wednesday, July 13, 2005 m5aav wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

m> With great respect, BUT.....until LoTW has more than the current
m> 10,007 users,[15,076 callsigns] any DxPedition / Special Operation is
m> merely pandering to a very small minority by using LoTW. Any half
m> decent DxPedition will make more than 5 times that number of QSO's, so
m> even if they worked all registered LoTW users there would still be
m> 40,000 paper cards to be handled.[assuming incorrectly 100% QSLing]

m> The argument of saving 'huge' amounts of money just does not currently
m> hold water, and will not until LoTW has, I suggest,at least 500,000 Users.

You're too optimistic about the number of actice DXer's, Graham. Say
best dxpedition makes 100,000 QSOs, and many of us make up to 20 QSOs,
like me in K1B case. So I believe the number of active DXers in the
world is something about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare
that number with the number of calls in the latest master.dta file,
which is of the same range, I guess.

Ragchewers prevail :)

--
73...Art RX9TX 13-Jul-05 15:24 UTC

"A prudent question is one half of wisdom." [Francis Bacon]

2203. Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 16:40:02 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2203

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Nenad Stevanovic" <nenad@n...> wrote:

> In a simple 'guesstimate' exercise I came with number of 70,000
> direct L0TW users (ARRL Award program interested + contesters).
>
> The remainer of 430,000 from your estimate will probably need the
> service of 'an agent'.
>
>
> 73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

But,when I sign on to LoTW - it tells me how many users there are.

I assume ARRL have built the system so it is expandable if needs be.

10,000 users is not even 1/5th of UK Licence holders and we are a
small country <smile>

Graham

2204. Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 16:52:13 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2204

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> So I believe the number of active DXers in the
> world is something about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare
> that number with the number of calls in the latest master.dta file,
> which is of the same range, I guess.
>
> Ragchewers prevail :)

Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
I am not a Dx-er per sé. If they are there I will try to work them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.

For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing. Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make Honor Roll. The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my QSO's just in case someone needs them.

Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams which are the envy of most UK stations.

I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities - which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA] comes on stream.

Graham

2205. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 17:00:24 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2143 Message: 2205

Graham,

You will be surprised how few people are interested in
QSL business at all. Canadian case:

Number of license 40K+

QSL Bureau users: 2K

The scalability issue is multilayer problem. It is not
just the number of users/number of records game. There is
number of transactions per minute, distibution of processing,
distribution of entry points, backup and restore of data,
new realase or s/w patch application while the system IS,
help desk etc ...

You make an excellent point "... built the system so it
is expandable if needs be." Expandable to WHAT?

Supplementary tool for One Award Program, or WW
QSL Service replacement?

73 Nenad ....

-----Original Message-----
> 73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

But,when I sign on to LoTW - it tells me how many users there are.

I assume ARRL have built the system so it is expandable if needs be.

10,000 users is not even 1/5th of UK Licence holders and we are a small
country <smile>

Graham

2206. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 17:11:19 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2206

IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder if IOTA will ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling the IOTA list?

Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High Definition TV today. NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set because there are not enough programs.

It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will be solved, if ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> something
> > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> number with
> > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which is of the
> > same range, I guess.
> >
> > Ragchewers prevail :)
>
> Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> I am not a Dx-er per sé. If they are there I will try to work
> them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
>
> For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make Honor Roll.
> The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> QSO's just in case someone needs them.
>
> Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams
> which are the envy of most UK stations.
>

> I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> comes on stream.
>
> Graham
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2207. Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 17:37:19 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2143 Message: 2207

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Nenad Stevanovic" <nenad@n...> wrote:
>
> Graham,
>
> You will be surprised how few people are interested in
> QSL business at all. Canadian case:
>
>
> Number of license 40K+
>
> QSL Bureau users: 2K

That doesn't surprise me at all.

I am the RSGB QSL SubMangler for the M5 series.

Over 20% of the cards I get each month are 'not wanted'.
Since the series started in 1999 I have had over 10,000 unwanteds, and it is getting worse with people 'forgetting' to replace their SAE's, then not responding to e-mails. Now I don't chase them, it is just not worth it.

73

Graham

2208. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-13 18:25:20 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2143 Message: 2208

They say Rome wasn't built in a day, and as a former LOTW critic I now must admit it has come a long way and I can see its merit has grown in the past year or so.

However, not only does LOTW need to apply to more awards...such as WAS, perhaps IOTA, and definitely the new CQ year-long contests.... but I think it would also get a big boost if the instructions for signing up and using were published in greater detail in more languages.

Seems to me that, just as with most tools, the easier LOTW becomes to use, and the more useful LOTW becomes, the more users LOTW will attract.

my2 cents, 73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 1:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder if IOTA will ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling the IOTA list?

Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High Definition TV today. NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set because there are not enough programs.

It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will be solved, if ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> something
> > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that

> number with
> > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which is of the
> > same range, I guess.
> >
> > Ragchewers prevail :)
>
> Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> I am not a Dx-er per s?. If they are there I will try to work
> them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
>
> For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make Honor Roll.
> The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> QSO's just in case someone needs them.
>
> Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams
> which are the envy of most UK stations.
>
> I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> comes on stream.
>
> Graham
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2209. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-14 02:20:24 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2209

The system could be a dream to use if you had only to enter your call, your location , and any other required things, hit enter and ALL other setup would be automatic including getting a certificate if you still need one. Nobody should have to know anything about keys and other crypto geek speak to get set up.

This may not ever happen. Crypto is not used on email in any large amount yet because it is so hard.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 1:25 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> They say Rome wasn't built in a day, and as a former LOTW
> critic I now must admit it has come a long way and I can see
> its merit has grown in the past year or so.
>
> However, not only does LOTW need to apply to more
> awards...such as WAS, perhaps IOTA, and definitely the new CQ
> year-long contests.... but I think it would also get a big
> boost if the instructions for signing up and using were
> published in greater detail in more languages.
>
> Seems to me that, just as with most tools, the easier LOTW
> becomes to use, and the more useful LOTW becomes, the more
> users LOTW will attract.
>
> my2 cents, 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@charter.net>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 1:11 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
>
> IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder
> if IOTA will ever happen as then there would be no revenue

> from selling the IOTA list?
>
> Previous postings that there are not enough users are not
> what are important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and
> High Definition TV today.
> NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set
> because there are not enough programs.
>
> It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will
> be solved, if ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved
> because of the huge advertising business and the huge
> potential for selling hardware.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> > something
> > > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> > number with
> > > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which
> is of the
> > > same range, I guess.
> > >
> > > Ragchewers prevail :)
> >
> > Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> > I am not a Dx-er per sé. If they are there I will try to
> work them, if
> > I miss out, then tough cookie.
> >
> > For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> > Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make
> Honor Roll.
> > The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> QSO's just in
> > case someone needs them.
> >
> > Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC

> on LoTW, and
> > he runs considerably more power than I,into beams which are
> the envy
> > of most UK stations.
> >
> > I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW,
> people probably
> > just need to be made aware of its capabilities - which will be even
> > better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA] comes on stream.
> >
> > Graham
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2210. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-14 03:21:56 UTC
From: Bruce Lee
Topic: 2143 Message: 2210

The RSGB has dropped the requirement that you have an IOTA record book number for IOTA submissions. The directory is now available on-line. Many (myself included), I believe will continue to purchase the printed directory.

At the Visalia DX convention IOTA dinner this year, Martin G3ZAY and Dominic M0BLF were present and indicated that the RSGB is looking at what it will take to incorporate LOTW into the IOTA program.

Bruce KD6WW

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 5:11 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder if IOTA will ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling the IOTA list?

Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High Definition TV today. NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set because there are not enough programs.

It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will be solved, if ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> something
> > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> number with
> > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which is of the
> > same range, I guess.

> >
> > Ragchewers prevail :)
>
> Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> I am not a Dx-er per s?. If they are there I will try to work
> them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
>
> For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make Honor Roll.
> The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> QSO's just in case someone needs them.
>
> Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams
> which are the envy of most UK stations.
>
> I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> comes on stream.
>
> Graham
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2211. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-14 11:46:57 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2211

That is news to me. I go to RSGB site and they have no online version, only the printed is listed. Can you post a url for this online version and is it up to date?
Thanks.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bruce Lee
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 10:22 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> The RSGB has dropped the requirement that you have an IOTA
> record book number for IOTA submissions. The directory is
> now available on-line. Many (myself included), I believe
> will continue to purchase the printed directory.
>
> At the Visalia DX convention IOTA dinner this year, Martin
> G3ZAY and Dominic M0BLF were present and indicated that the
> RSGB is looking at what it will take to incorporate LOTW into
> the IOTA program.
>
> Bruce KD6WW
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 5:11 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
>
> IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder
> if IOTA will
> ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling
> the IOTA list?
>
> Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are
> important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High
> Definition TV today.
> NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set
> because there are not

> enough programs.
>
> It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will
> be solved, if
> ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge
> advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> > something
> > > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> > number with
> > > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which is of the
> > > same range, I guess.
> > >
> > > Ragchewers prevail :)
> >
> > Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> > I am not a Dx-er per sé. If they are there I will try to work
> > them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
> >
> > For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> > Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make
> Honor Roll.
> > The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> > QSO's just in case someone needs them.
> >
> > Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> > LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams
> > which are the envy of most UK stations.
> >
> > I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> > probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> > which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> > comes on stream.
> >
> > Graham

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2212. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-15 00:01:00 UTC
From: Bruce Lee
Topic: 2143 Message: 2212

Gil:

G3KMA, the RSGB IOTA Manager has a website at
http://www.g3kma.dsl.pipex.com/

The 2004 IOTA directory is published in sections. There is an additional
section that lists additions since the 2004 directory was published.

73 Bruce

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 11:46 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

That is news to me. I go to RSGB site and they have no online version, only
the printed is listed. Can you post a url for this online version and is it
up to date?
Thanks.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bruce Lee
> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 10:22 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> The RSGB has dropped the requirement that you have an IOTA
> record book number for IOTA submissions. The directory is
> now available on-line. Many (myself included), I believe
> will continue to purchase the printed directory.
>
> At the Visalia DX convention IOTA dinner this year, Martin
> G3ZAY and Dominic M0BLF were present and indicated that the
> RSGB is looking at what it will take to incorporate LOTW into
> the IOTA program.
>
> Bruce KD6WW
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>

> Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 5:11 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
>
> IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder
> if IOTA will
> ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling
> the IOTA list?
>
> Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are
> important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High
> Definition TV today.
> NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set
> because there are not
> enough programs.
>
> It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will
> be solved, if
> ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge
> advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> > something
> > > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> > number with
> > > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file, which is of the
> > > same range, I guess.
> > >
> > > Ragchewers prevail :)
> >
> > Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> > I am not a Dx-er per s?. If they are there I will try to work
> > them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
> >
> > For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby of QSLing.
> > Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make

> Honor Roll.
> > The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> > QSO's just in case someone needs them.
> >
> > Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> > LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams
> > which are the envy of most UK stations.
> >
> > I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> > probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> > which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> > comes on stream.
> >
> > Graham
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2213. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-15 01:35:01 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2143 Message: 2213

This is nothing new and not much more usable than it ever was. It is still designed to force the purchase of the list in a usable form for programs. Luckily I use the DXLABS suite and they have produced a program that has the directory included and is integrated with logging and tracking. For those that don□t use this or a similar one the list you point to in .doc format is useless, and even=n more so to those that don't have word or a word reader.

I agree that they have a perfect right to try to encourage the purchase but I think it hurts them and it certainly does not lead to the thought that it will be on LotW anytime soon.

As an example, to have a printed list and a machine readable list for a program like Logic, you have to buy the book and then you have to pay another 10 (I Think although perhaps you get both for 10 ?) and install it in the program. This is the only award program I know of that tries to charge for participation.
Again, they do have the right to do this and people have to decide for themselves if it is worth it to them or not. Many do think it is.

I have used logic in the past and bought the listing but I don't think one should have to pay again for every update. You don't if you just use update but do if you want a full new listing in one group. The listing is also useful only for that one program so if you have others that need it you pay again.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bruce Lee
> Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:01 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
> Gil:
>
> G3KMA, the RSGB IOTA Manager has a website at
> http://www.g3kma.dsl.pipex.com/
>
> The 2004 IOTA directory is published in sections. There is
> an additional section that lists additions since the 2004
> directory was published.
>
> 73 Bruce

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...t>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 11:46 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
>
>
> That is news to me. I go to RSGB site and they have no online
> version, only
> the printed is listed. Can you post a url for this online
> version and is it
> up to date?
> Thanks.
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bruce Lee
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 10:22 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> >
> > The RSGB has dropped the requirement that you have an IOTA
> > record book number for IOTA submissions. The directory is
> > now available on-line. Many (myself included), I believe
> > will continue to purchase the printed directory.
> >
> > At the Visalia DX convention IOTA dinner this year, Martin
> > G3ZAY and Dominic M0BLF were present and indicated that the
> > RSGB is looking at what it will take to incorporate LOTW into
> > the IOTA program.
> >
> > Bruce KD6WW
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 5:11 PM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> >
> >
> > IOTA would be another HUGE addition along with WAS. I wonder
> > if IOTA will
> > ever happen as then there would be no revenue from selling
> > the IOTA list?

> >
> > Previous postings that there are not enough users are not what are
> > important. IT is just like Color TV in the 50s and High
> > Definition TV today.
> > NO programs because not enough sets and not enough set
> > because there are not
> > enough programs.
> >
> > It is a perfect analogy and it is a big question when it will
> > be solved, if
> > ever in the case of LotW. The TV WILL be solved because of the huge
> > advertising business and the huge potential for selling hardware.
> >
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of m5aav
> > > Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:52 AM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Has Anyone Noticed?
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
> > > > So I believe the number of active DXers in the world is
> > > something
> > > > about 30-40,000 different calls. You can compare that
> > > number with
> > > > the number of calls in the latest master.dta file,
> which is of the
> > > > same range, I guess.
> > > >
> > > > Ragchewers prevail :)
> > >
> > > Which begs the question,'Why have I got an LoTW account ?'
> > > I am not a Dx-er per sé. If they are there I will try to work
> > > them, if I miss out, then tough cookie.
> > >
> > > For me, it is just another facet of the additional hobby
> of QSLing.
> > > Sure I have DXCC on the wall, and WAC. But I'll never make
> > Honor Roll.
> > > The last major DxPedition I worked was D68C. I upload my
> > > QSO's just in case someone needs them.
> > >
> > > Even G6PZ who I am QSL Mangler <sic> for, hasn't got DXCC on
> > > LoTW, and he runs considerably more power than I,into beams

> > > which are the envy of most UK stations.
> > >
> > > I dont think anyone *needs* to be a DXer to use LoTW, people
> > > probably just need to be made aware of its capabilities -
> > > which will be even better when WAS [and maybe one day IOTA]
> > > comes on stream.
> > >
> > > Graham
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2214. Re: Has Anyone Noticed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-15 07:08:14 UTC
From: Boris Knezovic T93Y
Topic: 2143 Message: 2214

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> This is the only award program I know of that tries to
> charge for participation.

Gil,

I am not IOTA member, but I suggest that you more carefully read http://www.rsgbiota.org/pdfs/charges.pdf because after initial payment of $10.00 for IOTAMEM program you save 35% of $0.125 QSO charge. This means that after 229 QSO's submitted you actually start saving money... BTW program is free for download and fully functional, but you need to pay to have program's electronic submissions accepted.

Regarding charging for participation, into which category falls ARRL's $10.00 or $20.00 application fee which have to be paid with each submission?

73's Boris T93Y
http://www.t93y.com

2215. Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 16:13:54 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH
Topic: 2215 Message: 2215

Good Monday Morning:

Is anyone other than me having problems accessing the ARRL LOTW log-in page?

73
Mike, W5UC

2216. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 16:34:24 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2215 Message: 2216
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2217. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 16:45:27 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH
Topic: 2215 Message: 2217

Thanks Dick:

I guess I need to relax & maybe take a nap.. HI

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 09:34 AM 7/18/2005 -0700, you wrote:
>Yes, I am having the same problem.
>
>Dick W7ZR
>
>On 7/18/05, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH <<mailto:w5uc@...>w5uc@...>
>wrote:
>>Good Monday Morning:
>>
>>Is anyone other than me having problems accessing the ARRL LOTW log-in page?
>>
>>73
>>Mike, W5UC
>>
>>
>>
>>----------
>>YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>> * Visit your group
>> "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>> *
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> * <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> *
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>>
>>----------
>
>
>
>--
>Tnx es 73
>Dick W7ZR
>
>----------

>YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> * Visit your group
> "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>----------

2218. Re: Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 16:49:11 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2215 Message: 2218

> >On 7/18/05, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH <<mailto:w5uc@c...>w5uc@c...>
> >wrote:
> >>Good Monday Morning:
> >>
> >>Is anyone other than me having problems accessing the ARRL LOTW
log-in page?
> >>

Dead in the UK as well.

M5AAV

2219. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 21:49:23 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 2219 Message: 2219

that happens quite often especially on mondays..
seems something runs out of specifications unattended
over the weekend.. it returns to normal operation at
east coast office time probably someone hits reset!?

> --- Urspr?ngliche Nachricht ---
> Von: "m5aav" <qsl@...>
> An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Access
>
> > >On 7/18/05, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH <<mailto:w5uc@c...>w5uc@c...>
> > >wrote:
> > >>Good Monday Morning:
> > >>
> > >>Is anyone other than me having problems accessing the ARRL LOTW
> log-in page?
> > >>
>
> Dead in the UK as well.
>
> M5AAV
>

--
GMX DSL = Maximale Leistung zum minimalen Preis!
2000 MB nur 2,99, Flatrate ab 4,99 Euro/Monat: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/dsl

2220. LoTW offline cause

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 22:01:50 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2220 Message: 2220

They are enhancing the security...
And they really did a good job...not only can a hacker not get in...
Neither can I!

Multitasking: Screwing up several things at once...

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2221. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW offline cause

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 22:28:21 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2220 Message: 2221

It's back on-line as of some time just before 6 PM (eastern).

73,

... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 6:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW offline cause
>
>
> They are enhancing the security...
> And they really did a good job...not only can a hacker not get in...
> Neither can I!
>
>
> ---
> Multitasking: Screwing up several things at once...
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>

2222. Fwd: Re: [DX-CHAT] QSL costs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-07-18 22:48:27 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH
Topic: 2222 Message: 2222

>Date: Mon, 18 Jul 2005 17:46:26 -0500
>To: "Jerry K3BZ" <k3bz@...>
>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH" <w5uc@...>
>Subject: Re: [DX-CHAT] QSL costs
>
>Double Amen! I don't mind throwing in enough to buy Him a cold beer, but
>I'm not gonna buy the steak and desert.
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
>At 05:14 PM 7/18/2005 -0400, you wrote:
>>Amen, brother. Nobody begrudges the guys in less developed countries a
>>little extra. US hams, like the USA itself, are among the world's most
>>generous. Thanks for saying that so well, Joe.
>>
>>73, Jerry K3BZ
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <k4ik@...>
>>To: "'Charles Harpole'" <k4vud@...>; <dx-chat@...>
>>Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 4:10 PM
>>Subject: RE: [DX-CHAT] QSL costs
>>
>>
>> >
>> > Charlie,
>> >
>> > > I think any US ham should send any DX ham FIVE US DOLLARS
>> > > freely if he wants a card back. Can we be so cheap otherwise?
>> > > No one is gonna get rich at ham radio, believe me.
>> > >
>> > > If u disagree with me, please walk in the shoes of DX ops of
>> > > modest means; then decide. 73
>> >
>> > I doubt that anyone is going to complain about a little bit
>> > extra to help out "needy" hams in the most underdeveloped
>> > countries. However, this thread started because a VK manager
>> > refuses to handle bureau cards and is asking for a $2 premium
>> > above the $2 (actually $1.35 US at current exchange rates) is
>> > actually costs to return a card.
>> >

>> > For managers in Europe, VK/ZL, the USA, VE ... even some of
>> > the leading "developing nations" of South America to behave
>> > that way is unacceptable. Not every DX-er is "made of money"
>> > - many even in the USA are retired and on fixed incomes.
>> > The "round trip" cost of a traditional QSL (card, two envelopes,
>> > postage, two "green stamps") is more than $3.00 and that's not
>> > a throw away for some.
>> >
>> > 73,
>> >
>> > ... Joe, W4TV (ex K4IK)
>> >
>> >
>> > Subscribe/unsubscribe, feedback, FAQ, problems
>> > http://njdxa.org/dx-chat
>> >
>> > To post a message, DX related items only, dx-chat@...
>> >
>> > This is the DX-CHAT reflector sponsored by the NJDXA
>> > http://njdxa.org
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>Subscribe/unsubscribe, feedback, FAQ, problems
>>http://njdxa.org/dx-chat
>>
>>To post a message, DX related items only, dx-chat@...
>>
>>This is the DX-CHAT reflector sponsored by the NJDXA
>>http://njdxa.org

2223. July was belly belly gud 2 me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-02 02:37:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2223 Message: 2223

At least as far as LoTW qsls goes.
I even got a few LoTW qsls that were good for Challenge submission.

Here's the URL.
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/reports/July-LoTW-QSLs.htm

I noticed a few of those were coming the late 90's.
Still my earliest LoTW qsl was from a 1971 qso....

Things are more like they are now than they ever were before.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2224. System

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-06 23:49:59 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 2224 Message: 2224

Is the LOTW system down ? I have been trying for the past 24 hours to load a few qso's with no luck.....Mike K9HCK

2225. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] System

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-06 23:54:59 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 2224 Message: 2225

Web site comes up OK. 0754 EDST

-------Original Message-------

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] System

Is the LOTW system down ? I have been trying for the past 24 hours to
load a few qso's with no luck.....Mike K9HCK

2226. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] System

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-07 00:34:45 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2224 Message: 2226

LoTW has been down all day here.
73,
Chris, AC?M

mac2251 wrote:
> Is the LOTW system down ? I have been trying for the past 24 hours to
> load a few qso's with no luck.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>

2227. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] System

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-07 01:23:44 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2224 Message: 2227

Here also

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2005 5:34 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] System

> LoTW has been down all day here.
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
> mac2251 wrote:
>> Is the LOTW system down ? I have been trying for the past 24 hours to
>> load a few qso's with no luck.....Mike K9HCK
>>
>>
>>
>> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>>
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>>
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2228. LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-08 23:03:37 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 2228 Message: 2228

Is the system still down ? I can get the ARRL page etc, but not the log in for LOTW page.....Mike K9HCK

2229. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-08 23:10:52 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2228 Message: 2229

Mike I sure hope you are right as I notice the same thing. I can bring up the ARRL home page but not LOtW. By the way I submitted QSO's via e-mail and got back the confirmation....

Don't know what the problem is.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "mac2251" <k9hckm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2005 4:03 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

> Is the system still down ? I can get the ARRL page etc, but not the
> log in for LOTW page.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2230. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-08 23:17:07 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2228 Message: 2230
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2231. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-08 23:19:40 UTC
From: Joseph Glockner
Topic: 2228 Message: 2231

Just got in also - all ok right now.

73 Joe wa6axe

mac2251 wrote:
> Is the system still down ? I can get the ARRL page etc, but not the
> log in for LOTW page.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Craft hobby
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+suppl
y&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=YqiuyVwjnQFdynnsmMwVWg>
> Hobby and craft supply
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+
craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VduRJqNqUo9QkUiTI-knAA>
> Ham radio
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&
w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sl_TRqZTiP_WHSLe6P3ybA>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on
> the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
> ---
>

2232. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-09 00:27:30 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2228 Message: 2232

Thanks guy just checked and got in with no problems.....

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joseph Glockner" <jglock2@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2005 4:19 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

> Just got in also - all ok right now.
>
> 73 Joe wa6axe
>
> mac2251 wrote:
>> Is the system still down ? I can get the ARRL page etc, but not the
>> log in for LOTW page.....Mike K9HCK
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> SPONSORED LINKS
>> Craft hobby
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=YqiuyVwjnQFdynnsmMwVWg>
>> Hobby and craft supply
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VduRJqNqUo9QkUiTI-knAA>
>> Ham radio
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sl_TRqZTiP_WHSLe6P3ybA>
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on
>> the web.
>>
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>>

>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service
>> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2233. DX problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-09 11:20:04 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2233 Message: 2233

I see that there has been some issues with getting
into LOTW. Also over time we have wondered why more
DX stations don't use it more. The following is a
copy of an e-mail that a logging group I belong to
received yesterday:

Mike Dwyer wrote:

> I wonder if other users of LoTW experience the
frustration I have
> trying to access their site.
>
> There are so many times of just no response.
>
> If their servers are down for maintenance or another
reason why can?t
> a message indicate this?
>
> It would save a lot of time and ISP charges to users
like me on a pay
> by minute dial-up service.
>
> 73 Mike ZL2MM (ex ZL2AMA)

Note that he says he pays by the minute for dial-up
service! I wonder how common this is in other parts
of the world?

Randy
AG8A

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2234. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DX problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-09 11:51:46 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2233 Message: 2234

VERY FEW pay by the minute any more I suspect but some may be paying by the byte. In UK there is a broadband that will be at least 10Mbps but with a limit (pretty large) of monthly traffic

It would be only nice if an indication would be given when the system is down, of course if it is totally down then it is impossible to give this indication :-)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rlb
> Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2005 6:20 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DX problems
>
> I see that there has been some issues with getting into LOTW.
> Also over time we have wondered why more DX stations don't
> use it more. The following is a copy of an e-mail that a
> logging group I belong to received yesterday:
>
> Mike Dwyer wrote:
>
> > I wonder if other users of LoTW experience the
> frustration I have
> > trying to access their site.
> >
> > There are so many times of just no response.
> >
> > If their servers are down for maintenance or another
> reason why can't
> > a message indicate this?
> >
> > It would save a lot of time and ISP charges to users
> like me on a pay
> > by minute dial-up service.
> >
> > 73 Mike ZL2MM (ex ZL2AMA)
>
> Note that he says he pays by the minute for dial-up service!
> I wonder how common this is in other parts of the world?
>
> Randy

> AG8A
>
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection
> around http://mail.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2235. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DX problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-09 11:51:29 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2233 Message: 2235

From here it appears that it only happens infrequently, usually over, or immediately after, a weekend.

It would be nice, however, if they would post upcoming downtimes here on the reflector.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: rlb
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2005 8:20 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DX problems

I see that there has been some issues with getting
into LOTW. Also over time we have wondered why more
DX stations don't use it more. The following is a
copy of an e-mail that a logging group I belong to
received yesterday:

Mike Dwyer wrote:

> I wonder if other users of LoTW experience the
frustration I have
> trying to access their site.
>
> There are so many times of just no response.
>
> If their servers are down for maintenance or another
reason why can't
> a message indicate this?
>
> It would save a lot of time and ISP charges to users
like me on a pay
> by minute dial-up service.
>
> 73 Mike ZL2MM (ex ZL2AMA)

Note that he says he pays by the minute for dial-up
service! I wonder how common this is in other parts
of the world?

Randy
AG8A

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2236. Re: DX problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-10 13:55:41 UTC
From: Boris Knezovic T93Y
Topic: 2233 Message: 2236

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@c...> wrote:
> VERY FEW pay by the minute any more I suspect but some may be paying by the byte.

I guess you can say this "VERY FEW" for dial-up users in USA. Here in Bosnia and Herzegovina not only that you pay per hour (per minute) rate to your ISP for use of Internet but you are also charged per minute for making a local telephone call to ISP.

73's Boris T93Y
http://www.t93y.com

2237. LOTW Availability Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-11 14:01:36 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 2237 Message: 2237

I think the LOTW system is a wonderful idea despite the relatively slow pace of extending it to more awards and the confusing information that confronts new users. I do see more logging software supporting LOTW and supporting it better. That is making it easier for new and old users to use the system so that is a good development.

I personally upload every single QSO to LOTW and eQSL because using DXKeeper it takes only a few clicks to do so and two-way synchronization takes place with a VERY brief connection to the system. And it is exciting to see confirmations of new countries showing up quickly in the LOTW system.

BUT I am SHOCKED at the amount of times the system is not available. As I write this, the system has been unavailable for over an hour and a half this morning (13:55 UTC).

The ARRL (of which I am a supporter and a Life Member) is squandering an opportunity to make this system a successful world-wide one by continuing to have these operational problems.

My business consists entirely of making web-based applications available to our customers all day every day and we would have gone out of business long ago if our site was unavailable as often as the LOTW is.

I do not know what the problem is because the ARRL isn't talking about it (as far as I know). It could be that with more users joining the system the servers are overloaded in which case additional and/or more powerful servers are needed.

Or it could be that the servers are not configured properly in whcih case more knowledgable people should be brought in to fix the problems.

It could be that the software design cannot handle the load of more users which would take longer to correct. If that were the case the ARRL should ask folks to limit their logins to once a week or whatever is needed to reduce the load until the design can be improved.

In any event as users around the world try to use the system and experience difficulty they will turn their back on it and it will be very difficult to get them to come back. And that would be sad because LOTW is a great idea with the potential to save both DXers and DX stations a great deal of time and money.

At a minimum the ARRL site should have explantions of what problems are being experienced, when they are happening, when the system is expected to be available again and what is being done to permanently

fix the problem.

Some time ago eBay had frequent operational problems but they had a different server that was always available that explained what was happening and why and what was being done about it. As a user, not being able to access the eBay auctions didn't make me happy but having an explanation and a time the system would be available encouraged me to keep using eBay. I suggest the ARRL do something similar.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

2238. Tnxs for the Approval

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-14 22:52:48 UTC
From: ku4fp
Topic: 2238 Message: 2238

Tnxs for having me......

Russ / KU4FP

Hello,

Welcome to the ARRL-LOTW group at Yahoo! Groups,

2239. question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 11:53:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2239 Message: 2239

I received an email reminding me to renew my cert.
I presently have certs for my 3 callsigns.
For one current station that I qsl manage.

9 old DX operations that were logs saved by the QSL Managers Society.
(I transcribed these old logs onto computer and but them on LoTW)
They still get an occasional LoTW qsl as people get their own old logs online.

Now here is the issue I'm asking about.
When I log onto LoTW to check for MY recent qsls, the Your QSO's page will show ALL of these callsigns for me to choose from.
It will show an numeric total of all the qsls even though many are for my current managing, the OLD logs, and my own log entries.

When I wish to check my own qsls, I have to select my call from among the existing 13 possible callsigns.

If I also select Award Account, again there are 13 possibles.

Now after all that, here is my question.

If I allow the OLD logs calls certificates to expire, will they no longer be added to my qso/qsl numeric totals, nor to the possible choices in the Your Call Sign and Award Account???

To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal callsigns and the one station I manage that is currently active. Will allowing the others to expire achieve that?

Please and thank you.
W9OL

Illinois State Motto: Please Don't Pronounce the S

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2240. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 12:59:09 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2239 Message: 2240

The certificates were only to allow you to access in the first place so what is there is there forever.
You always need a CURRENT certificate for EVERY call if you want to send any more QSOes to LotW for that call. If not, you don't. What is there is there and can NEVER be changed. It can't (unfortunately even if wrong) be deleted.

If you ever find data for a call is wrong and you want to correct it you must upload the correction and than means you must have a current valid certificate for that call.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 6:54 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; Patton, David NN1N
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'
>
> I received an email reminding me to renew my cert.
> I presently have certs for my 3 callsigns.
> For one current station that I qsl manage.
>
> 9 old DX operations that were logs saved by the QSL Managers Society.
> (I transcribed these old logs onto computer and but them on
> LoTW) They still get an occasional LoTW qsl as people get
> their own old logs online.
>
> Now here is the issue I'm asking about.
> When I log onto LoTW to check for MY recent qsls, the Your
> QSO's page will show ALL of these callsigns for me to choose from.
> It will show an numeric total of all the qsls even though
> many are for my current managing, the OLD logs, and my own
> log entries.
>
> When I wish to check my own qsls, I have to select my call
> from among the existing 13 possible callsigns.
>
> If I also select Award Account, again there are 13 possibles.
>
> Now after all that, here is my question.
>
> If I allow the OLD logs calls certificates to expire, will
> they no longer be added to my qso/qsl numeric totals, nor to

> the possible choices in the Your Call Sign and Award Account???
>
> To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal
> callsigns and the one station I manage that is currently
> active. Will allowing the others to expire achieve that?
>
>
> Please and thank you.
> W9OL
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Illinois State Motto: Please Don't Pronounce the S
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2241. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 15:30:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2239 Message: 2241

Please go back and reread my question.

----- Original Message -----
From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:59 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

> The certificates were only to allow you to access in the first place so what
> is there is there forever.
> You always need a CURRENT certificate for EVERY call if you want to send any
> more QSOes to LotW for that call. If not, you don't. What is there is there
> and can NEVER be changed. It can't (unfortunately even if wrong) be deleted.
>
> If you ever find data for a call is wrong and you want to correct it you
> must upload the correction and than means you must have a current valid
> certificate for that call.
>
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
>> Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 6:54 AM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; Patton, David NN1N
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'
>>
>> I received an email reminding me to renew my cert.
>> I presently have certs for my 3 callsigns.
>> For one current station that I qsl manage.
>>
>> 9 old DX operations that were logs saved by the QSL Managers Society.
>> (I transcribed these old logs onto computer and but them on
>> LoTW) They still get an occasional LoTW qsl as people get
>> their own old logs online.
>>
>> Now here is the issue I'm asking about.
>> When I log onto LoTW to check for MY recent qsls, the Your
>> QSO's page will show ALL of these callsigns for me to choose from.
>> It will show an numeric total of all the qsls even though
>> many are for my current managing, the OLD logs, and my own

>> log entries.
>>
>> When I wish to check my own qsls, I have to select my call
>> from among the existing 13 possible callsigns.
>>
>> If I also select Award Account, again there are 13 possibles.
>>
>> Now after all that, here is my question.
>>
>> If I allow the OLD logs calls certificates to expire, will
>> they no longer be added to my qso/qsl numeric totals, nor to
>> the possible choices in the Your Call Sign and Award Account???
>>
>> To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal
>> callsigns and the one station I manage that is currently
>> active. Will allowing the others to expire achieve that?
>>
>>
>> Please and thank you.
>> W9OL
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Illinois State Motto: Please Don't Pronounce the S
>> --
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>> ----------------------~-->
>> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
>> your home page
>> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>> ---
>> -------~->
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Craft hobby Hobby and craft supply Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2242. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 16:54:00 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2239 Message: 2242

>> I allow the OLD logs calls certificates to expire, will they no longer be added to my qso/qsl numeric totals, nor to the >> possible choices in the Your Call Sign and Award Account???

1. I thought I answered that by my reply. You will never lose anything earned. Now and forever your credits will remain.

2. If you want to change anything you must get a certificate for the call you want to change data for and then it will be the new data.

That is how I read the rules but I could be wrong. Ask the ARRL if you need more opinion.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 10:31 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'
>
> Please go back and reread my question.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "rojomn" <rojomn@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:59 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'
>
>
> > The certificates were only to allow you to access in the
> first place so what
> > is there is there forever.
> > You always need a CURRENT certificate for EVERY call if you
> want to send any
> > more QSOes to LotW for that call. If not, you don't. What
> is there is there
> > and can NEVER be changed. It can't (unfortunately even if
> wrong) be deleted.
> >
> > If you ever find data for a call is wrong and you want to
> correct it you
> > must upload the correction and than means you must have a

> current valid
> > certificate for that call.
> >
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> >> Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 6:54 AM
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; Patton, David NN1N
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'
> >>
> >> I received an email reminding me to renew my cert.
> >> I presently have certs for my 3 callsigns.
> >> For one current station that I qsl manage.
> >>
> >> 9 old DX operations that were logs saved by the QSL
> Managers Society.
> >> (I transcribed these old logs onto computer and but them on
> >> LoTW) They still get an occasional LoTW qsl as people get
> >> their own old logs online.
> >>
> >> Now here is the issue I'm asking about.
> >> When I log onto LoTW to check for MY recent qsls, the Your
> >> QSO's page will show ALL of these callsigns for me to choose from.
> >> It will show an numeric total of all the qsls even though
> >> many are for my current managing, the OLD logs, and my own
> >> log entries.
> >>
> >> When I wish to check my own qsls, I have to select my call
> >> from among the existing 13 possible callsigns.
> >>
> >> If I also select Award Account, again there are 13 possibles.
> >>
> >> Now after all that, here is my question.
> >>
> >> If I allow the OLD logs calls certificates to expire, will
> >> they no longer be added to my qso/qsl numeric totals, nor to
> >> the possible choices in the Your Call Sign and Award Account???
> >>
> >> To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal
> >> callsigns and the one station I manage that is currently
> >> active. Will allowing the others to expire achieve that?
> >>
> >>
> >> Please and thank you.

> >> W9OL
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> ---
> >> Illinois State Motto: Please Don't Pronounce the S
> >> ---
> >>
> >> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >> ----------------------~-->
> >> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> >> your home page
> >> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> >> ---
> >> ------~->
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS Craft hobby Hobby and craft supply Ham radio
> >
> >
> >
> ---
> ------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> Terms of Service.
> >
> >

> >
> ---
> ------------------
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2243. Re: question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 22:42:19 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 2239 Message: 2243

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:

Snip
> To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal callsigns and
the one station I
> manage that is currently active. Will allowing the others to expire
achieve that?

In a word 'No' I allowed a couple of my old (one from the '70s)
callsign certificates to lapse, and whilst I can no longer add to or
correct anything, the details are still there in the total QSOs/QSLs
and I can see when and if I get any addtitional hits if anyone
uploads their log - only one to date!!
Yes, they are there for ever, just like any errors you upload.

What I would like to see is the bug corrected which makes my total
decrease when someone uploads a hit for a second time - that's been
around for ever and really ought to be sorted.

73 - Ivan G4BGW

2244. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for LoTW concerning 'renewal'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-17 23:26:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2239 Message: 2244

> Snip
>> To say if another way. I wish to ONLY see my personal callsigns and
> the one station I
>> manage that is currently active. Will allowing the others to expire
> achieve that?
>
> In a word 'No' I allowed a couple of my old (one from the '70s)
> callsign certificates to lapse, and whilst I can no longer add to or
> correct anything, the details are still there in the total QSOs/QSLs
> and I can see when and if I get any addtitional hits if anyone
> uploads their log - only one to date!!
> Yes, they are there for ever, just like any errors you upload.
>
> What I would like to see is the bug corrected which makes my total
> decrease when someone uploads a hit for a second time - that's been
> around for ever and really ought to be sorted.
>
>
> 73 - Ivan G4BGW
Thanks Ivan
That answers my question.
Now I wish I hadn't obtained certificates for the old logs I submitted using my certificate., Just clutters up the screen and makes the numbers totally inaccurate.
Not that the numbers are an issue, I rarely looked at them.
I just don't need to see those old callsigns any more.

Thanks for the info. I'll probably let them expire.

2245. New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-20 23:00:10 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 2245 Message: 2245

I know this subject has been discussed before but I didn't think I would need the information
so I didn't keep it.
Last month lightning got into my computer and I had to get a new one.
I have been patiently putting my old information on the new computer but I have not yet changed my certificate over.
Today I got my notice that it is time for renewal.
I need to know the best way to do this.
I think I need to download a new tqsl, copy the old certificate to the new computer, then renew.
Is this right or is there a better way?
I don't supposed there are detailed instructions for doing this?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

2246. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 00:40:21 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 2245 Message: 2246

Bill, I am no expert by any means but your on the right track. Get your new machine back to matching your old one ..... download and install the latest Tqsl version from the web site the use your backedup .p12 file to restore you to where you were. Then proceed with the renewal as needed.
Good Luck, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 7:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

I know this subject has been discussed before but I didn't think I would need the information
so I didn't keep it.
Last month lightning got into my computer and I had to get a new one.
I have been patiently putting my old information on the new computer but I have not yet changed my certificate over.
Today I got my notice that it is time for renewal.
I need to know the best way to do this.
I think I need to download a new tqsl, copy the old certificate to the new computer, then renew.
Is this right or is there a better way?
I don't supposed there are detailed instructions for doing this?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

2247. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 01:13:11 UTC
From: Cliff Ahrens
Topic: 2245 Message: 2247

Here's a link to some detailed instructions on renewing your certificate:
HYPERLINK "https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/renew"https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/renew

73, Cliff K0CA

Cliff Ahrens
cahrens@...
k0ca@...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Hawkins
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 6:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

I know this subject has been discussed before but I didn't think I would need the information
so I didn't keep it.
Last month lightning got into my computer and I had to get a new one.
I have been patiently putting my old information on the new computer but I have not yet changed my certificate over.
Today I got my notice that it is time for renewal.
I need to know the best way to do this.
I think I need to download a new tqsl, copy the old certificate to the new computer, then renew.
Is this right or is there a better way?
I don't supposed there are detailed instructions for doing this?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

SPONSORED LINKS
HYPERLINK "http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=YqiuyVwjnQFdynnsmMwVWg"Craft hobby
HYPERLINK "http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VduRJqNqUo9QkUiTI-knAA"Hobby and craft supply HYPERLINK "http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Craft+hobby&w2=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sl_TRqZTiP_WHSLe6P3ybA"Ham radio

-----

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "HYPERLINK
"http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW"ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
HYPERLINK
"mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe"ARRL-LOTW-
unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the HYPERLINK
"http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/"Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.338 / Virus Database: 267.10.13/78 - Release Date: 8/19/2005

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.338 / Virus Database: 267.10.13/78 - Release Date: 8/19/2005

2248. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 22:30:54 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 2245 Message: 2248

Ok, looks like there is a bit of trouble here.
I downloaded the latest Tqsl file.
I imported my backup certificate.
Just before it started importing, a popup notice said the certificate had expired.
This can't be right as it is supposed to expire in September--I just got the renewal notice.
But, when I click on the imported certificate, I can not select "renew certificate". It is not highlighted.
Properties show no begin date and no end date; it does have the correct QSO Start Date but it also has a QSO End Date which I thought was supposed to be open.
Anyway it appears that it is no longer valid for whatever reason.
Can I get a replacement certificate and then renew it or what is the correct procedure?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

Bill, I am no expert by any means but your on the right track. Get your new machine back to matching your old one ..... download and install the latest Tqsl version from the web site the use your backedup .p12 file to restore you to where you were. Then proceed with the renewal as needed.
Good Luck, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 7:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

I know this subject has been discussed before but I didn't think I would need the information
so I didn't keep it.
Last month lightning got into my computer and I had to get a new one.
I have been patiently putting my old information on the new computer but I have not yet changed my certificate over.
Today I got my notice that it is time for renewal.
I need to know the best way to do this.
I think I need to download a new tqsl, copy the old certificate to the new computer, then renew.
Is this right or is there a better way?
I don't supposed there are detailed instructions for doing this?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

2249. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 22:56:45 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2245 Message: 2249

Bad news is possible. If your certificate is not valid and you have no valid certificate for the call you may have to go through the postal validation again.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Hawkins
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 5:31 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Ok, looks like there is a bit of trouble here.
I downloaded the latest Tqsl file.
I imported my backup certificate.
Just before it started importing, a popup notice said the certificate had expired.
This can't be right as it is supposed to expire in September--I just got the renewal notice.
But, when I click on the imported certificate, I can not select "renew certificate". It is not highlighted.
Properties show no begin date and no end date; it does have the correct QSO Start Date
but it also has a QSO End Date which I thought was supposed to be open.
Anyway it appears that it is no longer valid for whatever reason.
Can I get a replacement certificate and then renew it or what is the correct procedure?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

Bill, I am no expert by any means but your on the right track. Get your new

machine back to matching your old one ..... download and install the latest Tqsl version from the web site the use your backedup .p12 file to restore you to where you were. Then proceed with the renewal as needed.
Good Luck, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 7:00 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

I know this subject has been discussed before but I didn't think I would need the information
so I didn't keep it.
Last month lightning got into my computer and I had to get a new one.
I have been patiently putting my old information on the new computer but I have not yet changed my certificate over.
Today I got my notice that it is time for renewal.
I need to know the best way to do this.
I think I need to download a new tqsl, copy the old certificate to the new computer, then renew.
Is this right or is there a better way?
I don't supposed there are detailed instructions for doing this?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2250. LOTW by years

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 23:05:14 UTC
From: Edgar Brown, N6OU
Topic: 2250 Message: 2250

N6OU

LOTW QSLs

LOTW QSLs

691

871

1150

Date

22-Dec-04

21-Aug-05

Qs entered

18244

18408

18761

% QSL

3.79%

4.73%

6.13%

Year

1979

1

1

1

1980

0

0

0

1981

0

0

0

1982

0

0

0

1983

1

1

2

1984

0

0

1

1985

1

1

3

1986

1

1

1

1987

1

1

1

1988

3

5

5

1989

2

3

5

1990

6

11

16

1991

5

5

7

1992

13

16

25

1993

13

13

16

1994

0

0

1

1995

1

1

1

1996

16

18

19

1997

6

6

6

1998

21

26

33

1999

42

51

65

2000

154

197

237

2001

151

193

249

2002

54

77

128

2003

147

160

197

2004

52

84

114

2005

17

2251. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-21 23:15:38 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 2245 Message: 2251

Bill:
Well lets do this.....go to the LOTW site, click on "Your Account" and then click on "Your Certificate". Now your certificate and all it's info will appear including the expire date.
If all is in order, click on "download certificate" link and you will then have a good replacement certificate to work with. Remember, you can see and review the data before you download it......
What you DON'T want to do is order a new certificate if the one you have is valid...this really messes things up...I have been there!
If all else fails, give LOTW a phone call before you go and order certificates. There most helpful Bill.

73, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 6:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Ok, looks like there is a bit of trouble here.
I downloaded the latest Tqsl file.
I imported my backup certificate.
Just before it started importing, a popup notice said the certificate had expired.
This can't be right as it is supposed to expire in September--I just got the renewal notice.
But, when I click on the imported certificate, I can not select "renew certificate". It is not highlighted.
Properties show no begin date and no end date; it does have the correct QSO Start Date
but it also has a QSO End Date which I thought was supposed to be open.
Anyway it appears that it is no longer valid for whatever reason.
Can I get a replacement certificate and then renew it or what is the correct procedure?
Thanks
Bill W5EC

Bill, I am no expert by any means but your on the right track. Get your new
machine back to matching your old one ..... download and install the latest Tqsl version from the web site the use your backedup .p12 file to restore you to where you were. Then proceed with the renewal as needed.
Good Luck, Dave K8GVK

2252. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-22 02:00:18 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 2245 Message: 2252

Thanks Dave,
Part of this works well.
I found the certificate and it expires 2005-09-10, so it is still good.
I clicked on download and it saved it to desktop.
I go to desktop and click on Certs but it comes up the same as before--"Expired".
I tried it again a couple of ways, but still expired.
Now, this is a new computer with Win XP. Wonder if this could cause some type of problem like firewalls, etc?
Might have to give ARRL a call.
Bill W5EC

Bill:
Well lets do this.....go to the LOTW site, click on "Your Account" and then click on "Your Certificate". Now your certificate and all it's info will appear including the expire date.
If all is in order, click on "download certificate" link and you will then have a good replacement certificate to work with. Remember, you can see and review the data before you download it......
What you DON'T want to do is order a new certificate if the one you have is valid...this really messes things up...I have been there!
If all else fails, give LOTW a phone call before you go and order certificates. There most helpful Bill.

73, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Hawkins" <w5ec@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 6:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Ok, looks like there is a bit of trouble here.
I downloaded the latest Tqsl file.
I imported my backup certificate.
Just before it started importing, a popup notice said the certificate had expired.
This can't be right as it is supposed to expire in September--I just got the renewal notice.
But, when I click on the imported certificate, I can not select "renew certificate". It is not highlighted.
Properties show no begin date and no end date; it does have the correct QSO Start Date
but it also has a QSO End Date which I thought was supposed to be open.
Anyway it appears that it is no longer valid for whatever reason.
Can I get a replacement certificate and then renew it or what is the correct procedure?

Thanks
Bill W5EC

Bill, I am no expert by any means but your on the right track. Get your new
machine back to matching your old one ..... download and install the latest Tqsl version from the web site the use your backedup .p12 file to restore you to where you were. Then proceed with the renewal as needed.
Good Luck, Dave K8GVK

SPONSORED LINKS Craft hobby Hobby and craft supply Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2253. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] New computer/certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-22 02:55:53 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2245 Message: 2253

At 10:00 PM 8/21/2005, Bill Hawkins wrote:
>Thanks Dave,
>Part of this works well.
>I found the certificate and it expires 2005-09-10, so it is still good.
>I clicked on download and it saved it to desktop.
>I go to desktop and click on Certs but it comes up the same as
>before--"Expired".

OK, dumb question, perhaps.
What is the date on your computer? Right click on the time in the right hand side of the taskbar and click "Adjust Date/Time". Is the date correct, including the month and year?

>I tried it again a couple of ways, but still expired.
>Now, this is a new computer with Win XP. Wonder if this could cause
>some type of problem like firewalls, etc?

Nope, no possible problem with the windows firewall. If you've managed to download the file, it's already on your computer and not subject to firewall rules.
Either you don't have a valid certificate (it's expired already), or your computer's date is wrong making it look like the cert is no longer valid.
-Rick

2254. Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 14:28:42 UTC
From: Thomas, Doug
Topic: 2254 Message: 2254

Fellow Amateurs,,,

I am requesting recommendations to COMPUTER LOGBOOK software.

Please offer.

Doug Thomas, N5BST
Port Neches, TX

****
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and
intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they
are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify
the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by
MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

www.mimesweeper.com
****

2255. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 14:38:51 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2254 Message: 2255

At 07:28 AM 8/23/2005, Thomas, Doug wrote:

>Fellow Amateurs,,,
>
>I am requesting recommendations to COMPUTER LOGBOOK software.
>
>Please offer.
>
>Doug Thomas, N5BST
>Port Neches, TX

DXLabs. Completely free and integrates with LoTW perfectly.

Bill, W6WRT

2256. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 14:40:53 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2254 Message: 2256

Doug wrote:

> Fellow Amateurs,,,
>
> I am requesting recommendations to COMPUTER LOGBOOK software.
>
> Please offer.
>
> Doug Thomas, N5BST
> Port Neches, TX

This is about as complicated as "What kind of car should I buy?"

Your needs dictate the best solution. Are you into contesting? Chasing DX? States? Counties? Grids? IOTA? ARRL Challenge? 5BDXCC? 5BWAS? Do you eQSL? HF, VHF, UHF, SHF? Do you need radio control support? Do you want info on paper QSL routes? Is price important? Do you use more than one radio? Whew! The list goes on.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2257. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 14:44:37 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2254 Message: 2257

At 07:40 AM 8/23/2005, you wrote:

>This is about as complicated as "What kind of car should I buy?"
>
>Your needs dictate the best solution. Are you into contesting? Chasing
>DX? States? Counties? Grids? IOTA? ARRL
>Challenge? 5BDXCC? 5BWAS? Do you eQSL? HF, VHF, UHF, SHF? Do you need
>radio control support? Do you want info on paper QSL routes? Is price
>important? Do you use more than one radio? Whew! The list goes on.
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...

Ford should buy a... guess.

DXLabs does all the above and is completely free.

Bill, W6WRT

2258. Re: Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 15:30:58 UTC
From: A.Robert Pantazes
Topic: 2254 Message: 2258

Doug,
I found that AC Log (Amateur Contact Log) by N3FJP, www.n3fjp.com is a great program. It is easy to use and is priced right. You can down load your logs to LoTW with from AC Log. Scott, N3FJP will answer your questions quickly. They also have Contest software tailored for each contest and it is easy to use. All of their software will run on all Windows produces. You can down load AC Log or purchase a disk, updates from N3FJP site is include in the price.
Good luck and 73
A. Robert Pantazes, W2ARP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Thomas, Doug" <Douglas.Thomas@p...> wrote:
> Fellow Amateurs,,,
>
> I am requesting recommendations to COMPUTER LOGBOOK software.
>
> Please offer.
>
> Doug Thomas, N5BST
> Port Neches, TX
>
>
>
> **********
> This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and
> intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they
> are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify
> the system manager.
>
> This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by
> MIMEsweeper for the presence of computer viruses.
>
> www.mimesweeper.com
> **********

2259. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 15:40:08 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2254 Message: 2259

I endorse DXLABS and in addition to free it has the best support of ANY
software I have ever seen, free or not.
The author also listens carefully to the needs/wants of his users.

For a general logbook you can't go wrong here.

For contesting I would get the N1MM software which is also free and also has
good support.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Turner
> Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 9:45 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started
>
> At 07:40 AM 8/23/2005, you wrote:
>
> >This is about as complicated as "What kind of car should I buy?"
> >
> >Your needs dictate the best solution. Are you into contesting?
> >Chasing DX? States? Counties? Grids? IOTA? ARRL
> Challenge? 5BDXCC?
> >5BWAS? Do you eQSL? HF, VHF, UHF, SHF? Do you need radio control
> >support? Do you want info on paper QSL routes? Is price
> important?
> >Do you use more than one radio? Whew! The list goes on.
> >
> >Ford-N0FP
> >ford@...
>
> ----------
>
> Ford should buy a... guess.
>
> DXLabs does all the above and is completely free.
>
> Bill, W6WRT
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> ----------------------~--> Get fast access to your favorite
> Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo! your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2260. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-23 19:35:02 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2254 Message: 2260

Count this as another recommendation for DXLabs (DXKeeper, SpotCollector, PathFinder, DXView, and WinWarbler). The package provides excellent integration with Logbook of the World, outstanding award tracking and some of the very best support from the author that I have ever seen.

I have used several commercial packages over the years and tried many of the "free" packages and none stack up to DXLab.

73,

Joe Subich, W4TV
microHAM America, LLC
www.microHAM-USA.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Thomas, Doug
> Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 10:29 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Getting Started
>
>
> Fellow Amateurs,,,
>
> I am requesting recommendations to COMPUTER LOGBOOK software.
>
> Please offer.
>
> Doug Thomas, N5BST
> Port Neches, TX
>
>

2261. A problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-30 18:44:30 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 1987 Message: 2261

Hi all,

After getting an email saying my certificate was about to expire, I followed the instructions and renewed my certificate, upgrading to v1.11 of TQSL software as per instructions, and also deleted the old one (probably a silly move!) as per the other instructions on the LoTW home page.

After doing the SCC RTTY contest, I converted my ADI file, and then uploaded the resulting TQ8 file, but the "My activity" page does not show this upload.

After clicking on the UPLOAD FILE, I did get a "queued for submission" notification, but still don't see anything.

Any suggestions as to what I may have done wrong?

73 for now

Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.10.17/85 - Release Date: 30/08/2005

2262. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] A problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-30 21:42:33 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 1987 Message: 2262

At 02:44 PM 8/30/2005, Phil Cooper wrote:
>Hi all,
>
>After getting an email saying my certificate was about to expire, I
>followed
>the instructions and renewed my certificate, upgrading to v1.11 of TQSL
>software as per instructions, and also deleted the old one (probably a
>silly
>move!) as per the other instructions on the LoTW home page.
>
>After doing the SCC RTTY contest, I converted my ADI file, and then
>uploaded
>the resulting TQ8 file, but the "My activity" page does not show this
>upload.
>
>After clicking on the UPLOAD FILE, I did get a "queued for submission"
>notification, but still don't see anything.

I've had a similar problem with a submission - uploaded and all the
QSOs were rejected but no information on the web site. I found out the
problem (I was using the wrong certificate) by e-mailing the submission
to lotw-logs@... - the problem was obvious from the e-mail response.

Try e-mailing in your file and see what happens.
-Rick

2263. LOTW Site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 13:53:01 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH
Topic: 1987 Message: 2263

I continue to have problems accessing the LOTW site. I was unable to access it on Monday morning and this morning it says that there are too many users trying to access it. Is this a problem with my system or are there others having the same problem?

73,
Mike, W5UC

2264. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 14:03:04 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 1987 Message: 2264

Mike, I get the below message from the site also.....
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit) -----
Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:53 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Site

>I continue to have problems accessing the LOTW site. I was unable to access
> it on Monday morning and this morning it says that there are too many
> users
> trying to access it. Is this a problem with my system or are there others
> having the same problem?
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC

2265. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 14:14:58 UTC
From: Edgar Brown, N6OU
Topic: 1987 Message: 2265

It is an LOTW problem. I get the same response as Dave.
Edgar, N6OU

Yahoo! Groups Links

2266. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 14:18:19 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH
Topic: 1987 Message: 2266

Yep, that is the message I get also. Many thanks.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 07:14 AM 8/31/2005 -0700, you wrote:
>It is an LOTW problem. I get the same response as Dave.
>Edgar, N6OU

2267. RE: A problem?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 17:34:00 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 1987 Message: 2267

Hi all

Rick K1MU suggested emailing the logs, which I did, and yes, it shows that I seem to have really screwed up things at this end!

I am now emailing LoTW at the ARRL to see if they can help me sort this mess out!

I also tried to use the P12 file to get back to normal, but that doesn't work either, as it tells me files do not exist!

Oh boy! I don't need this, and almost wish I had stayed with v1.10 software!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.10.17/85 - Release Date: 30/08/2005

2268. Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 19:26:22 UTC
From: Frank
Topic: 2268 Message: 2268

Hi all...how would one move the TQSLCERT to a different/new computer?
I tried a simple copy method (on cd; it didn't work.

Any advice is very much appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

Frank, VE6NU

2269. Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-08-31 20:32:37 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2268 Message: 2269

Most Windows applications cannot be simply copied from one PC to another. In the case of TQSLCert, its associated library must also be registered with Windows. The easiest way to do this is by installing the software on your computer. If you retained a copy of the installer (tqsl-111.exe) on your old PC, you can copy this to your new PC and run it; otherwise, you can download it via http://prdownloads.sourceforge.net/trustedqsl/tqsl-111.exe?download .

If you have a certificate on your old PC that you'll be moving to your new PC, you'll need to move its .p12 file ("certificate archive") because its .p6 file ("raw certificate") cannot be moved (or backed-up, or restored). If you don't already have a .p12 file,

1. run TQSLCert on your old PC

2. select the certificate,

3. invoke the Certificate|Save menu item to create a .p12 file

4. copy this .p12 file to your new PC

5. run TQSLCert on your new PC

6. invoke the "File|Load" menu item

7. in the "Load Certificate File" window, select ".p12 certificate file"

8. click "Next"

9. select the .p12 file you copied from your old PC in step 4

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Frank" <ve6nu@s...> wrote:
> Hi all...how would one move the TQSLCERT to a different/new computer?
> I tried a simple copy method (on cd; it didn't work.
>
> Any advice is very much appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Frank, VE6NU

2270. Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 18:19:52 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2270 Message: 2270

My certificates expire on 9/8/2005. I get an email today as a reminder, and a link to get it all straightened out. So I go to the instructions and read, download the new TQSLCert program, and go through the steps indicated. I get the TQ6 files back a couple hours later with the instructions that say 'try double clicking' on the file attached. It opens and does some back room magic.

The instructions read as follows:

****
When your renewal request is complete, you will see an additional line on your TQSLCert program screen. This will look the same as your existing certificate except that it will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This is your renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate.
****

The red circle/bar goes away as indicated, but I now have the original certificate and the new certificate listed. So now I have twice as many Certs as I had before. Now what? The so-called "instructions" don't work as expected and I don't know if this is screwed up or not. As far as I can tell, the renewal worked, but I now have too many certificates listed. Should I be worried?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2271. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 18:36:18 UTC
From: N2TK, Tony
Topic: 2270 Message: 2271

That's a good question. Mine did the same. I am assuming I see both certificates since my old certificates are still valid for another week.
N2TK, Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ford Peterson
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:20 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up

My certificates expire on 9/8/2005. I get an email today as a reminder, and a link to get it all straightened out. So I go to the instructions and read, download the new TQSLCert program, and go through the steps indicated. I get the TQ6 files back a couple hours later with the instructions that say 'try double clicking' on the file attached. It opens and does some back room magic.

The instructions read as follows:

****
When your renewal request is complete, you will see an additional line on your TQSLCert program screen. This will look the same as your existing certificate except that it will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This is your renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate.
****

The red circle/bar goes away as indicated, but I now have the original certificate and the new certificate listed. So now I have twice as many Certs as I had before. Now what? The so-called "instructions" don't work as expected and I don't know if this is screwed up or not. As far as I can tell, the renewal worked, but I now have too many certificates listed. Should I be worried?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

2272. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 18:55:59 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 2270 Message: 2272

Guy's:
I just went thri this myself and got the same result...(the new plus the old certificate) With fear, I deleted the old one and kept the renewed one. All seems to works OK even though I deleted it while several weeks were left. I guess the new one superseeds the previous certificate. I was able to upload & preform all functions ect.
So, I suggest you simply delete the old certificate and you should be OK.
Dave, K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "N2TK, Tony" <n2tk@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:36 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up

> That's a good question. Mine did the same. I am assuming I see both
> certificates since my old certificates are still valid for another week.
> N2TK, Tony
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> Behalf
> Of Ford Peterson
> Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:20 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up
>
> My certificates expire on 9/8/2005. I get an email today as a reminder,
> and
> a link to get it all straightened out. So I go to the instructions and
> read, download the new TQSLCert program, and go through the steps
> indicated.
> I get the TQ6 files back a couple hours later with the instructions that
> say
> 'try double clicking' on the file attached. It opens and does some back
> room magic.
>
> The instructions read as follows:
>
> **********
> When your renewal request is complete, you will see an additional line on
> your TQSLCert program screen. This will look the same as your existing
> certificate except that it will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This

> is
> your renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded,
> the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> only your renewed certificate.
> **********
>
> The red circle/bar goes away as indicated, but I now have the original
> certificate and the new certificate listed. So now I have twice as many
> Certs as I had before. Now what? The so-called "instructions" don't work
> as expected and I don't know if this is screwed up or not. As far as I
> can
> tell, the renewal worked, but I now have too many certificates listed.
> Should I be worried?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2273. Re: Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 19:16:02 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2270 Message: 2273

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave-GVK" <k8gvk@c...> wrote:
> Guy's:
> I just went thri this myself and got the same result...(the new plus
the old
> certificate)

Hey Guys !!

I just hate to be the 'slimey old limey' but have any of you looked at
the LoTW Home Pages ?

Second News Item down [on the left, in the yellow boxes] tells you
that this is *exactly* what will happen when renewing a
Certificate,and that you have to remove the old one manually.

As I have been told on many occasions RTFM <smiles>

73

Graham M5AAV

2274. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 19:17:57 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2270 Message: 2274

Ford,

My old certificates did not go away either ... I opened each of
the new certificates and immediately saved a new .P12 backup
then I deleted the old certificates.

That way if anything happens, I have backups of the new certificates
to restore.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ford Peterson
> Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:20 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Renewal screwed up
>
>
> My certificates expire on 9/8/2005. I get an email today as
> a reminder, and a link to get it all straightened out. So I
> go to the instructions and read, download the new TQSLCert
> program, and go through the steps indicated. I get the TQ6
> files back a couple hours later with the instructions that
> say 'try double clicking' on the file attached. It opens and
> does some back room magic.
>
> The instructions read as follows:
>
> **********
> When your renewal request is complete, you will see an
> additional line on your TQSLCert program screen. This will
> look the same as your existing certificate except that it
> will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This is your
> renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and
> loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
> certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate.
> **********
>
> The red circle/bar goes away as indicated, but I now have the
> original certificate and the new certificate listed. So now
> I have twice as many Certs as I had before. Now what? The
> so-called "instructions" don't work as expected and I don't
> know if this is screwed up or not. As far as I can tell, the

> renewal worked, but I now have too many certificates listed.
> Should I be worried?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2275. old vs. new certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 19:35:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2275 Message: 2275

After you receive you 'new-renewal' certificates you are to delete the old certificates. If unsure, right click on it and check the properties, it will tell you the expiration date.

Oyster (n.), a person who sprinkles his conversation with Yiddish expressions.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2276. RE: Screwing up the renewal!!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 19:36:18 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 2270 Message: 2276

Hi all,

I posted a similar problem a few days ago, and had all the same problems as reported here.
In my case, I compounded it by (apparently) requesting a NEW certificate, rather than requesting a renewal.

Yes, the instructions do indeed say that the old one will remain, but if you open TQSLCert, highlight the old one, and then click on CERTIFICATE at the top, then PROPERTIES, you will see that the fact that you have renewed has suspended your older cert - at least it did in my case.

When you get the TQ6 file in the email, if you click on the little paper-clip, click on the call.TQ6 file, and then select OPEN, it will actually install just the way it suggests it will.
HOWEVER, this may well be linked to which OS you are using.

You can then safely delete the old certificate, BUT DO MAKE SURE it IS the old one first!

Having now got a nice new certificate, run TQSLCert, highlight it, and then click on Certificate at the top, and then click on SAVE, and save the P12 file somewhere other than your usual hard drive.
I am keeping mine in several places, just in case I screw up again!

Fortunately, Wayne Mills came to my rescue, and despite doing all the wrong things, (deleting the newly installed v1.11 and re-installing v1.10, deleting the TQ6 and TQ5 files, plus a few other acts of desperation), Wayne told me how to get back to normal from the P12 file.
Although I had tried this, I didn't use the right procedure, which didn't work.

One question for the LoTW team..... Is this problem of the old certificate staying behind due to v1.11 ?
I didn't have this problem last year with v1.10.

Very best 73
Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.10.17/85 - Release Date: 30/08/2005

2277. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 20:00:58 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2270 Message: 2277

...snip...

> As I have been told on many occasions RTFM <smiles>
>
> 73
>
> Graham M5AAV

Graham,

Apparently, you did find some reference to deleting these manually. However, the reminder has a link (https://www.arrl.org/lotw/renew) that provides the following info:

****
When your renewal request is complete, you will see an additional line on your TQSLCert program screen. This will look the same as your existing certificate except that it will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This is your renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate.
****

So I guess it depends on what version of the 'instructions' you view first. As a user getting a link provided by a reminder email, I deemed this to be a reliable set of instructions. Obviously, multiple sets of instructions need to all be updated...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2278. Re: Renewal screwed up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-01 20:26:28 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2270 Message: 2278

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> ...snip...

>
> Graham,
>
> Apparently, you did find some reference to deleting these manually.
However, the reminder has a link (https://www.arrl.org/lotw/renew)
that provides the following info:
>

> So I guess it depends on what version of the 'instructions' you view
first. As a user getting a link provided by a reminder email, I
deemed this to be a reliable set of instructions. Obviously, multiple
sets of instructions need to all be updated...

Duly noted.
Not having had a reminder [yet/recently] I wouldn't have seen that.

Certainly does look like not all pieces of 'relevant' information have
been updated, or are correct. [Maybe in 11.12 ? <smiles>]

73
Graham [going back to sleep]

2279. is it real or is it Memorex

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-02 20:59:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2279 Message: 2279

Like the commercials from a few years ago, I've been seeing the same stations and the qso's I made with them reappearing.
Over and over, with new qsl dates although they were originally were received as LoTW qsls long ago.

Is this something that is going on with LoTW as part of upgrades or are these people just resubmitting their entire logs over and over again?

Heard the one about the dyslexic devil worshiper? He sold his soul to Santas.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2280. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it real or is it Memorex

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-03 02:25:14 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2279 Message: 2280

On Sep 2, 2005, at 4:59 PM, FireBrick wrote:

> Is this something that is going on with LoTW as part of upgrades or
> are these people just
> resubmitting their entire logs over and over again?

People are submitting their entire logs over and over again.

It's particularly annoying. TQSL allows one to set the date range for signing contacts, so there's no reason to submit contacts more than once. Further, you risk overwriting valid QSOs made from one location with a different station location.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2281. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it real or is it Memorex

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-03 02:31:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2279 Message: 2281

Thanks Bill, for confirming what I suspected.

I know it's not important, as the numbers especially in my case, don't mean anything, but it seems to throw the qsl numbers off.

But, in the scheme of things, at least they are participating.

Maybe it's an education thing.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Coleman" <aa4lr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2005 9:25 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is it real or is it Memorex

>
> On Sep 2, 2005, at 4:59 PM, FireBrick wrote:
>
>> Is this something that is going on with LoTW as part of upgrades or
>> are these people just
>> resubmitting their entire logs over and over again?
>
> People are submitting their entire logs over and over again.
>
> It's particularly annoying. TQSL allows one to set the date range for
> signing contacts, so there's no reason to submit contacts more than
> once. Further, you risk overwriting valid QSOs made from one location
> with a different station location.
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

> ---
>
>

2282. Cluster window + LoTW users list

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-04 16:21:01 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2282 Message: 2282

Hello hamlogger,

HB9BZA wrote a radio/telnet cluster client which can show LoTW users calls in addition to country/band/mode status. Thats what already been discussed on L32 reflector, so I just want to clarify how it should work. See http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/

HB9BZA:

"Another purpose of this list (LoTW users list) was to integrate it into my packet radio/telnet client RXCLUS to make it possible to work more LoTW participants and to get more credits for your DXCC awards! This new version of RXCLUS is now available from my download page!"

--
73...Art RX9TX 04-Sep-05 16:15 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Don't be so humble, you're not that great." [Golda Meir]

2283. Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 16:06:11 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2283 Message: 2283
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2284. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 16:18:50 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2283 Message: 2284

For reasons I don't know, the process of "signing" a file adds 1 QSO to the count (for example, if you sign and ADIF file with 100 Q's, TQSL tells you it processed 101 Q's).

(Just something I've noticed, and may not be the reason for your 10 missing Q's.)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Richard Zalewski
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 9/5/2005 4:06:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

I have uploaded 69,860 QSOs. ARRL shows on LOTW 69,850. Is there a reason for this? Is there anyway to identify the 10 QSO difference?

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2285. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 16:21:25 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2283 Message: 2285

Hello Richard,

Monday, September 5, 2005 Richard Zalewski wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

RZ> I have uploaded 69,860 QSOs. ARRL shows on LOTW 69,850. Is there a reason
RZ> for this? Is there anyway to identify the 10 QSO difference?

Couldn't that be dupes?

--
73...Art RX9TX 05-Sep-05 16:20 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"I either want less corruption, or more chance to participate in it." [Ashleigh Brilliant]

2286. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 16:27:23 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 2283 Message: 2286

If you look at the processing log (Your Account/Your Activity/a particular result) you'll probably discover bad dates, times, modes, and/or dupes account for this.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Zalewski
Sent: Monday, September 05, 2005 11:06
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

I have uploaded 69,860 QSOs. ARRL shows on LOTW 69,850. Is there a reason for this? Is there anyway to identify the 10 QSO difference?

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR

2287. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 16:59:54 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2283 Message: 2287
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2288. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 17:48:36 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2283 Message: 2288
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2289. Re: Upload question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-05 19:28:12 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2283 Message: 2289

Are you still using DXKeeper, Dick? If so,

1. On the Main window's QSL tab, click "Sync LotW QSOs"; this will update each QSO in your log to indicate its "acceptance" by LotW. With 70K QSOs, this could take a few minutes, depending upon your internet connection speed.

2. On the Main window's Log QSOs tab, click the Filter panel's "LotW" button; this will display all QSOs that were uploaded to LotW, but not accepted.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Richard Zalewski <dick.w7zr@g...> wrote:
> I have uploaded 69,860 QSOs. ARRL shows on LOTW 69,850. Is there a reason
> for this? Is there anyway to identify the 10 QSO difference?
>
> --
> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com <http://www.w7zr.com>

2290. Still trying to copy .p12 to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-07 19:09:05 UTC
From: Frank
Topic: 2290 Message: 2290

Hello everybody, I am still trying to copy the .p12 file to a cd in order for transfer to a new computer. However, I am getting the following:
ERROR
openssl error 02001005:systemlibrary:fopen:input/output error

That indicates I am doing something wrong...yet I don't! I follow the exact procedure given on the ARRL web site.

Any ideas anyone?

Thanks in advance.

Frank

2291. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still trying to copy .p12 to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-07 20:08:29 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 2290 Message: 2291

Frank, sounds like your trying to open and run it then move all it's contents.....Just do a straight drag & drop of the file onto the CD. Then move it from the CD onto the new hard drive. Then try to run/open it from the new HD.
73, Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Frank" <ve6nu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2005 3:09 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Still trying to copy .p12 to new computer

> Hello everybody, I am still trying to copy the .p12 file to a cd in
> order for transfer to a new computer. However, I am getting the
> following:
> ERROR
> openssl error 02001005:systemlibrary:fopen:input/output error
>
> That indicates I am doing something wrong...yet I don't! I follow the
> exact procedure given on the ARRL web site.
>
> Any ideas anyone?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Frank
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2292. Logbook sync

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-07 23:39:11 UTC
From: Roger D Johnson
Topic: 2290 Message: 2292

I have about a 200 QSO discrepancy between LOTW and DX4Win. Is there a way to download all the LOTW data in order to make a separate DX4Win log for checking?

73, Roger

--
Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
http://ussliberty.org/

2293. Free LoTW User Query Software Program

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-10 06:16:42 UTC
From: William Beggs
Topic: 2293 Message: 2293

To aid in determining whether a station that you are hearing is using LoTW, I have made available a free software that uses the database of LoTW users compiled by HB9BZA and myself. Currently HB9BZA is maintaining the list and I am maintaining a searchable database driven by the same listing. Updates have been daily, but recently new callsign information has slowed and the list is being updated every few days. Currently LoTW claims over 15,400 callsigns are registered and we have 9136 of them in the database, representing 269 countries. The main use for this list and the free utility program is that it can be used to easily identify, in real-time, those stations that upload their logs to LoTW, suggesting that it will be easier to obtain their electronic QSLs to be used for ARRL sponsored awards, such as DXCC, 5BDXCC, etc. Download the program at http://www.bbcyber.com. See the same website for information on how you can help add callsigns to the list. HB9BZA also has a more sophisticated and free software program called RXCLUS that incorporates the listing and can tag telnet and packet cluster spots, identifying many known users of LoTW.

2294. Certificate Renewal's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-16 14:25:25 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 2294 Message: 2294

Well received the email advising that my two certificates were due to expire the end of the month. Submitted update request and got reloaded with NO problems. Seems to get easier.

2295. RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-20 15:47:04 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2295 Message: 2295

```
>
> I can create a ADIF file in no time and email it to someone in seconds but
> LoTW takes much longer, do you think that there is a need for a LoTW
manager
> for stations so that they could send an ADIF file and have someone with
time
> and experience look after their LoTW files?
>
> 73 and good DX to all
> Jonathan M5FUN
> (QRT to finish his pure math assignment)
>

What a HUGE idea! Anyone know a compelling reason why not?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
```

2296. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-20 16:20:59 UTC
From: golist@golist.net
Topic: 2295 Message: 2296

This is the answer I got from ARRL.

John ,

On a limited basis, we will take care of the logs. Let me know the
scope, who is involved and the situation. We do ask that the logs be in
some sort of electronic format, with which we can work. We can't enter
data from paper logs.

73, Wayne Mills, N7NG/1, Manager
Membership Services Department

-----Original Message-----
From: golist@... [mailto:golist@...]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2005 10:30 AM
To: lotw-help
Subject: LOTW QUESTION

Hi folks,
I have had inquiries from several Qsl Managers around the world
asking me about getting the log for the DX stations they manage uploaded
to LOTW to preserve the contact records.
Most of these managers have no computer or limited computer
knowledge and would like to send the log data to someone to convert into
LOTW format and submit them to LOTW.
Is this possible and how do they go about doing this.
Thank you

K0HB wrote:

>
>
> >
> > I can create a ADIF file in no time and email it to someone in
> seconds but
> > LoTW takes much longer, do you think that there is a need for a LoTW
> manager
> > for stations so that they could send an ADIF file and have someone with
> time
> > and experience look after their LoTW files?
> >
> > 73 and good DX to all
> > Jonathan M5FUN
> > (QRT to finish his pure math assignment)
> >
>
> What a HUGE idea! Anyone know a compelling reason why not?

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> <http://www.home.earthlink.net/%7Ek0hb>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
> --
>

--
John K1XN @ The Golist
____
Dealer for:
GoWin, Qsl Manager Search Program
Win-EQF Logging Software
RAC Call Book CD
DX Telnet: Internet Cluster Software
____
GOLIST
106 Dogwood Dr
Paris TN 38242
golist@...
http://golist.net/
MSN MESSENGER: js4774@...

2297. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-20 16:37:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2295 Message: 2297

>
>>
>> I can create a ADIF file in no time and email it to someone in seconds but
>> LoTW takes much longer, do you think that there is a need for a LoTW
> manager
>> for stations so that they could send an ADIF file and have someone with
> time
>> and experience look after their LoTW files?
>>
>> 73 and good DX to all
>> Jonathan M5FUN
>> (QRT to finish his pure math assignment)
>>
>
> What a HUGE idea! Anyone know a compelling reason why not?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

As a QSL manager, I've done this for the stations that are no longer active. I transcribed thousands of qso's into a .adi file and then applied for the certificates for these callsigns.
They are subquently forever available on LoTW.

The stations I manage that are currently active do send me their .adi files. This is easy.

I'm currently helping a fellow manager transcribe megathousands of contest logs.

This is the hard part.
Anyone, even a blind wino can convert computer logs to .adi and upload them.

The hard part and the single issue that is limiting the growth of LoTW is that MANY hams still are unable to computer log and don't have the internet available.
There are still managers that only have paper logs for their stations.

What we need is a core of touch typists with good eyesight to volunteer to transcribe these logs.

I can usually punch out about 400-600 qso's while watching my baseball, football, basketball team loose another game. (Obviously I'm a Chicago Fan)

There are a couple of qso entry programs now available that speed up the process.
So maybe the ARRL or GoList can develop a list of volunteers.
Obviously the volunteers would require integrity, fortitude, and 20/20 vision....<wink>

2298. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 00:27:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2295 Message: 2298

Jon wrote:

>>
>> I can create a ADIF file in no time and email it to someone in seconds but
>> LoTW takes much longer, do you think that there is a need for a LoTW
> manager
>> for stations so that they could send an ADIF file and have someone with
> time
>> and experience look after their LoTW files?
>>
>> 73 and good DX to all
>> Jonathan M5FUN
>> (QRT to finish his pure math assignment)
>>

To which Hans proclaimed...

>
> What a HUGE idea! Anyone know a compelling reason why not?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a security breach in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...

Right now, the files are signed with the log owner's electronic signature. By doing so, the log's owner (and the person who recorded the Qs) is attesting to the accuracy of the log, or at least to his/her belief in the accuracy of said log. By sending it to a third party, this particular individual (which the ARRL must assume to be of devious mind and malicious nature) could slip Han's callsign into the log with great ease. Then, by uploading said (now bogus) log to the binary archives, and signing it on behalf of the real owner, Hans could get his P5 card, and YO on all bands, including 10.3GHz.

Of course, the same is true of any QSL manager, if said manager is of devious mind and malicious nature. It isn't a matter of ARRL's trust or distrust of QSL managers. Rather, it is questioning the wisdom in leaving the lid off the cookie jar. Often it is not the actual breach of trust that is important. Rather, it is the possibility of a breach that drives public opinion.

The truth of the matter is that any set of internal controls introduced to prevent tampering by two devious minded and malicious intended persons is impossible. It is generally believed that bank embezzlement is nearly impossible when executed by a single individual. When there is collusion of two or more individuals involved, just about ANY bank could be raped of all assets in a matter of a day. The same is true of LOTW. The same is true of the 100% paper QSL system.

In my opinion, opening this up to QSL manager handling is a matter of policy matching practice. Based on the procedures in place, I have a strong suspicion that this is already being done. E.g. If I give Hans my triple-secret password, he could faithfully deliver to LOTW an ADIF I send him, and he could invent one of his own on my behalf and submit it in my name (bogus of course). I would never know because there is no feedback mechanism in LOTW. Said feedback being analogous to the monthly statement you receive from your bank re: your checking account activity.

Until the software people start providing decent reports on log submissions, matches, and award tracking at the local level, there is no feedback mechanism. Until the ARRL starts supporting something other than DXCC, the sofware people are not going to even attempt to support a local tracking system due to having an incomplete LOTW specification. It's a circular deal. The natural leader in all of this is the ARRL. And the ARRL has chosen not to support these other programs at this time.

Add this to the very long LOTW wish list I'm afraid.

My $0.02

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2299. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 00:58:33 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2295 Message: 2299

The feedback mechanism you suggest is in fact present, Ford. Log on to the LotW Users web page, and click the "Your QSOs" tab; the form there lets you query the LotW database to see both what you've uploaded and what's been confirmed.

DXKeeper, DXLab's logging application, exploits this capability to automatically provide full synchronization with LotW, including reporting and award tracking; DXKeeper is free, and available via www.qsl.net/dxlab .

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ford Peterson
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2005 20:27 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Jon wrote:

>>
>> I can create a ADIF file in no time and email it to someone in
>> seconds but LoTW takes much longer, do you think that there is a need
>> for a LoTW
> manager
>> for stations so that they could send an ADIF file and have someone
>> with
> time
>> and experience look after their LoTW files?
>>
>> 73 and good DX to all
>> Jonathan M5FUN
>> (QRT to finish his pure math assignment)
>>

To which Hans proclaimed...

>
> What a HUGE idea! Anyone know a compelling reason why not?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a security breach in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...

Right now, the files are signed with the log owner's electronic signature. By doing so, the log's owner (and the person who recorded the Qs) is attesting to the accuracy of the log, or at least to his/her belief in the accuracy of said log. By sending it to a third party, this particular individual (which the ARRL must assume to be of devious mind and malicious nature) could slip Han's callsign into the log with great ease. Then, by uploading said (now bogus) log to the binary archives, and signing it on behalf of the real owner, Hans could get his P5 card, and YO on all bands, including 10.3GHz.

Of course, the same is true of any QSL manager, if said manager is of devious mind and malicious nature. It isn't a matter of ARRL's trust or distrust of QSL managers. Rather, it is questioning the wisdom in leaving the lid off the cookie jar. Often it is not the actual breach of trust that is important. Rather, it is the possibility of a breach that drives public opinion.

The truth of the matter is that any set of internal controls introduced to prevent tampering by two devious minded and malicious intended persons is impossible. It is generally believed that bank embezzlement is nearly impossible when executed by a single individual. When there is collusion of two or more individuals involved, just about ANY bank could be raped of all assets in a matter of a day. The same is true of LOTW. The same is true of the 100% paper QSL system.

In my opinion, opening this up to QSL manager handling is a matter of policy matching practice. Based on the procedures in place, I have a strong suspicion that this is already being done. E.g. If I give Hans my triple-secret password, he could faithfully deliver to LOTW an ADIF I send him, and he could invent one of his own on my behalf and submit it in my name (bogus of course). I would never know because there is no feedback mechanism in LOTW. Said feedback being analogous to the monthly statement you receive from your bank re: your checking account activity.

Until the software people start providing decent reports on log submissions, matches, and award tracking at the local level, there is no feedback mechanism. Until the ARRL starts supporting something other than DXCC, the sofware people are not going to even attempt to support a local tracking system due to having an incomplete LOTW specification. It's a circular deal. The natural leader in all of this is the ARRL. And the ARRL has chosen not to support these other programs at this time.

Add this to the very long LOTW wish list I'm afraid.

My $0.02

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

Yahoo! Groups Links

2300. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 02:46:31 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2295 Message: 2300

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@...>
>
> I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a security breach
in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...
>

In other words QSL managers should be outlawed?

You haven't described anything that isn't already possible to do (and a lot
easier) by a "paper" QSL manager.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2301. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 03:22:54 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2295 Message: 2301

>> [Original Message]
>> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@...>
>>
>> I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a security breach
> in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...
>>

Hans wrote:

>
> In other words QSL managers should be outlawed?
>
> You haven't described anything that isn't already possible to do (and a lot
> easier) by a "paper" QSL manager.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, and others...

Not at all. QSL managers have been an accepted norm in the QSL process for many years. But I suspect the procedure is somewhat different than was originally suggested.

How about this...

Step 1: The DX submits his license and gets the ARRL to accept it as fact.
Step 2: The DX notifies the ARRL that so-and-so is his/her QSL manager.
Step 3: The manager reduces the log to ADIF and uploads the Qs to the DX account using the manager's certificate.

Simple... And I'll bet it is being done already. If somebody wants to start uploading in this manner, I think the procedures are in place to do so. But it is the QSL manager's certificate that is to be used, rather than the DX's certificate. The log then becomes the responsibility of the QSL manager and not the DX's signature being forged by the QSL manager.

Kewel huh? Hopefully, somebody that actually knows what is going on will weigh in on this and correct me if I'm wrong. But this looks to be OK to me.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

2302. Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 03:41:49 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2295 Message: 2302

If the DX station's QSOs were uploaded to LotW using the Manager's certificate, then the QSOs would be placed in the Manager's LotW account, each with an operator callsign extracted from the Manager's certificate. Such QSOs would never generate QSLs, since no uploaded QSO would match them.

LotW could be modified to support QSL managers as you suggest, but such an enhancement would not soon see the light of day. With serious defects in the renewal process going uncorrected, "nice to have" enhancements won't (and shouldn't!) get attention.

I don't see anything stopping a DX station from saving his or her certificate into a password-protected .p12 file and emailing it to a manager, who would would obtain the password through some suitably secure means (40m SSB), extract the certificate, and use it to upload QSOs on the DX station's behalf. From a DXCC program integrity perspective, this is no different then a DX station authorizing a manager to issue QSL cards on his or her behalf.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> >> [Original Message]
> >> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@a...>
> >>
> >> I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a
security breach
> > in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...
> >>
>
> Hans wrote:
>
> > In other words QSL managers should be outlawed?
> >
> > You haven't described anything that isn't already possible to do
(and a lot
> > easier) by a "paper" QSL manager.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hans, and others...
>
> Not at all. QSL managers have been an accepted norm in the QSL

process for many years. But I suspect the procedure is somewhat different than was originally suggested.
>
> How about this...
>
> Step 1: The DX submits his license and gets the ARRL to accept it as fact.
> Step 2: The DX notifies the ARRL that so-and-so is his/her QSL manager.
> Step 3: The manager reduces the log to ADIF and uploads the Qs to the DX account using the manager's certificate.
>
> Simple... And I'll bet it is being done already. If somebody wants to start uploading in this manner, I think the procedures are in place to do so. But it is the QSL manager's certificate that is to be used, rather than the DX's certificate. The log then becomes the responsibility of the QSL manager and not the DX's signature being forged by the QSL manager.
>
> Kewel huh? Hopefully, somebody that actually knows what is going on will weigh in on this and correct me if I'm wrong. But this looks to be OK to me.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@c...

2303. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 03:58:19 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2295 Message: 2303

The LotW program already allows a manager to upload entries for
stations he manages. However, the "identification" submitted
must be for the DX station (license and ID). The certificate
is created for the DX call and the manager uses that certificate
for uploading.

It's being done by may DX stations currently ... I have several
such confirmations so the system works.

The manager can acquire the certificate directly by submitting
the ID, license and letter of authorization or the DX station
may acquire the certificate and send the manager a copy (*.p12
file).

73,

... Joe Subich, W4TV
microHAM America, LLC
www.microHAM-USA.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2005 11:42 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?
>
>
> If the DX station's QSOs were uploaded to LotW using the Manager's
> certificate, then the QSOs would be placed in the Manager's LotW
> account, each with an operator callsign extracted from the Manager's
> certificate. Such QSOs would never generate QSLs, since no uploaded
> QSO would match them.
>
> LotW could be modified to support QSL managers as you suggest, but
> such an enhancement would not soon see the light of day. With
> serious defects in the renewal process going uncorrected, "nice to
> have" enhancements won't (and shouldn't!) get attention.
>
> I don't see anything stopping a DX station from saving his or her
> certificate into a password-protected .p12 file and emailing it to a
> manager, who would would obtain the password through some suitably
> secure means (40m SSB), extract the certificate, and use it to
> upload QSOs on the DX station's behalf. From a DXCC program
> integrity perspective, this is no different then a DX station
> authorizing a manager to issue QSL cards on his or her behalf.

>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> > >> [Original Message]
> > >> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@a...>
> > >>
> > >> I think the security minded folks at the ARRL would see a
> security breach
> > > in this. If not, let me point out the most obvious...
> > >>
> >
> > Hans wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > In other words QSL managers should be outlawed?
> > >
> > > > You haven't described anything that isn't already possible to do
> (and a lot
> > > easier) by a "paper" QSL manager.
> > >
> > > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Hans, and others...
> >
> > Not at all. QSL managers have been an accepted norm in the QSL
> process for many years. But I suspect the procedure is somewhat
> different than was originally suggested.
> >
> > How about this...
> >
> > Step 1: The DX submits his license and gets the ARRL to accept it
> as fact.
> > Step 2: The DX notifies the ARRL that so-and-so is his/her QSL
> manager.
> > Step 3: The manager reduces the log to ADIF and uploads the Qs to
> the DX account using the manager's certificate.
> >
> > Simple... And I'll bet it is being done already. If somebody
> wants to start uploading in this manner, I think the procedures are
> in place to do so. But it is the QSL manager's certificate that is
> to be used, rather than the DX's certificate. The log then becomes
> the responsibility of the QSL manager and not the DX's signature
> being forged by the QSL manager.
> >
> > Kewel huh? Hopefully, somebody that actually knows what is going
> on will weigh in on this and correct me if I'm wrong. But this

> looks to be OK to me.
> >
> > Ford-N0FP
> > ford@c...
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2304. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 04:49:26 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2295 Message: 2304

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> If the DX station's QSOs were uploaded to LotW using the Manager's
> certificate, then the QSOs would be placed in the Manager's LotW
> account, each with an operator callsign extracted from the Manager's
> certificate. Such QSOs would never generate QSLs, since no uploaded
> QSO would match them.

I don't think that's true. My (perhaps imperfect) understanding of the system is that once a USA operator establishes his "bona fides" (ie, is "trusted" by LoTW) he can obtain additional certificates very easily.

As a personal example, one of my certificates in my LoTW account is for KG6AQI and I upload QSO's for that operator --- not the current Tech in California, but a fellow who lived on Guam about 35 years ago. What's to stop me from obtaining a certificate under other DX calls and uploading their logs?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2305. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 04:58:14 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2295 Message: 2305

Hans wrote:

> As a personal example, one of my certificates in my LoTW account is for
> KG6AQI and I upload QSO's for that operator --- not the current Tech in
> California, but a fellow who lived on Guam about 35 years ago. What's to
> stop me from obtaining a certificate under other DX calls and uploading
> their logs?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

In a word, Wayne... Each call needs verification. Can you obtain and provide the ARRL verification of other callsigns? Only with the cooperation of the true owner of that callsign.

The owner of the callsign needs to provide verification that they are in-fact the bonafide owner of that call for the period at issue. Without that, no Qs would be accepted no matter who uploaded them.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2306. Re: [dx-list] LoTW QSL Manager?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-21 05:13:31 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2295 Message: 2306

A manager cannot simply upload a DX station's QSOs using his or her own certificate. Per https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#qslmanager , QSL Managers must apply for a certificate for the managed call.

The alternative, though not stated in the above FAQ, is for the DX Station to obtain the certificate and then send it to his or her manager for use in uploading QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
>
>
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
> > If the DX station's QSOs were uploaded to LotW using the
Manager's
> > certificate, then the QSOs would be placed in the Manager's LotW
> > account, each with an operator callsign extracted from the
Manager's
> > certificate. Such QSOs would never generate QSLs, since no
uploaded
> > QSO would match them.
>
> I don't think that's true. My (perhaps imperfect) understanding
of the
> system is that once a USA operator establishes his "bona fides"
(ie, is
> "trusted" by LoTW) he can obtain additional certificates very
easily.
>
> As a personal example, one of my certificates in my LoTW account
is for
> KG6AQI and I upload QSO's for that operator --- not the current
Tech in
> California, but a fellow who lived on Guam about 35 years ago.
What's to
> stop me from obtaining a certificate under other DX calls and
uploading
> their logs?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2307. wow tht was fast

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-26 00:15:05 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2307 Message: 2307

The VERY last qso I made in the rtty contest...AA3B, was confirmed via LoTW within 12 minutes of the contest ending.

ok UPS...can Brown beat that? lol

"Love is what makes you smile when you're tired." Terri - age 4

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2308. Credit application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-27 04:26:14 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2308 Message: 2308

When I got my DXCC the first time, I selected all CW Qs on 20M. So I got my DXCC, the CW endorsement, and the 20M endorsement, all at the same time. Of course, I had the option of hand selecting the cards from my paper file to accomplish this nifty feat.

I just recently passed 100 entities for the phone endorsement. So, I was going to make an application to purchase credits for all 15 meter SSB QSOs, so I could then get my SSB endorsement, and make good headway on the 15M endorsement at the same time.

Is there a way to select certain credits? LOTW seems to select them for me--a rather willy-nilly collection of bands/modes. Many of these countries I've worked on both modes on all bands but the WARC bands. It seems to me that the ARRL wants me to just pay for all the credits, including all the apparent dupes. Or am I missing something here. I guess I have to go back to the paper file and do it the old fashioned way. Seems like a giant step backwards in terms of a paperless transaction.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2309. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-09-27 11:59:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2308 Message: 2309

Ford
If in LoTW you have multiple qsls for the same band/mode, usually the first one received is the one selected for credit.
(this is complicated by the guys who upload their entire logs every other day)

If you got to 'Your Qsls' and also select your Award Account, you will see a added column, DXCC
This will have a mark indicating this qso is being mark for accreditation.
You have the choice to 'unmark' it.

Then so a search on the qso/qsl you WANT to be credited and select that one in the DXCC box.

Then went you go to the Awards submission module, this qso/qsl will be the one chosen for submission.

Remember you can really refine the search.
Say you want to select your 20 meter ssb qsls. Search on just those, and 'mark' and 'unmark' qso/qsl as you wish.

I did this...and it doesn't take as long as it sounds.

The 'willy-nilly' part is a consequence of people resubmitting logs.
Also;
Logs are not submitted chronologically. So a qso from 2006 may come in long before the a qso with the same band/mode for 1999 shows up.
First in, first selected.

(at least this is how I THINK it works from my experience)

good luck and congrats on the achievements.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2005 11:26 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Credit application

> When I got my DXCC the first time, I selected all CW Qs on 20M. So I got my DXCC, the
> CW endorsement, and the 20M endorsement, all at the same time. Of course, I had the
> option of hand selecting the cards from my paper file to accomplish this nifty feat.
>
> I just recently passed 100 entities for the phone endorsement. So, I was going to make
> an application to purchase credits for all 15 meter SSB QSOs, so I could then get my SSB
> endorsement, and make good headway on the 15M endorsement at the same time.
>
> Is there a way to select certain credits? LOTW seems to select them for me--a rather

> willy-nilly collection of bands/modes. Many of these countries I've worked on both
> modes on all bands but the WARC bands. It seems to me that the ARRL wants me to just
> pay for all the credits, including all the apparent dupes. Or am I missing something
> here. I guess I have to go back to the paper file and do it the old fashioned way.
> Seems like a giant step backwards in terms of a paperless transaction.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2310. Logging 'Discrepancies'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 15:51:44 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2310 Message: 2310

Greetings

Can someone advise please what the allowable 'timeslot' is for Logs to match ?

A colleague seems to think it is +/- 10 minutes can anyone confirm this ?

73

Graham

2311. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging 'Discrepancies'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 15:56:59 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2310 Message: 2311

Hello m5aav,

Saturday, October 1, 2005 m5aav wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

m> A colleague seems to think it is +/- 10 minutes can anyone confirm this ?

30 min.

--
73...Art RX9TX 01-Oct-05 15:56 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Too much of a good thing is WONDERFUL." [Mae West]

2312. Re: Logging 'Discrepancies'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 16:00:17 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2310 Message: 2312

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:

> 30 min.

Thanks Art.......WOW ! some pretty wrong clocks out there - Hi !

73

Graham

2313. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Logging 'Discrepancies'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 16:17:21 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2310 Message: 2313

Hello m5aav,

Saturday, October 1, 2005 m5aav wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

m> Thanks Art.......WOW ! some pretty wrong clocks out there - Hi !

Good to know you are preparing 6O0CW and 9M6/G3OOK logs for the LoTW.
Do you? :)

--
73...Art RX9TX 01-Oct-05 16:16 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Without fear there would be no courage." [Robert Puller]

2314. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Logging 'Discrepancies'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 17:18:33 UTC
From: QSL
Topic: 2310 Message: 2314

The message <35625249.20051001161721@...>
from Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> contains these words:

> Hello m5aav,

> Saturday, October 1, 2005 m5aav wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

> m> Thanks Art.......WOW ! some pretty wrong clocks out there - Hi !

> Good to know you are preparing 6O0CW and 9M6/G3OOK logs for the LoTW.
> Do you? :)

6O0CW I very much doubt will get to LoTW. I do not have the required
paperwork, merely the logs for Buro requests.

9M6/G3OOK will be looked at in the future.

73

Graham

--

2315. Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 17:25:08 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2315 Message: 2315

I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since time began. I need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck in the "if it ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about prefixes

The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are accurate. But, if I upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I am betting I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs, about 50% of which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.

Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to identify issues with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation by pointing me to the QSOs in question?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2316. Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-01 21:08:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2315 Message: 2316

KH7/K7C will definitely not match the entry uploaded by K7C.

The better way to handle this situation is to modify your logging application's DXCC database to stipulate that K7C is on Kure Island.

Given the increasing occurence of non-standard or ambiguous prefixes, an "entity override" capability is handy. This lets users temporarily specify a callsign and its DXCC entity without mucking with the DXCC database; when the operation terminates, one simply deletes the override. This should apply to DX spots as well as to logged QSOs.

As far as I know, LotW won't help you identify unmatchable QSOs; if you upload a QSO whose callsign is KH7/K7C, it will just sit in LotW and never generate a QSL. Some logging applications can show you all uploaded-but-not-yet-matched QSOs. If you always used the "slash" approach, filtering your log to display only QSOs whose callsigns contain a slash, have been uploaded to LotW, but have not been matched would significantly reduce the number of QSOs to be manually scanned.

Correction will also be manual, although some logging applications will let you correct multiple QSOs with one set of actions. If you've logged KH7/K7C on 5 bands, for example, you would be able to select them all, and then change all of their callsigns to K7C with one action.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since time began. I need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck in the "if it ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about prefixes
>
> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are accurate. But, if I upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I am betting I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs, about 50% of which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.
>
> Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to identify issues with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation by pointing me to the QSOs in question?

>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@c...

2317. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 00:19:53 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2315 Message: 2317

Dave wrote:

...snip...

> As far as I know, LotW won't help you identify unmatchable QSOs; if
> you upload a QSO whose callsign is KH7/K7C, it will just sit in LotW
> and never generate a QSL. Some logging applications can show you all
> uploaded-but-not-yet-matched QSOs. If you always used the "slash"
> approach, filtering your log to display only QSOs whose callsigns
> contain a slash, have been uploaded to LotW, but have not been
> matched would significantly reduce the number of QSOs to be manually
> scanned.
>
> Correction will also be manual, although some logging applications
> will let you correct multiple QSOs with one set of actions. If
> you've logged KH7/K7C on 5 bands, for example, you would be able to
> select them all, and then change all of their callsigns to K7C with
> one action.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ

Dave,

Thanks for the input. I can sort out my log in terms of "/" but many calls are likely accurate that contain a "/". For example, a friend of mine works contests at different locations. As many contesters know, signing KH7/K7C is far more effective than K7C/KH7. The accurate way to sign your call from KH7 would be AA6YQ/KH7. Yet this has almost universally become KH7/AA6YQ. The reason is, during a DX contest, running a frequency and signing AA6YQ/KH7 would result in far fewer QSOs than the other way around. Why? Because the listener is spinning the dial before you get to the "Q" much less the "/".

So how do you enter this situation in light of the fact that the DX may likely submit his call the right way, yet you would submit your call the way it was sent on the air? The way it should be? or the way that it is likely to be? (e.g. K7C)

Does DXLAB support the "upload but no match" feature? Or is there another software package?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2318. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 00:23:13 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2315 Message: 2318

My logger (HyperLog) allows me to manually over-ride the "calculated"
entity. Perhaps yours has a similar capability.

In the end it probably doesn't matter anyhow, as LoTW will credit you with
the entity the that K7C's certificate stipulates.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/1/2005 5:25:17 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes
>
> I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since time began. I
need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck in the "if it
ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about prefixes
>
> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I make the
entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are accurate. But, if I
upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I am betting
I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs, about 50% of
which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.
>
> Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to identify issues
with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation by pointing
me to the QSOs in question?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2319. Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 01:09:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2315 Message: 2319

Yes, I realize that not every one of the slash-containing callsigns
you logged was a "prefix workaround"; I was just suggesting a way to
cut down on the number of log entries you'd need to manually review.
Any callsign that doesn't contain a slash can't have been a prefix
workaround.

My strong suggestion is that you log (and upload) the callsign given
over the air. If you work me as FJ/AA6YQ, you should assume that
I'll upload my logs with the operator set to FJ/AA6YQ. If I upload
them with the operator set to AA6YQ/FJ, there will be no matches in
LotW, and that will have been my fault (and thus my responsibility
to correct), not yours.

If K7C uploads their logs to LotW, they will upload them with the
operator set to K7C, not KH7/K7C. To ensure a match, you must log
them as K7C.

Yes, DXLab (DXKeeper, to be precise) synchronizes with LotW, and for
each QSO tracks
- whether the QSO was uploaded to LotW
- whether LotW accepted the QSO
- whether the QSO is confirmed via LotW (e.g. "matched")

DXKeeper's filtering mechanism lets you display only the subset of
QSOs in your log that meet specified criteria, e.g. "callsign
contains a slash and accepted by LotW but not confirmed by LotW".

73,

Dave, AA6YQ
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
> ...snip...
>
> > As far as I know, LotW won't help you identify unmatchable QSOs;
if
> > you upload a QSO whose callsign is KH7/K7C, it will just sit in
LotW
> > and never generate a QSL. Some logging applications can show you
all
> > uploaded-but-not-yet-matched QSOs. If you always used
the "slash"
> > approach, filtering your log to display only QSOs whose
callsigns
> > contain a slash, have been uploaded to LotW, but have not been

> > matched would significantly reduce the number of QSOs to be
manually
> > scanned.
> >
> > Correction will also be manual, although some logging
applications
> > will let you correct multiple QSOs with one set of actions. If
> > you've logged KH7/K7C on 5 bands, for example, you would be able
to
> > select them all, and then change all of their callsigns to K7C
with
> > one action.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
>
> Dave,
>
> Thanks for the input. I can sort out my log in terms of "/" but
many calls are likely accurate that contain a "/". For example, a
friend of mine works contests at different locations. As many
contesters know, signing KH7/K7C is far more effective than
K7C/KH7. The accurate way to sign your call from KH7 would be
AA6YQ/KH7. Yet this has almost universally become KH7/AA6YQ. The
reason is, during a DX contest, running a frequency and signing
AA6YQ/KH7 would result in far fewer QSOs than the other way around.
Why? Because the listener is spinning the dial before you get to
the "Q" much less the "/".
>
> So how do you enter this situation in light of the fact that the
DX may likely submit his call the right way, yet you would submit
your call the way it was sent on the air? The way it should be? or
the way that it is likely to be? (e.g. K7C)
>
> Does DXLAB support the "upload but no match" feature? Or is there
another software package?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@c...

2320. Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 01:17:44 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2315 Message: 2320

It absolutely matters, Hans. Unless the callsign on the QSO you upload is K7C, there will be no match, and therefore no credit.

I take it back, there is a way for such a match to be generated: convince the K7C team to upload their log with the operator set to KH7/K7C. The LotW guys probably wouldn't appreciate the same 50K QSOs being uploaded with two different operator callsigns, but it would eliminate Ford's problem. It wouldn't be the first time this trick was used, but this would probably set a record for scale.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
>
> My logger (HyperLog) allows me to manually over-ride the "calculated"
> entity. Perhaps yours has a similar capability.
>
> In the end it probably doesn't matter anyhow, as LoTW will credit you with
> the entity the that K7C's certificate stipulates.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@a...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 10/1/2005 5:25:17 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes
> >
> > I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since time began. I
> > need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck in the "if it
> > ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about prefixes
> >
> > The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I make the
> > entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are accurate. But, if I

> upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I am
betting
> I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs, about
50% of
> which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.
> >
> > Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to identify
issues
> with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation by
pointing
> me to the QSOs in question?
> >
> > Ford-N0FP
> > ford@c...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2321. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 01:53:01 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2315 Message: 2321

I believe you're mistaken, Dave. I upload the call (in this case K7C) that the station uses, without any tinkering on my part.

If I upload K7C it doesn't matter a hoot to LoTW what entity my logger assigned to it.

I will get credited with the entity that the K7C LoTW certificate specifies, EVEN IF MY LOGGER DOESN'T ASSIGN AN ENTITY, OR IF IT ASSIGNS AN INCORRECT ENTITY.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 10/2/2005 1:18:39 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Matching prefixes
>
> It absolutely matters, Hans. Unless the callsign on the QSO you
> upload is K7C, there will be no match, and therefore no credit.
>
> I take it back, there is a way for such a match to be generated:
> convince the K7C team to upload their log with the operator set to
> KH7/K7C. The LotW guys probably wouldn't appreciate the same 50K
> QSOs being uploaded with two different operator callsigns, but it
> would eliminate Ford's problem. It wouldn't be the first time this
> trick was used, but this would probably set a record for scale.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
> >
> > My logger (HyperLog) allows me to manually over-ride
> the "calculated"
> > entity. Perhaps yours has a similar capability.
> >
> > In the end it probably doesn't matter anyhow, as LoTW will credit
> you with
> > the entity the that K7C's certificate stipulates.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB

> > --
> > http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> > > [Original Message]
> > > From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@a...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Date: 10/1/2005 5:25:17 PM
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes
> > >
> > > I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since time
> began. I
> > need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck in
> the "if it
> > ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about
> prefixes
> > >
> > > The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I
> make the
> > entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are accurate.
> But, if I
> > upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I am
> betting
> > I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs, about
> 50% of
> > which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.
> > >
> > > Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to identify
> issues
> > with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation by
> pointing
> > me to the QSOs in question?
> > >
> > > Ford-N0FP
> > > ford@c...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2322. Re: Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 02:08:50 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2315 Message: 2322

That's correct if you upload a QSO whose callsign is K7C, Hans, but
that's not the situation Ford described. He's asking about uploading
a QSO whose callsign is KH7/K7C.

Ford's not doing that to convey "Kure" to LotW; as you point out,
LotW knows that K7C is on Kure from K7C's certificate. Ford is
logging the QSO as KH7/K7C to force his logging application to
record the QSO's DXCC entity as Kure, presumably so that its award
tracking will be accurate. But having logged the QSO as KH7/K7C, it
will be uploaded to LotW as KH7/K7C, where it will remain unmatched.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
> I believe you're mistaken, Dave. I upload the call (in this case
K7C) that
> the station uses, without any tinkering on my part.
>
> If I upload K7C it doesn't matter a hoot to LoTW what entity my
logger
> assigned to it.
>
> I will get credited with the entity that the K7C LoTW certificate
> specifies, EVEN IF MY LOGGER DOESN'T ASSIGN AN ENTITY, OR IF IT
ASSIGNS AN
> INCORRECT ENTITY.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@a...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 10/2/2005 1:18:39 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Matching prefixes
> >
> > It absolutely matters, Hans. Unless the callsign on the QSO you
> > upload is K7C, there will be no match, and therefore no credit.
> >
> > I take it back, there is a way for such a match to be generated:
> > convince the K7C team to upload their log with the operator set

to
> > KH7/K7C. The LotW guys probably wouldn't appreciate the same 50K
> > QSOs being uploaded with two different operator callsigns, but
it
> > would eliminate Ford's problem. It wouldn't be the first time
this
> > trick was used, but this would probably set a record for scale.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@e...> wrote:
> > >
> > > My logger (HyperLog) allows me to manually over-ride
> > the "calculated"
> > > entity. Perhaps yours has a similar capability.
> > >
> > > In the end it probably doesn't matter anyhow, as LoTW will
credit
> > you with
> > > the entity the that K7C's certificate stipulates.
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > --
> > > http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> > >
> > >
> > > > [Original Message]
> > > > From: Ford Peterson <n0fp@a...>
> > > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > > Date: 10/1/2005 5:25:17 PM
> > > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes
> > > >
> > > > I have been using Logger 16 bit for my station log since
time
> > began. I
> > > > need to upgrade it and am evaluating alternatives. I'm stuck
in
> > the "if it
> > > > ain't broke, don't fix it" mode. But I have a question about
> > prefixes
> > > >
> > > > The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So,
I
> > make the
> > > > entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are
accurate.
> > But, if I
> > > > upload this to LOTW, I don't think it will match will it? I

am
> > betting
> > > I've got a pretty good percentage of my log (28,000 QSOs,
about
> > 50% of
> > > which are DX) that has fallen prey to my behavior when logging.
> > > >
> > > > Anybody got ideas as to the 'fix?' Is there a way to
identify
> > issues
> > > with my LOTW data that will allow me to correct the situation
by
> > pointing
> > > me to the QSOs in question?
> > > >
> > > > Ford-N0FP
> > > > ford@c...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

2323. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 09:43:41 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2315 Message: 2323

Hello Ford,

Saturday, October 1, 2005 Ford Peterson wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

FP> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I
FP> make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are
FP> accurate.

I do not remember how it works in L16, but in Logger32 you can add K7C
to the database for Kure calls, just like it is done for K5K, K7K and
some other calls of that sort. Next version of L32 will have corrected
database installation coming with it. Just do the L16 upgrade to L32
and log the calls exactly the way the operators spell them.

--
73...Art RX9TX 02-Oct-05 09:37 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Never give advice unless asked." [German proverb]

2324. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 09:51:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2315 Message: 2324

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "Art RX9TX" <rx9tx@...>
To: "Ford Peterson" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 4:43 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Matching prefixes

> Hello Ford,
>
> Saturday, October 1, 2005 Ford Peterson wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> FP> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I
> FP> make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports are
> FP> accurate.
>
> I do not remember how it works in L16, but in Logger32 you can add K7C
> to the database for Kure calls, just like it is done for K5K, K7K and
> some other calls of that sort. Next version of L32 will have corrected
> database installation coming with it. Just do the L16 upgrade to L32
> and log the calls exactly the way the operators spell them.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 02-Oct-05 09:37 UTC
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "Never give advice unless asked." [German proverb]
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

>
>

2325. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 380

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 12:38:54 UTC
From: mel martin
Topic: 2325 Message: 2325

>WOW ! some pretty wrong clocks out there - Hi !

Not in the "olden days" when using a paper log where one didn't enter the time beside every QSO...

> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I
> make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports
> are accurate. But, if I upload this to LOTW, I don't think

All logging programs I've used have a database of DXCC countries/prefixes that have to be modified, and/or have a field in the log other than callsign where one can change the DXCC country. Don't change the callsign! It definitely won't match LotW

73

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.9/116 - Release Date: 2005-09-30

2326. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 380

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 12:47:33 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 2325 Message: 2326

> The K7C operation, when entered, comes up at a 7 call. So, I
> make the entry KH7/K7C and life is good--the log's reports
> are accurate. But, if I upload this to LOTW, I don't think

Simple solution, is not issue these 1X1 calls for DXpeditions!
That's not what they were intended for anyway.

It's not like they'd have to sign /KH7K after each QSO anyway!!

Just my minority opinion.

Ron

--
Faster, Safer, Better ... Get Firefox!
www.spreadfirefox.com/?q=affiliates&id=71835&t=82

2327. WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 13:20:52 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 2327 Message: 2327

Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That has to be the most important award next to DXCC.

Thanks,

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

2328. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 13:32:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2327 Message: 2328

That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has an answer.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?

> Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That
> has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2329. Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 13:52:45 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 2327 Message: 2329

Sorry, but I tried to do a search of older messages for "WAS" and it
brings up any message with the word "was" which is about every other
message.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has an answer.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?
>
>
> > Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That
> > has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
crafts
> > Ham radio
> >
> >
> >

> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

> >
> >
> >

> >
> >

2330. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 14:39:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2327 Message: 2330

no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase LoTW's use and popularity.
But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of arrival.

Hopefully ... soon.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:52 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

> Sorry, but I tried to do a search of older messages for "WAS" and it
> brings up any message with the word "was" which is about every other
> message.
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>> That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has an answer.
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?
>>
>>
>> > Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That
>> > has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>> >
>> > Duffy
>> > www.wb8nut.com
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
> crafts
>> > Ham radio
>> >
>> >

>> >
> --
>> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>> >
>> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>> >
>> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> >
>> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>> >
>> >
>> >
> --
>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> --
>
>

2331. Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 14:46:39 UTC
From: m5aav
Topic: 2327 Message: 2331

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase LoTW's use and
> popularity.
> But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of arrival.
>
And the other big 'supposedly coming soon' winner,would of course be IoTA.

73 Graham

2332. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 14:54:07 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2327 Message: 2332

I just saw Dave at the W9DXCC bash.
And that was a topic of discussion.
Hopefully they will get it worked out. But I don't think it's REAL eminent.

Actually I think the IOTA program WOULD BE EVEN MORE OF A BOOST than WAS.
The growth of IOTA has been remarkable.
Same thing with the LightHouse program.

----- Original Message -----
From: "m5aav" <qsl@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 9:46 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>> no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase
> LoTW's use and
>> popularity.
>> But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of
> arrival.
>>
> And the other big 'supposedly coming soon' winner,would of course be IoTA.
>
> 73 Graham
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> --
>
>

2333. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 15:04:05 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 2327 Message: 2333

As an active participant in LOTW, I am concerned with the lack of new
awards. Yet we continue to post our logs...

Pat
WW9R
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of FireBrick
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 9:54 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

I just saw Dave at the W9DXCC bash.
And that was a topic of discussion.
Hopefully they will get it worked out. But I don't think it's REAL eminent.

Actually I think the IOTA program WOULD BE EVEN MORE OF A BOOST than WAS.
The growth of IOTA has been remarkable.
Same thing with the LightHouse program.

----- Original Message -----
From: "m5aav" <qsl@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 9:46 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>> no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase
> LoTW's use and
>> popularity.
>> But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of
> arrival.
>>
> And the other big 'supposedly coming soon' winner,would of course be IoTA.
>
> 73 Graham
>
>
>
>
>
>

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>

> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
>

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2334. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 15:11:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2327 Message: 2334

----- Original Message -----
From: "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 10:04 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

> As an active participant in LOTW, I am concerned with the lack of new
> awards. Yet we continue to post our logs...
>

I'm not sure what you mean.

If we don't post our logs,
IF we don't cajole others to do so.

There won't be any real push to advance the program.

I have buffers in my keyer and rtty program 'suggesting' they take part in LoTW.
I've had many replies that they will join.
Even got a few new band/modes qsl's that way.

But this has all been said before, hopefully some news will break that will add additional incentives for others to take part.

We rush for the stars as we crawl toward our graves.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2335. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 16:22:29 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2327 Message: 2335

> no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase LoTW's use and
> popularity.
> But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of arrival.
>
> Hopefully ... soon.

Last Tuesday, I was at the annual meeting of the Minnesota Wireless Association. Director Bellows was there to give a short presentation to the group. I asked the specific question "Is LOTW going to support awards other than DXCC?" His answer, the best I can recall was as follows:

******
It is the intent for LOTW to be able to support other contests. They are testing WAS right now. As far as other award sponsors, it is the intent to be able to support these awards as well. BUT, it is not up to the ARRL to bring these people on board the program. It is up to these other sponsors to make suitable arrangements for LOTW to administrate their awards. At this time, there are no other sponsors working with the ARRL to do so.
******

So there it is, the best as I can recollect. Forgive me Jay if I have misquoted you, certainly there is no malicious intent on my part. When asked if he could speculate as to when WAS is going to be available. He professed no reliable knowledge of a time table. It is in "testing."

My personal view is that the ARRL needs to roll out it's program to support its own awards. Other sponsors can then evaluate the system to see if it is suitable, advantageous to them, and better than their current systems. Patience is a virtue. Change is a process requiring patience. And everybody hates Change. A quagmire of sorts. So we wait...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2336. Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 16:39:30 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2327 Message: 2336

"At this time, there are no other sponsors working with the ARRL to
do so"

If true, I'm sure this has ARRL management heaving a big sigh of
relief. As is clear from the time required to implement WAS support -
- an awards program fully under ARRL's control -- the real issue is
insufficient resources available for LotW development, maintenance,
and operation. There are a raft of obvious improvements that would
make LotW more appealing and easier to use. The issue isn't lack of
vision or quality personnel, there's just not enough staff assigned
to this program.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@a...> wrote:
>
> > no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to
increase LoTW's use and
> > popularity.
> > But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date
of arrival.
> >
> > Hopefully ... soon.
>
> Last Tuesday, I was at the annual meeting of the Minnesota
Wireless Association. Director Bellows was there to give a short
presentation to the group. I asked the specific question "Is LOTW
going to support awards other than DXCC?" His answer, the best I
can recall was as follows:
>
> ******
> It is the intent for LOTW to be able to support other contests.
They are testing WAS right now. As far as other award sponsors, it
is the intent to be able to support these awards as well. BUT, it
is not up to the ARRL to bring these people on board the program.
It is up to these other sponsors to make suitable arrangements for
LOTW to administrate their awards. At this time, there are no other
sponsors working with the ARRL to do so.
> ******
>
> So there it is, the best as I can recollect. Forgive me Jay if I
have misquoted you, certainly there is no malicious intent on my
part. When asked if he could speculate as to when WAS is going to
be available. He professed no reliable knowledge of a time table.

It is in "testing."
>
> My personal view is that the ARRL needs to roll out it's program to support its own awards. Other sponsors can then evaluate the system to see if it is suitable, advantageous to them, and better than their current systems. Patience is a virtue. Change is a process requiring patience. And everybody hates Change. A quagmire of sorts. So we wait...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@c...

2337. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 17:33:08 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2327 Message: 2337

> As an active participant in LOTW, I am concerned with the lack of new
> awards. Yet we continue to post our logs...
>
> Pat
> WW9R

I am thinking that DXCC is a very unusual program by 'awards' standards. And the process is quite a bit more involved. Just look at the shear numbers of people on the headquarter's phone list that are assigned to the DXCC desk. Very labor intensive by comparison to other awards. The fact that the entire process was rolled out with DXCC as the flagship award is a testimony to the technical vision of the people involved.

I am also thinking that the process for the other awards will look and feel much different than DXCC by comparison. Careful planning and design at this point is extremely important to adding additional awards later. My gut tells me that WAS will be next, and the snaffus will get worked out with WAS, at which point additional awards can be added at a rapid rate--again, a testimony to careful planning and design now, rather than having to re-work everything later.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2338. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 21:12:21 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2327 Message: 2338

Anybody have any clue why?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

An elephant: a mouse built to government specifications. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 07:40
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase LoTW's use
and
popularity.
But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of arrival.

Hopefully ... soon.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:52 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

> Sorry, but I tried to do a search of older messages for "WAS" and it
> brings up any message with the word "was" which is about every other
> message.
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>> That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has an answer.
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?
>>
>>
>> > Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That

>> > has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>> >
>> > Duffy
>> > www.wb8nut.com
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
> crafts
>> > Ham radio
>> >
>> >
>> >
> ---

>> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>> >
>> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>> >
>> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> >
>> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>> >
>> >
>> >
> ---

>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2339. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 21:49:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2327 Message: 2339

Why ... because the Board of Directors has their heads where the
sun don't shine and are not providing enough resources for LotW
development and promotion.

LotW development is done by one person who has primary responsibility
for ALL computer systems ... including "production" systems which
have priority. There is NOBODY with primary responsibility for
promoting LotW and/or "selling" LotW to the sponsors of other awards
programs.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole
> (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 5:12 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
>
>
> Anybody have any clue why?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> An elephant: a mouse built to government specifications.
> --Lazarus Long
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 07:40
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
>
>
> no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to
> increase LoTW's use
> and
> popularity.

> But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected
> date of arrival.
>
> Hopefully ... soon.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:52 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
>
>
> > Sorry, but I tried to do a search of older messages for "WAS" and it
> > brings up any message with the word "was" which is about every other
> > message.
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> >> That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has an answer.
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?
> >>
> >>
> >> > Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS
> award? That
> >> > has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
> >> >
> >> > Thanks,
> >> >
> >> > Duffy
> >> > www.wb8nut.com
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
> > crafts
> >> > Ham radio
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> > >
> > --

> ------------
> ------
> >> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >> >
> >> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >> >
> >> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >> >
> >> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> --
> ------------
> ------
> >> >
> >> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby
> Hobbies and crafts
> > Ham radio
> >
> >
> >
> --
> ------------
> ------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> --
> ------------
> ------
> >
> >

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2340. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-02 22:23:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2327 Message: 2340

Which means it is likely that it will NEVER be a success except PERHAPS???
For DXCC. What a sad commentary on the ARRL!
Hire Dave, he would get it done, nahh he would not want that job :-)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 4:50 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
>
>
> Why ... because the Board of Directors has their heads where
> the sun don't shine and are not providing enough resources
> for LotW development and promotion.
>
> LotW development is done by one person who has primary
> responsibility for ALL computer systems ... including
> "production" systems which have priority. There is NOBODY
> with primary responsibility for promoting LotW and/or
> "selling" LotW to the sponsors of other awards programs.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole
> > (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> > Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 5:12 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
> >
> >
> > Anybody have any clue why?
> >
> > Thanks,

> > Dave
> > NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> > http://www.nk7z.net
> >
> > An elephant: a mouse built to government specifications.
> > --Lazarus Long
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> > Behalf Of FireBrick
> > Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 07:40
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
> >
> >
> > no problem, it's a common thread, as it will do a lot to increase
> > LoTW's use and popularity.
> > But as yet, they just haven't been able to post an expected date of
> > arrival.
> >
> > Hopefully ... soon.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:52 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?
> >
> >
> > > Sorry, but I tried to do a search of older messages for
> "WAS" and it
> > > brings up any message with the word "was" which is about
> every other
> > > message.
> > >
> > > Duffy
> > > www.wb8nut.com
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
> > >> That gets asked at least once a week, so far, nobody has
> an answer.
> > >>
> > >> ----- Original Message -----
> > >> From: "Beischel" <duffyb01@f...>
> > >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > >> Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2005 8:20 AM
> > >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?
> > >>
> > >>

> > >> > Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS
> > award? That
> > >> > has to be the most important award next to DXCC.
> > >> >
> > >> > Thanks,
> > >> >
> > >> > Duffy
> > >> > www.wb8nut.com
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby
> Hobbies and
> > > crafts
> > >> > Ham radio
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >
> > ---
> > ---
> > ---
> > >> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> > >> >
> > >> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> > >> >
> > >> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > >> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >> >
> > >> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of
> > > Service.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >
> > ---
> > ---
> > ---
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby
> > Hobbies and crafts
> > > Ham radio

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > --
> > ------------
> > ------
> > > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> > >
> > > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> > Terms of Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > --
> > ------------
> > ------
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~--> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo!
> > Groups. Make Yahoo!
> > your home page
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > --
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Get fast access to your favorite
> Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo! your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2341. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-03 01:58:43 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2327 Message: 2341

On Oct 2, 2005, at 9:20 AM, Beischel wrote:

> Does anyone know when LOTW is going to support the WAS award? That
> has to be the most important award next to DXCC.

Last I heard, when I wrote lotw-help, WAS support was coming 2nd or
3rd quarter 2005.

Uh, Wait. We're now in the 4th quarter.

Looks like it is long overdue.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2342. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-03 02:06:50 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2327 Message: 2342

On Oct 2, 2005, at 5:49 PM, Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:

> Why ... because the Board of Directors has their heads where the
> sun don't shine and are not providing enough resources for LotW
> development and promotion.

I can see this is a tough situation. It's hard to front a lot of money to invest in a program that may not recover the expenses for some time. Easier to pay it out using excess capacity. A heck of a lot slower, though.

> LotW development is done by one person who has primary responsibility
> for ALL computer systems ... including "production" systems which
> have priority. There is NOBODY with primary responsibility for
> promoting LotW and/or "selling" LotW to the sponsors of other awards
> programs.

Chicken and egg. Can't really sell the program to other award sponsors when ARRL doesn't even support its own main awards (DXCC, WAS, VUCC), can you?

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2343. Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-03 02:32:01 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2327 Message: 2343

The "Stove, give me heat, and I will give you wood" strategy rarely
works.

There's no point buying a stove if you can't afford the fuel. Unless
you need something to bang your head on...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@a...> wrote:
>
> On Oct 2, 2005, at 5:49 PM, Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:
>
> > Why ... because the Board of Directors has their heads where the
> > sun don't shine and are not providing enough resources for LotW
> > development and promotion.
>
> I can see this is a tough situation. It's hard to front a lot of
> money to invest in a program that may not recover the expenses
for
> some time. Easier to pay it out using excess capacity. A heck of
a
> lot slower, though.
>
> > LotW development is done by one person who has primary
responsibility
> > for ALL computer systems ... including "production" systems which
> > have priority. There is NOBODY with primary responsibility for
> > promoting LotW and/or "selling" LotW to the sponsors of other
awards
> > programs.
>
> Chicken and egg. Can't really sell the program to other award
> sponsors when ARRL doesn't even support its own main awards
(DXCC,
> WAS, VUCC), can you?
>
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@a...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2344. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-03 02:47:38 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2327 Message: 2344

At 10:32 PM 10/2/2005, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>The "Stove, give me heat, and I will give you wood" strategy rarely
>works.
>
>There's no point buying a stove if you can't afford the fuel. Unless
>you need something to bang your head on...
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ

Dave's 100% correct. The League isn't going to spend money on extending LoTW unless you let your division director know that it's important. If there's no committment to get it done, it won't get done.

Have you told your director that it's important to get this funded and done? If not, don't complain - apparently the League doesn't think it's worth doing because nobody cares.
-Rick

2345. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS Support when?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-03 03:52:55 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2327 Message: 2345

At 07:06 PM 10/2/2005, you wrote:

>I can see this is a tough situation. It's hard to front a lot of
>money to invest in a program that may not recover the expenses for
>some time. Easier to pay it out using excess capacity. A heck of a
>lot slower, though.

~~~~~~

"Invest" is not exactly the right word here. ARRL is a non-profit organization and does not have to show return on investment. That's what we pay our dues for and that's how I want my dues spent.

I suspect the underlying problem is that ARRL greatly underestimated how difficult implementing LoTW would be. They're not the first to make such a mistake.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2346. A perspective on worldwide LotW usage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-04 04:19:14 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2346 Message: 2346

A few weeks ago, Henryk SP3E drew my attention to a database of known LotW users being collected by HB9BZA at http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/ and suggested that I extend SpotCollector to highlight known participants. SpotCollector is a member of the freeware DXLab Suite; it collects DX spots from up to six sources into a database that the user can sort and filter in real time. Spots of the same callsign in the same mode near the same frequency are combined into a single database record. Stations needed (with respect to declared award objectives) are appropriately highlighted using font color.

I recently released a new version of SpotCollector that adds an LotW field to each spot database record; this field is set to 'Y' if the spotted station is a known LotW participant. SpotCollector can be configured to highlight known LotW participants with a unique background color; the user can also filter the spot database display to hide stations known to participate or stations not known to participate.

The current list of known LotW participants contains 9346 callsigns. The LotW web site claims 15927 active certificates as of a few minutes ago, so the known LotW participants list contains just under 60% of the total. Given the collection process being used by HB9BZA, we can assume that the known participants list would be the more active LotW users, which may somewhat bias conclusions based on this data.

In the interval between 0400Z on 10/1/05 and 0300Z on 10/4/05, my instance of SpotCollector collected 8780 spot database entries from 5 telnet-accessible clusters:

- DX-Central
- K1TTT
- KS4Q
- JK1ZRW
- DX Summit (OH2AQ)

788 of these spot database entries were for known known LotW participants. I loaded the spot database into Excel and found 3827 unique callsigns, 265 of which were known LotW participants.

The 265 known LotW participants spotted during the above interval break down by DXCC prefix as follows:

135 - K
12 - G
11 - VE

7 - DL
6 - ZL
5 - JA, SV, VK
4 - KL7, OL, SM
3 - F, S5, SP, UA

The other 45 were distributed among countries spotted once or twice.

Some rough conclusions:

1. less than 10% of stations being spotted are known to participate in LotW

2. half of the spotted partipants are US stations

3. less than 4% of non-US stations being spotted are known to participate in LotW (265-135)/3827

Since the known participants are only 60% of all participants, statistics #1 and #3 should be uplifted by a factor of ~1.7 to estimate the overall LotW usage among spotted stations. For non-US stations, thats less than 7%.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

2347. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] A perspective on worldwide LotW usage

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-04 12:10:24 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2346 Message: 2347

It also appears that the vast majority of stations are not exactly high on anybody's most wanted list!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Monday, October 03, 2005 11:19 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] A perspective on worldwide LotW usage
>
> A few weeks ago, Henryk SP3E drew my attention to a database
> of known LotW users being collected by HB9BZA at
> http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/ and suggested that I extend
> SpotCollector to highlight known participants. SpotCollector
> is a member of the freeware DXLab Suite; it collects DX spots
> from up to six sources into a database that the user can sort
> and filter in real time. Spots of the same callsign in the
> same mode near the same frequency are combined into a single
> database record. Stations needed (with respect to declared
> award objectives) are appropriately highlighted using font color.
>
> I recently released a new version of SpotCollector that adds
> an LotW field to each spot database record; this field is set
> to 'Y' if the spotted station is a known LotW participant.
> SpotCollector can be configured to highlight known LotW
> participants with a unique background color; the user can
> also filter the spot database display to hide stations known
> to participate or stations not known to participate.
>
> The current list of known LotW participants contains 9346 callsigns.
> The LotW web site claims 15927 active certificates as of a
> few minutes ago, so the known LotW participants list contains
> just under 60% of the total. Given the collection process
> being used by HB9BZA, we can assume that the known
> participants list would be the more active LotW users, which
> may somewhat bias conclusions based on this data.
>
> In the interval between 0400Z on 10/1/05 and 0300Z on
> 10/4/05, my instance of SpotCollector collected 8780 spot
> database entries from
> 5 telnet-accessible clusters:

>
> - DX-Central
> - K1TTT
> - KS4Q
> - JK1ZRW
> - DX Summit (OH2AQ)
>
> 788 of these spot database entries were for known known LotW
> participants. I loaded the spot database into Excel and found
> 3827 unique callsigns, 265 of which were known LotW participants.
>
> The 265 known LotW participants spotted during the above
> interval break down by DXCC prefix as follows:
>
> 135 - K
> 12 - G
> 11 - VE
> 7 - DL
> 6 - ZL
> 5 - JA, SV, VK
> 4 - KL7, OL, SM
> 3 - F, S5, SP, UA
>
> The other 45 were distributed among countries spotted once or twice.
>
> Some rough conclusions:
>
> 1. less than 10% of stations being spotted are known to
> participate in LotW
>
> 2. half of the spotted partipants are US stations
>
> 3. less than 4% of non-US stations being spotted are known to
> participate in LotW (265-135)/3827
>
> Since the known participants are only 60% of all
> participants, statistics #1 and #3 should be uplifted by a
> factor of ~1.7 to estimate the overall LotW usage among
> spotted stations. For non-US stations, thats less than 7%.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

> ----------------------~--> Get fast access to your favorite
> Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo! your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> --
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2348. Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-04 23:53:12 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2346 Message: 2348

I downloaded version 3.0.4 of Spot Collector and the LotW feature does work well. I just used it to work a couple of new band countries.

The downloaded program had a few week old list. HB9BZA and I have been keeping the list up to date in a number of formats. I wrote a small utility to display the contents of the database, but integrating it in to SpotCollector is a better idea. In keeping with our update actions, I decided to add an updated copy of the list data formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download. The update adds about 100 more callsigns to the list of known LotW users. Next update will be when we get some more additions to the list.

2349. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 00:18:52 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2346 Message: 2349

Thanks, Bill. May I adjust the DXLab download page to point directly to your current LotW.mdb file?

A couple of comments:

1. Please modify your web site to instruct users to place the LotW.mdb file in DXView's Database folder (if DXView is installed) or SpotCollector's Database folder (if DXView isn't installed). Most SpotCollector users have DXView installed; both programs will automatically reference the same LotW database.

2. Henryk SP3E points out that the ability to see a list of all known LotW participants for a specified DXCC entity is useful; I will be adding this to DXView.

3. Being able to quickly work new entity-bands and entity-modes is seductive, particularly when you know that the confirmation will arrive quickly. I'm sorely tempted to give DXKeeper (the DXLabs logging application) an "automatically upload to LotW after logging a QSO" option, as I did for eQSL.cc -- but fear that the resulting increase in 1-QSO uploads would have too negative an impact on LotW server efficiency, and so am holding off.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@...m [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Beggs
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2005 19:53 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

I downloaded version 3.0.4 of Spot Collector and the LotW feature does work well. I just used it to work a couple of new band countries.

The downloaded program had a few week old list. HB9BZA and I have been keeping the list up to date in a number of formats. I wrote a small utility to display the contents of the database, but integrating it in to SpotCollector is a better idea. In keeping with our update actions, I decided to add an updated copy of the list data formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download. The update adds about 100 more callsigns to the list of known LotW users. Next update will be when we get some more additions to the list.

Yahoo! Groups Links

2350. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 01:13:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2346 Message: 2350

I'm
> sorely tempted to give DXKeeper (the DXLabs logging
> application) an "automatically upload to LotW after logging a QSO" option,
>
> as I did for eQSL.cc -- but fear that the resulting increase in 1-QSO
> uploads would have too negative an impact on LotW server efficiency, and
> so
> am holding off.
Ha
At the rate that LoTW is growing....I don't think THAT will be the cause of server overuse.

LOL

But...saying that, I frequently upload a day's worth of qso's...
maybe 2...???? except for contests of course.

2351. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Updated LotW database

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 14:26:26 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 2351 Message: 2351

Hi all,

you ever wondered if you have new calls for the users database
but were too lazy to check?

Well, I was. So I wrote a small utility which scans the
lotwreport-file, compares it with the actual users list
and stores the new ones.

See here for details:

http://www.rehberg.de/lotw

Andy, DF4WC

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf
> Of Bill Beggs
> Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2005 19:53 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Updated LotW database for SpotCollector
>
>
> I downloaded version 3.0.4 of Spot Collector and the LotW feature does
> work
> well. I just used it to work a couple of new band
> countries.
>
> The downloaded program had a few week old list. HB9BZA and I have
> been keeping the list up to date in a number of formats. I wrote a
> small utility to display the contents of the database, but integrating it
> in
> to SpotCollector is a better idea. In keeping with
> our update actions, I decided to add an updated copy of the list data
> formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site
> http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download. The update adds
> about 100 more callsigns to the list of known LotW users. Next update
> will
> be when we get some more additions to the list.
>
>

--
Lust, ein paar Euro nebenbei zu verdienen? Ohne Kosten, ohne Risiko!
Satte Provisionen f?r GMX Partner: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/partner

2352. Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 18:31:17 UTC
From: Stan Huntting
Topic: 2346 Message: 2352

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@b...> wrote:
> I decided to add an updated copy of the list data
> formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site
> http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download.

This is very interesting, but I find that I cannot open the file in my
old version of Access (97). Is your database dependent on recent Access
features or would it possible to save it in an .mdb format that is
downward compatible with Access97?

Tnx and 73,

Stan, kw7kw

2353. Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 18:41:14 UTC
From: Stan Huntting
Topic: 2346 Message: 2353

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@b...> wrote:
> I decided to add an updated copy of the list data
> formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site
> http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download.

This is very interesting, but I find that I cannot open the file in my
old version of Access (97). Is your database dependent on recent Access
features or would it possible to save it in an .mdb format that is
downward compatible with Access97?

Tnx and 73,

Stan, kw7kw

2354. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 20:58:48 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2346 Message: 2354

Stan,

The file opens quite well with Access 2000. DXLab (DX View) uses
an Access 2000 format database so uploading the file as an Access
97 format file would be "missing the target."

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Stan Huntting
> Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2005 2:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@b...> wrote:
> > I decided to add an updated copy of the list data
> > formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site
> > http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download.
>
> This is very interesting, but I find that I cannot open the
> file in my old version of Access (97). Is your database dependent on
> recent Access features or would it possible to save it in an .mdb
> format that is downward compatible with Access97?
>
> Tnx and 73,
>
> Stan, kw7kw
>
>

2355. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-05 22:53:01 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2346 Message: 2355

Stan,

Access 2000 and Access 97 databases are not compatible. The formats
are different. The only thing in common is an extension! There is
no such animal as a "downward compatible" Access 2000 file.

If Bill were to distribute his MDB file in Access 97 format, every
user of DXView/SpotCollector would have to have a full copy of
Access 2000 and use the conversion utility before they could use
the file.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Stan Huntting
> Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2005 2:41 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Updated LotW database for SpotCollector
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@b...> wrote:
> > I decided to add an updated copy of the list data
> > formatted as an Access (mdb) file to my web site
> > http://www.bbcyber.com . It is a free download.
>
> This is very interesting, but I find that I cannot open the
> file in my old version of Access (97). Is your database
> dependent on recent Access features or would it possible to
> save it in an .mdb format that is downward compatible with
> Access97?
>
> Tnx and 73,
>
> Stan, kw7kw
>

2356. Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 15:24:02 UTC
From: wo1n
Topic: 2356 Message: 2356

It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was 3/27/04. So after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.

My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I used the LOTW web interface to do the upload.

Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been counted? Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?

Ken
WO1N

2357. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 15:34:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2356 Message: 2357

It's because both the Red Sox AND the Yanks have been eliminated....
The system is in mourning.

LOL
Actually I uploaded just a few last night and they showed up right quick.
Make sure you selected 'recent qsos' and not 'recent qsls'.

I just did a check to see if you were in my log. Didn't see you but the whole internet system seems to be slow today, Guess it's all those internet managers trying to scrounge online tickets!.

(
----- Original Message -----
From: "wo1n" <wo1n@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2005 10:24 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload Response?

> It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was 3/27/04. So
> after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded
> another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
>
> My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I used
> the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
>
> Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been counted?
> Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
>
> Ken
> WO1N
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>

> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2358. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 16:01:25 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2356 Message: 2358

At 08:24 AM 10/11/2005, wo1n wrote:

>It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was 3/27/04. So
>after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded
>another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
>
>My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I used
>the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
>
>Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been counted?
>Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
>
>Ken
>WO1N

~~~~~~

At one time, DXKeeper had a bug where it would appear to upload logs correctly but actually didn't. The problem would occur if there was an incorrect entry, or no entry, in your login and/or password information for LoTW. According to Dave, if that is the case in the latest version you should get a warning message.

Be sure you have installed the latest version of DXKeeper, then go to the QSL tab of the main window, click the QSL Config button and check the boxes for Username and Password web account. If either one is empty or if you have the wrong information, that will cause the problem you described. It happened to me.

Hope this helps.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2359. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 20:14:17 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2356 Message: 2359

While its true that earlier versions of DXKeeper did not complain if the user initiated an LotW upload without specifying an LotW username and password, neither the username nor the password is required by the upload process; their absence would not have resulted in failure to upload. The username and password are only required by LotW download operations -- which have always alerted the user if the username or password was unspecified.

When DXLab is directed to upload a set of QSOs to LotW, it encrypts the QSOs into a file, uploads the file to LotW, and obtains confirmation from the LotW server that the file was successfully received; this confirmation (or its absence) is immediately reported to the user. If the file upload was successful, DXKeeper sets the LotW_Status of each uploaded QSO to 'U' (for 'uploaded') in the log.

After giving LotW time to process the uploaded QSOs, the user can query LotW to determine whether the uploaded QSOs were individually accepted by LotW. This operation, initiated with a single mouse click, updates the LotW_Status of each accepted QSO to 'Y' (for 'yes!') in the log. Any QSO that was successfully uploaded but not accepted remains with an LotW_Status of 'U'; one mouse click reveals all QSOs in this condition.

Thus DXKeeper provides two levels of confirmation for uploads to LotW. Should an upload fail due to transient internet connectivity problems, the actions of a mis-configured firewall, or a non-responsive LotW server, the user will be immediately and accurately informed.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Turner <dezrat1242@i...> wrote:
>
> At 08:24 AM 10/11/2005, wo1n wrote:
>
>
> >It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was 3/27/04. So
> >after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded
> >another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
> >
> >My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I used

> >the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
> >
> >Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been
counted?
> >Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
> >
> >Ken
> >WO1N
>
> ~~~~~~
>
> At one time, DXKeeper had a bug where it would appear to upload
logs
> correctly but actually didn't. The problem would occur if there
was
> an incorrect entry, or no entry, in your login and/or password
> information for LoTW. According to Dave, if that is the case in
the
> latest version you should get a warning message.
>
> Be sure you have installed the latest version of DXKeeper, then go
to
> the QSL tab of the main window, click the QSL Config button and
check
> the boxes for Username and Password web account. If either one is
> empty or if you have the wrong information, that will cause the
> problem you described. It happened to me.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> 73, Bill W6WRT
>

2360. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 21:05:57 UTC
From: wo1n
Topic: 2356 Message: 2360

Dave and others who responded,

I used the workflow suggested in DXKeeper Help files, which suggests that if there are a "large" number of QSO's to be uploaded that they be exported in ADIF, signed by TQSL and then uploaded.

So, other than DXKeeper exporting a selected range of Q's, it was not involved with the upload etc.

I figured once the Q's show up in the LOTW log, I will then do the synchronization of the two sets of data.

I'll drop the LOTW help address a note. Sounds like these Q's are in the Ozone. Perhaps they got caught up in the recent Level 3 / Cogent tiff...

Thanks for your response,

Ken
WO1N

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
>
> While its true that earlier versions of DXKeeper did not complain
if
> the user initiated an LotW upload without specifying an LotW
> username and password, neither the username nor the password is
> required by the upload process; their absence would not have
> resulted in failure to upload. The username and password are only
> required by LotW download operations -- which have always alerted
> the user if the username or password was unspecified.
>
> When DXLab is directed to upload a set of QSOs to LotW, it encrypts
> the QSOs into a file, uploads the file to LotW, and obtains
> confirmation from the LotW server that the file was successfully
> received; this confirmation (or its absence) is immediately
reported
> to the user. If the file upload was successful, DXKeeper sets the
> LotW_Status of each uploaded QSO to 'U' (for 'uploaded') in the log.
>
> After giving LotW time to process the uploaded QSOs, the user can
> query LotW to determine whether the uploaded QSOs were individually
> accepted by LotW. This operation, initiated with a single mouse
> click, updates the LotW_Status of each accepted QSO to 'Y'
> (for 'yes!') in the log. Any QSO that was successfully uploaded but
> not accepted remains with an LotW_Status of 'U'; one mouse click
> reveals all QSOs in this condition.

>
> Thus DXKeeper provides two levels of confirmation for uploads to
> LotW. Should an upload fail due to transient internet connectivity
> problems, the actions of a mis-configured firewall, or a non-
> responsive LotW server, the user will be immediately and accurately
> informed.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Turner <dezrat1242@i...>
> wrote:
> >
> > At 08:24 AM 10/11/2005, wo1n wrote:
> >
> >
> > >It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was
> 3/27/04. So
> > >after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded
> > >another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
> > >
> > >My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I
> used
> > >the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
> > >
> > >Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been
> counted?
> > >Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
> > >
> > >Ken
> > >WO1N
> >
> > ~~~~~~
> >
> > At one time, DXKeeper had a bug where it would appear to upload
> logs
> > correctly but actually didn't. The problem would occur if there
> was
> > an incorrect entry, or no entry, in your login and/or password
> > information for LoTW. According to Dave, if that is the case in
> the
> > latest version you should get a warning message.
> >
> > Be sure you have installed the latest version of DXKeeper, then
go
> to
> > the QSL tab of the main window, click the QSL Config button and
> check

> > the boxes for Username and Password web account. If either one is
> > empty or if you have the wrong information, that will cause the
> > problem you described. It happened to me.
> >
> > Hope this helps.
> >
> > 73, Bill W6WRT
> >
>

2361. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-11 22:04:50 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2356 Message: 2361

With the addition of the "AddAll" function several releases ago, one can now easily select large numbers of QSOs for uploading to LotW -- so the advice you cite is no longer valid. I will update the documentation. Thanks Ken!

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wo1n" <wo1n@a...> wrote:
>
>
> Dave and others who responded,
>
> I used the workflow suggested in DXKeeper Help files, which suggests
> that if there are a "large" number of QSO's to be uploaded that they
> be exported in ADIF, signed by TQSL and then uploaded.
>
> So, other than DXKeeper exporting a selected range of Q's, it was
> not involved with the upload etc.
>
> I figured once the Q's show up in the LOTW log, I will then do the
> synchronization of the two sets of data.
>
> I'll drop the LOTW help address a note. Sounds like these Q's are in
> the Ozone. Perhaps they got caught up in the recent Level 3 / Cogent
> tiff...
>
> Thanks for your response,
>
> Ken
> WO1N
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@a...>
wrote:
> >
> > While its true that earlier versions of DXKeeper did not complain
> if
> > the user initiated an LotW upload without specifying an LotW

> > username and password, neither the username nor the password is
> > required by the upload process; their absence would not have
> > resulted in failure to upload. The username and password are
only
> > required by LotW download operations -- which have always
alerted
> > the user if the username or password was unspecified.
> >
> > When DXLab is directed to upload a set of QSOs to LotW, it
encrypts
> > the QSOs into a file, uploads the file to LotW, and obtains
> > confirmation from the LotW server that the file was successfully
> > received; this confirmation (or its absence) is immediately
> reported
> > to the user. If the file upload was successful, DXKeeper sets
the
> > LotW_Status of each uploaded QSO to 'U' (for 'uploaded') in the
log.
> >
> > After giving LotW time to process the uploaded QSOs, the user
can
> > query LotW to determine whether the uploaded QSOs were
individually
> > accepted by LotW. This operation, initiated with a single mouse
> > click, updates the LotW_Status of each accepted QSO to 'Y'
> > (for 'yes!') in the log. Any QSO that was successfully uploaded
but
> > not accepted remains with an LotW_Status of 'U'; one mouse click
> > reveals all QSOs in this condition.
> >
> > Thus DXKeeper provides two levels of confirmation for uploads to
> > LotW. Should an upload fail due to transient internet
connectivity
> > problems, the actions of a mis-configured firewall, or a non-
> > responsive LotW server, the user will be immediately and
accurately
> > informed.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Turner <dezrat1242@i...>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > At 08:24 AM 10/11/2005, wo1n wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was

> > 3/27/04. So
> > > >after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I
uploaded
> > > >another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
> > > >
> > > >My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the
past. I
> > used
> > > >the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
> > > >
> > > >Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been
> > counted?
> > > >Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
> > > >
> > > >Ken
> > > >WO1N
> > >
> > > ~~~~~~
> > >
> > > At one time, DXKeeper had a bug where it would appear to
upload
> > logs
> > > correctly but actually didn't. The problem would occur if
there
> > was
> > > an incorrect entry, or no entry, in your login and/or password
> > > information for LoTW. According to Dave, if that is the case
in
> > the
> > > latest version you should get a warning message.
> > >
> > > Be sure you have installed the latest version of DXKeeper,
then
> go
> > to
> > > the QSL tab of the main window, click the QSL Config button
and
> > check
> > > the boxes for Username and Password web account. If either one
is
> > > empty or if you have the wrong information, that will cause
the
> > > problem you described. It happened to me.
> > >
> > > Hope this helps.
> > >
> > > 73, Bill W6WRT
> > >
> >
>

2362. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-13 04:27:41 UTC
From: wo1n
Topic: 2356 Message: 2362

The issue here was, using TQSL V1.11, although my new certificate
was successfully registered, I apparently forgot to delete the old.
The file must have got signed with the old certificate.

I insured the old certificate was deleted, re-signed the upload file
and it was immediately successful.

I'm not sure if this is a bug or just slightly deficient software,
but the user is directed to manually delete their old certificate
somewhere in the documentation that can be found on the LOTW site.

Thanks go to Wayne at the league for figuring this out,

Ken
WO1N

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wo1n" <wo1n@a...> wrote:
>
>
> It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was 3/27/04.
So
> after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I uploaded
> another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
>
> My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past. I
used
> the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
>
> Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been
counted?
> Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
>
> Ken
> WO1N
>

2363. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-13 04:53:27 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2356 Message: 2363

You're far from the first to fall into this hole, Ken.

The ARRL's LotW website includes a page dedicated to the certificate renewal process at https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/renew . After listing 8 steps, the following appears:

"When your renewal request is complete, you will see an additional line on your TQSLCert program screen. This will look the same as your existing certificate except that it will have a red circle/bar over the seal. This is your renewal request. When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate."

But that's not how things work with the current versions of LotW software. No red circle/bar ever appears, and the original (expired) certificate never dissappears. The user is left with two-identical-looking certificates that can only be disambiguated by double-clicking and checking dates. If you upload using the wrong certificate, the upload silently fails, as Ken experienced. This is a perfect example of user-hostile software, and will drive away users.

I understand that the LotW development team is seriously short of resources, but to allow this defect to remain uncorrected for months is inexplicable. And if no resources are available to correct the defect, why not at least update the web page to reflect reality?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wo1n" <wo1n@a...> wrote:
>
>
> The issue here was, using TQSL V1.11, although my new certificate
> was successfully registered, I apparently forgot to delete the
old.
> The file must have got signed with the old certificate.
>
> I insured the old certificate was deleted, re-signed the upload
file
> and it was immediately successful.
>
> I'm not sure if this is a bug or just slightly deficient
software,
> but the user is directed to manually delete their old certificate
> somewhere in the documentation that can be found on the LOTW site.

>
> Thanks go to Wayne at the league for figuring this out,
>
> Ken
> WO1N
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wo1n" <wo1n@a...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > It has been awhile since I've uploaded Q's, last time was
3/27/04.
> So
> > after converting all my logs over to the DXLABS Suite, I
uploaded
> > another 1400Q's Sunday night. They still haven't shown up.
> >
> > My recollection was the response was fairly quick in the past.
I
> used
> > the LOTW web interface to do the upload.
> >
> > Anyone know of any reason why these Q's still haven't been
> counted?
> > Is the system down? Behind because of the US holiday?
> >
> > Ken
> > WO1N
> >
>

2364. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-13 14:58:19 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2356 Message: 2364

On Oct 13, 2005, at 12:53 AM, Dave Bernstein wrote:

> You're far from the first to fall into this hole, Ken.
>
>

[snip]

> But that's not how things work with the current versions of LotW
> software. No red circle/bar ever appears, and the original (expired)
> certificate never dissappears. The user is left with two-identical-
> looking certificates that can only be disambiguated by double-
> clicking and checking dates. If you upload using the wrong
> certificate, the upload silently fails, as Ken experienced. This is
> a perfect example of user-hostile software, and will drive away
> users.
>
> I understand that the LotW development team is seriously short of
> resources, but to allow this defect to remain uncorrected for months
> is inexplicable. And if no resources are available to correct the
> defect, why not at least update the web page to reflect reality?
>

When I log into LotW, on the FIRST PAGE that is displayed after
login, the following appears:

'Aug 23, 2005: Renewing a Certificate leaves the Old Certificate also
-- Here's a hint involved with your current renewals. When using the
latest version of TQSL software (tqsl-111), you must manually remove
your old certificate/private key combo, after renewing. Using this
version, the old certificate is not automatically removed upon
successfully loading the new .tq6 certificate file. To remove the old
certificate, right-click on a certificate with your callsign and
select "Properties" from the box. Check the serial number and the
expiration date. After you find the old certificate, right-click on
that cert and select "Delete" from the box.'

It seems to me that it's been made very obvious what needs to be
done. When I recently renewed my certificate, I read this and took
the necessary steps. Absolutely no problem.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

2365. New LotW Known User Callsign file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-13 15:13:14 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2365 Message: 2365

You can down the 13 Oct 2005 updated User Callsign file at
http://www.bbcyber.com/luq.htm , The file lists 9398 Callsigns from
272 countries.

Bill, N5RR

2366. Re: Upload Response?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-13 16:07:32 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2356 Message: 2366

The "Your LotW Certificate Expires Soon" email message sent by the ARRL to LotW users includes the following:

"To continue submitting log data for this call sign and entity, you must renew your certificate. Instructions for doing so can be found at: https://www.arrl.org/lotw/renew "

This URL contains the faulty information I cited.

A user who didn't save the above email message and is either preparing for renewal, or having difficult with the renewal process will start at the Main LotW page

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/default

This page presents a box entitled "About LotW"; within this box are several topics, including "Renewing your Certificates". Following this hyperlink displays the mis-information I originally cited.

The "hint from last August" that you mention, John, is only displayed when one logs into LotW -- an action not frequently taken by users whose logging software automates the uploading of QSOs and the downloading of QSLs. Those users who do login frequently may or may not make a mental note of the updated renewal instructions when they are focused on some other objective; if they do make a mental note, they may or may not remember it when they receive notice of certificate expiration. There is no reasonable explanation for not updating the main renewal page with information that, as you point out, has already been assembled elsewhere.

Including accurate renewal instructions directly in the "Your LotW Certificate Expires Soon" email message would be another helpful step.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, John E Bastin <jbastin@s...> wrote:
>
>
> On Oct 13, 2005, at 12:53 AM, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
>
> > You're far from the first to fall into this hole, Ken.
> >
> >

>
> [snip]
>
>
> > But that's not how things work with the current versions of LotW
> > software. No red circle/bar ever appears, and the original
(expired)
> > certificate never dissappears. The user is left with two-
identical-
> > looking certificates that can only be disambiguated by double-
> > clicking and checking dates. If you upload using the wrong
> > certificate, the upload silently fails, as Ken experienced. This
is
> > a perfect example of user-hostile software, and will drive away
> > users.
> >
> > I understand that the LotW development team is seriously short of
> > resources, but to allow this defect to remain uncorrected for
months
> > is inexplicable. And if no resources are available to correct the
> > defect, why not at least update the web page to reflect reality?
> >
>
> When I log into LotW, on the FIRST PAGE that is displayed after
> login, the following appears:
>
> 'Aug 23, 2005: Renewing a Certificate leaves the Old Certificate
also
> -- Here's a hint involved with your current renewals. When using
the
> latest version of TQSL software (tqsl-111), you must manually
remove
> your old certificate/private key combo, after renewing. Using
this
> version, the old certificate is not automatically removed upon
> successfully loading the new .tq6 certificate file. To remove the
old
> certificate, right-click on a certificate with your callsign and
> select "Properties" from the box. Check the serial number and the
> expiration date. After you find the old certificate, right-click
on
> that cert and select "Delete" from the box.'
>
> It seems to me that it's been made very obvious what needs to be
> done. When I recently renewed my certificate, I read this and
took
> the necessary steps. Absolutely no problem.
>
> 73,
>

> John E Bastin, K8AJS
> jbastin@s...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/
>

2367. Need some LOTW Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-22 16:09:28 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2367 Message: 2367

I posted this on another forum too, with no replies yet (see below).
Also, I just got this in my email. I was trying to get a certificate
for my old callsign WY3X. This is a U.S. callsign:

Processing file 'WY3X.tq5'

2005-10-22 14:14:03 Started processing your New Certificate Request.
2005-10-22 14:14:03 For call sign: WY3X
2005-10-22 14:14:03 For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
(291)
2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not before: 1945-11-01 00:00:00
2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not after: <none>
2005-10-22 14:14:04 Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have
a US
callsign.
2005-10-22 14:14:04 Yet, your certificate request contains a
callsign that is
not in the FCC database.
2005-10-22 14:14:04 **Your certificate request contains error(s);
please
correct and resubmit.
==========

After a several month absence from ham radio for the summer, I'm
back on the air again, just in time for November Sweepstakes.
Several months ago I had to reformat my hard drive, and one of the
things I did was to back up my ham files. Last night I reinstalled
my ACL v. 2.8 and got it working. Now, I am trying to configure
LOTW. I installed TQSL this morning, and this is where I'm drawing a
blank. I tried reading directions on the arrl lotw site, but was
even more confused. I found a certs.tq6 file that I clicked on, and
it told me it had expired, so I requested new certificates for my
present callsign, plus my other two I've had in the past. Not sure
how long this will take to process, but I also have some other files
that were saved before the big reformat. I have a config.tq6, a KN3A
file that looks like a folder with a key imbedded in it, and also
another one with one of my old callsigns, that looks the same. I
also have KN3A.tq5 and KN3A.tq6; and my old original call KA3LUW.tq5
and KA3LUW.tq6.

Do I need to wait for new certificates, or can these I described
above be used now? LOTW has always been confusing to me, but runs
automated and never had a problem with Scott's N3FJP LOTW program
built into the logbook. (Does he support eQSL yet? I haven't gotten
that far into v. 2.8 yet)

Scott (KN3A)

2368. Out of the Office

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-22 16:09:38 UTC
From: GOLIST -John
Topic: 2125 Message: 2368

I will be OUT of the office today. I will respond to your message just as quickly as I can

Thank you.

John @ the GOLIST.

2369. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need some LOTW Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-22 16:24:29 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2367 Message: 2369

At 12:09 PM 10/22/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
>I posted this on another forum too, with no replies yet (see below).
>Also, I just got this in my email. I was trying to get a certificate
>for my old callsign WY3X. This is a U.S. callsign:
>
>Processing file 'WY3X.tq5'
>
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 Started processing your New Certificate Request.
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For call sign: WY3X
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
>(291)
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not before: 1945-11-01 00:00:00
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not after: <none>
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have
>a US
>callsign.
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 Yet, your certificate request contains a
>callsign that is
>not in the FCC database.
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 **Your certificate request contains error(s);
>please
>correct and resubmit.
>===

I think what you do in this case is to use your current certificate
(KN3A) to sign the certificate request for WY3X. Put in the end-date
for when WY3X was no longer your call.

What you submitted says you currently hold that call, which isn't the
case. Apparently nobody does.

To generate the request, use TQSLcert, File/New Certificate Request.
Put in the proper callsign and enter the valid date range (or at least
the end range.)
Hit next through the address and e-mail screens, and enter the password
for the new private key.
On the next screen, make sure the "Signed" radio button is selected,
and choose your KN3A certificate for the request. Hit finish, upload
the request, and you should get back a confirmation.
-Rick

-Rick

2370. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need some LOTW Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-22 16:59:59 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2367 Message: 2370

Exactly, what is wrong is that the end date has to be there. If you don't put an end date, the next holder of WY3X will not be able to use LotW at all.

Bill, N5RR

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2005 11:24 AM
To: scottpa1960; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need some LOTW Help

At 12:09 PM 10/22/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
>I posted this on another forum too, with no replies yet (see below).
>Also, I just got this in my email. I was trying to get a certificate
>for my old callsign WY3X. This is a U.S. callsign:
>
>Processing file 'WY3X.tq5'
>
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 Started processing your New Certificate Request.
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For call sign: WY3X
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
>(291)
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not before: 1945-11-01 00:00:00
>2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not after: <none>
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have
>a US
>callsign.
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 Yet, your certificate request contains a
>callsign that is
>not in the FCC database.
>2005-10-22 14:14:04 **Your certificate request contains error(s);
>please
>correct and resubmit.
>==========

I think what you do in this case is to use your current certificate (KN3A) to sign the certificate request for WY3X. Put in the end-date for when WY3X was no longer your call.

What you submitted says you currently hold that call, which isn't the case. Apparently nobody does.

To generate the request, use TQSLcert, File/New Certificate Request. Put in the proper callsign and enter the valid date range (or at least

the end range.)
Hit next through the address and e-mail screens, and enter the password
for the new private key.
On the next screen, make sure the "Signed" radio button is selected,
and choose your KN3A certificate for the request. Hit finish, upload
the request, and you should get back a confirmation.
-Rick

-Rick

SPONSORED LINKS

Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+cra
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz
5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply

Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w
2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_
MzUUBx-hQ> hobby

Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+s
upply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L
1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts

Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAF
s73WsoQ> radio

_____

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

_____

2371. Re: Need some LOTW Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-22 17:45:44 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2367 Message: 2371

What? Someone else wants to use my old callsign????? Hahaha...yes,
makes sense. Thanks for your help. I will resubmit.

Now I have another problem. I cannot remember my password to log
into the LOTW website! Sheesh, I'm getting old!

Scott

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@b...> wrote:
>
> Exactly, what is wrong is that the end date has to be there. If
you don't
> put an end date, the next holder of WY3X will not be able to use
LotW at
> all.
>
>
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
> Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2005 11:24 AM
> To: scottpa1960; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need some LOTW Help
>
>
>
> At 12:09 PM 10/22/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> >I posted this on another forum too, with no replies yet (see
below).
> >Also, I just got this in my email. I was trying to get a
certificate
> >for my old callsign WY3X. This is a U.S. callsign:
> >
> >Processing file 'WY3X.tq5'
> >
> >2005-10-22 14:14:03 Started processing your New Certificate
Request.
> >2005-10-22 14:14:03 For call sign: WY3X
> >2005-10-22 14:14:03 For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF

AMERICA
> >(291)
> >2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not before: 1945-11-01 00:00:00
> >2005-10-22 14:14:03 For QSOs not after: <none>
> >2005-10-22 14:14:04 Your DXCC entity indicates that you should
have
> >a US
> >callsign.
> >2005-10-22 14:14:04 Yet, your certificate request contains a
> >callsign that is
> >not in the FCC database.
> >2005-10-22 14:14:04 **Your certificate request contains error(s);
> >please
> >correct and resubmit.
>
>==========
=
>
> I think what you do in this case is to use your current
certificate
> (KN3A) to sign the certificate request for WY3X. Put in the end-
date
> for when WY3X was no longer your call.
>
> What you submitted says you currently hold that call, which isn't
the
> case. Apparently nobody does.
>
> To generate the request, use TQSLcert, File/New Certificate
Request.
> Put in the proper callsign and enter the valid date range (or at
least
> the end range.)
> Hit next through the address and e-mail screens, and enter the
password
> for the new private key.
> On the next screen, make sure the "Signed" radio button is
selected,
> and choose your KN3A certificate for the request. Hit finish,
upload
> the request, and you should get back a confirmation.
> -Rick
>
>
> -Rick
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS

>
>
> Hobby
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+cra
>
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&
.sig=Sz
> 5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply
>
> Craft
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w
>
2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQ
CK4PEN_
> MzUUBx-hQ> hobby
>
> Hobbies
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+s
>
upply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig
=J-__6L
> 1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
>
>
> Ham
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
> Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-
gq6h7guAF
> s73WsoQ> radio
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> _____
>

2372. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-24 21:49:57 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2268 Message: 2372
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2373. Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-24 23:45:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2268 Message: 2373

To which old file do you not have access, Dick?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Richard Zalewski <dick.w7zr@g...>
wrote:
>
> What if you do not have access to the old file to run it? I do
however have
> the .tq6 files.
>
> On 8/31/05, Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> >
> > Most Windows applications cannot be simply copied from one PC to
> > another. In the case of TQSLCert, its associated library must
also be
> > registered with Windows. The easiest way to do this is by
installing
> > the software on your computer. If you retained a copy of the
installer
> > (tqsl-111.exe) on your old PC, you can copy this to your new PC
and
> > run it; otherwise, you can download it via
> > http://prdownloads.sourceforge.net/trustedqsl/tqsl-111.exe?
download .
> >
> > If you have a certificate on your old PC that you'll be moving
to your
> > new PC, you'll need to move its .p12 file ("certificate archive")
> > because its .p6 file ("raw certificate") cannot be moved (or
backed-
> > up, or restored). If you don't already have a .p12 file,
> >
> > 1. run TQSLCert on your old PC
> >
> > 2. select the certificate,
> >
> > 3. invoke the Certificate|Save menu item to create a .p12 file
> >
> > 4. copy this .p12 file to your new PC
> >
> > 5. run TQSLCert on your new PC
> >
> > 6. invoke the "File|Load" menu item

> >
> > 7. in the "Load Certificate File" window, select ".p12
certificate
> > file"
> >
> > 8. click "Next"
> >
> > 9. select the .p12 file you copied from your old PC in step 4
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Frank" <ve6nu@s...> wrote:
> > > Hi all...how would one move the TQSLCERT to a different/new
computer?
> > > I tried a simple copy method (on cd; it didn't work.
> > >
> > > Any advice is very much appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > Frank, VE6NU
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS
> > Hobby and craft supply<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-
ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> Craft
> > hobby<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbie
s+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>
Hobbies
> > and crafts<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3
=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-
__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> Ham
> > radio<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+
and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>
> > ------------------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> > - Visit your group "ARRL-
LOTW<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>"

> > on the web.
> > - To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com<ARRL-LOTW-
unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> > - Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com <http://www.w7zr.com>
>

2374. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-25 00:07:46 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2268 Message: 2374
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2375. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-25 00:50:39 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2268 Message: 2375

The problem is that unless you backed up your certificates as
a *.P12 AS DIRECTED IN THE HELP FILE you have not saved your
"private key."

Without the key, you are out of luck and will need to call
ARRL. ARRL (LotW help desk) cannot help you recover your
key, it is gone but they can walk you through the steps of
canceling the old certificate and getting new ones.

If you still have access to the old computer/hard disk, there
may be a way to recover the keys (e-mail me off the list).

Moral of the story for ALL LotW users, immediately after
receiving and installing any certificate, whether it is a new
certificate or a renewal, BACK-UP THE CERTIFICATE! The process
is as simple as:

1) select the certificate,
2) clicking on the Certificate menu,
3) select "Save"
4) follow the prompts.

I have had to rebuild two systems in the last week - one had a
Windows XP corruption problem, the other a bad motherboard. In
both cases, reinstalling TrustedQSL (Logbook of the World) was
as simple as running the tQSL-111.exe installer and then selecting
"Load Certificate File" to reinstall each of my seven certificates
from their *.p12 back-up files.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Richard Zalewski
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2005 8:08 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

I have re-installed the download from ARRL. I have all of my tq5 tq6 and
tq8 files. This is worse than launching an ICBM

On 10/24/05, Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote:

To which old file do you not have access, Dick?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Richard Zalewski <dick.w7zr@g...>
wrote:
>
> What if you do not have access to the old file to run it? I do
however have
> the .tq6 files.

>
> On 8/31/05, Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> >
> > Most Windows applications cannot be simply copied from one PC to
> > another. In the case of TQSLCert, its associated library must
also be
> > registered with Windows. The easiest way to do this is by
installing
> > the software on your computer. If you retained a copy of the
installer
> > (tqsl-111.exe) on your old PC, you can copy this to your new PC
and
> > run it; otherwise, you can download it via
> > http://prdownloads.sourceforge.net/trustedqsl/tqsl-111.exe?
download .
> >
> > If you have a certificate on your old PC that you'll be moving
to your
> > new PC, you'll need to move its .p12 file ("certificate archive")
> > because its .p6 file ("raw certificate") cannot be moved (or
backed-
> > up, or restored). If you don't already have a .p12 file,
> >
> > 1. run TQSLCert on your old PC
> >
> > 2. select the certificate,
> >
> > 3. invoke the Certificate|Save menu item to create a .p12 file
> >
> > 4. copy this .p12 file to your new PC
> >
> > 5. run TQSLCert on your new PC
> >
> > 6. invoke the "File|Load" menu item
> >
> > 7. in the "Load Certificate File" window, select ".p12
certificate
> > file"
> >
> > 8. click "Next"
> >
> > 9. select the .p12 file you copied from your old PC in step 4
> >

> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Frank" <ve6nu@s...> wrote:
> > > Hi all...how would one move the TQSLCERT to a different/new
computer?
> > > I tried a simple copy method (on cd; it didn't work.
> > >
> > > Any advice is very much appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > Frank, VE6NU
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS

> > Hobby and craft supply<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-
ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> Craft
> > hobby<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbie
s+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>
Hobbies
> > and crafts<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3
=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-
__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> Ham
> > radio<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+
and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>
> > ------------------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> > - Visit your group "ARRL-
LOTW<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>"
> > on the web.
> > - To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com<ARRL-LOTW-
unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> > - Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

> > ------------------------------
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com < <http://www.w7zr.com> http://www.w7zr.com>
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2376. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-25 00:53:32 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2268 Message: 2376

If you do not have your p12 file you are out of luck!
The other files are useless.
You will have to get your certificate again if that is possible or if the first computer is not corrupted you can save to a p12 file and use that. You need none of the others to transfer to another computer.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Zalewski
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2005 7:08 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Moving TQSLCERT to new computer

I have re-installed the download from ARRL. I have all of my tq5 tq6 and tq8 files. This is worse than launching an ICBM

On 10/24/05, Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...> wrote:

To which old file do you not have access, Dick?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Richard Zalewski <dick.w7zr@g...> wrote:
>
> What if you do not have access to the old file to run it? I do however have
> the .tq6 files.

>
> On 8/31/05, Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@a...> wrote:
> >
> > Most Windows applications cannot be simply copied from one PC to
> > another. In the case of TQSLCert, its associated library must also be
> > registered with Windows. The easiest way to do this is by installing
> > the software on your computer. If you retained a copy of the installer
> > (tqsl-111.exe) on your old PC, you can copy this to your new PC

and
> > run it; otherwise, you can download it via
> > http://prdownloads.sourceforge.net/trustedqsl/tqsl-111.exe?
download .
> >
> > If you have a certificate on your old PC that you'll be moving
to your
> > new PC, you'll need to move its .p12 file ("certificate archive")
> > because its .p6 file ("raw certificate") cannot be moved (or
backed-
> > up, or restored). If you don't already have a .p12 file,
> >
> > 1. run TQSLCert on your old PC
> >
> > 2. select the certificate,
> >
> > 3. invoke the Certificate|Save menu item to create a .p12 file
> >
> > 4. copy this .p12 file to your new PC
> >
> > 5. run TQSLCert on your new PC
> >
> > 6. invoke the "File|Load" menu item
> >
> > 7. in the "Load Certificate File" window, select ".p12
certificate
> > file"
> >
> > 8. click "Next"
> >
> > 9. select the .p12 file you copied from your old PC in step 4
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Frank" <ve6nu@s...> wrote:
> > > Hi all...how would one move the TQSLCERT to a different/new
computer?
> > > I tried a simple copy method (on cd; it didn't work.
> > >
> > > Any advice is very much appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > Frank, VE6NU
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS

> > Hobby and craft supply<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-
ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> Craft
> > hobby<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbie
s+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>
Hobbies
> > and crafts<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3
=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-
__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> Ham
> > radio<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+
and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>
> > ------------------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> > - Visit your group "ARRL-
LOTW<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>"
> > on the web.
> > - To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com<ARRL-LOTW-
unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> > - Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com < <http://www.w7zr.com> http://www.w7zr.com>
>

_____

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2377. LoTW Acct Status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-26 13:31:26 UTC
From: Jerry Keller - K3BZ
Topic: 2377 Message: 2377

In the ARRL LoTW site under Awards, Account Status, what does the column "Selected" mean? It seems
to be the difference between the "Awarded" and the "Total/Current" columns.... and the "Selected"
QSO's are listed....if I click on the individual bands... as "Pending Credits"... but what is
pending? An example is CY9SS for all bands I worked them on. I can't find any explanation on the
LoTW website...can anyone shed some light on this for me?

73, Jerry K3BZ

2378. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Acct Status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-26 14:33:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2377 Message: 2378

I 'think' it's this way.
Selected means; you chose this particular qsl as the one you want to submit.
(it's possible you received qsls from multiple stations for the same band/mode)
In the 'Your Qso' module, you can choose to 'select' a particular qsl also.

Pending means; (I think) qsls that your previously chose to be added to be Selected. (I think, in the case of only one qsl for a particular band/mode, it is Selected automagically)
If you have gone through the process of submitting these qsls for awards, then they will also be seen in the Pending column until the DXCC desk reviews accepts/rejects them.
After they are accepted, they will disappear from the Pending Column and your Awards/Current will reflect the additional credits.

Awarded and Total/Current can be confusing.
It's because you may have been credited with previously acceptable but now Deleted entities.
In Challenge, which only counts Current, you will see a - in the Total as it's irrelevant.

Boy I hope I got this correct....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller - K3BZ" <k3bz@...>
To: "ARRL LOTW" <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2005 8:31 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Acct Status

> In the ARRL LoTW site under Awards, Account Status, what does the column "Selected"
> mean? It seems
> to be the difference between the "Awarded" and the "Total/Current" columns.... and the
> "Selected"
> QSO's are listed....if I click on the individual bands... as "Pending Credits"... but
> what is
> pending? An example is CY9SS for all bands I worked them on. I can't find any
> explanation on the
> LoTW website...can anyone shed some light on this for me?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2379. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Acct Status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-26 14:53:31 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2377 Message: 2379

Go into LoTW and click on Awards
Click on Select DXCC Awards Account
Click on Account Credits
Read the notes on the right-hand side of the page.
Then select any award you want and view pending credits, all credits, or
all entities.

In brief, it allows you to look at what you have credited, what you have
worked but not applied for (pending) and what you have applied for that
may not yet be approved by ARRL for credit (also pending).

73,
Chris, AC?M

Jerry Keller - K3BZ wrote:
> In the ARRL LoTW site under Awards, Account Status, what does the column
> "Selected" mean? It seems
> to be the difference between the "Awarded" and the "Total/Current"
> columns.... and the "Selected"
> QSO's are listed....if I click on the individual bands... as "Pending
> Credits"... but what is
> pending? An example is CY9SS for all bands I worked them on. I can't
> find any explanation on the
> LoTW website...can anyone shed some light on this for me?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2
=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg>
> Craft hobby
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>
> Hobbies and crafts
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Cra
ft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA>
>
> Ham radio
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&
w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>
>
>

>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>

2380. L.O.T.W. Certificate Submission Status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-26 17:40:30 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2380 Message: 2380

I had to submit a new Certificate as my old one expired. I did this last weekend. I am still waiting for the new one so I can start using LOTW again. Is there anyone I can contact, either voice or email that might be able to help me? I thought I'd get this by now.

I was just on the ARRL website and signed in and tried to get to the LOTW section but I keep getting an error "
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)"
Thanks in advance.
Scott - KN3A

2381. send your question to-----

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-27 19:01:11 UTC
From: A.Robert Pantazes
Topic: 2381 Message: 2381

Scott wrote------------
I had to submit a new Certificate as my old one expired. I did this last weekend. I am still waiting for the new one so I can start using LOTW again. Is there anyone I can contact, either voice or email that might be able to help me? I thought I'd get this by now.

I was just on the ARRL website and signed in and tried to get to the LOTW section but I keep getting an error "

Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)"

Thanks in advance.
Scott - KN3A

Scott,
E-mail ARRL at lotw-help@...
They should answer your question or fix the problem of the site.
73
Robert, W2ARP

2382. Re: send your question to-----

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-28 11:46:58 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2381 Message: 2382

Update: I received the card in the mail yesterday that had the password on it. Guess what....it didn't work! I sent them an email and awaiting a reply now. Sheesh, I didn't remember having such a hassle last time I applied for LOTW.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "A.Robert Pantazes" <robert.pantazes@g...> wrote:
>
> Scott wrote------------
> I had to submit a new Certificate as my old one expired. I did this
> last weekend. I am still waiting for the new one so I can start using
> LOTW again. Is there anyone I can contact, either voice or email that
> might be able to help me? I thought I'd get this by now.
>
> I was just on the ARRL website and signed in and tried to get to the
> LOTW section but I keep getting an error "
>
> Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)"
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Scott - KN3A
>
> Scott,
> E-mail ARRL at lotw-help@a...
> They should answer your question or fix the problem of the site.
> 73
> Robert, W2ARP
>

2383. Errors

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-28 14:09:01 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 2381 Message: 2383

I am trying to help a mate out renew his certificate, every time he uploads the TQ5 file its rejected with the message :-

Certificate request processor result:
Started processing your Renewal Certificate Request.
For call sign: G3VKW
Signing Certificate is not approved.
**Your certificate request contains error(s); please correct and resubmit.
See http://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq.html for more information.

Any ideas what these errors are, I have checked the obvious things like start dates etc..

Steve G4OWT
--
Steve G4OWT

2384. LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-28 19:54:01 UTC
From: Frank A. Lynch
Topic: 2384 Message: 2384

I constantly see all the problems that folks seem to be having with Logs, certificates, renewals, etc.

I must be lucky as I've been using LOTW since it came online. I have my own personal account, as well as three club calls that I upload contests, FD, etc. logs for.

I've renewed all my certificates at least once and never had a minutes problem with anything.

Thought I'd post this message so that any folks that are lurking out there thinking that from what they've read here has discouraged them from signing up for LOTW, there are some of us that it's working just fine for.

I'm waiting for WAS and VUCC to show up!

73,

Frank W4FAL

2385. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-29 14:55:12 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2384 Message: 2385

Same here Frank, been using it since its inception. No problems what-so-ever! Am using LOGic 7 logging software and upload/download daily as needed.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Frank A. Lynch
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2005 4:54 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems? Not me

I constantly see all the problems that folks seem to be having with Logs, certificates, renewals, etc.

I must be lucky as I've been using LOTW since it came online. I have my own personal account, as well as three club calls that I upload contests, FD, etc. logs for.

I've renewed all my certificates at least once and never had a minutes problem with anything.

Thought I'd post this message so that any folks that are lurking out there thinking that from what they've read here has discouraged them from signing up for LOTW, there are some of us that it's working just fine for.

I'm waiting for WAS and VUCC to show up!

73,

Frank W4FAL

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2386. Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-29 15:13:32 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2384 Message: 2386

I use ACLog by N3FJP, and I agree, especially the way Scott incorporated LOTW, its very easy to upload your LOTW contacts. On the other hand, for someone who has never used LOTW, its a real P.I.T.A. as there are many steps to do. I totally understand the security aspect, but when a new user looks at the FAQ section and scratches their head, its no wonder there's not more people using it. I had an issue recently where I was inactive for about 9 months. Hooked everything back up and realized my certificate had expired. I upset N7NG because I didn't realize that the next step after you request the certificate is to wait for a postcard and not some sort of an email. I waited 4 days because I didn't hear anything, nor did I receive confirmation or something saying the next step is the postcard. So, on Wednesday I submitted another request, and on Thursday the postcard arrived for the first one with the password. Well, it didn't work, and it turns out that it was because I submitted the second request.

Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too many steps to go through. This is just my opinion. I apologized to Wayne, but heard no reply. He was just probably glad to get rid of me. Believe me when I say the steps need to be spelled out better, or eQSL will continue to be the alternative.

Scott
KN3A

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@p...> wrote:
>
> Same here Frank, been using it since its inception. No problems what-so-ever! Am using LOGic 7 logging software and upload/download daily as needed.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Frank A. Lynch
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, October 28, 2005 4:54 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems? Not me
>
>
> I constantly see all the problems that folks seem to be having with
> Logs, certificates, renewals, etc.
>
> I must be lucky as I've been using LOTW since it came online. I have

> my own personal account, as well as three club calls that I
upload
> contests, FD, etc. logs for.
>
> I've renewed all my certificates at least once and never had a
> minutes problem with anything.
>
> Thought I'd post this message so that any folks that are lurking
out
> there thinking that from what they've read here has discouraged
them
> from signing up for LOTW, there are some of us that it's working
> just fine for.
>
> I'm waiting for WAS and VUCC to show up!
>
> 73,
>
> Frank W4FAL
>
>
>
>
>
> ---

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
> ---

>

2387. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-29 17:32:04 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2384 Message: 2387

At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:

>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.

~~~~~~

Your opinion is shared by many, including me. The whole purpose of computers is to automate procedures so humans don't have to do them. ARRL has apparently lost sight of this and accepted a system which requires far too much human intervention. It would appear that ARRL is not going to try to fix it either. I emailed my division director with just this sort of comment and he didn't even acknowledge the email, never mind offer to look into it.

I do not have much hope for LoTW even though I have uploaded over 50,000 QSOs so far. It's too bad because the basic idea is a good one.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2388. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-29 18:29:15 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2384 Message: 2388

I have said that since day one and sent comments but they always say it is for the sake of security. That is RUBBISH, it can be secure and simple. It requires a better design. Complexity is not acceptable, but they won't ever fix it it seems to me.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Turner
> Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2005 12:32 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me
>
> At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
>
> >Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
> >many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
>
> ~~~~~~
>
> Your opinion is shared by many, including me. The whole purpose of
> computers is to automate procedures so humans don't have to do them.
> ARRL has apparently lost sight of this and accepted a system which
> requires far too much human intervention. It would appear that ARRL
> is not going to try to fix it either. I emailed my division director
> with just this sort of comment and he didn't even acknowledge the
> email, never mind offer to look into it.
>
> I do not have much hope for LoTW even though I have uploaded over
> 50,000 QSOs so far. It's too bad because the basic idea is a good one.
>
> 73, Bill W6WRT
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2389. Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-29 18:36:05 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2384 Message: 2389

The test of user-friendly software is not "some users have no problems", but rather "few users have problems". Yes, logging applications can do a lot to hide the complexity associated with sending QSOs to LotW and tracking both their acceptance and their confirmation. However, the current renewal situation -- where the latest release of TQSLCERT contains a serious defect and the web site instructions are dead wrong -- is a significant problem for many users.

Supporting additional awards and providing programmatic access to user DXCC account information would increase the value of LotW to users, assuming these capabilities were supported by logging applications, but the startup and renewal processes must be simplified, or at least facilitated by wizards (software applications that walk the user through a process step-by-step).

The holdup here is development resources. As long as one small team is charged with both operating LotW and improving it, progress will remain glacial. If you're a member of the ARRL, speak to your representative about constructively addressing this problem!

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...> wrote:
>
> I use ACLog by N3FJP, and I agree, especially the way Scott
> incorporated LOTW, its very easy to upload your LOTW contacts. On
> the other hand, for someone who has never used LOTW, its a real
> P.I.T.A. as there are many steps to do. I totally understand the
> security aspect, but when a new user looks at the FAQ section and
> scratches their head, its no wonder there's not more people using
> it. I had an issue recently where I was inactive for about 9 months.
> Hooked everything back up and realized my certificate had expired. I
> upset N7NG because I didn't realize that the next step after you
> request the certificate is to wait for a postcard and not some sort
> of an email. I waited 4 days because I didn't hear anything, nor did
> I receive confirmation or something saying the next step is the
> postcard. So, on Wednesday I submitted another request, and on
> Thursday the postcard arrived for the first one with the password.

> Well, it didn't work, and it turns out that it was because I
> submitted the second request.
>
> Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
> many steps to go through. This is just my opinion. I apologized to
> Wayne, but heard no reply. He was just probably glad to get rid of
> me. Believe me when I say the steps need to be spelled out better,
> or eQSL will continue to be the alternative.
>
> Scott
> KN3A
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@p...>
wrote:
> >
> > Same here Frank, been using it since its inception. No problems
> what-so-ever! Am using LOGic 7 logging software and
upload/download
> daily as needed.
> >
> > 73, Carl VE9OV
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Frank A. Lynch
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Friday, October 28, 2005 4:54 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems? Not me
> >
> >
> > I constantly see all the problems that folks seem to be having
> with
> > Logs, certificates, renewals, etc.
> >
> > I must be lucky as I've been using LOTW since it came online.
I
> have
> > my own personal account, as well as three club calls that I
> upload
> > contests, FD, etc. logs for.
> >
> > I've renewed all my certificates at least once and never had a
> > minutes problem with anything.
> >
> > Thought I'd post this message so that any folks that are
lurking
> out
> > there thinking that from what they've read here has
discouraged
> them
> > from signing up for LOTW, there are some of us that it's
working

> > just fine for.
> >
> > I'm waiting for WAS and VUCC to show up!
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Frank W4FAL
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
--
> -----------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@...m
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms
> of Service.
> >
> >
> > --
--
> -----------
> >
>

2390. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 01:19:28 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 2384 Message: 2390

Bill Turner wrote:
> At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
>
>
>>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
>>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.

If someone has another secure, identity validation and authentication with authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't you toss it out here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier set of steps, then I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading in a direction of simplification.

The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification validation and authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of cards. If you just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big gap between that, and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.

At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by the quality of the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the controlling factor in the authentication that is in place now.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

2391. Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 01:43:07 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2384 Message: 2391

Debating LotW's approach to security and authentication is an academic discussion. For better or for worse, the system is built and operating. Even if the ARRL were open to relaxing security to improve useability, there are clearly no resources available to undertake such an effort. When a serious defect that complicates certificate renewal has gone uncorrected for months, there's no one able to come off the bench to tackle big issues. Promised support for awards other than DXCC is also delinquent.

I don't think LotW's data quality issue has anything to do with authentication. I'm not seeing bad data because nefarious users can populate LotW with bogus data, I'm seeing bad data because authorized users can erroneously enter bad data without LotW raising a red flag. Given its knowledge of a user's location, for example, LotW could easily flag the reversal of CQ and ITU zones so often encountered.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@c...> wrote:
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> > At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
> > >>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
>
> If someone has another secure, identity validation and
authentication with
> authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't you
toss it out
> here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier set
of steps, then
> I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading in a
direction of
> simplification.
>
> The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification
validation and
> authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of
cards. If you
> just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big gap
between that,

> and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.
>
> At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by the
quality of
> the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the
controlling
> factor in the authentication that is in place now.
>
> Gregg Wonderly
> W5GGW
>

2392. Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 12:27:19 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2392 Message: 2392

It is my opinion that the continuing debate over the pros and cons of LoTW gets more detailed and involved than the actual uploading/downloading of data to/from LoTW.

Being a non IT, 70 year old geezer, while agreeing with some of Dave Bernstein's and other's comments about the ARRL's slowness to react to the presently known problem areas, I still don't understand why so many have problems with the system.

Before the presently established logging programs caught up with LoTW, it was just a matter of sending an e-mail to the ARRL with ones, properly formatted, qso information and it was entered into the system and confirmed back to the sender.

With the presently available logging programs, that now becomes a 'snap'.

The annual renewing of certificates, while slightly irritating, is not really all that difficult either.

All one really has to do is print out the instructions on the subject from the ARRL LoTW web site and follow them point by point.

I have renewed three different calls in the past, several times, and I can't agree that it requires a detailed knowledge of computer science; nor, that it borders on rocket science.

I believe that if our fellow Hams would be willing to read instructions and experiment a bit, in the old spirit of Ham radio, their difficulties would be quickly resolved.

Perhaps, if a Ham/Computer Programmer (living in the ARRL HQ area) would volunteer some of his/her time to resolving the issue, things could be worked out in a satisfactory and timely fashion. The ARRL has lots of volunteers helping the membership, maybe it could be made to work the other way around? A thought!

I believe that these continuing discussions, of a lamenting and overly critical nature, discourages too many Hams from even attempting to use the system; hence the dismal lack of participation.

I am still experiencing a QSL return of less than 5%; sad.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Bernstein
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2005 9:43 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Debating LotW's approach to security and authentication is an
academic discussion. For better or for worse, the system is built
and operating. Even if the ARRL were open to relaxing security to
improve useability, there are clearly no resources available to

undertake such an effort. When a serious defect that complicates certificate renewal has gone uncorrected for months, there's no one able to come off the bench to tackle big issues. Promised support for awards other than DXCC is also delinquent.

I don't think LotW's data quality issue has anything to do with authentication. I'm not seeing bad data because nefarious users can populate LotW with bogus data, I'm seeing bad data because authorized users can erroneously enter bad data without LotW raising a red flag. Given its knowledge of a user's location, for example, LotW could easily flag the reversal of CQ and ITU zones so often encountered.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@c...> wrote:
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> > At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
> >>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
>
> If someone has another secure, identity validation and
authentication with
> authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't you
toss it out
> here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier set
of steps, then
> I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading in a
direction of
> simplification.
>
> The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification
validation and
> authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of
cards. If you
> just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big gap
between that,
> and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.
>
> At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by the
quality of
> the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the
controlling
> factor in the authentication that is in place now.
>
> Gregg Wonderly

> W5GGW
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2393. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: send your question to-----

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-28 15:24:14 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2381 Message: 2393

On Oct 28, 2005, at 7:46 AM, scottpa1960 wrote:

> Update: I received the card in the mail yesterday that had the
> password on it. Guess what....it didn't work! I sent them an email
> and awaiting a reply now. Sheesh, I didn't remember having such a
> hassle last time I applied for LOTW.

If you have an existing certificate, you shouldn't have to go through
the postcard routine again.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2394. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 18:59:01 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2392 Message: 2394
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

AMEN Carl:

I have been on LOTW almost since the very beginning, and have never experienced the massive problems complained about in this reflector. I use N3FJP's AC Log, and it's a piece of cake to upload log data. My confirmation rate runs around 12%.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 08:27 AM 10/30/2005 -0400, you wrote:

>It is my opinion that the continuing debate over the pros and cons of LoTW
>gets more detailed and involved than the actual uploading/downloading of
>data to/from LoTW.
>
>Being a non IT, 70 year old geezer, while agreeing with some of Dave
>Bernstein's and other's comments about the ARRL's slowness to react to the
>presently known problem areas, I still don't understand why so many have
>problems with the system.
>
>Before the presently established logging programs caught up with LoTW, it
>was just a matter of sending an e-mail to the ARRL with ones, properly
>formatted, qso information and it was entered into the system and
>confirmed back to the sender.
>
>With the presently available logging programs, that now becomes a 'snap'.
>
>The annual renewing of certificates, while slightly irritating, is not
>really all that difficult either.
>
>All one really has to do is print out the instructions on the subject from
>the ARRL LoTW web site and follow them point by point.
>
>I have renewed three different calls in the past, several times, and I
>can't agree that it requires a detailed knowledge of computer science;
>nor, that it borders on rocket science.
>
>I believe that if our fellow Hams would be willing to read instructions
>and experiment a bit, in the old spirit of Ham radio, their difficulties
>would be quickly resolved.
>
>Perhaps, if a Ham/Computer Programmer (living in the ARRL HQ area) would
>volunteer some of his/her time to resolving the issue, things could be
>worked out in a satisfactory and timely fashion. The ARRL has lots of

>volunteers helping the membership, maybe it could be made to work the
>other way around? A thought!
>
>I believe that these continuing discussions, of a lamenting and overly
>critical nature, discourages too many Hams from even attempting to use the
>system; hence the dismal lack of participation.
>
>I am still experiencing a QSL return of less than 5%; sad.
>
>73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:aa6yq@...>Dave Bernstein
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2005 9:43 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me
>
>Debating LotW's approach to security and authentication is an
>academic discussion. For better or for worse, the system is built
>and operating. Even if the ARRL were open to relaxing security to
>improve useability, there are clearly no resources available to
>undertake such an effort. When a serious defect that complicates
>certificate renewal has gone uncorrected for months, there's no one
>able to come off the bench to tackle big issues. Promised support
>for awards other than DXCC is also delinquent.
>
>I don't think LotW's data quality issue has anything to do with
>authentication. I'm not seeing bad data because nefarious users can
>populate LotW with bogus data, I'm seeing bad data because
>authorized users can erroneously enter bad data without LotW raising
>a red flag. Given its knowledge of a user's location, for example,
>LotW could easily flag the reversal of CQ and ITU zones so often
>encountered.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@c...> wrote:
> >
> > Bill Turner wrote:
> > > At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> > >

> > >
> > >>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just too
> > >>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
> >
> > If someone has another secure, identity validation and
>authentication with
> > authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't you
>toss it out
> > here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier set
>of steps, then
> > I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading in a
>direction of
> > simplification.
> >
> > The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification
>validation and
> > authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of
>cards. If you
> > just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big gap
>between that,
> > and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.
> >
> > At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by the
>quality of
> > the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the
>controlling
> > factor in the authentication that is in place now.
> >
> > Gregg Wonderly
> > W5GGW
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> * Visit your group
> "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@
yahoogroups.com
>
> *

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>----------
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.362 / Virus Database: 267.12.6/151 - Release Date: 10/28/2005
>

2395. Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 20:50:56 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2392 Message: 2395

Mike,

I use N3FJP ACLogger myself, and it is seemless to upload to LOTW. Scott has done a wonderful job. And, he is also very quick and eager to help out when he can.

My gripe is how tough it is to set up LOTW in the first place. All the security steps, and how vague the FAQ section is for a new user. Its absolutely absurd. I think they need to really evaluate and make up some step by step instructions instead of looking through several pages of FAQ's online.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@c...> wrote:
>
> AMEN Carl:
>
> I have been on LOTW almost since the very beginning, and have never
> experienced the massive problems complained about in this reflector. I use
> N3FJP's AC Log, and it's a piece of cake to upload log data. My
> confirmation rate runs around 12%.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> At 08:27 AM 10/30/2005 -0400, you wrote:
>
> >It is my opinion that the continuing debate over the pros and cons of LoTW
> >gets more detailed and involved than the actual uploading/downloading of
> >data to/from LoTW.
> >
> >Being a non IT, 70 year old geezer, while agreeing with some of Dave
> >Bernstein's and other's comments about the ARRL's slowness to react to the
> >presently known problem areas, I still don't understand why so many have
> >problems with the system.
> >

> >Before the presently established logging programs caught up with
LoTW, it
> >was just a matter of sending an e-mail to the ARRL with ones,
properly
> >formatted, qso information and it was entered into the system and
> >confirmed back to the sender.
> >
> >With the presently available logging programs, that now becomes
a 'snap'.
> >
> >The annual renewing of certificates, while slightly irritating,
is not
> >really all that difficult either.
> >
> >All one really has to do is print out the instructions on the
subject from
> >the ARRL LoTW web site and follow them point by point.
> >
> >I have renewed three different calls in the past, several times,
and I
> >can't agree that it requires a detailed knowledge of computer
science;
> >nor, that it borders on rocket science.
> >
> >I believe that if our fellow Hams would be willing to read
instructions
> >and experiment a bit, in the old spirit of Ham radio, their
difficulties
> >would be quickly resolved.
> >
> >Perhaps, if a Ham/Computer Programmer (living in the ARRL HQ
area) would
> >volunteer some of his/her time to resolving the issue, things
could be
> >worked out in a satisfactory and timely fashion. The ARRL has
lots of
> >volunteers helping the membership, maybe it could be made to work
the
> >other way around? A thought!
> >
> >I believe that these continuing discussions, of a lamenting and
overly
> >critical nature, discourages too many Hams from even attempting
to use the
> >system; hence the dismal lack of participation.
> >
> >I am still experiencing a QSL return of less than 5%; sad.
> >
> >73, Carl VE9OV
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: <mailto:aa6yq@a...>Dave Bernstein
> >To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2005 9:43 PM
> >Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me
> >
> >Debating LotW's approach to security and authentication is an
> >academic discussion. For better or for worse, the system is built
> >and operating. Even if the ARRL were open to relaxing security to
> >improve useability, there are clearly no resources available to
> >undertake such an effort. When a serious defect that complicates
> >certificate renewal has gone uncorrected for months, there's no
one
> >able to come off the bench to tackle big issues. Promised support
> >for awards other than DXCC is also delinquent.
> >
> >I don't think LotW's data quality issue has anything to do with
> >authentication. I'm not seeing bad data because nefarious users
can
> >populate LotW with bogus data, I'm seeing bad data because
> >authorized users can erroneously enter bad data without LotW
raising
> >a red flag. Given its knowledge of a user's location, for example,
> >LotW could easily flag the reversal of CQ and ITU zones so often
> >encountered.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@c...>
wrote:
> > >
> > > Bill Turner wrote:
> > > > At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just
too
> > > >>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
> > >
> > > If someone has another secure, identity validation and
> >authentication with

> > > authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't
you
> >toss it out
> > > here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier
set
> >of steps, then
> > > I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading
in a
> >direction of
> > > simplification.
> > >
> > > The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification
> >validation and
> > > authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of
> >cards. If you
> > > just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big
gap
> >between that,
> > > and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.
> > >
> > > At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by
the
> >quality of
> > > the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the
> >controlling
> > > factor in the authentication that is in place now.
> > >
> > > Gregg Wonderly
> > > W5GGW
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> > * Visit your group
> > "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the

> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >
> >
> >No virus found in this incoming message.
> >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> >Version: 7.1.362 / Virus Database: 267.12.6/151 - Release Date:
10/28/2005
> >
>
>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.1.362 / Virus Database: 267.12.6/151 - Release Date:
10/28/2005
>

2396. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: send your question to-----

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 20:59:42 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2381 Message: 2396

At 10:24 AM 10/28/2005, Bill Coleman wrote:

>On Oct 28, 2005, at 7:46 AM, scottpa1960 wrote:
>
> > Update: I received the card in the mail yesterday that had the
> > password on it. Guess what....it didn't work! I sent them an email
> > and awaiting a reply now. Sheesh, I didn't remember having such a
> > hassle last time I applied for LOTW.
>
>If you have an existing certificate, you shouldn't have to go through
>the postcard routine again.

Unfortunately, that's not the case. If you let your certificate expire, you must go through the postcard exercise to get a new valid certificate. There's no reason that we couldn't have a "grace period" for these requests.

There are some real usability problems with LoTW. It works for me, but there are people having problems that need to be fixed. Let your Division Director know that the League needs to support LoTW and get it moving.

I'm wondering if there's anything that volunteers could do to help with coding - I'd be happy to help, and I know there's others as well that would volunteer given a chance.
-Rick

2397. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-30 21:06:36 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2392 Message: 2397

At 03:50 PM 10/30/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
>Mike,
>
>I use N3FJP ACLogger myself, and it is seemless to upload to LOTW.
>Scott has done a wonderful job. And, he is also very quick and eager
>to help out when he can.
>
>My gripe is how tough it is to set up LOTW in the first place. All
>the security steps, and how vague the FAQ section is for a new user.
>Its absolutely absurd. I think they need to really evaluate and make
>up some step by step instructions instead of looking through several
>pages of FAQ's online.

Could you please describe what you consider to be tough, and what's not included in the "Getting Started" page on LoTW? Perhaps we users could rewrite the directions. There's really only four steps to getting set up, so I'm having a problem with seeing the difficulty. (Of course, this material may not have existed when you first set up LoTW.)
-Rick

2398. Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 01:53:37 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2392 Message: 2398

Here's the results of a quick review:

1. the instructions are only available in English

2. the instructions use the word "certificate" without ever saying what one is, how its used, and when its appropriate to have more than one; a similar point applies to the verb "signed"

3. a consistent nomenclature is not used to clearly distinguish among the three different passwords that any one user must manage ("post card", "web account", "private key")

4. imprecise, inaccurate language, for example

"You will be prompted to save the certificate request file (the file name will be .tq5. Make sure to keep track of the directory in which you save the certificate request file for the next step! After you hit `Save,' a message will appear telling you to email or upload .tq5 to lotw-logs@... "

A more accurate and understandable (to English readers) version of this would be

"You will be prompted to specify the name to be given to the generated certificate request file, and to select the folder where this file should be placed. The suffix of this file's name will be tq5; 7O1DX.tq5 or MyRequest.tq5 are both acceptable file names. Write down the name of the folder containing your certificate request file, as you'll need it in step 2. Now click the Save button; a message will appearing suggesting that you email the certificate request file to lotw-logs@..., or upload it via [URL]."

Here's another beauty:

"Once that's done, TQSLCert should show your certificate in its certificate list. When the launch is complete you will see a message that says the program has loaded three certificates. This is normal. Subsequent requests for additional certificates will deliver messages of two, or one certificate being installed."

5. Step 4 fails to mention the optional use of a password to protect the file into which the certifcate is saved, does not mention the suffix associated with this file (.p12), and does not provide a pointer to instruction for recovering the saved certificate

6. Step 5 might mislead a user to understand that secondary certificates are only used for portable callsigns; it does not

mention the more common case of having held more than one callsign

7. Step 7 does not mention the importance of entering accurate
information in the station loaction, or provide pointers to sites
that would help the user do this (e.g. CQ and ITU zone maps)

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
wrote:
>
> At 03:50 PM 10/30/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> >Mike,
> >
> >I use N3FJP ACLogger myself, and it is seemless to upload to LOTW.
> >Scott has done a wonderful job. And, he is also very quick and
eager
> >to help out when he can.
> >
> >My gripe is how tough it is to set up LOTW in the first place. All
> >the security steps, and how vague the FAQ section is for a new
user.
> >Its absolutely absurd. I think they need to really evaluate and
make
> >up some step by step instructions instead of looking through
several
> >pages of FAQ's online.
>
> Could you please describe what you consider to be tough, and
what's not
> included in the "Getting Started" page on LoTW? Perhaps we users
could
> rewrite the directions. There's really only four steps to getting
set
> up, so I'm having a problem with seeing the difficulty. (Of
course,
> this material may not have existed when you first set up LoTW.)
> -Rick
>

2399. Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 02:02:44 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2392 Message: 2399

My previous comments about useability and insufficient resources notwithstanding, I suspect that the dissappointing worldwide adoption of LotW is largely attributable to other factors:

1. the instructions and TQSL software are only available in English

2. authentication is more demanding for non-US amateurs

3. there are no incentive programs (e.g., "all ARRL-assisted DXpeditions must upload their logs to LotW")

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@p...> wrote:
>
> It is my opinion that the continuing debate over the pros and cons of LoTW gets more detailed and involved than the actual uploading/downloading of data to/from LoTW.
>
> Being a non IT, 70 year old geezer, while agreeing with some of Dave Bernstein's and other's comments about the ARRL's slowness to react to the presently known problem areas, I still don't understand why so many have problems with the system.
>
> Before the presently established logging programs caught up with LoTW, it was just a matter of sending an e-mail to the ARRL with ones, properly formatted, qso information and it was entered into the system and confirmed back to the sender.
>
> With the presently available logging programs, that now becomes a 'snap'.
>
> The annual renewing of certificates, while slightly irritating, is not really all that difficult either.
>
> All one really has to do is print out the instructions on the subject from the ARRL LoTW web site and follow them point by point.
>
> I have renewed three different calls in the past, several times, and I can't agree that it requires a detailed knowledge of computer science; nor, that it borders on rocket science.
>
> I believe that if our fellow Hams would be willing to read instructions and experiment a bit, in the old spirit of Ham radio,

their difficulties would be quickly resolved.
>
> Perhaps, if a Ham/Computer Programmer (living in the ARRL HQ area)
would volunteer some of his/her time to resolving the issue, things
could be worked out in a satisfactory and timely fashion. The ARRL
has lots of volunteers helping the membership, maybe it could be
made to work the other way around? A thought!
>
> I believe that these continuing discussions, of a lamenting and
overly critical nature, discourages too many Hams from even
attempting to use the system; hence the dismal lack of
participation.
>
> I am still experiencing a QSL return of less than 5%; sad.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Bernstein
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2005 9:43 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me
>
>
> Debating LotW's approach to security and authentication is an
> academic discussion. For better or for worse, the system is
built
> and operating. Even if the ARRL were open to relaxing security
to
> improve useability, there are clearly no resources available to
> undertake such an effort. When a serious defect that complicates
> certificate renewal has gone uncorrected for months, there's no
one
> able to come off the bench to tackle big issues. Promised
support
> for awards other than DXCC is also delinquent.
>
> I don't think LotW's data quality issue has anything to do with
> authentication. I'm not seeing bad data because nefarious users
can
> populate LotW with bogus data, I'm seeing bad data because
> authorized users can erroneously enter bad data without LotW
raising

> a red flag. Given its knowledge of a user's location, for
example,
> LotW could easily flag the reversal of CQ and ITU zones so often
> encountered.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@c...>
wrote:
> >
> > Bill Turner wrote:
> > > At 08:13 AM 10/29/2005, scottpa1960 wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>Bottom line is, lets streamline the steps here. There's just
too
> > >>many steps to go through. This is just my opinion.
> >
> > If someone has another secure, identity validation and
> authentication with
> > authorization procedure that is more automated, then why don't
you
> toss it out
> > here for them to see. If it makes sense and allows an easier
set
> of steps, then
> > I'd bet there could be some steps taken to get things heading
in a
> direction of
> > simplification.
> >
> > The big issue is, for me, is what level of identification
> validation and
> > authentication are you willing to let control the exchange of
> cards. If you
> > just want to trust people for who they are, then there's a big
gap
> between that,
> > and what the ARRL is apparently content to accept.
> >
> > At some point, the value of the card exchange is diminished by
the
> quality of
> > the data used for the exchange. That is currently, to me, the
> controlling
> > factor in the authentication that is in place now.
> >
> > Gregg Wonderly

> > W5GGW
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
> --

>

2400. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 01:42:25 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2384 Message: 2400

At 10:29 AM 10/29/2005, rojomn wrote:

>I have said that since day one and sent comments but they always say it is
>for the sake of security. That is RUBBISH, it can be secure and simple. It
>requires a better design. Complexity is not acceptable, but they won't ever
>fix it it seems to me.

~~~~~~

You have summarized the situation perfectly.

The ARRL has let us down in a big way. Remember this when your
division director comes up for re-election. Contact anyone running
against him/her and ask wha they plan to do about LoTW, then vote accordingly.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2401. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems? Not me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 02:48:18 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 2384 Message: 2401

Bill, et all,
I have to agree with you about the ARRL
letting us down. I followed your
suggestion by voting against the incumbent!
In a letter dated January 28, 2005;
"Thank you for your club's ARRL affiliation
application. We are referring the application to
your ARRL Division Director and ARRL Section
Manager for review and endorsement. With the
approval and endorsement, you club will then be
nominated before the ARRL Executive Committee
(EC) - about every 6 to 8 weeks, the EC considers
a batch of paperwork for clubs."
As of today, I have received nothing regarding
the application! I have emailed Norm Fusaro twice
and received an "out of office" reply, but no
other acknowledgment from them.
If this is how they treat their "bread and
butter" membership, then LoTW is just a "poor
stepchild"!
What does it take to get their attention?
Lance WN0L

You have summarized the situation perfectly.

The ARRL has let us down in a big way. Remember
this when your
division director comes up for re-election.
Contact anyone running
against him/her and ask wha they plan to do about
LoTW, then vote accordingly.

73, Bill W6WRT

2402. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 03:04:45 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2392 Message: 2402

At 08:53 PM 10/30/2005, Dave Bernstein wrote: [IFYPFY. TPIFN.]
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
>wrote:
> >
> > Could you please describe what you consider to be tough, and
>what's not
> > included in the "Getting Started" page on LoTW? Perhaps we users
>could
> > rewrite the directions. There's really only four steps to getting
>set
> > up, so I'm having a problem with seeing the difficulty. (Of
>course,
> > this material may not have existed when you first set up LoTW.)
> > -Rick
> >
>Here's the results of a quick review:
>
>1. the instructions are only available in English

That's a big problem that'll need volunteers to fix. Or money for translation services; please tell your League rep.

>2. the instructions use the word "certificate" without ever saying
>what one is, how its used, and when its appropriate to have more
>than one; a similar point applies to the verb "signed"

While there's some reference to this in the FAQ, it's incomplete and inadequate. No definition of "public key", "private key", and many other terms. There's rationale for why PKI is being used, but it's inadequate to describe what I think is the real goal, which is to ensure that any log can be tracked back to an individual. What little info provided assumes that everyone understands public key technology, which isn't true.

>3. a consistent nomenclature is not used to clearly distinguish
>among the three different passwords that any one user must manage
>("post card", "web account", "private key")

Again, a good call - reliance upon the user to remember and distinguish three separate passwords is a bad idea; changing LoTW to use a different term on the postcards would help with one case, and calling the private key password something else would distinguish the remaining cases. For example, "callsign unlock code" for the postcard and "passphrase" (once the term is defined) for the private key could help disambiguate the three cases *as long as they were consistently used.

>4. imprecise, inaccurate language, for example
>
>"You will be prompted to save the certificate request file (the file
>name will be .tq5. Make sure to keep track of the directory in which
>you save the certificate request file for the next step! After you
>hit `Save,' a message will appear telling you to email or
>upload .tq5 to lotw-logs@... "
>
>A more accurate and understandable (to English readers) version of
>this would be
>
>"You will be prompted to specify the name to be given to the
>generated certificate request file, and to select the folder where
>this file should be placed. The suffix of this file's name will be
>tq5; 7O1DX.tq5 or MyRequest.tq5 are both acceptable file names.
>Write down the name of the folder containing your certificate
>request file, as you'll need it in step 2. Now click the Save
>button; a message will appearing suggesting that you email the
>certificate request file to lotw-logs@..., or upload it via
>[URL]."
>
>Here's another beauty:
>
>"Once that's done, TQSLCert should show your certificate in its
>certificate list. When the launch is complete you will see a message
>that says the program has loaded three certificates. This is normal.
>Subsequent requests for additional certificates will deliver
>messages of two, or one certificate being installed."

Agreed, this is unclear. Too many assumptions and not enough instructions.

>5. Step 4 fails to mention the optional use of a password to protect
>the file into which the certifcate is saved, does not mention the
>suffix associated with this file (.p12), and does not provide a
>pointer to instruction for recovering the saved certificate
>
>6. Step 5 might mislead a user to understand that secondary
>certificates are only used for portable callsigns; it does not
>mention the more common case of having held more than one callsign
>
>7. Step 7 does not mention the importance of entering accurate
>information in the station loaction, or provide pointers to sites
>that would help the user do this (e.g. CQ and ITU zone maps)

No argument with the above. For #7, It'd be interesting if there was a
US ZIP code to zone database that could be used to vet user input, but
it'd just be another source of complaints. I personally had no idea
what zones my home were in until filling out my first LoTW certificate
request; there's gotta be a lot of others in the same state or who
would be very unhappy when the LoTW program tried to correct them. (I
know that my QSL card has the wrong grid square as it's the grid for
the center of town, not here - my GPS can tell me the correct grid.

Should LoTW be verifying at this level?)

Ok, there's some real problems here. How do we help get them fixed? Or are we all willing to just bitch about this and do nothing?
(Dave, please don't take this personally as I know you are helping and writing software to help hide some of the problems.)
-Rick

2403. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-10-31 17:41:40 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2392 Message: 2403

Not only is it hard to join but it is down again. This is getting to be a
regular thing!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
> Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2005 9:05 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems
>
> At 08:53 PM 10/30/2005, Dave Bernstein wrote: [IFYPFY. TPIFN.]
> >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@i...>
> >wrote:
> > >
> > > Could you please describe what you consider to be tough, and
> >what's not
> > > included in the "Getting Started" page on LoTW? Perhaps we users
> >could
> > > rewrite the directions. There's really only four steps to getting
> >set
> > > up, so I'm having a problem with seeing the difficulty. (Of
> >course,
> > > this material may not have existed when you first set up LoTW.)
> > > -Rick
> > >
> >Here's the results of a quick review:
> >
> >1. the instructions are only available in English
>
> That's a big problem that'll need volunteers to fix. Or money for
> translation services; please tell your League rep.
>
> >2. the instructions use the word "certificate" without ever saying
> >what one is, how its used, and when its appropriate to have more
> >than one; a similar point applies to the verb "signed"
>
> While there's some reference to this in the FAQ, it's incomplete and
> inadequate. No definition of "public key", "private key", and many
> other terms. There's rationale for why PKI is being used, but it's
> inadequate to describe what I think is the real goal, which is to
> ensure that any log can be tracked back to an individual. What little

> info provided assumes that everyone understands public key
> technology,
> which isn't true.
>
> >3. a consistent nomenclature is not used to clearly distinguish
> >among the three different passwords that any one user must manage
> >("post card", "web account", "private key")
>
> Again, a good call - reliance upon the user to remember and
> distinguish
> three separate passwords is a bad idea; changing LoTW to use a
> different term on the postcards would help with one case, and calling
> the private key password something else would distinguish the
> remaining
> cases. For example, "callsign unlock code" for the postcard and
> "passphrase" (once the term is defined) for the private key
> could help
> disambiguate the three cases *as long as they were consistently used.
>
> >4. imprecise, inaccurate language, for example
> >
> >"You will be prompted to save the certificate request file (the file
> >name will be .tq5. Make sure to keep track of the directory in which
> >you save the certificate request file for the next step! After you
> >hit `Save,' a message will appear telling you to email or
> >upload .tq5 to lotw-logs@... "
> >
> >A more accurate and understandable (to English readers) version of
> >this would be
> >
> >"You will be prompted to specify the name to be given to the
> >generated certificate request file, and to select the folder where
> >this file should be placed. The suffix of this file's name will be
> >tq5; 7O1DX.tq5 or MyRequest.tq5 are both acceptable file names.
> >Write down the name of the folder containing your certificate
> >request file, as you'll need it in step 2. Now click the Save
> >button; a message will appearing suggesting that you email the
> >certificate request file to lotw-logs@..., or upload it via
> >[URL]."
> >
> >Here's another beauty:
> >
> >"Once that's done, TQSLCert should show your certificate in its
> >certificate list. When the launch is complete you will see a message
> >that says the program has loaded three certificates. This is normal.
> >Subsequent requests for additional certificates will deliver
> >messages of two, or one certificate being installed."
>
> Agreed, this is unclear. Too many assumptions and not enough
> instructions.

>
> >5. Step 4 fails to mention the optional use of a password to protect
> >the file into which the certifcate is saved, does not mention the
> >suffix associated with this file (.p12), and does not provide a
> >pointer to instruction for recovering the saved certificate
> >
> >6. Step 5 might mislead a user to understand that secondary
> >certificates are only used for portable callsigns; it does not
> >mention the more common case of having held more than one callsign
> >
> >7. Step 7 does not mention the importance of entering accurate
> >information in the station loaction, or provide pointers to sites
> >that would help the user do this (e.g. CQ and ITU zone maps)
>
> No argument with the above. For #7, It'd be interesting if
> there was a
> US ZIP code to zone database that could be used to vet user
> input, but
> it'd just be another source of complaints. I personally had no idea
> what zones my home were in until filling out my first LoTW
> certificate
> request; there's gotta be a lot of others in the same state or who
> would be very unhappy when the LoTW program tried to correct them. (I
> know that my QSL card has the wrong grid square as it's the grid for
> the center of town, not here - my GPS can tell me the correct grid.
> Should LoTW be verifying at this level?)
>
> Ok, there's some real problems here. How do we help get them
> fixed? Or
> are we all willing to just bitch about this and do nothing?
> (Dave, please don't take this personally as I know you are
> helping and
> writing software to help hide some of the problems.)
> -Rick
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>

2404. Spooks In LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 01:44:40 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2404 Message: 2404

Haven't been able to get in all day (Halloween). Perhaps some CQDX spooks wiped it out.

Eric Fitzgerald

2405. Really Cool

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 16:26:26 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2405 Message: 2405
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2406. LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 16:54:30 UTC
From: wa5yom
Topic: 2392 Message: 2406

A few months ago I was going to download my latest QSO's and I got an error that LOTW could not find my certificate, (error was : "system error, permission denied") or something like that.
It is the second time in a year that I have had this problem. The last time it happened I had to request a new certificate.
This time the new certificate did not fix the problem. I talked to the ARRL on numerous occasion to no avail. The final response was that it was an XP problem.
A lot of good that does me; I had to just give up. The last thing I was going to do was reload my entire operating system in order to attempt to fix the problem.
The whole certificate process seems to allow little room for error.
Anyways, I am dead in the water and guess I won't be able to use LOTW.
Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Tim

2407. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 17:59:38 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2392 Message: 2407

Try to use your certificate on another machine. Try it on a machine where someone is successfully using it. If it does not work there and the other does you have proved it is not XP. Get back with ARRL. It would be hard to believe they have no interest in that!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wa5yom
> Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2005 10:55 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problems
>
>
>
> A few months ago I was going to download my latest QSO's and I got an
> error that LOTW could not find my certificate, (error was :
> "system error, permission denied") or something like that.
> It is the second time in a year that I have had this problem.
> The last
> time it happened I had to request a new certificate.
> This time the new certificate did not fix the problem. I
> talked to the
> ARRL on numerous occasion to no avail. The final response was that it
> was an XP problem.
> A lot of good that does me; I had to just give up. The last
> thing I was
> going to do was reload my entire operating system in order to
> attempt to
> fix the problem.
> The whole certificate process seems to allow little room for error.
> Anyways, I am dead in the water and guess I won't be able to use LOTW.
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
> Tim
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2408. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 17:20:47 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2392 Message: 2408

A very wise friend of mine once said that every organization needs a
Vice President In Charge of You Can't Be Serious, whose sole function
is to put a stop to poorly planned and/or executed programs.

ARRL should consider having one. :-)

73, Bill W6WRT

2409. LOTW down again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-01 20:59:21 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 2409 Message: 2409

(written 10/31/05)
Yes, this is a very, very common problem and when it is down, it is usually down for hours with no status reports on the web site and no during or after-the-fact explanations. Leaves the users to wonder if they were doing something wrong. If the problem is hardware, good servers are just not that expensive.

I personally think the LOTW is a wonderful idea and even as messed up as it is now, it has been extremely valuable to me. Of my approximately 3000 QSOs this year, over 27% (800 and change) have been confirmed including 62 different DXCC entities. (I'd give you the exact numbers but--tada-- the system is down.) (I'm a life member of the ARRL too so I'm neither an enemy of LOTW nor of the ARRL.)

Services limping along like LOTW is right now have to get better or die. When a critical mass of hams around the world have decided that it is not for them on the basis of either their own personal experience or what others have told them, it will be EXTRMEMLY difficult to get them to change their minds later. That's the risk of continuing to operate at the same level of convenience and functionality we have now.

Dave Bernstein and other logging software authors are doing their very best to work around the very rough edges of LOTW but it's very hard to work around things like the system being down and certificate renewal instructions that are just plain wrong and don't get changed. And it's even harder to work against the fact that LOTW confirms are useful for much despite all the talk to date.

Because currently LOTW is only useful for DXCC and Challenge awards that means it is completely useless without adequate foreign amateur participation. You would never know that by visiting the LOTW site.

So Dave Bernstein is exactly right on to point out the lack of support for those who speak other languages. And Dave is also right to point out the "baffle-gab" of the English instructions which makes them doubly hard to comprehend for those whose primary language is not English. Fixing these two problems is not expensive if volunteers can be found. Any why not get links and instructions on the sites of other radio societies and clubs around the world?

My business is running a web-based service just like LOTW is and if I ran it the way the ARRL is running LOTW, my customer base would be getting smaller and not larger. It's a darn good thing they aren't charging for uploading logs because you can get away with crappy service for longer when you aren't asking for money. But not forever

and not if the service doesn't become more useful.

LOTW and the other big electronic QSL system, eQSL remind me of the American Football League and the National Football League, of the AFL and the CIO in that it makes sense for them to get together and figure out how to merge or at least interoperate to some extent.

And on the other end of things, ARRL needs to work harder at getting LOTW confirms accepted for other awards to broaden the base of interested users. And some serious overseas "product evangelists" in each country to both demonstrate the value of the system and help get people going on it. Once a enough people in each country are users, they can help other would-be users but the seeds need to be planted.

I would suggest that if the LOTW folks are in need of additional equipment, personnel, volunteers or whatever to get this show on the road, then why the heck don't they come out and say just what it is that they think they need to do it faster and better. ARRL is constantly asking for donations to support spectrum defense - why not for LOTW if that's what's needed. Maybe it's time for the ARRL to do a little customer research and ask the users what the priorities should be?

OK, I feel better now... <g>

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

2410. Access

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 00:18:38 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2215 Message: 2410

00:17Z, 11/02/05 I just tried and had no problem accessing the LOTW site.

73,
Mike, W5UC

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.362 / Virus Database: 267.12.6/152 - Release Date: 10/31/2005

2411. Another Certificate renewed with no problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 12:10:51 UTC
From: Frank A. Lynch
Topic: 2411 Message: 2411

Just renewed another club call certificate yesterday. Took all of about 2 minutes. Besides my personal call, I have four club calls that I manage LOTW stuff for. I think I'm good now till sometime in 2008 for all of these.

73,

Frank W4FAL

2412. Re: Another Certificate renewed with no problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 13:44:12 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2411 Message: 2412

Glad you got them renewed with no problem. Think back to the first
time you ever tried setting up LOTW, was it as simple as the renewals
or was it a frustrating experience?

Scott

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Frank A. Lynch" <w4fal@a...> wrote:
>
> Just renewed another club call certificate yesterday. Took all of
> about 2 minutes. Besides my personal call, I have four club calls
that
> I manage LOTW stuff for. I think I'm good now till sometime in 2008
> for all of these.
>
> 73,
>
> Frank W4FAL
>

2413. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Really Cool

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 15:29:42 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2405 Message: 2413

We should ask Bill Gates to take it over :>)

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Richard Zalewski
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2005 12:26 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Really Cool

Really cool. You try to get people into LOTW and then for two days after the biggest contest of the year the site is down.

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2414. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Another Certificate renewed with no problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 15:32:03 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 2411 Message: 2414

scottpa1960 wrote:
> Glad you got them renewed with no problem. Think back to the first
> time you ever tried setting up LOTW, was it as simple as the renewals
> or was it a frustrating experience?

This is a great question to ask a lot of people. Maybe someone can setup a poll on this group that everyone could respond to to see how many people are having problems. The squeaky wheel makes a lot of noise. But, if there is a real problem, that is impacting a lot of people, a simple poll might help make the numbers more real so that the right next steps could be taken.

Personally, I have not had problems managing my call and my clubs call.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

2415. Re: Another Certificate renewed with no problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 16:35:28 UTC
From: Frank A. Lynch
Topic: 2411 Message: 2415

No, like I said in an earlier post, I've been using LOTW and eQSL
since their inception with virtually no problems. I use DX4WIN as my
primary logging program and have also imported FD and other contest
logs from various other contest logging programs.

73,
Frank, W4FAL

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...> wrote:
>
> Glad you got them renewed with no problem. Think back to the first
> time you ever tried setting up LOTW, was it as simple as the renewals
> or was it a frustrating experience?
>
> Scott

2416. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Another Certificate renewed with no problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 17:18:26 UTC
From: William D.Storer
Topic: 2411 Message: 2416

Greg,

Same experience on this end. I've been using LOTW since the beginning with
no problems period managing 4 certificates.
All seems to flow seamlessly. Running Windows XP on one machine and Windows
ME on another. Logging with DXbase 2006 while my contest software is
Writelog.

Bill
KF8HR
V31WW

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gregg Wonderly" <gergg@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 10:32 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Another Certificate renewed with no problems

> scottpa1960 wrote:
>> Glad you got them renewed with no problem. Think back to the first
>> time you ever tried setting up LOTW, was it as simple as the renewals
>> or was it a frustrating experience?
>
> This is a great question to ask a lot of people. Maybe someone can setup
> a poll
> on this group that everyone could respond to to see how many people are
> having
> problems. The squeaky wheel makes a lot of noise. But, if there is a
> real
> problem, that is impacting a lot of people, a simple poll might help make
> the
> numbers more real so that the right next steps could be taken.
>
> Personally, I have not had problems managing my call and my clubs call.
>
> Gregg Wonderly
> W5GGW
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

2417. LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 19:52:26 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 2417 Message: 2417

System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50 minutes...

So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the hardware/software used breaks down frequently.

My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster and easier to fix.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

2418. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 21:29:52 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2417 Message: 2418

Working just fine here ...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of James M Punderson IV
> Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 2:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today
>
>
> System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50
> minutes...
>
> So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny
> percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the
> hardware/software used breaks down frequently.
>
> My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's
> hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster
> and easier to fix.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>

2419. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 21:37:54 UTC
From: Bruce (N7TY) & Gaby (KG6CXL)
Topic: 2417 Message: 2419

Same here!

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 13:30
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Working just fine here ...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of James M Punderson IV
> Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 2:52 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today
>
>
> System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50
> minutes...
>
> So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny
> percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the
> hardware/software used breaks down frequently.
>
> My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's
> hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster
> and easier to fix.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

2420. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 21:44:01 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2417 Message: 2420

Fine here today, fine here yesterday and fine here the day before.... Seems like people are blaming their computer problems on the ARRL. Guess I could blame them for not getting the last few DXCC contacts I need.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 1:29 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

>
> Working just fine here ...
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of James M Punderson IV
>> Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 2:52 PM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today
>>
>>
>> System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50
>> minutes...
>>
>> So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny
>> percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the
>> hardware/software used breaks down frequently.
>>
>> My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's
>> hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster
>> and easier to fix.
>>
>> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2421. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 23:20:01 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 2417 Message: 2421

W2QO wrote:

> System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50 minutes...
>
> So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny
> percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the
> hardware/software used breaks down frequently.
>
> My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's
> hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster
> and easier to fix.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO

Emails sent to HQ are bouncing too. I fired off an email to my Director. Here is his response:

"The Internet email link with SBC Communications has been down for most
of the past day or so. I has created real problems but SBC assures HQ
"their working on it." With any luck they should have it fixed
tomorrow."

Anybody who has tried to run an ISP would tell you, "problems" often occur upstream from the main server and
can cause all sorts of havoc. More often than not, all sorts of issues outside the organization can cause the problem. It's not always the 'fault' of the server, or the people running it. And about all you can do is wait until somebody fixes it.

Problems with name servers, denial of service attacks, hackers, who knows. Patience is a virtue.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

2422. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-02 23:59:23 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2417 Message: 2422

Fine here at 2359Z

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <n0fp@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2005 3:20 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

> W2QO wrote:
>
>> System has been unavailable again today for about the last 50 minutes...
>>
>> So either the system has insufficient capacity for even the tiny
>> percentage of the amateur radio world that uses it or the
>> hardware/software used breaks down frequently.
>>
>> My guess is the system lacks adequate capacity. Hopefully it's
>> hardware capacity that is the problem because that is usually faster
>> and easier to fix.
>>
>> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>
>
> Emails sent to HQ are bouncing too. I fired off an email to my Director.
> Here is his response:
>
> "The Internet email link with SBC Communications has been down for most
> of the past day or so. I has created real problems but SBC assures HQ
> "their working on it." With any luck they should have it fixed
> tomorrow."
>
>
> Anybody who has tried to run an ISP would tell you, "problems" often occur
> upstream from the main server and
> can cause all sorts of havoc. More often than not, all sorts of issues
> outside the organization can cause the problem. It's not always the
> 'fault' of the server, or the people running it. And about all you can do
> is wait until somebody fixes it.
>
> Problems with name servers, denial of service attacks, hackers, who knows.
> Patience is a virtue.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

2423. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-03 02:03:36 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 2417 Message: 2423

WC7N wrote:
> Fine here today, fine here yesterday and fine here the day before.... Seems
> like people are blaming their computer problems on the ARRL. Guess I could
> blame them for not getting the last few DXCC contacts I need.

For the curious, you should use the "tracert" command on your windows machine,
or the "traceroute" command on a unix machine to see what your route is to LOTW
and/or what is not working today, e.g.:

tracert <machine name>
or
traceroute <machine name>

The trace route mechanims sends out ICMP echo (same as the ping service) with
increasingly longer TTLs. It tells you which machine, if any replied for each
TTL value. When LOTW is working for you, use trace route to find out what path
is working, print it out or something for reference. When LOTW is not working,
do the trace route again and see what is not working.

The internet system is made up of literally thousands of routers. Any one, can
put a cramp in network traffic if the route it is on does not have a backup
route. The internet was design with support for alternate routes from the
start. But, some of the lower cost services can charge less by having less
robust routing. The network is made up of a graph structure which causes
certain routes to go in certain directions at various places in the network.
This can allow you to use some internet sites, but not others when a router is down.

I don't have any first hand knowledge of the dependability of the LOTW system
machines. So, without that, it's hard for me to say where the problems are that
some are experiencing. But, the above procedure might be informative to you if
you are interested.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

2424. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-03 03:52:13 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2417 Message: 2424

On Wed, Nov 02, 2005 at 08:03:36PM -0600, Gregg Wonderly wrote:
> For the curious, you should use the "tracert" command on your windows machine,
> or the "traceroute" command on a unix machine to see what your route is to LOTW
> and/or what is not working today, e.g.:
>
> tracert <machine name>
> or
> traceroute <machine name>
>
> The trace route mechanims sends out ICMP echo (same as the ping service) with
> increasingly longer TTLs. It tells you which machine, if any replied for each
Almost; traceroute sends UDP packets instead of ICMP by default.
The effect is similar though.

Unfortunately some ISPs block ICMP ping traffic, meaning that ping won't
work. Some web sites do too. traceroute will often still work in those
cases.

73
Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2425. lotw down .. for what it's worth

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-03 12:09:50 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2425 Message: 2425

LoTW was never really down the last few days
at least here
but....
it was slower than molasses
there were times I just clicked off instead of waiting.
and that went for almost all the sites I tried to visit yesterday

my normally fast 10 meg connection was worse than dialup

I suspect some routers somewhere got trashed???

Alabama State Motto: Yes, We Have Electricity

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2426. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW down again today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-03 18:22:13 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 2417 Message: 2426

Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> On Wed, Nov 02, 2005 at 08:03:36PM -0600, Gregg Wonderly wrote:
>
>>For the curious, you should use the "tracert" command on your windows machine,
>>or the "traceroute" command on a unix machine to see what your route is to LOTW
>>and/or what is not working today, e.g.:
>>
>> tracert <machine name>
>>or
>> traceroute <machine name>
>>
>>The trace route mechanims sends out ICMP echo (same as the ping service) with
>>increasingly longer TTLs. It tells you which machine, if any replied for each
>
> Almost; traceroute sends UDP packets instead of ICMP by default.
> The effect is similar though.

Humm, my memory has failed me. You are correct, it does send UDP packets addressed to a rather high numbered port, in hopes of not interfering with existing UDP based protocols, based on what I found to read. Its the ICMP-TimeExceeded that I was remembering as being the ICMP part of the protocol.

Never-the-less, this is a good way to see what is happening on the network, when the intervening routers are not unduely restrictive on the traffic the allow to pass. Typically, it is routers near the destination that start to tighten the noose and restrict you from seeing what is happening.

Also, windows tracert supports the "-d" argument to tell it to not try and do reverse DNS lookup of ip addresses to show you names instead of ip addresses. Adding this to the command line will make it go a lot faster. On Unix, the argument is the same as netstat supports, "-n".

Thanks for the correction Hamish!

Gregg Wonderly

2427. Down Again revisited

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-04 20:14:15 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 2427 Message: 2427

Perhaps I need to clarify something. When I said LOTW was down, I did not mean that you could not get to the place where the link on the home page of arrl.org leads you to when you click on it: http://www.arrl.org/lotw/

But it was not possible to log into and use the LOTW logbook itself which is located at https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/default. Access attempts to it via logbook software as well as browser software failed with "timeout" messages.

I set up a monitor yesterday and the p1k LOTW server was unavailable again from about 8:15pm EST to 10:30PM EST. It could not be pinged nor could it be logged into.

For those who think perhaps something is wrong with my Internet connection, we have an 8 T1 wide (12 Mbs) connection to the ATT backbone. If there was something wrong with that connection we would have heard about it from the 100,000 users who access our site for email and other services.

Earlier yesterday then when I first set up the monitor, there was a planned service interruption (I say planned because access attempts yielded a message from the site saying access was temporarily not available - that is quite different than the site being off-line altogether).

Today so far as of 2:15PM EST, the site has been up and available all day.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

2428. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Down Again revisited

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-05 01:05:08 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2427 Message: 2428

On Fri, Nov 04, 2005 at 08:14:15PM -0000, James M Punderson IV wrote:
> Perhaps I need to clarify something. When I said LOTW was down, I did
> not mean that you could not get to the place where the link on the
> home page of arrl.org leads you to when you click on it:
> http://www.arrl.org/lotw/
>
> But it was not possible to log into and use the LOTW logbook itself
> which is located at https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/default. Access attempts
> to it via logbook software as well as browser software failed with
> "timeout" messages.

I don't think this changes anything.. www.arrl.org and p1k.arrl.org
appear to be hosted in quite different places and indeed the route to
them from Australia is very different. Thus the network to one could be
down while the other is up.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2429. Something Positive (For a Change)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-05 14:43:42 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2429 Message: 2429

I've been very critical of LOTW mainly because the instructions are basically non-existent. Not very good for those of us who like the step by step instructions written like "LOTW for Dummies" format. I also agree if we want foreign hams to start using LOTW, instructions also have to be in other languages, french, german, italian among others.

Here's my positive thing though. Thankfully I had uploaded my logbook to LOTW before going inactive for the summer, as I had to reformat my ham computer a few months ago and had no complete backup copy of my logbook. Well, when I finally reinstalled everything a couple of weeks ago and got my new certificates for LOTW, when I signed in, I was able to retrieve the missing contacts for the logbook.

Thanks LOTW for having this ready for me!

73--Scott
KN3A

2430. Sweepstakes Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-07 18:28:06 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2430 Message: 2430

Just uploaded my log from the weekends SweepStakes contest and LoTW immediately returned 48 matches less than 12 hours after the end of the test.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2431. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sweepstakes Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-07 22:10:23 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 2430 Message: 2431

K0HB wrote:

> Just uploaded my log from the weekends SweepStakes contest and LoTW
> immediately returned 48 matches less than 12 hours after the end of the
> test.

Yep ... uploaded mine this morning! Thanks for the Q.

Ron

2432. W1AW QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 15:51:04 UTC
From: Bruce (N7TY) & Gaby (KG6CXL)
Topic: 2432 Message: 2432

I worked W1AW a couple days before Sweep Stakes and once during. I have uploaded all my contest logs to LoTW and have received many confirmations from Q's made during the contest, but no W1AW. When do they upload their logs?

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht

2433. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 15:56:35 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2432 Message: 2433

You could ask them. Email address is w1aw@...

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Bruce \(N7TY\) & Gaby \(KG6CXL\)
To: ARRL-LOTW
Sent: 11/11/2005 3:52:30 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

I worked W1AW a couple days before Sweep Stakes and once during. I have uploaded all my contest logs to LoTW and have received many confirmations from Q?s made during the contest, but no W1AW. When do they upload their logs?

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2434. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 16:01:12 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2432 Message: 2434

According to the LoTW users page they last uploaded on 2005-11-03 at
0146 UTC.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Bruce (N7TY) & Gaby (KG6CXL) wrote:
>
>
> I w orked W1AW a couple days before S weep Stakes and once during . I
> have uploaded all my contest logs to LoTW and have received many
> confirmations from Q ? s made during the contest, but no W1AW. When do
> they upload their logs?
>
> --------------------------------
>
> Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
>
> Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
>
> Coronado, CA
>
> --------------------------------
>
> home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht
>
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>

2435. In spite of all the carping....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 16:31:06 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2435 Message: 2435

I've been as guilty as anyone of complaining, but in the spirit of "credit where credit is due"......

After just about 24 months since my first submission, I currently show just under 4,100 QSLs at the LoTW web site. In the mix there are enough 'cards' to qualify me for DXCC, 5BWAS, and probably a fairly high number at 'County Hunters'.

---That's more than ALL the paper cards I've gathered in over 43 years of hamming.
---The cost of these 'cards'? $0.00

I still have a great fondness for paper cards (see my QSL at http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb), but LoTW certainly is a welcome complement for the serious paperhanger.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2436. Carping

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 17:16:24 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2436 Message: 2436
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2437. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Carping

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 17:47:03 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2436 Message: 2437

Dick,

When you voiced your concerns, did you proposed any measures to
make LoTW more widely accepted?

Nenad NZ2R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Richard Zalewski
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2005 12:16 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Carping

I agree with Hans. In spite of all of the negative comments the system
when working is pretty good. However, let's not loose sight of the fact
that through "complaints" and "comments" will come improvements. It is
hard for me to voice a complaint without suggesting a solution but my
knowledge of the inner workings of the system does not allow me to do
so.

At this time I have 72,390 QSOs in the system with 8,812 QSLs. That is
12.2% confirmed. While that is a bit above average it still shows that
we have a long way to go to get more people on LOTW. I have voiced my
concerns to my Division Director and would suggest others do the same
rather than just post them here on the reflector.

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

2438. RE: The "Carping"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 18:12:26 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 2436 Message: 2438
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi all,

As a non-USA station using LoTW, I find the service invaluable for gaining confirmation of some calls that are, and always have been, difficult to get confirmed in the usual way.
As a keen contester, it saves me a lot of repeat-QSL'ing, although it has not eliminated it entirely.

My stats are a little less than some that have been quoted, but as of today (with a few contacts yet to add to the system) I have 37518 QSO's logged into LoTW, with 7142 confirmed.
This is a fraction over 19%. Quite pleasing!

I have all too frequently seen folk moan about this or that, but this isn't always productive.
It may be better to offer suggestions, which may or may not be taken up, but at least you will have raised a point, and maybe some kind soul at ARRL will take some note of what is said.
Simply berating the LoTW service for not doing this or that is not helping anyone.

From a "DX" perspective, I quite often hear comments about the joining up process being too much for folk to handle.
NO IT IS NOT!
However, it does beg the question as to whether it would be possible for those ARRL guys that check cards at various exhibitions could possibly sign up some of the visitors?
Friedrichshafen, Dayton, and the RSGB HF Convention spring to mind.
Maybe this IS done already, I don't know, but any way you can increase usage is worth the hassle.

I have noticed that the LoTW site has been unavailable several times recently, so does that indicate extra activity?
I seemed to note that the few days after CQWW SSB was rather busy!

So, well done ARRL, and thanks for an excellent service!

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

2439. wonder if this is the reason for the 'offline'

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 20:16:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2439 Message: 2439

According to the LoTW Home Page, on Nov. 10
they now accept a VO-52 satellite qsos

looks like a upgrade has to be downloaded.

won't help me much....I can barely work any earth stations :-(((

Don't do it if you can't keep it up.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2440. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Carping

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 22:18:53 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2436 Message: 2440
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2441. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Carping

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-11 23:58:48 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2436 Message: 2441

Dick,

Thanks for your answer. Let us see what feedback will tell us.

73 Nenad NZ2R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Zalewski
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2005 5:19 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Carping

Yes I did. For one I suggested a committee of some users (contesters, dxers, general ops) to provide some feedback. Secondly I suggested that there might be a moratorium on all fees to encourage use. Third I suggested much more publicity. While Ward's article in QST was good it left out several major points in dealing with LOTW. Lastly I suggested participation from some non-USA stations and preferably non English speaking to see if there could be a way for them to enter.

Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

On 11/11/05, Nenad Stevanovic <nenad@...> wrote:

Dick,

When you voiced your concerns, did you proposed any measures to make LoTW more widely accepted?

Nenad NZ2R

2442. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-12 00:08:33 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2432 Message: 2442

On Nov 11, 2005, at 10:51 AM, Bruce ((N7TY)) & Gaby ((KG6CXL)) wrote:

> I worked W1AW a couple days before Sweep Stakes and once during. I
> have uploaded all my contest logs to LoTW and have received many
> confirmations from Q's made during the contest, but no W1AW. When
> do they upload their logs?

Hard to say. However, I know that some contesters don't upload to LoTW or eQSL until after the contest entry deadline. They figure that doing it early would permit people to gerrymand their logs and remove Not-In-Log (NIL) QSOs.

Actually, I have an example of the opposite. Back during ARRL DX CW, I worked an EA1 on 80m. I have an LoTW confirmation, but when I got my log check report for that contest (all 21 QSOs), that one was marked as NIL! Go figure....

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2443. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-12 03:12:45 UTC
From: Bruce (N7TY) & Gaby (KG6CXL)
Topic: 2432 Message: 2443

Easy Hans, just throwing it out there. Was wondering if anyone else had worked them recently and had received a LoTW confirmation. Defiantly not complaining about LoTW, love the service!!!!

73 de Bruce

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2005 07:57
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

You could ask them. Email address is w1aw@...

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Bruce <mailto:ockmrzr@...> \(N7TY\) & Gaby \(KG6CXL\)
To: ARRL-LOTW <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 11/11/2005 3:52:30 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] W1AW QSO

I worked W1AW a couple days before Sweep Stakes and once during. I have uploaded all my contest logs to LoTW and have received many confirmations from Q's made during the contest, but no W1AW. When do they upload their logs?

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht

SPONSORED LINKS

Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84

&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply
Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supp
ly&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4k
QCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ> hobby
Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+cra
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.si
g=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts

Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply
&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-g
q6h7guAFs73WsoQ> radio

_____

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

_____

2444. can anyone remember who

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-13 18:44:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2444 Message: 2444

wrote the little program that allowed you to access various LoTW pages?

I lost it in my transfer from old to new computer.

It was a number of links that were really shortcuts to the different menu selections.

please and thank you.

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2445. Out of the Office

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-13 18:45:07 UTC
From: GOLIST -John
Topic: 2125 Message: 2445

I will be OUT of the office today. I will respond to your message just as quickly as I can

Thank you.

John @ the GOLIST.

2446. What others are saying about LOTW....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-14 05:52:08 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2446 Message: 2446

I was sitting in the hamshack winding down after the Steelers game and
was copying some PSK31. I just happened to see this print and thought
I'd pass it along. Sorry about the guy not having very good spelling.

"not resigistered wnta e-qsl, best I can doe is sneend a postcardf of
you need it...evey LOTW certificate is about to expire, but I'm
sdsically not ing to renew. , it's real
y a painin the neck to use anyways..ave to convert files to Cabrillo,
blah blah..."

Not my words, but a random users.

73--Scott
KN3A

2447. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What others are saying about LOTW....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-14 13:24:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2446 Message: 2447

----- Original Message -----
From: "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2005 11:52 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What others are saying about LOTW....

>I was sitting in the hamshack winding down after the Steelers game and
> was copying some PSK31. I just happened to see this print and thought
> I'd pass it along. Sorry about the guy not having very good spelling.
>
> "not resigistered wnta e-qsl, best I can doe is sneend a postcardf of
> you need it...evey LOTW certificate is about to expire, but I'm
> sdsically not ing to renew. , it's real
> y a painin the neck to use anyways..ave to convert files to Cabrillo,
> blah blah..."
>
> Not my words, but a random users.
>
> 73--Scott
> KN3A
I guess it just shows...if someone can't spell a 3 letter word correctly but still get a ham license...there is something wrong with the system, and I don't mean the LoTW system.......

I worked a new band/mode on 80 meters last night, and told the person I would need his qsl and would upload to LoTW.
Sure enough, this morning his LoTW QSL was waiting for me.

2448. Re: What others are saying about LOTW....

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-14 14:09:24 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2446 Message: 2448

Please don't flame someone because of grammar and spelling. I didn't put in the callsign to protect this person. Why are you assuming this person can't spell because of the school system in the U.S.? Maybe you should consider this person was attempting to use the english language instead? The hobby of ham radio is not limited solely to U.S. born and bred people. It is an international hobby. I submitted 135 QSO's that I worked on the WAE RTTY last night. So far its about 20% return, which is pretty good, but none from other countries yet, which is a sad thing.

Scott

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2005 11:52 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What others are saying about LOTW....
>
>
> >I was sitting in the hamshack winding down after the Steelers game and
> > was copying some PSK31. I just happened to see this print and thought
> > I'd pass it along. Sorry about the guy not having very good spelling.
> >
> > "not resigistered wnta e-qsl, best I can doe is sneend a postcardf of
> > you need it...evey LOTW certificate is about to expire, but I'm
> > sdsically not ing to renew. , it's real
> > y a painin the neck to use anyways..ave to convert files to Cabrillo,
> > blah blah..."
> >
> > Not my words, but a random users.
> >
> > 73--Scott
> > KN3A
> I guess it just shows...if someone can't spell a 3 letter word correctly but still get a
> ham license...there is something wrong with the system, and I don't mean the LoTW

> system.......
>
> I worked a new band/mode on 80 meters last night, and told the
person I would need his qsl
> and would upload to LoTW.
> Sure enough, this morning his LoTW QSL was waiting for me.
>

2449. LotW user callsign database

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-17 16:22:01 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2449 Message: 2449

The LotW user callsign database has been updated. Known callsigns that have had logs uploaded now total 9964, representing 274 countries, as of 11/16/2005.

During the last month, additions to the list of known users have been greater than two DX callsigns for every one US callsign added. This only applies to known users. An actual LotW users listing is not currently available from LotW.

Updates for the web-based searchable database, LotW User Query utility, and DXLab's SpotCollector are all available on the http://www.bbcyber.com website.

This database is maintained by Robert HB9BZA with database formatting and updating by Bill, N5RR. Both of us can receive new known user callsign information and have them included in the next update. The listing is updated, on the average, about every two or three days.

2450. LoTW and Contesters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-21 05:05:20 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2450 Message: 2450

It's just 2 hours since the end of the SS Phone contest and I already have 52 QSLs at LoTW!

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2451. 2004 R1MVI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-24 11:08:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2451 Message: 2451

received a bunch of qsls via LoTW this morning.

2452. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 2004 R1MVI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-25 16:40:40 UTC
From: g7ogx@aol.com
Topic: 2451 Message: 2452

When you say, "Received" what do you actually mean? Are they emailed to you? Just asking because I may be missing a trick here. I do not actually "receive" QSLs as with Eqsl, just confirmation text when I log on and go to my QSL total. Thanks Chris G7OGX

2453. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 2004 R1MVI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-25 16:57:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2451 Message: 2453

I mean...I have received a LoTW notification that my qso with R1MVI was confirmed and is eligible for submission for award credit.

R1MVI has submitted to LoTW a logged qso with me for that time, date, band, mode etc.
And it matches the qso with R1MVI that I submitted.
We have a match and LoTW has informed me that the qsl is acceptable.

----- Original Message -----
From: <g7ogx@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2005 10:40 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 2004 R1MVI

> When you say, "Received" what do you actually mean? Are they emailed to
> you? Just asking because I may be missing a trick here. I do not actually
> "receive" QSLs as with Eqsl, just confirmation text when I log on and go to my
> QSL total. Thanks Chris G7OGX
>

2454. received today: YB0JIV, UR5FAV,TK/DL4FF, FM/JJ2RCH, FG/JJ2RCJ, JM1XCW,DI1YD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-25 21:17:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2454 Message: 2454

These two were sorely needed band/mode confirmations.
YB0JIB via bureau, Thanks John, for the 17 meter rtty qsl.
TK/DL4FF via bureau, Thank Vaclaf, for the 17 meter ssb qsl.

These five, were hardcard qsls for already received LoTW qsls.
Seems they are belt & suspenders types.
Of course I will reply via bureau.
UR5FAV via bureau,
FM/JJ2RCJ & FG/JJ2RCJ via bureau,
JM1XCW via bureau,
DL1YD via bureau

What are the lyrics to that song?
"I don't care how you get here...just get here!"

thanks to all

Why does the person that snores always fall asleep first?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2455. a few qsls received today.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-25 21:34:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2455 Message: 2455

These two were sorely needed band/mode confirmations.
YB0JIB via bureau, Thanks John, for the 17 meter rtty qsl.
TK/DL4FF via bureau, Thank Vaclaf, for the 17 meter ssb qsl.

These five, were hardcard qsls for already received LoTW qsls.
Seems they are belt & suspenders type qslers. :-)))
Of course I will reply via bureau.
UR5FAV via bureau,
FM/JJ2RCJ & FG/JJ2RCJ via bureau,
JM1XCW via bureau,
DL1YD via bureau

What are the lyrics to that song?
"I don't care how you get here...just get here!"

thanks to all

Why does the person that snores always fall asleep first?

Bill H. in Chicagoland

I eat Swiss cheese from the inside out. -- Steven Wright

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2456. WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-28 14:22:50 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2456 Message: 2456

Just received this from our ARRL director:

LoTW is scheduled to become accessible for the WAS
family of awards by
early January at the latest. It is anticipated that
still additional
ARRL as well as non-ARRL award programs will be
available through LoTW
later this year.

Randy
AG8A

_____
Yahoo! Music Unlimited
Access over 1 million songs. Try it free.
http://music.yahoo.com/unlimited/

2457. Re: WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-28 21:42:07 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 2456 Message: 2457

That's GREAT news!!!

Nice timing too - I just worked the 50th state on RTTY with 47
confirmed in LOTW.

Nice to see that type of progress being made. The more awards the
system is good for, the more users will use it. The more users that
use it, the higher the percentage of confirms will be for everybody.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
>
> Just received this from our ARRL director:
>
>
> LoTW is scheduled to become accessible for the WAS
> family of awards by
> early January at the latest. It is anticipated that
> still additional
> ARRL as well as non-ARRL award programs will be
> available through LoTW
> later this year.
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
>

2458. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-29 00:12:41 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2456 Message: 2458

I don't know about great news. That seems like a further delay to me. When I asked about this back in September, I was told "end of year". Of course, six months before that, I was told, "end of second or third quarter." Early January seems later than "end of year".

Since I do software development myself, I can fully appreciate the difficulty of bringing an application like this on-line. However, given the severity of the slippage so far, allow me some level of skepticism.

On Nov 28, 2005, at 4:42 PM, James M Punderson IV wrote:

>
> That's GREAT news!!!
>
> Nice timing too - I just worked the 50th state on RTTY with 47
> confirmed in LOTW.
>
> Nice to see that type of progress being made. The more awards the
> system is good for, the more users will use it. The more users that
> use it, the higher the percentage of confirms will be for everybody.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
> >
> > Just received this from our ARRL director:
> >
> >
> > LoTW is scheduled to become accessible for the WAS
> > family of awards by
> > early January at the latest. It is anticipated that
> > still additional
> > ARRL as well as non-ARRL award programs will be
> > available through LoTW
> > later this year.
> >
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2459. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-29 00:33:02 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2456 Message: 2459

I think it is great news as well...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than any invention in human history--with the possible exceptions of handguns and tequila. --Mitch Ratliffe

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2005 16:13
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS

I don't know about great news. That seems like a further delay to me. When I asked about this back in September, I was told "end of year". Of course, six months before that, I was told, "end of second or third quarter." Early January seems later than "end of year".

Since I do software development myself, I can fully appreciate the difficulty of bringing an application like this on-line. However, given the severity of the slippage so far, allow me some level of skepticism.

On Nov 28, 2005, at 4:42 PM, James M Punderson IV wrote:

>
> That's GREAT news!!!
>
> Nice timing too - I just worked the 50th state on RTTY with 47
> confirmed in LOTW.
>
> Nice to see that type of progress being made. The more awards the
> system is good for, the more users will use it. The more users that
> use it, the higher the percentage of confirms will be for everybody.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
> >
> > Just received this from our ARRL director:
> >
> >

> > LoTW is scheduled to become accessible for the WAS
> > family of awards by
> > early January at the latest. It is anticipated that
> > still additional
> > ARRL as well as non-ARRL award programs will be
> > available through LoTW
> > later this year.
> >
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

2460. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-29 04:15:58 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2456 Message: 2460

Knowing that the development at ARRL is being done by one person
who's primary duty is supporting all IT, administrative and
publishing systems, that one of the Directors is on record saying
that WAS will be ready in January is great news.

That generally means that the systems are already being tested -
particularly given the relatively few "working days" between now
and the first part of January.

73,

... Joe Subich, W4TV
microHAM America, LLC.
www.microHAM-USA.com

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
> Sent: Monday, November 28, 2005 7:13 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS
>
>
>
> I don't know about great news. That seems like a further
> delay to me.
> When I asked about this back in September, I was told "end of year".
> Of course, six months before that, I was told, "end of second or
> third quarter." Early January seems later than "end of year".
>
> Since I do software development myself, I can fully appreciate the
> difficulty of bringing an application like this on-line. However,
> given the severity of the slippage so far, allow me some level of
> skepticism.
>
> On Nov 28, 2005, at 4:42 PM, James M Punderson IV wrote:
>
> >
> > That's GREAT news!!!
> >
> > Nice timing too - I just worked the 50th state on RTTY with 47
> > confirmed in LOTW.
> >
> > Nice to see that type of progress being made. The more awards the
> > system is good for, the more users will use it. The more users that
> > use it, the higher the percentage of confirms will be for everybody.

> >
> > Jamie Punderson, W2QO
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Just received this from our ARRL director:
> > >
> > >
> > > LoTW is scheduled to become accessible for the WAS
> > > family of awards by
> > > early January at the latest. It is anticipated that
> > > still additional
> > > ARRL as well as non-ARRL award programs will be
> > > available through LoTW
> > > later this year.
> > >
> > >
> > > Randy
> > > AG8A
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS
> > Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> > Ham radio
> >
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
> of Service.
> >
> >
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@arrl.net
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->

> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo!
> your home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2461. received today: CN2WW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-11-30 12:22:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2461 Message: 2461

CN2WW via LoTW, multiple qso/qsls.
Thank you, I needed the 17 rtty qsl credit.

Windows Error: 002 - No error yet ...

Bill H. in Chicagoland

2462. received today: OH2BU/MVI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-05 15:12:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2462 Message: 2462

OH2BU/MVI via LoTW
Thanks for the 1995 qso.

2463. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: OH2BU/MVI and more ...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-05 20:46:52 UTC
From: Jari Jussila
Topic: 2462 Message: 2463

That's right BIll ...

Uploaded a couple of days ago the following DX pedition logs:
- XF4L 1989 (16.000 of 48.000 QSO's,
508, matches/QSL's so far)
- OH2BU/MVI (1995) (9.000 QSO's, 280
matches/QSLs so far)
- R1MVI (1995) (9.000 QSO's, 425
matxhes/QSL's so far)
- 4J1FS (Malyj Vysotskij, 1992) (74.000 QSO's, 1904
matches/QSL's so far)

and will upload after a couple hours
- 4J1FS (Malyj Vysotskij, 1991) (23.000 QSO's).

The earlier:
- YS1X El Salvador (24.000 QSO's, 2358
matches/QSL's so far)

- The XF4L uploading includes only the U.S. prefixes starting with A, N, K
and W and prefixes starting with E, F and G. Those are the only electronic
files found. I have the full paper logs of all 48.000 QSO's, however.

- The Malyj Vysotskij -files/logs (OH2BU/MVI, R1MVI and 4J1FS) had problems
with the time. I remember that for some reason there was a offset of some
45 minutes (which is more than the 30 minute limit LOTW accepts) in many of
the QSO's and in some QSO's a 7 hours offset because of wrong parameters
when using the old Excel time parameter.

- If you have already a paper QSL and can't find the QSO from LOTW, try
using and uploading the date and time in the paper QSL. If that doesn't
work out, I can check the log and upload the QSO's for you again.

Jari, OH2BU/OH7RF

At 17:12 5.12.2005, you wrote:
>OH2BU/MVI via LoTW
>Thanks for the 1995 qso.
>
>
>SPONSORED LINKS
><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg>Hobby
>and craft supply
><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby
&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>Craft
>hobby

><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA>Hobbies
>and crafts
><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>Ham
>radio
>
>
>----------
>YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group
> "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>----------

2464. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: OH2BU/MVI and more ...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-06 00:48:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2462 Message: 2464

Thanks Jari
I got all of them.

At least I got the ones I worked. hihi

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jari Jussila" <Jari.Jussila@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 05, 2005 2:46 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: OH2BU/MVI and more ...

>
> That's right BIll ...
>
> Uploaded a couple of days ago the following DX pedition logs:
> - XF4L 1989 (16.000 of 48.000 QSO's,
> 508, matches/QSL's so far)
> - OH2BU/MVI (1995) (9.000 QSO's, 280
> matches/QSLs so far)
> - R1MVI (1995) (9.000 QSO's, 425
> matxhes/QSL's so far)
> - 4J1FS (Malyj Vysotskij, 1992) (74.000 QSO's, 1904
> matches/QSL's so far)
>
> and will upload after a couple hours
> - 4J1FS (Malyj Vysotskij, 1991) (23.000 QSO's).
>
> The earlier:
> - YS1X El Salvador (24.000 QSO's, 2358
> matches/QSL's so far)
>
>
> - The XF4L uploading includes only the U.S. prefixes starting with A, N, K
> and W and prefixes starting with E, F and G. Those are the only electronic
> files found. I have the full paper logs of all 48.000 QSO's, however.
>
> - The Malyj Vysotskij -files/logs (OH2BU/MVI, R1MVI and 4J1FS) had problems
> with the time. I remember that for some reason there was a offset of some
> 45 minutes (which is more than the 30 minute limit LOTW accepts) in many of
> the QSO's and in some QSO's a 7 hours offset because of wrong parameters
> when using the old Excel time parameter.
>
> - If you have already a paper QSL and can't find the QSO from LOTW, try
> using and uploading the date and time in the paper QSL. If that doesn't
> work out, I can check the log and upload the QSO's for you again.
>

>
> Jari, OH2BU/OH7RF
>
>
>
>
> At 17:12 5.12.2005, you wrote:
>>OH2BU/MVI via LoTW
>>Thanks for the 1995 qso.
>>
>>
>>SPONSORED LINKS
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2
=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg>Hobby
>>and craft supply
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ>Craft
>>hobby
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Cra
ft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA>Hobbies
>>and crafts
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&
w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ>Ham
>>radio
>>
>>
>>----------
>>YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> * Visit your group
>> "<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>> *
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> *
>> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe
@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> *
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>>----------
>
>

2465. S9SS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-07 12:28:31 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2465 Message: 2465

Just worked Charlie, S9SS on 15 m CW this past weekend. Got a LoTW confirmation yesterday. Looks like he is using LoTW now.

Bruce C. Thompson (N7TY)
Gabrielle T. Steinau (KG6CXL)
Coronado, CA
---
home page: www.qsl.net/wb7rht

2466. received today; TZ6NS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-13 14:10:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2466 Message: 2466

TZ6NS via LoTW for multiple band/modes.
Thank you especially the 40cw & 17 rtty
which were new band/mode confirmations for me.
received 3 days after sending for the hardcard qsls. :-(((

like the song....
'I don't care how you git here
just git here, when you can'

Don't judge me by my relatives, I didn't choose them!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2467. received today: FP/K8DD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-13 21:30:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2467 Message: 2467

FP/K8DD via LoTW.
Thank you Henry.

(another set of LoTW qsls I had just sent for via the manager) :-))))

I guess the LoTW idea is catching on...<smile>

Love is a matter of chemistry, sex is a matter of physics.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2468. received today: FT5XO; VP5/N0VD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-16 13:02:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2468 Message: 2468

FT5XO and VP5/N0VD via LoTW
Thanks to Microlite and Kelly for the qso's and qsl's.

Alaska State Motto: 11,623 Eskimos Can't Be Wrong!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2469. received today: VP2E

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-20 12:24:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2469 Message: 2469

VP2E via LoTW
For a 160 meter CW qso during the contest.
Thank you.

Alcohol & calculus don't mix. Never drink & derive.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2470. received today; 3C2MV

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-21 22:10:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2470 Message: 2470

3C2MV via LoTW, for a 20 cw and 10 ssb qso.
thank you guys.

If white wine goes with fish, do white grapes go with sushi?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2471. "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 08:21:38 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2471 Message: 2471

Gentlemen,

Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card" action, see
www.qrz.com/rx9tx :-)

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Dec-05 08:19 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"To see things in the seed, that is genius." (Lao-tzu)

2472. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 14:21:18 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 2471 Message: 2472

Art,

With all due respect and the overall support of the idea of LoTW as you know well, let me respectully disagree with you.

An essence of the HAM spirit requires every amateur to reply on any QSL request he receives. A QSL request means, somebody needs this card. Any condition put on the way of receipt of a card puts the HAM spirit under a question mark...

Sorry to be quite tough this Holiday's time.

Merry Christmas to all listees,

73,

Vladimir EU1SA

>
> Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card" action, see
> www.qrz.com/rx9tx :-)
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 24-Dec-05 08:19 UTC
>

2473. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 13:46:14 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2471 Message: 2473

Also, don't forget that many, many hams do not even own a computer. Not only can't they comply with your wishes Art, they won't even know about them.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Vladimir V. Sidorov wrote:
> Art,
>
> With all due respect and the overall support of the idea of LoTW as you
> know
> well, let me respectully disagree with you.
>
> An essence of the HAM spirit requires every amateur to reply on any QSL
> request he receives. A QSL request means, somebody needs this card. Any
> condition put on the way of receipt of a card puts the HAM spirit under a
> question mark...
>
> Sorry to be quite tough this Holiday's time.
>
> Merry Christmas to all listees,
>
> 73,
>
> Vladimir EU1SA
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card" action, see
> > www.qrz.com/rx9tx :-)
> >
> > --
> > 73...Art RX9TX 24-Dec-05 08:19 UTC
> >
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2

=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw>
> Craft hobby
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ>
> Ham radio
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw>
>
>
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>

2474. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 13:56:44 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2471 Message: 2474

Hello Vladimir,

Saturday, December 24, 2005 Vladimir V. Sidorov wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

VVS> An essence of the HAM spirit requires every amateur to reply on any QSL
VVS> request he receives.

If I upload my logs to the LoTW that means I want these requests to be
confirmed.

VVS> A QSL request means, somebody needs this card. Any condition put
VVS> on the way of receipt of a card puts the HAM spirit under a
VVS> question mark...

Does that concern LoTW "requests"?

MC/HNY :)

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Dec-05 13:53 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Sex is an emotion in motion." [Mae West]

2475. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 14:04:59 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2471 Message: 2475

Hello C.M.,

Saturday, December 24, 2005 C.M. Christensen wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

CMC> Also, don't forget that many, many hams do not even own a computer. Not
CMC> only can't they comply with your wishes Art, they won't even know about
CMC> them.

Actually I believe this is a myth. I have just made a test with a bunch of latest cards from my table, 41 - labels printed, 43 - handwritten. 5 of that 43 - RTTY contacts made with computers. 19 of 38 remaining - contest contacts, I bet computer logs were used. A half of 19 remaining cards have e-mail address on them, though it is not a direct evidence. So I think at least 90% of active hams have computer logs.

--
73...Art RX9TX 24-Dec-05 13:57 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"They make a desert and call it peace." [Tacitus]

2476. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 15:26:34 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2471 Message: 2476

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 06:21 AM 12/24/2005, Vladimir V. Sidorov wrote:
>An essence of the HAM spirit requires every amateur to reply on any QSL
>request he receives. A QSL request means, somebody needs this card. Any
>condition put on the way of receipt of a card puts the HAM spirit under a
>question mark...

~~~~~~

I do not collect paper cards, so if someone wants a card from me,
they must send me a SASE, just as I would with them. I make thousands
of QSOs each year and receive hundreds of cards. I think it is an
imposition to expect me to pay postage for their benefit. It's enough
that I pay for printing the cards, don't you think?

LOTW is the way of the future. We should discourage paper cards as
much as possible. We should also find ways for non-computerized
stations to submit and receive LOTW credit, either through a radio
club or some other means. Paper cards served a good purpose in the
past, but in this age of the internet they are rapidly becoming out of date.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2477. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 15:56:42 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2471 Message: 2477
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

>
> LOTW is the way of the future. We should discourage paper cards as
> much as possible.
>

The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter, but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.8

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious. It was transparently written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful troll. Maybe as high as a 3.0 for the sheer abrasiveness of the premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

> We should also find ways for non-computerized stations to submit
> and receive LOTW credit, either through a radio club or some
> other means.

A nice idea, but doesn't pass the taste test of reality. Operational radio clubs are scarcer by the week, and few are organized enough to collect logs/submit logs/and all the other administrivia such a project would entail.

> Paper cards served a good purpose in the past, but in this age
> of the internet they are rapidly becoming out of date.

Same could be said for a lot of my favorite things, perhaps including ham radio itself.

So what! I still enjoy it, and I still thoroughly sending and receiving paper cards, even though all my logs back to 1975 (soon all the way back to 1958) are on LoTW.

Merry Christmas to all, including the Grinch

73, de Hans, K0HB

2478. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 17:04:00 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 2471 Message: 2478

Bill,

The yours truly is a honest fan of LoTW. Moreover, I can call myself a promoter of LoTW of a kind, and Art can confirm that. And I also make thousands of QSOs each year and, to be honest, also don't really like to process received cards. In the meantime IMHO, people should not be DISCOURAGED to use paper cards. Instead, people should be ENCOURAGED to use LoTW. The moment they understand, the LoTW confirmations are easier and cheaper, they will cut the paper cards' turnover themselves.

Until it happened, my personal principle is, any received card should be answered the way it is received, i.e. direct reply on a direct request, and a bureau reply on a bureau request.

A promotion of LoTW is another story. But Gents, let us the LoTW fans be careful, by quick unthought decisions we might create an allergic reaction on LoTW as a whole.

73,

Vladimir EU1SA
65k QSOs in LoTW, 6.7k LoTW QSLs

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Turner" <dezrat1242@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 24, 2005 9:26 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

>
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> At 06:21 AM 12/24/2005, Vladimir V. Sidorov wrote:
>>An essence of the HAM spirit requires every amateur to reply on any QSL
>>request he receives. A QSL request means, somebody needs this card. Any
>>condition put on the way of receipt of a card puts the HAM spirit under a
>>question mark...
>
>
> ~~~~~~
>
> I do not collect paper cards, so if someone wants a card from me,
> they must send me a SASE, just as I would with them. I make thousands
> of QSOs each year and receive hundreds of cards. I think it is an
> imposition to expect me to pay postage for their benefit. It's enough

> that I pay for printing the cards, don't you think?
>
> LOTW is the way of the future. We should discourage paper cards as
> much as possible. We should also find ways for non-computerized
> stations to submit and receive LOTW credit, either through a radio
> club or some other means. Paper cards served a good purpose in the
> past, but in this age of the internet they are rapidly becoming out of
> date.
>
> 73, Bill W6WRT
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2479. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 16:04:19 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2471 Message: 2479

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 07:56 AM 12/24/2005, K0HB wrote:
>The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
>but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
>award it a 2.8
>
>The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

~~~~~~

Some day I hope to meet you Hans, just to see what a true oddball looks like. Hopefully I can do it incognito so you won't know who I am. You seem harmless enough but one never knows....

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2480. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 16:59:18 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2471 Message: 2480

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 09:04 AM 12/24/2005, Vladimir V. Sidorov wrote:
>In the meantime IMHO, people should not be
>DISCOURAGED to use paper cards. Instead, people should be ENCOURAGED to use
>LoTW. The moment they understand, the LoTW confirmations are easier and
>cheaper, they will cut the paper cards' turnover themselves.

~~~~~~

I agree. I didn't mean to ACTIVELY discourage paper cards, just to
encourage LOTW in the hopes that paper cards would become passe. You
are absolutely right.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2481. Via LoTW, Bureau, Direct, or by manager

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 17:12:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2481 Message: 2481

You can send it by railway, you can send it by trailway
You can send it on an airplane, you can send it with your mind
You can send it by caravan, cross the desert like an Arab man
I don't care how it, just - get it here if you can

You can send it by sail boat, climb a tree and swing rope to rope
Take a sled and slide down the slope, into these logs of mine
You can jump on a speedy colt, cross the border in a blaze of hope
I don't care how it gets here, just - get it here how you can

There are hills and mountains between us
Always somet'in to swim or cover
If I had my way, surely you'd be closer
I need to know you sent it over

It can windsurf to my log, send it on a carpet ride
It can make it in a big balloon, but you better make it soon

You can send it by caravan, cross the desert like an Arab man
I don't care how it, just - get it here if you can

I don't care how, just -- get it here -- fast as you can

my apologies to Oleta

Bill, W9OL

2482. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-24 23:14:59 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2471 Message: 2482

On Dec 24, 2005, at 10:26 AM, Bill Turner wrote:

> I do not collect paper cards, so if someone wants a card from me,
> they must send me a SASE, just as I would with them. I make thousands
> of QSOs each year and receive hundreds of cards. I think it is an
> imposition to expect me to pay postage for their benefit. It's enough
> that I pay for printing the cards, don't you think?

Nope. The QSL "is the final courtesy of the QSO." Just think if all those guys whom you work every year suddenly stopped working you if you don't QSL. Your contest scores would go way down.

I QSL 100%. It may take a while to get a card back if there's no SASE, but they will eventually get one back.

LoTW is the future, I agree, but paper QSLing will have a place for some time to come.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2483. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-25 05:54:54 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 2471 Message: 2483

Have to agree that electronic QSLs are the future, I do believe there will always be a place for paper QSLs.
I handle one of letters for ARRL buro and the amount of cards seems be be down, but whether it's due to poor propagation or electronic QSLs is anyone's guess.

As for LoTW, think it's a good idea, but two attempts to get it working here ended in failure. Threw out program in disgust, but joined this list in hopes of finding out what I may have done wrong. Will probably make another attempt after 1st of year as time permits.

Jerry N9AVY
----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 24, 2005 5:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

On Dec 24, 2005, at 10:26 AM, Bill Turner wrote:

> I do not collect paper cards, so if someone wants a card from me,
> they must send me a SASE, just as I would with them. I make thousands
> of QSOs each year and receive hundreds of cards. I think it is an
> imposition to expect me to pay postage for their benefit. It's enough
> that I pay for printing the cards, don't you think?

Nope. The QSL "is the final courtesy of the QSO." Just think if all those guys whom you work every year suddenly stopped working you if you don't QSL. Your contest scores would go way down.

I QSL 100%. It may take a while to get a card back if there's no SASE, but they will eventually get one back.

LoTW is the future, I agree, but paper QSLing will have a place for some time to come.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2484. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-25 03:38:33 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2471 Message: 2484

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 03:14 PM 12/24/2005, you wrote:

>Nope. The QSL "is the final courtesy of the QSO."

~~~~~~

Anybody can say anything they want. Whether I buy into it or not is a
different matter.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2485. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-25 05:22:53 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2471 Message: 2485

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

>
> ~~~~~~
>
> Some day I hope to meet you Hans, just to see what a true oddball
> looks like. Hopefully I can do it incognito ....
>
> 73, Bill W6WRT

Had a '63 Incognito once Bill. Great gas mileage, but a pretty average looking car, and I kept losing it in large parking lots because it was hard to recognize in a crowd.

You can meet me on my web site, URL below. Nice fish, huh!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2486. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-25 06:23:07 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2471 Message: 2486

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 09:22 PM 12/24/2005, K0HB wrote:

>You can meet me on my web site, URL below. Nice fish, huh!
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

~~~~~~

Just as I thought, fairly normal looking. The guy holding the fish
though... dunno.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2487. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-25 18:26:19 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 2471 Message: 2487

> Actually I believe this is a myth. I have just made a test with a
> bunch of latest cards from my table, 41 - labels printed, 43 -
> handwritten. 5 of that 43 - RTTY contacts made with computers. 19 of
> 38 remaining - contest contacts, I bet computer logs were used. A
> half of 19 remaining cards have e-mail address on them, though it is
> not a direct evidence. So I think at least 90% of active hams have
> computer logs.

computer yes or no, LOTW has some 17.000 certificates..

so you exclude 99.x percent of all hams from QSLing you
- great idea!

--
Lust, ein paar Euro nebenbei zu verdienen? Ohne Kosten, ohne Risiko!
Satte Provisionen f?r GMX Partner: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/partner

2488. Re[4]: [ARRL-LOTW] "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-26 14:06:28 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2471 Message: 2488

Hello Andreas,

Sunday, December 25, 2005 Andreas Rehberg wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

AR> computer yes or no, LOTW has some 17.000 certificates..

AR> so you exclude 99.x percent of all hams from QSLing you
AR> - great idea!

Why should I be nice with those who doesn't want to be nice with me?

--
73...Art RX9TX 26-Dec-05 14:05 UTC

"Take the first advice of a woman; not the second." [French proverb]

2489. LOTW Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-28 00:21:56 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2489 Message: 2489

I finally made a QSO contact with R1ANN. If you saw my station, you'd ask yourself how I was able to ever complete the QSO. Band has been in the best shape its been all winter so far. Anyway, I looked up his callsign on qrz.com and it says to qsl via RZ3DJ. I don't even know if RZ3DJ is registered with LOTW. I've had other examples of QSO's where I am to QSL via another callsign. How can this be accomplished?

Thanks,
Scott KN3A

2490. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-28 01:14:13 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2489 Message: 2490

On Wed, Dec 28, 2005 at 12:21:56AM -0000, scottpa1960 wrote:
> I finally made a QSO contact with R1ANN. If you saw my station, you'd
> ask yourself how I was able to ever complete the QSO. Band has been in
> the best shape its been all winter so far. Anyway, I looked up his
> callsign on qrz.com and it says to qsl via RZ3DJ. I don't even know if
> RZ3DJ is registered with LOTW. I've had other examples of QSO's where
> I am to QSL via another callsign. How can this be accomplished?

I think you should just submit a QSO record for R1ANN as normal.
RZ3DJ as manager should have a certificate registered for R1ANN so that
they may upload R1ANN's logs.

73, good DX.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2491. Amazing

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-28 01:28:35 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2491 Message: 2491

The unexpected confirmations continue to show up on LOTW. Today a confirmation for AH3C/KH5J (Palmyra & Jarvis) from April 1990 arrived on LOTW. My confirmation rate on LOTW is 11.85%. Keep the faith.

73,
Mike, W5UC

QRP is like a margarita with no Tequila

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.7/214 - Release Date: 12/23/2005

2492. Re: LOTW Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-28 06:02:19 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2489 Message: 2492

Hamish,

Ok, I just did what you said. It makes sense that his manager would be
able to upload his logs to LOTW.

73--Scott
KN3A

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@c...> wrote:
>
> On Wed, Dec 28, 2005 at 12:21:56AM -0000, scottpa1960 wrote:
> > I finally made a QSO contact with R1ANN. If you saw my station, you'd
> > ask yourself how I was able to ever complete the QSO. Band has been in
> > the best shape its been all winter so far. Anyway, I looked up his
> > callsign on qrz.com and it says to qsl via RZ3DJ. I don't even know if
> > RZ3DJ is registered with LOTW. I've had other examples of QSO's where
> > I am to QSL via another callsign. How can this be accomplished?
>
> I think you should just submit a QSO record for R1ANN as normal.
> RZ3DJ as manager should have a certificate registered for R1ANN so that
> they may upload R1ANN's logs.
>
> 73, good DX.
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@d...> <hamish@c...>
>

2493. Re: "If no LoTW confirmation - then NO paper card"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-28 20:14:04 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2471 Message: 2493

Dear Readers.

It is all very well promoting LoTW and discouraging the use of 'paper'
qsl's

BUT

Until LoTW does more than add up your totals for DXCC - forget it.

I am aware that there are promises for WAS to be incorporated, and
'thoughts' about IOTA - but be honest it will only really take off
when more than 50 percent of The Worlds Awards are supported by it.

Happy New Year

Graham M5AAV

2494. received today: P40TA, TZ6LF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-29 22:57:36 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2494 Message: 2494

P40TA via LoTW for a 160 & Challenge credit.
&
TZ6LF via LoTW for a 40 & Challenge Credit.

Let's keep dem cards and letters acoming.
I don't care if they are paper or electrons....

People who deal with bits should expect to get bitten.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2495. N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-29 23:03:35 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2495 Message: 2495

I just spent a week in Maine and operated and was signing my call as N7TY/1. I have just uploaded all my logs to LoTW and signed the log using the information from the portable QTH. Is there going to be an issue confirming QSOs with the stations I work if they submit their QSOs with me as N7TY/1 as opposed to just N7TY, will they still match?

Tnx es 73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

2496. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-29 23:14:14 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2495 Message: 2496

On Dec 29, 2005, at 6:03 PM, Bruce & Gaby wrote:

> I just spent a week in Maine and operated and was signing my call
> as N7TY/1. I have just uploaded all my logs to LoTW and signed the
> log using the information from the portable QTH. Is there going to
> be an issue confirming QSOs with the stations I work if they submit
> their QSOs with me as N7TY/1 as opposed to just N7TY, will they
> still match?

I'm not sure what you mean by "signed the log using the information from the portable QTH."

If you have a certificate for N7TY/1 and you used that certificate to sign the logs for all your Maine contacts, those who upload a log with your call as N7TY will not match.

If you only have a certificate for N7TY and signed all the logs using that certificate, anyone who submits a log with your call as N7TY/1 will not match.

The best bet, to cover all your bases and have the minimum number of disappointed hams that want your QSL: make sure you have LotW certificates for both N7TY and N7TY/1 and upload the Maine logs twice, one time signed with each certificate. Then, regardless of how your contacts choose to log the QSOs, they will get their confirmation.

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

2497. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-29 23:31:55 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2495 Message: 2497

If you open TQSL program, you can add station locations, STATION => ADD LOCATION. That is what I meant by "signed the log using the information from the portable QTH."

I hope I don't have to go through the hassle of applying for another certificate for N7TY/1.

Tnx es 73 Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John E Bastin
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 15:14
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

On Dec 29, 2005, at 6:03 PM, Bruce & Gaby wrote:

> I just spent a week in Maine and operated and was signing my call
> as N7TY/1. I have just uploaded all my logs to LoTW and signed the
> log using the information from the portable QTH. Is there going to
> be an issue confirming QSOs with the stations I work if they submit
> their QSOs with me as N7TY/1 as opposed to just N7TY, will they
> still match?

I'm not sure what you mean by "signed the log using the information from the portable QTH."

If you have a certificate for N7TY/1 and you used that certificate to sign the logs for all your Maine contacts, those who upload a log with your call as N7TY will not match.

If you only have a certificate for N7TY and signed all the logs using that certificate, anyone who submits a log with your call as N7TY/1 will not match.

The best bet, to cover all your bases and have the minimum number of disappointed hams that want your QSL: make sure you have LotW certificates for both N7TY and N7TY/1 and upload the Maine logs twice, one time signed with each certificate. Then, regardless of how your contacts choose to log the QSOs, they will get their confirmation.

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

2498. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 00:53:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2495 Message: 2498

Station Location only refers to the global location...such as it's DXCC location, it's ITU/CQ zone or IOTA.

It has nothing to do with the /1

You must obtain a certificate for the /1
but it's real easy, you don't have to apply and wait for the postcard.

Got to the tQSL Certificate program and under File, choose New Certificate request.
Fill it out, and make sure you list the location correctly for where ever in Maine you were.
(Personally I love Lincolnville to Acadia myself)

upload it via the Upload applet and you will get it back via email.

But with holidays it may be next week.
Then....upload all your qso's with the N7TY/1 callsign.

This is the same system we qsl managers use, once you have your original certificate, you can use that as your bone fids to apply for others.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 5:31 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

> If you open TQSL program, you can add station locations, STATION => ADD
> LOCATION. That is what I meant by "signed the log using the information
> from the portable QTH."
>
> I hope I don't have to go through the hassle of applying for another
> certificate for N7TY/1.
>
> Tnx es 73 Bruce, N7TY
> -------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> -------------------
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of John E Bastin
> Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 15:14
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1
>

>
> On Dec 29, 2005, at 6:03 PM, Bruce & Gaby wrote:
>
>> I just spent a week in Maine and operated and was signing my call
>> as N7TY/1. I have just uploaded all my logs to LoTW and signed the
>> log using the information from the portable QTH. Is there going to
>> be an issue confirming QSOs with the stations I work if they submit
>> their QSOs with me as N7TY/1 as opposed to just N7TY, will they
>> still match?
>
> I'm not sure what you mean by "signed the log using the information
> from the portable QTH."
>
> If you have a certificate for N7TY/1 and you used that certificate to
> sign the logs for all your Maine contacts, those who upload a log
> with your call as N7TY will not match.
>
> If you only have a certificate for N7TY and signed all the logs using
> that certificate, anyone who submits a log with your call as N7TY/1
> will not match.
>
> The best bet, to cover all your bases and have the minimum number of
> disappointed hams that want your QSL: make sure you have LotW
> certificates for both N7TY and N7TY/1 and upload the Maine logs
> twice, one time signed with each certificate. Then, regardless of how
> your contacts choose to log the QSOs, they will get their confirmation.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> 73,
>
> John E Bastin, K8AJS
> jbastin@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/
>
>
>
> -----
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

>
> _____
>
>

2499. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 00:58:59 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2495 Message: 2499

I have done that, am waiting for the certificate. I also inquired via LoTW Help, Wayne Mills already e-mailed me, I am hoping to get it this week. :)

Was in Rockland/Camden area. Love it there!

Thanks, 73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 16:53
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Station Location only refers to the global location...such as it's DXCC location, it's
ITU/CQ zone or IOTA.

It has nothing to do with the /1

You must obtain a certificate for the /1
but it's real easy, you don't have to apply and wait for the postcard.

Got to the tQSL Certificate program and under File, choose New Certificate request.
Fill it out, and make sure you list the location correctly for where ever in Maine you
were.
(Personally I love Lincolnville to Acadia myself)

upload it via the Upload applet and you will get it back via email.

But with holidays it may be next week.
Then....upload all your qso's with the N7TY/1 callsign.

This is the same system we qsl managers use, once you have your original certificate, you
can use that as your bone fids to apply for others.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@...>

To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 5:31 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

> If you open TQSL program, you can add station locations, STATION =>
ADD
> LOCATION. That is what I meant by "signed the log using the
information
> from the portable QTH."
>
> I hope I don't have to go through the hassle of applying for another
> certificate for N7TY/1.
>
> Tnx es 73 Bruce, N7TY
> -------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> -------------------
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of John E Bastin
> Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 15:14
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1
>
>
> On Dec 29, 2005, at 6:03 PM, Bruce & Gaby wrote:
>
>> I just spent a week in Maine and operated and was signing my call
>> as N7TY/1. I have just uploaded all my logs to LoTW and signed the
>> log using the information from the portable QTH. Is there going to
>> be an issue confirming QSOs with the stations I work if they submit
>> their QSOs with me as N7TY/1 as opposed to just N7TY, will they
>> still match?
>
> I'm not sure what you mean by "signed the log using the information
> from the portable QTH."
>
> If you have a certificate for N7TY/1 and you used that certificate to
> sign the logs for all your Maine contacts, those who upload a log
> with your call as N7TY will not match.
>
> If you only have a certificate for N7TY and signed all the logs using
> that certificate, anyone who submits a log with your call as N7TY/1
> will not match.
>
> The best bet, to cover all your bases and have the minimum number of
> disappointed hams that want your QSL: make sure you have LotW
> certificates for both N7TY and N7TY/1 and upload the Maine logs

> twice, one time signed with each certificate. Then, regardless of how
> your contacts choose to log the QSOs, they will get their
confirmation.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> 73,
>
> John E Bastin, K8AJS
> jbastin@...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/
>
>
>
> _____
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
>
> _____
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2500. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 01:19:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2495 Message: 2500

Stop at the Lobster Pound in Belfast, it's the best.
Even Martha Stewarts says it's the best...
but don't hold that against them. lol

Also that resturant (name escapes me) across from the Belfast Inn.
they have great food, make their own beer, and ice creame.

On 12/29/2005 6:58:59 PM, Bruce & Gaby (ockmrzr@...) wrote:
> I have done that, am waiting for the certificate. I also inquired via
> LoTW Help, Wayne Mills already e-mailed me, I am hoping to get it this
> week. :)
>
> Was in Rockland/Camden area. Love it there!
>
> Thanks, 73 de Bruce, N7TY
>
> -------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> -------------------
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2005 16:53
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1
>
> Station Location only refers to the global location...such as
> it's DXCC
> location, it's
> ITU/CQ zone or IOTA.
>
> It has nothing to do with the /1
>
> You must obtain a certificate for the /1
> but it's real easy, you don't have to apply and wait for the postcard.
>
> Got to the tQSL Certificate program and under File, choose New
> Certificate request.
> Fill it out, and make sure you list the location correctly for where
> ever in Maine you
> were.

> (Personally I love Lincolnville to Acadia myself)
>
> upload it via the Upload applet and you will get it back via email.
>
> But

2501. received today; FT5XO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 11:19:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2501 Message: 2501

FT5XO via LoTW
Thanks to the crew for all the qsos and qsls.

HNY to all you wonderful people that qsl.
and ok, HNY to your people who don't...<wink>

Microfiche: Sardines

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2502. N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 16:58:35 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2495 Message: 2502

Just received my N7TY/1 certificate, only applied for it yesterday afternoon. Signed my N7TY/1 logs and already got a couple of confirmations. Even with the holidays, the league is on top of it.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

2503. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 17:35:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2495 Message: 2503

Glad to hear you got it going.

HNY and did I work you??? hihi

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@...>
To: "ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 30, 2005 10:58 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

> Just received my N7TY/1 certificate, only applied for it yesterday
> afternoon. Signed my N7TY/1 logs and already got a couple of
> confirmations. Even with the holidays, the league is on top of it.
>
> 73 de Bruce, N7TY
>
> --------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> --------------------
>
>
>

2504. TQSL on OS X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 17:56:14 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2504 Message: 2504

Has anyone been able to get TQSL to work on a Macintosh running OS X version 10.4.3? I had it working once (after several remove/ reinstall iterations) but I messed something else up later and now I can't get it to work, If I load a Cabrillo or ADIF file, I get through a few screens but when I click on "file to write to", the program dies. Any ideas?

Bob, N7XY

2505. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 17:58:12 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2495 Message: 2505

Nope, all DX, save to US QSOs.

Thanks for all the input.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Friday, December 30, 2005 09:35
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

Glad to hear you got it going.

HNY and did I work you??? hihi

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@...>
To: "ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 30, 2005 10:58 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] N7TY/1

> Just received my N7TY/1 certificate, only applied for it yesterday
> afternoon. Signed my N7TY/1 logs and already got a couple of
> confirmations. Even with the holidays, the league is on top of it.
>
> 73 de Bruce, N7TY
>
> ---
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> ---
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2506. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL on OS X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-30 19:01:48 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2504 Message: 2506

On Dec 30, 2005, at 12:56 PM, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> Has anyone been able to get TQSL to work on a Macintosh running OS X
> version 10.4.3? I had it working once (after several remove/
> reinstall iterations) but I messed something else up later and now I
> can't get it to work, If I load a Cabrillo or ADIF file, I get
> through a few screens but when I click on "file to write to", the
> program dies. Any ideas?

I know it's no help, but just so you know you're not the only one, I haven't been successful getting TQSL to work in Tiger, either.

I keep hoping that Steve Palm, N9YTY, who did the tQSL port for the Mac, would come up with an updated version that would work. It hasn't exactly been a secret that Tiger broke it.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

2507. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL on OS X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-31 03:06:42 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2504 Message: 2507

On Dec 30, 2005, at 12:56 PM, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> Has anyone been able to get TQSL to work on a Macintosh running OS X
> version 10.4.3? I had it working once (after several remove/
> reinstall iterations) but I messed something else up later and now I
> can't get it to work, If I load a Cabrillo or ADIF file, I get
> through a few screens but when I click on "file to write to", the
> program dies. Any ideas?

I found that the output file name is kinda sensitive to the number of
spaces and periods in the file name. Try it with a shorter name.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2508. a cluster software for LoTW users

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-31 13:24:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2508 Message: 2508

Lee, VE7CC, has made his free ARUser program include a function that will put a + sign next to known LoTW qsl stations who are spotted.

details and download at http://www.bcdxc.org/ve7cc/default.htm#prog

---
You need a Lifestyle change if: You come back from the dump with more than you took
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2509. Re: a cluster software for LoTW users

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-31 22:20:18 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2508 Message: 2509

I downloaded this today, and I couldn't find the + beside the callsigns that are with LOTW. I joined the reflector for AR and found your note (below). Was this now fixed and nobody on that reflector updated it? If its fixed, can you tell me what to do? I'm not going to do a sh/dx manually to see if they are LOTW registered or not.

Thanks,

Scott
KN3A

From: "w9ol" <w9ol@...>
Date: Fri Dec 23, 2005 2:02 pm
Subject: LoTW Flag w9ol
Offline Offline
Send Email Send Email
Thanks Lee

I thought I was keeping up to date with ARU and didn't understand the discussion.
I'd use it if it was available.
Especially in contests, if I have a choice between two multipliers, I'm going to work the LoTW user first.

As a beta tester for LoTW...the more qsl's I make that way the easier it is to test.

And I'm still holding out for that multiplier blinking request.
In SS, you see spots come in for a multiplier but too big a pile to bother with at the moment.
Later you want to find that spot in ARU and you go blind trying to scroll back and find it.
So...my idea if possible, be able to right click on that YT multiplier spot and choose 'blink till turned off' type choice.

This world work foz state/provinces and zones also.

Take care and thanks for all the xmas gifts in the way of ARU enhancements you've sent this year.

Stay warm....it's 44F here, a veritable run around in shorts and wash the car type day....

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> Lee, VE7CC, has made his free ARUser program include a function that will put a + sign

> next to known LoTW qsl stations who are spotted.
>
> details and download at http://www.bcdxc.org/ve7cc/default.htm#prog
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> You need a Lifestyle change if: You come back from the dump with
more than you took
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>

2510. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] a cluster software for LoTW users

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2005-12-31 22:51:17 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2508 Message: 2510

In spite of the name, Lee's software will work with DX Spider as well
as AR clusters (Lee actually runs both).

73, Bob N7XY

On Dec 31, 2005, at 5:24 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> Lee, VE7CC, has made his free ARUser program include a function
> that will put a + sign
> next to known LoTW qsl stations who are spotted.
>
> details and download at http://www.bcdxc.org/ve7cc/default.htm#prog
>
>
>
>
> --
> You need a Lifestyle change if: You come back from the dump with
> more than you took
> --
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor --------------------
> ~-->
> Get fast access to your favorite Yahoo! Groups. Make Yahoo! your
> home page
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/dpRU5A/wUILAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2511. W9OL LoTW stats for 2005

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-01 01:51:56 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2511 Message: 2511

http://qsl.net/w9ol/w9ol2005.htm

approximately 30 of those were new band/modes I'd been unable to get a hardcard from.

thanks to all.

OLD IS WHEN..... A sexy babe catches your eye and your pacemaker opens the garage door.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2512. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W9OL LoTW stats for 2005

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-01 14:05:14 UTC
From: Joseph Glockner
Topic: 2511 Message: 2512

Bill,

Clicking-on your URL gives me an error ... "if" I insert
the www. then I am all ok .. don't know if anyone else
has reported to you the bad URL.

GREAT Stats for 2005!!!!

73 Joe wa6axe

FireBrick wrote:
> http://qsl.net/w9ol/w9ol2005.htm
>
>
> approximately 30 of those were new band/modes I'd been unable to get a hardcard
> from.
>
> thanks to all.
>
>
>
> ---
> OLD IS WHEN..... A sexy babe catches your eye and your pacemaker opens the
> garage door.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2
=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw>
> Craft hobby
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ>
> Ham radio
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&
w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw>
>
>
>

> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>" on
> the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
> --
>
>
>
> --
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.9/217 - Release Date: 12/30/2005

2513. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] W9OL LoTW stats for 2005

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-01 14:25:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2511 Message: 2513

duh....never type prior to celebrating New Years.
See what one glass of vino did to my eyes and brain?

That should be http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/w9ol2005.htm

(I'm blaming the wine, but my wife say it's my remaining single 'sundowning' brain cell.)

and to Joe...that report, shows correctly in DXB, but when using the save to htm function, it adds a 'tab'?? after October.

> approximately 30 of those were new band/modes I'd been unable to get a hardcard from.
>
> thanks to all.
>
>
>
> ---
> OLD IS WHEN..... A sexy babe catches your eye and your pacemaker opens the garage door.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2514. Re: a cluster software for LoTW users

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 03:11:39 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 2508 Message: 2514

After you download and install the packet program you have to click on the "update button" to bring it to the latest. Then download the LOTW users text file into the same folder as the program. Under the set tab select "show lotw" and it should work. The text in the online help file shows how to set it up.

Good Luck, Trav, WX0Y

In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...> wrote:
>
> I downloaded this today, and I couldn't find the + beside the
> callsigns that are with LOTW. I joined the reflector for AR and found

>

2515. 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 14:26:13 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2515 Message: 2515

Here it is, 2006, and there's still no WAS award module. Last I heard, it was expected at the first of the year.

Having WAS would be a boon to the LoTW program.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2516. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 15:52:33 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2515 Message: 2516

Unbelievable! The doors at Headquarters haven't even been open
in the new year and already the complaining begins.

Like most organizations, ARRL have been closed for nearly one week
out of the last two. In addition, the Board have still not allocated
any additional programmer time to LotW ... all programming is still
being done by one person whose primary duties include IT support for
all of the business and publishing functions.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
> Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 9:26 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module
>
>
>
> Here it is, 2006, and there's still no WAS award module. Last I
> heard, it was expected at the first of the year.
>
> Having WAS would be a boon to the LoTW program.
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

2517. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 15:59:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2515 Message: 2517

Well said Joe.

and might I add that the 'report' indicated that WAS might be in the first PART of January.
not necessarily the first DAY of January. lol lol

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 9:52 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module

>
> Unbelievable! The doors at Headquarters haven't even been open
> in the new year and already the complaining begins.
>
> Like most organizations, ARRL have been closed for nearly one week
> out of the last two. In addition, the Board have still not allocated
> any additional programmer time to LotW ... all programming is still
> being done by one person whose primary duties include IT support for
> all of the business and publishing functions.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
>> Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 9:26 AM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module
>>
>>
>>
>> Here it is, 2006, and there's still no WAS award module. Last I
>> heard, it was expected at the first of the year.
>>
>> Having WAS would be a boon to the LoTW program.
>>
>> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
>> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
>> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2518. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 16:04:53 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2515 Message: 2518

I echo your comments Joe. I visited HQ on 12/27, had a fun visit, and even operated W1AW. The one thing I noticed, it seemed that most of the staffers wore more than one hat. Did you know there is only "ONE" person sorting cards at the ARRL QSL bureau! I made sure to thank him.

I guarantee the ARRL is working hard on all Amateur's behalf, members and non-members alike.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 07:53
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module

Unbelievable! The doors at Headquarters haven't even been open in the new year and already the complaining begins.

Like most organizations, ARRL have been closed for nearly one week out of the last two. In addition, the Board have still not allocated any additional programmer time to LotW ... all programming is still being done by one person whose primary duties include IT support for all of the business and publishing functions.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
> Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 9:26 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module
>
>
>
> Here it is, 2006, and there's still no WAS award module. Last I
> heard, it was expected at the first of the year.
>

> Having WAS would be a boon to the LoTW program.
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2519. Re: 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 19:56:07 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 2515 Message: 2519

I am also disappointed that WAS is still not implemented. But, then again, the ARRL would have more resources if all the tight-butt, anti-ARRL whiners would join the ARRL instead of constantly complaining about it. Not referring to anyone here that is a member of this group of course, just all the whiners in the newsgroups that constantly complain about the ARRL. People hate the ARRL because they are supporting no-code. People hate them because they support the code. No matter what the ARRL does anymore, half the hams are bitching about it. I've never seen anything like it in my life before. Well, I'm a member and proud of it and like what the ARRL is trying to do to save and promote amateur radio.

So I offer this little prayer of help:

"Dear God, please help the ARRL implement WAS in the LOTW. Also, help those that constantly complain about the ARRL see the error of their ways and help them to join this fine organization - AMEN!"

Happy New Year!

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@s...> wrote:
>
> I echo your comments Joe. I visited HQ on 12/27, had a fun visit, and even
> operated W1AW. The one thing I noticed, it seemed that most of the staffers
> wore more than one hat. Did you know there is only "ONE" person sorting
> cards at the ARRL QSL bureau! I made sure to thank him.
>
> I guarantee the ARRL is working hard on all Amateur's behalf, members and
> non-members alike.
>
> 73 de Bruce, N7TY
> --------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> --------------------
> _____
>
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf

> Of Joe Subich, W4TV
> Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 07:53
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module
>
>
> Unbelievable! The doors at Headquarters haven't even been open
> in the new year and already the complaining begins.
>
> Like most organizations, ARRL have been closed for nearly one week
> out of the last two. In addition, the Board have still not allocated
> any additional programmer time to LotW ... all programming is still
> being done by one person whose primary duties include IT support for
> all of the business and publishing functions.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
> > Sent: Monday, January 02, 2006 9:26 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 2006 - still no WAS award module
> >
> >
> >
> > Here it is, 2006, and there's still no WAS award module. Last I
> > heard, it was expected at the first of the year.
> >
> > Having WAS would be a boon to the LoTW program.
> >
> > Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@a...
> > Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> > -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW

> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>
> _____
>

2520. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 2006 - still no WAS award module

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-02 20:03:56 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2515 Message: 2520

>
> "Dear God, please help the ARRL implement WAS in the LOTW. Also, help
> those that constantly complain about the ARRL see the error of their
> ways and help them to join this fine organization - AMEN!"
>

Verily, Brother! Amen!

de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2521. Uploading (.tq8) files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:10:27 UTC
From: Al Fenwick, WB3EBA
Topic: 2521 Message: 2521

I am just getting started with LOTW, and have uploaded my logbook to the system. All OK so far. I did my logbook in Access so had to write a VB program to convert entries to ADIF. OK here too. My logbook is now on LOTW and I have received a cuppla confirmations. However, In December I uploaded some new entries and got the message from LOTW that the .tq8 file was queued for processing. It has been a week or so now and I do not see the new entries in the log with the rest of them. How long should this process take? It may be that this is Christmas time and things are naturally slow. I have read somewhere that there is only one support person in Newington for LOTW, and maybe he/she is on vacation.

Anyway thanks for any info anone can give me.

Happy New Year All!

Al WB3EBA

2522. WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:17:01 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2456 Message: 2522

Hello All

I am a relatively new member of this group but I know exactly how many states & counties I have confirmed. I download a report & make a LoTW log in my station logger.

I am a member of the ARRL & the RSGB & believe me the RSGB gets the same stick at times. The fact is that you can have no point of view if you are not a member of the organisation. I am sure that they will get round to WAS as soon as they can. I would like to see the day when awards from many different national radio societies can be counted but it will take a long time. What we should do is promote LoTW as much as we can.

Now my query. I see reference to cluster software, which I don't use, flagging stations which are members of LoTW. Where do you find a list of people who are using LoTW?

All the best for 2006

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0601-0, 02/01/2006
Tested on: 03/01/2006 15:17:03
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2523. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading (.tq8) files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:19:06 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2521 Message: 2523

Are you sure the additional uploads were not duplicates? You should
see the number of log entries increase fairly quickly.

73 Dick
W7ZR
On 1/3/06, Al Fenwick, WB3EBA <afenwick@...> wrote:
> I am just getting started with LOTW, and have uploaded my logbook to
> the system. All OK so far. I did my logbook in Access so had to write
> a VB program to convert entries to ADIF. OK here too. My logbook is
> now on LOTW and I have received a cuppla confirmations. However, In
> December I uploaded some new entries and got the message from LOTW that
> the .tq8 file was queued for processing. It has been a week or so now
> and I do not see the new entries in the log with the rest of them. How
> long should this process take? It may be that this is Christmas time
> and things are naturally slow. I have read somewhere that there is
> only one support person in Newington for LOTW, and maybe he/she is on
> vacation.
>
> Anyway thanks for any info anone can give me.
>
> Happy New Year All!
>
> Al WB3EBA
>
>
>
>
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR

www.w7zr.com

2524. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading (.tq8) files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:31:04 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2521 Message: 2524

On Jan 3, 2006, at 7:10 AM, Al Fenwick, WB3EBA wrote:

> I am just getting started with LOTW, and have uploaded my logbook to
> the system. All OK so far. I did my logbook in Access so had to
> write
> a VB program to convert entries to ADIF. OK here too. My logbook is
> now on LOTW and I have received a cuppla confirmations. However, In
> December I uploaded some new entries and got the message from LOTW
> that
> the .tq8 file was queued for processing. It has been a week or so now
> and I do not see the new entries in the log with the rest of them.
> How
> long should this process take? It may be that this is Christmas time
> and things are naturally slow. I have read somewhere that there is
> only one support person in Newington for LOTW, and maybe he/she is on
> vacation.
>

The processing normally happens automatically and you can see the
results within a few seconds. If you do not see the entries after
this long, I suggest that you resubmit them. I have seen new QSLs
during the past week, so it appears that the system didn't break
down--HOWEVER, I have been unable to connect to it since last night,
so there may be a problem currently.

73, Bob N7XY

2525. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:34:03 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2456 Message: 2525

Hello Dave,

Tuesday, January 3, 2006 Dave Lindsay wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

DL> Now my query. I see reference to cluster software, which I don't use,
DL> flagging stations which are members of LoTW. Where do you find a list of
DL> people who are using LoTW?

http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/

--
73...Art RX9TX 03-Jan-06 15:33 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Dare to be naive."

2526. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 15:38:06 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2456 Message: 2526

On Jan 3, 2006, at 7:17 AM, Dave Lindsay wrote:

> Hello All
>
> I am a relatively new member of this group but I know exactly how many
> states & counties I have confirmed. I download a report & make a LoTW
> log in my station logger.
>
> I am a member of the ARRL & the RSGB & believe me the RSGB gets the
> same
> stick at times. The fact is that you can have no point of view if you
> are not a member of the organisation. I am sure that they will get
> round
> to WAS as soon as they can. I would like to see the day when awards
> from
> many different national radio societies can be counted but it will
> take
> a long time. What we should do is promote LoTW as much as we can.
>
> Now my query. I see reference to cluster software, which I don't use,
> flagging stations which are members of LoTW. Where do you find a
> list of
> people who are using LoTW?
>

There is no official (ARRL) list, but the one referred to is probably:

http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/

73, Bob N7XY

2527. Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-03 23:21:03 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 728 Message: 2527

Thanks for the URL.

Very helpful, never knew it existed.

73

Dave, GM4HQF

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0601-1, 03/01/2006
Tested on: 03/01/2006 23:21:04
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2528. Updating certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-04 01:46:04 UTC
From: Phono-Guy
Topic: 2528 Message: 2528

My 2 certificates will expire the 2nd week of
February,
how soon can I send in an update to renew these?
Will LOTW send me and e-mail to remind me?

73,
Clint - WS1V

_____
Yahoo! DSL ? Something to write home about.
Just $16.99/mo. or less.
dsl.yahoo.com

2529. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Updating certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-04 01:50:35 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2528 Message: 2529

They will send you an e-mail reminder. I wait until I receive the e-mail to renew.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Phono-Guy
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2006 17:46
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Updating certificates

My 2 certificates will expire the 2nd week of
February,
how soon can I send in an update to renew these?
Will LOTW send me and e-mail to remind me?

73,
Clint - WS1V

Yahoo! DSL - Something to write home about.
Just $16.99/mo. or less.
dsl.yahoo.com

SPONSORED LINKS

Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw>
and craft supply
Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ> hobby
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw> radio

-----

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2530. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading (.tq8) files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-04 03:41:38 UTC
From: Bruce Lee
Topic: 2521 Message: 2530

Try sending the .tq8 as an email attachment rather than loading from the webpage. If you have a formatting problem, you get an email back with an error message.

Bruce KD6WW

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob Nielsen" <n7xy@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2006 3:31 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploading (.tq8) files

>
> On Jan 3, 2006, at 7:10 AM, Al Fenwick, WB3EBA wrote:
>
>> I am just getting started with LOTW, and have uploaded my logbook to
>> the system. All OK so far. I did my logbook in Access so had to
>> write
>> a VB program to convert entries to ADIF. OK here too. My logbook is
>> now on LOTW and I have received a cuppla confirmations. However, In
>> December I uploaded some new entries and got the message from LOTW
>> that
>> the .tq8 file was queued for processing. It has been a week or so now
>> and I do not see the new entries in the log with the rest of them.
>> How
>> long should this process take? It may be that this is Christmas time
>> and things are naturally slow. I have read somewhere that there is
>> only one support person in Newington for LOTW, and maybe he/she is on
>> vacation.
>>
>
> The processing normally happens automatically and you can see the
> results within a few seconds. If you do not see the entries after
> this long, I suggest that you resubmit them. I have seen new QSLs
> during the past week, so it appears that the system didn't break
> down--HOWEVER, I have been unable to connect to it since last night,
> so there may be a problem currently.
>
> 73, Bob N7XY
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

2531. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Updating certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-04 04:55:14 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2528 Message: 2531

Just be sure you don't wait until after the certificate(s) expire (I learned this the hard way). I didn't get a reminder and had recreated my .p12 files because of the Linux issues with version 1.10 and they had a later date than my certificates, so I didn't think of renewing in time. W7NG at ARRL was able to get me straightened out, but it would have been much easier if I had renewed in time.

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/renew

73, Bob N7XY

On Jan 3, 2006, at 5:46 PM, Phono-Guy wrote:

> My 2 certificates will expire the 2nd week of
> February,
> how soon can I send in an update to renew these?
> Will LOTW send me and e-mail to remind me?
>
> 73,
> Clint - WS1V

2532. Updating .TQ8 files

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-05 02:24:54 UTC
From: Al Fenwick, WB3EBA
Topic: 2532 Message: 2532

OK Guys, Thanks for the info on why my .TQ8 was not loading. As you suggested in one of the messages I had two certificates on disk; the old dead one and the new one I requested to replace it. Removing the old certificate, re signing the ADIF file and re submitting did the trick.

I was pretty sure that the format of my ADIF file was OK. When I finished the Convert to ADIF program I tested it by uploading to both eQSL and LOTW (as a signed .Tq8 file) and the file was accepted by both.

I think I will enjoy LOTW, but I still intend to send QSL cards.

Al WB3EBA

2533. renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-05 17:49:22 UTC
From: wb4mnk
Topic: 2533 Message: 2533

Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it last knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the new certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now when we open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one is the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The red mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which I thing is a good thing.

My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL cert window? If now how do I correct this?

73
Art
WB4MNK

2534. Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-05 22:49:19 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 2533 Message: 2534

Art,

Not a "biggie"!....

Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right
click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the
certificate...

Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration
date...

Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....

Happy New Year...

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
>
> Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it
last
> knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the
new
> certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
when we
> open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
is
> the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
red
> mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
I
> thing is a good thing.
>
> My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
cert
> window? If now how do I correct this?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>

2535. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-05 23:00:15 UTC
From: Arthur Petteway
Topic: 2533 Message: 2535

Hi Lou,
Thanks for the asnwer to my question. The only problem now is I deleted the new certificate instead of the old one. I guess I have a mess now?

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: n2tu
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 5:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Art,

Not a "biggie"!....

Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the certificate...

Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration date...

Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....

Happy New Year...

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
>
> Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it
last
> knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the
new
> certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
when we
> open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
is
> the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
red
> mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
I
> thing is a good thing.
>

> My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
cert
> window? If now how do I correct this?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date: 1/4/2006

2536. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-06 03:02:16 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2533 Message: 2536

No problem, just reload the certificate file you received.

73, Bob N7XY

On Jan 5, 2006, at 3:00 PM, Arthur Petteway wrote:

> Hi Lou,
> Thanks for the asnwer to my question. The only problem now is I
> deleted the new certificate instead of the old one. I guess I have
> a mess now?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: n2tu
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 5:49 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew
>
> Art,
>
> Not a "biggie"!....
>
> Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right
> click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the
> certificate...
>
> Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration
> date...
>
> Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....
>
> Happy New Year...
>
> Lou N2TU
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
> >
> > Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> > problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it
> last
> > knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the
> new
> > certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
> when we

> > open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
> is
> > the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
> red
> > mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
> I
> > thing is a good thing.
> >
> > My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
> cert
> > window? If now how do I correct this?
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date:
> 1/4/2006
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

2537. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-06 03:19:31 UTC
From: Arthur Petteway
Topic: 2533 Message: 2537

Hi Bob, Well I have tried to do that but keeps coming up with dups and errors. I do not understand how to correct the dups and errors.

73
Art
WB4MNK

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 10:02 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

No problem, just reload the certificate file you received.

73, Bob N7XY

On Jan 5, 2006, at 3:00 PM, Arthur Petteway wrote:

> Hi Lou,
> Thanks for the asnwer to my question. The only problem now is I
> deleted the new certificate instead of the old one. I guess I have
> a mess now?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: n2tu
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 5:49 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew
>
> Art,
>
> Not a "biggie"!....
>
> Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right
> click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the
> certificate...
>
> Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration
> date...
>
> Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....
>

> Happy New Year...
>
> Lou N2TU
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
> >
> > Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> > problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it
> last
> > knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the
> new
> > certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
> when we
> > open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
> is
> > the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
> red
> > mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
> I
> > thing is a good thing.
> >
> > My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
> cert
> > window? If now how do I correct this?
> >
> > 73
> > Art
> > WB4MNK
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date:
> 1/4/2006
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date: 1/4/2006

2538. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-06 11:47:59 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2533 Message: 2538

See if you can get it back from your recycle bin.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Arthur Petteway
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 6:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Hi Lou,
Thanks for the asnwer to my question. The only problem now is I deleted the new certificate instead of the old one. I guess I have a mess now?

73
Art
WB4MNK

----- Original Message -----
From: n2tu <mailto:n2tu@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 5:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Art,

Not a "biggie"!....

Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the certificate...

Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration date...

Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....

Happy New Year...

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
>
> Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it last
> knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the

new
> certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
when we
> open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
is
> the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
red
> mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
I
> thing is a good thing.
>
> My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
cert
> window? If now how do I correct this?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date:
1/4/2006

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2539. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-06 16:24:01 UTC
From: Arthur Petteway
Topic: 2533 Message: 2539

MessageJulio, Tried that but I guess I mess up to much before had because all I get is Dups and errors.

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2006 6:47 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

See if you can get it back from your recycle bin.

Julio, W4HY
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Arthur Petteway
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 6:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Hi Lou,
Thanks for the asnwer to my question. The only problem now is I deleted the new certificate instead of the old one. I guess I have a mess now?

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: n2tu
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2006 5:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: renew

Art,

Not a "biggie"!....

Simply put your mouse pointer over one of them....right
click...click on Properties...note the expiration date of the
certificate...

Do the same for the other certificate...and note the expiration
date...

Whichever expires the earliest...right click and delete....

Happy New Year...

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wb4mnk" <wb4mnk@b...> wrote:
>
> Well we are trying to renew our certificate but I think we have a
> problem. We followed the renewing in structions and uploaded it
last
> knight. This morning we received an e-mail which had attached the
new
> certificate so we followed the instructions to install it. Now
when we
> open the TQSL cert we have TWO certificates listed. The first one
is
> the old certificate and the second one is the new certificate. The
red
> mark over the icon for the new certificate is not there now which
I
> thing is a good thing.
>
> My question is should I hve both certificates list in the TQSL
cert
> window? If now how do I correct this?
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.13/221 - Release Date: 1/4/2006

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.14/222 - Release Date: 1/5/2006

2540. LOTW Kudos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-09 04:39:48 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2540 Message: 2540

The ARRL RTTY Roundup ended at 7:00 pm local time, and within 10 minutes I had my log emailed to the ARRL, and the .adi file submitted to LOTW. Four hours later, I have confirmations of almost 12% of those I worked in the contest. I think thats pretty good for only a few hours ago. Kudos to those who have uploaded their files already. Four of those were from other countries (Canada-2, Italy and Puerto Rico).

Scott
KN3A

2541. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Kudos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-09 19:57:16 UTC
From: Arthur Petteway
Topic: 2540 Message: 2541

Scott, How many contacts did you make and what program did you use for the contest and upload to LOTW?

73
Art
WB4MNK
----- Original Message -----
From: scottpa1960
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 08, 2006 11:39 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Kudos

The ARRL RTTY Roundup ended at 7:00 pm local time, and within 10
minutes I had my log emailed to the ARRL, and the .adi file submitted
to LOTW. Four hours later, I have confirmations of almost 12% of those
I worked in the contest. I think thats pretty good for only a few
hours ago. Kudos to those who have uploaded their files already. Four
of those were from other countries (Canada-2, Italy and Puerto Rico).

Scott
KN3A

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.16/225 - Release Date: 1/9/2006

2542. more qsl bonanza; ZF2CJ, AB2RF/6Y5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-09 20:45:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2542 Message: 2542

ZF2CJ via LoTW for 12, 17, 30, & 80 rtty
and
AB2RF/6Y5 via LoTW for 12 rtty and 17, 80 psk
thank you Kan for these new band/mode confirmations
and...the other qso's and qsls.

took a break from wife induced spring cleaning and redecorating so was happy to see these new band/modes now confirmed...

ok, wife says breaks over...back to work.

Life is not a cabaret, it's a circus!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2543. Old Ones

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-09 23:43:57 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2543 Message: 2543

The old ones continue to show up. Latest is FO0CI (Clipperton) from 1992.

Keep the faith.

73,
Mike, W5UC

QRP is like a margarita with no Tequila

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.371 / Virus Database: 267.14.16/224 - Release Date: 1/9/2006

2544. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] more qsl bonanza; ZF2CJ, AB2RF/6Y5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-10 00:06:25 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2542 Message: 2544

Me too! ZF2CJ RTTY 10,15,12, and 17m. AB2RF/6Y5 PSK on 40m. With these confirmations I have achieved RTTY/Digital DXCC!

Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2006 12:45
To: QSL List; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] more qsl bonanza; ZF2CJ, AB2RF/6Y5

ZF2CJ via LoTW for 12, 17, 30, & 80 rtty
and
AB2RF/6Y5 via LoTW for 12 rtty and 17, 80 psk
thank you Kan for these new band/mode confirmations
and...the other qso's and qsls.

took a break from wife induced spring cleaning and redecorating so was happy to see these
new band/modes now confirmed...

ok, wife says breaks over...back to work.

Life is not a cabaret, it's a circus!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_

MzUUBx-hQ> hobby Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ> radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2545. Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-10 21:47:47 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2545 Message: 2545

Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X after holding the KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the file for the WY3X but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to do anything more? I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the years 1993 to 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my new qsl's confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.

Thanks in advance,

Scott-WY3X

2546. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-10 22:49:28 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2545 Message: 2546

You should be able to go to the certificate and either right or left click on it and it should tell you for what period it was issued.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottpa1960
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:48 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Quick Question

Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X after holding the KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the file for the WY3X but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to do anything more? I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the years 1993 to 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my new qsl's confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.

Thanks in advance,

Scott-WY3X

Yahoo! Groups Links

2547. Re: Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-10 23:01:58 UTC
From: Phono-Guy
Topic: 2545 Message: 2547

> Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 21:47:47 -0000
> From: "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...>
> Subject: Quick Question
>
> Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X
> after holding the
> KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the
> file for the WY3X
> but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to
> do anything more?
> I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the
> years 1993 to
> 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my
> new qsl's
> confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Scott-WY3X
>
>

Date range per callsign is required for each
certificate.
Current callsign has a future expiring date.

73 - Clint - WS1V

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2548. Re: Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-11 00:21:31 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2545 Message: 2548

Thanks for the information. I clicked on it and discovered it was set
to stop when my KN3A was issued in 2003. Now I submitted the request
for WY3X to start on 1/10/06, which is the issuance date. Now I'm
wondering if I won't ever receive QSL confirmations from the years
1992 until 2003 that I was previously licensed as WY3X.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...> wrote:
>
> You should be able to go to the certificate and either right or left
> click on it and it should tell you for what period it was issued.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:48 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Quick Question
>
>
> Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X after holding the
> KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the file for the WY3X
> but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to do anything more?
> I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the years 1993 to
> 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my new qsl's
> confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Scott-WY3X
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

2549. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-11 01:35:39 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2545 Message: 2549

I don't think that will be a problem. If I were to upload some log entries one of with the call kn3a and it matched a QSO that you had uploaded for the same call you and I would get a match. Once the information is uploaded it doesn't go away because you changed calls. However you would only get a match for the period in which you owned the call. No problem.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottpa1960
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 7:22 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Quick Question

Thanks for the information. I clicked on it and discovered it was set to stop when my KN3A was issued in 2003. Now I submitted the request for WY3X to start on 1/10/06, which is the issuance date. Now I'm wondering if I won't ever receive QSL confirmations from the years 1992 until 2003 that I was previously licensed as WY3X.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...> wrote:
>
> You should be able to go to the certificate and either right or left
> click on it and it should tell you for what period it was issued.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:48 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Quick Question
>
>
> Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X after holding the
> KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the file for the WY3X
> but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to do anything more?

> I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the years 1993 to
> 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my new qsl's

> confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Scott-WY3X
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2550. Re: Quick Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-11 15:49:57 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2545 Message: 2550

Another LOTW kudo. Last night I requested the new certificate for
WY3X and its awaiting me in email this morning. Thats really quick,
it only took about 8 hours turnaround time.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
wrote:
>
> I don't think that will be a problem. If I were to upload some log
> entries one of with the call kn3a and it matched a QSO that you had
> uploaded for the same call you and I would get a match. Once the
> information is uploaded it doesn't go away because you changed
calls.
> However you would only get a match for the period in which you
owned the
> call. No problem.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 7:22 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Quick Question
>
>
> Thanks for the information. I clicked on it and discovered it was
set to
> stop when my KN3A was issued in 2003. Now I submitted the request
for
> WY3X to start on 1/10/06, which is the issuance date. Now I'm
wondering
> if I won't ever receive QSL confirmations from the years 1992
until 2003
> that I was previously licensed as WY3X.
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...>
wrote:

> >
> > You should be able to go to the certificate and either right or
left
> > click on it and it should tell you for what period it was issued.
> >
> > Julio, W4HY
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> > Behalf Of scottpa1960
> > Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:48 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Quick Question
> >
> >
> > Back in October I requested my old callsign of WY3X after
holding the
> > KN3A call for the past 2 years. I already have the file for the
WY3X
> > but since its now reissued as of today, do I need to do anything
more?
>
> > I can't remember if I said the WY3X was only for the years 1993
to
> > 2003 or something. I just want to make sure I get my new qsl's
> > confirmed on LOTW under the new(old) call.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Scott-WY3X
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

2551. Planned LoTW outage this weekend.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-12 01:27:08 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2551 Message: 2551

Could it possibly be the installation of the long-awaited, much-
anticipated WAS award module???

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2552. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Planned LoTW outage this weekend.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-12 04:35:21 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 2551 Message: 2552

Only if they're planning to load it via DIP switches, one byte at a time.

A hardware upgrade seems more likely...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2006 20:27 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Planned LoTW outage this weekend.

Could it possibly be the installation of the long-awaited, much-anticipated WAS award module???

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

2553. LOTW Info

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-14 13:18:51 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 2553 Message: 2553

From the ARRL News:

Logbook of the World to be down: The ARRL Logbook of the World (LoTW) <http://www.arrl.org/lotw> system will be off line for maintenance this weekend. LoTW will shut down at 1300 UTC Saturday, January 14, and will return to service at 1300 UTC on Monday, January 16. We regret any inconvenience to LoTW users.

2554. New WAS in LoTW!!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 12:07:20 UTC
From: Francisco Hennessey Jr.HK3SGP
Topic: 2554 Message: 2554

The new WAS in LoTW is Excellent!!!!!
I Waiting for IOTA and Others.....

73
Siso, HK3SGP

HK3SGP
Francisco "Siso" Hennessey Jr.
Please look for your qsl on The LogBook of the World. !!!
Skype: hk3sgp
Fax: +57-1-3375930 PHONE: +57-1-3419850
Cel: +57-311 812 2681
C O L O M B I A.
South América.

2555. LoTW new WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 15:16:23 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2555 Message: 2555

I'm very happy to see WAS is now a part of LoTW.

I have a question though. I have had two calls in my Ham Radio life. My current Call K8KWT and my former Call WD8KWT. Both have been operated from the same general location. Three seperate QTH's all in the Grand Rapids, MI area. (all easily within about a 5 mile circle). I would like to include both calls in a single LoTW WAS account. I can't figure out how to do that. I can and have created seperate accounts for each call, but it would be nice to tie the calls together.

Has anyone figured out how to do this ?

Thanks
Bob K8KWT

2556. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW new WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 16:49:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2555 Message: 2556

First off, you must have a certificate for that previous call.
And have uploaded qso's for that time period on that certificate.

IF so
Under the awards module, you must create an account.
It would be K8KWT (I'm assuming).
Then go to the RULE and create a rule that accepts qso's for K8KWT and WD8KWT.

That will link both callsigns to the same WAS account.
After that, you will see the states that are eligible to be credited.
some will be for one call and some for the other.

good luck.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 9:16 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW new WAS

> I'm very happy to see WAS is now a part of LoTW.
>
> I have a question though. I have had two calls in my Ham Radio life.
> My current Call K8KWT and my former Call WD8KWT. Both have been
> operated from the same general location. Three seperate QTH's all in
> the Grand Rapids, MI area. (all easily within about a 5 mile circle).
> I would like to include both calls in a single LoTW WAS account. I
> can't figure out how to do that. I can and have created seperate
> accounts for each call, but it would be nice to tie the calls together.
>
> Has anyone figured out how to do this ?
>
> Thanks
> Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2557. after 40 years

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 16:53:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2557 Message: 2557

I've got my account, I've linked all my callsigns to that account.
I have enough WAS LoTW qsls for the award and (whatever individual endorsements there are).

So after 40 years of hamdom...I'm finally going to apply for WAS .....next month. hihi

....the tagline below was randomly entered when I started this email, but seem amazingly appropriate.

There is a very fine line between "hobby" and "mental illness."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2558. That must not have lasted long

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 16:59:46 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 2558 Message: 2558

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

- From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend *was* the
implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
quirks yet to be ironed out.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.7 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFDzSKBRgRgmxNburQRAq/pAJwOlx3L8lvBnsxb4dU/E4vdoEUegACfYcfz
RYGooV1mERO/l5duw3FIZsc=
=ixNI
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2559. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 18:56:37 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2558 Message: 2559

On Jan 17, 2006, at 8:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.
>

I ran into that also, but it's back up now. I needed to do a bit of configuration, but ended up with 42 states already confirmed (without any sweepstakes or field day log submissions). Hopefully IOTA and other awards will be added soon.

73, Bob N7XY

2560. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 19:32:18 UTC
From: Ben Coleman NJ8J
Topic: 2558 Message: 2560

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bob Nielsen wrote:
> I ran into that also, but it's back up now. I needed to do a bit of
> configuration, but ended up with 42 states already confirmed (without
> any sweepstakes or field day log submissions).

Yes, I've got 49 states Mixed showing. I'm hoping that when they get
the application part of WAS going next month they'll also have the
ability to link to already-earned WAS, like DXCC does, as I've already
got the Mixed, CW and Phone WAS.

Ben
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.7 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Thunderbird - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFDzUZBRgRgmxNburQRAmIdAJ9UrI7pmZrYtUXsgm6nJcblrGZO4wCfaazI
I5v9E9WV/WPsP3AcPARiTJE=
=2xTu
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2561. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW new WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 19:51:45 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2555 Message: 2561

Bob,

It doesn't care about the call ... just create a rule that
selects all QSOs in the one county and you will have them.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Daniels
> Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 10:16 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW new WAS
>
>
> I'm very happy to see WAS is now a part of LoTW.
>
> I have a question though. I have had two calls in my Ham Radio life.
> My current Call K8KWT and my former Call WD8KWT. Both have been
> operated from the same general location. Three seperate QTH's all in
> the Grand Rapids, MI area. (all easily within about a 5 mile
> circle).
> I would like to include both calls in a single LoTW WAS account. I
> can't figure out how to do that. I can and have created seperate
> accounts for each call, but it would be nice to tie the calls
> together.
>
> Has anyone figured out how to do this ?
>
> Thanks
> Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

2562. Activation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-17 20:03:55 UTC
From: Lorenzo Mendini
Topic: 2562 Message: 2562

About 3 weeks ago i have send to ARRL my Amateur Radio operating authorization in addition to a copy of one other government-issued document indicating my identity, how much time is necessary to activate "my account" and i can upload my QSO Many thanks bye bye

Iz2FOS Lorenzo Mendini

2563. Correcting Grid Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 00:59:44 UTC
From: VA7CAB
Topic: 2563 Message: 2563

Hi everyone,

When I first started LOTW in 2004 I had incorrectly signed my uploads with the wrong Grid Locator (CN89KD). If I upload some adi files with the correct Grid information (CN89JG) will all be OK? This is just to set the record straight for WAS (which is totally cool by the way!)

On a related note, does anyone know if there is an overlay for Google Earth that shows grid squares?

Thanks for any help!

73 Chris

2564. Existing WAS Account - How?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 11:32:31 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 2564 Message: 2564

I do have an existing WAS "account" as I have a "mixed" WAS award. If I set up a new account,how can I get it to link to the "old" WAS account? With DXCC I was able to do this, but not sure with WAS as it only specifies a "new" account creation. I would like to go for WAS now with "all Phone" or "all CW" or by band, etc.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

2565. DXCC ACCOUNT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 09:58:20 UTC
From: Lorenzo Mendini
Topic: 2565 Message: 2565

Good morning, i'm Iz2FOS, yesterday i have upload my logbook (.tq8) and this morning i like to see my "position" in the DXCC AWARD, i have make LOGIN, i have click on AWARD but in the "combobox" i have a lot of my account valid for dxcc, each account contain only one QSO Confirmed. i thinks that isn't normal.... how to fix this problem and i like have only one account that in are all my "summary" of my position. Many thanks bye bye

Lorenzo Mendini Iz2FOS
http://www.polopositivo.org (Home Page)

Questo messaggio e-mail può contenere informazioni riservate ESCLUSIVAMENTE AL DESTINATARIO. Il RICEVENTE, se diverso dal DESTINATARIO, è avvertito che qualunque utilizzazione, divulgazione o copia di questa comunicazione comporta violazione delle disposizioni di Legge sulla tutela dei dati personali, ed è pertanto rigorosamente vietata. Grazie per la collaborazione.

2566. /125 question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 16:00:31 UTC
From: Phono-Guy
Topic: 2566 Message: 2566

I've noticed that stations that signed <callsign>/125
during the Maxim birthday celebration are not
uploading their logs with the /125 suffix. I had
uploaded all these callsigns with this suffix.
Can I now go back and reupload these callsigns without
the /125 suffix?

73
Clint - WS1V

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2567. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Existing WAS Account - How?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 16:25:19 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 2564 Message: 2567

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Beischel wrote:
> I do have an existing WAS "account" as I have a "mixed" WAS award. If
> I set up a new account,how can I get it to link to the "old" WAS
> account? With DXCC I was able to do this, but not sure with WAS as it
> only specifies a "new" account creation. I would like to go for WAS
> now with "all Phone" or "all CW" or by band, etc.

This doesn't appear to be implemented yet. I'm in the same position, already
having Mixed, Phone, and CW, and am working on single-band and 5BWAS. I'm
hoping linking to already-earned WAS will be implemented along with the
application functionality scheduled for next month.

Ben

- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFDzmvvRgRgmxNburQRAsy6AJ9O98SQN/5zhRGuxZKZ7Hi9955RCQCbBdLB
HcArurK0AE+LnkiWJazeDUU=
=G4S8
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2568. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] /125 question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 16:27:48 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2566 Message: 2568

Yes you can.
Maybe someone can clue me in on this..
I freqently see callsigns on the cluster that do NOT reflect the callsign being signed by the operator.
For Instance: I hear a station signing J3/W9OL, that is the way I post it on the cluster, but some people will post it the other way, W9OL/J3.

I know about the International convention but my question is....If the station is signing a certain way, why do some people correct/change it?
Do some clusters NOT accept it accept with the Country/callsign or callsign/country.

Reason I ask is if the station is signing one way, it's most likely that will be the way he submits the qsos to LoTW which will not match if you submit the qso with the opposite convention.
I wonder how many qsos are not matched or have to be resubmitted?

On 1/18/2006 10:00:31 AM, Phono-Guy (taephono@...) wrote:
> I've noticed that stations that signed <callsign>/125
> during the Maxim birthday celebration are not
> uploading their logs with the /125 suffix. I had
> uploaded all these callsigns with this suffix.
> Can I now go back and reupload these callsigns without
> the /125 suffix?
>
> 73
> Clint - WS1V
>
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg]
> Craft hobby [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ]
> Hobbies and crafts [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA]
> Ham radio [link:

> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ]
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>

2569. Re: /125 question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 19:10:11 UTC
From: taephono
Topic: 2566 Message: 2569

Yes ... brings to mind a recent operation the station
was signing callsign/6y5 but alot of places had him listed
6y5/callsign

I entered him in my log exactly how he sent his call, and got a
confirmation in both LOTW & Eqsl.

Clint
WS1V

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> Yes you can.
> Maybe someone can clue me in on this..
> I freqently see callsigns on the cluster that do NOT reflect the
callsign being signed by
> the operator.
> For Instance: I hear a station signing J3/W9OL, that is the way I
post it on the cluster,
> but some people will post it the other way, W9OL/J3.
>
> I know about the International convention but my question is....If
the station is signing
> a certain way, why do some people correct/change it?
> Do some clusters NOT accept it accept with the Country/callsign or
callsign/country.
>
> Reason I ask is if the station is signing one way, it's most likely
that will be the way
> he submits the qsos to LoTW which will not match if you submit the
qso with the opposite
> convention.
> I wonder how many qsos are not matched or have to be resubmitted?
>
>
>
> On 1/18/2006 10:00:31 AM, Phono-Guy (taephono@y...) wrote:
> > I've noticed that stations that signed <callsign>/125
> > during the Maxim birthday celebration are not
> > uploading their logs with the /125 suffix. I had
> > uploaded all these callsigns with this suffix.
> > Can I now go back and reupload these callsigns without
> > the /125 suffix?
> >
> > 73
> > Clint - WS1V

> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do You Yahoo!?
> > Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> > http://mail.yahoo.com
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS
> > Hobby and craft supply [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby
&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-
ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg]
> > Craft hobby [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies
+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ]
> > Hobbies and crafts [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=
Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA]
> > Ham radio [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+a
nd+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ]
> >
> >
> > ---

> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> >
>

2570. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] /125 question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-18 23:56:18 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2566 Message: 2570

At 11:00 AM 1/18/2006, Phono-Guy wrote:
>I've noticed that stations that signed <callsign>/125
>during the Maxim birthday celebration are not
>uploading their logs with the /125 suffix. I had
>uploaded all these callsigns with this suffix.
>Can I now go back and reupload these callsigns without
>the /125 suffix?

Yes. You'll have duplicates as LoTW provides no way for you to delete a
QSO, but that doesn't keep the newly uploaded QSOs from matching.
-Rick

2571. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 03:57:53 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2558 Message: 2571

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and
Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2572. LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 13:01:12 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2558 Message: 2572

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes a lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a much better tool!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

2573. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 13:32:32 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2558 Message: 2573

I was recently in Newington; don't get the idea the League has a "bunch" of folks working on LoTW. There is one guy, I met him, and his assistance who is also the W1AW station manager. Most of the staffers wear more than one hat.

In any case, the new WAS feature is great! Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes a lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a much better tool!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the

> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and
Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+cra
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz
5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w
2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_
MzUUBx-hQ> hobby Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+s
upply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L
1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAF
s73WsoQ> radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2574. LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 13:42:11 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2574 Message: 2574

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ, N7IV
- no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.

--
73...Art RX9TX 19-Jan-06 13:38 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Since when was genius found respectable?" [Elizabeth Barrett Browning (1806-1861), Aurora Leigh, (Bk vi)]

2575. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 13:50:32 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2558 Message: 2575

There has to be more than a single person responsible for LOTW...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quemadmodum gladius neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. --Seneca
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Bruce & Gaby
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

I was recently in Newington; don't get the idea the League has a "bunch"
of folks working on LoTW. There is one guy, I met him, and his assistance
who is also the W1AW station manager. Most of the staffers wear more than
one hat.

In any case, the new WAS feature is great! Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

--
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes
a
lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a
much
better tool!

Thanks,
Dave

NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and
Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

2576. A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 13:56:37 UTC
From: pcooper
Topic: 2576 Message: 2576

Hi all,

I have just been looking at my WAS status on LoTW.

Obviously, you need to search the database of received QSL's so that you can select the needed States.

BUT.... the problem is that you CANNOT sort by State, only callsign.

For the LoTW team... Can you add an extra search box for WAS so that it is then possible to "sort" the matching QSL's by State?

Otherwise, many thanks for adding this!

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

2577. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 14:02:08 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2558 Message: 2577

Wouldn't have believed it myself. Also, the Outgoing QSL service (paper cards) has only one guy sorting and mailing cards. As I said most staffers wear several hats.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:51
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

There has to be more than a single person responsible for LOTW...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net <http://www.nk7z.net/>

Quemadmodum gladius neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. --Seneca

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bruce & Gaby
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

I was recently in Newington; don't get the idea the League has a "bunch" of folks working on LoTW. There is one guy, I met him, and his assistance who is also the W1AW station manager. Most of the staffers wear more than one hat.

In any case, the new WAS feature is great! Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau

Coronado, CA

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes a lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a much better tool!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz

5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ> hobby Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ> radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2578. Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 14:09:26 UTC
From: pcooper
Topic: 2574 Message: 2578

Hi Art,

I have NW0L and W0GJ confirmed as ND on LoTW.
I think Marty NW0L went there for (maybe) ARRL RRU a year or so ago.

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

2579. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 14:48:39 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2558 Message: 2579

All of the LotW software development is handled by Jon Bloom,
KE3Z (ke3z@arrl.org). That is the reason that new functions
are so slow ... Jon is responsible for all IT functions at
both ARRL Headquarters (Member services, DXCC, WAS, etc.) and
QST (the publishing house). Member services and publishing
are higher priority than LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:51 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

There has to be more than a single person responsible for LOTW...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net <http://www.nk7z.net/>

Quemadmodum gladius neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. --Seneca

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf
Of Bruce & Gaby
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

I was recently in Newington; don't get the idea the League has a "bunch" of
folks working on LoTW. There is one guy, I met him, and his assistance who
is also the W1AW station manager. Most of the staffers wear more than one
hat.

In any case, the new WAS feature is great! Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes a lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a much better tool!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...t
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_

MzUUBx-hQ> hobby Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ> radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2580. Up Loading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 19:11:20 UTC
From: Nga Tapuwae
Topic: 2558 Message: 2580

I am about to up load my latest log to LOTW. (I have only done this once before.) I am not sure if I can up load the complete log including the previous stuff or if I should only up load the additional stuff since the previous up load.

Any help with this would be appreciated.

Bryan, ZL1NI

2581. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Up Loading

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 19:35:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2558 Message: 2581

----- Original Message -----
From: "Nga Tapuwae" <nga.tapuwae@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 1:11 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Up Loading

>I am about to up load my latest log to LOTW. (I have only done this once
> before.) I am not sure if I can up load the complete log including the
> previous stuff or if I should only up load the additional stuff since the
> previous up load.
>
> Any help with this would be appreciated.
>
> Bryan, ZL1NI
There is no problem with uploading your WHOLE log, it will just take longer.

But...uploading your whole log will result in it overwriting the old qsls for yourself and your contacts.
The people you previously worked and have received a LoTW qsl will now received a new one.
Again it's not harmful, but could be extra online time for those with dialups or pay-by-minute type connections.

Of course a correction should always be uploaded.

One funny glitch/bug in LoTW is that the 'number' of qsls shown in the log on screen will now show you as twice as many qso and qsls if you upload the entire log.

I only upload new qso's since my last upload. Just quicker.

just my personal preference.
>
>

2582. Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 19:51:26 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2582 Message: 2582

You know it just occurred to me that the reason I keep getting the same QSL hit over and over could be because some Hams are up loading their entire log each time they do an upload. Am I thinking correctly here?

Julio, W4HY

2583. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 20:44:29 UTC
From: m5aex (Andrew)
Topic: 2558 Message: 2583

MessageWell I would like to echo my thanks from 'over the pond' for LotW which i find to be a great system and has enabled me to achieve Phone and RTTY DXCC. And thanks too for the WAS development. Much appreciated.

Andrew M5AEX
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Joe Subich
Sent: 19 January 2006 14:49
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

All of the LotW software development is handled by Jon Bloom, KE3Z (ke3z@...). That is the reason that new functions are so slow ... Jon is responsible for all IT functions at both ARRL Headquarters (Member services, DXCC, WAS, etc.) and QST (the publishing house). Member services and publishing are higher priority than LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:51 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

There has to be more than a single person responsible for LOTW...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quemadmodum gladius neminem occidit, occidentis telum est. --Seneca
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bruce & Gaby
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

I was recently in Newington; don't get the idea the League has a "bunch" of folks working on LoTW. There is one guy, I met him, and his

assistance who is also the W1AW station manager. Most of the staffers wear more than one hat.

In any case, the new WAS feature is great! Thanks LoTW.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 05:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Thanks

You know... I have not seen this yet...

If any of the LOTW folks are monitoring this group THANK YOU!!! It takes a
lot of work to make the changes you all have done! It has made LOTW a much
better tool!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Quando omni flunkus moritati --Unknown

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 19:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] That must not have lasted long

On Jan 17, 2006, at 11:59 AM, Ben Coleman NJ8J wrote:

> - From the messages posted earlier, I gather that this weekend
> *was* the
> implementation of the WAS award on LOTW. But all I'm getting at the
> moment is the SYSTEM IS OFFLINE screen. I guess there must be a few
> quirks yet to be ironed out.

It's been up and down a couple of times since Tuesday.

When I could get on, I determined I have enough QSLs for Mixed and Phone was, and just lack two states for CW and 20m WAS.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

Yahoo! Groups Links

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

--
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

2584. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 20:36:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2582 Message: 2584

You got it.
I assume their logging programs do not have the capability to select only 'not uploaded as yet' qso's.
I think most of the more state of the art Logging Software have that capability.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 1:51 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

> You know it just occurred to me that the reason I keep getting the same
> QSL hit over and over could be because some Hams are up loading their
> entire log each time they do an upload. Am I thinking correctly here?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2585. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 21:02:18 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2582 Message: 2585

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> You got it.
> I assume their logging programs do not have the capability to select
only 'not uploaded as
> yet' qso's.
> I think most of the more state of the art Logging Software have that
capability.
>

As does TQSL - It asks for a date range to be signed. And as all
contacts have to be signed using it, why isn't that function used ?
[especially as you have to go past it]

Graham

2586. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 21:22:48 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2582 Message: 2586

Even if your software doesn't keep track of QSO's already sent to LoTW. All the User has to do is click on the "Most Recent QSOs" button in "Your QSOs" to see the last QSO's uploaded by date. Unless your submitting logs out of order you can just pick up where you left off without resubmitting QSO's.

Right???

Bob K8KWT

2587. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 21:17:32 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2582 Message: 2587

Yes, using the date range in the 'signing' process would eliminate re-uploading the same qso's.
I suppose you would have to keep a record though and as forgetful as I am...I'd never find the safe place that I put the note with the last group that I uploaded.

So I rely on my logging program to mark as 'submitted to lotw' for me and then subsequent selections only include qso's since the last upload.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Graham" <graham@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 3:02 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>>
>> You got it.
>> I assume their logging programs do not have the capability to select
> only 'not uploaded as
>> yet' qso's.
>> I think most of the more state of the art Logging Software have that
> capability.
>>
>
> As does TQSL - It asks for a date range to be signed. And as all
> contacts have to be signed using it, why isn't that function used ?
> [especially as you have to go past it]
>
> Graham
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ~---~
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
> ---
>
>

2588. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 21:35:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2582 Message: 2588

yes that would work...of course by the time I closed that window, opened my logging program and went to the selection process.....I'd have forgotten that last qso date/time. :-(((

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob Daniels" <k8kwt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 3:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

> Even if your software doesn't keep track of QSO's already sent to
> LoTW. All the User has to do is click on the "Most Recent QSOs" button
> in "Your QSOs" to see the last QSO's uploaded by date. Unless your
> submitting logs out of order you can just pick up where you left off
> without resubmitting QSO's.
>
> Right???
>
> Bob K8KWT

2589. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 21:10:29 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2582 Message: 2589

Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows incorrect
because many are dups?

There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 3:36 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

You got it.
I assume their logging programs do not have the capability to select
only 'not uploaded as
yet' qso's.
I think most of the more state of the art Logging Software have that
capability.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 1:51 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

> You know it just occurred to me that the reason I keep getting the
> same QSL hit over and over could be because some Hams are up loading
> their entire log each time they do an upload. Am I thinking correctly
> here?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
>
>
> --
> ----------
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
> --
> ----------
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2590. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 22:42:56 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2582 Message: 2590

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@t...> wrote:
>
> Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows incorrect
> because many are dups?
>
> There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.
>
> Julio, W4HY

I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO count is what
you upload.
So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total will show 5,000.

Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.

graham

2591. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-19 22:50:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2582 Message: 2591

Yes, I'm pretty sure that resubmission of qsls results in your totals being numerically out of kilter.
No big thing except like in my case where I have numerous stations I manage and put their logs onto LoTW...:-) that really inflates the numbers.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 3:10 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

> Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows incorrect
> because many are dups?
>
> There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 3:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits
>
>
> You got it.
> I assume their logging programs do not have the capability to select
> only 'not uploaded as
> yet' qso's.
> I think most of the more state of the art Logging Software have that
> capability.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 1:51 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits
>
>
>
>> You know it just occurred to me that the reason I keep getting the
>> same QSL hit over and over could be because some Hams are up loading
>> their entire log each time they do an upload. Am I thinking correctly

>> here?
>>
>> Julio, W4HY
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> ----------
>> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service.
>>
>>
>> --
>> ----------
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

>
> ---
>
>

2592. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 00:00:58 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2582 Message: 2592

Not so ... if you upload the same batch twice, they will overwrite
the previous batch and your "number of QSOs" will not change.
However, if I am operating mobile and upload a batch of QSOs as
W4TV/4 and later upload them again without the /4 (in case someone
did not log the /4), then the "number of QSOs" will double.

Similarly, after uploading logs for one of the stations he manages
that results in a "QSL" for me Bill forgets that he uploaded the batch
and does it again, the date on my QSL will change (it will be back on
the top of my "new QSLs list) but the number of QSLs will not change.

The simple rule of thumb is: if the QSO is identical (same call, same
date, same time, same mode, and same band) it will REPLACE the previous
QSO. If the QSO is different in any of the key parameters, it will be
added to the list.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Graham
> Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 5:43 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta"
> <jperalta@t...> wrote:
> >
> > Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows incorrect
> > because many are dups?
> >
> > There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.
> >
> > Julio, W4HY
>
> I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO count is what
> you upload.
> So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
> total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total will show 5,000.
>
> Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.
>
> graham

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2593. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 02:24:55 UTC
From: VA7CAB
Topic: 2582 Message: 2593

Hi Joe,

Does this mean I could correct a grid error by uploading the contact
again?

73 Chris

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
>
>
> Not so ... if you upload the same batch twice, they will overwrite
> the previous batch and your "number of QSOs" will not change.
> However, if I am operating mobile and upload a batch of QSOs as
> W4TV/4 and later upload them again without the /4 (in case someone
> did not log the /4), then the "number of QSOs" will double.
>
> Similarly, after uploading logs for one of the stations he manages
> that results in a "QSL" for me Bill forgets that he uploaded the batch
> and does it again, the date on my QSL will change (it will be back on
> the top of my "new QSLs list) but the number of QSLs will not change.
>
> The simple rule of thumb is: if the QSO is identical (same call, same
> date, same time, same mode, and same band) it will REPLACE the previous
> QSO. If the QSO is different in any of the key parameters, it will be
> added to the list.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Graham
> > Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 5:43 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta"
> > <jperalta@t...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows incorrect
> > > because many are dups?

> > >
> > > There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.
> > >
> > > Julio, W4HY
> >
> > I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO count is what
> > you upload.
> > So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
> > total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total will show 5,000.
> >
> > Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.
> >
> > graham
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2594. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 02:15:14 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2576 Message: 2594

On Jan 19, 2006, at 8:56 AM, pcooper wrote:

> I have just been looking at my WAS status on LoTW.
>
> Obviously, you need to search the database of received QSL's so
> that you can select the needed States.

No, the software will select them automatically. You don't need to
actually select them.

> BUT.... the problem is that you CANNOT sort by State, only callsign.
>
> For the LoTW team... Can you add an extra search box for WAS so
> that it is then possible to "sort" the matching QSL's by State?

That would be good.

We could add sorting by DXCC entity while we're at it.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2595. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 02:22:01 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2582 Message: 2595

On Jan 19, 2006, at 2:51 PM, Julio Peralta wrote:

> You know it just occurred to me that the reason I keep getting the
> same
> QSL hit over and over could be because some Hams are up loading their
> entire log each time they do an upload. Am I thinking correctly here?

Exactamundo!

The odd thing is, you can use TQSL to specify only a certain date
range, even if you start with an ADIF for a whole bunch of QSOs, you
don't need to upload any but items qualified by a date range.

I think some guys are just lazy and continue to upload everything.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2596. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 05:15:27 UTC
From: VA7CAB
Topic: 2582 Message: 2596

I'll answer my own question! I tried and it works. It corrects the
grid square issue and doesn't increase your QSO count.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "VA7CAB" <xenon@y...> wrote:
>
> Hi Joe,
>
>
> Does this mean I could correct a grid error by uploading the contact
> again?
>
> 73 Chris
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Not so ... if you upload the same batch twice, they will overwrite
> > the previous batch and your "number of QSOs" will not change.
> > However, if I am operating mobile and upload a batch of QSOs as
> > W4TV/4 and later upload them again without the /4 (in case someone
> > did not log the /4), then the "number of QSOs" will double.
> >
> > Similarly, after uploading logs for one of the stations he manages
> > that results in a "QSL" for me Bill forgets that he uploaded the batch
> > and does it again, the date on my QSL will change (it will be back on
> > the top of my "new QSLs list) but the number of QSLs will not change.
> >
> > The simple rule of thumb is: if the QSO is identical (same call, same
> > date, same time, same mode, and same band) it will REPLACE the
previous
> > QSO. If the QSO is different in any of the key parameters, it will be
> > added to the list.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Graham
> > > Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 5:43 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta"
> > > <jperalta@t...> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page shows
incorrect
> > > > because many are dups?
> > > >
> > > > There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a download.
> > > >
> > > > Julio, W4HY
> > >
> > > I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO count is
what
> > > you upload.
> > > So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
> > > total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total will show
5,000.
> > >
> > > Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.
> > >
> > > graham
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2597. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 05:23:48 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2582 Message: 2597

Yes, you should be able re re-sign the "bad" QSOs with a corrected
"Station Location" in tQSL, upload them again and they will replace
the "bad" records.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of VA7CAB
> Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 9:25 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
>
>
> Hi Joe,
>
>
> Does this mean I could correct a grid error by uploading the contact
> again?
>
> 73 Chris
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Not so ... if you upload the same batch twice, they will overwrite
> > the previous batch and your "number of QSOs" will not change.
> > However, if I am operating mobile and upload a batch of QSOs as
> > W4TV/4 and later upload them again without the /4 (in case someone
> > did not log the /4), then the "number of QSOs" will double.
> >
> > Similarly, after uploading logs for one of the stations he manages
> > that results in a "QSL" for me Bill forgets that he
> uploaded the batch
> > and does it again, the date on my QSL will change (it will
> be back on
> > the top of my "new QSLs list) but the number of QSLs will
> not change.
> >
> > The simple rule of thumb is: if the QSO is identical (same
> call, same

> > date, same time, same mode, and same band) it will REPLACE
> the previous
> > QSO. If the QSO is different in any of the key parameters,
> it will be
> > added to the list.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Graham
> > > Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 5:43 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta"
> > > <jperalta@t...> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page
> shows incorrect
> > > > because many are dups?
> > > >
> > > > There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a
> download.
> > > >
> > > > Julio, W4HY
> > >
> > > I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO
> count is what
> > > you upload.
> > > So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
> > > total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total
> will show 5,000.
> > >
> > > Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.
> > >
> > > graham
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2598. Re: Multiple QSL hits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 07:01:59 UTC
From: VA7CAB
Topic: 2582 Message: 2598

Thanks Joe,

You also have to make double sure the exact start time is correct
(along with band and mode data. I had to upload a contact twice
because an import from Logger32 into DX Keeper had the start time off
by 3 minutes!

Only 3 out of sync on LOTW ... not too bad!

73 Chris

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
>
>
> Yes, you should be able re re-sign the "bad" QSOs with a corrected
> "Station Location" in tQSL, upload them again and they will replace
> the "bad" records.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of VA7CAB
> > Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 9:25 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
> >
> >
> > Hi Joe,
> >
> >
> > Does this mean I could correct a grid error by uploading the contact
> > again?
> >
> > 73 Chris
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
> > >
> > >

> > > Not so ... if you upload the same batch twice, they will overwrite
> > > the previous batch and your "number of QSOs" will not change.
> > > However, if I am operating mobile and upload a batch of QSOs as
> > > W4TV/4 and later upload them again without the /4 (in case someone
> > > did not log the /4), then the "number of QSOs" will double.
> > >
> > > Similarly, after uploading logs for one of the stations he manages
> > > that results in a "QSL" for me Bill forgets that he
> > uploaded the batch
> > > and does it again, the date on my QSL will change (it will
> > be back on
> > > the top of my "new QSLs list) but the number of QSLs will
> > not change.
> > >
> > > The simple rule of thumb is: if the QSO is identical (same
> > call, same
> > > date, same time, same mode, and same band) it will REPLACE
> > the previous
> > > QSO. If the QSO is different in any of the key parameters,
> > it will be
> > > added to the list.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > ... Joe, W4TV
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Graham
> > > > Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2006 5:43 PM
> > > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Multiple QSL hits
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta"
> > > > <jperalta@t...> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Does that then make to number of QSO's the LOTW page
> > shows incorrect
> > > > > because many are dups?
> > > > >
> > > > > There are 2 or 3 calls that keep showing up when I do a
> > download.
> > > > >
> > > > > Julio, W4HY
> > > >
> > > > I 'think' and am prepared to be corrected, that the QSO

> > count is what
> > > > you upload.
> > > > So, if you start at zero, and upload 2,500 q's from a contest, the
> > > > total shows 2,500 if you upload that lot again, total
> > will show 5,000.
> > > >
> > > > Am not going to hypothesize on the QSL stats.
> > > >
> > > > graham
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2599. Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 07:47:43 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2574 Message: 2599

Hi Art,

yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on LoTW

73 John ZL1BYZ
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@q...> wrote:
>
> Hello ARRL-LOTW,
>
> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ, N7IV
> - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX 19-Jan-06 13:38 UTC
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "Since when was genius found respectable?" [Elizabeth Barrett Browning (1806-1861), Aurora Leigh, (Bk vi)]
>

2600. Hello from a new member

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 08:27:11 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2600 Message: 2600

Hi all,

I am new to this LoTW stuff and thought watching what people had to say on here might be a good idea.

Not that at present I am having any troubles. When I first started to read about LoTW and how it operated it seemed daunting but like most things if you work your way through it's not that bad.

Anyway I'm hooked and I'll try and get a few more ZL's to support it. I'm going to think something up for my profile on QRZ touting my support for it.

In that regard you probably seen the other post about ND for WAS. I hope to be up on the ARRL International DX CW contest next month. Maybe some of the guys in ND who support LoTW will come up, that would be great.

One comment I would have to make at this time is often I cannot connect to the LoTW site and often it is slow. Is this common? I wonder how many hits it is getting in a day from users? Certinly when I was uploading my log there were some others uploading significant numbers too.

Good DX every one.

73 John ZL1BYZ

2601. Re: New WAS in LoTW!!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 15:41:32 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2554 Message: 2601

Don't hold your breath for IOTA. The RSGB is no more willing to share its award with anyone else and they have always insisted on cards being submitted. They haven't even become as flexible as the ARRL's field checkers for DXCC. I think you'll see the CQ awards long before IOTA. But that's just one mans opinion. I think WAC will also beat the others.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Francisco Hennessey Jr.HK3SGP" <hk3sgp@g...> wrote:
>
> The new WAS in LoTW is Excellent!!!!!
> I Waiting for IOTA and Others.......
>
> 73
> Siso, HK3SGP
>
> HK3SGP
> Francisco "Siso" Hennessey Jr.
> Please look for your qsl on The LogBook of the World. !!!
> Skype: hk3sgp
> Fax: +57-1-3375930 PHONE: +57-1-3419850
> Cel: +57-311 812 2681
> C O L O M B I A.
> South América.
>

2602. Re: Existing WAS Account - How?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 15:53:06 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2564 Message: 2602

I would not count on this happening soon. At some point in past history, HQ didn't have computerized records for WAS or DXCC awards. I would bet that this is even more true for WAS. For older DXCC QSOs, I had to resubmit cards to prove the band/ mode credits. They knew I had the country verified, but had no record of the band/mode and callsign. I don't know this for sure. If you retained copies of the award submission, you might be better off. Of course the more recent submissions do have records there. Also remember that all QSOs need to be from within 50 miles of the same QTH. I moved after 5BWAS so I'm starting over again. Lotw will make it much easier. I only need VT and DE on two bands to have two band WAS on RTTY.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Ben Coleman <nj8j@a...> wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Beischel wrote:
> > I do have an existing WAS "account" as I have a "mixed" WAS award. If
> > I set up a new account,how can I get it to link to the "old" WAS
> > account? With DXCC I was able to do this, but not sure with WAS as it
> > only specifies a "new" account creation. I would like to go for WAS
> > now with "all Phone" or "all CW" or by band, etc.
>
> This doesn't appear to be implemented yet. I'm in the same position, already
> having Mixed, Phone, and CW, and am working on single-band and 5BWAS. I'm
> hoping linking to already-earned WAS will be implemented along with the
> application functionality scheduled for next month.
>
> Ben
>
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@a...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

> Version: GnuPG v1.4.1 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFDzmvvRgRgmxNburQRAsy6AJ9O98SQN/5zhRGuxZKZ7Hi9955RCQCbBdLB
> HcArurK0AE+LnkiWJazeDUU=
> =G4S8
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>

2603. N3FJP ACL Logging program to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-20 19:35:11 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 2603 Message: 2603

Anyone using Scott's ACL logging program. I cannot upload my log to LOTW. I know my password and user name is correct because I am able to upload through the ARRL web site. I suspect the path is entered wrong. What path to TQSL do yiu use?
Jim
K2CBM

2604. WAS & WAC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 00:57:28 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2604 Message: 2604

I am using LOTW to try for WAS QRP. I still need 8 states, the usual suspects, but I will get them eventually.

I am very pleased with LOTW but if they introduced WAC my joy would be complete. It will happen someday for sure.

Thanks to everyone involved with LOTW for doing a great job & as the chap in ZL said we have to keep spreading the news around.

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0603-4, 20/01/2006
Tested on: 21/01/2006 00:57:29
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2605. Re: N3FJP ACL Logging program to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 02:11:44 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2603 Message: 2605

I have several recommendations for this. First of all, you should join the ACLog yahoo reflector, as the people are very helpful, and if you post a problem with ACLog, usually within minutes there is an answer, and many times its from Scott or his wife personally.

Link: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/N3FJP_Software_Users/

You can visit his website at www.n3fjp.com and look on the left side for ACLog, within that page near the bottom is the help file for ACLog in PDF and MSWord format.

Here's the PDF link: http://n3fjp.ky1v.com/ACLogGuide10.pdf

I'm not sure why this was posted on the LOTW site but I sure hope this helps you arrive at the answer.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "J. Hamann" <k2cbm@c...> wrote:
>
> Anyone using Scott's ACL logging program. I cannot upload my log to
> LOTW. I know my password and user name is correct because I am able to
> upload through the ARRL web site. I suspect the path is entered wrong.
> What path to TQSL do yiu use?
> Jim
> K2CBM
>

2606. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS & WAC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 02:16:41 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2604 Message: 2606

After WAS ... Jon (KE3Z) indicates there is more coming ... WAC should
be very easy. The logic is nearly identical, the number of "items"
are not as great, and the restrictions aren't as tight. I would guess
the WAS module could be modified for WAC rather easily.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
> Sent: Friday, January 20, 2006 7:57 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS & WAC
>
>
> I am using LOTW to try for WAS QRP. I still need 8 states, the usual
> suspects, but I will get them eventually.
>
> I am very pleased with LOTW but if they introduced WAC my joy
> would be complete. It will happen someday for sure.
>
> Thanks to everyone involved with LOTW for doing a great job & as the
> chap in ZL said we have to keep spreading the news around.
>
> Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
>

2607. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 11:36:40 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2574 Message: 2607

Hello John,

This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
confirmed and ND missing.

Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)

J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on
J> LoTW
J> 73 John ZL1BYZ

>> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
>> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
>> N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.

--
73...Art RX9TX 21-Jan-06 11:32 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Life is a mystery to be lived, not a problem to be solved." (Van Kaam)

2608. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 11:53:51 UTC
From: Bob Fuss
Topic: 2574 Message: 2608

Suggest you send KD4POJ an email and make a sked. Dwayne is active on
all bands including 160. He is moving from ND to Greenland soon.

73 Bob W4OWY

Art RX9TX wrote:

> Hello John,
>
>This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
>confirmed and ND missing.
>
>Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)
>
>
>
>J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
>J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on
>J> LoTW
>J> 73 John ZL1BYZ
>
>
>
>>> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
>>> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
>>> N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

--
"The world is a dangerous place to live, not because of the people who are evil, but
because of the people who don't do anything about it"
Albert Einstein

For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.com

2609. Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 14:40:10 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2574 Message: 2609

The only ND QSL that I have is for 12M, so that doesn't count. I have worked KA0ZFX several times on different bands, but he hasn't responded to my QSL requests. I have all the other States confirmed.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Fuss
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 7:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Suggest you send KD4POJ an email and make a sked. Dwayne is active on all bands including 160. He is moving from ND to Greenland soon.

73 Bob W4OWY

Art RX9TX wrote:

Hello John,

This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
confirmed and ND missing.

Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)

J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on
J> LoTW
J> 73 John ZL1BYZ

Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.

--
"The world is a dangerous place to live, not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it"
Albert Einstein

For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.com

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2610. Question on additional certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 17:49:25 UTC
From: Carl
Topic: 2610 Message: 2610

I'm new to LoTW and this forum. Could someone tell me if the ARRL
issues any LoTW certificate's on the weekends ? I thought maybe it
was automated on their computers, but it's been almost 24 hours.

I have my primary and was hoping to complete my log uploading as
a weekend project for my old call. I submitted my request late yesterday
afternoon.

Thanks & 73,
Carl K8AV

2611. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question on additional certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-21 18:22:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2610 Message: 2611

In the past, certificates that I requested for previous calls and stations I manage, were practically automatic.
I do not know if they were computer generated automatic though.

LoTW is in the midst of software updates since last week so that may be a factor.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Carl" <cfwb1@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 11:49 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question on additional certificate

> I'm new to LoTW and this forum. Could someone tell me if the ARRL
> issues any LoTW certificate's on the weekends ? I thought maybe it
> was automated on their computers, but it's been almost 24 hours.
>
> I have my primary and was hoping to complete my log uploading as
> a weekend project for my old call. I submitted my request late yesterday
> afternoon.
>
> Thanks & 73,
> Carl K8AV
>

2612. More about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 13:36:55 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 2612 Message: 2612

Hi all,

I spent a good while this morning sorting through the verified QSL's for WAS and I am now up to 49 confirmed on LoTW. The only State I am missing on LoTW is Montana, although I do have the paper cards.

Both DE and OK are hard too, as I only have ONE confirmation of each State on LoTW!

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.375 / Virus Database: 267.14.21/236 - Release Date: 20/01/2006

2613. LOTW ND Confirmed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 12:34:10 UTC
From: Roger L. Hackler
Topic: 2613 Message: 2613

Just checked - I have K0UD in ND confirmed via LOTW on 15 .

Believe it or not, I'm missing Alabama.!

Make April 15th just another day!
Ask me about the Fair Tax, or visit:
http://www.fairtax.org/

Roger L. Hackler, KN6RO

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2006 3:24 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 446

There are 5 messages in this issue.

Topics in this digest:

1. Re: Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed
From: Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...>
2. Re: Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed
From: Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...>
3. Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed
From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
4. Question on additional certificate
From: "Carl" <cfwb1@...>
5. Re: Question on additional certificate
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>

Message: 1
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:36:40 +0000
From: Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...>
Subject: Re: Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Hello John,

This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
confirmed and ND missing.

Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)

J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on

J> LoTW
J> 73 John ZL1BYZ

>> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
>> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
>> N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.

--
73...Art RX9TX 21-Jan-06 11:32 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Life is a mystery to be lived, not a problem to be solved." (Van Kaam)

Message: 2
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 06:53:51 -0500
From: Bob Fuss <bobfuss@...>
Subject: Re: Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Suggest you send KD4POJ an email and make a sked. Dwayne is active on
all bands including 160. He is moving from ND to Greenland soon.

73 Bob W4OWY

Art RX9TX wrote:

> Hello John,
>
>This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
>confirmed and ND missing.
>
>Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)
>
>
>
>J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
>J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on
>J> LoTW
>J> 73 John ZL1BYZ
>
>
>
>>> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
>>> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
>>> N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

--
"The world is a dangerous place to live, not because of the people who are
evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it"
Albert Einstein

For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.com

[This message contained attachments]

Message: 3
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:40:10 -0400
From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
Subject: Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

The only ND QSL that I have is for 12M, so that doesn't count. I have worked
KA0ZFX several times on different bands, but he hasn't responded to my QSL
requests. I have all the other States confirmed.

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Fuss
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 7:53 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Suggest you send KD4POJ an email and make a sked. Dwayne is active on all
bands including 160. He is moving from ND to Greenland soon.

73 Bob W4OWY

Art RX9TX wrote:

Hello John,

This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same, 49
confirmed and ND missing.

Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)

J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in your
J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going on
J> LoTW
J> 73 John ZL1BYZ

Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW to
complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.

--
"The world is a dangerous place to live, not because of the people who are

evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it"
Albert Einstein

For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:

http://www.bobfuss.com

--
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

[This message contained attachments]

Message: 4
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:49:25 -0500
From: "Carl" <cfwb1@...>
Subject: Question on additional certificate

I'm new to LoTW and this forum. Could someone tell me if the ARRL
issues any LoTW certificate's on the weekends ? I thought maybe it
was automated on their computers, but it's been almost 24 hours.

I have my primary and was hoping to complete my log uploading as
a weekend project for my old call. I submitted my request late yesterday
afternoon.

Thanks & 73,
Carl K8AV

[This message contained attachments]

Message: 5
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:22:20 -0600
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
Subject: Re: Question on additional certificate

In the past, certificates that I requested for previous calls and stations I manage, were
practically automatic.
I do not know if they were computer generated automatic though.

LoTW is in the midst of software updates since last week so that may be a factor.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Carl" <cfwb1@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 11:49 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question on additional certificate

> I'm new to LoTW and this forum. Could someone tell me if the ARRL
> issues any LoTW certificate's on the weekends ? I thought maybe it
> was automated on their computers, but it's been almost 24 hours.
>
> I have my primary and was hoping to complete my log uploading as
> a weekend project for my old call. I submitted my request late yesterday
> afternoon.
>
> Thanks & 73,
> Carl K8AV
>

~~~~~~
~~~~~~

Yahoo! Groups Links

2614. Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 16:39:54 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2614 Message: 2614

What are the "essential" files for my LoTW installation? I mean the files/folders I should back up, so I could recover from a hard disk failure? Also, is there a procedure for re-installing LoTW, certs, etc on a new hard disk?

73, Jerry K3BZ

2615. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 18:01:56 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2614 Message: 2615

Jerry,

The easiest and best way to handle a hard disk recovery is to
create a *.p12 file for each certificate as soon as you load
the new certificate. Store the *.p12 files in three places:

1) in My Documents (simple for quick restores)
2) on a CDR or floppy in your file cabinet (off line back-up)
3) on a CDR or floppy in your safe deposit box (or other off
site storage).

With the *.p12 file(s) you can always do a completely fresh install
of tQSL and reload the *.p12 file. There is no need to keep any
other files/directories.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2006 11:40 AM
> To: (Reflector) ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?
>
>
> What are the "essential" files for my LoTW installation? I mean the
> files/folders I should back up, so I could recover from a
> hard disk failure?
> Also, is there a procedure for re-installing LoTW, certs, etc
> on a new hard
> disk?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

2616. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 18:09:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2614 Message: 2616

>
> Jerry,
>
> The easiest and best way to handle a hard disk recovery is to
> create a *.p12 file for each certificate as soon as you load
> the new certificate. Store the *.p12 files in three places:
>
> 1) in My Documents (simple for quick restores)
> 2) on a CDR or floppy in your file cabinet (off line back-up)
> 3) on a CDR or floppy in your safe deposit box (or other off
> site storage).
>
> With the *.p12 file(s) you can always do a completely fresh install
> of tQSL and reload the *.p12 file. There is no need to keep any
> other files/directories.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV

I might suggest one more safe place.
Buy one of those cheap USB 'Stick Drives'.
Even the small ones will usually also store your entire LogBook.
I did as Joe suggested and just keep the 'Stick Drive' in a desk drawer.
Occasionally a cdrom or floppy written on a misaligned drive can be difficult to restore from.

2617. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 19:04:19 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2614 Message: 2617

I figured there was a simple answer... thanks, Joe.

I would download tQSL again from the ARRL LoTW website, and while installing that I would be prompted to insert my backed-up *.p12 file and recreate my current working situation, is that correct? I suppose I would then do that for each of my callsign certs...??

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2006 1:01 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Jerry,

The easiest and best way to handle a hard disk recovery is to
create a *.p12 file for each certificate as soon as you load
the new certificate. Store the *.p12 files in three places:

1) in My Documents (simple for quick restores)
2) on a CDR or floppy in your file cabinet (off line back-up)
3) on a CDR or floppy in your safe deposit box (or other off
site storage).

With the *.p12 file(s) you can always do a completely fresh install
of tQSL and reload the *.p12 file. There is no need to keep any
other files/directories.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jerry K3BZ
> Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2006 11:40 AM
> To: (Reflector) ARRL-LOTW
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?
>
>
> What are the "essential" files for my LoTW installation? I mean the
> files/folders I should back up, so I could recover from a
> hard disk failure?
> Also, is there a procedure for re-installing LoTW, certs, etc
> on a new hard
> disk?

>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2618. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 21:58:04 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2614 Message: 2618

At 02:04 PM 1/22/2006, Jerry K3BZ wrote:
>I would download tQSL again from the ARRL LoTW website, and while
>installing that I would be prompted to insert my backed-up *.p12 file
>and recreate my current working situation, is that correct? I suppose
>I would then do that for each of my callsign certs...??
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ

You won't get prompted during the install. What you do is to install
TQSL, then when the install is finished you run tQSLCert. You then
import the .p12 files using "File", "Load Certificate File".
-Rick

2619. Re: A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-22 23:23:47 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2576 Message: 2619

That would be a nice tool to have.

I was wondering what criteria does LoTW use to determin what state a contact is good for? Is it from the records that LoTW has on where that station was operating from at that time? I'm fairly sure this will be the answer as that would be the most reliable.

If in deed that is the case then the mentioned addition to the search criteria would be very usefull because unless the other station tells you at the time of the QSO what state and county they are in, it can be difficult to determin later. QRZ lookups are no good as they only tell you the last information entered into them. Which can be completely wrong.

Qdos to te LoTW team for what they have done to date. I'm impressed.

73 John ZL1BYZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@a...> wrote:
>
>
> On Jan 19, 2006, at 8:56 AM, pcooper wrote:
>
> > I have just been looking at my WAS status on LoTW.
> >
> > Obviously, you need to search the database of received QSL's so
> > that you can select the needed States.
>
> No, the software will select them automatically. You don't need
to
> actually select them.
>
> > BUT.... the problem is that you CANNOT sort by State, only
callsign.
> >
> > For the LoTW team... Can you add an extra search box for WAS so
> > that it is then possible to "sort" the matching QSL's by State?
>
> That would be good.
>
> We could add sorting by DXCC entity while we're at it.
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@a...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

2620. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 01:10:22 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2576 Message: 2620

On Jan 22, 2006, at 6:23 PM, John wrote:

> I was wondering what criteria does LoTW use to determin what state a
> contact is good for? Is it from the records that LoTW has on where
> that station was operating from at that time? I'm fairly sure this
> will be the answer as that would be the most reliable.

When a user uploads a log, he has to sign it with a certificate. As part of that process, he selects a station location. This is the location that is recorded with the QSO.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2621. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 00:05:56 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2614 Message: 2621

OK, thanks Rick. 73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2006 4:58 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Essential Files?

At 02:04 PM 1/22/2006, Jerry K3BZ wrote:
>I would download tQSL again from the ARRL LoTW website, and while
>installing that I would be prompted to insert my backed-up *.p12 file
>and recreate my current working situation, is that correct? I suppose
>I would then do that for each of my callsign certs...??
>
>73, Jerry K3BZ

You won't get prompted during the install. What you do is to install
TQSL, then when the install is finished you run tQSLCert. You then
import the .p12 files using "File", "Load Certificate File".
-Rick

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2622. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 01:22:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2576 Message: 2622

While I've only had to do this for the stations I manage.
I 'think' you can do this for each batch of qso's you wish to upload.

In the TQSL 'signing' process, go to station, choose 'edit locations'.
Select the callsign you wish to edit.
Click on the call and select edit.
Click on next.
If you were operating from a state other than normal, enter it there.
Same for the county.

That will sign all the qso's that you are uploading so make sure you have ONLY selected the correct qso's.

And remember to change it back or to a different state if the next batch is different.

2623. Re: A thought about WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 03:25:59 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2576 Message: 2623

Arh yes that makes sence Bill.

So as I suspected being able to seach by county in the LoTW data base would be very accurate.

See I may very well have cards for ND but unless the state was entered into my log record I can't search them out.

Chances are that I don't have any anyway because when I receive a card I usually enter as much extra info as I can from the card into the log.

I'm not too bothered because untill the feature came up on LoTW I wasn't even thinking about WAS. But all this has peaked my interest again.

Especially with mixed, CW and 10m sitting on 49. Going to have to work harder on SSB, sigh.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@a...> wrote:
>
>
> On Jan 22, 2006, at 6:23 PM, John wrote:
>
> > I was wondering what criteria does LoTW use to determin what state a
> > contact is good for? Is it from the records that LoTW has on where
> > that station was operating from at that time? I'm fairly sure this
> > will be the answer as that would be the most reliable.
>
> When a user uploads a log, he has to sign it with a certificate. As
> part of that process, he selects a station location. This is the
> location that is recorded with the QSO.
>
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@a...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

2624. WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 15:46:22 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2456 Message: 2624

Hello All

Got OR confirmed for my 43 state. Still need AR, HI, MS, ND, NV, UT, WY.

When I last got WAS with 100W around 25 years ago the hard ones from here were the Dakotas & MT. Seemed to take a long time to get a card from DE for some strange reason. I probably have most of the missing states confirmed QRP via the buro but I am trying to do it all via LOTW.

You certainly need a bit of patience with 5 watts & no sun spots!

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP 2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0604-0, 23/01/2006
Tested on: 23/01/2006 15:46:23
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2625. Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 16:28:34 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2574 Message: 2625

I don't believe the statement that 12mtrs doesn't count is correct. 12mtr QSOs do count for WAS awards, unless you are trying for a specific band endorsement. I don't believe that a specific endorsement for 12mtr exists (at least my current rules don't show it). I don't see any thing on the ARRL website either. I'll check with the awards desk and see. I do field award checking for the central texas area and have done numerous WAS apps, some with WARC band QSOS. So I think your good to go.

73 Ed KE3D

Quote from ARRL WAS award rules:
Two-way communications must be established on amateur bands with each state. Specialty awards and endorsements must be two-way (2X) on that band and/or mode. There is no minimum signal report required. Any or all bands may be used for general WAS. The District of Columbia may be counted for Maryland

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@p...> wrote:
>
> The only ND QSL that I have is for 12M, so that doesn't count. I have worked KA0ZFX several times on different bands, but he hasn't responded to my QSL requests. I have all the other States confirmed.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Bob Fuss
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 7:53 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW WAS, ND needed
>
>
> Suggest you send KD4POJ an email and make a sked. Dwayne is active on all bands including 160. He is moving from ND to Greenland soon.
>
> 73 Bob W4OWY
>
>
>
>
>
> Art RX9TX wrote:
>
> Hello John,
>

> This got to be a big boat, UA6LV and EU1SA report the same,
49
> confirmed and ND missing.
>
> Looks like ND residents pact not to participate in LoTW :)
>
>
>
> J> yes I am in the same boat. I have 9 contacts for ND 3 are in
your
> J> list. Hopefully this will change as WAS is only getting going
on
> J> LoTW
> J> 73 John ZL1BYZ
>
> Any ND resident here? That is the last state needed on the LoTW
to
> complete my cardless WAS. K0NZ, K0QQ, K0VX, KE0A, K0EVZ, N0OJ,
> N7IV - no one of them partaking in the LoTW, unfortunately.
>
>
>
> --
> "The world is a dangerous place to live, not because of the people
who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about
it"
> Albert Einstein
>
>
>
>
>
> For pictures of our grandchildren please visit:
>
> http://www.bobfuss.com
>
>
> --

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>

> --

>

2626. Re: Question on additional certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 16:35:55 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2610 Message: 2626

Last check the LotW cert process was not automatic. The process
still requires manual intervention so it will take a business day for
anything to happen. They are pretty fast for US calls and some DX
calls. The harder the license datat is to check the longer it
takes! I wish more DX guys would join up. It would be easier on
them and easier on us. I think you'll get it in a day or so.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> In the past, certificates that I requested for previous calls and
stations I manage, were
> practically automatic.
> I do not know if they were computer generated automatic though.
>
> LoTW is in the midst of software updates since last week so that
may be a factor.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Carl" <cfwb1@c...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 11:49 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question on additional certificate
>
>
> > I'm new to LoTW and this forum. Could someone tell me if the ARRL
> > issues any LoTW certificate's on the weekends ? I thought maybe
it
> > was automated on their computers, but it's been almost 24 hours.
> >
> > I have my primary and was hoping to complete my log uploading as
> > a weekend project for my old call. I submitted my request late
yesterday
> > afternoon.
> >
> > Thanks & 73,
> > Carl K8AV
> >
>

2627. Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-23 22:59:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2627 Message: 2627

I realized during a conversation that although I had worked a few Division Directors, Vice Directors and ARRL staff, that I hadn't seen many LoTW qsls from these operators.

So I searched my logs.
Of course these gentleman may operate at stations not using their own calls.
Or these gentlemen may be operating bands or modes that I do not.

Of the Four Vice Directors I've worked half have not submitted to LoTW
Of the Three Directors I've worked. Only one matches a LoTW qsl.
One Director is in my log many times as he's a frequent contester...but not a single LoTW qsl.
Even W1AW, that is in my log quite a few times, (contests), only resulted in 1 LoTW qsl.

So what can be gleaned from this? I have a poor signal and no VIP would waste time working me?
Or my log is really full of busted calls?
Directors are too busy fighting BPL and tweaking the proposed band plans to operate?
Vice Directors have more time to operate and consequently more able to upload to LoTW?
W1AW logs were lost in Katrina???

Or is my sample too small to be meaningful? (Which I hope is the reason)

Only one division has Director/Vice Director in my log and in my LoTW qsl confirmations.

Criminal Lawyer is a redundancy.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2628. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 00:00:48 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2627 Message: 2628

On Jan 23, 2006, at 5:59 PM, FireBrick wrote:

> I realized during a conversation that although I had worked a few
> Division Directors, Vice
> Directors and ARRL staff, that I hadn't seen many LoTW qsls from
> these operators.
>
> Of the Four Vice Directors I've worked half have not submitted to LoTW
> Of the Three Directors I've worked. Only one matches a LoTW qsl.
> One Director is in my log many times as he's a frequent
> contester...but not a single LoTW qsl.
> Even W1AW, that is in my log quite a few times, (contests), only
> resulted in 1 LoTW qsl.

Similarly, you'd think that the IARU HQ stations would submit their logs. I worked a bunch of them during the IARU contest this summer. I think only one or two submitted logs to LoTW.

There were even guys from my own contest club who manned an HQ station in Costa Rica, where I need confirmations. I worked them on three bands. I contacted one guy, who told me to contact another fellow who was handling the QSLs. His response? "Gee, we've never done that before."

FY5KE just posted some needed logs this weekend. I think it's catching on, but it sure is slow.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2629. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 00:08:11 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2627 Message: 2629

Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's, various bands, modes, with
W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in LoTW.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "RTTY List" <rtty@...>
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2006 2:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

>I realized during a conversation that although I had worked a few Division
>Directors, Vice
> Directors and ARRL staff, that I hadn't seen many LoTW qsls from these
> operators.
>
> So I searched my logs.
> Of course these gentleman may operate at stations not using their own
> calls.
> Or these gentlemen may be operating bands or modes that I do not.
>
>
> Of the Four Vice Directors I've worked half have not submitted to LoTW
> Of the Three Directors I've worked. Only one matches a LoTW qsl.
> One Director is in my log many times as he's a frequent contester...but
> not a single LoTW
> qsl.
> Even W1AW, that is in my log quite a few times, (contests), only resulted
> in 1 LoTW qsl.
>
> So what can be gleaned from this? I have a poor signal and no VIP would
> waste time working
> me?
> Or my log is really full of busted calls?
> Directors are too busy fighting BPL and tweaking the proposed band plans
> to operate?
> Vice Directors have more time to operate and consequently more able to
> upload to LoTW?
> W1AW logs were lost in Katrina???
>
> Or is my sample too small to be meaningful? (Which I hope is the reason)
>
> Only one division has Director/Vice Director in my log and in my LoTW qsl
> confirmations.
>
>

> ----------
> Criminal Lawyer is a redundancy.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

2630. Re: [RTTY] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 00:56:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2630 Message: 2630

Subject: Re: [RTTY] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

> At least I have a few K1ZZ cfmd QSOs...
> Barry, W2UP
>
Gee Barry, shame on me, I never thought to look.

I have a bunch of K1ZZ qso's and LoTW qsls also.

Now does that mean he's 'committed'?
or does it mean that being the boss he has the most free time on his hands? :-)))0

No W5JBP qsos or qsls though.

It is easier to get forgiveness than permission.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2631. Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 03:58:29 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2627 Message: 2631

I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04 worked in the Nov. SS.
Thats it though.

Scott - WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@c...> wrote:
>
> Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's, various bands,
modes, with
> W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in LoTW.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "RTTY List" <rtty@c...>
> Sent: Monday, January 23, 2006 2:59 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Now this is a tad funny about LoTW
>
>
> >I realized during a conversation that although I had worked a few
Division
> >Directors, Vice
> > Directors and ARRL staff, that I hadn't seen many LoTW qsls from
these
> > operators.
> >
> > So I searched my logs.
> > Of course these gentleman may operate at stations not using their own
> > calls.
> > Or these gentlemen may be operating bands or modes that I do not.
> >
> >
> > Of the Four Vice Directors I've worked half have not submitted to LoTW
> > Of the Three Directors I've worked. Only one matches a LoTW qsl.
> > One Director is in my log many times as he's a frequent
contester...but
> > not a single LoTW
> > qsl.
> > Even W1AW, that is in my log quite a few times, (contests), only
resulted
> > in 1 LoTW qsl.
> >
> > So what can be gleaned from this? I have a poor signal and no VIP
would

> > waste time working
> > me?
> > Or my log is really full of busted calls?
> > Directors are too busy fighting BPL and tweaking the proposed band
plans
> > to operate?
> > Vice Directors have more time to operate and consequently more
able to
> > upload to LoTW?
> > W1AW logs were lost in Katrina???
> >
> > Or is my sample too small to be meaningful? (Which I hope is the
reason)
> >
> > Only one division has Director/Vice Director in my log and in my
LoTW qsl
> > confirmations.
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Criminal Lawyer is a redundancy.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> > weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2632. Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 10:30:31 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 2627 Message: 2632

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...>
wrote:
>
> I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04 worked in the Nov.
SS.
> Thats it though.
>
> Scott - WY3X
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> >
> > Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's, various bands,
> modes, with
> > W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in LoTW.
> >
> > Rod WC7N

Just done a 'Find Call' and see that K1ZZ was last updated to LoTW
on 01-01-06 whilst that for W1AW was on 01-23-06! However, whereas
my 2 QSOs with K1ZZ for 1997 and 2000 are in, my only QSO with W1AW
(2002) is not.

I wonder if the inputting of the W1AW log to LoTW is left to the
guest operators to do 'their bit' and that is the reason for the
somewhat sporadic credits with this call? If this is the case, then
perhaps it needs an 'update before you leave' rule - but who gets
the job of uploading the logs prior to LoTW going on line? :-)

WAS - 49 worked/confirmed by card and 37 by LoTW - where are all you
guys from KS?!

Ivan - G4BGW

2633. w1aw & guest operator

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 11:52:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2633 Message: 2633

I'm not sure that Guest Ops at W1AW can upload even if they wanted to.
I have to use a password to get to my W9OL account.

I'd bet the person in charge of W1AW would not like to give out the password.

Always willing to share my ignorance...

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2634. WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 12:34:30 UTC
From: pcooper
Topic: 2634 Message: 2634

Hi all,

Just wondering.......

I am now missing just one State confirmed on LoTW, but I do have paper cards for that State.
Will LoTW be able to handle a "mixed" award, ie paper plus LoTW?

And it would appear that some sort of State search would be useful, as I have something like 4000 contacts confirmed with W on LoTW, and searching by pages of 25 is somewhat laborious!

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

2635. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 12:47:23 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2627 Message: 2635

I operated W1AW in October of 2005 and no, the guest operators do not upload to LOTW. More than likely the reason being that they did not have time. While I was operating, there were other operators waiting for their turn so hence there just was not time for each person to upload. There is more than one operating station there (perhaps 3 or 4 I don't remember). Each one is in a seperate glassed in booth and there is only one control operator that has many additional duties.

Randy
AG8A

--- Ivan Wilson <ivanewilson@...> wrote:

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960"
> <scottpa1960@y...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04
> worked in the Nov.
> SS.
> > Thats it though.
> >
> > Scott - WY3X
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N"
> <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's,
> various bands,
> > modes, with
> > > W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in
> LoTW.
> > >
> > > Rod WC7N
> >
> >
> Just done a 'Find Call' and see that K1ZZ was last
> updated to LoTW
> on 01-01-06 whilst that for W1AW was on 01-23-06!
> However, whereas
> my 2 QSOs with K1ZZ for 1997 and 2000 are in, my
> only QSO with W1AW
> (2002) is not.

>
> I wonder if the inputting of the W1AW log to LoTW is
> left to the
> guest operators to do 'their bit' and that is the
> reason for the
> somewhat sporadic credits with this call? If this is
> the case, then
> perhaps it needs an 'update before you leave' rule -
> but who gets
> the job of uploading the logs prior to LoTW going on
> line? :-)
>
> WAS - 49 worked/confirmed by card and 37 by LoTW -
> where are all you
> guys from KS?!
>
> Ivan - G4BGW
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2636. Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 15:00:52 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2627 Message: 2636

I recently calculated some statistics from my logs on LotW. LotW is improving but its very slow. For 6000 Qsos from 1979 to 2001, I got on 3 LotW QSL versus 1000 or more paper cards. From 2001 to 2002, there was 254 Qsos with 7 LotW QSLs. In 2002-2003 period, there were 309 QSOs with 10 LotW QSLs. For 2003, I made 412 QSOs with 35 LotW QSLs. So for the earliest period less than 0.1% hit rate. The next two years hover around 4%. 2003 jumps to 8.5%. The last year with 1375 QSOs had 316 LotW QSLs for a big jump to 23%. So the participation is growing.

It is an interesting point raised that we don't see more LotW activity from League officials. I'll have to mention it in future correspondence and talks with the Gulf Division and other ARRL officials. Its a good point! Promote the system! BTW I just wrote an article encouraging LotW usage for several local club papers.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
>
> I operated W1AW in October of 2005 and no, the guest
> operators do not upload to LOTW. More than likely the
> reason being that they did not have time. While I was
> operating, there were other operators waiting for
> their turn so hence there just was not time for each
> person to upload. There is more than one operating
> station there (perhaps 3 or 4 I don't remember). Each
> one is in a seperate glassed in booth and there is
> only one control operator that has many additional
> duties.
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
>
> --- Ivan Wilson <ivanewilson@o...> wrote:
>
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960"
> > <scottpa1960@y...>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04
> > worked in the Nov.
> > SS.
> > > Thats it though.
> > >

> > > Scott - WY3X
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N"
> > <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's,
> > various bands,
> > > modes, with
> > > > W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in
> > LoTW.
> > > >
> > > > Rod WC7N
> >
> >
> > Just done a 'Find Call' and see that K1ZZ was last
> > updated to LoTW
> > on 01-01-06 whilst that for W1AW was on 01-23-06!
> > However, whereas
> > my 2 QSOs with K1ZZ for 1997 and 2000 are in, my
> > only QSO with W1AW
> > (2002) is not.
> >
> > I wonder if the inputting of the W1AW log to LoTW is
> > left to the
> > guest operators to do 'their bit' and that is the
> > reason for the
> > somewhat sporadic credits with this call? If this is
> > the case, then
> > perhaps it needs an 'update before you leave' rule -
> > but who gets
> > the job of uploading the logs prior to LoTW going on
> > line? :-)
> >
> > WAS - 49 worked/confirmed by card and 37 by LoTW -
> > where are all you
> > guys from KS?!
> >
> > Ivan - G4BGW
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com

>

2637. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 15:33:52 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2627 Message: 2637
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2638. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 15:16:14 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 2627 Message: 2638

Things seem a bit weird. I have uploaded over 12K in Q's and my QSO count shows 9150, with 1816 QSLs.

From all of that, I only have 7 Mixed Q's listed for WAS???

Hmmm,
Pat
WW9R

2639. Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 22:48:18 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2627 Message: 2639

Ed,

I haven't looked into it as well as you but I would say my
experience is fairly similar with my log back to 1983.

I have noticed also that contest QSO's have the best LoTW QSL rate.
I guess this is because the contest guys are already fimiliar with
exporting stuff from there logs and sending it off.

This brings up another point, it is not only the acceptance of LoTW
it is also getting people into computer logging. The guy who runs a
paper log is never going to upload it to LoTW.

I have come across resistance to computer logging because they don't
feel confident. Once you get them going they usually admit they
would never go back.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "edctexas" <ed.cox@l...> wrote:
>
> I recently calculated some statistics from my logs on LotW. LotW
is
> improving but its very slow. For 6000 Qsos from 1979 to 2001, I
got
> on 3 LotW QSL versus 1000 or more paper cards. From 2001 to 2002,
> there was 254 Qsos with 7 LotW QSLs. In 2002-2003 period, there
were
> 309 QSOs with 10 LotW QSLs. For 2003, I made 412 QSOs with 35
LotW
> QSLs. So for the earliest period less than 0.1% hit rate. The
next
> two years hover around 4%. 2003 jumps to 8.5%. The last year
with
> 1375 QSOs had 316 LotW QSLs for a big jump to 23%. So the
> participation is growing.
>
> It is an interesting point raised that we don't see more LotW
> activity from League officials. I'll have to mention it in future
> correspondence and talks with the Gulf Division and other ARRL
> officials. Its a good point! Promote the system! BTW I just
wrote
> an article encouraging LotW usage for several local club papers.
>
> 73 Ed KE3D
>

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rlb <printgreen@y...> wrote:
> >
> > I operated W1AW in October of 2005 and no, the guest
> > operators do not upload to LOTW. More than likely the
> > reason being that they did not have time. While I was
> > operating, there were other operators waiting for
> > their turn so hence there just was not time for each
> > person to upload. There is more than one operating
> > station there (perhaps 3 or 4 I don't remember). Each
> > one is in a seperate glassed in booth and there is
> > only one control operator that has many additional
> > duties.
> >
> >
> > Randy
> > AG8A
> >
> > --- Ivan Wilson <ivanewilson@o...> wrote:
> >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960"
> > > <scottpa1960@y...>
> > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04
> > > worked in the Nov.
> > > SS.
> > > > Thats it though.
> > > >
> > > > Scott - WY3X
> > > >
> > > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N"
> > > <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's,
> > > various bands,
> > > > modes, with
> > > > > W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in
> > > LoTW.
> > > > >
> > > > > Rod WC7N
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Just done a 'Find Call' and see that K1ZZ was last
> > > updated to LoTW
> > > on 01-01-06 whilst that for W1AW was on 01-23-06!
> > > However, whereas
> > > my 2 QSOs with K1ZZ for 1997 and 2000 are in, my
> > > only QSO with W1AW
> > > (2002) is not.

> > >
> > > I wonder if the inputting of the W1AW log to LoTW is
> > > left to the
> > > guest operators to do 'their bit' and that is the
> > > reason for the
> > > somewhat sporadic credits with this call? If this is
> > > the case, then
> > > perhaps it needs an 'update before you leave' rule -
> > > but who gets
> > > the job of uploading the logs prior to LoTW going on
> > > line? :-)
> > >
> > > WAS - 49 worked/confirmed by card and 37 by LoTW -
> > > where are all you
> > > guys from KS?!
> > >
> > > Ivan - G4BGW
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Do You Yahoo!?
> > Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> > http://mail.yahoo.com
> >
>

2640. Simple way to say LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 22:54:03 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2640 Message: 2640

Often when DXing on the bands the other station will request pse QSL via buro (I'm talking CW contact here).

Has any one come across a polite but short way, and kind of standard of saying that's ok but my first prefference is LoTW? I have posted a message to this effect under QSL manager for my listing on QRZ.

It would be great if there was a simple universally understood way of making this point in a QSO without being wordy about it.

Don't get me wrong I love receiving all and especially some of the beutifull QSL cards and always return with my modist card via the same method but if LoTW satisfies the requirement then cut the crap and let it do the bussiness.

By the way, just a thought. Have you checked that your QRZ listing is still accurate lately?

73 John ZL1BYZ.

2641. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Simple way to say LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 23:23:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2640 Message: 2641

I simply have a rtty and cw buffer.
"I qsl 100% via LoTW once a week".

The other party either says, 'no computer, no electronic qsl, or thank you'.

On 1/24/2006 4:54:03 PM, John (zl1byz@...) wrote:
> Often when DXing on the bands the other station will request pse QSL
> via buro
> (I'm talking CW contact here).
>
> Has any one come across a polite but short way, and kind of standard
> of saying that's
> ok but my first prefference is LoTW? I have posted a
> message to this effect under QSL manager for my listing on QRZ.
>
> It would be great if there was a simple universally understood way of
> making this point in a QSO without being wordy about it.
>
> Don't get me wrong I love receiving all and especially some of the
> beutifull QSL cards and always return with my modist card via the same
> method but if LoTW satisfies the requirement then cut the crap and
> let it do the bussiness.
>
> By the way, just a thought. Have you checked that your QRZ listing is
> still accurate lately?
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]" on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.

2642. Re: Simple way to say LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 23:38:00 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2640 Message: 2642

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> I simply have a rtty and cw buffer.
> "I qsl 100% via LoTW once a week".
>
> The other party either says, 'no computer, no electronic qsl, or
thank you'.

Likewise, my Data QSO's have the tag 'QSL Sure via Buro and LoTW'

On SSB I tend to just say the same thing.

Referring to another thread -my own return rate on LoTW is just 6%
I would agree that the 'bigger' contest boys are looking at higher
rates - G6PZ for instance is hovering around 14% with 3 band DXCC so
far. [95,000 Q's submitted]

73 Graham

2643. Were to from hear?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-24 23:50:12 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2643 Message: 2643

Hi agn guys, probably getting sick of me so ill make this my last post for a few hours. :)

I see some discussion about increasing the awards that LoTW will support and this is obviously desirable.

One of the points made is that different organisations who have awards will not wish to loose control of their award program and I can understand this.

What I think needs to happen is kind of like how the money system has developed, it is kind of similar.

Money was invented so that we didn't have to exchange the real goods for things that we wanted.

This QSLing thing is kind of the same. At first we used paper cards as proof that we had a QSO with another station but now we are developing another way.

Just like money there needs to be checks in place to prevent counterfeit and also make sure of it's value/accuracy so that other people/countries will accept it too.

Now I'm no expert but ARRL seems to have developed a pretty good standard for their system. What if that standard was formally defined so that others could set up there own QSO data base and that checks were done to ensure the standard was being adheared to.

Then each organisation could confidently share their data base QSO information with the others and they could all manage their own award programs.

This would have many benifits to all by insuring the continued success of this new QSL system. Every one would be happy with the QSO information because everyone is using the same standard and each organisation can manage the burden of their own award program.

Sorry if this has all been said before. Don't get angry just hit the delete button.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

2644. Re: Now this is a tad funny about LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-25 00:18:53 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2627 Message: 2644

No it would be better like this.

If you want to operate W1AW you will provide the holder of the certificate for W1AW with a suitable electonic log so that he alone can manage the uploading to a central data base or LoTW.

Perhaps they need volentairs to convert paper logs from the past into a suitable format, perhaps this is why there are blanks.

Personally myself I have seen this conversion process so unreliable that it would be better not to do it. Just make sure it doesn't continue.

I do this with any activity I am involved with. If you can't provide a log electronically, then you don't operate if I have to manage it after.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@o...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@y...>
> wrote:
> >
> > I have a confirmed LOTW from W1AW from 11/21/04 worked in the Nov.
> SS.
> > Thats it though.
> >
> > Scott - WY3X
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@c...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Interesting Bill. I looked at the last 8 QSO's, various bands,
> > modes, with
> > > W1AW and find only one, 07/16/05 that shows in LoTW.
> > >
> > > Rod WC7N
>
>
> Just done a 'Find Call' and see that K1ZZ was last updated to LoTW
> on 01-01-06 whilst that for W1AW was on 01-23-06! However, whereas
> my 2 QSOs with K1ZZ for 1997 and 2000 are in, my only QSO with W1AW

> (2002) is not.
>
> I wonder if the inputting of the W1AW log to LoTW is left to the
> guest operators to do 'their bit' and that is the reason for the
> somewhat sporadic credits with this call? If this is the case,
then
> perhaps it needs an 'update before you leave' rule - but who gets
> the job of uploading the logs prior to LoTW going on line? :-)
>
> WAS - 49 worked/confirmed by card and 37 by LoTW - where are all
you
> guys from KS?!
>
> Ivan - G4BGW
>

2645. Re: w1aw & guest operator

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-25 01:31:09 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2633 Message: 2645

Absolutely totally agree Bill.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@b...> wrote:
>
> I'm not sure that Guest Ops at W1AW can upload even if they wanted
to.
> I have to use a password to get to my W9OL account.
>
> I'd bet the person in charge of W1AW would not like to give out
the password.
>
>
> ----------
> Always willing to share my ignorance...
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>

2646. Opt-Out of Yahoo "Web Beacons"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-25 19:13:34 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2646 Message: 2646

Here's something that everyone should consider....

While it doesn't send any SPAM or porn or sell e-mail addresses to lists,
please be aware that the Yahoo privacy policy you signed up to when
you joined Yahoo allows Yahoo to use "Web Beacons" to monitor your web
travels so they can gather demographic information for the advertisers that
support the "FREE" YahooGroup service. They don't single you out... Yahoo
does
this with every Yahoo Group user... UNLESS YOU OPT-OUT. I have done so
myself
and I encourage every YahooGroup member to do the same.

Here's how to do it:

Go to this website: http://privacy.yahoo.com/privacy/us

About half-way down the page, in the section on cookies, you will see a link
that says "web beacons".

Click on the phrase "web Beacons." On the page that opens, scroll down to a
paragraph entitled "Outside the Yahoo Network."

In that section you'll see a little "Click Here" to Opt Out link... click on
that, and you are done! (Be carefull not to click the next button that comes
up... that will UNDO the opt-out, and opt you back in...)

IMPORTANT NOTE:
You only have to do this once no matter how many YahooGroups you belong to,
because it opts your computer out, not you. However, if you have more than
one computer, like a laptop and a desktop, you need to do this on
eachcomputer.

It might be helpful to pass this notice on to others that you think
mightbelong to a YahooGroup.

73, Jerry K3BZ

2647. Alabama LOTW Users

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 12:31:53 UTC
From: Roger L. Hackler
Topic: 2647 Message: 2647

Greetings:

Are there any LoTW users in Alabama that could possible set a 40 meter or 75 meter phone sked with me to confirm AL via LoTW.

73 de KN6RO

Marietta, GA

Make April 15th just another day!
Ask me about the Fair Tax, or visit:
http://www.fairtax.org/

Roger L. Hackler

2648. WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 16:42:24 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 2648 Message: 2648

I see many of you saying that your able to see your WAS report on LoTW. I am not able to see anything here about WAS on my account under my call sign, is there something that needs to be done to enable this?

Thanks,
Vince, K6BIR

2649. LoTW boken?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 16:42:01 UTC
From: Vince
Topic: 2649 Message: 2649

I have submitted some contest downloads this year, and when I sign in to LoTW it looks as the downloads are ok, (the QSO numbers increses by the number of contact submitted), but when I go to check "Your QSL" the number of QSL that are shown changes to a lower number of QSL, as well the number of QSO, It looks to not be updating my information since the first of the year, and when I check "Your Activity", it is not showing the last contest downloads that I have submitted. The last contest download it shown, was from last year. Also, it is showing a date of 1-11-06 when I checked it on 1-25-06. From what I am seeing here, is LoTW is broken since the first of the year, anyone else having this problem? It has worked for the past year 1 1/2 years, If this is going to be a problem that I can not rely on LoTW, then I will consider not entering any more downloads in the future. I plan to send this information to ARRL, and see what kind of answer I get from them, I have been giving it a bit of time to see if it would correct it self,
but it does not look as if it is going to.

Vince, K6BIR

2650. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 17:15:38 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2648 Message: 2650

On Jan 26, 2006, at 11:42 AM, Vince wrote:

> I see many of you saying that your able to see your WAS report on
> LoTW. I am
> not able to see anything here about WAS on my account under my call
> sign, is
> there something that needs to be done to enable this?

You need to set up a WAS award account.

Log into LotW, select the "Awards" tab, and you'll see where you can
set up your WAS award account.

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

2651. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 17:13:30 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2634 Message: 2651

On Jan 24, 2006, at 7:34 AM, pcooper wrote:

> And it would appear that some sort of State search would be useful,
> as I have something like 4000 contacts confirmed with W on LoTW,
> and searching by pages of 25 is somewhat laborious!

What kind of state search are you looking for?

I went to LotW, logged into my account, selected the Awards tab, selected my WAS awards account and there was a list of categories and my credits in each.

From there, you can select an award category (i.e. "Mixed"), select the radio button that says "All Entities" and you will have a list of all 50 states and the callsign you worked for each confirmed state. The unconfirmed states will have the callsign field blank.

A quick, easy way to see what states you need.

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

2652. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 19:06:11 UTC
From: Jerry K3BZ
Topic: 2648 Message: 2652

I went to the ARRL LoTW Awards page and was immediately puzzled by "Account Name". Do they want my callsign? Or something like "WAS on 15M"? Or what? No examples are given.

Likewise, a "QSO Set" and a "Rule"... no examples are given. It's much easier to understand something new like this if examples of "typical" situations are given, so the user may infer what to do from them.

Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were made within the mileage limit... so what account or accounts would I set up to combine them? My goal is WAS on each band on SSB and each band on CW... do I set up a separate account for each band? For each Band/Mode?

Maybe I'm just dense (my XYL says YES!!) but the instructions on the website beg more questions than they answer. Has anyone come up with a step-by-step procedure yet?

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: John E Bastin
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 12:15 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

On Jan 26, 2006, at 11:42 AM, Vince wrote:

> I see many of you saying that your able to see your WAS report on
> LoTW. I am
> not able to see anything here about WAS on my account under my call
> sign, is
> there something that needs to be done to enable this?

You need to set up a WAS award account.

Log into LotW, select the "Awards" tab, and you'll see where you can
set up your WAS award account.

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...
http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2653. Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 22:03:09 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2634 Message: 2653

Yes John, that is great but what I think people want to know is what stations they have worked in a state that don't qualify for a QSL in LoTW. Then you can encourage that person to upload the QSO data to LoTW or get a paper QSL.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, John E Bastin <jbastin@s...> wrote:
>
>
> On Jan 24, 2006, at 7:34 AM, pcooper wrote:
>
> > And it would appear that some sort of State search would be useful,
> > as I have something like 4000 contacts confirmed with W on LoTW,
> > and searching by pages of 25 is somewhat laborious!
>
> What kind of state search are you looking for?
>
> I went to LotW, logged into my account, selected the Awards tab,
> selected my WAS awards account and there was a list of categories and
> my credits in each.
>
> From there, you can select an award category (i.e. "Mixed"), select
> the radio button that says "All Entities" and you will have a list of
> all 50 states and the callsign you worked for each confirmed state.
> The unconfirmed states will have the callsign field blank.
>
> A quick, easy way to see what states you need.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> 73,
>
> John E Bastin, K8AJS
> jbastin@s...
> http://www.qsl.net/k8ajs/
>

2654. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 22:33:09 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2634 Message: 2654

John,

Logbook of the World has no way to know what state a station you worked was in until that station has uploaded his logs! The state information is contained in the "Station Location" data that tQSL adds to each QSO record when you "Sign" the log prior to uploading the data.

Until a station uploads logs, LotW knows no more than you do about the QSO.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:03 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS query
>
>
> Yes John, that is great but what I think people want to know is what
> stations they have worked in a state that don't qualify for a QSL in
> LoTW. Then you can encourage that person to upload the QSO data to
> LoTW or get a paper QSL.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>

2655. Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 22:37:58 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2634 Message: 2655

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@n...> wrote:
>
> Yes John, that is great but what I think people want to know is what
> stations they have worked in a state that don't qualify for a QSL in
> LoTW. Then you can encourage that person to upload the QSO data to
> LoTW or get a paper QSL.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.

Sorry, but am I reading the above correctly ?

I know, from my log [w-eqf] exactly who I have worked in which State
and whether it shows in LoTW or I have a 'proper' QSL Card,or have no
confirmation.

Once I have a State confirmed, either way, I'm not then bothered
whether the other 287 stations I have worked in DE upload their logs
or not and it is surely not *my* job to chase them.

[Sheesh its hard enough trying to cut through the racket with QRP
RTTY for 6O0N :-) [*]]

73

Graham M5AAV [[*] me, a masochist ? never - grin]

2656. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 23:06:49 UTC
From: Dave - G4MUL
Topic: 2648 Message: 2656

Jerry'
Sorry to bother you, but you mentioned something today that I have been wanting to know.

>Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were made within the mileage limit... so what account or ?>accounts would I set up to combine them?

WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?

Dave
g4mul.hfdx@...

2657. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-26 23:35:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2648 Message: 2657

Dave,

> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?

The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.
The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
same DXCC country.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

2658. Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 00:19:44 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2634 Message: 2658

Joe, good point, you are correct. Ha that blows that into the weeds
then doesn't it.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich" <w4tv@s...> wrote:
>
>
> John,
>
> Logbook of the World has no way to know what state a station you
worked
> was in until that station has uploaded his logs! The state
information
> is contained in the "Station Location" data that tQSL adds to each
QSO
> record when you "Sign" the log prior to uploading the data.
>
> Until a station uploads logs, LotW knows no more than you do about
the
> QSO.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John
> > Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:03 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS query
> >
> >
> > Yes John, that is great but what I think people want to know is
what
> > stations they have worked in a state that don't qualify for a
QSL in
> > LoTW. Then you can encourage that person to upload the QSO data
to
> > LoTW or get a paper QSL.
> >
> > 73 John ZL1BYZ.

> >
>

2659. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 00:23:36 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2648 Message: 2659

Hi Joe:

You are correct for DXCC.

However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is a MAX
limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.

Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.

Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards to zero
and start over again !!!

Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF bands.

73
Bob, K1VU
FN42ma

At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:

>Dave,
>
>> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
>
>The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.
>The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
>same DXCC country.
>
>73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2660. Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 00:36:25 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2634 Message: 2660

Ummm how does "w-eqf" know which state they were in? Unless they
told you during the QSO there is no way to know for sure. I guess
the FCC data base would be resonably accurate, but they may not have
been at their home QTH. Of course LoTW will not know either unless
it is told.

Not a biggy for me I just keep enjoying making QSO's, sooner or
later one will fill a gap.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Graham" <graham@m...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@n...> wrote:
> >
> > Yes John, that is great but what I think people want to know is
what
> > stations they have worked in a state that don't qualify for a
QSL in
> > LoTW. Then you can encourage that person to upload the QSO data
to
> > LoTW or get a paper QSL.
> >
> > 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
> Sorry, but am I reading the above correctly ?
>
> I know, from my log [w-eqf] exactly who I have worked in which
State
> and whether it shows in LoTW or I have a 'proper' QSL Card,or have
no
> confirmation.
>
> Once I have a State confirmed, either way, I'm not then bothered
> whether the other 287 stations I have worked in DE upload their
logs
> or not and it is surely not *my* job to chase them.
>
> [Sheesh its hard enough trying to cut through the racket with QRP
> RTTY for 6O0N :-) [*]]
>
> 73
>
> Graham M5AAV [[*] me, a masochist ? never - grin]
>

2661. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 02:33:25 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2634 Message: 2661

On Jan 26, 2006, at 5:33 PM, Joe Subich wrote:

> Logbook of the World has no way to know what state a station you
> worked
> was in until that station has uploaded his logs! The state
> information
> is contained in the "Station Location" data that tQSL adds to each QSO
> record when you "Sign" the log prior to uploading the data.

And some people haven't bothered to include state information when
they sign their logs. I've noticed several confirmations I have for
the USA that don't have a state value. N6HC is one I remember.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2662. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 02:38:51 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2648 Message: 2662

On Jan 26, 2006, at 3:06 PM, Dave - G4MUL wrote:

> Jerry'
> Sorry to bother you, but you mentioned something today that I have
> been wanting to know.
>
> >Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were
> made within the mileage limit... so what account or ?>accounts
> would I set up to combine them?
>
> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
>

There is none, however per Rule 9 all stations must be contacted from the same DXCC entity.

Bob, N7XY

2663. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 02:50:19 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2648 Message: 2663

Mileage limit I referred to is for WAS, not DXCC. Don't know if there is one for DXCC. 73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave - G4MUL
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 6:06 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Jerry'
Sorry to bother you, but you mentioned something today that I have been wanting to know.

>Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were made within the mileage limit... so what account or ?>accounts would I set up to combine them?

WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?

Dave
g4mul.hfdx@ntlworld.com

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2664. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 03:44:44 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2648 Message: 2664

Bob,

The question said DXCC.

However, in response to your point the WAS rules say that no two
locations my be separated by more than 50 miles. That implies a
25 mile radius.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 7:24 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>
> Hi Joe:
>
> You are correct for DXCC.
>
> However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is a MAX
> limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.
>
> Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.
>
> Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards to zero
> and start over again !!!
>
> Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF bands.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
> FN42ma
>
>
> At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:
>
> >Dave,
> >
> >> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
> >
> >The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.

> >The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
> >same DXCC country.
> >
> >73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2665. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 12:20:56 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2648 Message: 2665

How can that imply a 25 mile radius Joe???

I would say that the rule dictates a maximum separation distance of 50 miles between the two locations (new and former), which should be equal to a radius of 50 miles.

Draw a 50 mile radius circle around the former QTH and if the new one falls anywhere within that area, one should be ok for WAS.

Please correct me if I got this wrong!

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 11:44 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Bob,

The question said DXCC.

However, in response to your point the WAS rules say that no two
locations my be separated by more than 50 miles. That implies a
25 mile radius.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 7:24 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>
> Hi Joe:
>
> You are correct for DXCC.
>
> However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is a MAX
> limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.
>
> Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.
>
> Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards to zero

> and start over again !!!
>
> Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF bands.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
> FN42ma
>
>
> At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:
>
> >Dave,
> >
> >> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
> >
> >The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.
> >The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
> >same DXCC country.
> >
> >73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2666. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 13:20:15 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2648 Message: 2666

At 02:06 PM 1/26/2006, Jerry K3BZ wrote:
>I went to the ARRL LoTW Awards page and was immediately puzzled by
>"Account Name". Do they want my callsign? Or something like "WAS on
>15M"? Or what? No examples are given.
>Likewise, a "QSO Set" and a "Rule"... no examples are given. It's much
>easier to understand something new like this if examples of "typical"
>situations are given, so the user may infer what to do from them.

It's whatever you want it to be.
The problem is the WAS rules. You can't combine QSOs if they're made from different locations more than 50 miles apart. So, you've got to come up with criteria to select what QSLs should match a particular WAS application. The set of QSOs from a set of locations that meet the rules are called a QSO set. To make the system work, you've got to set up an account for each location, then define a set of rules to allow LoTW to select the QSOs that match that location.
The name of the account doesn't matter. If all of your QSOs are from the same location, use your callsign. If not, you'll need multiple accounts.

For an example, I have two US callsigns and one DX. All the domestic QSOs are from the same QTH. I set up two rules - one with "Callsign not GU0/WA1SPT" for the US, and "Callsign is GU0/WA1SPT" for the DX. That's it.

>Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were made
>within the mileage limit... so what account or accounts would I set up
>to combine them? My goal is WAS on each band on SSB and each band on
>CW... do I set up a separate account for each band? For each Band/Mode?

One account if all the QSOs are in the limit. Then set up a rule to select everything and Bob's your uncle.
-Rick

2667. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 14:04:28 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2648 Message: 2667

How about 5 band WAS? Can you earn your 20M WAS certificate from CA, then move to VA and earn your 15M WAS Certificate, then move to FL and earn the rest from there... and still qualify for 5BWAS??

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Carl Smidt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 7:20 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

How can that imply a 25 mile radius Joe???

I would say that the rule dictates a maximum separation distance of 50 miles between the two locations (new and former), which should be equal to a radius of 50 miles.

Draw a 50 mile radius circle around the former QTH and if the new one falls anywhere within that area, one should be ok for WAS.

Please correct me if I got this wrong!

73, Carl VE9OV
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 11:44 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Bob,

The question said DXCC.

However, in response to your point the WAS rules say that no two
locations my be separated by more than 50 miles. That implies a
25 mile radius.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 7:24 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>

> Hi Joe:
>
> You are correct for DXCC.
>
> However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is a MAX
> limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.
>
> Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.
>
> Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards to zero
> and start over again !!!
>
> Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF bands.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
> FN42ma
>
>
> At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:
>
> >Dave,
> >
> >> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
> >
> >The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.
> >The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
> >same DXCC country.
> >
> >73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2668. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 14:09:08 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2648 Message: 2668

Carl,

The rules say that no two locations may be separated by more than 50 miles.

You can consider it a 50 mile radius if you are only dealing with TWO
locations. However, add a third location and the circle into which
all three locations fit must be no more than 25 miles radius.

Put another way, if all three locations are on a single line, the two
"outer" points may be separated by a maximum of 50 miles.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Carl Smidt
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 7:21 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

How can that imply a 25 mile radius Joe???

I would say that the rule dictates a maximum separation distance of 50 miles
between the two locations (new and former), which should be equal to a
radius of 50 miles.

Draw a 50 mile radius circle around the former QTH and if the new one falls
anywhere within that area, one should be ok for WAS.

Please correct me if I got this wrong!

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich <mailto:w4tv@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 11:44 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Bob,

The question said DXCC.

However, in response to your point the WAS rules say that no two
locations my be separated by more than 50 miles. That implies a
25 mile radius.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 7:24 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>
> Hi Joe:
>
> You are correct for DXCC.
>
> However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is a MAX
> limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.
>
> Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.
>
> Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards to zero
> and start over again !!!
>
> Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF bands.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
> FN42ma
>
>
> At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:
>
> >Dave,
> >
> >> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
> >
> >The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years (20?) ago.
> >The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from within the
> >same DXCC country.
> >
> >73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+cra
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz
5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w
2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_
MzUUBx-hQ> hobby Hobbies
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+s
upply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=J-__6L
1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA> and crafts
Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAF
s73WsoQ> radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2669. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 14:09:57 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2648 Message: 2669

OK, I think I get it now. As usual, I was making things too complicated. All I have to determine now is the proper wording for expressing the "rules". Thanks, Rick (and the others who answered)

73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 8:20 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??

At 02:06 PM 1/26/2006, Jerry K3BZ wrote:
>I went to the ARRL LoTW Awards page and was immediately puzzled by
>"Account Name". Do they want my callsign? Or something like "WAS on
>15M"? Or what? No examples are given.
>Likewise, a "QSO Set" and a "Rule"... no examples are given. It's much
>easier to understand something new like this if examples of "typical"
>situations are given, so the user may infer what to do from them.

It's whatever you want it to be.
The problem is the WAS rules. You can't combine QSOs if they're made from different locations more than 50 miles apart. So, you've got to come up with criteria to select what QSLs should match a particular WAS application. The set of QSOs from a set of locations that meet the rules are called a QSO set. To make the system work, you've got to set up an account for each location, then define a set of rules to allow LoTW to select the QSOs that match that location.
The name of the account doesn't matter. If all of your QSOs are from the same location, use your callsign. If not, you'll need multiple accounts.

For an example, I have two US callsigns and one DX. All the domestic QSOs are from the same QTH. I set up two rules - one with "Callsign not GU0/WA1SPT" for the US, and "Callsign is GU0/WA1SPT" for the DX. That's it.

>Another question: I have two previous callsigns but all QSOs were made
>within the mileage limit... so what account or accounts would I set up
>to combine them? My goal is WAS on each band on SSB and each band on
>CW... do I set up a separate account for each band? For each Band/Mode?

One account if all the QSOs are in the limit. Then set up a rule to select everything and Bob's your uncle.
-Rick

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2670. Re: WAS??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-27 14:57:43 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2648 Message: 2670

The answer on 5BWAS is the same rulle applies. All 5Bands need to be from within the 25 mile radius (if more than two locations) and no more than 50 mi apart. Hey guys, spend more time working them than arguing the rules! You'll have more fun and after all the awards are only a game. 73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
>
> How about 5 band WAS? Can you earn your 20M WAS certificate from CA, then move to VA and earn your 15M WAS Certificate, then move to FL and earn the rest from there... and still qualify for 5BWAS??
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Carl Smidt
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 7:20 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>
> How can that imply a 25 mile radius Joe???
>
> I would say that the rule dictates a maximum separation distance of 50 miles between the two locations (new and former), which should be equal to a radius of 50 miles.
>
> Draw a 50 mile radius circle around the former QTH and if the new one falls anywhere within that area, one should be ok for WAS.
>
> Please correct me if I got this wrong!
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Joe Subich
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 11:44 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
>
>
>
>
> Bob,
>
> The question said DXCC.
>
> However, in response to your point the WAS rules say that no two

> locations my be separated by more than 50 miles. That implies a
> 25 mile radius.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> > Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 7:24 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS??
> >
> >
> > Hi Joe:
> >
> > You are correct for DXCC.
> >
> > However for WAS which the poster was inquiring about there is
a MAX
> > limit of a 50 Mile radius from your location.
> >
> > Same 50 Mile distance applies to the VUCC Award.
> >
> > Once you exceed the 50 Mile limit, you must reset your awards
to zero
> > and start over again !!!
> >
> > Same thing applies to your STANDINGS for the VHF/UHF/SHF
bands.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
> > FN42ma
> >
> >
> > At 18:35 1/26/2006, you wrote:
> >
> > >Dave,
> > >
> > >> WHAT IS THE MILEAGE LIMIT used for DXCC ?
> > >
> > >The mileage limit for DXCC was dispensed with many years
(20?) ago.
> > >The only requirement now is that all QSOs be made from
within the

> > >same DXCC country.
> > >
> > >73,
> > >
> > > ... Joe, W4TV
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies
and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>

>
> ----------

>

2671. QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 15:07:53 UTC
From: Lorenzo Mendini
Topic: 2671 Message: 2671

Hi, i have one question is possibile add the "real paper QSL" with the QSL via LOTW? I have about 95 dxcc entity confirmed via " real QSL" and 81 QSL entity via LOTW is possible to add the two method? Many thanks bye bye

Lorenzo Mendini Iz2FOS
http://www.polopositivo.org (Home Page)

Questo messaggio e-mail può contenere informazioni riservate ESCLUSIVAMENTE AL DESTINATARIO. Il RICEVENTE, se diverso dal DESTINATARIO, è avvertito che qualunque utilizzazione, divulgazione o copia di questa comunicazione comporta violazione delle disposizioni di Legge sulla tutela dei dati personali, ed è pertanto rigorosamente vietata. Grazie per la collaborazione.

2672. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 16:21:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2671 Message: 2672

I think your asking if you can submit LoTW AND paper qsls at the same time.

Yes you can. It's called a 'Hybrid Submission'
The instructions are on the LoTW website.
Select the LoTW qsls you wish to submit and in the submission process it will ask if your also submitting paper qsls.
On the Paper form that is used, there is a box that asks if you wish to combine these paper submissions with a LoTW submission.

I've done it for my last 4 submissions.

Good luck.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Lorenzo Mendini" <iz2fos@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 9:07 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Hi, i have one question is possibile add the "real paper QSL" with the QSL via LOTW? I have about 95 dxcc entity confirmed via " real QSL" and 81 QSL entity via LOTW is possible to add the two method? Many thanks bye bye

Lorenzo Mendini Iz2FOS
http://www.polopositivo.org (Home Page)

Questo messaggio e-mail pu? contenere informazioni riservate ESCLUSIVAMENTE AL DESTINATARIO. Il RICEVENTE, se diverso dal DESTINATARIO, ? avvertito che qualunque utilizzazione, divulgazione o copia di questa comunicazione comporta violazione delle disposizioni di Legge sulla tutela dei dati personali, ed ? pertanto rigorosamente vietata. Grazie per la collaborazione.

2673. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 17:16:12 UTC
From: Lorenzo Mendini
Topic: 2671 Message: 2673

hi, but my paper qsls must be verified from a controller or not? i must send my paper qsls or not? i don't find the instrucions because the website is offline... many tahnks bye bye

_____
Yahoo! Messenger with Voice: chiama da PC a telefono a tariffe esclusive
http://it.messenger.yahoo.com

2674. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 17:05:30 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2671 Message: 2674

I wonder if you can do a hybrid submission if you take the cards to an official ARRL card checker. We will have a hamfest in Orlando Fl. In two weeks and I intend to take a stack of cards and have them checked. I would also like to get credit for about 10 LOTW countries.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 11:22 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

I think your asking if you can submit LoTW AND paper qsls at the same time.

Yes you can. It's called a 'Hybrid Submission'
The instructions are on the LoTW website.
Select the LoTW qsls you wish to submit and in the submission process it will ask if your
also submitting paper qsls.
On the Paper form that is used, there is a box that asks if you wish to combine these
paper submissions with a LoTW submission.

I've done it for my last 4 submissions.

Good luck.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Lorenzo Mendini" <iz2fos@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 9:07 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Hi, i have one question is possibile add the "real paper QSL" with the QSL via LOTW? I
have about 95 dxcc entity confirmed via " real QSL" and 81 QSL entity via LOTW is possible
to add the two method? Many thanks bye bye

Lorenzo Mendini Iz2FOS
http://www.polopositivo.org (Home Page)

Questo messaggio e-mail può contenere informazioni riservate ESCLUSIVAMENTE AL

DESTINATARIO. Il RICEVENTE, se diverso dal DESTINATARIO, è avvertito che qualunque
utilizzazione, divulgazione o copia di questa comunicazione comporta violazione delle
disposizioni di Legge sulla tutela dei dati personali, ed è pertanto rigorosamente
vietata. Grazie per la collaborazione.

Yahoo! Groups Links

2675. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 18:25:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2671 Message: 2675

you can either mail the paper qsls to the DXCC desk or have them checked by a Field Checker.
Same thing applies, when you go to a Field Checker, the same form has that box in upper right side asking if this is to be combined with a LoTW submission.

The Field Checker will check the cards and forward the paperwork to ARRL where it will be combined with the LoTW submission.

On 1/29/2006 11:16:12 AM, Lorenzo Mendini (iz2fos@...) wrote:
> hi, but my paper qsls must be verified from a controller or not? i must
> send
> my paper qsls or not? i don't find the instrucions because the website is
> offline... many tahnks bye bye
>
>
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Messenger with Voice: chiama da PC a telefono a tariffe esclusive
> http://it.messenger.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

2676. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 18:28:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2671 Message: 2676

yes you can
----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 11:05 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

I wonder if you can do a hybrid submission if you take the cards to an official ARRL card checker. We will have a hamfest in Orlando Fl. In two weeks and I intend to take a stack of cards and have them checked. I would also like to get credit for about 10 LOTW countries.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 11:22 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

I think your asking if you can submit LoTW AND paper qsls at the same time.

Yes you can. It's called a 'Hybrid Submission'
The instructions are on the LoTW website.
Select the LoTW qsls you wish to submit and in the submission process it will ask if your
also submitting paper qsls.
On the Paper form that is used, there is a box that asks if you wish to combine these
paper submissions with a LoTW submission.

I've done it for my last 4 submissions.

Good luck.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Lorenzo Mendini" <iz2fos@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2006 9:07 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Hi, i have one question is possibile add the "real paper QSL" with the QSL via LOTW? I
have about 95 dxcc entity confirmed via " real QSL" and 81 QSL entity via LOTW is possible

to add the two method? Many thanks bye bye

Lorenzo Mendini Iz2FOS
http://www.polopositivo.org (Home Page)

Questo messaggio e-mail pu? contenere informazioni riservate
ESCLUSIVAMENTE AL
DESTINATARIO. Il RICEVENTE, se diverso dal DESTINATARIO, ? avvertito che
qualunque
utilizzazione, divulgazione o copia di questa comunicazione comporta
violazione delle
disposizioni di Legge sulla tutela dei dati personali, ed ? pertanto
rigorosamente
vietata. Grazie per la collaborazione.

Yahoo! Groups Links

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2677. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL METHOD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-29 18:28:03 UTC
From: Jim Hamann
Topic: 2671 Message: 2677
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

You can submit paper QSLs along with LOTW QSOs but as I found out you have to also pay a $10.00 application fee for each endorsement, so it might be better to wait until you have more cards to submit.
Jim Hamann
K2CBM

2678. received today AL1G, P40X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-30 21:15:50 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2678 Message: 2678

AL1G FOR 3 band/modes via LoTW

P40X for 7 rtty bands via LoTW
(that gave me P40 on 10 through 160 rtty, cw
still need a 160 ssb for a sweep)

thanks guys/gals for the qso's and the no-expense qsl's.

Virginity can be cured.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2679. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] received today AL1G, P40X (Zaep Key: 1eb7868e.43de84f9.0137145a)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-30 21:38:44 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2679 Message: 2679

Dear FireBrick,

Thanks for your email, but at this point I have NOT actually received your message because I have implemented a challenge-response based anti-spam solution. Before I can receive your message you must respond in ONE of the ways outlined below.

You will not have to do this again.

REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE

Simply reply to this email message ensuring the subject of your reply contains the subject of this message. When your reply arrives I will receive your ORIGINAL message and all FUTURE messages.

Or as an alternate method follow these instructions:

CLICK ON THE URL

Visit the following URL and follow the simple instructions. When you do this I will receive the message you sent and ALL future messages.

http://66.189.153.222:8081/?key=1eb7868e.43de84f9.0137145a

If the above URL does not appear all on one line, copy and paste it into your browser's address bar.

PLEASE NOTE: If you receive an error message when attempting to visit the above URL, it is very likely that your network is not allowing you to visit my confirmation page. If this is the case, contact your network administrator for help, or contact me by telephone.

If you do not respond within 7 days, your message will be DELETED and I will not be able to receive messages from you in the future.

I apologize for this small one-time inconvenience, but I have been forced to implement this challenge-response based anti-spam solution to eliminate 100% of the spam I receive, and it really works!

To learn more about the software I am using to stop spam, please visit http://www.Zaep.com/. Zaep has stopped 100% of all the spam

messages I was receiving every day.

Thank you,

WC7N

2680. 'challenges from W7CN

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-30 21:46:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2680 Message: 2680

Someone please tell him to turn that off for the 'lists' he subscribes to.

Girls are like pianos. When they're not upright, they're grand. :Benny Hill
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2681. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 'challenges from W7CN

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-30 23:24:32 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2680 Message: 2681

Thanks for telling me what list it was billnjudy so I could get it added to
my white list.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "QSL List" <dx-qsl@...>
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2006 1:46 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 'challenges from W7CN

> Someone please tell him to turn that off for the 'lists' he subscribes to.
>
>
>
> ---
> Girls are like pianos. When they're not upright, they're grand. :Benny
> Hill
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2682. LOTW - Some signings done without State

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-31 04:07:59 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2682 Message: 2682

Since the WAS award went into effect, I've noticed there are several people who have signed and uploaded logs, but have failed to include a state. A couple of them signed a lot of early logs with the state, but in recent ones, the state field was blank.

I wonder if this a bug in TQSL that causing this problem.

To check this out, just list your confirmations for UNITED STATES OF AMERICA with a wide-open WAS account and see.

If someone has this problem, a polite e-mail may bring results. I've e-mailed a couple of people to let them know, one has fixed the problem, the other decided it was too much trouble to re-sign and upload logs.

Keep and eye out for this.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2683. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW - Some signings done without State

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-01-31 12:54:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2682 Message: 2683

I did as you said Bill.
And my results were quite different.
I chose to have them shown with earliest qsl date thinking that the first submitters may have missed the State entry info in the signing process.

After going through many pages all showing the state I quit and then tried it with the more recent qsls first.
Again I quit after going through many pages, all with the proper state indicated.

Now my results may have been skewed as most of the qsls are from contest qsos and frequently the state was part of the exchange.

Now I do notice that frequently people do not know the proper name/spelling of their county/parish etc.
Especially Alaskans (they really don't have counties per se) and MO. And I might suggest they compare what they think is the proper spelling with the official County Hunters list.
This can also occur due to portable operation away from home and unsure of the correct spelling.

But to me these are minor and easily correctible in my logging/award tracking software.

But I will watch for this.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Coleman" <aa4lr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2006 10:07 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW - Some signings done without State

>
> Since the WAS award went into effect, I've noticed there are several
> people who have signed and uploaded logs, but have failed to include
> a state. A couple of them signed a lot of early logs with the state,
> but in recent ones, the state field was blank.
>
> I wonder if this a bug in TQSL that causing this problem.
>
> To check this out, just list your confirmations for UNITED STATES OF
> AMERICA with a wide-open WAS account and see.
>
> If someone has this problem, a polite e-mail may bring results. I've
> e-mailed a couple of people to let them know, one has fixed the
> problem, the other decided it was too much trouble to re-sign and
> upload logs.
>
> Keep and eye out for this.
>

> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2684. Question about logging into the LOTW website

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 03:07:32 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2684 Message: 2684

Hi all, this is not a big deal, but my login to the LOTW website is my old callsign (KN3A). I was on looking for a way to change it to WY3X as the username. I don't want to mess things up because I finally was able to link both accounts together last week. Is there an easy way to correct this? Again, its not a problem either way.

73--Scott
WY3X

2685. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 03:55:19 UTC
From: Joe Subich
Topic: 2684 Message: 2685

Sure, see the fifth item down in the left hand column on the LotW
User "home page." Send an e-mail to LotW-help@....

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 10:08 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website
>
>
> Hi all, this is not a big deal, but my login to the LOTW website is my
> old callsign (KN3A). I was on looking for a way to change it to WY3X
> as the username. I don't want to mess things up because I finally was
> able to link both accounts together last week. Is there an easy way to
> correct this? Again, its not a problem either way.
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>

2686. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 03:36:41 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2684 Message: 2686

At 10:07 PM 1/31/2006, scottpa1960 wrote:
>Hi all, this is not a big deal, but my login to the LOTW website is my
>old callsign (KN3A). I was on looking for a way to change it to WY3X
>as the username. I don't want to mess things up because I finally was
>able to link both accounts together last week. Is there an easy way to
>correct this? Again, its not a problem either way.

See the message on the LoTW user's page titled "You May Change Your
LoTW Username".
-Rick

2687. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 03:41:56 UTC
From: Bruce & Gaby
Topic: 2684 Message: 2687

E-mail LoTW Help and let them know about the call change. I did it, took
less than 24 hours.

73 de Bruce, N7TY (ex WB7RHT)

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of scottpa1960
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 19:08
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website

Hi all, this is not a big deal, but my login to the LOTW website is my
old callsign (KN3A). I was on looking for a way to change it to WY3X
as the username. I don't want to mess things up because I finally was
able to link both accounts together last week. Is there an easy way to
correct this? Again, its not a problem either way.

73--Scott
WY3X

_____

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

_____

2688. LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 05:15:44 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2688 Message: 2688

I've got an 80M "WAS" confirmation on LoTW, which gives me 8 States !!!

But both "MY" records and the "LoTW ADIF Download Report" show it to be 9 QSO's on 80M/States.

For some reason my "CT" QSO with N1NG is confirmed, but not listed in my WAS Account, which should bring it up 9 Sates confirmed.

I also have a 23Cm QSO that shows a freq. of 432.1 instead of 1296.1 !!!

I guess that all of you might want to give ALL of your "WAS" contacts VERY close scrutiny !!!

I'm sure all of these discrepancies will be resolved if we do our part and check our LoTW results very closely.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

2689. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 13:20:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2688 Message: 2689

if a station forgets to include the state info he was in when he signs the qsos he's uploading, you will not get credit for it.
It would be the same as a qsl card that doesn't say what state he was in.
the same goes for 'county', 'cq zone' & 'itu zone'
(I know those awards are not available, just used as examples)

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@...>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 11:15 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

> I've got an 80M "WAS" confirmation on LoTW, which gives me 8 States !!!
>
> But both "MY" records and the "LoTW ADIF Download Report" show it to
> be 9 QSO's on 80M/States.
>
> For some reason my "CT" QSO with N1NG is confirmed, but not listed in
> my WAS Account, which should bring it up 9 Sates confirmed.
>
> I also have a 23Cm QSO that shows a freq. of 432.1 instead of 1296.1 !!!
>
> I guess that all of you might want to give ALL of your "WAS" contacts
> VERY close scrutiny !!!
>
> I'm sure all of these discrepancies will be resolved if we do our part
> and check our LoTW results very closely.
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

> ---
>
>

2690. Tqsl cert valid?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 13:44:27 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2690 Message: 2690

I have severasl LotW certs for several previous callsigns. One callsign was used at two QTHs, in two different states, but I have only one cert for that callsign. How do I tell which state/QTH my one cert covers?

73, Jerry K3BZ

2691. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Tqsl cert valid?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 13:55:56 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2690 Message: 2691

Hello Jerry,

Wednesday, February 1, 2006 Jerry Keller wrote to (Reflector) ARRL-LOTW:

JK> I have severasl LotW certs for several previous callsigns. One
JK> callsign was used at two QTHs, in two different states, but I have
JK> only one cert for that callsign. How do I tell which state/QTH my one cert covers?

Every time you sign ADI file before sending it to the loTW, you are
asked what "station location" you want to be used when creating the
*.tq8 file.

Tqsl - Station - Add location.

--
73...Art RX9TX 01-Feb-06 13:50 UTC

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"A sex symbol becomes a thing. I hate being a thing." [Marilyn Monroe]

2692. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Tqsl cert valid?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 13:57:18 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2690 Message: 2692

On Feb 1, 2006, at 5:44 AM, Jerry Keller wrote:

> I have severasl LotW certs for several previous callsigns. One
> callsign was used at two QTHs, in two different states, but I have
> only one cert for that callsign. How do I tell which state/QTH my
> one cert covers?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

Click on Recent QSL's and then click on Details. It will show the
state for both stations as part of the information about the QSO.

Also, there should be a file on your computer with this information
(at least if the certificate is active). On Linux or OS X, it is
~/.tqsl/station_data but is probably something different for Windows.

73,
Bob, N7XY

2693. Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 14:35:18 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2693 Message: 2693

I think I asked the wrong question before.

I recently trashed my HDD and replaced it with new. Luckily, I had backups of most of my stuff so I could do a clean install of windows XP and all my stuff, which took a while but went smoothly.

I was under the impression all I needed to back up for LotW was my .p12 files for each cert, so I have those.... in fact, I have a copy of my entire Trusted QSL folder that lives in Program Files.

But somehow, I've lost my certs. How do I go about getting them back? Or do I have to get new ones?

I wouldn't mind getting new ones, because it will give me a chance to re-new (I must be over due by now... how long are they good for?).... PLUS.... I need to get TWO certs for one previous callsign (instead of the single one I had) to cover QTHs in two different states.

I know this is complicated, but if someone could just point me in the right direction?

73, Jerry K3BZ

2694. Re: Question about logging into the LOTW website

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 15:05:23 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2684 Message: 2694

One thing you may have trouble with is renaming your accounts. I was told that for some indeterminate period I would need to have the Callsign on the account remain the same. This has something to do with joining or linking the DXCC accounts. Presumably, the Was account doesn't have the same problem. Of course this is only an inconvience since no one but you and ARRL can see the account names anyway. Just a comment from someone who has changed calls recently.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bruce & Gaby" <ockmrzr@s...> wrote:
>
> E-mail LoTW Help and let them know about the call change. I did it, took
> less than 24 hours.
>
> 73 de Bruce, N7TY (ex WB7RHT)
>
>
> --------------------
> Bruce C. Thompson
> Gabrielle T. Steinau
> Coronado, CA
> --------------------
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 19:08
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Question about logging into the LOTW website
>
>
> Hi all, this is not a big deal, but my login to the LOTW website is my
> old callsign (KN3A). I was on looking for a way to change it to WY3X
> as the username. I don't want to mess things up because I finally was
> able to link both accounts together last week. Is there an easy way

to
> correct this? Again, its not a problem either way.
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>
>
> _____
>

2695. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 15:09:50 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 2693 Message: 2695

Jerry,

If your certificate is over a year old it is expired.
Here is the straight scoop on renewal right from the LoTW web site. This will get you ONE new certificate for your primary call. Once you have that you can use it to create the other certificates you may need for old calls, different states you may have lived in, etc.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Dec 29, 2005: Renewing your certificate after it expired. --

Forget to renew your certificate before it expired?

Don't feel alone. You can't renew an expired cert, but if you have an old postcard password, you can quickly obtain a NEW certificate. Here's what to do. First delete all .tq5 and .tq6 files that may still be in your machine. Also, make sure there are no certificate lines in TQSLCert with your callsign. Next, create ONE new certificate request and send it to lotw-help@... along with an old postcard password written in the TEXT of the message. If you don't have a postcard password, you will need to send the request to the server (lotw-logs@... or upload) and wait for a new postcard password.

Jerry Keller wrote:
>
> I think I asked the wrong question before.
>

2696. Re: Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 15:10:41 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2693 Message: 2696

Jerry,
Load the TQSL/Tcert program. Then in the cert program there is a pulldown menu item that will ask if you want to load a .P12 file. Loading the .P12 will reinstall the lost cert if it is still valid.

Look at the menu items. I think its file load certs. When that dialog opens it will let you load a "brand new cert" or a .P12 "old file". Hope this helps. Sure is frustrating to reload a HDD.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
>
> I think I asked the wrong question before.
>
> I recently trashed my HDD and replaced it with new. Luckily, I had backups of most of my stuff so I could do a clean install of windows XP and all my stuff, which took a while but went smoothly.
>
> I was under the impression all I needed to back up for LotW was my .p12 files for each cert, so I have those.... in fact, I have a copy of my entire Trusted QSL folder that lives in Program Files.
>
> But somehow, I've lost my certs. How do I go about getting them back? Or do I have to get new ones?
>
> I wouldn't mind getting new ones, because it will give me a chance to re-new (I must be over due by now... how long are they good for?).... PLUS.... I need to get TWO certs for one previous callsign (instead of the single one I had) to cover QTHs in two different states.
>
> I know this is complicated, but if someone could just point me in the right direction?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

2697. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 16:00:49 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2693 Message: 2697

Ed.... this is just what I was looking for. Since I have my .p12 files I actually CAN reload my certs, just as I was told. I just didn't know how. I have reloaded them, and I see now they expire in March 2006.... I guess there's a renewal procedure somewhere.... I'll check the ARRL website.

Thanks very much, and thanks also to the others that replied. I think I have what I need now.

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: edctexas
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 10:10 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Need New Certs?

Jerry,
Load the TQSL/Tcert program. Then in the cert program there is a pulldown menu item that will ask if you want to load a .P12 file. Loading the .P12 will reinstall the lost cert if it is still valid.

Look at the menu items. I think its file load certs. When that dialog opens it will let you load a "brand new cert" or a .P12 "old file". Hope this helps. Sure is frustrating to reload a HDD.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@a...> wrote:
>
> I think I asked the wrong question before.
>
> I recently trashed my HDD and replaced it with new. Luckily, I had backups of most of my stuff so I could do a clean install of windows XP and all my stuff, which took a while but went smoothly.
>
> I was under the impression all I needed to back up for LotW was my .p12 files for each cert, so I have those.... in fact, I have a copy of my entire Trusted QSL folder that lives in Program Files.
>
> But somehow, I've lost my certs. How do I go about getting them back? Or do I have to get new ones?
>
> I wouldn't mind getting new ones, because it will give me a chance to re-new (I must be over due by now... how long are they good for?).... PLUS.... I need to get TWO certs for one previous callsign (instead of the single one I had) to cover QTHs in two different states.

>
> I know this is complicated, but if someone could just point me in
the right direction?
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2698. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 16:40:23 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2693 Message: 2698

On Feb 1, 2006, at 6:35 AM, Jerry Keller wrote:

> I think I asked the wrong question before.
>
> I recently trashed my HDD and replaced it with new. Luckily, I had
> backups of most of my stuff so I could do a clean install of
> windows XP and all my stuff, which took a while but went smoothly.
>
> I was under the impression all I needed to back up for LotW was
> my .p12 files for each cert, so I have those.... in fact, I have a
> copy of my entire Trusted QSL folder that lives in Program Files.
>
> But somehow, I've lost my certs. How do I go about getting them
> back? Or do I have to get new ones?
>
> I wouldn't mind getting new ones, because it will give me a chance
> to re-new (I must be over due by now... how long are they good
> for?).... PLUS.... I need to get TWO certs for one previous
> callsign (instead of the single one I had) to cover QTHs in two
> different states.
>
> I know this is complicated, but if someone could just point me in
> the right direction?

If your certificates have expired, the .p12 files won't work (I found this out the hard way). I couldn't figure out what to do and had to contact the ARRL by email to straighten it out (Wayne Mills was quite helpful, however). I notice that on the LOTW login page there is now a new notice, which may be of help to you:

Dec 29, 2005: Renewing your certificate after it expired. --

Forget to renew your certificate before it expired?

Don't feel alone. You can't renew an expired cert, but if you have an old postcard password, you can quickly obtain a NEW certificate. Here's what to do. First delete all .tq5 and .tq6 files that may still be in your machine. Also, make sure there are no certificate lines in TQSLCert with your callsign. Next, create ONE new certificate request and send it to lotw-help@... along with an old postcard password written in the TEXT of the message. If you don't have a postcard password, you will need to send the request to the server (lotw-logs@... or upload) and wait for a new postcard password.

Bob. N7XY

2699. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 19:03:35 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2688 Message: 2699

Hi Bill:
Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you didn't understand what I said.

My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station information
is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't be
confirmed by LoTW.
It's "QSO Detail is:
Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29

The problem is that the QSO wasn't applied to my WAS account to give me
9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.

My 23Cm QSO that shows a freq. of 432.1 instead of 1296.1 is:
Record ID 10540235 Received: 2003-10-07 23:11:41

Again, keep your eye on what LoTW reports and check for discrepancies.

I have reported this LoTW.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 08:20 2/1/2006, you wrote:
>if a station forgets to include the state info he was in when he signs the qsos he's
>uploading, you will not get credit for it.
>It would be the same as a qsl card that doesn't say what state he was in.
>the same goes for 'county', 'cq zone' & 'itu zone'
>(I know those awards are not available, just used as examples)
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "Patton, David NN1N" <nt1n@...>
>Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2006 11:15 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities
>
>
>> I've got an 80M "WAS" confirmation on LoTW, which gives me 8 States !!!
>>
>> But both "MY" records and the "LoTW ADIF Download Report" show it to
>> be 9 QSO's on 80M/States.
>>
>> For some reason my "CT" QSO with N1NG is confirmed, but not listed in
>> my WAS Account, which should bring it up 9 Sates confirmed.
>>
>> I also have a 23Cm QSO that shows a freq. of 432.1 instead of 1296.1 !!!

>>
>> I guess that all of you might want to give ALL of your "WAS" contacts
>> VERY close scrutiny !!!
>>
>> I'm sure all of these discrepancies will be resolved if we do our part
>> and check our LoTW results very closely.
>>
>> 73 es GL
>> Bob, K1VU
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2700. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 19:37:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2688 Message: 2700

ok what is 'commonly' the problem when a state does not show up as selected to be credited is that some other qso is already selected for that band/mode.

now you can change that...just put a check in the box for that qso in the 'your qso's' module.
That will remove any other qso that may be in the credit list.
This happens when someone uploads their logs and didn't realize that qso during a time frame where in a different location. or a simple type like IL instead of IN.

as for the frequency anomaly.
first check that the adif that you sent had the correct frequency/band. if so...the other person probably clicked on the wrong band.

practically all the anomalies we have been reported on this reflector.....where operator input errors.
I know, I made most of them myself.

On 2/1/2006 1:03:35 PM, R Johnson (k1vu@...) wrote:
> Hi Bill:
> Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you
> didn't understand what I said.
>
> My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station information
> is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't
> be
> confirmed by LoTW.
> It's "QSO Detail is:
> Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29
>
> The problem is that the QSO wasn't
> applied to my WAS account to give me
> 9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.
>
> My 23Cm QSO that shows a freq. of 432.1 instead of 1296.1 is:
> Record ID 10540235 Received: 2003-10-07 23:11:41
>
> Again, keep your eye on what LoTW reports and check for discrepancies.
>
> I have reported this LoTW.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 08:20 2/1/2006, you wrote:
> >if a station forgets to include the state info he was in when he signs
> the qsos

> he's
> >uploading, you will not get credit for it.
> >It would be the same as a qsl card that doesn't
> say what state he was in.
> >the same goes for 'county', 'cq zone' & 'itu zone'
> >(I know those awards are not available, just used as examples)
> >
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "R Jo

2701. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need New Certs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-01 18:57:36 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2693 Message: 2701

Thanks, Bob.... as it happens, I discovered my certs don't expire until next month, so I have time to renew therm. I'm all set now, thanks to you and the several others that responded. This LotW reflector is a good thing.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 11:40 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need New Certs?

On Feb 1, 2006, at 6:35 AM, Jerry Keller wrote:

> I think I asked the wrong question before.
>
> I recently trashed my HDD and replaced it with new. Luckily, I had
> backups of most of my stuff so I could do a clean install of
> windows XP and all my stuff, which took a while but went smoothly.
>
> I was under the impression all I needed to back up for LotW was
> my .p12 files for each cert, so I have those.... in fact, I have a
> copy of my entire Trusted QSL folder that lives in Program Files.
>
> But somehow, I've lost my certs. How do I go about getting them
> back? Or do I have to get new ones?
>
> I wouldn't mind getting new ones, because it will give me a chance
> to re-new (I must be over due by now... how long are they good
> for?).... PLUS.... I need to get TWO certs for one previous
> callsign (instead of the single one I had) to cover QTHs in two
> different states.
>
> I know this is complicated, but if someone could just point me in
> the right direction?

If your certificates have expired, the .p12 files won't work (I found this out the hard way). I couldn't figure out what to do and had to contact the ARRL by email to straighten it out (Wayne Mills was quite helpful, however). I notice that on the LOTW login page there is now a new notice, which may be of help to you:

Dec 29, 2005: Renewing your certificate after it expired. --

Forget to renew your certificate before it expired?

Don't feel alone. You can't renew an expired cert, but if you have an old postcard password, you can quickly obtain a NEW certificate.

Here's what to do. First delete all .tq5 and .tq6 files that may still be in your machine. Also, make sure there are no certificate lines in TQSLCert with your callsign. Next, create ONE new certificate request and send it to lotw-help@arrl.org along with an old postcard password written in the TEXT of the message. If you don't have a postcard password, you will need to send the request to the server (lotw-logs@... or upload) and wait for a new postcard password.

Bob. N7XY

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2702. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-02 03:07:59 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2688 Message: 2702

On Feb 1, 2006, at 2:03 PM, R Johnson wrote:

> Hi Bill:
> Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you didn't understand what
> I said.
>
> My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station
> information
> is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't be
> confirmed by LoTW.
> It's "QSO Detail is:
> Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29
>
> The problem is that the QSO wasn't applied to my WAS account to
> give me
> 9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.

I reported a similar problem and got a response from Wayne Mills about it. Turns out, LoTW is only designed to update ONE WAS account that matches the corresponding QSO rules.

So, if you, like me, had created several WAS accounts that have overlapping criteria, when the confirmation comes in it will only update one WAS account.

The fix for this is two-fold. First, delete any WAS accounts that have overlapping criteria. To update the accounts that are left, modify the QSO criteria, save, then change them back and save. When you change the criteria for an account, it will re-run the query for confirmations to see what the account status is.

This worked for me.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2703. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-02 03:32:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2688 Message: 2703

Interesting....
I'll have to play with that.

On 2/1/2006 9:07:59 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
> On Feb 1, 2006, at 2:03 PM, R Johnson wrote:
>
> > Hi Bill:
> > Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you
> didn't understand what
> > I said.
> >
> > My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station
> > information
> > is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't
> be
> > confirmed by LoTW.
> >
> It's "QSO Detail is:
> > Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29
> >
> > The problem is that the QSO wasn't
> applied to my WAS account to
> > give me
> > 9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.
>
> I reported a similar problem and got a response from Wayne Mills
> about it. Turns out, LoTW is only designed to update ONE WAS account
> that matches the corresponding QSO rules.
>
> So, if you, like me, had created several WAS accounts that have
> overlapping criteria, when the confirmation comes in it will only
> update one WAS account.
>
> The fix for this is two-fold. First, delete any WAS accounts that
> have overlapping criteria. To update the accounts that are left,
> modify the QSO criteria, save, then change them back and save. When
> you change the criteria for an account, it will re-run the

2704. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-02 11:51:54 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 2688 Message: 2704

Ok, this is even stranger. I have uploaded 12k of Q's, it only shows that I have 5 matches for WAS. Most of the Q's were with stateside contesters...

Pat
WW9R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 9:33 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Interesting....
I'll have to play with that.

On 2/1/2006 9:07:59 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
> On Feb 1, 2006, at 2:03 PM, R Johnson wrote:
>
> > Hi Bill:
> > Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you
> didn't understand what
> > I said.
> >
> > My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station
> > information
> > is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't
> be
> > confirmed by LoTW.
> >
> It's "QSO Detail is:
> > Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29
> >
> > The problem is that the QSO wasn't
> applied to my WAS account to
> > give me
> > 9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.
>
> I reported a similar problem and got a response from Wayne Mills
> about it. Turns out, LoTW is only designed to update ONE WAS account
> that matches the corresponding QSO rules.
>
> So, if you, like me, had created several WAS accounts that have
> overlapping criteria, when the confirmation comes in it will only
> update one WAS account.
>

> The fix for this is two-fold. First, delete any WAS accounts that
> have overlapping criteria. To update the accounts that are left,
> modify the QSO criteria, save, then change them back and save. When
> you change the criteria for an account, it will re-run the

Yahoo! Groups Links

2705. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-02 13:34:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2688 Message: 2705

Something is wrong here....
Are you sure you created the account correctly
You can have multiple WAS accounts
and each account can have various 'rules'
for instance
I have an account W9OL
it's rule takes in all stateside qso's
I have another RTTY
it's rule accepts only stateside rtty qso's
etc.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 5:51 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities

> Ok, this is even stranger. I have uploaded 12k of Q's, it only shows that I
> have 5 matches for WAS. Most of the Q's were with stateside contesters...
>
>
> Pat
> WW9R
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of FireBrick
> Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 9:33 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Abnormalities
>
> Interesting....
> I'll have to play with that.
>
>
> On 2/1/2006 9:07:59 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
>> On Feb 1, 2006, at 2:03 PM, R Johnson wrote:
>>
>> > Hi Bill:
>> > Maybe I express the problem clearly, or you
>> didn't understand what
>> > I said.
>> >
>> > My 80M QSO with W1NG in CT IS confirmed by LoTW. All station
>> > information

>> > is complete, and obviously the "QSO Time" matches or it wouldn't
>> be
>> > confirmed by LoTW.
>> >
>> It's "QSO Detail is:
>> > Record ID 50667501 Received: 2004-09-16 00:46:29
>> >
>> > The problem is that the QSO wasn't
>> applied to my WAS account to
>> > give me
>> > 9-80M/States. WAS shows only 8-80M/States confirmed.
>>
>> I reported a similar problem and got a response from Wayne Mills
>> about it. Turns out, LoTW is only designed to update ONE WAS account
>> that matches the corresponding QSO rules.
>>
>> So, if you, like me, had created several WAS accounts that have
>> overlapping criteria, when the confirmation comes in it will only
>> update one WAS account.
>>
>> The fix for this is two-fold. First, delete any WAS accounts that
>> have overlapping criteria. To update the accounts that are left,
>> modify the QSO criteria, save, then change them back and save. When
>> you change the criteria for an account, it will re-run the
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

>
> ---
>
>

2706. received today; CT1FUH; VE1OP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-03 12:08:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2706 Message: 2706

CT1FUH via LoTW for a 30 meter digital
thank you for the new band/mode confirmation

VE1OP via LoTW for 3 pages of contest qsls.
Wow, that just about covers PIE for any band, cw/rtty.

"There are two ways to write error-free programs; only the third one works.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2707. received today; 6Y2Z

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-03 20:00:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2707 Message: 2707

6Y2Z via K6VNX sase sent Nov-04-2005
thank you for both LoTW and hard card qsls for 80 & 160 ssb

Two most common elements in the universe: Hydrogen & Stupidity.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2708. Minnesota qso party uploading question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-05 14:51:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2708 Message: 2708

In the rules it said that stations operating mobile must sign w0xx/county.

I logged them that way as that's what they were sending and otherwise WL would detect them as dupes.

My big question is.....how will these stations be uploading their logs to LoTW.
Will they request separate certificates for each of the counties they operated in?
Or
Will they just use their base call and sign each county qso's separately.
(which would be my first guess)
Reason for asking is...A couple will be new counties for me.
(I'm aware that LoTW has no affiliation with counties awards, it just for me.)

Please and thank you.

I tried sniffing Coke once, but the ice cubes got stuck in my nose.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2709. My LoTW confirmations hit the 20% mark!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-06 08:58:07 UTC
From: gu0sup
Topic: 2709 Message: 2709

Hi all,

After entering the FMRE RTTY contest log, my confirmations have just tipped over the 20% mark!

That is amazing! Thanks to all!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

2710. can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-07 00:30:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2710 Message: 2710

I inadvertently misnamed a WAS account.
I can't seem to find a way to delete it....
Anybody have the way?

If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2711. Re: can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-07 00:50:17 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2710 Message: 2711

From "Awards" select the misnamed WAS account -->
On the left side of the page select "Modify Account" -->
In the Yellow Bar that says "Your Logbook WAS Account (XXXXX)"
you will see a small "Delete this Account" option. Click that
and the bad account is gone.

Bob K8KWT

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> I inadvertently misnamed a WAS account.
> I can't seem to find a way to delete it....
> Anybody have the way?
>
>
> ---
> If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>

2712. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-07 01:00:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2710 Message: 2712

Thanks Bob.
I went over that page and never noticed that in the Yellow Bar.
Getting old ..... sucks!

On 2/6/2006 6:50:17 PM, Bob Daniels (k8kwt@...) wrote:
> From "Awards" select the misnamed WAS account -->
> On the left side of the page select "Modify Account" -->
> In the Yellow Bar that says "Your Logbook WAS Account (XXXXX)"
> you will see a small "Delete this Account" option. Click that
> and the bad account is gone.
>
> Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
> >
> > I inadvertently misnamed a WAS account.
> > I can't seem to find a way to delete it....
> > Anybody have the way?
> >
> >
> > ---
> > If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> > weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg]
> Craft hobby [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ]

2713. Re: can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-07 01:16:34 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2710 Message: 2713

Thats okay. I owed you one for helping me get my two calls linked togather in one WAS account. I couldn't figure out the "rules". I agree getting old sucks !!

73 Bob K8KWT
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks Bob.
> I went over that page and never noticed that in the Yellow Bar.
> Getting old ..... sucks!
>

2714. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-08 19:48:55 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2710 Message: 2714

I think they live forever/. Create a new one with a new name.
I know that you cannot delete QSO data so I assume that means you cannot
delete an account either.
After all, someone may already have made use of it.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Monday, February 06, 2006 6:31 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] can I 'delete' an account
>
> I inadvertently misnamed a WAS account.
> I can't seem to find a way to delete it....
> Anybody have the way?
>
>
> ---
> If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2715. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] can I 'delete' an account

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-08 23:34:34 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2710 Message: 2715

Hi Gil:
If your referring to "WAS Accounts", can easily "Delete" them !

1 Select Awards
2-Select WAS
3-Select the "WAS Account" you want to delete
4-Select "Modify Account"
5-Look for the "Yellow Bar" at the top of the page saying:
"Your Logbook WAS Account (account name)
6-Click on the "Delete this Account" to the right of the above.
7-ALL DONE, GONZO !!!

I wish it was this easy with DXCC after you "Linked Accounts" !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
>> Sent: Monday, February 06, 2006 6:31 PM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] can I 'delete' an account
>>
>> I inadvertently misnamed a WAS account.
>> I can't seem to find a way to delete it....
>> Anybody have the way?
>>
>>
>> ---
>> If you are given a take-home exam, you will forget where you live.
>> ---
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
>> weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2716. LoTW instructions now in Spanish

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-09 20:30:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2716 Message: 2716

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/

The home page of LoTW has a notice on how to download a pdf translation of the LoTW instructions.

I'm holding out for the 1st century Latin version. <wink>

My family tree died in the last drought.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2717. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW instructions now in Spanish

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-10 08:50:37 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 2716 Message: 2717

They are currently working on a Klingon version !

Jerry, N9AVY

(Who finally got 5463 Q's uploaded to LoTW ! Only 667 confirmed.)
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; QSL List
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 2:30 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW instructions now in Spanish

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/

The home page of LoTW has a notice on how to download a pdf translation of the LoTW instructions.

I'm holding out for the 1st century Latin version. <wink>

My family tree died in the last drought.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2718. Mac OS X.4.4 users in spanish (prefered)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-11 01:56:37 UTC
From: yv4eya
Topic: 2718 Message: 2718

I look Mac users for guide in this, I appreciatte some step by step guide. If in spanish very
well. ;-)

Tks for the attention for all.

Many thank´s, 73

2719. Viewing LOTW Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-13 06:41:38 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2719 Message: 2719

I have a quick question for the group.

Tonight I wanted to see how close I was to the WAS LOTW award. It shows I have 3 to go. I know ND, HI and NV are the ones. Is there an easy way to see what the unconfirmed states are from the LOTW website? I'm asking this as I see on RTTY, I have 43 states confirmed, but will have to verify the others manually. I know that ND, HI and NV are three of them, but not sure what the other 4 are.

I worked HI on RTTY today and he said he'll be sending his log to LOTW this week. If anyone reading this is in NV or ND and use LOTW, I'd love to make a quick sked. Once the LOTW WAS award becomes available, since I have a qsl card confirming ND, will that count?

73--Scott
WY3X

2720. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Viewing LOTW Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-13 12:29:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2719 Message: 2720

Awards>select WAS Award Account>Account Credits>choose RTTY from the drop down menu and tick the button (All Entities)
Then click the 'Select WAS Award to View' button
All the states will be shown, and missing states will be evident as blank.

----- Original Message -----
From: "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2006 12:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Viewing LOTW Awards

>I have a quick question for the group.
>
> Tonight I wanted to see how close I was to the WAS LOTW award. It
> shows I have 3 to go. I know ND, HI and NV are the ones. Is there an
> easy way to see what the unconfirmed states are from the LOTW website?
> I'm asking this as I see on RTTY, I have 43 states confirmed, but will
> have to verify the others manually. I know that ND, HI and NV are
> three of them, but not sure what the other 4 are.
>
> I worked HI on RTTY today and he said he'll be sending his log to LOTW
> this week. If anyone reading this is in NV or ND and use LOTW, I'd
> love to make a quick sked. Once the LOTW WAS award becomes available,
> since I have a qsl card confirming ND, will that count?
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
> ---
>
>

2721. Re: Viewing LOTW Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-13 13:19:57 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2719 Message: 2721

FireBrick,

Thank you for the quick reply. I'll give it a try when I'm done my
first cup of coffee.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Awards>select WAS Award Account>Account Credits>choose RTTY from the
drop down menu and
> tick the button (All Entities)
> Then click the 'Select WAS Award to View' button
> All the states will be shown, and missing states will be evident as
blank.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, February 13, 2006 12:41 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Viewing LOTW Awards
>
>
> >I have a quick question for the group.
> >
> > Tonight I wanted to see how close I was to the WAS LOTW award. It
> > shows I have 3 to go. I know ND, HI and NV are the ones. Is there an
> > easy way to see what the unconfirmed states are from the LOTW website?
> > I'm asking this as I see on RTTY, I have 43 states confirmed, but will
> > have to verify the others manually. I know that ND, HI and NV are
> > three of them, but not sure what the other 4 are.
> >
> > I worked HI on RTTY today and he said he'll be sending his log to LOTW
> > this week. If anyone reading this is in NV or ND and use LOTW, I'd
> > love to make a quick sked. Once the LOTW WAS award becomes available,
> > since I have a qsl card confirming ND, will that count?
> >
> > 73--Scott
> > WY3X
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
> >
> >
> >

> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
>

2722. New LOTW Kudo's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-13 13:21:25 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2722 Message: 2722

So far I have 56 LOTW confirmations back from the CQ WPX RTTY contest, which only ended just over 12 hours ago. Among those confirmed already are Alaska, New Zealand, Latvia and Israel. Its very encouraging to see other countries using LOTW now. I know that in the next several days as people upload their logs to LOTW I'll have a lot more confirmed. Prior to me adding the latest .adi file to my ACLog, my confirmed qsl rate was better than 1 in 4, and I know a year ago it was more like 1 in 7. Great news IMHO.

73--Scott
WY3X

2723. Nevada - North Dakota

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-13 23:11:15 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2723 Message: 2723

Is there anyone in Nevada and North Dakota who uses LOTW that I can make a qso sked with for my WAS award when it becomes available? I can do it either SSB, CW, RTTY, PSK, Olivia or any other digital mode. Just a signal report exchange and u/l to LOTW. I've worked both states many times, but nobody has confirmed with LOTW. I can easily be reached at my email address listed here. I don't even want a paper QSL card, just LOTW.

Thanks!

Scott - WY3X

2724. Question ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-14 12:14:18 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 2724 Message: 2724

Hi All !

Still working on uploading files ... now at 6434 Q's with 861 confirmed.

Ran into problem with a couple of the .cbr files from TR, seems that I get message saying "missing contest file" while trying to convert it to TQ8. I looked at files and don't see anything weird.

Anyone want to venture a guess on this one ?

Thanks.

Jerry,

N9AVY

2725. Recent WPX QSL results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-14 15:19:28 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2725 Message: 2725

With 448 QSOS, I got 114 QSL hits. Thats about 25%. Unfortunately, the percentage when one examines the DX is not that good. With 110 DX QSOs, the rate sinks to just under 10%. This is an improvement from last year though. I wish we could get more DX stations to join the program. It would cut QSL costs dramatically. All of the big contest stations use computer logging so the only effort left is to get a certificate and upload.

Still I got two new countries and West Virginia confirmed via LotW which isn't too bad for 29 hrs. I would have more except I fell asleep from too much sleep lost with the FO-A, FO-M, and 3Y.

73 Ed KE3D

2726. received today V31YN via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-20 21:29:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2726 Message: 2726

V31YN via DJ4KW a LoTW 160 meter qsl.
In the ARRL DX CW contest.

Thanks Gerd for the new band/mode confirmation.

Plan to be spontaneous tomorrow.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2727. LOTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-20 22:39:59 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2727 Message: 2727

A couple of weeks ago I worked the WPX RTTY contest. Of the 355 qso's, I had 130 confirmations, 1 in 2.73 confirmed, which I think is great. This includes 10 different DXCC countries. I'd say 1/3 of the confirmations on LOTW, 50% were confirmed within the first 24 hours.

This weekend I worked the ARRL Worldwide CW contest. Of the 307 qso's, so far I've received 5 LOTW confirmations from 5 different countries. What are the chances that I'll get a 1 in 3 return on LOTW? Sure would be nice, but for some reason it appears the RTTY people are more likely to use LOTW.

I'm curious to see how this all shakes out, but anyone else think that I won't see as good of a LOTW confirmation rate as the RTTY contest?

All I need is Hawaii for my LOTW WAS award when it becomes available. I sent an email to the HI qso I had over the weekend and asked if they use LOTW. She replied that she never heard of it(??)

73--Scott
WY3X

2728. Re: LOTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-20 22:58:24 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2727 Message: 2728

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...> wrote:

, but for some reason it appears the RTTY people are more
> likely to use LOTW.
>

I'll go with that one.....but also add [as a relative newbie on
datamodes] that the 'Dataguys <and Gals>' seem to be much better
QSLers all round.

73

Graham [M5AAV]

2729. Re: LOTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 03:43:01 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2727 Message: 2729

What ever happened to holding off uploading your contest logs till
after the log submition date?

John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...>
wrote:
>
> A couple of weeks ago I worked the WPX RTTY contest. Of the 355
qso's,
> I had 130 confirmations, 1 in 2.73 confirmed, which I think is
great.
> This includes 10 different DXCC countries. I'd say 1/3 of the
> confirmations on LOTW, 50% were confirmed within the first 24
hours.
>
> This weekend I worked the ARRL Worldwide CW contest. Of the 307
qso's,
> so far I've received 5 LOTW confirmations from 5 different
countries.
> What are the chances that I'll get a 1 in 3 return on LOTW? Sure
would
> be nice, but for some reason it appears the RTTY people are more
> likely to use LOTW.
>
> I'm curious to see how this all shakes out, but anyone else think
that
> I won't see as good of a LOTW confirmation rate as the RTTY
contest?
>
> All I need is Hawaii for my LOTW WAS award when it becomes
available.
> I sent an email to the HI qso I had over the weekend and asked if
they
> use LOTW. She replied that she never heard of it(??)
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>

2730. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 05:12:43 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2727 Message: 2730

On Feb 20, 2006, at 10:43 PM, John wrote:

> What ever happened to holding off uploading your contest logs till
> after the log submition date?

There are, indeed, many folks who do this.

I don't happen to be one of them, but can respect those that do.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2731. ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 15:39:48 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2731 Message: 2731

Hello Folks

I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never thought about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people must do it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included UT which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy in WY to join LoTW, that would be another one!

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2732. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 15:48:12 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2731 Message: 2732
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2733. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 16:24:30 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 2731 Message: 2733

Dick, you must be right! I'm waiting for WY, too!
I'd like to see someone there "get with the program"!

Lance WN0L
----- Original Message -----
From: Richard Zalewski
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2006 9:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest

Good Job! I don't think any hams in WY have computers. I am waiting too.

Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

On 2/21/06, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@...pex.com> wrote:
Hello Folks

I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never thought
about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people must do
it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included UT
which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy in WY
to join LoTW, that would be another one!

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2734. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 17:05:14 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2731 Message: 2734

You guys talking about missing WY got me curious, so I went looking
at my LotW WAS records.

I found that if you want to confirm WY on LotW, one _good_ resource
would be to work Bob, WG7Y. He's confirmed WY on five bands for me,
80 through 10. All of them were contest contacts, SSB and RTTY.

Hope this helps.

73,
John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

On Feb 21, 2006, at 11:24 AM, Lance wrote:

> Dick, you must be right! I'm waiting for WY, too!
> I'd like to see someone there "get with the program"!
>
> Lance WN0L
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Richard Zalewski
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2006 9:48 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest
>
> Good Job! I don't think any hams in WY have computers. I am
> waiting too.
>
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com
>
>
> On 2/21/06, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@...> wrote:Hello Folks
>
> I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never thought
> about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people
> must do
> it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included UT
> which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy in WY
> to join LoTW, that would be another one!
>
> Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> Aberdeen
>
>
> --

> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com

2735. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 17:17:37 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2731 Message: 2735
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2736. Award Supported

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 17:21:39 UTC
From: Lorenzo Mendini
Topic: 2736 Message: 2736

Hi, how many award is supported by lotw except DXCC and WAS? Many thanks bye bye

2737. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-21 22:09:40 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2731 Message: 2737

Try WY7LL. He contests at least on RTTY and QSLs.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, John E Bastin <jbastin@...> wrote:
>
> You guys talking about missing WY got me curious, so I went
looking
> at my LotW WAS records.
>
> I found that if you want to confirm WY on LotW, one _good_
resource
> would be to work Bob, WG7Y. He's confirmed WY on five bands for
me,
> 80 through 10. All of them were contest contacts, SSB and RTTY.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> 73,
> John E Bastin, K8AJS
> jbastin@...
>
> On Feb 21, 2006, at 11:24 AM, Lance wrote:
>
> > Dick, you must be right! I'm waiting for WY, too!
> > I'd like to see someone there "get with the program"!
> >
> > Lance WN0L
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Richard Zalewski
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2006 9:48 AM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] ARRL DX Contest
> >
> > Good Job! I don't think any hams in WY have computers. I am
> > waiting too.
> >
> > Dick W7ZR
> > www.w7zr.com
> >
> >
> > On 2/21/06, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@...> wrote:Hello Folks
> >
> > I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never
thought

> > about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people
> > must do
> > it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included
UT
> > which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy
in WY
> > to join LoTW, that would be another one!
> >
> > Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> > Aberdeen
> >
> >
> > --
> > Tnx es 73
> > Dick W7ZR
> > www.w7zr.com
>

2738. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-22 12:47:39 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2731 Message: 2738

The WY stn I worked tells me that he thinks he will just stick with his traditional method of QSL'ing. I did tell him that LoTW would be much easier & more convenient for him. Of course it's his prerogative.

No doubt I will get the state confirmed sooner or later, I am in no hurry. It's all down to ham radio population in the individual states. I believe that DE & WY have a very small amount of radio hams. I remember when I first got WAS around 1980 the one I was stuck with was DE as it took ages to get a QSL. Strange but I already have DE confirmed on 10,15 & 20 with LoTW. Still need AR,HI,MS,ND,NV & WY. 1200 QSL's & not a bit of paper in sight. Bliss!

I will stop at 3 band WAS as working anything on 40 & 80 from this apartment is very difficult.

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
Tested on: 22/02/2006 12:47:39
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2739. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-22 15:36:44 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2731 Message: 2739

On Feb 22, 2006, at 7:47 AM, Dave Lindsay wrote:

> The WY stn I worked tells me that he thinks he will just stick with
> his
> traditional method of QSL'ing. I did tell him that LoTW would be much
> easier & more convenient for him. Of course it's his prerogative.
>
> No doubt I will get the state confirmed sooner or later, I am in no
> hurry. It's all down to ham radio population in the individual
> states. I
> believe that DE & WY have a very small amount of radio hams.

Here's a chance for all of us to get that elusive WY CW QSO, and maybe confirmed in LotW as well. I just found the announcement of a first-ever Seventh Call Area QSO Party to be held in May of this year.

<http://www.wy7fd.com/7th area_qso.htm>

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

2740. WY etc, and stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-22 18:26:07 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 2731 Message: 2740

Hi all,

Well, WY isn't my problem! I need MT confirmed on LoTW. I have worked N9ITX/7, but never had a QSL card at all, despite several direct ones, plus I have worked a few others, but none are on LoTW.

Apart from that, I now see my confirmed total running at a shade under 21%, which is amazing.

Cheers for now

Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.375 / Virus Database: 268.0.0/266 - Release Date: 21/02/2006

2741. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 02:45:29 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2731 Message: 2741

YeeHaa!

Worked 3 stations in ND in the ARRL DX contest, one was KD4POJ and
he says on QRZ he is good for LoTW.

Haven't uploaded my log yet, I just wait till after submittion cut
off, but Looks promising.

Hope I worked a few of you too, I had fun anyway.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Folks
>
> I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never
thought
> about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people
must do
> it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included
UT
> which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy
in WY
> to join LoTW, that would be another one!
>
> Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> Aberdeen
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
> Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

2742. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 03:57:26 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2731 Message: 2742

John,

I made a sked with Dwayne last week to confirm ND for LOTW myself. He was true to his word and uploaded to LOTW within a couple of hours of our QSO. I'm sure you'll definitely have ND confirmed with KD4POJ.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@...> wrote:
>
> YeeHaa!
>
> Worked 3 stations in ND in the ARRL DX contest, one was KD4POJ and
> he says on QRZ he is good for LoTW.
>
> Haven't uploaded my log yet, I just wait till after submittion cut
> off, but Looks promising.
>
> Hope I worked a few of you too, I had fun anyway.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@> wrote:
> >
> > Hello Folks
> >
> > I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never
> thought
> > about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of people
> must do
> > it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This included
> UT
> > which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the guy
> in WY
> > to join LoTW, that would be another one!
> >
> > Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> > Aberdeen
> >
> >
> > ---
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
> > Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49

> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > http://www.avast.com
> >
>

2743. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 05:08:57 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2731 Message: 2743

Very good Scott,

It's all a bit of fun. I had forgotten all about WAS and wasn't really fussed about DXCC. I signed up to LoTW to support the idea and for others benifit as much as anything, but it has now peaked my interest again. I find the whole thing facinating. For me I don't like paper work and if by uploading my information and someone else/machine does all the checking, that's a big plus for me. I can spend more time on the radio. Often when I am doing QSL cards I think "it takes longer to put the label and/or any notes on the card than the QSO took, especially if it was a contest or DX contact. I hope the concept will grow, I think it is a good one.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...> wrote:
>
> John,
>
> I made a sked with Dwayne last week to confirm ND for LOTW myself. He
> was true to his word and uploaded to LOTW within a couple of hours of
> our QSO. I'm sure you'll definitely have ND confirmed with KD4POJ.
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@> wrote:
> >
> > YeeHaa!
> >
> > Worked 3 stations in ND in the ARRL DX contest, one was KD4POJ and
> > he says on QRZ he is good for LoTW.
> >
> > Haven't uploaded my log yet, I just wait till after submittion cut
> > off, but Looks promising.
> >
> > Hope I worked a few of you too, I had fun anyway.
> >
> > 73 John ZL1BYZ.
> >
> >

> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello Folks
> > >
> > > I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never
> > thought
> > > about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of
people
> > must do
> > > it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This
included
> > UT
> > > which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the
guy
> > in WY
> > > to join LoTW, that would be another one!
> > >
> > > Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> > > Aberdeen
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > > Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
> > > Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49
> > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > http://www.avast.com
> > >
> >
>

2744. Re: ARRL DX Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 13:07:48 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2731 Message: 2744

John,

I just wished more hams had the same attitude about LOTW like you do, as I've embraced it for the same reasons as you have. When I as an active ham in the 1980s and 1990s, this service didn't exist, and I recall how much of a pain it was to be writing qsl cards all day. I just put incoming qsl cards in a box (which has long disappeared). I worked a New Zealand station on 40 meters a couple of weeks ago on RTTY. The next morning after I uploaded my log and I had the confirmation from him. Now its a confirmed country! I never had to write a qsl card and its recognized for DXCC credit. You're also right, the on-air exchanges take less time than putting the paper QSL card together.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@...> wrote:
>
> Very good Scott,
>
> It's all a bit of fun. I had forgotten all about WAS and wasn't
> really fussed about DXCC. I signed up to LoTW to support the idea
> and for others benifit as much as anything, but it has now peaked my
> interest again. I find the whole thing facinating. For me I don't
> like paper work and if by uploading my information and someone
> else/machine does all the checking, that's a big plus for me. I can
> spend more time on the radio. Often when I am doing QSL cards I
> think "it takes longer to put the label and/or any notes on the card
> than the QSO took, especially if it was a contest or DX contact. I
> hope the concept will grow, I think it is a good one.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@>
> wrote:
> >
> > John,
> >
> > I made a sked with Dwayne last week to confirm ND for LOTW myself.
> He
> > was true to his word and uploaded to LOTW within a couple of hours
> of
> > our QSO. I'm sure you'll definitely have ND confirmed with KD4POJ.
> >
> > 73--Scott

> > WY3X
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@> wrote:
> > >
> > > YeeHaa!
> > >
> > > Worked 3 stations in ND in the ARRL DX contest, one was KD4POJ
> and
> > > he says on QRZ he is good for LoTW.
> > >
> > > Haven't uploaded my log yet, I just wait till after submittion
> cut
> > > off, but Looks promising.
> > >
> > > Hope I worked a few of you too, I had fun anyway.
> > >
> > > 73 John ZL1BYZ.
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Hello Folks
> > > >
> > > > I also uploaded my small log to LoTW after the contest. Never
> > > thought
> > > > about waiting till after the log submission date. Lots of
> people
> > > must do
> > > > it as I have 10 confirmed already as of last night. This
> included
> > > UT
> > > > which leaves me needing 6 for WAS QRP. If I can browbeat the
> guy
> > > in WY
> > > > to join LoTW, that would be another one!
> > > >
> > > > Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> > > > Aberdeen
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > > > Virus Database (VPS): 0608-0, 20/02/2006
> > > > Tested on: 21/02/2006 15:39:49
> > > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > > http://www.avast.com
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

2745. How long does it take

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 14:41:38 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2745 Message: 2745

I submitted about 150 cards to an ARRL checked at the Orlando, Fl hamfest a couple of weeks ago and also requested DXCC credit for about 10 LOTW QSL's. Can anyone give me an idea what is the wait time involved with the process?

Julio

2746. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 14:52:19 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2745 Message: 2746

Check http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/appstatus.html

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 7:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

I submitted about 150 cards to an ARRL checked at the Orlando, Fl
hamfest a couple of weeks ago and also requested DXCC credit for about
10 LOTW QSL's. Can anyone give me an idea what is the wait time involved
with the process?

Julio

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2747. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 15:56:18 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2745 Message: 2747

Thanks for the reply Gerry. I checked the list and didn't see my call. However since my DXCC endorsement application was handled by an ARRL checker on about the 10th of Feb and I don't see any entries after the 11 Feb I guess mine hasn't been entered as yet.

Do I understand correctly, according to the page you gave me, that those applications received on Jan 6th have been processed? In other words they have received their certificate/endorsement or it is in the mail?

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 9:52 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Check http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/appstatus.html

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta <mailto:jperalta@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 7:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

I submitted about 150 cards to an ARRL checked at the Orlando, Fl hamfest a couple of weeks ago and also requested DXCC credit for about 10 LOTW QSL's. Can anyone give me an idea what is the wait time involved with the process?

Julio

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ>
hobby Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw> radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2748. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 16:02:18 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2745 Message: 2748

Message
Julio,

That's my understanding.

Right now they appear to be running 6 weeks behind applications received.

Keep an eye on the list and you call should appear there shortly after they receive the paperwork.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 8:56 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Thanks for the reply Gerry. I checked the list and didn't see my call. However since my DXCC endorsement application was handled by an ARRL checker on about the 10th of Feb and I don't see any entries after the 11 Feb I guess mine hasn't been entered as yet.

Do I understand correctly, according to the page you gave me, that those applications received on Jan 6th have been processed? In other words they have received their certificate/endorsement or it is in the mail?

Julio, W4HY
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 9:52 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Check http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/appstatus.html

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 7:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

I submitted about 150 cards to an ARRL checked at the Orlando, Fl
hamfest a couple of weeks ago and also requested DXCC credit for about
10 LOTW QSL's. Can anyone give me an idea what is the wait time involved
with the process?

Julio

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2749. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-23 16:06:02 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2745 Message: 2749

Thanks!

Julio

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 11:02 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Julio,

That's my understanding.

Right now they appear to be running 6 weeks behind applications received.

Keep an eye on the list and you call should appear there shortly after they receive the paperwork.

Gerry

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta <mailto:jperalta@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 8:56 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Thanks for the reply Gerry. I checked the list and didn't see my call. However since my DXCC endorsement application was handled by an ARRL checker on about the 10th of Feb and I don't see any entries after the 11 Feb I guess mine hasn't been entered as yet.

Do I understand correctly, according to the page you gave me, that those applications received on Jan 6th have been processed? In other words they have received their certificate/endorsement or it is in the mail?

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 9:52 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

Check http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/appstatus.html

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta <mailto:jperalta@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2006 7:41 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How long does it take

I submitted about 150 cards to an ARRL checked at the Orlando, Fl
hamfest a couple of weeks ago and also requested DXCC credit for about
10 LOTW QSL's. Can anyone give me an idea what is the wait time involved
with the process?

Julio

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and
+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDam
ai1MAw> and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supp
ly&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ>
hobby Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply
&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw> radio

-----

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

2750. nearing the 5 million qsl mark

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-24 00:05:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2750 Message: 2750

ARRL should give a plaque to the 5 Millionth LoTW Recipient.

Or one free Award submission or sumtin. <wink>

It might look like I'm doing nothing, but at the cellular level I'm really quite busy.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2751. actually 10 million qsls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-24 00:27:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2751 Message: 2751

I didn't state that correctly just short of 5 million qsl records really means 10 million qsls.

Which is even more reason for Dave and Wayne to give a personally signed Certificate to the TWO people who create the 5 millionth match.

The only substitute for good manners is fast reflexes.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2752. Olivia

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-27 16:20:09 UTC
From: robert_dwiggins
Topic: 2752 Message: 2752

Hello All,

LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW responds with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia QSO included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant of MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept Olivia after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's causing the errors?

73,

Bob NE5RD

2753. Re: Olivia

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-27 21:24:42 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2752 Message: 2753

Please check the version of TQSL you are running. I believe there was
a revision that fixed this acceptance of Olivia. I have uploaded
Olivia QSOs and even received a QSL for one. When you log manually
into LotW. The first screen that comes up has news on the left side.
Scroll down and you'll find the announcement. BEFORE YOU INSTALL ANY
NEW VERSION!!! SAVE YOUR CERTS AS P12!!!

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "robert_dwiggins"
<robert.dwiggins@...> wrote:
>
> Hello All,
>
> LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW responds
> with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia QSO
> included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant of
> MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before
> submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept
Olivia
> after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's
causing
> the errors?
>
> 73,
>
> Bob NE5RD
>

2754. Re: Olivia

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-28 04:57:51 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2752 Message: 2754

I just looked at my LOTW account and see that I have two valid Olivia qso's confirmed. So, it must be a valid mode. I'm not sure why its not working for you.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "robert_dwiggins" <robert.dwiggins@...> wrote:
>
> Hello All,
>
> LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW responds
> with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia QSO
> included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant of
> MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before
> submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept Olivia
> after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's causing
> the errors?
>
> 73,
>
> Bob NE5RD
>

2755. Re: Olivia

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-28 15:19:15 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2752 Message: 2755

I apologize for saying the LotW had that info. I did receive that info on another yahoo group (DXLABS). You need TQSL 1.11 to be able to upload OLIVIA mode contacts. Several other ADIF 2 changes have been made. If your logger is not ADIF2 compatible, you could have some problems with other things. But the newest version of TQSL is the ticket. Sorry if you looked and couldn't find the blurb on the LotW page. I'll ask them to post a note next phone call with Newington. Goog luck with olivia. It really works down into the noise if you don't mind the slow pace of typing.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@...> wrote:
>
> I just looked at my LOTW account and see that I have two valid Olivia
> qso's confirmed. So, it must be a valid mode. I'm not sure why its not
> working for you.
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "robert_dwiggins"
> <robert.dwiggins@> wrote:
> >
> > Hello All,
> >
> > LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW responds
> > with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia QSO
> > included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant of
> > MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before
> > submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept Olivia
> > after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's causing
> > the errors?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Bob NE5RD

> >
>

2756. Re: Olivia

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-28 16:20:29 UTC
From: robert_dwiggins
Topic: 2752 Message: 2756

I am using TQSL 1.11. In fact, this is a brand-new computer, so I had to do the installation from scratch over the weekend. I think it's something in the config.xml file, but I haven't been able to trick it into accepting OLIVIA. It will accept OLIVIA if I map it to DATA, but I want OLIVIA specifically. Is there a newer config.xml file out there?

BTW, I've tried to sign the ADIF file using one-line ADIF file exports from both MixW 2.16 and ACLog 2.9. Both logging softwares are the newest version. After going through that, I tried simply creating the QSO using the editor from TQSL. It wouldn't do it.....kept saying Olivia was an invalid mode. Olivia does show up as a valid mode on the LOTW help pages on the ARRL website.

What am I missing?

73,

Bob NE5RD

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "edctexas" <ed.cox@...> wrote:
>
> I apologize for saying the LotW had that info. I did receive that
> info on another yahoo group (DXLABS). You need TQSL 1.11 to be able
> to upload OLIVIA mode contacts. Several other ADIF 2 changes have
> been made. If your logger is not ADIF2 compatible, you could have
> some problems with other things. But the newest version of TQSL is
> the ticket. Sorry if you looked and couldn't find the blurb on the
> LotW page. I'll ask them to post a note next phone call with
> Newington. Goog luck with olivia. It really works down into the
> noise if you don't mind the slow pace of typing.
>
> 73 Ed KE3D
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@>
> wrote:
> >
> > I just looked at my LOTW account and see that I have two valid
> Olivia
> > qso's confirmed. So, it must be a valid mode. I'm not sure why its
> not
> > working for you.
> >
> > 73--Scott
> > WY3X
> >

> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, "robert_dwiggins"
> > <robert.dwiggins@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello All,
> > >
> > > LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW
> responds
> > > with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia
> QSO
> > > included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant
> of
> > > MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before
> > > submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept
> Olivia
> > > after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's
> causing
> > > the errors?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Bob NE5RD
> > >
> >
>

2757. Re: Olivia -- Figured it Out

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-02-28 17:00:41 UTC
From: robert_dwiggins
Topic: 2752 Message: 2757

Turns out that TQSL stores the "real" copy of its config.xml file under ..\Documents and Settings\...Application Data\TrustedQSL. I opened it up with a text editor and added a line for Olivia right under the line for PSK63. That made it show up in the picklist as a valid mode. I was able to sign the file without difficulty.

73,

Bob NE5RD

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "edctexas" <ed.cox@...> wrote:
>
> I apologize for saying the LotW had that info. I did receive that
> info on another yahoo group (DXLABS). You need TQSL 1.11 to be able
> to upload OLIVIA mode contacts. Several other ADIF 2 changes have
> been made. If your logger is not ADIF2 compatible, you could have
> some problems with other things. But the newest version of TQSL is
> the ticket. Sorry if you looked and couldn't find the blurb on the
> LotW page. I'll ask them to post a note next phone call with
> Newington. Goog luck with olivia. It really works down into the
> noise if you don't mind the slow pace of typing.
>
> 73 Ed KE3D
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "scottpa1960" <scottpa1960@>
> wrote:
> >
> > I just looked at my LOTW account and see that I have two valid
> Olivia
> > qso's confirmed. So, it must be a valid mode. I'm not sure why its
> not
> > working for you.
> >
> > 73--Scott
> > WY3X
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "robert_dwiggins"
> > <robert.dwiggins@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello All,
> > >
> > > LOTW does not appear to accept Olivia as a valid mode. LOTW
> responds
> > > with an error message whenever I attempt an upload with an Olivia
> QSO

> > > included. I think Olivia's basically an error-correcting variant
> of
> > > MFSK. If so, should I change my Olivia contacts to MFSK before
> > > submitting them to LOTW? Conversely, will LOTW actually accept
> Olivia
> > > after all but it's just me that's doing something wrong that's
> causing
> > > the errors?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Bob NE5RD
> > >
> >
>

2758. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Olivia -- Figured it Out

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-01 01:07:28 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2752 Message: 2758

At 12:00 PM 2/28/2006, robert_dwiggins wrote:
>Turns out that TQSL stores the "real" copy of its config.xml file
>under ..\Documents and Settings\...Application Data\TrustedQSL. I
>opened it up with a text editor and added a line for Olivia right
>under the line for PSK63. That made it show up in the picklist as a
>valid mode. I was able to sign the file without difficulty.

There's a much easier way to do that, which someone suggested earlier:
download the configuration file from the LoTW site.
Log in to LoTW, look for the "Nov 10, 2005: VO-52 satellite added" news
item, which has the pointer to the latest config.tq6. Download that,
open it with TQSLCert's "File/Load Certificate File" menu, and it'll
recognize Olivia. It may be a good idea to do that anyway. :-)
-Rick

2759. received today; HQ9H; PJ2MI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-01 01:38:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2759 Message: 2759

HQ1H via LoTW..for a 160 meter qso!!!
Thank you guys for the new one on 160 and the very quick qsl.

PJ2MI via LoTW...for a whole lot of qso's in a whole lot of band/modes.

Good judgment comes from bad experience and a lot of that comes from bad judgment.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2760. Maine - RTTY

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-01 22:07:17 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2760 Message: 2760

All I need is a very quick qso on RTTY with someone in Maine who uses LOTW. Is there anyone who can help me out? This is the only State I need for RTTY WAS. Please email me if you can help out wy3x (at) arrl.net

Thanks,

Scott - WY3X

2761. Re: Olivia -- Figured it Out

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-01 22:36:46 UTC
From: robert_dwiggins
Topic: 2752 Message: 2761

Thanks, Rick!

Tried it, and it worked like a charm. It's a little
counter-intuitive, but at least I'll know where to look next time.

73,

Bob NE5RD

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
>
> At 12:00 PM 2/28/2006, robert_dwiggins wrote:
> >Turns out that TQSL stores the "real" copy of its config.xml file
> >under ..\Documents and Settings\...Application Data\TrustedQSL. I
> >opened it up with a text editor and added a line for Olivia right
> >under the line for PSK63. That made it show up in the picklist as a
> >valid mode. I was able to sign the file without difficulty.
>
> There's a much easier way to do that, which someone suggested earlier:
> download the configuration file from the LoTW site.
> Log in to LoTW, look for the "Nov 10, 2005: VO-52 satellite added" news
> item, which has the pointer to the latest config.tq6. Download that,
> open it with TQSLCert's "File/Load Certificate File" menu, and it'll
> recognize Olivia. It may be a good idea to do that anyway. :-)
> -Rick
>

2762. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Maine - RTTY

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-01 23:08:35 UTC
From: Bruce & Gab
Topic: 2760 Message: 2762

Too bad, I spent 2 weeks there over the holidays as N7TY/1 on RTTY and CW.
I am planning another trip there this spring, I will post a note to the
reflector before I depart.

73 de Bruce, N7TY

Bruce C. Thompson
Gabrielle T. Steinau
Coronado, CA

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of scottpa1960
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2006 14:07
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Maine - RTTY

All I need is a very quick qso on RTTY with someone in Maine who uses
LOTW. Is there anyone who can help me out? This is the only State I
need for RTTY WAS. Please email me if you can help out wy3x (at) arrl.net

Thanks,

Scott - WY3X

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+cra
ft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw>
and craft supply Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w
2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ> hobby Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=
Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw> radio

_____

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

_____

2763. received today via LoTW: J68RI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-02 19:00:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2763 Message: 2763

J68RI via LoTW for 2 SSSB band/modes.
Thank you guys. \
(see, the rumor that I don't own a mic is untrue) :-)))

You need a Lifestyle change if: Your property has been mistaken for a recycling center.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2764. received today: K0HW, South Dakota, IK2XRW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-02 19:03:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2764 Message: 2764

K0HW via LoTW South Dakota
worked last night on 160 rtty.

IK2XRW via LoTW
for a number of contest band/modes
thank you sir

No one is listening until you make a mistake.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2765. received today: JW8AJA

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-02 22:29:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2765 Message: 2765

JW8AJA via LoTW for a 30 meter rtty qso.
8 days for qso to delivery.
Thank you Andreas....

A dry sense of humor is better than slobbering everywhere.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2766. LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 00:16:45 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2766 Message: 2766

I have a couple of questions about the LOTW WAS award. I'm trying to find out any information if it is live yet. The only thing I can find is on the LOTW website under my account that it should be active by the end of February. Any update on this would be appreciated.

My other question. I have 49 states confirmed via LOTW. It is very difficult for me to work Hawaii from my QTH, but have worked three different HI ops this winter. None of them use LOTW apparently, but I did get a QSL card in the mail today from AH6OZ. If the LOTW WAS is ready or almost ready, will the ARRL accept the Hawaii qsl card along with the 49 LOTW WAS confirmations?

Thanks in advance.

73--Scott
WY3X

PS--Firebrick, why do you get all the cool DXCC confirmations on LOTW??

2767. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 00:42:00 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2766 Message: 2767

On Mar 6, 2006, at 7:16 PM, scottpa1960 wrote:

> My other question. I have 49 states confirmed via LOTW. It is very
> difficult for me to work Hawaii from my QTH, but have worked three
> different HI ops this winter.

Get on an operate a contest or two. Most contesters use computerized logging, so chances are good that any Hawaii operators you work will submit your QSO.

The same is true for RTTY operators.

> None of them use LOTW apparently, but I
> did get a QSL card in the mail today from AH6OZ. If the LOTW WAS is
> ready or almost ready, will the ARRL accept the Hawaii qsl card along
> with the 49 LOTW WAS confirmations?

The ARRL does this for DXCC -- you can submit a combined application. I'm sure they'll have some sort of policy for WAS, whenever it is they get it worked out.

> PS--Firebrick, why do you get all the cool DXCC confirmations on
> LOTW??

You mean the J68RI? I got him on three bands. Contests, man, contests!

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2768. Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 01:04:37 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2766 Message: 2768

Bill,

Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a mixed variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.

As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and some SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those 1,367 are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine on CW several times this winter).

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> On Mar 6, 2006, at 7:16 PM, scottpa1960 wrote:
>
> > My other question. I have 49 states confirmed via LOTW. It is very
> > difficult for me to work Hawaii from my QTH, but have worked three
> > different HI ops this winter.
>
> Get on an operate a contest or two. Most contesters use computerized
> logging, so chances are good that any Hawaii operators you work will
> submit your QSO.
>
> The same is true for RTTY operators.
>
> > None of them use LOTW apparently, but I
> > did get a QSL card in the mail today from AH6OZ. If the LOTW WAS is
> > ready or almost ready, will the ARRL accept the Hawaii qsl card along
> > with the 49 LOTW WAS confirmations?
>
> The ARRL does this for DXCC -- you can submit a combined application.
> I'm sure they'll have some sort of policy for WAS, whenever it is
> they get it worked out.
>
> > PS--Firebrick, why do you get all the cool DXCC confirmations on
> > LOTW??
>
> You mean the J68RI? I got him on three bands. Contests, man, contests!
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

>

2769. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 01:18:38 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 2766 Message: 2769

AH6OZ has definitely confirmed some Q's with me via LOTW so good chance he'll do same with you!

Numerous other SSB/RTTY contesters have done same -- among them KH6FI and KH6GMP.

73,
Mike WA0SXV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottpa1960
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 18:05
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS

Bill,

Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a mixed variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.

As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and some SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those 1,367 are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine on CW several times this winter).

73--Scott
WY3X

2770. Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 01:51:37 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2766 Message: 2770

Mike,

Yes, Walt indicated to me he uses LOTW, so I am hopeful he will do
that again soon. Looking back at my log, I've also worked the
following from Hawaii: KH6WT, WH6R, and KH7X. I have sent an email
each time I have worked HI, and one person didn't know what LOTW was.

73--Scott
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Mellinger WA0SXV" <wa0sxv@...>
wrote:
>
> AH6OZ has definitely confirmed some Q's with me via LOTW so good chance
> he'll do same with you!
>
> Numerous other SSB/RTTY contesters have done same -- among them
KH6FI and
> KH6GMP.
>
> 73,
> Mike WA0SXV
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 18:05
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS
>
> Bill,
>
> Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a mixed
> variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.
>
> As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and some
> SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those 1,367
> are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't
> have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine on CW
> several times this winter).
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>

2771. TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 01:58:27 UTC
From: k2yr
Topic: 2771 Message: 2771

I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything worked fine, all is well.

But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed instructions that are posted on the LotW site.

One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!

The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!

So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I had backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted the old one because I thought having the two would make things unhappy?? Maybe not?

TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?

What is correct? One year or three?

Thanks,
-Carl, K2YR

2772. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 02:04:24 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2771 Message: 2772

I have a friend who also reported to me that his just renewed certificates were to expire in 2009 or a 3 year term. I at first thought he was wrong. I guess not. Just like the ARRL to forget to tell us.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of k2yr
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 8:58 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Cert renewal

I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything worked fine, all is well.

But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed instructions that are posted on the LotW site.

One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!

The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!

So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I had backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted the old one because I thought having the two would make things unhappy?? Maybe not?

TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?

What is correct? One year or three?

Thanks,
-Carl, K2YR

Yahoo! Groups Links

2773. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 02:05:30 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2771 Message: 2773

At 08:58 PM 3/6/2006, k2yr wrote:
>I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything
>worked fine, all is well.
>
>But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed
>instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
>
>One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red
>circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
>
>The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned
>and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
>certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
>
>So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I had
>backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted
>the old one because I thought having the two would make things
>unhappy?? Maybe not?

It will cause you to sign logs using the now outdated certificate (at least it did in my case) so deleting the duff one is a good idea.

This circumstance is (poorly) documented on the LoTW page. See the Aug 23, 2005 entry, "Renewing a Certificate leaves the Old Certificate also". I don't know why the detailed instructions haven't been corrected.

>TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from
>now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
>
>What is correct? One year or three?

Three years makes sense. The one year renewal period is too frequent given the low risk of misuse. If they've really moved to three years that'd be a good thing.
-Rick

2774. Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 02:08:12 UTC
From: k2yr
Topic: 2771 Message: 2774

Yes, I looked for this because a friend also told me the same thing
(3 years to expire) and I also didn't believe him. 73 -Carl, K2YR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
wrote:
>
> I have a friend who also reported to me that his just renewed
> certificates were to expire in 2009 or a 3 year term. I at first
thought
> he was wrong. I guess not. Just like the ARRL to forget to tell us.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of k2yr
> Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 8:58 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Cert renewal
>
>
> I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything
> worked fine, all is well.
>
> But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed
> instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
>
> One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red
> circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
>
> The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned
> and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
> certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
>
> So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I
had
> backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted
> the old one because I thought having the two would make things
> unhappy?? Maybe not?
>
> TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from
> now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
>
> What is correct? One year or three?

>
> Thanks,
> -Carl, K2YR
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

2775. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 02:14:10 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2766 Message: 2775

Hi:
I just checked my log and I have AH6OZ confirmed by LoTW on 15M from
the 2002 ARRL DX SSB contest.

You might want email him and request him if he would upload the QSO
you need. He can upload a single QSO if he doesn't have the whole log
ready.

I don't think this feature of LoTW is used much, but this is a good
example for it's use.

Might as well go for 100% LoTW WAS and save the hassle of splitting
paper and electronic.

Another HI station confirmed by LoTW, is KH6GMP.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 19:16 3/6/2006, scottpa1960 wrote:
>I have a couple of questions about the LOTW WAS award. I'm trying to
>find out any information if it is live yet. The only thing I can find
>is on the LOTW website under my account that it should be active by
>the end of February. Any update on this would be appreciated.
>
>My other question. I have 49 states confirmed via LOTW. It is very
>difficult for me to work Hawaii from my QTH, but have worked three
>different HI ops this winter. None of them use LOTW apparently, but I
>did get a QSL card in the mail today from AH6OZ. If the LOTW WAS is
>ready or almost ready, will the ARRL accept the Hawaii qsl card along
>with the 49 LOTW WAS confirmations?
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>73--Scott
>WY3X
>
>PS--Firebrick, why do you get all the cool DXCC confirmations on LOTW??
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>

2776. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 02:34:35 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2766 Message: 2776

On Mar 6, 2006, at 8:04 PM, scottpa1960 wrote:

> As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and some
> SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those 1,367
> are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't
> have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY.

I have over 30,000 QSOs in LoTW (every QSO, going back to 1975 as a Novice). I only have about 1000 or so RTTY contacts, because I just got active on that mode in the past year. I do have a confirmation for Maine on RTTY, with W1SLF.

LoTW confirmations are partly luck, I've decided. For example, I have gotten an Sweep in SS Phone at least six times, but it wasn't until recent years that I actually got enough LoTW confirmations to qualify for WAS (Mixed, Phone and 20m). Working the stations is on thing, getting the confirmation is another.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2777. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 03:00:04 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2771 Message: 2777

On Mar 6, 2006, at 5:58 PM, k2yr wrote:

> I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything
> worked fine, all is well.
>
> But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed
> instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
>
> One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red
> circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
>
> The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned
> and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
> certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
>
> So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I had
> backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted
> the old one because I thought having the two would make things
> unhappy?? Maybe not?
>
> TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from
> now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
>
> What is correct? One year or three?
>

I noticed this also, but the expiration date for QSOs is one year!
Go figure....

Bob, N7XY

2778. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 09:54:49 UTC
From: Fabio IZ4AFW
Topic: 2771 Message: 2778

At 03:08 07/03/2006, you wrote:
> > I have a friend who also reported to me that his just renewed
> > certificates were to expire in 2009 or a 3 year term. I at first
>thought
> > he was wrong. I guess not. Just like the ARRL to forget to tell us.
> >
> > Julio, W4HY

I too can confirm this with my last certificate request, it lasts 3 years.
I thought it was because a "portable" cert, but probably this is not
the case...
my license in HI9 only lasts a little more than 3 months, and the
certificate 3 years....

Certificate 106485
Valid:2006-02-14 00:00:00 - 2009-02-13 23:59:59
Call sign:IZ4AFW/HI9
DXCC entity:DOMINICAN REPUBLIC (72)
QSO Dates:2005-11-22 00:00:00 - 2006-03-31 23:59:59

Ciao
Fabio IZ4AFW

2779. 5 million qsl records passed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 14:16:08 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2779 Message: 2779

Wonder who was the lucky 5 millionth recipient.

I had heard that the prize was a dinner for 2 at the Newington White Castle.

"The sun ain't yellow, its chicken." -Bob Dylan

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2780. Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 16:47:56 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 2766 Message: 2780

A couple of points to ponder:

1. Putting AH6OZ into 'Find Call' in LoTW shows that he has not uploaded his log since 01-01-2004, so I guess he's not really into LoTW, and

2. HB9BZA's web site - http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/lotw-dx.html - lists 54 KH6 calls in LoTW, so hopefully, Scott will be able to work on of those for LoTW WAS!

73 - Ivan G4BGW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Mellinger WA0SXV" <wa0sxv@...> wrote:
>
> AH6OZ has definitely confirmed some Q's with me via LOTW so good chance
> he'll do same with you!
>
> Numerous other SSB/RTTY contesters have done same -- among them KH6FI and
> KH6GMP.
>
> 73,
> Mike WA0SXV
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 18:05
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS
>
> Bill,
>
> Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a mixed
> variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.
>
> As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and some
> SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those 1,367
> are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't
> have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine on CW

> several times this winter).
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>

2781. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 16:59:09 UTC
From: HK3CW
Topic: 2766 Message: 2781

Or that Ham radio is just a HOBBY and he has other things to think about. Or that he hasn't made that many qsos and prefers to wait until he has a fair amount of q's to upload.
The thing is that is he's already in LoTW, so there is no hurry. It's just when your dying the get a certain qsl in the mail ..you wait, write to the manager, cry, sweat etc...then one day the qsl comes in the mail...you look at it for a while, "think oh great, at last"..-.then throw it in the qsl stack and don't remember about it for years.

73 de HK3CW ROB
----- Original Message -----
From: Ivan Wilson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2006 11:47 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS

A couple of points to ponder:

1. Putting AH6OZ into 'Find Call' in LoTW shows that he has not uploaded his log since 01-01-2004, so I guess he's not really into LoTW, and

2. HB9BZA's web site - http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/lotw-dx.html - lists 54 KH6 calls in LoTW, so hopefully, Scott will be able to work on of those for LoTW WAS!

73 - Ivan G4BGW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Mellinger WA0SXV" <wa0sxv@...> wrote:
>
> AH6OZ has definitely confirmed some Q's with me via LOTW so good chance
> he'll do same with you!
>
> Numerous other SSB/RTTY contesters have done same -- among them KH6FI and
> KH6GMP.
>
> 73,
> Mike WA0SXV
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of scottpa1960
> Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 18:05

> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS
>
> Bill,
>
> Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a mixed
> variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.
>
> As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY and
some
> SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of those
1,367
> are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I don't
> have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine on CW
> several times this winter).
>
> 73--Scott
> WY3X
>

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2782. Re: LOTW WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-07 19:16:33 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2766 Message: 2782

Rob,

Exactly what you said at the end of your message is the reason I am a big advocate of LOTW. I hate exchanging QSL cards. Its so much easier to take a contest .adi file of say 300 qso's and within 2 minutes you can have it uploaded to LOTW. I did receive my card from AH6OZ yesterday and its now hanging up in the hamshack. Most others I get just go into a big pile never to be seen again. Yes, I do send out a qsl card it requested, but whats the point when we can get credited with LOTW.

By the way, I did notice in my logbook I have worked 3 stations in Maine that I have worked on RTTY since January. I looked on HB9BZA's site last night and none of them are registered on LOTW. So, I am sending out paper qsl card with sase so hopefully that will be out of the way and I can get the RTTY WAS award when its available too. Sure would be nice though to have HI and ME confirmed LOTW style.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "HK3CW" <cwdude@...> wrote:
>
> Or that Ham radio is just a HOBBY and he has other things to think about. Or that he hasn't made that many qsos and prefers to wait until he has a fair amount of q's to upload.
> The thing is that is he's already in LoTW, so there is no hurry. It's just when your dying the get a certain qsl in the mail ..you wait, write to the manager, cry, sweat etc...then one day the qsl comes in the mail...you look at it for a while, "think oh great, at last"..-.then throw it in the qsl stack and don't remember about it for years.
>
> 73 de HK3CW ROB
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ivan Wilson
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2006 11:47 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS
>
>
>
> A couple of points to ponder:
>
> 1. Putting AH6OZ into 'Find Call' in LoTW shows that he has not
> uploaded his log since 01-01-2004, so I guess he's not really

into
> LoTW, and
>
> 2. HB9BZA's web site - http://rchalmas.users.ch/lotw/lotw-
dx.html -
> lists 54 KH6 calls in LoTW, so hopefully, Scott will be able to
work
> on of those for LoTW WAS!
>
> 73 - Ivan G4BGW
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Mellinger WA0SXV"
> <wa0sxv@> wrote:
> >
> > AH6OZ has definitely confirmed some Q's with me via LOTW so
good
> chance
> > he'll do same with you!
> >
> > Numerous other SSB/RTTY contesters have done same -- among
them
> KH6FI and
> > KH6GMP.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike WA0SXV
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> On Behalf
> > Of scottpa1960
> > Sent: Monday, March 06, 2006 18:05
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW WAS
> >
> > Bill,
> >
> > Thanks for the comments about the DXCC being allowed to be a
mixed
> > variety. Hopefully WAS will be the same way.
> >
> > As for contesting, I am very active in contesting of CW, RTTY
and
> some
> > SSB. I have 2,870 qso's logged since Oct. 30, 2005, and of
those
> 1,367
> > are RTTY qso's. So, its just a very unusual situation that I

don't
> > have Maine logged or confirmed on RTTY. (I have worked Maine
on CW
> > several times this winter).
> >
> > 73--Scott
> > WY3X
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
>
>
> ---

> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
> ---

>

2783. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 5 million qsl records passed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-08 08:41:02 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 2779 Message: 2783

..I wonder how the winning Australian OM got there..

> Wonder who was the lucky 5 millionth recipient.
>
> I had heard that the prize was a dinner for 2 at the Newington White
> Castle.

--
Bis zu 70% Ihrer Onlinekosten sparen: GMX SmartSurfer!
Kostenlos downloaden: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/smartsurfer

2784. latest YO3APJ contest logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-08 12:33:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2784 Message: 2784

Have were received today, qsl requests are in the mail and logs uploaded to LoTW.

"The world will end in 5 minutes. Please log out.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2785. LOTW Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-08 19:49:58 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2785 Message: 2785

I sent an email to arrl-help (at) arrl.org and asked for clarification on when the WAS award would be available on LOTW. So far there has been no response. Did I send my question to the wrong email address? If so, can someone either post it here or to my email address which is wy3x (at) arrl.net

Also, I was under the impression that the LOTW folks in Newington monitored this site. I was hoping to get an official reply to my post here. I'm really not trying to be pushy but as a member of the ARRL I was hoping to hear something, especially when the LOTW website said it would be ready no later than the end of February. (It didn't say 2006, however).

73--Scott
WY3X

2786. WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 00:21:00 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2456 Message: 2786

K5GO came up trumps for me with AR confirmed on 10,15 & 20m CW.

Only five more required for WAS QRP.

Dave GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0610-0, 06/03/2006
Tested on: 09/03/2006 00:21:01
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2787. Re: WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 02:07:36 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2456 Message: 2787

Dave,

If you need Pennsylvania, let me know. Congratulations with 45 states QRP...thats fantastic.

73-Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Dave Lindsay <gm4hqf@...> wrote:
>
> K5GO came up trumps for me with AR confirmed on 10,15 & 20m CW.
>
> Only five more required for WAS QRP.
>
> Dave GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> Aberdeen
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0610-0, 06/03/2006
> Tested on: 09/03/2006 00:21:01
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

2788. Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 07:20:42 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2771 Message: 2788

Interesting about the 3 years till expiry date. I noticed when I got my TQSL cert which is the first one for me that it's expiry date was about 3 years away but all the info still said 1 year, very confusing. I also thought I read somewhere that you got an email reminder when the renewal was comming up, does this happen or not?

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "k2yr" <k2yr@...> wrote:
>
> I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything
> worked fine, all is well.
>
> But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the detailed
> instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
>
> One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the red
> circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
>
> The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned
> and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
> certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
>
> So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I
had
> backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I deleted
> the old one because I thought having the two would make things
> unhappy?? Maybe not?
>
> TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from
> now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
>
> What is correct? One year or three?
>
> Thanks,
> -Carl, K2YR
>

2789. Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 07:45:34 UTC
From: kiwim50
Topic: 2771 Message: 2789

John
I have always had an email reminder to renew.
Neil ZL2UNR
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@...> wrote:
>
> Interesting about the 3 years till expiry date. I noticed when I
> got my TQSL cert which is the first one for me that it's expiry
date
> was about 3 years away but all the info still said 1 year, very
> confusing. I also thought I read somewhere that you got an email
> reminder when the renewal was comming up, does this happen or not?
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "k2yr" <k2yr@> wrote:
> >
> > I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First, everything
> > worked fine, all is well.
> >
> > But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the
detailed
> > instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
> >
> > One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the
red
> > circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
> >
> > The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is
returned
> > and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original
> > certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
> >
> > So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure I
> had
> > backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I
deleted
> > the old one because I thought having the two would make things
> > unhappy?? Maybe not?
> >
> > TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years from
> > now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
> >
> > What is correct? One year or three?
> >
> > Thanks,

> > -Carl, K2YR
> >
>

2790. Just need US!?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 13:39:19 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 2790 Message: 2790

LOTWers!

Getting ready for a Challenge submission...and guess what...I need a US QSO on 17 meters!....I have US on everything from 160 through Satellite but no 17 Meters....really strange....

Anyone interested in a quick sked?

73

Lou N2TU

2791. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Just need US!?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 13:45:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2790 Message: 2791

18135??? I'm there, give me a call.

On 3/9/2006 7:39:19 AM, n2tu (n2tu@...) wrote:
> LOTWers!
>
> Getting ready for a Challenge submission...and guess what...I need a
> US QSO on 17 meters!....I have US on everything from 160 through
> Satellite but no 17 Meters....really strange....
>
> Anyone interested in a quick sked?
>
> 73
>
> Lou N2TU
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.
> sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw] Craft hobby [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.
> sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ] Ham radio [link: groups.yahoo.
>
com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.
> sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw]
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]"
> on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW-

> unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]
>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to th

2792. Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 15:02:00 UTC
From: kc4ih
Topic: 2792 Message: 2792

I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so confusing in all my life with all the different certificates, attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all of this.
Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were used you would request five more for future submissions.

16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys, but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.

I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone could come up with a more complicated system of making something secure.

Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as it is now.

I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the subject.

Ken,
WS4V

2793. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 15:08:26 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2792 Message: 2793

Ken,

I find that once you have your initial certificate, the upload procedure is simple, quick and straightforward.

-Export your log to ADIF
-Sign the ADIF with TQSL
-Upload the .tq8 created by TQSL on the LoTW upload page
-Done

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: kc4ih
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 8:02 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so confusing in all my life with all the different certificates, attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all of this.
Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were used you would request five more for future submissions.

16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys, but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.

I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone could come up with a more complicated system of making something secure.

Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as it is now.

I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the subject.

Ken,
WS4V

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2794. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 15:38:59 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2792 Message: 2794

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 07:02 AM 3/9/2006, kc4ih wrote:
>I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
>uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
>confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
>attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all
>of this.

~~~~~~
Welcome to the club, Ken.

Your observations have been echoed many, many times since LOTW began, but the ARRL is incredibly deaf to our complaints. The overly-complex procedure is one of the strangest, most inexplicable things I have ever encountered in my entire life. It is as if QSLs needed higher security than the plans for constructing nuclear weapons. Mere money is trivial by comparison.

The only way this will ever be fixed is by action of the ARRL Board of Directors. I suggest you write your board member and let him know in no uncertain terms what you want and how you intend to vote at the next election, and then follow through.

73, Bill W6WRT
~~~~~~

2795. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 15:26:13 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2792 Message: 2795

Ken WS4V wrote..."and that would be secure enough."

Secure enough? We're talking LOTW and DXCC credits here, pal.... how could anything be "secure enough" to protect that? There is apparently a belief that some hams are so clever and devious that they could...and would... hack into the LOTW system and give themselves credit for entities they haven't worked. Or even worse, muck about with the records of others. I know, that's almost beyond belief, isn't it? But there it is... and because of that awful possibility, no system can really be "secure enough" for LOTW.

But seriously, Ken... you will find that many logging programs now have a built-in capability to upload to LOTW with just a few keystrokes. I use DXKeeper, part of AA6YQ's brilliant DXLab completely FREE suite of programs that will seamlessly do everything but kiss you goodnight, and I think it's absolutely the best I've ever tried...even better than the ones you have to pay $$ for... google it and check it out. Once you have your LOTW certificate from the ARRL website, DXKeeper handles LOTW so easily a caveman could do it.... oops....

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: kc4ih
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 10:02 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so confusing in all my life with all the different certificates, attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all of this.
Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were used you would request five more for future submissions.

16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys, but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.

I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone could come up with a more complicated system of making something secure.

Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as it is now.

I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the subject.

Ken,
WS4V

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2796. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 15:56:10 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2792 Message: 2796

Hello kc4ih,

Ken, some logging software already have "one button" support of the
LoTW: signing QSOs, uploading them to the LoTW server, downloading
received confirmations, and marking them as "received" in your log.

Initial steps might look complicated, but no one can say that DXCC
integrity is the thing in question after LoTW implementation. That is
the point, not registration hassle.

k> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
k> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
k> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
k> attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all
k> of this.
k> Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit
k> serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial
k> number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file
k> and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were
k> used you would request five more for future submissions.

k> 16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys,
k> but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.

k> I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone
k> could come up with a more complicated system of making something
k> secure.

k> Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's
k> always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their
k> coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as
k> it is now.

k> I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame
k> mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the
k> subject.

k> Ken,
k> WS4V

k>
k> Yahoo! Groups Links

k>

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"I'd probably be famous now if I wasn't such a good waitress." [Jane Siberry]

2797. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 16:01:30 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2792 Message: 2797

The short answer is - NO!

A 16 digit credit card number is not secure at all ... it also requires the CVV number, expiration date, name as is appears on the credit card, and billing address all of which must match the data on file with the card issuer (bank) before an on-line transaction will be approved.

Much of the "security" actually takes place in the bank's "back office" since they already have access to dossier on any potential new customer in the form of the credit reporting service databases. They can check every item on your new application (including previous payment history, employment history and address history) for verification purposes before opening an account. Finally, they have the ultimate cross-check ... the check or wire transfer you use to initially fund your account must clear before you are able to use the account (money talks ... bs walks).

LotW is very simple compared to what you don't see in on-line banking. With LotW you fill out an application and wait to receive a card (just like the wait for an initial credit or debit card). When you receive the (post) card, you go online to activate the account. Once the account is activated, you are sent a confirming certificate that you install on your computer (and backup on a floppy, etc. in case you ever need to reinstall tQSL). When the certificate has been installed, you may use it to sign ADIF files for upload to LotW.

It is a very simple, straight forward system with reasonable provisions to insure security and data integrity. If you use any of several logging programs that now support LotW uploads, once you have installed your certificate, you don't even need to do anything more than click a button that says "upload any new QSOs to Logbook of the World."

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kc4ih
> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 10:02 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
>
>
> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
> attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap

> compared to all
> of this.
> Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit
> serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial
> number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file
> and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were
> used you would request five more for future submissions.
>
> 16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys,
> but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare
> DX country.
>
> I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone
> could come up with a more complicated system of making something
> secure.
>
> Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's
> always about the money) I think that an easier system would
> fill their
> coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as
> it is now.
>
> I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame
> mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the
> subject.
>
> Ken,
> WS4V
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2798. Re: Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 16:10:16 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2792 Message: 2798

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc4ih" <ws4v@...> wrote:
>
> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
> attachments, etc.....

Speaking as a thick 'limey'. What are you finding hard ?

Unless you are in fact trying to go in via the ARRL website as you say and not the LoTW one - now that could be hard work <grin>

I have a password to access LoTW on the www [and my browser saves that anyway, just like it does with yahoo gruppen]

I have a password with which to 'sign' my adi files - and I chose that one.

I sign the file, attach it to an email, and send it. Ten minutes later [on a contest weekend it might be 20] I get an answer. Job done.

If I want to submit via the www. Log on to LoTW using the 'given' password, click on the correct button [which even I can find] and browse for the tq8 file [duly signed of course] and click send.

I upload on average eight logs a month for myself and others, and run four certificates [soon to be 6]

Graham.

2799. secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 16:22:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2799 Message: 2799

Jerry and Joe are correct. How can our qsl's and credits EVER be secure enough.

as for your analogy, your bank account is hacked, you have insurance
your credit card is hacked, you have insurance
your car, house, boat, keys are stolen, you have insurance.
if your wife is stolen by a friend, you can get another (why though?)

IF YOUR QSLS and or DXCC CREDITS are stolen...
Your SOL....lol

You gotta put this in the proper perspective.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 9:26 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question

> Ken WS4V wrote..."and that would be secure enough."
>
> Secure enough? We're talking LOTW and DXCC credits here, pal.... how could anything be
> "secure enough" to protect that? There is apparently a belief that some hams are so
> clever and devious that they could...and would... hack into the LOTW system and give
> themselves credit for entities they haven't worked. Or even worse, muck about with the
> records of others. I know, that's almost beyond belief, isn't it? But there it is... and
> because of that awful possibility, no system can really be "secure enough" for LOTW.
>
> But seriously, Ken... you will find that many logging programs now have a built-in
> capability to upload to LOTW with just a few keystrokes. I use DXKeeper, part of AA6YQ's
> brilliant DXLab completely FREE suite of programs that will seamlessly do everything but
> kiss you goodnight, and I think it's absolutely the best I've ever tried...even better
> than the ones you have to pay $$ for... google it and check it out. Once you have your
> LOTW certificate from the ARRL website, DXKeeper handles LOTW so easily a caveman could
> do it.... oops....
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kc4ih
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 10:02 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
>
>
> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for

> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
> attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all
> of this.
> Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit
> serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial
> number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file
> and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were
> used you would request five more for future submissions.
>
> 16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys,
> but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.
>
> I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone
> could come up with a more complicated system of making something
> secure.
>
> Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's
> always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their
> coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as
> it is now.
>
> I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame
> mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the
> subject.
>
> Ken,
> WS4V
>
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>

>

2800. Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 20:51:10 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2771 Message: 2800

Ok Neil,

Well see when I get the reminder then 1 or 3 years. They sure do
keep you guessing about some things.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kiwim50" <ngrichardson@...> wrote:
>
> John
> I have always had an email reminder to renew.
> Neil ZL2UNR
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@> wrote:
> >
> > Interesting about the 3 years till expiry date. I noticed when
I
> > got my TQSL cert which is the first one for me that it's expiry
> date
> > was about 3 years away but all the info still said 1 year, very
> > confusing. I also thought I read somewhere that you got an
email
> > reminder when the renewal was comming up, does this happen or
not?
> >
> > 73 John ZL1BYZ.
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "k2yr" <k2yr@> wrote:
> > >
> > > I just finished renewing my TQSL certificate. First,
everything
> > > worked fine, all is well.
> > >
> > > But... two things I noticed that do not go along with the
> detailed
> > > instructions that are posted on the LotW site.
> > >
> > > One: After I loaded my new certificate, it did indeed make the
> red
> > > circle/bar go off, BUT my old certificate remained!
> > >
> > > The instructions say.... "When your renewal .tq6 file is
> returned
> > > and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the
original
> > > certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate." WRONG!
> > >

> > > So I CAREFULLY deleted my old certificate. (I first made sure
I
> > had
> > > backups of the .p12 files for both my old and new ones.) I
> deleted
> > > the old one because I thought having the two would make things
> > > unhappy?? Maybe not?
> > >
> > > TWO: The expiration date for my new certificate is 3 years
from
> > > now??? I thought they had to be renewed every year?
> > >
> > > What is correct? One year or three?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > -Carl, K2YR
> > >
> >
>

2801. Re: Stupid question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 21:09:09 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2792 Message: 2801

No flames Ken. Hang in there. You will like it when you are up and running.

As for the question about security, yep it's required you wouldn't beleive what people will do to get a DX call in there log and I didn't think ZL was that rare yet!

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc4ih" <ws4v@...> wrote:
>
> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
> attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all
> of this.
> Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit
> serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial
> number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file
> and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were
> used you would request five more for future submissions.
>
> 16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys,
> but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.
>
> I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone
> could come up with a more complicated system of making something
> secure.
>
> Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's
> always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their
> coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as
> it is now.
>
> I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame
> mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the

> subject.
>
> Ken,
> WS4V
>

2802. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 21:06:19 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2799 Message: 2802

That's absolutely right, Bill, it's all in how you look at it... that's why
I have my log backed up to four degrees, more than any other data I own...

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 11:22 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

> Jerry and Joe are correct. How can our qsl's and credits EVER be secure
> enough.
>
> as for your analogy, your bank account is hacked, you have insurance
> your credit card is hacked, you have insurance
> your car, house, boat, keys are stolen, you have insurance.
> if your wife is stolen by a friend, you can get another (why though?)
>
> IF YOUR QSLS and or DXCC CREDITS are stolen...
> Your SOL....lol
>
> You gotta put this in the proper perspective.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 9:26 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
>
>
>> Ken WS4V wrote..."and that would be secure enough."
>>
>> Secure enough? We're talking LOTW and DXCC credits here, pal.... how
>> could anything be
>> "secure enough" to protect that? There is apparently a belief that some
>> hams are so
>> clever and devious that they could...and would... hack into the LOTW
>> system and give
>> themselves credit for entities they haven't worked. Or even worse, muck
>> about with the
>> records of others. I know, that's almost beyond belief, isn't it? But
>> there it is... and
>> because of that awful possibility, no system can really be "secure

>> enough" for LOTW.
>>
>> But seriously, Ken... you will find that many logging programs now have a
>> built-in
>> capability to upload to LOTW with just a few keystrokes. I use DXKeeper,
>> part of AA6YQ's
>> brilliant DXLab completely FREE suite of programs that will seamlessly do
>> everything but
>> kiss you goodnight, and I think it's absolutely the best I've ever
>> tried...even better
>> than the ones you have to pay $$ for... google it and check it out. Once
>> you have your
>> LOTW certificate from the ARRL website, DXKeeper handles LOTW so easily a
>> caveman could
>> do it.... oops....
>>
>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: kc4ih
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 10:02 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
>>
>>
>> I am brand new to LOTW and have read and re-read the instruction for
>> uploading logs at the ARRL web site. I have never seen anything so
>> confusing in all my life with all the different certificates,
>> attachments, etc. Setting up on-line banking is a snap compared to all
>> of this.
>> Wouldn't it be simpler for the ARRL to issue five unique 16 digit
>> serial numbers assigned to a particular call sign, have one serial
>> number included in the subject line of an email, attach the log file
>> and that would be secure enough. Once all five serial numbers were
>> used you would request five more for future submissions.
>>
>> 16 digit numbers are secure enough for credit cards and, sorry guys,
>> but my money is a lot more important than a QSL from a rare DX country.
>>
>> I'll learn the system and use it but I find it hard to believe anyone
>> could come up with a more complicated system of making something
>> secure.
>>
>> Since the object of the LOTW is for the ARRL to make money (it's
>> always about the money) I think that an easier system would fill their
>> coffers a lot quicker than all these hoops we have to jump through as
>> it is now.
>>
>> I have just put on my asbestos suit to protect myself from the flame

>> mail I will receive from this post but that's how I feel about the
>> subject.
>>
>> Ken,
>> WS4V
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
>>
>>
>> ---
>> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>>
>> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>>
>> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service.
>>
>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2803. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 22:37:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2799 Message: 2803

Fun thread. lol
I'm probably the most anal guy you ever met about backing up.
And I'm sure you know about it....but one of the cheapest and greatest devices ever invented...this little Flash Drives.
On eBay, real cheap and you can store all your important stuff, like logs etc.
The kind of stuff that REALLY matters and it's easily accesible from any computer.

I'm thinking of taking out my pacemaker and replaceing it with a Flash drive and a Firewire port.
And don't forget your web space that your ISP includes.

LOL

Something most non LoTW users don't realize...Updating your log to LoTW is also a form of 'online storage' and you and download from the ARRL server your entire log, not just your qsls, in .adi file anytime you need to restore it.

On 3/9/2006 3:06:19 PM, Jerry Keller (k3bz@...) wrote:
> That's absolutely right, Bill, it's all in how you look at it...
> that's why
> I have my log backed up to four degrees, more than any other data I own...
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 11:22 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????
>
>
> > Jerry and Joe are correct. How can our qsl's
> and credits EVER be secure
> > enough.
> >
> > as for your analogy, your bank account is hacked, you have insurance
> > your credit card is hacked, you have insurance
> > your car, house, boat, keys are stolen, you have insurance.
> > if your wife is stolen by a friend, you can get another (why though?)
> >
> > IF YOUR QSLS and or DXCC CREDITS are stolen...
> > Your SOL....lol
> >
> > You gotta put this in the proper perspective.
> >
> >

> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 9:26 AM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
> >
> >
> >> Ken WS4V wrote..."and that woul

2804. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-09 23:16:48 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2799 Message: 2804

Bill W9OL wrote: "Something most non LoTW users don't realize...Updating your log to LoTW is also a form of 'online storage' and you and download from the ARRL server your entire log, not just your qsls, in .adi file anytime you need to restore it."

Well, I didn't realize it. Can you describe the step-by-step procedure for doing this?

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 5:37 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

> Fun thread. lol
> I'm probably the most anal guy you ever met about backing up.
> And I'm sure you know about it....but one of the cheapest and greatest
> devices ever
> invented...this little Flash Drives.
> On eBay, real cheap and you can store all your important stuff, like logs
> etc.
> The kind of stuff that REALLY matters and it's easily accesible from any
> computer.
>
> I'm thinking of taking out my pacemaker and replaceing it with a Flash
> drive and a
> Firewire port.
> And don't forget your web space that your ISP includes.
>
> LOL
>
> Something most non LoTW users don't realize...Updating your log to LoTW is
> also a form of
> 'online storage' and you and download from the ARRL server your entire
> log, not just your
> qsls, in .adi file anytime you need to restore it.
>
>
>
>
> On 3/9/2006 3:06:19 PM, Jerry Keller (k3bz@...) wrote:
>> That's absolutely right, Bill, it's all in how you look at it...
>> that's why
>> I have my log backed up to four degrees, more than any other data I

>> own...
>>
>> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 11:22 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????
>>
>>
>> > Jerry and Joe are correct. How can our qsl's
>> and credits EVER be secure
>> > enough.
>> >
>> > as for your analogy, your bank account is hacked, you have insurance
>> > your credit card is hacked, you have insurance
>> > your car, house, boat, keys are stolen, you have insurance.
>> > if your wife is stolen by a friend, you can get another (why though?)
>> >
>> > IF YOUR QSLS and or DXCC CREDITS are stolen...
>> > Your SOL....lol
>> >
>> > You gotta put this in the proper perspective.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > ----- Original Message -----
>> > From: "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...>
>> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> > Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 9:26 AM
>> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Stupid question
>> >
>> >
>> >> Ken WS4V wrote..."and that woul
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2805. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: TQSL Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-10 02:02:59 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2771 Message: 2805
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2806. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-10 03:09:04 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2799 Message: 2806

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 02:37 PM 3/9/2006, you wrote:
>I'm probably the most anal guy you ever met about backing up.
>And I'm sure you know about it....but one of the cheapest and
>greatest devices ever
>invented...this little Flash Drives.
>On eBay, real cheap and you can store all your important stuff, like logs etc.
>The kind of stuff that REALLY matters and it's easily accesible from
>any computer.

~~~~~~
Flash drives should not be used for permanent, long time storage.
They are only good for about 10k read/write cycles and their life
expectancy is only a few years. They are best used for temporary
storage of data being transferred between computers.

At this time, the longest-lasting storage medium I know of is premium
quality CDs such as Maxell's PRO series. Maxell advertises that they
have a lifetime of over 100 years when stored properly.

Bill, W6WRT
~~~~~~

2807. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-10 03:25:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2799 Message: 2807

I'm not interested in 'long term' storage....
duh....in 40 years I won't care much about my log...

I care about tonights backup saving my butt tomorrow when the computer won't boot up.

The fact that I can then plug it into the new computer two days later and be back in business is the goal.

Doubt the Library of Congress will be drooling over my qsl logs. hihi

On 3/9/2006 9:09:04 PM, Bill Turner (dezrat@...) wrote:
> ORIGINAL MESSAGE:
>
> At 02:37 PM 3/9/2006, you wrote:
> >I'm probably the most anal guy you ever met about backing up.
> >And I'm
> sure you know about it....but one of the cheapest and
> >greatest devices ever
> >invented...this little Flash Drives.
> >On eBay, real cheap and you can store all your important stuff, like logs
> etc.
> >The kind of stuff that REALLY matters and
> it's easily accessible from
> >any computer.
>
> ~~~~~~
> Flash drives should not be used for permanent, long time storage.
> They are only good for about 10k read/write cycles and their life
> expectancy is only a few years. They are best used for temporary
> storage of data being transferred between computers.
>
> At this time, the longest-lasting storage medium I know of is premium
> quality CDs such as Maxell's
> PRO series. Maxell advertises that they
> have a lifetime of over 100 years when stored properly.
>
> Bill, W6WRT
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.
> sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw] Craft hobby [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms

2808. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-10 03:37:38 UTC
From: Bill Turner
Topic: 2799 Message: 2808

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 07:25 PM 3/9/2006, FireBrick wrote:

>I'm not interested in 'long term' storage....
>duh....in 40 years I won't care much about my log...
>
>I care about tonights backup saving my butt tomorrow when the
>computer won't boot up.
>
>The fact that I can then plug it into the new computer two days
>later and be back in
>business is the goal.

~~~~~~
Then USB drives are fine. The word to remember with USB drives is "temporary".

Bill, W6WRT
~~~~~~

2809. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-10 03:58:05 UTC
From: NN8L
Topic: 2799 Message: 2809

Any backup is better than no backup. CD's have a greater life expectancy than most media, but if they aren't moved off premises and burn up or become damaged with your computer they aren't very good either. The best backup is an off premises backup. There are programs available that will automatically FTP the files you select at a particular time of day.

Hal
NN8L

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Turner
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2006 10:37 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] secure enough????

ORIGINAL MESSAGE:

At 07:25 PM 3/9/2006, FireBrick wrote:

>I'm not interested in 'long term' storage....
>duh....in 40 years I won't care much about my log...
>
>I care about tonights backup saving my butt tomorrow when the
>computer won't boot up.
>
>The fact that I can then plug it into the new computer two days
>later and be back in
>business is the goal.

~~~~~~
Then USB drives are fine. The word to remember with USB drives is "temporary".

Bill, W6WRT

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

~~~~~~

2810. received today: 9H3RY

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-11 02:17:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2810 Message: 2810

9H3RY via LoTW for a 30 meter rtty qso.
tnx for #293 on 30 meters.

Time is a great teacher, but unfortunately it kills all its pupils.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2811. TQSL and TQSL CERT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-11 04:33:38 UTC
From: wb4mnk
Topic: 2811 Message: 2811

I am going to increase my hard drive space with a new Hard Drive and will be starting with a fresh system. I want to be sure that I am able to use LOTW with the DXKeeper log as I am using now. Would someone please give me the directions on how to save the correct TQSL where I can install it on my newer system.

73
Art
WB4MNK

2812. Contest QSL-Rates Statistics by DK1BX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-11 15:24:26 UTC
From: dk1bx
Topic: 2812 Message: 2812

Hi LoTW User,

Before you read the following statistics, please notice that I am
not a great contester. Usually I make 300 to 500 QSOs on a 48-hour
contest, but I think the result below would be similar to 1000 or
2000 QSOs.

I checked the QSL-Rate of the following 5 contests:

Contest	LoTW User	QSL/QSO all	QSL/QSO LoTW user
BARTG Spring 2005	24%	22%	91%
WPX RTTY 2005	25%	21%	86%
CQ WW RTTY 2005	28%	22%	76%
WPX RTTY 2006	33%	24%	73%
ARRL CW 2006	60%	17%	26%

Remark: In ARRL CW contest I was looking mainly for LoTW-User.
(therefore 60% LoTW-User in my log)

Note: column 2: Percentage of LoTW-User in my contest log.
column 3: QSL/QSO rate in LoTW of all contest QSOs.
Column 4: QSL/QSO rate of QSOs with LoTW-User only.

Example : In WPX RTTY 2005 contest 25% of my QSOs are
LoTW user, 21% of all QSOs are confirmed in
LoTW and 86% of the LoTW-User have confirmed
their QSO.

Summary:

The advantage of QSL-Rate is about 23%, in ARRL CW contest only
17% and only 26% of LoTW-User have confirmed the ARRL Contest QSO
in LoTW.
Why don't load the US-Hams their contest log into LoTW yet ?

vy 73 de Gerd, DK1BX

2813. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL and TQSL CERT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-11 15:32:11 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2811 Message: 2813

Art,

The "right" way (and safest, in my opinion) is to save each certificate as a .p12 file. Open tQSL Certificate, select a certificate, click "Certificate" in the menu bar (or right click on a certificate), select "Save" and write the .p12 file to a floppy, jump drive, CDR, etc. that you can read in the new installation.

When you set-up your new hard drive, download a fresh copy of the latest version of tQSL and install it. When the installation is complete, open tQSL Certificate and select File | Load Certificate File from the menu bar and follow the prompts to load the .p12 files you created earlier.

You will need to recreate your station locations in the new installation. Unfortunately, there is no process for saving them.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb4mnk
> Sent: Friday, March 10, 2006 11:34 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL and TQSL CERT
>
>
> I am going to increase my hard drive space with a new Hard Drive and
> will be starting with a fresh system. I want to be sure that I am able
> to use LOTW with the DXKeeper log as I am using now. Would someone
> please give me the directions on how to save the correct TQSL where I
> can install it on my newer system.
>
> 73
> Art
> WB4MNK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2814. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL and TQSL CERT

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 02:24:47 UTC
From: Arthur Petteway
Topic: 2811 Message: 2814

Hi Joe, Thanks for the procedures. I will be working on this computer this week and hope to finish with it this week HI. Again thank you.

73
Art
----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 11, 2006 10:32 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL and TQSL CERT

> Art,
>
> The "right" way (and safest, in my opinion) is to save each certificate
> as a .p12 file. Open tQSL Certificate, select a certificate, click
> "Certificate" in the menu bar (or right click on a certificate), select
> "Save" and write the .p12 file to a floppy, jump drive, CDR, etc. that
> you can read in the new installation.
>
> When you set-up your new hard drive, download a fresh copy of the latest
> version of tQSL and install it. When the installation is complete, open
> tQSL Certificate and select File | Load Certificate File from the menu
> bar and follow the prompts to load the .p12 files you created earlier.
>
> You will need to recreate your station locations in the new installation.
> Unfortunately, there is no process for saving them.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb4mnk
>> Sent: Friday, March 10, 2006 11:34 PM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL and TQSL CERT
>>
>>
>> I am going to increase my hard drive space with a new Hard Drive and
>> will be starting with a fresh system. I want to be sure that I am able

>> to use LOTW with the DXKeeper log as I am using now. Would someone
>> please give me the directions on how to save the correct TQSL where I
>> can install it on my newer system.
>>
>> 73
>> Art
>> WB4MNK
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.375 / Virus Database: 268.2.1/279 - Release Date: 3/10/2006
>
>

2815. 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 20:22:15 UTC
From: kc4ih
Topic: 2815 Message: 2815

Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the World. I uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed. Out of the 56 I need none of them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked about 260 countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.

Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league I must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it. Maybe if you are looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A 1.7% confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.

73 and send me your paper QSL cards,
Ken, WS4V

2816. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 20:45:48 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 2815 Message: 2816
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2817. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 21:35:54 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2815 Message: 2817

On Mon, Mar 13, 2006 at 01:45:48PM -0700, Richard Zalewski wrote:
> First let me thank you for registering with LOTW. With most things new it
> takes some time to build. I have 78,818 QSOs in LOTW with 11,313 QSLs for
> 14.4%. I am quite happy. Would I like it better if there were more DX
> participants? You bet!

Mine is 14.3%, on 2212 QSOs. I have 59 countries for DXCC, 32 states for
WAS. This seems pretty reasonable to me.

I don't see why people assume this is just a fundraiser for the ARRL.
Feels like a service to the community to me.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2818. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 21:33:59 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2815 Message: 2818

With 21,000 Qs in LoTW, I have over 4,000 QSL=19%, 200 plus used for used for DXCC Challenge without cards.

Well worth the effort.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: kc4ih
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2006 1:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the World. I uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed. Out of the 56 I need none of them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked about 260 countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.

Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league I must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it. Maybe if you are looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A 1.7% confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.

73 and send me your paper QSL cards,
Ken, WS4V

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2819. Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 21:37:10 UTC
From: kc4ih
Topic: 2815 Message: 2819

Thanks Dick for your input on Lotw. If most of my QSOs were from the International DX contest last weekend I wouldn't expect a good confirmation percentage but only about 300 Qs were from the contest, the rest were from previous years of QSOs. Do any of the rarer DX countries ever use Lotw? I'm working on 5 band DXCC now and need several on 40 and 80 but it looks like anything rare is not going to be there.

I will continue uploading logs since they may help others and unless I use it for confirmation it doesn't cost anything. It is a great idea but in functionality it doesn't amount to much. At least the Post Office will get some more business!

Congratulations on your 14.4% confirmation!
73 and thanks again,
Ken

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Richard Zalewski" <dick.w7zr@...> wrote:
>
> First let me thank you for registering with LOTW. With most things new it
> takes some time to build. I have 78,818 QSOs in LOTW with 11,313 QSLs for
> 14.4%. I am quite happy. Would I like it better if there were more DX
> participants? You bet!
>
> Would I like it better if it were less complicated? You bet!
>
> However, I also look at it this way: I did not have to mail 11,313 QSLs to
> make some other ham happy to have a confirmation.
>
> So please consider giving it a chance.
>
> Dick W7ZR
>
> www.w7zr.com
>
>
> On 3/13/06, kc4ih <ws4v@...> wrote:
> >
> > Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through
> > all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the

World. I
> > uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed. Out of the 56 I need
none of
> > them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked
about 260
> > countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there
> > should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.
> >
> > Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league
I
> > must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system
that
> > is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it. Maybe if you
are
> > looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A
1.7%
> > confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.
> >
> > 73 and send me your paper QSL cards,
> > Ken, WS4V
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS
> > Hobby and craft supply<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobb
y&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw> Craft
> > hobby<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+ra
dio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ> Ham
> > radio<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?
t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radi
o&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw>
> > ------------------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> > - Visit your group "ARRL-
LOTW<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW>"
> > on the web.
> >
> > - To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com<ARRL-LOTW-
unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > - Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Tnx es 73
> Dick W7ZR
> www.w7zr.com
>

2820. received today; 6O0N; 8R1EA; DU1UGZ; TI5A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 21:52:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2820 Message: 2820

6O0N via I2YSB sae/gs sent Feb-04-2006
Thank you guys for the, 30 cw, 30 rtty & 20 rtty qso/qsls.
that's a real tough part of world for me to hear

8R1EA via AH8DX sase sent Mar-06-2006
Thank you Craig for the, 17, 20, 40, 80 rtty/psk & 80 ssb qso/qsls.
those were all new band/mode confirmations for me.

DU1UGZ via LoTW for a 10 rtty.
TI5A via LoTW for a 15 ssb.

(far more LoTW qsls, but just listing new band/mode confirmations)

It is far more impressive when others discover your good qualities without your help.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2821. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-13 22:32:32 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2815 Message: 2821

On Mar 13, 2006, at 12:22 PM, kc4ih wrote:

> Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through
> all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the World. I
> uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed. Out of the 56 I need none of
> them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked about 260
> countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there
> should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.
>
> Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league I
> must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that
> is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it. Maybe if you are
> looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A 1.7%
> confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.
>

You didn't say over what timeframe the QSOs took place, but if they were mostly from pre-LOTW days, that might explain the low return. I currently have 4,683 QSOs (since 2000) entered into LOTW with 957 QSLs for a return rate of 20%. While I have paper QSLs for all 294 of my mixed total, there are several mode and/or band credits for which LOTW has come through. One of these days I wlll enter all my pre-2000 paper logs for my previous call (W6SWE) and see if that brings up a few more.

I'm glad to see that WAS will soon be available via LOTW--there are several I need which already show up on the web page. Hopefully IOTA will be added one of these days, as well.

73,
Bob, N7XY

2822. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-14 01:11:06 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2815 Message: 2822

At 04:37 PM 3/13/2006, kc4ih wrote:
>Thanks Dick for your input on Lotw. If most of my QSOs were from the
>International DX contest last weekend I wouldn't expect a good
>confirmation percentage but only about 300 Qs were from the contest,
>the rest were from previous years of QSOs. Do any of the rarer DX
>countries ever use Lotw? I'm working on 5 band DXCC now and need
>several on 40 and 80 but it looks like anything rare is not going to
>be there.

Depends on your definition of "rare", I suppose.

I have Bhutan (A5), South Sandwich, South Georgia, Ducie, Wallis,
Temotu, St. Paul, Clipperton, Jarvis, Palestine, Jan Mayen, Kerguelen,
Heard Island all confirmed through LoTW. Are any of those rare?

You've got to expect dxpeditions to be a bit slow on posting their logs
on LoTW, otherwise they won't get the level of contributions that they
need.

>I will continue uploading logs since they may help others and unless
>I use it for confirmation it doesn't cost anything. It is a great
>idea but in functionality it doesn't amount to much. At least the
>Post Office will get some more business!

And that's the key. If everyone decides it's too much effort, then LoTW
won't be successful. It looks to me like there's enough critical mass.

I'm at less than 4% confirmed, but that's because I work exclusively
DX, and the hoops for those stations are often harder to jump through.
(But I HAVE uploaded my GU0/WA1SPT logs to LoTW - that's easy once you
get a single certificate.)
-Rick

2823. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-14 02:57:39 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2815 Message: 2823

On Mar 13, 2006, at 3:22 PM, kc4ih wrote:

> Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through
> all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the World. I
> uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed.

Well, your return rate depends on a lot of factors.

I have over 30,000 QSOs uploaded, with nearly 5,000 QSLs. Many, many
of those contacts were made during contests, much of them domestic
(SS, NAQP, etc).

So, why is my return rate nearly 10x that of yours? Depends.

1) US/VE contacts - the return rate of US and Canadian contacts is
vastly higher than that for DX, mainly because of the simpler
procedures to get certificated in LoTW.

2) Contests - contestors have been computerized for many, many years,
so contest logs are more likely to be uploaded. If you just submit
casual QSOs, they are less likely to be confirmed.

3) Age - the return rate on contacts in the last few years is much
higher than that for a decade or so ago. And those two decades ago
have really poor return rates. Main reason is that a lot of these
logs aren't computerized, and people don't take the time to type them
in. (All of AA4LR's logs are in - every contact since 1975)

This rule breaks down for very recent contacts. People don't upload
logs in any predictable fashion. Quite a few amateurs won't upload a
contest log until after the deadline for log submission is passed.

> Out of the 56 I need none of
> them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked about 260
> countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there
> should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.

Not surprising. With a DXCC total of 245, you're starting to get into
the uncommon to rare DX. Even if they are computerised, paper QSLs
are a undeniable revenue stream for many DX operations.

> Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league I
> must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that
> is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it.

I don't think it was designed to be a money-maker. If anything, it is
a loss leader or perhaps revenue-neutral.

It's up to DX stations to start using it. That is happening, but very slowly. Things have picked up a bit since the WAS award went (half-way) online.

> Maybe if you are
> looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A 1.7%
> confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.

You're definitely giving up on it too early. Keep uploading logs. I upload mine once a month or two. I've seen my return rate increase as the adoption rate increases. The more you use it, the more it will be worthwhile.

You've already done the hard part -- getting the certificate.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2824. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-14 07:08:28 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2815 Message: 2824
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2825. Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-14 10:39:37 UTC
From: pcooper
Topic: 2815 Message: 2825

Hi all,

I was a little surprised to read about the poor return rate for one person.

Personally, I have been on LoTW since the beginning, and now have just under 40k contacts loaded on to the system, and from that, I have just over 20% confirmation.

There is a good range of countries represented, which is good, but there could be more!

I have also had LoTW confirmations from a few countries for band-slots that I have previously found it very hard to get confirmed either direct or via the bureau.

For me, one of the advantages of LoTW is that my expenses for QSL'ing have been reduced, and it also saves a lot of time.

So, if your rate seems low, stick with it, and it WILL improve!

It is also interesting to note that the usage of LoTW seems to indicate that those of us who use RTTY have far higher rates than for otehr modes!

73 for now

Phil GU0SUP

2826. Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-16 01:13:31 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2815 Message: 2826

I calculated my received QSL percentage & it stands at 13% confirmed. Think I will try & work out what the percentage of US stations is. Quite a lot higher I should expect.

I have been plodding away with QRP & not having much time to operate due to family circumstances. I stand at 45 states confirmed & 69 countries. The reason I am so pleased with LoTW is that about 25 years ago I applied for WAS & remember the trouble of sending QSL cards for the award & paying return postage to get them back. I vowed then that I would never apply for another award & I didn't. I know it is easier now with local check points but then it was a hassel.

In my opinion the use of LoTW will increase greatly over the years. It must be a breeze for contest station managers. I wonder how much data storage capacity the system has?

Of course I still use the RSGB bureau & return cards to anyone who sends me one but the bureau has taken second place for me.

As I said before we have to keep spreading the word

Dave GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0611-0, 14/03/2006
Tested on: 16/03/2006 01:13:31
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2827. USA stations QSL returns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-16 01:27:39 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2827 Message: 2827

The percentage of my confirmed QSL's from US stations is 66%. So I have another 68 countries confirmed from the other 34%.

Any mathematicians out there who can forecast when I will get DXCC. :-)

Dave GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0611-0, 14/03/2006
Tested on: 16/03/2006 01:27:41
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2828. Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-17 18:28:08 UTC
From: Mel
Topic: 2815 Message: 2828

It's all a matter of perspective. I've been licenced since 1969...
been an active DXer and Contester, but never got my DXCC award because
it was just too much trouble. Now with LotW I finally have DXCC!!! It
is just so much simpler!

Granted, I'm pretty comfortable with computers... but so are most
younger hams (of which I am not a member)... For the computer literate
generation, it's SASEs and IRCs and Bureaus and handling and sorting
all those cards that represents a hassle... LotW *is* the simple way
to get DXCC.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc4ih" <ws4v@...> wrote:
>I must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that
> is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it.
> Ken, WS4V
>

2829. Things to look forward to:

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-20 15:28:57 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 2829 Message: 2829

I had a talk last week with one of the HQ DXCC people who deal with LotW. The long awaited rollout of WAS via LotW should be this week. There are plans to do WAC, WAZ, and negotiations for doing IOTA! Imagine IOTA without struggling to get a card and then sending it off to a check point!

Does ARRL make money from LotW. The answer is they get money for the service, but none of the award programs make money. LotW should have one or two programmers assigned full time to get it going. The IT guy does most of the work outside of normal working hours. If they had more money, the new stuff would happen sooner.

I just wish there were a straight forward way for the DX stations to get into the program. If you are in a big government country (maybe like the UK or FRG), the process should be easier for those guys. I can see that the documentation could be an issue for the rarer entities since even getting papers for DXCC credit from some is tough enough.

I don't know if the QSL cards add or subtract from an expedition's revenue stream. My limited experience would seem to indicate that most contributions don't go thru the QSL manager. Money that arrives at the QSL manager seems to just pay for the QSL and postage expense. Very little is left over from that. Most of the big expeditions get what my budget can afford at the time. I enjoyed K7C and 3Y0X. The K7C I did't really need badly but the 3Y0X I did need. But my contributions depend more on how the expedition is organized and by whom it is organized than whether they are rare enough. BTW 3Y0X will eventually have their logs on LotW. So If you can wait...

73 Ed KE3D

2830. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Things to look forward to:

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-20 16:48:51 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2829 Message: 2830

ED KE3D wrote: "If you are in a big government country (maybe
like the UK or FRG), the process should be easier for those guys. I
can see that the documentation could be an issue for the rarer
entities since even getting papers for DXCC credit from some is tough
enough." Also, "BTW 3Y0X will eventually have their logs on LotW. So If you can wait..."

I doubt that will ever happen. It could too easily be perceived as an "insult" to a country that was assigned the more stringent LOTW requirements, and nobody would want to risk that. Best to have the same requirements for everyone.

LOTW is great, but let's not forget that sending a QSL card request to a big DXpedition like 3Y0X or K7C is always a good opportunity to contribute a few dollars....or a few dollars MORE.

That's great news about LOTW and WAS, WAC, WAZ, etc... thanks, Ed...

73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: edctexas
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2006 10:28 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Things to look forward to:

I had a talk last week with one of the HQ DXCC people who deal with
LotW. The long awaited rollout of WAS via LotW should be this week.
There are plans to do WAC, WAZ, and negotiations for doing IOTA!
Imagine IOTA without struggling to get a card and then sending it off
to a check point!

Does ARRL make money from LotW. The answer is they get money for the
service, but none of the award programs make money. LotW should have
one or two programmers assigned full time to get it going. The IT guy
does most of the work outside of normal working hours. If they had
more money, the new stuff would happen sooner.

I just wish there were a straight forward way for the DX stations to
get into the program. If you are in a big government country (maybe
like the UK or FRG), the process should be easier for those guys. I
can see that the documentation could be an issue for the rarer
entities since even getting papers for DXCC credit from some is tough
enough.

I don't know if the QSL cards add or subtract from an expedition's
revenue stream. My limited experience would seem to indicate that
most contributions don't go thru the QSL manager. Money that arrives
at the QSL manager seems to just pay for the QSL and postage

expense. Very little is left over from that. Most of the big expeditions get what my budget can afford at the time. I enjoyed K7C and 3Y0X. The K7C I did't really need badly but the 3Y0X I did need. But my contributions depend more on how the expedition is organized and by whom it is organized than whether they are rare enough. BTW 3Y0X will eventually have their logs on LotW. So If you can wait...

73 Ed KE3D

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2831. Re: 1.7% QSOs confirmed - not worth the effort

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-20 22:11:19 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2815 Message: 2831

This past weekend I worked the BARTG Spring RTTY contest. Of the 95 qso's I have had 33 LOTW confirmations already...thats better than 33% and I'm sure in the next few days the percentage will go much higher.

Don't give up. I find the RTTY gang are the most likely ones to respond via LOTW and the CW ops the least likely to use LOTW according to my logbook.

73--Scott
WY3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc4ih" <ws4v@...> wrote:
>
> Disappointed is the only word that comes to mind after jumping through
> all the hoops to get my logs entered into the Logbook of the World. I
> uploaded 3256 QSOs and only 56 confirmed. Out of the 56 I need none of
> them for any award, all very common countries. I have worked about 260
> countries and confirmed about 245 with real QSLs so I felt there
> should be way more in the files of stations I have worked.
>
> Since this is designed to be a money making thing for the league I
> must suggest that they come up with a backward compatable system that
> is SIMPLE so that some real DX will start using it. Maybe if you are
> looking for WAS or WAZ it might help a little but very little. A 1.7%
> confirmation rate is not worth all the hassle.
>
> 73 and send me your paper QSL cards,
> Ken, WS4V
>

2832. Re: Things to look forward to

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-21 14:24:30 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2832 Message: 2832

Great news

We will all have to be patient till they get it organised.

Dave GM4HQF

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0612-0, 20/03/2006
Tested on: 21/03/2006 14:24:32
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2833. LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 19:02:48 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2833 Message: 2833

Just logged onto LoTW about an hour ago and saw that
they had just opened up Applications for WAS.

I wonder who will be first in line ???

Now if they could get to the VUCC & WAZ Awards :-) .

73
Bob, K1VU

2834. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 19:32:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2833 Message: 2834

I filed mine as soon as I saw it went online.
My application #3504...
Not sure if there were 3K before me or if it's relative.

----- Original Message -----
From: "R Johnson" <k1vu@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2006 1:02 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

> Just logged onto LoTW about an hour ago and saw that
> they had just opened up Applications for WAS.
>
> I wonder who will be first in line ???
>
> Now if they could get to the VUCC & WAZ Awards :-) .
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2835. LoTW Certificate Renewals, 1 or 3 Years?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 19:39:08 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2835 Message: 2835

Hi:
In reading the LoTW Reflector I noted that some users
stated that their new Certificates were now good for
3 years instead of the previous 1 year.

It would be a great step forward !!!

Can anyone clarify this.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

2836. Re: LoTW Certificate Renewals, 1 or 3 Years?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 20:07:18 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2835 Message: 2836

My latest renewals were for 3 years.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>
> Hi:
> In reading the LoTW Reflector I noted that some users
> stated that their new Certificates were now good for
> 3 years instead of the previous 1 year.
>
> It would be a great step forward !!!
>
> Can anyone clarify this.
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>

2837. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW Certificate Renewals, 1 or 3 Years?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 20:08:51 UTC
From: Neil Richardson
Topic: 2835 Message: 2837

Mine was also for 3 years
Neil ZL2UNR

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Bill Beggs
Sent: Thursday, 23 March 2006 8:07 a.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW Certificate Renewals, 1 or 3 Years?

My latest renewals were for 3 years.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>
> Hi:
> In reading the LoTW Reflector I noted that some users
> stated that their new Certificates were now good for
> 3 years instead of the previous 1 year.
>
> It would be a great step forward !!!
>
> Can anyone clarify this.
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.2.6/287 - Release Date: 21/03/2006

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.2.6/287 - Release Date: 21/03/2006

2838. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Certificate Renewals, 1 or 3 Years?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-22 23:44:04 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2835 Message: 2838

Hi All:
I just received this reply from Wayne Mills at the ARRL:

"That is correct. Three years. (The FAQ is wrong.)

73, Wayne, N7NG/1"

I suggested that it might be worthwhile to post this info on the
LoTW "Users Home Page" like they did for the "WAS Application" today !!!

Anyway 3 years is better than 1 year, but the "Expiration Date" of your
current license would be a lot better !!!

Sometimes good things come in small steps :-) !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 14:39 3/22/2006, you wrote:
>Hi:
>In reading the LoTW Reflector I noted that some users
>stated that their new Certificates were now good for
>3 years instead of the previous 1 year.
>
>It would be a great step forward !!!
>
>Can anyone clarify this.
>
>TNX es 73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2839. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-23 02:34:38 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 2833 Message: 2839

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

R Johnson wrote:
> Just logged onto LoTW about an hour ago and saw that
> they had just opened up Applications for WAS.

Cool! And I'm glad to see they've got the ability to link to already-earned
awards, like DXCC has. I've just gone on and requested my link. Will be
interesting to see how long it takes to set up.

One thing I don't see on the list is 5BWAS. I guess you get 5 different
single-band awards and then submit for 5BWAS?

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEIgk+RgRgmxNburQRAq3qAJ40K/Wemzgh9NP10rw45dM21S4jZQCeJRKE
sZIq7mOpitfaJU5QUtf7+AY=
=/QYx
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2840. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-23 02:38:19 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2833 Message: 2840

On Mar 22, 2006, at 2:32 PM, FireBrick wrote:

> I filed mine as soon as I saw it went online.
> My application #3504...

My application is #3497, so I'm just a few ahead of you.

> Not sure if there were 3K before me or if it's relative.

Looks like it is an LoTW application number. That may include DXCC
applications, too.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2841. Re: LoTW WAS Application Activated Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-23 03:29:56 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2833 Message: 2841

Yes, it finally is activated. I have 49 states confirmed via LOTW, and the one state I need LOTW confirmed is Hawaii. I have a QSL card from AH6OZ, and I read today on the LOTW site that I have to go about 20 miles to verify the validity of this one qsl card. I emailed the card checker today but no response. I wish I could save the aggravation and scan the dang thing and send that to ARRL instead of wasting a couple gallons of gasoline because us hams aren't always the most trustworthy. I was hoping the process was going to be a lot smoother than this with mixed LOTW and qsl cards.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>
> Just logged onto LoTW about an hour ago and saw that
> they had just opened up Applications for WAS.
>
> I wonder who will be first in line ???
>
> Now if they could get to the VUCC & WAZ Awards :-) .
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>

2842. hmmm, now how do I get this report

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-23 13:07:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2842 Message: 2842

I just saw that my LoTW WAS submission was 'Finished'.
I had applied for the 'Basic' and a number of band/mode stickers.

While my logging software knows which qsos I have that are LoTW qsled, it doesn't know which specific qso is the one that was 'credited'. The first LoTW qsl received for a station may NOT be the one credited as I may not know what state he was in for that qso.

I can't just assume that the FIRST qso for that state in that band/mode that was LoTW qsled was the one that received the credit.

I can see in the WAS module which qso it was, but that means manually marking each of the 50 states that was credited for that band/mode into my log.
I can click on each state's qso, but it takes a moment or two for LoTW to find and display the details for that qso.
That's a bit more than even a data junky like me wants to sit through.

Anybody know of plans for obtaining a printout?

I experimented with the LoTWdownload page, I can sort by band and mode, USA only, earliest LoTW qsl. That did give me a report with qsl's marked with the check for submission. Hopefully I can take that and import it into an Excel file.

A balanced diet is a cookie in each hand.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2843. Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 14:10:09 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2843 Message: 2843

Charles HS0ZCW / K4VUD wrote:
"I just got notice of expiration of my Logbook World for all my calls. I have eight calls.....it is way, way too much trouble to bother with.....I guess I will just have to struggle along without Logbook of the World."

Having gone through a similar period, I can understand the frustration of dealing with security requirements one doesn't understand or agree with. However, there's help available on a reflector devoted to helping people overcome problems with LotW at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/

PLUS... there's lots of logging software that makes uploading and downloading to LotW a matter of a few keystrokes.

Requiring a certificate for each callsign isn't unreasonable (how else could they do it, really), and I'm pretty sure that renewed certificates are good for 3 years. It's a pain, but it's like CW... there's a plateau... once you get past that, it's really not so hard. Besides, only a ham that's dedicated and motivated holds eight callsigns, Charles, so I know you're no quitter.

I still think LotW is way too security conscious for what it is, but even so it is a good and cost-effective way to acquire and give confirmations necessary for DXCC, an activity that thousands of hams worldwide .. including me... devote much attention to. And DXing populates our ham bands and helps keep amateur radio alive. So it's worthwhile to spend a few extra minutes dealing with the admin requirements of LotW, even if only for the good it does for the hobby.

Please don't give up on LotW, Charles. Hang in there. Join the reflector and get a little help. I'm sure glad I did.

73, Jerry K3BZ

2844. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 15:15:53 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 2843 Message: 2844

> "I just got notice of expiration of my Logbook World for all my calls. I
> have eight calls.....it is way, way too much trouble to bother with.....I
> guess I will just have to struggle along without Logbook of the World."

Just renewed 11 certificates. Having to type my address and password
over and over was so much trouble. What a waste of 10 good minutes.

Ron

2845. one little difference between LoTW and Honor Roll

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 15:25:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2845 Message: 2845

I may not be reading this correctly but does LoTW and Honor Roll flip the numbers?
Seems in Honor roll, the listing is always current/total, 333/355
Where as in LoTw Awards Status menu it's Total/Current, 355/333.

I'm not too bright and I have to look at it twice before I remember the inconsistency.

Only problem with bringing this up...if you change one of the ways to bring them the same, it will now take me 3 years for my brain to be retrained.

Not sure I have that much time..

Age is a high price to pay for maturity!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2846. Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 15:31:47 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 2843 Message: 2846

I would suggest maybe Charles might look for a QSL manager that understands LOTW and let the manager workout the details, then all he would have to do is supply updates to the logs to the manager. Eight calls doesn't seem like that much of a load, I have eleven certificates, all on LotW, and just upgrade a number of them. It took less than 15 minutes to upgrade six callsigns and duplicate the updates on my notebook computer too.

On the subject of security. There are some real computer literate hams around, ask the question, "Do you want some ham hacker ahead of you in the DXCC listings, without earning it?" Cerificates would be very hard to hack.

I don't believe that LotW has too much security. Some will go to extreme measures to get an extra QSL. You should have seen how some tried to get Wake Island cards from me. Before Lotw, I had a European that listed half a dozen callsigns I worked and claimed his call was in the middle of them, which it wasn't. I just happened to have a recorder on for that session and I checked it. I wasn't hearing Europe at the time and there wasn't enough time between the QSOs for him to even have gotten his callsign in, let alone work me.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Keller" <k3bz@...> wrote:
>
> Charles HS0ZCW / K4VUD wrote:
> "I just got notice of expiration of my Logbook World for all my calls. I
> have eight calls.....it is way, way too much trouble to bother with.....I
> guess I will just have to struggle along without Logbook of the World."
>
> Having gone through a similar period, I can understand the frustration of
> dealing with security requirements one doesn't understand or agree with.
> However, there's help available on a reflector devoted to helping people
> overcome problems with LotW at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>
> PLUS... there's lots of logging software that makes uploading and
> downloading to LotW a matter of a few keystrokes.

>
> Requiring a certificate for each callsign isn't unreasonable (how
else could
> they do it, really), and I'm pretty sure that renewed certificates
are good
> for 3 years. It's a pain, but it's like CW... there's a plateau...
once you
> get past that, it's really not so hard. Besides, only a ham that's
dedicated
> and motivated holds eight callsigns, Charles, so I know you're no
quitter.
>
> I still think LotW is way too security conscious for what it is,
but even so
> it is a good and cost-effective way to acquire and give
confirmations
> necessary for DXCC, an activity that thousands of hams
worldwide ..
> including me... devote much attention to. And DXing populates our
ham bands
> and helps keep amateur radio alive. So it's worthwhile to spend a
few extra
> minutes dealing with the admin requirements of LotW, even if only
for the
> good it does for the hobby.
>
> Please don't give up on LotW, Charles. Hang in there. Join the
reflector and
> get a little help. I'm sure glad I did.
>
> 73, Jerry K3BZ
>

2847. and now the certs are 4 3 years

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 15:42:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2847 Message: 2847

So for me....11 callsigns, mine and the stations I manage...
Total of 10 minutes renewing every 3 years....

At my age, I'm glad I can do anything for 10 minutes...hopefully in 3 years hence!

Newspaper Headline: Man Struck by Lightning Faces Battery Charge
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2848. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 16:03:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2843 Message: 2848

Everyone thinks about the security as 'someone cheating and getting ahead of you, wrongly'.

I believe the level of security is more:
"preventing someone from getting in and ruining anothers account, ruining the whole system" etc.

With the 'certificates' there is a 'trail'.
We know their are cyber-brats who damage files 'just because they can'.

If someone is cheating, they will eventually be found out, but if my account is wiped out...well now I have a lot of work to do.
So I'll go that extra step just because I don't want to have to sort through over 35K cards for every band/mode credit again.

On 3/24/2006 9:31:47 AM, Bill Beggs (n5rr@...) wrote:
> I would suggest maybe Charles might look for a QSL manager that
> understands LOTW and let the manager workout the details, then all
> he would have to do is supply updates to the logs to the manager.
> Eight calls
> doesn't seem like that much of a load, I have eleven
> certificates, all on LotW, and just upgrade a number of them. It
> took less than 15 minutes to upgrade six callsigns and duplicate the
> updates on my notebook computer too.
>
> On the subject of security. There are some real computer literate
> hams around, ask the question, "Do you want some ham hacker ahead of
> you in the DXCC listings, without earning it?" Cerificates would be
> very hard to hack.
>
> I don't
> believe that LotW has too much security. Some will go to
> extreme measures to get an extra QSL. You should have seen how some
> tried to get Wake Island cards from me. Before Lotw, I had a
> European that listed half a dozen callsigns I worked and claimed his
> call was in the middle of them, which it
> wasn't. I just happened to
> have a recorder on for that session and I checked it. I wasn't
>
> hearing Europe at the time and there
> wasn't enough time between the
> QSOs for him to even have

2849. Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 16:37:20 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2843 Message: 2849

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Beggs" <n5rr@...> wrote:
>
> I would suggest maybe Charles might look for a QSL manager that
> understands LOTW and let the manager workout the details, then all
> he would have to do is supply updates to the logs to the manager.

Well there's one here that would take on the *task* with pleasure.

If only I could get ALL my lads to sign up <smiles>

73 to all

Graham

2850. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-24 19:07:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2843 Message: 2850

10 minutes....wow
what were you doing? eating a supersized burger between each renewal???? lol

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ron Wetjen" <wd4ahz@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 9:15 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [DX-CHAT] Logbook of World

>
>> "I just got notice of expiration of my Logbook World for all my calls. I
>> have eight calls.....it is way, way too much trouble to bother with.....I
>> guess I will just have to struggle along without Logbook of the World."
>
> Just renewed 11 certificates. Having to type my address and password
> over and over was so much trouble. What a waste of 10 good minutes.
>
> Ron
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2851. when you sign your logs, pse remember

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-25 15:11:43 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2851 Message: 2851

to include all the info about your location.

I'm working on Counties and WAS and I see a few that have forgotten to include that info in their LoTW uploads.

When you go to 'sign' your log for submission, use the TQSL module to go to the menu item 'Station'.
Choose Edit Station Location
Select the Callsign of the qso's your submitting
and click on the 'Edit' button.
The first window asks for Grid, ITU zone, CQ Zone, and IOTA ID.
****Note: the windows asks for ITU Zone first and then CQ Zone. A lot of people are inverting the two.

I suspect it's the next step that lots of people are missing.
Click Next, and the next page asks for your State and your County.
(funny item here, I can't find Alaska or Hawaii,in the list of states, guess they are not considered states but DX in this context)

You don't have to do this each time, enter it once and it remembers your data.
If you move locations, you must change the Station location to reflect it's new state and county and all qsos from then are will indicate the new data.

With WAS now current, and hopefully IOTA and Counties in the future, you may want to make sure you have that info included in your submission.

As many of the logging programs will download the location information, it will help you in tracking your WAS, County, and other awards.

Please and thank you
Bill

Pi R squared. Nooo! Pie R round, cornbread R square!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2852. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] when you sign your logs, pse remember

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-28 22:55:24 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 2851 Message: 2852

>-----Original Message-----
>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
>Behalf Of FireBrick
>Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2006 8:12 A
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; RTTY List; QSL List; DXBase List
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] when you sign your logs, pse remember
>
>
>to include all the info about your location.
>
Hi Bill,
I always do that. I live in Colorado, but with my seven call, I always sign
from some rare county in Wyoming or Montana.
Please don't read this until next Saturday.
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

2853. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] when you sign your logs, pse remember

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-29 00:06:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2851 Message: 2853

Hopefully will be headed there in a few weeks.
Trying to see Jellystone for first time, northern route.
Hope to get there after snow and bk (before kids) lol.

On 3/28/2006 4:55:24 PM, Ken Kinyon (w7ts@...) wrote:
> >-----Original Message-----
> >From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> >Behalf Of FireBrick
> >Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2006 8:12 A
> >To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; RTTY List; QSL List; DXBase List
> >Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] when you sign your logs, pse remember
> >
> >
> >to include all the info about your location.
> >
> Hi Bill,
> I always do that. I live in Colorado, but with my seven call, I always
> sign
> from some rare county in Wyoming or Montana.
> Please don't read this until next Saturday.
> 73,
> Ken W7TS
>
> --------------------
> Kenneth E. Kinyon
> 34 Princeton Circle
> Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> ------------------------
> E-mail: W7TS@...
> ------------------
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]" on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link:
> mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]
>

>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service

2854. received today; CT3IA; HB0/DL2OBO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-29 22:54:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2854 Message: 2854

CT3IA 40 RTTY
HB0/DL2OBO 80&40 CW

WG7Y for a bunch of Wyoming band/modes

What happens if you get scared half to death twice?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2855. OX3DB

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-30 13:30:12 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2855 Message: 2855

I received a SSTV LOTW confirmation this week from Greenland for my very first SSTV LOTW confirmation ever. The qso was from 2004. I don't think I've even been on SSTV since then.

(Just trying to keep up with FireBrick with LOTW successes) ;-)

2856. Disappearing 6m credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-30 13:47:43 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 2856 Message: 2856

Just logged on to LoTW and noticed that overnight, my total challenge credits awarded has gone down from 706 to 618. On displaying 'all credits' for challenge, I see that only 13 of the 101 6m credited calls are now displayed. The 618 total seems to be my 160 thru 10m credits plus 13 6m ones (although the total for 6m in the Awards column still reads 101 and all 101 are shown when the 6m credits is displayed.

I noticed last week that having helped a friend sign up to and link his LoTW account to his DXCC account, non of his 6m credits were listed when Challenge callsign credits was displayed but were when 6m call credits were displayed.

Anyone seeing the same problem?

Regards - Ivan G4BGW

2857. Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-30 23:33:26 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2857 Message: 2857

I looked in my WAS stuff last night and found numerous QSO's listed there with a symbol of M! by the check box. There is a notation about some problem with matching data. That's strange as I have had no problems with matching data on any DXCC credits. I'm wondering why this appears only in WAS. Any ideas?

73,
Mike, W5UC

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/296 - Release Date: 3/29/2006

2858. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 00:10:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2857 Message: 2858

Could you be a bit more specific as to what page/screen you are seeing this on.
I think you mean the "Your QSOs" screen.
And do you mean in the square box between the QSL and WAS columns?

I went through dozens of pages and did not see any characters such as you described.

Just a shot in the dark, are you using anything other than the default font for Windows Explorer????
Are you using a different Browser?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2006 5:33 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

>I looked in my WAS stuff last night and found numerous QSO's listed there
> with a symbol of M! by the check box. There is a notation about some
> problem with matching data. That's strange as I have had no problems with
> matching data on any DXCC credits. I'm wondering why this appears only in
> WAS. Any ideas?
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/296 - Release Date: 3/29/2006
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2859. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 01:13:43 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2857 Message: 2859

At 06:33 PM 3/30/2006, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
>I looked in my WAS stuff last night and found numerous QSO's listed there
>with a symbol of M! by the check box. There is a notation about some
>problem with matching data. That's strange as I have had no problems
>with
>matching data on any DXCC credits. I'm wondering why this appears
>only in
>WAS. Any ideas?

The "M!" means the QSO doesn't match the associated QSO filter applied
to the active WAS account.

For example, I have a bunch of stuff in LoTW for operations from
Guernsey. The filter for my WAS account says to ignore those, so they
show up as "M!" in the log.
Look at the filter you're using to figure out why LoTW thinks they
don't match.
-Rick

2860. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 03:11:06 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2857 Message: 2860

At 08:13 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:
>Look at the filter you're using to figure out why LoTW thinks they
>don't match.

Rick, as far as I know I'm not using a filter. What kind of filter would
this be?

73,
Mike

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/296 - Release Date: 3/29/2006

2861. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 03:21:07 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2857 Message: 2861

At 10:11 PM 3/30/2006, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
>At 08:13 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:
> >Look at the filter you're using to figure out why LoTW thinks they
> >don't match.
>
>Rick, as far as I know I'm not using a filter. What kind of filter would
>this be?

When you set up a WAS account, you set up a QSO set that's used to
select the QSOs that apply to that account. What the "!M" means is that
your QSO set definition does not select those QSOs.
(Date, callsign, etc don't match.)
-Rick

2862. received today: 9K3MU

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 12:20:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2862 Message: 2862

9K2MU via LoTW for a 30m & challenge credit.
(funny thing is...I had incorrectly marked it received in my log)
A examination of my DXCC card box should that I indeed did NOT have this band mode confirmed.
In this case, LoTW was far smarter than me.
(actually my XYL says 'rocks' are far smarter than me, guess she's right!)

Thanks to Murtada & his manager for the qso & qsl.
Thanks to LoTW for indicating my error.

Why is it called lipstick if you can still move your lips?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2863. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 12:24:08 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2857 Message: 2863
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

HMMMMMM, don't remember doing anything like that. I wonder if I can
"legally" edit my preferences.

At 10:21 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:

>At 10:11 PM 3/30/2006, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
> >At 08:13 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:
> > >Look at the filter you're using to figure out why LoTW thinks they
> > >don't match.
> >
> >Rick, as far as I know I'm not using a filter. What kind of filter would
> >this be?
>
>When you set up a WAS account, you set up a QSO set that's used to
>select the QSOs that apply to that account. What the "!M" means is that
>your QSO set definition does not select those QSOs.
>(Date, callsign, etc don't match.)
> -Rick
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/296 - Release Date: 3/29/2006

2864. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 12:42:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2857 Message: 2864

I'd never seen that !M indicator.
But the reply explaining that it doesn't fit a rule made me go look at what I set up.
In my WAS account, I created a rule that includes ALL qso's with my 3 calls.
(all calls were at same location, novice, advanced, and extra)
So all qso's were eligible to be counted for WAS.

Could it be that you 'didn't' create a rule to include qsls for a previously held callsign?
Or that callsign was from a different geographical location?

If you go to 'Modify Account' for each WAS account, it will show you the related rules.

AND (from the instructions) "At least one rule MUST be included in a QSO Set in order to match any QSOS."

So if you didn't include a rule such as
Include QSOs matching..... Call Sign = W5UC

Then no matches can be found.

Hope this gives you a clue.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2006 6:24 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Strange stuff in WAS

> HMMMMMM, don't remember doing anything like that. I wonder if I can
> "legally" edit my preferences.
>
>
> At 10:21 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:
>
>>At 10:11 PM 3/30/2006, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
>> >At 08:13 PM 3/30/2006 -0500, you wrote:
>> > > >Look at the filter you're using to figure out why LoTW thinks they
>> > > >don't match.
>> > >
>> >Rick, as far as I know I'm not using a filter. What kind of filter would
>> >this be?
>>
>>When you set up a WAS account, you set up a QSO set that's used to
>>select the QSOs that apply to that account. What the "!M" means is that
>>your QSO set definition does not select those QSOs.
>>(Date, callsign, etc don't match.)
>> -Rick

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>--
>>No virus found in this incoming message.
>>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/296 - Release Date: 3/29/2006
>
>

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.3.3/298 - Release Date: 3/30/2006

2865. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: 9K3MU

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-03-31 13:33:09 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2862 Message: 2865
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2866. Certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-01 01:31:09 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 2866 Message: 2866

My certificate is listed as expiring on 11th May 2006. When do I renew it, before it expires or once it has expired?

Why don't they just leave it as it is? It is still me at the same address where I have lived for 40 years with the same call for nearly 30 years. Must be a reason I suppose.

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
Aberdeen

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0613-2, 31/03/2006
Tested on: 01/04/2006 02:31:11
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2867. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-01 01:45:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2866 Message: 2867

I suggest renewing BEFORE expiration.
Avoiding trouble is my motto.
And I've been seeing that now the expiration period is now 3 years for people recently renewing.
Hopefully that's true.
I've got a bunch of certificates for all the stations I manage.
renew early, and if I goof up, there's time to fix it.

On 3/31/2006 7:31:09 PM, Dave Lindsay (gm4hqf@...) wrote:
> My certificate is listed as expiring on 11th May 2006. When do I renew
> it, before it expires or once it has expired?
>
> Why don't they just leave it as it is? It is still me at the same
> address where I have lived for 40 years with the same call for nearly 30
> years. Must be a reason I suppose.
>
> Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513
> Aberdeen
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0613-2, 31/03/2006
> Tested on: 01/04/2006 02:31:11
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]" on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link:
> mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]
>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service [link:

> docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/].
>
>
> ---

2868. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-01 01:51:03 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2866 Message: 2868

At 08:31 PM 3/31/2006, Dave Lindsay wrote:
>My certificate is listed as expiring on 11th May 2006. When do I renew
>it, before it expires or once it has expired?

Before it expires, as you can not use an expired certificate to request
a replacement. It's a simple thing to do with a current certificate and
a right pain in the arse without.

>Why don't they just leave it as it is? It is still me at the same
>address where I have lived for 40 years with the same call for nearly 30
>years. Must be a reason I suppose.

The replacement will be good for three years. Hope that makes it a bit
less annoying.
-Rick

2869. received via LoTW: J68AS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-01 13:22:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2869 Message: 2869

J68AS via LoTW for a couple of band/modes
including the 40 meter rtty band/mode I needed.

I had just printed the cards, sase and put them into a mailer when I saw the LoTW confirmations.
Great timing. Saved two stamps.

I 'believe' the manager who uploads is N9AG.
I think he also does J6DX, from whom I need a 40ssb qsl.

Thanks Scott.

My brother-in-law is so dumb he sold his car for gas money.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2870. 12 Easy Steps to LotW Success

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-01 13:59:43 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2870 Message: 2870

My friend Rick N6RK came up with the following easy 12-step process for joining and using LotW:

Simplified Logbook of The World (LOTW) instructions.
Total working time under an hour.

By Rick Karlquist N6RK

First 12 steps you only do once.

Steps 1-6, <15 minutes

1. Create directory My Documents/lotw.

2. Go to
http://prdownloads.sourceforge.net/trustedqsl/tqsl-111.exe?download
and download Trusted QSL program to My Documents/lotw directory.

3. Start/Run/My Documents/lotw/tqsl-111.exe to install program. Delete
tqsl-111.exe.

4. Start/Programs/TQSLcert. Menu: File/New Certificate Request. Wizard
starts

5. Fill in your call, DXCC entity, QSO begin date, leave end date blank,
name and
address as shown on FCC license and email address. Leave password blank.
QSO
begin date is when you got on the air from your current QTH. (You can do
other QTH's later).

6. Save .tq5 file to lotw directory and email as an attachment to
lotw-logs@....

7. Wait a few days for postcard from ARRL (USA stations only).

Step 8, < 5 minutes

8. Go to https://pk1.arrl.org/lotw/password and enter your call and number
on postcard.
Note "https" not "http" (USA stations only)

9. Wait for email from ARRL.

Steps 10-12, < 15 minutes

10. Open email from ARRL and save attached .tq6 file in lotw directory.
Go to https://pk1.arrl.org/lotwuser/default and type in the password
included in

the text of the email. Click on "Your Account" then "Change Password"
and change the password to something you can remember. Delete the ARRL
email,
delete <your call>.tq5, and throw away the postcard.

11. Start/Programs/TQSLcert. Menu: File/Load Certificate File. Check
TQSL. Open
My Documents/lotw/<your call>.tq6. Exit program.

12. Start/Programs/TQSL. Menu: Station/Add Location. Wizard starts. Fill
in info
on your current QTH. (You can do other QTH's later).

Each time you want to submit logs to LOTW (< 10 minutes, mostly waiting
time):

A. Export ADIF or Cabrillo file from logging program to My
Documents/lotw/<filename>.adi
B. Start/Programs/TQSL/File/SignExistingADIFOrCabrilloFile.
Open My Documents/lotw/<filename>.adi. Save to My
Documents/lotw/<filename>.tq8
C. Email <filename>.tq8 to lotw-logs@....

Wait about 4 hours for email from ARRL saying your log was received.
Then go to https://pk1.arrl.org/lotwuser/default and click on
"Your QSO's" to see how many QSLs you got.

2871. received today: J6DX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 01:38:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2871 Message: 2871

Less that 12 hours ago I posted that I got my J68AS qsl via LoTW.
Just now I received the J6DX LoTW qsl.
I'm sure they were the same fellow, J6DX was the contest callsign.
I'm pretty sure it was the same manager, N9AG.
Thank you Scott and the contest ops for a total of 3 new band/mode qsls.

J6DX via LoTW for a 40 meter WPX SSB contest qso.

Kids are wonderful, but I like mine barbecued.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2872. Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 02:39:02 UTC
From: kc8rrm
Topic: 2872 Message: 2872

I have been searching for several days and tried many different things and still am missing something. In the 12 easy steps I do not seem to have my tq6 file I have the p12 file and what I believe is the tq5 file it is now in the tsqcert folder but it is enclosed by the universal stop sign, normal? What did I miss in getting the tq6 file? Please help me get this wonderful idea of a programn going.Putting up the 12 easy steps was a great Idea I just ahve to get everything where it is supposed to be to get myself up and running.

Mike T. kc8rrm

2873. Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 02:55:30 UTC
From: kc8rrm
Topic: 2872 Message: 2873

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc8rrm" <tesznar@...> wrote:
>
> I have been searching for several days and tried many different
things
> and still am missing something. In the 12 easy steps I do not seem
to
> have my tq6 file I have the p12 file and what I believe is the tq5
> file it is now in the tsqcert folder but it is enclosed by the
> universal stop sign, normal? What did I miss in getting the tq6 file?
> Please help me get this wonderful idea of a programn going.Putting
up
> the 12 easy steps was a great Idea I just ahve to get everything
where
> it is supposed to be to get myself up and running.
>
> Mike T. kc8rrm
>
I think the stop sign file is the tq6 file,just figured that out.Could
it be that way because when I applied I left the start date as 1945?
If not how doe I fix this?

Thanks again. Mike T. kc8rrm

2874. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 03:37:29 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2872 Message: 2874

A p12 file is a certificate back-up. It should have the information
from both your private key and the certificate.

Simply open tQSL Cert, select File, make sure that P12 is selected,
and click "Next." When the file dialog opens, select the P12 file
and click "open." If the P12 (backup) is valid you will see a
certificate listed without the (/).

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kc8rrm
> Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2006 9:56 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc8rrm" <tesznar@...> wrote:
> >
> > I have been searching for several days and tried many different
> things
> > and still am missing something. In the 12 easy steps I do not seem
> to
> > have my tq6 file I have the p12 file and what I believe is the tq5
> > file it is now in the tsqcert folder but it is enclosed by the
> > universal stop sign, normal? What did I miss in getting the
> tq6 file?
> > Please help me get this wonderful idea of a programn going.Putting
> up
> > the 12 easy steps was a great Idea I just ahve to get everything
> where
> > it is supposed to be to get myself up and running.
> >
> > Mike T. kc8rrm
> >
> I think the stop sign file is the tq6 file,just figured that
> out.Could
> it be that way because when I applied I left the start date as 1945?
> If not how doe I fix this?
>
> Thanks again. Mike T. kc8rrm
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2875. Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 03:50:36 UTC
From: kc8rrm
Topic: 2872 Message: 2875

Just tried that and also deleted the {/} tq6 file and it still came back with the{/} on it the, p12 file is from the safety back up I made So I see no reason for it not to be valid.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> A p12 file is a certificate back-up. It should have the information
> from both your private key and the certificate.
>
> Simply open tQSL Cert, select File, make sure that P12 is selected,
> and click "Next." When the file dialog opens, select the P12 file
> and click "open." If the P12 (backup) is valid you will see a
> certificate listed without the (/).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kc8rrm
> > Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2006 9:56 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc8rrm" <tesznar@> wrote:
> > >
> > > I have been searching for several days and tried many different
> > things
> > > and still am missing something. In the 12 easy steps I do not seem
> > to
> > > have my tq6 file I have the p12 file and what I believe is the tq5
> > > file it is now in the tsqcert folder but it is enclosed by the
> > > universal stop sign, normal? What did I miss in getting the
> > tq6 file?
> > > Please help me get this wonderful idea of a programn going.Putting

> > up
> > > the 12 easy steps was a great Idea I just ahve to get
everything
> > where
> > > it is supposed to be to get myself up and running.
> > >
> > > Mike T. kc8rrm
> > >
> > I think the stop sign file is the tq6 file,just figured that
> > out.Could
> > it be that way because when I applied I left the start date as
1945?
> > If not how doe I fix this?
> >
> > Thanks again. Mike T. kc8rrm
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2876. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 04:38:47 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2872 Message: 2876

check the expiration date ... that's the most common reason for
the (/).

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kc8rrm
> Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2006 10:51 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?
>
>
> Just tried that and also deleted the {/} tq6 file and it still came
> back with the{/} on it the, p12 file is from the safety back up I
> made So I see no reason for it not to be valid.
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> > A p12 file is a certificate back-up. It should have the
> information
> > from both your private key and the certificate.
> >
> > Simply open tQSL Cert, select File, make sure that P12 is selected,
> > and click "Next." When the file dialog opens, select the P12 file
> > and click "open." If the P12 (backup) is valid you will see a
> > certificate listed without the (/).
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kc8rrm
> > > Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2006 9:56 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "kc8rrm" <tesznar@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I have been searching for several days and tried many different
> > > things
> > > > and still am missing something. In the 12 easy steps I do not
> seem
> > > to
> > > > have my tq6 file I have the p12 file and what I believe is the
> tq5
> > > > file it is now in the tsqcert folder but it is enclosed by the
> > > > universal stop sign, normal? What did I miss in getting the
> > > tq6 file?
> > > > Please help me get this wonderful idea of a programn
> going.Putting
> > > up
> > > > the 12 easy steps was a great Idea I just ahve to get
> everything
> > > where
> > > > it is supposed to be to get myself up and running.
> > > >
> > > > Mike T. kc8rrm
> > > >
> > > I think the stop sign file is the tq6 file,just figured that
> > > out.Could
> > > it be that way because when I applied I left the start date as
> 1945?
> > > If not how doe I fix this?
> > >
> > > Thanks again. Mike T. kc8rrm
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2877. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 13:53:22 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2872 Message: 2877

At 10:50 PM 4/1/2006, kc8rrm wrote:
>Just tried that and also deleted the {/} tq6 file and it still came
>back with the{/} on it the, p12 file is from the safety back up I
>made So I see no reason for it not to be valid.

It's very likely that the p12 file you're trying to restore has
expired. After importing it into LoTW, right click on the slashed icon
and pick "Properties". That will tell you when the certificate stored
in that p12 file is good.
It's common for people to renew their certificates and not make a new
backup. When the certificate in the backup expires, they're toast.
Have a look at the LoTW home page - if you remember your postcard
password, there's a way to get a new certificate without starting from
zero.
-Rick

2879. Re: Finally asking for help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 16:01:14 UTC
From: kc8rrm
Topic: 2872 Message: 2879

--

Just checked the date that it started and it was 2001 it is only 2
wks old. I probably missed it in the setup.Slowly getting there.I
highlighted the cert and renew cerificate is grayed out.Any ideas?

73

- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...>
wrote:
>
> At 10:50 PM 4/1/2006, kc8rrm wrote:
> >Just tried that and also deleted the {/} tq6 file and it still
came
> >back with the{/} on it the, p12 file is from the safety back up I
> >made So I see no reason for it not to be valid.
>
> It's very likely that the p12 file you're trying to restore has
> expired. After importing it into LoTW, right click on the slashed
icon
> and pick "Properties". That will tell you when the certificate
stored
> in that p12 file is good.
> It's common for people to renew their certificates and not make a
new
> backup. When the certificate in the backup expires, they're toast.
> Have a look at the LoTW home page - if you remember your postcard
> password, there's a way to get a new certificate without starting
from
> zero.
> -Rick
>

2880. LOTW Certificate Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 19:08:54 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2880 Message: 2880

I am transferring all my hamshack info onto a new computer. One of the things I need to do is get LOTW back up and functional so I created several .tq5 files today. I had KA3LUW (83-92), WY3X (92-03), KN3A (03-06), and back to WY3X (1-10-06). Since there were two WY3X's, I am wondering if this will create any problems with the ARRL? I submitted via email and tried to explain the reason I u/l two WY3X.tq5. I just don't want one of them deleted because they think its a dupe email.

Did I do this correctly, or could I have somehow taken the certificates off the old computer?

73--Scott
WY3X

2881. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 492

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 19:22:05 UTC
From: Don Sachnoff
Topic: 2881 Message: 2881

Is there an easy way to reinstall LOTW on a new PC? I have the certificate.

Don - kx9q

2882. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Certificate Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 19:59:59 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2880 Message: 2882

At 03:08 PM 4/2/2006, scottpa1960 wrote:
>I am transferring all my hamshack info onto a new computer. One of the
>things I need to do is get LOTW back up and functional so I created
>several .tq5 files today. I had KA3LUW (83-92), WY3X (92-03), KN3A
>(03-06), and back to WY3X (1-10-06). Since there were two WY3X's, I am
>wondering if this will create any problems with the ARRL? I submitted
>via email and tried to explain the reason I u/l two WY3X.tq5. I just
>don't want one of them deleted because they think its a dupe email.
>
>Did I do this correctly, or could I have somehow taken the
>certificates off the old computer?

You can import them from the backup .p12 file you created and stored
for safekeeping.

It is VERY important to save all your current certificates on a floppy
(or thumb drive) and store them off of your computer; that .p12 save is
the ONLY way your private keys can be recovered. It's very easy to move
them to a new computer if you've got the .p12, impossible otherwise.
(Well, there's some hackery you can do with hidden files, but that
doesn't count.)
-Rick

2883. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Digest Number 492

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-02 20:00:28 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2881 Message: 2883

At 03:22 PM 4/2/2006, Don Sachnoff wrote:
>Is there an easy way to reinstall LOTW on a new PC? I have the
>certificate.

Download and reinstall tqsl, then import the .p12 file (assuming that's
what you have).
You'll need to recreate your station location if you're using TQSL to
enter logs.
-Rick

2884. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-03 18:19:18 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 2456 Message: 2884

I just did a WAS check and out of thousands of QSO with US stations, I only get one confirmed hit on LoTW and that is by W8GAZ.

That is not much of a hit rate :<(

I have had all States confirmed for a number of years via QSL cards.

73, Carl VE9OV

2885. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-03 18:36:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2456 Message: 2885

That is amazing Carl.
Just for the heck I did a check on VE stations confirmed...
I have pages and pages, I didn't count them

You have to pick a better class of Yanks to work. :-)))

----- Original Message -----
From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2006 1:19 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

>I just did a WAS check and out of thousands of QSO with US stations, I only get one
>confirmed hit on LoTW and that is by W8GAZ.
>
> That is not much of a hit rate :<(
>
> I have had all States confirmed for a number of years via QSL cards.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV

2886. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-03 19:30:53 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2456 Message: 2886

Carl,

You might want to check how the log is going in.
Correct mode etc. While matchups do run a low
percentage that few seems mighty low.

Try going to: http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/lotw-dx.html
and check for some of your calls.

Randy
AG8A
--- Carl Smidt <xveoneov@...> wrote:

> I just did a WAS check and out of thousands of QSO
> with US stations, I only get one confirmed hit on
> LoTW and that is by W8GAZ.
>
> That is not much of a hit rate :<(
>
> I have had all States confirmed for a number of
> years via QSL cards.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2887. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-03 19:45:40 UTC
From: rlb
Topic: 2456 Message: 2887

For USA calls try:

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html

I was thinking of the US as dx sorry.

Randy
AG8A
--- rlb <printgreen@...> wrote:

> Carl,
>
> You might want to check how the log is going in.
> Correct mode etc. While matchups do run a low
> percentage that few seems mighty low.
>
> Try going to:
> http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/lotw-dx.html
> and check for some of your calls.
>
>
> Randy
> AG8A
> --- Carl Smidt <xveoneov@...> wrote:
>
> > I just did a WAS check and out of thousands of QSO
> > with US stations, I only get one confirmed hit on
> > LoTW and that is by W8GAZ.
> >
> > That is not much of a hit rate :<(
> >
> > I have had all States confirmed for a number of
> > years via QSL cards.
> >
> > 73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam
> protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com
>

_____
Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

2888. LOTW WAS Awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-04 11:53:32 UTC
From: scottpa1960
Topic: 2888 Message: 2888

Just to let everyone know, it only took about a week from the time I submitted my LOTW WAS mixed and WAS RTTY awards. Not a bad turnaround time at all.

On the RTTY award, it has #430 - does this mean that only 429 people have ever submitted for this award before me?

73--Scott
WY3X

2889. LOTW Returns

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-05 18:05:31 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 2889 Message: 2889

I have noticed a big surge in DX QSLs in the past few weeks. My returns are running at 8% with 250 returns for 3147 uploads, all DX.

2890. W1AW QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-08 04:45:46 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 2890 Message: 2890

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Someone had made a comment about W1AW not having their logs uploaded. Looks
like they're doing something about it, as in LOTW I got 3 W1AW QSLs today,
from contacts in 1999 and 2000. I've got another back in 1975, in my novice
days. I wonder if they'll end up going back and entering QSOs from back then
and before.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFENz/6RgRgmxNburQRAmw2AJ9kkNv4Oitn4/vJH+tMggcbBzeYqgCaA5us
RUhD48Wx/wNF3fLtfjTzEhw=
=RbhP
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2891. question about WAS award accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-08 16:12:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2891 Message: 2891

I think I understand this but just to make sure.
I presently have a WAS award account for W9OL
Even though my previous WN9VOL & WA9VOL where from the same location as my present call, each would be a SEPARATE award account.

There is no issue of 'linking' as in the DXCC award where previous stateside callsigns can be combined.

Am I stating this correctly? States worked with previous callsigns can not be combined into one WAS award account.

If you take an Oriental person and spin him around several times, does he become disoriented?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w9ol/WX/HH.htm

2892. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question about WAS award accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-08 16:29:27 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2891 Message: 2892

>
> Am I stating this correctly? States worked with previous callsigns can not be combined
> into one WAS award account.
>

I don't think that's true.

My understanding is that you can feed a single WAS with multiple callsigns, so long as the station locations were within a certain distance (50-miles?).

I've only been wrong once in my life. That was the time I thought I was wrong, but I wasn't. :-)

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

2893. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question about WAS award accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-08 16:49:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2891 Message: 2893

Your right, I was rereading it after I sent the question out and realized I'd missed that part.

in the words of Saturday Night Live's Emily Lutilla....'NEVERMIND!'

----- Original Message -----
From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 08, 2006 11:29 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question about WAS award accounts

>
>>
>> Am I stating this correctly? States worked with previous callsigns can
> not be combined
>> into one WAS award account.
>>
>
>
> I don't think that's true.
>
> My understanding is that you can feed a single WAS with multiple callsigns,
> so long as the station locations were within a certain distance (50-miles?).
>
> I've only been wrong once in my life. That was the time I thought I was
> wrong, but I wasn't. :-)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
> Ham radio
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
> a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>

2894. More LoTW DX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 00:07:54 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2894 Message: 2894

Today I did my monthly upload of my casual QSOs. Just 16 in March.
Delighted to find two confirmations:

YV1DIG 160m CW
ZL4PW 30m CW

The ZL4PW contact was interesting. Tuned across 30m one night after
0400z. At this time, there's usually little activity on the band --
it generally closes down completely around 0200z. Heard ZL4PW calling
CQ about 569. Worked him easily.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2895. Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 11:11:20 UTC
From: dk1bx
Topic: 2895 Message: 2895

Hi LoTW user,

the loaded QSOs can be checked by the command "Your QSOs",
displaying max. 25 QSOs a page, but I would like to download
all my QSOs in LoTW.
Is there any possibility to do this?

73 de Gerd, DK1BX

2896. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 12:02:54 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2895 Message: 2896

At 07:11 AM 4/16/2006, dk1bx wrote:
>Hi LoTW user,
>
>the loaded QSOs can be checked by the command "Your QSOs",
>displaying max. 25 QSOs a page, but I would like to download
>all my QSOs in LoTW.
>Is there any possibility to do this?

When on the "Your QSOs" page, there's a menu on the left hand side of the screen with the heading "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report" and it'll allow you to select by date and callsign, then download everything that matches. It'll be in ADIF format.
-Rick

2897. Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 12:41:30 UTC
From: ak8b
Topic: 2895 Message: 2897

That only gets you records with matching QSLs, not everything.

All the information is in there, there just seems to be no way to get
it out. Future enhancement?

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
>
> At 07:11 AM 4/16/2006, dk1bx wrote:
> >Hi LoTW user,
> >
> >the loaded QSOs can be checked by the command "Your QSOs",
> >displaying max. 25 QSOs a page, but I would like to download
> >all my QSOs in LoTW.
> >Is there any possibility to do this?
>
> When on the "Your QSOs" page, there's a menu on the left hand side of
> the screen with the heading "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report" and
> it'll allow you to select by date and callsign, then download
> everything that matches. It'll be in ADIF format.
> -Rick
>

2898. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 14:10:58 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2895 Message: 2898

At 08:41 AM 4/16/2006, ak8b wrote:
>That only gets you records with matching QSLs, not everything.

Using the "QSOs Menu", "Download Report" gets you all QSOs, not just
those with QSLs (unless you restrict the download to just those with QSLs).

>All the information is in there, there just seems to be no way to get
>it out. Future enhancement?

Try the above. If that's not working for you, you can try my query page
at http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm - plug in your LoTW username
and password, hit submit, and you'll get a ADIF file with all of your
QSO records. But that's no different from what the "Download Report"
operation on LoTW does.
-Rick

2899. Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 14:24:25 UTC
From: Frank Davis
Topic: 2899 Message: 2899

I was recently able to download all my 160M QSL's only from LoTW by using this search string in the browser bar after I had logged on. You can change bands by inserting the band name as shown.

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?qso_query=yes&qso_qsl=yes&qso_band=160M&qso_owncall=<callsign>

I was also able to download all the RTTY only QSL's for all bands by taking out the band paramter and insertng qso_mode=RTTY:

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?qso_query=yes&qso_qsl=yes&qso_mode=RTTY&qso_owncall=<callsign>

73 Frank VO1HP

2900. Re: Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 16:44:41 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2899 Message: 2900

Try this: I'm doing this from memory. I have the original text for this on another computer. But you can get an adi file for all QSO's not just QSL's. If this isn't correct I'll try again Monday from my computer at work.

I got this originally off this list. I don't remember who to give the credit too, but I was able to re-create my entire log with this download, not just the QSL's.

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?qso_query=1&login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_qsl=no&qso_detail=yes&qso_withown=yes

Bob K8KWT

2901. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 16:54:04 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2895 Message: 2901

Use the following - insert your own account ID and password:

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=<yourcall>&password=<your_password>&qso_query=1&qso_withown=yes&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01

It should provide you an ADIF formatted file of every record you have
uploaded. Details on creating these queries is available at:
http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ak8b
> Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2006 8:42 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?
>
>
> That only gets you records with matching QSLs, not everything.
>
> All the information is in there, there just seems to be no way to get
> it out. Future enhancement?
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU
> <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
> >
> > At 07:11 AM 4/16/2006, dk1bx wrote:
> > >Hi LoTW user,
> > >
> > >the loaded QSOs can be checked by the command "Your QSOs",
> > >displaying max. 25 QSOs a page, but I would like to download
> > >all my QSOs in LoTW.
> > >Is there any possibility to do this?
> >
> > When on the "Your QSOs" page, there's a menu on the left
> hand side of
> > the screen with the heading "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download
> Report" and
> > it'll allow you to select by date and callsign, then download
> > everything that matches. It'll be in ADIF format.
> > -Rick
> >

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2902. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 17:53:56 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 2899 Message: 2902

On Apr 16, 2006, at 10:24 AM, Frank Davis wrote:

> I was recently able to download all my 160M QSL's only from LoTW by
> using this search string in the browser bar after I had logged on.
> You
> can change bands by inserting the band name as shown.
>
> https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> qso_query=yes&qso_qsl=yes&qso_band=160M&qso_owncall=<callsign>

On Apr 16, 2006, at 12:54 PM, Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:
> Use the following - insert your own account ID and password:
>
> https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> login=<yourcall>&password=<your_pas
> sword>&qso_query=1&qso_withown=yes&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorx
> since=1900
> -01-01

If you guys who post these long URLs would have the courtesy to
enclose them in < > signs, as in

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
qso_query=yes&qso_qsl=yes&qso_band=160M&qso_owncall=<callsign>>

and

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
login=<yourcall>&password=<your_password>&qso_query=1&qso_withown=yes&qs
o_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01>

most modern mail clients will keep them together as links and not
force the rest of us to cut & paste them back together in order to be
able to use them.

Thanks for your help.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

2903. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 17:53:59 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2895 Message: 2903

At 12:54 PM 4/16/2006, Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:

>Use the following - insert your own account ID and password:
>
>https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=<yourcall>&password=<your_pas
>sword>&qso_query=1&qso_withown=yes&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900
>-01-01

Or, don't do all that typing. Log in to LoTW and then use
https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi to download all of your QSOs.
(If you don't want to log in first, add
?login=yourcall&password=yourpassword to the end.)

>It should provide you an ADIF formatted file of every record you have
>uploaded. Details on creating these queries is available at:
>http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html

For more complex queries, use the query page I created at
http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm - it'll let you query
everything, date filter, callsign filter, etc. It was built using the
details documented in that developer documentation. (And no, it doesn't
send your LoTW password to me).
-Rick

2904. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 21:36:59 UTC
From: Nga Tapuwae
Topic: 2895 Message: 2904

'QSO Menu', 'Download Report' only gets QSLs for me.

But your query page is excellent - thank you.

Bryan, ZL1NI

> ..... try my
> query page at http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm - plug
> in your LoTW username and password, hit submit, and you'll
> get a ADIF file with all of your QSO records. But that's no
> different from what the "Download Report"
> operation on LoTW does.
> -Rick

2905. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 22:44:53 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 2899 Message: 2905

On Sun, Apr 16, 2006 at 01:53:56PM -0400, John E Bastin wrote:
> If you guys who post these long URLs would have the courtesy to
> enclose them in < > signs, as in
>
> <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> qso_query=yes&qso_qsl=yes&qso_band=160M&qso_owncall=<callsign>>
>
> and
>
> <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> login=<yourcall>&password=<your_password>&qso_query=1&qso_withown=yes&qs
> o_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01>
>
> most modern mail clients will keep them together as links and not
> force the rest of us to cut & paste them back together in order to be
> able to use them.

Even if they are split across multiple lines, as yours were?
My client was not interested, nor does it use <> to detect URLs.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

2906. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Download QSOs ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-16 23:08:04 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2895 Message: 2906

At 05:36 PM 4/16/2006, Nga Tapuwae wrote:
>'QSO Menu', 'Download Report' only gets QSLs for me.

Yes, I'm wrong - it's only getting QSLs.
Apologies to those I questioned on this.

The capability is clearly there but there's no way to get a download of
everything (unless you build your own query, which is what my page does.)

>But your query page is excellent - thank you.
>
>Bryan, ZL1NI

It's pretty simple but I use it a lot to refresh my log, so I decided
to make it available.
I'm glad if folks find it useful.
-Rick

2907. tranfering LoTW from one computer to another

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 13:06:03 UTC
From: K8FH
Topic: 2907 Message: 2907

Transfered the TrustQSL files to another computer and unable to sign any ADIF files for uploading from the new computer. I get a message about "unable to locate an unlocking key." Any ideas?

2908. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] tranfering LoTW from one computer to another

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 13:12:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2907 Message: 2908

Did you create the .p12 files for each of your callsigns?
They need to be created and transfered also.

I can't remember the exact sequence of doing so as I'm at a different computer but the instructions are on the LoTW site, I think in the FAQ's???

On 4/17/2006 8:06:03 AM, K8FH (k8fh@...) wrote:
> Transfered the TrustQSL files to another computer and unable to sign
> any ADIF files for uploading from the new computer. I get a message
> about "unable to locate an unlocking key." Any ideas?
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]"
> on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW-
> unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]
>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> [link: docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/].
>
>
> ---

2909. Re: Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 14:57:08 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2899 Message: 2909

I knew I shouldn't try to do that long URL from memory. I got it wrong in my first post.

It should be:

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>

Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
Replace Password with your current password.

I used this once to sucessfully recreate my entire log.

Bob K8KWT

2910. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 16:25:29 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2899 Message: 2910

Bob,

That URL works if you have only one call in your LotW account.
For those of us who have changed calls over the years, (e.g.,
AD8I -> W8IK -> K4IK -> W4TV) the "qso_owncall" statement must
be eliminated to get the entire file.

The downloaded file will contain the ADIF fields "App_LotW_owncall"
and "Station_callsign" which will identify the call used to make
the QSO.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Daniels
> Sent: Monday, April 17, 2006 10:57 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Searching LoTW
>
>
> I knew I shouldn't try to do that long URL from memory. I
> got it wrong
> in my first post.
>
> It should be:
>
> <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
> &qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>
>
> Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
> Replace Password with your current password.
>
> I used this once to sucessfully recreate my entire log.
>
> Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2911. Re: Searching LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 17:25:48 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 2899 Message: 2911

ahaa ! I didn't realize that !

Thanks joe I learned something new today :)

Bob K8KWT

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Bob,
>
> That URL works if you have only one call in your LotW account.
> For those of us who have changed calls over the years, (e.g.,
> AD8I -> W8IK -> K4IK -> W4TV) the "qso_owncall" statement must
> be eliminated to get the entire file.
>
> The downloaded file will contain the ADIF fields "App_LotW_owncall"
> and "Station_callsign" which will identify the call used to make
> the QSO.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Daniels
> > Sent: Monday, April 17, 2006 10:57 AM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Searching LoTW
> >
> >
> > I knew I shouldn't try to do that long URL from memory. I
> > got it wrong
> > in my first post.
> >
> > It should be:
> >
> > <https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
> > login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
> > &qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-
01&qso_withown=yes>
> >

> > Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
> > Replace Password with your current password.
> >
> > I used this once to sucessfully recreate my entire log.
> >
> > Bob K8KWT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2912. Backup/Restore

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 20:34:34 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 2899 Message: 2912

All of these discussions of saving .p12 files and the various difficulties involving in moving to new machines make me wonder why the TQSL group just doesn't add a backup/restore utility to the program which would save the certifications and the location settings. This would solve both backup issues and migration issues. It seems a mjaor user friendliness issue.

Mike WA0SXV

2913. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Backup/Restore

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-17 22:28:39 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 2899 Message: 2913

Mike Mellinger WA0SXV wrote:

> All of these discussions of saving .p12 files and the various difficulties
> involving in moving to new machines make me wonder why the TQSL group just
> doesn't add a backup/restore utility to the program which would save the
> certifications and the location settings. This would solve both backup
> issues and migration issues.

Great idea ... especially when you have an unexpected hard drive failure before getting a chance to save things! I know ... since it happened to me a couple weeks ago, and lost all my .p12 files and my renewal certificates that were waiting to be installed!

Hoping to salvage things and install on this new computer.

Ron

2914. Again Download QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-19 13:22:28 UTC
From: dk1bx
Topic: 2914 Message: 2914

I can download now all my QSOs stored in LoTW
by Joe Subich's link "https://www.arrl......" with the long
parameter list or by Rick Murphy's Query Page (see
messages before).

Everything is ok in the provided ADIF-File concerning
CALL, BAND, MODE, QSO_DATE, TIME_ON but what means
<QSL_RCVD:1>Y/N?

"<QSL_RCVD:1>Y" does not meet the confirmed QSOs (=QSLs)
in LoTW, but the number of all "<QSO_RCVD:1>Y" lines in
the ADIF file is exactly the number of my QSLs in LoTW.

Is there a program error in LoTW on downloading?

73 der Gerd, DK1BX

2915. How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 01:20:48 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 2915 Message: 2915

Hi,

Okay - seeing that taxes are done - ouch! - I have to put
my mind to work at something more productive. I want to
go back to the start of my downloads ['77] - have earlier
ones, but need to know how to do these first.
I have [4] different locations for W7LPF currently logged
and I want to correct the actual location, grid and county
information - and zone if necessary. Do I have to apply
for a separate TQL Cert for each location? Remembering
that in some instances I upload 2 sets of logs [especially
for contests, etc - I.E., W7LPF & W7LPF/3 or /4]. Or is
there an easier way to go about making the change?
Or should I just let them be? Then again, there may be
someone that still needs Fauquier or Orange Cnty Va
<:}} !
73,
JOE W7LPF
Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
MGR: 4L1DA

2916. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 01:38:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2915 Message: 2916

One certificate for each callsign.
if it's a w9ol, w9ol/9, wa9vol/4
whatever each individual call needs it's own cert.
Which is easy to apply for, using you original/current certificate.
The process is on the faq's

If you were signing w7lpf/7, he probably logged it that way and will only match if you submit your log the way you sent it, and he logged it.

separate your logs.
In my case
wn9vol date from > date to
wa9vol date from > date to
create a .adi file of each separate logged callsign
Sign that log, making sure you have the proper date range to match the certificate and enter the proper info into the station location, grid, zones etc.
That's done in the TQSL module that you sign your logs with.

You do that for each callsign for each location.
If w9ol has logs in IL, and then he moves to WI, the date range should coincide with the location of it's operation.
Otherwise your qsl recipients will get credit for the wrong state.

This sounds complicated....but actually after you do it once..it's really a snap.
my suggestion is to do it systematically
start forward or backward (my suggestion) as newer qso's are more likely to match than older ones.

If I could do it...an ingenious, steadfast, person like yourself will find it a snap!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joseph Lutz" <kwz99@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2006 8:20 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

> Hi,
>
> Okay - seeing that taxes are done - ouch! - I have to put
> my mind to work at something more productive. I want to
> go back to the start of my downloads ['77] - have earlier
> ones, but need to know how to do these first.
> I have [4] different locations for W7LPF currently logged
> and I want to correct the actual location, grid and county
> information - and zone if necessary. Do I have to apply
> for a separate TQL Cert for each location? Remembering
> that in some instances I upload 2 sets of logs [especially

> for contests, etc - I.E., W7LPF & W7LPF/3 or /4]. Or is
> there an easier way to go about making the change?
> Or should I just let them be? Then again, there may be
> someone that still needs Fauquier or Orange Cnty Va
> <:}} !
> 73,
> JOE W7LPF
> Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
> MGR: 4L1DA

2917. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 04:37:56 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 2915 Message: 2917

Thanks Bill!!

I have already 'signed' for a W7LPF, W7LPF/4 & W7LPF/3 -
but did it when LOTW first started and really didn't think it through
correctly, as for instance I operated W7LPF/4 from both Fauquier
County and Orange County while in VA - I guess what I really am
asking is:

I can go into my one /4 and correct that info with no problem,
and resubmit the .adif file for that period. Will I be able to apply
for another /4 of course with different time frame and location?

I know I got a lot of 'hits' with /4 and /3 - but I know that a lot of
people would probably like the correct County/etc on the 'match'.

Joe

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2006 01:38
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

> One certificate for each callsign.
> if it's a w9ol, w9ol/9, wa9vol/4
> whatever each individual call needs it's own cert.
> Which is easy to apply for, using you original/current certificate.
> The process is on the faq's
>
> If you were signing w7lpf/7, he probably logged it that way and will only
> match if you
> submit your log the way you sent it, and he logged it.
>
>
> separate your logs.
> In my case
> wn9vol date from > date to
> wa9vol date from > date to
> create a .adi file of each separate logged callsign
> Sign that log, making sure you have the proper date range to match the
> certificate and
> enter the proper info into the station location, grid, zones etc.
> That's done in the TQSL module that you sign your logs with.
>
> You do that for each callsign for each location.
> If w9ol has logs in IL, and then he moves to WI, the date range should

> coincide with the
> location of it's operation.
> Otherwise your qsl recipients will get credit for the wrong state.
>
>
> This sounds complicated....but actually after you do it once..it's really
> a snap.
> my suggestion is to do it systematically
> start forward or backward (my suggestion) as newer qso's are more likely
> to match than
> older ones.
>
> If I could do it...an ingenious, steadfast, person like yourself will find
> it a snap!
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Joseph Lutz" <kwz99@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2006 8:20 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?
>
>
>> Hi,
>>
>> Okay - seeing that taxes are done - ouch! - I have to put
>> my mind to work at something more productive. I want to
>> go back to the start of my downloads ['77] - have earlier
>> ones, but need to know how to do these first.
>> I have [4] different locations for W7LPF currently logged
>> and I want to correct the actual location, grid and county
>> information - and zone if necessary. Do I have to apply
>> for a separate TQL Cert for each location? Remembering
>> that in some instances I upload 2 sets of logs [especially
>> for contests, etc - I.E., W7LPF & W7LPF/3 or /4]. Or is
>> there an easier way to go about making the change?
>> Or should I just let them be? Then again, there may be
>> someone that still needs Fauquier or Orange Cnty Va
>> <:}} !
>> 73,
>> JOE W7LPF
>> Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
>> MGR: 4L1DA
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2918. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 09:50:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2915 Message: 2918

Just separate the counties.
Say....jan 1 2006 to feb 28 2006 you were in Fauquier.
sign those separate with that county info and upload.
Mar 1 to March 30 you were in Orange
sign those separate with that county and upload

to put it another way, separate your logs that have different info such as county, zone etc.

each time you go to upload, edit the station location to reflect the different data. Remember..TQSL remembers the last data put into the station location, so you must edit the location BEFORE signing that segment of your logs.

guys who operate qso parties or mobile across the country must do that for each county/state even cq/itu zones.

On 4/22/2006 11:37:56 PM, Joseph Lutz (kwz99@...) wrote:
> Thanks Bill!!
>
> I have already 'signed' for a W7LPF, W7LPF/4 & W7LPF/3 -
> but did it when LOTW first started and really
> didn't think it through
> correctly, as for instance I operated W7LPF/4 from both Fauquier
> County and Orange County while in VA - I guess what I really am
> asking is:
>
> I can go into my one /4 and correct that info with no problem,
> and resubmit the .adif file for that period. Will I be able to apply
> for another /4 of course with different time frame and location?
>
> I know I got a lot of 'hits' with /4 and /3 - but I know that a lot of
> people would probably like the correct County/etc on the 'match'.
>
> Joe
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2006 01:38
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?
>
>
> > One certificate for each callsign.
> > if it's
> a w9ol, w9ol/9, wa9vol/4
> > whatever each individual call needs

> it's own cert.
> > Which is easy to apply for, using you original/current certificate.
> > The process is on the faq's
> >
> > If you were signing w7l

2919. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 10:17:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2915 Message: 2919

>
> I can go into my one /4 and correct that info with no problem,
> and resubmit the .adif file for that period. Will I be able to apply
> for another /4 of course with different time frame and location?
no need to apply for 'another' cert.
if the /4 cert covers the entire time frame.

just separate the two counties into two .adi files.
sign each separately but edit the station location to reflect which county each .adi file was

then upload each separately.

All your /4 or /3 will return hits the way they are now...but some will not received credit for the correct county.

say you previously submitted all the /4 qsos with county A.
now you realize that half were in county B.
really all you have to do is resign with the edited station info, the qsos that were in county B and only re-upload those.
The previous ones will remain as originally submitted.

People who made qso's with you while in county B, will get a 'NEW' hit and that qsl will now reflect the corrected info.

lol an analogy....when politicians take their money to the bank.
they have the 100's in a pile, the 50's in a pile, 10's etc. etc.
the banker counts each pile separate. <wink>

2920. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-04-23 12:46:43 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 2915 Message: 2920

tQSL will allow you to create multiple "Station Location" entries
for each certificate (call). In the tQSL application, select
"Station" then add a location which for each county.

Export the log records for the first county to an adif file -
when you start tQSL to "sign" that file, it will show a box with
all certificates/locations select the correct one, sign and upload.
Then, repeat the process for each "batch" ...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2006 5:50 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?
>
>
> Just separate the counties.
> Say....jan 1 2006 to feb 28 2006 you were in Fauquier.
> sign those separate with that county info and upload.
> Mar 1 to March 30 you were in Orange
> sign those separate with that county and upload
>
>
> to put it another way, separate your logs that have different
> info such as county, zone
> etc.
>
> each time you go to upload, edit the station location to
> reflect the different data.
> Remember..TQSL remembers the last data put into the station
> location, so you must edit the
> location BEFORE signing that segment of your logs.
>
> guys who operate qso parties or mobile across the country
> must do that for each
> county/state even cq/itu zones.
>
>
>
> On 4/22/2006 11:37:56 PM, Joseph Lutz (kwz99@...) wrote:
> > Thanks Bill!!

> >
> > I have already 'signed' for a W7LPF, W7LPF/4 & W7LPF/3 -
> > but did it when LOTW first started and really
> > didn't think it through
> > correctly, as for instance I operated W7LPF/4 from both Fauquier
> > County and Orange County while in VA - I guess what I really am
> > asking is:
> >
> > I can go into my one /4 and correct that info with no problem,
> > and resubmit the .adif file for that period. Will I be
> able to apply
> > for another /4 of course with different time frame and location?
> >
> > I know I got a lot of 'hits' with /4 and /3 - but I know
> that a lot of
> > people would probably like the correct County/etc on the 'match'.
> >
> > Joe
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2006 01:38
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to correct station location?
> >
> >
> > > One certificate for each callsign.
> > > if it's
> > a w9ol, w9ol/9, wa9vol/4
> > > whatever each individual call needs
> > it's own cert.
> > > Which is easy to apply for, using you original/current
> certificate.
> > > The process is on the faq's
> > >
> > > If you were signing w7l
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2921. My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-02 01:33:39 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2915 Message: 2921

A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete LOTW contact file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?

73,
Mike, W5UC

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date: 4/28/2006

2922. Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-02 01:50:15 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2915 Message: 2922

Try this page. It worked for me. Thanks to Rick/K1MU

For more complex queries, use the query page I created at
http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm - it'll let you query
everything, date filter, callsign filter, etc. It was built using
the
details documented in that developer documentation. (And no, it
doesn't
send your LoTW password to me).

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
<w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete LOTW
contact
> file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date:
4/28/2006
>

2923. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-02 01:51:06 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2915 Message: 2923

Try Rick Murphy's site.
http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
>A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete LOTW contact
>file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date: 4/28/2006
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2924. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-02 11:35:58 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 2915 Message: 2924

Thanks All. That worked. I built a new computer for the shack and
couldn't remember where I saved the file for my logging program.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 08:33 PM 5/1/2006 -0500, you wrote:

>A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete LOTW contact
>file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date: 4/28/2006
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/328 - Release Date: 5/1/2006

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/328 - Release Date: 5/1/2006

2925. More DX Confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-03 00:38:00 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2925 Message: 2925

Was happy to see a confirmation for 5H1C come through for Tanzania.
That's the way to handle a DXpedition.

Only problem was I thought I worked him on 40m as well, but no joy.
Drat!

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2926. [ARRL-LOTW] My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 15:47:17 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 2915 Message: 2926

I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299 logs but only gotten 20 confirmed...
Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded their qso for me to confimed?
I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I have uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
73's
Robert KQ4PK

R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
Try Rick Murphy's site.
http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
>A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete LOTW contact
>file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date: 4/28/2006
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts Ham radio

---
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Blab-away for as little as 1?/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.

2927. Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 18:11:07 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2915 Message: 2927

Robert,

There is no official list of LoTW users. However, for over a year, HB9BZA and I have been keeping a list of users that we glean from our own and other user's lotwreport.adi files that can be downloaded from LoTW. Typically we find from 10 to even a hundred or more new user's callsigns per week. We have quite a few DXer's and contesters that send us those LoTW QSL report files. The current list, as of yesterday, includes 12,118 callsigns, representing 293 countries, that have uploaded part or all of their QSOs to LoTW. The list is available from hb9bza.com or my website -- http://www.bbcyber.com .

My website also has an on-line searchable list that will return callsigns of users when searched by callign, prefix, or country.

In addition, if you want to look at spots that are easily identified as LoTW users, look at DXLabs SpotCollector. I use that all the time to see if the people that are being spotted or those that I hear on the bands are LoTW users. I maintain the lotw.mdb database file for DXLabs, the latest list and/or database file is also available from my website. Given the choice, I'll work the LoTW users first because the QSL is pretty much a certainty.

This is a free service we provide.

Good Luck and 73s,

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Robert Jones <kq4pk@...> wrote:
>
> I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299 logs but only gotten 20 confirmed...
> Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded their qso for me to confimed?
> I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I have uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
> 73's
> Robert KQ4PK
>
> R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
> Try Rick Murphy's site.
> http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU

>
> At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
> >A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete
LOTW contact
> >file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
> >
> >73,
> >Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >--
> >No virus found in this outgoing message.
> >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> >Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date:
4/28/2006
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
crafts Ham radio
>
> ---------------------------------
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
> ---------------------------------
>
>
>
>

>
> ---------------------------------
> Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using
Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.
>

2928. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 18:56:20 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2915 Message: 2928

There's something farkled in your algorithm. Your database shows my
previous call, KG6AQI, as a mainland call. The current holder is in fact
in California, but all the KG6AQI logs on LoTW are from Guam.

73, de Hans, K0HB
ex-KG6AQI

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Bill <n5rr@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 5/4/2006 6:16:53 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts
>
> Robert,
>
> There is no official list of LoTW users. However, for over a year,
> HB9BZA and I have been keeping a list of users that we glean from
> our own and other user's lotwreport.adi files that can be downloaded
> from LoTW. Typically we find from 10 to even a hundred or more new
> user's callsigns per week. We have quite a few DXer's and
> contesters that send us those LoTW QSL report files. The current
> list, as of yesterday, includes 12,118 callsigns, representing 293
> countries, that have uploaded part or all of their QSOs to LoTW.
> The list is available from hb9bza.com or my website --
> http://www.bbcyber.com .
>
> My website also has an on-line searchable list that will return
> callsigns of users when searched by callign, prefix, or country.
>
> In addition, if you want to look at spots that are easily identified
> as LoTW users, look at DXLabs SpotCollector. I use that all the
> time to see if the people that are being spotted or those that I
> hear on the bands are LoTW users. I maintain the lotw.mdb database
> file for DXLabs, the latest list and/or database file is also
> available from my website. Given the choice, I'll work the LoTW
> users first because the QSL is pretty much a certainty.
>
> This is a free service we provide.
>
> Good Luck and 73s,
>
> Bill, N5RR
>

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Robert Jones <kq4pk@...> wrote:
> >
> > I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299 logs
> but only gotten 20 confirmed...
> > Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded their
> qso for me to confimed?
> > I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I have
> uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
> > 73's
> > Robert KQ4PK
> >
> > R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
> > Try Rick Murphy's site.
> > http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
> >
> > 73 es GL
> > Bob, K1VU
> >
> > At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
> > >A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete
> LOTW contact
> > >file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
> > >
> > >73,
> > >Mike, W5UC
> > >
> > >
> > >--
> > >No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > >Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date:
> 4/28/2006
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS
> > Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
> crafts Ham radio
> >

> > ---------------------------------
> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> >
> > Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> > ---------------------------------
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---------------------------------
> > Blab-away for as little as 1?/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using
> Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2929. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 19:25:52 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2915 Message: 2929

Oh and thanks to you and HB9BZA for keeping up the list and making this possible.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:11 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts

Robert,

There is no official list of LoTW users. However, for over a year, HB9BZA and I have been keeping a list of users that we glean from our own and other user's lotwreport.adi files that can be downloaded from LoTW. Typically we find from 10 to even a hundred or more new user's callsigns per week. We have quite a few DXer's and contesters that send us those LoTW QSL report files. The current list, as of yesterday, includes 12,118 callsigns, representing 293 countries, that have uploaded part or all of their QSOs to LoTW. The list is available from hb9bza.com or my website -- http://www.bbcyber.com .

My website also has an on-line searchable list that will return callsigns of users when searched by callign, prefix, or country.

In addition, if you want to look at spots that are easily identified as LoTW users, look at DXLabs SpotCollector. I use that all the time to see if the people that are being spotted or those that I hear on the bands are LoTW users. I maintain the lotw.mdb database file for DXLabs, the latest list and/or database file is also available from my website. Given the choice, I'll work the LoTW users first because the QSL is pretty much a certainty.

This is a free service we provide.

Good Luck and 73s,

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Robert Jones <kq4pk@...> wrote:
>
> I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299 logs but only gotten 20 confirmed...
> Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded their

qso for me to confimed?
> I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I have
uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
> 73's
> Robert KQ4PK
>
> R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
> Try Rick Murphy's site. http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
> >A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete
LOTW contact
> >file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
> >
> >73,
> >Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >--
> >No virus found in this outgoing message.
> >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> >Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date:
4/28/2006
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
crafts Ham radio
>
> ---------------------------------
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using
Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2930. Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 19:15:13 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2915 Message: 2930

Sorry Hans, but there is not enough time in my day to review every possible variation of location where a call could have been. When I hear from those such as yourself that have found errors in the list like country or zone, I try to correct it in the next list. This list isn't supposed to be the last word in dx station location, but only to identify a callsign that is using or has used LoTW. I put your ex callsign in my converter program so that it will show correctly in the next list.

Thanks for the update,

73s,

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> There's something farkled in your algorithm. Your database shows my
> previous call, KG6AQI, as a mainland call. The current holder is in fact
> in California, but all the KG6AQI logs on LoTW are from Guam.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> ex-KG6AQI
>
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Bill <n5rr@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 5/4/2006 6:16:53 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts
> >
> > Robert,
> >
> > There is no official list of LoTW users. However, for over a year,
> > HB9BZA and I have been keeping a list of users that we glean from
> > our own and other user's lotwreport.adi files that can be downloaded
> > from LoTW. Typically we find from 10 to even a hundred or more new

> > user's callsigns per week. We have quite a few DXer's and
> > contesters that send us those LoTW QSL report files. The
current
> > list, as of yesterday, includes 12,118 callsigns, representing
293
> > countries, that have uploaded part or all of their QSOs to
LoTW.
> > The list is available from hb9bza.com or my website --
> > http://www.bbcyber.com .
> >
> > My website also has an on-line searchable list that will return
> > callsigns of users when searched by callign, prefix, or country.
> >
> > In addition, if you want to look at spots that are easily
identified
> > as LoTW users, look at DXLabs SpotCollector. I use that all the
> > time to see if the people that are being spotted or those that I
> > hear on the bands are LoTW users. I maintain the lotw.mdb
database
> > file for DXLabs, the latest list and/or database file is also
> > available from my website. Given the choice, I'll work the LoTW
> > users first because the QSL is pretty much a certainty.
> >
> > This is a free service we provide.
> >
> > Good Luck and 73s,
> >
> > Bill, N5RR
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Robert Jones <kq4pk@> wrote:
> > >
> > > I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299
logs
> > but only gotten 20 confirmed...
> > > Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded
their
> > qso for me to confimed?
> > > I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I
have
> > uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
> > > 73's
> > > Robert KQ4PK
> > >
> > > R Johnson <k1vu@> wrote:
> > > Try Rick Murphy's site.
> > > http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
> > >
> > > 73 es GL
> > > Bob, K1VU

> > >
> > > At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
> > > >A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete
> > LOTW contact
> > > >file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
> > > > >
> > > > >73,
> > > > >Mike, W5UC
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >--
> > > > >No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > > > >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > > > >Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release
Date:
> > 4/28/2006
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > SPONSORED LINKS
> > > > Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
> > crafts Ham radio
> > > >
> > > > ---------------------------------
> > > > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> > > >
> > > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of
> > Service.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---------------------------------
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---------------------------------
> > > Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls
using
> > Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2931. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-04 19:18:57 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2915 Message: 2931

I just wanted to mention that LOGic 8 also can tell you if a spotted station is a LOtW user.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:11 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: My Contacts

Robert,

There is no official list of LoTW users. However, for over a year, HB9BZA and I have been keeping a list of users that we glean from our own and other user's lotwreport.adi files that can be downloaded from LoTW. Typically we find from 10 to even a hundred or more new user's callsigns per week. We have quite a few DXer's and contesters that send us those LoTW QSL report files. The current list, as of yesterday, includes 12,118 callsigns, representing 293 countries, that have uploaded part or all of their QSOs to LoTW. The list is available from hb9bza.com or my website -- http://www.bbcyber.com .

My website also has an on-line searchable list that will return callsigns of users when searched by callign, prefix, or country.

In addition, if you want to look at spots that are easily identified as LoTW users, look at DXLabs SpotCollector. I use that all the time to see if the people that are being spotted or those that I hear on the bands are LoTW users. I maintain the lotw.mdb database file for DXLabs, the latest list and/or database file is also available from my website. Given the choice, I'll work the LoTW users first because the QSL is pretty much a certainty.

This is a free service we provide.

Good Luck and 73s,

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Robert Jones <kq4pk@...> wrote:
>
> I have enjoyed reading about the LOTW....I have uploaded 299 logs but only gotten 20 confirmed...
> Now I is there a way to find out who or whom has uploaded their

qso for me to confimed?
> I used the web for the one below but it only shows what I have
uploaded.....Am I expecting to much?
> 73's
> Robert KQ4PK
>
> R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
> Try Rick Murphy's site. http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 21:33 5/1/2006, you wrote:
> >A while back someone posted a URL for downloading my complete
LOTW contact
> >file in ADIF format. Would you please post that again?
> >
> >73,
> >Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >--
> >No virus found in this outgoing message.
> >Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> >Version: 7.1.385 / Virus Database: 268.5.1/327 - Release Date:
4/28/2006
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
crafts Ham radio
>
> ---------------------------------
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
> Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using
Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2932. How to delet wrong QSO ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-11 07:47:03 UTC
From: ly3bw
Topic: 2932 Message: 2932

Hello
Sorry i am a new Lotw user...

Call sign Worked Date/Time Band Mode Freq QSL
Details LY3W 2E0YSF 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18
Details LY3W 2E0YFS 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18

I do mistake with 2E0YFS call and send new info about QSO, with date,
time and good 2E0YSF call, how to delet wrong QSO?

73 de Rimas LY3W

2933. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to delet wrong QSO ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-11 09:29:14 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2932 Message: 2933
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2934. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] How to delet wrong QSO ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-11 15:17:19 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2932 Message: 2934

No need to delete the incorrect QSO (and I don't think you can) because it will never be a "match" with any other. Just submit the correct info as a new QSO.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: ly3bw <ly3bw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 5/11/2006 7:48:09 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] How to delet wrong QSO ?
>
> Hello
> Sorry i am a new Lotw user...
>
> Call sign Worked Date/Time Band Mode Freq QSL
> Details LY3W 2E0YSF 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18
> Details LY3W 2E0YFS 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18
>
>
> I do mistake with 2E0YFS call and send new info about QSO, with date,
> time and good 2E0YSF call, how to delet wrong QSO?
>
> 73 de Rimas LY3W

2935. LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 02:17:43 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 2935 Message: 2935

Did no one notice but me? LoTW passed 100 million uploaded QSOs today.

How long will it be for it to pass 1 billion uploaded QSOs?

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

2936. Whats up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 09:08:46 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2936 Message: 2936
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2937. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 11:10:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2935 Message: 2937

yes I did notice....
and to answer you question, let's hope that it doesn't take 10 time the time it took to get to just a 100 mill.

On 5/11/2006 9:17:43 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
> Did no one notice but me? LoTW passed 100 million uploaded QSOs today.
>
> How long will it be for it to pass 1 billion uploaded QSOs?
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]"
> on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW-
> unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe]
>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> [link: docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/].
>
>
> ---

2938. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Whats up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 11:11:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2936 Message: 2938

software update....

On 5/12/2006 4:08:46 AM, Prasad (vu2ptt@...) wrote:
> Hi
>
> Whats up with LoTW today? All I get is an error message which says:
> Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
>
>
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.
> sig=Sz5ebNg-ZsVyHTzaR4PnAg] Craft hobby [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.
> sig=_8V4kQCK4PEN_MzUUBx-hQ] Hobbies and crafts [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobbies+and+crafts&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.
> sig=J-__6L1W_lZQ58dekNdHLA]
> Ham radio [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Hobbies+and+crafts&w4=Ham+radio&c=4&s=84&.
> sig=OzrEr-gq6h7guAFs73WsoQ]
>
>
> ~~~~~~
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]"
> on the web.
>
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW-
> uns

2939. Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 22:44:16 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2935 Message: 2939

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> Did no one notice but me? LoTW passed 100 million uploaded QSOs today.

I tried to post that little fun factoid but just replying to the email notification doesn't seem
to work here like it does in other Yahoo!Groups.

There are only 12,489 registered users on the system. Hell, there are 675,274 hames in the US alone! I am on a mission the get at least a few more of the 662,785 signed up.

73

Eric
KG6MZS
You have 3,059 QSO records
You have 428 QSL records

2940. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 23:02:02 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2935 Message: 2940

The real challenge is to get countries like Japan on LoTW.

With 13 million hams (2X the US), they have less than 500 LoTW users.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: wringmaster2002
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2006 4:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> Did no one notice but me? LoTW passed 100 million uploaded QSOs today.

I tried to post that little fun factoid but just replying to the email notification doesn't seem
to work here like it does in other Yahoo!Groups.

There are only 12,489 registered users on the system. Hell, there are 675,274 hames in
the US alone! I am on a mission the get at least a few more of the 662,785 signed up.

73

Eric
KG6MZS
You have 3,059 QSO records
You have 428 QSL records

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2941. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-12 22:57:22 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2935 Message: 2941

Eric,

Not all LoTW users are US. You have more work to do than the 662,785 you quote.

Based on earlier total users of 12,208, only 7,100 where US. 5,108 where the rest of us!

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: wringmaster2002
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2006 4:44 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> Did no one notice but me? LoTW passed 100 million uploaded QSOs today.

I tried to post that little fun factoid but just replying to the email notification doesn't seem
to work here like it does in other Yahoo!Groups.

There are only 12,489 registered users on the system. Hell, there are 675,274 hames in the US alone! I am on a mission the get at least a few more of the 662,785 signed up.

73

Eric
KG6MZS
You have 3,059 QSO records
You have 428 QSL records

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2942. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-13 02:34:21 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2935 Message: 2942

Hello wringmaster2002,

Saturday, May 13, 2006 wringmaster2002 wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

w> There are only 12,489 registered users on the system. Hell, there are 675,274 hames in
w> the US alone! I am on a mission the get at least a few more of the 662,785 signed up.

Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then no buro card" club.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"A journey of thousand miles begins with a single step." (Chinese Proverb)

2943. Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-13 06:30:51 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2935 Message: 2943

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello wringmaster2002,
>
> Saturday, May 13, 2006 wringmaster2002 wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> w> There are only 12,489 registered users on the system. Hell, there are 675,274 hams in
> w> the US alone! I am on a mission the get at least a few more of the 662,785 signed up.
>
> Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then no buro card" club.

Hiya Art;

I doubt if withholding my precious 6 call QSL will muster much clout. Anybody who sends me a card, gets a card.

73
Eric
KG6MZS

2944. Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-13 06:37:02 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2935 Message: 2944

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
> Eric,
>
> Not all LoTW users are US.>

Hi Gerry;

Hence the use of the word "alone." To wit:

>> there are 675,274 hames in
>> the US alone!

Note the creative spelling of the word "Hames"

73
Eric
KG6MZS

2945. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 02:23:49 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2935 Message: 2945

>
> Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then no buro card" club.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>

Call me old fashioned (I've only been licensed since 1962), but if someone sends me a card then they get a card. My logs ARE on LoTW but I far prefer a real card everytime, and I'll NEVER deny someone a card because theirs came by some non-preferred method.

73.5, de Hans, K0HB

2946. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 03:19:08 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2935 Message: 2946

Hello K0HB,

Sunday, May 14, 2006 K0HB wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

>> Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then no buro card" club.

K> Call me old fashioned (I've only been licensed since 1962), but if someone
K> sends me a card then they get a card.

What I want is everyone requesting LoTW confirmation would get it.

K> I'll NEVER deny someone a card because theirs came by some
K> non-preferred method.

This is not about confirmation methods, this is about unwillingness of
some to make efforts and give something desired to those looking for
it.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"The pure and simple truth is rarely pure and never simple." [Oscar Wilde]

2947. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 03:28:50 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2935 Message: 2947

```
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

>
> This is not about confirmation methods, this is about unwillingness of
> some to make efforts and give something desired to those looking for
> it.
>

Sounds more like "Do it my way, or no way." Pure and utter arrogance.

73.5, de Hans, K0HB
```

2948. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 03:23:26 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2935 Message: 2948

Ditto.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2006 10:23 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW - 100 M QSOs

>
> Join the "If no LoTW confirmation - then no buro card" club.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>

Call me old fashioned (I've only been licensed since 1962), but if someone sends me a card then they get a card. My logs ARE on LoTW but I far prefer a real card everytime, and I'll NEVER deny someone a card because theirs came by some non-preferred method.

73.5, de Hans, K0HB

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts Ham radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2949. LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 10:51:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2949 Message: 2949

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

2950. WAS Request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 13:09:11 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2935 Message: 2950

Hi,

Looking for anyone in any of the following states for WAS: MT MA VT ND.
RTTY would be nice, but SSB, or CW will work.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

An appeaser is one who feeds a crocodile, hoping it will eat him
ast. --Winston Churchill

2951. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 13:28:38 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2949 Message: 2951

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat
itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2952. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 15:40:15 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2949 Message: 2952

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat

itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

2953. Re: LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 19:36:49 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2949 Message: 2953

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:

> and my personal qsls.
> I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
> I return every direct card request, directly.
> (even though I never figure out why someone want another rare
Illinois Cook County card)
> :-))

Better than LA County, California! You should hear the
disappointment in the voices of the station when they get that bit of
news.\

My policy is to return all QSLs & SWLs direct 100%. Cost me about a
dozen stamps in two years.

I'll return an eQSL, but I'm not really into that.

Good idea to add an "advertisement" for LotW on the bottom of my
cards. I'm gonna do that from now on. Get it printed with the next
batch.

73

Eric Fitzgerald
You are KG6MZS

You have 3,059 QSO records
You have 429 QSL records

2954. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-14 22:49:36 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2949 Message: 2954

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation, sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2955. Re: LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 02:56:02 UTC
From: John
Topic: 2949 Message: 2955

Good idea Bill.

I promote LoTW on my QRZ listing as my preferred method but if someone sends me a real card I return a card.

I have noticed a couple of things lately.

Firstly some must upload the contact almost daily. I upload once a month and have had some people get a little jumpy about the delay. So I have a message explaining my upload policy too.

Secondly I have noticed some times when people spot me on DX clusters they add the coment that I support LoTW. I think this is great advertising and I think the pileups gets bigger, which hopefully means more DXers are taking it on board.

Most logging software now supports LoTW in various ways and I believe some tell you that the station is a LoTW user too. I would be interested how it acheives this.

I think the single biggest thing that is holding up LoTW is the slowness in implementing support for more awards, hopefully this will come right in time.

73 John ZL1BYZ.
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
> "All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".
>
> But, I still return a card for every request.
>
> and my personal qsls.
> I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
> I return every direct card request, directly.
> (even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
> :-))
>
> I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
> sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.
>
>
> It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

>
>
> ----------
> Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse,
the cycle will repeat
> itself.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

2956. Re: LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 03:37:59 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 2949 Message: 2956

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "John" <zl1byz@...> wrote:

Good idea Bill.

I promote LoTW on my QRZ listing as my preferred method but if
someone sends me a real card I return a card.

I have noticed a couple of things lately.

Firstly some must upload the contact almost daily. I upload once a
month and have had some people get a little jumpy about the delay.
So I have a message explaining my upload policy too.

>>>The ability to instantly confirm QSOs would be a significant
incentive for LotW adoption. While this is technically feasible, my
impression is that large numbers of single-QSO transactions would
sink LotW's servers.

Secondly I have noticed some times when people spot me on DX
clusters they add the coment that I support LoTW. I think this is
great advertising and I think the pileups gets bigger, which
hopefully means more DXers are taking it on board.

Most logging software now supports LoTW in various ways and I
believe some tell you that the station is a LoTW user too. I would
be interested how it acheives this.

>>>This is accomplished by referencing a database assembled by
HB9BZA and N5RR from ADIF files contributed by LotW users -- not
from data provided by the ARRL. In effect, its a "known LotW users"
database. See http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/ for additional information.

I think the single biggest thing that is holding up LoTW is the
slowness in implementing support for more awards, hopefully this
will come right in time.

>>>There are many opportunities to increase LotW adoption:
translation into languages other than English, streamlined signup
procedures for hams outside North America, a simplified renewal
process, expanded award support, and programmatic access to DXCC
credit slip data so that logging applications can be kept "in sync".
The impediment to all of these is the ARRL's meager funding of LotW
development and operations.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

2957. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 03:39:42 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 2949 Message: 2957

DXLABs DXKeeper does this and it uses a data base that contains known users collected in various ways. I do not know the details of that but it is really neat. When you use Spot Collector it has a yellow background for these stations.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John
> Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:56 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW only???
>
> Good idea Bill.
>
> I promote LoTW on my QRZ listing as my preferred method but if
> someone sends me a real card I return a card.
>
> I have noticed a couple of things lately.
>
> Firstly some must upload the contact almost daily. I upload once a
> month and have had some people get a little jumpy about the delay.
> So I have a message explaining my upload policy too.
>
> Secondly I have noticed some times when people spot me on DX
> clusters they add the coment that I support LoTW. I think this is
> great advertising and I think the pileups gets bigger, which
> hopefully means more DXers are taking it on board.
>
> Most logging software now supports LoTW in various ways and I
> believe some tell you that the station is a LoTW user too. I would
> be interested how it acheives this.
>
> I think the single biggest thing that is holding up LoTW is the
> slowness in implementing support for more awards, hopefully this
> will come right in time.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
> >
> > I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I
> manage.

> > "All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".
> >
> > But, I still return a card for every request.
> >
> > and my personal qsls.
> > I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
> > I return every direct card request, directly.
> > (even though I never figure out why someone want another rare
> Illinois Cook County card)
> > :-))
> >
> > I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer
> suggesting participation,
> > sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.
> >
> >
> > It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams
> now.en
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse,
> the cycle will repeat
> > itself.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~-->
> Protect your PC from spy ware with award winning anti spy
> technology. It's free.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/97bhrC/LGxNAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

2958. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 13:22:00 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2949 Message: 2958

I assume that there is a release for using their artwork someplace?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

?Love? is the condition in which the happiness of another person is
essential to your own. --Jubal Harshaw
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 15:50
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture
as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide
a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or
not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does
not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert
Heinlein
-----Original Message-----

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts Ham radio

---
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

2959. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 13:32:33 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2949 Message: 2959

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a .jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 7:22 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I assume that there is a release for using their artwork someplace?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

"Love" is the condition in which the happiness of another person is essential to your own.
--Jubal Harshaw
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 15:50
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave

NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card) :-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation, sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2960. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 13:43:59 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2949 Message: 2960
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2961. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS Request

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 14:23:49 UTC
From: n1mgo@arrl.net
Topic: 2935 Message: 2961

Dave,
I can do RTTY from MA , about any time you want. I have a setup
on my desk at work, and a home station.
Send me a sched you want to work.

Gordon - N1MGO

On 14 May 2006 at 6:09, Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO) wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Looking for anyone in any of the following states for WAS: MT MA VT ND.
> RTTY would be nice, but SSB, or CW will work.
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> An appeaser is one who feeds a crocodile, hoping it will eat him
> ast. --Winston Churchill
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2962. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 15:11:46 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 2949 Message: 2962
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Gerry,

You can get ARRL logos from this page but I do not see an LoTW logo
there yet:

<http://www.arrl.org/logos/>

The ARRL has not released their logos to the public domain. However
they only have some minor requirements about the use of their logos as you
can see below (from the above site):

> ARRL members and Affiliated clubs may use the ARRL diamond logo on their
> own personal QSL cards, stationery, club signs, business cards and web pages.
>
>ARRL Life Members may use the Life Member logo on their own personal QSL
>cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.
>
>Special Service Clubs may use the Special Service Club logo on their
>stationery, club signs and web pages.
>
>ARES members may use the ARES logo on their on their own personal QSL
>cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.
>
>National Traffic System members may use the NTS logo on their own personal
>QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.
>
>Please be sure to use the logos in good taste.
>
>Please contact the ARRL for any other use of these logos.

Just because you "can" copy an image from a web site does not give
you permission to use that image.

Hopefully someone at ARRL reads this reflector and will see to it
that the LoTW logo is added to their logo page.

Clark, W8TN

At 07:32 AM 5/15/2006 -0600, you wrote:

>The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a
>.jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.
>
>Gerry VE6LB

2963. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 15:43:52 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2949 Message: 2963
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2964. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 16:42:34 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 2949 Message: 2964

Thanks Gerry. That works great.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 3:49 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----

From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams now.en

---
Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to worse, the cycle will repeat itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2965. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 16:55:39 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2949 Message: 2965

The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice (as required by copyright law).

There are many non ARRL pages on the WEB that display the LoTW logo.

I am an ARRL member.

Given ARRL wants to promote non member use of LoTW, I would expect they would promote the use of the logo.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Clark L. Stewart
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 9:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Gerry,

You can get ARRL logos from this page but I do not see an LoTW logo there yet:

<http://www.arrl.org/logos/>

The ARRL has not released their logos to the public domain. However they only have some minor requirements about the use of their logos as you can see below (from the above site):

ARRL members and Affiliated clubs may use the ARRL diamond logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, club signs, business cards and web pages.

ARRL Life Members may use the Life Member logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Special Service Clubs may use the Special Service Club logo on their stationery, club signs and web pages.

ARES members may use the ARES logo on their on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

National Traffic System members may use the NTS logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Please be sure to use the logos in good taste.

Please contact the ARRL for any other use of these logos.

Just because you "can" copy an image from a web site does not give you permission to use that image.

Hopefully someone at ARRL reads this reflector and will see to it that the LoTW logo is added to their logo page.

Clark, W8TN

At 07:32 AM 5/15/2006 -0600, you wrote:

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a .jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.392 / Virus Database: 268.5.6/339 - Release Date: 5/14/2006

2966. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 17:01:05 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 2949 Message: 2966

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Gerry wrote:
>
> The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice
> (as required by copyright law).

IANAL, but I'm pretty sure you're running off of old information. A copyright
notice *used* to be require, but is no longer. Copyright is automatic upon
creation and if you're not sure, you're better off assuming something *is*
copyrighted.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEaLPRRgRgmxNburQRAnPqAJ9/nXfL5LNF89jrKSDZ540o3EhS5gCfa56V
nClLbwDg03RS+14lo7Yqkf8=
=qC3A
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2967. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 17:08:51 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2949 Message: 2967

BEN,

That is true for US law but not necessarily for the rest of us.

See: http://www.benedict.com/digital/Web/WebProtect.aspx

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 11:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Gerry wrote:
>
> The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice
> (as required by copyright law).

IANAL, but I'm pretty sure you're running off of old information. A copyright
notice *used* to be require, but is no longer. Copyright is automatic upon
creation and if you're not sure, you're better off assuming something *is*
copyrighted.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEaLPRRgRgmxNburQRAnPqAJ9/nXfL5LNF89jrKSDZ540o3EhS5gCfa56V
nClLbwDg03RS+14lo7Yqkf8=
=qC3A
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2968. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 17:10:42 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2949 Message: 2968

Wayne,

Maybe you can make the use of the LoTW logo clear.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 11:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Gerry wrote:
>
> The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice
> (as required by copyright law).

IANAL, but I'm pretty sure you're running off of old information. A copyright
notice *used* to be require, but is no longer. Copyright is automatic upon
creation and if you're not sure, you're better off assuming something *is*
copyrighted.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEaLPRRgRgmxNburQRAnPqAJ9/nXfL5LNF89jrKSDZ540o3EhS5gCfa56V
nClLbwDg03RS+14lo7Yqkf8=
=qC3A
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2969. Re: LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-15 18:10:56 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 2949 Message: 2969

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Clark L. Stewart" <w8tn@...> wrote:
>
> Gerry,
>
> You can get ARRL logos from this page but I do not see an LoTW logo
> there yet:
>
> <http://www.arrl.org/logos/>

Which is too bad as swiping the one that is on the web page isn't hi rez enough for quality print applications.

And they should open this one up to non-members. I don't see the downside. (do u copy ARRL?)

BTW; great idea to add a blurb on one's QRZ bio, John ZL1BYZ. I did it today.

73

Eric Fitzgerald
KG6MZS
You have 3,059 QSO records
You have 432 QSL records

2970. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 00:23:38 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2949 Message: 2970

Hi,

Actually that does not make it PD... Legally, the ARRL had to state someplace, (and they probably have), that the Logo can be used by others.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Courage is what it takes to stand up and speak; courage is also what it takes to sit down and listen. --Winston Churchill
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 06:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a .jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 7:22 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I assume that there is a release for using their artwork someplace?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

?Love? is the condition in which the happiness of another person is essential to your own. --Jubal Harshaw
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 15:50
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should
provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using
LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he
does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert
Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations I
manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare
Illinois Cook County card)

:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer
suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams
now.en

Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to
worse, the cycle will repeat
itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts
Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

2971. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 00:27:02 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2949 Message: 2971

Actually you are wrong... It is not a requirement of law to display a
copyright notice. In fact this very response is automatically copywriten.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Any teacher that can be replaced by a machine should be! --Arthur C. Clarke
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Gerry
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 09:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice (as
required by copyright law).

There are many non ARRL pages on the WEB that display the LoTW logo.

I am an ARRL member.

Given ARRL wants to promote non member use of LoTW, I would expect they
would promote the use of the logo.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Clark L. Stewart
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 9:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Gerry,

You can get ARRL logos from this page but I do not see an LoTW logo
there yet:

<http://www.arrl.org/logos/>

The ARRL has not released their logos to the public domain.
However they only have some minor requirements about the use of their logos
as you can see below (from the above site):

ARRL members and Affiliated clubs may use the ARRL diamond logo on
their own personal QSL cards, stationery, club signs, business cards and web
pages.

ARRL Life Members may use the Life Member logo on their own personal
QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Special Service Clubs may use the Special Service Club logo on their
stationery, club signs and web pages.

ARES members may use the ARES logo on their on their own personal QSL
cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

National Traffic System members may use the NTS logo on their own
personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Please be sure to use the logos in good taste.

Please contact the ARRL for any other use of these logos.

Just because you "can" copy an image from a web site does not give
you permission to use that image.

Hopefully someone at ARRL reads this reflector and will see to it
that the LoTW logo is added to their logo page.

Clark, W8TN

At 07:32 AM 5/15/2006 -0600, you wrote:

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as
a .jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.392 / Virus Database: 268.5.6/339 - Release Date: 5/14/2006

--
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

2972. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 00:31:35 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2949 Message: 2972

that is assuming they want it used....

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Who are we? We find that we live on an insignificant planet of a humdrum
star lost in a galaxy tucked away in some forgotten corner of a universe in
which there are far more galaxies than people. --Carl Sagan
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 17:24
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Hi,

Actually that does not make it PD... Legally, the ARRL had to state
someplace, (and they probably have), that the Logo can be used by others.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Courage is what it takes to stand up and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen. --Winston Churchill
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 06:33
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a
.jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 7:22 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I assume that there is a release for using their artwork someplace?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

?Love? is the condition in which the happiness of another person is essential to your own. --Jubal Harshaw
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 15:50
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Right click on the LoTW logo on any LoTW WEB page and "save picture as...."

As on my WEB page.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 9:40 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

You know... That brings up an interesting idea... ARRL should provide a Logo for use on home pages that indicates if a station is using LOTW or not...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

There is no worse tyranny than to force a man to pay for what he does not want merely because you think it would be good for him. --Robert Heinlein
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Jerry Keller
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 06:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I think your Elmer taught you well.
73, Jerry K3BZ

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2006 6:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

I print a line on the bottom of all the qsl cards of stations
I manage.
"All logs uploaded to LoTW for your convenience".

But, I still return a card for every request.

and my personal qsls.
I return every bureau cards request via bureau.
I return every direct card request, directly.
(even though I never figure out why someone want another rare
Illinois Cook County card)
:-))

I prefer to promote LoTW by using a prepared rtty, cw buffer
suggesting participation,
sent as a '73, thank you' message when ending a qso.

It's what my Elmer taught me, it's what I suggest to new hams
now.en

Farnsdick's Corollary: After things have gone from bad to
worse, the cycle will repeat
itself.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

--
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

--

2973. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 00:40:54 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2949 Message: 2973

Your quoting US copyright law.

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 6:27 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Actually you are wrong... It is not a requirement of law to display a copyright notice. In fact this very response is automatically copywriten.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Any teacher that can be replaced by a machine should be! --Arthur C. Clarke
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 09:56
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

The ARRL page I copied the logo from does not have a copyright notice (as required by copyright law).

There are many non ARRL pages on the WEB that display the LoTW logo.

I am an ARRL member.

Given ARRL wants to promote non member use of LoTW, I would expect they would promote the use of the logo.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Clark L. Stewart
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 9:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Gerry,

You can get ARRL logos from this page but I do not see an LoTW logo there yet:

<http://www.arrl.org/logos/>

The ARRL has not released their logos to the public domain. However they only have some minor requirements about the use of their logos as you can see below (from the above site):

ARRL members and Affiliated clubs may use the ARRL diamond logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, club signs, business cards and web pages.

ARRL Life Members may use the Life Member logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Special Service Clubs may use the Special Service Club logo on their stationery, club signs and web pages.

ARES members may use the ARES logo on their on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

National Traffic System members may use the NTS logo on their own personal QSL cards, stationery, business cards and web pages.

Please be sure to use the logos in good taste.

Please contact the ARRL for any other use of these logos.

Just because you "can" copy an image from a web site does not give you permission to use that image.

Hopefully someone at ARRL reads this reflector and will see to it that the LoTW logo is added to their logo page.

Clark, W8TN

At 07:32 AM 5/15/2006 -0600, you wrote:

The is no release require for other ARRL logo. Since it's copyable as a .jpg from the WEB page I us, I assume it's public domain.

Gerry VE6LB

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.1.392 / Virus Database: 268.5.6/339 - Release Date: 5/14/2006

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2974. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW only???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 02:17:01 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2949 Message: 2974

At 08:40 PM 5/15/2006, Gerry wrote:
>
>Your quoting US copyright law.

Um, no. (Why are we discussing this here?) The old US law required copyright marking to claim that a work was subject to copyright, and required registration of the copyrighted work. The current international law does not require marking and grants copyright to works as soon as they are fixed in tangible media.

The Berne Convention defines international copyright law. Article 5(2) of that convention says that copyright is automatically granted upon creation of the work. In fact, this international agreement forbids use of marks such as (c) before copyright protection is granted.

If you download an image from a random website, you are violating the copyright of that site. If ARRL wants to make the image public domain, they'll have to explicitly state that. In reality, it's very unlikely that the League would have a problem with this sort of use.
(IANAL, so I won't commment on whether or not this constitutes fair use.)
-Rick

2975. LoTW Logo Use

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 19:16:16 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2975 Message: 2975

I've had some communications with Wayne Mills at ARRL and he suggests that:

- The LoTW logo is Trademarked and is OK for use for non-commercial purposes without licence.
- He further suggests that where the logo is used for personal use, it should indicate the user of the logo is a supporter of LoTW.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

2976. Re: LoTW Logo Use

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-16 19:48:31 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 2975 Message: 2976

I find it amazing how, when something like this crops up, everyone forms their own opinions and argues over the fine print.

I am sure Wayne will not mind me quoting him.......

Graham,

If it is clear that the presence of the logo is to promote LoTW and not to help promote a commercial product, there should be no problem.

73, Wayne, N7NG/1

.......One short, polite e-mail gets the question answered, instead of arguing about copyright law/trademarks.

73 Graham. M5AAV
A Happy LoTW user, but NOT an ARRL Member.

2977. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] How to delet wrong QSO ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-17 06:08:50 UTC
From: Rimantas Talacka
Topic: 2932 Message: 2977

Hello Prasad, Tnx for full info.
73 de Rimas LY3W
P.S. My photos you can found in http://foto.qrz.ru/index.php?cat=10227

Prasad <vu2ptt@...> wrote:
Rimas

I had a similar problem earlier and asked ARRL about this on email. N7ND at ARRL replied saying that there is no way users can delete records at the moment. He however said that it is OK if you uploaded the correct data again, as you have done. Only that QSO will match with a QSO upload by 2E0YSF, and create a QSL within the LoTW system.

This is much better than eQSL.cc where I had a problem when I uploaded my logs. The wrong log of another callsign got in with my call. And people who saw that entry actually created fake QSO records and uploaded it to get my eQSL confirmation. Every QSO you upload on eQSL can be seen by the other person. In LoTW, the QSL is created only when both sides upload their logs. So, a wrong QSO will not generally create a QSL. On eQSL these days, I only confirm eQSLs manually, i do not upload logs anymore.

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 5/11/06, ly3bw <ly3bw@...> wrote: Hello
Sorry i am a new Lotw user...

Call sign Worked Date/Time Band Mode Freq QSL
Details LY3W 2E0YSF 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18
Details LY3W 2E0YFS 2006-05-10 09:17:00 17M SSB 18

I do mistake with 2E0YFS call and send new info about QSO, with date,
time and good 2E0YSF call, how to delet wrong QSO?

73 de Rimas LY3W

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts Ham radio

---
YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service .

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts Ham radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Talk is cheap. Use Yahoo! Messenger to make PC-to-Phone calls. Great rates starting at 1¢/min.

2978. LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-17 13:49:32 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 2978 Message: 2978

I have been trying to load qsos since Sunday with no results. It takes the file but does not show it in the log. Anyone else experience this ? Mike K9HCK

2979. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-17 13:56:08 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2978 Message: 2979

At 09:49 AM 5/17/2006, mac2251 wrote:
> I have been trying to load qsos since Sunday with no results. It
>takes the file but does not show it in the log. Anyone else
>experience this ? Mike K9HCK

I had this problem after certificate renewal where I hadn't deleted my
old certificate; uploading new logs did nothing. No errors were returned.
I found out the problem by sending the log via e-mail; the response
that came back told me that the cert being used was no longer valid.
Perhaps you can try uploading a log to lotw-logs@...?
-Rick

2980. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-17 13:56:07 UTC
From: Bruce Lee
Topic: 2978 Message: 2980

I had this happen before. It turned out there was a problem with the data in my log.

Resend your log using email instead of the webpage. If there is an error in the log, you will receive an error message via email.

Bruce
KD6WW
----- Original Message -----
From: "mac2251" <k9hckm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: May 17, 2006 13:49
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

> I have been trying to load qsos since Sunday with no results. It
> takes the file but does not show it in the log. Anyone else
> experience this ? Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2981. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-17 15:15:08 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2978 Message: 2981
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

2982. uploaded som more YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-22 21:05:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2982 Message: 2982

Uploaded some more logs to LoTW for YO3APJ.

I've gotten some requests to check and see if a call is in the log.

I'd like to remind people, especially with qsos from pre 2000, make sure you ask me to look up with the call you may have been using back then.

I know I've forgotten that when sending for a qsl request from an golden-oldie.

He who laughs last thinks slowest.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

2983. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] uploaded som more YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-23 00:46:14 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2982 Message: 2983

Checked my log and had one via LoTW with a QSL date of 2004-06-07.
QSO was for the ARRL 10M Contest in 2001.

Guess you must have loaded pre 2001 in this batch.
How far back do you have logs for YO3APJ ?

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

At 17:05 5/22/2006, you wrote:
>Uploaded some more logs to LoTW for YO3APJ.
>
>I've gotten some requests to check and see if a call is in the log.
>
>I'd like to remind people, especially with qsos from pre 2000, make sure you ask me to
>look up with the call you may have been using back then.
>
>I know I've forgotten that when sending for a qsl request from an golden-oldie.
>
>
>----------
>He who laughs last thinks slowest.
>----------
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
>weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

2984. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] uploaded som more YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-23 00:59:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2982 Message: 2984

some logs are into the early 90's.
but not all are online yet as some were on paper, and others were on programs that didn't have an .adi output.
So Adrian and I are working to get those into the system also.

On 5/22/2006 7:46:14 PM, R Johnson (k1vu@...) wrote:
> Checked my log and had one via LoTW with a QSL date of 2004-06-07.
> QSO was for the ARRL 10M Contest in 2001.
>
> Guess you must have loaded pre 2001 in this batch.
> How far back do you have logs for YO3APJ ?
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 17:05 5/22/2006, you wrote:
> >Uploaded some more logs to LoTW for YO3APJ.
> >
> >I've gotten some requests to check and see if a call is in the log.
> >
> >I'd
> like to remind people, especially with qsos from pre 2000, make sure you
> ask me to
> >look up with the call you may have been using back then.
> >
> >I know I've forgotten that when sending for a qsl request from an golden-oldie.
> >
> >
> >----------
> >He who laughs last thinks slowest.
> >----------
> >
> >Bill H. in Chicagoland
> >webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> >weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >

> >
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+sup

2985. Download Report Opens in Wordpad

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-31 21:54:53 UTC
From: Bud Governale
Topic: 2985 Message: 2985

The LoTW Download Report automatically opens into WordPad. I cannot get it to download as a file. It becomes a WordPad
text file. This only happens when downloading from LoTW. All other downloads function properly by asking where to save
the file and the file name.

Please help.

Bud W3LL
w3ll@...

2986. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download Report Opens in Wordpad

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-31 23:19:46 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2985 Message: 2986

Bud,

Strange. I just did a download and I get the standard download dialog (save-where-file name) and it's saved as lotwreport.adi.

Are you downloading from the Your QSOs page and the Download button?

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bud Governale" <w3ll@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2006 3:54 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download Report Opens in Wordpad

> The LoTW Download Report automatically opens into WordPad. I cannot get it to download as a file. It becomes a WordPad
> text file. This only happens when downloading from LoTW. All other downloads function properly by asking where to save
> the file and the file name.
>
> Please help.
>
> Bud W3LL
> w3ll@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2987. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download Report Opens in Wordpad

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-31 23:22:29 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 2985 Message: 2987

Another though is that you might have your FOLDER OPTIONS set to open .adi file with WORDPAD.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bud Governale" <w3ll@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2006 3:54 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Download Report Opens in Wordpad

> The LoTW Download Report automatically opens into WordPad. I cannot get it to download as a file. It becomes a WordPad
> text file. This only happens when downloading from LoTW. All other downloads function properly by asking where to save
> the file and the file name.
>
> Please help.
>
> Bud W3LL
> w3ll@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2988. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Download Report Opens in Wordpad

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-05-31 23:52:14 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 2985 Message: 2988

At 07:22 PM 5/31/2006, Gerry wrote:

>Another though is that you might have your FOLDER OPTIONS set to open .adi
>file with WORDPAD.

Gerry is undoubtedly right. You've told Internet Explorer to open .ADI
files with notepad without asking. You can turn the prompting back on,
but it's a bit involved.

To fix this, double click the "My Computer" icon on your desktop.
Choose the "Tools/Folder Options" menu selection.
On the Folder Options window, click the "File Types" tab.
Scroll down until you see "ADI" under the "Extensions" column. Click on
that line to select it, and you should see "Opens With: Notepad" in the
details area.
Click on the "Advanced" button, then make sure the "Confirm open after
download" checkbox is selected.
Whew!
-Rick

2989. CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 01:51:56 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 2989 Message: 2989

I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
them on 6M.

I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!

Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!

Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.

73
Bob, K1VU

2990. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 03:54:38 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2989 Message: 2990

Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...

Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
them on 6M.

I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!

Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!

Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.

73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Links

2991. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 04:01:20 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 2989 Message: 2991

Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting a Cabrillo log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in cheek, but the more you say it out loud the better it sounds.

Dave, you may be onto something here...

Pat
WW9R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...

Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of them on 6M.

I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!

Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!

Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.

73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

2992. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 13:02:39 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 2989 Message: 2992

Actually I said it in hopes someone from the ARRL might take up the call...
I can think of nothing better than a WAS in a weekend to get folks to see
how useful this tool is...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

"I came, I saw, she conquered." (The original Latin seems to have been
garbled.) --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Patrick Hoppe
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 21:01
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting a Cabrillo
log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in cheek, but the
more you say it out loud the better it sounds.

Dave, you may be onto something here...

Pat
WW9R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...

Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On

Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
them on 6M.

I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!

Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!

Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.

73
Bob, K1VU

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

2993. Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 13:55:56 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2989 Message: 2993

To all LotW users,

The last couple of messages address a situation which some of us contesters have been looking at for a weeks, that being an LotW contest. As many know, for the last year Robert, HB9BZA and myself have been continually updating a LotW user list, now at 12,397 callsigns and 293 countries represented and available at either http://www.hb9bza.com or http://www.bbcyber.com . This group of contesters and I have worked out a scheme for log submittals, downloads, download processing, and verification/scoring that would make a contest very easy. In a nutshell, the method is to allow all to participate, but only those that are actually signed up LotW users to participate in the actual contest for awards. The event would be run, at a "yet to be selected date". Since everyone could be in the contest and to give those interested time to sign up for LotW after the contest, there would be a wait period before final logs had to be uploaded to LotW. But obviously current LotW users could upload right away. After approximately a six week period, those entering the contest would would have two weeks to download an lotwreport.adi file and EMAIL that file to the contest committee. After the final date for lotwreport file submittal, log processing software processing of the entry would be done with respect to the following.
-- QSO is valid by the fact that there is a valid entry in the file and that the other station has also a matching QSO. If the other station does not join LotW then the QSO will never show up in the lotwreport.adi file. Both logs must be submitted to avoid cheating by submitting altered lotwreport.adi files. Also, if there is a QSL with a specific callsign identified in the lotwreport.adi file and over 5 other entries show a valid QSO then it would be assumed that the QSOs are actually valid and that the other LotW user just didn't submit enter the contest by submitting his lotwreport.adi file.
-- QSO occurred during the contest period and a QSL was generated before the end of the wait period.
-- QSO verification by LotW prior to the cut-off date of log uploading to LotW. (This is needed so that one station could not receive an advantage by waiting until the very last minute and then having late LotW signups give him a valid QSO that an early log (file) submittal did not verify).
-- Standings would be automatically generated by the software as to Country, State, Band, Mode, points, multipliers, and score.
-- Scores would be submitted to a couple of the major DX/Contest related web sites, bbcyber.com website, and this forum for recognition.
-- Awards would be generated for country and state high scores.

There are some other issues to contend with yet, but no show stoppers for the first contest event to use an automatic form of QSO verification, multiplier determination, and score generation. The fallout from all of this is faster QSLs, quite a number of new LotW sign-ups would probably occur, and many would surely qualify for some of the current DXCC and WAS awards.

The process described above does not require ARRL support. But we would certainly yield on our plans to ARRL if they were to announce an LotW contest that was similar to this.

A contest such as this would certainly result in many new sign-ups for LotW and by spacing it out, the load on LotW should be minimized.

Any direct comments would be appreciated within this forum or by direct EMAIL.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...> wrote:
>
> Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting a Cabrillo
> log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in cheek, but the
> more you say it out loud the better it sounds.
>
> Dave, you may be onto something here...
>
> Pat
> WW9R
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
> Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...
>
> Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long
>
>

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@...m]
On
> Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
>
> I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
> I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
> them on 6M.
>
> I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!
>
> Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
> and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
> Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!
>
> Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

2994. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 14:31:33 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 2989 Message: 2994

Gents,

It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another solution, a quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being just "LotW Activity Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some rules are needed:
- time frame
- an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name, QTH (state, province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
- when finished, participants upload the contacts made during the Activity Days to the LoTW.

That's it!

And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made potentially improves your score towards an award.

The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the event. I keep my mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...

73,

Vladimir VE3IAE

> To all LotW users,
>
> The last couple of messages address a situation which some of us
> contesters have been looking at for a weeks, that being an LotW
> contest. As many know, for the last year Robert, HB9BZA and myself
> have been continually updating a LotW user list, now at 12,397
> callsigns and 293 countries represented and available at either
> http://www.hb9bza.com or http://www.bbcyber.com . This group of
> contesters and I have worked out a scheme for log submittals,
> downloads, download processing, and verification/scoring that would
> make a contest very easy. In a nutshell, the method is to allow all
> to participate, but only those that are actually signed up LotW
> users to participate in the actual contest for awards. The event
> would be run, at a "yet to be selected date". Since everyone could
> be in the contest and to give those interested time to sign up for
> LotW after the contest, there would be a wait period before final
> logs had to be uploaded to LotW. But obviously current LotW users
> could upload right away. After approximately a six week period,
> those entering the contest would would have two weeks to download an
> lotwreport.adi file and EMAIL that file to the contest committee.
> After the final date for lotwreport file submittal, log processing

> software processing of the entry would be done with respect to the
> following.
> -- QSO is valid by the fact that there is a valid entry in the file
> and that the other station has also a matching QSO. If the other
> station does not join LotW then the QSO will never show up in the
> lotwreport.adi file. Both logs must be submitted to avoid cheating
> by submitting altered lotwreport.adi files. Also, if there is a QSL
> with a specific callsign identified in the lotwreport.adi file and
> over 5 other entries show a valid QSO then it would be assumed that
> the QSOs are actually valid and that the other LotW user just didn't
> submit enter the contest by submitting his lotwreport.adi file.
> -- QSO occurred during the contest period and a QSL was generated
> before the end of the wait period.
> -- QSO verification by LotW prior to the cut-off date of log
> uploading to LotW. (This is needed so that one station could not
> receive an advantage by waiting until the very last minute and then
> having late LotW signups give him a valid QSO that an early log
> (file) submittal did not verify).
> -- Standings would be automatically generated by the software as to
> Country, State, Band, Mode, points, multipliers, and score.
> -- Scores would be submitted to a couple of the major DX/Contest
> related web sites, bbcyber.com website, and this forum for
> recognition.
> -- Awards would be generated for country and state high scores.
>
> There are some other issues to contend with yet, but no show
> stoppers for the first contest event to use an automatic form of QSO
> verification, multiplier determination, and score generation.
> The fallout from all of this is faster QSLs, quite a number of new
> LotW sign-ups would probably occur, and many would surely qualify
> for some of the current DXCC and WAS awards.
>
> The process described above does not require ARRL support. But we
> would certainly yield on our plans to ARRL if they were to announce
> an LotW contest that was similar to this.
>
> A contest such as this would certainly result in many new sign-ups
> for LotW and by spacing it out, the load on LotW should be minimized.
>
> Any direct comments would be appreciated within this forum or by
> direct EMAIL.
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...> wrote:
>>
>> Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting
> a Cabrillo
>> log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in

> cheek, but the
>> more you say it out loud the better it sounds.
>>
>> Dave, you may be onto something here...
>>
>> Pat
>> WW9R
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> On Behalf
>> Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
>> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>>
>> Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...
>>
>> Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Dave
>> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
>> http://www.nk7z.net
>>
>> Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> On
>> Behalf Of R Johnson
>> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>>
>>
>> I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
>> I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
>> them on 6M.
>>
>> I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!
>>
>> Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
>> and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
>> Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!
>>
>> Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.
>>
>> 73

>> Bob, K1VU
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2995. Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 15:12:37 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2989 Message: 2995

This event could also be run over an extended time period, but from past experience it needs to be made into a timed contest to generate the flurry of activity and desired results of the objectives noted below.

Actually entry is not complicated at all and simpler than for other contests since the participant doesn't even have to worry about points, multipliers, or submit a score, all those would be automatically generated. Process would be:

1) upload your log file as either Cabrillo or ADI format;
2) wait a pre-determined time and then download an lotwreport.adi file; and
3) email a copy of the report to the contest.

Contesters work on incentives -- the recognition of high scores would generate many new LotW members

There a two main objectives for a contest besides the actual contest itself which are:

1. Get more of the contesters to upload their logs to LotW which would give QSLs for their country/state/grid square to those they will work and also to those they have worked in the past.

2. Get more world-wide amateurs to take the time to join LotW.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <ve3iae@...> wrote:
>
> Gents,
>
> It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another solution, a
> quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being just "LotW Activity
> Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
> No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some rules are needed:
> - time frame
> - an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name, QTH (state,
> province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
> - when finished, participants upload the contacts made during the Activity

> Days to the LoTW.
>
> That's it!
>
> And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made
potentially
> improves your score towards an award.
>
> The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the event. I
keep my
> mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...
>
> 73,
>
> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > To all LotW users,
> >
> > The last couple of messages address a situation which some of us
> > contesters have been looking at for a weeks, that being an LotW
> > contest. As many know, for the last year Robert, HB9BZA and
myself
> > have been continually updating a LotW user list, now at 12,397
> > callsigns and 293 countries represented and available at either
> > http://www.hb9bza.com or http://www.bbcyber.com . This group of
> > contesters and I have worked out a scheme for log submittals,
> > downloads, download processing, and verification/scoring that
would
> > make a contest very easy. In a nutshell, the method is to allow
all
> > to participate, but only those that are actually signed up LotW
> > users to participate in the actual contest for awards. The event
> > would be run, at a "yet to be selected date". Since everyone
could
> > be in the contest and to give those interested time to sign up
for
> > LotW after the contest, there would be a wait period before final
> > logs had to be uploaded to LotW. But obviously current LotW
users

> > could upload right away. After approximately a six week period,
> > those entering the contest would would have two weeks to
download an
> > lotwreport.adi file and EMAIL that file to the contest committee.
> > After the final date for lotwreport file submittal, log
processing
> > software processing of the entry would be done with respect to
the
> > following.
> > -- QSO is valid by the fact that there is a valid entry in the
file
> > and that the other station has also a matching QSO. If the other
> > station does not join LotW then the QSO will never show up in the
> > lotwreport.adi file. Both logs must be submitted to avoid
cheating
> > by submitting altered lotwreport.adi files. Also, if there is a
QSL
> > with a specific callsign identified in the lotwreport.adi file
and
> > over 5 other entries show a valid QSO then it would be assumed
that
> > the QSOs are actually valid and that the other LotW user just
didn't
> > submit enter the contest by submitting his lotwreport.adi file.
> > -- QSO occurred during the contest period and a QSL was generated
> > before the end of the wait period.
> > -- QSO verification by LotW prior to the cut-off date of log
> > uploading to LotW. (This is needed so that one station could not
> > receive an advantage by waiting until the very last minute and
then
> > having late LotW signups give him a valid QSO that an early log
> > (file) submittal did not verify).
> > -- Standings would be automatically generated by the software as
to
> > Country, State, Band, Mode, points, multipliers, and score.
> > -- Scores would be submitted to a couple of the major DX/Contest
> > related web sites, bbcyber.com website, and this forum for
> > recognition.
> > -- Awards would be generated for country and state high scores.
> >
> > There are some other issues to contend with yet, but no show
> > stoppers for the first contest event to use an automatic form of
QSO
> > verification, multiplier determination, and score generation.
> > The fallout from all of this is faster QSLs, quite a number of
new
> > LotW sign-ups would probably occur, and many would surely qualify
> > for some of the current DXCC and WAS awards.
> >
> > The process described above does not require ARRL support. But

we
> > would certainly yield on our plans to ARRL if they were to
announce
> > an LotW contest that was similar to this.
> >
> > A contest such as this would certainly result in many new sign-
ups
> > for LotW and by spacing it out, the load on LotW should be
minimized.
> >
> > Any direct comments would be appreciated within this forum or by
> > direct EMAIL.
> >
> > Bill, N5RR
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@> wrote:
> >>
> >> Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of
submitting
> > a Cabrillo
> >> log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in
> > cheek, but the
> >> more you say it out loud the better it sounds.
> >>
> >> Dave, you may be onto something here...
> >>
> >> Pat
> >> WW9R
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> > On Behalf
> >> Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> >> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
> >>
> >> Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...
> >>
> >> Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...
> >>
> >> Thanks,
> >> Dave
> >> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> >> http://www.nk7z.net
> >>
> >> Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long
> >>

> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
> > On
> >> Behalf Of R Johnson
> >> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
> >>
> >>
> >> I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
> >> I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
> >> them on 6M.
> >>
> >> I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!
> >>
> >> Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
> >> and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
> >> Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!
> >>
> >> Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.
> >>
> >> 73
> >> Bob, K1VU
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2996. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 14:50:44 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 2989 Message: 2996

I'd like to help get things going by sponsoring the award for the USA winner.
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: Bill
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 9:55 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

To all LotW users,

The last couple of messages address a situation which some of us contesters have been looking at for a weeks, that being an LotW contest. As many know, for the last year Robert, HB9BZA and myself have been continually updating a LotW user list, now at 12,397 callsigns and 293 countries represented and available at either http://www.hb9bza.com or http://www.bbcyber.com . This group of contesters and I have worked out a scheme for log submittals, downloads, download processing, and verification/scoring that would make a contest very easy. In a nutshell, the method is to allow all to participate, but only those that are actually signed up LotW users to participate in the actual contest for awards. The event would be run, at a "yet to be selected date". Since everyone could be in the contest and to give those interested time to sign up for LotW after the contest, there would be a wait period before final logs had to be uploaded to LotW. But obviously current LotW users could upload right away. After approximately a six week period, those entering the contest would would have two weeks to download an lotwreport.adi file and EMAIL that file to the contest committee. After the final date for lotwreport file submittal, log processing software processing of the entry would be done with respect to the following.
-- QSO is valid by the fact that there is a valid entry in the file and that the other station has also a matching QSO. If the other station does not join LotW then the QSO will never show up in the lotwreport.adi file. Both logs must be submitted to avoid cheating by submitting altered lotwreport.adi files. Also, if there is a QSL with a specific callsign identified in the lotwreport.adi file and over 5 other entries show a valid QSO then it would be assumed that the QSOs are actually valid and that the other LotW user just didn't submit enter the contest by submitting his lotwreport.adi file.
-- QSO occurred during the contest period and a QSL was generated before the end of the wait period.
-- QSO verification by LotW prior to the cut-off date of log uploading to LotW. (This is needed so that one station could not receive an advantage by waiting until the very last minute and then

having late LotW signups give him a valid QSO that an early log
(file) submittal did not verify).
-- Standings would be automatically generated by the software as to
Country, State, Band, Mode, points, multipliers, and score.
-- Scores would be submitted to a couple of the major DX/Contest
related web sites, bbcyber.com website, and this forum for
recognition.
-- Awards would be generated for country and state high scores.

There are some other issues to contend with yet, but no show
stoppers for the first contest event to use an automatic form of QSO
verification, multiplier determination, and score generation.
The fallout from all of this is faster QSLs, quite a number of new
LotW sign-ups would probably occur, and many would surely qualify
for some of the current DXCC and WAS awards.

The process described above does not require ARRL support. But we
would certainly yield on our plans to ARRL if they were to announce
an LotW contest that was similar to this.

A contest such as this would certainly result in many new sign-ups
for LotW and by spacing it out, the load on LotW should be minimized.

Any direct comments would be appreciated within this forum or by
direct EMAIL.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...> wrote:
>
> Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting
a Cabrillo
> log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in
cheek, but the
> more you say it out loud the better it sounds.
>
> Dave, you may be onto something here...
>
> Pat
> WW9R
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
> Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...
>
> Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...

>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
>
> I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
> I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
> them on 6M.
>
> I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!
>
> Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
> and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
> Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!
>
> Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Ham radio

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

2997. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 15:39:00 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 2989 Message: 2997

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)

"I came, I saw, she conquered." (The original Latin seems to have been garbled.) --Lazarus Long

Veni, vidi, vici (L) I came, I saw, I conquered (E)

the full text of a message sent by Julius Caesar to the Roman Senate,

to describe his battle against King Pharnakles of Pontus near Zela in 47 BC.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

2998. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 14:13:22 UTC
From: Patrick Hoppe
Topic: 2989 Message: 2998

What a great idea! Would you run this concurrently with a Big contest, like possibly Sweepstakes? Since it doesn't relay on any form of exchange, it could "fit over" any and all contests. Kind of like another category ie "TB and wires" My apologies if I sound too excited here, but I have 16K+ Q's in LOTW and only 3300 confirmed. I would love to get that percentage up.

Keep it moving forward...
Pat
WW9R

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 8:56 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

To all LotW users,

The last couple of messages address a situation which some of us contesters have been looking at for a weeks, that being an LotW contest. As many know, for the last year Robert, HB9BZA and myself have been continually updating a LotW user list, now at 12,397 callsigns and 293 countries represented and available at either http://www.hb9bza.com or http://www.bbcyber.com . This group of contesters and I have worked out a scheme for log submittals, downloads, download processing, and verification/scoring that would make a contest very easy. In a nutshell, the method is to allow all to participate, but only those that are actually signed up LotW users to participate in the actual contest for awards. The event would be run, at a "yet to be selected date". Since everyone could be in the contest and to give those interested time to sign up for LotW after the contest, there would be a wait period before final logs had to be uploaded to LotW. But obviously current LotW users could upload right away. After approximately a six week period, those entering the contest would would have two weeks to download an lotwreport.adi file and EMAIL that file to the contest committee. After the final date for lotwreport file submittal, log processing software processing of the entry would be done with respect to the following.
-- QSO is valid by the fact that there is a valid entry in the file and that the other station has also a matching QSO. If the other station does not join LotW then the QSO will never show up in the lotwreport.adi file. Both logs must be submitted to avoid cheating by submitting altered lotwreport.adi files. Also, if there is a QSL with a specific callsign identified in the lotwreport.adi file and

over 5 other entries show a valid QSO then it would be assumed that the QSOs are actually valid and that the other LotW user just didn't submit enter the contest by submitting his lotwreport.adi file.
-- QSO occurred during the contest period and a QSL was generated before the end of the wait period.
-- QSO verification by LotW prior to the cut-off date of log uploading to LotW. (This is needed so that one station could not receive an advantage by waiting until the very last minute and then having late LotW signups give him a valid QSO that an early log (file) submittal did not verify).
-- Standings would be automatically generated by the software as to Country, State, Band, Mode, points, multipliers, and score.
-- Scores would be submitted to a couple of the major DX/Contest related web sites, bbcyber.com website, and this forum for recognition.
-- Awards would be generated for country and state high scores.

There are some other issues to contend with yet, but no show stoppers for the first contest event to use an automatic form of QSO verification, multiplier determination, and score generation. The fallout from all of this is faster QSLs, quite a number of new LotW sign-ups would probably occur, and many would surely qualify for some of the current DXCC and WAS awards.

The process described above does not require ARRL support. But we would certainly yield on our plans to ARRL if they were to announce an LotW contest that was similar to this.

A contest such as this would certainly result in many new sign-ups for LotW and by spacing it out, the load on LotW should be minimized.

Any direct comments would be appreciated within this forum or by direct EMAIL.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Hoppe" <ww9r@...> wrote:
>
> Imagine that - DXCC & WAS in one week-end. Instead of submitting a Cabrillo
> log, you submit your log to LOTW. This started out tongue in cheek, but the
> more you say it out loud the better it sounds.
>
> Dave, you may be onto something here...
>
> Pat
> WW9R
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf

> Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 10:55 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
> Actually a LOTW WAS contest might be in order...
>
> Exchange might be call and name, then an upload...
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> Secrecy is the beginning of tyranny. --Lazarus Long
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Sunday, June 04, 2006 18:52
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ Alabama
>
>
> I have 49 States confirmed by LoTW. Missing is Alabama !!!
> I checked my log and have worked 43 Alabama stations, 10 of
> them on 6M.
>
> I wonder if LoTW has made it's way that far South :-) !!!
>
> Most of the guys have been complaining about the lack of WY
> and MT using LoTW. I'd be willing to swap 1 Mt for 1 AL.
> Oop's I forgot that isn't like swapping "Baseball Cards" !!!
>
> Wish I had been home for the ALQP contest. Well next year.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

2999. I'm climbing the learning curve...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 21:37:44 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 2999 Message: 2999

Last night I finished uploading 11 years of logbook data... 22,506 QSO's... whew... only 33 years to go!

This morning I discovered my computer clock's date/time was set wrong for the 1998 ARRL DX CW Contest. I have about 300 QSO's loaded into LoTW that I know are wrong. I am fixing the original dates/times and will create a new ADIF to upload.

How do I "bulk erase" all the bad QSO's currently in the system? You don't just leave them there, do you?

73 de Bob - K0RC

3000. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 21:59:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 2999 Message: 3000

You don't, you can't.
Just upload the corrected qsos.
The 'wrong time' qsos will see in cyberspace, never to be seen again.

Eventually, the LoTW coders will develope a way to 'correct' qsos, but for now they advise to just forget about them....

In 100 years, they will fall into the bit bucket and be used as alternative fuel.

On 6/5/2006 4:37:44 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Last night I finished uploading 11 years of logbook data... 22,506
> QSO's... whew... only 33 years to go!
>
> This morning I discovered my computer clock's
> date/time was set wrong for the 1998 ARRL DX CW Contest. I have about 300
> QSO's loaded into LoTW that I know are wrong. I am fixing the original dates/times and
> will create a new ADIF to upload.
>
> How do I "bulk erase" all the bad QSO's
> currently in the system? You don't just leave them there, do you?
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC
>
>
>
>
> SPONSORED LINKS
> Hobby and craft supply [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw]
> Craft hobby [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ]
> Ham radio [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw]
>
>
>
> ---
> YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
>
>
>
> * Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW]" on the web.
>

>
> * To unsubscribe from this gro

3001. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 21:59:47 UTC
From: John Schneider
Topic: 2999 Message: 3001

Bob,

I, too, have my climbing boots on, but I have seen very similar questions, and the answer seems to remain the same. You simply leave the "bad" QSO's as there will never be a match, and upload the "good" ones.

Wow.33 years? Good for you.

John, KB2COP

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Chudek - K0RC
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 5:38 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...

Last night I finished uploading 11 years of logbook data... 22,506 QSO's... whew... only 33 years to go!

This morning I discovered my computer clock's date/time was set wrong for the 1998 ARRL DX CW Contest. I have about 300 QSO's loaded into LoTW that I know are wrong. I am fixing the original dates/times and will create a new ADIF to upload.

How do I "bulk erase" all the bad QSO's currently in the system? You don't just leave them there, do you?

73 de Bob - K0RC

SPONSORED LINKS

Hobby
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=VNS2GOcGoMZrxDamai1MAw>
and craft supply

Craft
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Craft+hobby&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=t6kUOeGPog29_UzZXgXIXQ> hobby

Ham
<http://groups.yahoo.com/gads?t=ms&k=Ham+radio&w1=Hobby+and+craft+supply&w2=Craft+hobby&w3=Ham+radio&c=3&s=60&.sig=sXgSOsg2BLY7cRv8Fv8vyw> radio

---

YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS

* Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW> " on the web.

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

3002. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 22:13:51 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 2999 Message: 3002

WOW! What a potential for wasted resources (disk space)! And to think the system will need to sift through all that "alternative fuel" every time a query is made. And they could have been using all that processing power for something useful... like SETI or something... :-)

Oh well... at least I made DXCC and WAS right out of the shoot... even without those bogus Q's!

73 de Bob - K0RC

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 4:59 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...

You don't, you can't.
Just upload the corrected qsos.
The 'wrong time' qsos will see in cyberspace, never to be seen again.

Eventually, the LoTW coders will develope a way to 'correct' qsos, but for now they advise
to just forget about them....

In 100 years, they will fall into the bit bucket and be used as alternative fuel.

On 6/5/2006 4:37:44 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Last night I finished uploading 11 years of logbook data... 22,506
> QSO's... whew... only 33 years to go!
>
> This morning I discovered my computer clock's
> date/time was set wrong for the 1998 ARRL DX CW Contest. I have about 300
> QSO's loaded into LoTW that I know are wrong. I am fixing the original
> dates/times and
> will create a new ADIF to upload.
>
> How do I "bulk erase" all the bad QSO's
> currently in the system? You don't just leave them there, do you?
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC

3003. Help!!! Reload of TQSL certificate??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 22:43:13 UTC
From: Ann
Topic: 3003 Message: 3003

Help help!!!! I had to reformat my hard drive and reloaded tqsl-
111. I saved tqsl.6 but I cannot get the certificate to load. Any
ideas on what to do???
TNX in advance,
Ann
AB1DR

3004. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Help!!! Reload of TQSL certificate??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-05 23:55:51 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3003 Message: 3004

At 06:43 PM 6/5/2006, Ann wrote:
>Help help!!!! I had to reformat my hard drive and reloaded tqsl-
>111. I saved tqsl.6 but I cannot get the certificate to load. Any
>ideas on what to do???

Hopefully when you imported your information into TQSLcert you saved the certificate as a .p12 file. If you didn't do that, you don't have a backup.

A .tq6 file does not have your entire key pair and cannot be used to recover your private key.
Please see the info on the LoTW web site on how you can have a new certificate issued if you don't have a p12 file.

This can't be repeated often enough: You MUST MUST MUST MUST save your LoTW certificate to a file stored externally, preferably in multiple copies. You must do this as the private key portion of your key pair is not held on the LoTW servers; it's only on your computer. If you lose your hard drive, the public part of certificate stored on the LoTW system is now worthless.

You should periodically use TQSLCert to "File/Save" all of your certificates periodically. If you don't do this, your key pair can't be recovered. I used my .p12 backup last week to recover a corrupted certificate.
-Rick

3005. Re: Help!!! Reload of TQSL certificate??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-06 00:12:28 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3003 Message: 3005

If you have the original postcard with your numbers on it, I think you can use that to get another copy of the certificate. From LotW web site:

Forget to renew your cert before it expired? Lose your cert in a crash? -- Don't feel alone. You can't renew an expired cert, but if you are in the U.S. and you have an old postcard password, you can quickly obtain a NEW certificate. Here's what to do.
First delete all .tq5 and .tq6 files that may still be in your machine. Also, make sure there are no certificate lines in TQSLCert with your callsign. Next, create ONE new certificate request and send it to kallison@... along with the old (eight-character) postcard password written in the TEXT of the same message. If you don't have a postcard password, you will need to send the request to the server (lotw-logs@... or upload at the Web site) and wait for a new postcard password.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
>
> At 06:43 PM 6/5/2006, Ann wrote:
> >Help help!!!! I had to reformat my hard drive and reloaded tqsl-
> >111. I saved tqsl.6 but I cannot get the certificate to load. Any
> >ideas on what to do???
>
> Hopefully when you imported your information into TQSLcert you saved
> the certificate as a .p12 file. If you didn't do that, you don't have a
> backup.
>
> A .tq6 file does not have your entire key pair and cannot be used to
> recover your private key.
> Please see the info on the LoTW web site on how you can have a new
> certificate issued if you don't have a p12 file.
>
> This can't be repeated often enough: You MUST MUST MUST MUST save your
> LoTW certificate to a file stored externally, preferably in multiple
> copies. You must do this as the private key portion of your key pair is

> not held on the LoTW servers; it's only on your computer. If you
lose
> your hard drive, the public part of certificate stored on the LoTW
> system is now worthless.
>
> You should periodically use TQSLCert to "File/Save" all of your
> certificates periodically. If you don't do this, your key pair
can't be
> recovered. I used my .p12 backup last week to recover a corrupted
> certificate.
> -Rick
>

3006. Re: Help!!! Reload of TQSL certificate??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-06 00:35:32 UTC
From: Ann
Topic: 3003 Message: 3006

Bill,
THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!!!!!
Yes...I have my postcard I'm printing out your instructions and I'll
follow them!!!!
73/88
Ann
AB1DR!!!!

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Bill" <n5rr@...> wrote:
>
> If you have the original postcard with your numbers on it, I think
> you can use that to get another copy of the certificate. From
LotW
> web site:
>
> Forget to renew your cert before it expired? Lose your cert in a
> crash? -- Don't feel alone. You can't renew an expired cert, but
if
> you are in the U.S. and you have an old postcard password, you can
> quickly obtain a NEW certificate. Here's what to do.
> First delete all .tq5 and .tq6 files that may still be in your
> machine. Also, make sure there are no certificate lines in
TQSLCert
> with your callsign. Next, create ONE new certificate request and
> send it to kallison@... along with the old (eight-character)
> postcard password written in the TEXT of the same message. If you
> don't have a postcard password, you will need to send the request
to
> the server (lotw-logs@... or upload at the Web site) and wait
> for a new postcard password.
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@>
> wrote:
> >
> > At 06:43 PM 6/5/2006, Ann wrote:
> > >Help help!!!! I had to reformat my hard drive and reloaded
tqsl-
> > >111. I saved tqsl.6 but I cannot get the certificate to load.
> Any
> > >ideas on what to do???
> >
> > Hopefully when you imported your information into TQSLcert you
> saved

> > the certificate as a .p12 file. If you didn't do that, you don't
> have a
> > backup.
> >
> > A .tq6 file does not have your entire key pair and cannot be
used
> to
> > recover your private key.
> > Please see the info on the LoTW web site on how you can have a
new
> > certificate issued if you don't have a p12 file.
> >
> > This can't be repeated often enough: You MUST MUST MUST MUST
save
> your
> > LoTW certificate to a file stored externally, preferably in
> multiple
> > copies. You must do this as the private key portion of your key
> pair is
> > not held on the LoTW servers; it's only on your computer. If you
> lose
> > your hard drive, the public part of certificate stored on the
LoTW
> > system is now worthless.
> >
> > You should periodically use TQSLCert to "File/Save" all of your
> > certificates periodically. If you don't do this, your key pair
> can't be
> > recovered. I used my .p12 backup last week to recover a
corrupted
> > certificate.
> > -Rick
> >
>

3007. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-06 13:39:48 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2989 Message: 3007

Hello Vladimir,

I agree, "Ativity Days" sound more fitting the event, and please
let's not forget about WARC bands, for that is what pulls DXCC
Challenge totals down.

VVS> It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another solution, a
VVS> quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being just "LotW Activity
VVS> Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
VVS> No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some rules are needed:
VVS> - time frame
VVS> - an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name, QTH (state,
VVS> province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
VVS> - when finished, participants upload the contacts made during the Activity
VVS> Days to the LoTW.

VVS> That's it!

VVS> And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made potentially
VVS> improves your score towards an award.

VVS> The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the event. I keep my
VVS> mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...
VVS> 73,
VVS> Vladimir VE3IAE

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"When I'm good, I'm very, very, good, but when I'm bad, I'm better." [Mae West]

3008. Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-06 16:09:46 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 2989 Message: 3008

Gentlemen,

The problem that we have with an "Activity Days" concept is that we believe that it would do very little to increase the number of new users and won't do anything to get the bulk of the contesters interested. Part of what we are trying to accomplish is to get the contesters interested so that they will first sign-up for LotW and second upload logs not only for this activity, but for previous contests. Once they see the amount of work that would be lifted off their shoulders for QSLs, we think that many would be convinced to continue the modest effort of uploading logs when they turn in their entries. With more contesters signed up and more QSLs generated, there would also be more of an incentive for non-contesters to join.

The non-contester would also benefit by being able to search the band looking for states and countries that they need and be assured that a QSL was forthcoming. Tools like SpotCollector (DXLabs), a free program makes it very easy to identify LotW users for those just wanting to casually participate.

As for WARC bands, the ARRL and most other organizations have agreed to not have contests or other major events use those bands because of the small size of the frequency allocations, shared use with other agencies, and the resulting direct effects on casual users.

At least for the first LotW event, the group is leaning toward piggy backing on a major contest and running it in parallel, using the same reports as given the major contest (the only data needed for an LotW confirmation is Call, time, date, mode, and band, which are present in every type of event). RS(T) and location (zones, countries, etc) are not required for a LotW QSL, but that type of information is usually attached to the QSL, including the grid square as long as the other operator has included the information when signing the log file.

The only thing then required for the contester to do is to join LotW, if not already a member, and send his Cabrillo file two places rather than one, raw Cabrillo file as the contest entry and a TQSL signed Cabrillo file to LotW.

One big advantage the above approach to getting started is that there would not be a ramp-up problem, which faces all new operating events. We have international websites now agreeing to advertise the event once we solve the setup and coordination problems and decide upon the dates and times of the first event.

Comments are invited.

73s, Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Vladimir,
>
> I agree, "Ativity Days" sound more fitting the event, and
please
> let's not forget about WARC bands, for that is what pulls
DXCC
> Challenge totals down.
>
> VVS> It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another
solution, a
> VVS> quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being
just "LotW Activity
> VVS> Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
> VVS> No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some
rules are needed:
> VVS> - time frame
> VVS> - an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name,
QTH (state,
> VVS> province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
> VVS> - when finished, participants upload the contacts made during
the Activity
> VVS> Days to the LoTW.
>
> VVS> That's it!
>
> VVS> And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made
potentially
> VVS> improves your score towards an award.
>
> VVS> The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the
event. I keep my
> VVS> mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...
> VVS> 73,
> VVS> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "When I'm good, I'm very, very, good, but when I'm bad, I'm
better." [Mae West]
>

3009. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-06 18:47:23 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 2989 Message: 3009

Comments insert below...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill" <n5rr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2006 11:09 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Gentlemen,

The problem that we have with an "Activity Days" concept is that we believe that it would do very little to increase the number of new users and won't do anything to get the bulk of the contesters interested. Part of what we are trying to accomplish is to get the contesters interested so that they will first sign-up for LoTW and second upload logs not only for this activity, but for previous contests. Once they see the amount of work that would be lifted off their shoulders for QSLs, we think that many would be convinced to continue the modest effort of uploading logs when they turn in their entries. With more contesters signed up and more QSLs generated, there would also be more of an incentive for non-contesters to join.

>>>"Activity Days" is a sissy-boy wimp name for a contest... Make it something with guts, like "He-man Tool Time Trophy Challenge" or "Bet You Can't Win This One Contest". Go to the root of what drives a contester to sit in a chair for 48 hours straight. They're not sitting under a parasol sipping mimosas'.<<<

>>>LoTW is pass? to a contester (yeah I'm uploading my logs but I have a personal goal behind this). It doesn't generate QSL cards (I know, sorry to bring this up) so LoTW isn't going to significantly reduce the hard-copy QSL requests. Contesters are interested in contesting, not WAS or DXCC. Hell, the top competitors already achieve WAS and DXCC in a single weekend. Multiply this by the number of big contests in a year. There's no challenge.<<<

>>>You need to figure out a REAL incentive to get more participation. Here's a controversial idea... only accept all ARRL contest logs via LoTW. This suggestion will set the contesting reflectors on fire!<<<

The non-contester would also benefit by being able to search the band looking for states and countries that they need and be assured that a QSL was forthcoming. Tools like SpotCollector (DXLabs), a free program makes it very easy to identify LotW users for those just wanting to casually participate.

As for WARC bands, the ARRL and most other organizations have agreed to not have contests or other major events use those bands because of the small size of the frequency allocations, shared use with other agencies, and the resulting direct effects on casual users.

>>>I agreed, the WARC bands need to remain available for those who get crowded off the contest bands.<<<

At least for the first LotW event, the group is leaning toward piggy backing on a major contest and running it in parallel, using the same reports as given the major contest (the only data needed for an LotW confirmation is Call, time, date, mode, and band, which are present in every type of event). RS(T) and location (zones, countries, etc) are not required for a LotW QSL, but that type of information is usually attached to the QSL, including the grid square as long as the other operator has included the information when signing the log file.

>>>Well this idea falls along the lines of my previous suggestion regarding using LoTW as the official contest log, it stops short and adds another step. There are "real-time scores" websites that publish a contesters statistics in real time. You can look to see how you are faring compared to your competitor.<<<

>>>I see a time in the near future where contesters will log onto a contest website and the real time results of all competitors will be available as the weekend unfolds. Kinda like going to a horse race. Let the betting begin... :-) <<<

>>>tQSL needs to be updated to force the operator to input this information. Not many are going to go back and "fix" their existing QSO's to include the CQ, ITU, IOTA, County, etc.<<<

>>>LoTW would need to be programmed to accept ADIF "contest tags" in order to transport this information. But I'm afraid you are suggesting a change in the LoTW goals and objectives, which will take a lot of effort (and money) to include these features.<<<

The only thing then required for the contester to do is to join LotW, if not already a member, and send his Cabrillo file two places rather than one, raw Cabrillo file as the contest entry and a TQSL signed Cabrillo file to LotW.

>>>The first sentence is a whole 'nother issue outside the topic in this thread! "Reasons for low LoTW participation..."<<<

One big advantage the above approach to getting started is that there would not be a ramp-up problem, which faces all new operating events. We have international websites now agreeing to advertise the event once we solve the setup and coordination problems and decide upon the dates and times of the first event.

Comments are invited.

>>>Whatever the outcome, short and long term, if you guys get a contest put together I'll compete.<<<

73s, Bill, N5RR

>>>73 de Bob - K0RC<<<

3010. New Yahoo Message Format

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-07 03:33:15 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 3010 Message: 3010

Hello, All.... some of you may have noticed a new format to your messages coming in from this YahooGroup, and perhaps other YahooGroups as well. If so, and if you don't like it, here's the way to "fix" it...

1. Go to the My Preferences page:

http://groups.yahoo.com/myprefs

If you are not signed in to your account, you will then be prompted to do so.

2. Click on the "Edit" link under "Options", located on the right side.

3. Under "Message Format", choose the option labeled, "Do not convert to HTML".

4. Click on the Save Changes button.

Please note that even if you ask to receive plain text, Yahoo! Groups does not convert messages from HTML to plain text. So if another group member sends a message that contains HTML code and your email software recognizes HTML code, you may see HTML formatting and graphics. If you wish to prevent this, please make sure that the members of the group know to only send messages in plain text with no HTML coding.

The above directions have "fixed" the situation for me, and I'm sure they will work for you as well if you follow them carefully.
73, Jerry K3BZ

3011. Re: I'm climbing the learning curve...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-08 01:12:38 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 2999 Message: 3011

Just to finish with a follow-up... I was on the phone today with Wayne Mills, N7NG/1 at ARRL regarding a certificate issue I was having, and he confirmed what you said.

You can't purge records from LoTW at this time.

73 de Bob - K0RC

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek - K0RC" <k0rc@...> wrote:
>
> WOW! What a potential for wasted resources (disk space)! And to think the
> system will need to sift through all that "alternative fuel" every time a
> query is made. And they could have been using all that processing power for
> something useful... like SETI or something... :-)
>
> Oh well... at least I made DXCC and WAS right out of the shoot... even
> without those bogus Q's!
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" w9ol@...
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 4:59 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I'm climbing the learning curve...
>
>
> You don't, you can't.
> Just upload the corrected qsos.
> The 'wrong time' qsos will see in cyberspace, never to be seen again.
>
> Eventually, the LoTW coders will develope a way to 'correct' qsos, but for
> now they advise
> to just forget about them....
>
> In 100 years, they will fall into the bit bucket and be used as alternative
> fuel.
>
>
>

> On 6/5/2006 4:37:44 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC (k0rc@...) wrote:
> > Last night I finished uploading 11 years of logbook data... 22,506
> > QSO's... whew... only 33 years to go!
> >
> > This morning I discovered my computer clock's
> > date/time was set wrong for the 1998 ARRL DX CW Contest. I have
about 300
> > QSO's loaded into LoTW that I know are wrong. I am fixing the
original
> > dates/times and
> > will create a new ADIF to upload.
> >
> > How do I "bulk erase" all the bad QSO's
> > currently in the system? You don't just leave them there, do you?
> >
> > 73 de Bob - K0RC
>

3012. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-09 02:39:15 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2989 Message: 3012

> [Original Message]
> From: Patrick Hoppe <ww9r@...>

> My apologies if I sound too excited here, but I have 16K+ Q's in
> LOTW and only 3300 confirmed. I would love to get that percentage up.

Actually, your percentage (20.6%) is way above the LoTW system average of 5.7%.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3013. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-09 02:48:02 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 2989 Message: 3013

Judging from my results, it is my impression that contesters are the most prevalent users of LoTW. Maybe this proposed effort is targeting the wrong audience.

Contesters are the most likely to have computerized/Cabrillo/ADIF logs, making it essentially painless to upload to LoTW. I doubt that a "contest-like" event would generate any appreciable amount of new LoTW participants.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/6/2006 4:10:40 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Gentlemen,

The problem that we have with an "Activity Days" concept is that we believe that it would do very little to increase the number of new users and won't do anything to get the bulk of the contesters interested. Part of what we are trying to accomplish is to get the contesters interested so that they will first sign-up for LotW and second upload logs not only for this activity, but for previous contests. Once they see the amount of work that would be lifted off their shoulders for QSLs, we think that many would be convinced to continue the modest effort of uploading logs when they turn in their entries. With more contesters signed up and more QSLs generated, there would also be more of an incentive for non-contesters to join.

The non-contester would also benefit by being able to search the band looking for states and countries that they need and be assured that a QSL was forthcoming. Tools like SpotCollector (DXLabs), a free program makes it very easy to identify LotW users for those just wanting to casually participate.

As for WARC bands, the ARRL and most other organizations have agreed to not have contests or other major events use those bands because of the small size of the frequency allocations, shared use with other agencies, and the resulting direct effects on casual users.

At least for the first LotW event, the group is leaning toward piggy backing on a major contest and running it in parallel, using the same reports as given the major contest (the only data needed for an LotW confirmation is Call, time, date, mode, and band, which are

present in every type of event). RS(T) and location (zones, countries, etc) are not required for a LotW QSL, but that type of information is usually attached to the QSL, including the grid square as long as the other operator has included the information when signing the log file.

The only thing then required for the contester to do is to join LotW, if not already a member, and send his Cabrillo file two places rather than one, raw Cabrillo file as the contest entry and a TQSL signed Cabrillo file to LotW.

One big advantage the above approach to getting started is that there would not be a ramp-up problem, which faces all new operating events. We have international websites now agreeing to advertise the event once we solve the setup and coordination problems and decide upon the dates and times of the first event.

Comments are invited.

73s, Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Vladimir,
>
> I agree, "Ativity Days" sound more fitting the event, and
please
> let's not forget about WARC bands, for that is what pulls
DXCC
> Challenge totals down.
>
> VVS> It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another
solution, a
> VVS> quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being
just "LotW Activity
> VVS> Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
> VVS> No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some
rules are needed:
> VVS> - time frame
> VVS> - an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name,
QTH (state,
> VVS> province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
> VVS> - when finished, participants upload the contacts made during
the Activity
> VVS> Days to the LoTW.
>
> VVS> That's it!
>
> VVS> And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made
potentially
> VVS> improves your score towards an award.

>
> VVS> The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the
event. I keep my
> VVS> mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...
> VVS> 73,
> VVS> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "When I'm good, I'm very, very, good, but when I'm bad, I'm
better." [Mae West]
>

3014. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-10 11:28:05 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 2989 Message: 3014

Hello K0HB,

I totally agree. Another "doubt": how would LoTW users recognize each other in such "parallel" contest-like events?

Let's just make it our own "holiday", lets work some new ones in "Activity Days", including WARC bands, and "CQL" or just "LoTW" instead of "CQ" would let us easily recognize each other. If ARRL announced and advertised, I bet it would bring lots of interest for DX- and Challenge- chasers, and new LoTW users, finally. As for me, I still need to confirm many european countries on 17/30 m, and I bet many of them need UA9 as well, so that would be very useful for all of us.

K> Judging from my results, it is my impression that contesters are
K> the most prevalent users of LoTW. Maybe this proposed effort is
K> targeting the wrong audience.

K> Contesters are the most likely to have computerized/Cabrillo/ADIF
K> logs, making it essentially painless to upload to LoTW. I doubt
K> that a "contest-like" event would generate any appreciable amount
K> of new LoTW participants.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB
K> --
>><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

K> ----- Original Message -----
K> From: Bill
K> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
K> Sent: 6/6/2006 4:10:40 PM
K> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: CQ Alabama

K> Gentlemen,

K> The problem that we have with an "Activity Days" concept is that we
K> believe that it would do very little to increase the number of new
K> users and won't do anything to get the bulk of the contesters
K> interested. Part of what we are trying to accomplish is to get the
K> contesters interested so that they will first sign-up for LotW and
K> second upload logs not only for this activity, but for previous
K> contests. Once they see the amount of work that would be lifted off
K> their shoulders for QSLs, we think that many would be convinced to
K> continue the modest effort of uploading logs when they turn in their
K> entries. With more contesters signed up and more QSLs generated,
K> there would also be more of an incentive for non-contesters to
K> join.

K> The non-contester would also benefit by being able to search the
K> band looking for states and countries that they need and be assured
K> that a QSL was forthcoming. Tools like SpotCollector (DXLabs), a
K> free program makes it very easy to identify LotW users for those
K> just wanting to casually participate.

K> As for WARC bands, the ARRL and most other organizations have agreed
K> to not have contests or other major events use those bands because
K> of the small size of the frequency allocations, shared use with
K> other agencies, and the resulting direct effects on casual users.

K> At least for the first LotW event, the group is leaning toward piggy
K> backing on a major contest and running it in parallel, using the
K> same reports as given the major contest (the only data needed for an
K> LotW confirmation is Call, time, date, mode, and band, which are
K> present in every type of event). RS(T) and location (zones,
K> countries, etc) are not required for a LotW QSL, but that type of
K> information is usually attached to the QSL, including the grid
K> square as long as the other operator has included the information
K> when signing the log file.

K> The only thing then required for the contester to do is to join
K> LotW, if not already a member, and send his Cabrillo file two places
K> rather than one, raw Cabrillo file as the contest entry and a TQSL
K> signed Cabrillo file to LotW.

K> One big advantage the above approach to getting started is that
K> there would not be a ramp-up problem, which faces all new operating
K> events. We have international websites now agreeing to advertise
K> the event once we solve the setup and coordination problems and
K> decide upon the dates and times of the first event.

K> Comments are invited.

K> 73s, Bill, N5RR

K> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>>
>> Hello Vladimir,
>>
>> I agree, "Ativity Days" sound more fitting the event, and
K> please
>> let's not forget about WARC bands, for that is what pulls
K> DXCC
>> Challenge totals down.
>>
>> VVS> It looks too complicated to be attractive. There is another
K> solution, a
>> VVS> quick, easy and (potentially) effective one, being
K> just "LotW Activity
>> VVS> Days". Let's say, it's a weekend.
>> VVS> No rules, no sponsors, no scores, no awards. Actually some
K> rules are needed:

>> VVS> - time frame
>> VVS> - an (LoTW valueable) exchange: a signal report plus Name,
K> QTH (state,
>> VVS> province, etc, where applicable) and Grid Loc
>> VVS> - when finished, participants upload the contacts made during
K> the Activity
>> VVS> Days to the LoTW.
>>
>> VVS> That's it!
>>
>> VVS> And everybody is a winner, because every single contact made
K> potentially
>> VVS> improves your score towards an award.
>>
>> VVS> The only input needed would be a little bit of ad of the
K> event. I keep my
>> VVS> mouth shut on who'd best of all take care of the ad...
>> VVS> 73,
>> VVS> Vladimir VE3IAE
>>
>> --
>> 73...Art RX9TX
>>
>> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>>
>> "When I'm good, I'm very, very, good, but when I'm bad, I'm
K> better." [Mae West]
>>

K>

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Sometimes even to live is an act of courage." (Seneca)

3015. WAS reports...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-14 18:41:00 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3015 Message: 3015

I like the summary grid I get regarding my standings for WAS. I am sitting at "48" or "49" in many categories. It sure would be nice if there was a second "needed" column on that matrix page!

How can I easily determine what "2" or "1" are missing in each category using LoTW?

73 de Bob - K0RC

3016. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS reports...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-14 19:11:58 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3015 Message: 3016

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Robert Chudek wrote:
> I like the summary grid I get regarding my standings for WAS. I am
> sitting at "48" or "49" in many categories. It sure would be nice if
> there was a second "needed" column on that matrix page!
>
> How can I easily determine what "2" or "1" are missing in each
> category using LoTW?

When you're looking at the summary grid, click on one of the awards (or
categories) (e.g. click on 'Basic'). This will show you the pending credits
for the award you selected. Change the 'View' radio buttons to 'All
Entities', and click the 'Select WAS Award to View' button. Down below you'll
now have a list all of the states, with the ones you have LOTW credits for
filled in. The ones you don't have will be obvious by the lack of a call.
There's a drop-down to the left of the 'Select WAS Award to View' button that
will allow you to look at another award(band, etc) without having to go back
to the summary grid. Just change it and click on the 'Select WAS Award to
View' button again.

I print this out for the various bands I'm working on, and fill in by hand the
missing states I have cards for, so I know where I stand counting both LOTW
and cards.

Ben "Now if I could just get South Carolina for 20" Coleman
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEkF9+RgRgmxNburQRAnFeAKCBHnJzAa7xCtev9aHva7yfa78fVwCfZKvQ
W7NKDLrGSoyIOTXqte8F3M4=
=oLPX
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3017. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS reports...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-14 19:21:29 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3015 Message: 3017

Thanks Graham and Ben,

Man, that was REALLY quick! I went to freshen up the coffee cup and when I came back, there was my answer!

Thanks again.

73 de Bob - K0RC

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2006 1:41 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS reports...

>I like the summary grid I get regarding my standings for WAS. I am
> sitting at "48" or "49" in many categories. It sure would be nice if
> there was a second "needed" column on that matrix page!
>
> How can I easily determine what "2" or "1" are missing in each
> category using LoTW?
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC

3018. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS reports...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-15 01:13:33 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3015 Message: 3018

On Jun 14, 2006, at 3:11 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> Ben "Now if I could just get South Carolina for 20" Coleman

You and me both! (I need them on 10 and 15, too!)

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3019. Re: WAS reports...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-19 04:38:41 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3015 Message: 3019

There is another screen that is quite good for the needed states.

Go to your WAS account then click on Application. The next screen
will show all the missing States for each band, mode etc.

Quick & easy.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> On Jun 14, 2006, at 3:11 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:
>
> > Ben "Now if I could just get South Carolina for 20" Coleman
>
> You and me both! (I need them on 10 and 15, too!)
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

3020. LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-20 01:31:10 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 2228 Message: 3020

Looks like LOTW is down tonight.

Julio, W4HY

3021. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-20 05:14:47 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 2228 Message: 3021

It's back up.

Bob, N7XY

On Jun 19, 2006, at 6:31 PM, Julio Peralta wrote:

> Looks like LOTW is down tonight.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3022. received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-21 21:41:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3022 Message: 3022

FO/G35WH Austral
FO/G35WH/P French Polynesia
both calls on various bands, cw
July, 2003 qsos

If ignorance is bliss, you must be orgasmic.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3023. received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-26 20:29:53 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3022 Message: 3023

Next

Call sign Worked Date/Time Band Mode Freq QSL DXCC
Details W9OL J6/G3XAQ 2002-05-04 13:59:00 12M CW 24.89598 SAINT LUCIA
Details W9OL J6/G3XAQ 2002-05-03 10:36:00 30M CW 10.10412 SAINT LUCIA

Thanks for the qsos & the LoTW qsls.

"To err is human, to forgive....$5.00"

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3024. received today: 3C5Z

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-06-07 10:53:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3024 Message: 3024

3C5Z via LoTW for a 1996 17 meter cw & Challenge credit
also
3C5Z via LoTW for a 1996 15 meter cw.

Boy my records are screwed up, my computer said they had been already confirmed. But my box of qsls did not have them filed.
Apparently I missed marked them some time back.
So LoTW fixed my mistake.

Don't judge me by my relatives, I didn't choose them!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3025. Certificate Renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-03 16:31:21 UTC
From: Fred Benardella
Topic: 3025 Message: 3025

Hi Folks,

Just curios as to when they changed to three years instead of having to renew every year. I must have missed reading about that.

Aloha
Fred KH6FI

3026. Challenge

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-03 23:23:08 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3026 Message: 3026

Hello all

I'm a QRP operator so my band totals are pretty slow in mounting up.

Exactly how does this "Challenge" work. I had never heard of it before using LoTW. I will be pleased when I get 100 countries confirmed. Around 2025 at the present rate. :-)

Dave, GM4HQF, G-QRP 2513
Aberdeen

3027. received today VU5AN/VU3RYC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-03 23:31:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3027 Message: 3027

VIA LOTW for a 20 meter RTTY qso.
Thanks guys.

(I sent a donation and note saying I didn't need the card, LoTW would be ok)

Wear short sleeves! Support your right to bare arms!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3028. Re: received today VU5AN/VU3RYC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-03 23:42:51 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3027 Message: 3028

Bill,

What callsign was he using when you worked him? It should be the
same as the callsign that the LoTW QSL is from. I just checked and
LoTW came back with "no logs found for VU5AN/VU3RYC".

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> VIA LOTW for a 20 meter RTTY qso.
> Thanks guys.
>
> (I sent a donation and note saying I didn't need the card, LoTW
would be ok)
>
>
> ----------
> Wear short sleeves! Support your right to bare arms!
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

3029. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Challenge

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-04 02:38:55 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3026 Message: 3029

On Jul 3, 2006, at 7:23 PM, Dave Lindsay wrote:

> Hello all
>
> I'm a QRP operator so my band totals are pretty slow in mounting up.
>
> Exactly how does this "Challenge" work. I had never heard of it before
> using LoTW. I will be pleased when I get 100 countries confirmed.
> Around 2025 at the present rate. :-)

The DXCC Challenge is a pretty recent innovation. You can find the rules here: <http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/08/3/>

Basically, you get a challenge point for every band-country you confirm on the band 160m-6m, regardless of mode. You need to accumulate 1000 points to qualify for the award.

Since there are only 10 bands, you'll qualify for basic DXCC long before you'll qualify for the Challenge.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3030. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: received today VU5AN/VU3RYC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-05 01:01:48 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 3027 Message: 3030

On Jul 3, 2006, at 7:42 PM, Bill wrote:

> Bill,
>
> What callsign was he using when you worked him? It should be the
> same as the callsign that the LoTW QSL is from. I just checked and
> LoTW came back with "no logs found for VU5AN/VU3RYC".

That should be VU4AN:

"Last upload for VU4AN/VU3RYC: 2006-07-03 22:00:59Z'

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3031. LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-07 15:29:49 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3031 Message: 3031

In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only confirmations" with plaques and cups, etc.

In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with energetic force.

LoTW is pure and simply a METHOD for confirming amateur radio contacts, not a competitive activity like contesting or DXing. It is an electronic part of our support infrastructure, just as the postal system supports the exchange of paper QSL's.

I love the convenience of LoTW, but morphing this METHOD of exchange of QSL's into a competition in-and-of-itself is not an appealing idea. Will we also open a competition based on other METHODS like "most cards via the bureau", "most cards via WF5E", "most cards via direct mail" (sub-categories based on number of green stamps enclosed), and several other QSL methodologies?

The competition rightly belongs in our on-the-air operating, not in the method(s) we employ to acquire the confirmations of that operation.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3032. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-07 16:12:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3031 Message: 3032

K0HB writes:

> In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the
> notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only confirmations"
> with plaques and cups, etc.
>
> In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside lightly. It should
> be hurled aside with energetic force.

I disagree with Hans' view with energetic force.

A "competition based on Logbook of the World-only confirmations" does
not diminish the traditional means of exchanging QSLs for those who
whish to collect them. However, it provides an excellent vehicle
to enable certain "long form" contests (e.g., first to work and
confirm DXCC or WAS on a particular band each month, etc.). These
long form "competitions" and be considerably different than the
current crop of all out 24 or 48 "sprints." It enables a redefinition
and expansion of the traditional contest.

In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3033. First International DominoEx Prefix Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-15 20:37:56 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3033 Message: 3033

Any one know what mode designator would be best when uploading these
QSO's to LoTW?

73 John ZL1BYZ.

3034. 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-16 23:23:18 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3034 Message: 3034

Any one have or know where I can purchase an 8 pin din plug locally.
Need one but have need for three.

JV KT4U

==========

3035. Re: 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 00:04:30 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3034 Message: 3035

Not exactly local, but in a couple days. Buy it on EBAY. There are a number of one I found reasonably priced by searching on "8-pin din plug".

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "JV" <kt4u@...> wrote:
>
> Any one have or know where I can purchase an 8 pin din plug locally.
> Need one but have need for three.
>
> JV KT4U
>
> ================================
>

3036. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 00:22:43 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3034 Message: 3036
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3037. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 00:44:16 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3034 Message: 3037

-------Original Message-------

From: Andrew O'Brien
Date: 07/16/06 20:25:18
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Radio Shack sells 'em.

They have 5 pin but not 8 pin as per their web site unless I over looked
it.
==========

On 7/16/06, Bill <n5rr@...> wrote:
Not exactly local, but in a couple days. Buy it on EBAY. There are a
number of one I found reasonably priced by searching on "8-pin din
plug".

Bill, N5RR

I don't deal with screw bay or paypal. A search had revealed that. Tks
anyway.
==========

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com , "JV" <kt4u@...> wrote:
>
> Any one have or know where I can purchase an 8 pin din plug
locally.
> Need one but have need for three.
>
> JV KT4U
>
> ==========
>

--
Andy K3UK
Fredonia, New York.
Skype Me : callto://andyobrien73
Also available via Echolink

3038. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 01:24:09 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3034 Message: 3038
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3039. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 01:36:53 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3034 Message: 3039

There are 2 versions of the 8 pin DIN plug. The Yeasu one uses smaller pins
than many "of the shelf "ones.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
To: "Z LoTA" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 16, 2006 5:23 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 8 pin din plugs

> Any one have or know where I can purchase an 8 pin din plug locally.
> Need one but have need for three.
>
> JV KT4U
>
> ================================
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3040. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 11:33:21 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3034 Message: 3040

Hi Andy
Think I am going to order them from DigiKey, $1.35 ea. Just wanted them quicker, hi hi.
Tks for information.
JV

==========

-------Original Message-------

From: Andrew O'Brien
Date: 07/16/06 21:24:21
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

I bought some a couple of weeks ago when looking for 5 pins, they were out of 5 pins at my local,.

On 7/16/06, JV <kt4u@...> wrote:

-------Original Message-------

From: Andrew O'Brien
Date: 07/16/06 20:25:18
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 8 pin din plugs

Radio Shack sells 'em.

They have 5 pin but not 8 pin as per their web site unless I over looked it.
==========

On 7/16/06, Bill <n5rr@...> wrote:
Not exactly local, but in a couple days. Buy it on EBAY. There are a number of one I found reasonably priced by searching on "8-pin din plug".

Bill, N5RR

I don't deal with screw bay or paypal. A search had revealed that. Tks anyway.
==========

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com , "JV" <kt4u@...> wrote:
>
> Any one have or know where I can purchase an 8 pin din plug locally.

> Need one but have need for three.
>
> JV KT4U
>
> ================================
>

--
Andy K3UK
Fredonia, New York.
Skype Me : callto://andyobrien73
Also available via Echolink

--
Andy K3UK
Fredonia, New York.
Skype Me : callto://andyobrien73
Also available via Echolink

3041. Submittal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-17 22:05:29 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3041 Message: 3041

Good afternoon All:

Today I submitter 186 qso records and got 37 confirmations. Not too bad.

Now the bad news. I submitted several records for Digital QSO's with the notation of the mode as Digi. These were all rejected. I guess I have forgotten how to submit QSO's for WSJT. Please, a little reminder.

73,
Mike, W5UC

http://members.cox.net/w5uc

3042. WAHOO - LoTW Double Whammy(and a half)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-18 04:50:25 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3042 Message: 3042

Hi:
I've been sitting here with 49 states confirmed by LoTW.
All I needed was Alabama for LoTW WAS.

I know many of you needed ND,SD,or WY to complete WAS and were
wondering if news of LoTW had reached those states.

I had 44 Alabama QSO's, but nothing confirmed by LoTW,
and I was also starting to wonder if the news of LoTW had even
reached Alabama.

Well this weekend I worked the CQVHF Contest.
Within 19 minutes after the contest I had my .TQ8 file uploaded.

About 3 Hours later I had my first match from N9AKR (Thank you)

This morning when I checked LoTW Awards I found that K4XR had
uploaded his log from ALABAMA !!!
(TNX, I'm bowing down and flagellating :-) >:D< :-*!!!)

Oh Yeah, the WAMMIES:
1 - AL gave me WAS Basic and Phone.
2 - AL gave me a new LoTW State on 6M. (38, with 17 LoTW confirmed)

The 1/2 Whammy:
I worked AF6O giving me CA, a NEW State on 6M (FN42 to DM14) !!!
Unfortunately it appears that he is not a LoTW user yet.
One can only hope :-).

In the first 24 hours I have had about a 16% LoTW return rate for CQVHF !!!

Some of my contest return rates have been as high as 30% !!!

I might say that contesters seem to be more lightly to use LoTW than
the average Ham based on my LoTW returns. FD rates even lower !!!

VHF Contesters seem to have a higher return rate than HF Contesters.
My personal observation.

My overall LoTW return rate from LoTW is 16.34% since day one after Beta !!!

SOAPBOX ON:

Lastly, I must voice my usual complaint about the LoTW users who fail
to set up their "Station Data" to include their CQZ, ITUZ, and Grid.
like "Touch Holes", EVERYONE has one of those !!!
"U.S. Counties" and IOTA will vary by location.

All one has to do is Edit their "Station Data" and re-sign ALL of
their logs (create a new .TQ8 file or files) and resubmit to LoTW.
The new submission will supercede the old submissions !!!
This makes sure that EVERY QSO gets the FULL the credits that
LoTW provides for now and in the future !!!

DXCC isn't everything that LoTW can support !!!

SOAPBOX OFF:

LoTW works FB as far as it presently goes.

It works better when you supply all of your station info !!!

It would work even better if it supported "VUCC" !!!

N1NN:
Please take note, and thank for the 6M LoTW Contact !!! (Hint Hint).

73
Bob, K1VU

3043. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-18 13:11:17 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3031 Message: 3043

>
> In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
>

We'll have to agree to disagree, Joe.

LoTW is not an operating event. It is just one of several methods to
confirm QSO's. If that method requires artificial resuscitation in the
form of "an operating reason to encourage participation", then it may not
be the best place for ARRL to spend our membership dollars.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3044. Re: LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-18 17:24:06 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 3031 Message: 3044

LOTWers....

What is the problem with an LOTW "event"?...much like any
other "event"...

We all know the benefits of LOTW but it has not been generally
adopted by many...an event 'may' highlight what it is, how to use
it, and show off its inherent benefits.

I don't think any of us will disagree with the notion of more
participation...don't call it a contest...if that's what makes you
comfortable...but I welcome any 'event' which will increase general
participation...(along with an increase in my personal confirmed
percentages...)

Let's not haggle over terms....it is counterproductive...it is
better to light a candle then to complain about the darkness!...

73

Lou

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> >
> > In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent
way
> > to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> > something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> > encourage participation is one way to encourage that
participation.
> >
>
> We'll have to agree to disagree, Joe.
>
> LoTW is not an operating event. It is just one of several methods
to
> confirm QSO's. If that method requires artificial resuscitation
in the
> form of "an operating reason to encourage participation", then it
may not
> be the best place for ARRL to spend our membership dollars.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --

> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

3045. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-18 13:43:48 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3031 Message: 3045

Hans,

Spending the membership dollars to promote LotW as a way to exchange QSLs is no different and no less supportable than all the "membership dollars" spent to encourage SSB when it was new, or packet when it was new, or FM/Repeaters when they were new, etc.

I know you would prefer a nice four color glossy card - and I would in some circumstances. However, when I look at four boxes of bureau cards totaling more than 50 pounds that accumulated while I was off the air (from contest operations in the 70's and 80's), LotW makes a lot of sense and anything that can be done to encourage the use of LotW for "routine" confirmations is justifiable.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2006 9:11 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; DX-QSO
> Cc: n7ng@...; k0qb@...; n0jph@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"
>
>
>
>
> >
> > In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> > to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> > something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> > encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
> >
>
> We'll have to agree to disagree, Joe.
>
> LoTW is not an operating event. It is just one of several methods to
> confirm QSO's. If that method requires artificial
> resuscitation in the
> form of "an operating reason to encourage participation",
> then it may not
> be the best place for ARRL to spend our membership dollars.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Something is new at Yahoo! Groups. Check out the enhanced
> email design.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/SISQkA/gOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3046. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-07 16:44:40 UTC
From: Jerry Keller
Topic: 3031 Message: 3046

Hans... I think you may have missed the point. The idea of a competition is to incentivize more widespread use of LOTW, not merely give us another contest
73, Jerry K3BZ
----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: DX-QSO ; ARRL-LOTW
Cc: n7ng@... ; k0qb@... ; n0jph@...
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 11:29 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only confirmations" with plaques and cups, etc.

In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside lightly. It should be hurled aside with energetic force.

LoTW is pure and simply a METHOD for confirming amateur radio contacts, not a competitive activity like contesting or DXing. It is an electronic part of our support infrastructure, just as the postal system supports the exchange of paper QSL's.

I love the convenience of LoTW, but morphing this METHOD of exchange of QSL's into a competition in-and-of-itself is not an appealing idea. Will we also open a competition based on other METHODS like "most cards via the bureau", "most cards via WF5E", "most cards via direct mail" (sub-categories based on number of green stamps enclosed), and several other QSL methodologies?

The competition rightly belongs in our on-the-air operating, not in the method(s) we employ to acquire the confirmations of that operation.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3047. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: received today VU5AN/VU3RYC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-04 00:16:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3027 Message: 3047

that's the call...VU4AN/VU3RYC (I typoed the subject)

On 7/3/2006 6:42:51 PM, Bill (n5rr@...) wrote:
> Bill,
>
> What callsign was he using when you worked him? It should be the
> same as the callsign that the LoTW QSL is from. I just checked and
> LoTW came back with "no logs found for VU5AN/VU3RYC".
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
> >
> > VIA LOTW for a 20 meter RTTY qso.
> > Thanks guys.
> >
> > (I sent a donation and note saying I didn't need the card, LoTW
> would be ok)
> >
> >
> > ----------
> > Wear short sleeves! Support your right to bare arms!
> > ----------
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> us.lrd.yahoo.com/_ylc=X3oDMTM1MTNuNzU2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTYwMDA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzMDI4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE1MTk3MTQ0NAR0cGNJZAMzMDI3;_ylg=1/SIG=11pgig3iu/**http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3027](2)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> us.lrd.yahoo.com/_ylc=X3oDMTJxYjJhZTZtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTYwMDA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzMDI4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE1MTk3MTQ0NA--;_ylg=1/SIG=12fpjnibt/**http://groups.yaho

3048. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-07 17:27:44 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3031 Message: 3048

I have to agree with Hans on this.

LoTW is simply a record of transactions.

To be a "competition" there should be some means of me effecting the results
rather than simply waiting and hoping the other stations contacted will
upload their logs.

As much as I support LoTW and encourage help and others to us it, I don't
see it as a "competition".

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "'DX-QSO'" <dx-qso@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <n7ng@...>; <k0qb@...>; <n0jph@...>
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 10:12 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

>
> K0HB writes:
>
>> In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the
>> notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only confirmations"
>> with plaques and cups, etc.
>>
>> In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside lightly. It should
>> be hurled aside with energetic force.
>
> I disagree with Hans' view with energetic force.
>
> A "competition based on Logbook of the World-only confirmations" does
> not diminish the traditional means of exchanging QSLs for those who
> whish to collect them. However, it provides an excellent vehicle
> to enable certain "long form" contests (e.g., first to work and
> confirm DXCC or WAS on a particular band each month, etc.). These
> long form "competitions" and be considerably different than the
> current crop of all out 24 or 48 "sprints." It enables a redefinition
> and expansion of the traditional contest.
>

> In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3049. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-07 23:30:07 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3031 Message: 3049

I agree, further if someone does not like a particular form of contest, they need not participate... Not having it because some don't want it is not a reason to not have a type of contest, or to eliminate it before it has even had a chance.

Put it up to the ultimate test... Have it, if it fails, (and it might), then it should die, if it works, (and it might), then it should have been done... Really quite simple...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence. --Carl Sagan

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 09:13
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; 'DX-QSO'
Cc: n7ng@...; k0qb@...; n0jph@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

K0HB writes:

> In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the
> notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only confirmations"
> with plaques and cups, etc.
>
> In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside lightly. It should
> be hurled aside with energetic force.

I disagree with Hans' view with energetic force.

A "competition based on Logbook of the World-only confirmations" does not diminish the traditional means of exchanging QSLs for those who whish to collect them. However, it provides an excellent vehicle to enable certain "long form" contests (e.g., first to work and confirm DXCC or WAS on a particular band each month, etc.). These long form "competitions" and be considerably different than the current crop of all out 24 or 48 "sprints." It enables a redefinition and expansion of the traditional contest.

In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to

encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.9.9/382 - Release Date: 07/04/06

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.9.9/382 - Release Date: 07/04/06

3050. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-18 13:44:35 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3031 Message: 3050

Actually I believe the reason for the contest suggestions was as a way to promote LOTW, not for "resuscitation". The LOTW is working wonderfully for me, I have a huge rate of return, (mostly RTTY is why), and it is always getting better. I have on my web page, a request for LOTW confirms, and I also have the same request in my BRAG file.

IMHO the real slowdown issue with LOTW is the security ARRL has in place to get you started. A long setup time, and a long return time tend to reduce the numbers of folks that can use the service. Not sure how it could be done any better and make sure that no cheating is taking place, but some form of security is needed.

In the long run, we all will, (generalization I know), end up using some form of E-QSL, and the paper QSL will die, (again a generalization), but you get the picture. The sooner we embrace an electronic form of QSL the better it will be for all of us. Hence the suggestions for contesting to make folks aware, and to promote use, thus speeding up the process.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Tilting at windmills hurts you more than the windmills. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, July 18, 2006 06:11
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; DX-QSO
Cc: n7ng@...; k0qb@...; n0jph@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

>
> In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
>

We'll have to agree to disagree, Joe.

LoTW is not an operating event. It is just one of several methods to confirm QSO's. If that method requires artificial resuscitation in the form of "an operating reason to encourage participation", then it may not be the best place for ARRL to spend our membership dollars.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.1/390 - Release Date: 07/17/06

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.1/390 - Release Date: 07/17/06

3051. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: received today VU5AN/VU3RYC

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-04 01:42:10 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3027 Message: 3051
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3052. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-20 04:26:05 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3031 Message: 3052

> From: Joe Subich, W4TV <w4tv@...>

> Spending the membership dollars to promote LotW as a way
> to exchange QSLs is no different and no less supportable
> than all the "membership dollars" spent to encourage SSB
> when it was new, or packet when it was
> new, or FM/Repeaters when they were new, etc.

Of course it IS different!

All of those things you mention were new on-the-air OPERATING technologies, and ARRL support (and membership dollars spent) falls nicely under our charter in the FCC rules.

"97.1(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art."

Clearly (in my opinion) the National Association for Amateur Radio would be remiss if it didn't encourage "when they were new" OPERATING technologies.

LoTW, on the other hand, is simply a METHOD of ACCOUNTING for our contacts, nothing more, nothing less. If it works and draws widespread participation (as it seems it is), then fine. If most hams could care less and do not participate, then so be it too.

>..... anything that can be done to encourage the use of
> LotW for "routine" confirmations is justifiable......

.....in your opinion. Not in mine.

I am a "from the start" participant (35,000 QSO's loaded) and supporter of the LoTW method of QSLing, not some luddite clutching my "Little Print Shop" samples (remember those, which came even before your Novice license got to you?).

But LoTW is simply a METHODOLOGY for confirming contacts, just like IRC's, SASE's, WF5E, managers, and the incoming/outgoing bureaus. Having some kind of "competition" to promote any of those METHODOLOGIES is (in my opinion) a "welfare program".

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3053. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-20 12:46:16 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3031 Message: 3053

Gerry,

> To be a "competition" there should be some means of me
> effecting the results rather than simply waiting and hoping
> the other stations contacted will upload their logs.

I think those who might be the most serious about a LotW "competition" will be serious about seeking out LotW participants and encouraging QSO partners who are not currently using LotW to become registered and actively participate.

However, I don't see the proposed LotW activity as a competition in the sense of a contest like Sweepstakes, ARRL DX, etc. but more be more in line with some of CQ's year long operating activities or the eDXCC, eVUCC, or eWAS awards being awarded by eQSL. They are better characterized as "incentives" ...

The lack of resources committed to LotW (lack of promotion, limitations in programming support, etc.) by the Board has been stunning. The need for additional resources is critical and without promotion LotW will never thrive. While Hans claims that promoting LotW is different than promoting SSB, Repeaters of packet, LotW is another "modernization" of Amateur Radio - without which Amateur Radio will continue to decline.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
> Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 1:28 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"
>
>
>
> I have to agree with Hans on this.
>
> LoTW is simply a record of transactions.
>
> To be a "competition" there should be some means of me
> effecting the results
> rather than simply waiting and hoping the other stations
> contacted will
> upload their logs.

>
> As much as I support LoTW and encourage help and others to us
> it, I don't
> see it as a "competition".
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "'DX-QSO'" <dx-qso@yahoogroups.com>
> Cc: <n7ng@...>; <k0qb@...>; <n0jph@...>
> Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 10:12 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"
>
>
> >
> > K0HB writes:
> >
> >> In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the
> >> notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only
> confirmations"
> >> with plaques and cups, etc.
> >>
> >> In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside
> lightly. It should
> >> be hurled aside with energetic force.
> >
> > I disagree with Hans' view with energetic force.
> >
> > A "competition based on Logbook of the World-only
> confirmations" does
> > not diminish the traditional means of exchanging QSLs for those who
> > whish to collect them. However, it provides an excellent vehicle
> > to enable certain "long form" contests (e.g., first to work and
> > confirm DXCC or WAS on a particular band each month, etc.). These
> > long form "competitions" and be considerably different than the
> > current crop of all out 24 or 48 "sprints." It enables a
> redefinition
> > and expansion of the traditional contest.
> >
> > In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> > to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> > something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to

> > encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > ... Joe, W4TV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~-->
> Check out the new improvements in Yahoo! Groups email.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/6pRQfA/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3054. LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 06:09:20 UTC
From: kb2de
Topic: 3054 Message: 3054

IMHO the way to get more people using LOTW is to have it encompass more awards (including those sponsered by other organizations)

The problem is that there is only one (or a few) programmer(s) at the ARRL working on this (in addition to other duties).

What we need are some hotshot programmers who could volunteer to help on various award modules and see what could happen. I noticed an increase in confirmations when WAS was started.

Many of the logging programs now have ways to upload to LOTW and slowly more people are going back and putting their old logs in. I have been getting qsls from contacts made in the 80s and 90s.

The time between application and postcard for the DX stations could probably be looked at too.

73, Peter

3055. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 06:15:48 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3054 Message: 3055

kb2de wrote:
> IMHO the way to get more people using LOTW is to have it encompass more
> awards (including those sponsered by other organizations)
>
> The problem is that there is only one (or a few) programmer(s) at the
> ARRL working on this (in addition to other duties).
>
> What we need are some hotshot programmers who could volunteer to help
> on various award modules and see what could happen. I noticed an
> increase in confirmations when WAS was started.

What would be nice is if they would rewrite it in Java so that everyone could use it on all OSes, and then modules would be portable between OSes too so that less total work would be required. I'd contribute if it was written in Java.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3056. Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 06:56:00 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3054 Message: 3056

LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.

What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:

>snip<

What would be nice is if they would rewrite it in Java so that
everyone could use it on all OSes, and then modules would be
portable between OSes too so that less total work would be
required. I'd contribute if it was written in Java.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3057. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 12:29:24 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3054 Message: 3057

Hi,

Actually that may very well be the answer that works! Having other awards being available via a single source would without a doubt centralize folks. Good thought!

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Certainly the game is rigged. Don't let that stop you; if you don't bet, you can't win. -- Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of kb2de
Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2006 23:09
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW promotion

IMHO the way to get more people using LOTW is to have it encompass more awards (including those sponsered by other organizations)

The problem is that there is only one (or a few) programmer(s) at the ARRL working on this (in addition to other duties).

What we need are some hotshot programmers who could volunteer to help on various award modules and see what could happen. I noticed an increase in confirmations when WAS was started.

Many of the logging programs now have ways to upload to LOTW and slowly more people are going back and putting their old logs in. I have been getting qsls from contacts made in the 80s and 90s.

The time between application and postcard for the DX stations could probably be looked at too.

73, Peter

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.3/394 - Release Date: 07/20/06

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.3/394 - Release Date: 07/20/06

3058. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 14:16:37 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3054 Message: 3058

On Jul 20, 2006, at 11:56 PM, Dave Bernstein wrote:

> LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.
>
> What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ

I think he meant rewriting the client software, TQSL and TQSLCERT.
FWIW, the Macintosh version of TQSL has problems with the latest
version of OS X.

73 - Bob, N7XY

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:
>
>> snip<
>
> What would be nice is if they would rewrite it in Java so that
> everyone could use it on all OSes, and then modules would be
> portable between OSes too so that less total work would be
> required. I'd contribute if it was written in Java.
>
> Gregg Wonderly
> W5GGW
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor --------------------
> ~-->
> See what's inside the new Yahoo! Groups email.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/2pRQfA/bOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

3059. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 14:39:36 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3054 Message: 3059

>
> I think he meant rewriting the client software, TQSL and TQSLCERT.
> FWIW, the Macintosh version of TQSL has problems with the latest
> version of OS X.
>
> 73 - Bob, N7XY

The user side library is already available in C ... it has been
used "as is" with logging programs written in both C and VB 6 for
the PC as well as in the Macintosh and LINUX systems with minor
changes.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3060. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 14:34:59 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3054 Message: 3060

> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:
> > What would be nice is if they would rewrite it in Java so that
> > everyone could use it on all OSes, and then modules would be
> > portable between OSes too so that less total work would be
> > required. I'd contribute if it was written in Java.

> On Jul 20, 2006, at 11:56 PM, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.
> > What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?

On Fri, Jul 21, 2006 at 07:16:37AM -0700, Bob Nielsen wrote:
> I think he meant rewriting the client software, TQSL and TQSLCERT.
> FWIW, the Macintosh version of TQSL has problems with the latest
> version of OS X.

I can't imagine how Java could be a portability improvement over good
old fashioned C. The current code is already demonstrably portable.

A Java version would be less universal, not more.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3061. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 18:23:24 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3054 Message: 3061

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.
>
> What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?

The issue is that LotW represents an identity foundation for amatuer radio operators. The fact that contests types are associated back into the identity system is only one facit of the contest softwares. People might want to deploy there own locally ran contest with LotW being used to manage identity and QSOs.

If my module for my type of contest was popular, ARRL might choose to support it. If not, then I can use it locally on my OS of choice.

Gregg Wonderly

3062. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 18:26:45 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3054 Message: 3062

Bob Nielsen wrote:
> On Jul 20, 2006, at 11:56 PM, Dave Bernstein wrote:
>
>
>>LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.
>>
>>What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
> I think he meant rewriting the client software, TQSL and TQSLCERT.
> FWIW, the Macintosh version of TQSL has problems with the latest
> version of OS X.

And this of course too, but we were talking about modules for contests, or at least I was.

Gregg Wonderly

3063. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 18:29:10 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3054 Message: 3063

Hamish Moffatt wrote:
> I can't imagine how Java could be a portability improvement over good
> old fashioned C. The current code is already demonstrably portable.
>
> A Java version would be less universal, not more.

Because there would be not graphics library portability, or choice of library.
With Java, we also get dynamic class loading which means that plugin
architectures are trivial to create.

Hamish, I'd be interested in your experiences with Java and it being less universal.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3064. Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 21:40:34 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3054 Message: 3064

No, Greg was responding to

"What we need are some hotshot programmers who could volunteer to help
on various award modules and see what could happen."

Rewriting the client software would in no way facilitate the parallel
development of award modules by volunteer programmers.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bob Nielsen <nielsen@...> wrote:

>snip<

> I think he meant rewriting the client software, TQSL and TQSLCERT.
> FWIW, the Macintosh version of TQSL has problems with the latest
> version of OS X.
>
> 73 - Bob, N7XY

3065. Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-21 21:46:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3054 Message: 3065

Yes, LotW provides authentication, and could conceivably be extended
to support "user-defined contests". An LotW extended in this way
would still run on an ARRL server, however; so again, what would be
the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:
>
> Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > LotW runs exclusively on an ARRL server.
> >
> > What would be the benefit of rewriting it for increased
portability?
>
> The issue is that LotW represents an identity foundation for
amatuer radio
> operators. The fact that contests types are associated back into
the identity
> system is only one facit of the contest softwares. People might
want to deploy
> there own locally ran contest with LotW being used to manage
identity and QSOs.
>
> If my module for my type of contest was popular, ARRL might choose
to support
> it. If not, then I can use it locally on my OS of choice.
>
> Gregg Wonderly
>

3066. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-22 16:26:03 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3054 Message: 3066

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> Yes, LotW provides authentication, and could conceivably be extended
> to support "user-defined contests". An LotW extended in this way
> would still run on an ARRL server, however; so again, what would be
> the benefit of rewriting it for increased portability?

Maybe we are talking about two different things? You seem to be talking about the system that records QSOs. I'm talking about the software that has access that data.

The person creating the contesting module would run the module locally, accessing the QSOs recorded by a particular user, and analysing the particular attributes to compute scores or validate award posibilities.

The authentication system would be used by the participant to grant such a module access to their QSO records. The person creating the contest and contest module software would provide a contest ID that should be used for recording such applicable QSOs so that the module would only have access to the QSOs that the participant wanted to use for such an award. If the ARRL wanted to sponsor such an event, it would be nice if the module could just be given to ARRL and would plug directly into the system that records QSOs for more direct and effective access to the data.

And also, the membership at large is paying for this service, so having an open development system with published interfaces would be a good thing to help the membership particpate, as well as allow outsiders to be attracted to the organization because of an inclusionary practice instead of an exclusionary one.

Gregg Wonderly

3067. Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-22 17:00:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3054 Message: 3067

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:

>>>snip<<<

Maybe we are talking about two different things? You seem to be
talking about the system that records QSOs.

>>>Yes - that system is known as LotW.

I'm talking about the software that has access that data.

The person creating the contesting module would run the module
locally, accessing the QSOs recorded by a particular user, and
analysing the particular attributes to compute scores or validate
award posibilities.

>>>The software you're proposing - a contesting module that runs
locally - does not yet exist. Since you intend it to run locally, a
platform-independent implementation would ineed be appropriate. But
this is new code, so calling for its "rewrite" makes no sense.

>>>LotW would have to be extended to support your proposed locally-
run contest modules, but would continue to run on an ARRL server.
Thus there is no rationale for rewriting LotW for platform
independence. Such an effort would only waste what few development
resources we now have.

>snip<

And also, the membership at large is paying for this service, so
having an open development system with published interfaces would be
a good thing to help the membership particpate, as well as allow
outsiders to be attracted to the organization because of an
inclusionary practice instead of an exclusionary one.

>>>Open interfaces to LotW are available:

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/DeveloperIntro.pdf
http://sourceforge.net/projects/trustedqsl/

>>>Conducting LotW's development as an open source project would
increase the available development resources, but would be an effort
demanding considerable skill and experience to orchestrate over and
above the usual design, programming, and project management issues.

>>>The fraction of hams competent and interested in participating in open source project is not large enough to significantly increase LotW participation based on their numbers alone. Increasing LotW participation requires major usability improvements for amateurs around the world -- which means more applied development resources one way or another.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3068. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW promotion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-22 19:11:49 UTC
From: Michael Keane K1MK
Topic: 3054 Message: 3068

At 01:00 PM 7/22/06, Dave Bernstein wrote:
> >>>AA6YQ comments below
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:
>
> >>>snip<<<
>
>>Maybe we are talking about two different things? You seem to be
>>talking about the system that records QSOs.
>
> >>>Yes - that system is known as LotW.
>
>I'm talking about the software that has access that data.
>
>>The person creating the contesting module would run the module
>>locally, accessing the QSOs recorded by a particular user, and
>>analysing the particular attributes to compute scores or validate
>>award posibilities.

Correct me if I'm wrong here, but what I think Gregg is trying to describe here is, conceptually at least, a publicly available SQL interface to the LoTW database.

Resource and support issues aside, allowing the LoTW database to be queried raises integrity issues due to data mining. I suspect those issues make the prospect of unrestricted query access highly unlikely.

A question is: Are there restricted subsets of queries that might be "useful" or "interesting" which do not open the door for misuse?

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

3069. Kudo's for LOTW Staff

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-26 01:30:38 UTC
From: tad
Topic: 3069 Message: 3069

Just wanted to post a kudo for lotw staff in helping fix a small issue for me. I had my original callsign for a username and now have upgraded and utilize a vanity call. I sent a email requesting a change of username. Sent the email late in the afternoon. Within a couple hours, I had a response with the change made. A small issue to be sure but certainly appreciated. Thanks, lotw.

3070. lost data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-27 20:07:26 UTC
From: Ludek
Topic: 3070 Message: 3070

HI
can I download log data from LOTW, not QSL but all QSO.
Iam lost all my 6m qso but this data are on LOTW.

tnx for info de Ludek OK2ZC

3071. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lost data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-27 20:19:25 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 3070 Message: 3071
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3072. still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-30 14:48:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3072 Message: 3072

My earliest made qso, confirmed by LoTW is:

SM4DHG Feb. 20, 1971 on 15 cw.
and
G3SJX Feb. 21, 1971 on 20 cw.
(I was WA9VOL at that time)
Still no LoTW qsl's for my Novice call of WN9VOL...

Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?

Who is General Failure and why is he reading my hard disk?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3073. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-30 15:18:44 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3072 Message: 3073
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3074. New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:01:55 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3074 Message: 3074

OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to Logger32.

So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any documentation to support that presumption.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3075. Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:08:50 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3074 Message: 3075

DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro and Swains Island.

A list of all DXCC country codes is available in

http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to Logger32.
>
> So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any documentation to support that presumption.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

3076. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:19:12 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3074 Message: 3076

Thanks for the link, Dave, I'll bookmark it.

But it doesn't include the new entities. Are they #514 and #515 as I presumed?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 7/31/2006 1:08:54 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
>
> DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not
> always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro
> and Swains Island.
>
> A list of all DXCC country codes is available in
>
> http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
> >
> > OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to
> Logger32.
> >
> > So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new
> entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make
> Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any
> documentation to support that presumption.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >

3077. Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:25:20 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3074 Message: 3077

Sorry, Hans, I should have been more explicit:

Montenegro is 514

Swains Island is 515

Also, the name of country code 296 was changed to Serbia.

The above three changes will appear in version 2.1.8 of the ADIF specification.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>
> Thanks for the link, Dave, I'll bookmark it.
>
> But it doesn't include the new entities. Are they #514 and #515 as I presumed?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> > [Original Message]
> > From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Date: 7/31/2006 1:08:54 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
> >
> > DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not
> > always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro
> > and Swains Island.
> >
> > A list of all DXCC country codes is available in
> >
> > http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ

> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@> wrote:
> > >
> > > OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to
> > Logger32.
> > >
> > > So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new
> > entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make
> > Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any
> > documentation to support that presumption.
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > --
> > > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> > >
>

3078. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:30:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3074 Message: 3078

This is a huge page. It tries to load all at once. It is fine on the desktop but impossible on the pda.

Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
W?MN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)

-----Original Message-----

From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
Date: Sun Jul 30, 2006 20:09
Size: 1K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro and Swains Island.

A list of all DXCC country codes is available in

http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to Logger32.
>
> So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any documentation to support that presumption.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3079. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:32:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3074 Message: 3079

Yes, it is Montenegro 514 and Swains 515.

Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
W?MN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)

-----Original Message-----

From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>
Subj: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
Date: Sun Jul 30, 2006 20:19
Size: 3K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "LOGGER32" <LOGGER32@yahoogroups.com>,
hamlogger32@yahoogroups.com

Thanks for the link, Dave, I'll bookmark it.

But it doesn't include the new entities. Are they #514 and #515 as I presumed?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

> [Original Message]
> From: Dave Bernstein <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: 7/31/2006 1:08:54 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
>
> DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not
> always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro
> and Swains Island.
>
> A list of all DXCC country codes is available in
>
> http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes
>
> 73,
>
> Dave,! AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
> >
> > OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to
> Logger32.
> >
> > So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new
> entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make

> Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any
> documentation to support that presumption.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >

SPONSORED LINKS
Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and crafts --- message truncated ---

3080. Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 01:32:45 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3074 Message: 3080

Sounds like you need a better web browser for your PDA, Gil.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rojomn@... wrote:
>
> This is a huge page. It tries to load all at once. It is fine on
the desktop but impossible on the pda.
>
> Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
> WØMN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
> Date: Sun Jul 30, 2006 20:09
> Size: 1K
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>
> DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not
> always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro
> and Swains Island.
>
> A list of all DXCC country codes is available in
>
> http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@> wrote:
> >
> > OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to
> Logger32.
> >
> > So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new
> entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make
> Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any
> documentation to support that presumption.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --

> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3081. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-07-31 02:05:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3074 Message: 3081

Agreed, but I know of none for the Palm TX. It would be nice to have Java and Flash but I don't think the TX (or any Palm OS based PDA) is powerful enough. Some sites have PDA options which is nice.
It is quite a bit that Blazer does support including Java Script but not Java.
The main thing I miss is can't play chess on ICC or FICS without Java.

Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
W?MN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)

-----Original Message-----

From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
Date: Sun Jul 30, 2006 20:32
Size: 1K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Sounds like you need a better web browser for your PDA, Gil.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, rojomn@... wrote:
>
> This is a huge page. It tries to load all at once. It is fine on
the desktop but impossible on the pda.
>
> Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
> W?MN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: New entity ADIF #'s
> Date: Sun Jul 30, 2006 20:09
> Size: 1K
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>
> DXCC country codes are assigned by the ARRL DXCC desk. They are not
> always assigned sequentially, but that was the case for Montenegro
> and Swains Island.
>
> A list of all DXCC country codes is available in
>
> http://www.adif.org/adif217.htm#Country%20Codes
>

> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@> wrote:
> >
> > OK, I (think I) figured out how to add new DXCC entities to
> Logger32.
> >
> > So where/how do I determine DXCC/ADIF numbers for these new
> entities? I presume they're sequentially added which would make
> Montenegro #514 and Swains Island #515, but I can't find any
> documentation to support that presumption.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3082. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 01:40:40 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3072 Message: 3082

On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?

Not me. I held WN8WOY/WB8WOY from November 1975-77. Not a whole lot of contacts there, though just 209 in that period.

The earliest LoTW confirmation I see is from 1984, with my brother Ben, NJ8J.

The earliest LoTW confirmation I have that isn't Ben is from November of 1986, during the SS CW contest.

It certainly would be nice to see more people upload their old logs, but I can understand what a chore it is to have to type in all your old log books. I found that you are much more likely to receive a confirmation from LoTW for a decade or two old contact if it was during a contest. Those logs are more likely to be computerized and hence uploaded.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3083. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 02:48:59 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3072 Message: 3083

My earliest LotW confirmation is JA1PUK on 10/15/1997 ...
Nothing from my novice days 1970-1972 but then I don't have
a lot of those logs so they haven't gotten on-line.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Coleman
> Sent: Monday, July 31, 2006 9:41 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl
>
>
>
> On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:
>
> > Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?
>
> Not me. I held WN8WOY/WB8WOY from November 1975-77. Not a whole lot
> of contacts there, though just 209 in that period.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I see is from 1984, with my brother
> Ben, NJ8J.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I have that isn't Ben is from
> November
> of 1986, during the SS CW contest.
>
> It certainly would be nice to see more people upload their old logs,
> but I can understand what a chore it is to have to type in all your
> old log books. I found that you are much more likely to receive a
> confirmation from LoTW for a decade or two old contact if it was
> during a contest. Those logs are more likely to be computerized and
> hence uploaded.
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3084. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 04:45:40 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3072 Message: 3084

On Jul 31, 2006, at 10:48 PM, Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:

> Nothing from my novice days 1970-1972 but then I don't have
> a lot of those logs so they haven't gotten on-line.

Joe, if you haven't uploaded your old logs, then you can't complain
that you haven't gotten LoTW confirmations. You need to upload, then
you can complain. <grin>

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3085. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 13:00:04 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3072 Message: 3085

> Joe, if you haven't uploaded your old logs, then you can't complain
> that you haven't gotten LoTW confirmations. You need to upload, then
> you can complain. <grin>

Of course ... unfortunately I don't even have some of those logs
any more. They are from my pre-college days and were probably lost
between the two moves that my parents made and the half-dozen moves
I've made (not counting the every year move while in school).
It's a wonder that I have any logs from those days.

Actually, I'm surprised to have even one confirmation from 1977
given issues of log retention and age of some of those involved!

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3086. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 13:01:24 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3072 Message: 3086

In my case the QSL return is so poor, 2.6%, that it is not worth sending in my old logs prior to 1989, including my 1956 VE8SP logs.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2006 10:40 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?

Not me. I held WN8WOY/WB8WOY from November 1975-77. Not a whole lot of contacts there, though just 209 in that period.

The earliest LoTW confirmation I see is from 1984, with my brother Ben, NJ8J.

The earliest LoTW confirmation I have that isn't Ben is from November of 1986, during the SS CW contest.

It certainly would be nice to see more people upload their old logs, but I can understand what a chore it is to have to type in all your old log books. I found that you are much more likely to receive a confirmation from LoTW for a decade or two old contact if it was during a contest. Those logs are more likely to be computerized and hence uploaded.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3087. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 14:18:59 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3072 Message: 3087
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3088. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 14:18:29 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 3072 Message: 3088

My first QSLs in LOTW are from 1984, all CW, all from
non-US Stations (England, Italy, Sweden, Poland).
That's just a few months after getting my shortwave license.

Most recent cards are RTTY, probably with automatic PC logging.
But there are also older ones - starting in 1986 from SP3BGD.

So I miss a lot of your US-QSOs in lotw.

maybe a scanner is a help..

Andy, DF4WC
--

Echte DSL-Flatrate dauerhaft f?r 0,- Euro*. Nur noch kurze Zeit!
"Feel free" mit GMX DSL: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/dsl

3089. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 15:50:07 UTC
From: Jari Jussila
Topic: 3072 Message: 3089

My earliest LOTW confirmation Sept. 17th, 1972 with GM5AZS!

Jari, OH2BU

At 17:18 1.8.2006, you wrote:

>The earliest LoTW QSL I have is from:
>
>Gert PA3AAV on Mar. 13, 1987
>
>The next was from:
>
>Jim VK9NS on Mar. 11, 1989
>
>73 de Prasad VU2PTT
>
>
>On 7/30/06, FireBrick <<mailto:w9ol@...>w9ol@...> wrote:
>
>My earliest made qso, confirmed by LoTW is:
>
>SM4DHG Feb. 20, 1971 on 15 cw.
>and
>G3SJX Feb. 21, 1971 on 20 cw.
>(I was WA9VOL at that time)
>Still no LoTW qsl's for my Novice call of WN9VOL...
>
>Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?
>
>---
>Who is General Failure and why is he reading my hard disk?
>---
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at <http://24.14.49.4:8080>http://24.14.49.4:8080
>weather at <http://hhweather.webhop.org>http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>

3090. Uploaded file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 16:47:10 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3090 Message: 3090

LOTW is incredibly slow with acknowledgements this morning.

73,
Mike, W5UC
http://members.cox.net/w5uc

3091. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 17:05:37 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3090 Message: 3091

I suspect a lot of folks upload at the beginning of a month, plus
there was a contest this weekend.

Bob, N7XY

On Aug 1, 2006, at 9:47 AM, Mike((W5UC)) & Kathy((K5MWH)) wrote:

> LOTW is incredibly slow with acknowledgements this morning.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
> http://members.cox.net/w5uc
>

3092. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded file

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 17:13:39 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3090 Message: 3092

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
> LOTW is incredibly slow with acknowledgements this morning.

Maybe it's busy with all of these people looking up their earliest LOTW QSL? :-)

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEz4vDRgRgmxNburQRAqGxAJ9SkjGAXsFxP6aGcHRiFHkqT2JfpQCbBphp
LR32INO3erOMx0iTS08hK3M=
=Mb/u
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3093. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 17:49:10 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3072 Message: 3093

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bill Coleman wrote:
> On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:
>
>> Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?
>
> Not me. I held WN8WOY/WB8WOY from November 1975-77. Not a whole lot
> of contacts there, though just 209 in that period.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I see is from 1984, with my brother
> Ben, NJ8J.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I have that isn't Ben is from November
> of 1986, during the SS CW contest.

I'm in a similar situation. I held WN8TZG/WB8TZG from February 1975-1977. No
LOTW QSLs from that period.

Nor any from my Novice/Advanced call KA8DTD from December 1979-July 1984. My
earliest LOTW QSL is with Bill in 1984. My earliest LOTW QSL that isn't Bill
is from the 1988 November SS CW.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEz5QVRgRgmxNburQRAutLAJ9VazIXVhDLtb5ZbzQWj0nSOFzJRgCfQKsu
/W4u1ZPZ9oI+1EmkQ+6jKiY=
=4HZ2
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3094. everything is slow

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 17:52:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3094 Message: 3094

It's due to the heat, it makes the powerlines and com cables soft, and the bytes don't flow as well....

but from here in chicagoland, my normally very high speed broadband is slow no matter what I'm connecting to.

Sex is like snow; you never know how many inches you are going to get or how long it is going to last.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3095. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 18:51:04 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3072 Message: 3095

I guess a lot of activity on LoTW today is for
current ops. I have uploaded files for different
variations of my call W7LPF since 1977 - the
70's are nil - - I was, and still may upload files
that I have back since starting out WN7ZQV in
1955 - but just seeing the results of my typing
in close to 25K logs from W7LPF/DU2 ops
78-81, I really don't think it is worth the time. I
continually get notification of hits for DU2 from
the E-QSL site though. Still have the logs for
W7ZQV/KG6 also to upload - but right now I
am trying to catch up on logs that I ''found'' on
CD's that I had copied when I was switching pc's
- - It sure was a lot easier and more time was
spent on-the-air when it was paper logs and
no pc's!!
Progress is great - but what enjoyment does on
get when a computer does 'everything' for them,
and they can just sit and watch the monitor.
And I agree - LoTW is very slow, taking a long,
but that is usual as was stated - first of the month,
after a contest - etc.

Joe
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2006 17:49
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bill Coleman wrote:
> On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:
>
>> Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?
>
> Not me. I held WN8WOY/WB8WOY from November 1975-77. Not a whole lot
> of contacts there, though just 209 in that period.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I see is from 1984, with my brother
> Ben, NJ8J.
>
> The earliest LoTW confirmation I have that isn't Ben is from November
> of 1986, during the SS CW contest.

I'm in a similar situation. I held WN8TZG/WB8TZG from February 1975-1977. No LOTW QSLs from that period.

Nor any from my Novice/Advanced call KA8DTD from December 1979-July 1984. My earliest LOTW QSL is with Bill in 1984. My earliest LOTW QSL that isn't Bill is from the 1988 November SS CW.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFEz5QVRgRgmxNburQRAutLAJ9VazIXVhDLtb5ZbzQWj0nSOFzJRgCfQKsu
/W4u1ZPZ9oI+1EmkQ+6jKiY=
=4HZ2
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

3096. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-01 22:49:03 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 3072 Message: 3096

On Jul 30, 2006, at 10:48 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> My earliest made qso, confirmed by LoTW is:
>
> SM4DHG Feb. 20, 1971 on 15 cw.
> and
> G3SJX Feb. 21, 1971 on 20 cw.
> (I was WA9VOL at that time)
> Still no LoTW qsl's for my Novice call of WN9VOL...
>
> Curious, anyone get a LoTW qsl for their Novice Call?

I don't have anything for my novice call (probably because I haven't uploaded any novice contacts).

My earliest confirmation on LotW is a QSO with KP4DLW on 80 meter CW 4 Sep 1972. The next one is HK2DP on 15 meter CW on 8 Jul 1973.

I've uploaded all my old contest logs but I still have about 30 thousand QSOs in the regular logbook that I haven't started on yet. Probably this winter.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3097. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-02 03:20:51 UTC
From: Jim McHale
Topic: 3072 Message: 3097

2Sep73 w/SM0CCM when I was a novice.

-jim

NM1W

3098. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] still my earliest LoTW qso/qsl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-02 03:33:14 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3072 Message: 3098

From my KG6AQI account, W0RYM on 6/26/69.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3099. What's up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-03 16:37:50 UTC
From: gu0sup
Topic: 3099 Message: 3099

Hi all,

I have now uploaded a file twice, but there is still no sign of it on
LoTW.
Is there a delay in processing files at the moment?
There is nothing that might indicate this on the site, and as far as I
can tell, my TQ8 file is good, so there shouldn't be a problem.

Has anyone else noticed this?

Cheers for now

Phil GU0SUP

3100. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What's up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-03 16:54:13 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3099 Message: 3100

This is the latest info shown on the LoTW site. I would guess this is the problem.
73,
Chris, AC?M

Reminder -- Logbook Processing Time -- We have received many questions about processing results not showing up as expected. While log files are often processed in seconds, at times they may sit in a queue for many hours. This depends on the total demand on the system. There is no "expected" time required for processing log files.

As demand increases, and many more operations are being performed, processing time has increased. Resubmitting logs will only slow the process. If you receive a message that says your log is queued for processing, there is no need to resubmit.

We are continually making the Logbook processor more efficient, and will be looking toward more processing power as well in the future.

gu0sup wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I have now uploaded a file twice, but there is still no sign of it on
> LoTW.
> Is there a delay in processing files at the moment?
> There is nothing that might indicate this on the site, and as far as I
> can tell, my TQ8 file is good, so there shouldn't be a problem.
>
> Has anyone else noticed this?
>
> Cheers for now
>
> Phil GU0SUP
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

3101. lag time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-03 17:23:32 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3101 Message: 3101

I DEFINETLY have seen a lag time, especially after a contest or dxpedition(s) like these past weeks.

In no instance did the lag persist over the next day.
I just waited, and sure enough, it was processed.

Usually, it's very fast.

Lately, I have gotten MANY repeat qsls.
Obviously people are resubmitting their whole logs.

My software, and I'm sure most, have built-in filters to not submit repeats.

Grandparents and grandchildren are natural allies against a common enemy!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3102. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-03 22:56:11 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3101 Message: 3102

Bill,

How do repeat qsls manifest themselves in LoTW? I am still inputting qso data and have not "signed up" for any awards, so maybe I have not seen the issue you are talking.

73 de Bob - K0RC

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2006 12:23 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

I DEFINETLY have seen a lag time, especially after a contest or dxpedition(s) like these past weeks.

In no instance did the lag persist over the next day.
I just waited, and sure enough, it was processed.

Usually, it's very fast.

Lately, I have gotten MANY repeat qsls.
Obviously people are resubmitting their whole logs.

My software, and I'm sure most, have built-in filters to not submit repeats.

Grandparents and grandchildren are natural allies against a common enemy!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3103. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-03 23:46:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3101 Message: 3103

Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

Bill,

How do repeat qsls manifest themselves in LoTW? I am still inputting qso data and have not "signed up" for any awards, so maybe I have not seen the issue you are talking.

very basically. Say I had submitted to LoTW a qso with BS7H and at some time he submitted his log which contained a qso with me, W9OL.

Upon checking my LoTW, I see the qsl, and probably, would also see it listed in the Awards module as a LoTW qsl that 'could' be accepted for credit.

Then, for instance, he transcribes more of his paper logs and uploads the now 'bigger' log instead of just the newly transcribed portion.

This will result in my seeing a NEW LoTW qsl with the same information, but now having a new 'received qsl' date.

I think some contesters, are submitting their contest logs.
I do this after each contest.
And then also appending that same contest log to their every day log.

Then after making non contest qsos, they then upload their everyday log.
If they haven't filtered out the already submitted contest log qsos, they get re-uploaded and result in overwriting the old qso, with this new uploaded qso.

That is why ARRL suggests you filter out already submitted qsos,
to not overwrite previous, and to cut down on processing time.

I do NOT know what would happen to a LoTW qso, that you needed for submission, and was included in your online submission, and then while during the submission process, was overwritten by a duplicate qso.

Right now, I have a pending online submission. If one of those qso's was now re-uploaded, how would that effect my submission?

Now having said that, It is PERFECTLY proper and a good idea, to re-upload qso's that may have incorrect or missing data.
A lot of qso parties, or mobile operators seem to forget to correct the STATE, ZONE, COUNTY when they upload qsos such as these.
At a later date they realize they were vacationing in WI instead of Cook County Illinois (please don't pronounce the S lol) and make the correction and resubmit.
Even correcting band/mode info if an error was found.
It would be no different than my recently asking an op for a new qsl as he marked 10 megs instead of 10 meters.

So ... did I answer your question or just confuse the issue even more?

Basically, nothing wrong in re-uploading qsos to correct or add data.
But re-uploading your whole log or previous qsos wastes your time and adds processing time.
Even if your logging software can't remember what's already been uploaded, the 'Signing' module has a 'not before/not after' date option which will exclude already entered qsos.

3104. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-04 00:31:50 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3101 Message: 3104

Okay, hope you're not superstitious... I just checked and see we have 13 matching Q's in the system...

So, what you're saying is if you go in and add your County, Grid, CQ Zone, and ITU Zone for these two (first) qso's:

1997-11-09 16:46z 20m RTTY
1998-01-04 15:21z 10m RTTY

then I will see some sort of change? I do see you added this extra info to the more recent contacts. (Hey!, a 10m contact between Mini-soda and Illi-noise, who wudda thunk?) :-)

So let's start there... can you "fix" those two records, reload them, and I can see what happens?

I'm curious to see how the system works (inside). I have uploaded logs with dupes, like what you pointed out in your reply. The processing report tells me I have (for example) 300 contacts, 24 dupes, and 85 qsl hits. I am under the impression the 24 dupes were thrown into the bit bucket and a net of 276 contacts are added to my account. But I can't see what happens on the "receiving" end of this transaction (the 24 dupes).

73 de Bob - K0RC

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2006 6:46 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time
>
>
> Bill,
>
> How do repeat qsls manifest themselves in LoTW? I am still inputting qso
> data and have not
> "signed up" for any awards, so maybe I have not seen the issue you are
> talking about.
>
>
> very basically. Say I had submitted to LoTW a qso with BS7H and at some
> time he submitted
> his log which contained a qso with me, W9OL.
>
> Upon checking my LoTW, I see the qsl, and probably, would also see it

> listed in the Awards
> module as a LoTW qsl that 'could' be accepted for credit.
>
>
> Then, for instance, he transcribes more of his paper logs and uploads the
> now 'bigger' log
> instead of just the newly transcribed portion.
>
> This will result in my seeing a NEW LoTW qsl with the same information,
> but now having a
> new 'received qsl' date.
>
> I think some contesters, are submitting their contest logs.
> I do this after each contest.
> And then also appending that same contest log to their every day log.
>
> Then after making non contest qsos, they then upload their everyday log.
> If they haven't filtered out the already submitted contest log qsos, they
> get re-uploaded
> and result in overwriting the old qso, with this new uploaded qso.
>
> That is why ARRL suggests you filter out already submitted qsos,
> to not overwrite previous, and to cut down on processing time.
>
> I do NOT know what would happen to a LoTW qso, that you needed for
> submission, and was
> included in your online submission, and then while during the submission
> process, was
> overwritten by a duplicate qso.
>
> Right now, I have a pending online submission. If one of those qso's was
> now re-uploaded,
> how would that effect my submission?
>
> Now having said that, It is PERFECTLY proper and a good idea, to re-upload
> qso's that may
> have incorrect or missing data.
> A lot of qso parties, or mobile operators seem to forget to correct the
> STATE, ZONE,
> COUNTY when they upload qsos such as these.
> At a later date they realize they were vacationing in WI instead of Cook
> County Illinois
> (please don't pronounce the S lol) and make the correction and resubmit.
> Even correcting band/mode info if an error was found.
> It would be no different than my recently asking an op for a new qsl as he
> marked 10 megs
> instead of 10 meters.
>
> So ... did I answer your question or just confuse the issue even more?
>

> Basically, nothing wrong in re-uploading qsos to correct or add data.
> But re-uploading your whole log or previous qsos wastes your time and adds
> processing
> time.
> Even if your logging software can't remember what's already been uploaded,
> the 'Signing'
> module has a 'not before/not after' date option which will exclude already
> entered qsos.

3105. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lag time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-04 01:04:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3101 Message: 3105

ok, I corrected the missing info.
so what I did was 'overwrite' the previous or missing/incorrect data which will result in one 'updated' qsl for both of us
and as I had mislogged the 10 meter as K0RCJ, and now corrected it to K0RC, we will both get one New qsl.

The incorect qso I uploaded will not be overwritten but will live forever beneath the streets of Boston and never return....
as that old song goes.

On 8/3/2006 7:31:50 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Okay, hope
> you're not superstitious... I just checked and see we have 13
> matching Q's
> in the system...
>
> So, what
> you're saying is if you go in and add your County, Grid, CQ Zone,
> and ITU Zone for these two (first) qso's:
>
> 1997-11-09 16:46z 20m RTTY
> 1998-01-04 15:21z 10m RTTY
>
> then I will see some sort of change? I do see you added this extra info to
>
> the more recent contacts. (Hey!, a 10m contact between Mini-soda and
> Illi-noise, who wudda thunk?) :-)
>
> So
> let's start there... can you "fix" those two records, reload them, and I
> can see what happens?
>
> I'm
> curious to see how the system works (inside). I have uploaded logs with
> dupes, like what you pointed out in your reply. The processing report
> tells
> me I have (for example) 300 contacts, 24 dupes, and 85 qsl hits. I am
> under
> the impression the 24 dupes were thrown into the bit bucket and a net of
> 276
> contacts are added to my account. But I
> can't see what happens on the
> "receiving" end of this transaction (the 24 dupes).
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC

>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...

3106. LoTW received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-06 11:45:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3106 Message: 3106

quite a few non-USA LoTW qsls received in past few days.
UA9APA, TM6X, TG9AKH, plus many more.
and for us IOTA people, KD6WW/VH0 with province and IOTA # in the details.

Hope for the day County and IOTA awards can be applied for via LoTW.
(I'm getting old, will I see that day?)

Honey, I Formatted the Kid!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3107. Moviing LoTW to a new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-06 21:40:57 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3107 Message: 3107

I'd like to move my LoTW operations to a new computer. Thought maybe I could copy the old directory over verbatim, but apparently not.

"Help" files aren't much help.

Anyone done this and have a Heathkit-style check list?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3108. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Moviing LoTW to a new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-06 23:39:01 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3107 Message: 3108

On the old computer:

1) Open tQSL Cert
2) Right click on the first certificate
3) select "Save" and save the PKCS#12 file (backup)
4) repeat steps 2 and 3 for each certificate
5) copy the backup files to a CD/floppy/etc.

On the new computer:

1) Install tQSL (fresh)
2) Open tQSL Cert
3) Select "file | load Certificate File" and select
the first of the backups you saved from the old computer
4) Repeat for each backup
5) Close tQSL Cert
6) Open tQSL
7) recreate your Station locations (Station | Add Location)

73,

... Joe, W4TV

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of K0HB
Sent: Sunday, August 06, 2006 5:41 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Moviing LoTW to a new computer

I'd like to move my LoTW operations to a new computer. Thought maybe I
could copy the old directory over verbatim, but apparently not.

"Help" files aren't much help.

Anyone done this and have a Heathkit-style check list?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3109. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Moviing LoTW to a new computer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 01:38:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3107 Message: 3109

Save yuor .p12 files, instll on new computer, load the .p12 files, DONE
Copy will NOT work since your keys are encrypted, Read the help for more detail, It is there,
If you did not save your key,DO IT NOW!
Creed en las obras, no en las palabras.
W?MN Rochesteter, MN (Gil)

-----Original Message-----

From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Moviing LoTW to a new computer
Date: Sun Aug 6, 2006 16:41
Size: 3K
To: "ARRL-LOTW" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>

I'd like to move my LoTW operations to a new computer. Thought maybe I could copy the old directory over verbatim, but apparently not.

"Help" files aren't much help.

Anyone done this and have a Heathkit-style check list?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3110. LOTW and EQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 18:58:27 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3107 Message: 3110

I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed that I get QSLs on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log and find it is a time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I get my time from the computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is often the other stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I would send a card back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that since most EQSL users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to correct my time to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW. On first look, I see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am interested in others views of whether or not this is ethical.
No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in other's opinions on this.
Thanks,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3111. RE: lag time = another query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 19:16:27 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3101 Message: 3111

Hi all,

Thanks for the answeres re the lag time.
It actually took over 24 hours before the QSO's I had uploaded showed up in the LoTW log!
I have not noticed this amount of delay in the past, so maybe it is just handling more and more these days!

Now another query.....
I have had to renew my TQSL Certificate, and although I have now done this on several occasions before, I read and re-read the instructions, as I somehow managed to scrwe it up big time last year.

The FAQ's clearly state that when have received the TQ6 file back from LoTW, you "open TQSLCert, and you will see two certificates, one with a red circle/bar over it. This will go away, leaving only your renewed certificate".

WRONG! I now have TWO certificates in TQSLCert!

Can I safely delete the "older" one(?) as the FAQ's don't seem to mention this??

BTW..... Nice to see that the certificate is now valid for THREE years!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.7/410 - Release Date: 05/08/2006

3112. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: lag time = another query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 19:37:42 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3101 Message: 3112

Phil, I can speculate that you renewed your certificate before the expiration date of the old one. I did the same thing. I carefully checked the dates on both and then deleted the old one. My guess is that if you wait until the old one expires, the red circle will show up. I had not noticed that the new one is good for 3 years. Thanks for the heads up.

73,
Mike, W5UC
http://members.cox.net/w5uc
----- Original Message -----
From: "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 2:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: lag time = another query

> Hi all,
>
> Thanks for the answeres re the lag time.
> It actually took over 24 hours before the QSO's I had uploaded showed up
> in
> the LoTW log!
> I have not noticed this amount of delay in the past, so maybe it is just
> handling more and more these days!
>
> Now another query.....
> I have had to renew my TQSL Certificate, and although I have now done this
> on several occasions before, I read and re-read the instructions, as I
> somehow managed to scrwe it up big time last year.
>
> The FAQ's clearly state that when have received the TQ6 file back from
> LoTW,
> you "open TQSLCert, and you will see two certificates, one with a red
> circle/bar over it. This will go away, leaving only your renewed
> certificate".
>
> WRONG! I now have TWO certificates in TQSLCert!
>
> Can I safely delete the "older" one(?) as the FAQ's don't seem to mention
> this??
>
> BTW..... Nice to see that the certificate is now valid for THREE
> years!
>
> 73 de Phil GU0SUP
>

>
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.7/410 - Release Date: 05/08/2006
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3113. Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 20:22:37 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3113 Message: 3113

Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and received from LOTW.

Any ideas?

Julio, W4HY

3114. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL - Long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 21:17:25 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3114 Message: 3114

Ken,

I also upload my logs to both systems. So far I have worked back to my 1995 logs, when I moved to my current QTH.

I have about 6 months experience with eQSL and a couple months with LoTW. Like you, I am trying to understand the nuances of both systems. I published an article in our local DX Club newsletter and shared some of my initial observations with these two systems. If you're interested in reading it, look for the TCDXA June Grayline Newsletter here: www.tcdxa.org

I have a few more months experience under my belt now. Regarding changing the times and dates for your QSL's, I don't see a major problem with this. As a matter of fact, several of my old contesting logs were recorded in local time, not UTC. I discovered this after I uploaded to the online databases.

I did find it easier to detect and correct my mistakes using the eQSL.cc system. For example, I looked at my "outbox" for January 1995 and saw I had 600 contacts. Scanning the list of calls and timestamps, I also saw there were VERY few QSL matches from other stations.

I then called up my "inbox" for January 1995 and saw I had 150 incoming cards. None of these 150 contacts were matched by the system. They were a "near miss". (Or as George Carlin asked, "Why do airlines call it a 'near miss' when two planes almost collide? That would be a 'near hit' to me!"

Upon closer scrutiny, I saw these "near hits" were ARRL RTTY contest QSO's and all of them had a timestamp 6 hours ahead of my log. This tells me I had my clock set to local time instead of UTC. (The Central Time Zone is 6 hours behind UTC in the winter.) With a clear conscience I fixed the timestamp in my log and reloaded these 600 contacts to eQSL.cc and LoTW.

There is a major difference between the systems in the fact that you CAN delete contacts from eQSL.cc but not LoTW. I did remove my 600 "bad" contacts from eQSL.cc before I uploaded the corrected QSO's.

With LoTW, these invalid records simply "hang around" in their system. Someone mentioned these records may eventually be purged from the system by some yet un-invented process. I believe this is an unfortunate flaw in the LoTW system. The system is storing and thrashing through thousands of bogus records which eats up both processing time and storage. But I come to the table 3 years after the system implementation and am restating the obvious.

Using LoTW, you can achieve a similar QSO reconciliation, but it requires close scrutiny of your online records. You are limited to reviewing only your "outgoing" contacts. You can't see "incoming" QSL requests. You have to look for contacts that don't match. The next step is to discover whether the station is a LoTW participant or not. Is they are, then you can get into an email dialog to investigate which end of the QSO may be recorded wrong. I just

went through this exercise with Bill - W9OL, regarding a contact during the 1997 WAE RTTY contest. I won't disclose who's information was wrong because I hope to make more contacts during the contests with him! :-)

The previous discussion is my experience when finding bulk records that don't "jive." When I queried some hams regarding single QSO's that didn't "jive", some were satisfied to simply change the timestamp that I thought was correct. I am not comfortable with this because you can be verifying busted call signs if you don't pay close attention to the details. It takes time to investigate these anomalies. I have uploaded over 33,000 contacts so my experience is going to be more "intense" than someone who uploads their logs every week or month.

I have rejected some eQSL's because they are obviously wrong. For example, one rejection was for a PSK contact. I have never operated PSK. The callsign was not in my log - ever! That was an easy call. In another example, my QSL request was (rightfully) rejected because, after investigating the contact via emails, it became apparent I was one of two stations on frequency at that time, but I did not make the contact. I hear these situations in contests often enough to accept that outcome. "Will that be paper or plastic for your NIL, sir?" :-)

That's my take on it right now. This looks like good fodder for a continuation of my original article!

Like Ken stated, my intention is not to start a holy war, but I would really like to hear from people why there is such (apparent) animosity toward one or the other systems. I see this on numerous QRZ.COM listings often. "Absolutely NO eQSL's", "Direct only, NO LoTW", etc...

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 1:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL

I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed that I get QSLs
on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log and find it is a
time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I get my time from the
computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is often the other
stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I would send a card
back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that since most EQSL
users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to correct my time
to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW. On first look, I
see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am interested in others views
of whether or not this is ethical.
No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in other's opinions
on this.
Thanks,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon

34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3115. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 21:25:47 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3113 Message: 3115

That appears to be the way the LotW system works. Alittle confusing perhaps but really presents no problem.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3116. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 21:33:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3113 Message: 3116

Very simply.
That person does NOT just send qsos 'since' his last submission like most people.
He sends his entire log.
or
He has a problem with his log that it is not being recorded as 'already submitted' to LoTW.

Most of the top of the line logging programs will only select qsos that have NOT been previously submitted.

My guess, is that he's NOT using such a system.

While it does waste a bit of processing time, it's of no harm.
Eventually the LoTW software will have some way of determining a previous submission.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 3:22 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Recurring QSl

> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
>

3117. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 21:48:42 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3113 Message: 3117

A logging application can direct LotW to download either uploaded QSOs, or QSLs generated from those QSOs. In both scenarios, LotW provides an "only tell me about new ones" option. When a logging application downloads QSLs, LotW conveys the date and time at which the most recently-created QSL was generated. The logging application can remember this date and time, and supply it to LotW the next time the user checks for new QSLs; in theory, LotW would then only download QSLs generated after the specified date and time. The user would be informed of each newly-generated QSL exactly once.

Unfortunately, there's a longstanding defect in the LotW implementation: it ignores the time, and uses 0Z instead. Thus if no new QSLs have been generated since the last time you checked, LotW will re-download all QSLs generated on the date the last LotW QSL was generated -- which might be just one, or might be several.

The same thing happens when downloading QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3118. RE: lag time + another query

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-07 22:14:03 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3101 Message: 3118

Hello Mike (W5UC),

Yes, I did renew before the old one expires, but the FAQ's imply that you MUST renew before expiry of the old one, and the "old" one will disappear regardless.

I will wait a few more days, but I don't want to try exporting a TQ8 just yet, in case it screws something up.

And yes, 3 years is much better!

73 for now

Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.394 / Virus Database: 268.10.7/410 - Release Date: 05/08/2006

3119. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL - Long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 00:30:09 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3114 Message: 3119
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3120. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL - Long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 01:07:54 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3114 Message: 3120

Thanks for the information Prasad!

That's a very good point. It certainly highlights how a person might take advantage of the system in this situation.

I believe after an eQSL is simply viewed, that contact can no longer be deleted from the eQSL.cc database. I don't think they even have to create a matching record for it to get "locked into" the system.

I'll have to research this more because I don't remember the trigger that locks a QSO/QSL into place. I had several of these instances when I deleted my contest log before uploading the corrections. A message popped up telling me it would not delete some of the contacts in the date/time range I defined. These were some of my early contest logs where my clock was set to local time instead of UTC.

I have to say if I found a VU2 card in my inbox I would be curious to see what it looked like and I would want to view it too. Of course from the other side of the QSO, as in your case, you really don't know my intentions for the card. And that is the crux of the issue!

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

BTW, I worked VU2RAK in May of this year, the only India station I have worked from this QTH. There's no confirmation in either system yet.

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 7:30 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL - Long winded

Hello Bob and Ken

I had a problem with eQSL.cc. I was operating my mother's station - VU3FED - in 1996 CQ WPX CW contest - a small effort with about 531 QSOs. Inadvertently, I uploaded this log into eQSL under my own call - VU2PTT

Imagine my surprise later when I found that I had 18 eQSLs from hams(!) to VU2PTT. They saw that they had QSLs from VU2... in their Inbox and manufactured a log to match it although we never had a QSO. I could only look at that and kick myself for uploading the wrong log. This issue does not happen on LoTW where you can get the QSL only when the other station uploads a matching log entry.

I now only confirm QSLs that come into my Inbox in eQSL whereas in LoTW I upload all my old logs as and when I convert them form paper. I agree we should have a tool to delete bad entries in our logs on LoTW, and I am guilty of 531 QSOs perpetually floating around there in the LoTW database :)

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 8/8/06, Robert Chudek - K0RC <k0rc@...> wrote:

Ken,

I also upload my logs to both systems. So far I have worked back to my 1995 logs, when I moved to my current QTH.

I have about 6 months experience with eQSL and a couple months with LoTW. Like you, I am trying to understand the nuances of both systems. I published an article in our local DX Club newsletter and shared some of my initial observations with these two systems. If you're interested in reading it, look for the TCDXA June Grayline Newsletter here: www.tcdxa.org

I have a few more months experience under my belt now. Regarding changing the times and dates for your QSL's, I don't see a major problem with this. As a matter of fact, several of my old contesting logs were recorded in local time, not UTC. I discovered this after I uploaded to the online databases.

I did find it easier to detect and correct my mistakes using the eQSL.cc system. For example, I looked at my "outbox" for January 1995 and saw I had 600 contacts. Scanning the list of calls and timestamps, I also saw there were VERY few QSL matches from other stations.

I then called up my "inbox" for January 1995 and saw I had 150 incoming cards. None of these 150 contacts were matched by the system. They were a "near miss". (Or as George Carlin asked, "Why do airlines call it a 'near miss' when two planes almost collide? That would be a 'near hit' to me!"

Upon closer scrutiny, I saw these "near hits" were ARRL RTTY contest QSO's and all of them had a timestamp 6 hours ahead of my log. This tells me I had my clock set to local time instead of UTC. (The Central Time Zone is 6 hours behind UTC in the winter.) With a clear conscience I fixed the timestamp in my log and reloaded these 600 contacts to eQSL.cc and LoTW.

There is a major difference between the systems in the fact that you CAN delete contacts from eQSL.cc but not LoTW. I did remove my 600 "bad" contacts from eQSL.cc before I uploaded the corrected QSO's.

With LoTW, these invalid records simply "hang around" in their system. Someone mentioned these records may eventually be purged from the system by some yet un-invented process. I believe this is an unfortunate flaw in the LoTW system. The system is storing and thrashing through thousands of bogus records which eats up both processing time and storage. But I come to the table 3 years after the system implementation and am restating the obvious.

Using LoTW, you can achieve a similar QSO reconciliation, but it requires close scrutiny of your online records. You are limited to reviewing only your "outgoing" contacts. You can't see "incoming" QSL requests. You have to look for contacts that don't match. The next step is to discover whether the station is a LoTW participant or not. Is they are, then you can get into an email dialog to investigate which end of the QSO may be recorded wrong. I just went through this exercise with Bill - W9OL, regarding a contact during the 1997 WAE RTTY contest. I won't disclose who's information was wrong because I hope to make more contacts during the contests with him! :-)

The previous discussion is my experience when finding bulk records that don't "jive." When I queried some hams regarding single QSO's that didn't "jive", some were satisfied to simply change the timestamp that I thought was correct. I am not comfortable with this because you can be verifying busted call signs if you don't pay close attention to the details. It takes time to investigate these anomalies. I have uploaded over 33,000 contacts so my experience is going to be more "intense" than someone who uploads their logs every week or month.

I have rejected some eQSL's because they are obviously wrong. For example, one rejection was for a PSK contact. I have never operated PSK. The callsign was not in my log - ever! That was an easy call. In another example, my QSL request was (rightfully) rejected because, after investigating the contact via emails, it became apparent I was one of two stations on frequency at that time, but I did not make the contact. I hear these situations in contests often enough to accept that outcome. "Will that be paper or plastic for your NIL, sir?" :-)

That's my take on it right now. This looks like good fodder for a continuation of my original article!

Like Ken stated, my intention is not to start a holy war, but I would really like to hear from people why there is such (apparent) animosity toward one or the other systems. I see this on numerous QRZ.COM listings often. "Absolutely NO eQSL's", "Direct only, NO LoTW", etc...

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 1:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL

I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed that I get QSLs on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log and find it is a time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I get my time from the computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is often the other stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I would send a card back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that since most EQSL users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to correct my time to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW. On first look, I see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am interested in others views of whether or not this is ethical.
No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in other's opinions on this.
Thanks,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

3121. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW and EQSL - Long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 01:30:29 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3114 Message: 3121

On Aug 7, 2006, at 5:17 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> Upon closer scrutiny, I saw these "near hits" were ARRL RTTY
> contest QSO's and all of them had a timestamp 6 hours ahead of my
> log. This tells me I had my clock set to local time instead of UTC.

You don't check this before a contest? I (nearly) always do, especially after I started one contest, and after making about 100 contacts, I found that the time reported on my computer was way wrong. Took a lot of work to fix it, it wasn't as easy as just adjusting the hours....

> There is a major difference between the systems in the fact that
> you CAN delete contacts from eQSL.cc but not LoTW. With LoTW, these
> invalid records simply "hang around" in their system. Someone
> mentioned these records may eventually be purged from the system by
> some yet un-invented process. I believe this is an unfortunate flaw
> in the LoTW system. The system is storing and thrashing through
> thousands of bogus records which eats up both processing time and
> storage.

I don't think these records are this much of a problem. True, they take up a small amount of space. It takes less than 50 bytes to store a LoTW QSO. And, since they are incorrect, they will never match anything. They do little harm remaining in the system.

I have over 33,000 QSOs uploaded. Only about 200 were uploaded with errors. (Mostly from manually transcribing some old DOS program logs, and I had phone instead of CW)

> Using LoTW, you can achieve a similar QSO reconciliation, but it
> requires close scrutiny of your online records. You are limited to
> reviewing only your "outgoing" contacts. You can't see "incoming"
> QSL requests.

There's no such thing with LoTW. You don't send QSLs. You just upload your log. Your entire log is used to match other QSOs in other logs. There are no "incoming" QSL requests.

In fact, those very erroneous QSOs could cause a problem if there were such a thing. If you could look at other people's logs, you could "manufacture" QSOs that didn't take place.

> You have to look for contacts that don't match.

The LoTW has a note about this -- if you upload 100 or so recent contacts and don't get a single confirmation, then something is

likely incorrect.

> Like Ken stated, my intention is not to start a holy war, but I
> would really like to hear from people why there is such (apparent)
> animosity toward one or the other systems. I see this on numerous
> QRZ.COM listings often. "Absolutely NO eQSL's", "Direct only, NO
> LoTW", etc...

I don't do eQSL, because it doesn't count for any awards, other than eQSL's own. At one point I considered uploading my log data for others, but I couldn't figure out how it worked -- it looked like I was going to have to manually confirm each of the QSL requests I had pending there. That sounded like a chore, so I haven't done it.

A lot of people have a pent-up hatred for the League, so not likely LoTW is just another outlet for it. Others think that LoTW will do away with paper QSLs (not likely!), so they resist.

Others have rebelled against the multi-step process to obtain a certificate. Even some very smart fellows have tried multiple times and have been unable to access the system. (This part I don't understand, if you follow the steps carefully, it's not that hard)

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3122. Re: LOTW and EQSL - Very long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 07:27:23 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3114 Message: 3122

Comments inline: K0RC >>>

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> On Aug 7, 2006, at 5:17 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:
>
> > Upon closer scrutiny, I saw these "near hits" were ARRL RTTY
> > contest QSO's and all of them had a timestamp 6 hours ahead of my
> > log. This tells me I had my clock set to local time instead of UTC.
>
> You don't check this before a contest? I (nearly) always do,
> especially after I started one contest, and after making about 100
> contacts, I found that the time reported on my computer was way
> wrong. Took a lot of work to fix it, it wasn't as easy as just
> adjusting the hours....
>

K0RC >>> I do now! These wrong clock times were back in the DOS days running CT, NA, and WF1B. Later I got smart and created a batch file to start my contesting programs and included date and time calls. This forced me to check these values before I started a contest.

> > There is a major difference between the systems in the fact that
> > you CAN delete contacts from eQSL.cc but not LoTW. With LoTW, these
> > invalid records simply "hang around" in their system. Someone
> > mentioned these records may eventually be purged from the system by
> > some yet un-invented process. I believe this is an unfortunate flaw
> > in the LoTW system. The system is storing and thrashing through
> > thousands of bogus records which eats up both processing time and
> > storage.
>
> I don't think these records are this much of a problem. True, they
> take up a small amount of space. It takes less than 50 bytes to store
> a LoTW QSO. And, since they are incorrect, they will never match
> anything. They do little harm remaining in the system.
>

K0RC>>> I doubt we will ever know for sure. If each record is 50 bytes, and there's over 100 million records on file, that's a 5 terrabyte database. When users upload duplicate logs do these records also get stored or are they immediately discarded? From what I observed during my uploads, it appears a log is aborted when the system determines it is a duplicate. But there would still be a little overhead because it stores this activity and statistics in the transaction log in your account.

> I have over 33,000 QSOs uploaded. Only about 200 were uploaded with
> errors. (Mostly from manually transcribing some old DOS program logs,
> and I had phone instead of CW)
>

K0RC >>> You keep meticulous records Bill! I found at least 3 of my contest logs had wrong time stamps. This was probably a couple thousand records. It took the better part of a weekend to straighten that mess out after I discovered it. With that experience under my belt and having manually entered the first 100 Novice qso's into my logger, I hope I live long enough to get the 35 years of missing data online!

> > Using LoTW, you can achieve a similar QSO reconciliation, but it
> > requires close scrutiny of your online records. You are limited to
> > reviewing only your "outgoing" contacts. You can't see "incoming"
> > QSL requests.
>
> There's no such thing with LoTW. You don't send QSLs. You just upload
> your log. Your entire log is used to match other QSOs in other logs.
> There are no "incoming" QSL requests.
>

K0RC>>> Yes, this is symantics, it really depends on how you view the system. For example, lets say you worked a couple of stations and wrote the info on a scrap of paper. You tell yourself you will log them the next time you have your computer running. In the meantime, the scrap of paper gets pushed off the back of the desk. These QSO's slip your mind and they don't get logged. When you upload your log, these contacts are not included, of course.

K0RC>>> The other stations upload their logs which include these QSO's. With LoTW, you will never know you have missing QSO's. With eQSL, you will get an email (if you select this option) telling you there is/are QSL's that have arrived for you. You also have the "inbox" where you can review all incoming requests.

K0RC>>> I understand LoTW is not a QSLing system in the traditional sense. But the concept of matching log entries is the same for both systems.

> In fact, those very erroneous QSOs could cause a problem if there
> were such a thing. If you could look at other people's logs, you
> could "manufacture" QSOs that didn't take place.
>

K0RC>>> You can't look at other people's logs in either system. In eQSL.cc you can see requests for confirmation of QSO's. This is no different than going to your PO Box and finding a hard copy QSL card requesting a confirmation. With LoTW you don't have the equivalent of the PO Box, so you are operating in the blind in this respect.

K0RC>>> Earlier in this thread Parsad - VU2PTT pointed out a problem with the eQSL.cc system that allows a user to accept a confirmation for

a QSO that never really took place. I had heard rumors that users could "troll" for cards, but this is the first real-life example I have seen of how it can happen. This scenario would not have been possible in the LoTW system (because the users do not have the "inbox").

> > You have to look for contacts that don't match.
>
> The LoTW has a note about this -- if you upload 100 or so recent
> contacts and don't get a single confirmation, then something is
> likely incorrect.
>
> > Like Ken stated, my intention is not to start a holy war, but I
> > would really like to hear from people why there is such (apparent)
> > animosity toward one or the other systems. I see this on numerous
> > QRZ.COM listings often. "Absolutely NO eQSL's", "Direct only, NO
> > LoTW", etc...
>
> I don't do eQSL, because it doesn't count for any awards, other than
> eQSL's own. At one point I considered uploading my log data for
> others, but I couldn't figure out how it worked -- it looked like I
> was going to have to manually confirm each of the QSL requests I had
> pending there. That sounded like a chore, so I haven't done it.
>

K0RC>>> There are over 60 award sponsors (excluding eQSL.cc) that offer awards using the eQSL system. If you are a paper chaser, or a new ham just getting started, this might be an attractive option for you. Also, that system supports SWL requests too. But if you're after the ARRL WAS and DXCC certificates, LoTW is the only game in town (besides traditional cards).

K0RC>>> As far as uploading and managing your log, you can upload a standard ADIF file and forget about it. You don't need to manually confirm anything. If contacts happen to match, great... At that point you would be using that system just like LoTW.

K0RC>>> You do not need to look into your "inbox", but if you do, it can help you identify logging inconsistencies or other problems. For example, I have a couple dozen QSL requests spread across several months in 1997. I have no logbook data for those months. What this tells me is I need to locate some missing contest logs. If I only used the LoTW system, I would have no clue that there was a problem on my end.

K0RC>>> To upload your log, there's a page with a filename box similar to LoTW. You browse to your ADIF file location and click upload. I am not (yet) using my logging program to manage my uploads. So I don't have experience with any of the loggers and their automated upload systems.

> A lot of people have a pent-up hatred for the League, so not likely
> LoTW is just another outlet for it. Others think that LoTW will do
> away with paper QSLs (not likely!), so they resist.
>

K0RC>>> Yeah, I remember that goal to become "the paperless society"...
wasn't that supposed to happen in the 1980's?

> Others have rebelled against the multi-step process to obtain a
> certificate. Even some very smart fellows have tried multiple times
> and have been unable to access the system. (This part I don't
> understand, if you follow the steps carefully, it's not that hard)
>

K0RC>>> I installed TQSL on my desktop and my laptop. The desktop went
smoothly, the laptop failed with "not authorized" errors which could not
be resolved by myslef and Wayne Mills on the phone. He said they have
seen a few instances of this kind of error but he doesn't have a
solution. If my only computer was the laptop, I would be dead in the
water right now, as far as LoTW is concerned. (I did find a work-around
solution by creating a new user account on the laptop. That account
works without errors but the master account still does not.)

>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

K0RC>>> Thanks for taking time to add your ideas and comments.

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

3123. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 12:07:36 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3113 Message: 3123

No I don't think this is the way the system works. If it was why don't the other 400 or so QSL matches show up time after time when I down load QSL's. Only this one contact has shown up on every download for over 2 years. Something isn't right.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of edctexas
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 5:26 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

That appears to be the way the LotW system works. Alittle confusing perhaps but really presents no problem.

73 Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3124. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 18:13:05 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3113 Message: 3124

Thanks for the reply Dave. I'm using LOGic8 as my logging program and I know that it only uploads QSO's since my last upload. This one QSL has been on every download since I first started using LOTW. It's marked as having already been received but it keeps showing up. Do you have any ideas how to stop that from happening?

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 5:49 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

A logging application can direct LotW to download either uploaded QSOs, or QSLs generated from those QSOs. In both scenarios, LotW provides an "only tell me about new ones" option. When a logging application downloads QSLs, LotW conveys the date and time at which the most recently-created QSL was generated. The logging application can remember this date and time, and supply it to LotW the next time the user checks for new QSLs; in theory, LotW would then only download QSLs generated after the specified date and time. The user would be informed of each newly-generated QSL exactly once.

Unfortunately, there's a longstanding defect in the LotW implementation: it ignores the time, and uses 0Z instead. Thus if no new QSLs have been generated since the last time you checked, LotW will re-download all QSLs generated on the date the last LotW QSL was generated -- which might be just one, or might be several.

The same thing happens when downloading QSOs.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>

> Julio, W4HY
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3125. Re the 2 cert's!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 19:15:23 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3125 Message: 3125

Hi all,

Following on from my last email about ending up with 2 cert's in TQSLCert, I read and re-read the FAQ's but it kept implying that the new one would auomatically delete the old one.

It wasn't until I logged out of LoTW, and scrolled down the page that I found mention of the fact that v1.11 of the software will NOT automatically delete the old cert, and you MUST do it manually!
It appears that this is a bug in the software, which is readily admitted by the LoTW team, BUT, the gripe is that they do not mention this on any of the help pages about renewing cert's.

So, be warned, and make sure you delete the OLD cert, but only AFTER you have saved your p12 file, just in case!

73
Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.405 / Virus Database: 268.10.8/413 - Release Date: 08/08/2006

3126. Re: LOTW and EQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 22:58:37 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3107 Message: 3126

This is why I only use LoTW. I like the non interferance, you
either get it right in which case a QSL match is made or it fails.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ken Kinyon" <w7ts@...> wrote:
>
> I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed
that I get QSLs
> on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log and
find it is a
> time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I get my
time from the
> computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is often
the other
> stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I would
send a card
> back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that
since most EQSL
> users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to
correct my time
> to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW. On
first look, I
> see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am interested
in others views
> of whether or not this is ethical.
> No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in
other's opinions
> on this.
> Thanks,
> Ken W7TS
>
> --------------------
> Kenneth E. Kinyon
> 34 Princeton Circle
> Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> ------------------------
> E-mail: W7TS@...
> ------------------
>

3127. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-08 23:45:19 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3113 Message: 3127

Here is my take on this recurring QSL.

I is a major problem for two reasons.

First it is putting unessassary junk into the LoTW and probably giving it more to process every time a request is made of the system.

Secondly, and this could be the big one. It allows signing errrors to happen. I shall try to explain by telling my experience.

I recieved a real QSL card from a USA station, thats fine I enter the state into into my log from the card. Now I use a logging program that allows me to also get this information back from LoTW and if it does not match it adds a note so that a human can check out why. Now this one was interesting because I still had the card handy and it clearly said MA, but LoTW QSL said MI. So I emailed the person concerned and asked whats up.

Well very much red faced this was what had happened. The station concerned had shifted QTH and had put the original QSO's up into the LoTW system using the correct QTH but had more recently unintentionally uploaded them again signing them with the new QTH with his new QSO's.

By the signing process I mean what you do when you create the *.tq8 file you upload.

So is it a problem?

YES IT CAN BE A BLOODY BIG PROBLEM!

Judging by the number of QSL's I see comming up again and again there are an awfull lot of users re submitting the same QSO's again and again each time they upload, if they shift QTH the whole lot gets signed to the new QTH if they update that when signing there new logs for upload.

Thats my take on it. It is a major problem, brobably one of the biggest facing the accuracy of the LoTW system.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in

> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW
and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3128. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 00:40:56 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3113 Message: 3128

One QSL that is downloaded every time sounds like the result of a different problem than the one I described, Julio. I suggest that you contact the PDA folks who develop LOGic and seek their help in tracking down the root cause.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the reply Dave. I'm using LOGic8 as my logging program and I
> know that it only uploads QSO's since my last upload. This one QSL has
> been on every download since I first started using LOTW. It's marked as
> having already been received but it keeps showing up. Do you have any
> ideas how to stop that from happening?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 5:49 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl
>
> A logging application can direct LotW to download either uploaded QSOs,
> or QSLs generated from those QSOs. In both scenarios, LotW provides
> an "only tell me about new ones" option. When a logging application
> downloads QSLs, LotW conveys the date and time at which the most
> recently-created QSL was generated. The logging application can
> remember this date and time, and supply it to LotW the next time the
> user checks for new QSLs; in theory, LotW would then only download QSLs
> generated after the specified date and time. The user would be informed
> of each newly-generated QSL exactly once.

>
> Unfortunately, there's a longstanding defect in the LotW
> implementation: it ignores the time, and uses 0Z instead. Thus if
no
> new QSLs have been generated since the last time you checked, LotW
will
> re-download all QSLs generated on the date the last LotW QSL was
> generated -- which might be just one, or might be several.
>
> The same thing happens when downloading QSOs.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@> wrote:
> >
> > Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download
from
> > LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It
indicates
> > that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO
in
> > question appears in my logging program as having been sent to
LOTW and
> > received from LOTW.
> >
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Julio, W4HY
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3129. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW and EQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 01:05:01 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3107 Message: 3129

<Devil's advocate on>

So when that P5 card doesn't match your LoTW log submission you won't be upset that you never get the confirmation? You won't be curious to know what happened to it?

Using the eQSL.cc system you get a "second chance"... You can review all incoming requests just like a hard copy QSL card that arrives in the mail. If the numbers don't match, you can investigate the circumstances. If you don't care, you can ignore your inbox.

With LoTW the confirmation never arrives, period. If it were a hard copy card, it might be lying in the ditch a mile from your mail box. You don't even know if it was sent in the first place.

<Devil's advocate off>

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: John
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2006 5:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW and EQSL

This is why I only use LoTW. I like the non interferance, you
either get it right in which case a QSL match is made or it fails.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ken Kinyon" <w7ts@...> wrote:
>
> I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed
that I get QSLs
> on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log and
find it is a
> time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I get my
time from the
> computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is often
the other
> stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I would
send a card
> back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that
since most EQSL
> users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to
correct my time
> to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW. On
first look, I
> see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am interested

in others views
> of whether or not this is ethical.
> No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in
other's opinions
> on this.
> Thanks,
> Ken W7TS
>
> --------------------
> Kenneth E. Kinyon
> 34 Princeton Circle
> Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> -----------------------
> E-mail: W7TS@...
> ------------------
>

3130. Re: LOTW and EQSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 01:29:44 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3107 Message: 3130

<Devil's advocate on>

eQSL.cc no good for DXCC anyway is it?

<Devil's advocate off>

CU on the bands again soon Bob.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek - K0RC" <k0rc@...>
wrote:
>
> <Devil's advocate on>
>
> So when that P5 card doesn't match your LoTW log submission you
won't be upset that you never get the confirmation? You won't be
curious to know what happened to it?
>
> Using the eQSL.cc system you get a "second chance"... You can
review all incoming requests just like a hard copy QSL card that
arrives in the mail. If the numbers don't match, you can investigate
the circumstances. If you don't care, you can ignore your inbox.
>
> With LoTW the confirmation never arrives, period. If it were a
hard copy card, it might be lying in the ditch a mile from your mail
box. You don't even know if it was sent in the first place.
>
> <Devil's advocate off>
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2006 5:58 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW and EQSL
>
>
> This is why I only use LoTW. I like the non interferance, you
> either get it right in which case a QSL match is made or it
fails.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ken Kinyon" <w7ts@> wrote:
> >
> > I upload all my contacts to both EQSL and LOTW. I have noticed
> that I get QSLs
> > on EQSL that don't match my uploads. Usually I check my log
and
> find it is a
> > time error. I'm usually not sure who messed up, but since I
get my
> time from the
> > computer synched with internet time standard I imagine it is
often
> the other
> > stations' bad time. In the days before internet logging, I
would
> send a card
> > back with my time and not worry about it. It seems to me that
> since most EQSL
> > users are also using LOTW, that it would be a simple matter to
> correct my time
> > to match that of the other stations and upload it to the LOTW.
On
> first look, I
> > see nothing basically wrong with doing this, but I am
interested
> in others views
> > of whether or not this is ethical.
> > No, I'm not trying to start a row, I am actually interested in
> other's opinions
> > on this.
> > Thanks,
> > Ken W7TS
> >
> > --------------------
> > Kenneth E. Kinyon
> > 34 Princeton Circle
> > Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> > ------------------------
> > E-mail: W7TS@
> > ------------------
> >
>

3131. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 01:45:15 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3113 Message: 3131

Thanks for the suggestion Dave. I've mentioned it to Dennis a while back but he thought it was a LOTW problem. However I am beginning to believe it's something in LOGic that is not telling LOTW that it has receive that QSL. Thanks for the help.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2006 8:41 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

One QSL that is downloaded every time sounds like the result of a different problem than the one I described, Julio. I suggest that you contact the PDA folks who develop LOGic and seek their help in tracking down the root cause.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the reply Dave. I'm using LOGic8 as my logging program and I
> know that it only uploads QSO's since my last upload. This one QSL has
> been on every download since I first started using LOTW. It's marked as
> having already been received but it keeps showing up. Do you have any
> ideas how to stop that from happening?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Monday, August 07, 2006 5:49 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

>
> A logging application can direct LotW to download either uploaded
QSOs,
> or QSLs generated from those QSOs. In both scenarios, LotW provides
> an "only tell me about new ones" option. When a logging application
> downloads QSLs, LotW conveys the date and time at which the most
> recently-created QSL was generated. The logging application can
> remember this date and time, and supply it to LotW the next time
the
> user checks for new QSLs; in theory, LotW would then only download
QSLs
> generated after the specified date and time. The user would be
informed
> of each newly-generated QSL exactly once.
>
> Unfortunately, there's a longstanding defect in the LotW
> implementation: it ignores the time, and uses 0Z instead. Thus if
no
> new QSLs have been generated since the last time you checked, LotW
will
> re-download all QSLs generated on the date the last LotW QSL was
> generated -- which might be just one, or might be several.
>
> The same thing happens when downloading QSOs.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@> wrote:
> >
> > Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download
from
> > LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It
indicates
> > that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO
in
> > question appears in my logging program as having been sent to
LOTW and
> > received from LOTW.
> >

> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Julio, W4HY
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3132. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 01:47:11 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3113 Message: 3132

Hello John,

I have seen this scenario too. And I have seen other scenarios.

On the eQSL.cc system I have received eQSL requests for a date/time/band/mode that matches exactly, but with a different callsign. When I investigate, I find either the fellow was running a contest call and uploaded the contacts under his personal call (or vis a versa), or he has a new callsign and loaded all his previous QSO's under the newest callsign.

On the LoTW system I see the majority of contacts do not contain QTH, Zone, or Grid Square information. This data should be a requirement, and not allow the creation of encrypted files without it! That said, the more times a person moves QTH's, or acquires supplemental callsigns, the more complex the task becomes to keep all this information categorized properly. It's not impossible, you simply need a better understanding how the system really works. Frankly, the more complex the job becomes, fewer people will devote the time and resources to deal with it.

How does that old saying go... ??? ... To err is human, to really foul things up it takes a computer!

73 de Bob - K0RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: John
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2006 6:45 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Here is my take on this recurring QSL.

I is a major problem for two reasons.

First it is putting unessassary junk into the LoTW and probably giving
it more to process every time a request is made of the system.

Secondly, and this could be the big one. It allows signing errrors to
happen. I shall try to explain by telling my experience.

I recieved a real QSL card from a USA station, thats fine I enter the
state into into my log from the card. Now I use a logging program
that allows me to also get this information back from LoTW and if it
does not match it adds a note so that a human can check out why. Now
this one was interesting because I still had the card handy and it
clearly said MA, but LoTW QSL said MI. So I emailed the person
concerned and asked whats up.

Well very much red faced this was what had happened. The station
concerned had shifted QTH and had put the original QSO's up into the

LoTW system using the correct QTH but had more recently
unintentionally uploaded them again signing them with the new QTH with
his new QSO's.

By the signing process I mean what you do when you create the *.tq8
file you upload.

So is it a problem?

YES IT CAN BE A BLOODY BIG PROBLEM!

Judging by the number of QSL's I see comming up again and again there
are an awfull lot of users re submitting the same QSO's again and
again each time they upload, if they shift QTH the whole lot gets
signed to the new QTH if they update that when signing there new logs
for upload.

Thats my take on it. It is a major problem, brobably one of the
biggest facing the accuracy of the LoTW system.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you download from
> LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It
indicates
> that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The QSO in
> question appears in my logging program as having been sent to LOTW
and
> received from LOTW.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3133. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 02:19:15 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3113 Message: 3133

Very good Bob, I liked the old saying. Yes I was sure there would be other senario's but didn't want to confuse the humans, just get the point across that uploading QSO's over and over is not a good idea.

The best each one of us can do is spend a little time to understand what we are doing to make our own log submitions as accurate as possible.

Just about time to make some sparks again and see if you guys can hear me today.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek - K0RC" <k0rc@...> wrote:
>
> Hello John,
>
> I have seen this scenario too. And I have seen other scenarios.
>
> On the eQSL.cc system I have received eQSL requests for a date/time/band/mode that matches exactly, but with a different callsign. When I investigate, I find either the fellow was running a contest call and uploaded the contacts under his personal call (or vis a versa), or he has a new callsign and loaded all his previous QSO's under the newest callsign.
>
> On the LoTW system I see the majority of contacts do not contain QTH, Zone, or Grid Square information. This data should be a requirement, and not allow the creation of encrypted files without it! That said, the more times a person moves QTH's, or acquires supplemental callsigns, the more complex the task becomes to keep all this information categorized properly. It's not impossible, you simply need a better understanding how the system really works. Frankly, the more complex the job becomes, fewer people will devote the time and resources to deal with it.
>
> How does that old saying go... ??? ... To err is human, to really foul things up it takes a computer!
>
> 73 de Bob - K0RC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John

> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2006 6:45 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl
>
>
> Here is my take on this recurring QSL.
>
> I is a major problem for two reasons.
>
> First it is putting unessassary junk into the LoTW and probably
giving
> it more to process every time a request is made of the system.
>
> Secondly, and this could be the big one. It allows signing
errrors to
> happen. I shall try to explain by telling my experience.
>
> I recieved a real QSL card from a USA station, thats fine I
enter the
> state into into my log from the card. Now I use a logging
program
> that allows me to also get this information back from LoTW and
if it
> does not match it adds a note so that a human can check out why.
Now
> this one was interesting because I still had the card handy and
it
> clearly said MA, but LoTW QSL said MI. So I emailed the person
> concerned and asked whats up.
>
> Well very much red faced this was what had happened. The station
> concerned had shifted QTH and had put the original QSO's up into
the
> LoTW system using the correct QTH but had more recently
> unintentionally uploaded them again signing them with the new
QTH with
> his new QSO's.
>
> By the signing process I mean what you do when you create the
*.tq8
> file you upload.
>
> So is it a problem?
>
> YES IT CAN BE A BLOODY BIG PROBLEM!
>
> Judging by the number of QSL's I see comming up again and again
there
> are an awfull lot of users re submitting the same QSO's again
and

> again each time they upload, if they shift QTH the whole lot
gets
> signed to the new QTH if they update that when signing there new
logs
> for upload.
>
> Thats my take on it. It is a major problem, brobably one of the
> biggest facing the accuracy of the LoTW system.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@>
wrote:
> >
> > Has anybody had a problem with recurring QSL's when you
download from
> > LOTW. I have one QSL that shows up every time I download. It
> indicates
> > that it is already checked in but appears never the less. The
QSO in
> > question appears in my logging program as having been sent to
LOTW
> and
> > received from LOTW.
> >
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Julio, W4HY
> >
>

3134. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW and EQSL - Very long winded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 03:33:34 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3114 Message: 3134

On Aug 8, 2006, at 3:27 AM, Robert Chudek wrote:
>
> K0RC >>> I do now! These wrong clock times were back in the DOS days
> running CT, NA, and WF1B.

Yup, the bad old days.

> K0RC>>> I doubt we will ever know for sure. If each record is 50
> bytes,
> and there's over 100 million records on file, that's a 5 terrabyte
> database.

Check your math. 100 million 50 byte records is 5 GB, not TB.

> When users upload duplicate logs do these records also get
> stored or are they immediately discarded? From what I observed
> during my
> uploads, it appears a log is aborted when the system determines it
> is a
> duplicate.

If you upload a record that is a duplicate, it replaces the old
record, it does not insert a duplicate. If the duplicate matches a
QSL, it will re-issue a QSL.

This is actually kind of irritating, too, because it causes old QSLs
to bubble back to the top of the list. This is part of the problem
with people signing and uploading their entire logs, when they only
need to update a few weeks or months of activity.

> K0RC >>> You keep meticulous records Bill! I found at least 3 of my
> contest logs had wrong time stamps.

It took a lot of work to get to this point. A lot of files were just
plain text output, that had to be manually converted to Cabrillo.
That was a big pain! One of the logs was a special field day logger,
and the 15m log with about 300 QSOs was not readable. I actually had
to write a program to pull the fields out of that file and create
Cabrillo text. I discarded the one contact that was dmanaged.

> With that experience under my belt and having
> manually entered the first 100 Novice qso's into my logger, I hope I
> live long enough to get the 35 years of missing data online!

Keep plugging at it. There's only so much data to be entered,
eventually you'll get through it.

> K0RC>>> Yes, this is symantics, it really depends on how you view the
> system. For example, lets say you worked a couple of stations and
> wrote
> the info on a scrap of paper. You tell yourself you will log them the
> next time you have your computer running. In the meantime, the
> scrap of
> paper gets pushed off the back of the desk. These QSO's slip your mind
> and they don't get logged. When you upload your log, these contacts
> are
> not included, of course.

If you don't keep a good record, then no system is going to work
well. If you received a paper QSL under this scenario, and it wasn't
in your logbook, how would you tell the QSO ever took place?

I do know of certain M/M stations who don't bother to look up QSOs at
all. You send them a QSL, they just send one back. It's too much
trouble to look them up.

> K0RC>>> The other stations upload their logs which include these
> QSO's.
> With LoTW, you will never know you have missing QSO's. With eQSL, you
> will get an email (if you select this option) telling you there is/are
> QSL's that have arrived for you.

The crux of this problem is back record-keeping, not LoTW.

> K0RC>>> I understand LoTW is not a QSLing system in the traditional
> sense. But the concept of matching log entries is the same for both
> systems.

The nice thing about it is that it is positive and sure -- if you
have a match, you definitely had a contact. There's no chance for
manufacturing contacts.

> But if you're after the ARRL WAS
> and DXCC certificates, LoTW is the only game in town (besides
> traditional cards).

I've gotten WAS mixed, Phone, and just qualified for CW after the
NAQP CW contest this weekend.

> As far as uploading and managing your log, you can upload a
> standard ADIF file and forget about it.

The "signing" portion of the LoTW process serves two purposes. The
first is to attach the key and hash so that the system can tell that
the submission is from a certificated user, and the submission hasn't
been modified in transit.

The second purpose is to note the location the contacts took place.
At a minimum, this is the DXCC entity, but may include the state,
county, grid square, etc.

> I have a couple dozen QSL requests spread across several months
> in 1997. I have no logbook data for those months. What this tells
> me is
> I need to locate some missing contest logs. If I only used the LoTW
> system, I would have no clue that there was a problem on my end.

The problem here isn't LoTW, it's poor record-keeping. <grin>

> K0RC>>> Yeah, I remember that goal to become "the paperless
> society"...
> wasn't that supposed to happen in the 1980's?

Yup, we just invented computers which allowed us to create more paper
even faster....

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3135. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 03:37:49 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3113 Message: 3135

On Aug 8, 2006, at 7:45 PM, John wrote:

> The station
> concerned had shifted QTH and had put the original QSO's up into the
> LoTW system using the correct QTH but had more recently
> unintentionally uploaded them again signing them with the new QTH with
> his new QSO's.

Yup, this sort of system abuse also slows things down by processing
and re-processing the same contacts.

It also causes problems by robbing people of confirmations they
otherwise earned. Hopefully, this type of operation can be corrected
by education of the users.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3136. Re: Recurring QSl

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-09 06:10:04 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3113 Message: 3136

Appreciate your input Bill.

Some how there needs to be a lot of education because from what I can see this is happening an awfull lot.

I see this when I look at my recent QSL's just out of interest, but cant find the new ones because they are all repeats bubbling back up, just crazy.

Hopefully talking about it here will raise awareness.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bill Coleman <aa4lr@...> wrote:
>
>
> On Aug 8, 2006, at 7:45 PM, John wrote:
>
> > The station
> > concerned had shifted QTH and had put the original QSO's up into the
> > LoTW system using the correct QTH but had more recently
> > unintentionally uploaded them again signing them with the new QTH with
> > his new QSO's.
>
> Yup, this sort of system abuse also slows things down by processing
> and re-processing the same contacts.
>
> It also causes problems by robbing people of confirmations they
> otherwise earned. Hopefully, this type of operation can be corrected
> by education of the users.
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>

3137. Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-12 17:39:33 UTC
From: Gil Baron
Topic: 3137 Message: 3137

I sent my renewal files EARLY this morning but they are not replied to yet.
I assume that the work bankers hours on this and that the process is not automated so that it could go 24/7!
Is that correct? It is not automated with all the technology available?

It is not a big deal a I have just received the notification today , just a surprise.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
¿Quién puede poner puertas al campo? "Cervantes"

3138. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-13 17:34:21 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 3137 Message: 3138

On Aug 12, 2006, at 1:39 PM, Gil Baron wrote:

> I sent my renewal files EARLY this morning but they are not replied
> to yet.
> I assume that the work bankers hours on this and that the process
> is not
> automated so that it could go 24/7!
> Is that correct? It is not automated with all the technology
> available?
>
> It is not a big deal a I have just received the notification
> today , just a
> surprise.

Relax, Gil, you sent in the stuff on a Saturday. The renewal process is automated but the e-mail has to be handled by humans.

I sent mine in last weekend and had the response in a day or so after the office was open. I'm sure yours will come directly. Spend a few hours this weekend in WAE DX contest and don't worry about it. It will come.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3139. Re: Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-16 15:28:34 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 3137 Message: 3139

I just renewed my LOTW certificate and noticed that it is now good for 3 years.
Is this something new or did I miss something?
Bill W5EC

3140. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-16 17:21:54 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3137 Message: 3140

On Aug 16, 2006, at 8:28 AM, Bill Hawkins wrote:

> I just renewed my LOTW certificate and noticed that it is now good
> for 3 years.
> Is this something new or did I miss something?
> Bill W5EC
>

I believe that change happened about a year ago. When I renewed
mine, somehow it would only let me set valid QSO dates through
December 2006, however. I guess I'll worry about that in another 4
months!

Bob, N7XY

3141. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-16 17:22:03 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3137 Message: 3141

This has been in place for some time now.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 9:28 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

I just renewed my LOTW certificate and noticed that it is now good for 3 years.
Is this something new or did I miss something?
Bill W5EC

3142. Re: LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-17 05:59:19 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3031 Message: 3142

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Gerry,
>
> > To be a "competition" there should be some means of me
> > effecting the results rather than simply waiting and hoping
> > the other stations contacted will upload their logs.
>
> I think those who might be the most serious about a LotW
> "competition" will be serious about seeking out LotW participants
> and encouraging QSO partners who are not currently using LotW to
> become registered and actively participate.
>
> However, I don't see the proposed LotW activity as a competition
> in the sense of a contest like Sweepstakes, ARRL DX, etc. but more
> be more in line with some of CQ's year long operating activities
> or the eDXCC, eVUCC, or eWAS awards being awarded by eQSL. They
> are better characterized as "incentives" ...
>
> The lack of resources committed to LotW (lack of promotion,
> limitations in programming support, etc.) by the Board has been
> stunning. The need for additional resources is critical and
> without promotion LotW will never thrive. While Hans claims
> that promoting LotW is different than promoting SSB, Repeaters
> of packet, LotW is another "modernization" of Amateur Radio -
> without which Amateur Radio will continue to decline.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
> > Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 1:28 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"
> >
> >
> >
> > I have to agree with Hans on this.
> >

> > LoTW is simply a record of transactions.
> >
> > To be a "competition" there should be some means of me
> > effecting the results
> > rather than simply waiting and hoping the other stations
> > contacted will
> > upload their logs.
> >
> > As much as I support LoTW and encourage help and others to us
> > it, I don't
> > see it as a "competition".
> >
> >
> > Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> > DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> > VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> > (403) 251-6520
> > ve6lb@...
> > http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; "'DX-QSO'" <dx-qso@yahoogroups.com>
> > Cc: <n7ng@...>; <k0qb@...>; <n0jph@...>
> > Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 10:12 AM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW "competition"
> >
> >
> > >
> > > K0HB writes:
> > >
> > >> In the 2005 DXCC Yearbook just received, Editor N7NG proposes the
> > >> notion of "competition based on Logbook of The World-only
> > confirmations"
> > >> with plaques and cups, etc.
> > >>
> > >> In my opinion, this idea should not be tossed aside
> > lightly. It should
> > >> be hurled aside with energetic force.
> > >
> > > I disagree with Hans' view with energetic force.
> > >
> > > A "competition based on Logbook of the World-only
> > confirmations" does
> > > not diminish the traditional means of exchanging QSLs for those who
> > > whish to collect them. However, it provides an excellent vehicle
> > > to enable certain "long form" contests (e.g., first to work and
> > > confirm DXCC or WAS on a particular band each month, etc.). These
> > > long form "competitions" and be considerably different than the

```
> > > current crop of all out 24 or 48 "sprints." It enables a
> > redefinition
> > > and expansion of the traditional contest.
> > >
> > > In addition, LotW based "contests" and awards are an excellent way
> > > to promote participation in Logbook of the World. Promotion is
> > > something LotW sorely needs and providing an operating reason to
> > > encourage participation is one way to encourage that participation.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > ... Joe, W4TV
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~-->
> > Check out the new improvements in Yahoo! Groups email.
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/6pRQfA/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---------------------------------------------------------------
> > ------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
```

> >
>
As I see it, the technical work on LOTW is largely "done", and
the software developers are mainly in maintenence mode. Most of
the work now needs to be done on the marketing side, as many have
correctly stated. Domestically, I think LOTW is nearing critical
mass in about 12 to 18 months. Internationally is where the adoption
rate is low.

In order to increase participation in LOTW, I think a plaque should
be awarded for each ARRL DX contest (phone and cw) for the station
who is able to get the most LOTW QSL's for that weekend in the first
30 or 60 days follwing the contest. The might want to restrict the
plaque to DX only. No need for another contest.

Just an idea.

Tim K6GEP
following the contest.

3143. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-17 11:56:11 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 3137 Message: 3143

Obviously nicer although I have no understanding of why it is ever needed to renew. If you keep your key secret it is secure, if you don't it is not so what is the deal.
I see nothing changes except the date.
OTOH since you get three years now it is not so bad.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
¿Quién puede poner puertas al campo? "Cervantes"

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 12:22 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

This has been in place for some time now.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill <mailto:w5ec@bellsouth.net> Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 9:28 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

I just renewed my LOTW certificate and noticed that it is now good for 3 years.
Is this something new or did I miss something?
Bill W5EC

3144. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW "competition"

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-18 16:32:23 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 3031 Message: 3144

On Aug 17, 2006, at 1:59 AM, timgep wrote:

> In order to increase participation in LOTW, I think a plaque should
> be awarded for each ARRL DX contest (phone and cw) for the station
> who is able to get the most LOTW QSL's for that weekend in the first
> 30 or 60 days follwing the contest. The might want to restrict the
> plaque to DX only. No need for another contest.

Might not be a bad idea, with low implementation overhead.

I would make the time period 60 days, though, because many operators
will not upload their LotW logs until the 30-day deadline for contest
log submission has passed.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3145. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Renew Certificates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-18 16:47:21 UTC
From: John E Bastin
Topic: 3137 Message: 3145

On Aug 17, 2006, at 7:56 AM, rojomn wrote:

> Obviously nicer although I have no understanding of why it is ever
> needed to renew.

Your FCC license is only in effect for a designated time. If you don't renew it, the call sign eventually becomes available for another licensee.

If your LotW certificate went on in perpetuity, the new holder of the callsign wouldn't be able to get his/her own certificate to upload records for that call.

I just ran across a glitch in the system when I recently applied for an received a new certificate for my current callsign. The instructions tell you when filling out the renewal application for a certificate to enter the begin date for that callsign and "for current callsigns, leave the end date blank." The problem? My license expiration date is in July of next year. Because I followed those instructions, I now have a certificate good through August of 2009 for a license that expires in 2007.

It shouldn't be a problem, because obviously I plan to renew my license, and I don't plan to change my callsign. But perhaps the instructions should ask the applicant to leave the date blank "if your license expiration date is more than three years in the future, otherwise, enter your license expiration date" and then issue the certificate only for that period.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3146. 4O6DX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-18 17:57:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3146 Message: 3146

4O6DX qsls already received via LoTW.

Just in time for the just released DXBase 2007 Challenge Feature to include them in my Challenge totals.

Great Timing Jack....how did you know???

and
in case anyone is wondering..I'm still sending my usual dxpedition type contribution to K8LEE. I just don't have to spend extra money on return postage, card, and sae.

Join the Army, meet interesting people, kill them.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3147. RE: Certificate Renew, etc.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-18 18:10:42 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3147 Message: 3147

Boy, what a snap!! I had my current call permutations
renewed, but decided to go for it and Renewed certs
for W7LPF/4, HB9IBA, CR7DKG & CT1DKG [just in
case I need to correct anything when I get the books
out and check the hard-copy logs]. While I was at it
I went ahead and applied & got very fast was Certs
for WN7ZQV [1955-56], W7ZQV [61-66], and
W7ZQV/KG6 [63-65]. Am interested to see if I
will get any hits on the 'oldies'.
Now to the files to input!!
73,
JOE W7LPF
Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
MGR: 4L1DA

3148. LoTW users needed for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-19 03:55:47 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3148 Message: 3148

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

Looking for LoTW users from following "easy-to-work" countries for
skeds and fast LoTW-confirmations (WARC bands mainly), need them
badly for Challenge totals, that is what LoTW-activity days would be
useful for...

4X 9A 9H CU EI ES IS0 KP4 LU LZ OE OH OM T9 TA YB YU Z3 ZS

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"When I read about the evils of drinking, I gave up reading." [Henny Youngman]

3149. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW users needed for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-19 11:16:17 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3148 Message: 3149
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3150. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW users needed for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-19 11:33:48 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3148 Message: 3150

On 8/19/06, Prasad <vu2ptt@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Art
>
> If you need VU any time on LoTW, I will be active from the 1st week of September onwards.
>
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT
>

Hi Prasad, good to see you getting the HF station ready . I will look
for you some time , it will be nice to have a QSO with you.

Andy K3UK

--
Andy K3UK
Fredonia, New York.
Skype Me : callto://andyobrien73
Also available via Echolink

3151. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW users needed for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-19 12:31:04 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3148 Message: 3151
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3152. qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-22 20:01:35 UTC
From: py2xb
Topic: 3152 Message: 3152

Hello fellows

What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?

I guess there must be some time window that is accepted, including day change, Correct ?
Does both RST need to match exactly ?

Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP given its not a different location ?

How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
Must the match be perfect ?

I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about system. So far I am pleased with what I saw.

Regards

Fred PY2XB

3153. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-23 01:07:33 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3152 Message: 3153

Hello py2xb,

Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?

p> I guess there must be some time window that is accepted, including day
p> change, Correct ?

30 min

p> Does both RST need to match exactly ?

nope

p> Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP given its
p> not a different location ?

Callsigns must match.

p> How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
p> Must the match be perfect ?

yes

p> I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about system. So
p> far I am pleased with what I saw.

Tnx our 80 m QSO confirmation.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"No answer is also an answer." [German proverb]

3154. LoTw participants

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-24 16:39:23 UTC
From: py2xb@integral.com.br
Topic: 3154 Message: 3154

Gentlemem

Other than the function FIND CALL on the LoTw page is there a way to get a list of calls that have logs uploaded for ?

Thanks Fred PY2XB

3155. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTw participants

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-24 18:04:48 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3154 Message: 3155
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3156. Re: LoTw participants

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-24 20:05:10 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3154 Message: 3156

The free-ware DXLab Suite provides two applications that utilize
HB9BZA's "known internet participants" list:

1. DXView

When you type a callsign into this application, it displays the
callsign's DXCC entity, location, and bearing from your QTH; this
information is displayed both textually, and as a signal path plotted
on a world map along with the solar terminator and auroral zone
boundaries. If you have the appropriate hardware interface, DXView
can rotate your antenna to the correct bearing with a single click.

With the HB9BZA list available, DXView indicates whether the callsign
you entered is know to participate in LotW.

2. SpotCollector

This applications collects DX spots from up to 6 spot sources, such
as telnet clusters, the DX Summit web cluster, or a local packet
cluster. These spots are combined and stored in a local database,
eliminating duplicates and providing one entry for each active DX
station. Such entries are easily sorted, or filtered by callsign,
DXCC entity, band, mode, continent, or spotter location. If you're
logging with DXKeeper (the DXLab Suite's logging component), spot
database entries are colored as to "need" based on DXing objectives
you specify. And if you're logging with DXKeeper, you can upload QSOs
to LotW with a single mouse click, and update your log to reflect
LotW confirmations with another mouse click.

With the HB9BZA list available, SpotCollector highlights the spot
database entries for stations known to participate in LotW.

All members of the DXLab Suite are free, and available via
www.dxlabsuite.com . Installation is fully automated.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Prasad <vu2ptt@...> wrote:
>
> Fred
>
> No, LoTW does not allow you to take out a list of users, however an
> unofficial list is maintained at http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/ and
there is
> also a LoTW Lookup utility for this list at http://www.bbcyber.com/

>
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT --- also on LoTW
>
> On 8/24/06, py2xb@... <py2xb@...> wrote:
> >
> > Gentlemem
> >
> > Other than the function FIND CALL on the LoTw page is there a way
to get a
> > list of calls that have logs uploaded for ?
> >
> > Thanks Fred PY2XB
> >
> >
> >
>

3157. Re: LoTw participants

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-27 23:40:33 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3154 Message: 3157

I have been using "LOTW Query" by http://bbcyber.com/ If you are
already using a logging program and dont want all bells & whistles,
this one does a good no fuss lookup job and easy to keep up to date.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, py2xb@... wrote:
>
> Gentlemem
>
> Other than the function FIND CALL on the LoTw page is there a way to
get a
> list of calls that have logs uploaded for ?
>
> Thanks Fred PY2XB
>

3158. Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 15:08:21 UTC
From: Murray
Topic: 3152 Message: 3158

I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
about this.

(How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
Must the match be perfect ? yes)

I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old
program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In
the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.

Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.

73's KB3LZV

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello py2xb,
>
> Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?
>
> p> I guess there must be some time window that is accepted,
including day
> p> change, Correct ?
>
> 30 min
>
> p> Does both RST need to match exactly ?
>
> nope
>
> p> Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP
given its
> p> not a different location ?
>
> Callsigns must match.
>
>
> p> How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> p> Must the match be perfect ?

>
> yes
>
> p> I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about
system. So
> p> far I am pleased with what I saw.
>
> Tnx our 80 m QSO confirmation.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "No answer is also an answer." [German proverb]
>

3159. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 15:25:15 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3152 Message: 3159

Murray,

I'd suggest you check out the link below for answers to your questions. Most will be there such as: What emission modes are supported by LoTW?

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faqhttps://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Murray" <mahunt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

>I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
> I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
> about this.
>
> (How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> Must the match be perfect ? yes)
>
> I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
> logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old
> program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In
> the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
> personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
> However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
> and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.
>
> Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.
>
> 73's KB3LZV
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>>

>> Hello py2xb,
>>
>> Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>>
>> p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?
>>
>> p> I guess there must be some time window that is accepted,
> including day
>> p> change, Correct ?
>>
>> 30 min
>>
>> p> Does both RST need to match exactly ?
>>
>> nope
>>
>> p> Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP
> given its
>> p> not a different location ?
>>
>> Callsigns must match.
>>
>>
>> p> How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
>> p> Must the match be perfect ?
>>
>> yes
>>
>> p> I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about
> system. So
>> p> far I am pleased with what I saw.
>>
>> Tnx our 80 m QSO confirmation.
>>
>> --
>> 73...Art RX9TX
>>
>> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>>
>> "No answer is also an answer." [German proverb]
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

3160. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 15:40:34 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3152 Message: 3160

What emission modes are supported by LoTW?

LoTW supports the emission modes allowed by the ADIF specification with the exception of "ASCI" (ASCII is a character set, not an emission mode). Each mode is mapped into a MODE GROUP for purposes of matching QSOs. The modes currently allowed by LoTW are:

Mode Mode Group
CW CW
PHONE PHONE
IMAGE IMAGE
DATA DATA
AM PHONE
FM PHONE
SSB PHONE
ATV IMAGE
FAX IMAGE
SSTV IMAGE
AMTOR DATA
CLOVER DATA
FSK31 DATA
FSK441 DATA
GTOR DATA
HELL DATA
HFSK DATA
JT65 DATA
MFSK16 DATA
MFSK8 DATA
MINIRTTY DATA
MT63 DATA
OLIVIA DATA
PACKET DATA
PACTOR DATA
PSK125 DATA
PSK31 DATA
PSK63 DATA
Q15 DATA
RTTY DATA
THROB DATA

This information is part of the FAQ section on the LoTW pages.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Murray wrote:
> I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
> I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
> about this.
>
> (How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> Must the match be perfect ? yes)
>
> I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
> logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old
> program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In
> the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
> personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
> However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
> and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.
>
> Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.
>
> 73's KB3LZV
>

3161. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 15:41:41 UTC
From: Murray Hunt
Topic: 3152 Message: 3161

Gerry,

Big help. Thank you. One last question whats the difference in Phone
Phone and SSB Phone. Could a user have a problem with this?

Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
>
>
> Murray,
>
> I'd suggest you check out the link below for answers to your
> questions. Most
> will be there such as: What emission modes are supported by LoTW?
>
> https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faqhttps://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq
> <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faqhttps://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq>
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@... <mailto:ve6lb%40rac.ca>
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/ <http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Murray" <mahunt@... <mailto:mahunt%40adelphia.net>>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>>
> Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 9:08 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match
>
> >I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
> > I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
> > about this.
> >
> > (How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> > Must the match be perfect ? yes)
> >
> > I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
> > logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old
> > program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In
> > the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
> > personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
> > However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
> > and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.

> >
> > Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.
> >
> > 73's KB3LZV
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
> >>
> >> Hello py2xb,
> >>
> >> Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>:
> >>
> >> p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?
> >>
> >> p> I guess there must be some time window that is accepted,
> > including day
> >> p> change, Correct ?
> >>
> >> 30 min
> >>
> >> p> Does both RST need to match exactly ?
> >>
> >> nope
> >>
> >> p> Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP
> > given its
> >> p> not a different location ?
> >>
> >> Callsigns must match.
> >>
> >>
> >> p> How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> >> p> Must the match be perfect ?
> >>
> >> yes
> >>
> >> p> I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about
> > system. So
> >> p> far I am pleased with what I saw.
> >>
> >> Tnx our 80 m QSO confirmation.
> >>
> >> --
> >> 73...Art RX9TX

> >>
> >> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru <http://rx9tx.qrz.ru>
> >>
> >> "No answer is also an answer." [German proverb]
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3162. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 15:46:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3152 Message: 3162

I'm not sure I follow everything you said.
But...

Say you operate PSK63 or Olivia.
The tQSL program has the ability to ente mode specifics.
Under File, select Preferences> ADIF MODES

and name your mode to one of the conventional modes.
For instance, DXBase can log many of the varieties of PSK
and I entered
PSK63F->PSK63

Which took my logs adif mode name of PSK63F and sent it to LoTW with the mode name of PSK63.
LoTW doesn't care about the 'exact flavor' of the digital modes, just the basic mode name.

Is that what you were inquiring about?

If my logging program recorded a qso with KB3LZV as USB and your submission just said "Phone" or "SSB"
The LoTW qsl I would see....would indate USB and the one you saw would indicate Phone.

In fact...I recently clicked on the wrong 'digital' mode prior to uploading to LoTW.
I marked it as PSK while the other person had it correctly uploaded as RTTY.

The LoTW qsl he received indicated RTTY while the LoTW QSL I saw on my screen indicated PSK.

At the present time...all phone counts for phone, regardless of it being SSB or AM or (most forget that SSTV counts as a phone qso).
All rtty, and the various flavors of PSK, MFSK, Olivia, Picollo, etc count towards digital.

At least that's what I deriving from my DXCC totals.
Hope I didn't screw this up but sure someone will correct any misunderstanding I have.

On 8/28/2006 10:08:21 AM, Murray (mahunt@...) wrote:
> I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
> I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
> about this.
>
> (How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> Must the match be perfect ? yes)
>
> I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
> logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old
> program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In

> the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
> personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
> However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
> and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.
>
> Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.
>
> 73's KB3LZV
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com], Art RX9TX
> <rx9tx@...> wrote:
> >
> > Hello py2xb,
> >
> > Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
> > mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]:
> >
> > p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?
> >
> > p> I guess there must be some time window t

3163. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 16:57:50 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3152 Message: 3163

Murray.

All voice contact count a PHONE for DXCC purposes.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Murray Hunt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 9:41 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

Gerry,

Big help. Thank you. One last question whats the difference in Phone Phone and SSB Phone. Could a user have a problem with this?

Gerry Hohn wrote:

Murray,

I'd suggest you check out the link below for answers to your questions. Most
will be there such as: What emission modes are supported by LoTW?

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faqhttps://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Murray" <mahunt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 9:08 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: qso match

>I am new to the group, and this was my big question I wanted answered.
> I was reading over this responce and I have would like to comment
> about this.
>
> (How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
> Must the match be perfect ? yes)
>
> I am sure this is the same for SSB Voice. I am not sure about how all
> logging programs work with LOTW, but I know that when I used my old

> program that had LOTW upload the input for SSB used "SSB Voice" In
> the program I use now it SSB. So if I KB3LZV talked to My other
> personality KB3LZV-1 and the QSO times and calls matched on upload.
> However; If KB3LZV-1 Used my old olgging program an inputed SSB Voice,
> and KB3LZV inputed SSB. Would the QSO match.
>
> Anyways I hope this mess that I typed is understandable.
>
> 73's KB3LZV
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>>
>> Hello py2xb,
>>
>> Wednesday, August 23, 2006 py2xb wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>>
>> p> What is the criteria for LOTW to match a QSO and produce a QSL ?
>>
>> p> I guess there must be some time window that is accepted,
> including day
>> p> change, Correct ?
>>
>> 30 min
>>
>> p> Does both RST need to match exactly ?
>>
>> nope
>>
>> p> Also, if one signs CALL/QRP, must both sides matches the /QRP
> given its
>> p> not a different location ?
>>
>> Callsigns must match.
>>
>>
>> p> How about mode ? PSK (generic) versus PSK31 or other digital modes.
>> p> Must the match be perfect ?
>>
>> yes
>>
>> p> I have uploaded about 3K qsos to have an initial idea about
> system. So
>> p> far I am pleased with what I saw.
>>
>> Tnx our 80 m QSO confirmation.

>>
>> --
>> 73...Art RX9TX
>>
>> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>>
>> "No answer is also an answer." [German proverb]
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3164. LOTW progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 17:24:36 UTC
From: Roger D Johnson
Topic: 3164 Message: 3164

I just uploaded a batch of QSO's. It appears that LOTW has
not been updated in a while. Only one of my VU4 contacts is
recognized as Andamans, KH8SI is not recognized as Swains Is
and 4O3T is not recognized as Montenegro. Also, LOTW shows
I have 11,778 QSO's in the database while I show 11,988 in
my DX4Win log! I have no idea where the missing 210 QSO's
are!

73, Roger

--
Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
http://ussliberty.org/

3165. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 17:53:36 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3164 Message: 3165

I'd suggest you check you uploaded logs. If you sent the right ADIF country codes for your QSOs, LoTW would recognize them.

Many have valid LoTW QSLs for 4O6DX, Montenegro.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Roger D Johnson" <n1rj@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 11:24 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW progress

>I just uploaded a batch of QSO's. It appears that LOTW has
> not been updated in a while. Only one of my VU4 contacts is
> recognized as Andamans, KH8SI is not recognized as Swains Is
> and 4O3T is not recognized as Montenegro. Also, LOTW shows
> I have 11,778 QSO's in the database while I show 11,988 in
> my DX4Win log! I have no idea where the missing 210 QSO's
> are!
>
> 73, Roger
>
> --
> Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
> http://ussliberty.org/
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3166. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW progress

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 20:41:20 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3164 Message: 3166

Logbook of the World only identifies the country of the QSO
when it is matched. The country information comes from the
"certificate" used by the other station to "sign" his log
before uploading.

Until the KH8SI crew decides to upload their logs, your KH8SI
QSO will not show any country. The reason that one of your
VU4 QSOs shows "Andaman Island" is because the matching QSO
record has been uploaded.

As to missing QSOs, I would look at your log for QSOs with
invalid modes, missing/invalid information (date, band, etc.),
or illegal calls (WORD vs. W0RD, etc.). tQSL will not upload
QSOs that do not pass validation checks at signing time.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Roger D Johnson
> Sent: Monday, August 28, 2006 1:25 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW progress
>
>
> I just uploaded a batch of QSO's. It appears that LOTW has
> not been updated in a while. Only one of my VU4 contacts is
> recognized as Andamans, KH8SI is not recognized as Swains Is
> and 4O3T is not recognized as Montenegro. Also, LOTW shows
> I have 11,778 QSO's in the database while I show 11,988 in
> my DX4Win log! I have no idea where the missing 210 QSO's
> are!
>
> 73, Roger
>
> --
> Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
> http://ussliberty.org/
>

3167. DXCCdata entry person errors

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-28 21:26:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3167 Message: 3167

Before the people at the DXCC desk throw a rock at me....I've found quite a few of my own errors this way. Marking the wrong qso as credited, which skewed my band totals. Actually messing up the callsign as compared to the card. So don't take this as a complaint.

With the aid of using LoTW's Awards module, you can now see all the callsigns, date, band, mode for each entity that you have both DXCC and Challenge credit for.
(I haven't gotten to checking WAS as yet).

I am finding some data entry errors on the part of the DXCC typists.
Usually minor things like transposing month/day
an occasional 10 meters/10 megs type thing.
Even a few were Callsigns were misread.
Not the Entity... but like W9OL would be entered W9LO.

So far, I've not found anything like a mode error, but I've got about 2K worth of entries to check yet.

I did find out that prior to 1998, the year of the qso was entered but not the date. Then the newer software filled in the missing month/day with a default 01/01. This is of no consequence really.

The whole point of this is....when you get cards back, it's a lot easier to just check those against the files in LoTW than to wait till you see a problem and have to wade through hundreds..

Cross country skiing is great if you live in a small country. -- Steven Wright
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3168. LOTW User Number Increases

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-29 15:53:59 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3168 Message: 3168

As many know HB9BZA and I have been keeping up a list of known LOTW users for about a year and a half. The numbers have increased continuously since the start, currently 13285 users with logs from 298 countries. This week, a significantly higher number of new users were identified (147 US callsigns in four days). A lot of these new users' callsigns are familiar to me as DXers. I feel the reason is that 406DX put his logs on LOTW and is giving many DXers their first confirmation for Montenegro. Even with direct QSLs showing up for other Montenegro QSOs, the effect of LOTW submissions is significant. We can only hope that other DX callsigns and more of those working the contests this fall will upload their logs to LOTW, which should really boost the number of users. WE NEED MORE LOTWREPORT.ADI files to identify new users. Send files to bb@... or to HB9BZA.

The user list only identifies those users that have provided an LOTW QSL to another LOTW user that then submits his LOTWREPORT.ADI file to either me or HB9BZA for processing and addition to the list. From the LOTW website, a user can request a LOTWREPORT be generated and downloaded to his computer, which then may be forwarded to us for inclusion of any newly identified users in the listing. Some logging software uses the database they I keep up-to-date to identify spots from packetclusters and web TELNET DXClusters. DXLAB's SPOTCOLLECTOR is one of those programs that use the list. The purpose is the identification is to know which spots have a higher probability of producing a LOTW QSL (valid for DXCC).

If you want to use the list, but don't using logging software, see the FREE LOTW User Query program, with updatable database file at www.bbcyber.com . Also, a link to a free searchable database on the internet is available from the same website.

Bill, N5RR

3169. LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 16:04:05 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3169

I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last night informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I scrupulously followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went well until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email this morning. The renewal web site says

"When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving only your renewed certificate."

In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert now displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries. Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed certificate.

Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure described on the web site?

What's the workaround?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3170. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 16:19:26 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3169 Message: 3170

Hello Dave,

Dave, read this

Jul 6, 2006: Renewing a Certificate leaves the Old Certificate also --
Here's a hint involved with your current renewals. When using the
latest version of TQSL software (tqsl-111), you must manually remove
your old certificate/private key combo, after renewing. Using this
version, the old certificate is not automatically removed upon
successfully loading the new .tq6 certificate file. To remove the old
certificate, right-click on a certificate with your callsign and
select "Properties" from the box. Check the serial number and the
expiration date. After you find the old certificate, right-click on
that cert and select "Delete" from the box. This is a good time to
SAVE your cert/private key combo. In TQSLCert, right-click on the line
with the callsign of interest and select "Save" from the box. Select a
destination and click save. Keep the resulting <callsign>.p12 file in
a safe place. Loading this file is the only way to recover from a
crash or move to a new machine.

DB> I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
DB> process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last night
DB> informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I scrupulously
DB> followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went well
DB> until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email this
DB> morning. The renewal web site says

DB> "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
DB> circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
DB> only your renewed certificate."

DB> In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert now
DB> displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
DB> Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
DB> certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
DB> certificate.

DB> Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure described
DB> on the web site?

DB> What's the workaround?

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"A person needs a little madness, or else they never dare cut the rope and be free." [Nikos Kazantzakis]

3171. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:10:38 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 3169 Message: 3171

Strange Dave as I renewed mine last month and it went exactly as the instructions said.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 9:04 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

> I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last night
> informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I scrupulously
> followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went well
> until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email this
> morning. The renewal web site says
>
> "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> only your renewed certificate."
>
> In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert now
> displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
> Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
> certificate.
>
> Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure described
> on the web site?
>
> What's the workaround?
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>

3172. Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:32:56 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3172

Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Rod? Art's message implies
that TQSLCert's failure to delete the old certificate is unique to
that release.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Strange Dave as I renewed mine last month and it went exactly as
the
> instructions said.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 9:04 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem
>
>
> > I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
night
> > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
scrupulously
> > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
well
> > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
this
> > morning. The renewal web site says
> >
> > "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> > circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> > only your renewed certificate."
> >
> > In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert
now
> > displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
> > Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> > certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
> > certificate.
> >
> > Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure

described
> > on the web site?
> >
> > What's the workaround?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3173. LOTW User Number Increases

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:31:54 UTC
From: py2xb@integral.com.br
Topic: 3168 Message: 3173

Bill, I would like to share why I have finally adopted LoTw. Perhaps it can help to bring other users who may be in a similar situation.

I have received LoTw certificates that have expired and I have never really made the move to adopt LoTw. The idea to use LoTw did not seemed right, mostly because I was worried about the sensation of loss of not receiving paper qsl cards. I still have a great pleasure when opening an envelope and look at a new QSL card that just arrived. Also, when a pile of cards comes from the bureau, it gives me a lot of pleasure.

I have a straight criteria to send qsl cards via de Bureau to every new band/mode QSO. After logging over 50K qsos (contests not included) I changed this criteria because the time needed to accomplish the task and also because the money involved. Actually I will abandon this way of qsling very shortly. I will only reply cards received via bureau or direct.

So, I needed to face LoTw. After all, the world is becoming electronic and on-line. I have been using electronic logs since 1993 and I have not lost the pleasure of logging contacts. I have been using Internet since it was available without loosing the pleasure of reading a book or the latest news. I have been booking flights and making hotel reservations online, without loosing the pleasure of traveling. So, why it would be different with LoTw?

One should know that foreign hams must mail a proof of license to ARRL to conclude the primary certificate submission. After understanding the security schema and passing thru the first steps of the system, one will definitely find pleasure on using it. The software is well done and so far all I used worked extremely well. After updating the log, 3K5 QSLs appeared instantly. Then, new qsls arrive every day. Very similar pleasure!

Needless to say that the submission method of DXCC QSLs are more efficient and straight forward. All is there. Information of cards checked per band or mode can be seen instantly. New pleasure!

The side effect is that I will have more time to do other things and it is likely that I will spend less money. My commitment to other hams to qsl all qsos remains. It is now more efficient.

I strongly suggest to all who are hesitating to do the final step to use LoTw, to do it. Fun is guaranteed.

Fred PY2XB
Py2xb@...

3174. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:26:27 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3169 Message: 3174

My RED circled certificates have never gone away when I load the new ones (I have 10 call variations). I have to go in and manually delete the old one (Checking in it's "properties" to make sure it's the old one).

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:04 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

> I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last night
> informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I scrupulously
> followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went well
> until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email this
> morning. The renewal web site says
>
> "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> only your renewed certificate."
>
> In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert now
> displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
> Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
> certificate.
>
> Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure described
> on the web site?
>
> What's the workaround?
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3175. Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:29:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3175

Where did you find that, Art?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Dave,
>
> Dave, read this
>
> -------------------
>
> Jul 6, 2006: Renewing a Certificate leaves the Old Certificate
also --
> Here's a hint involved with your current renewals. When using
the
> latest version of TQSL software (tqsl-111), you must manually
remove
> your old certificate/private key combo, after renewing. Using
this
> version, the old certificate is not automatically removed
upon
> successfully loading the new .tq6 certificate file. To remove the
old
> certificate, right-click on a certificate with your callsign
and
> select "Properties" from the box. Check the serial number and
the
> expiration date. After you find the old certificate, right-click
on
> that cert and select "Delete" from the box. This is a good time
to
> SAVE your cert/private key combo. In TQSLCert, right-click on the
line
> with the callsign of interest and select "Save" from the box.
Select a
> destination and click save. Keep the resulting <callsign>.p12 file
in
> a safe place. Loading this file is the only way to recover
from a
> crash or move to a new machine.
>
>
> -------------------

>
>
> DB> I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> DB> process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
night
> DB> informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
scrupulously
> DB> followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
well
> DB> until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
this
> DB> morning. The renewal web site says
>
> DB> "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> DB> circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate,
leaving
> DB> only your renewed certificate."
>
> DB> In my case, the original certificate did not "go away".
TQSLCert now
> DB> displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA"
entries.
> DB> Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> DB> certificate that expires next month, and the other is the
renewed
> DB> certificate.
>
> DB> Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure
described
> DB> on the web site?
>
> DB> What's the workaround?
>
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "A person needs a little madness, or else they never dare cut the
rope and be free." [Nikos Kazantzakis]
>

3176. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:44:52 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3169 Message: 3176

>
> Where did you find that, Art?
>

HERE ----> https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/default

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3177. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:41:49 UTC
From: WC7N
Topic: 3169 Message: 3177

Yep it says 1.11.0.0

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:32 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

> Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Rod? Art's message implies
> that TQSLCert's failure to delete the old certificate is unique to
> that release.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
>>
>> Strange Dave as I renewed mine last month and it went exactly as
> the
>> instructions said.
>>
>> Rod WC7N
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 9:04 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem
>>
>>
>> > I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
>> > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
> night
>> > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
> scrupulously
>> > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
> well
>> > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
> this
>> > morning. The renewal web site says
>> >

>> > "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
>> > circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
>> > only your renewed certificate."
>> >
>> > In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert
> now
>> > displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
>> > Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
>> > certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
>> > certificate.
>> >
>> > Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure
> described
>> > on the web site?
>> >
>> > What's the workaround?
>> >
>> > 73,
>> >
>> > Dave, AA6YQ
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3178. Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 17:45:04 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3178

Are you saying that after performing a "Load Certificate" on the
newly arrived .tq6 file, your old certificate remains visible in
TQSLCert -- but with a red circle?

Mine remained visible, but the red circle dissappeared.

Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Gerry?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> My RED circled certificates have never gone away when I load the
new ones (I
> have 10 call variations). I have to go in and manually delete the
old one
> (Checking in it's "properties" to make sure it's the old one).
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:04 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem
>
>
> > I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
night
> > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
scrupulously
> > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
well
> > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
this
> > morning. The renewal web site says

> >
> > "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> > circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> > only your renewed certificate."
> >
> > In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert
now
> > displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
> > Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> > certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
> > certificate.
> >
> > Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure
described
> > on the web site?
> >
> > What's the workaround?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3179. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 18:01:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3169 Message: 3179

I have tQSL version 1.11
and my 'old, but not yet expired certificates' had to be deleted manually when I renewed ahead of the deadline last month.

and I wish the LoTW authors would make the new certificates at least appear in a different form or show their new expiry dates.

I inadvertently deleted the wrong one, but fortunately had saved the p12 file and could easily reinstall.

I found that for each of my 12 calls, the expiry order was random.
the old cert would be first for one call and maybe not for the other.

So a nice feature would be (for me)
(icon) W9OL - USA Aug-1-2006
(icon) W9OL - USA Aug-1-2009

(yes all my new certs were for 3 years)

Now all I have to do is outlive my certificates. <wink>

On 8/30/2006 12:32:56 PM, Dave Bernstein (aa6yq@...) wrote:
> Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Rod?
> Art's message implies
> that TQSLCert's failure to delete the old
> certificate is unique to
> that release.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> "WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
> >
> > Strange Dave as I renewed mine last month and it went exactly as
> the
> > instructions said.
> >
> > Rod WC7N
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> com]>
> > Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 9:04 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem

> >
> >
> > >
> I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> > > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
> night
> > > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
> scrupulously
> > > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
> well
> > > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
> this
> > > morning. The renewal web site says
> > >
> > > "When yo

3180. Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 18:29:31 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3180

Thanks Hans.

So there's a defect in TQSLCert 1.11 and there's a workaround for this defect, but the workaround is referred to as a "hint", and does not appear in the renewal instructions at the URL to which users are directed by the "you must renew" email from the ARRL.

Instead, this "hint" is presented as the 10th item in a list of 34 in the "News and Notes" section of the "Logbook User's Home Page". I wonder where else we should be searching for clues; is there a secret LotW video on YouTube?

I understand that LotW is seriously under-staffed, but surely someone there can take 5 minutes to update the renewal instructions web page.

Even better would be to correct the defect in TQSLCert, as I'm sure that some percentage of users indadvertently delete their new certificate rather than their old one when attempting to apply the workaround.

Hint: the use of a software application should not be thought of as a game in which users must seek clues and hints in order to achieve their objectives. This is not a foxhunt.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>
> >
> > Where did you find that, Art?
> >
>
> HERE ----> https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/default
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

3181. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 18:35:37 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3169 Message: 3181

Dave,

The "red circle" is actually the circle with diagonal bar which shows
that entry is "not valid." It appears on the "renewal request" until
the new certificate is received from ARRL and loaded.

In truth, tQSL should be able to keep both he old and new certificate
available until the old certificate actually expires ... at that time
the OLD certificate should display with the circle and bar as an
indication that it can be deleted. I have left a old (unrenewed)
certificate long enough to see what will happen.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 1:45 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem
>
>
> Are you saying that after performing a "Load Certificate" on the
> newly arrived .tq6 file, your old certificate remains visible in
> TQSLCert -- but with a red circle?
>
> Mine remained visible, but the red circle dissappeared.
>
> Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Gerry?
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > My RED circled certificates have never gone away when I load the
> new ones (I
> > have 10 call variations). I have to go in and manually delete the
> old one
> > (Checking in it's "properties" to make sure it's the old one).
> >
> > Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX

> > DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> > VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> > (403) 251-6520
> > ve6lb@...
> > http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:04 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem
> >
> >
> > > I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> > > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
> night
> > > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
> scrupulously
> > > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
> well
> > > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
> this
> > > morning. The renewal web site says
> > >
> > > "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> > > circle/bar will go away as will the original certificate, leaving
> > > only your renewed certificate."
> > >
> > > In my case, the original certificate did not "go away". TQSLCert
> now
> > > displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" entries.
> > > Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> > > certificate that expires next month, and the other is the renewed
> > > certificate.
> > >
> > > Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure
> described
> > > on the web site?
> > >
> > > What's the workaround?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3182. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 18:46:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3169 Message: 3182

Dave
Can you give me the link to that "News and Notes" section of the "Logbook User's Home Page".

I must be searching on the wrong thing.

On 8/30/2006 1:29:31 PM, Dave Bernstein (aa6yq@...) wrote:
> Thanks Hans.
>
> So there's a defect in TQSLCert 1.11 and there's a workaround for this
> defect, but the workaround is referred to as a "hint", and does not
> appear in the renewal instructions at the URL to which users are
> directed by the "you must renew" email from the ARRL.
>
> Instead, this "hint" is presented as the 10th item in a list of 34 in
> the "News and Notes" section of the "Logbook User's Home Page". I
> wonder where else we should be searching for clues; is there a secret
> LotW video on YouTube?
>
> I understand that LotW is seriously under-staffed, but surely someone
> there can take 5 minutes to update the renewal instructions web page.
>
> Even better would be to correct the defect in TQSLCert, as I'm sure
> that some percentage of users indadvertently delete their new
> certificate rather than their old one when attempting to apply the
> workaround.
>
> Hint: the use of a software application should not be thought of as a
> game in which users must seek clues and hints in order to achieve their
> objectives. This is not a foxhunt.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com], "K0HB

3183. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 19:14:27 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3169 Message: 3183

Right on the main page: https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/default
once you have logged in.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 2:46 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem
>
>
> Dave
> Can you give me the link to that "News and Notes" section of
> the "Logbook User's Home
> Page".
>
> I must be searching on the wrong thing.
>
>
>
> On 8/30/2006 1:29:31 PM, Dave Bernstein (aa6yq@...) wrote:
> > Thanks Hans.
> >
> > So there's a defect in TQSLCert 1.11 and there's a
> workaround for this
> > defect, but the workaround is referred to as a "hint", and does not
> > appear in the renewal instructions at the URL to which users are
> > directed by the "you must renew" email from the ARRL.
> >
> > Instead, this "hint" is presented as the 10th item in a
> list of 34 in
> > the "News and Notes" section of the "Logbook User's Home Page". I
> > wonder where else we should be searching for clues; is
> there a secret
> > LotW video on YouTube?
> >
> > I understand that LotW is seriously under-staffed, but
> surely someone
> > there can take 5 minutes to update the renewal instructions
> web page.
> >
> > Even better would be to correct the defect in TQSLCert, as I'm sure

> > that some percentage of users indadvertently delete their new
> > certificate rather than their old one when attempting to apply the
> > workaround.
> >
> > Hint: the use of a software application should not be
> thought of as a
> > game in which users must seek clues and hints in order to
> achieve their
> > objectives. This is not a foxhunt.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
> mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com], "K0HB
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3184. Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 19:16:51 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3169 Message: 3184

https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/default

Keep scrolling till you get to July 6.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Dave
> Can you give me the link to that "News and Notes" section of
the "Logbook User's Home
> Page".
>
> I must be searching on the wrong thing.
>
>
>
> On 8/30/2006 1:29:31 PM, Dave Bernstein (aa6yq@...) wrote:
> > Thanks Hans.
> >
> > So there's a defect in TQSLCert 1.11 and there's a workaround for
this
> > defect, but the workaround is referred to as a "hint", and does
not
> > appear in the renewal instructions at the URL to which users are
> > directed by the "you must renew" email from the ARRL.
> >
> > Instead, this "hint" is presented as the 10th item in a list of
34 in
> > the "News and Notes" section of the "Logbook User's Home Page". I
> > wonder where else we should be searching for clues; is there a
secret
> > LotW video on YouTube?
> >
> > I understand that LotW is seriously under-staffed, but surely
someone
> > there can take 5 minutes to update the renewal instructions web
page.
> >
> > Even better would be to correct the defect in TQSLCert, as I'm
sure
> > that some percentage of users indadvertently delete their new
> > certificate rather than their old one when attempting to apply the
> > workaround.

> >
> > Hint: the use of a software application should not be thought of
as a
> > game in which users must seek clues and hints in order to achieve
their
> > objectives. This is not a foxhunt.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com], "K0HB
>

3185. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 20:10:10 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3169 Message: 3185

Further to my last post ... Dave's question caused me to check my own
certificates. Surprise - they all expire on Friday!

I immediately uploaded certificate renewals and had the new certificates
within an hour. They were loaded into tQSL Cert which resulted in two
visible certificates for each call. On a chance, I adjusted the clock
in my computer to Saturday and restarted tQSL Cert...

Another surprise! The old ("expired") certificates were no longer visible
in tQSL Cert. I then opened tQSL, found an old *.adi file and proceeded
to sign it using the new/renewed certificate (and Saturday's date).

In essence, when one renews a certificate, tQSL and tQSL Cert will have
two valid certificates for the period of time between receipt of the new
certificate and the expiration of the old certificate. The old certificate
will "self destruct" automatically at expiration with no need for any user
intervention. This is the safest way for the system to behave ...

It would be nice if the instructions were updated to reflect the actual
behavior and note there is no need (other than a for cosmetic reasons) for
the user to actually delete the "old" certificate and risk deleting the
wrong
one.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
> Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 2:36 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem
>
>
>
> Dave,
>
> The "red circle" is actually the circle with diagonal bar which shows
> that entry is "not valid." It appears on the "renewal request" until
> the new certificate is received from ARRL and loaded.
>
> In truth, tQSL should be able to keep both he old and new certificate
> available until the old certificate actually expires ... at that time
> the OLD certificate should display with the circle and bar as an
> indication that it can be deleted. I have left a old (unrenewed)

> certificate long enough to see what will happen.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> > Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 1:45 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem
> >
> >
> > Are you saying that after performing a "Load Certificate" on the
> > newly arrived .tq6 file, your old certificate remains visible in
> > TQSLCert -- but with a red circle?
> >
> > Mine remained visible, but the red circle dissappeared.
> >
> > Are you running TQSLCert version 1.11, Gerry?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > My RED circled certificates have never gone away when I load the
> > new ones (I
> > > have 10 call variations). I have to go in and manually delete the
> > old one
> > > (Checking in it's "properties" to make sure it's the old one).
> > >
> > > Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> > > DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> > > VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> > > (403) 251-6520
> > > ve6lb@...
> > > http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> > > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:04 AM

> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LotW renewal problem
> > >
> > >
> > > > I've heard from several LotW users that the certificate renewal
> > > > process is flawed, so when I received email from the ARRL last
> > night
> > > > informing me that my certificate was about to expire, I
> > scrupulously
> > > > followed the instructions on the ARRL web site. Everything went
> > well
> > > > until I processed the renewed certificate that arrived by email
> > this
> > > > morning. The renewal web site says
> > > >
> > > > "When your renewal .tq6 file is returned and loaded, the red
> > > > circle/bar will go away as will the original
> certificate, leaving
> > > > only your renewed certificate."
> > > >
> > > > In my case, the original certificate did not "go away".
> TQSLCert
> > now
> > > > displays two identical "AA6YQ - UNITED STATES OF
> AMERICA" entries.
> > > > Right-clicking and selecting Properties reveals that one is the
> > > > certificate that expires next month, and the other is
> the renewed
> > > > certificate.
> > > >
> > > > Is this a defect in TQSLCert, or an error in the procedure
> > described
> > > > on the web site?
> > > >
> > > > What's the workaround?
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > >
> > > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3186. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LotW renewal problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-08-30 20:43:40 UTC
From: jbastin
Topic: 3169 Message: 3186

--- "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:

>
> In truth, tQSL should be able to keep both he old and new certificate
> available until the old certificate actually expires ... at that time
> the OLD certificate should display with the circle and bar as an
> indication that it can be deleted. I have left a old (unrenewed)
> certificate long enough to see what will happen.

I tried that and learned that as soon as you apply for and receive a new certificate, your old certificate is no longer accepted at LotW.

I complained to Wayne about the discrepancy between the instructions that come with the renewal letter (where it says the old certificate will automatically be deleted) and the information on the LotW page, where the info says to be aware that the old certificate will not be deleted. He replied and told me that it was not a discrepancy, but just a difference in the way the versions of tQSL operate. If you have the older version, the old certificate is deleted upon renewal, if you have 1.11, it is not. So the instructions are kept for those who haven't updated to the latest version (even though there are displays all over the web site telling you to MAKE SURE you have the latest version).

It's not a huge deal, my recent renewal went like clockwork and I merely discarded the old certificate when it was complete.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3187. received today 4W63B

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-03 19:02:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3187 Message: 3187

4W6EB via LoTW.
Pretty sure that's CT1EEB, Jose.
For a 17 meter SSB qso back in 2000.

The only way to get rid of a temptation is to yield to it.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3188. Cert renewal

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-05 18:05:55 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3188 Message: 3188

I just renewed 2 LOTW certificates. It only took several hours to get the new certificates back from the ARRL. Once I received them Using the instructions in the e-mail that accompanied the certificates I doubled clicked on the attached certificate and it self loaded in the TQSLcert program which removed the red circle with the line cert. I was then left with 2 W4HY cert's. I right clicked on both to determine which was the old one and deleted it. Done.

I then did a download of new QSL's and it works fine. BTW it is a 3 year cert.

Julio, W4HY

3189. Low Band ops use LoTW more

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-10 12:26:56 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3189 Message: 3189

Why are low band ops more likely to qsl via LoTW?
And
Why are low band ops more likely to qsl at all?

I apologize for the report, for some reason my report to htm converter goofs up linefeeds.

http://www.qsl.net/w9ol/w9ol_lotw.htm

I shook my family tree and a bunch of nuts fell out.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3190. RT66 Citrus Belt Amatuer Radio Club

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-11 10:07:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3190 Message: 3190

Sure would be nice if the Citrus Belt Amateur Radio Club, who is sponsoring the Rt. 66 Special Event each year, would join the LoTW and have their various RT 66 station submit their logs.

Save a lot of postage and time for all concerned.

I searched for an email for CBAC and was unlucky.

Anyone with an email for CBAC, please send it to me or forward this email.

In fact, LoTW would be perfect system for all Special Event operations.
A participant would still have to send in for the certificate, but the log checking would be so much easier.

If everything is coming your way then you're in the wrong lane.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3191. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RT66 Citrus Belt Amatuer Radio Club

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-11 12:06:00 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 3190 Message: 3191

On Sep 11, 2006, at 6:07 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> Sure would be nice if the Citrus Belt Amateur Radio Club, who is
> sponsoring the Rt. 66
> Special Event each year, would join the LoTW and have their various
> RT 66 station submit
> their logs.
>
> Save a lot of postage and time for all concerned.
>
> I searched for an email for CBAC and was unlucky.
>
> Anyone with an email for CBAC, please send it to me or forward this
> email.

http://www2.arrl.org/FandES/field/club/detail.html?master_id=0004001667

>
> In fact, LoTW would be perfect system for all Special Event
> operations.
> A participant would still have to send in for the certificate, but
> the log checking would
> be so much easier.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> If everything is coming your way then you're in the wrong lane.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

Hope this helps.

73,

John E Bastin, K8AJS
jbastin@...

3192. New Member

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-12 01:57:07 UTC
From: iz5 ekv
Topic: 3192 Message: 3192

Dear OM,
i'm IZ5EKV and i'm new member of this group.Tnx for all an good dx!!

73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio.CIAO!!!

www.iz5ekv.135.it

3193. Drinking song

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-22 21:39:40 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3193 Message: 3193

```
PROGRAMMER'S DRINKING SONG

100 little bugs in the code,
100 bugs in the code,

fix one bug, compile it again,
101 little bugs in the code.

101 little bugs in the code,
100 bugs in the code,

fix one bug, compile it again,
102 little bugs in the code.

102 little bugs in the code.....
Repeat until BUGS = 0

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
```

3194. Re: [OnLineQSL] Drinking song

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-24 16:07:08 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3194 Message: 3194

Nuts! The only problem we programmers have is allowing others to use our code. Up to that point life is a bed of roses.

BTW: Old programmers never die; they just decompile.

Simon Brown

RSGB HF Convention October 2006: http://www.rsgb-hfc.org.uk/

----- Original Message -----
From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>

> PROGRAMMER'S DRINKING SONG
>
> 100 little bugs in the code,
> 100 bugs in the code,
>

Access violation

3195. Problem Loading up 2nd call sign log book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-29 23:52:48 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 3195 Message: 3195

Hi to everyonr on the list (1st time user)

my question / problem is that I can not get my 2nd set of logs to upload to the site - I have a cert for it but everytime i upload, it wont take it.
I had no problem uploading my current call logs after receiving my cert.
(HELP Please }
Tnx's & 73
Mike (W8EMT)

3196. Re: Problem Loading up 2nd call sign log book

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-09-30 16:11:24 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3195 Message: 3196

The second cert is not the only requirement. The log adi file must be run thru TQSL with the old call's cert selected. This "signs" the log adi file with the proper call. I think that LOTW will have a problem with the file if the log is "signed" with one call and the station call in the log doesn't agree with it.

I might suggest that you try DX Keeper (from DX Lab Suite) as a logging program. It automates alot of the steps in submitting to LotW and it is free. It also has other intersting program modules which are quite nice and free. Check the reviews on Eham or just download and try it.

Regards Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike" <MLSW8EMT@...> wrote:
>
> Hi to everyonr on the list (1st time user)
>
> my question / problem is that I can not get my 2nd set of logs to
> upload to the site - I have a cert for it but everytime i upload, it
> wont take it.
> I had no problem uploading my current call logs after receiving my
> cert.
> (HELP Please }
> Tnx's & 73
> Mike (W8EMT)
>

3197. another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-01 15:39:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3197 Message: 3197

SV8CS 2006-09-30 20:13:08 30M PSK31 10.14270 GREECE

TG9AKH 2006-09-30 23:10:10 12M RTTY 24.92158 GUATEMALA

This new band/mode confirmation actually beat the elaped time between
working and confirmation for the SV8 station by a few minutes.

Nice to see more dx stations taking part in LoTW.
It does seem that rtty/digital stations are more likely to be utilzing LoTW
more than cw/ssb stations.
Probably becuase the data modes operators are more likely to be computer
driven.

Regardless, that's two more band/mode I don't have to spend money/time
trying to get confirmed.

My thanks to Spiros and Mario for the qsos and LoTW qsls.

All great discoveries are made by mistake.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3198. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-01 22:36:48 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3197 Message: 3198

On Sun, Oct 01, 2006 at 10:39:04AM -0500, FireBrick wrote:
> It does seem that rtty/digital stations are more likely to be utilzing LoTW
> more than cw/ssb stations.

Certainly does. I've got 9 QSLs for the CQWW RTTY contest last weekend -
and I only made 47 contacts. Three of those QSLs were instant when I
uploaded my log the morning after the contest, and the others have
trickled in over the weekend.

No new ones for me among those though (yet).

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3199. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-01 23:36:30 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 3197 Message: 3199

I have noticed the same trend. I made 316 Q's in
last weekend's CQWW RTTY and within an hour,
I received 35 QSLs. Just checked, a few minutes
ago, and now have 72 QSLs. There were 10 new
ones among them, but then I just got on RTTY
in February, 2006.
Lance WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish Moffatt" <hamish@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2006 5:36 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] another fast one via LoTW

On Sun, Oct 01, 2006 at 10:39:04AM -0500,
FireBrick wrote:
> It does seem that rtty/digital stations are more
likely to be utilzing LoTW
> more than cw/ssb stations.

Certainly does. I've got 9 QSLs for the CQWW RTTY
contest last weekend -
and I only made 47 contacts. Three of those QSLs
were instant when I
uploaded my log the morning after the contest, and
the others have
trickled in over the weekend.

No new ones for me among those though (yet).

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...>
<hamish@...>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3200. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 02:27:37 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3197 Message: 3200
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Guys:
I just got on RTTY for the first time in 25 years last night using MMTTY and a TS820S.
Sure beats those old Mod 14's, 15's, 28's except for the smell of the old oiled paper tape.
Maybe GLADE can come up with one of those Plug-In's "Essence of RTTY Shack" sounds good to me.

I made 3 QSO's on 80M between 0247Z and 0332Z. I uploaded them to LoTW.
When I came back 30 min. latter I had 2 of the 3 QSO's confirmed.

9 Hrs. and 12 Min. between QSO and LoTW QSL Confirmation !!!
That's pretty fast service in my book !!!

I just checked LoTW's "Find Call" and the unconfirmed station has never submitted a Log to LoTW
so I guess the score will be 2 for 3 (unless he gets "LoTW Religion" :-)) !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:39 10/1/2006, you wrote:

>SV8CS 2006-09-30 20:13:08 30M PSK31 10.14270 GREECE
>
>TG9AKH 2006-09-30 23:10:10 12M RTTY 24.92158 GUATEMALA
>
>This new band/mode confirmation actually beat the elaped time between
>working and confirmation for the SV8 station by a few minutes.
>
>Nice to see more dx stations taking part in LoTW.
>It does seem that rtty/digital stations are more likely to be utilzing LoTW
>more than cw/ssb stations.
>Probably becuase the data modes operators are more likely to be computer
>driven.
>
>Regardless, that's two more band/mode I don't have to spend money/time
>trying to get confirmed.
>
>My thanks to Spiros and Mario for the qsos and LoTW qsls.
>
>--
>All great discoveries are made by mistake.
>--
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at <http://24.14.49.4:8080>http://24.14.49.4:8080

>weather at <http://hhweather.webhop.org>http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>

3201. Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 12:53:29 UTC
From: Peter Bacon
Topic: 3201 Message: 3201

I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC. At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
73 Peter G3ZSS

3202. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 13:10:41 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3201 Message: 3202

Hi Peter -- yes, you may print it now. Just go into the credit matrix on
LoTW and call it up on the screen as usual and then click on File on
your computer and print that file. It takes a few seconds to load
because it is large but I have printed it several times.
73,
Chris, AC?M

Peter Bacon wrote:
> I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would
> like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC.
> At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit
> Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a
> spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
> 73 Peter G3ZSS
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3203. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 13:12:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3201 Message: 3203

Peter
I think you can already do that.
Maybe not as a data file but as a readable/printable file.
Go to Awards>Account Status>
at the bottom of the page is
View Award Credit Matrix

Then using your Browsers File menu
Select 'Save As'
and make your choice

Also you can do that with the Challenge totals
If you wish to see 'which' qso was used by ARRL to credit you with a band challenge credit.
(I was able to save this and then print it out on 8.5x14 inch paper)

----- Original Message -----
From: "Peter Bacon" <peter@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2006 07:53
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would
like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC.
At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit
Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a
spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
73 Peter G3ZSS

3204. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 13:38:23 UTC
From: OZ4RT, J Arnvig
Topic: 3201 Message: 3204

Pete,

You can select the part you want by dragging your cursor across it, then copy the selection (Ctrl-C) and paste it (Ctrl-V) into an empty spreadsheet. It just takes a bit of formatting afterwards.

73 ... John, OZ4RT

----- Original Message -----
From: Peter Bacon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2006 2:53 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would
like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC.
At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit
Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a
spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
73 Peter G3ZSS

3205. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 17:02:53 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3201 Message: 3205

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 12:53:29 -0000, you wrote:

>I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would
>like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC.
>At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit
>Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a
>spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
>73 Peter G3ZSS
>
>
Easiest way - as mentioned here - is to Save the page, then print at
your leisure - that way it formats ok. In fact it looks as if it was
designed to be handled that way, as when I do it, it doesn't pick up
anything extraneous - just the tables. Full print runs to 10 pages
[all entities]

73 Graham M5AAV

3206. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 17:46:57 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3201 Message: 3206

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Graham Ridgeway wrote:
> Easiest way - as mentioned here - is to Save the page, then print at
> your leisure - that way it formats ok. In fact it looks as if it was
> designed to be handled that way, as when I do it, it doesn't pick up
> anything extraneous - just the tables. Full print runs to 10 pages
> [all entities]

Indeed. I use a printout of the Award Matrix as a substitute for the ARRL
DXCC List. This shows what's done in LOTW, and then I pencil in what I have
in paper cards.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFFIVCRRgRgmxNburQRAu59AJ9UQTeac3wiXmrQxyXltJwG9Sbw0ACfdLtK
a6Vb27n8vs1WyHlkRxsdrSI=
=3tYf
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3207. RE: another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 17:52:39 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3197 Message: 3207

Hi all,

I checked today and see that HC8N have already confirmed my 4 contacts for CQWW. Amazing!

Thanks guys!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.407 / Virus Database: 268.12.10/459 - Release Date: 29/09/2006

3208. RE: download Award Matrix

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 17:52:40 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3201 Message: 3208

Peter G3ZSS,

As you will have already seen, it is possible. I usually just highlight the table, click on COPY, open Excel, and then paste it directly into a spreadsheet. I have never had a formatting problem, other than unwanted columns for 6m, SSB etc etc.

73 de Phil GU0SUP

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.407 / Virus Database: 268.12.10/459 - Release Date: 29/09/2006

3209. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-02 18:12:52 UTC
From: DL5NO
Topic: 3197 Message: 3209

Phil Cooper wrote:

> I checked today and see that HC8N have already confirmed my 4 contacts for
> CQWW. Amazing!
>
> Thanks guys!
>
> 73 de Phil GU0SUP

They really have a good LOTW-performance....due to the contest-activities of HC8N, Galapagos Islands is within the top-ten of my confirmed LOTW-countries, see http://www.dl5no.de/lotw_stat.html

de Georg, DL5NO

3210. Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 00:07:24 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3201 Message: 3210

Hi:
I can't find "View Award Credit Matrix" at the bottom of the page to "Click On".

Here is what I interpreted from your message:
1) Log on to LoTW
2) Select "Awards"
3) Select "DXCC"

At this point LoTW "Defaults to "Account Status" and I can't find anything
at the bottom of the page that says "View Award Credit Matrix".

What am I doing wrong ???

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

At 09:12 10/2/2006, you wrote:
>Peter
>I think you can already do that.
>Maybe not as a data file but as a readable/printable file.
>Go to Awards>Account Status>
>at the bottom of the page is
>View Award Credit Matrix
>
>Then using your Browsers File menu
>Select 'Save As'
>and make your choice
>
>Also you can do that with the Challenge totals
>If you wish to see 'which' qso was used by ARRL to credit you with a band challenge
>credit.
>(I was able to save this and then print it out on 8.5x14 inch paper)
>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Peter Bacon" <peter@...>
>To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, October 02, 2006 07:53
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Feature request - download Award Matrix
>
>
>I do not know if the ARRL entertain LOTW feature requests, but I would
>like to be able to download the DXCC Award Credit Matrix onto my PC.

>At the moment I believe that you can only "View" the Award Credit
>Matrix. Personally I would like to be able to download it into a
>spreadsheet so I can compare the results with my records.
>73 Peter G3ZSS
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3211. Re: Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 00:27:09 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3201 Message: 3211

You should see on the left side of the page, a "pull-down" box that sez "Select DXCC Award Account". Select your call. If you're just starting (one award account callsign), you can just click on the button below the box (the select dxcc award account button.). If you hit the underlined DXCC in the center (under the "About Award Accounts), you woudn't get anywhere.

Does this help? Regards Ed KE3D

3212. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 00:32:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3201 Message: 3212

Awards>Select DXCC Award Account>
That should bring you to the Account Status screen which shows LoTW qsls, What you have Awarded and the Totl/Current
Mixed
CW Phone
RTTY
bands
etc.

Right below the bottom of this grid is a Blue (on my machine) link called
View Award Credit Matix
(it is underlined, as it's a link)
Double clicking on that link will give you the DXCC Award Credit Report
The same one you get back from DXCC Desk when your cards are returned.

If you had selected one of the Awards from the grid, such as Challenge.

It will take you to another screen called Account Credits
in the box is Challenge and it wants to know if you want to see your Challenge credits by Name of Prefix
and if you only want to see 'Pending, all credits, or all entities'
Once that comes up...showing all your Challenge credits, going to File and save as will save your data to a file for future use or printing.

On 10/2/2006 19:07:24, R Johnson (k1vu@...) wrote:
> Hi:
> I
> can't find "View Award Credit Matrix" at the bottom of the page to "Click On".
>
> Here is what I interpreted from your message:
> 1) Log on to LoTW
> 2) Select "Awards"
> 3) Select "DXCC"
>
> At this point LoTW "Defaults to "Account Status" and I can't
> find anything
> at the bottom of the page that says "View Award Credit Matrix".
>
> What am I doing wrong ???
>
> Any help will be greatly appreciated.
>
> TNX es 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 09:12 10/2/2006, you wrote:

> >Peter
> >I think you can already do that.
> >Maybe not as a data file but as a readable/printable file.
> >Go to Awards>Account Status>
> >at the bottom of the page is
> >View Award Credit Matrix
> >
> >Then using your Browsers File menu
> >Select 'Save As'
> >and make your choice
> >
> >Also you can do that with the Challenge totals
> >If you wish to see 'which' qso was used by ARRL to credit you with a band
> challenge
> >credit.
> >(I was able to save this and then print it out on 8.5x14 inch paper)
> >
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Peter B

3213. Re: another fast one via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 02:24:26 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3197 Message: 3213

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "DL5NO" <georg@...> wrote:
>
> Phil Cooper wrote:
>
> > I checked today and see that HC8N have already confirmed my 4
contacts for
> > CQWW. Amazing!
> >
> > Thanks guys!
> >
> > 73 de Phil GU0SUP
>
> They really have a good LOTW-performance....due to the
contest-activities of
> HC8N, Galapagos Islands is within the top-ten of my confirmed
> LOTW-countries, see http://www.dl5no.de/lotw_stat.html
>
> de Georg, DL5NO
>
Those OPs down there at HC8N are incredible. Was a thrill to
work them on 40. The fast LOTW QSL was icing on the cake.

Tnx also to Corliss AL1G and LR6H for the new ones. Crossing
my fingers that P40KM will get on LOTW (hopeful, since W4GKM
is active on LOTW.)

RTTY contests are the best for LOTW percentages. I've gotten
64 QSLed so far out of 155 (41%). I think it approaches 50%
long term.

Tim K6GEP

3214. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 02:18:48 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3201 Message: 3214

At 20:32 10/2/2006, you wrote:
>Awards>Select DXCC Award Account>
>That should bring you to the Account Status screen which shows LoTW qsls, What you have
>Awarded and the Totl/Current
>Mixed
>CW Phone
>RTTY
>bands
>etc.

Yep, OK so far!!!

>Right below the bottom of this grid is a Blue (on my machine) link called
>View Award Credit Matix

Nope, NOT here !!! "No Link" HR !!!

>(it is underlined, as it's a link)
>Double clicking on that link will give you the DXCC Award Credit Report
>The same one you get back from DXCC Desk when your cards are returned.

Never sent cards to the "DXCC Desk" !!! Is this a problem ???

>If you had selected one of the Awards from the grid, such as Challenge.
>
>It will take you to another screen called Account Credits
>in the box is Challenge and it wants to know if you want to see your Challenge credits by
>Name of Prefix
>and if you only want to see 'Pending, all credits, or all entities'
>Once that comes up...showing all your Challenge credits, going to File and save as will
>save your data to a file for future use or printing.
>
>
>
>On 10/2/2006 19:07:24, R Johnson (k1vu@...) wrote:
>> Hi:
>> I
>> can't find "View Award Credit Matrix" at the bottom of the page to "Click On".
>>
>> Here is what I interpreted from your message:
>> 1) Log on to LoTW
>> 2) Select "Awards"
>> 3) Select "DXCC"
>>
>> At this point LoTW "Defaults to "Account Status" and I can't
>> find anything

>> at the bottom of the page that says "View Award Credit Matrix".
>>
>> What am I doing wrong ???
>>
>> Any help will be greatly appreciated.
>>
>> TNX es 73
>> Bob, K1VU
>>
>> At 09:12 10/2/2006, you wrote:
>> >Peter
>> >I think you can already do that.
>> >Maybe not as a data file but as a readable/printable file.
>> >Go to Awards>Account Status>
>> >at the bottom of the page is
>> >View Award Credit Matrix
>> >
>> >Then using your Browsers File menu
>> >Select 'Save As'
>> >and make your choice
>> >
>> >Also you can do that with the Challenge totals
>> >If you wish to see 'which' qso was used by ARRL to credit you with a band
>> challenge
>> >credit.
>> >(I was able to save this and then print it out on 8.5x14 inch paper)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >----- Original Message -----
>> >From: "Peter B
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3215. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 02:42:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3201 Message: 3215

>
>>Right below the bottom of this grid is a Blue (on my machine) link called
>>View Award Credit Matix
>
> Nope, NOT here !!! "No Link" HR !!!
>
>>(it is underlined, as it's a link)
>>Double clicking on that link will give you the DXCC Award Credit Report
>>The same one you get back from DXCC Desk when your cards are returned.
>
> Never sent cards to the "DXCC Desk" !!! Is this a problem ???

LOL
Hmmmm, if you have never submitted cards for DXCC, then it would be very likely that they wouldn't have any 'credits' in the file for you.

Just wild a.. guess that you haven't submitted any LoTW qsls for credit either.:-)

I think that just may be the problem.

Gaelic - What you speak after drinking too much Scotch!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3216. Re: Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 21:22:48 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3201 Message: 3216

Have you applied for and gotten any DXCC award (mixed , cw, phone, digital, etc.)? If you don't have any award then you will not have any DXCC account and will not have any award matrix showm.

Regards Ed KE3D

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >>Right below the bottom of this grid is a Blue (on my machine) link called
> >>View Award Credit Matix
> >
> > Nope, NOT here !!! "No Link" HR !!!
> >
> >>(it is underlined, as it's a link)
> >>Double clicking on that link will give you the DXCC Award Credit Report
> >>The same one you get back from DXCC Desk when your cards are returned.
> >
> > Never sent cards to the "DXCC Desk" !!! Is this a problem ???
>
> LOL
> Hmmmm, if you have never submitted cards for DXCC, then it would be very likely that they
> wouldn't have any 'credits' in the file for you.
>
> Just wild a.. guess that you haven't submitted any LoTW qsls for credit either.:-)
>
> I think that just may be the problem.
>
>
>
> ---
> Gaelic - What you speak after drinking too much Scotch!
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

3217. K7C QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 22:12:53 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 3217 Message: 3217

K7C QSLs on LOTW today

--
Tnx es 73
Dick W7ZR
www.w7zr.com

3218. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-03 23:55:44 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3201 Message: 3218

Hi Ed:
You solved the problem for me.

I have never applied for any DXCC Award.

It sure would be nice if LoTW could provide the Matrix for those
working on their DXCC and 5BDXCC, but haven't applied yet.

I don't see what the difference is between "Applied and Non-Applied" makes.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

At 17:22 10/3/2006, you wrote:

>Have you applied for and gotten any DXCC award (mixed , cw, phone,
>digital, etc.)? If you don't have any award then you will not have
>any DXCC account and will not have any award matrix showm.
>
>Regards Ed KE3D
>
>--- In <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick"
<w9ol@...> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >>Right below the bottom of this grid is a Blue (on my machine)
>link called
>> >>View Award Credit Matix
>> >
>> > Nope, NOT here !!! "No Link" HR !!!
>> >
>> >>(it is underlined, as it's a link)
>> >>Double clicking on that link will give you the DXCC Award Credit
>Report
>> >>The same one you get back from DXCC Desk when your cards are
>returned.
>> >
>> > Never sent cards to the "DXCC Desk" !!! Is this a problem ???
>>
>> LOL
>> Hmmmm, if you have never submitted cards for DXCC, then it would be
>very likely that they
>> wouldn't have any 'credits' in the file for you.
>>
>> Just wild a.. guess that you haven't submitted any LoTW qsls for
>credit either.:-)

>>
>> I think that just may be the problem.
>>
>>
>>
>> ----------
>> Gaelic - What you speak after drinking too much Scotch!
>> ----------
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>> webcams at <http://24.14.49.4:8080>http://24.14.49.4:8080
>> weather at <http://hhweather.webhop.org>http://hhweather.webhop.org
>>
>
>

3219. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] K7C QSL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 02:37:40 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3217 Message: 3219

On Oct 3, 2006, at 6:12 PM, Richard Zalewski wrote:

> K7C QSLs on LOTW today

Yup. That's # 97 for me for DXCC mixed on LoTW.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3220. LOTW DXCC processing time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 12:46:58 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 3220 Message: 3220

I just applied for RTTY & Mixed DXCC with 100 LOTW confirmed QSOs and no cards. (I must say that the application process is fast and easy.)

Does anyone have any knowledge of what the processing time for an LOTW only DXCC application might be?

I could speculate that it would take just as long as any other application or I could speculate that it would be handled more quickly but does any one here have any direct experience?

Jamie Pundeson, W2QO

3221. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW DXCC processing time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 13:03:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3220 Message: 3221

My last LoTW only submission on Aug 8th 2006 was credited the same day.
They were the new Montenegro qsls.

I don't know if this will matter but I had made many other submissions prior to this.
Both Dual (hardcards and LoTW) and Lotw only so I was in the 'system'.

Good luck

On 10/4/2006 07:46:58, James M Punderson IV (w2qo@...) wrote:
> I just applied for RTTY & Mixed DXCC with 100 LOTW confirmed QSOs and
> no cards. (I must say that the application process is fast and easy.)
>
> Does anyone have any knowledge of what the processing time for an LOTW
> only DXCC application might be?
>
> I could speculate that it would take just as long as any other
> application or I could speculate that it would be handled more quickly
> but does any one here have any direct experience?
>
> Jamie Pundeson, W2QO
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3220;_ylc=X3oDMTM1a2ZzcGI5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TYwMDA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzMjIwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE1OTk2NjM2NgR0cGNJZAMzMjIw](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxdGN2aThrBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTYwMDA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzMjIwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE1OTk2NjM2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3220]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaDJsMXR1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTYwMDA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNTk5NjYzNjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaThtYXY2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTYwM
DA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNTk5NjYzNjY-
>] | L

3222. R: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW DXCC processing time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 13:36:48 UTC
From: Virginio Biagi IZ5EKV
Topic: 3220 Message: 3222

Dear Jamie,

i receive my DXCC Awards about CW and 15m in 1 week .very very fast service
from ARRL.

Good luck for your award and I hope to meet you on RTTY .

BYE!

73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio.CIAO!

Pse Visit:

www.iz5ekv.135.it

Da: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] Per conto
di James M Punderson IV
Inviato: mercoledì 4 ottobre 2006 14.47
A: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW DXCC processing time

I just applied for RTTY & Mixed DXCC with 100 LOTW confirmed QSOs and
no cards. (I must say that the application process is fast and easy.)

Does anyone have any knowledge of what the processing time for an LOTW
only DXCC application might be?

I could speculate that it would take just as long as any other
application or I could speculate that it would be handled more quickly
but does any one here have any direct experience?

Jamie Pundeson, W2QO

3223. Re: Finding "Award Matrix to Download" ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 16:21:25 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3201 Message: 3223

LotW will automatically choose confrimed QSOs which cause progress toward the various DXCC awards. While this choice can be overriden, LotW has no criteria to choose with whne there is no DXCC account existing in its system. You can view the confirmed QSLs in the LotQ system. I believe you can select QSOs, but I don't think that it will remember the selections when you don't have a DXCC account. It gors back to award progress.

One caution is that you need to understand what awards you can apply for. For example: If you have a QSO on 40mtrs with North Korea (P5 don't we wish), that band is done for band purposes (not for the challenge award). If the QSO was on RTTY, you might want a CW or Phone QSO confirmed. But unless you have those awards (CW, SSB, Digital), getting another mode confirmation on 40 is a waste of money. Of course with P5 maybe not! But the point is LotW makes it easy by preselecting QSOs that would advance you toward the various awards. If you had CW, RTTY, and Phone QSLS from say VP2V, you don't really need any more unless you are chasing multi-band DXCCs. Read the rules and you'll see what I mean.

I hope you're ready for that first submission soon! I do DXCC field checking here in central texas. If you can't find your closest checker drop me an email, and I'll help you get ready and figure out what to do.

Regards Ed KE3D

3224. a small programming request in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-04 20:20:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3224 Message: 3224

Presently, the qso/qsl totals that appear in the upper right corner of the 'Your QSOs' screen show an aggregate totals.

Between my own calls, and the stations I manage, the totals are meaningless to me.
It would be nice to have them reflect ONLY the callsign selected in the 'Your call sign: drop down box.

Please and thank you

He's the kind of man a woman would have to marry to get rid of. Mae West
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3225. Upload from DXKeeper causes LOTW server to crash?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-12 18:22:06 UTC
From: Ron / Suzette Novak
Topic: 3225 Message: 3225

I've been trying to synch up my LOTW, DXKeeper and EQSL logs. I've noticed that the last 4 or 5 times I've upload all entries from DXKeeper to LOTW the LOTW server went down for over 30 minutes. Being a retired IT manager the logical conclusion I draw is there's a connection between my upload and the LOTW server going off-line.

I inspected my adif and could only find 1 obvious problem. There was a QSO for a SWL that got into DXK from EQSL. I deleted this entry in the log, resent and still the LOTW server went off-line seconds later.

I won't upload my entire log anymore and have saved my last adif. If anyone has a suggestion or wants more info let me know.

Ron, KG4NOZ

3226. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Upload from DXKeeper causes LOTW server to crash?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-12 21:50:03 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3225 Message: 3226

At 02:22 PM 10/12/2006, Ron / Suzette Novak wrote:
>I've been trying to synch up my LOTW, DXKeeper and EQSL logs. I've
>noticed that the last 4 or 5 times I've upload all entries from
>DXKeeper to LOTW the LOTW server went down for over 30 minutes. Being
>a retired IT manager the logical conclusion I draw is there's a
>connection between my upload and the LOTW server going off-line.
>
>I inspected my adif and could only find 1 obvious problem. There was
>a QSO for a SWL that got into DXK from EQSL. I deleted this entry in
>the log, resent and still the LOTW server went off-line seconds later.
>
>I won't upload my entire log anymore and have saved my last adif. If
>anyone has a suggestion or wants more info let me know.
>
>Ron, KG4NOZ

Why are you uploading your entire log? The only thing you should have
to do is to upload new or updated QSOs, not all of them. I'm sure that
DXKeeper has a way to flag QSOs as already uploaded.

However, I'd suggest that you send an e-mail to lotw-help@...
describing the problem you've seen. If there's a bug that you're
triggering I'm sure they'd like to know about it.
-Rick

3227. Re: Upload from DXKeeper causes LOTW server to crash?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-13 14:03:41 UTC
From: edctexas
Topic: 3225 Message: 3227

DX Keeper uploads by selecting LOTW and then doing an "Add Requested". The Add Requested only selects those QSOs which do not have "R" in the LotW sent box (meaning QSL requested). Once the "R" is there DXK will not unload the QSO again. The next step is to "Sync LotW QSOs". This button requests LotW to download a file with the QSOs that were recently uploaded. DXK marks the log with LotW Sent = "Y" and Lotw Rcvd = "R". This is insuring that there is a LotW record matching your log. Then periodically you check for QSLs with the "Synch QSLs" button. This downloads any QSLs (matched records) and marks your log with LotW Rcvd = "Y".

Manually log into LotW and check to see if you have really uploaded duplicate entries. If you have please get on the DX Lab yahoo group and ask Dave for help. DXK is designed NOT to do duplicate uploads. It is extremely unlikely that your DXK upload is "crashing" LotW. There are periodic maintenance intervals where LotW goes offline. There also intervals (primarily after contests) where LotW seems broken. If there is a problem with LotW, DXK should report an error message to you. So if you still think there is a problem, please get on the DX lab yahoo group and ask.

Regards Ed KE3D (very happy DXK user and fairly happy LotW User)

3228. LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-17 23:12:42 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 2978 Message: 3228

I have been trying all day to log on and it keeps timing out. Anyone else having this problem ?.....Mike K9HCK

3229. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-17 23:21:39 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 2978 Message: 3229

It's down.
JV

~~~~~~
Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
~~~~~~
-------Original Message-------

From: mac2251
Date: 10/17/2006 7:16:21 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

I have been trying all day to log on and it keeps timing out. Anyone
else having this problem ?.....Mike K9HCK

3230. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 00:19:59 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 2978 Message: 3230

Fine here!

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "JV" <kt4u@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2006 5:21 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

> It's down.
> JV
>
> ~~~~~~
> Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
> Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
> e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
> Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
> ~~~~~~
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: mac2251
> Date: 10/17/2006 7:16:21 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?
>
> I have been trying all day to log on and it keeps timing out. Anyone
> else having this problem ?.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3231. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Down ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 02:23:20 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 2978 Message: 3231
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3232. Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 13:14:31 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3232 Message: 3232

LoTW sit is still down here.
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

3233. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 13:18:22 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3232 Message: 3233

Still working 100% here.

You might want to check your ISP.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: "LoTW,yahoo" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 7:14 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

> LoTW sit is still down here.
> --
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3234. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 13:25:30 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3232 Message: 3234
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3235. Re: Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 13:31:24 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3232 Message: 3235

I've been on LoTW three times this morning checking specific calls and generating a lotwreport.adi file. The first time I logged in, I used it for about 20 minutes. It seems to have been normal all the time for me.

Bill, N5RR

3236. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 13:49:22 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3232 Message: 3236

Bill wrote:
> I've been on LoTW three times this morning checking specific calls and
> generating a lotwreport.adi file. The first time I logged in, I used
> it for about 20 minutes. It seems to have been normal all the time for
> me.

Anytime you can't get to a web site, you might try the following in a DOS command window on windows

tracert <hostname>

or linux/unix

traceroute <hostname>

to see how far the network lets you go. Remember that the internet is not a direct connection. There are routers and network segments in between, and in many cases, there are multiple routes that can absorb failure of other routes. But, sometimes failure modes don't allow for instance recovery, and someone has to go "push a button" somewhere.

If you do the above, when it is working, you'll have a reference point to compare to, and you'll be able to see when the path changes, or when a particular segment of your path to that host is having problems.

Gregg Wonderly

3237. LoTW down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 14:13:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3237 Message: 3237

works for me

could you have your computer turned to long path? :-)
sometimes internet propagation takes a 'crooked path' also.

NyQuil -The stuffy, sneezy, why-the-hell-is-the-room-spinning medicine
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3238. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 14:29:45 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3232 Message: 3238

On Wed, Oct 18, 2006 at 08:14:31AM -0500, C.M. Christensen wrote:
> LoTW sit is still down here.

Working fine here. That suggests the problem isn't LoTW at all, but the
network between you and the ARRL. FYI:

traceroute to p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201), 30 hops max, 52 byte packets
1 router (192.168.42.1) 0.739 ms 0.686 ms 0.711 ms
2 10.35.128.1 (10.35.128.1) 7.522 ms 12.502 ms 15.112 ms
3 meb1-ge2-1-4.gw.optusnet.com.au (210.49.58.2) 9.710 ms 5.957 ms 5.931 ms
4 sun4-ge4-15.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.33) 6.311 ms 11.356 ms 18.950 ms
5 sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 11.837 ms sun1-ge0.gw.optusnet.com.au
(211.31.129.42) 12.960 ms sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 10.463 ms
6 ros1-pos13.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.86) 32.818 ms 33.585 ms *
7 203.208.148.225 (203.208.148.225) 190.919 ms 180.236 ms 196.849 ms
8 bpr1-ge-4-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.241.93) 179.791 ms 187.908 ms 183.033 ms
9 bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241) 194.029 ms
dcr1-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (206.24.211.73) 184.642 ms
bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241) 184.068 ms
10 dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150) 188.714 ms
dcr2-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (208.172.147.93) 184.525 ms
dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150) 188.412 ms
11 cpr2-pos-0-0.SanJoseEquinix.savvis.net (206.24.211.78) 192.535 ms
cpr2-pos-6-0.sanjoseequinix.savvis.net (204.70.194.154) 186.020 ms 184.710 ms
12 ex1-g1-1-1.eqsjca.sbcglobal.net (151.164.89.133) 182.161 ms 187.594 ms 186.072 ms
13 ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net (151.164.42.61) 266.007 ms 262.850 ms 266.135 ms
14 Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net (69.182.114.54) 275.043 ms 272.677 ms
299.446 ms
15 * * *
16 * * *
17 p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201) 271.447 ms !C 273.805 ms !C 266.758 ms !C

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3239. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 14:53:36 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3232 Message: 3239

LOTW is OK here in East TX.

73,
Mike, W5UC
http://members.cox.net/w5uc/
-----Original Message-----

Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down
On Wed, Oct 18, 2006 at 08:14:31AM -0500, C.M. Christensen wrote:
> LoTW sit is still down here.

3240. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 14:55:32 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3232 Message: 3240

It's still down from here (bellsouth.net). www.arrl.org is just
fine but any of the LotW links die a horrible death.

Tracert p1k.arrl.org:

Tracing route to p1k.arrl.org [69.0.106.201]
over a maximum of 30 hops:

1 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.9
2 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.61
3 49 ms 49 ms 49 ms 205.152.111.81
4 28 ms 29 ms 29 ms 65.83.237.140
5 51 ms 52 ms 55 ms 65.83.236.64
6 52 ms 52 ms 52 ms 65.83.237.206
7 77 ms 77 ms 77 ms ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net
[151.164.42.61]
8 76 ms 82 ms 84 ms Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net
[69.182.114.54]
9 * * * Request timed out.
10 87 ms 97 ms 109 ms 200.1.1.1
11 * * * Request timed out.
12 * * * Request timed out.
13 * * * Request timed out.
14 * * * Request timed out.
15 * *

Looks to me like an inter-network routing issue. If the ARRL staff are
monitoring, I would suggest that they talk with their provider to
find out what is out of whack in the routing tables.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gregg Wonderly
> Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 9:49 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down
>
>
> Bill wrote:
> > I've been on LoTW three times this morning checking
> specific calls and
> > generating a lotwreport.adi file. The first time I logged
> in, I used

> > it for about 20 minutes. It seems to have been normal all
> the time for
> > me.
>
> Anytime you can't get to a web site, you might try the
> following in a DOS
> command window on windows
>
> tracert <hostname>
>
> or linux/unix
>
> traceroute <hostname>
>
> to see how far the network lets you go. Remember that the
> internet is not a
> direct connection. There are routers and network segments in
> between, and in
> many cases, there are multiple routes that can absorb failure
> of other routes.
> But, sometimes failure modes don't allow for instance
> recovery, and someone has
> to go "push a button" somewhere.
>
> If you do the above, when it is working, you'll have a
> reference point to
> compare to, and you'll be able to see when the path changes,
> or when a
> particular segment of your path to that host is having problems.
>
> Gregg Wonderly
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3241. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 15:09:23 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3232 Message: 3241

Must be just us Florida folks that are having trouble Joe. cox.net here
and it is still down. Thanks for the confirmation.
73,
Chris, AC?M

Joe Subich, W4TV wrote:
> It's still down from here (bellsouth.net). www.arrl.org is just
> fine but any of the LotW links die a horrible death.
>
> Tracert p1k.arrl.org:
>
> Tracing route to p1k.arrl.org [69.0.106.201]
> over a maximum of 30 hops:
>
> 1 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.9
> 2 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.61
> 3 49 ms 49 ms 49 ms 205.152.111.81
> 4 28 ms 29 ms 29 ms 65.83.237.140
> 5 51 ms 52 ms 55 ms 65.83.236.64
> 6 52 ms 52 ms 52 ms 65.83.237.206
> 7 77 ms 77 ms 77 ms ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net
> [151.164.42.61]
> 8 76 ms 82 ms 84 ms Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net
> [69.182.114.54]
> 9 * * * Request timed out.
> 10 87 ms 97 ms 109 ms 200.1.1.1
> 11 * * * Request timed out.
> 12 * * * Request timed out.
> 13 * * * Request timed out.
> 14 * * * Request timed out.
> 15 * *
>
> Looks to me like an inter-network routing issue. If the ARRL staff are
> monitoring, I would suggest that they talk with their provider to
> find out what is out of whack in the routing tables.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gregg Wonderly
>>Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 9:49 AM
>>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down
>>
>>
>>Bill wrote:
>>
>>>I've been on LoTW three times this morning checking
>>
>>specific calls and
>>
>>>generating a lotwreport.adi file. The first time I logged
>>
>>in, I used
>>
>>>it for about 20 minutes. It seems to have been normal all
>>
>>the time for
>>
>>>me.
>>
>>Anytime you can't get to a web site, you might try the
>>following in a DOS
>>command window on windows
>>
>> tracert <hostname>
>>
>>or linux/unix
>>
>> traceroute <hostname>
>>
>>to see how far the network lets you go. Remember that the
>>internet is not a
>>direct connection. There are routers and network segments in
>>between, and in
>>many cases, there are multiple routes that can absorb failure
>>of other routes.
>>But, sometimes failure modes don't allow for instance
>>recovery, and someone has
>>to go "push a button" somewhere.
>>
>>If you do the above, when it is working, you'll have a
>>reference point to
>>compare to, and you'll be able to see when the path changes,
>>or when a
>>particular segment of your path to that host is having problems.

>>
>>Gregg Wonderly
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3242. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 15:16:43 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3232 Message: 3242

Hamish,

Your path information shows difficulty between
Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net and p1k.arrl.net.
My information shows it dying at the same point.

I suggest there is a configuration issue at Interbridge--snet.net
that needs to be addressed.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Hamish Moffatt
> Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 10:30 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down
>
>
> On Wed, Oct 18, 2006 at 08:14:31AM -0500, C.M. Christensen wrote:
> > LoTW sit is still down here.
>
> Working fine here. That suggests the problem isn't LoTW at
> all, but the
> network between you and the ARRL. FYI:
>
> traceroute to p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201), 30 hops max, 52
> byte packets
> 1 router (192.168.42.1) 0.739 ms 0.686 ms 0.711 ms
> 2 10.35.128.1 (10.35.128.1) 7.522 ms 12.502 ms 15.112 ms
> 3 meb1-ge2-1-4.gw.optusnet.com.au (210.49.58.2) 9.710 ms
> 5.957 ms 5.931 ms
> 4 sun4-ge4-15.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.33) 6.311 ms
> 11.356 ms 18.950 ms
> 5 sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 11.837 ms
> sun1-ge0.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.42) 12.960 ms
> sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 10.463 ms
> 6 ros1-pos13.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.86) 32.818 ms
> 33.585 ms *
> 7 203.208.148.225 (203.208.148.225) 190.919 ms 180.236 ms
> 196.849 ms
> 8 bpr1-ge-4-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.241.93)
> 179.791 ms 187.908 ms 183.033 ms
> 9 bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241)

> 194.029 ms dcr1-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net
> (206.24.211.73) 184.642 ms
> bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241) 184.068 ms
> 10 dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150)
> 188.714 ms dcr2-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net
> (208.172.147.93) 184.525 ms
> dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150) 188.412 ms
> 11 cpr2-pos-0-0.SanJoseEquinix.savvis.net (206.24.211.78)
> 192.535 ms cpr2-pos-6-0.sanjoseequinix.savvis.net
> (204.70.194.154) 186.020 ms 184.710 ms
> 12 ex1-g1-1-1.eqsjca.sbcglobal.net (151.164.89.133) 182.161
> ms 187.594 ms 186.072 ms
> 13 ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net (151.164.42.61) 266.007
> ms 262.850 ms 266.135 ms
> 14 Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net
> (69.182.114.54) 275.043 ms 272.677 ms 299.446 ms
> 15 * * *
> 16 * * *
> 17 p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201) 271.447 ms !C 273.805 ms !C
> 266.758 ms !C
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>

3243. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 17:54:04 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3232 Message: 3243

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 10:55:32 -0400, you wrote:

>
>It's still down from here (bellsouth.net). www.arrl.org is just
>fine but any of the LotW links die a horrible death.
>
>Tracert p1k.arrl.org:
>
>Tracing route to p1k.arrl.org [69.0.106.201]
>over a maximum of 30 hops:
>
> 1 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.9
> 2 15 ms 14 ms 14 ms 68.216.193.61
> 3 49 ms 49 ms 49 ms 205.152.111.81
> 4 28 ms 29 ms 29 ms 65.83.237.140
> 5 51 ms 52 ms 55 ms 65.83.236.64
> 6 52 ms 52 ms 52 ms 65.83.237.206
> 7 77 ms 77 ms 77 ms ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net
>[151.164.42.61]
> 8 76 ms 82 ms 84 ms Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net
>[69.182.114.54]

While viewing my LoTW details I did a trace and after the Interbridge
line, the next hop was to p1k.arrl.org - 69.0.106.201

So near, yet so far. <smile>

73 Graham M5AAV

3244. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 18:21:53 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3232 Message: 3244

OK Hamish, fine. I get as far as the Interbridge you show as number 14 and
then it falls apart.

So what does a dummy like me do to get it corrected ? Maybe another whiskey
and try again tomorrow ?

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hamish Moffatt" <hamish@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 7:29 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

> On Wed, Oct 18, 2006 at 08:14:31AM -0500, C.M. Christensen wrote:
>> LoTW sit is still down here.
>
> Working fine here. That suggests the problem isn't LoTW at all, but the
> network between you and the ARRL. FYI:
>
> traceroute to p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201), 30 hops max, 52 byte packets
> 1 router (192.168.42.1) 0.739 ms 0.686 ms 0.711 ms
> 2 10.35.128.1 (10.35.128.1) 7.522 ms 12.502 ms 15.112 ms
> 3 meb1-ge2-1-4.gw.optusnet.com.au (210.49.58.2) 9.710 ms 5.957 ms
> 5.931 ms
> 4 sun4-ge4-15.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.33) 6.311 ms 11.356 ms
> 18.950 ms
> 5 sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 11.837 ms
> sun1-ge0.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.42) 12.960 ms
> sun1-ge2-1.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.66) 10.463 ms
> 6 ros1-pos13.gw.optusnet.com.au (211.31.129.86) 32.818 ms 33.585 ms *
> 7 203.208.148.225 (203.208.148.225) 190.919 ms 180.236 ms 196.849 ms
> 8 bpr1-ge-4-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.241.93) 179.791 ms
> 187.908 ms 183.033 ms
> 9 bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241) 194.029 ms
> dcr1-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (206.24.211.73) 184.642 ms
> bpr2-ge-6-3-0.PaloAltoPaix.savvis.net (206.24.221.241) 184.068 ms
> 10 dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150) 188.714 ms
> dcr2-so-3-3-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (208.172.147.93) 184.525 ms
> dcr2-so-5-0-0.SanFranciscosfo.savvis.net (204.70.192.150) 188.412 ms
> 11 cpr2-pos-0-0.SanJoseEquinix.savvis.net (206.24.211.78) 192.535 ms
> cpr2-pos-6-0.sanjoseequinix.savvis.net (204.70.194.154) 186.020 ms
> 184.710 ms
> 12 ex1-g1-1-1.eqsjca.sbcglobal.net (151.164.89.133) 182.161 ms 187.594
> ms 186.072 ms
> 13 ded2-g2-3-0.mrdnct.sbcglobal.net (151.164.42.61) 266.007 ms 262.850

> ms 266.135 ms
> 14 Interbridge-Networking-1136726.cust.snet.net (69.182.114.54) 275.043
> ms 272.677 ms 299.446 ms
> 15 * * *
> 16 * * *
> 17 p1k.arrl.org (69.0.106.201) 271.447 ms !C 273.805 ms !C 266.758 ms
> !C
>
>
> Hamish
> --
> Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3245. now you did it...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 18:57:31 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3245 Message: 3245

first it was just the SE that had internet problems.
Then you all started doing traceroute and you just
wiped me out completely....
Total internet down for me and just came back on.

LOL LOL
Please don't overload the DNS servers testing traceroutes again. :-)

But if you can just accomplish the same thing by overloading the SSB portion
of our hobby!!!! lol
As Martha used to say "That could be a GOOD Thing"!

I went to San Francisco. I found someone's heart. -- Steven Wright
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3246. [Lotw} LoTW problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 21:49:41 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3246 Message: 3246

Well has anybody figured out a work around for getting to ARRL LoTW. It appears to me that everybody that had the problem and did a TRACERT found the problem to be at the same place.

Rod WC7N

3247. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 22:44:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3232 Message: 3247

On Wed, Oct 18, 2006 at 11:21:53AM -0700, Rod WC7N wrote:
> So what does a dummy like me do to get it corrected ? Maybe another whiskey
> and try again tomorrow ?

Pretty much!

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3248. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] [Lotw} LoTW problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 23:11:40 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 3246 Message: 3248

No problem here! I've been to the site three or
four
times today. I checked confirmations from last
Saturday's NA Sprint. Downloaded my confirms
with no problem
73, Lance WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: "Rod WC7N" <wc7n@...>
To: "LOTW Info" <arrl-lotw@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2006 4:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] [Lotw} LoTW problem

Well has anybody figured out a work around for
getting to ARRL LoTW. It
appears to me that everybody that had the problem
and did a TRACERT found
the problem to be at the same place.

Rod WC7N

Yahoo! Groups Links

3249. login

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-18 20:38:59 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3249 Message: 3249

For what it's worth I'm able to login to the web site. I thought I saw someone post that Florida was down. Not so here in Tampa.

Julio, W4HY

3250. ARRL LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 10:17:49 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 3250 Message: 3250

Just Checked at 1115 Local in a Misty and Murky Northern Ireland
and LOTW is working fine here
Gday Folks
Pete GI4VIV

3251. LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 17:03:43 UTC
From: mac2251
Topic: 3251 Message: 3251

Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it. It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern Indiana.....Mike K9HCK

3252. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 17:08:57 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 3251 Message: 3252

Mike, I was just to LOTW site 45 minuets ago. Seems fine here. You may have
a local problem...Dave K8GVK in Michigan

----- Original Message -----
From: "mac2251" <k9hckm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 1:03 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it.
> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3253. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 17:09:17 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3251 Message: 3253

Still fine here. I would suspect a DNS server in your ISP routing has lost the path. Often these heal themselves.

lotw-help@...

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: mac2251
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 11:03 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it. It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern Indiana.....Mike K9HCK

Yahoo! Groups Links

3254. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 17:41:46 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3251 Message: 3254

Hi Mike -- still down here in the Florida panhandle also. Been that way for several days. Many folks can't get in and many others having no trouble. It depends on the path. Several people have traced it out and it is failing in the same place for all of us.
73,
Chris, AC?M

mac2251 wrote:
> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it.
> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3255. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 18:02:00 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3251 Message: 3255

This problem doesn't appear to be an ARRL issue. You should be contacting
your ISPs to report the routing failure.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 11:41 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

> Hi Mike -- still down here in the Florida panhandle also. Been that way
> for several days. Many folks can't get in and many others having no
> trouble. It depends on the path. Several people have traced it out and
> it is failing in the same place for all of us.
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
>
> mac2251 wrote:
>> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
>> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it.
>> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
>> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3256. Re: LOTW Still Down: try this

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 18:17:22 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3251 Message: 3256

If there's a DNS problem, using the following URL may help:

https://69.0.106.201/lotw/default

If your browser reports a certificate error, ignore it and proceed.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> Still fine here. I would suspect a DNS server in your ISP routing
has lost the path. Often these heal themselves.
>
> lotw-help@...
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: mac2251
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 11:03 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down
>
>
> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e
mail
> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know
about it.
> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3257. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 19:51:54 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3251 Message: 3257

Gerry,

It is not a localized ISP issue. It is effecting users from
Central Florida to the west coast of the US.

The failing node is "one hop away" from p1k.arrl.org - HQ's
upstream provider. If this is going to be fixed, HQ staff
will need to get on the phone with their provider an make a
little noise.

Here are the two most likely contacts:

Bloom, Jon, KE3Z, Web & Software Development Manager ke3z@...
Mills, Wayne, N7NG, Membership Services Manager n7ng@...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 2:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down
>
>
>
> This problem doesn't appear to be an ARRL issue. You should
> be contacting your ISPs to report the routing failure.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 11:41 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down
>
>
> > Hi Mike -- still down here in the Florida panhandle also.
> Been that way
> > for several days. Many folks can't get in and many others having no
> > trouble. It depends on the path. Several people have traced
> it out and
> > it is failing in the same place for all of us.
> > 73,

> > Chris, ACØM
> >
> >
> > mac2251 wrote:
> >> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
> >> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even
> know about it.
> >> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> >> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3258. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 20:01:01 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 3251 Message: 3258

Nonetheless, such problems are more likely to be solved if anyone affected talks to their network provider. Tracert only shows the path to the site - it could easily be the return path that is the problem and it is virtually certain that the return path is different than the forward path.

Been there, done that.

73,

Mike WA0SXV

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe Subich, W4TV
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 13:52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ke3z@...; n7ng@...
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Gerry,

It is not a localized ISP issue. It is effecting users from Central Florida to the west coast of the US.

The failing node is "one hop away" from p1k.arrl.org - HQ's upstream provider. If this is going to be fixed, HQ staff will need to get on the phone with their provider an make a little noise.

Here are the two most likely contacts:

Bloom, Jon, KE3Z, Web & Software Development Manager ke3z@...
<mailto:ke3z%40arrl.org>
Mills, Wayne, N7NG, Membership Services Manager n7ng@...
<mailto:n7ng%40arrl.org>

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3259. Re: LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 20:48:41 UTC
From: Graham
Topic: 3251 Message: 3259

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Gerry,
>
> It is not a localized ISP issue. It is effecting users from
> Central Florida to the west coast of the US.
>
> The failing node is "one hop away" from p1k.arrl.org -

A node which even I have to go through from the UK ...and it is
working, quite happily

However the LoTW page does say that problems should be reported to

lotw-help@...

Graham M5AAV

3260. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 21:24:08 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3251 Message: 3260

Joe,

If I can't connect to a known good site, I need only to contact my ISP and
they will take care of the downstream problems.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Cc: <ke3z@...>; <n7ng@...>
Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 1:51 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Gerry,

It is not a localized ISP issue. It is effecting users from
Central Florida to the west coast of the US.

The failing node is "one hop away" from p1k.arrl.org - HQ's
upstream provider. If this is going to be fixed, HQ staff
will need to get on the phone with their provider an make a
little noise.

Here are the two most likely contacts:

Bloom, Jon, KE3Z, Web & Software Development Manager ke3z@...
Mills, Wayne, N7NG, Membership Services Manager n7ng@...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 2:02 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down
>
>
>
> This problem doesn't appear to be an ARRL issue. You should
> be contacting your ISPs to report the routing failure.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2006 11:41 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down
>
>
> > Hi Mike -- still down here in the Florida panhandle also.
> Been that way
> > for several days. Many folks can't get in and many others having no
> > trouble. It depends on the path. Several people have traced
> it out and
> > it is failing in the same place for all of us.
> > 73,
> > Chris, AC?M
> >
> >
> > mac2251 wrote:
> >> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
> >> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even
> know about it.
> >> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> >> Indiana.....Mike K9HCK
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >

>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3261. LoTW up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 22:21:00 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3261 Message: 3261

Went fishing this afternoon and just got home and found the LoTW site up
and running again. Thanks!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

3262. LoTW up again

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 23:18:09 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3261 Message: 3262

I have not had a problem logging in.....but does anyone know.
I put all my contacts in "Phone"......shouldn't that be the same as USB or LSB?
I don't understand why they have all three. Maybe that is why some of my confirmation are not adding up...lol!
73's
Robert KQ4PK

"C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:
Went fishing this afternoon and just got home and found the LoTW site up
and running again. Thanks!
--
73,
Chris, AC?M

Stay in the know. Pulse on the new Yahoo.com. Check it out.

3263. LotW down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-19 23:45:09 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3263 Message: 3263

Just tried to upload, but LotW is down. It was accessible from here a couple of hours ago...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3264. now you did it!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 00:10:56 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3264 Message: 3264

While all those southeastern guys were having problems connecting to LoTW, my system/route was perfect.
Even faster than normal.

Now that you got yours fixed....MINE'S DOWN.
I can't connect.

Please unfix your DNS server. LOL

You need a Lifestyle change if: Your kids take a siphon hose to show and tell in school.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3265. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] now you did it!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 00:31:43 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3264 Message: 3265

They must have you on EVEN days Bill, Hi.
73,
Chris, AC?M

FireBrick wrote:
> While all those southeastern guys were having problems connecting to LoTW, my system/route
> was perfect.
> Even faster than normal.
>
> Now that you got yours fixed....MINE'S DOWN.
> I can't connect.
>
> Please unfix your DNS server. LOL
>
>
> ---
> You need a Lifestyle change if: Your kids take a siphon hose to show and tell in school.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3266. Re: LotW down: now back up (from here)!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 00:53:09 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3263 Message: 3266

Timing is everything...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> Just tried to upload, but LotW is down. It was accessible from here a
> couple of hours ago...
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>

3267. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] now you did it!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 02:36:07 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3264 Message: 3267
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Sounds like a "Rolling Blackout" to me :-) !!!

I've had no trouble since this Thread was started and I access LoTW 2 to 5 times under accounts !!!

Maybe my time is coming (in the N.E.).

73 es wish you all the best in resolving your problems.

Bob, K1VU

At 20:10 10/19/2006, you wrote:

>While all those southeastern guys were having problems connecting to LoTW, my system/route
>was perfect.
>Even faster than normal.
>
>Now that you got yours fixed....MINE'S DOWN.
>I can't connect.
>
>Please unfix your DNS server. LOL
>
>---
>You need a Lifestyle change if: Your kids take a siphon hose to show and tell in school.
>---
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at <http://24.14.49.4:8080>http://24.14.49.4:8080
>weather at <http://hhweather.webhop.org>http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>

3268. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] now you did it!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 03:48:35 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3264 Message: 3268
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3269. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] now you did it!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 04:13:02 UTC
From: Neil Richardson
Topic: 3264 Message: 3269

I have had no problems at as this thread has continued and have just checked and it's still working 100% here

Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Prasad
Sent: Friday, 20 October 2006 4:49 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] now you did it!

During the entire period this thread has been going on, I have been checking my LoTW stuff and things worked perfectly.

Whenever I switch off my laptop, you guys complain of blackouts. I wonder if the entire LoTW system is sitting on my laptop :)

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 10/20/06, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:

Sounds like a "Rolling Blackout" to me :-) !!!

I've had no trouble since this Thread was started and I access LoTW 2 to 5 times under accounts !!!

Maybe my time is coming (in the N.E.).

73 es wish you all the best in resolving your problems.

Bob, K1VU

At 20:10 10/19/2006, you wrote:

>While all those southeastern guys were having problems connecting to LoTW, my system/route
>was perfect.
>Even faster than normal.
>
>Now that you got yours fixed....MINE'S DOWN.
>I can't connect.
>
>Please unfix your DNS server. LOL
>
>---
>You need a Lifestyle change if: Your kids take a siphon hose to show and tell in school.

>----------
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at <http://24.14. <http://24.14.49.4:8080> 49.4:8080> http://24.14.
<http://24.14.49.4:8080> 49.4:8080
>weather at <http://hhweather. <http://hhweather.webhop.org> webhop.org>
http://hhweather. <http://hhweather.webhop.org> webhop.org
>
>

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

- Now QRV after 8 years with a new IC-746PRO :)

3270. Re: LOTW still down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 13:47:24 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3270 Message: 3270

Just for information there has been no problem here in the North East of Scotland

Dave, GM4HQF
Aberdeen

3271. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 22:43:51 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3251 Message: 3271

On Oct 19, 2006, at 1:03 PM, mac2251 wrote:

> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it.
> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
> Indiana

Weird phenomenon. I couldn't access LoTW from home, but I could from work. Looks like the problem wasn't with LoTW, but with some part of the internet in between.

It's apparently fixed now, as I can access LoTW from both places.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3272. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-20 23:11:11 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3251 Message: 3272

I had that problem for several days but now can connect again. A Tracert
will show you where the problem is but even then I sure don't know how you
correct that.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bill Coleman" <aa4lr@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 20, 2006 3:43 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Still Down

>
> On Oct 19, 2006, at 1:03 PM, mac2251 wrote:
>
>> Been trying for 2 days still no connection. Anyone know the e mail
>> address of the ARRL tender of LOTW or know if they even know about it.
>> It has been down for better part of 2 days here in Southern
>> Indiana
>
> Weird phenomenon. I couldn't access LoTW from home, but I could from
> work. Looks like the problem wasn't with LoTW, but with some part of
> the internet in between.
>
> It's apparently fixed now, as I can access LoTW from both places.
>
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3273. States Needed Feature

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-24 16:59:38 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3273 Message: 3273

I just thought of a feature for LOTW that would be helpful
for users.

When WAS is selected, and you click on the band, LOTW shows the
states that are confirmed. A nice feature would be to show
the states needed (not confirmed) at the bottom. I have
a logging program that does this, and it is a nice feature.

Tim K6GEP

3274. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] States Needed Feature

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-24 17:07:00 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3273 Message: 3274

If you click "all entities", the report will show credited and needed states
(although not a separate list).

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2006 10:59 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] States Needed Feature

>I just thought of a feature for LOTW that would be helpful
> for users.
>
> When WAS is selected, and you click on the band, LOTW shows the
> states that are confirmed. A nice feature would be to show
> the states needed (not confirmed) at the bottom. I have
> a logging program that does this, and it is a nice feature.
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3275. Re: States Needed Feature

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-24 17:33:44 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3273 Message: 3275

That'll work! I forgot about that. Thanks!

Tim
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> If you click "all entities", the report will show credited and
needed states
> (although not a separate list).
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2006 10:59 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] States Needed Feature
>
>
> >I just thought of a feature for LOTW that would be helpful
> > for users.
> >
> > When WAS is selected, and you click on the band, LOTW shows the
> > states that are confirmed. A nice feature would be to show
> > the states needed (not confirmed) at the bottom. I have
> > a logging program that does this, and it is a nice feature.
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>

3276. LOTW QSL Rate nearing 12%

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-24 17:41:40 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3276 Message: 3276

I have another suggestion for LOTW, and it almost
falls in the marketing category.

On the Home page when you are logged into LOTW it shows the
box on the right with 110 million + QSO's and 6 million +
QSL's confirmed. For a while I was incorrectly calculating
a QSL rate of just under 6%. I thought a while - wait! For
every confirmed QSL, there are effectively 2 QSL's generated,
which doubles the rate to nearly 12%. I think it would be good to
show a QSL rate in that box, so that it gives a more accurate
representation of what is going on?

I think this rate would be good to show people on the LOTW
home page for users who aren't signed up yet. Its an impressive
number that is going to get even better with time.

Tim K6GEP

3277. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] States Needed Feature

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-24 23:13:43 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3273 Message: 3277

On Oct 24, 2006, at 12:59 PM, timgep wrote:

> When WAS is selected, and you click on the band, LOTW shows the
> states that are confirmed. A nice feature would be to show
> the states needed (not confirmed) at the bottom. I have
> a logging program that does this, and it is a nice feature.

In addition to the "all entities" selection, you can also press the
"Application" menu item, and it will show the states you are missing
to receive each award.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3278. TQSL on Linux

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-30 23:49:05 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 3278 Message: 3278

Hey folks, anyone out there help me with TQSL on a Linux install? I downloaded the app and installed it, but I cannot find a way to load the existing certificate file I have. There is no selection in the app to load the existing certificate.

Thanks,

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

3279. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] TQSL on Linux

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-10-31 01:27:12 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3278 Message: 3279

On Mon, Oct 30, 2006 at 11:49:05PM -0000, Beischel wrote:
> Hey folks, anyone out there help me with TQSL on a Linux install? I
> downloaded the app and installed it, but I cannot find a way to load
> the existing certificate file I have. There is no selection in the app
> to load the existing certificate.

You have to run tqslcert to do certificate management. Then you should
be able to load your file (.p12 or .tq5/.tq6).

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3280. Quick results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-06 20:59:36 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3280 Message: 3280

Participated in the CW SS this weekend with the crew at N0RT, working 971 stations.
Submitted my log last evening, and we already have about 100 QSL's at LoTW. Not bad!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3281. Skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-07 01:32:29 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3280 Message: 3281

Looking to schedule with a ME and a VT station doing LOTW... Final two for WAS...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

Never try to outstubborn a cat. --Lazarus Long

3282. Info plse

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-08 02:30:39 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3282 Message: 3282

Hello,
What does most peoples put in their logs....when working 10/15 and 20 meters......do U use SSB or Phone?
Not sure which would be correct ......Does it make a differents in getting the LOTW award?
73's
Robt KQ4PK

Access over 1 million songs - Yahoo! Music Unlimited.

3283. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Info plse

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-08 02:54:50 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3282 Message: 3283

At 09:30 PM 11/7/2006, Robert Jones wrote:
>Hello,
>What does most peoples put in their logs....when working 10/15 and 20
>meters......do U use SSB or Phone?
>Not sure which would be correct ......Does it make a differents in
>getting the LOTW award?

Both are in the same "mode group" so they'll match.
See https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#modes for a list of the mode groups.
-Rick

3284. Info plse

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-08 23:01:11 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3282 Message: 3284

Hi Rick,
Thanks for the info...I had started to reload,just in case I was missing something with it....lol!
I know the mode is important.....I was afraid if some one had put in SSB and I had put in phone.....that I would miss the contact.
73's
Robt KQ4PK

Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
At 09:30 PM 11/7/2006, Robert Jones wrote:
>Hello,
>What does most peoples put in their logs....when working 10/15 and 20
>meters......do U use SSB or Phone?
>Not sure which would be correct ......Does it make a differents in
>getting the LOTW award?

Both are in the same "mode group" so they'll match.
See https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#modes for a list of the mode groups.
-Rick

Check out the all-new Yahoo! Mail - Fire up a more powerful email and get things done faster.

Cheap Talk? Check out Yahoo! Messenger's low PC-to-Phone call rates.

3285. New Call help setting up needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-09 14:00:08 UTC
From: Chuck R
Topic: 3285 Message: 3285

I have been using LOTW and everything is working really well. I have it interfaced with my logging program and I am very very pleased. BUT. I just got a new vanity call and need to set things up for that new call. Let me say that I really need detailed directions because I am a computer dummy. I do NOT have email capability on the ham radio computer so if email is involved I will have to burn a disk and load it onto the ham radio computer. I am so afraid I will lose my existing QSO's or do something that will really screw things up and would really appreciate someone to take the time to provide me with very detailed instruction. I break out in a sweat just thinking about what I could foul up!!

Thanks,

Chuck Richards, W0WQ
73

3286. Re: New Call help setting up needed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-09 19:50:41 UTC
From: Chuck R
Topic: 3285 Message: 3286

Greetings all,

Thanks to a ton of help from ARRL LOTW everything has been altered
and I am functioning perfectly again. What a class outfit and
person to spend the time guiding me through something that was
really worrying me!

Chuck Richards, W0WQ
73

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Chuck R" <cmrichards1@...> wrote:
>
> I have been using LOTW and everything is working really well. I
have
> it interfaced with my logging program and I am very very pleased.
> BUT. I just got a new vanity call and need to set things up for
that
> new call. Let me say that I really need detailed directions
because I
> am a computer dummy. I do NOT have email capability on the ham
radio
> computer so if email is involved I will have to burn a disk and
load
> it onto the ham radio computer. I am so afraid I will lose my
> existing QSO's or do something that will really screw things up
and
> would really appreciate someone to take the time to provide me
with
> very detailed instruction. I break out in a sweat just thinking
about
> what I could foul up!!
>
> Thanks,
>
> Chuck Richards, W0WQ
> 73
>

3287. 4O6DX - Montenegro

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-15 06:33:29 UTC
From: kb2de
Topic: 3287 Message: 3287

I have a LOTW qsl with 4O6DX dated 18 August 2006. MY DXCC grid does not show Montenegro confirmed. It also does not show Montenegro in the pending new contries list under awards. Is this a problem with LOTW? or is it a problem with the person who uploaded the log? or is it a problem with the timing of the upload? 73, Peter

3288. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 4O6DX - Montenegro

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-15 12:50:26 UTC
From: alsopb
Topic: 3287 Message: 3288

Peter,

Montenegro shows up as a YU prefix in LOTW.
4O6DX LOTW credits also show up here.

If you don't have LOTW credits by now do some troubleshooting:
1) Were the credits really uploaded. You can look at your MY QSO's
area on the web page to see all QSO's you successfully uploaded.
2) A time mismatch of something like more than 30 minutes means you
won't get match in LOTW.

73 DE Brian/K3KO

kb2de wrote:
> I have a LOTW qsl with 4O6DX dated 18 August 2006. MY DXCC grid does
> not show Montenegro confirmed. It also does not show Montenegro in the
> pending new contries list under awards. Is this a problem with LOTW?
> or is it a problem with the person who uploaded the log? or is it a
> problem with the timing of the upload? 73, Peter
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3289. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 4O6DX - Montenegro

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-15 16:01:22 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3287 Message: 3289

Brian,

Note that he says "I have a LOTW qsl with 4O6DX dated 18 August 2006."

73, Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: alsopb
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/15/2006 12:56:29 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 4O6DX - Montenegro

Peter,

Montenegro shows up as a YU prefix in LOTW.
4O6DX LOTW credits also show up here.

If you don't have LOTW credits by now do some troubleshooting:
1) Were the credits really uploaded. You can look at your MY QSO's
area on the web page to see all QSO's you successfully uploaded.
2) A time mismatch of something like more than 30 minutes means you
won't get match in LOTW.

73 DE Brian/K3KO

kb2de wrote:
> I have a LOTW qsl with 4O6DX dated 18 August 2006. MY DXCC grid does
> not show Montenegro confirmed. It also does not show Montenegro in the
> pending new contries list under awards. Is this a problem with LOTW?
> or is it a problem with the person who uploaded the log? or is it a
> problem with the timing of the upload? 73, Peter
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3290. Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-16 01:43:53 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3287 Message: 3290

Hi,

I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in RTTY, but will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help please?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

?knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory philosophy of ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of thought, (we must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not to be feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom?to all coming generations. --Richard P. Feynman

3291. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-16 01:58:05 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3287 Message: 3291

Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.

Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon would be most welcome.

Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

Hi,

I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in RTTY, but will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help please?

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

?knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory philosophy of ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of thought, (we must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not to be feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom?to all coming generations. --Richard P. Feynman

3292. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-16 02:54:11 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3287 Message: 3292

On Thu, Nov 16, 2006 at 01:58:05AM -0000, K0HB wrote:
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.

Pity there's no place for DX in this contest, as it would be a good
opportunity to work on WAS...

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3293. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-16 04:35:27 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3287 Message: 3293

ARRL DX where "USA works the world" might be what you're looking for.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Hamish Moffatt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/17/2006 12:54:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

On Thu, Nov 16, 2006 at 01:58:05AM -0000, K0HB wrote:
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.

Pity there's no place for DX in this contest, as it would be a good
opportunity to work on WAS...

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3294. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-16 08:03:09 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt
Topic: 3287 Message: 3294

On Thu, Nov 16, 2006 at 04:35:27AM -0000, K0HB wrote:
> ARRL DX where "USA works the world" might be what you're looking for.

Yep. But twice a year is better than once. And there might be different
stations on in each. Well maybe I'll try a few calls anyway, perhaps
some people will help me out anyway.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@...> <hamish@...>

3295. Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-20 22:12:04 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3287 Message: 3295

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in RTTY, but
> will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help
> please?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory philosophy of
> ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of thought, (we
> must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not to be
> feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom to all

coming
> generations. --Richard P. Feynman
>

Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW
aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB)
from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.

I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to
plead the case to sign up on LOTW.

Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to
do an upload to LOTW.

Tim

3296. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-20 22:51:58 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3287 Message: 3296

Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though I have worked plenty of them!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 4:12 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be
several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon
would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in
RTTY, but
> will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help
> please?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO

> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> .knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory
philosophy of
> ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of
thought, (we
> must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not
to be
> feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom.to all
coming
> generations. --Richard P. Feynman
>

Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB) from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.

I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to plead the case to sign up on LOTW.

Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to do an upload to LOTW.

Tim

3297. Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-20 23:28:51 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3297 Message: 3297

Add Idaho to that list. I am IN Id , on lotw, but I have yet to talk to anyone else who is !!

Don
Ke7ffm
psk31 4-6pm mst 20m

----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 3:51 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though I have worked plenty of them!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 4:12 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM

> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in
RTTY, but
> will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help
> please?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> .knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory
philosophy of
> ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of
thought, (we
> must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not
to be
> feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom.to all
coming
> generations. --Richard P. Feynman
>

Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB) from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.

I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to plead the case to sign up on LOTW.

Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to do an upload to LOTW.

Tim

3298. Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 00:01:19 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3297 Message: 3298

Try a sked with Pat, N7UVH. He is very active from ID, even digital.
I have him confirmed on PSK31, RTTY and SSB on LOTW.

Tim

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <drfrasher@...> wrote:
>
> Add Idaho to that list. I am IN Id , on lotw, but I have yet to
talk to anyone else who is !!
>
> Don
> Ke7ffm
> psk31 4-6pm mst 20m
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 3:51 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...
>
>
>
> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though I
have worked plenty of them!
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: timgep
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 4:12 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@> wrote:
> >
> > Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
> >
> > Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be
> several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon
> would be most welcome.
> >

> > Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
> >
> >
> > Hi,
> >
> > I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in
> RTTY, but
> > will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any
help
> > please?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dave
> > NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> > http://www.nk7z.net
> >
> > .knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory
> philosophy of
> > ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of
> thought, (we
> > must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not
> to be
> > feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom.to all
> coming
> > generations. --Richard P. Feynman
> >
>
> Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW
> aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB)
> from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.
>
> I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to
> plead the case to sign up on LOTW.
>
> Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to
> do an upload to LOTW.

>
> Tim
>

3299. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 00:32:24 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3287 Message: 3299

Thanks for the tip !! I just e-mailed him, see if we might be able to work something out.
Don
ke7ffm
----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 5:01 PM
Subject: Fw: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Try a sked with Pat, N7UVH. He is very active from ID, even digital.
I have him confirmed on PSK31, RTTY and SSB on LOTW.

Tim

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <drfrasher@...> wrote:
>
> Add Idaho to that list. I am IN Id , on lotw, but I have yet to
talk to anyone else who is !!
>
> Don
> Ke7ffm
> psk31 4-6pm mst 20m
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 3:51 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...
>
>
>
> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though I
have worked plenty of them!
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: timgep
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 4:12 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...
>
>

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@> wrote:
> >
> > Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
> >
> > Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be
> several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon
> would be most welcome.
> >
> > Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
> >
> >
> > Hi,
> >
> > I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in
> RTTY, but
> > will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any
help
> > please?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dave
> > NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> > http://www.nk7z.net
> >
> > .knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory
> philosophy of
> > ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of
> thought, (we
> > must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not
> to be
> > feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom.to all
> coming
> > generations. --Richard P. Feynman
> >
>
> Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW

> aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB)
> from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.
>
> I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to
> plead the case to sign up on LOTW.
>
> Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to
> do an upload to LOTW.
>
> Tim
>

3300. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 01:48:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3287 Message: 3300

On Nov 20, 2006, at 2:51 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
> LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though
> I have worked plenty of them!

I have a WY confirmation via LOTW from WC7S (worked 2002-12-14,
confirmed 2006-04-13).

Bob, N7XY

3301. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 03:26:17 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3287 Message: 3301

Out of 7 Q's with WC7S, I have 1 LoTW confirmation. I just sent Dale a message to see if he will be uploading other logs.

But this gave me the idea to see who else is "holding out" in WY that I can tweak for LoTW confirmations. I'm just shy of 40,000 QSO's online so 6BWAS shouldn't be too difficult!

I suspect a lot of fellows uploaded their logs without putting the State and County info into their TQSL certificate. Unfortunately these incomplete QSO records are not going to help with WAS.

Thanks for the lead!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 7:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

On Nov 20, 2006, at 2:51 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
> LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though
> I have worked plenty of them!

I have a WY confirmation via LOTW from WC7S (worked 2002-12-14,
confirmed 2006-04-13).

Bob, N7XY

3302. Sample Email to Rare State not on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 03:41:44 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3302 Message: 3302

Before I write a couple of e-mails to Maine and Wyoming,
I thought I would bounce this idea off you all. We need
to think of what are the benefits there are for an avid contester in a
rare state to get on LOTW.

From what I could think of from the top of my head, I've come
up with:

Lower printing costs of QSL cards

Time saved in writing QSLs

Contest log checking before submitting log (ethically
a no-no?)

Very simple to send ADIF file in after contest.

Help represent your rare state on LOTW for the world.

Any others that you can think of?

Tim K6GEP

3303. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Sample Email to Rare State not on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 03:52:06 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3302 Message: 3303

> Any others that you can think of?

> Tim K6GEP

Save a tree. (Eat a beaver?)

3304. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sample Email to Rare State not on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 13:01:46 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3302 Message: 3304

On Nov 20, 2006, at 10:41 PM, timgep wrote:

> Contest log checking before submitting log (ethically
> a no-no?)

Actually, there's apparently quite a few fellows who don't upload
their logs to LoTW until after the log submission deadline for this
reason.

Frankly, I don't think this method makes a reliable check.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3305. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sample Email to Rare State not on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 19:02:56 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3302 Message: 3305

PARANOIA!

If an operator thinks others will use their log information to scrub their own log to climb the ladder of success, they're living in a fantasy world! Other than a review for obvious "typing busts", this is a total waste of time. These operators need to become more proficient during the event rather than try to play catch-up after the fact! Spend 15 minutes with Super Morse each day and your time will be better spent.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2006 7:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Sample Email to Rare State not on LOTW

On Nov 20, 2006, at 10:41 PM, timgep wrote:

> Contest log checking before submitting log (ethically
> a no-no?)

Actually, there's apparently quite a few fellows who don't upload
their logs to LoTW until after the log submission deadline for this
reason.

Frankly, I don't think this method makes a reliable check.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3306. More ND & WY confirmations (was: Looking for Sked...)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 19:28:46 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3306 Message: 3306

Change of topic and follow up...

I sent out a few emails for my unconfirmed ND and WY contacts for LoTW WAS. In every case I have received a prompt reply!

I am sure many of you have worked Bill Straw, WBØO, for a North Dakota contact. For those who do not know, Bill has retired to Tucson, AZ and now operates with the KO7AA callsign. He has been an avid contester for many years, even prior to LoTW. The bummer is, he lost many contest logs in a computer crash several years ago.

The interesting part of his story is the ARRL asked him if they could upload his submitted SS and DX contest logs, which he gave permission. I will make an inquiry to the LoTW Desk to see if this was every done. I am speculating it has not been done yet or I would have my ND confirmations with WBØO that I am investigating.

Bob Davis, WG7Y, also lost a years worth of logs to a computer crash. He confirmed several of my contacts and will upload this information to LoTW.

Dale Putnam, WC7S, has been manually matching QSO requests as they come in. He has tried uploading logs but has run into some difficulties. I offered my help, told him about this group, and also said the LoTW help desk is another good resource (according to feedback in this reflector).

So... overall, what can we "take away" from my experience? (Man, those corporate buzz words sound so distant now that I've left the race!)

1) Backup your computer... It will crash.
2) LoTW is not a "piece of cake" for everyone out there.
3) QSLing is not a high priority for many operators.
4) Maybe YOU should take an active role to help one person get through the LoTW process.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 7:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

On Nov 20, 2006, at 2:51 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
> LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though
> I have worked plenty of them!

I have a WY confirmation via LOTW from WC7S (worked 2002-12-14,
confirmed 2006-04-13).

Bob, N7XY

3307. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] More ND & WY confirmations (was: Looking for Sked...)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 21:46:53 UTC
From: Mike Mellinger WA0SXV
Topic: 3306 Message: 3307

I have WB0O confirms in LOTW for SS 2001, 2002, and 2004.

I have numberous WG7Y from various contests 2000-2004.

I think that the WB0O SS logs were loaded.

Mike

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Chudek - KØRC
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2006 13:29
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] More ND & WY confirmations (was: Looking for Sked...)

Change of topic and follow up...

I sent out a few emails for my unconfirmed ND and WY contacts for LoTW WAS. In every case I have received a prompt reply!

I am sure many of you have worked Bill Straw, WBØO, for a North Dakota contact. For those who do not know, Bill has retired to Tucson, AZ and now operates with the KO7AA callsign. He has been an avid contester for many years, even prior to LoTW. The bummer is, he lost many contest logs in a computer crash several years ago.

The interesting part of his story is the ARRL asked him if they could upload his submitted SS and DX contest logs, which he gave permission. I will make an inquiry to the LoTW Desk to see if this was every done. I am speculating it has not been done yet or I would have my ND confirmations with WBØO that I am investigating.

Bob Davis, WG7Y, also lost a years worth of logs to a computer crash. He confirmed several of my contacts and will upload this information to LoTW.

Dale Putnam, WC7S, has been manually matching QSO requests as they come in. He has tried uploading logs but has run into some difficulties. I offered my help, told him about this group, and also said the LoTW help desk is another good resource (according to feedback in this reflector).

So... overall, what can we "take away" from my experience? (Man, those corporate buzz words sound so distant now that I've left the race!)

1) Backup your computer... It will crash.
2) LoTW is not a "piece of cake" for everyone out there.
3) QSLing is not a high priority for many operators.

4) Maybe YOU should take an active role to help one person get through the LoTW process.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen <mailto:nielsen@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com> ps.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 7:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

On Nov 20, 2006, at 2:51 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in
> LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though
> I have worked plenty of them!

I have a WY confirmation via LOTW from WC7S (worked 2002-12-14, confirmed 2006-04-13).

Bob, N7XY

3308. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 15:15:13 UTC
From: CT3EE
Topic: 3287 Message: 3308

You sure have my vote too.
I am looking for WY stations more than 2 years to finish my WAS in the EQSL.cc and also in LOTW, I am missing this state foe some awards.
Best wishes all
Luis - CT3EE
----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 10:51 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

Yup, I vote to encourage the Wyoming stations to participate in LoTW... WY is my "Most Needed" on many modes and bands, even though I have worked plenty of them!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 4:12 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for Sked...

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> Skeds are like shooting fish in a barrel.
>
> Sweepstake Phone contest is this weekend. I'm sure there'll be
several Maine stations on the air, and another station from Oregon
would be most welcome.
>
> Join the fun, 2100Z Sat until 0300Z Mon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 11/16/2006 1:54:01 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Looking for Sked...
>

>
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for a single state, Maine... Looking for a sked in
RTTY, but
> will take anything I can get for final state for LoTW WAS... Any help
> please?
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> .knowing the great progress and great value of a satisfactory
philosophy of
> ignorance, the great progress that is the fruit of freedom of
thought, (we
> must) proclaim the value of this freedom, to teach how doubt is not
to be
> feared but welcomed and discussed, and to demand this freedom.to all
coming
> generations. --Richard P. Feynman
>

Unfortunately, all the Maine stations I worked in the Sweepstakes CW
aren't on LOTW. In fact, I looked up all the SS results (CW & SSB)
from 2005 and NONE are on LOTW.

I think I will send an e-mail to K8PO in Maine and gently try to
plead the case to sign up on LOTW.

Wyoming is another tough one. Crossing my fingers for WG7Y to
do an upload to LOTW.

Tim

3309. LOTW 160M

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-21 22:59:30 UTC
From: alsopb
Topic: 3306 Message: 3309

It seems that 160M DX station entries in LOTW are scarce as hen's teeth. The hit rate here is less than half if that for the other HF bands.

Wonder why?

73 de Brian/K3KO

3310. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] More ND & WY confirmations (was: Looking for Sked...)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-22 02:54:52 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 3306 Message: 3310

On Nov 21, 2006, at 2:28 PM, Robert Chudek - KØRC wrote:

> The interesting part of his story is the ARRL asked him if they
> could upload his submitted SS and DX contest logs, which he gave
> permission. I will make an inquiry to the LoTW Desk to see if this
> was every done. I am speculating it has not been done yet or I
> would have my ND confirmations with WBØO that I am investigating.

I have confirmations from WB0O from November 2001 for SS CW and
Phone. Out of all the dozens of contacts I've had with Bill, that's
the only two that are confirmed.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3311. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] More ND & WY confirmations

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-22 04:42:07 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3311 Message: 3311

Today I received the status regarding the WBØO logs for North Dakota in LoTW. Here's part of the message I received from David Patton, NN1N, at the ARRL...

"Hi Bob!

Hope you are doing well.

The logs we found for WB0O were obtained from the ARRL master database of contest logs--mostly SS and I think 10 meter logs.

As you know Bill lost over 100,000 QSOs that weren't backed up from his computer.

So, that's all we have, sorry."

Moral of the story, make backups of your computer data! If you have confirmations from WBØO in LoTW, they are most likely from this recovery effort by the ARRL staff.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Coleman
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2006 8:54 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] More ND & WY confirmations (was: Looking for Sked...)

On Nov 21, 2006, at 2:28 PM, Robert Chudek - KØRC wrote:

> The interesting part of his story is the ARRL asked him if they
> could upload his submitted SS and DX contest logs, which he gave
> permission. I will make an inquiry to the LoTW Desk to see if this
> was every done. I am speculating it has not been done yet or I
> would have my ND confirmations with WBØO that I am investigating.

I have confirmations from WB0O from November 2001 for SS CW and Phone. Out of all the dozens of contacts I've had with Bill, that's the only two that are confirmed.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

3312. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW 160M

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-22 12:23:54 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3306 Message: 3312

This topic rose in the DX-QSL net once. The consensus was, the band's habitat is rather small (antennae restrictions, etc) and virtually every participant is rather unique, therefore:
1. $$$ collectors want to maintain their harvest on paper cards
2. DXCC Challenge 2000 up'ers don't want competition to reach their own level.

Pitty but it might be true.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE aka EU1SA

> It seems that 160M DX station entries in LOTW are scarce as hen's
> teeth. The hit rate here is less than half if that for the other HF
> bands.
>
> Wonder why?
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3313. LOTW for Fedora Core 6

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 06:20:55 UTC
From: Michael Williamson
Topic: 3313 Message: 3313

If anyone here wants to run LOTW under FC6, this may be of help.

I've applied AA6E's modifications to LOTW and re-packaged it to be compatable with FC6. I've made the RPM files are available at http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

-Michael
kc5cqm

3314. South Carolina

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 17:37:23 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3314 Message: 3314

I am attempting to secure a 5BWAS and am completely frustrated with securing confirmation of South Carolina. Are there no hams in SC that use LOTW? I've got SC on all bands many-many times. And still no confirms.

With 49 states on 80, 40, 20, 15 and missing SC in each, I must assume SC hams do not use computers? Obviously I'm kidding.

Is there any LOTW user in SC that would arrange a sched with me so I can finish my 5BWAS quest?

Thanks

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3315. why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 18:10:49 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3315 Message: 3315

A very poor design of the 'signing process' where you enter the station location info, causes many to FORGET to include the state and county of the qsos.

Even though there is sufficient room in the Edit Station Location screen for two extra input lines, you MUST click on Next to access that page.

Consequently many people miss it.
Especially rovers during QSO Parties.

So you very well MAY have worked many South Carolina stations who submitted LoTW qsos, possibly they forgot to include the State and County into the info prior to signing the logs.

This is evident when you examine qsls in the Your QSL Page.
Some have the info, but many do not, makeing those qsls virtually useless.

So there should be two issues, for USA/VE stations, the State/County data entry should be on the same page as the ITU, CQ, and IOTA entry fields.
and
There should be error checking to advise signers that they have left fields blank.

There presently is no connection for County hunters, Nor for the Worked all Canada awards.
So possibly the design was intentional.

But then....seeing as how many of the ARRL staff do not even qsl via LoTW, I guess maybe ..... well I'll let you fill in the rest of that sentence.

I don't approve of political jokes...I've seen too many of them get elected.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3316. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] South Carolina

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 18:59:31 UTC
From: alsopb
Topic: 3314 Message: 3316

Ford,

There SC stations show up here confirmed via LOTW
AD4AA, AA4V, K4KXO, W4UK, K4ADI, K4CNW, W4FDU, AA4SC, K0COP, NU4SC,
AF4OX, W4NE

Perhaps one of them will sked you.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Ford Peterson wrote:
> I am attempting to secure a 5BWAS and am completely frustrated with securing
> confirmation of South Carolina. Are there no hams in SC that use LOTW?
> I've got SC on all bands many-many times. And still no confirms.
>
> With 49 states on 80, 40, 20, 15 and missing SC in each, I must assume SC
> hams do not use computers? Obviously I'm kidding.
>
> Is there any LOTW user in SC that would arrange a sched with me so I can
> finish my 5BWAS quest?
>
> Thanks
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3317. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] South Carolina

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 18:55:59 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3314 Message: 3317

I have a SC confirmation on LOTW from AA4V.

Bob, N7XY

On Nov 28, 2006, at 9:37 AM, Ford Peterson wrote:

> I am attempting to secure a 5BWAS and am completely frustrated with
> securing
> confirmation of South Carolina. Are there no hams in SC that use
> LOTW?
> I've got SC on all bands many-many times. And still no confirms.
>
> With 49 states on 80, 40, 20, 15 and missing SC in each, I must
> assume SC
> hams do not use computers? Obviously I'm kidding.
>
> Is there any LOTW user in SC that would arrange a sched with me so
> I can
> finish my 5BWAS quest?
>
> Thanks
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3318. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 18:58:08 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3315 Message: 3318

Right on, Bill!!!

And hello Ford!!! Where have you been? I've missed your posts the past 6 months or so.

I'm in the same position with WY and ND contacts, but in my case I have 11 "holes" I'm trying to fill with just those two states.

From this QTH in the past 11 years I have most likely worked all states fifty times over. I feel LoTW was designed as a DXCC tool and I think the WAS aspect was an after-thought. In any case, you would think a programmer could "fix up" the TQSL tool and make it more user friendly as Bill suggested.

I also think the bigger problem are users that are not aware they can/should add state info into the TQSL process. I'm afraid they set it up once and after that, their logging program buffers them from any direct interaction with the utility.

In order to capture these users and their missing data, the LoTW server would also need to be updated to scan the incoming logs for state information and issue a warning or email message back to the user. An alternative would be to update TQSL.EXE again and make it a mandatory upgrade by a certain date in the future.

These comments are free... if you want my 2? opinion, that will cost you. PayPal accepted. :-)

73 de Bob - K?RC in MN

(BTW Ford, you won't sleep as comfortable if you go the "make a sked" route! You might as well check into a net... "Please copy..."! Swing you beam SE, get on 15 or 20 meter SSB and call CQ South Carolina and keep working stations until you find an active LoTW participant! That's what ham radio is all about!)

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2006 12:10 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

>A very poor design of the 'signing process' where you enter the station
> location info, causes many to FORGET to include the state and county of
> the
> qsos.
>

> Even though there is sufficient room in the Edit Station Location screen
> for
> two extra input lines, you MUST click on Next to access that page.
>
> Consequently many people miss it.
> Especially rovers during QSO Parties.
>
> So you very well MAY have worked many South Carolina stations who
> submitted
> LoTW qsos, possibly they forgot to include the State and County into the
> info prior to signing the logs.
>
> This is evident when you examine qsls in the Your QSL Page.
> Some have the info, but many do not, makeing those qsls virtually useless.
>
> So there should be two issues, for USA/VE stations, the State/County data
> entry should be on the same page as the ITU, CQ, and IOTA entry fields.
> and
> There should be error checking to advise signers that they have left
> fields
> blank.
>
> There presently is no connection for County hunters, Nor for the Worked
> all
> Canada awards.
> So possibly the design was intentional.
>
> But then....seeing as how many of the ARRL staff do not even qsl via LoTW,
> I
> guess maybe ..... well I'll let you fill in the rest of that sentence.
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> I don't approve of political jokes...I've seen too many of them get
> elected.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3319. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 19:46:56 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3315 Message: 3319

Hello Bob
I don't know if WAS was an 'afterthought'.
It took long enough to implement.
But maybe it was just assumed that people would read the instructions.

It is a bit cumbersome, for qso party participants to submit logs.
Rovers would have to carefully separate each log segment and upload each
separately.
W9OL/M from Kane, Cook, LaSalle etc. would each require a separate upload.
I think a lot of people just say 'not worth the effort'.
Probably the same people who don't reply to bureau/direct qsl requests
either.

You would think, that with the labor intensive/costly/ and inefficent old
qsl bureau system, the ARRL would be beating the drum in every QST issue to
get us to switch.

I understand the programmer time situation.
But .... years have passed, with little advancement of the system.
If your not moving foward, then your falling behind!

Familiarity breeds contempt - and children. Mark Twain,

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3320. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 20:20:54 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3315 Message: 3320

Bill,

Yeah, arriving at the scene only 6 months ago my perception is most likely "askew on the treadle"...

When I worked for Target Corp, they had a separate "Usability Lab" where new applications were setup and random users were selected from the workforce to "use" the software. Video was shot in order to review the interaction of software and user. I'm sure that's beyond the scope of the LoTW testing. And it's certainly easy to Monday morning quarterback an existing system.

I'm plugging away using the system. I am 90% satisfied with it's capabilities and performance. Actually I was surprised my CQWW upload processed VERY quickly when I sent it yesterday. I was expecting a long delay and had to do a double-take on my numbers because it was so fast!

73 de Bob - K?RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2006 1:46 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

> Hello Bob
> I don't know if WAS was an 'afterthought'.
> It took long enough to implement.
> But maybe it was just assumed that people would read the instructions.
>
> It is a bit cumbersome, for qso party participants to submit logs.
> Rovers would have to carefully separate each log segment and upload each
> separately.
> W9OL/M from Kane, Cook, LaSalle etc. would each require a separate upload.
> I think a lot of people just say 'not worth the effort'.
> Probably the same people who don't reply to bureau/direct qsl requests
> either.
>
> You would think, that with the labor intensive/costly/ and inefficent old
> qsl bureau system, the ARRL would be beating the drum in every QST issue
> to
> get us to switch.
>
> I understand the programmer time situation.
> But .... years have passed, with little advancement of the system.
> If your not moving foward, then your falling behind!
>

>
> ---
> Familiarity breeds contempt - and children. Mark Twain,
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3321. WAS AK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 21:16:31 UTC
From: David Bowman WB0QIR
Topic: 3321 Message: 3321

Am looking for a sked with Alaska to finish my basic WAS.

3322. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 21:22:42 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3315 Message: 3322

Bill,

> It is a bit cumbersome, for qso party participants to submit logs.
> Rovers would have to carefully separate each log segment and
> upload each separately.
>
> W9OL/M from Kane, Cook, LaSalle etc. would each require a
> separate upload.

You are being way too hard on the system ... with "paper" QSLs,
you would have to get out different cards (or check a separate
box, write a different QTH, etc.) for each different location.
The LotW system is absolutely no different in concept.

Could it be easier, perhaps. However, the ability exists in the
tQSL.dll for each QSO to be "signed" with a different 'station
location' and I think the smart programmers (like AA6YQ of DXLab
Suite) will eventually build that capability into their LotW
support.

> I think a lot of people just say 'not worth the effort'.
> Probably the same people who don't reply to bureau/direct qsl
> requests either.

That's the truth!

> You would think, that with the labor intensive/costly/ and
> inefficent old qsl bureau system, the ARRL would be beating
> the drum in every QST issue to get us to switch.

Except that the "bureau" system will not even handle US to US QSLs
(which it should, IMO).

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3323. Re: WAS AK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-29 00:04:19 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3321 Message: 3323

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "David Bowman WB0QIR"
<wb0qir@...> wrote:
>
> Am looking for a sked with Alaska to finish my basic WAS.
>

Corliss, AL1G is active in most major contests, including
digital. RTTY Roundup is first weekend in Jan. There are
others on LOTW in Alaska like KL7DX.

For South Carolina, W4UK is on most RTTY contests.

As has been said here before, RTTY contesters are avid
LOTW QSLers. Often get over 50% of my contest contacts
QSLed on LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3324. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-29 01:53:13 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3315 Message: 3324

Jun 2006 QST 79 In Brief: ARRL Executive Committee works through
wide-ranging agenda; Logbook of The World now supports Worked All States
Award
(Happenings)

I haven't been on it for very long, but it looks to me like WAS , while
maybe not an afterthought, has only recently been implemented.
Maybe it's time for a full-blown article on the merits of LoTW for use
with WAS as well as DX to be written up and placed in QST. Are there any
writers on the list? maybe a nice and easy, step-by-step painless
walkthough of the process to get started should be included.
I would of thought that PSK users would be a lot more active with
LoTW, guess I was wrong.
Don
Ke7ffm

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2006 2:22 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] why you don't get State and County info answer

>
> Bill,
>
>> It is a bit cumbersome, for qso party participants to submit logs.
>> Rovers would have to carefully separate each log segment and
>> upload each separately.
>>
>> W9OL/M from Kane, Cook, LaSalle etc. would each require a
>> separate upload.
>
> You are being way too hard on the system ... with "paper" QSLs,
> you would have to get out different cards (or check a separate
> box, write a different QTH, etc.) for each different location.
> The LotW system is absolutely no different in concept.
>
> Could it be easier, perhaps. However, the ability exists in the
> tQSL.dll for each QSO to be "signed" with a different 'station
> location' and I think the smart programmers (like AA6YQ of DXLab
> Suite) will eventually build that capability into their LotW
> support.
>
>> I think a lot of people just say 'not worth the effort'.
>> Probably the same people who don't reply to bureau/direct qsl
>> requests either.

>
> That's the truth!
>
>> You would think, that with the labor intensive/costly/ and
>> inefficent old qsl bureau system, the ARRL would be beating
>> the drum in every QST issue to get us to switch.
>
> Except that the "bureau" system will not even handle US to US QSLs
> (which it should, IMO).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3325. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAS AK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-29 02:25:52 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3321 Message: 3325

On Nov 28, 2006, at 4:04 PM, timgep wrote:

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "David Bowman WB0QIR"
> <wb0qir@...> wrote:
>>
>> Am looking for a sked with Alaska to finish my basic WAS.
>>
>
> Corliss, AL1G is active in most major contests, including
> digital. RTTY Roundup is first weekend in Jan. There are
> others on LOTW in Alaska like KL7DX.
>

She was on during the CQWW last weekend--already confirmed via LOTW.

Bob, 7

3326. WAS New Mexico

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-28 23:21:25 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 3326 Message: 3326

Hi Gang,
New to the list. I'm another one of those hams who needs one
state - New Mexico. Anyone out there?

Charlie

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

A sweater is a garment worn by a child when his mother feels chilly.

3327. Re: WAS New Mexico

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-29 07:50:41 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3326 Message: 3327

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Charles Gallo <Charlie@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Gang,
> New to the list. I'm another one of those hams who needs one
> state - New Mexico. Anyone out there?
>
> Charlie
>
> --
> 73 de KG2V
>
> For the Children - RKBA!
>
> A sweater is a garment worn by a child when his mother feels chilly.
>
I've made several contest QSO's with New Mexico confirmed on LOTW

N2IC and N6ZZ, and K5AM who is a BIG sig on RTTY.

3328. Re: WAS New Mexico

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-11-29 17:01:32 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3326 Message: 3328

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Charles Gallo <Charlie@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Gang,
> > New to the list. I'm another one of those hams who needs one
> > state - New Mexico. Anyone out there?
> >
> > Charlie
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KG2V
> >
> > For the Children - RKBA!
> >
> > A sweater is a garment worn by a child when his mother feels chilly.
> >
> I've made several contest QSO's with New Mexico confirmed on LOTW
>
> N2IC and N6ZZ, and K5AM who is a BIG sig on RTTY.
>
You might find N6ZZ and K5AM in the ARRL 10 Meter Contest the
weekend after next (propagation permitting).

3329. W4UK from SC is on RTTY until 2400Z today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-02 20:27:43 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3329 Message: 3329

He is in the TARA contest.

Give him a 599 and your State

3330. Rcvd today: JT800OK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-07 14:56:49 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3330 Message: 3330
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3331. FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 00:07:47 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3331 Message: 3331

When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.

I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?

Tim K6GEP

3332. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 04:57:53 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3331 Message: 3332

From NG3K

French Polynesia FO 2006 Sep23 2006 Oct02 OM2SA 425DXN
20060923 By KM9D as FO/KM9D fm Mauphihaa Is (OC-057); 40-10m; CW SSB;
end date uncertain

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----

From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:07 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

>
> When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
>
> I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3333. Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 05:59:48 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3331 Message: 3333

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
Gerry,

Thats what I was afraid of. How is this situation handled?
Does someone need to contact OM2SA?
I don't want to have a country for DXCC that I dont deserve.
8-(

Tim K6GEP

>
> From NG3K
>
> French Polynesia FO 2006 Sep23 2006 Oct02 OM2SA 425DXN
> 20060923 By KM9D as FO/KM9D fm Mauphihaa Is (OC-057); 40-10m;
CW SSB;
> end date uncertain
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
>
>
> From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:07 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?
>
>
> >
> > When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> > that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
> >
> > I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> > was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
> >
>

3334. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 12:10:08 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3331 Message: 3334

Guys,
You are driving me nuts...
Do you really think that any QSO data can be uploaded to LoTW without
documentation approval from the ARRL?

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

>>
> Gerry,
>
> Thats what I was afraid of. How is this situation handled?
> Does someone need to contact OM2SA?
> I don't want to have a country for DXCC that I dont deserve.
> 8-(
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
>>
>> From NG3K
>>
>> French Polynesia FO 2006 Sep23 2006 Oct02 OM2SA 425DXN
>> 20060923 By KM9D as FO/KM9D fm Mauphihaa Is (OC-057); 40-10m;
> CW SSB;
>> end date uncertain
>>
>>
>> Gerry VE6LB
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>>
>>
>> From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:07 PM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?
>>
>>
>> >
>> > When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
>> > that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
>> >
>> > I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
>> > was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?

>> >
>> > Tim K6GEP
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3335. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 14:18:56 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3331 Message: 3335

If anything, I'd contact LoTW help.

lotw-help@...

Are you sure it's a confirmation (Most Recent QSLs) and not just the QSO you uploaded?

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 10:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
Gerry,

Thats what I was afraid of. How is this situation handled?
Does someone need to contact OM2SA?
I don't want to have a country for DXCC that I dont deserve.
8-(

Tim K6GEP

>
> From NG3K
>
> French Polynesia FO 2006 Sep23 2006 Oct02 OM2SA 425DXN
> 20060923 By KM9D as FO/KM9D fm Mauphihaa Is (OC-057); 40-10m;
CW SSB;
> end date uncertain
>
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
>
>
> From: "timgep" <timgep@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>

> Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:07 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?
>
>
> >
> > When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> > that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
> >
> > I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> > was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3336. Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-12 15:32:00 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3331 Message: 3336

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> If anything, I'd contact LoTW help.
>
> lotw-help@...
>
> Are you sure it's a confirmation (Most Recent QSLs) and not just the
QSO you uploaded?
>
> Gerry
> VE6LB/VA6XDX
> ARRL DXCC Card Checker
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: timgep
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 10:59 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@> wrote:
> >
> Gerry,
>
> Thats what I was afraid of. How is this situation handled?
> Does someone need to contact OM2SA?
> I don't want to have a country for DXCC that I dont deserve.
> 8-(
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
> >
> > From NG3K
> >
> > French Polynesia FO 2006 Sep23 2006 Oct02 OM2SA 425DXN
> > 20060923 By KM9D as FO/KM9D fm Mauphihaa Is (OC-057); 40-10m;
> CW SSB;
> > end date uncertain
> >
> >

> > Gerry VE6LB
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> >
> >
> > From: "timgep" <timgep@>
> > To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:07 PM
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?
> >
> >
> > >
> > > When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> > > that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
> > >
> > > I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> > > was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
> > >
> > > Tim K6GEP
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

It is definately is in Most Recent QSLs. I will contact the Help desk.

Tnx & 73,
Tim

3337. Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-14 19:44:41 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3331 Message: 3337

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
>
> When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
>
> I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
To the ARRL LOTW Dept:

Thanks much! You fixed it!

Tim K6GEP

3338. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-14 19:53:37 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3331 Message: 3338

>>
>> When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
>> that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
>>
>> I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
>> was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
>>
>> Tim K6GEP
>>
> To the ARRL LOTW Dept:
>
> Thanks much! You fixed it!
>
> Tim K6GEP
>

What way it was fixed? Was the QTH changed to French Polynesia or what?

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3339. Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-14 20:58:56 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3331 Message: 3339

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
wrote:
>
> >>
> >> When I worked FO/KM9D those 2 days, I was under the impression
> >> that he was in regular French Polynesia, not Austral FO/a.
> >>
> >> I got 2 confirmations today from him on LOTW that says he
> >> was on Austral those days. Is that really true (I hope)?
> >>
> >> Tim K6GEP
> >>
> > To the ARRL LOTW Dept:
> >
> > Thanks much! You fixed it!
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
>
> What way it was fixed? Was the QTH changed to French Polynesia or what?
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
> ---
>

I'm not sure how they did it, but it appears that new QSO's were
uploaded with a French Polynesia certificate. The old Austral Is
ones are gone, and have been replaced by French Polynesia.

Tim

3340. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: FO/KM9D on Sept 30 and Oct 2 in Austral Is?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-14 21:16:34 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3331 Message: 3340

>> > Thanks much! You fixed it!
>> >
>> > Tim K6GEP
>> >
>>
>> What way it was fixed? Was the QTH changed to French Polynesia or what?
>>
>> 73,
>> Vladimir VE3IAE
>>
>> ---
>>
>
> I'm not sure how they did it, but it appears that new QSO's were
> uploaded with a French Polynesia certificate. The old Austral Is
> ones are gone, and have been replaced by French Polynesia.
>
> Tim
>

Thanks, Tim! Some people asked the same question in another mailing list.
Now all clear.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3341. Note to developers: single apostrophes in station_data

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-17 23:06:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3341 Message: 3341

If your application invokes TQSL with a user-specified location_name parameter, and reads the station_data file created by TQSL in order to let the user select a valid location name, be aware that TQSL will replace each single apostrophe in the location name with the string

'

Thus the location name "St. John's" created in TQSL will actually appear in the station_data file as

<StationData name="St. John's">

Invoking TQSL with a location name of "St. John's" will fail; you must supply "St. John's" as the parameter.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3342. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-20 01:53:14 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3341 Message: 3342

Wahoo!!! E51QMA confirmation for 160 mtrs on LOTW today!

Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3343. FYI - Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL (from [GOLIST])

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-31 04:07:32 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3343 Message: 3343

Hi all,

On Thursday, December 14, 2006, much to my regret, I delivered a letter of resignation to David Sumner, K1ZZ. Such an early departure had not been my plan but had become necessary. On Friday, in a meeting with Dave and Mr. Harold Kramer, ARRL Chief Operating Officer (COO), I made it clear, when asked, that my resignation was in no way a retirement. I also conveyed that it did not indicate any fundamental differences with ARRL outside of the jurisdiction of Mr. Kramer. I offered them the opportunity to release information about my resignation, but Mr. Kramer could send only a terse two-line message, and then only to the HQ Staff and the closed reflector of the ARRL Officers, Directors and Vice Directors, hence this message.

All in all, it's been a fun six and one-half years. The League has been a great place to work, and I□d do all but the last 22 months over again. I thank all of you around the World for your great support. In particular, I offer special thanks our volunteers - advisory committee members, log checkers and advisors. I also urge all of you to support the ARRL financially. Be sure to tell them what you want, though they don□t always ask. I hope to regenerate an active interest in Ham Radio back in Wyoming. Maybe there□ll be another DXpedition or two as well, and look for N7NG - Jackson Hole - to be an active Wyoming contributor to LoTW as long as it's in business.

73, Wayne, N7NG

Jackson Hole, Wyoming

--
posted by JOHN - K1XN
golist@gmail.com

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____
YCCC Reflector mailto:yccc@...
Yankee Clipper Contest Club http://www.yccc.org
Reflector Info: http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/yccc

3344. Re: FYI - Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL (from [GOLIST])

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-31 14:18:33 UTC
From: so2rop
Topic: 3343 Message: 3344

Sorry to see Wayne leave. Best Wishes to Him. On the positve side now
maybe I can get WY on 160 CW from a LOTW user.
73, Wayne N2WK

3345. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] FYI - Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL (from [GOLIST])

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-31 19:03:23 UTC
From: Neil Richardson
Topic: 3343 Message: 3345

Wayne

It will be sad to see you go. I wish to thank you for your assistance when I had problems with LoTW and take this opportunity to wish you all the best whatever your future may hold and that 2007 is a better year for you.

Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Sunday, 31 December 2006 5:08 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] FYI - Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL (from [GOLIST])

Hi all,

On Thursday, December 14, 2006, much to my regret, I delivered a letter of resignation to David Sumner, K1ZZ. Such an early departure had not been my plan but had become necessary. On Friday, in a meeting with Dave and Mr. Harold Kramer, ARRL Chief Operating Officer (COO), I made it clear, when asked, that my resignation was in no way a retirement. I also conveyed that it did not indicate any fundamental differences with ARRL outside of the jurisdiction of Mr. Kramer. I offered them the opportunity to release information about my resignation, but Mr. Kramer could send only a terse two-line message, and then only to the HQ Staff and the closed reflector of the ARRL Officers, Directors and Vice Directors, hence this message.

All in all, it's been a fun six and one-half years. The League has been a great place to work, and I'd do all but the last 22 months over again. I thank all of you around the World for your great support. In particular, I offer special thanks our volunteers - advisory committee members, log checkers and advisors. I also urge all of you to support the ARRL financially. Be sure to tell them what you want, though they don't always ask. I hope to regenerate an active interest in Ham Radio back in Wyoming. Maybe there'll be another DXpedition or two as well, and look for N7NG - Jackson Hole - to be an active Wyoming contributor to LoTW as long as it's in business.

73, Wayne, N7NG

Jackson Hole, Wyoming

--
posted by JOHN - K1XN

HYPERLINK "mailto:golist%40gmail.com"golist@gmail.-com

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____-_____-_____-_____-_____
YCCC Reflector mailto:HYPERLINK "mailto:yccc%40yccc.org"yccc@...
Yankee Clipper Contest Club HYPERLINK
"http://www.yccc.org"http://www.yccc.-org
Reflector Info: HYPERLINK
"http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/yccc"http://lists.-contesting.
-com/mailman/-listinfo/-yccc

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.0/609 - Release Date: 29/12/2006
4:48 p.m.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.0/610 - Release Date: 30/12/2006
2:59 p.m.

3346. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] FYI - Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL (from [GOLIST])

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-31 20:43:14 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 3343 Message: 3346
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3347. Re: Wayne N7NG resignation

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2006-12-31 21:14:15 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 3347 Message: 3347

Wayne,
I am sorry to hear you are leaving! You have
been
a stabilizing force at the ARRL. You appeared to
be
the only one listening to the "masses"!
Good luck, in whatever you decide to do!
73, Lance WN0L

3348. Wayne, N7NG resigns from ARRL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-01 01:50:02 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 3343 Message: 3348

Wayne
Happy New Year
I am sorry to hear you leave the ham radio world does not have many left at HQ that listens to us. only two left now and they are not in the higher click of his grace king dave. also it a couple of lines in your statement worry me as to the future of LOTW and the membership.
73 look for you on the bands
Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 SKCC #1227
VBA AMI RNARS WIA RSSA
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

3349. GS/IRC updates for 2007

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-01 13:09:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3349 Message: 3349

A few changes for 2007.

Please email me with any new info for 2007.
And to insure accuracy, I only accept GS/IRC data from residents in the
countries they are reporting on.

If you can't understand it, it is intuitively obvious.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3350. LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 00:27:10 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 3350 Message: 3350

I have a situation that I'm confused about. I changed my callsign to KN3A as of December 30. I requested a new certificate and received the kn3a.tq6 via e-mail today. When I double click on it, I get a message saying certificate or private key not found after I select the .tq6 file.

Any help will be appreciated. I hope I didn't delete a file somewhere that was needed.

Scott
KN3A

3351. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 02:17:04 UTC
From: n1zw
Topic: 3350 Message: 3351

Would it be your password that you created the first time with your old call? Only a guess because I thought the private key is the password it ask you to create. Rob n1zw

---

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Scott L.
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 7:27 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

I have a situation that I'm confused about. I changed my callsign to KN3A as of December 30. I requested a new certificate and received the kn3a.tq6 via e-mail today. When I double click on it, I get a message saying certificate or private key not found after I select the .tq6 file.

Any help will be appreciated. I hope I didn't delete a file somewhere that was needed.

Scott
KN3A

3352. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 03:17:22 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3350 Message: 3352

At 07:27 PM 1/2/2007, Scott L. wrote:
>I have a situation that I'm confused about. I changed my callsign to
>KN3A as of December 30. I requested a new certificate and received the
>kn3a.tq6 via e-mail today. When I double click on it, I get a message
>saying certificate or private key not found after I select the .tq6 file.

When you requested the new certificate using TQSLCert, you should have seen a new entry show up in TQSLCert for the KN3A callsign with a circled slash through the key icon. That icon means that the public key for that request has not yet been signed by LoTW. At that point there's still an important part of the process stored on your computer: the private key that corresponds to the LoTW certificate. (The private key is generated on your computer, and never leaves that computer - that's the basis for the security of the system.) LoTW doesn't ever have a copy of the private keys; that's why you're reminded to back up your keys at least each time they're renewed. All of this isn't important as long as you don't do anything to that partial request.

If you deleted the KN3A entry from TQSLcert after you generated the .tq5 request but before you get the certificate (.tq6 file) back from LoTW, you'll get the error message you describe. If that's what you've done, the .tq6 isn't usable. Delete it, generate a new certificate request, and don't delete the partial entry until LoTW returns your certificate.

Sometimes it takes a while for LoTW to respond to certificate requests as those are handled manually.
-Rick

3353. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 03:52:26 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3350 Message: 3353

At 09:17 PM 1/2/2007, n1zw wrote:
>-->
>
>Would it be your password that you created the first time with your
>old call? Only a guess because I thought the private key is the
>password it ask you to create. Rob n1zw

No, the password isn't the private key.

When you generate a certificate request from TQSLCert, it builds a pair of related keys (one called the private key, one called the public key). Those two have to be used as a pair. The private key stays on your computer and the public key is sent to TQSL. The error message indicates that the copy of the private key was deleted from the user's computer, or the .tq6 file was being restored on a different computer from the one that generated the original certificate request.
-Rick

3354. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 05:04:00 UTC
From: n1zw
Topic: 3350 Message: 3354

Thanks for clearing that up good to know. Rob

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 10:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Confusion

At 09:17 PM 1/2/2007, n1zw wrote:
>-->
>
>Would it be your password that you created the first time with your
>old call? Only a guess because I thought the private key is the
>password it ask you to create. Rob n1zw

No, the password isn't the private key.

When you generate a certificate request from TQSLCert, it builds a pair of related keys (one called the private key, one called the public key). Those two have to be used as a pair. The private key stays on your computer and the public key is sent to TQSL. The error message indicates that the copy of the private key was deleted from the user's computer, or the .tq6 file was being restored on a different computer from the one that generated the original certificate request.
-Rick

3355. Re: LOTW Confusion

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-03 14:12:47 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 3350 Message: 3355

Rick,

Thanks for sending this directly to my private email as well as here.
Yes, the problem was the new entry with the circled slash through the
icon was there. Unfortunately, it was inadvertently deleted.
Apparently I had requested other certificates and never activated them
for old callsigns and when I was deleting them, accidentally killed
the new KN3A one. I did request a new one last night then.

Thanks to everyone for their replies.

73--Scott
KN3A

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
>
> At 07:27 PM 1/2/2007, Scott L. wrote:
> >I have a situation that I'm confused about. I changed my callsign to
> >KN3A as of December 30. I requested a new certificate and received the
> >kn3a.tq6 via e-mail today. When I double click on it, I get a message
> >saying certificate or private key not found after I select the .tq6
file.
>
> When you requested the new certificate using TQSLCert, you should have
> seen a new entry show up in TQSLCert for the KN3A callsign with a
> circled slash through the key icon. That icon means that the public key
> for that request has not yet been signed by LoTW. At that point there's
> still an important part of the process stored on your computer: the
> private key that corresponds to the LoTW certificate. (The private key
> is generated on your computer, and never leaves that computer - that's
> the basis for the security of the system.) LoTW doesn't ever have a
> copy of the private keys; that's why you're reminded to back up your
> keys at least each time they're renewed. All of this isn't important as
> long as you don't do anything to that partial request.
>
> If you deleted the KN3A entry from TQSLcert after you generated the
> .tq5 request but before you get the certificate (.tq6 file) back from
> LoTW, you'll get the error message you describe. If that's what you've
> done, the .tq6 isn't usable. Delete it, generate a new certificate
> request, and don't delete the partial entry until LoTW returns your
> certificate.
>
> Sometimes it takes a while for LoTW to respond to certificate requests
> as those are handled manually.
> -Rick
>

3356. Re: [YCCC] LoTW - overkill at its finest!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-04 03:17:13 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3356 Message: 3356

Hi Bill:

At 18:31 1/3/2007, Bill K1UQ wrote:
>And if you held more than one call sign and wanted to upload logs for each
>you'd have multiple certificates?

True

>And then if each is good for merely one
>ridiculously short one year term you'd have to do this redundantly? For each
>call sign? Give me a break!

False !!! They are currently renewed for 3 YEARS !!! I did my renewal in MAR 2006.

>LoTW needs to have certs that are at least good for as long as you are FCC
>licensed

Technically when you go SK, you are no longer licensed !!!

>and only need to be renewed once every ten years

Absolutely NOT !!!

You could have renewed your FCC License, your ARRL membership, and your LoTW certificates last week. This week you are 6 feet under ground !!!
One of your family members requests K1UQ as their callsign.

The FCC now grants the license as the law permits. Since no one notifies the ARRL that you are SK, they still think you are a still a member and your LoTW Certificates are good for 10 years (-1 week) unless you are an ARRL "Life Member", then this could go on for many more years depending on address changes !!!

Now your beneficiary shoots "The Golden BB" and gets DXCC, WAS, or VUCC that YOU worked for many years with JUST 1 QSO !!!

>AND then should be
>auto-renewed by the ARRL FOR AS LONG AS YOU ARE A PAID ARRL MEMBER.

You DON'T need to be an ARRL member to use LoTW !!!

>ARRL
>dreamed up and implemented LoTW in this ridiculous fashion so why doesn't
>ARRL offer auto-renewal as a membership service?

Again, you DON'T need to be an ARRL member to use LoTW !!!

>I used to be a LoTW fan. Now however I think it is WAY WAY over kill and the
>ARRL needs to climb down out of the ivory tower and again realize this QSL
>stuff is NOT business - it's a hobby.

Sure it's a hobby, but what about the "Integrity of the Hobby" ???
How else do we preserve the true meaning of our awards ???

>STOP making it more than it is

It's NOT difficult !!!

>- it does not need this degree of convolution. What on earth do the certificates
>really do?

Integrity, Integrity, Integrity !!!

>Ah, if dishonest I can get around certs and if honest why saddle
>me with this over-kill?

Bill, I sincerely believe that you are honest, but do you not think that there
those that wouldn't try to SCAM the system ???

>Does anyone really think these certs are foolproof?

Not 100%, but the ARRL is trying !!!

>Sheeesh!

You only get what you pay for !!!

>Bill K1UQ

73 es KB

Bob, K1VU

>_____
>YCCC Reflector mailto:yccc@...
>Yankee Clipper Contest Club http://www.yccc.org
>Reflector Info: http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/yccc

3357. LOTW is offline tonight

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 06:46:56 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3357 Message: 3357

Did the RTTY Roundup contesters like me break it?

Tim K6GEP

3358. Re: LOTW is offline tonight

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 11:52:19 UTC
From: Frank Davis
Topic: 3357 Message: 3358

LOTW has been down all weekend and still not back online this a.m.?
Anyone know whats going on?

Frank VO1HP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> Did the RTTY Roundup contesters like me break it?
>
> Tim K6GEP
>

3359. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW is offline tonight

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 12:00:29 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3357 Message: 3359

No, but I wish they would get it in gear. I'm ready to upload my 160
mtr Q's ffrom this week end.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 05:52 AM 1/8/2007, you wrote:

>LOTW has been down all weekend and still not back online this a.m.?
>Anyone know whats going on?
>
>Frank VO1HP
>
>--- In
><mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com,
>"timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
> >
> > Did the RTTY Roundup contesters like me break it?
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date:
>1/7/2007 6:29 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3360. LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 11:55:13 UTC
From: Roger L. Hackler
Topic: 3360 Message: 3360

Is it just me, or is the LotW server down?

Been trying to upload my RU log since last night. Still cant log in this morning?

73 de KN6RO

Make April 15th just another day!
Ask me about the Fair Tax, or visit:
http://www.fairtax.org/

Roger L. Hackler

3361. Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 13:31:08 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3360 Message: 3361

Been dead all Sunday. Still dead.

Beg's the question:

Is there is anybody left at the ARRL who is skilled at bringing up
LOTW after a crash?

LOTW is frequently down on Sundays. Perhaps there is some kind of
backup or maintence activity then.

de Brian/K3KO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Roger L. Hackler" <kn6ro@...> wrote:
>
> Is it just me, or is the LotW server down?
>
> Been trying to upload my RU log since last night. Still cant log in
this
> morning?
>
> 73 de KN6RO
>
> Make April 15th just another day!
> Ask me about the Fair Tax, or visit:
> http://www.fairtax.org/
>
> Roger L. Hackler
>

3362. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 13:42:01 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3360 Message: 3362

Maybe it died when Wayne left.

-------Original Message-------

From: Brian A
Date: 1/8/2007 8:34:08 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Been dead all Sunday. Still dead.

Beg's the question:

Is there is anybody left at the ARRL who is skilled at bringing up
LOTW after a crash?

3363. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 13:47:50 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3360 Message: 3363

Hello JV,

Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.

It's back.

73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L. Mencken]

3364. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 14:34:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3360 Message: 3364

On 1/8/2007 7:42:01 AM, JV (kt4u@...) wrote:
> Maybe it died when Wayne left.

I'm beginning to think it died way before "Wayne left".
Going back on the Home page of LoTW, there has not been any major change to the program since Mar 11, 2005 when Version 1.11 was released.
Since then, a minor tweak here and there plus now certificates are for 3 year periods.

There has been so little advancement in LoTW features, that I can't help but believe the ARRL bosses have either abandoned the idea of pushed it back to the farthest burner.

I've been using since the beginning. I still suggest joining LoTW in my cw/rtty buffers, but have seen no advancement, promotion, or incentives to hams worldwide to join and take part.

And before anyone asks. I support dxpeditions, case in point, a recent major dxpedition that uploaded it's logs to LoTW received a donation from me and a note saying that they do not have to send me cards, the LoTW confirmation was sufficient.
I received an email from them saying they would apply the donation towards their next dxpedition.
That's the way the system SHOULD work.
ALL OF MY LOGS, AND ALL OF THE LOGS FOR THE STATIONS I SERVE AS QSL MANAGER FOR, are up to date on LoTW.

I see NO reason why cabrillo contest logs are not AUTOMATICALLY entered into LoTW after the log submission date has passed.

I have seen no recent mention of incorporation of other award programs into LoTW.
I'm not placing blame on the League or administration of the various other contest or award groups. I recently joined the 6 meter list and was amazed to find that very few 6 meter devotees even knew that LoTW was free and you didn't have to be a member of ARRL to take part.
I guess I can blame someone for not getting the word out.

Even though I have many qsos with various 'other' award programs, I'm not going to go through the effort of sorting and collecting qsl cards and then contributing fees in this day of computer logging and online submissions.
Worked all Counties is another example, I have boxes of qsls, online submission would be so much easier for most people.
Another example of poor education/promotion. Even QSO party participants don't know that you can submit the logs and indicate what county they were operating in.

Basically, I can't help believe that the promotion and wider use of LoTW for various award or contest groups wouldn't help expand participation.
we can moan about the declining numbers of Hams, but in this day of instant computer communication, we seem to be still stuck in the 'spark' mentality.

Ok, enough venting...
I'm a retired firefighter, still have my coat and boots so flame away.

3365. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 15:42:52 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3360 Message: 3365

Hi Art
Yep, I know. I hope the ARRL keeps this program all thought I haven't received one single new country, hi hi. It has filled in a few holes for different bands and modes.
Take care and all the best to you and the family.
JV KT4U

~~~~~~
Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
~~~~~~
-------Original Message-------

From: Art RX9TX
Date: 1/8/2007 9:03:08 AM
To: JV
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Hello JV,

Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.

It's back.

73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L. Mencken]

3366. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 14:07:17 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3360 Message: 3366

Just tried it and was able to up/down info. Looks like it's up again.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Art RX9TX
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 8:48 AM
To: JV
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Hello JV,

Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.

It's back.

73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L. Mencken]

Yahoo! Groups Links

3367. [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 17:26:39 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3360 Message: 3367

The LOTW website is up, but the log I submitted an hour ago is still
in the queue.

The LOTW webmaster put this notice up on LOTW. Seems that the
duplicate QSOs are still a big problem.

Processing Difficulties -- Logbook of the World was down for most of
Sunday and early Monday morning, January 8, 2007. One of difficulties
LoTW has experienced is that many people are submitting large numbers
of duplicate QSOs. Here is an example of a log for which processing
was just completed.

2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 14168 QSO
records in 4532.706650 seconds
2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 12848 records were duplicates
2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 738 QSL records entered
2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered

This says that 14,168 Qs were submitted and 12,848 were duplicates, a
net of 1,320 Qs. It also says that Logbook took over one hour and
fifteen minutes to process this log. It would be very helpful if
everyone would learn to use the "date window" in TQSL to limit a full
log to only those QSOs made since the last submission. There is no
need to divide the log --simply set the window within which TQSL will
sign QSOs.

If you are using commercial logging software that doesn't select only
the latest QSOs, urge the vendor to fix it. If that doesn't work, try
to find new software. These duplicate QSOs are a drain on system
resources, and cause others' processing to be delayed.

WAM

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> Just tried it and was able to up/down info. Looks like it's up again.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of Art RX9TX
> Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 8:48 AM
> To: JV
> Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?
>
>

> Hello JV,
>
> Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.
>
> It's back.
>
>
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L.
> Mencken]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3368. dupes

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 17:47:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 1782 Message: 3368

Yes, I noticed that just before the end of the year and since, I've been receiving MANY duplicate LoTW qsls.

Some going back years.
I use DXBase, and I'm sure most other logging programs have a feature to only upload qso's made since the last upload.

While it doesn't cause any difficulty for me in downloading it sure seems to be a inefficent way to upload.

But, having said that. If you realize you did not have complete data in your previous upload such as county, grid, or incorrect cq/itu zones.
Then I'm glad you uploaded the corrected info.

The amount of love someone feels for you is inversely proportional to how much you love them.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3369. Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 19:47:19 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3360 Message: 3369

Looks like it is all the way up now. My log got processed along
with all the others, and BAM! I have 25 more confirmed.

Last week I got a new country confirmed, thanks to LOTW.
The TZ5A dxpedition from Mali uploaded their logs. Many tnx!

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> The LOTW website is up, but the log I submitted an hour ago is still
> in the queue.
>
> The LOTW webmaster put this notice up on LOTW. Seems that the
> duplicate QSOs are still a big problem.
>
> Processing Difficulties -- Logbook of the World was down for most of
> Sunday and early Monday morning, January 8, 2007. One of difficulties
> LoTW has experienced is that many people are submitting large numbers
> of duplicate QSOs. Here is an example of a log for which processing
> was just completed.
>
> 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 14168 QSO
> records in 4532.706650 seconds
> 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 12848 records were duplicates
> 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 738 QSL records entered
> 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
>
> This says that 14,168 Qs were submitted and 12,848 were duplicates, a
> net of 1,320 Qs. It also says that Logbook took over one hour and
> fifteen minutes to process this log. It would be very helpful if
> everyone would learn to use the "date window" in TQSL to limit a full
> log to only those QSOs made since the last submission. There is no
> need to divide the log --simply set the window within which TQSL will
> sign QSOs.
>
> If you are using commercial logging software that doesn't select only
> the latest QSOs, urge the vendor to fix it. If that doesn't work, try
> to find new software. These duplicate QSOs are a drain on system
> resources, and cause others' processing to be delayed.
>
> WAM
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@> wrote:
> >
> > Just tried it and was able to up/down info. Looks like it's up again.
> >

> > Julio, W4HY
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> > Behalf Of Art RX9TX
> > Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 8:48 AM
> > To: JV
> > Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?
> >
> >
> > Hello JV,
> >
> > Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
> >
> > J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.
> >
> > It's back.
> >
> >
> > 73...Art RX9TX
> >
> > http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
> >
> > "Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L.
> > Mencken]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
>

3370. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 20:05:32 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3360 Message: 3370
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3371. Fw: LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 22:03:03 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3371 Message: 3371
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Reply from QST regarding my e-mail to them about LoTW, enclosed at the bottom.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kleinman, Joel, N1BKE
To: Don
Cc: Patton, David NN1N ; Mills, Wayne N7NG
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 10:43 AM
Subject: RE: LoTW

Don,

Thanks for writing. There is a letter to the editor in the current (January 2007) issue, but the last comprehensive article we published on the subject appeared in September 2005.

I'm forwarding your message to others here who will be interested in your comments.

73, Joel
Joel P. Kleinman, N1BKE
Managing Editor, QST
ARRL - the national association for Amateur Radio
225 Main St, Newington CT 06111-1494 USA
Voice: 860.594.0273
Fax: 860.594.0259
E-mail: qst@...g
ARRLWeb: www.arrl.org/qst/

From: Don [mailto:drfrasher@pmt.org]
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 11:25 AM
To: QST
Subject: LoTW

Is there a reason that your LoTW is not promoted more?
There it is, a great, free, fast, online QSL program, you do not need to be an ARRL member, just sitting there going to waste.
Maybe a better walk-though on the inital sign-up process so that it doesn't seem so overwhelming
would help. And maybe after the entry deadline of any contest, all cabrillo logs could be uploaded.
In any arcticles maybeinclude the cost-savings in cards, postage, and time for anyone trying for awards,
and how for those who are not interested in awards can help others who do, at no cost, and very very little
time by uploading there logs to LoTW. this could help encourage new hams who are starting out, and trying
for their first WAS!

Don Frasher
KE7FFM

3372. Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-08 23:36:35 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3372 Message: 3372

Regarding the note on LOTW site about processing difficulties, is there a way to edit out confirmed QSO's with a specific station?

If I work a contest I just upload my complete log. Now I know that I have W1*** already confirmed on 10, 15 & 20m on that mode so what is the point of confirming them again. I will end up with dozens of confirmations with the same station on the same band & mode. This is not an economic use of resources.

Apart from comparing the QSO's against a downloaded LOTW log file I can't see a way of quickly weeding them out.

Could LOTW delete duplicates like these?

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

3373. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 02:07:16 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3372 Message: 3373

That would probably be even less efficient... Not only would it need to process your dups, it would also have to remove them, using what remaining processing power LoTW has.

Probably best the way it is...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

A zygote is a gamete's way of producing more gametes. This may be the purpose of the universe. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 15:37
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Regarding the note on LOTW site about processing difficulties, is there a way to edit
out confirmed QSO's with a specific station?

If I work a contest I just upload my complete log. Now I know that I have W1***
already confirmed on 10, 15 & 20m on that mode so what is the point of confirming
them again. I will end up with dozens of confirmations with the same station on the
same band & mode. This is not an economic use of resources.

Apart from comparing the QSO's against a downloaded LOTW log file I can't see a
way of quickly weeding them out.

Could LOTW delete duplicates like these?

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 01/07/07

18:29

3374. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 02:18:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3372 Message: 3374

I don't think the problem is people sending in 1000 or so contest qsos.
I think the problem is people sending in 10K qsos once a month..
If once a year I uploaded my entire log set, that would be over 206K, of
which most would be dupes of those already submitted.

But I only upload, recent qsos, made since my last upload.
My average qso upload takes 1.540706 seconds.

That's a far cry from the person who uploaded dupes taking 4500 seconds.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)" <dave@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 8:07 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

> That would probably be even less efficient... Not only would it need to
> process your dups, it would also have to remove them, using what remaining
> processing power LoTW has.
>
> Probably best the way it is...
>
> Thanks,
> Dave
> NK7Z/NNN0RDO
> http://www.nk7z.net
>
> A zygote is a gamete's way of producing more gametes. This may be the
> purpose of the universe. --Lazarus Long
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
> Behalf
> Of Dave Lindsay
> Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 15:37
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties
>
>
> Regarding the note on LOTW site about processing difficulties, is there a
> way to edit
> out confirmed QSO's with a specific station?
>
> If I work a contest I just upload my complete log. Now I know that I have
> W1***

> already confirmed on 10, 15 & 20m on that mode so what is the point of
> confirming
> them again. I will end up with dozens of confirmations with the same
> station
> on the
> same band & mode. This is not an economic use of resources.
>
> Apart from comparing the QSO's against a downloaded LOTW log file I can't
> see a
> way of quickly weeding them out.
>
> Could LOTW delete duplicates like these?
>
> Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
> Aberdeen
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 01/07/07
> 18:29
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3375. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 02:18:40 UTC
From: Neil Richardson
Topic: 3372 Message: 3375

Why do you upload your whole log. You only need to upload the contacts made since your last upload anything more is both a waste of bandwidth and of the LoTW server resources. Have a look on the first page of LoTW and there is statement about the recent time it was offline and excess loading of dupes was a big part of the reason, there is also a very good statement on what to do about it.
Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Tuesday, 9 January 2007 3:07 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

That would probably be even less efficient... Not only would it need to process your dups, it would also have to remove them, using what remaining processing power LoTW has.

Probably best the way it is...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

A zygote is a gamete's way of producing more gametes. This may be the purpose of the universe. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 15:37
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Regarding the note on LOTW site about processing difficulties, is there a way to edit
out confirmed QSO's with a specific station?

If I work a contest I just upload my complete log. Now I know that I have W1***
already confirmed on 10, 15 & 20m on that mode so what is the point of confirming
them again. I will end up with dozens of confirmations with the same station on the
same band & mode. This is not an economic use of resources.

Apart from comparing the QSO's against a downloaded LOTW log file I can't see a
way of quickly weeding them out.

Could LOTW delete duplicates like these?

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 01/07/07 18:29

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007 6:29 p.m.

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007 6:29 p.m.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007 6:29 p.m.

3376. Re: LOtW Down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 04:31:07 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3360 Message: 3376

I think LOTW has been queueing again for the last 4 hours or so.

I wish they would put some sort of indicator on the LOTW site
to indicate this. When I was new at LOTW a year or more ago,
I would have re-sent my log if nothing happened over the period
of an hour or so.

Tim K6GEP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Prasad <vu2ptt@...> wrote:
>
> TZ5A was also a new one for me today. Thanks to them!
>
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT
>
> - I am now in New York City - will be staying in Edison NJ, Jan.
06-12, 2007
>
>
> On 1/8/07, timgep <timgep@...> wrote:
> >
> > Looks like it is all the way up now. My log got processed along
> > with all the others, and BAM! I have 25 more confirmed.
> >
> > Last week I got a new country confirmed, thanks to LOTW.
> > The TZ5A dxpedition from Mali uploaded their logs. Many tnx!
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>,
"timgep"
> > <timgep@> wrote:
> > >
> > > The LOTW website is up, but the log I submitted an hour ago is still
> > > in the queue.
> > >
> > > The LOTW webmaster put this notice up on LOTW. Seems that the
> > > duplicate QSOs are still a big problem.
> > >
> > > Processing Difficulties -- Logbook of the World was down for most of
> > > Sunday and early Monday morning, January 8, 2007. One of
difficulties
> > > LoTW has experienced is that many people are submitting large
numbers
> > > of duplicate QSOs. Here is an example of a log for which processing
> > > was just completed.
> > >

> > > 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 14168 QSO
> > > records in 4532.706650 seconds
> > > 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 12848 records were duplicates
> > > 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: 738 QSL records entered
> > > 2007-01-08 16:47:49 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
> > >
> > > This says that 14,168 Qs were submitted and 12,848 were
duplicates, a
> > > net of 1,320 Qs. It also says that Logbook took over one hour and
> > > fifteen minutes to process this log. It would be very helpful if
> > > everyone would learn to use the "date window" in TQSL to limit a
full
> > > log to only those QSOs made since the last submission. There is no
> > > need to divide the log --simply set the window within which TQSL
will
> > > sign QSOs.
> > >
> > > If you are using commercial logging software that doesn't select
only
> > > the latest QSOs, urge the vendor to fix it. If that doesn't
work, try
> > > to find new software. These duplicate QSOs are a drain on system
> > > resources, and cause others' processing to be delayed.
> > >
> > > WAM
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>,
"Julio
> > Peralta" <jperalta@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Just tried it and was able to up/down info. Looks like it's up
again.
> > > >
> > > > Julio, W4HY
> > > >
> > > > -----Original Message-----
> > > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:
> > ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> > > > Behalf Of Art RX9TX
> > > > Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 8:48 AM
> > > > To: JV
> > > > Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOtW Down?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hello JV,
> > > >
> > > > Monday, January 8, 2007 JV wrote to
ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com<ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > :

> > > >
> > > > J> Maybe it died when Wayne left.
> > > >
> > > > It's back.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73...Art RX9TX
> > > >
> > > > http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
> > > >
> > > > "Most people want security in this world, not liberty." [H. L.
> > > > Mencken]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
>

3377. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 05:57:48 UTC
From: Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Topic: 3372 Message: 3377

I only upload my new contacts... I did one mass upload to put my logs on line about two years ago... Beyond that I don't knowingly upload dupes.

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

A poet who reads his verse in public may have other nasty habits. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Neil Richardson
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 18:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Why do you upload your whole log. You only need to upload the contacts made since your last upload anything more is both a waste of bandwidth and of the LoTW server resources. Have a look on the first page of LoTW and there is statement about the recent time it was offline and excess loading of dupes was a big part of the reason, there is also a very good statement on what to do about it.
Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Cole (NK7Z/NNN0RDO)
Sent: Tuesday, 9 January 2007 3:07 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

That would probably be even less efficient... Not only would it need to process your dups, it would also have to remove them, using what remaining processing power LoTW has.

Probably best the way it is...

Thanks,
Dave
NK7Z/NNN0RDO
http://www.nk7z.net

A zygote is a gamete's way of producing more gametes. This may be the purpose of the universe. --Lazarus Long

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 15:37
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Processing difficulties

Regarding the note on LOTW site about processing difficulties, is there a way to edit
out confirmed QSO's with a specific station?

If I work a contest I just upload my complete log. Now I know that I have W1***
already confirmed on 10, 15 & 20m on that mode so what is the point of confirming
them again. I will end up with dozens of confirmations with the same station on the
same band & mode. This is not an economic use of resources.

Apart from comparing the QSO's against a downloaded LOTW log file I can't see a
way of quickly weeding them out.

Could LOTW delete duplicates like these?

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 01/07/07 18:29

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007 6:29 p.m.

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007 6:29 p.m.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 7/01/2007

6:29 p.m.

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.7/619 - Release Date: 01/07/07
18:29

3378. Re: Processing difficulties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-09 14:30:01 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3372 Message: 3378

Thanks for the replies.

You misunderstand me Neil, I don't upload duplicate QSO's just new ones I have had. I do know that lots of QSO's with particular contesters are already confirmed & wondered if perhaps I could edit them out somehow.

As Dave, NK7Z said, it is probably more efficient just to leave them there & upload them.

In essence they are all different QSO's but duplicate QSL's.

It was just a thought.

73

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

3379. Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 00:48:38 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3379 Message: 3379

I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3380. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 01:03:11 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3379 Message: 3380

Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

>I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3381. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 01:18:39 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3379 Message: 3381

The idea was and still is just great. Let's hope, problems are not that serious.

73,

Vladimir VE3IAE

> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
>
> >I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.
> >
> > 73,
> > Vladimir VE3IAE
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3382. Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 01:54:49 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 3379 Message: 3382

It would help the PR of LOTW if they would share with us the problems that they are having. Even though we couldn't help directly it would help us understand and be supportive. As they say, in absence of facts from the source, we're left to draw our own (often wrong) conclusions.

I have no idea what is happening but I do have 25+ years experience in large data center operations, software development and IT management. Here's some things I can share based on my experience.

Submitting duplicate QSO's should not crash the system. File processing should be done in a batch process (x number at a time). Bigger files mean longer processing time per file and a greater delay between when you submit a file and when it is processed. If the user base of LOTW grows to a point where the average delay between submittial and processing is great (> 24 hours in my opinion) then the LOTW folks will need more hardware OR need to reduce the amount of work by stopping duplicate QSO submissions. It doesn't sound to me like we are at this point yet based on the average processing delay I have experienced.

A system goes off-line in 1 of 2 ways: Planned and unplanned (i.e. crash). Planned down time covers maintenance and testing activities of hardware and software. There are situations where it is determined that a planned down time is needed only 15 minutes from now. A planned or scheduled down time not communicated to the user however is seen as a system crash and can give an application a bad reputation.

It is possible to have a high availability 'APPLICATION' that never goes off-line but hardware always needs some scheduled down time. Thus unless you are going to the expense of extra hardware etc. there will always be some scheduled down time.

Unplanned down time has many causes. I could write a whole book on troubleshooting and diagnosing crashes - I made a career of it. The best I can offer here is there are many individual components that make up an application such as LOTW. The application, the database application, web servers, firewalls, various network components and even other unrelated applications that may be running on the same hardware. These work fine in isolation but when run together very strange things can happen. Tracking down these errors can take a lot of man hours, a lot of patience and a lot of luck.

Lastly, most 24x7 on-line applications are not staffed 24x7. It would not surprise me at all that only 1 or 2 people have any

operational responsibility - that is keeping the servers on-line (these are not the same as the software developers but can be for small applications). Thus when there is a problem the 'on-call' person needs to be able to deal with the problem remotely and is usually limited to restarting the application. If it goes down again then it stays that way until everyone is back at work the next business day. Remember this is only a hobby for us users.

These are in no way the views of the LOTW team and I have no inside information. What I'm trying to say is we, the users, can be more understanding if more information is shared with us as to the challanges faced by the LOTW team.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Rod WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>

3383. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 02:20:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3379 Message: 3383

This man speaks the truth based on what I saw in 30 years in programming at IBM. There is NOTHING that users hate more than being ignored or patronized.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Ron Novak" <kavonr@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?
Date: Tue Jan 9, 2007 19:54
Size: 3K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

It would help the PR of LOTW if they would share with us the problems that they are having. Even though we couldn't help directly it would help us understand and be supportive. As they say, in absence of facts from the source, we're left to draw our own (often wrong) conclusions.

I have no idea what is happening but I do have 25+ years experience in large data center operations, software development and IT management. Here's some things I can share based on my experience.

Submitting duplicate QSO's should not crash the system. File processing should be done in a batch process (x number at a time). Bigger files mean longer processing time per file and a greater delay between when you submit a file and when it is processed. If the user base of LOTW grows to a point where the average delay between submittial and processing is great (> 24 hours in my opinion) then the LOTW folks will need more hardware OR need to reduce the amount of work by stopping duplicate QSO submissions. It doesn't sound to me like we are at this point yet based on the average processing delay I have experienced.

A system goes off-line in 1 of 2 ways: Planned and unplanned (i.e. crash). Planned down time covers maintenance and testing activities of hardware and software. There are situations where it is determined that a planned down time is needed only 15 minutes from now. A planned or scheduled down time not communicated to the user however is seen as a system crash and can give an application a bad reputation.

It is possible to have a high availability 'APPLICATION' that never goes off-line but hardware always needs some scheduled down time. Thus unless you are going to the expense of extra hardware etc. there will always be some scheduled down time.

Unplanned down time has many causes. I could write a whole book on troubleshooting and diagnosing crashes - I made a career of it. The

best I can offer here is there are many individual components that make up an application such as LOTW. The application, the database application, web servers, firewalls, various network components and even other unrelated applications that may be running on the same hardware. These work fine in isolation but when run together very strange things can happen. Tracking down these errors can take a lot of man hours, a lot of patience and a lot of luck.

Lastly, most 24x7 on-line applications are not staffed 24x7. It would not surprise me at all that only 1 or 2 people have any operational responsibility - that is keeping the servers on-line (these are not the same as the software developers but can be for small applications). Thus when there is a problem the 'on-call' person needs to be able to deal with the problem remotely and is usually limited to restarting the application. If it goes down again then it stays that way until everyone is back at work the next business day. Remember this is only a hobby for us users.

These are in no way the views of the LOTW team and I have no inside information. What I'm trying to say is we, the users, can be more understanding if more information is shared with us as to the challanges faced by the LOTW team.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Rod WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3384. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 03:14:22 UTC
From: Lance
Topic: 3379 Message: 3384

Both of these gentlemen are correct, based on my
hospital
computer network administration. When I was
"on-call", I
was expected to respond within 15 minutes and be
"on site"
within 30 minutes, and make sure the servers were
back on-line
ASAP!
Lance WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:20 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

This man speaks the truth based on what I saw in
30 years in programming at IBM. There is NOTHING
that users hate more than being ignored or
patronized.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Ron Novak" <kavonr@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?
Date: Tue Jan 9, 2007 19:54
Size: 3K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

It would help the PR of LOTW if they would share
with us the problems
that they are having. Even though we couldn't
help directly it would
help us understand and be supportive. As they
say, in absence of
facts from the source, we're left to draw our own
(often wrong)
conclusions.

I have no idea what is happening but I do have 25+
years experience
in large data center operations, software
development and IT
management. Here's some things I can share based
on my experience.

Submitting duplicate QSO's should not crash the system. File
processing should be done in a batch process (x number at a time).
Bigger files mean longer processing time per file and a greater delay
between when you submit a file and when it is processed. If the user
base of LOTW grows to a point where the average delay between
submittial and processing is great (> 24 hours in my opinion) then
the LOTW folks will need more hardware OR need to reduce the amount
of work by stopping duplicate QSO submissions. It doesn't sound to
me like we are at this point yet based on the average processing
delay I have experienced.

A system goes off-line in 1 of 2 ways: Planned and unplanned (i.e.
crash). Planned down time covers maintenance and testing activities
of hardware and software. There are situations where it is
determined that a planned down time is needed only 15 minutes from
now. A planned or scheduled down time not communicated to the user
however is seen as a system crash and can give an application a bad
reputation.

It is possible to have a high availability 'APPLICATION' that never
goes off-line but hardware always needs some scheduled down time.
Thus unless you are going to the expense of extra hardware etc. there
will always be some scheduled down time.

Unplanned down time has many causes. I could write a whole book on
troubleshooting and diagnosing crashes - I made a career of it. The
best I can offer here is there are many individual components that
make up an application such as LOTW. The application, the database
application, web servers, firewalls, various network components and

even other unrelated applications that may be
running on the same
hardware. These work fine in isolation but when
run together very
strange things can happen. Tracking down these
errors can take a lot
of man hours, a lot of patience and a lot of luck.

Lastly, most 24x7 on-line applications are not
staffed 24x7. It
would not surprise me at all that only 1 or 2
people have any
operational responsibility - that is keeping the
servers on-line
(these are not the same as the software developers
but can be for
small applications). Thus when there is a problem
the 'on-call'
person needs to be able to deal with the problem
remotely and is
usually limited to restarting the application. If
it goes down again
then it stays that way until everyone is back at
work the next
business day. Remember this is only a hobby for
us users.

These are in no way the views of the LOTW team and
I have no inside
information. What I'm trying to say is we, the
users, can be more
understanding if more information is shared with
us as to the
challanges faced by the LOTW team.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Rod WC7N"
<wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a
great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

3385. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 03:22:23 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - KØRC
Topic: 3379 Message: 3385

If you surfed over to www.arrl.org anytime today, you would have seen this posting...

Logbook of the World Server Temporary Outage (Jan 9, 2007) -- ARRL's Logbook of the World (LoTW) server will be off-line for several hours this evening while the power system at ARRL HQ is being worked on. LoTW service should resume at about 9:30 PM EST (0230 UTC, Jan 10).
Link to this story

I do agree the user base needs to be notified of planned outages. Certainly copying this message to the LoTW@yahoogroups.com newsgroup would have been a prudent step in better communication.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Lance
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 9:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

Both of these gentlemen are correct, based on my
hospital
computer network administration. When I was
"on-call", I
was expected to respond within 15 minutes and be
"on site"
within 30 minutes, and make sure the servers were
back on-line
ASAP!
Lance WN0L

----- Original Message -----
From: <rojomn@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:20 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?

This man speaks the truth based on what I saw in
30 years in programming at IBM. There is NOTHING
that users hate more than being ignored or
patronized.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Ron Novak" <kavonr@...>
Subj: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Again?
Date: Tue Jan 9, 2007 19:54

Size: 3K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

It would help the PR of LOTW if they would share with us the problems
that they are having. Even though we couldn't help directly it would
help us understand and be supportive. As they say, in absence of
facts from the source, we're left to draw our own (often wrong)
conclusions.

I have no idea what is happening but I do have 25+ years experience
in large data center operations, software development and IT
management. Here's some things I can share based on my experience.

Submitting duplicate QSO's should not crash the system. File
processing should be done in a batch process (x number at a time).
Bigger files mean longer processing time per file and a greater delay
between when you submit a file and when it is processed. If the user
base of LOTW grows to a point where the average delay between
submittial and processing is great (> 24 hours in my opinion) then
the LOTW folks will need more hardware OR need to reduce the amount
of work by stopping duplicate QSO submissions. It doesn't sound to
me like we are at this point yet based on the average processing
delay I have experienced.

A system goes off-line in 1 of 2 ways: Planned and unplanned (i.e.
crash). Planned down time covers maintenance and testing activities
of hardware and software. There are situations where it is
determined that a planned down time is needed only 15 minutes from
now. A planned or scheduled down time not communicated to the user
however is seen as a system crash and can give an

application a bad
reputation.

It is possible to have a high availability
'APPLICATION' that never
goes off-line but hardware always needs some
scheduled down time.
Thus unless you are going to the expense of extra
hardware etc. there
will always be some scheduled down time.

Unplanned down time has many causes. I could
write a whole book on
troubleshooting and diagnosing crashes - I made a
career of it. The
best I can offer here is there are many individual
components that
make up an application such as LOTW. The
application, the database
application, web servers, firewalls, various
network components and
even other unrelated applications that may be
running on the same
hardware. These work fine in isolation but when
run together very
strange things can happen. Tracking down these
errors can take a lot
of man hours, a lot of patience and a lot of luck.

Lastly, most 24x7 on-line applications are not
staffed 24x7. It
would not surprise me at all that only 1 or 2
people have any
operational responsibility - that is keeping the
servers on-line
(these are not the same as the software developers
but can be for
small applications). Thus when there is a problem
the 'on-call'
person needs to be able to deal with the problem
remotely and is
usually limited to restarting the application. If
it goes down again
then it stays that way until everyone is back at
work the next
business day. Remember this is only a hobby for
us users.

These are in no way the views of the LOTW team and
I have no inside
information. What I'm trying to say is we, the

users, can be more
understanding if more information is shared with
us as to the
challanges faced by the LOTW team.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Rod WC7N"
<wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a
great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

3386. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 04:31:12 UTC
From: n1zw
Topic: 3379 Message: 3386

I think it is a good idea but, the way it is setup is a little frustrating to some of us. I been with eQSL and never had any issues and being authenticated and a supporting member makes it even more worth it. I have been going through my logs for almost two months trying repair it so it actually works but, I notices when I did try to upload my log from MixW and also my backup log ACLOG, there were some issues that are fine in the logs but get messed up with LOTW. I will keep trying but, I am not real impressed with it so far. I wish it was as easy as some are saying. Being a new member of ARRL makes me wonder if I made the correct choice using it. I don't need ARRL to ell me who I worked when I have the hard cards and authenticated cards from two other sources. That have never given me trouble. Rob n1zw

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rod WC7N
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:03 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

>I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3387. Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 12:00:48 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 3379 Message: 3387

Rob,

Hang in there! Once you've uploaded all your logs...and filtered out
the inconsistencies, LOTW works like a charm. I've been using it
since its start-up and it does work! My initial log submission had
problems, which were caused by lousy log keeping on my part. The log
looked good in DX4WIN but LOTW was a bit more stingent.

EQsl is fun... but LOTW is the real thing. It was a long time in
coming..with multiple layers of security (a good thing these
days!)...now if they would only add WAC, IOTA and other awards!

Guess the development dollars are not there...

Just a comment on the LOTW 'up time' difficulties....
I was very surprised that the LOTW administrators chose to announce
that duplicate log entries brought the system down. Usually
administrators do not announce to the users about ways of breaking
the system. (Yes, there are some users would would deliberately break
the system if they knew how!)

A simple announcement that the user community should be careful when
submittiing their logs would have sufficed...as they re-programmed
LOTW to stop processing logs with more than "x" dups.

Thanks to the LOTW users...we've saved each other a lot of postage
and green stamps.

73 Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n1zw" <n1zw@...> wrote:
>
> I think it is a good idea but, the way it is setup is a little
frustrating
> to some of us. I been with eQSL and never had any issues and being
> authenticated and a supporting member makes it even more worth it.
I have
> been going through my logs for almost two months trying repair it
so it
> actually works but, I notices when I did try to upload my log from
MixW and
> also my backup log ACLOG, there were some issues that are fine in
the logs
> but get messed up with LOTW. I will keep trying but, I am not real
impressed
> with it so far. I wish it was as easy as some are saying. Being a
new member

> of ARRL makes me wonder if I made the correct choice using it. I
don't need
> ARRL to ell me who I worked when I have the hard cards and
authenticated
> cards from two other sources. That have never given me trouble. Rob
n1zw
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of Rod WC7N
> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:03 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
>
> >I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not
suspicious.
> >
> > 73,
> > Vladimir VE3IAE
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3388. Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 14:23:49 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3379 Message: 3388

Another 2p worth from me

I am also with e-QSL but from my point of view LOTW is the real thing. Why should I have many hundreds of confirmations on e-QSL which don't count because the call signs are not registered with the system.

Any problems I have had in the past with LOTW were promptly dealt with by staff who put me right. These were problems caused by me not reading the instructions correctly. Since then I have had no problems at all.

So far I have 75 countries & 45 states confirmed using 5 watts. Yes, I know that LOTW doesn't discriminate between 5 & 500 watts, but I know. No paper or postage, what more can you wan't.

Who cares about the system going down some times. Are we all that desperate? ISP's go down on occasions & not just for maintenance.

As far as I am concerned LOTW is the way to go.

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

3389. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 15:23:56 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3379 Message: 3389

There is only one thing wrong with LoTW and that is the dismal and sorrowful lack of subscribers, I am still running at only around 5% confirmations.

With my logging program, LOGic 8 by PDA, it is simply a two or three click operation; in fact, it is so easy that I, a long time ago, decided to submit logs to eQSL as well, in order to satisfy those users (the eQSL tech help, by the way, is amazing & quick).

I upload on a regular basis; sad to say, my eQSL return is much better than on LoTW, the flagship.

Let's get everyone on the bandwagon, input those logs and stop complaining about the odd downtime; even my ISP has those.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "n1zw" <n1zw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 12:31 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

>I think it is a good idea but, the way it is setup is a little frustrating
> to some of us. I been with eQSL and never had any issues and being
> authenticated and a supporting member makes it even more worth it. I have
> been going through my logs for almost two months trying repair it so it
> actually works but, I notices when I did try to upload my log from MixW
> and
> also my backup log ACLOG, there were some issues that are fine in the logs
> but get messed up with LOTW. I will keep trying but, I am not real
> impressed
> with it so far. I wish it was as easy as some are saying. Being a new
> member
> of ARRL makes me wonder if I made the correct choice using it. I don't
> need
> ARRL to ell me who I worked when I have the hard cards and authenticated
> cards from two other sources. That have never given me trouble. Rob n1zw
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf
> Of Rod WC7N
> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:03 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>

> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
>
>>I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.
>>
>> 73,
>> Vladimir VE3IAE
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3390. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 17:06:56 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3379 Message: 3390

Carl,

There is more to complain LoTW for. The worst things are, lack of good promo and lack of contacts with users. The lack of good LoTW ad has been discussed numerous times and wherever possible, so far with little results. As for the contacts with users, as it was mentionned here in the list several times since last afternoon, there is really very little info going out.

I would just wish the LoTW handlers to keep us all informed about possible upcoming unstabilities, planned shutdowns IN ADVANCE and right at the LoTW users' page... OK I have made some noise here in the list and apparently quite in vain... As it was pointed out, there was an announcement about the shutdown at the ARRL site. But I am a LoTW user. If I want to see my results updated, I just go to my "Favorites" in the browser and I am there... I simply don't browse through the whole ARRL site...
Then, if there are problems, why not to tell us all that there are problems?... Having known that, we shall quietly wait for them to settle. It is much worse TO SEE problems w/o any info from LoTW holders.

I am a sincere fan of the LoTW system and I am an active promoter of that. I personally keep some 70k QSOs there for 2 call-signs. Criticyzing the system somehow I only want to make it better.

Thanks for reading.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

----- Original Message -----
From: "Carl Smidt" <xveoneov@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 9:23 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

> There is only one thing wrong with LoTW and that is the dismal and
> sorrowful
> lack of subscribers, I am still running at only around 5% confirmations.
>
> With my logging program, LOGic 8 by PDA, it is simply a two or three click
> operation; in fact, it is so easy that I, a long time ago, decided
> to submit logs to eQSL as well, in order to satisfy those users (the eQSL
> tech help, by the way, is amazing & quick).
>
> I upload on a regular basis; sad to say, my eQSL return is much better
> than

> on LoTW, the flagship.
>
> Let's get everyone on the bandwagon, input those logs and stop complaining
> about the odd downtime; even my ISP has those.
>
> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "n1zw" <n1zw@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 12:31 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
>
>>I think it is a good idea but, the way it is setup is a little frustrating
>> to some of us. I been with eQSL and never had any issues and being
>> authenticated and a supporting member makes it even more worth it. I have
>> been going through my logs for almost two months trying repair it so it
>> actually works but, I notices when I did try to upload my log from MixW
>> and
>> also my backup log ACLOG, there were some issues that are fine in the
>> logs
>> but get messed up with LOTW. I will keep trying but, I am not real
>> impressed
>> with it so far. I wish it was as easy as some are saying. Being a new
>> member
>> of ARRL makes me wonder if I made the correct choice using it. I don't
>> need
>> ARRL to ell me who I worked when I have the hard cards and authenticated
>> cards from two other sources. That have never given me trouble. Rob n1zw
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
>> Behalf
>> Of Rod WC7N
>> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:03 PM
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>>
>> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>>
>> Rod WC7N
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>>

>>
>>>I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not
>>>suspicious.
>>>
>>> 73,
>>> Vladimir VE3IAE
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3391. Another shutdown

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 17:39:45 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3391 Message: 3391

It looks like somebody has read my previous message.

The following is taken from the LoTW users' site:

qt

We're sorry, but the system is off-line for maintenance at present.
LoTW will return to service Wednesday afternoon, 1/10/07 (ET).

unqt

It's a totally different story. Thanks for the info.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3392. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 19:14:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3392

Vlad,
Your so right.
LoTW had so much promise.
But the administrators have allocated (or didn't care enough) very little time/effort/promotion to it's users.
ESPECIALLY THE NON USA USERS.

I realize it is a bit more difficult for NON USA Hams to subscribe.
If I can figure that out....they should have been able to and should have created a Ombudsman type program for the various continents who could help or authorize membership.
There is already QSL Field Checkers, they could review a persons documentation and forward the data to the LoTW administrator.

The only thing that will make LoTW program a success....IS SUCCESS.
Make people WANT to take part.

All my logs, and the logs for stations I qsl manage are up to date on LoTW.

On 1/10/2007 11:06:56 AM, Vladimir Sidorov (vs_otw@...) wrote:
> Carl,
>
> There is more to complain LoTW for. The worst things are, lack of good
> promo
> and lack of contacts with users. The lack of good LoTW ad has been
> discussed
> numerous times and wherever possible, so far with little results. As for
> the
> contacts with users, as it was mentionned here in the list several times
> since last afternoon, there is really very little info going out.
>
> I would just wish the LoTW handlers to keep us all informed about possible
>
> upcoming unstabilities, planned shutdowns IN ADVANCE and right at the LoTW
>
> users' page... OK I have made some noise here in the list and apparently
> quite in vain... As it was pointed out, there was an announcement about
> the
> shutdown at the ARRL site. But I am a LoTW user. If I want to see my
> results
> updated, I just go to my "Favorites" in the browser and I am there... I
> simply don't
> browse through the whole ARRL site...
> Then, if there are problems, why not to tell us all that there are
> problems?... Having known that, we shall quietly wait for them to settle.
> It

> is much worse TO SEE problems w/o any info from LoTW holders.
>
> I am a sincere fan of the LoTW system and I am an active promoter of

3393. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Summary

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 19:48:45 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3379 Message: 3393

"There is only one thing wrong with LoTW and that is the dismal and
sorrowful
lack of subscribers, I am still running at only around 5% confirmations.

73, Carl VE9OV"

Carl,

5% is expected percentage based upon QSL bureau
statistics:

a. Bureau requests result in 40-50% return after
12-24 months
b. Direct request result 70-80% return based upon
the quality of QSL info you use
c. You can not avoid QSL cards. Your bureau receives 5%
of your QSOs not matter if you claim them or not.

IN LoTW there are multiple aspects of the problem. As
technically inclined people we are frequently trapped and
impressed with technology, without taking into account
other important aspects:

- The service itself
- The profitability of such service.

The LoTW is originally designed as helper tool
for certain portfolio of awards, not as general
replacement of QSL service.

In its nature it is designed for 'direct users'
like yourself. Currently there is 15K such users.
At some point in time I tried to 'guesstimate' max
number of users. I landed at 70K users hypothetically
combining all DX-ers, and all contesters. I still
think it is too optimistic.

However, there is still half a million users out there,
who are not on the system, and their needs are not
addressed at all.

Other award portfolios are out of reach as well.

Consequently the service has to re-adjust itself if
it wants to survive. With current trend, people may
stop submitting.

The profitability is another important factor, since any service change need investment in development. Currently LoTW has low return on investment, because the 'direct sale' of matched records did not generate substantial revenue, as well as there was not indirect increase in alternate revenue streams (e.g. number of new awards, and endorsements has not risen dramatically).

Redefinition of the service is by far the most important task on hand. Technical implementation of changes comes next.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

3394. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 20:01:24 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3379 Message: 3394

Hey Bill
They should have made it plug and play, hi hi.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: FireBrick
Date: 1/10/2007 2:56:57 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Vlad,
Your so right.
LoTW had so much promise.
But the administrators have allocated (or didn't care enough) very little time/effort/promotion to it's users.
ESPECIALLY THE NON USA USERS.

I realize it is a bit more difficult for NON USA Hams to subscribe.
If I can figure that out....they should have been able to and should have created a Ombudsman type program for the various continents who could help or authorize membership.
There is already QSL Field Checkers, they could review a persons documentation and forward the data to the LoTW administrator.

The only thing that will make LoTW program a success....IS SUCCESS.
Make people WANT to take part.

All my logs, and the logs for stations I qsl manage are up to date on LoTW.

3395. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 20:48:15 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3379 Message: 3395

On Wed, 10 Jan 2007 13:14:33 -0600, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
wrote:

>Vlad,
>Your so right.
>LoTW had so much promise.
>But the administrators have allocated (or didn't care enough) very little
>time/effort/promotion to it's users.
>ESPECIALLY THE NON USA USERS.
>
>I realize it is a bit more difficult for NON USA Hams to subscribe.
>If I can figure that out....they should have been able to and should have
>created a Ombudsman type program for the various continents who could help
>or authorize membership.
>There is already QSL Field Checkers, they could review a persons
>documentation and forward the data to the LoTW administrator.
>
It is not really that hard for Non-US Amateurs to join up.
Photocopy of Licence and Photocopy of 'Photographic ID' sent by snail
mail to ARRL HQ
What might help is if those 'Copies' could be sent by [secure] e-mail.

Plus of course extra 'Awards' being added. I did think that IOTA was
on the cards, but reading now that IOTA are doing their own on-line
system sometime this year makes me wonder if that possibility has
fallen into a black hole.

The addition of WAS was great [where IS Hawaii ??<smile>] and if US
counties could be added ...wow !

Oh well, time will tell.

73 Graham M5AAV

3396. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 21:03:37 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3379 Message: 3396

Some time ago I saw someone saying that CQ Awards were close to being implemented. WAZ and WPX would be great. Don't know the validity of that claim. Perhaps it fell through.

Unfortunately somebody summed it up in another context:

What if they gave a war and nobody came?

BTW: My hit rate is 14%. 18K QSL'S via LOTW.

To get a high hit rate, you have to operate in contests. Contesters already have computerized logs and generally don't want the paper QSLing chores.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Graham Ridgeway wrote:
> On Wed, 10 Jan 2007 13:14:33 -0600, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Vlad,
>>Your so right.
>>LoTW had so much promise.
>>But the administrators have allocated (or didn't care enough) very little
>>time/effort/promotion to it's users.
>>ESPECIALLY THE NON USA USERS.
>>
>>I realize it is a bit more difficult for NON USA Hams to subscribe.
>>If I can figure that out....they should have been able to and should have
>>created a Ombudsman type program for the various continents who could help
>>or authorize membership.
>>There is already QSL Field Checkers, they could review a persons
>>documentation and forward the data to the LoTW administrator.
>>
>
> It is not really that hard for Non-US Amateurs to join up.
> Photocopy of Licence and Photocopy of 'Photographic ID' sent by snail
> mail to ARRL HQ
> What might help is if those 'Copies' could be sent by [secure] e-mail.
>
> Plus of course extra 'Awards' being added. I did think that IOTA was
> on the cards, but reading now that IOTA are doing their own on-line
> system sometime this year makes me wonder if that possibility has
> fallen into a black hole.
>

> The addition of WAS was great [where IS Hawaii ??<smile>] and if US
> counties could be added ...wow !
>
> Oh well, time will tell.
>
>
> 73 Graham M5AAV
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3397. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 21:18:42 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3379 Message: 3397

> It is not really that hard for Non-US Amateurs to join up.
> Photocopy of Licence and Photocopy of 'Photographic ID' sent by snail
> mail to ARRL HQ
> What might help is if those 'Copies' could be sent by [secure] e-mail.
>

Totally agree with that.

> Plus of course extra 'Awards' being added. I did think that IOTA was
> on the cards, but reading now that IOTA are doing their own on-line
> system sometime this year makes me wonder if that possibility has
> fallen into a black hole.
>

A couple of years ago somebody from the IOTA big guys told me, they are
investigating joining the LoTW program. Nothing happened since. The own
on-line system means, there is no agreement reached or so...
Just checked the IOTA site, there is no news regarding the new system.
Nothing is the GB2RS News Headlines as well...

Graham, is it an official info or not yet?

To be honest, it would be nice to have a chance to apply on-line, as the
normal IOTA submission system is rather, eh, uneasy...

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3398. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 21:33:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3398

I have many, many IOTA cards in the box.
I'm not going to join or submit, too much work.

But if IOTA made mandatory upload to LoTW....I'd join and pay the fees
gladly.

Same with CQ awards.

And I don't care about wall paper, I'd do it support the program and people
willing to go and put on the islands.

Same with the Lighthouse people, castle, and special event groups.
I'd gladly send a donation to support operating.

But I'm OLD, with a capital O.
Don't have time to waste sorting cards, filling out forms for certificates
my eyes couldn't read anyway.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Vladimir Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 3:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

>> It is not really that hard for Non-US Amateurs to join up.
>> Photocopy of Licence and Photocopy of 'Photographic ID' sent by snail
>> mail to ARRL HQ
>> What might help is if those 'Copies' could be sent by [secure] e-mail.
>>
>
> Totally agree with that.
>
>> Plus of course extra 'Awards' being added. I did think that IOTA was
>> on the cards, but reading now that IOTA are doing their own on-line
>> system sometime this year makes me wonder if that possibility has
>> fallen into a black hole.
>>
>
> A couple of years ago somebody from the IOTA big guys told me, they are
> investigating joining the LoTW program. Nothing happened since. The own
> on-line system means, there is no agreement reached or so...
> Just checked the IOTA site, there is no news regarding the new system.
> Nothing is the GB2RS News Headlines as well...
>
> Graham, is it an official info or not yet?
>
> To be honest, it would be nice to have a chance to apply on-line, as the

> normal IOTA submission system is rather, eh, uneasy...
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE
>
>
>
>
>

3399. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 22:48:55 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3379 Message: 3399

On Wed, 10 Jan 2007 15:33:33 -0600, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
wrote:

>I have many, many IOTA cards in the box.
>I'm not going to join or submit, too much work.
>
Interesting point Bill.

While on VHF - I was an avid 'wallpaper collector' - When I got the HF ticket back i '99, I made a concious descision that there were only 2 awards worth having - DXCC and WAC.

IOTA is , in my mind, horrendous to track, collect cards and submit for, while WPX 'proves' nothing about ones 'skills' as an operator.

If people wish to chase these awards then fine by me - I am not suggesting otherwise, merely agreeing to a degree, that if they were 'automated' - then the takeup would be higher.

Mind you - If you think IOTA is bad ....try working out how to track all the various aspects of Worked All Britain [Been there done that - got the certificates and trophies. And when I started that back in 1980 it was all paper records <gulp>]

73 Graham M5AAV

3400. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-10 22:39:35 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3379 Message: 3400

Hi Vlad [and everyone]

>> Plus of course extra 'Awards' being added. I did think that IOTA was
>> on the cards, but reading now that IOTA are doing their own on-line
>> system sometime this year makes me wonder if that possibility has
>> fallen into a black hole.
>>
>
>A couple of years ago somebody from the IOTA big guys told me, they are
>investigating joining the LoTW program. Nothing happened since. The own
>on-line system means, there is no agreement reached or so...
>Just checked the IOTA site, there is no news regarding the new system.
>Nothing is the GB2RS News Headlines as well...
>
>Graham, is it an official info or not yet?
>
>To be honest, it would be nice to have a chance to apply on-line, as the
>normal IOTA submission system is rather, eh, uneasy...
>

I am trying to find the e-mail - sure it was posted to the
UK-Contesting list.

Whether it was full on-line submissions or IOTA contest 'matched
QSO's' I cannot recall - I will keep hunting. Mind you if it is the
latter - virtually an LoTW 'clone'

73 Graham M5AAV

3401. LoTW Return Rates, Was Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-11 01:46:23 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3401

Hi Carl:
5% does seem very low !!!
My current overall return rate is 17.9% and 28.9% for the ARRL Nov SS SSB.

Like you I use LOGic 8. You might want to set the filters up and check your total
# of QSO's for each year and the # of LoTW confirmations for that same year.
You can then make up a table of % LoTW rate for each year.

In general I would expect the 2006 % return rate to higher than 2005 % return rate
and 2005 to be higher than 2004.

One factor that could be effecting your overall rate is the # of pre 1990 QSO's you have
!!!
Prior to 1990 most logging was done on paper and haven't been converted to electronic
format. My guess is that only a small percentage will ever be transcribed, much less
entered into LoTW.

Other factors to consider that effect your LoTW Rate:(NOT meant to start any wars)
DX vs Non-DX (NA)
CW vs Phone
RTTY/Digital vs other modes
Contest vs Non-Contest

Just some thoughts that came to mind. If you need any help defining the required filters
for LOGic 8, just let me know.

I have a feeling that this subject should spark some discussion on the List

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 10:23 1/10/2007, Carl Smidt wrote:

>There is only one thing wrong with LoTW and that is the dismal and sorrowful
>lack of subscribers, I am still running at only around 5% confirmations.
>
>With my logging program, LOGic 8 by PDA, it is simply a two or three click
>operation; in fact, it is so easy that I, a long time ago, decided
>to submit logs to eQSL as well, in order to satisfy those users (the eQSL
>tech help, by the way, is amazing & quick).
>
>I upload on a regular basis; sad to say, my eQSL return is much better than
>on LoTW, the flagship.
>
>Let's get everyone on the bandwagon, input those logs and stop complaining
>about the odd downtime; even my ISP has those.
>

>73, Carl VE9OV
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "n1zw" <<mailto:n1zw%40cox.net>n1zw@...>
>To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 12:31 AM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>
>>I think it is a good idea but, the way it is setup is a little frustrating
>> to some of us. I been with eQSL and never had any issues and being
>> authenticated and a supporting member makes it even more worth it. I have
>> been going through my logs for almost two months trying repair it so it
>> actually works but, I notices when I did try to upload my log from MixW
>> and
>> also my backup log ACLOG, there were some issues that are fine in the logs
>> but get messed up with LOTW. I will keep trying but, I am not real
>> impressed
>> with it so far. I wish it was as easy as some are saying. Being a new
>> member
>> of ARRL makes me wonder if I made the correct choice using it. I don't
>> need
>> ARRL to ell me who I worked when I have the hard cards and authenticated
>> cards from two other sources. That have never given me trouble. Rob n1zw
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
>> Behalf
>> Of Rod WC7N
>> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 8:03 PM
>> To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>>
>> Yep you are right.... Maybe LoTW wasn't such a great idea after all.
>>
>> Rod WC7N
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Vladimir V. Sidorov" <<mailto:vs_otw%40rogers.com>vs_otw@...>
>> To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Tuesday, January 09, 2007 4:48 PM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?
>>
>>
>>>I cannot get to the LoTW site again... Not good at all, if not suspicious.
>>>
>>> 73,
>>> Vladimir VE3IAE
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3402. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-11 12:09:54 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3379 Message: 3402

"IOTA is , in my mind, horrendous to track, collect cards and submit for, while WPX 'proves' nothing about ones 'skills' as an operator.

73 Graham M5AAV"

Graham,

I am afraid you are wrong:

a. IOTA site provides tracking program which is easy to use.

b. When using this tool, you make saving on card checking

c. An average DX-er has 200 IOTA up-front (many are DX countries)

d. IOTA activators are very prompt in QSL-ing, much better than residents.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

3403. Looking for a bit of Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-11 14:17:42 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 3403 Message: 3403

Hi
I'm Pete GI4VIV I'm intending to Participate in the AFRI75 Irish
Radio & Transmitter Society Dxpedition to Swaziland
In March of this year (details still in the process of being prepared
for general release) to celebrate the 75th
anniversary of the IRTS
I will be attending a meeting this Sunday 14th January near Dublin to
thrash out our agenda
One of the Subjects is obviously going to be General Logging.

I am Obviously a Registered user of LOTW and What I would like is some
of you with more experience than I
with LOTW to point me in the right direction.

We as a Team are being given a 3DA?? call for participation in CQ WW WPX
we are also being allocated individual
3DA calls for whatever our individual endevours may be, mine in
particular is RSARS (Royal Signals Amateur radio Society) nets.

This is the information I'm looking for:-

Is there a way on completion of the expedition to link my 3DA call to my
own call at LOTW so that I can on completion upload my own 3DA log
to LOTW if so how do I go about it whats the procedure etc

We will obviously be Dealing with QSLs in the Normal Dxpedition fashion
with a QSL manager
but for those that use LOTW it would obviously be the way to go for
instant QSL Confirmation

Any help on this would be appreciated
Cheers and I hope to work some of you in March from 3DA

Pete GI4VIV

3404. Re: Looking for a bit of Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-11 16:24:49 UTC
From: ei7cc
Topic: 3403 Message: 3404

Hi Pete

Glad to see you are a LoTW user and looking forward to meeting you on
Sunday next.

In response to your query please have a look at
https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#othercall
which should sort out things for you.

I will be in a similar position and will be loading my 3DA0 logs as
soon as possible after the trip.

73 Pete EI7CC

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Peter Mercer <gi4viv.pete@...>
wrote:
>
> Hi
> I'm Pete GI4VIV I'm intending to Participate in the AFRI75 Irish
> Radio & Transmitter Society Dxpedition to Swaziland
> In March of this year (details still in the process of being
prepared
> for general release) to celebrate the 75th
> anniversary of the IRTS
> I will be attending a meeting this Sunday 14th January near Dublin
to
> thrash out our agenda
> One of the Subjects is obviously going to be General Logging.
>
> I am Obviously a Registered user of LOTW and What I would like is
some
> of you with more experience than I
> with LOTW to point me in the right direction.
>
> We as a Team are being given a 3DA?? call for participation in CQ
WW WPX
> we are also being allocated individual
> 3DA calls for whatever our individual endevours may be, mine in
> particular is RSARS (Royal Signals Amateur radio Society) nets.
>
> This is the information I'm looking for:-
>
> Is there a way on completion of the expedition to link my 3DA call
to my
> own call at LOTW so that I can on completion upload my own 3DA log
> to LOTW if so how do I go about it whats the procedure etc

>
> We will obviously be Dealing with QSLs in the Normal Dxpedition
fashion
> with a QSL manager
> but for those that use LOTW it would obviously be the way to go for
> instant QSL Confirmation
>
> Any help on this would be appreciated
> Cheers and I hope to work some of you in March from 3DA
>
> Pete GI4VIV
>

3405. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Looking for a bit of Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-11 22:54:31 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 3403 Message: 3405

Thanks Pete thats great, I'm Glad theres somebody on the Trip that can
point me in the right direction
see you on Sunday looking forward to it
73
Pete GI4VIV

ei7cc wrote:
>
> Hi Pete
>
> Glad to see you are a LoTW user and looking forward to meeting you on
> Sunday next.
>
> In response to your query please have a look at
> https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#othercall
> <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/faq#othercall>
> which should sort out things for you.
>
> I will be in a similar position and will be loading my 3DA0 logs as
> soon as possible after the trip.
>
> 73 Pete EI7CC
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>,
> Peter Mercer <gi4viv.pete@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > Hi
> > I'm Pete GI4VIV I'm intending to Participate in the AFRI75 Irish
> > Radio & Transmitter Society Dxpedition to Swaziland
> > In March of this year (details still in the process of being
> prepared
> > for general release) to celebrate the 75th
> > anniversary of the IRTS
> > I will be attending a meeting this Sunday 14th January near Dublin
> to
> > thrash out our agenda
> > One of the Subjects is obviously going to be General Logging.
> >
> > I am Obviously a Registered user of LOTW and What I would like is
> some
> > of you with more experience than I
> > with LOTW to point me in the right direction.
> >

> > We as a Team are being given a 3DA?? call for participation in CQ
> WW WPX
> > we are also being allocated individual
> > 3DA calls for whatever our individual endevours may be, mine in
> > particular is RSARS (Royal Signals Amateur radio Society) nets.
> >
> > This is the information I'm looking for:-
> >
> > Is there a way on completion of the expedition to link my 3DA call
> to my
> > own call at LOTW so that I can on completion upload my own 3DA log
> > to LOTW if so how do I go about it whats the procedure etc
> >
> > We will obviously be Dealing with QSLs in the Normal Dxpedition
> fashion
> > with a QSL manager
> > but for those that use LOTW it would obviously be the way to go for
> > instant QSL Confirmation
> >
> > Any help on this would be appreciated
> > Cheers and I hope to work some of you in March from 3DA
> >
> > Pete GI4VIV
> >
>
>

3406. Re: Again?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 12:09:21 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3379 Message: 3406

I agree with mostly all that has been said on the subject.

LOTW has been very poorly advertised in my opinion. I suspect that national societies won't mention it as it would be detrimental to their own QSL buros. Of course the onus is on the ARRL to promote it.

From a UK point of view, I found that it is not too difficult to register with LOTW.

I download an ADIF log file & open a LOTW file in DX4WIN so that way I can keep a track of US counties. Only problem is that some US operators don't include their county.

5% confirmations is a bit low but I suspect that Carl is not a contester. But of course I may be wrong. The last time I checked my figure was over 13%. Hence the need to get more non contesters signed up & that will only be done by promotion.

I wonder what the late Geoff Watts would think of the monster he spawned with IOTA. Leave IOTA to IOTA, it's not my cup of tea, but one man's meat, etc, etc.

Very nice to see a message on the LOTW page saying that it was offline. Very helpful.

Think I have said all I have to on the subject.

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP 2513
Aberdeen

3407. US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 17:17:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3407

From Dave-GM4HQF,

...snip...

I download an ADIF log file & open a LOTW file in DX4WIN so that way I can keep a track of US counties. Only problem is that some US operators don't include their county.

...snip...

Dave, and others

Counties should be part of the data set. But I have observed that this becomes difficult when one is mobile. So difficult, that I do not even keep my mobile log as part of my station log at all. Naturally, those QSOs do not make the trip to LOTW--ever!

Chasing counties is quite a project. I don't understand the appeal, but I do understand the appeal by those that do understand, of getting counties confirmed on LOTW instead of first class mail ($$$$). This is a logging problem, which translates into a LOTW problem.

For example:

I participated in the Minnesota QSO party as a rover. If you have never tried being a rover in a state party, do it! It's the closest thing to being on a Caribbean DX adventure in the middle of CQWW. As a rover, you cover as many counties as possible. Each new county brings a newly inspired hoard chasing you. During the MNQP, we operated in 21 counties in 10 hours of operation. Well over 1000 QSOs are commonplace--with many DX calls included. But how do you log this? The goal is to get into a new county every 20-30 minutes. Each new county would require a new certificate on LOTW. And the log is, well, complicated. ADIF formats do not support these activities.

To get back to Dave's comment, people move. The national average length of stay in a US QTH is 5 years. Moving across county lines is commonplace. Many logs do not reflect these subtle changes. My thinking is that many LOTW users cannot assert what county was when so they leave it blank.

It seems to me that this problem is a general logging problem that translates into a LOTW problem. What's the cure?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3408. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 18:05:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3408

Ford, first I want to thank you for the Rover activity.
But...I respectfully disagree.

First issue. If someone moves, and doesn't know that he now lives in a different county....he's too stupid to have a Ham license. LOL

But as for Rover activity.
You do not need a seperate certificate for each county.

When I rove, I simply put a 'note' next to first qso "entering Lake County"
When I get to the next county I enter "left Lake, entering McHenry"
etc.

When I get home, I simply divide each section of log by filtering on the county name in that note field.

Each section of the total log then becomes divided by it's county.

Now sign each section, changing the state or county, and upload.

A 1K mobile log, shouldn't take more than a half hour to complete this process.

If you used N0FB/m, that would be the only certificate you would need, as it could be used for each of the counties you operated from.

QSO parties are lots of fun and can really be a challenge of skill and stamina.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 11:17 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

From Dave-GM4HQF,

...snip...

I download an ADIF log file & open a LOTW file in DX4WIN so that way I can keep a track of US counties. Only problem is that some US operators don't include their county.

...snip...

Dave, and others

Counties should be part of the data set. But I have observed that this becomes difficult when one is mobile. So difficult, that I do not even keep

my mobile log as part of my station log at all. Naturally, those QSOs do not make the trip to LOTW--ever!

Chasing counties is quite a project. I don't understand the appeal, but I do understand the appeal by those that do understand, of getting counties confirmed on LOTW instead of first class mail ($$$$). This is a logging problem, which translates into a LOTW problem.

For example:

I participated in the Minnesota QSO party as a rover. If you have never tried being a rover in a state party, do it! It's the closest thing to being on a Caribbean DX adventure in the middle of CQWW. As a rover, you cover as many counties as possible. Each new county brings a newly inspired hoard chasing you. During the MNQP, we operated in 21 counties in 10 hours of operation. Well over 1000 QSOs are commonplace--with many DX calls included. But how do you log this? The goal is to get into a new county every 20-30 minutes. Each new county would require a new certificate on LOTW. And the log is, well, complicated. ADIF formats do not support these activities.

To get back to Dave's comment, people move. The national average length of stay in a US QTH is 5 years. Moving across county lines is commonplace. Many logs do not reflect these subtle changes. My thinking is that many LOTW users cannot assert what county was when so they leave it blank.

It seems to me that this problem is a general logging problem that translates into a LOTW problem. What's the cure?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3409. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 20:00:01 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3409

Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB handles ;)

My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which QSOs were for which counties, and I've only lived in 2 different counties with 2 different calls. I set up locators for each address/call and uploaded the history accordingly. I did that extra step so that I could identify my county for people. My intuition tells me that first time users will likely just upload their whole history in one shot. Obviously, someone who is a LOTW user and simply moves to a new county would upload all new QSOs with the new ID. But first time uploads is a different animal.

As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just tested it with my last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an opportunity to change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at no time am I asked for my county. So I assumed this requires a new certificate/location ID. And there would then be one for every county.

What am I missing here?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 12:05 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Ford, first I want to thank you for the Rover activity.
But...I respectfully disagree.

First issue. If someone moves, and doesn't know that he now lives in a different county....he's too stupid to have a Ham license. LOL

But as for Rover activity.
You do not need a seperate certificate for each county.

When I rove, I simply put a 'note' next to first qso "entering Lake County"
When I get to the next county I enter "left Lake, entering McHenry"
etc.

When I get home, I simply divide each section of log by filtering on the county name in that note field.

Each section of the total log then becomes divided by it's county.

Now sign each section, changing the state or county, and upload.

A 1K mobile log, shouldn't take more than a half hour to complete this process.

If you used N0FB/m, that would be the only certificate you would need, as it could be used for each of the counties you operated from.

QSO parties are lots of fun and can really be a challenge of skill and stamina.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 11:17 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

From Dave-GM4HQF,

...snip...

I download an ADIF log file & open a LOTW file in DX4WIN so that way I can keep a track of US counties. Only problem is that some US operators don't include their county.

...snip...

Dave, and others

Counties should be part of the data set. But I have observed that this becomes difficult when one is mobile. So difficult, that I do not even keep my mobile log as part of my station log at all. Naturally, those QSOs do not make the trip to LOTW--ever!

Chasing counties is quite a project. I don't understand the appeal, but I do understand the appeal by those that do understand, of getting counties confirmed on LOTW instead of first class mail ($$$$). This is a logging problem, which translates into a LOTW problem.

For example:

I participated in the Minnesota QSO party as a rover. If you have never tried being a rover in a state party, do it! It's the closest thing to being on a Caribbean DX adventure in the middle of CQWW. As a rover, you cover as many counties as possible. Each new county brings a newly inspired hoard chasing you. During the MNQP, we operated in 21 counties in 10 hours of operation. Well over 1000 QSOs are commonplace--with many DX calls included. But how do you log this? The goal is to get into a new county every 20-30 minutes. Each new county would require a new certificate on LOTW. And the log is, well, complicated. ADIF formats do not support these activities.

To get back to Dave's comment, people move. The national average length of stay in a US QTH is 5 years. Moving across county lines is commonplace. Many logs do not reflect these subtle changes. My thinking is that many

LOTW users cannot assert what county was when so they leave it blank.

It seems to me that this problem is a general logging problem that translates into a LOTW problem. What's the cure?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

3410. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 20:31:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3410

Ford
If you look at the TQSL signing module
You can change the location of every log section to reflect the location of those qsos.
Under the Station Tab, Select Edit Location.
Select the callsign
Click on Edit
The first page shows the call, grid, itu, and cq zone plus iota if appropriate.
The next page clearly allows you to enter the State and County for the qsos you are signing and uploading.

You need a Lifestyle change if: Always keep a box of kitchen matches in the bathroom.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://24.14.49.4:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

On 1/12/2007 2:00:01 PM, Ford Peterson (ford@...) wrote:
> Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB handles ;)
>
> My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for
> example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which QSOs
> were for which counties, and
> I've only lived in 2 different counties with 2
> different calls. I set up locators for each address/call and uploaded the
> history accordingly. I did that extra step so that I could identify my
> county for people. My intuition tells me that first time users will likely
> just upload their whole history in one shot. Obviously, someone who is a
> LOTW user and simply moves to a new county would upload all new QSOs with
> the new ID. But first time uploads is a different animal.
>
> As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different
> counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just tested it with my
> last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an opportunity to
> change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at no time am I asked for my
> county. So I assumed this requires a new certificate/location ID. And
> there would then be one for every county.
>
> What am I missing here?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@... [link: mai

3411. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 20:37:02 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3379 Message: 3411

At 03:00 PM 1/12/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:
>Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB handles ;)
>
>My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for
>example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which QSOs
>were for which counties, and I've only lived in 2 different counties
>with 2
>different calls. I set up locators for each address/call and uploaded the
>history accordingly. I did that extra step so that I could identify my
>county for people. My intuition tells me that first time users will
>likely
>just upload their whole history in one shot. Obviously, someone who is a
>LOTW user and simply moves to a new county would upload all new QSOs with
>the new ID. But first time uploads is a different animal.
>
>As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different
>counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just tested it with my
>last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an opportunity to
>change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at no time am I asked for my
>county. So I assumed this requires a new certificate/location ID. And
>there would then be one for every county.
>
>What am I missing here?

Ford,
It doesn't require a new certificate for each location, simply a new
station location.
When you use TQSL to sign a log, the first dialog box has a list of
your station locations.
All you've got to do is to create a new one with the proper county,
etc. associated with your existing certificate. No hassle at all.
-Rick

3412. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-12 20:54:18 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3412

I thought each of the locations listed was a certificate. My bad...

I'm chasing the 5BWAS award and am within 1 state on most bands. If I enter all these other county QSOs, and out-of-state mobile QSOs, will the LOTW server be smart enough to segregate my non-current-location QSOs from my outstate or even out-of-state mobile QSOs for purposes of awarding me a WAS certificate? Ditto for DXCC?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 2:37 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

At 03:00 PM 1/12/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:
>Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB handles ;)
>
>My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for
>example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which
>QSOs were for which counties, and I've only lived in 2 different
>counties with 2 different calls. I set up locators for each
>address/call and uploaded the history accordingly. I did that extra
>step so that I could identify my county for people. My intuition tells
>me that first time users will likely just upload their whole history in
>one shot. Obviously, someone who is a LOTW user and simply moves to a
>new county would upload all new QSOs with the new ID. But first time
>uploads is a different animal.
>
>As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different
>counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just tested it with
>my last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an
>opportunity to change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at no time am
>I asked for my county. So I assumed this requires a new
>certificate/location ID. And there would then be one for every county.
>
>What am I missing here?

Ford,
It doesn't require a new certificate for each location, simply a new station location.
When you use TQSL to sign a log, the first dialog box has a list of your station locations.

All you've got to do is to create a new one with the proper county, etc.
associated with your existing certificate. No hassle at all.
-Rick

Yahoo! Groups Links

3413. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-13 01:54:49 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3379 Message: 3413

Doug,

DXCC won't care (all QSOs from within the same DXCC country
count).

With WAS you configure "WAS Accounts" on Lotw using "rules."
For example I have one "WAS account" where the "rules" are
US County = Seminole and US STATE = Florida. This allows
all QSOs as W8IK, W8IK/4, K4IK or W4TV made from this county
to count for WAS. If I operated portable from Volusia county
Florida, I could modify the rule to include those QSOs since
they would be within the 25 mile radius but if I operated
portable in Palm Beach County those QSOs would not count since
they don't match the rule.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ford Peterson
> Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 3:54 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)
>
>
> I thought each of the locations listed was a certificate. My bad...
>
> I'm chasing the 5BWAS award and am within 1 state on most
> bands. If I enter
> all these other county QSOs, and out-of-state mobile QSOs,
> will the LOTW
> server be smart enough to segregate my non-current-location
> QSOs from my
> outstate or even out-of-state mobile QSOs for purposes of
> awarding me a WAS
> certificate? Ditto for DXCC?
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
> Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 2:37 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)
>
> At 03:00 PM 1/12/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:
> >Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB
> handles ;)
> >
> >My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for
> >example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which
> >QSOs were for which counties, and I've only lived in 2 different
> >counties with 2 different calls. I set up locators for each
> >address/call and uploaded the history accordingly. I did that extra
> >step so that I could identify my county for people. My
> intuition tells
> >me that first time users will likely just upload their whole
> history in
> >one shot. Obviously, someone who is a LOTW user and simply
> moves to a
> >new county would upload all new QSOs with the new ID. But
> first time
> >uploads is a different animal.
> >
> >As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different
> >counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just
> tested it with
> >my last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an
> >opportunity to change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at
> no time am
> >I asked for my county. So I assumed this requires a new
> >certificate/location ID. And there would then be one for
> every county.
> >
> >What am I missing here?
>
> Ford,
> It doesn't require a new certificate for each location,
> simply a new station
> location.
> When you use TQSL to sign a log, the first dialog box has a
> list of your
> station locations.
> All you've got to do is to create a new one with the proper
> county, etc.
> associated with your existing certificate. No hassle at all.
> -Rick

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3414. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-13 02:07:50 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3379 Message: 3414

At 03:54 PM 1/12/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:
>I thought each of the locations listed was a certificate. My bad...
>
>I'm chasing the 5BWAS award and am within 1 state on most bands. If I
>enter
>all these other county QSOs, and out-of-state mobile QSOs, will the LOTW
>server be smart enough to segregate my non-current-location QSOs from my
>outstate or even out-of-state mobile QSOs for purposes of awarding me
>a WAS
>certificate? Ditto for DXCC?

This is explained pretty well on the LoTW awards page.

For DXCC, it doesn't matter as long as all of the QSOs are from the same DXCC entity and callsign.

For WAS, you must tell LoTW how to select the proper QSOs for the award; you can choose those with the same county, for example, for a given WAS account. You can have more than one WAS account per call. It doesn't matter to the people you QSOd with what location you're coming from as long as the state is entered correctly.
-Rick

3415. Re: US Counties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-13 18:35:49 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3415 Message: 3415

Sorry Guys, I seem to have opened another can of worms!

> To get back to Dave's comment, people move. The national average length
> of stay in a US QTH is 5 years.

As I have lived at the same address for 40 years, I reckon you chaps should settle down. Hi

It doesn't annoy me one little bit if the county is not included. After all it is only a hobby & not worth getting uptight about. I am nowhere near getting the basic counties requirement on LOTW. If I included all the 25 year old paper QSL's I would be well over it but what the heck. LOTW is just a fresh start for me.

Dave, GM4HQF GQRP #2513
Aberdeen

3416. US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-16 02:52:12 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3379 Message: 3416

Your spot on Rick.

The scary part is with all these people uploading their entire logs over and over, if they finally figure this out they will over write all previous county info (same for State info)and screw the whole thing.

JOhn.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
> It doesn't require a new certificate for each location, simply a new
> station location.
> When you use TQSL to sign a log, the first dialog box has a list of
> your station locations.
> All you've got to do is to create a new one with the proper county,
> etc. associated with your existing certificate. No hassle at all.
> -Rick
>

3417. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-16 05:56:50 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3417
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

At 21:52 1/15/2007, you wrote:

>Your spot on Rick.
>
>The scary part is with all these people uploading their entire logs
>over and over, if they finally figure this out they will over write
>all previous county info (same for State info)and screw the whole
>thing.

Yes and No !!!
If someone mistyped their State and entered "MS" for "MA" on their first upload to Lotw, and THEN discovered their mistake, all the need to do is correct the data in their "Station Location" then resign their entire log and submit it.

The last data uploaded into LoTW will be correct.

If someone received LoTW WAS credit for "MS" yesterday and then checked in a couple of days they should see that "MS" disappeared and was replaced by "MA".

Their LoTW WAS Award is correct.

If you imported the LoTW QSL's into your "Logging Program" you might have a problem resolving the issue. It depends on how your "Logging Program" handles things.

Counties are not tracked by LoTW for awards, just DXCC and WAS.

73
Bob, K1VU

>JOhn.
>
>--- In <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU
<k1mu-lotw@...>
>wrote:
>> It doesn't require a new certificate for each location, simply a
>new
>> station location.
>> When you use TQSL to sign a log, the first dialog box has a list
>of
>> your station locations.
>> All you've got to do is to create a new one with the proper
>county,
>> etc. associated with your existing certificate. No hassle at all.
>> -Rick
>>
>

>

3418. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-16 05:07:45 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3418

At 15:00 1/12/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:

>Mr FireBrick. (please use name and callsigns instead of CB handles ;)
>
>My comment about moving relates to history conversions. My log (for
>example) contains 30,000 QSOs. It took some time to evaluate which QSOs
>were for which counties, and I've only lived in 2 different counties with 2
>different calls. I set up locators for each address/call and uploaded the
>history accordingly. I did that extra step so that I could identify my
>county for people. My intuition tells me that first time users will likely
>just upload their whole history in one shot. Obviously, someone who is a
>LOTW user and simply moves to a new county would upload all new QSOs with
>the new ID. But first time uploads is a different animal.

You need 1 Certificate for each Callsign you held.
I have 3 Certificates: WN1OFR, WA1OFR, and K1VU.

Next you need to setup a"Station Location" for each County and each Callsign
EX:
K1VU-PLY (for Plymouth County)
K1VU-BRI (for Bristol County)
WA1OFR-PLY (for Plymouth County)
WA1OFR-BAR (for Barnstable County)
WN1OFR-PLY (for Plymouth County)

Then sort your log (FILTER by DATE)and then create an ADIF file for each
Callsign/County you setup.
EX:
K1VU was in Bristol County for vacation between 6/23/2002 to 9/3/2002.
I would extract the Q's I made there between the dates and create an ADIF file
and call it VAC_02.adi. I would then sign it with the "K1VU-BRI Station Location"
and then UPLOAD the resulting VAC_02.TQ8 file to LoTW. DONE !!!

I'm making the assumption that DX4WIN has some kind of filter utility.
I've been using LOGic 5,6,7,& 8 which has a very powerful "Filter Capability"
built in that makes this type of operation as easy as "Falling off a Greased Log" !!!

>As far as marking the QSOs, and signing different QSOs in different
>counties, I fail to understand your procedure. I just tested it with my
>last ADI upload. When I go to sign my log, I am given an opportunity to
>change IOTA, CQ ZONE, ITU ZONE, etc. But at no time am I asked for my
>county. So I assumed this requires a new certificate/location ID. And
>there would then be one for every county.

You're doing it the hard way. Setup you "Station Locations", you only have to do it once
!!!

>What am I missing here?

Hit "NEXT" at the bottom of the page to enter County and State on the second page.

If you not confused yet, I can try harder :-).

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

>Ford-N0FP
><mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
>Of FireBrick
>Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 12:05 PM
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)
>
>Ford, first I want to thank you for the Rover activity.
>But...I respectfully disagree.
>
>First issue. If someone moves, and doesn't know that he now lives in a
>different county....he's too stupid to have a Ham license. LOL
>
>But as for Rover activity.
>You do not need a seperate certificate for each county.
>
>When I rove, I simply put a 'note' next to first qso "entering Lake County"
>When I get to the next county I enter "left Lake, entering McHenry"
>etc.
>
>When I get home, I simply divide each section of log by filtering on the
>county name in that note field.
>
>Each section of the total log then becomes divided by it's county.
>
>Now sign each section, changing the state or county, and upload.
>
>A 1K mobile log, shouldn't take more than a half hour to complete this
>process.
>
>If you used N0FB/m, that would be the only certificate you would need, as it
>could be used for each of the counties you operated from.
>
>QSO parties are lots of fun and can really be a challenge of skill and
>stamina.
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Ford Peterson" <<mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...>

>To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Friday, January 12, 2007 11:17 AM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)
>
>>From Dave-GM4HQF,
>
>...snip...
>
>I download an ADIF log file & open a LOTW file in DX4WIN so that way I can
>keep a track of US counties. Only problem is that some US operators don't
>include their county.
>
>...snip...
>
>Dave, and others
>
>Counties should be part of the data set. But I have observed that this
>becomes difficult when one is mobile. So difficult, that I do not even keep
>my mobile log as part of my station log at all. Naturally, those QSOs do
>not make the trip to LOTW--ever!
>
>Chasing counties is quite a project. I don't understand the appeal, but I
>do understand the appeal by those that do understand, of getting counties
>confirmed on LOTW instead of first class mail ($$$$). This is a logging
>problem, which translates into a LOTW problem.
>
>For example:
>
>I participated in the Minnesota QSO party as a rover. If you have never
>tried being a rover in a state party, do it! It's the closest thing to
>being on a Caribbean DX adventure in the middle of CQWW. As a rover, you
>cover as many counties as possible. Each new county brings a newly inspired
>hoard chasing you. During the MNQP, we operated in 21 counties in 10 hours
>of operation. Well over 1000 QSOs are commonplace--with many DX calls
>included. But how do you log this? The goal is to get into a new county
>every 20-30 minutes. Each new county would require a new certificate on
>LOTW. And the log is, well, complicated. ADIF formats do not support these
>activities.
>
>To get back to Dave's comment, people move. The national average length of
>stay in a US QTH is 5 years. Moving across county lines is commonplace.
>Many logs do not reflect these subtle changes. My thinking is that many
>LOTW users cannot assert what county was when so they leave it blank.
>
>It seems to me that this problem is a general logging problem that
>translates into a LOTW problem. What's the cure?
>
>Ford-N0FP

3419. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-16 14:09:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3419

> You need 1 Certificate for each Callsign you held.
> I have 3 Certificates: WN1OFR, WA1OFR, and K1VU.
>
> Next you need to setup a"Station Location" for each County and each
> Callsign
> EX:
> K1VU-PLY (for Plymouth County)
> K1VU-BRI (for Bristol County)
> WA1OFR-PLY (for Plymouth County)
> WA1OFR-BAR (for Barnstable County)
> WN1OFR-PLY (for Plymouth County)
>

I wonder if logging a qso party county like this is a good idea.

Especially if you are using the cluster to watch for the counties you need.

I log mobiles/rovers, with this convention, becuase that's the way I was
taught to do it a long time ago.
You were supposed to append /m if you were mobile and /p if you were a
portable, away from your station licence location.

Now I fully understand that callsigns no longer indicate what region your
in. But old rules/procedures die hard in my old brain.

With so many 'creative' callsigns, it's impossible for anyone to 'predict'
how a qso will be uploaded to LoTW.

If I am a rover and operate in Lake County, I'm going to sign
W9OL/M LAK, note the space.
Becuase that's how I'm going to upload that qso.

I think that there will be no qsl if a person I work, logs the qso as
W9OL/LAK and then uploads to LoTW that way.

I've experimented after qso parties to see how the big Rovers will upload.
I've yet to get a qsl match with the county appended to the call.

You see cluster spots sent that way, which may add to the idea that is how
the qso should be logged.

I send cluster spots only with the /M and put the county in the comments
field.

(Please don't yell at me that there is no LoTW county awards, I'm painfully
aware.)

Does anyone have a better idea?
I've worked 300 plus in a qso party, which only results in 1 or 2 LoTW qso matches. Not because they didn't upload, but becuase my callsign entry is NOT a match for their callsign entry.

3420. LoTW Return Rate Analysis

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 02:45:48 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3420 Message: 3420

Hi:
Just for the fun of it I decided to take a look at my LoTW return Rates
for the last 16 years (1990 to 2006). NO PAPER QSL's INCLUDED !!! LoTW ONLY !!!

First, I guess that I'm a "Contester" as 98% of my Q's come from contests.
2% of my Q's come from every thing else, DX, Random, Band Openings, Spots, etc.

Modes: 99% Phone, 1% CW,AM,RTTY,PSK31, etc.
DX QSO's = 42.3%
US QSO's = 57.7%

******** Total % QSO's confirmed by LoTW per Year *******

YEAR LoTW
2006 20.4% LoTW adds WAS Support (01/16/06)
2005 24.1%
2004 23.3%
2003 24.2% LoTW goes Online with DXCC (09/19/03)
2002 19.5%
2001 14.8%
2000 14.5%
1999 15.2%
1998 12.3%
1997 8.8%
1996 3.7%
1995 4.2%
1994 3.2%
1993 2.7%
1992 5.3%
1991 0.0%
1990 2.9%

Conclusions:
1- It appears that there is a 5% to 10 % increase after LoTW went Online in 2003.
2- A large number of LoTW users have uploaded their "Old Logs" as far back to 1998.
3- Some have made the effort to upload their back to 1990
4- I have some problem with 1991 0.0% !!! Time Warp ??? Was Transcribed from paper log.

Maybe some of you can reach other conclusions from my data.
How do my results compare to what you experience with LoTW ???

73
Bob, K1VU

PS. I use LOGic 8 for my "General" log and it provides excellent facilities for extracting
the data shown here. Just for the record, NOT a sales pitch !!!

3421. "News and Notes", Lack of date and Formating

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 03:09:01 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3421 Message: 3421

This is a copy of a message I sent to the LoTW Help at the ARRL.

After July 7, 2006, none of the "News and Notes" have been dated.

This makes it difficult to help answer questions for new users.

When the messages were dated you could simply refer them to a specific
dated message.

Also the format of the last posted message is about 2/3 the width of the
previous messages making it much longer physically.

I don't think it would take much effort to correct these problems, and would
sure help us that are helping others getting started with LoTW.

Also the very first message in "News and Notes" is dated Dec 31,1969.
I don't think LoTW was even thought of in 1960 :-) !!!

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

3422. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Return Rate Analysis

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 04:06:15 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3420 Message: 3422

Bob wrote:

...snip...

******** Total % QSO's confirmed by LoTW per Year *******

YEAR LoTW
2006 20.4% LoTW adds WAS Support (01/16/06)
2005 24.1%
2004 23.3%
2003 24.2% LoTW goes Online with DXCC (09/19/03)
2002 19.5%
2001 14.8%
2000 14.5%
1999 15.2%
1998 12.3%
1997 8.8%
1996 3.7%
1995 4.2%
1994 3.2%
1993 2.7%
1992 5.3%
1991 0.0%
1990 2.9%

Conclusions:
1- It appears that there is a 5% to 10 % increase after LoTW went Online in
2003.
2- A large number of LoTW users have uploaded their "Old Logs" as far back
to 1998.
3- Some have made the effort to upload their back to 1990
4- I have some problem with 1991 0.0% !!! Time Warp ??? Was Transcribed from
paper log.

Maybe some of you can reach other conclusions from my data.
How do my results compare to what you experience with LoTW ???

73
Bob, K1VU

PS. I use LOGic 8 for my "General" log and it provides excellent facilities
for extracting
the data shown here. Just for the record, NOT a sales pitch !!!

****

Bob, and others...

The 1998 phenom is likely just the advent of the PC coming of age. That's about the time Bob Furzer rolled out his free Logger, and a PC was about as expensive as a week's worth of groceries. Up until that time, I had little interest in the paper chase--it was simply too much work. The old logs are slow to coming on-line. Mine never will as they are gone prior to 1998--they just don't exist--dumpster material. The 2006 drop is somewhat of a mystery to me. You attribute some change due to WAS support. I don't think so. There must be some other phenom.

I am aware of several members of a local ARC that did get involved in that 2003 - 2004 time frame, and have since lost interest due to certificates expiring. The old Qs remain for them. But no new Qs come on board. I wonder if a person could find out how many certificates are expired?

The frustration of having some areas of the country not participate is slowing down progress too. WY is a serious no-show in my logs--missing on several bands. Not many guys have 30,000 QSOs on LOTW. And of my 30K Qs, nobody from WY is participating? So I can only imagine the frustration of an OP busting his hump to land 1000 QSOs on LOTW. It's not surprising if Ops sign up to give it a try, and lose interest due to lack of participation--contributing to the attrition.

My gut says that there is a critical mass when participation may explode. What brings the momentum to the next level will likely be participation in several new awards. I've wondered what awards would give the biggest boost to participation. Is it Counties? IOTA? CQ Zones? ITU Zones? VUCC? Fists? I don't know. No marketing info available. My gut says it is the County awards that is going to turn the tide because there are numbers of DX chasing counties. I also know several Ops busy chasing the CQ Zones. It is people applying for awards that creates the $$$ flow that will get the attention of the ARRL Board.

As they say in every business -- PROMOTE, OR PERISH!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3423. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 14:21:56 UTC
From: OZ4RT, J Arnvig
Topic: 3379 Message: 3423

Bill,

>>If I am a rover and operate in Lake County, I'm going to sign
>>W9OL/M LAK, note the space.
>>Becuase that's how I'm going to upload that qso.

Do you really upload your callsign as "W9OL/M LAK" ?

The /M requires a separate certificate, doesn't it, but how do you get the LAK appended in the upload?

73 ... John, OZ4RT

3424. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 14:48:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3424

I really screwed that up and didn't make myself clear.

If I'm a Rover, and sending cw from a mobile.
I send.....W9OL/M, which was the way I was taught way back when, to sign
your call when working from your auto.

So if I'm driving along and sending a cq, I would send my call as W9OL/M in
Lake county.

But it's been so long since I operated from my auto, that I forgot that
England now uses M for prefix.

So now I'm not sure what is the best way.

So maybe we should just upload using <callsign> and not put on any mobile or
portable suffixes.
Just separate each section of the log by county and upload each section
individually.

Unfortunately, Wayne has left and the League hasn't filled the void.
So we may not get a definitive answer.

----- Original Message -----
From: "OZ4RT, J Arnvig" <oz4rt@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 8:21 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

> Bill,
>
>>>If I am a rover and operate in Lake County, I'm going to sign
>>>W9OL/M LAK, note the space.
>>>Becuase that's how I'm going to upload that qso.
>
> Do you really upload your callsign as "W9OL/M LAK" ?
>
> The /M requires a separate certificate, doesn't it, but how do you get the
> LAK appended in the upload?
>
> 73 ... John, OZ4RT
>
>

3425. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] US Counties: (was Re: Again?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 16:53:37 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3379 Message: 3425

>
> But it's been so long since I operated from my auto, that I forgot that
> England now uses M for prefix.
>

In England your call-sign would be M/... and not .../M. Going even further,
if you like, travelling to England you might even enjoy M/<YOURCALL>/M...
:-)

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

3426. Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 17:23:21 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3426

Vlad wrote:

In England your call-sign would be M/... and not .../M. Going even further, if you like, travelling to England you might even enjoy M/<YOURCALL>/M... :-)

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

****

This really serves to illustrate a good point. A point that I have been concerned about for some time. While there are proper and improper methods to signing. These methods do not necessarily translate to logging.

In the state QSO parties, rovers will commonly sign N0FP/MEE for N0FP operating in Meeker County (my home QTH). While this is awkward, it is not uncommon and appears fairly uniform. As long as you log and I log the same way, LOTW has no problem. Some old loggers do have problems with it. They report "Unknown Entity". So people will alter the call received to correct the local log and still track the county.

However, with contesters outside of their home country, different conventions apply. From the US regulations:

****
Part 97.119 Station Identification:
(c) One or more indicators may be included with the call sign. Each indicator must be separated from the call sign by the slant mark (/) or by any suitable word that denotes the slant mark. If an indicator is self-assigned, it must be included before, after, or both before and after, the call sign. No self-assigned indicator may conflict with any other indicator specified by the FCC Rules or with any prefix assigned to another country.
****

So here we have a potential conflict. And my old Logger (16-bit) software, which I used for many years, had serious problems with this.

Most contesters prefer the format VP2/N0FP (for example) as opposed to N0FP/VP2. Why? Because to use the later would screw up your rates. People would hear "N0" and tune away if I used the later method. By signing VP2/N0FP at least then people would know immediately that I was DX and not NA.

Simple right?

Nope! Logger required the suffix approach. Memory seems to tell me that the problem was exacerbated to extremes when logging FO0 calls because you had to use a suffix to indicate which island was applicable. Other entities were similar. So no matter what was signed, if you wanted the log to correctly identify the country/island or whatever, you had to use the suffix. That Q gets uploaded the way it was logged, not the way it went through the radio and into the ears. Hence, no match in LOTW. And no clue in the notes as to how it actually went into the ears.

It would be excellent if LOTW could provide a warning or an alert that indicated to the user something like this...

"There appears to be a match with QSO (information in my log) but a problem with syntax."

I don't think this would be out-of the intent of the rules. So if his log contained N0FP with the suffix and my log contained N0FP with a prefix, then the above warning would be provided to the user.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3427. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 18:21:30 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3379 Message: 3427

IF LoTW ever gets funding for additional features/benefits, this would be one area that could use improvement. Everyone knows LoTW keeps you "blind" to the other stations submissions and creates the scenario being discussed.

The LoTW software SHOULD be "smart enough" to determine the root callsign, then compare the date/time/band/mode, and if these align, grant the QSO confirmation, regardless.

This would eliminate the problem whether the VP2 designator is uploaded as the prefix or suffix, and the mobile, portable, and QSO party issues. Otherwise you will need a rigid set of rules that no one is going to follow anyway.

Of course the alternative is to use the eQSL system in parallel. There you can spot and correct these inconsistencies and make "adjustments" to your log as necessary.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

- -
Here's part of what Ford - NØFP wrote:

It would be excellent if LOTW could provide a warning or an alert that
indicated to the user something like this...

"There appears to be a match with QSO (information in my log) but a problem
with syntax."

I don't think this would be out-of the intent of the rules. So if his log
contained N0FP with the suffix and my log contained N0FP with a prefix, then
the above warning would be provided to the user.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

3428. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 18:54:59 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3379 Message: 3428

...snip...

The LoTW software SHOULD be "smart enough" to determine the root callsign, then compare the date/time/band/mode, and if these align, grant the QSO confirmation, regardless.

This would eliminate the problem whether the VP2 designator is uploaded as the prefix or suffix, and the mobile, portable, and QSO party issues. Otherwise you will need a rigid set of rules that no one is going to follow anyway.

Of course the alternative is to use the eQSL system in parallel. There you can spot and correct these inconsistencies and make "adjustments" to your log as necessary.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

Bob, and others,

I like your approach better than the warning or flag. After posting my suggestion, I chuckled at the notion of you and me having a relevant QSO and discovering the problem simultaneously, uploading our corrections simultaneously, and ending up in the same situation with no match. Your approach is better. Just parse the field properly and grant the QSL as a match.

Actually, it would be very painful to try and reconcile my eQSL account with my LOTW account. Unless you have some whiz-bang tool to do so, I'm afraid I'd be looking at each QSL manually.

Thanks for the comment. I like the approach.

Ford-N0FP
ford@... <blocked::mailto:ford@...>

3429. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 19:41:27 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3379 Message: 3429

Ford, and anyone else interested...

QSL about discovering the "flipped pre/suffix" simultaneously... but that won't happen for two reasons... the original "wrong uploads" will remain in the LoTW system as Zombies, and depending which of us is the quickest draw, uploading a correction will grant a confirmation. If we both make the correction, we will have two confirmations.

Regarding the eQSL thing, it is pretty simple... When you go into your inbox for the month, QSO's that have been uploaded by other parties will appear with a BIG red X if your log does not match up. So these orphans stand out like a sore thumb.

The wrong thing to do is accept or reject them without investigating them. I have discovered four major issues with electronic eQSLing. And these are most likely carried over into LoTW as well.

1) Fellows upload all their logs under ONE callsign. So I find BIG red X's on contest callsigns that have not been uploaded using the contest callsign.

2) Fellows upgrade to a new callsign but load all their old logs from a former callsign under this new callsign. So I find a perfect date/time/band/mode confirmation but a different callsign in my log.

3) I upload my log with the date/time recorded in Local Time instead of UTC. These were contest logs from the 1990's. So I find a who list of BIG red X's in the monthly inbox. I have corrected about a half dozen of my contest logs where I made this mistake.

4) The scenario we are discussing. I logged VP2/NØFP and your submitted NØFP/VP2. This is easy enough to fix IF you can see it.

But I agree, it does take time and effort to reconcile logs and QSL's, whether it's the old fashioned way or the new electronic way. As proactive as I am electronically (it's a guilt trip I'm trying to solve for my non existent manual QSL history), I still wonder sometimes whether it's worth all the effort!

I have over 40,000 QSO's uploaded with about 8,600 confirmations. So I'm hovering around a 20% confirmation rate.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

MN stations will be looking for your participation in the Minnesota QSO Party being sponsored by the Minnesota Wireless Association (http://www.w0aa.org/mnqp.htm) on Saturday Feb 3, 2007 beginning at 1400 UTC. Join the fun!

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: 'Robert Chudek - K0RC' ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 12:54 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

...snip...

The LoTW software SHOULD be "smart enough" to determine the root callsign, then compare the date/time/band/mode, and if these align, grant the QSO confirmation, regardless.

This would eliminate the problem whether the VP2 designator is uploaded as the prefix or suffix, and the mobile, portable, and QSO party issues. Otherwise you will need a rigid set of rules that no one is going to follow anyway.

Of course the alternative is to use the eQSL system in parallel. There you can spot and correct these inconsistencies and make "adjustments" to your log as necessary.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

Bob, and others,

I like your approach better than the warning or flag. After posting my suggestion, I chuckled at the notion of you and me having a relevant QSO and discovering the problem simultaneously, uploading our corrections simultaneously, and ending up in the same situation with no match. Your approach is better. Just parse the field properly and grant the QSL as a match.

Actually, it would be very painful to try and reconcile my eQSL account with my LOTW account. Unless you have some whiz-bang tool to do so, I'm afraid I'd be looking at each QSL manually.

Thanks for the comment. I like the approach.

Ford-N0FP
ford@cmgate.com

3430. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Suffix/Prefix (was US Counties?)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-17 20:44:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3379 Message: 3430

On 1/17/2007 11:23:21 AM, Ford Peterson (ford@...) wrote:
> Vlad wrote:
>
> In England your call-sign would be M/... and not .../M. Going even
> further,
>
> if you like, travelling to England you might even enjoy M/<YOURCALL>/M...
>
> :-)
ha

That's too complicated.
I'll just motor around in the 'colonies'.

"Sex is one of the nine reasons for reincarnation. The other eight are
unimportant."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3431. Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 01:07:04 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3431 Message: 3431

I'm relatively new to this group and to LOTW so please bear with me. There are a number of excellent suggestions concerning increased participation and engagement by other amateurs in LOTW. Does the ARRL pay attention to these sentiments - or are the converted sing in the choir? I believe that the sentiment that adding more awards to the package is the key as well - after all what is the purpose of maintaining this database if it is not used for widespread qualification for awards? My purpose here is not to criticize ARRL - I'm an enthusiastic member - but am wondering why there are so few hams in the LOTW program and what we could do to assist in recruiting more so that return rates on QSOs is higher than what I am experiencing.

VE7AXU (John)

3432. Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 01:19:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3431 Message: 3432

Yes, I members of the LotW team monitor this list. The problem is not a lack of interest or competence on their part -- its severe understaffing. There is much this team could and would do, but basic operation and maintenance is consuming most of their available cycles.

What constructive actions can we take? Engage your ARRL representative, and suggest that ARRL allocate more budget to LotW development.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, ve7axu <no_reply@...> wrote:
>
> I'm relatively new to this group and to LOTW so please bear with me.
> There are a number of excellent suggestions concerning increased
> participation and engagement by other amateurs in LOTW. Does the ARRL
> pay attention to these sentiments - or are the converted sing in the
> choir? I believe that the sentiment that adding more awards to the
> package is the key as well - after all what is the purpose of
> maintaining this database if it is not used for widespread
> qualification for awards? My purpose here is not to criticize ARRL -
> I'm an enthusiastic member - but am wondering why there are so few
hams
> in the LOTW program and what we could do to assist in recruiting more
> so that return rates on QSOs is higher than what I am experiencing.
>
> VE7AXU (John)
>

3433. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 02:15:48 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3431 Message: 3433

Now Dave how do you know all of this ? Maybe, just maybe, the senior
management of the ARRL just doesn't care.

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 5:19 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

> Yes, I members of the LotW team monitor this list. The problem is not a
> lack of interest or competence on their part -- its severe
> understaffing. There is much this team could and would do, but basic
> operation and maintenance is consuming most of their available cycles.
>
> What constructive actions can we take? Engage your ARRL representative,
> and suggest that ARRL allocate more budget to LotW development.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, ve7axu <no_reply@...> wrote:
>>
>> I'm relatively new to this group and to LOTW so please bear with me.
>> There are a number of excellent suggestions concerning increased
>> participation and engagement by other amateurs in LOTW. Does the ARRL
>> pay attention to these sentiments - or are the converted sing in the
>> choir? I believe that the sentiment that adding more awards to the
>> package is the key as well - after all what is the purpose of
>> maintaining this database if it is not used for widespread
>> qualification for awards? My purpose here is not to criticize ARRL -
>> I'm an enthusiastic member - but am wondering why there are so few
> hams
>> in the LOTW program and what we could do to assist in recruiting more
>> so that return rates on QSOs is higher than what I am experiencing.
>>
>> VE7AXU (John)
>>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3434. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 02:35:23 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3431 Message: 3434

At 09:15 PM 1/17/2007, Rod WC7N wrote:
>Now Dave how do you know all of this ? Maybe, just maybe, the senior
>management of the ARRL just doesn't care.

I think Dave's absolutely correct. Because ARRL is having financial "issues", LoTW is being starved for budget. The only way to make the "senior management" take notice is for you to tell your division director that LoTW deserves more financial support. The squeaky wheel gets the grease, after all.
-Rick

3435. Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This Group?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 02:42:40 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3431 Message: 3435

The understaffing situation is obvious from direct interaction with
the LotW development team, which began before LotW was initially
released.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Rod WC7N" <wc7n@...> wrote:
>
> Now Dave how do you know all of this ? Maybe, just maybe, the
senior
> management of the ARRL just doesn't care.
>
> Rod WC7N
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 5:19 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Does ARRL Monitor or Participate in This
Group?
>
>
> > Yes, I members of the LotW team monitor this list. The problem is
not a
> > lack of interest or competence on their part -- its severe
> > understaffing. There is much this team could and would do, but
basic
> > operation and maintenance is consuming most of their available
cycles.
> >
> > What constructive actions can we take? Engage your ARRL
representative,
> > and suggest that ARRL allocate more budget to LotW development.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, ve7axu <no_reply@> wrote:
> >>
> >> I'm relatively new to this group and to LOTW so please bear with
me.

> >> There are a number of excellent suggestions concerning increased
> >> participation and engagement by other amateurs in LOTW. Does the
ARRL
> >> pay attention to these sentiments - or are the converted sing in
the
> >> choir? I believe that the sentiment that adding more awards to
the
> >> package is the key as well - after all what is the purpose of
> >> maintaining this database if it is not used for widespread
> >> qualification for awards? My purpose here is not to criticize
ARRL -
> >> I'm an enthusiastic member - but am wondering why there are so
few
> > hams
> >> in the LOTW program and what we could do to assist in recruiting
more
> >> so that return rates on QSOs is higher than what I am
experiencing.
> >>
> >> VE7AXU (John)
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3436. LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 12:58:14 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3436

UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to take over LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help that they can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of course this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
JV

~~~~~~
Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
~~~~~~

3437. future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 15:37:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3437 Message: 3437

I was one of the original beta testers.
I truly hoped that the LoTW concept would succeed.

But in these past few years, of snail pace operation, I've grown to believe
that the ARRL has written it off.

If you look at the demographics of USA amatuer radio operators, I think a
strong case can be made that we are the last generation of 'Hams'.

There is plenty of 'programming' talent available.
Some of the best software written is by contributors/members of this very
chat reflector.

But I've seen nor heard of any attempt by ARRL to invite these people to
join the team.

A check of today's LoTW homepage still shows not even 15K users.

There is a compilation of callsigns of persons submitting logs to LoTW.
I've refrained from checking that list against ARRL officials/staff.
My blood pressure is high enough.

I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to LoTW as there
is no cabrillo to adif compatability.

We have children cracking banks and firms security and we can't find a
programmer to write a conversion script?

I can think of 3 contester/dxer/multimode/computer gurus that could be
approached.

I'm a Life Member, have contributed to fund raising.
But I'm beginning to think the 'Life' has gone out the Leadership.

Flame away, I'm old but still have my firefighting gear.
W9OI

Gargoyle (n.), an olive-flavored mouthwash.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3438. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 16:19:13 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3437 Message: 3438

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>
> Flame away, I'm old but still have my firefighting gear.
> W9Ol
>

I agree 100%, with the ARRL there is sometimes a 'not invented here' philosophy. Of course we (the logbook writers) could do this, we could do it much better and make it a pleasure to use.

I am very impressed with the speed of eQSL.cc at the moment - I'm uploading in real-time from my new digital mode software, it takes as long to upload to eQSL.cc and get a reply as it does to send two characters in PSK31 - it's really that fast! Sometime I have an email from eQSL.cc before my PSK QSO is finished!

Couple the talent behind eQSL.cc with some good web design and the amateur community would have a winner. Sadly my web design skills are truly dreadful.

Now back to the DVD - Cream at the Royal Albert Hall.

Simon HB9DRV.

3439. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 16:57:36 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3437 Message: 3439

Bill-W9OI wrote:

To: LoTW List
Cc: w5zn@...; n3kn@...; k1zz@...; w4ri@...
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

...snip...

I'm a Life Member, have contributed to fund raising.
But I'm beginning to think the 'Life' has gone out the Leadership.

Flame away, I'm old but still have my firefighting gear.
W9Ol

****

Bill, and others

15,000 participants in LOTW is also a strong index as to the traditional activity on the bands. I say 'traditional' in terms of people choosing to actually operate their radios with a purpose that requires logging. The contest group is only about 3,000 strong. I'm inclined to think that the majority of active contesters participate in LOTW. But 'contesters' is a small and shunned group to begin with--lots of contest haters out there. DX chasers are an obviously motivated group, but few are really active. Paper hangers, like county hunters, are likely the majority. Apparently, world-wide there are 15,000 of us...

I too have hoped LOTW would be developed quicker, better, faster, slicker, more whiz-bang. And the notion you raise about volunteers is valid. Using volunteers can be an effective way to build software. However, using volunteers comes with numerous other issues that often makes it a non-starter...

To develop the teams, there has to be a leader. In a political group, that leader becomes a lightening rod for attracting grief from the masses. That leader has to be properly empowered to make the decisions involving the thousands of bits of minutia, without getting fired (or crucified) in the process. Building software by consensus can take 10 times as long to build the consensus as it does to write the code--no, make that 100 times longer!

Another ARRL project involved log checking software in the contest branch. They use volunteers to administrate log checking, but the software was developed by real people being paid real dollars. It's time consuming, requires a special skill set, and must be devoid of what I call "scope creep." Somebody has to decide what is needed and move in that direction without opening up new and improved cans of worms in the process.

Volunteers also introduce a level of complexity due to geographical location. And protecting the code from abuses, retaining control of the direction of development, testing, etc., all become a logistical and inter-personnel nightmare.

I'm certain Dave Bernstein will weigh in on this tread. His DX Lab has been a labor of love for years. He's intimately familiar with the complexities I'm talking about. But your comment is absolutely true--it is an issue of leadership. I strongly hesitate to say "lack of leadership" because it takes a delicately balanced mix of web skills, management skills, availability of 'free' time, desire, empowerment, and an extremely thick skin, to do a volunteer build. The planets are in alignment about as often as you can find one individual to lead a group of talented individuals--all of whom must satisfy the mix of attributes in that previous sentence.

Never say never. The talent may be out there. Most that have the talent also have the wisdom to know what raising their hand as a volunteer will likely entail. But they may be there. In which case, a thoughtful plan, presented to the Board, may likely breed success--or at least forward motion.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3440. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 17:08:52 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3437 Message: 3440

On Jan 18, 2007, at 7:37 AM, FireBrick wrote:

>
> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to LoTW
> as there
> is no cabrillo to adif compatability.
>

The TQSL application can accept both adif and cabrillo logs.

Bob, N7XY

3441. I typoed my callsign

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 17:04:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3441 Message: 3441

Apparently my finger slid off the Caps hold when I typed my callsign.

My call is W9OL (OSCAR LIMA)

sorry if that caused anyone confusion.

Can a first cousin, once removed, return?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3442. [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 17:59:42 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3436 Message: 3442

JV, maybe I am overly optimistic and trusting, but Joel Harrison told
me in an off line e-mail that they are totally committed to LOTW and
have definitive plans to expand it. I have absolutely no reason to
doubt what Joel tells me.

IMHO, the idea that it will die is a bit of paranoia mixed with
dislike for the league.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 06:58 AM 1/18/2007, you wrote:
> UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to take over
>LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help that they
>can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of course
>this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
>JV
>
>~~~~~~
>Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
>Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
>e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
>Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
>~~~~~~
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.14/636 - Release Date:
>1/18/2007 4:00 AM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3443. RE: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 18:34:49 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3437 Message: 3443

Hi all,

What a great shame it would be if LoTW failed to continue!
For me, it has been a real godsend in that I have had QSO's confirmed that have cost me many dollars/IRC's to try and confirm without any luck.

It would be rather stupid to let LoTW stagnate in any way, as if that happens, and is noticeable, then folk will simply not bother with it, and it will simply fade away forever, and I doubt it would ever get support again.

I simply cannot support e-QSL, as it is not fully recognised, and will not count for awards such as DXCC etc.
I sometimes wonder if LoTW was sparked off by thoughts of knocking e-QSL into touch, and it now makes me wonder if the folk at e-QSL aren't laughing to themselves, and thinking of ways to gain legitimacy.

I cannot support the thoughts of some DX stations that LoTW is too difficult to get involved with.
I have seen many comments about "how difficult" it is supposed to be, but it really is NOT hard at all.

Maybe NOW is the time for each of us to encourage one or two new users to LoTW, boosting that 15k user figure up to something more encouraging. Maybe then the ARRL would have to take notice?

I also feel that those of us using RTTY seem to make more use of LoTW!!! I have just over 20% confirmation of my log!

73 all,

Phil GU0SUP

3444. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 18:49:34 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3437 Message: 3444

On Thu, 18 Jan 2007 17:19:13 +0100, "Simon Brown" <simon.brown@...>
wrote:

>----- Original Message -----
>From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>>
>> Flame away, I'm old but still have my firefighting gear.
>> W9Ol
>>
>
>I agree 100%, with the ARRL there is sometimes a 'not invented here'
>philosophy. Of course we (the logbook writers) could do this, we could do it
>much better and make it a pleasure to use.
>
>I am very impressed with the speed of eQSL.cc at the moment - I'm uploading
>in real-time from my new digital mode software, it takes as long to upload
>to eQSL.cc and get a reply as it does to send two characters in PSK31 - it's
>really that fast! Sometime I have an email from eQSL.cc before my PSK QSO is
>finished!

Yes...but what awards can you get with sQSL's apart from eQSL's own ?
I used to use it, but dislike the sheer amount of time
involved.....no wonder so many PSK Operators say 'NO eQSL'

>Couple the talent behind eQSL.cc with some good web design and the amateur
>community would have a winner. Sadly my web design skills are truly
>dreadful.
>
>Now back to the DVD - Cream at the Royal Albert Hall.
>
I presume that is the 'Creams Farewell Concert' CD.....I was in the
middle of the front row - just to the right [looking from the
auditorium] of the Organ. Brilliant Concert - and it overan, which
made me half an hour late for night shift on the other side of London
- what a shame ! <smile>

73 Graham M5AAV

3445. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 19:02:06 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3437 Message: 3445

FireBrick wrote:
> I was one of the original beta testers.
> I truly hoped that the LoTW concept would succeed.
>
> But in these past few years, of snail pace operation, I've grown to believe
> that the ARRL has written it off.

I believe that the bigger issue is that we have a good old boys group that is doing a lot of this, and they just don't know how to talk to anyone besides their buddies. The end result is that due to a wide amount of ignorance over what might actually be needed and what is possible for what price (a lot of software guys who are hams would probably create a better implementation for free, just to get some recognition, which is what the existing group seems to want given their stranglehold of sorts on progress in adding additional awards and enhancements of various natures, including a Java version of the tool that would run on all OSes and require a lot less support than having multiple versions, one for each OS).

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3446. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 19:11:33 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3437 Message: 3446

----- Original Message -----
From: "Graham Ridgeway" <m5aav@...>
>
> Yes...but what awards can you get with sQSL's apart from eQSL's own ?
> I used to use it, but dislike the sheer amount of time
> involved.....no wonder so many PSK Operators say 'NO eQSL'
>

My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)

I would like LOTW to succeed and support it, I'm not happy with the complexity though.

> I presume that is the 'Creams Farewell Concert' CD.....

No - the very concerts from May 2-3-5-6 2005, a fine DVD!

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
(GD4ELI March 2nd - 12th 2006)

3447. cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 17:25:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3447 Message: 3447

I didn't make myself clear.

I should have explained more correctly:

My question was "why can't contest cabrillo logs be automatically added to LoTW AFTER the submission deadline had passed?"

The response was that they didn't have that software ability.

I presently submit my normal log qsos via adif and contest logs via cabrillo to LoTW.

W9OL (oscar lima)

Never miss a good chance to shut up.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3448. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 19:30:00 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3447 Message: 3448

On Jan 18, 2007, at 9:25 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> I didn't make myself clear.
>
> I should have explained more correctly:
>
> My question was "why can't contest cabrillo logs be automatically
> added to
> LoTW AFTER the submission deadline had passed?"
>
> The response was that they didn't have that software ability.
>
>
> I presently submit my normal log qsos via adif and contest logs via
> cabrillo
> to LoTW.
>

That would be nice but contest logs don't need to be signed.
Automatically adding them could compromise the integrity of LOTW.

3449. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 20:57:57 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3437 Message: 3449

On Thu, 18 Jan 2007 20:11:33 +0100, "Simon Brown" <simon.brown@...>
wrote:

>> I presume that is the 'Creams Farewell Concert' CD.....
>
>No - the very concerts from May 2-3-5-6 2005, a fine DVD!
>
Ah ! - Well I am showing my age - that concert was 1968 [I think] <big
smile> I do recall that two weeks later, I was back in The Albert Hall
for an evening of Tchaicovsky - which I still can't spell - and I was
awake most of that night as well [but she was worth it !!!]

No - I dont know what this has to do with LoTW either.

73 Graham M5AAV

3450. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 21:40:56 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3437 Message: 3450

Phil Cooper - GU0SUP in part wrote:

"I simply cannot support e-QSL, as it is not fully recognized, and will not count for awards such as DXCC etc."

And then Simon Brown - HB9DRV added:

My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)

And the next comment came from Bob Nielsen - (not licensed / no call indicated):

That would be nice but contest logs don't need to be signed.
Automatically adding them could compromise the integrity of LOTW.

And I'll throw in this comment from Firebrick - (W9Oscar Lima):

I didn't make myself clear.

Phil... eQSL is not fully recognized? It is in my book and over a hundred thousand others who participate in this service. I have eDXCC, eWAS, and close to eWAZ. What's so special about DXCC, WAS, and WAS that precludes anyone from the same feeling of achievement with the eQSL awards? It's simply a mind set and marketing. Kind of like religion, I would say.

Simon... Right on! But some guys just have to have that wallpaper, shingle, or trophy. You know... using Photoshop you can generate a perfect replica of any certificate your hearts desire.

Bob... The integrity of the DXCC program was compromised decades ago. The ARRL needs to get over it and move forward without over reacting with hyper-grade security measures. It's a hobby, not the NSA.

Firebrick... You know, I drink gallons and gallons of water and I still haven't made myself clear either!

And please know... My Mileage Does Vary... especially in the winter when there is a perceptible lack of traction...

And YES, I am stirring things up... It's snowing outside and there's nothing much better to do than stir the pot today...

But the real reason for my message is to deliver the postscript below...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

P.S.

MN stations will be looking for your participation in the Minnesota QSO Party being sponsored by the Minnesota Wireless Association (http://www.w0aa.org/mnqp.htm) on

Saturday Feb 3, 2007 beginning at 1400 UTC. Join the fun!

3451. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 21:54:26 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3437 Message: 3451

Bill,

> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
> LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.

tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
a perceived advantage.

Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
to be signed so they could be added to LotW. Every ARRL Director,
Officer and section official should be required to have a LotW
certificate an upload their logs.

Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should
require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through
LotW.

Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW
certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days
of the end of the operation.

More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the resources
necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards
on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish
to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements
in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3452. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 22:14:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3447 Message: 3452

> > I should have explained more correctly:
> >
> > My question was "why can't
> contest cabrillo logs be automatically
> > added to
> > LoTW AFTER the submission deadline had
> passed?"
> >
> > The response was that they didn't have that software ability.
> >
> >
> > I presently submit my normal log qsos via adif and contest logs via
> > cabrillo
> > to LoTW.
> >
>
> That would be nice but contest logs don't need to be signed.

That's exactly the point of this whole discussion.
They SHOULD be signed!

If Newington wants LoTW to grow, they should make 'signing' part of the
contest submission process.
The fear that contesters would not see their callsigns in the contest
results possibly would persuade a few to join in.

3453. lotw future

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 22:23:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3453 Message: 3453

Now I'm asking a question here.
Any of you who have been at that big hamfest/convention in Germany.

Did any of you see a representative from ARRL there with a laptop issuing certificates upon inspecting the same documents that have to be mailed.
How about Seanet?
Vandalia?

I may be wrong but I didn't see that happening at the last Dayton Convention. (2 years ago).

Heck, if they will pay my air fare...I'll volunteer to go .... even use my own laptop!

I think that's called in 'business' "Going to the client".

It's these very easy things that can be done, to urge, promote, sell LoTW and HASN'T been done that makes me think they have put LoTW on a back burner.

And no, I am not a League Basher. I just think we have to be more vocal about urging them to action.

Good, fast, cheap: choose any two.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3454. Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 22:42:01 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 3437 Message: 3454

Two weeks ago, I sent a letter to Joel Harrison and my division rep. I asked why LOTW wasn't being promoted, why ARRL contests didn't use some form of encouragement to use LOTW, did the ARRL even intend to continue support for LOTW and lastly if they could use experienced volunteers to maintain, monitor or enhance the service.

I received replies from Joel and my rep both indicating that QST will be doing a story on LOTW for it's March issue. No other information was provided. No indication if this will answer my questions or is simply a PR effort.

In the end there's still a vaccum of information that we can only fill with educated guesses. Guess I'll wait for the March QST.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

3455. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 23:45:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3437 Message: 3455

I am afraid of anoxia waiting for that;-)

-----Original Message-----

From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
Subj: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW
Date: Thu Jan 18, 2007 15:57
Size: 1K
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
cc: <w5zn@...>,<n3kn@...>,<k1zz@...>,<w4ri@...>

Bill,

> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
> LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.

tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
a perceived advantage.

Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
to be signed so they could be added to LotW. Every ARRL Director,
Officer and section official should be required to have a LotW
certificate an upload their logs.

Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should
require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through
LotW.

Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW
certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days
of the end of the operation.

More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the resources
necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards
on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish
to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements
in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

Yahoo! Groups Links

3456. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-18 23:48:00 UTC
From: rojomn@charter.net
Topic: 3447 Message: 3456

So contest logs don't confirm a contact. That is idioitc and signature ought to be required.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@...>
Subj: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction
Date: Thu Jan 18, 2007 13:33
Size: 1K
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

On Jan 18, 2007, at 9:25 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> I didn't make myself clear.
>
> I should have explained more correctly:
>
> My question was "why can't contest cabrillo logs be automatically
> added to
> LoTW AFTER the submission deadline had passed?"
>
> The response was that they didn't have that software ability.
>
>
> I presently submit my normal log qsos via adif and contest logs via
> cabrillo
> to LoTW.
>

That would be nice but contest logs don't need to be signed.
Automatically adding them could compromise the integrity of LOTW.

Yahoo! Groups Links

3457. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 00:08:46 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3437 Message: 3457

I think I like all of the ideas you give here, except maybe for one.
"Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs ..."

I actually do know of a few Hams in my area that do not even have a computer,
let alone internet access. And not due to their budget, but their lack of desire to
have anything to do with a computer. and the ones that I know would just not particpate
in the awards program rather than get a 'puter and internet.

Don
KE7FFM

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: w5zn@... ; n3kn@... ; k1zz@... ; w4ri@...
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 2:54 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Bill,

> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
> LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.

tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
a perceived advantage.

Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
to be signed so they could be added to LotW. Every ARRL Director,
Officer and section official should be required to have a LotW
certificate an upload their logs.

Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should
require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through
LotW.

Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW
certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days
of the end of the operation.

More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the resources
necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards
on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish
to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements
in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3458. flash from the past

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 00:15:54 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3458 Message: 3458

September 19th....2003
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/6

And now we still have LESS than 15K users.

W9OL

There's no future in time travel.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3459. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 01:24:48 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3447 Message: 3459

My memory may be failing a bit, but didn't ARRL give credit for
contest QSOs at one time (for one of the awards, at least)?

Bob, N7XY

On Jan 18, 2007, at 3:48 PM, rojomn@... wrote:

> So contest logs don't confirm a contact. That is idioitc and
> signature ought to be required.
>

3460. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 01:17:32 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 3436 Message: 3460

Actions speak louder than words. Since LotW's inception, we've been inundated by words; action, which requires budget, has been in short supply.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 1:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

JV, maybe I am overly optimistic and trusting, but Joel Harrison told me in an off line e-mail that they are totally committed to LOTW and have definitive plans to expand it. I have absolutely no reason to doubt what Joel tells me.

IMHO, the idea that it will die is a bit of paranoia mixed with dislike for the league.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 06:58 AM 1/18/2007, you wrote:
> UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to take over
>LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help that they
>can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of course
>this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
>JV
>
>~~~~~~
>Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
>Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
>e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
>Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
>~~~~~~
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.14/636 - Release Date:
>1/18/2007 4:00 AM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3461. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 01:36:40 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 3437 Message: 3461

At best, the preparation of that story had no impact on the LotW development and operations team. At worst, it was one more set of tasks that prevented them from moving LotW forward.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ron Novak
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 5:42 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Two weeks ago, I sent a letter to Joel Harrison and my division rep. I asked why LOTW wasn't being promoted, why ARRL contests didn't use some form of encouragement to use LOTW, did the ARRL even intend to continue support for LOTW and lastly if they could use experienced volunteers to maintain, monitor or enhance the service.

I received replies from Joel and my rep both indicating that QST will be doing a story on LOTW for it's March issue. No other information was provided. No indication if this will answer my questions or is simply a PR effort.

In the end there's still a vaccum of information that we can only fill with educated guesses. Guess I'll wait for the March QST.

73
Ron
KG4NOZ

3462. Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 02:18:06 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3462 Message: 3462

It took me quite a lot of effort - trial and error - to get up and running with LOTW. I was previously an active eQSL user - but for a range of reasons decided that LOTW met a higher standard and so I made the switch. I'm curious why hams who don't want certificates would bother with LOTW (one or two comments to that effect)?

Perhaps its because I'm a relatively new ham that I still find QSLing fascinating. I also like to trade paper QSLs.

I'm grateful for a group like this one - and to the few of you who post advice on independent web pages that give advice on getting established - because it does seems forbidding and difficult to a raw beginner - and probably is off-putting to many as well.

With rising postage costs - its nearly $1 Canadian postage to send a QSL to the USA now - that motivated me to get into LOTW. I'd like to qualify for a bunch of awards - more than just the ARRL awards.

I originally asked if ARRL officials (Staff and volunteers) monitor this site because the ideas being expressed in this discussion are a gold mine of marketing information - if there was a genuine desire to recruit more participants.

It is enlightened self-interest that motivates me to suggest that we, as a group of dedicated LOTW users, see if there is a way to:
- recruit our ham friends to become users;
- lobby the ARRL firmly but enthusiastically to put more emphasis on the program;
- see if we can draw more attention to LOTW in the international ham fraternity; and,
- consider whether we as group could act as mentors for aspiring participants to help them through the setup process.

It might only take an initiative from our group here to make a difference - I've seen it happen in other areas of amateur radio - and why not?

What are your thoughts?

VE7AXU (John)

3463. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 01:31:19 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 3437 Message: 3463

I don't think your "old boys group" allegation is true, Greg. In fact, I wish we had that problem -- it would at least mean that there were some developers to move things forward.

LotW itself runs exclusively on ARRL servers; what would be the benefit of porting it to Java? The client-side code is all available on SourceForge. It already runs fine on Windows, Linux, and OSX, but there's nothing stopping you from porting it to Java.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gregg Wonderly
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 2:02 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: w5zn@...; n3kn@...; k1zz@...; w4ri@...
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

FireBrick wrote:
> I was one of the original beta testers.
> I truly hoped that the LoTW concept would succeed.
>
> But in these past few years, of snail pace operation, I've grown to believe
> that the ARRL has written it off.

I believe that the bigger issue is that we have a good old boys group that is
doing a lot of this, and they just don't know how to talk to anyone besides their buddies. The end result is that due to a wide amount of ignorance over what might actually be needed and what is possible for what price (a lot of software guys who are hams would probably create a better implementation for free, just to get some recognition, which is what the existing group seems to
want given their stranglehold of sorts on progress in adding additional awards
and enhancements of various natures, including a Java version of the tool that
would run on all OSes and require a lot less support than having multiple versions, one for each OS).

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3464. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 02:59:58 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3462 Message: 3464

Right now I am chasing WAS awards, picking up an occassional dx contact along the way. However, now that I have my certificate (the hardest part imho), the logging program I use makes
uploading to both LoTW and e-QSL fast and easy. so I will continue, and recommend, its use as an
easy and cheap way to help support others who are chasing awards. this is also an easy, free way of encouraging
new hams. I say that since I have found that many hams do not go through the time or expense of sending
QSL cards by mail, unless its for the one they need. and often not at all if they are not chasing paper.
From my QTH in Idaho, running psk31, i can not get away from Ca or Tx stations. I do not need them for my
WAS, and at times they even make it hard to copy the weaker stations. but I will still hold a QSO with them if they answer my call, and I still upload them to Lotw, since maybe they needed Id.
A very free and easy way to support other hams in the hobby.
I am also the only LoTW user in my area of Idaho. in these 2 counties there are only about 9 active hf users, and only
4 chase dx at all. the others are involved in local traffic nets and such. I do have a couple of other people who have asked some questions about it, so at this months club meeting, I am planning on a small presentation of LoTW in the hopes of generating some interest.
Don
KE7FFM
----- Original Message -----
From: ve7axu
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 7:18 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

It took me quite a lot of effort - trial and error - to get up and running with LOTW. I was previously an active eQSL user - but for a range of reasons decided that LOTW met a higher standard and so I made the switch. I'm curious why hams who don't want certificates would bother with LOTW (one or two comments to that effect)?

Perhaps its because I'm a relatively new ham that I still find QSLing fascinating. I also like to trade paper QSLs.

I'm grateful for a group like this one - and to the few of you who post advice on independent web pages that give advice on getting established - because it does seems forbidding and difficult to a raw beginner - and probably is off-putting to many as well.

With rising postage costs - its nearly $1 Canadian postage to send a QSL to the USA now - that motivated me to get into LOTW. I'd like to qualify for a bunch of awards - more than just the ARRL awards.

I originally asked if ARRL officials (Staff and volunteers) monitor this site because the ideas being expressed in this discussion are a gold mine of marketing information - if there was a genuine desire to recruit more participants.

It is enlightened self-interest that motivates me to suggest that we, as a group of dedicated LOTW users, see if there is a way to:
- recruit our ham friends to become users;
- lobby the ARRL firmly but enthusiastically to put more emphasis on the program;
- see if we can draw more attention to LOTW in the international ham fraternity; and,
- consider whether we as group could act as mentors for aspiring participants to help them through the setup process.

It might only take an initiative from our group here to make a difference - I've seen it happen in other areas of amateur radio - and why not?

What are your thoughts?

VE7AXU (John)

3465. Re: LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 03:27:57 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3436 Message: 3465

Thank you Mike,

I think your last comment is probably on the money.

I would hate a prospective LoTW user to join up to this group looking for encouragement at the moment Come on keep taking those meds guys, life is not all that bad.

One thing that all of us could do and it wont cost you any more than a minute of your time is to add a note to your QRZ.COM and other listing stating that you use and support LoTW.

If someone has taken the time to look up your listing then they are probably interested in QSLing, if they keep seeing these messages of support then perhaps they will join up.

I signed up to LoTW just 1 year ago. I am no computer guru, just a farm boy here and have had no trouble understanding the process or the reasoning behind the process.

Keep going ARRL, LoTW is a winner. Now if you could just get over what ever the hold up is with supporting more awards it will move ahead again.

73 John ZL1BYZ.
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> JV, maybe I am overly optimistic and trusting, but Joel Harrison told
> me in an off line e-mail that they are totally committed to LOTW and
> have definitive plans to expand it. I have absolutely no reason to
> doubt what Joel tells me.
>
> IMHO, the idea that it will die is a bit of paranoia mixed with
> dislike for the league.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 06:58 AM 1/18/2007, you wrote:
> > UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to take over
> >LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help that they
> >can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of

course
> >this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
> >JV
> >
> >~~~~~~
> >Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
> >Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
> >e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
> >Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
> >~~~~~~
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >No virus found in this incoming message.
> >Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> >Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.14/636 - Release Date:
> >1/18/2007 4:00 AM
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

3466. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 03:42:17 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3462 Message: 3466
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3467. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 01:19:03 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3437 Message: 3467

That is too bad , and I understand because it sounds like my wife's
parents, but the world isn't going to go back or stand still for anyone.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Don
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 7:09 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

I think I like all of the ideas you give here, except maybe for one.
"Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs ..."

I actually do know of a few Hams in my area that do not even have a
computer,
let alone internet access. And not due to their budget, but their lack
of desire to
have anything to do with a computer. and the ones that I know would
just not particpate
in the awards program rather than get a 'puter and internet.

Don
KE7FFM

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, W4TV <mailto:w4tv@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: w5zn@... ; n3kn@... ; k1zz@... ; w4ri@...
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 2:54 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Bill,

> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
> LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.

tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
a perceived advantage.

Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
to be signed so they could be added to LotW. Every ARRL Director,
Officer and section official should be required to have a LotW
certificate an upload their logs.

Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through LotW.

Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days of the end of the operation.

More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the resources necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

3468. Re: Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 03:57:14 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3462 Message: 3468

When I joined LoTW I was not chasing Awards but to help those who were. So yes there are those of us out there who the pleasure of doing it for others is reward enough.
I must admit that after particiating for 1 year I have now become more interested in the DXCC program than I ever was in the last 20 years of hamming.

73 John ZL1BYZ.--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <drfrasher@...> wrote:
>
> Right now I am chasing WAS awards, picking up an occassional dx contact along the way.
> However, now that I have my certificate (the hardest part imho), the logging program I use makes
> uploading to both LoTW and e-QSL fast and easy. so I will continue, and recommend, its use as an
> easy and cheap way to help support others who are chasing awards. this is also an easy, free way of encouraging
> new hams. I say that since I have found that many hams do not go through the time or expense of sending
> QSL cards by mail, unless its for the one they need. and often not at all if they are not chasing paper.
> From my QTH in Idaho, running psk31, i can not get away from Ca or Tx stations. I do not need them for my
> WAS, and at times they even make it hard to copy the weaker stations. but I will still hold a QSO with them if they answer my call, and I still upload them to Lotw, since maybe they needed Id.
> A very free and easy way to support other hams in the hobby.
> I am also the only LoTW user in my area of Idaho. in these 2 counties there are only about 9 active hf users, and only
> 4 chase dx at all. the others are involved in local traffic nets and such. I do have a couple of other people who have asked some questions about it, so at this months club meeting, I am planning on a small presentation of LoTW in the hopes of generating some interest.
> Don
> KE7FFM
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: ve7axu
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 7:18 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)
>
>
> It took me quite a lot of effort - trial and error - to get up

and
> running with LOTW. I was previously an active eQSL user - but
for a
> range of reasons decided that LOTW met a higher standard and so
I
> made the switch. I'm curious why hams who don't want
certificates
> would bother with LOTW (one or two comments to that effect)?
>
> Perhaps its because I'm a relatively new ham that I still find
QSLing
> fascinating. I also like to trade paper QSLs.
>
> I'm grateful for a group like this one - and to the few of you
who
> post advice on independent web pages that give advice on getting
> established - because it does seems forbidding and difficult to
a raw
> beginner - and probably is off-putting to many as well.
>
> With rising postage costs - its nearly $1 Canadian postage to
send a
> QSL to the USA now - that motivated me to get into LOTW. I'd
like to
> qualify for a bunch of awards - more than just the ARRL awards.
>
> I originally asked if ARRL officials (Staff and volunteers)
monitor
> this site because the ideas being expressed in this discussion
are a
> gold mine of marketing information - if there was a genuine
desire to
> recruit more participants.
>
> It is enlightened self-interest that motivates me to suggest
that we,
> as a group of dedicated LOTW users, see if there is a way to:
> - recruit our ham friends to become users;
> - lobby the ARRL firmly but enthusiastically to put more
emphasis on
> the program;
> - see if we can draw more attention to LOTW in the international
ham
> fraternity; and,
> - consider whether we as group could act as mentors for aspiring
> participants to help them through the setup process.
>
> It might only take an initiative from our group here to make a
> difference - I've seen it happen in other areas of amateur
radio -

> and why not?
>
> What are your thoughts?
>
> VE7AXU (John)
>

3469. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Return Rate Analysis

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 03:52:45 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3420 Message: 3469

At 23:06 1/16/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:

>Bob wrote:
>
>...snip...
>
>******** Total % QSO's confirmed by LoTW per Year *******
>
>YEAR LoTW
>2006 20.4% LoTW adds WAS Support (01/16/06)
>2005 24.1%
>2004 23.3%
>2003 24.2% LoTW goes Online with DXCC (09/19/03)
>2002 19.5%
>2001 14.8%
>2000 14.5%
>1999 15.2%
>1998 12.3%
>1997 8.8%
>1996 3.7%
>1995 4.2%
>1994 3.2%
>1993 2.7%
>1992 5.3%
>1991 0.0%
>1990 2.9%
>
>Conclusions:
>1- It appears that there is a 5% to 10 % increase after LoTW went Online in
>2003.
>2- A large number of LoTW users have uploaded their "Old Logs" as far back
>to 1998.
>3- Some have made the effort to upload their back to 1990
>4- I have some problem with 1991 0.0% !!! Time Warp ??? Was Transcribed from
>paper log.
>
>Maybe some of you can reach other conclusions from my data.
>How do my results compare to what you experience with LoTW ???
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>PS. I use LOGic 8 for my "General" log and it provides excellent facilities
>for extracting
>the data shown here. Just for the record, NOT a sales pitch !!!

>
>***
>
>Bob, and others...
>
>The 1998 phenom is likely just the advent of the PC coming of age. That's
>about the time Bob Furzer rolled out his free Logger, and a PC was about as
>expensive as a week's worth of groceries.

Hi Ford:

Very true, and the early Logging Programs shared very little in the way of
compatability with each other. ADIF and Cabrillo formats weren't even though
of yet. Many log are just in limbo, waiting to be converted.

>Up until that time, I had little interest in the paper chase--it was simply too much work.
>The old logs are slow to coming on-line.

>Mine never will as they are gone prior to
>1998--they just don't exist--dumpster material.

That's a shame, just think of all the fun you are missing transcribing them :-) !!!

> The 2006 drop is somewhat of a mystery to me.

Remember we are only 2 weeks into 2007 and there is a "Lag Factor" to consider.

>You attribute some change due to WAS support. I don't think so.
>There must be some other phenom.

I didn't mean to imply that. WAS was just meant to be an event marker on the
LoTW timeline.

However, if I had extracted my data based on "QSL Date" instead of "QSO Date"
their might have been some correlation with WAS coming online. I did notice an
increase in the number of QSL's, but it is subjective on my part.

I'm working on getting some QSL/Year data !!!

>I am aware of several members of a local ARC that did get involved in that
>2003 - 2004 time frame, and have since lost interest due to certificates
>expiring. The old Qs remain for them. But no new Qs come on board.

Good point, that's something I hadn't considered !!!

I only know of 2 members in one of my clubs with renewal problems.
One lost his "Postcard" and is waiting for another one from the ARRL to renew,
has about 30K Q's to upload.

Another let his expire, when he finally renewed, he was Mad Hell that LoTW ONLY
extended the cert. expiration from 1 yr to 3 yrs. He refuses to use Lotw because
of that. Go Figure !!!

May we should all make a concerted effort to seek out and help those with expired certs. renew them and get back to using LoTW.

>I wonder if a person could find out how many certificates are expired?

Only way I can think of is to contact your SM or Div. Director and ask the question.

>The frustration of having some areas of the country not participate is
>slowing down progress too. WY is a serious no-show in my logs--missing on
>several bands.

Gee, I have WY on 10,15,& 20 via LoTW !!! Now in my case ME is giving fits. I've worked them on all bands except 160M (no antenna) and only have them via LoTW on 6m and 2M. I sometimes wonder if word about LoTW has made it up there !!!

>Not many guys have 30,000 QSOs on LOTW. And of my 30K Qs,
>nobody from WY is participating? So I can only imagine the frustration of
>an OP busting his hump to land 1000 QSOs on LOTW. It's not surprising if
>Ops sign up to give it a try, and lose interest due to lack of
>participation--contributing to the attrition.
>
>My gut says that there is a critical mass when participation may explode.
>What brings the momentum to the next level will likely be participation in
>several new awards. I've wondered what awards would give the biggest boost
>to participation.

I think that WAC would be easy to implement as an ARRL Award.
VUCC should get the VHF+ community active, I know many are waiting for its' implementation.
Counties, WAZ, IOTA, etc., involve interfacing with other organizations which becomes a problem.

>Is it Counties? IOTA? CQ Zones? ITU Zones? VUCC?
>Fists? I don't know. No marketing info available. My gut says it is the
>County awards that is going to turn the tide because there are numbers of DX
>chasing counties. I also know several Ops busy chasing the CQ Zones. It is
>people applying for awards that creates the $$$ flow that will get the
>attention of the ARRL Board.
>
>As they say in every business -- PROMOTE, OR PERISH!

And our promoter is no longer with the League and that worries me !!!

>Ford-N0FP
><mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...

73
Bob, K1VU

3470. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 03:40:46 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 3436 Message: 3470

"Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results." (Albert Einstein)

"Hope is not a strategy" (Gen. George Custer)

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of John
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 10:28 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW

Thank you Mike,

I think your last comment is probably on the money.

I would hate a prospective LoTW user to join up to this group looking for encouragement at the moment Come on keep taking those meds guys, life is not all that bad.

One thing that all of us could do and it wont cost you any more than a minute of your time is to add a note to your QRZ.COM and other listing stating that you use and support LoTW.

If someone has taken the time to look up your listing then they are probably interested in QSLing, if they keep seeing these messages of support then perhaps they will join up.

I signed up to LoTW just 1 year ago. I am no computer guru, just a farm boy here and have had no trouble understanding the process or the reasoning behind the process.

Keep going ARRL, LoTW is a winner. Now if you could just get over what ever the hold up is with supporting more awards it will move ahead again.

73 John ZL1BYZ.
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> JV, maybe I am overly optimistic and trusting, but Joel Harrison told
> me in an off line e-mail that they are totally committed to LOTW and

> have definitive plans to expand it. I have absolutely no reason to
> doubt what Joel tells me.
>
> IMHO, the idea that it will die is a bit of paranoia mixed with
> dislike for the league.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 06:58 AM 1/18/2007, you wrote:
> > UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to
take over
> >LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help
that they
> >can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of
course
> >this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
> >JV
> >
> >~~~~~~
> >Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
> >Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
> >e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@...>
> >Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
> >~~~~~~
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >No virus found in this incoming message.
> >Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> >Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.14/636 - Release Date:
> >1/18/2007 4:00 AM
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

3471. Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 04:42:43 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3437 Message: 3471

I like your enthusiasm Joe and I am enthusiastic too but I dont
think it should be compulsary.

Neither is there a problem for us non USA amateurs signing up. It's
not a barrier it s not dificult.

I think everyone using LoTW advertise the fact on the Web callsign
servers would help.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...>
wrote:
>
> That is too bad , and I understand because it sounds like my wife's
> parents, but the world isn't going to go back or stand still for
anyone.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of Don
> Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 7:09 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW
>
> I think I like all of the ideas you give here, except maybe for
one.
> "Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs ..."
>
> I actually do know of a few Hams in my area that do not even
have a
> computer,
> let alone internet access. And not due to their budget, but their
lack
> of desire to
> have anything to do with a computer. and the ones that I know
would
> just not particpate
> in the awards program rather than get a 'puter and internet.
>
> Don
> KE7FFM
>

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Joe Subich, W4TV <mailto:w4tv@...>
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: w5zn@... ; n3kn@... ; k1zz@... ; w4ri@...
> Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 2:54 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW
>
>
> Bill,
>
> > I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
> > LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.
>
> tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
> thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
> is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
> a perceived advantage.
>
> Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
> to be signed so they could be added to LotW. Every ARRL Director,
> Officer and section official should be required to have a LotW
> certificate an upload their logs.
>
> Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should
> require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through
> LotW.
>
> Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW
> certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days
> of the end of the operation.
>
> More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the
resources
> necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards
> on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish
> to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements
> in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV
>

3472. Custer was wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 04:48:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3436 Message: 3472

A few minutes after sending the message below, I clicked
DXKeeper's "Sync LotW QSLs" button and up popped a window saying

TZ6MF 160M CW new confirmation for Mali: 160M

In DX QSLing, hope IS a strategy.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave AA6YQ" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> "Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting
different
> results." (Albert Einstein)
>
> "Hope is not a strategy" (Gen. George Custer)
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf
> Of John
> Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 10:28 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW
>
>
> Thank you Mike,
>
> I think your last comment is probably on the money.
>
> I would hate a prospective LoTW user to join up to this group
> looking for encouragement at the moment Come on keep taking those
> meds guys, life is not all that bad.
>
> One thing that all of us could do and it wont cost you any more than
> a minute of your time is to add a note to your QRZ.COM and other
> listing stating that you use and support LoTW.
>
> If someone has taken the time to look up your listing then they are

> probably interested in QSLing, if they keep seeing these messages of
> support then perhaps they will join up.
>
> I signed up to LoTW just 1 year ago. I am no computer guru, just a
> farm boy here and have had no trouble understanding the process or
> the reasoning behind the process.
>
> Keep going ARRL, LoTW is a winner. Now if you could just get over
> what ever the hold up is with supporting more awards it will move
> ahead again.
>
> 73 John ZL1BYZ.
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
> <w5uc@> wrote:
> >
> > JV, maybe I am overly optimistic and trusting, but Joel Harrison
> told
> > me in an off line e-mail that they are totally committed to LOTW
> and
> > have definitive plans to expand it. I have absolutely no reason to
> > doubt what Joel tells me.
> >
> > IMHO, the idea that it will die is a bit of paranoia mixed with
> > dislike for the league.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 06:58 AM 1/18/2007, you wrote:
> > > UMMM, I wonder if some large radio club would volunteer to
> take over
> > >LoTW and the QSL service. As Dave said, they can use all the help
> that they
> > >can get. Sure would hate to see this service go down the tube. Of
> course
> > >this would have to have the blessing of ARRL.
> > >JV
> > >
> > >~~~~~~
> > >Enjoy a stay in Monaco with 3A2MD. She now has
> > >Bed and Breakfast facilitates. Contact her via
> > >e-mail for dates and prices. <airaldilaura@>
> > >Her web site is <http://3a2md.chez-Alice.fr/eng.htm>
> > >~~~~~~
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >--
> > >No virus found in this incoming message.
> > >Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> > >Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.16.14/636 - Release Date:
> > >1/18/2007 4:00 AM
> >
> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> > http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
> >
>

3473. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 05:17:11 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3437 Message: 3473

At 16:54 1/18/2007, you wrote:

>Bill,
>
>> I read just recently that 'contest logs' can not be added to
>> LoTW as there is no cabrillo to adif compatability.
>
>tQSL can sign either a cabrillo or ADIF input file. The only
>thing that prevents contesters from uploading their contest logs
>is either laziness or a desire *not* to give their competitors
>a perceived advantage.
>
>Frankly, ARRL should require all logs submitted for ARRL contests
>to be signed so they could be added to LotW.

What about "Personal Choice" ??? Are we going to have an LoTW Gestapo ???
I think this would be counter productive as well as unenforceable.
It probably result in fewer "Contest Logs" being submitted to the ARRL.
The other 90% of the worlds "Contest Sponsors" could care less.

>Every ARRL Director, Officer and section official should be required to have
>a LotW certificate an upload their logs.

Absolutly NO problem with that !!! In fact the League should make those who
borrow the W1AW callsign be required to use LoTW and complete ALL of the
"Station Data" for the location they operate from. I'm still waiting for
Dave, K1ZZ to upload his logs so I can get LoTW confirmation on a QSO.
Like charity, LoTW begins at home :-) !!!

>Participation in DXCC, WAS and other ARRL Awards programs should
>require a LotW certificate and at least partial submission through
>LotW.

Again UNENFORCEABLE !!! What about those that just want PAPER ???
I have 2 local DX'ers one with 353 confirmed and the other with 354.
They have no interest in LoTW. Their choice !!!

>Approval of credit for DXCC by DXpeditions should require a LotW
>certificate and agreement to upload complete logs within 180 days
>of the end of the operation.

Or WHAT ??? We won't let them spend THEIR money on the DXpeditions
of their choice. Then what are we going to do tell everyone that
worked them that the ARRL won't honor the QSO because they didn't
use LoTW ???
Great Public Relations for the League. Remember that the ARRL only
represents about 20% of the US Hams !!! Do you want to make it less ???

>More importantly the Board of Directors should provide the resources
>necessary to complete the programming tasks to get all ARRL Awards
>on-line with LotW, to assist all logging program authors who wish
>to do so to integrate LotW support, and negotiate improvements
>in the "sign up" process for non-US amateurs.

I agree !!!

>73,
>
>... Joe, W4TV

73
Bob, K1VU

3474. Overall QSL Rate in LOTW now at 12.5%

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 07:01:21 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3474 Message: 3474

Seems like we just passed 12% about 3 months ago, and
now its up another half percent! LOTW is picking up
steam.

I am big into RTTY contesting, and my return rate is 32.2%

Like W9OL said, the ARRL needs to send someone overseas to
some conventions to sign people up. Eastern Europe and former
Soviet Union states seem to have low participation.

I do hope the ARRL makes a good announcement next month in
QST.

Tim K6GEP

3475. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 07:54:30 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3437 Message: 3475

Hello FireBrick,

Thursday, January 18, 2007 FireBrick wrote to LoTW List:

F> I was one of the original beta testers.
F> I truly hoped that the LoTW concept would succeed.

ARRL and DXCC folks should finally realize and confess that if they
really want to succeed with LoTW, they should make LoTW participation
a major part of DXCC approval for both DXpeditions and resident DX
ops.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"I've been in Who's Who and I know what's what, but this is the first time I've been in the dictionary." [Mae West]

3476. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Custer was wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 11:28:16 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3436 Message: 3476
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3477. computer in the shack

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 12:38:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3477 Message: 3477

I talked to a fellow who said "there's no stinkun computer in this shack and never will be!"

Which is why I can't help fear that we are the 'last generation of Hams'.

More computerization in our communication hobby is the only way we will attract interest.
I'm not even sure that will be enough.

I'm not sure 'mandatory' membership in LoTW is necessary.
But...if that membership was attractive enough, 'mandatory' wouldn't be an issue.

As for 'Gen. Custer'. if I remember my Civil war history....he was wrong a bunch of times BEFORE he told his troops 'This is going to be a cakewalk' at Little Big Horn.

PS: "my trusty metal detector found a button and casing" while walking the site. But no locks of 'yellow hair'.

"Calm down. It's only ones and zeros."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3478. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 12:39:36 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3447 Message: 3478

Bob,

What you say is true. I did it several times in late 60's. It stopped.

Way back when according to the book on W6AM, the DXCC desk was even more accommodating. Back then one didn't need official government paperwork to prove one was legally operating in a given "country". Operating there was enough. One could send in a handfull of cards with QTH's indicated. The DXCC desk would then try and find out what "country" they would qualify for in your credits.

The emphasis seems to have changed. Instead of try to qualify operations, the emphasis seems to be on disqualifying them. We have a number of "dxpeditioners" to thank for this.

7O1A and 701YGF would have certainly qualified under the old criteria.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Bob Nielsen wrote:
> My memory may be failing a bit, but didn't ARRL give credit for
> contest QSOs at one time (for one of the awards, at least)?
>
> Bob, N7XY
>
> On Jan 18, 2007, at 3:48 PM, rojomn@... wrote:
>
>
>>So contest logs don't confirm a contact. That is idioitc and
>>signature ought to be required.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

3479. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 13:02:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3437 Message: 3479

>
> ARRL and DXCC folks should finally realize and confess that if they
> really want to succeed with LoTW, they should make LoTW participation
> a major part of DXCC approval for both DXpeditions and resident DX
> ops.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

Art:

Just yesterday I contacted the VU7RG qsl route to make a donation.
I said I really didn't need the cards, and LoTW would be sufficient.

I was told that LoTW qsls would be available in 'late 2008'.

How much work could be avoided if those who donated or sent qsl cards would
indicate... LoTW as a desired alternative.

I sent a donation for VU7 Andamans, told them to save the money, didn't need
the card, and had a LoTW qsl within hours of the operation ending.

I have 'qsl via LoTW' in my cw and digital buffers.
I print 'qsl available via LoTW' on my qsls, and all the qsls of stations I
manage.
(yes I still answer all bureau/direct requests, keep envelopes on file at W9
incoming bureau)
I don't neglect the traditional methods even though I urge the modern.

3480. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 14:35:42 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3437 Message: 3480

At 07:02 AM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
>I was told that LoTW qsls would be available in 'late 2008'.

I believe this is the real kicker in this matter. Why would they wait until late 2008 to upload to LOTW? Same old same old. Money. If they Upload sooner they haven't maximized the opportunity for contributions. Before some of you go off on ANOTHER tangent, NO, NO, NO, I'm not suggesting that they are making money on the effort. The truth is they very likely will lose money, thus the desire to maximize contributions and minimize their losses.

This clearly is a catch 22 situation. I fully support LOTW, and contrary to some of the wild, paranoid, speculation on this reflector, I DO NOT believe it will die, nor do I believe that the League has lost interest in supporting it. I see that notion as wishful thinking on the part of those League haters who would LOVE the opportunity to say; "See I told you so, the ARRL won't even support their own programs".

The other side of the coin is that if the majority of confirmations moves toward LOTW, there will be a dramatic reduction in individual contributions and the ability of the DX-Peditioners to financially conduct their operations will be severely hampered.

Having said all of that, at this moment I don't have a suggestion for a solution. Start those brain cells to cranking.

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3481. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 15:07:09 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3437 Message: 3481

I think there is another issue with DXpedition logs and LOTW.

Dxpeditioners please speak up on this. Correct me if I am wrong.

I believe that the logs in many cases are "copyrighted" in some way.
The contents of the logs can't be released to anybody without specific
approval from sponsors et al.

I know somebody interested in propagation tried getting logs from
DXpeditions for his work. He couldn't in many cases.

Uploading the logs to LOTW may not be allowed within the legal limitations.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
> At 07:02 AM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
>
>>I was told that LoTW qsls would be available in 'late 2008'.
>
>
> I believe this is the real kicker in this matter. Why would they
> wait until late 2008 to upload to LOTW? Same old same
> old. Money. If they Upload sooner they haven't maximized the
> opportunity for contributions. Before some of you go off on ANOTHER
> tangent, NO, NO, NO, I'm not suggesting that they are making money on
> the effort. The truth is they very likely will lose money, thus the
> desire to maximize contributions and minimize their losses.
>
> This clearly is a catch 22 situation. I fully support LOTW, and
> contrary to some of the wild, paranoid, speculation on this
> reflector, I DO NOT believe it will die, nor do I believe that the
> League has lost interest in supporting it. I see that notion as
> wishful thinking on the part of those League haters who would LOVE
> the opportunity to say; "See I told you so, the ARRL won't even
> support their own programs".
>
> The other side of the coin is that if the majority of confirmations
> moves toward LOTW, there will be a dramatic reduction in individual
> contributions and the ability of the DX-Peditioners to financially
> conduct their operations will be severely hampered.
>
> Having said all of that, at this moment I don't have a suggestion for
> a solution. Start those brain cells to cranking.
>
> 73,

> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3482. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 15:46:25 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3437 Message: 3482

Brian,

I am afraid you are wrong in the role of 'QSL manager'
for big DXpedition.

1. Any major DXpedition if financial loss.

2. DxPeditions can be devided into:

- inexpensive =< than $1 / QSO
(typical 20,000 QSOs for $20,0000)

- Moderate >1$ and <2$ /QSO

- Anything more than $2 is expensive including
3C0Y with astronomical $3.5 per QSO (my estimate).

3. Any expedition depends on sponsors, who pay the
lion share of the debt.

4. Role of QSL manager is obviously to handle
QSL requests, but also to offset DXpedition's
costs.

5. In most of cases, QSL manager for major DXpedition
does not make any money for himself, but handles
the 'extra' funds (contributions, and overpay) to the
major DXpedition's organizer.

6. ANy agreement between QSL manager and DXpedition
organizer is private and 'non-profit', and as such
sheltered from taxman.

Now oppose this to any system that is:

a. Owned by publically owned company,

b. As such not sheltered from taxman,

c. Even if any funds are made through 'copyright'
royalties, who makes decision where the funds go?

Publically owned companies are managed by
Board of Directors, that may have different
priorities (aka launching new AmSat instead of
sponsoring P5 DXpedition).

Hope this explains why major DXpeditions are
reluctant to submit logs into electronic systems.

regards

Nenad VE3EXY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of alsopb@...
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 10:07 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

I think there is another issue with DXpedition logs and LOTW.

Dxpeditioners please speak up on this. Correct me if I am wrong.

I believe that the logs in many cases are "copyrighted" in some way. The contents of the logs can't be released to anybody without specific approval from sponsors et al.

I know somebody interested in propagation tried getting logs from DXpeditions for his work. He couldn't in many cases.

Uploading the logs to LOTW may not be allowed within the legal limitations.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
> At 07:02 AM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
>
>>I was told that LoTW qsls would be available in 'late 2008'.
>
>
> I believe this is the real kicker in this matter. Why would they wait

> until late 2008 to upload to LOTW? Same old same old. Money. If
> they Upload sooner they haven't maximized the opportunity for
> contributions. Before some of you go off on ANOTHER tangent, NO, NO,
> NO, I'm not suggesting that they are making money on the effort. The
> truth is they very likely will lose money, thus the desire to maximize

> contributions and minimize their losses.
>
> This clearly is a catch 22 situation. I fully support LOTW, and
> contrary to some of the wild, paranoid, speculation on this reflector,

> I DO NOT believe it will die, nor do I believe that the League has
> lost interest in supporting it. I see that notion as wishful thinking
> on the part of those League haters who would LOVE the opportunity to
> say; "See I told you so, the ARRL won't even support their own
> programs".
>
> The other side of the coin is that if the majority of confirmations
> moves toward LOTW, there will be a dramatic reduction in individual

> contributions and the ability of the DX-Peditioners to financially
> conduct their operations will be severely hampered.
>
> Having said all of that, at this moment I don't have a suggestion for
> a solution. Start those brain cells to cranking.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3483. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 15:41:55 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3462 Message: 3483

I submit to both LoTW and eQSL; why not, it is easy with my logging program, click, click and it is done.

I need the data from LoTW for official QSO confirmations, but why not help others using eQSL with confirmations that they might need???

All in the spirit of Ham radio.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "ve7axu" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 18, 2007 10:18 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW (More thoughts)

> It took me quite a lot of effort - trial and error - to get up and
> running with LOTW. I was previously an active eQSL user - but for a
> range of reasons decided that LOTW met a higher standard and so I
> made the switch. I'm curious why hams who don't want certificates
> would bother with LOTW (one or two comments to that effect)?
>
> Perhaps its because I'm a relatively new ham that I still find QSLing
> fascinating. I also like to trade paper QSLs.
>
> I'm grateful for a group like this one - and to the few of you who
> post advice on independent web pages that give advice on getting
> established - because it does seems forbidding and difficult to a raw
> beginner - and probably is off-putting to many as well.
>
> With rising postage costs - its nearly $1 Canadian postage to send a
> QSL to the USA now - that motivated me to get into LOTW. I'd like to
> qualify for a bunch of awards - more than just the ARRL awards.
>
> I originally asked if ARRL officials (Staff and volunteers) monitor
> this site because the ideas being expressed in this discussion are a
> gold mine of marketing information - if there was a genuine desire to
> recruit more participants.
>
> It is enlightened self-interest that motivates me to suggest that we,
> as a group of dedicated LOTW users, see if there is a way to:
> - recruit our ham friends to become users;
> - lobby the ARRL firmly but enthusiastically to put more emphasis on
> the program;
> - see if we can draw more attention to LOTW in the international ham
> fraternity; and,

> - consider whether we as group could act as mentors for aspiring
> participants to help them through the setup process.
>
> It might only take an initiative from our group here to make a
> difference - I've seen it happen in other areas of amateur radio -
> and why not?
>
> What are your thoughts?
>
> VE7AXU (John)
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3484. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Return Rate Analysis

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 16:06:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3420 Message: 3484

Bob-K1VU wrote:

...snip...

I think that WAC would be easy to implement as an ARRL Award.
VUCC should get the VHF+ community active, I know many are waiting for its' implementation.
Counties, WAZ, IOTA, etc., involve interfacing with other organizations which becomes a problem.

****

I agree. In fact, I had a lengthy exchange with the guy that does verification of CQ awards. The zones could easily be used if the report writer were available. My gut says they would accept the LOTW report as documentation for zones. How hard can that be?

I think the real problem is that building the report writer would circumvent fees from being paid to LOTW for doing the yomen's work involved. So until the interested ($$$) parties get together and figure out how the fees should work, there will be no forward motion. Does anybody know anybody administrating the CQ awards program? How hard would it be to contact and negotiate a suitable arrangement on fees?

What fees am I talking about? Well, there is the fee for getting an entity "confirmed" by expending 1 credit. That fee goes to LOTW. Then there is the fee related to the issuance of a certificate. It gets complicated real quick.

For example, here is the link to the awards page at CQ for the WAZ award. Click on processing fees...

http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/wazrules.html

Here you see a two tier fee structure based on being a subscriber or not. Hey! This is America! Land of the free and the brave! They can charge any amount of money they choose to charge. And determine the fee on any basis they choose. They choose to alter the rate and give their 'members' a break. The trouble is, CQ and QST view each other as competitors. I think CQ would be hesitant to share their client list with their competitor for purposes of administrating that fee schedule--or for any other reason. And it is perfectly within their right to try and make a few $$ selling plaques and the like. My intuition tells me that they use volunteers for much of this service now. So their margins would be trimmed if they incorporated LOTW into the mix. But this should all be negotiable at the appropriate management level.

I have not investigated, but my guess is that other award sponsors are in the same quandry. LOTW looks appealing from an administrative standpoint, but messes with their ability to make money. That's when the door slams shut.

Ditto for Dxpeditions and foreign QSL greenstamp collectors. And asking LOTW to collect and administrate a fee for getting that rare P5 confirmed is tantamount to selling QSOs, which is clearly illegal...

I have no proof that any the above is completely true. But my business intuition tells me that I'm not far off the mark.

Ideas anybody?

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3485. Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 17:00:19 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3437 Message: 3485

> My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on
> PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)
>
> I would like LOTW to succeed and support it, I'm not happy with the
> complexity though.

My sentiments entirely Simon but I don't think it is too complex to use.
I hate having lots of rare, for me, DX on eQSL which 'don't count' but then again I know I worked them.

Still think the ARRL should publicise LOTW a bit more

Dave, GM4HQF
Aberdeen

3486. Re: computer in the shack

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 17:20:00 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 3477 Message: 3486

Experience with my kids says computers will attract new hams once they see an 'integrated ham shack'. Over Christmas my Daughter and future son-in-law who are both teachers visited. I demonstrated how a digital contact could be made, logged, uploaded and confirmed on EQSL (thanks to a Cuban op). I showed how satellites can be used, spotting nets etc. etc. They had no idea there were contests and awards. The integration of DXLabs impressed them.

Point is my daughter is now signing up to attend the ARRL teachers institute training.

Todays prospective new hams (in my opinion) have grown up in a 1 hour photo is not fast enough environment. They are used to instant gratification and receiving trophies for simply showing up. Cards through the 'boro' are not going to cut it. My son even commented why LOTW didn't include a printable QSL card as EQSL does. For many of this generation, contests, on-line QSL's and many, many awards are whats going to attract them.

The hobby is changing from experimenters to users but the experimenters will always be there.

Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
>
> I talked to a fellow who said "there's no stinkun computer in this shack and
> never will be!"
>
>
> Which is why I can't help fear that we are the 'last generation of Hams'.
>

3487. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Return Rate Analysis

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 18:51:57 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3420 Message: 3487

On Fri, 19 Jan 2007 10:06:24 -0600, "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
wrote:

>...snip...
>

>For example, here is the link to the awards page at CQ for the WAZ award.
>Click on processing fees...
>
>http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/wazrules.html
>
>Here you see a two tier fee structure based on being a subscriber or not.
>Hey! This is America! Land of the free and the brave!

In case you hadn't noticed - ARRL also charge for DXCC on a 'two tier
structure' - Members and Non-Members.

73 Graham M5AAV

3488. Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 19:51:39 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3437 Message: 3488

Sorry but you lost me here.

2 senario's

(1) You post your QSL card to the manager with a donation, he sends
a card back.

(2) You send a donation to the manager requesting a LoTW responce,
he responds by uploading your confirmations to LoTW.

I dont see the problem unless you are saying ARRL are selling LoTW
data for profit? No the DXCC admin fees are not profit and you incur
them with both systems so no argument here.

In the first senario the post system and possibly the printers are
the ones making the most out of it all. The second one most of your
donation will be available to cover the DXpedition expences not
printing expencive QSL cards and postage costs.

Firebrick has shown the way, good opn you and good on the DXpedition
for their responce, but what is needed is for DXpeditions to be pro
active rather than re active. IF they offered a convenient way to
make donations with the understanding that you wish to recieve a
LoTW QSL in leu of a card, and promoted this as an option I think
they would be suprised with the responce.

I cant see the problem but I'm just a simple farm boy here. Roll on
the bush lawyers to stuff it up.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Nenad Stevanovic" <nenad@...>
wrote:
>
>
>
>
> Brian,
>
> I am afraid you are wrong in the role of 'QSL manager'
> for big DXpedition.
>
>
> 1. Any major DXpedition if financial loss.
>
> 2. DxPeditions can be devided into:
>

> - inexpensive =< than $1 / QSO
> (typical 20,000 QSOs for $20,0000)
>
> - Moderate >1$ and <2$ /QSO
>
> - Anything more than $2 is expensive including
> 3C0Y with astronomical $3.5 per QSO (my estimate).
>
> 3. Any expedition depends on sponsors, who pay the
> lion share of the debt.
>
> 4. Role of QSL manager is obviously to handle
> QSL requests, but also to offset DXpedition's
> costs.
>
> 5. In most of cases, QSL manager for major DXpedition
> does not make any money for himself, but handles
> the 'extra' funds (contributions, and overpay) to the
> major DXpedition's organizer.
>
> 6. ANy agreement between QSL manager and DXpedition
> organizer is private and 'non-profit', and as such
> sheltered from taxman.
>
>
> Now oppose this to any system that is:
>
> a. Owned by publically owned company,
>
> b. As such not sheltered from taxman,
>
> c. Even if any funds are made through 'copyright'
> royalties, who makes decision where the funds go?
>
> Publically owned companies are managed by
> Board of Directors, that may have different
> priorities (aka launching new AmSat instead of
> sponsoring P5 DXpedition).
>
>
> Hope this explains why major DXpeditions are
> reluctant to submit logs into electronic systems.
>
>
>
> regards
>
>
>
> Nenad VE3EXY

>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of alsopb@...
> Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 10:07 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW
>
>
> I think there is another issue with DXpedition logs and LOTW.
>
> Dxpeditioners please speak up on this. Correct me if I am wrong.
>
> I believe that the logs in many cases are "copyrighted" in some
way. The
> contents of the logs can't be released to anybody without specific
> approval from sponsors et al.
>
> I know somebody interested in propagation tried getting logs from
> DXpeditions for his work. He couldn't in many cases.
>
> Uploading the logs to LOTW may not be allowed within the legal
> limitations.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
> > At 07:02 AM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
> >
> >>I was told that LoTW qsls would be available in 'late 2008'.
> >
> >
> > I believe this is the real kicker in this matter. Why would
they wait
>
> > until late 2008 to upload to LOTW? Same old same old. Money.
If
> > they Upload sooner they haven't maximized the opportunity for
> > contributions. Before some of you go off on ANOTHER tangent,
NO, NO,
> > NO, I'm not suggesting that they are making money on the effort.
The
> > truth is they very likely will lose money, thus the desire to
maximize
>
> > contributions and minimize their losses.

> >
> > This clearly is a catch 22 situation. I fully support LOTW, and
> > contrary to some of the wild, paranoid, speculation on this
reflector,
>
> > I DO NOT believe it will die, nor do I believe that the League
has
> > lost interest in supporting it. I see that notion as wishful
thinking
> > on the part of those League haters who would LOVE the
opportunity to
> > say; "See I told you so, the ARRL won't even support their own
> > programs".
> >
> > The other side of the coin is that if the majority of
confirmations
> > moves toward LOTW, there will be a dramatic reduction in
individual
> > contributions and the ability of the DX-Peditioners to
financially
> > conduct their operations will be severely hampered.
> >
> > Having said all of that, at this moment I don't have a
suggestion for
> > a solution. Start those brain cells to cranking.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> > http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

3489. Re: computer in the shack

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 20:07:45 UTC
From: John
Topic: 3477 Message: 3489

Good post but why do we need a printable QSL card, that kind of goes against everything else you said.
In my mind not having a printable card was one of the smart moves by ARRL.

73 John ZL1BYZ.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ron Novak" <kavonr@...> wrote:
>
> Experience with my kids says computers will attract new hams once they
> see an 'integrated ham shack'. Over Christmas my Daughter and future
> son-in-law who are both teachers visited. I demonstrated how a digital
> contact could be made, logged, uploaded and confirmed on EQSL (thanks
> to a Cuban op). I showed how satellites can be used, spotting nets
> etc. etc. They had no idea there were contests and awards. The
> integration of DXLabs impressed them.
>
> Point is my daughter is now signing up to attend the ARRL teachers
> institute training.
>
> Todays prospective new hams (in my opinion) have grown up in a 1 hour
> photo is not fast enough environment. They are used to instant
> gratification and receiving trophies for simply showing up. Cards
> through the 'boro' are not going to cut it. My son even commented why
> LOTW didn't include a printable QSL card as EQSL does. For many of
> this generation, contests, on-line QSL's and many, many awards are
> whats going to attract them.
>
> The hobby is changing from experimenters to users but the experimenters
> will always be there.
>
> Ron
> KG4NOZ
>
>
>

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@> wrote:
> >
> >
> > I talked to a fellow who said "there's no stinkun computer in
this
> shack and
> > never will be!"
> >
> >
> > Which is why I can't help fear that we are the 'last generation
of
> Hams'.
> >
>

3490. LOTW DXCC Stats

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-19 18:39:26 UTC
From: Wayne King
Topic: 3490 Message: 3490

I decided to download my lotwadi file from the web site and the stats below are what came up when I imported the file into a NEW DX4WIN. Now I work a lot of RTTY but looking at the numbers I have more countries confirmed on CW/SSB then RTTY. I found this of interest me and maybe others because out of 74K+ QSO's I have uploaded to LOTW, 38K of them are RTTY QSO's. I wonder if other's see the same?

MIX . . . 230 . . 230 230
PHO . . . 171 . . 171 171
CW . . . 193 . . 193 193
RTTY . . . 137 . . 137 137

73, HNY Wayne N2WK

3491. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 00:02:46 UTC
From: n1zw
Topic: 3437 Message: 3491

I agree with you I don't need something from ARRL to say did this or that.

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 12:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

> My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on

> PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)

>

> I would like LOTW to succeed and support it, I'm not happy with the

> complexity though.

My sentiments entirely Simon but I don't think it is too complex to use.

I hate having lots of rare, for me, DX on eQSL which 'don't count' but then again I know I worked them.

Still think the ARRL should publicise LOTW a bit more

Dave, GM4HQF

Aberdeen

3492. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] cabrillo to LoTW transfer correction

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 01:10:00 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 3447 Message: 3492

On 1/18/2007 FireBrick wrote:

> My question was "why can't contest cabrillo logs be automatically added to
> LoTW AFTER the submission deadline had passed?"

> The response was that they didn't have that software ability.

I was told that it is because the Contest logs are NOT digitally signed, and they will NOT take non-signed logs into LOTW. I asked why they can't make it mandatory for logs to be signed for the contests, and got the answer I expected - laughter. They said they uprising would amazing.

My proposal was quite simple at that point - give N extra mults, or N extra points (figure out what is fair) for logs that go into LOTW BEFORE the contest submission deadline! Think about what contesters would do for a few extra points....

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

Be wary of strong drink.
It can make you shoot at tax collectors -- and miss.
--R.A.H.

3493. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 02:04:44 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3437 Message: 3493

OK, let's try this another way. If it weren't from ARRL, would you
be glad to have it?

At 06:02 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:

>I agree with you I don't need something from ARRL to say did this or that.
>
>
>
>----------
>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
>On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
>Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 12:00 PM
>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW
>
>
>
>
>
> > My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on
>
> > PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)
>
> >
>
> > I would like LOTW to succeed and support it, I'm not happy with the
>
> > complexity though.
>
>
>
>My sentiments entirely Simon but I don't think it is too complex to use.
>
>I hate having lots of rare, for me, DX on eQSL which 'don't count'
>but then again I know I worked them.
>
>
>
>Still think the ARRL should publicise LOTW a bit more
>
>
>
>Dave, GM4HQF
>

>Aberdeen
>
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.0/639 - Release Date:
>1/18/2007 6:47 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3494. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 03:34:56 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3437 Message: 3494

I'm not interested in certificates from anyone. I know who I worked, I don't need someone else to tell me this, I'm able to keep count myself. FWIW I am a member of the ARRL.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
(GD4ELI March 2nd - 12th 2006)
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)

OK, let's try this another way. If it weren't from ARRL, would you be glad to have it?

At 06:02 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:

I agree with you I don't need something from ARRL to say did this or that.

3495. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 04:00:44 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3437 Message: 3495

Good for you. I'm always happy to find those who think for
themselves. I like a limited amount of paperwork, probably because I
have been at it for almost 50 years. I have been in DXCC for a long
time and hate to give it up.

At 09:34 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:

>I'm not interested in certificates from anyone. I know who I worked,
>I don't need someone else to tell me this, I'm able to keep count
>myself. FWIW I am a member of the ARRL.
>
>Simon Brown, HB9DRV
>(GD4ELI March 2nd - 12th 2006)
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:w5uc@...>Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
>
>OK, let's try this another way. If it weren't from ARRL, would you
>be glad to have it?
>
>At 06:02 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
>
>>I agree with you I don't need something from ARRL to say did this or that.
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.0/639 - Release Date:
>1/18/2007 6:47 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3496. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 04:07:16 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3437 Message: 3496

At 09:34 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:
> I don't need someone else to tell me this,

Simon, in retrospect, you are really telling THEM who you worked, not the other way around.

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3497. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 05:05:39 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3437 Message: 3497

Hello Simon,

Saturday, January 20, 2007 Simon Brown wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

SB> I'm not interested in certificates from anyone. I know who I
SB> worked, I don't need someone else to tell me this, I'm able to
SB> keep count myself. FWIW I am a member of the ARRL.

Then, to be consequent, you don't send/receive/need any paper cards
(some kind of a cert) either?

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his government." [Edward Abbey]

3498. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 05:40:44 UTC
From: n1zw
Topic: 3437 Message: 3498

Any way you say it , Nothing is needed to say who worked what and when they worked. For me nothing but my log is needed to say I worked another station. I do send cards and receive them too. I am member of the ARRL too and I am not counting on anything when it comes to saying contacts I made are good enough for them. I use all forms of getting and sending confirmed qsl for contact made. This log book of the world is just another way to do it as far as I am concerned. It will not determine anything different from any other form of qsl I use paper, post or eqsl. It sounds too much like if it is not confirmed by ARRL or the LoTW then it is not good enough. That makes it not good enough for me right there. I will support the ARRL and LoTW if I get something for it fine and if not that is fine too. I worked plenty of stations and gotten nothing so what's so important about getting something from ARRL. It is still just another confirmation any way you see it. Just my thoughts

---

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 9:05 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

OK, let's try this another way. If it weren't from ARRL, would you be glad to have it?

At 06:02 PM 1/19/2007, you wrote:

I agree with you I dont need something from ARRL to say did this or that.

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-
<mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com> LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Lindsay
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2007 12:00 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

> My attitude is that I have enough paper - if I work 1,000 countries on

> PSK42 then I did it, I don't need a certificate :-)

>

> I would like LOTW to succeed and support it, I'm not happy with the

> complexity though.

My sentiments entirely Simon but I don't think it is too complex to use.

I hate having lots of rare, for me, DX on eQSL which 'don't count' but then again I know I worked them.

Still think the ARRL should publicise LOTW a bit more

Dave, GM4HQF

Aberdeen

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.0/639 - Release Date: 1/18/2007 6:47 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenli <http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>
nk.net/pages/w5uc/

3499. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 06:49:21 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3437 Message: 3499

I reply to cards, I don't send them otherwise.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV
(GD4ELI March 2nd - 12th 2006)

----- Original Message -----
From: "Art RX9TX" <rx9tx@...>
>
> Then, to be consequent, you don't send/receive/need any paper cards
> (some kind of a cert) either?
>

3500. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 17:40:01 UTC
From: Gregg Wonderly
Topic: 3437 Message: 3500

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> I don't think your "old boys group" allegation is true, Greg. In fact, I
> wish we had that problem -- it would at least mean that there were
> some developers to move things forward.

I think that majority of ARRL is focused the most on the legal issues that they are persuing. Those are the most important. They don't talk to others, including in the ARRL about what others are interested in, or perhaps just ignore what they hear. This is the good-ole-boys mentality. They are good guys trying to do good things, but they're failing to take care of items of interest to others because of the isolation they paint themselves into by their focus/interests.

> LotW itself runs exclusively on ARRL servers; what would be the benefit
> of porting it to Java? The client-side code is all available on
> SourceForge. It already runs fine on Windows, Linux, and OSX, but
> there's nothing stopping you from porting it to Java.

I guess I have to say this over and over to make the point. The point of Java is that it would be the best thing for all amatuer radio software to use. Why? Because developers could more trivially write software that integrates with other software utilizing java's dynamic class loading facitities. Then on top of that, you'd get to take advantage of Java's mobile code capabilities which make it possible for come as you are computing. That makes emergency communications systems which might be very volatile and ever-changing, work in a trivial way.

There are lots of other good reasons, but those are the primary ones that I consider. Some others include support for natural languages different from the authors. Opensource, free toolsets etc are also a good thing.

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

Gregg Wonderly
W5GGW

3501. [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 19:08:21 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3501 Message: 3501

I received this from the LoTW desk, after I sent them a message that my certificate was lost due to a HD reformat.

However, I don't know how to handle it.

Can anyone help?

73, Carl VE9OV

LoTW desk wrote,

"Please create a new UNSIGNED request (TQ5) and send it to me. I will process it through. LoTW will send you an email with the TQ6 file.".

3502. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 19:24:20 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3501 Message: 3502

At 02:08 PM 1/20/2007, Carl Smidt wrote:
>I received this from the LoTW desk, after I sent them a message that my
>certificate was lost due to a HD reformat.
>
>However, I don't know how to handle it.
>
>Can anyone help?

Install Trusted QSL on your new hard drive.
Run TQSLCert and use "File/New Certificate Request".
Fill in your callsign, entity, etc. and when you're done you'll have a
TQ5 file.
Send that back as an attachment to the reply to the LotW person, and
they'll process it for you.
-Rick

3503. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 19:26:22 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3501 Message: 3503

On Jan 20, 2007, at 11:08 AM, Carl Smidt wrote:

> I received this from the LoTW desk, after I sent them a message
> that my
> certificate was lost due to a HD reformat.
>
> However, I don't know how to handle it.
>
> Can anyone help?
>

Run Tqslcert and click on file -> new certificate request.

Bob, N7XY

> 73, Carl VE9OV
>
> LoTW desk wrote,
>
> "Please create a new UNSIGNED request (TQ5) and send it to me. I will
> process it through. LoTW will send you an email with the TQ6 file.".
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3504. Re: future of LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 20:10:19 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3437 Message: 3504

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Gregg Wonderly <gergg@...> wrote:

>snip<

> > LotW itself runs exclusively on ARRL servers; what would be the
> > benefit of porting it to Java? The client-side code is all
> > available on SourceForge. It already runs fine on Windows, Linux,
> > and OSX, but there's nothing stopping you from porting it to Java.

I guess I have to say this over and over to make the point. The
point of Java is that it would be the best thing for all amatuer
radio software to use. Why? Because developers could more trivially
write software that integrates with other software utilizing java's
dynamic class loading facitities. Then on top of that, you'd get to
take advantage of Java's mobile code capabilities which make it
possible for come as you are computing. That makes emergency
communications systems which might be very volatile and ever-
changing, work in a trivial way.

>>>You don't have to say anything over and over again, Gregg, you
just have to say something substantive that makes technical sense. A
string of vacuous marketing buzzwords won't cut it.

>>>Nothing you say above responds to either of the questions I posed.
Instead, you wave your arms about Java's dynamic class loading
facitities making integration between applications trivial. Building
an application that interoperates with LotW is certainly not easy,
but the mechanics of interprogram communication are not the issue.
The LotW client library is low-level and sparsely documented -- more
symptoms of insufficient development resources. Adding higher-level
operations and comprehensive documentation are what's needed --
not "reimplement the whole thing in Java because it would be cool".

>>>Your comments regarding "Java's mobile code capabilities"
and "come as you are computing" making emergency communications
systems "work in a trivial way" are a complete non-sequitur. What
does LotW have to do with emergency communications systems?

There are lots of other good reasons, but those are the primary ones
that I consider. Some others include support for natural languages
different from the authors.

>>>Java provides no particular advantage for supporting multiple
natural languages; look at what the Logger32 team has done using VB.

Opensource, free toolsets etc are also a good thing.

>>>LotW's client library and applications are open source already, Gregg, and you can build these applications using free toolsets.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3505. Re: [ARRL-LOTW]

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-20 22:02:36 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3505 Message: 3505

Thank you Bob, will give that a try.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 20, 2007 3:26 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW]

> On Jan 20, 2007, at 11:08 AM, Carl Smidt wrote:
>
>> I received this from the LoTW desk, after I sent them a message
>> that my
>> certificate was lost due to a HD reformat.
>>
>> However, I don't know how to handle it.
>>
>> Can anyone help?
>>
>
> Run Tqslcert and click on file -> new certificate request.
>
> Bob, N7XY
>
>> 73, Carl VE9OV
>>
>> LoTW desk wrote,
>>
>> "Please create a new UNSIGNED request (TQ5) and send it to me. I will
>> process it through. LoTW will send you an email with the TQ6 file.".
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3506. Just Starting, need help.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-21 20:04:11 UTC
From: w6wtg
Topic: 3506 Message: 3506

I am needing help getting LOTW going.
I have a Certificate (I think) and trying to put in my station
location.
When I select new it it says "Error: No certificates available"
I go back to the tQSL certificate and load certificate file PKSC#12
and put in the password then it said loading complete.

Root Cert. loaded 0
CA Cert loaded 0
User Cert. loaded 0
Priviate Keys
loaded 1
Config. loaded 0

Any help would be grateful
Terry
W6WTG

3507. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Just Starting, need help.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-21 21:37:08 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3506 Message: 3507

At 03:04 PM 1/21/2007, w6wtg wrote:
>I am needing help getting LOTW going.
>I have a Certificate (I think) and trying to put in my station
>location.
>When I select new it it says "Error: No certificates available"
>I go back to the tQSL certificate and load certificate file PKSC#12
>and put in the password then it said loading complete.
>
>Root Cert. loaded 0
>CA Cert loaded 0
>User Cert. loaded 0
>Priviate Keys
>loaded 1
>Config. loaded 0
>
>Any help would be grateful
>Terry
>W6WTG

When you bring up TQSLCert, do you see any icons for your callsign?
What do they look like? A normal entry shows up as something that looks
like a keyhole; if you're missing the public key part, I think it shows
up in a red circle with a slash through it.
If you're seeing the latter, you should be able to re-download your
certificate from LotW:
1. Log in to LotW.
2. Click on "Your Account"
3. On the account menu, click on "Your Certificates".
4. You should see a list of your LotW calls. Click on "Download Your
Certificates".
5. You should then see a "File Download" dialog box asking "Do you want
to open or save this file?".
6. Click on "Open" and it'll load the public key certificates for your
callsign into TQSLCert.

That should be enough to get it fixed, hopefully.
-Rick

3508. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 02:11:13 UTC
From: Marshall Thigpen, CPA
Topic: 3508 Message: 3508

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

3510. uploaded YO3APJ logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 13:43:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3510 Message: 3510

Latest logs received from Adrian have been uploaded to LoTW.

Of course I will still reply to all direct/bureau qsl requests.

"Calm down. It's only ones and zeros."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3511. Just Starting, need help.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 13:59:41 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3506 Message: 3511

Greeting,
I help a friend with his Saturday.....did the same thing....but the TQ6 hold the key.....load that into it.....We tried to put the wrong cert in.....the file that U have gotten from the arrl should say TQ6.......
Hope this help......
73's
Robert KQ4PK

Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...> wrote:
At 03:04 PM 1/21/2007, w6wtg wrote:
>I am needing help getting LOTW going.
>I have a Certificate (I think) and trying to put in my station
>location.
>When I select new it it says "Error: No certificates available"
>I go back to the tQSL certificate and load certificate file PKSC#12
>and put in the password then it said loading complete.
>
>Root Cert. loaded 0
>CA Cert loaded 0
>User Cert. loaded 0
>Priviate Keys
>loaded 1
>Config. loaded 0
>
>Any help would be grateful
>Terry
>W6WTG

When you bring up TQSLCert, do you see any icons for your callsign?
What do they look like? A normal entry shows up as something that looks like a keyhole; if you're missing the public key part, I think it shows up in a red circle with a slash through it.
If you're seeing the latter, you should be able to re-download your certificate from LotW:
1. Log in to LotW.
2. Click on "Your Account"
3. On the account menu, click on "Your Certificates".
4. You should see a list of your LotW calls. Click on "Download Your Certificates".
5. You should then see a "File Download" dialog box asking "Do you want to open or save this file?".
6. Click on "Open" and it'll load the public key certificates for your callsign into TQSLCert.

That should be enough to get it fixed, hopefully.
-Rick

Food fight? Enjoy some healthy debate
in the Yahoo! Answers Food & Drink Q&A.

3512. Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 19:38:34 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3512 Message: 3512

After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.

I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into the structure.

This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through communications with officials in ARRL.

I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where I go with this next.

VE7AXU (John)

3513. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 19:58:42 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3512 Message: 3513

Before ARRL puts any work into supporting the few and small Canadian awards, I'd prefer their efforts are put toward the mainline awards like WAZ, WPX, IOTA, etc.

I don't understand what RAC's official involvement in LOTW would mean as RAC is a resource strapped organization in it's own right.

As far as more Canadian involvement is concerned, we are the third largest user of LOTW after USA and Germany http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: ve7axu
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 12:38 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.

I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into the structure.

This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through communications with officials in ARRL.

I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where I go with this next.

VE7AXU (John)

Yahoo! Groups Links

3514. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 20:55:47 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3512 Message: 3514

I am glad that you took the initiative John, this is too important a project to let fall by the wayside because of a lack of support; financial, staff, subscription and/or otherwise.
If nothing else, at least RAC can show their strong vocal support of the project.
Inclusion of our award programmes can come later, but not too late.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "ve7axu" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:38 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

> After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of
> Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
> British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to
> President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual
> meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an
> immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
>
> I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
> Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into
> the structure.
>
> This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not
> even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking
> it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through
> communications with officials in ARRL.
>
> I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where
> I go with this next.
>
> VE7AXU (John)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3515. Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 21:20:25 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3512 Message: 3515

Where R U guys getting this info about LOTW......I crashed my computer about the 1st of the month.....called the ARRL and they were very helpfull in getting me another cert and told me how to take the old out....They have given no indication of it going anywhere....the problem seems to be peoples not using it.
Robt KQ4PK

Carl Smidt <xveoneov@...> wrote:
I am glad that you took the initiative John, this is too important a project
to let fall by the wayside because of a lack of support; financial, staff,
subscription and/or otherwise.
If nothing else, at least RAC can show their strong vocal support of the
project.
Inclusion of our award programmes can come later, but not too late.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "ve7axu" <no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:38 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

> After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of
> Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
> British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to
> President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual
> meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an
> immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
>
> I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
> Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into
> the structure.
>
> This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not
> even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking
> it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through
> communications with officials in ARRL.
>
> I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where
> I go with this next.
>
> VE7AXU (John)
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Expecting? Get great news right away with email Auto-Check.
Try the Yahoo! Mail Beta.

3516. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 21:31:44 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3512 Message: 3516

Holey Moley guys! C'mon.

ARRL, Joel Harrison etc have made it clear that LOTW isn't going
anywhere and has the full support of the board and HQ Staff.

LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!

LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!

LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!

LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!

Pay attention!!!!! Geeeeeezzzzzz!

Mike, W5UC

At 03:20 PM 1/22/2007, you wrote:

>Where R U guys getting this info about LOTW......I crashed my
>computer about the 1st of the month.....called the ARRL and they
>were very helpfull in getting me another cert and told me how to
>take the old out....They have given no indication of it going
>anywhere....the problem seems to be peoples not using it.
>Robt KQ4PK
>
>Carl Smidt <xveoneov@...> wrote:
>I am glad that you took the initiative John, this is too important a project
>to let fall by the wayside because of a lack of support; financial, staff,
>subscription and/or otherwise.
>If nothing else, at least RAC can show their strong vocal support of the
>project.
>Inclusion of our award programmes can come later, but not too late.
>
>73, Carl VE9OV
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "ve7axu" <<mailto:no_reply%40yahoogroups.com>no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
>To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:38 PM
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW
>
> > After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of
> > Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
> > British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to
> > President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual
> > meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an

> > immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
> >
> > I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
> > Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into
> > the structure.
> >
> > This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not
> > even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking
> > it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through
> > communications with officials in ARRL.
> >
> > I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where
> > I go with this next.
> >
> > VE7AXU (John)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>Expecting? Get great news right away with
><http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=49982/*http://advision.webevents.yahoo.com/mailbeta/newmail_t
ools.html>email
>Auto-Check.
>Try the
><http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=49982/*http://advision.webevents.yahoo.com/mailbeta/newmail_t
ools.html>Yahoo!
>Mail Beta.
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.4/644 - Release Date:
>1/22/2007 7:30 AM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3517. LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 22:18:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3517 Message: 3517

Not going to die is not the same as flourishing.

motto on my desk was:

"PROGRESS or REGRESS"

Status Quo SUCKS!

W9OL

Maine State Motto: We're Really Cold, But We Have Cheap Lobster
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3518. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 22:43:41 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3512 Message: 3518

Earle,

I think what I was trying to say was that RAC support should be for the overall growth of LOTW to serve the global interests of the ham community first rather than the self interests of us Canadians.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: <ve6nm@...>
To: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
Cc: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 2:24 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

> Gerry:
>
> RAC Director Ed Frazer VE7EF had already forwarded the email from John
> VE7AXU.
> I'm still doing some research on the issue.
> Will reply via John with what info I can find.
>
> 73
>
> Earle - VE6NM
> President RAC
> ============================
>
> Quoting Gerry <telwest@...>:
>
>>
>> Before ARRL puts any work into supporting the few and small Canadian
>> awards,
>> I'd prefer their efforts are put toward the mainline awards like WAZ,
>> WPX,
>> IOTA, etc.
>>
>> I don't understand what RAC's official involvement in LOTW would mean as
>> RAC
>> is a resource strapped organization in it's own right.
>>

>> As far as more Canadian involvement is concerned, we are the third
>> largest
>> user of LOTW after USA and Germany http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/.
>>
>> Gerry
>> VE6LB/VA6XDX
>> ARRL DXCC Card Checker
>> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
>> (403) 251-6520
>> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
>> www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: ve7axu
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 12:38 PM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW
>>
>>
>> After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of
>> Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
>> British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to
>> President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual
>> meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an
>> immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
>>
>> I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
>> Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into
>> the structure.
>>
>> This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not
>> even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking
>> it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through
>> communications with officials in ARRL.
>>
>> I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where
>> I go with this next.
>>
>> VE7AXU (John)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Earle Smith - VE6NM

> President Radio Amateurs of Canada &
> Chair Board of Directors
>
>

3519. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-22 23:00:48 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3517 Message: 3519

At 04:18 PM 1/22/2007, you wrote:

>Not going to die is not the same as flourishing.

That isn't the issue here. The idea that LOTW has one foot in the grave and the other on a banana peel continues to be promoted here. There appears to be a conscious effort to spread that idea.

Would we all like for there to be more participation? Of course, but the present level of participation does not write the obit for LOTW. How do we raise the level of participation? Damn if I know. I have placed tags on both my web site and my QRZ listing promoting LOTW. However, I do believe that POSITIVE promotion of LOTW will help, and constantly spreading the rumor that LOTW is on it's way to the grave certainly will not encourage participation.

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3520. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 02:08:14 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3512 Message: 3520

Earle,

Thanks for the update and I've copied the LoTW reflector.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: ve6nm@...
To: Gerry Hohn
Cc: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 6:33 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW

Hi, Gerry:

I hear what you're saying and agree.

Some feedback from my contact at ARRL:

"They would love to support RAC awards. About the only "extra" geographical data they have to put into place that wasn't US based are Canadian provinces.

They'll continue work there to see how they would even go about doing this. Then they can figure out, along with RAC award managers, what sort of information to gather, retain, report, etc. The specifications are probably more difficult than the implementation.

They can't promise anything quickly because there is a lot yet to be done."

Appreciate it if you could relay to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com - my email to that address bounces.

From my attendance at the ARRL Board meeting this weekend I sense there's a software problem of some kind.

Best I can do at the moment.

73

Earle - VE6NM
==========

Quoting Gerry Hohn <telwest@...>:

>
> Earle,
>
> I think what I was trying to say was that RAC support should be for the
> overall growth of LOTW to serve the global interests of the ham community
> first rather than the self interests of us Canadians.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <ve6nm@...>
> To: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
> Cc: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 2:24 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW
>
>
> > Gerry:
> >
> > RAC Director Ed Frazer VE7EF had already forwarded the email from John
> > VE7AXU.
> > I'm still doing some research on the issue.
> > Will reply via John with what info I can find.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Earle - VE6NM
> > President RAC
> > ============================
> >
> > Quoting Gerry <telwest@...>:
> >
> >>
> >> Before ARRL puts any work into supporting the few and small Canadian
> >> awards,
> >> I'd prefer their efforts are put toward the mainline awards like WAZ,
> >> WPX,
> >> IOTA, etc.
> >>
> >> I don't understand what RAC's official involvement in LOTW would mean as
> >> RAC
> >> is a resource strapped organization in it's own right.
> >>

> >> As far as more Canadian involvement is concerned, we are the third
> >> largest
> >> user of LOTW after USA and Germany http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/.
> >>
> >> Gerry
> >> VE6LB/VA6XDX
> >> ARRL DXCC Card Checker
> >> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> >> (403) 251-6520
> >> ve6lb (at) rac.ca
> >> www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: ve7axu
> >> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> >> Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 12:38 PM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW
> >>
> >>
> >> After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio Amateurs of
> >> Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
> >> British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-mail to
> >> President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the annual
> >> meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting an
> >> immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
> >>
> >> I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
> >> Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied into
> >> the structure.
> >>
> >> This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should not
> >> even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start talking
> >> it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better through
> >> communications with officials in ARRL.
> >>
> >> I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC - and where
> >> I go with this next.
> >>
> >> VE7AXU (John)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > Earle Smith - VE6NM

> > President Radio Amateurs of Canada &
> > Chair Board of Directors
> >
> >
>
>

Earle Smith - VE6NM
President Radio Amateurs of Canada &
Chair Board of Directors

3521. Re: Future of LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 02:36:29 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3512 Message: 3521

Meaningless words remain meaningless, no matter how often they are repeated.

LotW began operation in September 2003 with the DXCC award family. Since then, only one additional award has been added: WAS in March of last year. That's what "full support" means. If things don't slow down, LotW should have WAC by the end of 2008.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> Holey Moley guys! C'mon.
>
> ARRL, Joel Harrison etc have made it clear that LOTW isn't going
> anywhere and has the full support of the board and HQ Staff.
>
> LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!
>
> LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!
>
> LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!
>
> LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die! LOTW isn't going to disappear or Die!
>
> Pay attention!!!!! Geeeeeezzzzzz!
>
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 03:20 PM 1/22/2007, you wrote:
>
> >Where R U guys getting this info about LOTW......I crashed my
> >computer about the 1st of the month.....called the ARRL and they
> >were very helpfull in getting me another cert and told me how to
> >take the old out....They have given no indication of it going
> >anywhere....the problem seems to be peoples not using it.
> >Robt KQ4PK
> >
> >Carl Smidt <xveoneov@...> wrote:

> >I am glad that you took the initiative John, this is too important
a project
> >to let fall by the wayside because of a lack of support;
financial, staff,
> >subscription and/or otherwise.
> >If nothing else, at least RAC can show their strong vocal support
of the
> >project.
> >Inclusion of our award programmes can come later, but not too late.
> >
> >73, Carl VE9OV
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "ve7axu" <<mailto:no_reply%
40yahoogroups.com>no_reply@yahoogroups.com>
> >To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Monday, January 22, 2007 3:38 PM
> >Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Future of LOTW
> >
> > > After my last post I sent an email about this to the Radio
Amateurs of
> > > Canada (RAC)the Canadian equivalent of ARRL. Our Director of the
> > > British Columbia & Yukon Division (Ed Frazer) forwarded my e-
mail to
> > > President Earle Smith VE6NM who is presently attending the
annual
> > > meeting of ARRL Directors in Newington with the hope of getting
an
> > > immediate reaction to RAC becoming officially involved in LOTW.
> > >
> > > I'm just beginning to stir the pot up here in Canada to get more
> > > Canadian participation - and possibly some Canadian awards tied
into
> > > the structure.
> > >
> > > This is such a gem of an asset for amateur radio that we should
not
> > > even consider giving up on it. LOTW needs a lot of us to start
talking
> > > it up - and mentioning it at our clubs, on-air and even better
through
> > > communications with officials in ARRL.
> > >
> > > I'll let you know what reaction I get to my proposal to RAC -
and where
> > > I go with this next.
> > >
> > > VE7AXU (John)
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >Expecting? Get great news right away with
>
><http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=49982/*http://advision.webevents.yahoo.co
m/mailbeta/newmail_tools.html>email
> >Auto-Check.
> >Try the
>
><http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=49982/*http://advision.webevents.yahoo.co
m/mailbeta/newmail_tools.html>Yahoo!
> >Mail Beta.
> >
> >No virus found in this incoming message.
> >Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> >Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.4/644 - Release Date:
> >1/22/2007 7:30 AM
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

3522. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 02:41:06 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3517 Message: 3522

What's being stated here are the facts: LotW has barely advanced in the 3+ years since its introduction. The objective is encourage ARRL members to lobby their representatives for a larger investment in LoTW.

What's wrong with that?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> At 04:18 PM 1/22/2007, you wrote:
>
> >Not going to die is not the same as flourishing.
>
> That isn't the issue here. The idea that LOTW has one foot in the
> grave and the other on a banana peel continues to be promoted here.
> There appears to be a conscious effort to spread that idea.
>
> Would we all like for there to be more participation? Of course,
but
> the present level of participation does not write the obit for
> LOTW. How do we raise the level of participation? Damn if I
> know. I have placed tags on both my web site and my QRZ listing
> promoting LOTW. However, I do believe that POSITIVE promotion of
> LOTW will help, and constantly spreading the rumor that LOTW is on
> it's way to the grave certainly will not encourage participation.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

>

3523. First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 04:20:24 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3523

Hi:
This is a LoTW FIRST !!!

For those of you that are not LoTW Members, I thought you would like to see what you can do with LoTW and a LOT of "Chair Time" !!!

For those of you that are not LoTW Members, you can't see the message unless you Login to LoTW. Why ??? Ask the ARRL.

One would think this type of publicity would be on the pre-login page for the world to see !!!

In any case, John deserves a lot of credit !!!

********** From the LoTW "News and Notes" **********

Jan 20, 2007: VE3EJ Achieves 9-Band DXCC via LoTW -- John Sluymer,VE3EJ,
has made a lot of contacts!
Maybe this cycle he will work on 6 meters!
Congrats John.
The 9 bander accompanies 251 Mixed countries and 1401 Challenge countries
all confirmed with Logbook of the World.

Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
Mixed 251 0 0 251 / 250
CW 234 0 0 234 / 233
Phone 213 0 0 213 / 212
RTTY 28 0 0 28 / 28
160M 104 0 0 104 / 104
80M 156 0 0 156 / 156
40M 189 0 0 189 / 188
30M 109 0 0 109 / 109
20M 209 0 0 209 / 209
17M 133 0 0 133 / 133
15M 209 0 0 110 / 110
10M 182 0 0 182 / 182
6M 1 0 0 1 / 1
Challenge 1401 0 0 --- / 1401

****
73
Bob, K1VU

3524. Promoting LoTW !!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 04:19:05 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3524 Message: 3524

The message following this one with "Subject: First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ"
has been sent to a number of lists.

I think it behoves us to get the message out to as many NON-LoTW users as possible.

I have re-formatted the table portion to remove the TABS, making it all TEXT to
be readable.

Feel free to send it to your NON-LoTW friends, put it in your club newsletter,
or post it to the lists you belong to.

Change what you want to express your views.

I have already sent it to yccc@... and cq-contest@....

Get the word out "LoTW Works" !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

3525. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 14:50:21 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3517 Message: 3525

Hello Dave,

Tuesday, January 23, 2007 Dave Bernstein wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

DB> What's being stated here are the facts: LotW has barely advanced in
DB> the 3+ years since its introduction. The objective is encourage ARRL
DB> members to lobby their representatives for a larger investment in
DB> LoTW.

DB> What's wrong with that?

Dave, any plans for compliance with LoTW for future versions of ADIF?
Like <LoTW_QSL_RCVD> and <LoTW_QSL_SENT> fields?

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"They make a desert and call it peace." [Tacitus]

3526. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 17:17:20 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3526

Bob-K1VU writes:

...snip...

********** From the LoTW "News and Notes" **********

Jan 20, 2007: VE3EJ Achieves 9-Band DXCC via LoTW -- John Sluymer,VE3EJ, has made a lot of contacts!
Maybe this cycle he will work on 6 meters!
Congrats John.
The 9 bander accompanies 251 Mixed countries and 1401 Challenge countries all confirmed with Logbook of the World.

Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
Mixed 251 0 0 251 / 250
CW 234 0 0 234 / 233
Phone 213 0 0 213 / 212
RTTY 28 0 0 28 / 28
160M 104 0 0 104 / 104
80M 156 0 0 156 / 156
40M 189 0 0 189 / 188
30M 109 0 0 109 / 109
20M 209 0 0 209 / 209
17M 133 0 0 133 / 133
15M 209 0 0 110 / 110
10M 182 0 0 182 / 182
6M 1 0 0 1 / 1
Challenge 1401 0 0 --- / 1401

73
Bob, K1VU
****

Bob, and others...

This is a perfect example of what is holding back further development. A PERFECT example...

Note column 1 "Selected" is full of digits.
Note that column 2 "Applied" is all zeroes.
Note that column 3 "Awarded" is also all zeroes.

Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John? Priceless!

This speaks volumns to me. Does the fact that John has not yet made application to have the credits awarded or in any way diminish VE3EJ's

accomplishment? Nope! Congrats to John for an amazing feat. John gets his bragging rights without spending a nickle. Is this a reflection of John's altruism? Nope! It is a reflection of the benevolence of the ARRL for allowing people to share their accomplishments, garner respect, enjoy the satisfaction of said accomplishments, all for the price of zero dollars and zero cents. Priceless!

My colloquial sense of frontier fairness tells me that LOTW plays a significant role in John's accomplishment, yet LOTW gets zero credit in terms of what is important to all of us--that being funding further development.

I recognized this some time ago in my own DXCC efforts. I have a DXCC on 4 bands, and am close on 80M. I want a piece of paper on my wall. I want the 5BDXCC wall paper design--personal preference I guess. Even though I am not yet ready to make application for the remaining bands/modes, I bought my first 500 credits to get the ball rolling, and to recognize the role LOTW is playing in my effort. Compare and contrast my display with the one above...

Account Status N0FP

Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
Mixed* 2 0 243 245 / 245
CW* 10 0 184 194 / 194
Phone 4 0 169 173 / 173
RTTY 3 0 29 32 / 32
160M 1 0 62 63 / 63
80M 1 0 77 78 / 78
40M 1 0 101 102 / 102
30M 11 0 6 17 / 17
20M* 31 0 153 184 / 184
17M 7 0 8 15 / 15
15M 0 0 144 144 / 144
12M 4 0 3 7 / 7
10M 0 0 117 117 / 117
6M 2 0 0 2 / 2
2M 1 0 0 1 / 1
Challenge 58 0 671 --- / 729
* = Award has been issued

The difference is, I spent the $75.00 to buy 500 credits, applied 384 of them in a hybrid application, mailed in or had card checkers verify 287 paper cards, and have 116 credits remaining in my account. Now I can view the results with a clear conscience knowing I have paid something for the benefit of having LOTW host my 30,080 QSO records on their system and make the needed matches. I still don't have a DXCC endorsement for any band other than 20M, which was garnered at the W0DXCC conference a few years ago (all 20M CW). But I wrote them the $75 check just to be able to help further the budget constraints that seem to be holding us back in further development.

The point is, how many others are using LOTW in the same way as VE3EJ? This is not a reflection on John, it is a reflection on the way this works in the hearts and minds of those that are using LOTW every day. I would encourage all those who read this to consider their involvement, their commitment, and write a check today to purchase some credits to demonstrate your commitment to the Board of Directors at the ARRL.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

(The former message was a non-paid advertisement for the betterment of Logbook of The World, a totally free service available to all amateurs worldwide, funded by the membership of the ARRL, a non-profit organization formed under section 501(c)(3) of the US Code. The forgoing statements are the opinion of Ford Peterson-N0FP, who was NOT paid to deliver his endorsement of the outstanding service provided by LOTW.)

3527. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 18:42:54 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3517 Message: 3527

If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but the ADIF development community voted "no".

As a result, logging developers are using application-specific tags to capture this information, e.g. APP_DXKEEPER_LOTW_QSL_SENT and APP_LOGGER32_LOTW_QSL_RCVD. This places more of a burden on users when moving log information from one application to another.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art RX9TX <rx9tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Dave,
>
> Tuesday, January 23, 2007 Dave Bernstein wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:
>
> DB> What's being stated here are the facts: LotW has barely advanced in
> DB> the 3+ years since its introduction. The objective is encourage ARRL
> DB> members to lobby their representatives for a larger investment in
> DB> LoTW.
>
> DB> What's wrong with that?
>
> Dave, any plans for compliance with LoTW for future versions of ADIF?
> Like <LoTW_QSL_RCVD> and <LoTW_QSL_SENT> fields?
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
> "They make a desert and call it peace." [Tacitus]
>

3528. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 19:06:58 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 3517 Message: 3528

What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been created for use across different logging programs such as

ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2

This was multiple systems could have been supported.

Gordon N4LR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
> LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
> addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but the
> ADIF development community voted "no".
>

3529. Re: First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 19:17:00 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 3523 Message: 3529

I agree with you Ford. I did the same thing just after Jan 1. I decided at least once a year to apply all credits that were new. It should become smaller each year as I apply more credits. Besides dxcc credits I went ahead and applied for a basic WAS. Having 20 countries doesn't do much but except put a couple of $$ toward lotw. I originally bought 250 then bought more when I applied. I have had 5BDXCC for over 20 years but decided to start over with LOTW. Makes things interesting again.

73 Gordon N4LR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <ford@...> wrote:

> But I wrote them the $75 check just to be able to help
> further the budget constraints that seem to be holding us back in further
> development.
>
> I would encourage
> all those who read this to consider their involvement, their commitment, and
> write a check today to purchase some credits to demonstrate your commitment
> to the Board of Directors at the ARRL.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...

3530. Re: First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 19:19:44 UTC
From: gmacie
Topic: 3523 Message: 3530

One other point is the VE3EJ may have no interest in any awards. He like some of the other big contesters have worked everything many times. He may just be uploading for other's benefit which is what we all try to encourage non users to do.

Best 73's Gordon N4LR

3531. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 19:44:23 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3517 Message: 3531

One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to minimize development costs.

By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service: QSL_SENT, QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@...> wrote:
>
> What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
> promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been
> created for use across different logging programs such as
>
> ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
> ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
> ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
> ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
>
> This was multiple systems could have been supported.
>
> Gordon N4LR
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
> >
> > If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
> > LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
> > addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but
the
> > ADIF development community voted "no".
> >
>

3532. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 19:57:57 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3517 Message: 3532

Would someone please explain the motivation for these fields in an ADIF
file.

Isn't the local logging program the place to keep track of all this stuff?

I guess I'm look at things simply.

1)Linking DXCC records on the ARRL site tells me what I have confirmed.
I could care less whether it came from LOTW or cards.

2)If you want to know what you have from LOTW, simply keep the
downloaded records in a separate logbook file.

3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is LOTW
sent. No need for it in a field.

4)Why does anybody care when a QSL was received? Either you have it or
you don't. The date received is irrelevant. LOTW does provide info
when a 'qsl' arrived.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application
> supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to minimize
> development costs.
>
> By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service: QSL_SENT,
> QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@...> wrote:
>
>>What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
>>promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been
>>created for use across different logging programs such as
>>
>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
>>
>>This was multiple systems could have been supported.
>>

>>Gordon N4LR
>>
>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
>>
>>>If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
>>>LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
>>>addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but
>
> the
>
>>>ADIF development community voted "no".
>>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3533. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 21:11:46 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3517 Message: 3533
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3534. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 22:26:59 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3517 Message: 3534

Hi Prasad,

No, I don't do that. LOTW gives the date and last QSO uploaded. I just upload from that point onward.

I meant to say that every QSO gets uploaded to LOTW -- but only once!

73 de Brian/K3KO

Prasad wrote:

> <snip>
>
> 3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is LOTW
> sent. No need for it in a field.
>
> <snip>
>
> Would this mean you upload all the records every time you do an upload?
> When several people do this with large logs, this would explain why LoTW
> slows to a crawl many a time...
>
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT
>
> On 1/24/07, alsopb@... <mailto:alsopb@...>
> <alsopb@... <mailto:alsopb@...>> wrote:
>
> Would someone please explain the motivation for these fields in an ADIF
> file.
>
> Isn't the local logging program the place to keep track of all this
> stuff?
>
> I guess I'm look at things simply.
>
> 1)Linking DXCC records on the ARRL site tells me what I have confirmed.
> I could care less whether it came from LOTW or cards.
>
> 2)If you want to know what you have from LOTW, simply keep the
> downloaded records in a separate logbook file.
>
> 3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is LOTW
> sent. No need for it in a field.
>
> 4)Why does anybody care when a QSL was received? Either you have it or
> you don't. The date received is irrelevant. LOTW does provide info

> when a 'qsl' arrived.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Dave Bernstein wrote:
>> One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application
>> supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to minimize
>> development costs.
>>
>> By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service: QSL_SENT,
>> QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>, "gmacie" <gmacie@...> wrote:
>>
>> >What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
>> >promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been
>> >created for use across different logging programs such as
>> >
>> >ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
>> >ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
>> >ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
>> >ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
>> >
>> >This was multiple systems could have been supported.
>> >
>> >Gordon N4LR
>> >
>> >--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
>> >
>> >>If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
>> >>LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
>> >>addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but
>>
>> the
>>
>> >>ADIF development community voted "no".
>> >>
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3535. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-23 23:45:35 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3517 Message: 3535

You misunderstand, Brian. An ADIF file is not an alternative to a logging application; its a means for transferring log information from one logging application to another.

Maintaining a separate logbook file for LotW confirms certainly works, but is not very convenient for assessing one's overall DXCC award progress.

Keeping track of "date sent" and "date received" is often helpful when recovering from mistakes. If you don't ever make mistakes, then you won't need these fields.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:
>
> Would someone please explain the motivation for these fields in an ADIF
> file.
>
> Isn't the local logging program the place to keep track of all this stuff?
>
> I guess I'm look at things simply.
>
> 1)Linking DXCC records on the ARRL site tells me what I have confirmed.
> I could care less whether it came from LOTW or cards.
>
> 2)If you want to know what you have from LOTW, simply keep the
> downloaded records in a separate logbook file.
>
> 3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is LOTW
> sent. No need for it in a field.
>
> 4)Why does anybody care when a QSL was received? Either you have it or
> you don't. The date received is irrelevant. LOTW does provide info
> when a 'qsl' arrived.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

> Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application
> > supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to
minimize
> > development costs.
> >
> > By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service:
QSL_SENT,
> > QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@> wrote:
> >
> >>What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
> >>promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been
> >>created for use across different logging programs such as
> >>
> >>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
> >>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
> >>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
> >>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
> >>
> >>This was multiple systems could have been supported.
> >>
> >>Gordon N4LR
> >>
> >>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
> >>
> >>>If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
> >>>LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
> >>>addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but
> >
> > the
> >
> >>>ADIF development community voted "no".
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
>

3536. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 00:17:37 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3517 Message: 3536

Dave,

I guess we really disagree. I don't like clerical work and strive not to do things rather than to create complicated processes.

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> You misunderstand, Brian. An ADIF file is not an alternative to a
> logging application; its a means for transferring log information
> from one logging application to another.

Only case that the info you are suggesting is useful would be going from one logbook program to another. Still the fields suggested are pretty much useless-- unless one likes to count how many angels can fit on a head of a pin.

> Maintaining a separate logbook file for LotW confirms certainly
> works, but is not very convenient for assessing one's overall DXCC
> award progress.
>
Award progress is easy. Link your DXCC records to LOTW. Then look at the ARRL LOTW web page under AWARDS. You can see your DXCC, Challenge and WAS status broken down by band, mode in full detail. Besides it's official. Yes, it doesn't contain the stations you worked but don't have confirmation for. However, till you actually have a confirmation, the worked ones really don't count for much.

You misunderstand the purpose of maintaining a separate LOTW logbook. It is only a curiosity. One can certainly import LOTW QSO's to the main logbook. They ought to be there anyhow! In concept improting LOTW QSL's should only change the QSL status. Unfortunately, some logging programs also change other fields possibly screwing up things -- e.g. zone. Many EU LOTW QSL's have ITU zone instead of CQ zone in the CQ zone slot.

> Keeping track of "date sent" and "date received" is often helpful
> when recovering from mistakes. If you don't ever make mistakes, then
> you won't need these fields.

Huh? Give me an example. I could care less when I sent something out. If it comes back, fine. If it doesn't fine, work somebody else and send them one. It can't see how it is a mistake issue. Postage is too expensive to send out multiple requests on people who don't reply to the first.

73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> 73,

>

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:
>
>>Would someone please explain the motivation for these fields in an
>
> ADIF
>
>>file.
>>
>>Isn't the local logging program the place to keep track of all this
>
> stuff?
>
>>I guess I'm look at things simply.
>>
>>1)Linking DXCC records on the ARRL site tells me what I have
>
> confirmed.
>
>> I could care less whether it came from LOTW or cards.
>>
>>2)If you want to know what you have from LOTW, simply keep the
>>downloaded records in a separate logbook file.
>>
>>3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is
>
> LOTW
>
>>sent. No need for it in a field.
>>
>>4)Why does anybody care when a QSL was received? Either you have
>
> it or
>
>>you don't. The date received is irrelevant. LOTW does provide
>
> info
>
>>when a 'qsl' arrived.
>>
>>73 de Brian/K3KO
>>
>>Dave Bernstein wrote:
>>
>>>One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application
>>>supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to
>
> minimize

>
>>>development costs.
>>>
>>>By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service:
>
> QSL_SENT,
>
>>>QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.
>>>
>>> 73,
>>>
>>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>>
>>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
>>>>promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have been
>>>>created for use across different logging programs such as
>>>>
>>>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
>>>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
>>>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
>>>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
>>>>
>>>>This was multiple systems could have been supported.
>>>>
>>>>Gordon N4LR
>>>>
>>>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
>>>>>LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
>>>>>addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc, but
>>>
>>>the
>>>
>>>
>>>>>ADIF development community voted "no".
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3537. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 02:06:29 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3537
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Ford:
I think your judgmental views of VE3EJ is TOTALLY unwarranted !!!

You are making a lot of assumptions based on something that you have absolutely no knowledge of the facts !!!

Remember that LoTW dated this message on 1/20/07, but I don't know when LoTW actually posted it on their site. I didn't see it on Sunday, in fact I didn't until Monday afternoon.

Give John a break, at best he has ONLY had 2 days, one of which was Sunday, to make any decisions or even find out HOW to apply for his 9 Band DXCC. If he hasn't logged into LoTW since last week/month, he may not even know he got 9 Band DXCC.

You don't even know if he wants to apply for the Award, maybe he has done it twice over on "Paper". I don't know and neither do you !!!

We DO know that he gave out a minimum of 1402 "LoTW Matches" to other Hams.
We don't know how many others !!! I have many multiple "LoTW Matches" for the same country, but I only need ONE to count for DXCC. If I got 100 "DL" matches, I only need one, BUT at least 100 "DL's" got matches that they might need !!! The bottom line is that we don't how many "LoTW Matches" John made possible and how many $$$ he brought into the LoTW account by just uploading his logs.

Regarding some your other statements:

"Note column 1 "Selected" is full of digits". What else would you expect ???
The word "Selected" is a misnomer !!! A better choice of words would have been "Worked" or "Confirmed" !!! "Selected" means that it was picked out, which is not the case with LoTW, it just means "MATCHED" nothing else !!!

"Does the fact that John has not yet made application to have the credits awarded"
You don't know that !!! Remember that's it only been 2 days since made 9 Band DXCC.

"Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John?
Priceless!"
You don't know that !!!

"John gets his bragging rights without spending a nickle."
You don't know that !!!

"The difference is, I spent the $75.00 to buy 500 credits"
How do you know that John DIDN'T ???

"The point is, how many others are using LOTW in the same way as VE3EJ? This is not a reflection on John"
You sure make it sound that way !!!

IMHO, I don't belive that John, VE3EJ deserves any of the aspersions regarding his LoTW involvement that you have alluded to by innuendo.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 12:17 1/23/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:

>Bob-K1VU writes:
>
>...snip...
>
>********** From the LoTW "News and Notes" **********
>
>Jan 20, 2007: VE3EJ Achieves 9-Band DXCC via LoTW -- John Sluymer,VE3EJ, has
>made a lot of contacts!
>Maybe this cycle he will work on 6 meters!
>Congrats John.
>The 9 bander accompanies 251 Mixed countries and 1401 Challenge countries
>all confirmed with Logbook of the World.
>
>Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
>Mixed 251 0 0 251 / 250
>CW 234 0 0 234 / 233
>Phone 213 0 0 213 / 212
>RTTY 28 0 0 28 / 28
>160M 104 0 0 104 / 104
>80M 156 0 0 156 / 156
>40M 189 0 0 189 / 188
>30M 109 0 0 109 / 109
>20M 209 0 0 209 / 209
>17M 133 0 0 133 / 133
>15M 209 0 0 110 / 110
>10M 182 0 0 182 / 182
>6M 1 0 0 1 / 1
>Challenge 1401 0 0 --- / 1401
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>**********
>
>Bob, and others...
>
>This is a perfect example of what is holding back further development. A
>PERFECT example...
>
>Note column 1 "Selected" is full of digits.
>Note that column 2 "Applied" is all zeroes.
>Note that column 3 "Awarded" is also all zeroes.
>
>Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John?
>Priceless!

>
>This speaks volumns to me. Does the fact that John has not yet made
>application to have the credits awarded or in any way diminish VE3EJ's
>accomplishment? Nope! Congrats to John for an amazing feat. John gets his
>bragging rights without spending a nickle. Is this a reflection of John's
>altruism? Nope! It is a reflection of the benevolence of the ARRL for
>allowing people to share their accomplishments, garner respect, enjoy the
>satisfaction of said accomplishments, all for the price of zero dollars and
>zero cents. Priceless!
>
>My colloquial sense of frontier fairness tells me that LOTW plays a
>significant role in John's accomplishment, yet LOTW gets zero credit in
>terms of what is important to all of us--that being funding further
>development.
>
>I recognized this some time ago in my own DXCC efforts. I have a DXCC on 4
>bands, and am close on 80M. I want a piece of paper on my wall. I want the
>5BDXCC wall paper design--personal preference I guess. Even though I am not
>yet ready to make application for the remaining bands/modes, I bought my
>first 500 credits to get the ball rolling, and to recognize the role LOTW is
>playing in my effort. Compare and contrast my display with the one above...
>
>AccountStatus N0FP
>
>Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
>Mixed* 2 0 243 245 / 245
>CW* 10 0 184 194 / 194
>Phone 4 0 169 173 / 173
>RTTY 3 0 29 32 / 32
>160M 1 0 62 63 / 63
>80M 1 0 77 78 / 78
>40M 1 0 101 102 / 102
>30M 11 0 6 17 / 17
>20M* 31 0 153 184 / 184
>17M 7 0 8 15 / 15
>15M 0 0 144 144 / 144
>12M 4 0 3 7 / 7
>10M 0 0 117 117 / 117
>6M 2 0 0 2 / 2
>2M 1 0 0 1 / 1
>Challenge 58 0 671 --- / 729
>* = Award has been issued
>
>The difference is, I spent the $75.00 to buy 500 credits, applied 384 of
>them in a hybrid application, mailed in or had card checkers verify 287
>paper cards, and have 116 credits remaining in my account. Now I can view
>the results with a clear conscience knowing I have paid something for the
>benefit of having LOTW host my 30,080 QSO records on their system and make
>the needed matches. I still don't have a DXCC endorsement for any band
>other than 20M, which was garnered at the W0DXCC conference a few years ago

>(all 20M CW). But I wrote them the $75 check just to be able to help
>further the budget constraints that seem to be holding us back in further
>development.
>
>The point is, how many others are using LOTW in the same way as VE3EJ? This
>is not a reflection on John, it is a reflection on the way this works in the
>hearts and minds of those that are using LOTW every day. I would encourage
>all those who read this to consider their involvement, their commitment, and
>write a check today to purchase some credits to demonstrate your commitment
>to the Board of Directors at the ARRL.
>
>Ford-N0FP
><mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...
>
>(The former message was a non-paid advertisement for the betterment of
>Logbook of The World, a totally free service available to all amateurs
>worldwide, funded by the membership of the ARRL, a non-profit organization
>formed under section 501(c)(3) of the US Code. The forgoing statements are
>the opinion of Ford Peterson-N0FP, who was NOT paid to deliver his
>endorsement of the outstanding service provided by LOTW.)
>
>
>

3538. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 04:21:48 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3538

Bob spewed:

Ford:
I think your judgmental views of VE3EJ is TOTALLY unwarranted !!!

****

Bob,

I have no idea how you could read into my posting as being critical of John. I went out of my way, bent over backwards, and described in great detail how this is not a John issue. Quite the contrary. My posting was designed to illustrate that there are many who may be using LOTW and waiting in the wings to get enough into the system to actually do an application. How you can interpret my comments as being critical of John is beyond belief. Please, if you are offended at my posting, read it again.

Nuff said...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3539. Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 08:06:42 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3517 Message: 3539

I don't like clerical work either; that's why I provide software whose automation minimizes clerical work.

Suppose you'd like cluster spots colored to identify those that if worked would give you a new entity, entity-band, or entity-mode. In order to do that, you need to know which entities, entity-bands, and entity-modes are already confirmed -- confirmed via QSL card, or confirmed via LotW. As you point out, the LotW confirmation information is interactively available via the LotW web site, but there's no programmatic interface available to this information. The only way a logging application can obtain this information is to recreate it by first downloading all LotW confirmations from the ARRL site. Performing a vanilla ADIF import would produce a correct understanding of DXCC award status (permitting accurate spot coloring), but would create duplicates in the log. The approach used by many logging applications is to have each QSO maintain separate confirmation status for cards and LotW, and populate the LotW status with information downloaded from LotW.

Maintaining both card and LotW confirmation information is also useful when generating the paperwork for a DXCC submission. For a user with some QSOs confirmed via QSL card and other QSOs confirmed via LotW, the logging application can generate separate record sheets: one to be submitted with the cards to the DXCC desk, and the other to "drive" the user's session on the LotW web site.

When a logging application downloads information from LotW, it should compare the logged information with the downloaded information, e.g. CQ and ITU zones. In the case of a conflict, it should present the information to the user for resolution.

You don't care when you sent out a QSL card that requests a needed confirmation? Isn't it useful to have a "QSL aging report" that shows you all outstanding QSLs so you can periodically decide whether to send out a second card, or send a card to an alternate source of confirmation for the needed entity, entity-band, or entity-mode? It took me 3 tries over the last 7 years to get Turkmenistan confirmed on 30m.

The sorts of mistakes to which I'm referring most often occur when users perform multi-QSO edits of log databases or ADIF files without first making a backup.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:
>
> Dave,
>
> I guess we really disagree. I don't like clerical work and strive
not
> to do things rather than to create complicated processes.
>
> Dave Bernstein wrote:
> > You misunderstand, Brian. An ADIF file is not an alternative to a
> > logging application; its a means for transferring log information
> > from one logging application to another.
>
> Only case that the info you are suggesting is useful would be going
from
> one logbook program to another. Still the fields suggested are
pretty
> much useless-- unless one likes to count how many angels can fit on
a
> head of a pin.
>
> > Maintaining a separate logbook file for LotW confirms certainly
> > works, but is not very convenient for assessing one's overall
DXCC
> > award progress.
> >
> Award progress is easy. Link your DXCC records to LOTW. Then look
at
> the ARRL LOTW web page under AWARDS. You can see your DXCC,
Challenge
> and WAS status broken down by band, mode in full detail. Besides
it's
> official. Yes, it doesn't contain the stations you worked but
don't
> have confirmation for. However, till you actually have a
confirmation,
> the worked ones really don't count for much.
>
> You misunderstand the purpose of maintaining a separate LOTW
logbook.
> It is only a curiosity. One can certainly import LOTW QSO's to the
main
> logbook. They ought to be there anyhow! In concept improting LOTW
> QSL's should only change the QSL status. Unfortunately, some
logging
> programs also change other fields possibly screwing up things --
e.g.
> zone. Many EU LOTW QSL's have ITU zone instead of CQ zone in the
CQ
> zone slot.
>

>
>
> > Keeping track of "date sent" and "date received" is often helpful
> > when recovering from mistakes. If you don't ever make mistakes,
then
> > you won't need these fields.
>
> Huh? Give me an example. I could care less when I sent something
out.
> If it comes back, fine. If it doesn't fine, work somebody else and
send
> them one. It can't see how it is a mistake issue. Postage is too
> expensive to send out multiple requests on people who don't reply
to the
> first.
>
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >
> > 73,
> >
>
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@ wrote:
> >
> >>Would someone please explain the motivation for these fields in
an
> >
> > ADIF
> >
> >>file.
> >>
> >>Isn't the local logging program the place to keep track of all
this
> >
> > stuff?
> >
> >>I guess I'm look at things simply.
> >>
> >>1)Linking DXCC records on the ARRL site tells me what I have
> >
> > confirmed.
> >
> >> I could care less whether it came from LOTW or cards.
> >>
> >>2)If you want to know what you have from LOTW, simply keep the
> >>downloaded records in a separate logbook file.
> >>
> >>3) As far as LOTW sent. I upload everything. Thus every QSO is
> >

> > LOTW
> >
> >>sent. No need for it in a field.
> >>
> >>4)Why does anybody care when a QSL was received? Either you have
> >
> > it or
> >
> >>you don't. The date received is irrelevant. LOTW does provide
> >
> > info
> >
> >>when a 'qsl' arrived.
> >>
> >>73 de Brian/K3KO
> >>
> >>Dave Bernstein wrote:
> >>
> >>>One need not supply an argument for voting no. Some application
> >>>supplier want to minimize additions in general in order to
> >
> > minimize
> >
> >>>development costs.
> >>>
> >>>By the way, one really wants 4 fields for each QSL service:
> >
> > QSL_SENT,
> >
> >>>QSL_RCVD, QSL_SENT_DATE, and QSL_RCVD_DATE.
> >>>
> >>> 73,
> >>>
> >>> Dave, AA6YQ
> >>>
> >>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "gmacie" <gmacie@> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>What was the argument for voting no. Even if they were against
> >>>>promoting a specific organinzation, generic fields could have
been
> >>>>created for use across different logging programs such as
> >>>>
> >>>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_1
> >>>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_1
> >>>>ONLINE_QSL_SENT_2
> >>>>ONLINE_QSL_RCVD_2
> >>>>
> >>>>This was multiple systems could have been supported.
> >>>>

> >>>>Gordon N4LR
> >>>>
> >>>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@>
wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>If you're asking "will ADIF add fields like LOTW_QSL_RCVD and
> >>>>>LOTW_QSL_SENT?", the answer is "probably not". I proposed the
> >>>>>addition of these fields and equivalent fields for eQSL.cc,
but
> >>>
> >>>the
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>ADIF development community voted "no".
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3540. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 10:26:46 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3523 Message: 3540

Guys,

For what it is worth. Consider the following.

John has 347 confirmed mixed, 346 confirmed phone and 340 confirmed CW.

It is very likely that LOTW generated ZERO new ones for him.

From the ARRL listing I can't tell if John never linked to this DXCC
records or the ARRL deleted the columns to keep John's totals from
public view. Either way the columns would be zero's.

I know a local DXer who have over 327 confirmed on all bands but 160.
He sees little point to using LOTW since it will generate no hits. It
is cheaper for him to spend the $2.84 for the few new ones he may
uncover than to buy a bunch of credits from LOTW.

Knowing how motivated VE3EJ is, my guess is that he wanted to be first
to complete 9 Band DXCC via LOTW-- although there is no award for doing
so. The interest is in the hunt.

73 de Brian/K3KO

R Johnson wrote:
> Ford:
> I think your judgmental views of VE3EJ is TOTALLY unwarranted !!!
>
> You are making a lot of assumptions based on something that you have
> absolutely no knowledge of the facts !!!
>
> Remember that LoTW dated this message on 1/20/07, but I don't know when LoTW
> actually posted it on their site. I didn't see it on Sunday, in fact I didn't until
> Monday afternoon.
>
> Give John a break, at best he has ONLY had 2 days, one of which was Sunday, to make
> any decisions or even find out HOW to apply for his 9 Band DXCC. If he hasn't logged
> into LoTW since last week/month, he may not even know he got 9 Band DXCC.
>
> You don't even know if he wants to apply for the Award, maybe he has done it twice
> over on "Paper". I don't know and neither do you !!!
>
> We DO know that he gave out a minimum of 1402 "LoTW Matches" to other Hams.
> We don't know how many others !!! I have many multiple "LoTW Matches" for the same
> country, but I only need ONE to count for DXCC. If I got 100 "DL" matches, I only need
> one, BUT at least 100 "DL's" got matches that they might need !!! The bottom line is that
> we don't how many "LoTW Matches" John made possible and how many $$$ he brought into
> the LoTW account by just uploading his logs.

>
> Regarding some your other statements:
>
> "Note column 1 "Selected" is full of digits". What else would you expect ???
> The word "Selected" is a misnomer !!! A better choice of words would have been "Worked"
> or "Confirmed" !!! "Selected" means that it was picked out, which is not the case with
> LoTW, it just means "MATCHED" nothing else !!!
>
> "Does the fact that John has not yet made application to have the credits awarded"
> You don't know that !!! Remember that's it only been 2 days since made 9 Band DXCC.
>
> "Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John?
> Priceless!"
> You don't know that !!!
>
> "John gets his bragging rights without spending a nickle."
> You don't know that !!!
>
> "The difference is, I spent the $75.00 to buy 500 credits"
> How do you know that John DIDN'T ???
>
> "The point is, how many others are using LOTW in the same way as VE3EJ? This
> is not a reflection on John"
> You sure make it sound that way !!!
>
> IMHO, I don't belive that John, VE3EJ deserves any of the aspersions regarding
> his LoTW involvement that you have alluded to by innuendo.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
> At 12:17 1/23/2007, Ford Peterson wrote:
>
>
>
>>Bob-K1VU writes:
>>
>>...snip...
>>
>>********** From the LoTW "News and Notes" **********
>>
>>Jan 20, 2007: VE3EJ Achieves 9-Band DXCC via LoTW -- John Sluymer,VE3EJ, has
>>made a lot of contacts!
>>Maybe this cycle he will work on 6 meters!
>>Congrats John.
>>The 9 bander accompanies 251 Mixed countries and 1401 Challenge countries
>>all confirmed with Logbook of the World.
>>
>>Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
>>Mixed 251 0 0 251 / 250

>>CW 234 0 0 234 / 233
>>Phone 213 0 0 213 / 212
>>RTTY 28 0 0 28 / 28
>>160M 104 0 0 104 / 104
>>80M 156 0 0 156 / 156
>>40M 189 0 0 189 / 188
>>30M 109 0 0 109 / 109
>>20M 209 0 0 209 / 209
>>17M 133 0 0 133 / 133
>>15M 209 0 0 110 / 110
>>10M 182 0 0 182 / 182
>>6M 1 0 0 1 / 1
>>Challenge 1401 0 0 --- / 1401
>>
>>73
>>Bob, K1VU
>>**********
>>
>>Bob, and others...
>>
>>This is a perfect example of what is holding back further development. A
>>PERFECT example...
>>
>>Note column 1 "Selected" is full of digits.
>>Note that column 2 "Applied" is all zeroes.
>>Note that column 3 "Awarded" is also all zeroes.
>>
>>Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John?
>>Priceless!
>>
>>This speaks volumns to me. Does the fact that John has not yet made
>>application to have the credits awarded or in any way diminish VE3EJ's
>>accomplishment? Nope! Congrats to John for an amazing feat. John gets his
>>bragging rights without spending a nickle. Is this a reflection of John's
>>altruism? Nope! It is a reflection of the benevolence of the ARRL for
>>allowing people to share their accomplishments, garner respect, enjoy the
>>satisfaction of said accomplishments, all for the price of zero dollars and
>>zero cents. Priceless!
>>
>>My colloquial sense of frontier fairness tells me that LOTW plays a
>>significant role in John's accomplishment, yet LOTW gets zero credit in
>>terms of what is important to all of us--that being funding further
>>development.
>>
>>I recognized this some time ago in my own DXCC efforts. I have a DXCC on 4
>>bands, and am close on 80M. I want a piece of paper on my wall. I want the
>>5BDXCC wall paper design--personal preference I guess. Even though I am not
>>yet ready to make application for the remaining bands/modes, I bought my
>>first 500 credits to get the ball rolling, and to recognize the role LOTW is
>>playing in my effort. Compare and contrast my display with the one above...

>>
>>AccountStatus N0FP
>>
>>Award Selected Applied Awarded Total / Current
>>Mixed* 2 0 243 245 / 245
>>CW* 10 0 184 194 / 194
>>Phone 4 0 169 173 / 173
>>RTTY 3 0 29 32 / 32
>>160M 1 0 62 63 / 63
>>80M 1 0 77 78 / 78
>>40M 1 0 101 102 / 102
>>30M 11 0 6 17 / 17
>>20M* 31 0 153 184 / 184
>>17M 7 0 8 15 / 15
>>15M 0 0 144 144 / 144
>>12M 4 0 3 7 / 7
>>10M 0 0 117 117 / 117
>>6M 2 0 0 2 / 2
>>2M 1 0 0 1 / 1
>>Challenge 58 0 671 --- / 729
>>* = Award has been issued
>>
>>The difference is, I spent the $75.00 to buy 500 credits, applied 384 of
>>them in a hybrid application, mailed in or had card checkers verify 287
>>paper cards, and have 116 credits remaining in my account. Now I can view
>>the results with a clear conscience knowing I have paid something for the
>>benefit of having LOTW host my 30,080 QSO records on their system and make
>>the needed matches. I still don't have a DXCC endorsement for any band
>>other than 20M, which was garnered at the W0DXCC conference a few years ago
>>(all 20M CW). But I wrote them the $75 check just to be able to help
>>further the budget constraints that seem to be holding us back in further
>>development.
>>
>>The point is, how many others are using LOTW in the same way as VE3EJ? This
>>is not a reflection on John, it is a reflection on the way this works in the
>>hearts and minds of those that are using LOTW every day. I would encourage
>>all those who read this to consider their involvement, their commitment, and
>>write a check today to purchase some credits to demonstrate your commitment
>>to the Board of Directors at the ARRL.
>>
>>Ford-N0FP
>><mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...
>>
>>(The former message was a non-paid advertisement for the betterment of
>>Logbook of The World, a totally free service available to all amateurs
>>worldwide, funded by the membership of the ARRL, a non-profit organization
>>formed under section 501(c)(3) of the US Code. The forgoing statements are
>>the opinion of Ford Peterson-N0FP, who was NOT paid to deliver his
>>endorsement of the outstanding service provided by LOTW.)
>>

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>

3541. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 12:37:24 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3523 Message: 3541

Brian,

If you recall my posting the other day about LoTW as
as a service, you actually described the reason for its
failure as a SERVICE.

The way it is desing, it is perfect tool for someone
who starts from zero, and has huge number of confirmations.
As you said we are all old-timers, with few endorsments,
and standard mail is still cheaper.

73 Nenad VE3EXY

P.S. John sends about 4-6 kg of QSLs every year
only in response to incoming requests.

Maybe his motvation for submitting logs
is to reduce costs, by
reducing the number of incoming QSLs.

-----Original Message-----

records or the ARRL deleted the columns to keep John's totals from
public view. Either way the columns would be zero's.

I know a local DXer who have over 327 confirmed on all bands but 160.
He sees little point to using LOTW since it will generate no hits. It
is cheaper for him to spend the $2.84 for the few new ones he may
uncover than to buy a bunch of credits from LOTW.

Knowing how motivated VE3EJ is, my guess is that he wanted to be first
to complete 9 Band DXCC via LOTW-- although there is no award for doing
so. The interest is in the hunt.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3542. received today: JT1CO via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 12:52:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3542 Message: 3542

Among the group of LoTW qsls I received today were:
3 qsls from JT1CO

And even though I don't get new band/mode confirmations very often.

There was one in that group for 30 meters and LoTW also indicated it was
also good for 'Challenge'

So some of those 100 plus 'credits' I bought 3 years ago will be used after
all.

Thanks for the qso and qsl Chak.

PS: I'm glad that my software will indicate that it was a 'LoTW' qsl, and
also indicate when it was received, and what band it's good for, and what
mode it's good for, so I don't have to do the paperwork and don't waste
money and time trying to send for the hardcard.
I'm also glad that software like Dave's does all that paperwork for me which
minimizes errors in the submission/record process.

I'm also glad that ARRL created LoTW so that I can get this qsl most
economically.

While I have been dxing for many years, and have achieved a few personal
goals, I don't have paper on my walls, but pursue goals for my pleasure, to
keep up my interest, and to try new techniques, tools, and improve my
skills.

But....
I wish the ARR: would kick it into 2nd gear and promote, recruit, and make
the system more attractive to newcomers.
Three plus years of minimal growth, would get you fired in the NFL, demoted
in the Board Room, and is only good enough for politicians.

You're getting old when you're sitting in a rocker and you can't get it
started.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3543. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: JT1CO via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 15:05:17 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3542 Message: 3543
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3544. LoTW annual budget?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 19:13:24 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3544 Message: 3544

Does anyone know what the annual funding budget is for the LoTW system? How about the funding "do date"? Actual figures, not guess-timates, please!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

3545. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 19:55:18 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3544 Message: 3545

Does anyone know what the annual funding budget is for the LoTW system? How about the funding "do date"? Actual figures, not guess-timates, please!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
****

Hi Bob,

I did a quick scan of the 2005 annual report. The information you are looking for is far too granular a detail to extract it from public information. Even the IRS form 990, which is public information but pretty boring and available only by request, is going to be prepared in summary fashion. My guess is that board minutes may include references that hint at the number you are looking for, but it is doubtful you will find a rifle shot answer. And those would likely be old numbers anyway.

My gut tells me that the number you are looking for may not exist as a number. For example, the personnel involved are active in all aspects of web design and administration. The server is used for the entire web site. Administrative staff oversee LOTW and other areas of administration. I seriously doubt the granularity exists. Think about it... What does an additional 50GB of server space cost? Almost nothing. Yet the actual cost attributable to LOTW would be a seemingly arbitrary allocation of all overhead. If it were me wearing the bean counter's hat, my initial question to you would be "How much do you want it to cost?" And I would simply back into the number from there... No kidding...

I would contact Jay Bellows (our Dakota Director) and run it by Jay. I'm fairly certain you will be met with numerous queries about why you want to know. ARRL is not required to disclose the number to my knowledge. As an Accountant, I can assert that NO number will be publicly disclosed if it is not required. The requisite cost accounting simply costs too much to be worthwhile. Jay may be aware of specific estimates based on business plans approved when it started up. Based on your need to know, he may be able to make a phone call to the right person to get a hip shot at the number.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3546. question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 19:35:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3546 Message: 3546

It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their entire logs, even if previously uploaded.
This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more complete or corrected location/zone/ data.

But I have the opposite question.
Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a couple times a week.

Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed any delay in my upload being accepted.

What's the consensus on this.

I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even though I backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal about backing up).

So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you the last word!"

"The steady state of disks is full.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3547. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 20:43:24 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3546 Message: 3547

I have all ready had to use LoTW as my back-up log due to a fairly recent hard drive crash, since then, I upload at the end of each day that I am on the air, and just the new contacts that had not previously been uploaded.
While that may seem to be too often, it serves as my log back-up, and the uploads take very little time. having worked for a local interenet company, I know that that is how bandwith sharing is accomplished , a lot of people on the network at one time, but actual data transfer (web pages) is in small bursts, its the big file transfers that tend to clog things up.
Don
Ke7ffm

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 12:35 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] question for the LoTW

It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their entire logs, even if previously uploaded.
This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more complete or corrected location/zone/ data.

But I have the opposite question.
Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a couple times a week.

Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed any delay in my upload being accepted.

What's the consensus on this.

I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even though I backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal about backing up).

So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you the last word!"

"The steady state of disks is full.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3548. RE: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 22:05:38 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 3546 Message: 3548

A daily upload should be fine.

DXKeeper can automatically upload each QSO to eQSL.cc as it is logged,
completely eliminating the need for the user to ever think about uploading.
I thought about providing this option for LotW, but decided against it to
avoid overloading the LotW server.

For what it's worth, optimizing LotW to accept batches of QSOs rather than
individual QSOs in real-time was a design error. Any system that depends on
good behavior from its users is on shaky ground; its not that users are
malicious, they are simply ignorant of what constitutes good and bad
behavior.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@billnjudy.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 2:36 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: question for the LoTW

It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their entire logs,

even if previously uploaded.
This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more complete or
corrected location/zone/ data.

But I have the opposite question.
Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a couple times a

week.

Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed any delay
in my upload being accepted.

What's the consensus on this.

I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even though I
backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal about
backing up).

So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you the last
word!"

"The steady state of disks is full.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3549. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW status

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-24 02:07:50 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 3517 Message: 3549

On 1/23/2007 Art RX9TX wrote:

> Dave, any plans for compliance with LoTW for future versions of ADIF?
> Like <LoTW_QSL_RCVD> and <LoTW_QSL_SENT> fields?

wouldn't it be a LOT more flexible to do
<QSL_RCVD source=LOTW > and <QSL_SENT source=LOTW> so that we don't have to define new element types as other QSL sources come and go?

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

Back Up My Hard Drive? I Can't Find The Reverse Switch!

3550. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 00:45:09 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3546 Message: 3550

Dave,

You obviously are not a contester who makes thousands of QSO's during a contest. I doubt any of them would be interested in uploading their contacts real time to LOTW.

It appears to me that contesters are the biggest users of LOTW. Thus optimizing for the batch mode was the correct thing to do.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>
> For what it's worth, optimizing LotW to accept batches of QSOs rather than
> individual QSOs in real-time was a design error. Any system that depends on
> good behavior from its users is on shaky ground; its not that users are
> malicious, they are simply ignorant of what constitutes good and bad
> behavior.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 2:36 PM
> To: LoTW List
> Subject: question for the LoTW
>
>
> It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their entire logs,
>
> even if previously uploaded.
> This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more complete or
> corrected location/zone/ data.
>
> But I have the opposite question.
> Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a couple times a
>
> week.
>
> Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
> I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed any delay
> in my upload being accepted.
>
> What's the consensus on this.

>
> I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even though I
> backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal about
> backing up).
>
> So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you the last
> word!"
>
>
> ---
> "The steady state of disks is full.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3551. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 02:27:39 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3546 Message: 3551

WOW thousandSSSS of contacts..... Again WOW

Rod WC7N

----- Original Message -----
From: <alsopb@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 4:45 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: question for the LoTW

> Dave,
>
> You obviously are not a contester who makes thousands of QSO's during a
> contest. I doubt any of them would be interested in uploading their
> contacts real time to LOTW.
>
> It appears to me that contesters are the biggest users of LOTW. Thus
> optimizing for the batch mode was the correct thing to do.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>
>> For what it's worth, optimizing LotW to accept batches of QSOs rather
>> than
>> individual QSOs in real-time was a design error. Any system that depends
>> on
>> good behavior from its users is on shaky ground; its not that users are
>> malicious, they are simply ignorant of what constitutes good and bad
>> behavior.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
>> Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 2:36 PM
>> To: LoTW List
>> Subject: question for the LoTW
>>
>>
>> It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their entire
>> logs,
>>
>> even if previously uploaded.

>> This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more complete or
>> corrected location/zone/ data.
>>
>> But I have the opposite question.
>> Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a couple
>> times a
>>
>> week.
>>
>> Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
>> I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed any
>> delay
>> in my upload being accepted.
>>
>> What's the consensus on this.
>>
>> I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even though I
>> backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal about
>> backing up).
>>
>> So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you the last
>> word!"
>>
>>
>> ---
>> "The steady state of disks is full.
>> ---
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
>> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>

3552. Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 03:47:57 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3546 Message: 3552

Contesters may well upload more QSOs to LotW than other users, but they probably generate fewer upload batches than other users; they typically upload one large batch at the conclusion of each contest.

Let's assume that you're right. Based on this revelation, I and several other developers of logging applications optimized for DXers and general operators conclude that we can increase the convenience of our applications to our users by automatically uploading each QSO to LotW as its logged; after all, this would be just a drop in the bucket compared to the load generated by contesters. The upload can be implemented as a low-priority background process, thereby minimizing any performance impact on the user's PC.

As a result, the LotW server becomes swamped because of the overhead of accepting a connection, uploading a file, decrypting the file, and closing the connection is now amortized over 1 QSO instead of the current N -- except immediately after contests, when the large uploads increase its efficiency (but dramatically reduce its response time).

The LotW team can't tolerate this effective reduction in capacity, so they modify the LotW code to refuse to accept QSOs uploaded in a batch containing fewer than 4 QSOs. This pushes LotW support for WAC from 2008 to 2009.

We logging application developers won't be overcome so easily; we modify our applications to automatically upload a batch of 4 QSOs after each logged QSO -- the new QSO, and 3 bogus QSOs with TE1ST that our applications will automatically ignore when downloading from LotW. Effective LotW server capacity drops again, and the LotW team has to waste more time figuring a way to protect their servers. LotW support for WAC moves out to 2010.

Does this still sound like the right design?

A more appropriate design would

-- efficiently upload, accept, and match a single QSO, returning the results to the user in real-time (eliminating the current repetitive "are they accepted?" transactions and often eliminating the "is it confirmed?" transaction).

-- accept a batch of uploaded QSOs, schedule this batch for low-priority background processing, and return the results to the user when complete (eliminating the current need for repetitive "are they accepted?" transactions).

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:
>
> Dave,
>
> You obviously are not a contester who makes thousands of QSO's
during a
> contest. I doubt any of them would be interested in uploading
their
> contacts real time to LOTW.
>
> It appears to me that contesters are the biggest users of LOTW.
Thus
> optimizing for the batch mode was the correct thing to do.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Dave AA6YQ wrote:
> >
> > For what it's worth, optimizing LotW to accept batches of QSOs
rather than
> > individual QSOs in real-time was a design error. Any system that
depends on
> > good behavior from its users is on shaky ground; its not that
users are
> > malicious, they are simply ignorant of what constitutes good and
bad
> > behavior.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 2:36 PM
> > To: LoTW List
> > Subject: question for the LoTW
> >
> >
> > It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their
entire logs,
> >
> > even if previously uploaded.
> > This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more

complete or
> > corrected location/zone/ data.
> >
> > But I have the opposite question.
> > Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a
couple times a
> >
> > week.
> >
> > Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
> > I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed
any delay
> > in my upload being accepted.
> >
> > What's the consensus on this.
> >
> > I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even
though I
> > backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal
about
> > backing up).
> >
> > So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you
the last
> > word!"
> >
> >
> > ---
> > "The steady state of disks is full.
> > ---
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3553. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 04:15:09 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3553

At 23:21 1/23/2007, you wrote:

>Bob spewed:
>
>Ford:
>I think your judgmental views of VE3EJ is TOTALLY unwarranted !!!
>
>******************
>
>Bob,
>
>I have no idea how you could read into my posting as being critical of John.
>I went out of my way, bent over backwards, and described in great detail how
>this is not a John issue. Quite the contrary. My posting was designed to
>illustrate that there are many who may be using LOTW and waiting in the
>wings to get enough into the system to actually do an application. How you
>can interpret my comments as being critical of John is beyond belief.
>Please, if you are offended at my posting, read it again.
>
>Nuff said...
>
>Ford-N0FP

Ford sidesteps:

Ford, I did reread your message twice before I even replied the first time.

I have reread it again and I think these two paragraphs you wrote sum up why
I made the comments I did !!!

Ford wrote:

"Net cash flowing to LOTW? Zero dollars, and zero cents. Value to John?
Priceless!

This speaks volumns to me. Does the fact that John has not yet made
application to have the credits awarded or in any way diminish VE3EJ's
accomplishment? Nope! Congrats to John for an amazing feat. John gets his
bragging rights without spending a nickle. Is this a reflection of John's
altruism? Nope! It is a reflection of the benevolence of the ARRL for
allowing people to share their accomplishments, garner respect, enjoy the
satisfaction of said accomplishments, all for the price of zero dollars and
zero cents. Priceless!"

I still maintain that in your first paragraph, you are implying that VE3EJ didn't pay ZIP to LoTW and is reaping the all the "Priceless Results".

In you second paragraph, you seem to criticize VE3EJ for not having made application fast enough for LoTW credits (within 2 days) to satisfy you.

Then you said "Congrats to John for an amazing feat. John gets his bragging rights without spending a nickle.", I interpret this as "Nice Job Freeloader" !!!

If you wanted to make a point about LoTW and $$$, I think you should have left John completely out of this discussion !!! After all you don't know anything about John's financial dealings with LoTW !!!

A simple "Atta Boy John" or "Well Done John" would be more in line with complementing him, instead of bringing him up in a dissertation on "LoTW Freeloaders" !!!

Yes, I am offended, for John.

73
Bob, K1VU

3554. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 04:45:48 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3544 Message: 3554

Ford,

Thanks for your reply. I know you know how to "count beans". I started reading the Annual Report but fell asleep at page 5. When I woke up I didn't remember what I was looking for.

As an IT manager, I had to prepare an operating budget and assign dollar values to future projects. It wasn't rocket science, but it wasn't precision numbering either. Fifty grand for this, a couple hundred grand for that, and by the time it was all added up and brought before the financial committee, there was some serious money on the table (millions). LoTW would have been one line item for me with a $ value attached. A project number would be assigned and charges could then be applied throughout the year. This is simple Business 101 and Project Management in the corporate world.

Where I was going with this was to see if a reasonable "minute burden rate" could be determined for LoTW. For example, IF $500,000 was the annual budget for LoTW then you could figure 1 minute of LoTW processing time cost about 95 cents (calculated from: 365 days X 24 hours X 60 minutes = 525,600 minutes in a year). Divide the $500,000 budget by the minutes and you come up with a little under a buck. Or divide again by 60 and you get a little over a penny and a half per second of processing time.

Knowing the burden rate, each LoTW user can then calculate the cost to process their LoTW log(s). Each upload report shows the number of seconds eaten up. Here's an example of my first upload: 5,571 records, producing 1,722 confirmations (a 31% hit rate) in 872 seconds. In my example, that's a $13.82 budget hit.

Where this becomes important, and a real money sink, is (re)processing duplicate logbook data. I made the mistake of uploading a 6,542 QSO log that contained 6,238 dupe contacts. The net gain was only 304 QSO's. My faux pas consumed 8,442 processing seconds, for a $133.85 budget hit. Fortunately, LoTW has enough front-end intelligence to abort uploads that are true duplicates.

My intent is to put some "reasonable numbers" together to show why ARRL is making a big deal out of managing the LoTW resource.

Of course I'm over simplifying all of this. But when you start talking about hundreds of dollars being wasted, it tends to get peoples attention.

So... let's speculate away...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 1:55 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Does anyone know what the annual funding budget is for the LoTW system? How about the funding "do date"? Actual figures, not guess-timates, please!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
****

Hi Bob,

I did a quick scan of the 2005 annual report. The information you are looking for is far too granular a detail to extract it from public information. Even the IRS form 990, which is public information but pretty boring and available only by request, is going to be prepared in summary fashion. My guess is that board minutes may include references that hint at the number you are looking for, but it is doubtful you will find a rifle shot answer. And those would likely be old numbers anyway.

My gut tells me that the number you are looking for may not exist as a number. For example, the personnel involved are active in all aspects of web design and administration. The server is used for the entire web site. Administrative staff oversee LOTW and other areas of administration. I seriously doubt the granularity exists. Think about it... What does an additional 50GB of server space cost? Almost nothing. Yet the actual cost attributable to LOTW would be a seemingly arbitrary allocation of all overhead. If it were me wearing the bean counter's hat, my initial question to you would be "How much do you want it to cost?" And I would simply back into the number from there... No kidding...

I would contact Jay Bellows (our Dakota Director) and run it by Jay. I'm fairly certain you will be met with numerous queries about why you want to know. ARRL is not required to disclose the number to my knowledge. As an Accountant, I can assert that NO number will be publicly disclosed if it is not required. The requisite cost accounting simply costs too much to be worthwhile. Jay may be aware of specific estimates based on business plans approved when it started up. Based on your need to know, he may be able to make a phone call to the right person to get a hip shot at the number.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3555. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 12:30:17 UTC
From: alsopb@gloryroad.net
Topic: 3546 Message: 3555

Dave,

I can't see any benefit to the "real time QSO upload". Instant gratification? It depends upon both ends of a QSO being "real time".

Again you're pushing for something that is a low probability event. This is the same reason the ADIF people rejected your suggested mods to ADIF file format.

BTW have you ever created an ADIF file for 10K QSO's. It is really bloated. It doesn't need more fields for even greater bloat. You know not everybody in this world is broadband.

I also don't think you've done very many uploads to LOTW. Over the past years since the inception of LOTW I've done several hundered- both small (about 10) and large (thousands) uploads. The response is very good. The smaller ones get into the system in a few seconds (after upload). Sometimes larger ones are spooled (especially contest weekends or when system maintenance is being done) and delayed up to hours. So spooling already exists. When not spooled it is only a matter of a minute or two for the larger files to get into the system.

I see nothing wrong in having a system that works well for users supplying the bulk of its contents. Apparently you do because of some kind of personal crusade.

I don't think the system was ever intended to be a Bank of America transaction oriented system.

Anyhow, apparently the LOTW system is running quite a bit below capacity now.

THEIR PROBLEM IS GETTING MORE USERS NOT THROUGHPUT. Instant gratification isn't the issue. Acceptance by "not invented here" types is. So is the clumsy setup by the user. If they had a setup WIZARD to handle the mess, there also would be more users. If money is to be spent on anything (doubtful), such a WIZARD would the the biggest bang/buck.

I'm sure they would be ecstatic to have so many users that response time becomes an issue.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> Contesters may well upload more QSOs to LotW than other users, but
> they probably generate fewer upload batches than other users; they
> typically upload one large batch at the conclusion of each contest.
>

> Let's assume that you're right. Based on this revelation, I and
> several other developers of logging applications optimized for DXers
> and general operators conclude that we can increase the convenience
> of our applications to our users by automatically uploading each QSO
> to LotW as its logged; after all, this would be just a drop in the
> bucket compared to the load generated by contesters. The upload can
> be implemented as a low-priority background process, thereby
> minimizing any performance impact on the user's PC.
>
> As a result, the LotW server becomes swamped because of the overhead
> of accepting a connection, uploading a file, decrypting the file, and
> closing the connection is now amortized over 1 QSO instead of the
> current N -- except immediately after contests, when the large
> uploads increase its efficiency (but dramatically reduce its response
> time).
>
> The LotW team can't tolerate this effective reduction in capacity, so
> they modify the LotW code to refuse to accept QSOs uploaded in a
> batch containing fewer than 4 QSOs. This pushes LotW support for WAC
> from 2008 to 2009.
>
> We logging application developers won't be overcome so easily; we
> modify our applications to automatically upload a batch of 4 QSOs
> after each logged QSO -- the new QSO, and 3 bogus QSOs with TE1ST
> that our applications will automatically ignore when downloading from
> LotW. Effective LotW server capacity drops again, and the LotW team
> has to waste more time figuring a way to protect their servers. LotW
> support for WAC moves out to 2010.
>
> Does this still sound like the right design?
>
> A more appropriate design would
>
> -- efficiently upload, accept, and match a single QSO, returning the
> results to the user in real-time (eliminating the current
> repetitive "are they accepted?" transactions and often eliminating
> the "is it confirmed?" transaction).
>
> -- accept a batch of uploaded QSOs, schedule this batch for low-
> priority background processing, and return the results to the user
> when complete (eliminating the current need for repetitive "are they
> accepted?" transactions).
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
> 73,

>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:
>
>>Dave,
>>
>>You obviously are not a contester who makes thousands of QSO's
>
> during a
>
>>contest. I doubt any of them would be interested in uploading
>
> their
>
>>contacts real time to LOTW.
>>
>>It appears to me that contesters are the biggest users of LOTW.
>
> Thus
>
>>optimizing for the batch mode was the correct thing to do.
>>
>>73 de Brian/K3KO
>>
>>Dave AA6YQ wrote:
>>
>>>For what it's worth, optimizing LotW to accept batches of QSOs
>
> rather than
>
>>>individual QSOs in real-time was a design error. Any system that
>
> depends on
>
>>>good behavior from its users is on shaky ground; its not that
>
> users are
>
>>>malicious, they are simply ignorant of what constitutes good and
>
> bad
>
>>>behavior.
>>>
>>> 73,
>>>
>>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>>
>>>-----Original Message-----

>>>From: FireBrick [mailto:w9ol@...]
>>>Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2007 2:36 PM
>>>To: LoTW List
>>>Subject: question for the LoTW
>>>
>>>
>>>It's become apparent that some people have been uploading their
>
> entire logs,
>
>>>even if previously uploaded.
>>>This is unnecessary unless the additional uploads had more
>
> complete or
>
>>>corrected location/zone/ data.
>>>
>>>But I have the opposite question.
>>>Depending on how much operating I've done, I tend to upload a
>
> couple times a
>
>>>week.
>>>
>>>Is this too much and also causing a drag on the system.
>>>I usually do this in early weekday mornings, and have not noticed
>
> any delay
>
>>>in my upload being accepted.
>>>
>>>What's the consensus on this.
>>>
>>>I do it so that in case of computer malfunction, I'm safe, even
>
> though I
>
>>>backup so often as to be almost anal. (ok...not almost, I am anal
>
> about
>
>>>backing up).
>>>
>>>So as Bill O'Reilly says' "What say you people". "I'll give you
>
> the last
>
>>>word!"
>>>
>>>

>>>--
>>>"The steady state of disks is full.
>>>--
>>>
>>>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>>>webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
>>>weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3556. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 16:48:09 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3556

...snip...

A simple "Atta Boy John" or "Well Done John" would be more in line with complementing him, instead of bringing him up in a dissertation on "LoTW Freeloaders" !!!

Yes, I am offended, for John.

73
Bob, K1VU
****

Bob,

Atta Boy John. Well Done John.

There... You happy now?

If the "freeloading" shoe fits John, then so-be-it. If it fits you, and you are really just lashing out at me to appease your conscience, then so-be-it. Do us both a favor. Next time you see a post from me, just hit the delete key and save both of us from each other. Having re-read my post several times, you obviously don't get the point. It was not, is not, never was, about John's accomplishment. He apparently doesn't need LOTW and that's just fine by me. I appreciate getting confirmed by his uploads. It always was about the people waiting in the wings to actually make an application for a DXCC award and participate with revenue, instead of contributing to overhead. I strongly suspect that there are many active participants who do not yet contribute to the LOTW bottom line. Whether they are freeloading is a personal issue that must be answered by each user. If the intent is to use LOTW to participate in a very length DXCC chasing process, or if they are using LOTW as a useful tool for backup, or if they are using the reports to monitor progress and reaping some benefit from that, then I encourage them to buy credits at as early a point as possible--for cash flow reasons. Connect the dots Bob.

If the freeloading shoe fits anybody reading this posting, then do something about it. Whether your name is John, or Bob, or Sam, or Steve, or McGillicutty.

Bob...I'm sure that John is forever in your debt now that you provided me a public tongue lashing in an attempt to straighten me out. Shame on me for attempting to get people off their duffs and participate with their wallets. Perhaps you can describe for all of us what you have done for LOTW today. Better yet, don't respond at all...

Have a great day Bob. KMA

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3557. Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 22:08:41 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3546 Message: 3557

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:

Dave,

I can't see any benefit to the "real time QSO upload". Instant gratification?

>>>No - automation. If clicking the Log button automatically uploads the QSO to LotW and determines that LotW has accepted that uploaded QSO, then the user need never perform those actions manually. In contrast, a DXKeeper user today must remember to periodically click "upload to LotW" and "SyncLotWQSOs".

It depends upon both ends of a QSO being "real time".

>>>Not true, Brian. You can upload your QSOs and verify their acceptance independent of their confirmation by your QSO partner.

Again you're pushing for something that is a low probability event.

>>>The question that began this thread was Bill W9OL's "is it okay to upload each day?". Once a day is not a low probability event.

This is the same reason the ADIF people rejected your suggested mods to ADIF file format.

>>>Since you are not a member of the ADIF development community and have participated in none of its discussions over the years, I'd be interested in how you reached this conclusion. Incidentally, several logging applications have implemented these as private (non-interchangeable) tags.

BTW have you ever created an ADIF file for 10K QSO's. It is really bloated. It doesn't need more fields for even greater bloat. You know not everybody in this world is broadband.

>>>Yes. I have been involved with LotW since it entered beta test; at that time, my primary log contained ~15K QSOs, and I uploaded them en masse.

>>>ADIF was designed to enable amateurs to move QSOs from one logging application to another -- typically via disk files. It was the ARRL's decision to use it as the representation for information being uploaded to and downloaded from LotW -- probably because it immediately enables most logging applications to at least support uploading. Yes, text-oriented representations are "big" compared with

encoded representations, but I think the LotW development team made the correct tradeoff. A new representation optimized for compactness would have created a nasty chicken-and-egg scenario between support from logging application developers and users; by choosing ADIF, the ARRL eliminated a potentially showstopping impediment to LotW adoption by immediately accepting uploads from tools already in users' hands.

>>>The uptake of broadband across the general population is rising faster than most observers predicted. Music, VOIP, games, and video will continue to drive this trends. Even if hams are late adopters, their kids aren't.

I also don't think you've done very many uploads to LOTW.

>>>There's no way for you to know that, and you are wrong. I upload QSOs from my primary log at least weekly. All of my FJ/AA6YQ, KP2/AA6YQ, and KH6/AA6YQ QSOs have been uploaded; in aggregate, that's more than 20K QSOs. Given the large numbers of LotW users among the DXLab user community, I am made continuously aware of the issues that LotW users encounter.

Over the past years since the inception of LOTW I've done several hundered- both small (about 10) and large (thousands) uploads. The response is very good.

>>>Then you've been lucky. There have been many multi-day LotW outages, and there have been many times when uploaded QSOs have not been accepted for days.

The smaller ones get into the system in a few seconds (after upload). Sometimes larger ones are spooled (especially contest weekends or when system maintenance is being done) and delayed up to hours. So spooling already exists. When not spooled it is only a matter of a minute or two for the larger files to get into the system.

>>>"Spooling" is a term typically applied to the batch processing of printer requests.

I see nothing wrong in having a system that works well for users supplying the bulk of its contents.

>>>I assume you are referring to contesters. If contesters are LotW's primary users, why has the ARRL focused on connecting LotW to the DXCC desk's award tracking system, and shown no progress toward automated scoring or submissions for ARRL contests?

Apparently you do because of some kind of personal crusade.

>>>My crusade for high-quality, easy-to-use software began long before LotW's conception. Nothing improves without criticism; aim low, and you'll hit the ground every time. Defensiveness is not a useful response; I encounter much more of it from "LotW supporters"

than from the LotW development team.

I don't think the system was ever intended to be a Bank of America transaction oriented system.

>>>The ARRL was far more concerned with security than useability. With enough development resources, this tradeoff might have worked out okay. With barely enough resources to operate the system, the negative impact on user uptake is obvious.

Anyhow, apparently the LOTW system is running quite a bit below capacity now.

>>>On what data do you base this conclusion?

THEIR PROBLEM IS GETTING MORE USERS NOT THROUGHPUT. Instant gratification isn't the issue. Acceptance by "not invented here" types is.

>>>I have spent a large amount of time providing a fully-automated easy-to-use interface to LotW within DXlab. While I would have preferred a different design, this hasn't stopped me from providing comprehensive support for LotW.

So is the clumsy setup by the user. If they had a setup WIZARD to handle the mess, there also would be more users. If money is to be spent on anything (doubtful), such a WIZARD would the the biggest bang/buck.

>>>A wizard to cover the setup and renewal scenarios would indeed be helpful. When will you have one ready for testing, Brian?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3558. Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 18:16:40 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3546 Message: 3558

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, alsopb@... wrote:

Dave,

I can't see any benefit to the "real time QSO upload". Instant gratification?

>>>No - automation. If clicking the Log button automatically uploads the QSO to LotW and determines that LotW has accepted that uploaded QSO, then the user need never perform those actions manually. In contrast, a DXKeeper user today must remember to periodically click "upload to LotW" and "SyncLotWQSOs".

It depends upon both ends of a QSO being "real time".

>>>Not true, Brian. You can upload your QSOs and verify their acceptance independent of their confirmation by your QSO partner.

Again you're pushing for something that is a low probability event.

>>>The question that began this thread was Bill W9OL's "is it okay to upload each day?". Once a day is not a low probability event.

This is the same reason the ADIF people rejected your suggested mods to ADIF file format.

>>>Since you are not a member of the ADIF development community and have participated in none of its discussions over the years, I'd be interested in how you know that.

BTW have you ever created an ADIF file for 10K QSO's. It is really bloated. It doesn't need more fields for even greater bloat. You know not everybody in this world is broadband.

>>>I have been involved with LotW since it entered beta test; at that time, my primary log contained ~15K QSOs, and I uploaded them en masse.

>>>ADIF was designed to enable amateurs to move QSOs from one logging application to another -- typically via disk files. It was the ARRL's decision to use it as the representation for information being uploaded to and downloaded from LotW -- probably because it immediately enables most logging applications to at least support uploading. Yes, text-oriented representations are "big" compared with encoded representations, but I think the LotW development team made the correct tradeoff. The uptake of broadband across the general

population is rising faster than most expected; among hams, the uptake is probably even faster.

I also don't think you've done very many uploads to LOTW.

>>>How could you possibly know that? I upload QSOs from my primary log at least weekly. All of my QSOs from FJ/AA6YQ, KP2/AA6YQ, and KH6/AA6YQ were immediately uploaded. Given the large numbers of LotW users among the DXLab user community, I am constantly informed of the issues that LotW users encounter.

Over the past years since the inception of LOTW I've done several hundered- both small (about 10) and large (thousands) uploads. The response is very good.

>>>Then you've been lucky. There have been many multi-day LotW outages, and there have been many times when uploaded QSOs have not been accepted for days.

The smaller ones get into the system in a few seconds (after upload). Sometimes larger ones are spooled (especially contest weekends or when system maintenance is being done) and delayed up to hours. So spooling already exists. When not spooled it is only a matter of a minute or two for the larger files to get into the system.

>>>"Spooling" is a term typically reserved for the batch processing of printer requests.

I see nothing wrong in having a system that works well for users supplying the bulk of its contents. Apparently you do because of some kind of personal crusade.

>>>I have long been on a personal crusade for high-quality, easy-to-use software. Nothing improves without criticism; aim low, and you'll hit the ground every time. Defensiveness is not a constructive response.

I don't think the system was ever intended to be a Bank of America transaction oriented system.

>>>LotW was far more concerned with security than useability. With enough development resources, this tradeoff might have worked out okay. With barely enough resources to operate the system, the impact on user uptake is obvious.

Anyhow, apparently the LOTW system is running quite a bit below capacity now.

>>>On what data do you base this conclusion?

THEIR PROBLEM IS GETTING MORE USERS NOT THROUGHPUT. Instant gratification isn't the issue. Acceptance by "not invented here" types is. So is the clumsy setup by the user. If they had a setup

WIZARD to handle the mess, there also would be more users. If money is to be spent on anything (doubtful), such a WIZARD would the the biggest bang/buck.

>>>I have spent a large amount of time providing a fully-automated easy-to use interface to LotW within DXlab. While I would have preferred a different design, this hasn't stopped me from providing comprehensive support for the current system.

>>>A wizard to cover the initial registration and re-registration scenarios would indeed be helpful. When will you have it ready?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3559. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 19:01:38 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3544 Message: 3559

Ford,

All of what you said is true and that's why I included... "Of course I'm over simplifying all of this."

The true cost will never be know because, as you said "...subject to interpretation and (the numbers) are easily abused..." Can you say "Sarbanes-Oxley"? It was always "interesting" when the end of the budget year approached, managers would scour the budget reports looking for left over funding. Then the "campaign" would start to acquire these funds to prop up any of their own projects running over budget. This was my experience in a Fortune 100 company - to be an effective manager, you needed to be a part-time politician! But I digress (too)...

The basic point I was trying to make here, hidden in all my verbiage...

A clean upload of 5,571 net records into LoTW cost - $13.82
A duped upload of 309 net records into LoTW cost - $133.85

Move the decimal point anyplace you like, that isn't my point. In my example the "unit cost" of a clean upload was $0.0025 (1/4 penny) per record. For the bad upload it was $0.4332 per record (nearly a half a buck). There's a huge disparity in "resources" needed, depending upon the quality of the uploaded file. Whether the resources are sitting idle or not, eventually this will "catch up" and require funding to address the issue. The reality is, many users already complain how slow the system is after a big contest weekend.

I am reconciling my logs from the past 12 years and have found a lot of "issues" created by users of the LoTW and eQSL systems. I include myself in this group. But that's a topic for a different thread. I'm waiting to see what the March 2007 issue of QST says about LoTW.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: 'Robert Chudek - K0RC' ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2007 11:34 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Bob wrote:

. ..snip...

Knowing the burden rate, each LoTW user can then calculate the cost to process their LoTW log(s). Each upload report shows the number of seconds eaten up. Here's an example of my first upload: 5,571 records, producing 1,722 confirmations (a 31% hit rate) in 872 seconds. In my example, that's a $13.82 budget hit.

Where this becomes important, and a real money sink, is (re)processing duplicate logbook data. I made the mistake of uploading a 6,542 QSO log that contained 6,238 dupe contacts.

The net gain was only 304 QSO's. My faux pas consumed 8,442 processing seconds, for a $133.85 budget hit. Fortunately, LoTW has enough front-end intelligence to abort uploads that are true duplicates.

My intent is to put some "reasonable numbers" together to show why ARRL is making a big deal out of managing the LoTW resource.

Of course I'm over simplifying all of this. But when you start talking about hundreds of dollars being wasted, it tends to get peoples attention.

So... let's speculate away...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
****

Cost allocations in the manner you describe are always subject to interpretation and are easily abused. I remember doing a cost analysis to figure out how much it cost Norwest (now Wells Fargo) to issue a company check to pay a bill. Back in 1985, that number ended up being $65. 9 clerks, 2 supervisors, office space, computer charges, benefits, coffee breaks, copiers, postage, office supplies, for a year, divided by the number of checks issued for the year. Now, the real issue is what was the marginal cost of an additional check? Virtually all the costs would be incurred anyway. So maybe $1.10 for some paper, postage, and a few seconds of a clerk's time? The range is $1 to $65 per check depending on how you look at it. And reducing the number of checks only serves to allocate a higher cost to the remaining checks. Without care, you could interpret writing more checks as being cheaper! On a per check basis it would be... I digress...

Ditto for allocation of computer time. Do you charge back by CPU Seconds? By QSO record? By bandwidth consumed? Personnel preoccupied? ARRL buys bandwidth in a bundle of goodies. That bandwidth sits dormant much of the day. There are 24 hours of CPU every day--whether it's keeping the time-of-day or sorting QSO records. Everything is always available for serving up home pages, LOTW downloads, eMail, etc. Same with hard drive space. Once you put a thousand terabytes on-line, what's the cost of an additional QSO record? You can't even measure a number so small--a few watts per year maybe?

The Marginal Cost is what the BoD cares about. What changes if LOTW goes away? At this point, revenue flow would stop, and all the fixed costs would remain. It isn't going away guys. But expanding LOTW is another issue. That requires additional overhead to be diverted from projects and assigned to LOTW duties. If the available resources are inadequate to cover day-to-day activities, then there is no gas left in the tank for expanding LOTW. That's the situation we appear to be in today. The back room techno-weinie operations are tapped to capacity. More overhead is needed to expand.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3560. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] First LoTW 9 Band DXCC, VE3EJ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-26 04:50:21 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3523 Message: 3560

Hi Ford:
Regarding your statement about John VE3EJ,
"He apparently doesn't need LOTW and that's just fine by me."

I curious just how you arrived at this conclusion, is just another assumption
on your part, or did you consult your "Crystal Ball" or "Ouija Board" ???

What did I do for LoTW today ???
I wrote an article on "How to Setup TQSL's "Station Location".
Then I helped a Ham renew his expired certificates.
Lastly I helped another Ham add his missing CQZ and ITUZ data to TQSL.

Did you do anything positive for LoTW today ???

BTW:I'm familiar with the "Q" signals, but I don't know the "K" signals.
Could you please define "KMA" for those of us that are ignorant in such matters.
After all I think it behoves all Hams to try to learn as much of "Good Communications"
as possible.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 11:48 1/25/2007, you wrote:

>...snip...
>
>A simple "Atta Boy John" or "Well Done John" would be more in line with
>complementing him, instead of bringing him up in a dissertation on "LoTW
>Freeloaders" !!!
>
>Yes, I am offended, for John.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>*************************
>
>Bob,
>
>Atta Boy John. Well Done John.
>
>There... You happy now?
>
>If the "freeloading" shoe fits John, then so-be-it. If it fits you, and you
>are really just lashing out at me to appease your conscience, then so-be-it.
>Do us both a favor. Next time you see a post from me, just hit the delete
>key and save both of us from each other. Having re-read my post several
>times, you obviously don't get the point. It was not, is not, never was,

>about John's accomplishment. He apparently doesn't need LOTW and that's
>just fine by me. I appreciate getting confirmed by his uploads. It always
>was about the people waiting in the wings to actually make an application
>for a DXCC award and participate with revenue, instead of contributing to
>overhead. I strongly suspect that there are many active participants who do
>not yet contribute to the LOTW bottom line. Whether they are freeloading is
>a personal issue that must be answered by each user. If the intent is to
>use LOTW to participate in a very length DXCC chasing process, or if they
>are using LOTW as a useful tool for backup, or if they are using the reports
>to monitor progress and reaping some benefit from that, then I encourage
>them to buy credits at as early a point as possible--for cash flow reasons.
>Connect the dots Bob.
>
>If the freeloading shoe fits anybody reading this posting, then do something
>about it. Whether your name is John, or Bob, or Sam, or Steve, or
>McGillicutty.
>
>Bob...I'm sure that John is forever in your debt now that you provided me a
>public tongue lashing in an attempt to straighten me out. Shame on me for
>attempting to get people off their duffs and participate with their wallets.
>Perhaps you can describe for all of us what you have done for LOTW today.
>Better yet, don't respond at all...
>
>Have a great day Bob. KMA
>
>Ford-N0FP
><mailto:ford%40cmgate.com>ford@...
>
>

3561. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-26 14:10:05 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3561

One of you wrote:
a DXKeeper user today must remember to periodically
click "upload to LotW" and "SyncLotWQSOs".

While you gentlemen continue your pissing contest, please let me ask
a question. Does DX Lab support both cabrillo and ADIF? I enjoy
participating in some of the contests sponsored by the League (both
VHF & DX), but they only allow uploading of logs in cabrillo
(fortunately the LOTW creators were smart enough to support both) ,
and my logging program only supports ADIF, therefore I don't send in
logs. I need a SIMPLE way to get the output of my logging software
from ADIF to cabrillo. (and NO, I don't want to change logging software)

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3563. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-28 14:50:40 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3546 Message: 3563

Mike,

For converting ADIF to Cabrillo, try:
http://www.ka5wss.com/Software/LogConv/Documentation/matrix.htm

Inexpensive but depending on the contest (and cabrillo format) it
may be what you need. The problem with Cabrillo is that it is not
a "standard" format - each contest has a different format depending
on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.

It is also possible to use Excel to manually convert ADIF to
Cabrillo (or vice versa) with a little work. Once you have the
format for a contest you can use it in subsequent years or modify
it slightly for similar contests.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike(W5UC) &
> Kathy(K5MWH)
> Sent: Friday, January 26, 2007 9:10 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW
>
>
> One of you wrote:
> a DXKeeper user today must remember to periodically
> click "upload to LotW" and "SyncLotWQSOs".
>
> While you gentlemen continue your pissing contest, please let me ask
> a question. Does DX Lab support both cabrillo and ADIF? I enjoy
> participating in some of the contests sponsored by the League (both
> VHF & DX), but they only allow uploading of logs in cabrillo
> (fortunately the LOTW creators were smart enough to support both) ,
> and my logging program only supports ADIF, therefore I don't send in
> logs. I need a SIMPLE way to get the output of my logging software
> from ADIF to cabrillo. (and NO, I don't want to change
> logging software)
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"

> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3564. within minutes of BARTG ending

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-28 13:28:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3564 Message: 3564

I received more than a dozen LoTW qsls within minutes of the end of BARTG contest.
RTTY/PSK ops have been among the most active in LoTW postings since the beta testing.

Was just wondering if an additional parameter in the 'signing' process would be warranted indicating the 'Activity' that reflected the activity involved in this qso.

As most logging programs, both standard or contest based, have the ability to title a activity such as '07 BARTG Sprint' or '07 VU7RG DXpedition', it might be worthwhile to include this type of info into the LoTW qsl.

A adif field such as: 'Notes' or 'Contest' that could be included in signing data.
Obviously this would not be a compulsory field.

If someone knows how to contact the .adif 'committee', please let me know or forward this suggestion.

If the idea is stupid...then forget I asked. LOL

"Trying to establish voice contact - please yell into keyboard."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3565. Re: within minutes of BARTG ending

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-28 22:54:47 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3564 Message: 3565

ADIF does define a CONTEST_ID tag and a set of valid values for this tag; see

http://www.adif.org/adif218.htm

The CONTEST_ID tag is intended to capture the contest name. There is also a COMMENT tag that can be used to capture additional activity information. Thus no extensions to ADIF would be required to implement your suggestion.

At present, LotW ignores any CONTEST_ID or COMMENT tags present in uploaded QSOs.

I participate in the ADIF developer's forum, and would be happy to present any requests or suggestions posted here whether I happen to agree with them or not. Jon KE3Z, who leads the LotW Development team, is also a member of the ADIF developer's forum.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> I received more than a dozen LoTW qsls within minutes of the end of BARTG
> contest.
> RTTY/PSK ops have been among the most active in LoTW postings since the beta
> testing.
>
> Was just wondering if an additional parameter in the 'signing' process would
> be warranted indicating the 'Activity' that reflected the activity involved
> in this qso.
>
> As most logging programs, both standard or contest based, have the ability
> to title a activity such as '07 BARTG Sprint' or '07 VU7RG DXpedition', it
> might be worthwhile to include this type of info into the LoTW qsl.
>
> A adif field such as: 'Notes' or 'Contest' that could be included in signing
> data.
> Obviously this would not be a compulsory field.

>
> If someone knows how to contact the .adif 'committee', please let
me know or
> forward this suggestion.
>
> If the idea is stupid...then forget I asked. LOL
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> "Trying to establish voice contact - please yell into keyboard."
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

3566. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW annual budget?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-25 17:34:28 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3544 Message: 3566

Bob wrote:

. ..snip...

Knowing the burden rate, each LoTW user can then calculate the cost to process their LoTW log(s). Each upload report shows the number of seconds eaten up. Here's an example of my first upload: 5,571 records, producing 1,722 confirmations (a 31% hit rate) in 872 seconds. In my example, that's a $13.82 budget hit.

Where this becomes important, and a real money sink, is (re)processing duplicate logbook data. I made the mistake of uploading a 6,542 QSO log that contained 6,238 dupe contacts. The net gain was only 304 QSO's. My faux pas consumed 8,442 processing seconds, for a $133.85 budget hit. Fortunately, LoTW has enough front-end intelligence to abort uploads that are true duplicates.

My intent is to put some "reasonable numbers" together to show why ARRL is making a big deal out of managing the LoTW resource.

Of course I'm over simplifying all of this. But when you start talking about hundreds of dollars being wasted, it tends to get peoples attention.

So... let's speculate away...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
****

Cost allocations in the manner you describe are always subject to interpretation and are easily abused. I remember doing a cost analysis to figure out how much it cost Norwest (now Wells Fargo) to issue a company check to pay a bill. Back in 1985, that number ended up being $65. 9 clerks, 2 supervisors, office space, computer charges, benefits, coffee breaks, copiers, postage, office supplies, for a year, divided by the number of checks issued for the year. Now, the real issue is what was the marginal cost of an additional check? Virtually all the costs would be incurred anyway. So maybe $1.10 for some paper, postage, and a few seconds of a clerk's time? The range is $1 to $65 per check depending on how you look at it. And reducing the number of checks only serves to allocate a higher cost to the remaining checks. Without care, you could interpret writing more checks as being cheaper! On a per check basis it would be... I digress...

Ditto for allocation of computer time. Do you charge back by CPU Seconds? By QSO record? By bandwidth consumed? Personnel preoccupied? ARRL buys bandwidth in a bundle of goodies. That bandwidth sits dormant much of the day. There are 24 hours of CPU every day--whether it's keeping the time-of-day or sorting QSO records. Everything is always available for

serving up home pages, LOTW downloads, eMail, etc. Same with hard drive space. Once you put a thousand terabytes on-line, what's the cost of an additional QSO record? You can't even measure a number so small--a few watts per year maybe?

The Marginal Cost is what the BoD cares about. What changes if LOTW goes away? At this point, revenue flow would stop, and all the fixed costs would remain. It isn't going away guys. But expanding LOTW is another issue. That requires additional overhead to be diverted from projects and assigned to LOTW duties. If the available resources are inadequate to cover day-to-day activities, then there is no gas left in the tank for expanding LOTW. That's the situation we appear to be in today. The back room techno-weinie operations are tapped to capacity. More overhead is needed to expand.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3567. [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-26 22:25:41 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3567

One of you wrote:
a DXKeeper user today must remember to periodically
click "upload to LotW" and "SyncLotWQSOs".

While you gentlemen continue your pi(ss)ing contest, please let me ask a question. Does DX Lab support both cabrillo and ADIF? Is there a DX logging program that does? I enjoy participating in some of the contests sponsored by the League (both VHF & DX), but they only allow uploading of logs in cabrillo (fortunately the LOTW creators were smart enough to support both) , and my logging program only supports ADIF, therefore I don't submit logs. I need a SIMPLE way to get the output of my logging software from ADIF to cabrillo. (and NO, I don't want to change logging software)

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3568. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: JT1CO via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-26 09:53:44 UTC
From: iz5 ekv
Topic: 3542 Message: 3568

Today i receive the qsl card on LOTW from JT1CO on 80m CW.....VERY NICE!!!!!

73 at ALL!!

>From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>Reply-To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] received today: JT1CO via LoTW
>Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2007 06:52:26 -0600
>
>Among the group of LoTW qsls I received today were:
>3 qsls from JT1CO
>
>And even though I don't get new band/mode confirmations very often.
>
>There was one in that group for 30 meters and LoTW also indicated it was
>also good for 'Challenge'
>
>So some of those 100 plus 'credits' I bought 3 years ago will be used after
>all.
>
>Thanks for the qso and qsl Chak.
>
>PS: I'm glad that my software will indicate that it was a 'LoTW' qsl, and
>also indicate when it was received, and what band it's good for, and what
>mode it's good for, so I don't have to do the paperwork and don't waste
>money and time trying to send for the hardcard.
>I'm also glad that software like Dave's does all that paperwork for me
>which
>minimizes errors in the submission/record process.
>
>I'm also glad that ARRL created LoTW so that I can get this qsl most
>economically.
>
>While I have been dxing for many years, and have achieved a few personal
>goals, I don't have paper on my walls, but pursue goals for my pleasure, to
>keep up my interest, and to try new techniques, tools, and improve my
>skills.
>
>But....
>I wish the ARR: would kick it into 2nd gear and promote, recruit, and make
>the system more attractive to newcomers.
>Three plus years of minimal growth, would get you fired in the NFL, demoted
>in the Board Room, and is only good enough for politicians.
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>You're getting old when you're sitting in a rocker and you can't get it
>started.
>--
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
>weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>

____
Windows Live Mail: 2 GB di spazio per le tue foto e le tue mail!
http://join.msn.com/mailbeta/features#1

3569. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 19:19:09 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3569

At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
>each contest has a different format depending
>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.

Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
submit it for the Cw 160 contest.

Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question
I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
the converted file.

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3570. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 19:47:07 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3546 Message: 3570

Mike,

Contest specific (and general header requirements) for Cabrillo are at:
http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 12:19 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

> At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
>>each contest has a different format depending
>>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.
>
> Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
> submit it for the Cw 160 contest.
>
> Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
> doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question
> I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
> be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
> prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
> the converted file.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3571. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 21:45:06 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3571

Thanks Gerry:

I looked at the data on cabrillo formats and all I can say is that
it's a good thing I'm not seriously interested in contesting. My 93
year old mother has a saying: "that's too much sugar for a dime"

I just like to casually participate in various contests, but I'm not
gonna spend 8-10 bucks each to register all the variations of N3FJP's
software just to send in a log for 25-100 contacts. I may go take a
second look at some of the freebie software previously mentioned here
and see if that will work for my casual contest activity. My current
logging program apparently will not export the necessary info to
satisfy the contesting format(or maybe the ADIF to cabrillo
conversion that I'm using won't import the necessary info), so
possibly I can export a file from my contest logger in ADIF and
import the data into my primary electronic log. Beyond that;
screw-em, they just don't get a log from me, and my contest activity
won't be preserved for all posterity. Oh man, I hate it when that happens.

73,
Mike, W5UC
At 01:47 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:

>Mike,
>
>Contest specific (and general header requirements) for Cabrillo are at:
><http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/>http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/
>
>Gerry VE6LB
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
><<mailto:w5uc%40suddenlink.net>w5uc@...>
>To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 12:19 PM
>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW
>
> > At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
> >>each contest has a different format depending
> >>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.
> >
> > Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
> > submit it for the Cw 160 contest.
> >
> > Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
> > doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question

> > I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
> > be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
> > prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
> > the converted file.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> >
> <http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.12/655 - Release Date:
>1/28/2007 1:12 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3572. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 21:57:04 UTC
From: Dave-GVK
Topic: 3546 Message: 3572

Mike:
Look at KA5WSS software log converter. I use this to convert to various needed formats.
http://www.ka5wss.com/Software/LogConv/ or Google search on his call.

Dave, K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 4:45 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Thanks Gerry:

I looked at the data on cabrillo formats and all I can say is that it's a good thing I'm not seriously interested in contesting. My 93 year old mother has a saying: "that's too much sugar for a dime"

I just like to casually participate in various contests, but I'm not gonna spend 8-10 bucks each to register all the variations of N3FJP's software just to send in a log for 25-100 contacts. I may go take a second look at some of the freebie software previously mentioned here and see if that will work for my casual contest activity. My current logging program apparently will not export the necessary info to satisfy the contesting format(or maybe the ADIF to cabrillo conversion that I'm using won't import the necessary info), so possibly I can export a file from my contest logger in ADIF and import the data into my primary electronic log. Beyond that; screw-em, they just don't get a log from me, and my contest activity won't be preserved for all posterity. Oh man, I hate it when that happens.

73,
Mike, W5UC
At 01:47 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:

Mike,

Contest specific (and general header requirements) for Cabrillo are at:
http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@...>
To: < ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 12:19 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

> At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
>>each contest has a different format depending
>>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.

>
> Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
> submit it for the Cw 160 contest.
>
> Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
> doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question
> I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
> be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
> prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
> the converted file.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.12/655 - Release Date: 1/28/2007 1:12 PM
"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3573. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 22:01:04 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3546 Message: 3573

Mike

Why not try N1MM. It's very user friendly and free. It's a contesting program and covers pretty well all of them. It exports Cabrillo and ADIF (to import into N3FJP).

I use Logger32 as my total logging program and N1MM http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/ as my contesting toll. After the contest, I send my Cabrillo file to the contest folks and import my ADIF to Logger32. Then I export ADIF from Logger32 to LoTW. Likely you could send your ADIF directly from N1MM but never have done that as I want my total records kept in L32.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 2:45 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Thanks Gerry:

I looked at the data on cabrillo formats and all I can say is that it's a good thing I'm not seriously interested in contesting. My 93 year old mother has a saying: "that's too much sugar for a dime"

I just like to casually participate in various contests, but I'm not gonna spend 8-10 bucks each to register all the variations of N3FJP's software just to send in a log for 25-100 contacts. I may go take a second look at some of the freebie software previously mentioned here and see if that will work for my casual contest activity. My current logging program apparently will not export the necessary info to satisfy the contesting format(or maybe the ADIF to cabrillo conversion that I'm using won't import the necessary info), so possibly I can export a file from my contest logger in ADIF and import the data into my primary electronic log. Beyond that; screw-em, they just don't get a log from me, and my contest activity won't be preserved for all posterity. Oh man, I hate it when that happens.

73,
Mike, W5UC
At 01:47 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:

Mike,

Contest specific (and general header requirements) for Cabrillo are at:
http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)" <w5uc@suddenlink.net>
To: < ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 12:19 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

> At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
>>each contest has a different format depending
>>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.
>
> Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
> submit it for the Cw 160 contest.
>
> Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
> doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question
> I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
> be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
> prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
> the converted file.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
>
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.12/655 - Release Date: 1/28/2007 1:12 PM
"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3574. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 22:29:46 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3574

Gerry, thanks. I downloaded it and will take a look. I think
someone previously suggested that software and I can't remember
anything about it. Anyway I'll take a look.

73,
Mike, 5UC
At 04:01 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>Mike
>
>Why not try N1MM. It's very user friendly and free. It's a
>contesting program and covers pretty well all of them. It exports
>Cabrillo and ADIF (to import into N3FJP).
>
>I use Logger32 as my total logging program and N1MM
><http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/>http://pages.cthome.net/n1mm/ as my
>contesting toll. After the contest, I send my Cabrillo file to the
>contest folks and import my ADIF to Logger32. Then I export ADIF
>from Logger32 to LoTW. Likely you could send your ADIF directly from
>N1MM but never have done that as I want my total records kept in L32.
>
>Gerry
>VE6LB/VA6XDX
>ARRL DXCC Card Checker
>VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
>(403) 251-6520
>ve6lb (at) rac.ca
><http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/>www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <mailto:w5uc@...>Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
>To: <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 2:45 PM
>Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW
>
>Thanks Gerry:
>
>I looked at the data on cabrillo formats and all I can say is that
>it's a good thing I'm not seriously interested in contesting. My 93
>year old mother has a saying: "that's too much sugar for a dime"
>
>I just like to casually participate in various contests, but I'm not
>gonna spend 8-10 bucks each to register all the variations of
>N3FJP's software just to send in a log for 25-100 contacts. I may
>go take a second look at some of the freebie software previously
>mentioned here and see if that will work for my casual contest
>activity. My current logging program apparently will not export the

>necessary info to satisfy the contesting format(or maybe the ADIF to
>cabrillo conversion that I'm using won't import the necessary info),
>so possibly I can export a file from my contest logger in ADIF and
>import the data into my primary electronic log. Beyond that;
>screw-em, they just don't get a log from me, and my contest activity
>won't be preserved for all posterity. Oh man, I hate it when that happens.
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC
>At 01:47 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>
>
>>Mike,
>>
>>Contest specific (and general header requirements) for Cabrillo are at:
>><http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/>http://www.kkn.net/~trey/cabrillo/
>>
>>Gerry VE6LB
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
>><<mailto:w5uc%40suddenlink.net>w5uc@...>
>>To: <<mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>>Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 12:19 PM
>>Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW
>>
>> > At 08:50 AM 1/28/2007, you wrote:
>> >>each contest has a different format depending
>> >>on the exchange and the sponsors' whims.
>> >
>> > Ok, so what happens if I convert my ADIF output to Cabrillo and
>> > submit it for the Cw 160 contest.
>> >
>> > Again thanks to all who sent info. BV7 has a quick & easy system for
>> > doing the conversion. However, as indicated in the above question
>> > I'm wondering if all of the info wanted by the CQ contest folks will
>> > be provided. I did a couple of conversions, and the state or DX
>> > prefix does for the just finished 160 meter contest not show up in
>> > the converted file.
>> >
>> > 73,
>> > Mike, W5UC
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
>> >
>> <http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>No virus found in this incoming message.
>>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.12/655 - Release Date:
>>1/28/2007 1:12 PM
>
>"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
>http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.432 / Virus Database: 268.17.12/655 - Release Date:
>1/28/2007 1:12 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3575. Adif and Cabrillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 22:34:04 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3575 Message: 3575

I use N1MM Logger. It is free with excellent support. This is a basic contest program although it can be used for causal contacts.
After finishing the CQ 160 CW contest, I went to File, exported the contest to an adif file. This file was imported to my main logging program, DXBase. Also, this file was converted to a tq8 file and submitted to LoTW.
Again, I went to File and exported the contest in a cabrillo file and submitted it to CQ. All of this was done in less than 15 minutes.
I see that there are serval hams that have done the same thing. Notable submittals are that the Alaska and New Zealand stations have their Qs in LoTW, tks guys. BTW, no QSOs with ID, NE or ND. Heard ND with a large pileup Went back later and he was gone.
I also use the DXLab Programs. You can't beat WinWarbler for causal contacts and the logging program is excellent. The DXLab programs are also free with support.
JV KT4U

3576. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 22:42:35 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 3546 Message: 3576

Mike,

If you already paid N3FJP the download price for AC Log, then you
paid him $19. You can get ALL the rest of his software for ALL the
contests he supports for just an additional $20 since he gives you credit
for the amount you have already paid! Where else can you find such a
deal? I counted some 41 specific contest logging programs on his website
so that's one heck of a bargain. That's less than half a buck per contest
logging program!

The full package is called "N3FJP's Software Package." Just drop
Scott an email at

snkdavis@...

and he will tell you how much you need to send him in order to
upgrade to the full package.

You can read the upgrade details here:

<http://www.n3fjp.com/PackageUpgrade.htm>

I am not affiliated with Scott in any way, I just think he offers a
heck of a lot of great (and easy to use) software. Then too, if you
already use AC Log, you will be familiar with all the contest specific
logging programs he offers because they all look and feel the same.

Do you think you can afford to invest twenty bucks so that your
contest activity is preserved for the ages? I hope so. I'd hate for all
that effort to be lost. And, you might find you actually do more
contesting because of it.

Clark, W8TN

At 03:45 PM 1/29/2007 -0600, you wrote:
>Thanks Gerry:
>
>I looked at the data on cabrillo formats and all I can say is that it's a
>good thing I'm not seriously interested in contesting. My 93 year old
>mother has a saying: "that's too much sugar for a dime"
>
>I just like to casually participate in various contests, but I'm not gonna
>spend 8-10 bucks each to register all the variations of N3FJP's software
>just to send in a log for 25-100 contacts. I may go take a second look at
>some of the freebie software previously mentioned here and see if that
>will work for my casual contest activity. My current logging program
>apparently will not export the necessary info to satisfy the contesting

>format(or maybe the ADIF to cabrillo conversion that I'm using won't
>import the necessary info), so possibly I can export a file from my
>contest logger in ADIF and import the data into my primary electronic
>log. Beyond that; screw-em, they just don't get a log from me, and my
>contest activity won't be preserved for all posterity. Oh man, I hate it
>when that happens.
>
>73,
>Mike, W5UC

3577. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-29 23:19:16 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3546 Message: 3577

Thanks Clark. As a package deal with credit that might be a
worthwhile effort. I'll investigate.

For me, serious contesting is cherry picking what I want/need and
going inside and getting snuggled up to that old woman I sleep
with. Maybe this will help you-all understand my reluctance to put a
buncha funds into this. It may cost me a few bucks more as I think I
bought AC Log when it was 15 bucks.

JV I wish I had found the pile-up on ND. I need to work only ND & RI
to have worked them all on 160. There was a reference to a RI stn on
VE7CC but I never could find him.

Thanks again gents.

73,
Mike, W5UC
At 04:42 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:

>Mike,
>
>If you already paid N3FJP the download price for AC Log, then you
>paid him $19. You can get ALL the rest of his software for ALL the
>contests he supports for just an additional $20 since he gives you credit
>for the amount you have already paid!

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3578. LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 00:49:35 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3578

Hey Mike

Well, I'll break your heart. I worked either two or three stations from RI. Always wanted to worked WAS 160 CW during the contest. This is as close as I have come. Wish the USA ops would stay out of the DX window, hi hi.

In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30 meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report made a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later in the week.

JV

JV I wish I had found the pile-up on ND. I need to work only ND & RI to have worked them all on 160. There was a reference to a RI stn on VE7CC but I never could find him.

Thanks again gents.

73,
Mike, W5UC
At 04:42 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:

3579. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 01:09:23 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3436 Message: 3579

JV unless things have changed I don't believe LOTW will accept that
designator for a mode. I believe it will only accept a designation
of DATA for the digital modes.

Mike

At 06:49 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30
>meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report made
>a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later
>in the week.

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3580. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 01:02:36 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3436 Message: 3580

Did you adjust the 'Preferences' portion of the Signing process to add PSK63
to the custom ADIF mapping?

On 1/29/2007 6:49:35 PM, JV (kt4u@...) wrote:
> Hey Mike
>
> Well, I'll break your heart. I worked either two or three stations from
> RI. Always wanted to worked WAS 160 CW during the contest. This is as
> close
> as I have come. Wish the USA ops would stay out of the DX window, hi hi.
>
> In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30
> meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report
> made
> a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later
> in the week.
>
> JV
> ---
> ------------------
>
> JV I wish I had found the pile-up on ND. I need to work only ND & RI
> to have worked them all on 160. There was a reference to a RI stn on
> VE7CC but I never could find him.
>
> Thanks again gents.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
> At 04:42 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3581. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 02:20:26 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3436 Message: 3581

The function in TQSL to create an ADIF file for submission includes
PSK63, along with many other digital modes (as well as DATA itself).

Bob, N7XY

On Jan 29, 2007, at 5:09 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:

> JV unless things have changed I don't believe LOTW will accept that
> designator for a mode. I believe it will only accept a designation
> of DATA for the digital modes.
>
> Mike
>
> At 06:49 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>> In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was
>> on 30
>> meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error
>> report made
>> a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this
>> problem later
>> in the week.
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3582. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 10:57:16 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3582

Morning Mike
LoTW will accept PSK31. I'll check it out. Maybe all they need to do is
punch a button.
Checked the 160 CW log and found out that I worked four RI stations, dig
dig dig, hi hi. So they are around and you will nail one of em soon.
I hear that the 3905 Century Club uses a frequency around 1865.0 (???).
Take a gander at their web site. May be a good place to check out. I may go
there and work WAS LSB 160 again for their number.
If you are in the area, drop by, door is open. Are you going to Dayton?
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Date: 1/29/2007 8:10:43 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

JV unless things have changed I don't believe LOTW will accept that
designator for a mode. I believe it will only accept a designation
of DATA for the digital modes.

Mike

At 06:49 PM 1/29/2007, you wrote:
>In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30
>meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report made
>a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later
>in the week.

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3583. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 11:12:58 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3583

Hi Bill
No I haven't. Didn't know such an animal existed. Tks fer the tip. Will look into it.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: FireBrick
Date: 1/29/2007 8:24:55 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Did you adjust the 'Preferences' portion of the Signing process to add PSK63
to the custom ADIF mapping?

> In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30

> meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report
> made a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later in the week.
>
> JV
>

3584. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 11:59:21 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3584

Morning Bill
Not getting any thing. Typed PSK 31 and PSK63 into the Add Window, OK, nothing.
Tried BPSK->PSK31, OK, nothing. What am I doing wrong?
JV

-------Original Message-------

Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Hi Bill
No I haven't. Didn't know such an animal existed. Tks fer the tip. Will look into it.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: FireBrick
Date: 1/29/2007 8:24:55 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Did you adjust the 'Preferences' portion of the Signing process to add PSK63
to the custom ADIF mapping?

> In my submittal to LoTW were the QSOs with XT2C. One contact was on 30

> meters in the PSK63 mode. LoTW kicked out the QSO and the error report
> made a comment to contact LoTW. Anyone know why? Will tackle this problem later in the week.
>
> JV
>

3585. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 12:34:52 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3436 Message: 3585

At 04:57 AM 1/30/2007, you wrote:
>If you are in the area, drop by, door is open. Are you going to Dayton?
>JV

Good Morning JV & All:

No, not going to Dayton. I went once back in the late 90's and wasn't impressed enough to want to go back. Since then arthritis in hips has become worse, so I would really have a hard time covering all of that real estate. The only thing I have planned right now is Central States VHF Society get-together in San Antonio in late July, and I question my sanity on that one. July is a helluva time to be in San Antonio. Thank God for air conditioning. Beyond that I may go to Ham Com. We have good friends that live about 15 minutes north of the new location and usually stay with them. I'll go check that frequency on 160, although at this time I can't operate up there. Inverted L is tuned up down in the bottom of the band. I bought a little motor to remotely tune the antenna network, but haven't installed it yet.

I have another shot at ND & RI in Feb during the SSB portion of the CQ test. Maybe then.

73,
Mike, W5UC

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3586. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: question for the LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-30 15:57:24 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 3546 Message: 3586

On Jan 29, 2007, at 6:19 PM, Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:

> JV I wish I had found the pile-up on ND. I need to work only ND & RI
> to have worked them all on 160. There was a reference to a RI stn on
> VE7CC but I never could find him.

One of the highlights of the 160 contest for me was when NT0V from ND found me calling CQ and called me, with 4 minutes left in the contest...:-)

73,

John Bastin K8AJS
bastinj@...

3587. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-31 02:09:17 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3436 Message: 3587

At 06:59 AM 1/30/2007, JV wrote:
>Morning Bill
> Not getting any thing. Typed PSK 31 and PSK63 into the Add
> Window, OK, nothing.
>Tried BPSK->PSK31, OK, nothing. What am I doing wrong?

The LotW TQSL program does understand PSK31 and PSK63 modes.
What program are you using to enter your QSO data?

You may just need to update the TQSL configuration data on your machine. Log in to LotW, click on the "Your Account" tab, then "Your Certificates" on the account menu. There's a link titled "Download current TQSL Configuration File". Click on that, then open the resulting file to update TQSL.
-Rick

3588. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-31 13:55:47 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3588

Hi Rick
I can send PSK31 to LoTW with out any problems. LoTW will not accept PSK63F that DXBase uses. I think the problem lies in the "F" that DXBase uses. If LoTW had PSK63F added to the preferences, I think the submittal would be OK. Only draw back is one would probable have to send their PSK63F QSOs from DXBase one at a time or in a group.

Here is what I sent Dave, N1NN, who is also working on the problem. There are others who are trying to reach a solution also. I have confidence that this problem will be resolved for any else who may submit PSK63 QSOs.

"Trying to send a PSK63 mode QSO to LoTW and getting nowhere. I am importing the QSO (XT2C) from DXBase2005. In DXBase the submode is PSK63F. In tqsl, preferences, I added DATA, high lighted PSK63 and hit OK. Now I
have DATA->PSK63. When I try to convert to a tq8, I get an error. I'm guessing DXBase2005 with PSK63F is not compatible with tqsl PSK63. What do I need to do to correct this problem? I tried editing the DXBase2005 XT2C.adi file to PSK63 but that didn't work. Still got the error message. I also tried PSK63->DATA. This allowed me to submit the tq8 but the mode showed up in LoTW as DATA instead of PSK63."

JV KT4U

-------Original Message-------

From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Date: 01/30/07 21:09:59
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

At 06:59 AM 1/30/2007, JV wrote:
>Morning Bill
> Not getting any thing. Typed PSK 31 and PSK63 into the Add
> Window, OK, nothing.
>Tried BPSK->PSK31, OK, nothing. What am I doing wrong?

The LotW TQSL program does understand PSK31 and PSK63 modes.
What program are you using to enter your QSO data?

You may just need to update the TQSL configuration data on your machine. Log in to LotW, click on the "Your Account" tab, then "Your Certificates" on the account menu. There's a link titled "Download current TQSL Configuration File". Click on that, then open the resulting file to update TQSL.
-Rick

3589. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-01-31 16:58:31 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3436 Message: 3589

Hi All
Dave has resolved the problem. The original adif file from DXBase is
listed below followed by the corrections. Note BOLD and UNLINED sections. "F
has been removed and Count Number has been changed.
==========
ADIF Export from DXbase 2005
Copyright 2003-2004 Scientific Solutions, Inc.
www.dxbase.com
<EOH>
<CALL:4>XT2C <QSO_DATE:8>20070118 <TIME_ON:4>2112 <RST_SENT:3>599
<RST_RCVD:3>599 <QSL_VIA:6>Direct <TX_PWR:3>100
<ITUZ:2>46 <OPERATOR:4>KT4U <PFX:3>XT2 <QSL_RCVD:1>N <DXBQSL_RCVD:1>0
<FREQ:8>10.14000
<BAND:3>30M <CQZ:2>35
<DXBSUBMODE:6>PSK63F <MODE:6>PSK63F <QSL_SENT:1>Y <DXBQSL_SENT:1>3
<QSLSDATE:8>20070118
<DXBPFX:2>XT <DXBMGRN:1>1 <DXBAWD:1>0 <DXBSEL:1>0 <DXBVAL:1>3
<EOR
==========
It needs to changed to:
==========
ADIF Export from DXbase 2005
Copyright 2003-2004 Scientific Solutions, Inc.
www.dxbase.com
<EOH>
<CALL:4>XT2C <QSO_DATE:8>20070118 <TIME_ON:4>2112 <RST_SENT:3>599
<RST_RCVD:3>599 <QSL_VIA:6>Direct <TX_PWR:3>100
<ITUZ:2>46 <OPERATOR:4>KT4U <PFX:3>XT2 <QSL_RCVD:1>N <DXBQSL_RCVD:1>0
<FREQ:8>10.14000
<BAND:3>30M <CQZ:2>35
<DXBSUBMODE:5>PSK63 <MODE:5>PSK63 <QSL_SENT:1>Y <DXBQSL_SENT:1>3
<QSLSDATE:8>20070118
<DXBPFX:2>XT <DXBMGRN:1>1 <DXBAWD:1>0 <DXBSEL:1>0 <DXBVAL:1>3
<EOR
==========
JV
==========
-------Original Message-------

Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW

Hi Rick
I can send PSK31 to LoTW with out any problems. LoTW will not accept
PSK63F that DXBase uses. I think the problem lies in the "F" that DXBase
uses. If LoTW had PSK63F added to the preferences, I think the submittal

would be OK. Only draw back is one would probable have to send their PSK63F QSOs from DXBase one at a time or in a group.

Here is what I sent Dave, N1NN, who is also working on the problem. There are others who are trying to reach a solution also. I have confidence that this problem will be resolved for any else who may submit PSK63 QSOs.

"Trying to send a PSK63 mode QSO to LoTW and getting nowhere. I am importing the QSO (XT2C) from DXBase2005. In DXBase the submode is PSK63F. In tqsl, preferences, I added DATA, high lighted PSK63 and hit OK. Now I
have DATA->PSK63. When I try to convert to a tq8, I get an error. I'm guessing DXBase2005 with PSK63F is not compatible with tqsl PSK63. What do I need to do to correct this problem? I tried editing the DXBase2005 XT2C.adi file to PSK63 but that didn't work. Still got the error message. I also tried PSK63->DATA. This allowed me to submit the tq8 but the mode showed up in LoTW as DATA instead of PSK63."

JV KT4U

3590. Attention MOderators

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-01 22:34:27 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3590 Message: 3590

Hi

Just had a note from Scotty W7PSK [w7psk@...] wondering why he has been waiting two weeks now to join this list.

73 Graham M5AAV

3591. Minn QSOP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-03 22:09:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3591 Message: 3591

So how are all you Minnesota people going to upload your logs?

Will you be using
Callsign/p for portable
Callsign/m for mobile
Callsign/3 letter county
or
just
Callsign

PS: Very smart of you Norskis NOT to have your QSOP on Sunday when everyone
will be preparing to watch the 'DA Bears'!

"There are always at least two ways to program the same thing.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3593. Counties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-05 17:59:39 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3593 Message: 3593

I'm attempting to syncronize my Logger32 data file with LOTW. Following the procedure described in the help files seems to work FB. I used the "manual" method to review the changes being made before any update occurs. When it comes to Alaska, something seems to be twisted.

In LOGGER32, the counties for Alaska there are 4 counties listed as:

First Southeastern
Second Northwestern
Third South Central
Fourth Central

But when I look at what is being reported by LOTW, I find the following:

Kenai Peninsula
Anchorage
Matanuska Sisitna
Juneau
Valdez Cordo
Fairbanks North Star

I'm also finding VEs stations reporting a district of "PQ". At first I thought PEI for Prince Edward Island. But PQ?

Any and all clues are appreciated.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3594. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Counties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-05 18:10:57 UTC
From: Roger D Johnson
Topic: 3593 Message: 3594

Ford Peterson wrote:
> I'm attempting to syncronize my Logger32 data file with LOTW. Following the
> procedure described in the help files seems to work FB. I used the "manual"
> method to review the changes being made before any update occurs. When it
> comes to Alaska, something seems to be twisted.
>
> In LOGGER32, the counties for Alaska there are 4 counties listed as:
>
> First Southeastern
> Second Northwestern
> Third South Central
> Fourth Central
>
> But when I look at what is being reported by LOTW, I find the following:
>
> Kenai Peninsula
> Anchorage
> Matanuska Sisitna
> Juneau
> Valdez Cordo
> Fairbanks North Star
>
> I'm also finding VEs stations reporting a district of "PQ". At first I
> thought PEI for Prince Edward Island. But PQ?
>
> Any and all clues are appreciated.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
Hi Ford....

Alaska has NO counties....it has judicial districts. See:

http://www.qsl.net/kl7j/county.htm

PQ is Province of Quebec

73, Roger

--
Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
http://ussliberty.org/

3595. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Counties

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-05 23:34:51 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3593 Message: 3595

> > I'm also finding VEs stations reporting a district of "PQ".
> > At first I thought PEI for Prince Edward Island. But PQ?
> >
> > Any and all clues are appreciated.
> >
>
> PQ is Province of Quebec

The problem is that Canada Post (the Canadian Post Office)
defines the official abbreviation as QC not PQ.

ADIF also defines the abbreviation for both the Province of Quebec
as and the ARRL/RAC Quebec section as QC.

I don't understand why LotW accepts PQ ...

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Roger D Johnson
> Sent: Monday, February 05, 2007 1:11 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Counties
>
>
> Ford Peterson wrote:
> > I'm attempting to syncronize my Logger32 data file with
> LOTW. Following the
> > procedure described in the help files seems to work FB. I
> used the "manual"
> > method to review the changes being made before any update
> occurs. When it
> > comes to Alaska, something seems to be twisted.
> >
> > In LOGGER32, the counties for Alaska there are 4 counties listed as:
> >
> > First Southeastern
> > Second Northwestern
> > Third South Central
> > Fourth Central
> >
> > But when I look at what is being reported by LOTW, I find
> the following:

> >
> > Kenai Peninsula
> > Anchorage
> > Matanuska Sisitna
> > Juneau
> > Valdez Cordo
> > Fairbanks North Star
> >
> > I'm also finding VEs stations reporting a district of "PQ".
> At first I
> > thought PEI for Prince Edward Island. But PQ?
> >
> > Any and all clues are appreciated.
> >
> > Ford-N0FP
> > ford@...
> >
> Hi Ford....
>
> Alaska has NO counties....it has judicial districts. See:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kl7j/county.htm
>
> PQ is Province of Quebec
>
> 73, Roger
>
> --
> Remember the USS Liberty (AGTR-5)
> http://ussliberty.org/
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3596. The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:16:42 UTC
From: ottuser
Topic: 3596 Message: 3596

What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very possible, but did I miss something??
TIA
Joe W7LPF

3597. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:35:34 UTC
From: Dave - G4MUL
Topic: 3596 Message: 3597

Joe
It's OK from here, straight through.
Maybe the address you're using has got corrupted, try starting again.
I've been into the site 2 or 3 times today, without a hiccup.

Dave
g4mul.hfdx@...

----- Original Message -----
From: "ottuser" <kwz99@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 08, 2007 10:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3598. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:39:48 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 3596 Message: 3598

It works for me Joe. I was just into the site.
73,
Chris, AC?M

ottuser wrote:
> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3599. Re: The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:37:19 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3596 Message: 3599

I uploaded logs and have used it every day for last two weeks. Only sluggish time was right after the ARRL DX 160 CW contest while people were uploading logs. Don't have a clue what would block you.

Bill N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "ottuser" <kwz99@...> wrote:
>
> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>

3600. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:36:57 UTC
From: Vladimir V. Sidorov
Topic: 3596 Message: 3600

All OK here and even traced two more confirmations since the last check this morning.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>

3601. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:49:49 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3596 Message: 3601

Must be your ISP. LOTW works here.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ottuser
Sent: Thursday, February 08, 2007 5:17 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very possible, but did I miss something??
TIA
Joe W7LPF

Yahoo! Groups Links

3602. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 22:43:46 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3596 Message: 3602

Works 100% here.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "ottuser" <kwz99@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 08, 2007 3:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3603. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-08 23:52:10 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3596 Message: 3603

Thanks all!!

Appreciate the responses - After checking LoTW site
on other pc with no problem - I came back here and
ran CC and defrag program - and switched my Home
Page from Comcast to Yahoo - and so far it seems
to work. The change over of IP's has been a
nightmare [Adelphia being bought out by Time Warner/
Comcast - and neither accepting responsibility for the
failure of a viable e-mail system.]. Oh well, I dusted
off my Earthlink material and have the phone lines
already patched to main pc so, if it don't settle down,
then will go back to Satellite and Dial-up. Know I
will get more done in the shack. Thanks again -
and stay warm - we are heating up here to around
18F highs and close to zero at night.
73,
Joe W7LPF
"The most terrifying words in the English language are:
I'm from the government and I'm here to help."
Ronald Reagan.

3604. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] The sound of silence!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 02:23:51 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 3596 Message: 3604

Just think of LOTW as a stock that just keeps slowly
growing. Sometimes nothing for a week or two and then
a bunch. I'm now nearing 100 on several bands. Just
takes time. Drives you nuts if you check it daily.

Bob
W1RH
--- ottuser <kwz99@...> wrote:

> What's with LoTW?? Nothing since the 5th, and now
> cannot even access
> the ARRL LOTW site - everything else on the pc is
> okay - can access the
> other ARRL sites, but was wanting to upload more
> files and get time-out
> due to 'no path' - also no emails since the 5th? I
> know it is very
> possible, but did I miss something??
> TIA
> Joe W7LPF
>
>

Food fight? Enjoy some healthy debate
in the Yahoo! Answers Food & Drink Q&A.
http://answers.yahoo.com/dir/?link=list&sid=396545367

3605. LOTW stats by country and versus time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 12:33:24 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3605 Message: 3605

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html

Gives an unoffical breakdown of LOTW users by country and a plot of the number of users vs time. The growth rate appears to be steady but slow. It does show that there are at least 300 countries now represented in LOTW.

Here is an extract from the link.
A279;K U.S.A : 8213
DL Germany : 397
VE Canada : 392
G England : 389
JA Japan : 297
I Italy : 229
SP Poland : 208
F France : 177
ON Belgium : 170
EA Spain : 167
SM Sweden : 165
UA European-Russia : 149
PY Brazil : 131
PA Netherlands : 130
SV Greece : 108
GM Scotland : 96
LA Norway : 95

Of the 15K users 8600 are from the US and Canada.

It illustrates how poor the acceptance of LOTW is in the rest of the world. Only 297 JA's. Heck there must be a million JA DXers.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3606. YO3APJ logs uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 13:36:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3606 Message: 3606

I just finished uploading three contest logs from Adrian, YO3APJ onto LoTW.
BARTG Sprint, UK RTTY, 160 cw.

Of course I will answer all hardcard requests.

Girls are like pianos. When they're not upright, they're grand. :Benny Hill
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3607. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW stats by country and versus time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 18:08:09 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3605 Message: 3607

On Fri, 09 Feb 2007 12:33:24 -0000, "Brian A" <alsopb@...>
wrote:

>http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html
>
>Gives an unoffical breakdown of LOTW users by country and a plot of
>the number of users vs time. The growth rate appears to be steady but
>slow. It does show that there are at least 300 countries now
>represented in LOTW.
>
>Here is an extract from the link.
>☐K U.S.A : 8213
>DL Germany : 397
>VE Canada : 392
>G England : 389
>JA Japan : 297
>I Italy : 229
>SP Poland : 208
>F France : 177
>ON Belgium : 170
>EA Spain : 167
>SM Sweden : 165
>UA European-Russia : 149
>PY Brazil : 131
>PA Netherlands : 130
>SV Greece : 108
>GM Scotland : 96
>LA Norway : 95
>
>Of the 15K users 8600 are from the US and Canada.
>
>It illustrates how poor the acceptance of LOTW is in the rest of the
>world. Only 297 JA's. Heck there must be a million JA DXers.
>

Without looking - any Israeli Calls ?

My understanding from one yesteday was that they are not allowed to
sign up.....very doubtful.

Graham [One of the 389]
73 Graham M5AAV

3608. Response rate for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 18:20:44 UTC
From: Bill Hanrahan
Topic: 3608 Message: 3608

I'm a new LOTW user and only recently returned to HF after a 12 year absence. Under former calls and at other QTHs, I had obtained basic DXCC (mixed) and had 49 states confirmed toward my WAS. Over the years, I had lost my old paper QSLs but somehow had retained a number of logbooks spanning 1979-1985. I've since linked my existing DXCC on LOTW but thought that uploading transcribed QSOs from old logbooks was worth seeing what QSLs I could dig up from the past. Anyway, the other day, I finished uploading the last of about 2,700 QSOs (and I relaize that isn't that many but some standards) but was surprised to find that this only translated to 8 confirmed QSLs (a return rate of about .29%!)

I understand that as LOTW hopefully is used by more old timers, this may change somewhat, but I'm wondering if this is a typical experience for old logs? (I should probably be happy with the 8 that I have!)

73,

Bill W1WH

3609. Re: LOTW stats by country and versus time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-09 19:51:12 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3605 Message: 3609

There are 31 known 4X or 4Z calls on LotW. Possibly a couple more we
don't know about.

Bill, N5RR

>
> Without looking - any Israeli Calls ?
>
> My understanding from one yesteday was that they are not allowed to
> sign up.....very doubtful.
>
> Graham [One of the 389]
> 73 Graham M5AAV
>

3610. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Response rate for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-10 00:45:38 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 3608 Message: 3610

I uploaded logs for 1977- 1985 with about 27,000 QSOs. They have
resulted in about 180 "confirmations." That's roughly in line with
your response rate (less that 1% and not worthwhile if I were to do
it again).

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Hanrahan
> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2007 1:21 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Response rate for old logs
>
>
> I'm a new LOTW user and only recently returned to HF after a 12 year
> absence. Under former calls and at other QTHs, I had obtained basic
> DXCC (mixed) and had 49 states confirmed toward my WAS. Over the
> years, I had lost my old paper QSLs but somehow had retained a number
> of logbooks spanning 1979-1985. I've since linked my
> existing DXCC on
> LOTW but thought that uploading transcribed QSOs from old
> logbooks was
> worth seeing what QSLs I could dig up from the past. Anyway,
> the other
> day, I finished uploading the last of about 2,700 QSOs (and I relaize
> that isn't that many but some standards) but was surprised to
> find that
> this only translated to 8 confirmed QSLs (a return rate of
> about .29%!)
>
> I understand that as LOTW hopefully is used by more old timers, this
> may change somewhat, but I'm wondering if this is a typical
> experience
> for old logs? (I should probably be happy with the 8 that I have!)
>
> 73,
>
> Bill W1WH
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>

3611. LoTW down?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-10 06:00:05 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3611 Message: 3611

Hello ARRL-LOTW,

I can't open my LoTW page for a long time, every time I try to login
the error mesage comes up: "Error occurred! Description: Error reading
WWW server response (HTTP Header)", while other ARRL pages are opened
fine. Anyone else experience that?

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"When you are a Bear of Very Little Brain, and Think of Things, you find sometimes that a Thing which seemed very Thingish inside you is quite different when it gets out into the open and has other people looking at it." (Pooh's Little Instruction Book, inspired by A. A. Milne)

3612. Re: Response rate for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-10 14:44:49 UTC
From: Bill Hanrahan
Topic: 3608 Message: 3612

Joe,

Uploading 27,000 QSOs must have been excruciating. (By the time I finished uploading about 2,700 QSOs from 1979-1985, I was almost happy that I couldn't find my logs from 1985-1994 or so...) It definitely tells me not to check LoTW on a daily basis!

73,

Bill W1WH

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> I uploaded logs for 1977- 1985 with about 27,000 QSOs. They have
> resulted in about 180 "confirmations." That's roughly in line with
> your response rate (less that 1% and not worthwhile if I were to do
> it again).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV

3613. Response for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-10 15:20:26 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3613 Message: 3613

I uploaded (after transcribing from hard to read paper to computer) many of the old logs rescued for a deceased qsl manager.
(they haven't produced a multitude of LoTW qsls, but I bet they surprised the recipients)
Obviously, the older the qso, the less chance that it would have been computerized and uploaded.

I still have never received a LoTW qsl from my Novice days. (alas, would have been nice to get even one, lol)

But..I did it so if anything happened to those old paper logs, they would be safe.

DXLabs, does have a very swift, system to transcribe old logs.
I would take my laptop & logs when pressed into emergency baby sitting.
Could usually do 2K log entries before the eyes started to cross.

Digital mode qsos are far more likely to result in LoTW qsls due to the software available for those mode.

(Hint: transcribing is a good way to wait for that DXpedition station that can't be heard in your area yet). :-)))

Comfort the afflicted, and afflict the comfortable.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3614. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Response rate for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-10 15:53:32 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3608 Message: 3614
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3615. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Response for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-11 02:50:29 UTC
From: Jim McHale
Topic: 3613 Message: 3615

I uploaded all my logs and after a few months got two hits on my novice call, from 1973. I was quite surprised as I imagine they were.

-jim

NM1W

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2007 10:20 AM
To: LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Response for old logs

I still have never received a LoTW qsl from my Novice days. (alas, would have been nice to get even one, lol)

3616. LOTW & Paper Cards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-11 08:03:46 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3616 Message: 3616

How do we go about making application for awards that combine LOTW and traditional paper cards? Is that even possible - or does it have to be one or the other?

VE7AXU (John)

3617. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW & Paper Cards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-11 09:16:15 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3616 Message: 3617

ve7axu wrote:

>How do we go about making application for awards that combine LOTW and
>traditional paper cards? Is that even possible - or does it have to be
>one or the other?
>
>VE7AXU (John)
>
>
>
>
John,

Yes it is possible and easy.

Start the application at the LOTW submission form on the web page.
They ask you if you are also going to have cards and how many.
Complete the web form. Then make out the standard paper form and check
the box that says LOTW. It is right under the endorsements box. LOTW
will tell you the fee to submit. It turns out that the combined
paper/LOTW submissions are charged at the LOTW rate.

Works great.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3618. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW & Paper Cards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-11 12:39:28 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3616 Message: 3618

At the very least your QSLs are arriving to VE QSL bureau
and are sent ASAP.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of ve7axu
Sent: Sunday, February 11, 2007 3:04 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW & Paper Cards

How do we go about making application for awards that combine LOTW and
traditional paper cards? Is that even possible - or does it have to be
one or the other?

VE7AXU (John)

3619. LoTW results

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-12 18:16:11 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3619 Message: 3619

Only worked 500 q's in the WPX
Already...103 LoTW qsls.

Digital Types RULE!

"If you want to learn to love better, you should start with a friend who you hate," Nikka - age 6

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3620. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Response rate for old logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-12 19:53:25 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3608 Message: 3620

Hi guys,

You want doing something futile - I opened up
my one file drawer and checked: I entered by
hand well over 26K regular and contest QSO
during my W7LPF/DU2 ops [79-81], when - it
was fun being one of the few that operated from
there on CW during that time frame. Anyway,
for all that work - I have 127 confirmations via
LOTW. I believe a big reason of the
sparsity of the return is due to the LARGE amount
of confirmed QSL's I have in boxes here. Same,
or even lower return is evident from my operations
from the other places.
73,
Joe W7LPF

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2007 15:53
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Response rate for old logs

Bill / Joe

If you would like to hear from the DX end, here it is. I uploaded about 17,000 QSOs for the period 1990-1998, mostly contest logs which were already on the computer and a few thousand which were transcribed by hand. Still have over 15,000 QSOs from this period to transcribe from handwritten logs.This resulted in about 850 QSL's - that's roughly 5%.

I was off the air since 1998 and got back on in September 2006, from which time I have made and uploaded about 1250 QSOs and had a return of 172 QSLs - a rate close to 14% !

Seeing your return rate (1%), maybe I will focus on the 1990-1998 range for now - have a large number of 17 & 12M QSOs in there. I do have a few thousand for the period 1985-1990 but maybe when/if I finish the rest :)

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 2/10/07, Bill Hanrahan <w1wh@arrl.net> wrote:
Joe,

Uploading 27,000 QSOs must have been excruciating. (By the time I
finished uploading about 2,700 QSOs from 1979-1985, I was almost happy
that I couldn't find my logs from 1985-1994 or so...) It definitely
tells me not to check LoTW on a daily basis!

73,

Bill W1WH

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
>
> I uploaded logs for 1977- 1985 with about 27,000 QSOs. They have
> resulted in about 180 "confirmations." That's roughly in line with
> your response rate (less that 1% and not worthwhile if I were to do
> it again).
>
> 73,
>
> ... Joe, W4TV

--

3621. WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-17 16:26:45 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3621 Message: 3621

Success!

I've got over 30,000 QSOs in the log. You would think landing a WAZ award would be a cake walk. As it turns out, a 'hybrid' application with CQ did the trick. In my case, only 4 paper QSL cards were required (zone 2, 29, 33, 34). All the rest (36 zones) were LOTW confirmations.

The CQ rules include a list of the prefixes that qualify for each zone. Going to LOTW and searching the log for the prefix needed to land a specific zone was all I did. Call up the specific QSO record, and the nitty-gritty details were all there. The time, date, mode, frequency, and zone information was all there. I used a PDF of each screen, prepared a 40 page document (one for each zone). For those zones that I did not have LOTW confirmation, I scanned an actual QSL card from 'the box.' The scanning process would not have been needed but I figured it would facilitate the checker by having exactly 40 pages (one confirm per page). I sent in the original QSLs with the application, per CQ instructions.

I mailed my application on Wednesday. This morning, I received eMail notification that an award letter has been issued to me. I send this letter into CQ and my WAZ award arrives back a few weeks later.

What is important here is that the actual details needed to get LOTW to do this does not seem to be that big a deal. In fact, the ARRL is screwing themselves out of some fees. I didn't need to apply a specific credit to a QSO to land the zone for WAZ purposes. I would be happy to apply credits to the QSOs used, but the screens do not lend themselves to applying credits in this manner. The HTML version of a LOTW QSL is all that was needed to secure zone credit with CQ.

Nifty!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3622. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-17 17:35:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3621 Message: 3622

Good Job Ford, congrats.

And a clever way to do it...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 17, 2007 10:26 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] WAZ via LOTW

> Success!
>
> I've got over 30,000 QSOs in the log. You would think landing a WAZ award
> would be a cake walk. As it turns out, a 'hybrid' application with CQ did
> the trick. In my case, only 4 paper QSL cards were required (zone 2, 29,
> 33, 34). All the rest (36 zones) were LOTW confirmations.
>
> The CQ rules include a list of the prefixes that qualify for each zone.
> Going to LOTW and searching the log for the prefix needed to land a
> specific
> zone was all I did. Call up the specific QSO record, and the nitty-gritty
> details were all there. The time, date, mode, frequency, and zone
> information was all there. I used a PDF of each screen, prepared a 40
> page
> document (one for each zone). For those zones that I did not have LOTW
> confirmation, I scanned an actual QSL card from 'the box.' The scanning
> process would not have been needed but I figured it would facilitate the
> checker by having exactly 40 pages (one confirm per page). I sent in the
> original QSLs with the application, per CQ instructions.
>
> I mailed my application on Wednesday. This morning, I received eMail
> notification that an award letter has been issued to me. I send this
> letter
> into CQ and my WAZ award arrives back a few weeks later.
>
> What is important here is that the actual details needed to get LOTW to do
> this does not seem to be that big a deal. In fact, the ARRL is screwing
> themselves out of some fees. I didn't need to apply a specific credit to
> a
> QSO to land the zone for WAZ purposes. I would be happy to apply credits
> to
> the QSOs used, but the screens do not lend themselves to applying credits
> in
> this manner. The HTML version of a LOTW QSL is all that was needed to
> secure zone credit with CQ.
>

> Nifty!
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>

3623. Re: WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-18 15:41:25 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3621 Message: 3623

Could I ask for some clarification on your approach? ☐ the LOTW only served to select the appropriately QSL'ed QSOs and then you still had to submit the original paper cards for the WAZ award? If my interpretation of what you said is correct - why did you submit a paper report of the LOTW transactions?

VE7AXU (John)

3624. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-19 02:02:44 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3621 Message: 3624

Could I ask for some clarification on your approach? - the LOTW only served to select the appropriately QSL'ed QSOs and then you still had to submit the original paper cards for the WAZ award? If my interpretation of what you said is correct - why did you submit a paper report of the LOTW transactions?

VE7AXU (John)

****

Some clarification... Sure! I submit a paper report because that's what the CQ rules require. They have log checkers in the field just like the ARRL. You get your Qs verified by a checker, and then get a letter stating that you qualify for the award. That letter is sent by you to CQ to petition for the actual certificate. In my case, I had 36 zones confirmed using LOTW. No doubt, I may have had all of them in my QSL box (a two foot thick pile of cards). But I only had to dig out 4 cards for the 4 zones I couldn't find in LOTW.

Here is what I did..

First, go to CQ Awards area and find the cross reference table between zones and prefixes. It is here someplace...

http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/wazrules.html

Click on "WAZ Zone/Country List"

I would print that baby out for quick reference.

Then, log into your LOTW pages.

Click on "Your QSOs" tab.

Here you see the search utility that allows you to search using any one of a number of methods. The clear ticket to joy is to use the "Call sign worked:" field. Take any zone off the CQ zone listing an you will find a number of valid prefixes listed for that zone. Start typing the first prefix, followed by the " * ", which is a 'wildcard' for anything that follows. So for example Hawaii, type in KH6* and hit "submit" button. LOTW will return a laundry list of all the QSLs you have for that prefix. Pick any one of the QSOs for the band/mode of interest. Click on the 'Details' button for that QSO. What you now see on your screen is a QSL card, ala LOTW. Verify that it was indeed a QSO with the CQ Zone of interest. Unfortunately, some DX are unaware of CQ Zones and left the field blank. So you need to verify that the zone is the one you need.

All the necessary information is listed. Date, time, mode, call, and the date the QSL was actually matched in the system. I used the PDF maker called Adobe Acrobat 5.0. Right click anywhere on that page, press print, send to the acrobat distiller and give it a file name. I combined the PDFs and printed it out. That's how I did it. But capturing that page is all I needed to provide to secure credit for that band/mode/zone. You may be able to print it directly from your browser window.

Repeat the process 40 times, once for each zone, and you have yourself a set of source documents suitable for WAZ credit. Fill out the form, pay the fee, attach the printed sheets as proof, and mail it to CQ. DONE!

There are a few zones that split a prefix. And a few prefixes that are in more than one zone. This proves to be a problem when the DX didn't enter the CQ Zone for their QTH. With care, and some luck, you can do as I did and land the majority of Zones. For the few zones missing on LOTW I dug out actual QSL cards and mailed them in with the application--A so-called "Hybrid" application.

Good luck...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3625. YO3APJ logs uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-19 15:16:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3606 Message: 3625

I've uploaded to LoTW, the recent contest operations of LoTW, including many
6 meter entries.

I used to be indecisive, now I'm not so sure...

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3626. Hybrid Award Application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-19 16:08:30 UTC
From: ve7axu
Topic: 3626 Message: 3626

Ford:

Many thanks for the detailed explanation!

I wonder if we could successfully use that approach for the Counties Awards ☐ and others? It sounds like a really slick approach! Has anyone else tried a hybrid application?

John (VE7AXU)

3627. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Hybrid Award Application

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-19 16:31:26 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3626 Message: 3627

Ford:

Many thanks for the detailed explanation!

I wonder if we could successfully use that approach for the Counties Awards - and others? It sounds like a really slick approach! Has anyone else tried a hybrid application?

John (VE7AXU)

****

I see no reason why it wouldn't work. One sticky issue is that search utility does not provide for a counties field search. So you would have to do a search using your local log and then go look for the QSO in LOTW, which would be a nuisance hassle. But then, the paper work on counties is nothing but hassle--so you get to pick your poison so-to-speak.

73

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3628. LoTW Screwed ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-20 22:45:43 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3628 Message: 3628

Hi,
Anyone else noticed that their QSL counts are screwed up ?

Over the weekend I was at 1205 'confirmed', then, with the addition of 3 more confirmations it has crept up to 1206.

I thought something was up last week but put it down to me not reading the figures correctly.

I have emailed 'help' and know that the e-mail has been read - but as yet no response.

73 Graham M5AAV

3629. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Screwed ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-20 23:32:57 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3628 Message: 3629

Graham,

I used to think that too. Saw it many times. The LOTW QSL count is
the number of non-duplicate QSO's.

It turns out that some guys upload QSO's previously sent to LOTW + their
new QSO's. The old duplicates get replaced in the database. However,
it shows up in the LOTW "new QSL's" edit as if it were new. Hard to
say this clearly....

This replace function can be handy if one messed up something in logging
the original QSO. Also it permits or adding things like grid or zone
which were omitted at first go.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Graham Ridgeway wrote:

>Hi,
>Anyone else noticed that their QSL counts are screwed up ?
>
>Over the weekend I was at 1205 'confirmed', then, with the addition of
>3 more confirmations it has crept up to 1206.
>
>I thought something was up last week but put it down to me not reading
>the figures correctly.
>
>I have emailed 'help' and know that the e-mail has been read - but as
>yet no response.
>
>
>73 Graham M5AAV
>
>
>

3630. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Screwed ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 09:04:21 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3628 Message: 3630

On Tue, 20 Feb 2007 23:32:57 +0000, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...>
wrote:

>Graham,
>
>I used to think that too. Saw it many times. The LOTW QSL count is
>the number of non-duplicate QSO's.
>
>It turns out that some guys upload QSO's previously sent to LOTW + their
>new QSO's. The old duplicates get replaced in the database. However,
>it shows up in the LOTW "new QSL's" edit as if it were new. Hard to
>say this clearly....
>
>This replace function can be handy if one messed up something in logging
>the original QSO. Also it permits or adding things like grid or zone
>which were omitted at first go.
>
>73 de Brian/K3KO

Thanks for that Brian - I have to admit that I did not realise the
count was 'Uniques'

73 Graham M5AAV

3631. QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 15:58:45 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3631 Message: 3631

I was under the impression that the March issue of QST was to have a nice article about LOTW. My issue arrived yesterday and I see nothing of the sort, unless it's buried somewhere...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3632. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 16:16:02 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 3631 Message: 3632

Ford,

If you find page 48 and go five pages forward you will find it. Not really what I would call a "nice" article but it is in there nonetheless.

Clark, W8TN

At 09:58 AM 2/21/2007 -0600, you wrote:
>I was under the impression that the March issue of QST was to have a nice
>article about LOTW. My issue arrived yesterday and I see nothing of the
>sort, unless it's buried somewhere...
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...

3633. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 18:54:59 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3631 Message: 3633

Same here. I'm a little dissappointed.

Don Ke7ffm

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2007 8:58 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

>I was under the impression that the March issue of QST was to have a
>nice
> article about LOTW. My issue arrived yesterday and I see nothing
> of the
> sort, unless it's buried somewhere...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>

3634. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 19:11:34 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3631 Message: 3634

Man, what a piece of "fluff"...

I was expecting a comprehensive article regarding the state of the system, progress toward embracing other award systems, and the goals and timelines that are in place for LoTW.

Let me say that the content of the article was appropriate for the target audience in that section of QST. But it came no where near meeting my expectations!

Ford, like you I was looking for the LoTW "article" in the index on page 4 & 5.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Clark L. Stewart
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2007 10:16 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

Ford,

If you find page 48 and go five pages forward you will find it. Not
really what I would call a "nice" article but it is in there nonetheless.

Clark, W8TN

At 09:58 AM 2/21/2007 -0600, you wrote:
>I was under the impression that the March issue of QST was to have a nice
>article about LOTW. My issue arrived yesterday and I see nothing of the
>sort, unless it's buried somewhere...
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@...

3635. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-21 23:15:18 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3631 Message: 3635

Bob-K0RC wrote:

Man, what a piece of "fluff"...

I was expecting a comprehensive article regarding the state of the system, progress toward embracing other award systems, and the goals and timelines that are in place for LoTW.

Let me say that the content of the article was appropriate for the target audience in that section of QST. But it came no where near meeting my expectations!

Ford, like you I was looking for the LoTW "article" in the index on page 4 & 5.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

****

I agree... Perhaps my expectations were more 'wishful thinking' anyway. Why? An article in QST is like preaching to the choir. The ARRL membership is aware of the service and either chooses to participate or not--all for a miriad of reasons of their own. Promotion needs to be directed at a target audience (e.g. DX).

A rifle shot to the targe audience would be an article in Swedish for publication in the Swedish Ham Radio journals. A rifle shot would be an article in Swahili for publication in Swahililand. Ditto for Arabic, Hindi, and 82 other languages and dielects. How does one do this? There is no doubt in my mind that 20-50 languages may be represented on this reflector. How do we motivate those who are capable of translating (or writing from scratch) a piece that describes the benefits of LOTW? How do we motivate those that have the language skills to utilize the tools available to help promote LOTW to the organizations around the world?

Help Wanted:
Applicants must possess a working knowledge of English and any other language. Knowledge of LOTW a real plus. Wage commensorate with experience and the coins in my left pants pocket...

I see that there is a direct link for Spanish on the home page, and other documents in other languages can be found here:

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/language-help.html

Croatian, Dutch, English, French, German, Russian, Slovenian, and Spanish are represented. That's a start for certain. Introducing these to the local hams is an effort that needs to happen.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3636. Re: QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-22 03:21:52 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 3631 Message: 3636

On January 8, 2007 I wrote to Joel Harrison, W5ZN - President, David Sumner, K1ZZ - Chief Executive Officer and Henry R. Leggette, WD4Q - Delta Division Director. I asked several questions about LOTW including, what the commitment level of the ARRL board is to LOTW. Specifically, I suggested a regular QST column highlighting statistics and recent awards (such as 6 band DXCC) and the automatic uploading of contest logs and/or bonus points for doing so along with other comments and suggestions.

None of my questions or suggestions were responded to. The replies I received were from Joel Harrison, and Henry Legette and both stated:

"It appears that an article will be in March 2007 QST on LOTW. It should be going to press very soon. Again, thanks for your input!"

Some article. Nothing but a half page of marketing targeted at the wrong audience. If an ARRL member is not already using LOTW there was nothing in the article to change their mind. So many words saying so little. Nothing about targeting non ARRL members. Business as usual.

Well, The March article answered my questions. They don't give a crap about what members think, want or suggest. LOTW is on the bottom of their priority list.

I'm very dissapointed.

Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <ford@...> wrote:
>
> I was under the impression that the March issue of QST was to have
a
nice
> article about LOTW. My issue arrived yesterday and I see nothing
of
the
> sort, unless it's buried somewhere...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>

3637. LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-22 20:03:02 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3637 Message: 3637

LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.

Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it would be
a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.

73
Bob, K1VU

3638. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-22 20:29:21 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 3637 Message: 3638

WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that means
.5%, yeaah we are getting there!

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>
> LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
> As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.
>
> Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
> would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
>
> 73
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------------------~--> Check out the new improvements in
> Yahoo! Groups email.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> -------~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
> communities. Links
>
>
>
>
>

3639. Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-22 21:36:06 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3637 Message: 3639

I Googled the worldwide amateur population. The best reference I could find is a table from a 2002 report entitled "Revolutionary Ideas for Radio Regulation" by Douglas A. Galbi, then a Senior Economist with the FCC, which is available via

http://www.galbithink.org/rirr.pdf

Appendix C of this report provides total population and "amateurs per thousand" statistics for 164 countries. This appendix is available via

http://www.galbithink.org/appC.htm

Naturally, I extracted this data into an Excel spreadsheet, removed irrelevant columns involving income, and added a column showing the amateur population for each country. This spreadsheet is available via

http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/Hams.xls

The spreadsheet shows a total world population of 5.8 billion, and a total amateur population of 1.7 million -- an average of .3 amateurs per thousand people. Remember, this is data from 2002.

Slovenia had the highest density of amateurs at 3.2 per thousand people. The US had the most amateurs at 680 thousand -- 2.4 for every thousand people.

What does this mean for LotW?

Assuming no significant change in the world's amateur population since 2002, 15K users is 9 LotW users for every 1000 hams worldwide. However, a perfectly executed LotW would not attract all of the world's 1.7 million hams; only a portion of these hams exchange QSL cards, and only a portion of those are seeking QSL cards in pursuit of awards, and would thus rather save time and money than send/receive a physical card.

The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by all such award programs, and if every award program participant used LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.

So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.

"Its like reaching for the stars by climbing a tree; you can make progress for a while, but you'll never get there" (Carl Sagan, paraphrased)

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@...> wrote:
>
> WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that
means
> .5%, yeaah we are getting there!
>
>
> Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> > Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
> >
> > LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
> > As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.
> >
> > Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
> > would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
> >
> > 73
> > Bob, K1VU
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ----------------------~--> Check out the new improvements in
> > Yahoo! Groups email.
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---
> > -------~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
> > communities. Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3640. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-22 23:49:36 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3637 Message: 3640

Dave pondered:

...snip...

The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by all such award programs, and if every award program participant used LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.

So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.

...snip...

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

****

Dave, and others...

I agree that the number of licenses is almost irrelevant. It's the number of active amateurs that is germaine to the discussion. And by 'active' I mean that they operate their radios in a LOTW meaningful way. Actively working 2M during the morning commute is not LOTW meaningful. A number of people who consider themselves "active" may only be busy with EM Comms, building QRP radios, maintaining a repeater, and socializing in a club. How many actually work QSOs and maintain a log is very illusive. And of those that keep a log, how many maintain a log using a computer?

The ARRL has about 149,000 members as per the 2005 annual report. Many of these are not active 'operators' and many active ops are not members (shame on them). But it does provide some basis for the number of people that care enough to fork over $32/year (or whatever a ARRL membership now costs). A good number of these are DX.

One good measure would be to look at the super-check-partial database available for most contest logging softwares. My guess is that those who participate in DXCC are well represented in the master database. If you chase DX, then chasing during a DX contest is like shooting fish in a barrel. And if they have turned in a log at some point, a log with at least some Qs, or worked a few Qs to get into somebody else's log, they will be in that database.

Another (perhaps even better) way may be to examine the QRZ database to see the number of searches for a given callsign. I'm an active ham and have thousands of lookups. When you look at a known inactive ham, the number may

only be a handful--and those are due to bad typing. Any ham who is actively working LOTW meaningful Qs is going to have more than a handful of lookups.

I wonder if there are statistics for these databases by prefix? I would love to see the LOTW membership by prefix matched up to the super-check-partial list. That would provide some direction as to holes in the marketing to date...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3641. Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 00:29:50 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3637 Message: 3641

Actual percentages of QSOs confirmed recently gives a good indication also. Someone recently had a fairly high percentage of LotW QSLs for a RTTY contest. A few weeks ago in the ARRL 160CW contest, I have 260 QSLs for 926 QSOs or slightly over 28%. Of these, there were a little over 40 DX QSOs, the rest W and VE. All taken together it seems that a little over 1/4 of the ones that I worked are members and uploaded to LotW. The previous comment about each of us members just getting one additional ham to enroll, and if the one that enrolled had the same interests as the one who enrolled him/her, then we may see a 50% return of QSLs for QSOs on 160 meters. I don't think you could extrapolate that to other bands without similar supporting data on those bands. It may be interesting to see what the percentages of QSLs/QSOs is for other participants in contests where the number of QSOs is high.

By the way, we have the HB9BZA LotW known user list up to 14,794 as of 22 Feb 2007, so we are getting close to knowing exactly who is enrolled (and who is an easy QSL).

I doubt it would happen, but if the operators of BS7 or another group were to upload the logs for a big operation, I think that we would see a large world-wide influx of new LotW members, just for that QSL alone. If one were to have a plot to induce something such as this, it could be a major expedition for a new country, with the operation planned so that the only way DXCC honor role members could obtain that QSL before the cut-off for the year's honor roll listings would be to get that QSL through LotW. Could you imagine the screams on that one.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <ford@...> wrote:
>
> Dave pondered:
>
> ...snip...
>
> The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing
> awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted
by
> all such award programs, and if every award program participant
used
> LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.
>
> So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we
need

> to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.
>
> ...snip...
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> **********
>
> Dave, and others...
>
> I agree that the number of licenses is almost irrelevant. It's
the number
> of active amateurs that is germaine to the discussion. And
by 'active' I
> mean that they operate their radios in a LOTW meaningful way.
Actively
> working 2M during the morning commute is not LOTW meaningful. A
number of
> people who consider themselves "active" may only be busy with EM
Comms,
> building QRP radios, maintaining a repeater, and socializing in a
club. How
> many actually work QSOs and maintain a log is very illusive. And
of those
> that keep a log, how many maintain a log using a computer?
>
> The ARRL has about 149,000 members as per the 2005 annual report.
Many of
> these are not active 'operators' and many active ops are not
members (shame
> on them). But it does provide some basis for the number of people
that care
> enough to fork over $32/year (or whatever a ARRL membership now
costs). A
> good number of these are DX.
>
> One good measure would be to look at the super-check-partial
database
> available for most contest logging softwares. My guess is that
those who
> participate in DXCC are well represented in the master database.
If you
> chase DX, then chasing during a DX contest is like shooting fish
in a
> barrel. And if they have turned in a log at some point, a log
with at least
> some Qs, or worked a few Qs to get into somebody else's log, they
will be in

> that database.
>
> Another (perhaps even better) way may be to examine the QRZ
database to see
> the number of searches for a given callsign. I'm an active ham
and have
> thousands of lookups. When you look at a known inactive ham, the
number may
> only be a handful--and those are due to bad typing. Any ham who
is actively
> working LOTW meaningful Qs is going to have more than a handful of
lookups.
>
> I wonder if there are statistics for these databases by prefix? I
would
> love to see the LOTW membership by prefix matched up to the
> super-check-partial list. That would provide some direction as to
holes in
> the marketing to date...
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>

3642. Re: QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 02:34:23 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 3631 Message: 3642

>
>
>I'm very dissapointed.
>
>Ron
>KG4NOZ
>
>
>i have been disappointed for a couple of years now with qst. pop science
>has better articals. i am sick of reviews of 2 mt hts. and how to build
>another 2 mt j pole out of pvc and a wet string. now the ham speak page
>takes the cake. except for the BA pages and the SK page QST is just
>another CB mag. no it does not ever get that. the last CB mag i saw had a
>ton of real building projects i am sad to say. as for the LOTW update.
>what update ? guess now we all really know why Wayne Mills got so pissed
>off and left. i can see the old boys who kept the mag going for years in
>the 30,40, and 50's standing looking down with a tear in their eyes. yes
>i left the 60's out the first half was great the last half it just started
>going down hill. man do i miss ham radio mag. at least we still have CQ
>for what that is worth.

73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 SKCC #1227
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

3643. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 02:33:44 UTC
From: Rod WC7N
Topic: 3631 Message: 3643

Bravo Zulu and now we , well at least some us, know why us old farts are leaving the hobby.

Rod WC7N
DXCC Honor Roll CWU

----- Original Message -----
From: Anthony W. DePrato
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 6:34 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: QST Article?

I'm very dissapointed.

Ron
KG4NOZ

i have been disappointed for a couple of years now with qst. pop science has better articals. i am sick of reviews of 2 mt hts. and how to build another 2 mt j pole out of pvc and a wet string. now the ham speak page takes the cake. except for the BA pages and the SK page QST is just another CB mag. no it does not ever get that. the last CB mag i saw had a ton of real building projects i am sad to say. as for the LOTW update. what update ? guess now we all really know why Wayne Mills got so pissed off and left. i can see the old boys who kept the mag going for years in the 30,40, and 50's standing looking down with a tear in their eyes. yes i left the 60's out the first half was great the last half it just started going down hill. man do i miss ham radio mag. at least we still have CQ for what that is worth.

73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 SKCC #1227
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS,
VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

3644. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 04:51:31 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3637 Message: 3644

Hello rojomn,

Friday, February 23, 2007 rojomn wrote to ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com:

r> WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist,

I wouldn't be that optimistic, lets see the number of QSO the most successfull DXpeditions make, its about 100k QSO, so I'd say the number of 'active' hams in the world is something close to 30-40 thousand. Only. I wouldn't consider the rest of ragchewers and VHF handheld owners as hams seeked by LoTW.

On the other hand, 15k LoTW users make 13% return rate for me, which means 115k users would make my return rate close to 100%.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

"When choosing between two evils I always like to take the one I've never tried before." [Mae West]

3645. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: QST Article?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 13:49:46 UTC
From: Richard Zalewski
Topic: 3631 Message: 3645
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3646. [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 15:16:25 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3637 Message: 3646

Folks,

We could figure out exactly who is and who is not participating in LOTW. But it would take some database scrubbing. Art-RX9TX's post got me to thinking. Of my 30K Qs, my QSL rate is 19.9%. But a large percentage of these are contest Qs. Lord knows KC1XX is in my log a few dozen times and every one of those is a QSL. So you cannot extrapolate any meaningful data from the simple approach. Deciphering and parsing the numbers would be very informative.

I just tried to figure out from my log how many are US and how many are DX, but the ADIF file is a bit awkward to work with. I do not see a way to get a comma delimited report, so it is going to take somebody with some database skills (not here I'm afraid) to pull this apart. Here are my thoughts on the subject. Hopefully, there is somebody monitoring that has the ambition and the skill to make it happen. Let's see if this notion takes legs...

A database report should be compiled, with the rows containing the ARRL DX Entity list of prefixes.

Several large logs from LOTW participants can be submitted and read. Hopefully, a cross section of users (contesters, Dxers, QRPers, County Hunters, etc.) would provide good details.

The super-check-partial database could also be read.

Investigate whether QRZ records could be incorporated as well--include only those that have some low threshhold of database inquiries.

If the DXCC entities were 'rows' of the report

Column one could contain the number of unique calls identified from all valid sources -- logs, database info, etc. Including only those that are known to be active.

Column two could contain the number of unique calls reporting results to LOTW. This would be extracted from the accumulation of LOTW QSL information off the web from several or even many LOTW users.

Further analysis could bifurcate the data based on the QSL received date, and/or the QSO date. This would provide some trending information.

Whatdoesitallmean?

Suppose you see a 6 page report that shows the following:
(not valid data, for discussion only)

PREFIX UNIQUE USERS SATURATE
G 8500 865 10.1%
JT1 9 0 0.0%
K 35200 8500 24.1%
...
...
SM 650 59 9.1%
VK9 12 0 0.0%
ZL 360 22 6.1%
Total 124000 15000 12.1%

This is a statistically significant report. Where does one focus the marketing effort? Clearly, any effort in JT1 and VK9 is going to have a BIG impact in the "joy" factor for all LOTW users. It also would provide Dxers with a 'by entity' active population for each prefix. The "Users" column could also be parsed to show trending information. E.g. QSO dates <2000 2001 2002... 2007. The by-entity approach also provides some meaningful analysis of the marketing department's success.

My hope is that these thoughts will inspire somebody to pick up the ball and run with it. I think the data would be a worthwhile article, which I would be more than willing to author if provided the data.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3647. Note to moderator

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 15:26:20 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3647 Message: 3647

Dear moderator...

Please go into the setting for the group and remove the rich text formatting. Plain text is ALL that should be allowed. You will note on my last post, a short table was prepared using spaces to format columnar data. Rich Text is too smart for it's own good. Please change the setting to not allow pictures either.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3648. is this a error on the LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 15:47:07 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3648 Message: 3648

If you go to the LoTW homepage, and select the 'Home' tab from the choices
and scroll down to see the first 'News & Notes'.

The bottom 'Note' is dated Dec. 31, 1969.

Somehow, I think that's a typo.

Don't think anyone was even using computers, much less LoTW back in 1969.

Point is...someone looking for that info may miss it due to it being out of
the proper chronological order.

The most expensive component always breaks first.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3649. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] is this a error on the LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 16:19:04 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3648 Message: 3649

Yeah, Fire...

Funny you should mention 1969 because during my research for my RTTY presentation, I remember reading the original 4004 uProc chip was designed/shipped for hand held calculators that year. It was several years past that when the visionaries started producing the Altair and other products! I only remember this tid-bit because my presentation needs to bridge the transition from mechanical machines to modern technology.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Friday, February 23, 2007 9:47 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] is this a error on the LoTW web page

If you go to the LoTW homepage, and select the 'Home' tab from the choices
and scroll down to see the first 'News & Notes'.

The bottom 'Note' is dated Dec. 31, 1969.

Somehow, I think that's a typo.

Don't think anyone was even using computers, much less LoTW back in 1969.

Point is...someone looking for that info may miss it due to it being out of
the proper chronological order.

The most expensive component always breaks first.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3650. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 17:07:25 UTC
From: Bill Beggs
Topic: 3637 Message: 3650

Ford,

Re: Some of the things you are looking at.

One way to find the number of US vs. VE vs. DX is the following. Log on LotW, go to "Your QSOs" and click the Download Report. Make sure you ask for the long report (Detailed) and put a start date like 1969.. After you down load the report. You will need an editor that allows for searching for a string and counting the occurrences. There will be two strings you would look for

1. DXCC:3>291
2. DXCC:1>1

You will search for those two strings and the find the number of occurrences for each. The first one is 291, the country code for the US and the other is "1", the country code for Canada. Everything else is DX, so:

Number of DX = total number of QSLs minus the number of US QSLs and minus the number of VE QSLs.

One problem with this is that this file does all QSLs not just unique QSLs, so this will produce ratios that are not really correct. I could rather easily right some code to parse the file by not only country code, but user also, with counts for each. That type data could give you a breakdown of what you really want, but there is an easier way.

Robert, HB9BZA has done some statistics on were the LotW users are. You can access that data at: http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/ . I think Robert's listings are very informative. He has listed, not only the counts per country, but in another listing he has the actual callsigns for each country.

When you look at Certificates active and Users registered, it can be misleading in that a User is the account. Many users have multiple callsigns. In addition, active certificates do not represents the total number calls that are on LotW because, for example myself, I did not renew certificates for old callsigns that I have already uploaded all QSOs for. In my case that was six callsigns.

Bill, N5RR

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ford Peterson
Sent: Friday, February 23, 2007 9:16 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Folks,

We could figure out exactly who is and who is not participating in LOTW. But it would take some database scrubbing. Art-RX9TX's post got me to thinking. Of my 30K Qs, my QSL rate is 19.9%. But a large percentage of these are contest Qs. Lord knows KC1XX is in my log a few dozen times and every one of those is a QSL. So you cannot extrapolate any meaningful data from the simple approach. Deciphering and parsing the numbers would be very informative.

I just tried to figure out from my log how many are US and how many are DX, but the ADIF file is a bit awkward to work with. I do not see a way to get a comma delimited report, so it is going to take somebody with some database skills (not here I'm afraid) to pull this apart. Here are my thoughts on the subject. Hopefully, there is somebody monitoring that has the ambition and the skill to make it happen. Let's see if this notion takes legs...

A database report should be compiled, with the rows containing the ARRL DX Entity list of prefixes.

Several large logs from LOTW participants can be submitted and read. Hopefully, a cross section of users (contesters, Dxers, QRPers, County Hunters, etc.) would provide good details.

The super-check-partial database could also be read.

Investigate whether QRZ records could be incorporated as well--include only those that have some low threshhold of database inquiries.

If the DXCC entities were 'rows' of the report

Column one could contain the number of unique calls identified from all valid sources -- logs, database info, etc. Including only those that are known to be active.

Column two could contain the number of unique calls reporting results to LOTW. This would be extracted from the accumulation of LOTW QSL information off the web from several or even many LOTW users.

Further analysis could bifurcate the data based on the QSL received date, and/or the QSO date. This would provide some trending information.

Whatdoesitallmean?

Suppose you see a 6 page report that shows the following:
(not valid data, for discussion only)

PREFIX UNIQUE USERS SATURATE
G 8500 865 10.1%
JT1 9 0 0.0%
K 35200 8500 24.1%
...

...
SM 650 59 9.1%
VK9 12 0 0.0%
ZL 360 22 6.1%
Total 124000 15000 12.1%

This is a statistically significant report. Where does one focus the marketing effort? Clearly, any effort in JT1 and VK9 is going to have a BIG impact in the "joy" factor for all LOTW users. It also would provide Dxers with a 'by entity' active population for each prefix. The "Users" column could also be parsed to show trending information. E.g. QSO dates <2000 2001 2002... 2007. The by-entity approach also provides some meaningful analysis of the marketing department's success.

My hope is that these thoughts will inspire somebody to pick up the ball and run with it. I think the data would be a worthwhile article, which I would be more than willing to author if provided the data.

Ford-N0FP
ford@highmarks. <mailto:ford%40highmarks.com> com

3651. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 20:20:29 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 3637 Message: 3651

Hi,

good starting point for statistics:

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/

Andy, DF4WC

-------- Original-Nachricht --------
Datum: Fri, 23 Feb 2007 09:16:25 -0600
Von: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
CC:
Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

> Folks,
>
> We could figure out exactly who is and who is not participating in LOTW.
> But it would take some database scrubbing. Art-RX9TX's post got me to
> thinking. Of my 30K Qs, my QSL rate is 19.9%. But a large percentage of
> these are contest Qs. Lord knows KC1XX is in my log a few dozen times and
> every one of those is a QSL. So you cannot extrapolate any meaningful
> data
> >from the simple approach. Deciphering and parsing the numbers would be
> very
> informative.
>
> I just tried to figure out from my log how many are US and how many are
> DX,
> but the ADIF file is a bit awkward to work with. I do not see a way to
> get
> a comma delimited report, so it is going to take somebody with some
> database
> skills (not here I'm afraid) to pull this apart. Here are my thoughts on
> the subject. Hopefully, there is somebody monitoring that has the
> ambition
> and the skill to make it happen. Let's see if this notion takes legs...
>
> A database report should be compiled, with the rows containing the ARRL DX
> Entity list of prefixes.
>
> Several large logs from LOTW participants can be submitted and read.
> Hopefully, a cross section of users (contesters, Dxers, QRPers, County
> Hunters, etc.) would provide good details.
>
> The super-check-partial database could also be read.

>
> Investigate whether QRZ records could be incorporated as well--include
> only
> those that have some low threshhold of database inquiries.
>
> If the DXCC entities were 'rows' of the report
>
> Column one could contain the number of unique calls identified from all
> valid sources -- logs, database info, etc. Including only those that are
> known to be active.
>
> Column two could contain the number of unique calls reporting results to
> LOTW. This would be extracted from the accumulation of LOTW QSL
> information
> off the web from several or even many LOTW users.
>
> Further analysis could bifurcate the data based on the QSL received date,
> and/or the QSO date. This would provide some trending information.
>
> Whatdoesitallmean?
>
> Suppose you see a 6 page report that shows the following:
> (not valid data, for discussion only)
>
> PREFIX UNIQUE USERS SATURATE
> G 8500 865 10.1%
> JT1 9 0 0.0%
> K 35200 8500 24.1%
> ...
> ...
> SM 650 59 9.1%
> VK9 12 0 0.0%
> ZL 360 22 6.1%
> Total 124000 15000 12.1%
>
>
> This is a statistically significant report. Where does one focus the
> marketing effort? Clearly, any effort in JT1 and VK9 is going to have a
> BIG
> impact in the "joy" factor for all LOTW users. It also would provide
> Dxers
> with a 'by entity' active population for each prefix. The "Users" column
> could also be parsed to show trending information. E.g. QSO dates <2000
> 2001 2002... 2007. The by-entity approach also provides some meaningful
> analysis of the marketing department's success.
>
> My hope is that these thoughts will inspire somebody to pick up the ball
> and
> run with it. I think the data would be a worthwhile article, which I
> would

> be more than willing to author if provided the data.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums & communities.
> Links
>
>
>
>

--
"Feel free" - 5 GB Mailbox, 50 FreeSMS/Monat ...
Jetzt GMX ProMail testen: www.gmx.net/de/go/mailfooter/promail-out

3652. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-23 21:19:01 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3637 Message: 3652

Hi,

good starting point for statistics:

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/

Andy, DF4WC

****

Andy,

I agree. An excellent resource. But what is missing is the 'population' information to determine the effectiveness of promotion.

The marketing guys call it "penetration." Once you have everybody participating, you have 100% penetration. When I see that there are no participants from VK9M, I'm disappointed until I understand that there may only be 4 or 5 callsigns that have ever been in VK9M. Likewise Peter I, and 3Y0X, where there is only 1 call.

By comparing other information and doing a merge-purge of the data, the likely candidates for marketing come to light. For example, if there is a country with thousands of active calls, and nobody participating, it is obvious that some effort needs to be expended to get the word out, and these efforts would pay big dividends to LOTW users.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3653. 3B9/G3TXF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-24 00:01:42 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 3653 Message: 3653

I received my LOTW confirmation from 3B9/G3TXF today from Rodriquez Is.
QSO 2/19/2007 on 40 CW.

3654. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3B9/G3TXF

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-24 06:11:06 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3653 Message: 3654
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3655. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 10:32:45 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 3637 Message: 3655

as of Dec. 31st, 2006 there were

75,200 amateurs with
80,500 call signs

in DL

these are official numbers by the Bundesnetzagentur
(by the way, this is a plus of 700 after 4 years
decreasing)

406 registered call signs in LOTW, 0.5%

Andy, DF4WC

-------- Original-Nachricht --------
Datum: Thu, 22 Feb 2007 21:36:06 -0000
Von: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
CC:
Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

> I Googled the worldwide amateur population. The best reference I
> could find is a table from a 2002 report entitled "Revolutionary
> Ideas for Radio Regulation" by Douglas A. Galbi, then a Senior
> Economist with the FCC, which is available via
>
> http://www.galbithink.org/rirr.pdf
>
> Appendix C of this report provides total population and "amateurs per
> thousand" statistics for 164 countries. This appendix is available via
>
> http://www.galbithink.org/appC.htm
>
> Naturally, I extracted this data into an Excel spreadsheet, removed
> irrelevant columns involving income, and added a column showing the
> amateur population for each country. This spreadsheet is available via
>
> http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/Hams.xls
>
> The spreadsheet shows a total world population of 5.8 billion, and a
> total amateur population of 1.7 million -- an average of .3 amateurs
> per thousand people. Remember, this is data from 2002.
>
> Slovenia had the highest density of amateurs at 3.2 per thousand
> people. The US had the most amateurs at 680 thousand -- 2.4 for every
> thousand people.

>
> What does this mean for LotW?
>
> Assuming no significant change in the world's amateur population
> since 2002, 15K users is 9 LotW users for every 1000 hams worldwide.
> However, a perfectly executed LotW would not attract all of the
> world's 1.7 million hams; only a portion of these hams exchange QSL
> cards, and only a portion of those are seeking QSL cards in pursuit
> of awards, and would thus rather save time and money than
> send/receive a physical card.
>
> The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing
> awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by
> all such award programs, and if every award program participant used
> LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.
>
> So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need
> to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.
>
> "Its like reaching for the stars by climbing a tree; you can make
> progress for a while, but you'll never get there" (Carl Sagan,
> paraphrased)
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@...> wrote:
> >
> > WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that
> means
> > .5%, yeaah we are getting there!
> >
> >
> > Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
> > N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
> > Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
> > > Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
> > > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
> > >
> > > LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
> > > As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.

> > >
> > > Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
> > > would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Bob, K1VU
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ----------------------~--> Check out the new improvements in
> > > Yahoo! Groups email.
> > > http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > > ---
> > > ------~->
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
> > > communities. Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums & communities.
> Links
>
>
>
>

--
Der GMX SmartSurfer hilft bis zu 70% Ihrer Onlinekosten zu sparen!
Ideal f?r Modem und ISDN: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/smartsurfer

3656. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 13:46:47 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3637 Message: 3656

Andy,

I've always admired the German's technical abilities.

0.5% only. What is the reason?

Surely it is not technical ability.
The ARRL sure needs to know why LOTW isn't being accepted.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Andreas Rehberg wrote:

>as of Dec. 31st, 2006 there were
>
>75,200 amateurs with
>80,500 call signs
>
>in DL
>
>these are official numbers by the Bundesnetzagentur
>(by the way, this is a plus of 700 after 4 years
>decreasing)
>
>406 registered call signs in LOTW, 0.5%
>
>Andy, DF4WC
>
>-------- Original-Nachricht --------
>Datum: Thu, 22 Feb 2007 21:36:06 -0000
>Von: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
>An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>CC:
>Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>
>
>
>>I Googled the worldwide amateur population. The best reference I
>>could find is a table from a 2002 report entitled "Revolutionary
>>Ideas for Radio Regulation" by Douglas A. Galbi, then a Senior
>>Economist with the FCC, which is available via
>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/rirr.pdf
>>
>>Appendix C of this report provides total population and "amateurs per
>>thousand" statistics for 164 countries. This appendix is available via

>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/appC.htm
>>
>>Naturally, I extracted this data into an Excel spreadsheet, removed
>>irrelevant columns involving income, and added a column showing the
>>amateur population for each country. This spreadsheet is available via
>>
>>http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/Hams.xls
>>
>>The spreadsheet shows a total world population of 5.8 billion, and a
>>total amateur population of 1.7 million -- an average of .3 amateurs
>>per thousand people. Remember, this is data from 2002.
>>
>>Slovenia had the highest density of amateurs at 3.2 per thousand
>>people. The US had the most amateurs at 680 thousand -- 2.4 for every
>>thousand people.
>>
>>What does this mean for LotW?
>>
>>Assuming no significant change in the world's amateur population
>>since 2002, 15K users is 9 LotW users for every 1000 hams worldwide.
>>However, a perfectly executed LotW would not attract all of the
>>world's 1.7 million hams; only a portion of these hams exchange QSL
>>cards, and only a portion of those are seeking QSL cards in pursuit
>>of awards, and would thus rather save time and money than
>>send/receive a physical card.
>>
>>The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing
>>awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by
>>all such award programs, and if every award program participant used
>>LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.
>>
>>So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need
>>to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.
>>
>>"Its like reaching for the stars by climbing a tree; you can make
>>progress for a while, but you'll never get there" (Carl Sagan,
>>paraphrased)
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that

>>>
>>>
>>means
>>
>>
>>>.5%, yeaah we are getting there!
>>>
>>>
>>>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>>>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>>>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
>>>>Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
>>>>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>>>>
>>>>LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
>>>>As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.
>>>>
>>>>Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
>>>>would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
>>>>
>>>>73
>>>>Bob, K1VU
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>>>>----------~--> Check out the new improvements in
>>>>Yahoo! Groups email.
>>>>http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>>>>>---
>>>>>------~->
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
>>>>communities. Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums & communities.
>>Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

3657. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 14:57:14 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3637 Message: 3657

On 2/25/2007 7:46:47 AM, Brian Alsop (alsopb@...) wrote:
> Andy,
>
> I've always admired the German's technical abilities.
>
> 0.5% only. What is the reason?
>
> Surely it is not technical ability.
> The ARRL sure needs to know why LOTW
> isn't being accepted.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO

Brian, ask you ARRL rep is the League sent anyone to Friedrickhaven (probably murdered the spelling) with a laptop to set up a booth and promote/issue certificates for LoTW.

Probably the largest ham gathering in Europe.

Can you say 'Marketing'???

Wonder if they will have a rep at SeaNet?

3658. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 15:11:16 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3637 Message: 3658

I did some rough calculation.

There are about 3,000,000 hams in the world (unknown as to active and/or DXers).

World wide: 3,000,000 LoTW: 14,500= .005%
USA: 670,000 LoTW: 8300= .012%
Canada: 45,000 LoTW: 397= .009%
Japan: 1,300,000 LoTW 298= .0002%

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 6:46 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Andy,

I've always admired the German's technical abilities.

0.5% only. What is the reason?

Surely it is not technical ability.
The ARRL sure needs to know why LOTW isn't being accepted.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Andreas Rehberg wrote:

>as of Dec. 31st, 2006 there were
>
>75,200 amateurs with
>80,500 call signs
>
>in DL
>
>these are official numbers by the Bundesnetzagentur
>(by the way, this is a plus of 700 after 4 years
>decreasing)
>
>406 registered call signs in LOTW, 0.5%

>
>Andy, DF4WC
>
>-------- Original-Nachricht --------
>Datum: Thu, 22 Feb 2007 21:36:06 -0000
>Von: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...>
>An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>CC:
>Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>
>
>
>>I Googled the worldwide amateur population. The best reference I
>>could find is a table from a 2002 report entitled "Revolutionary
>>Ideas for Radio Regulation" by Douglas A. Galbi, then a Senior
>>Economist with the FCC, which is available via
>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/rirr.pdf
>>
>>Appendix C of this report provides total population and "amateurs per
>>thousand" statistics for 164 countries. This appendix is available via
>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/appC.htm
>>
>>Naturally, I extracted this data into an Excel spreadsheet, removed
>>irrelevant columns involving income, and added a column showing the
>>amateur population for each country. This spreadsheet is available via
>>
>>http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/Hams.xls
>>
>>The spreadsheet shows a total world population of 5.8 billion, and a
>>total amateur population of 1.7 million -- an average of .3 amateurs
>>per thousand people. Remember, this is data from 2002.
>>
>>Slovenia had the highest density of amateurs at 3.2 per thousand
>>people. The US had the most amateurs at 680 thousand -- 2.4 for every
>>thousand people.
>>
>>What does this mean for LotW?
>>
>>Assuming no significant change in the world's amateur population
>>since 2002, 15K users is 9 LotW users for every 1000 hams worldwide.
>>However, a perfectly executed LotW would not attract all of the
>>world's 1.7 million hams; only a portion of these hams exchange QSL
>>cards, and only a portion of those are seeking QSL cards in pursuit
>>of awards, and would thus rather save time and money than
>>send/receive a physical card.
>>
>>The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing
>>awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by

>>all such award programs, and if every award program participant used
>>LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.
>>
>>So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need
>>to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.
>>
>>"Its like reaching for the stars by climbing a tree; you can make
>>progress for a while, but you'll never get there" (Carl Sagan,
>>paraphrased)
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that
>>>
>>>
>>means
>>
>>
>>>.5%, yeaah we are getting there!
>>>
>>>
>>>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>>>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>>>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
>>>>Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
>>>>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>>>>
>>>>LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
>>>>As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.
>>>>
>>>>Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
>>>>would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
>>>>
>>>>73
>>>>Bob, K1VU

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>>>----------~--> Check out the new improvements in
>>>>Yahoo! Groups email.
>>>>http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>>>>---
>>>>------~->
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
>>>>communities. Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums & communities.
>>Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3659. RE: Friedrichshafen

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 17:41:01 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 3637 Message: 3659

Bill and the group.....

Yes, it would be great to see the ARRL team in FHFN with the ability to get folk on to the LoTW program!
I can't see that it would take too much effort, BUT.... I suspect that not many folk would take a copy of their licence to this event.
Therefore, if they WERE to do this, maybe it should be WELL PUBLICISED?

They handle DXCC applications there, and I am sure it wouldn't take much to note down a list of calls and the fact that they have seen the necessary paperwork, and taken email addresses from them.
Once back home, they could then process these calls and send them the password via email.

Anything to increase the take-up of LoTW should be welcomed!

And how about they do the same at the RSGB HF Convention in October! I have a feeling they did this a year or two back, but can't be sure.

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

3660. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: Friedrichshafen

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 17:56:30 UTC
From: Simon Brown
Topic: 3637 Message: 3660

----- Original Message -----
From: "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...>
>
> And how about they do the same at the RSGB HF Convention in October! I
> have
> a feeling they did this a year or two back, but can't be sure.
>

2005, I registered then.

Simon Brown, HB9DRV

3661. THIS IS THE WIRED GENERATION

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 18:27:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3661 Message: 3661

Publicize your attendence at all large events.
Bring a wireless laptop.
Issue certificates on the spot.

Most countries do have lists of licensed amatuers.
Proof of identity would be all that's required in 'MOST' cases.

This isn't rocket science.

Cattle Prods solve most of life's little problems.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3662. Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 18:45:06 UTC
From: ve3wdm
Topic: 3662 Message: 3662

Hi all,
Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after the NAQP RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems I have have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get. Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the computer is to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being asked again for verification of my license. Is there another way around this so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression all the "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.
Thanks for your input
Mike
VE3WDM

3663. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 18:47:58 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3662 Message: 3663

If you didn't back up your certificate someplace, your pretty well hosed.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 11:45 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD

> Hi all,
> Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after the NAQP
> RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems I have
> have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get.
> Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the computer is
> to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being asked
> again for verification of my license. Is there another way around this
> so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just
> started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression all the
> "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.
> Thanks for your input
> Mike
> VE3WDM
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3664. Re: Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 19:01:01 UTC
From: ve3wdm
Topic: 3662 Message: 3664

Hosed I am I guess....:)
Mike
VE3WDM

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> If you didn't back up your certificate someplace, your pretty well
hosed.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 11:45 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD
>
>
> > Hi all,
> > Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after the NAQP
> > RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems I have
> > have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get.
> > Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the computer is
> > to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being asked
> > again for verification of my license. Is there another way around this
> > so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just
> > started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression all the
> > "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.
> > Thanks for your input
> > Mike
> > VE3WDM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

3665. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 19:09:16 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3662 Message: 3665

Mike,

Drop a note to: lotw-help@... and explain your problem. They may be
able to help.

Also you can try going to "Your Account" on the LoTW main User page and the
"Your Certificates". You can download them from there but I'm not sure if
that will do the trick. I've not tried it myself.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 12:01 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD

> Hosed I am I guess....:)
> Mike
> VE3WDM
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> If you didn't back up your certificate someplace, your pretty well
> hosed.
>>
>> Gerry VE6LB
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@...>
>> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 11:45 AM
>> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD
>>
>>
>> > Hi all,
>> > Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after the NAQP
>> > RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems I have
>> > have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get.
>> > Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the computer is
>> > to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being asked
>> > again for verification of my license. Is there another way around this
>> > so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just
>> > started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression all the
>> > "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.

>> > Thanks for your input
>> > Mike
>> > VE3WDM
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3666. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 19:06:54 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3637 Message: 3666

Gerry,

That is exactly the reson for slow LoTW penetration.
In simple terms it is addressing the wrong market segment.

a. 15K that are IN, do not make any good use of it, other than
setting new records of 30, 40 or 50 years record between
the QSO and its confirmation.
b. 3 Million or so that are OUT (I still think only 0.5 million)
do not have the idea how to use it and for what.

Resolution: Redefinition of service to meet the needs of both.

Nenad VE3EXY ....

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Gerry
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 10:11 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

I did some rough calculation.

There are about 3,000,000 hams in the world (unknown as to active and/or
DXers).

World wide: 3,000,000 LoTW: 14,500= .005%
USA: 670,000 LoTW: 8300= .012%
Canada: 45,000 LoTW: 397= .009%
Japan: 1,300,000 LoTW 298= .0002%

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) rac.ca
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop <mailto:alsopb@nc.rr.com>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 6:46 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Andy,

I've always admired the German's technical abilities.

0.5% only. What is the reason?

Surely it is not technical ability.
The ARRL sure needs to know why LOTW isn't being accepted.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Andreas Rehberg wrote:

>as of Dec. 31st, 2006 there were
>
>75,200 amateurs with
>80,500 call signs
>
>in DL
>
>these are official numbers by the Bundesnetzagentur
>(by the way, this is a plus of 700 after 4 years
>decreasing)
>
>406 registered call signs in LOTW, 0.5%
>
>Andy, DF4WC
>
>-------- Original-Nachricht --------
>Datum: Thu, 22 Feb 2007 21:36:06 -0000
>Von: "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
>An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>CC:
>Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>
>
>
>>I Googled the worldwide amateur population. The best reference I
>>could find is a table from a 2002 report entitled "Revolutionary
>>Ideas for Radio Regulation" by Douglas A. Galbi, then a Senior
>>Economist with the FCC, which is available via
>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/rirr.pdf
>>
>>Appendix C of this report provides total population and "amateurs per
>>thousand" statistics for 164 countries. This appendix is available via
>>
>>http://www.galbithink.org/appC.htm
>>
>>Naturally, I extracted this data into an Excel spreadsheet, removed
>>irrelevant columns involving income, and added a column showing the
>>amateur population for each country. This spreadsheet is available via
>>
>>http://www.ambersoft.com/Amateur_Radio/Hams.xls

>>
>>The spreadsheet shows a total world population of 5.8 billion, and a
>>total amateur population of 1.7 million -- an average of .3 amateurs
>>per thousand people. Remember, this is data from 2002.
>>
>>Slovenia had the highest density of amateurs at 3.2 per thousand
>>people. The US had the most amateurs at 680 thousand -- 2.4 for every
>>thousand people.
>>
>>What does this mean for LotW?
>>
>>Assuming no significant change in the world's amateur population
>>since 2002, 15K users is 9 LotW users for every 1000 hams worldwide.
>>However, a perfectly executed LotW would not attract all of the
>>world's 1.7 million hams; only a portion of these hams exchange QSL
>>cards, and only a portion of those are seeking QSL cards in pursuit
>>of awards, and would thus rather save time and money than
>>send/receive a physical card.
>>
>>The more relevant metric is the total number of amateurs pursuing
>>awards that require evidence of confirmation. If LotW was accepted by
>>all such award programs, and if every award program participant used
>>LotW, then its adoption would be 100%.
>>
>>So while we know the numerator is 15K users, the denominator we need
>>to assess LotW penetration remains elusive.
>>
>>"Its like reaching for the stars by climbing a tree; you can make
>>progress for a while, but you'll never get there" (Carl Sagan,
>>paraphrased)
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rojomn" <rojomn@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>WOW, lets see, 15 k users, probably at least 3000k hams exist, that
>>>
>>>
>>means
>>
>>
>>>.5%, yeaah we are getting there!
>>>
>>>

>>>Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
>>>N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
>>>Hierro Candente, Batir de repente
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>>From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of R Johnson
>>>>Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2007 2:03 PM
>>>>To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>>>>Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW reaches 15K Users Today
>>>>
>>>>LoTW has finally reached 15K Users Today.
>>>>As of 2:30 EST the count reached the 15K mark.
>>>>
>>>>Now if each one of us could recruit just ONE new user it
>>>>would be a big step forward in moving LoTW forward.
>>>>
>>>>73
>>>>Bob, K1VU
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>>>----------~--> Check out the new improvements in
>>>>Yahoo! Groups email.
>>>>http://us.click.yahoo.com/4It09A/fOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
>>>>---
>>>>------~->
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
>>>>communities. Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups - Join or create groups, clubs, forums &
communities.
>>Links
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3667. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 19:11:32 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 3637 Message: 3667

well, marketing is one thing, but as you see in the
actual edition of QST...

I stated this before, but apparently it is very hard
to understand for Anglo-Saxon people, that the average
mid-european will not send copies of documents through
the world.
This is a fact which has to be considered!
We will not fax our credit card number, we will even
avoid using it in internet etc..

again - we will not send official documents!!!
Not local and less than ever to the U.S.
Sit back and think about it - just a cultural thing.

so my recommendation is to install local registries
as for QSL checking.

Andy, DF4WC

-------- Original-Nachricht --------
Datum: Sun, 25 Feb 2007 08:57:14 -0600
Von: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
An: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
CC:
Betreff: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

>
> On 2/25/2007 7:46:47 AM, Brian Alsop (alsopb@...) wrote:
> > Andy,
> >
> > I've always admired the German's technical abilities.
> >
> > 0.5% only. What is the reason?
> >
> > Surely it is not technical ability.
> > The ARRL sure needs to know why LOTW
> > isn't being accepted.
> >
> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Brian, ask you ARRL rep is the League sent anyone to Friedrickhaven
> (probably murdered the spelling) with a laptop to set up a booth and
> promote/issue certificates for LoTW.
>
> Probably the largest ham gathering in Europe.

>
> Can you say 'Marketing'???
>
> Wonder if they will have a rep at SeaNet?
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
"Feel free" - 5 GB Mailbox, 50 FreeSMS/Monat ...
Jetzt GMX ProMail testen: www.gmx.net/de/go/mailfooter/promail-out

3668. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 20:11:21 UTC
From: Carl
Topic: 3662 Message: 3668

Here ya go Mike:
Pasted from the LoTW site.
My computer crashed. Can I have a new certificate?

Did you back-up your certificates using the Save command in TQSLCert? If you do not perform this step and later lose your
certificate (due to a hard-drive crash or system reinstall, for example), your certificate will be unrecoverable. Click on the
certificate in the certificate list to select it, then choose the Certificate menu's Save command. Save the certificate file onto
a floppy disk or other off-line medium. You will also be able to use this saved certificate file to load your certificates onto
another computer later.

If you did not save your certificates and keys, contact us at lotw-help@... and we will get you going again with a new
certificate.

Good luck & 73,

Carl K8AV

> Hi all,
> Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after the NAQP
> RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems I have
> have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get.
> Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the computer is
> to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being asked
> again for verification of my license. Is there another way around this
> so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just
> started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression all the
> "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.
> Thanks for your input
> Mike
> VE3WDM

3669. Re: Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 20:46:25 UTC
From: ve3wdm
Topic: 3662 Message: 3669

I tried going to "my account" and "your certificates" but it failed to work. So I sent an email off to LOTW to request help with new ones.....that I will save this time...:)
Thanks all for the help
Mike
VE3WDM

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> Mike,
>
> Drop a note to: lotw-help@... and explain your problem. They may be
> able to help.
>
> Also you can try going to "Your Account" on the LoTW main User page
and the
> "Your Certificates". You can download them from there but I'm not
sure if
> that will do the trick. I've not tried it myself.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 12:01 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD
>
>
> > Hosed I am I guess....:)
> > Mike
> > VE3WDM
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> If you didn't back up your certificate someplace, your pretty well
> > hosed.
> >>
> >> Gerry VE6LB
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----

> >> From: "ve3wdm" <ve3wdm@>
> >> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 11:45 AM
> >> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re-formated HD
> >>
> >>
> >> > Hi all,
> >> > Last week I re-formated the hard drive and this weekend after
the NAQP
> >> > RTTY contest I attempted to send in my log to LOTW. Well seems
I have
> >> > have to register all over agian, well that is the impression I get.
> >> > Seems the only way to get LOTW up and running again on the
computer is
> >> > to submit another cert of request. I did this and now am being
asked
> >> > again for verification of my license. Is there another way
around this
> >> > so I dont have to mail in a copy of my license again. All this just
> >> > started after re-formating the HD. I was under the impression
all the
> >> > "offical" certification was up loaded and at LOTW.
> >> > Thanks for your input
> >> > Mike
> >> > VE3WDM
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

3670. RE: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 21:12:30 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3637 Message: 3670

Andy wrote:

well, marketing is one thing, but as you see in the actual edition of QST...

I stated this before, but apparently it is very hard to understand for
Anglo-Saxon people, that the average mid-european will not send copies of
documents through the world.
This is a fact which has to be considered!
We will not fax our credit card number, we will even avoid using it in
internet etc..

again - we will not send official documents!!!
Not local and less than ever to the U.S.
Sit back and think about it - just a cultural thing.

so my recommendation is to install local registries as for QSL checking.

Andy, DF4WC

****

Andy,

This is interesting to me. I have seen discussions of this in the past so I
know there is some truth to what you say. In fact, I fired off an email to
my ARRL director, who is also chair of the membership services committee
that oversees LOTW. He is very interested in this.

As a US ham, I have no clue what documents you are referring to. What is it
that they ask of DX to sign up? Director Bellows asked me and I have no
clue. Any specific information would be very helpful.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3671. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 22:40:18 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3637 Message: 3671

>Andy,
>
>This is interesting to me. I have seen discussions of this in the past so I
>know there is some truth to what you say. In fact, I fired off an email to
>my ARRL director, who is also chair of the membership services committee
>that oversees LOTW. He is very interested in this.
>
>As a US ham, I have no clue what documents you are referring to. What is it
>that they ask of DX to sign up? Director Bellows asked me and I have no
>clue. Any specific information would be very helpful.
>
>Ford-N0FP
>ford@highmarks.com
>

The documentation required is as follows.

1. A Copy of the AR Licence.

and probably more 'sensitive'.......

2. A Copy of something which carries photographic identification - ie:
Passport or Drivers Licence.

Now you perhaps understand the reluctance of many Amateurs to sign up
to LoTW.

These have to be sent through the post rather than electronically
transferred.

73 Graham M5AAV

3672. RE: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 22:52:35 UTC
From: Michael Keane K1MK
Topic: 3637 Message: 3672

At 04:12 PM 2/25/07, Ford Peterson wrote:

>As a US ham, I have no clue what documents you are referring to. What is it
>that they ask of DX to sign up? Director Bellows asked me and I have no
>clue. Any specific information would be very helpful.

From <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/docreq>:

"Every non-USA operator requesting an initial, unsigned certificate
for Logbook of the World must send a copy of his/her Amateur Radio
operating authorization in addition to a copy of one other
government-issued document indicating his/her identity. Such an
additional document might be a driver's license, or the first page of
a passport. (Identity documents will be destroyed after certificates
are successfully issued.)"

The rationale for requiring such documentation is that other
governments do not provide an on-line means for verifying license
information as the FCC does with ULS.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

3673. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW reaches 15K Users Today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-25 23:13:40 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3637 Message: 3673

My opinion of this requirement from day one:
It will limit the participation of LOTW from non-US/Canada.

I wouldn't send such information to anyone overseas.

I was involved in beta testing of LOTW. My opinion then was unwanted QRM.
Their ears don't seem to be any better now.

There has to be another way to assure the "purity " of LOTW entrants..

73 de Brian/K3KO

Michael Keane K1MK wrote:

>At 04:12 PM 2/25/07, Ford Peterson wrote:
>
>
>
>>As a US ham, I have no clue what documents you are referring to. What is it
>>that they ask of DX to sign up? Director Bellows asked me and I have no
>>clue. Any specific information would be very helpful.
>>
>>
>
> From <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/docreq>:
>
>"Every non-USA operator requesting an initial, unsigned certificate
>for Logbook of the World must send a copy of his/her Amateur Radio
>operating authorization in addition to a copy of one other
>government-issued document indicating his/her identity. Such an
>additional document might be a driver's license, or the first page of
>a passport. (Identity documents will be destroyed after certificates
>are successfully issued.)"
>
>The rationale for requiring such documentation is that other
>governments do not provide an on-line means for verifying license
>information as the FCC does with ULS.
>
>73,
>Mike K1MK
>
>Michael Keane K1MK
>k1mk@...
>
>
>

>

3674. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 02:06:43 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3662 Message: 3674

At 02:09 PM 2/25/2007, Gerry Hohn wrote:

>Mike,
>
>Drop a note to: lotw-help@... and explain your problem. They may be
>able to help.
>
>Also you can try going to "Your Account" on the LoTW main User page
>and the
>"Your Certificates". You can download them from there but I'm not sure if
>that will do the trick. I've not tried it myself.

That won't work. If you didn't back up your private key, it cannot be
recovered.

That's something every LotW user should do: use TQSLcert to
"Certificate/Save" the key pair, and store the backup offline. You need
to do this every time you renew your cerficate as well.
-Rick

3675. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 14:26:33 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3662 Message: 3675

But they may get you going without having to submit the DX documentation
again.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Rick Murphy/K1MU" <k1mu-lotw@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2007 7:06 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Re-formated HD

> At 02:09 PM 2/25/2007, Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
>>Mike,
>>
>>Drop a note to: lotw-help@... and explain your problem. They may be
>>able to help.
>>
>>Also you can try going to "Your Account" on the LoTW main User page
>>and the
>>"Your Certificates". You can download them from there but I'm not sure if
>>that will do the trick. I've not tried it myself.
>
> That won't work. If you didn't back up your private key, it cannot be
> recovered.
>
> That's something every LotW user should do: use TQSLcert to
> "Certificate/Save" the key pair, and store the backup offline. You need
> to do this every time you renew your cerficate as well.
> -Rick
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3676. Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 15:55:45 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3676 Message: 3676

I've been corresponding with my director regarding the onerous picture ID documentation requirements imposed on non-US amateurs. The perceived need is based on not having a resource like the FCC's on-line license info. He has promised to evaluate the requirement to determine if alternative approaches are appropriate.

Thanks to all the DX who commented. Feel free to express your concerns right here on this reflector, or directly to me, and I'll forward them as appropriate. Stay tuned (pun intended) for more info on the subject.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3677. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 17:22:12 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3676 Message: 3677

You know, I don't understand why they ask for a picture ID?
Is there someone at Newington who has met and remembers the face of non-USA hams?
If indeed someone is going to bootleg an identity, a picture ID would be no problem.
Heck, some of the 10 year olds at the local bars have multiple picture ID's.
lol

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ford Peterson" <ford@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 9:55 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

> I've been corresponding with my director regarding the onerous picture ID
> documentation requirements imposed on non-US amateurs. The perceived need
> is based on not having a resource like the FCC's on-line license info. He
> has promised to evaluate the requirement to determine if alternative
> approaches are appropriate.
>
> Thanks to all the DX who commented. Feel free to express your concerns
> right here on this reflector, or directly to me, and I'll forward them as
> appropriate. Stay tuned (pun intended) for more info on the subject.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>
>
>

3678. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 17:51:50 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3676 Message: 3678
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3679. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 18:01:30 UTC
From: Hank Garretson
Topic: 3676 Message: 3679

I suggest that the League should engage the national radio amateur organization in each country to certify that country's hams.

73,

Hank, W6SX

Mammoth Lakes, California

3680. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 18:50:37 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3676 Message: 3680

Engaging people outside the ARRL organization just opens up another layer of problems. I agree with the suggestion of having a LoTW signup booth at the major worldwide Hamfests. Another help would be to have a LoTW forum or "training class" on the Hamfest program too. There's nothing much better than an enthusiastic presentation to stir up interest and participation, no matter what the subject matter.

But maybe, just maybe, the eQSL system has implemented a better option. Basically anyone can self-register and participate in the system. You create your own user name and password when you login the first time. You can then upload, download, and use many of the facilities in the system. It's ONLY when you decide to participate in an award program that you are asked to provide credentials to verify who you are.

In this context, peer pressure can raise participation levels. If enough fellows work a rare one and the rare one is not authenticated, email encouragements to do so will certainly follow quickly.

If LoTW allowed "two-tiered" authentication, there might be wider acceptance and use of the system. Of course this would require a major overhaul of the LoTW security system and I'm sure we all know how likely that will be!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Hank Garretson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 12:01 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

I suggest that the League should engage the national radio amateur
organization in each country to certify that country's hams.

73,

Hank, W6SX

Mammoth Lakes, California

3681. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 19:16:20 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3676 Message: 3681

On Mon, 26 Feb 2007 12:50:37 -0600, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote, regarding eQsl

>In this context, peer pressure can raise participation levels. If enough fellows work a rare one and the rare one is not authenticated, email encouragements to do so will certainly follow quickly.
>
Oh ! so all those mails I get are 'encouragements' <smile> I find them pitifully annoying, which is why I opted right out of e-qsl quite some while ago - not to mention the sheer amount of time it took to even think about seeing who had confirmed a qso. No - eqsl is a big money spinner for the organisers promoting their own awards.

My opinoin and I'll stick to it

Graham [putting his fireproof suit on]

73 Graham M5AAV

3682. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 20:17:24 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3676 Message: 3682

Graham...

So, does that fireproof suit have a stainless steel zipper??? :-)

I use both systems and both have their advantages and disadvantages. Regarding the pestering email messages... you can turn that delivery service off in your profile. My preference is to receive them. But I do have Outlook setup to "sort" all my incoming messages into separate mailboxes (folders). That way I can read what I want, when I want. I have 26 incoming mailboxes for my "Ham Radio Stuff".

What was the deciding factor for you to dump eQSL? I am interested because I wrote an article about the two systems and was surprised to see such strong opinions regarding one or the other systems. Even to the point that many hams list "NO EQSL" or "NO LOTW" on their QRZ.COM profiles. Oh, the article starts on page 15 in the June 2006 Grayline Newsletter here: http://www.tcdxa.org/newsletter.html Be aware the newsletters are pretty large PDF files (3 to 4-Mb each) because they have a large number of high quality photos.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Graham Ridgeway
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 1:16 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

On Mon, 26 Feb 2007 12:50:37 -0600, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote, regarding eQsl

>In this context, peer pressure can raise participation levels. If enough fellows work a rare one and the rare one is not authenticated, email encouragements to do so will certainly follow quickly.
>
Oh ! so all those mails I get are 'encouragements' <smile> I find them
pitifully annoying, which is why I opted right out of e-qsl quite some
while ago - not to mention the sheer amount of time it took to even
think about seeing who had confirmed a qso. No - eqsl is a big money
spinner for the organisers promoting their own awards.

My opinoin and I'll stick to it

Graham [putting his fireproof suit on]

73 Graham M5AAV

3683. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 19:55:28 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3676 Message: 3683
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3684. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 20:45:46 UTC
From: Michael Keane K1MK
Topic: 3676 Message: 3684

At 12:22 PM 2/26/07, FireBrick wrote:
>You know, I don't understand why they ask for a picture ID?
>Is there someone at Newington who has met and remembers the face of non-USA
>hams?

No. But there are people working there who certainly are familiar
with the appearance of license documents, passports, and maybe even
photo IDs for a great number of countries. This is after all the same
group that has been checking DXpedition documentation for thirty,
forty years now. Over that time you'd expect them to have built up
some collective knowledge on the subject.

>If indeed someone is going to bootleg an identity, a picture ID would be no
>problem.

Especially not a problem for a national. If DJ6XYZ wanted to
impersonate DJ7XYZ, yes, he'd probably get away with it.

OTOH, it might be a bit harder if you or I wanted to go out and fake
a passport or driver's license from Myanmar or Brunei or ...

>Heck, some of the 10 year olds at the local bars have multiple picture ID's.

So, if perfection is unattainable, why bother doing anything at all?

The question is: what might be some reasonable alternatives short of
doing nothing?

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

3685. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 21:15:38 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3676 Message: 3685

Hello Prasad,

I remember your message about the unfortunate episode with eQSL.

I had a similar experience recently. I was testing the system to investigate a potential software problem. I setup an account like K0RC-TEST-ONLY as the user and gave it a regular password. I then uploaded a couple hundred QSO's to validate the issue I had seen. Sure enough, the next day there were a half dozen of those "TEST" cards that had been retrieved during my 24-hour test period. I bulk erased the test log and then individually erased the 6 bogus "retrieved" cards.

This experience is the same as if I found an incoming eQSL from BS7AF. I'm going to click on that QSO to look at it just out of curiosity. But as soon as I perform that action, the system locks it in as "retrieved". I suppose this is no different than if that BS7AF card showed up in my postal mailbox. I would certainly open the envelop and look at it.

So it gets back to the ethics of the person receiving the card and the action they take about using it. This adds credibility to the old saying "Locks and keys are for honest people".

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 1:55 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

I don't upload my entire log anymore on e-qsl. This was prompted by an incident that happened to me once. I made one erroneous upload of a log with 500-odd QSOs belonging to another amateur into my own account. And then, I was amazed to see the flood of reply eQSLs claiming the QSO, as if they had made it with me.

On 2/27/07, Graham Ridgeway <m5aav@...> wrote:
On Mon, 26 Feb 2007 12:50:37 -0600, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote, regarding eQsl

>In this context, peer pressure can raise participation levels. If enough fellows work a rare one and the rare one is not authenticated, email encouragements to do so will certainly follow quickly.
>
Oh ! so all those mails I get are 'encouragements' <smile> I find them pitifully annoying, which is why I opted right out of e-qsl quite some while ago - not to mention the sheer amount of time it took to even think about seeing who had confirmed a qso. No - eqsl is a big money spinner for the organisers promoting their own awards.

My opinoin and I'll stick to it

Graham [putting his fireproof suit on]

73 Graham M5AAV

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

3686. let's not 'dumb down' loTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 21:19:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3686 Message: 3686

Don't take my statements that I mean to make LoTW valueless.
I RESPECT the integrity of LoTW.
The reason other qsl system do not meet this standard is they know they wouldn't gain any participation either..

Many many countries have online callsbooks/license-data.
Let's use these where possible. It seems the various callbook publications can obtain the data.

Let's ACTIVELY provide hams in other countries a easy, person to person way of submitting the data required to join LoTW.

If the DXCC allows reputable, high integrity, people to serve as qsl checkers, extending their authority to 'vouch' for membership in LoTW is a natural extension.

There are conventions, Hamfests, conferences going on.
Take advantage of these events to 'sign up' members.

All it really takes is a wireless laptop.
I have both, give me the plane tickets and I volunteer.
(ok, I admit to not being reputable or of high integrity)

Everytime I read how the qsl data entry is such a tiresome, error prone, expensive part of DXCC, I look at the totals of LoTW submitees...

Does anyone else see the disconnect here?

I admit to being dumber than dirt, if I'm missing some important part, I'm sure someone is going to tell me.

Let's not 'Dumb down LoTW' 'Let's PROMOTE LoTW'.

I have 'pse join LoTW' in all my digital, cw buffers.

I'm a retired firefighter and still have my gear hanging on the wall.
Feel free to flame away.

If you can read this I can hit my brakes and sue you.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3687. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 21:18:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3676 Message: 3687

Your making a good point Mike.
But that is not exactly what is going on.
LoTW is not asking for proof that you traveled to the rock to operate.
They are just asking to show a PHOTOCOPY or your license and ID card.

That's nowhere near the level of proof required to enteroperate in N. Korea
and the like.

A scriptkidde/hacker could fake the documents needed to be mailed in less
time than you will need to read this email.
That's unfortunate, inevitable, and shameful.

Integrity is paramount, but we must find a way that it is not a hurdle to
acceptance.

I was not aware of various ethnic aversion to 'mailing' personal documents.
I should have realized that. So let's find a 'alternative' that is
acceptable and of high standard.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Michael Keane K1MK" <k1mk@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 2:45 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

> At 12:22 PM 2/26/07, FireBrick wrote:
>>You know, I don't understand why they ask for a picture ID?
>>Is there someone at Newington who has met and remembers the face of
>>non-USA
>>hams?
>
> No. But there are people working there who certainly are familiar
> with the appearance of license documents, passports, and maybe even
> photo IDs for a great number of countries. This is after all the same
> group that has been checking DXpedition documentation for thirty,
> forty years now. Over that time you'd expect them to have built up
> some collective knowledge on the subject.
>
>>If indeed someone is going to bootleg an identity, a picture ID would be
>>no
>>problem.
>
> Especially not a problem for a national. If DJ6XYZ wanted to
> impersonate DJ7XYZ, yes, he'd probably get away with it.
>
> OTOH, it might be a bit harder if you or I wanted to go out and fake

> a passport or driver's license from Myanmar or Brunei or ...
>
>>Heck, some of the 10 year olds at the local bars have multiple picture
>>ID's.
>
> So, if perfection is unattainable, why bother doing anything at all?
>
> The question is: what might be some reasonable alternatives short of
> doing nothing?
>
> 73,
> Mike K1MK
>
> Michael Keane K1MK
> k1mk@...
>
>

3688. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 21:24:43 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3676 Message: 3688

On Mon, 26 Feb 2007 14:17:24 -0600, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote:

>Graham...
>
>So, does that fireproof suit have a stainless steel zipper??? :-)

Yep - and a big hat <grin>

>I use both systems and both have their advantages and disadvantages. Regarding the pestering email messages... you can turn that delivery service off in your profile. My preference is to receive them. But I do have Outlook setup to "sort" all my incoming messages into separate mailboxes (folders). That way I can read what I want, when I want. I have 26 incoming mailboxes for my "Ham Radio Stuff".

Ah ! Outpuke - the worlds number one virus attractor. I use Agent -
and I can have as many mailboxes as I want. [anti $soft chuckle]

>What was the deciding factor for you to dump eQSL? I am interested because I wrote an article about the two systems and was surprised to see such strong opinions regarding one or the other systems. Even to the point that many hams list "NO EQSL" or "NO LOTW" on their QRZ.COM profiles. Oh, the article starts on page 15 in the June 2006 Grayline Newsletter here: http://www.tcdxa.org/newsletter.html Be aware the newsletters are pretty large PDF files (3 to 4-Mb each) because they have a large number of high quality photos.

I found it very long winded and a waste of PC time - who wants 700
identical cards from different stations. Even moreso when I started
handling the QSL's for a big UK contest station [who wasn't really
interested]
The awards, as far as I am concerned, mean nothing - even less when
half the 'confirmed' qso's are not, because the other end is not
Authenticated.

I think it was just a money making excercise trying to say -
effectively to ARRL - look how wonderful we are. But the ONLY awards
you can get are eQSL awards. At least there are/were/might be plans
afoot to incorporate more meaningful awards [*] into LoTW [we all pray
for IOTA, if not Counties]

[*] No slur intended her - as I consider the Prime Opertaing
Achievement to be DXCC - Original & Best.

OK so I am waiting for quite a few LoTW confirmations [to make life
easy] but otherwise I am just missing 2 'hard cards'

There was a plug in RadCom some while ago about how wonderful it
was.....I think the author was inundated with people asking why -

if it is so wondeful - the majority of Ops - certainly on Digimodes, where it is easy to do, say NO eQSL. I have yet to see a No-LoTW, and many of us DigiOps add the comment that LoTW is used.

Maybe I'm biased and getting grumpy in my old age. <smile>

73 Graham M5AAV

3689. Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 21:38:08 UTC
From: Dave - G4MUL
Topic: 3676 Message: 3689

I was never asked for a photo ID
I mailed a copy of one page of my drivers licence (scan)
Plus scanned copy of my Amateur Licence.

Pretty sure that's all I had to supply.

So what's the fuss about ?

Dave
g4mul.hfdx@...

3690. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 22:31:29 UTC
From: Michael Keane K1MK
Topic: 3676 Message: 3690

At 04:38 PM 2/26/07, Dave - G4MUL wrote:
>I was never asked for a photo ID
>I mailed a copy of one page of my drivers licence (scan)
>Plus scanned copy of my Amateur Licence.
>
>Pretty sure that's all I had to supply.
>
>So what's the fuss about ?

I think most are think of a "government-issued document indicating his/her identity" as being synonymous with a photo ID like a photocard drivers license or a passport, even though nothing in the LoTW documentation says that it has to be a picture ID.

Obviously there are other acceptable ID documents, like your paper counterpart driving license, that people might feel less reluctant to send via the post.

This is one of those things that is going to be different from country to country.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

3691. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 19:21:57 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3676 Message: 3691

The problem with this is that in most countries the "national" organization
does not represent all (or in many cases, most) amateurs.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hank Garretson" <w6sx@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 11:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

>I suggest that the League should engage the national radio amateur
> organization in each country to certify that country's hams.
>
>
> 73,
>
> Hank, W6SX
>
> Mammoth Lakes, California
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3692. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-26 22:43:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3676 Message: 3692

Gerry, Another good point.

But it would be a good place to start.
In fact, I would suspect the 'national orginization' would be receptive.

On 2/26/2007 1:21:57 PM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> The problem with this is that in most countries the "national"
> organization
> does not represent all (or in many cases, most) amateurs.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Hank Garretson" <w6sx@... [link: mailto:w6sx@npgcable.
> com]>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> com]>
> Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 11:01 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement
>
> >I suggest that the League should engage the national radio amateur
> > organization in each country to certify that
> country's hams.
> >
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Hank, W6SX
> >
> > Mammoth Lakes, California
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3676;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZzI0Nm51BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE

3MjUyOTI4MwR0cGNJZAMzNjc2](15)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYzg3OWtxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3MjUyOTI
4Mw--?act=reply&messageNum=3691]|
> Start

3693. Certifcate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 02:10:03 UTC
From: k2yr
Topic: 3693 Message: 3693

I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My cert which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until March 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?

Carl, K2YR

3694. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certifcate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 03:39:46 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3693 Message: 3694

It was changed to 3 years a while ago.

Bob, N7XY

On Feb 26, 2007, at 6:10 PM, k2yr wrote:

> I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My cert
> which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and
> under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until March
> 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?
>
> Carl, K2YR
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor --------------------
> ~-->
> Yahoo! Groups gets a make over. See the new email design.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/hOt0.A/lOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3695. Re: Certifcate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 11:42:12 UTC
From: k2yr
Topic: 3693 Message: 3695

Thanks Bob! I looked all around the LotW site but couldn't find
anything about 3 year renewal, but i'm not always the most
observant. HI HI.

73, Carl, K2YR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Bob Nielsen <nielsen@...> wrote:
>
> It was changed to 3 years a while ago.
>
> Bob, N7XY
>
> On Feb 26, 2007, at 6:10 PM, k2yr wrote:
>
> > I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My
cert
> > which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and
> > under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until
March
> > 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?
> >
> > Carl, K2YR
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ------------------
--
> > ~-->
> > Yahoo! Groups gets a make over. See the new email design.
> > http://us.click.yahoo.com/hOt0.A/lOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> > ---

> > ~->
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3696. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certifcate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 12:00:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3693 Message: 3696

Sometime last year, they lengthend the certificates lifespan to year.
No announcement, maybe they thought the 'system' was catching on.
lol

On 2/26/2007 8:10:03 PM, k2yr (k2yr@...) wrote:
> I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My cert
> which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and
> under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until March
> 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?
>
> Carl, K2YR
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3693;_ylc=X3oDMTM1amRndmZ1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkzBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE
3MjU0MjIwOAR0cGNJZAMzNjkz](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNG05cnAwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkzBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3MjU0MjI
wOA--?act=reply&messageNum=3693]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmc2w5anY2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzI1NDIyMDg-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmMnA2NWtlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzI1NDIyMDg-]
> | Links [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnbDNuaWk4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTcyNTQyMjA4]
> | Database [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkNGxnbXJpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTcyNTQyMjA4]
> | Polls [link: group

3697. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Certificate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 14:08:52 UTC
From: Stephen Emlyn-Jones
Topic: 3693 Message: 3697

As a European I did not have a particular issue with supplying my details to the ARRL in the form of photocopies of my licence & driving licence.

I was quite impressed with the speed with which the ARRL responded.

Not only that but I had occasion to change the inception date of the certificate & this was also dealt with promptly.

I see that my certificate expires in 2010 when it will have run for some 3 years.

As I understand it the renewal process it straightforward & can also be processed online.

There is a detailed FAQ at https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/default which I think should deal with many of the questions recently raised in this thread.

For "Authenticity Guaranteed" with eQSL it is necessary to submit a copy of one's licence, although a scanned copy to a checkpoint in my own country was acceptable.

It does seem to me that perhaps the ARRL should consider easing their requirements for DX stations by allowing scanned copies or as has been suggested allowing National Societies to act as a checkpoint.

When I was HF Awards Manager for the RSGB some 20 years ago the vast majority of award issuers were willing to accept me a checkpoint for their certificates but not of course for DXCC.

It will be interesting to see what feedback comes from the reference to the ARRL Director mentioned in a previous email.

Steve

GW4BKG

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: 27 February 2007 12:01
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certifcate renewal every year???

Sometime last year, they lengthend the certificates lifespan to year.

No announcement, maybe they thought the 'system' was catching on.

lol

On 2/26/2007 8:10:03 PM, k2yr (k2yr@...) wrote:

> I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My cert

> which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and

> under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until March

> 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?

>

> Carl, K2YR

>

>

3698. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 14:55:30 UTC
From: Michael Keane K1MK
Topic: 3676 Message: 3698

At 04:18 PM 2/26/07, FireBrick wrote:
>Your making a good point Mike.
>But that is not exactly what is going on.
>LoTW is not asking for proof that you traveled to the rock to operate.
>They are just asking to show a PHOTOCOPY or your license and ID card.
>
>That's nowhere near the level of proof required to enteroperate in N. Korea
>and the like.

Actually if someone attempted to obtain an LoTW certificate for a portable operation from North Korea, or from any of the other entities that requires documentation for accreditation of the operation, I believe the ARRL does ask for all of that accreditation documentation at the certificate issuance stage because the certificate is tied to DXCC country.

The copy of the license document and a government issued identification document is what suffices for the less rare DXCC entities.

>A scriptkidde/hacker could fake the documents needed to be mailed in less
>time than you will need to read this email.

I'll agree that in the extreme all the current authentication scheme really demonstrates is access to samples of the required documents.

I have to disagree that the hacker kid down the street would have ready access to such documents for countries other than his own. Not unless the kid is already set up to be multi-national forger.

>That's unfortunate, inevitable, and shameful.

Why? There is a continuum of authentication in DXCC, always has been. LoTW is no different.

>Integrity is paramount, but we must find a way that it is not a hurdle to
>acceptance.

Well, in the LoTW design report/specification, authentication by a copy of license document and id was rated by the ARRL's consultants as being more vulnerable than authentication using a license authority database and mailing a postcard.

However, the copy of license document and id option is universal and so it or something like it was needed so as to not exclude stations from countries where the license authority database is not publicly accessible.

The reason the license authority database option is only employed for the US (and Canada?) has to do with the scarcity of programmer

resources needed to implement it on case-by-case basis for other countries.

73,
Mike K1MK

Michael Keane K1MK
k1mk@...

3699. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 15:36:42 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3676 Message: 3699

No So. When I operated from China and got a LoTW certificate for that operation, I had to apply for a new certificate on my existing account plus send a copy of my Chinese licence and a copy of my passport visa.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 2:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

> Your making a good point Mike.
> But that is not exactly what is going on.
> LoTW is not asking for proof that you traveled to the rock to operate.
> They are just asking to show a PHOTOCOPY or your license and ID card.
>
> That's nowhere near the level of proof required to enteroperate in N.
> Korea
> and the like.
>
> A scriptkidde/hacker could fake the documents needed to be mailed in less
> time than you will need to read this email.
> That's unfortunate, inevitable, and shameful.
>
> Integrity is paramount, but we must find a way that it is not a hurdle to
> acceptance.
>
> I was not aware of various ethnic aversion to 'mailing' personal
> documents.
> I should have realized that. So let's find a 'alternative' that is
> acceptable and of high standard.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Michael Keane K1MK" <k1mk@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 2:45 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement
>
>

>> At 12:22 PM 2/26/07, FireBrick wrote:
>>>You know, I don't understand why they ask for a picture ID?
>>>Is there someone at Newington who has met and remembers the face of
>>>non-USA
>>>hams?
>>
>> No. But there are people working there who certainly are familiar
>> with the appearance of license documents, passports, and maybe even
>> photo IDs for a great number of countries. This is after all the same
>> group that has been checking DXpedition documentation for thirty,
>> forty years now. Over that time you'd expect them to have built up
>> some collective knowledge on the subject.
>>
>>>If indeed someone is going to bootleg an identity, a picture ID would be
>>>no
>>>problem.
>>
>> Especially not a problem for a national. If DJ6XYZ wanted to
>> impersonate DJ7XYZ, yes, he'd probably get away with it.
>>
>> OTOH, it might be a bit harder if you or I wanted to go out and fake
>> a passport or driver's license from Myanmar or Brunei or ...
>>
>>>Heck, some of the 10 year olds at the local bars have multiple picture
>>>ID's.
>>
>> So, if perfection is unattainable, why bother doing anything at all?
>>
>> The question is: what might be some reasonable alternatives short of
>> doing nothing?
>>
>> 73,
>> Mike K1MK
>>
>> Michael Keane K1MK
>> k1mk@...
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3700. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Certifcate renewal every year???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 16:16:45 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3693 Message: 3700

Yeah, that caught me up when I renewed and put a QSO end date one year later. My certificate was still good but I couldn't submit any contacts so I had to renew again recently (moral: don't use an end date unless there is a good reason to).

On Feb 27, 2007, at 4:00 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> Sometime last year, they lengthend the certificates lifespan to year.
> No announcement, maybe they thought the 'system' was catching on.
> lol
>
>
> On 2/26/2007 8:10:03 PM, k2yr (k2yr@...) wrote:
>> I thought that certificates had to be renewed once a year? My cert
>> which I renewed in March of 2006 says (both in its properties and
>> under "My Account" on the LotW site)that it doesn't exire until March
>> 2009! Am I misnderstanding something?
>>
>> Carl, K2YR
>>
>>
>> Messages in this topic [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/
>> 3693;_ylc=X3oDMTM1amRndmZ1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHN
>> wSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkzBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3
>> MjU0MjIwOAR0cGNJZAMzNjkz](1)
>> Reply (via web post) [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNG05cnAwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHN
>> wSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNjkzBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3
>> MjU0MjIwOA--?act=reply&messageNum=3693]|
>> Start a new topic [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmc2w5anY2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHN
>> wSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzI1NDIyMDg-]
>> Messages [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmMnA2NWtlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGd
>> ycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzI1NDIyMDg-
>>]
>> | Links [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnbDNuaWk4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycH
>> NwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTcyNTQyMjA4]
>> | Database [link:

>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/
>> database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkNGxnbXJpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGd
>> ycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTcyNTQyMjA4]
>> | Polls [link: group
>
>
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor ----------
> ~-->
> See what's inside the new Yahoo! Groups email.
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/0It09A/bOaOAA/yQLSAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---
> ~->
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3701. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 16:21:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3676 Message: 3701

On 2/27/2007 9:36:42 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> No So. When I operated from China and got a LoTW certificate for that
> operation, I had to apply for a new certificate on my existing account
> plus
> send a copy of my Chinese licence and a copy of my passport visa.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb@... [link: mailto:ve6lb@...]
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

That was not my understanding.
As I heard of others, who went to non usa locations and were not required to furnish proof.
Maybe it has to do with the country visited.

3702. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-27 16:32:12 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3676 Message: 3702

Yes, there are some countries that the DXCC desk doesn't require proof of licence. Most of these are the common ones and those that have reciprocal licensing ,CEPT or IARP permits. Clearly DXCC doesn't need proof if I operate in the USA.

From: http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/rules.html#siii
2. The following points should be of particular interest to those seeking accreditation for a DX operation:

a) The vast majority of operations are accredited routinely without a requirement for the submission of authenticating documentation. However, all such documents should be retained by the operator in the unlikely event of a protest.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2007 9:21 AM
Subject: Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Non-US documentation requirement

>
> On 2/27/2007 9:36:42 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
>> No So. When I operated from China and got a LoTW certificate for that
>> operation, I had to apply for a new certificate on my existing account
>> plus
>> send a copy of my Chinese licence and a copy of my passport visa.
>>
>> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
>> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
>> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
>> (403) 251-6520
>> ve6lb@... [link: mailto:ve6lb@...]
>> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
> That was not my understanding.
> As I heard of others, who went to non usa locations and were not required
> to
> furnish proof.
> Maybe it has to do with the country visited.

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3703. received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-28 19:14:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3022 Message: 3703

Details W9OL XF4K 2006-11-04 20:06:29 15M SSB 21.26500 REVILLAGIGEDO
Details W9OL XF4K 2006-11-04 19:11:54 20M SSB 14.26000 REVILLAGIGEDO

"Despite the high cost of living, it remains popular."

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3704. Need to link?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-02-28 20:44:41 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 3704 Message: 3704

I have submitted logs several times to LOTW but have never used any credits.
I'm getting ready to.
Do I need to link my account as per the directions or skip that step?
I have had 2 other U.S. calls.
Bill W5EC

3705. Server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-02 00:22:27 UTC
From: John R. Klim II
Topic: 3705 Message: 3705

Is the server down?

Mr. John R. Klim II

N3KHK

Grid: FM19na

QSL LOTW-Bureau- direct

As a courtesy, I upload to eQSL but if you use that - also pls upload to LOTW or hard card.

ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD #115,

10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay Chapter # 549

3706. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-02 00:50:45 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3705 Message: 3706

On Mar 1, 2007, at 4:22 PM, John R. Klim II wrote:

> Is the server down?
>

It was working earlier today, but appears to be down now.

Bob, N7XY

3707. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Server

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-02 00:56:24 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3705 Message: 3707

At 07:22 PM 3/1/2007, John R. Klim II wrote:
>-->
>
>Is the server down?

No, it's not. Not that there's any reason to post that it's either up
or down that I can see.
-Rick

3708. Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-02 22:06:32 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3708 Message: 3708

Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log and then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever happen?
jeff
VA3XQ

3709. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-02 23:09:31 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3708 Message: 3709

Now that's what I call "creative thinking!"

The first annual International LoTW DX Challenge should be a mixed mode contest sponsored by the ARRL and run for 48 hours. Use the same rules as the existing ARRL DX contests but add a LoTW multiplier to the mix. If both stations are registered on LoTW and upload their logs, each gets a "ten point bonus" for that QSO. If only one side of the QSO is a LoTW participant, then only that station gets a "five point bonus".

This idea could be a strong LoTW marketing strategy with the least amount of ARRL monetary investment.

Good job Jeff! You're 59 in MN! QSL?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: va3xq
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 4:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows
which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log and
then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever
happen?
jeff
VA3XQ

3710. T32MO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-03 00:06:21 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 3710 Message: 3710

I received confirmation for T32MO, Eastern Kiribati, today on LOTW.

3711. Re: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-03 00:54:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3708 Message: 3711

Best idea I've seen in a while.....
On 3/2/2007 5:09:31 PM, Robert Chudek (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Now
> that's what I call "creative thinking!"
>
> The first annual International LoTW DX Challenge should be a mixed mode
> contest sponsored by the ARRL and run for 48 hours. Use the same rules as
> the existing ARRL DX contests but add a LoTW multiplier to the mix. If
> both stations are registered on LoTW and upload their logs, each gets a
> "ten point bonus" for that QSO. If only one side of the QSO is a LoTW
> participant, then only that station gets a "five point bonus".
>
> This idea could be a strong LoTW marketing strategy with the least amount
> of ARRL monetary investment.
>
> Good job Jeff! You're
> 59 in MN! QSL?
>
> 73 de Bob - K?RC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: va3xq [link: mailto:35309@...]
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 4:06 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend
>
>
>
> Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows
> which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log and
> then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever
> happen?
> jeff
> VA3XQ
>
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARR

3712. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-03 01:12:44 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3708 Message: 3712

I too really like the idea. So I spent some gray matter on how to make it happen. Unfortunately, it will never happen. At least not for ARRL contests. There are a number of reasons for this being a non-starter.

1) The ARRL contests are log checked by pre-defined database analysis tools that are very expensive to alter.
2) Tradition is king. Changing the rules to allow an alteration of the point assignments would make the new contests no longer comparable to the old.
3) The two systems are quite separate--contest log checking and LOTW. Data from LOTW would have to be merged with contest logs.

This is not to say the notion cannot grow legs. But an intermediate step may prove necessary.

That step is to require all contest logs be submitted to LOTW for credit. The log checking algorythms would then be re-written using 100% LOTW data. As it currently sits, even hand written contest logs are still accepted and must be typed in by hand. In practice, only statistically significant logs are fully entered now. You submit a contest log with a few Qs, they enter enough data to get you into the results but are not a participant in the log checking process. Unfortunately, all of this would involve some expense--possibly large expense on the part of ARRL.

Although I really like the idea, don't hold your breath...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Chudek
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 5:10 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Now that's what I call "creative thinking!"

The first annual International LoTW DX Challenge should be a mixed mode contest sponsored by the ARRL and run for 48 hours. Use the same rules as the existing ARRL DX contests but add a LoTW multiplier to the mix. If both stations are registered on LoTW and upload their logs, each gets a "ten point bonus" for that QSO. If only one side of the QSO is a LoTW participant, then only that station gets a "five point bonus".

This idea could be a strong LoTW marketing strategy with the least amount of ARRL monetary investment.

Good job Jeff! You're 59 in MN! QSL?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: va3xq <mailto:35309@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 4:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log and then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever happen?
jeff
VA3XQ

3713. Re: Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-03 02:41:21 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 3708 Message: 3713

Even if I'm not participating in a particular contest I try and work LOTW participating stations as a show of support.

As to an ARRL sponsered contest using LOTW in some way, "Not in our lifetime" was an off the record quote from an ARRL staffer after I kept calling and writing about just such an event. Many reasons were given, perhaps the subject of a future post.

What's to stop an Non-ARRL sponsered contest? Anything to show the interest in such an event. I see two possibilities:

1) A contest where total score is reported and simply posted somewhere. No log checking (unless someone has access to such software) just the basic honor system. X points per qso with each LOTW participating station counting as a multiplier. (or any number of other scoring methods). Just something simple that can be posted somewhere.

2) Similar to #1 but run in conjunction with a major contest. For example I can take my log from the contest this weekend, match it against a list of known LOTW users and apply a different scoring model. Sort of a contest within a contest. We could start simple and just see how many LOTW stations you can work in a given contest.

Ron
KG4NOZ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@...> wrote:
>
> Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows
> which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log
and
> then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever
> happen?
> jeff
> VA3XQ
>

3714. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-03 05:20:05 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3708 Message: 3714

Ford,

I'm not holding my breath either, but remember the DXCC and LoTW Divisions have now been combined under one leader. It's probably a given that economies and efficiencies are expected to flow from this union. So there's a speck of "hope"...

But your point #2 is well taken. The International DX LoTW Challenge would need to be a NEW event, leaving the entrenched, traditional contests to stand on their own.

Regarding point #3, I look at it from a different angle. True, the contest checking software would need a new "scoring" routine added. And another stand alone program would need to do a "range check" within the LoTW records to verify the contest log was actually uploaded. I don't think the two systems would need to be "merged" to make the idea work.

But then, this is idle speculation on my part... easy enough for me to sit here and concoct ideas... and let someone else do the work... well... isn't that what a Manager does???... I wuz a Manager... !

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ford Peterson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 7:12 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

I too really like the idea. So I spent some gray matter on how to make it happen. Unfortunately, it will never happen. At least not for ARRL contests. There are a number of reasons for this being a non-starter.

1) The ARRL contests are log checked by pre-defined database analysis tools that are very expensive to alter.
2) Tradition is king. Changing the rules to allow an alteration of the point assignments would make the new contests no longer comparable to the old.
3) The two systems are quite separate--contest log checking and LOTW. Data from LOTW would have to be merged with contest logs.

This is not to say the notion cannot grow legs. But an intermediate step may prove necessary.

That step is to require all contest logs be submitted to LOTW for credit. The log checking algorythms would then be re-written using 100% LOTW data. As it currently sits, even hand written contest logs are still accepted and must be typed in by hand. In practice, only statistically significant logs are fully entered now. You submit a contest log with a few Qs, they enter enough data to get you into the results but are not a participant in the log checking process. Unfortunately, all of this would involve some expense--possibly large expense on the part of ARRL.

Although I really like the idea, don't hold your breath...

Ford-N0FP
ford@highmarks.com

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Chudek
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 5:10 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Now that's what I call "creative thinking!"

The first annual International LoTW DX Challenge should be a mixed mode contest sponsored by the ARRL and run for 48 hours. Use the same rules as the existing ARRL DX contests but add a LoTW multiplier to the mix. If both stations are registered on LoTW and upload their logs, each gets a "ten point bonus" for that QSO. If only one side of the QSO is a LoTW participant, then only that station gets a "five point bonus".

This idea could be a strong LoTW marketing strategy with the least amount of ARRL monetary investment.

Good job Jeff! You're 59 in MN! QSL?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: va3xq
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 4:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Big Contest Weekend

Good time to work other LOTW users this weekend. My cluster shows
which stations are LOTW users so I can try and get them in the log and
then do an upload next week. I wonder if an LOTW contest would ever
happen?
jeff
VA3XQ

3715. questions about license change

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-04 22:48:13 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3715 Message: 3715

I passsed the extra test saturday, and went with getting a new call sign.
right now I am current on lotw and with my cert. What steps will I need to take
When I get my new call? Also, since I am at the same qth will the qso's under my current
call count or show up under my new call, or do I have to start over again ?
Thanks in advance,
Don
ke7ffm (for now)

3716. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] questions about license change

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-04 23:03:17 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3715 Message: 3716

Use your present cert to apply for a cert for the new callsign
When you get it....you can 'link' the new to the old.
Your credits, dxcc totals etc will not be connected as if it was always one
call.

On 3/4/2007 4:48:13 PM, Don (drfrasher@...) wrote:
> I passsed the extra test saturday, and went with getting a new call sign.
> right now I am current on lotw and with my cert. What steps will I need to
> take
> When I get my new call? Also, since I am at the same qth will the qso's
> under my current
> call count or show up under my new call, or do I have to start over again
> ?
> Thanks in advance,
> Don
> ke7ffm (for now)
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3715;_ylc=X3oDMTM1amV1djhyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzE1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE
3MzA0ODU2MgR0cGNJZAMzNzE1](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxdG5hYmU4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzE1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3MzA0ODU
2Mg--?act=reply&messageNum=3715]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmNHZuMmc5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzMwNDg1NjI-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmazludG02BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzMwNDg1NjI-]
> | Links [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnbWxvamZ2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTczMDQ4NTYy]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARR

3717. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] questions about license change

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-04 23:10:13 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3715 Message: 3717

Thanks ! I was hoping that was all I would need to do.
Don
Ke7ffm

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 04, 2007 4:07 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] questions about license change

> Use your present cert to apply for a cert for the new callsign
> When you get it....you can 'link' the new to the old.
> Your credits, dxcc totals etc will not be connected as if it was
> always one
> call.
>
>
>
> On 3/4/2007 4:48:13 PM, Don (drfrasher@...) wrote:
>> I passsed the extra test saturday, and went with getting a new
>> call sign.
>> right now I am current on lotw and with my cert. What steps will I
>> need to
>> take
>> When I get my new call? Also, since I am at the same qth will the
>> qso's
>> under my current
>> call count or show up under my new call, or do I have to start
>> over again
>> ?
>> Thanks in advance,
>> Don
>> ke7ffm (for now)
>>
>>
>> Messages in this topic [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3715;_ylc=X3oDMTM1amV1djhyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdy
cElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzE1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMT
E3MzA0ODU2MgR0cGNJZAMzNzE1](1)
>> Reply (via web post) [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxdG5hYmU4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzE1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3MzA0OD
U2Mg--?act=reply&messageNum=3715]|
>> Start a new topic [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmNHZuMmc5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx

MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzMwNDg1NjI-]
>> Messages [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmazludG02BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElk
AzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzMwNDg1NjI-]
>> | Links [link:
>> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnbWxvamZ2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzE
xMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTczMDQ4NTYy]
>> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARR
>
>
>
> ------------------------ Yahoo! Groups
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

3718. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] questions about license change

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-04 22:57:38 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3715 Message: 3718
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3719. Logging soft support LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-05 08:06:38 UTC
From: Vilnis
Topic: 3719 Message: 3719

Hello to all ,

Using LOGPlus! since 1994?. So far so good.
DOS but perfect working under Win XP . Now, after
first steps with LotW getting in problems how
to know which DXCC entity is confirmed via LotW.
Allready received my DXCC mixed and RTTY via LotW
only. To continue sucesfully with LotW and my
DXCC probably is needed to make a dramatic shifting
for me - change a logsoftware.
Please Your suggestions, know some - DX4WIN, LOGic,
Logger32. Allready make a tray all three but
can not agree with no one. After LOGPlus!
nice special "QSLing department" all looks less
nice for me. Any suggestions.

73

Vilnis / YL2KF

3720. Re: Logging soft support LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-05 16:04:09 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3719 Message: 3720

Take a look at DXLab's DXKeeper, Vilnis. It

- tracks LotW upload status for each QSO (not uploaded, uploaded but not yet accepted, accepted)

- assembles a batch of not-yet-uploaded QSOs to be uploaded to LotW (one mouse click)

- uploads a batch of QSOs to LotW (one mouse click launches the ADIF export, TQSL invocation, and file uploading sequence)

- tracks each QSO's confirmation and verification via LotW separately from confirmation and verification via QSL card

- updates each QSO's confirmation via LotW via a single mouse click (downloads the ADIF file from LotW, and updates the LotW confirmation status of each newly-confirmed QSO in your log)

- generates submission paperwork for ARRL DXCC and Challenge awards based on confirmed QSOs for unverified entities, entity-bands, and entity-modes.

DXKeeper can also directly print QSL cards and labels.

Its free, and available via www.dxlabsuite.com .

73,

Dave, V4/AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Vilnis <yl2kf@...> wrote:
>
> Hello to all ,
>
> Using LOGPlus! since 1994?. So far so good.
> DOS but perfect working under Win XP . Now, after
> first steps with LotW getting in problems how
> to know which DXCC entity is confirmed via LotW.
> Allready received my DXCC mixed and RTTY via LotW
> only. To continue sucesfully with LotW and my
> DXCC probably is needed to make a dramatic shifting
> for me - change a logsoftware.
> Please Your suggestions, know some - DX4WIN, LOGic,
> Logger32. Allready make a tray all three but
> can not agree with no one. After LOGPlus!
> nice special "QSLing department" all looks less
> nice for me. Any suggestions.
>

> 73
>
> Vilnis / YL2KF
>

3721. Award Credit Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-05 17:37:36 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3721 Message: 3721

I have my DXCC on 80 meters from cards sent in and manually checked in
the field years ago. When I upload my logs to LoTW do credits only
count for QSO's that have not all already been registered with the
DXCC program from my previouse application?
jeff
VA3XQ

3722. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Award Credit Question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-05 17:50:03 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3721 Message: 3722

I think what your asking is...
If you previously submitted and it was accepted for credit.
Say BS7H on 160 cw...

and now the op upload those BS7H QSOS to LoTW.
It will NOT be listed as a qso that could be used.
There will be a line that says it's indeed in LoTW
But the DXCC box will not be submitable.

Now if he submits a qso that matches one you also submitted to LoTW but did
not have a card for as it was 160 digital mode
That will show up as 'available to be applied for credit.

Basically, LoTW knows what you have submitted and is in your account as per
entity, band, and mode.
Anything that doesn't fall inside those limits will be so noted.

And everyone should be aware, that all your stateside callsigns are
linkable.
Credits I received for WN9VOL are counted in the totals for my present call,
W9OL.

\\
On 3/5/2007 11:37:36 AM, va3xq (35309@...) wrote:
> I have my DXCC on 80 meters from cards sent in and manually checked in
> the field years ago. When I upload my logs to LoTW do credits only
> count for QSO's that have not all already been registered with the
> DXCC program from my previouse application?
> jeff
> VA3XQ
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3721;_ylc=X3oDMTM1a3BxbW9kBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzIxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE
3MzExNjI2OAR0cGNJZAMzNzIx](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxanN2bTVvBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzNzIxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3MzExNjI
2OA--?act=reply&messageNum=3721]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmdWc2azI4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzMxMTYyNjg-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmM3RmOWpmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzMxMTYyNjg-]

> | Links [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnMWllNHJwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTczMTE2MjY4]
> | Database [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkNjRqOW4zBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTczMTE2MjY4]
> | Polls [link: groups.yahoo.com/grou

3723. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging soft support LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-05 15:09:10 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3719 Message: 3723

Hi Vilnis,

My preference after checking out several loggers is Logger 32. I mainly chase DX and work on DX awards. I use Logger 32 for general logging and like the digital mode/CW integration. I use N1MM for serious contesting. Both are priced right (free) and are well supported.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Vilnis
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2007 1:06 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging soft support LotW

Hello to all ,

Using LOGPlus! since 1994?. So far so good.
DOS but perfect working under Win XP . Now, after
first steps with LotW getting in problems how
to know which DXCC entity is confirmed via LotW.
Allready received my DXCC mixed and RTTY via LotW
only. To continue sucesfully with LotW and my
DXCC probably is needed to make a dramatic shifting
for me - change a logsoftware.
Please Your suggestions, know some - DX4WIN, LOGic,
Logger32. Allready make a tray all three but
can not agree with no one. After LOGPlus!
nice special "QSLing department" all looks less
nice for me. Any suggestions.

73

Vilnis / YL2KF

3724. Linking

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-08 01:59:50 UTC
From: Bill Hawkins
Topic: 3724 Message: 3724

How long should it typically take to get linked after I request "Link Account"?
I am waiting from last week.
Bill W5EC

3725. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Linking

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-08 03:36:43 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3724 Message: 3725

Bill,

I believe this happens only once a week and I think that's Friday night.

Gerry

Gerry Hohn
TelWest Consulting Services
(403) 251-6520 - office
(403) 803-8170 - cell
(403) 251-0384 - fax
telwest@...
http://www.telusplanet.net/public/telwest/home.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Hawkins
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 07, 2007 6:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Linking

How long should it typically take to get linked after I request "Link Account"?
I am waiting from last week.
Bill W5EC

3726. LotW statistics for V4/AA6YQ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 04:29:59 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3726 Message: 3726

I casually operated for 9 days from the island of Nevis, using 100 watts and a palm tree dipole on 80m through 15m. 92% of my QSOs were CW, 6% RTTY, 1% PSK, and 1% Phone.

I uploaded QSOs to LotW several times each day.

During my stay, I worked 77 DXCC entities; 25 (32%) have been confirmed via LotW.

Of my 1218 QSOs, 182 (15%) have been confirmed via LotW. Here's the breakdown by DXCC entity for those entities with which I made at least 10 QSOs:

DXCC QSOs LotW
K 612 118 (19%)
DL 88 5 (6%)
OL 59 4 (7%)
SP 47 8 (17%)
F 37 4 (11%)
I 32 1 (3%)
VE 28 6 (21%)
UA 19 6 (32%)
EA 18 6 (33%)
G 18 1 (6%)
UR 18 0 (0%)
SM 17 3 (18%)
OM 16 0 (0%)
HA 15 1 (7%)
S5 14 0 (0%)
HB 12 4 (33%)
JA 10 2 (20%)
LZ 10 0 (0%)

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3727. LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 13:31:54 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3727 Message: 3727

I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to confirm 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL Challenge award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)

I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over 1500. Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW stations submit their logs to LOTW.

The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is indeed legit.

If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't link their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked their DXCC records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of LOTW QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging program and confirm the total.

Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually changed and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.

Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?

Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the above, it is on hold.

It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and the ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest plaques.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3728. Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 19:21:25 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3727 Message: 3728

If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you their LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their QSL file, load it into a logging application, and verify their claim.

They can then change their web site password.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to confirm
> 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL Challenge
> award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
>
> I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over 1500.
> Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW stations
> submit their logs to LOTW.
>
> The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is indeed
> legit.
>
> If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't link
> their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked their DXCC
> records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of LOTW
> QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging program and
> confirm the total.
>
> Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually changed
> and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
>
> Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
>
> Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the above, it
> is on hold.
>
> It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and the
> ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest plaques.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

3729. Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 19:53:03 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3727 Message: 3729

Here is an idea on confirmation.

Have the one claiming the goal download UltraVNC and load the server on his computer for a one time shot. This is rather easy to do. UltraVNC then has a web interface, where you can log on with a password and view the display of the person's computer in real-time. Then, along with an open telephone connection, instruct him to download the lotwreport.adi file and attach it to an email addressed to you. You can even have him, while you watch, type a unique message that you have made up on the spot. You can watch him then send that email and view the outgoing mail box until it is sent. You have him open the "SENT" messages folder and you note the time the message was sent and you are done. Log off and the one applying can then uninstall the VNC server.

Other than going to the location, which could be anywhere in the world, this is the only way I can think of right now to insure you are not spoofed.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you their
> LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their
QSL
> file, load it into a logging application, and verify their claim.
>
> They can then change their web site password.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@> wrote:
> >
> > I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to
confirm
> > 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
> Challenge
> > award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
> >
> > I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over
1500.
> > Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW stations
> > submit their logs to LOTW.

> >
> > The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is
indeed
> > legit.
> >
> > If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't
link
> > their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked
their
> DXCC
> > records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of
LOTW
> > QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging
program
> and
> > confirm the total.
> >
> > Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually
changed
> > and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
> >
> > Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
> >
> > Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the
above,
> it
> > is on hold.
> >
> > It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and
the
> > ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest
plaques.
> >
> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >
>

3730. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 19:59:28 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3727 Message: 3730

Hi Dave,

Interesting suggestion. The one problem:

I could change his password (I think) to something else and he can never get back in without involving ARRL again. I'm a bit fuzzy on this. It has been at least 3 years since I set up my LOTW account.

I find it interesting that the ARRL currently claims to have no way of segregating LOTW and paper DXCC credits once the account is linked. It would seem that they surely can create a download file for anybody and tally up the results.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Dave Bernstein wrote:

>If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you their
>LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their QSL
>file, load it into a logging application, and verify their claim.
>
>They can then change their web site password.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
>
>>I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to confirm
>>2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
>>
>>
>Challenge
>
>
>>award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
>>
>>I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over 1500.
>>Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW stations
>>submit their logs to LOTW.
>>
>>The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is indeed
>>legit.
>>

>>If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't link
>>their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked their
>>
>>
>DXCC
>
>
>>records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of LOTW
>>QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging program
>>
>>
>and
>
>
>>confirm the total.
>>
>>Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually changed
>>and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
>>
>>Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
>>
>>Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the above,
>>
>>
>it
>
>
>>is on hold.
>>
>>It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and the
>>ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest plaques.
>>
>>73 de Brian/K3KO
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>

3731. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 20:27:46 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3727 Message: 3731

Everything could be even easier.

One way or another LoTW is the ARRL's domain. Anything related to LoTW should be coordinated with ARRL. First, they have to approve the whole idea. Then, a plaque applicant should send you his ADIF file with the totals of 2000 and up. Then there are several options:
- you send this file to ARRL for verification
- you ask ARRL for their copy of this file for your own verification (obviously they have administrative rights on the site and can pick it up themselves).

And finally the easiest of all: you send ARRL a simple request: "AB0CDE claims to get the LoTW Challenge total of 2000. Please kindly confirm, if so".
That's it.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE/EU1SA

> Here is an idea on confirmation.
>
> Have the one claiming the goal download UltraVNC and load the server
> on his computer for a one time shot. This is rather easy to do.
> UltraVNC then has a web interface, where you can log on with a
> password and view the display of the person's computer in real-
> time. Then, along with an open telephone connection, instruct him
> to download the lotwreport.adi file and attach it to an email
> addressed to you. You can even have him, while you watch, type a
> unique message that you have made up on the spot. You can watch him
> then send that email and view the outgoing mail box until it is
> sent. You have him open the "SENT" messages folder and you note the
> time the message was sent and you are done. Log off and the one
> applying can then uninstall the VNC server.
>
> Other than going to the location, which could be anywhere in the
> world, this is the only way I can think of right now to insure you
> are not spoofed.
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>>
>> If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you their
>> LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their

> QSL
>> file, load it into a logging application, and verify their claim.
>>
>> They can then change their web site password.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Dave, AA6YQ
>>
>> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@> wrote:
>> >
>> > I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to
> confirm
>> > 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
>> Challenge
>> > award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
>> >
>> > I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over
> 1500.
>> > Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW stations
>> > submit their logs to LOTW.
>> >
>> > The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is
> indeed
>> > legit.
>> >
>> > If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't
> link
>> > their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked
> their
>> DXCC
>> > records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of
> LOTW
>> > QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging
> program
>> and
>> > confirm the total.
>> >
>> > Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually
> changed
>> > and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
>> >
>> > Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
>> >
>> > Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the
> above,
>> it
>> > is on hold.
>> >
>> > It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and

> the
>> > ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest
> plaques.
>> >
>> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3732. Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 21:10:59 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3727 Message: 3732

>>>AA6YQ comments below

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:

Hi Dave,

Interesting suggestion. The one problem:

I could change his password (I think) to something else and he can never get back in without involving ARRL again. I'm a bit fuzzy on this. It has been at least 3 years since I set up my LOTW account.

>>>You could, but there's no incentive for you to do so, and the user has recourse with the ARRL if you inadvertently screw up.

I find it interesting that the ARRL currently claims to have no way of segregating LOTW and paper DXCC credits once the account is linked. It would seem that they surely can create a download file for anybody and tally up the results.

>>>Wasn't it you who challenged my rationale for having DXKeeper separately track card, LotW, and eQSL confirmations for each logged QSO? Doing so allows DXKeeper to generate precisely the information you seek.

>>>I'm still waiting for the ARRL to make it possible for a logging application to download a user's DXCC credits (yes, one could parse the current HTML report but that would be fragile in the face of a format change). As has been asserted here many times, ARRL's investment in LotW is extremely low, so even trivial enhancements take forever.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3733. Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 21:12:43 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3727 Message: 3733

That's a fine theory, but in practice it would take a couple of
decades.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Vladimir Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
wrote:
>
> Everything could be even easier.
>
> One way or another LoTW is the ARRL's domain. Anything related to
LoTW
> should be coordinated with ARRL. First, they have to approve the
whole idea.
> Then, a plaque applicant should send you his ADIF file with the
totals of
> 2000 and up. Then there are several options:
> - you send this file to ARRL for verification
> - you ask ARRL for their copy of this file for your own
verification
> (obviously they have administrative rights on the site and can pick
it up
> themselves).
>
> And finally the easiest of all: you send ARRL a simple
request: "AB0CDE
> claims to get the LoTW Challenge total of 2000. Please kindly
confirm, if
> so".
> That's it.
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE/EU1SA
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
> > Here is an idea on confirmation.
> >
> > Have the one claiming the goal download UltraVNC and load the
server

> > on his computer for a one time shot. This is rather easy to do.
> > UltraVNC then has a web interface, where you can log on with a
> > password and view the display of the person's computer in real-
> > time. Then, along with an open telephone connection, instruct him
> > to download the lotwreport.adi file and attach it to an email
> > addressed to you. You can even have him, while you watch, type a
> > unique message that you have made up on the spot. You can watch
him
> > then send that email and view the outgoing mail box until it is
> > sent. You have him open the "SENT" messages folder and you note
the
> > time the message was sent and you are done. Log off and the one
> > applying can then uninstall the VNC server.
> >
> > Other than going to the location, which could be anywhere in the
> > world, this is the only way I can think of right now to insure you
> > are not spoofed.
> >
> > Bill, N5RR
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@> wrote:
> >>
> >> If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you their
> >> LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their
> > QSL
> >> file, load it into a logging application, and verify their claim.
> >>
> >> They can then change their web site password.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >>
> >> Dave, AA6YQ
> >>
> >> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to
> > confirm
> >> > 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
> >> Challenge
> >> > award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
> >> >
> >> > I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over
> > 1500.
> >> > Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW
stations
> >> > submit their logs to LOTW.
> >> >
> >> > The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is
> > indeed
> >> > legit.

> >> >
> >> > If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't
> > link
> >> > their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked
> > their
> >> DXCC
> >> > records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of
> > LOTW
> >> > QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging
> > program
> >> and
> >> > confirm the total.
> >> >
> >> > Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually
> > changed
> >> > and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
> >> >
> >> > Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
> >> >
> >> > Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the
> > above,
> >> it
> >> > is on hold.
> >> >
> >> > It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and
> > the
> >> > ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest
> > plaques.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3734. Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 21:18:36 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 3727 Message: 3734

I have no problem with the ARRL and am a Life Member. But I have found that with any large organization, in general, that if you wait for things to happen or get approval from, then one could die first. LoTW may be owned by the ARRL, but the QSOs and QSLs you have earned are yours to download or do anything you want with the file. Personally I wouldn't get ARRL involved with your idea on the plaque.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Vladimir Sidorov" <vs_otw@...> wrote:
>
> Everything could be even easier.
>
> One way or another LoTW is the ARRL's domain. Anything related to LoTW
> should be coordinated with ARRL. First, they have to approve the whole idea.
> Then, a plaque applicant should send you his ADIF file with the totals of
> 2000 and up. Then there are several options:
> - you send this file to ARRL for verification
> - you ask ARRL for their copy of this file for your own verification
> (obviously they have administrative rights on the site and can pick it up
> themselves).
>
> And finally the easiest of all: you send ARRL a simple request: "AB0CDE
> claims to get the LoTW Challenge total of 2000. Please kindly confirm, if
> so".
> That's it.
>
> 73,
> Vladimir VE3IAE/EU1SA
>
> ---
>
>
>
>
>

> > Here is an idea on confirmation.
> >
> > Have the one claiming the goal download UltraVNC and load the
server
> > on his computer for a one time shot. This is rather easy to do.
> > UltraVNC then has a web interface, where you can log on with a
> > password and view the display of the person's computer in real-
> > time. Then, along with an open telephone connection, instruct
him
> > to download the lotwreport.adi file and attach it to an email
> > addressed to you. You can even have him, while you watch, type a
> > unique message that you have made up on the spot. You can watch
him
> > then send that email and view the outgoing mail box until it is
> > sent. You have him open the "SENT" messages folder and you note
the
> > time the message was sent and you are done. Log off and the one
> > applying can then uninstall the VNC server.
> >
> > Other than going to the location, which could be anywhere in the
> > world, this is the only way I can think of right now to insure
you
> > are not spoofed.
> >
> > Bill, N5RR
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@>
wrote:
> >>
> >> If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you
their
> >> LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their
> > QSL
> >> file, load it into a logging application, and verify their
claim.
> >>
> >> They can then change their web site password.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >>
> >> Dave, AA6YQ
> >>
> >> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to
> > confirm
> >> > 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
> >> Challenge
> >> > award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
> >> >

> >> > I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over
> > 1500.
> >> > Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW
stations
> >> > submit their logs to LOTW.
> >> >
> >> > The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is
> > indeed
> >> > legit.
> >> >
> >> > If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't
> > link
> >> > their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked
> > their
> >> DXCC
> >> > records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of
> > LOTW
> >> > QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging
> > program
> >> and
> >> > confirm the total.
> >> >
> >> > Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually
> > changed
> >> > and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
> >> >
> >> > Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
> >> >
> >> > Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the
> > above,
> >> it
> >> > is on hold.
> >> >
> >> > It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and
> > the
> >> > ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest
> > plaques.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >

> >
> >
>

3735. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW plaque

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 22:24:24 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3727 Message: 3735

Gents,

Sometimes it's tough to be a lawyer, though I am the one de facto, businesswise. Therefore sometimes a brief legal analisys of a certain idea serves as a well refreshing shower.

The Web page www.arrl.org/lotw starts with " ARRL's Logbook of the World (LoTW) system is a repository...", etc. Well, it's the ARRL program... Then, we are talking about the DXCC Challenge program which is also the ARRL one, you like it or not. So, we are done. If ARRL is left apart, we officially cannot talk about neither LoTW, nor DXCC Challenge. Moreover, as long as the whole DXCC program is maintained by the ARRL with their own criteria for band/countries and DX activities' validations, even the ADIF file is their own domain at a certain extent and can barely be used for other but ARRL DXCC purposes...
OK, we can say, the plaque is issued for achievent of a certain result under the criteria equal to the DXCC Challenge program, but is this really the target desired? ...

Back to ARRL. First, nobody asked for their opinion yet. What if they come up with an support of that? But still, they can simply say, why should we support this idea if we can issue our own Challenge plaque with the 2000 sticker?... (Assuming, taking some money for that...).

Well, the deeper we go, the tougher it is.

Not easy.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE/EU1SA

Sometimes it's nice to be a de-facto lawyer. Believe me, there are severa simple ways to force ARRL to come

OK, there is a private project on sponsoring of the plaque.

>I have no problem with the ARRL and am a Life Member. But I have
> found that with any large organization, in general, that if you wait
> for things to happen or get approval from, then one could die
> first. LoTW may be owned by the ARRL, but the QSOs and QSLs you
> have earned are yours to download or do anything you want with the
> file. Personally I wouldn't get ARRL involved with your idea on the
> plaque.
>
> Bill, N5RR

>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Vladimir Sidorov" <vs_otw@...>
> wrote:
>>
>> Everything could be even easier.
>>
>> One way or another LoTW is the ARRL's domain. Anything related to
> LoTW
>> should be coordinated with ARRL. First, they have to approve the
> whole idea.
>> Then, a plaque applicant should send you his ADIF file with the
> totals of
>> 2000 and up. Then there are several options:
>> - you send this file to ARRL for verification
>> - you ask ARRL for their copy of this file for your own
> verification
>> (obviously they have administrative rights on the site and can
> pick it up
>> themselves).
>>
>> And finally the easiest of all: you send ARRL a simple
> request: "AB0CDE
>> claims to get the LoTW Challenge total of 2000. Please kindly
> confirm, if
>> so".
>> That's it.
>>
>> 73,
>> Vladimir VE3IAE/EU1SA
>>
>> ---
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> > Here is an idea on confirmation.
>> >
>> > Have the one claiming the goal download UltraVNC and load the
> server
>> > on his computer for a one time shot. This is rather easy to do.
>> > UltraVNC then has a web interface, where you can log on with a
>> > password and view the display of the person's computer in real-
>> > time. Then, along with an open telephone connection, instruct
> him
>> > to download the lotwreport.adi file and attach it to an email
>> > addressed to you. You can even have him, while you watch, type a
>> > unique message that you have made up on the spot. You can watch
> him
>> > then send that email and view the outgoing mail box until it is

>> > sent. You have him open the "SENT" messages folder and you note
> the
>> > time the message was sent and you are done. Log off and the one
>> > applying can then uninstall the VNC server.
>> >
>> > Other than going to the location, which could be anywhere in the
>> > world, this is the only way I can think of right now to insure
> you
>> > are not spoofed.
>> >
>> > Bill, N5RR
>> >
>> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@>
> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> If someone claims to have achieved this, have them tell you
> their
>> >> LotW web site username and password. Then you can download their
>> > QSL
>> >> file, load it into a logging application, and verify their
> claim.
>> >>
>> >> They can then change their web site password.
>> >>
>> >> 73,
>> >>
>> >> Dave, AA6YQ
>> >>
>> >> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > I'm considering offering a plaque for the first station to
>> > confirm
>> >> > 2000 band countries via LOTW only -- same criterion as ARRL
>> >> Challenge
>> >> > award. (160-6m exclusing of 60M, no deleted entities)
>> >> >
>> >> > I should be possible to achieve. Several people are now over
>> > 1500.
>> >> > Perhaps those chasing this would help convince non-LOTW
> stations
>> >> > submit their logs to LOTW.
>> >> >
>> >> > The difficulty I'm having is determining that such a claim is
>> > indeed
>> >> > legit.
>> >> >
>> >> > If I were the ARRL it would be easy-- provided that one didn't
>> > link
>> >> > their DXCC records to LOTW. Even if the station had linked
>> > their

>> >> DXCC
>> >> > records, presumably the ARRL could use the "download" file of
>> > LOTW
>> >> > QSL's as proof. Just run it through an up to date logging
>> > program
>> >> and
>> >> > confirm the total.
>> >> >
>> >> > Since the downloaded file is ADIF, it too could be manually
>> > changed
>> >> > and sending it to me wouldn't be sufficient.
>> >> >
>> >> > Any suggestions on how to assure that the true winner gets it?
>> >> >
>> >> > Right now this is just an idea. Until I can figure out the
>> > above,
>> >> it
>> >> > is on hold.
>> >> >
>> >> > It would be neat if I could just be the sponsor the plaque and
>> > the
>> >> > ARRL administers the one-time issuance-- just like contest
>> > plaques.
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3736. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Logging soft support LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-09 22:50:19 UTC
From: Vilnis Vosekalns
Topic: 3719 Message: 3736

Hello Dave, Gerry , all.

Yea, looks nice from first shot, this DXKeepr....
Logger 32 is ok but not very best for logging/qsling.
Dredging now..... ;-)

Thnx for help.
brgds
Vilnis / YL2KF

Dave Bernstein wrote:
> Take a look at DXLab's DXKeeper, Vilnis. It
>
> - tracks LotW upload status for each QSO (not uploaded, uploaded but
> not yet accepted, accepted)
>
> - assembles a batch of not-yet-uploaded QSOs to be uploaded to LotW
> (one mouse click)
>
> - uploads a batch of QSOs to LotW (one mouse click launches the ADIF
> export, TQSL invocation, and file uploading sequence)
>
> - tracks each QSO's confirmation and verification via LotW separately
> from confirmation and verification via QSL card
>
> - updates each QSO's confirmation via LotW via a single mouse click
> (downloads the ADIF file from LotW, and updates the LotW confirmation
> status of each newly-confirmed QSO in your log)
>
> - generates submission paperwork for ARRL DXCC and Challenge awards
> based on confirmed QSOs for unverified entities, entity-bands, and
> entity-modes.
>
> DXKeeper can also directly print QSL cards and labels.
>
> Its free, and available via www.dxlabsuite.com .
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, V4/AA6YQ
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Vilnis <yl2kf@...> wrote:
>
>>Hello to all ,

>>
>>Using LOGPlus! since 1994?. So far so good.
>>DOS but perfect working under Win XP . Now, after
>>first steps with LotW getting in problems how
>>to know which DXCC entity is confirmed via LotW.
>>Allready received my DXCC mixed and RTTY via LotW
>>only. To continue sucesfully with LotW and my
>>DXCC probably is needed to make a dramatic shifting
>>for me - change a logsoftware.
>>Please Your suggestions, know some - DX4WIN, LOGic,
>>Logger32. Allready make a tray all three but
>>can not agree with no one. After LOGPlus!
>>nice special "QSLing department" all looks less
>>nice for me. Any suggestions.
>>
>>73
>>
>>Vilnis / YL2KF
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

3738. YK0A 1994 received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-10 02:07:03 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3738 Message: 3738
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3739. YK0A 1994 received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-10 19:29:40 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3738 Message: 3739

It would be nice if LOTW would be a little more plainer about how it operates.
For instance......Do I have to send them money to get my awards started?
I have a few dx confirmed now........but they R not in any order....why don't they show how many more dx station I have to get before I get to 100?
I have read the read me file.....but nothing in there except how to setup LOTW.
Now that I am uploading and have 1126 Q's and about 187 confirmed...I have no idea of what countries I need or states.
73's
Robert KQ4PK

Congradulations! on the new dx that U recieved.

Prasad <vu2ptt@...> wrote:
Received LoTW QSL for YK0A 1994 today.

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

Sucker-punch spam with award-winning protection.
Try the free Yahoo! Mail Beta.

3740. LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-11 15:23:13 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3740 Message: 3740

Somebody needs to get in there and reboot it.

3742. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-11 19:06:03 UTC
From: John Klim, N3KHK
Topic: 3740 Message: 3742

I think someone forgot to feed the hamster.

HI HI.

73

==========

Mr. John R. Klim II
N3KHK
ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD
#115,
10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay
Chapter # 549

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Fred NB2B
Sent: Sunday, March 11, 2007 11:31 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW is Down

Sure is.
I'm getting:
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -709 - CONNECT: (database not
running):-(----- Original Message -----
From: "timgep" <timgep@...>

> Somebody needs to get in there and reboot it.
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3743. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-12 01:59:34 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3740 Message: 3743
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

LoTW is still down at 10:57 PM EDT.

My guess it that they had a glitch with the Daylight Savings Time being 3 weeks earlier this year and most of the software needs some form of manual intervention to get it right.

To make things more interesting MicroSlop quit supporting the "Millennium Edition" last July 11th.
I was forced to improvise by setting the Windows time zone from Eastern(-5 hrs.) to Atlantic (-4Hrs).
I left the Automatic DST Notification ON, as a reminder to redo things in 3 weeks.

Also my logging software required some messaging (I use both Logic 7 and 8) !!!
LOGic will automatically correct for the EST/DST Changeover so I had to defeat it and manually
set the offset to -4 hours.

I strongly that those of you using other logging software check them out in detail.
The last thing we need is have is a bunch of LoTW submissions falling outside the time window.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU

At 11:23 3/11/2007, timgep wrote:

>Somebody needs to get in there and reboot it.
>
>

3744. Re: LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-12 03:21:58 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3740 Message: 3744

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>
> LoTW is still down at 10:57 PM EDT.
>
> My guess it that they had a glitch with the Daylight Savings Time being 3 weeks earlier
> this year and most of the software needs some form of manual intervention to get it right.
>
> To make things more interesting MicroSlop quit supporting the "Millennium Edition" last July 11th.
> I was forced to improvise by setting the Windows time zone from Eastern(-5 hrs.) to Atlantic (-4Hrs).
> I left the Automatic DST Notification ON, as a reminder to redo things in 3 weeks.
>
> Also my logging software required some messaging (I use both Logic 7 and 8) !!!
> LOGic will automatically correct for the EST/DST Changeover so I had to defeat it and manually
> set the offset to -4 hours.
>
> I strongly that those of you using other logging software check them out in detail.
> The last thing we need is have is a bunch of LoTW submissions falling outside the time window.
>
> 73 es GL
> Bob, K1VU
>
>
> At 11:23 3/11/2007, timgep wrote:
>
> >Somebody needs to get in there and reboot it.
> >
> >
>

I would doubt it had anything to do with the time change. If I were the LOTW administrators, I would run it on GMT time, which I think they do.

I had a chuckle on the news today. They were interviewing a city official about the Orange County CA fire. He said "thankfully, we have an hour extra to keep the helicopters up because of

daylight savings time." They have to stop operations at sundown.

3745. Re: LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-12 14:37:20 UTC
From: Wes
Topic: 3740 Message: 3745

It is back up this morning.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@> wrote:
> >
> > LoTW is still down at 10:57 PM EDT.
> >
> > My guess it that they had a glitch with the Daylight Savings Time
> being 3 weeks earlier
> > this year and most of the software needs some form of manual
> intervention to get it right.
> >
> > To make things more interesting MicroSlop quit supporting the
> "Millennium Edition" last July 11th.
> > I was forced to improvise by setting the Windows time zone from
> Eastern(-5 hrs.) to Atlantic (-4Hrs).
> > I left the Automatic DST Notification ON, as a reminder to redo
> things in 3 weeks.
> >
> > Also my logging software required some messaging (I use both Logic 7
> and 8) !!!
> > LOGic will automatically correct for the EST/DST Changeover so I had
> to defeat it and manually
> > set the offset to -4 hours.
> >
> > I strongly that those of you using other logging software check them
> out in detail.
> > The last thing we need is have is a bunch of LoTW submissions
> falling outside the time window.
> >
> > 73 es GL
> > Bob, K1VU
> >
> >
> > At 11:23 3/11/2007, timgep wrote:
> >
> > >Somebody needs to get in there and reboot it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> I would doubt it had anything to do with the time change. If I
> were the LOTW administrators, I would run it on GMT time, which
> I think they do.

>
> I had a chuckle on the news today. They were interviewing a
> city official about the Orange County CA fire. He said "thankfully,
> we have an hour extra to keep the helicopters up because of
> daylight savings time." They have to stop operations at sundown.
>

3746. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW is Down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-12 12:41:35 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3740 Message: 3746

Doubtful. More likely the machine or server crashed. Nobody there to
reboot on weekends. It has happened many times before on weekends.

They run their clocks on universal time. Most hams should also. I
don't understand the adversion to doing so. It totally avoids the
problems associated with DST AND logs the correct time for use in QSLing.

In my version of WIN-XP you get UT by selecting Greenwich Standard Time
in the date/time setup.
Earlier versions one could use GMT Casablanca.

73 de Brian/K3KO

R Johnson wrote:

>LoTW is still down at 10:57 PM EDT.
>
>My guess it that they had a glitch with the Daylight Savings Time being 3 weeks earlier
>this year and most of the software needs some form of manual intervention to get it right.
>
>
>

3747. DXCC backlog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-13 11:45:00 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3747 Message: 3747

LOTW applications apparently go in the same queue as paper QSL's.

While one may get instant gratification by receiving a LOTW QSL quickly, getting it officially added to your totals still takes a long time.

Right now there is a backlog (according to the ARRL webpage) of 800 to be processed.
They say they are shipping out confirmations to applications received week of Jan 12.

It seems reasonable LOTW applications could be processed much faster than paper ones It really would be just a snapshot in time of what your AWARDS listing shows.

Why not a two tiered system. One for LOTW and another for paper? The LOTW route would be very fast.
Wouldn't that create more interest in LOTW?

73 DE Brian/K3KO

3748. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC backlog

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-13 12:52:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3747 Message: 3748

I'm old and my memory was NEVER good.
But....
It seems that when online LoTW submission started, they said that Online would receive priority.
I absolutely remember that my first HyBrid submission. (combo LoTW and Hardcard) was processed very fast.
But all my subsequent (2 submissions a year) hybrid submissions have reverted to the usual 6 week */- duration.

I have not submitted a LoTW only via online, all have been of the hybrid variety.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian Alsop" <alsopb@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2007 6:45 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC backlog

> LOTW applications apparently go in the same queue as paper QSL's.
>
> While one may get instant gratification by receiving a LOTW QSL quickly,
> getting it officially added to your totals still takes a long time.
>
> Right now there is a backlog (according to the ARRL webpage) of 800 to
> be processed.
> They say they are shipping out confirmations to applications received
> week of Jan 12.
>
> It seems reasonable LOTW applications could be processed much faster
> than paper ones It really would be just a snapshot in time of what your
> AWARDS listing shows.
>
> Why not a two tiered system. One for LOTW and another for paper? The
> LOTW route would be very fast.
> Wouldn't that create more interest in LOTW?
>
> 73 DE Brian/K3KO
>
>
>
>

3749. 3DA0 DXpedition

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-13 15:00:34 UTC
From: ei7cc
Topic: 3749 Message: 3749

Many of you may have seen the following news in the weekly DX newssheets:-

A DXpedition to Swaziland from 16 to 25 March is being organized to celebrate the 75th Jubilee of the Irish Radio Transmitters Society.
The group will also take part in the CQ WPX SSB Contest (24-25 March). Operation will be on all bands with particular attention given to the WARC bands, digital modes and the LF bands. It is also hoped to have some activity on EME, 2m and 6m. Participating operators are Paul/EI2CA (3DA0PM), Peter/EI7CC (3DA0PB), Aidan/EI8CE (3DA0AM), David/EI4DJ/GI4FUM (3DA0DJ), Rory/EI4DJB (3DA0RH), Brendan/EI3GV (3DA0GV) and Pete/GI4VIV (3DA0GI). QSL for all via EI7CC, direct or bureau.
The web pages for the expedition can be found at http://www.irts.ie/cgi/afri75.cgi; on-line logs will be updated on a daily basis.

An additional bit of information for LoTW users is that QSOs with 3DA0GI and 3DA0PB will be uploaded to LoTW promptly after the DXpedition.

Hope to work lots of you!!

73 Peter EI7CC, 3DA0PB

3750. got a question about a submission

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-13 19:21:37 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3750 Message: 3750

I presently have a LoTW submission waiting.

I just got a new LoTW band/mode confirmation.

As it still show that my submission has not been cleared, can I cancel it
and add this latest LoTW qsl to the previous ones?

Just curious. Anybody ever done this?

"There are never any bugs you haven't found yet.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
webblog at http://vote4billheinzinger.blogsport.com/

3751. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] got a question about a submission

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-13 19:26:51 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3750 Message: 3751

I'd suggest you ask the folks at lotw-help@...

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2007 1:21 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] got a question about a submission

I presently have a LoTW submission waiting.

I just got a new LoTW band/mode confirmation.

As it still show that my submission has not been cleared, can I cancel it
and add this latest LoTW qsl to the previous ones?

Just curious. Anybody ever done this?

"There are never any bugs you haven't found yet.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
webblog at http://vote4billheinzinger.blogsport.com/

3752. Logging LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 01:28:09 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3719 Message: 3752

Hello Everyone,
I have uploaded 1127 Q's and have 188 confirmed.
My question is "How do I get my list in order,to see how many Countries I have without dupes and how many states I have without dupes?
Do I have to submit money before I will be able to see what award that I am near?
Robt KQ4PK

The fish are biting.
Get more visitors on your site using Yahoo! Search Marketing.

3753. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 01:32:39 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3719 Message: 3753

Click on "awards" to see your DXCC and WAS status.

Bob, N7XY

On Mar 14, 2007, at 6:28 PM, Robert Jones wrote:

>
> Hello Everyone,
> I have uploaded 1127 Q's and have 188 confirmed.
> My question is "How do I get my list in order,to see how many
> Countries I have without dupes and how many states I have without
> dupes?
> Do I have to submit money before I will be able to see what award
> that I am near?
> Robt KQ4PK
>
> The fish are biting.
> Get more visitors on your site using Yahoo! Search Marketing.
>

3754. Logging LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 11:40:48 UTC
From: Robert Jones
Topic: 3719 Message: 3754

Hi Bob,
Thanks for the reply.
I have done that,but it says so many R pending, what do I have to do see what they R?
Or what I am thinking, is that they R not confirmed yet,maybe I am wrong.
There is not enough info on LOTW.
DO I HAVE TO SEND THEM MONEY FOR THEM TO SHOW WHAT I HAVE EARNED?
Robt

Bob Nielsen <nielsen@...> wrote:
Click on "awards" to see your DXCC and WAS status.

Bob, N7XY

On Mar 14, 2007, at 6:28 PM, Robert Jones wrote:

>
> Hello Everyone,
> I have uploaded 1127 Q's and have 188 confirmed.
> My question is "How do I get my list in order,to see how many
> Countries I have without dupes and how many states I have without
> dupes?
> Do I have to submit money before I will be able to see what award
> that I am near?
> Robt KQ4PK
>
> The fish are biting.
> Get more visitors on your site using Yahoo! Search Marketing.
>

Get your own web address.
Have a HUGE year through Yahoo! Small Business.

3755. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 14:37:10 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3719 Message: 3755

On Mar 15, 2007, at 4:40 AM, Robert Jones wrote:

> Hi Bob,
> Thanks for the reply.
> I have done that,but it says so many R pending, what do I have to
> do see what they R?
> Or what I am thinking, is that they R not confirmed yet,maybe I am
> wrong.
> There is not enough info on LOTW.
> DO I HAVE TO SEND THEM MONEY FOR THEM TO SHOW WHAT I HAVE EARNED?
> Robt
>

Pending means it has been confirmed on LOTW, but you have not yet submitted it to ARRL for the award. You only need to pay when you actually submit the contacts.

Bob, N7XY

3756. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 15:03:27 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3719 Message: 3756

The answer to your question Bob is NO, you don't have to send them any money to show your results. You would do that when you wanted to make your results "official" by obtaining an award or certificate.

Select the "Awards" tab and you can view your progress in the DXCC and WAS programs.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Nielsen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2007 9:37 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Logging LotW

On Mar 15, 2007, at 4:40 AM, Robert Jones wrote:

> Hi Bob,
> Thanks for the reply.
> I have done that,but it says so many R pending, what do I have to
> do see what they R?
> Or what I am thinking, is that they R not confirmed yet,maybe I am
> wrong.
> There is not enough info on LOTW.
> DO I HAVE TO SEND THEM MONEY FOR THEM TO SHOW WHAT I HAVE EARNED?
> Robt
>

Pending means it has been confirmed on LOTW, but you have not yet submitted it to ARRL for the award. You only need to pay when you actually submit the contacts.

Bob, N7XY

3757. Finaly Some word from the ARRL on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-15 20:56:02 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3757 Message: 3757

There is a short article in the just arrived April QST regarding LOTW on page 13. IT outlines some changes to hardware and software. Maybe someone was listening.

Hope it's not an April fool joke.

Julio, W4HY

3758. Re: Finaly Some word from the ARRL on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-16 16:27:36 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3757 Message: 3758

can you elaborate on what it says?
jeff
va3xq

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> There is a short article in the just arrived April QST regarding
LOTW on
> page 13. IT outlines some changes to hardware and software. Maybe
> someone was listening.
>
> Hope it's not an April fool joke.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

3759. 2 LOTW Milestones

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-16 18:17:54 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3759 Message: 3759

Congrats to all!

LOTW just passed 8 million matches for 16 million QSL's.

The current QSL rate now stands at 12.93%, and should
pass 13 percent within a couple of weeks.

Long term, I think the rate will go to the upper teens. The QSL rate
was only about 10 percent a year ago.

Tim K6GEP

3760. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finaly Some word from the ARRL on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-16 23:03:16 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3757 Message: 3760

Here are the high points

1 LOTW support is how the full time responsibility of KA1RWY, Kathy Allison. KE3Z, Jon Bloom will be the LOTW software developer.

2 ARRL never anticipated that LOTW would require so much software support.

3 ARRL will be upgrading the LOTW severer and data storage. This should happen in the next 90 days.

4 In the second half of the year a new and improved process for obtaining a certificate will be in place.

5 Other LOTW software tweaks will be an ongoing process.

I think that was the meat of it. No mention of new awards being supported

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of va3xq
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2007 12:28 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Finaly Some word from the ARRL on LOTW

can you elaborate on what it says?
jeff
va3xq

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Julio Peralta" <jperalta@...> wrote:
>
> There is a short article in the just arrived April QST regarding LOTW on
> page 13. IT outlines some changes to hardware and software. Maybe
> someone was listening.
>
> Hope it's not an April fool joke.
>
> Julio, W4HY
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3761. (no subject)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-19 10:57:52 UTC
From: Alex Kolmychek RN6AH
Topic: 3761 Message: 3761

I've uploaded to LoTW, the recent contest operations of LoTW,
RN6AH, RN6AH/P, RU6AT and RK6AXY in RDXC 2007

73! Best regards,
Alex Kolmychek, RN6AH
---
http://alex-rn6ah.livejournal.com/
http://www.qsl.net/rn6ah

RN6BN AR-Cluster Node in Krasnodar, Russia
telnet://RN6BN.73.ru or telnet://62.183.34.131

3762. member approval?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-20 00:48:53 UTC
From: donfrasher
Topic: 3762 Message: 3762

I got the following e-mail through another group list, is the list
onwer or a moderator available to check on this?
Don
AD7LL
.....
Hi

Thanks for the reply about the AARL-LOTW.
Once you've applied for membership you can't try again
until that membership request has expired.

I put my call on there when I applied and sent an
email to the moderator with my call. I am still
waiting.

73
Jim Eller K8ELR

3763. 273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 04:47:04 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3763 Message: 3763

The HB9 web page indicated LOTW participants in 301 current entities.

How many people are getting close to the magic 300 mark?

Currently have 273 current entities in mixed mode.

What totals have you guys got above this?

73 de Brian/K3KO

3764. Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 14:48:35 UTC
From: Jim Hamann
Topic: 3763 Message: 3764
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I have uploaded 4747 QSOs to LOTW and have 496 QSL records with 162 countries.

Jim Hamann
K2CBM
Ft. Myers, FL

3765. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 16:03:18 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3763 Message: 3765
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3766. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 16:02:58 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3763 Message: 3766

Jim, my LOTW credits are commingled with my original DXCC
credits. How did you determine how many entities you have confirmed via LOTW?

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 09:48 AM 3/23/2007, you wrote:
>
>I have uploaded 4747 QSOs to LOTW and have 496 QSL records with 162
>countries.
>
>Jim Hamann
>K2CBM
>Ft. Myers, FL
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 268.18.17/730 - Release Date:
>3/22/2007 7:44 AM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3767. Re: 273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 16:03:55 UTC
From: Dave Lindsay
Topic: 3763 Message: 3767

I know that I have 200 below your total!

Some catching up to do.

73

Dave, GM4HQF G-QRP #2513

3768. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 16:28:47 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 3763 Message: 3768
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3769. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 16:36:40 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3763 Message: 3769

Hello Mike(W5UC),

MWKK> Jim, my LOTW credits are commingled with my original DXCC
MWKK> credits. How did you determine how many entities you have confirmed via LOTW?

You can download your LoTW confirmations in ADI format and analyse it
by the logging s/w means.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

3770. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 19:14:15 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3763 Message: 3770

Thanks to all who responded. I haven't done it yet, but will do so.
Should be interesting.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 11:36 AM 3/23/2007, you wrote:

>Hello Mike(W5UC),
>
>MWKK> Jim, my LOTW credits are commingled with my original DXCC
>MWKK> credits. How did you determine how many entities you have
>confirmed via LOTW?
>
>You can download your LoTW confirmations in ADI format and analyse it
>by the logging s/w means.
>
>--
>73...Art RX9TX
>
><http://rx9tx.qrz.ru>http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 268.18.17/730 - Release Date:
>3/22/2007 7:44 AM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3771. LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 22:50:07 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3771 Message: 3771

```
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)

everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
jeff
```

3772. 5BDXCC Success!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-23 23:55:43 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3772 Message: 3772

After many years of slugging it out in pile-ups with the rest of you guys, today is a very good day. Although it is a paper QSL, #100 on 80M arrived in today's mail--my last QSL neede for 5BDXCC. 7X0RY in Algeria on 80M was a good catch last November.

I confirmed a DXCC on the other bands using LOTW and a few stray QSLs cards in a hybrid application late last year. 80M on LOTW was simply stuck at 78 for months on end. There are 135 countries in the log on 80M but just couldn't seem to land the last few--paper, LOTW, or otherwise. A few weeks ago, I broke down and mailed paper QSLs to a good sampling of the missing 80M Qs. I greased the skids with green stamps. It worked! The last two weeks brought in the remaining 22 QSLs the old fashioned way.

I've had a DXCC on 20M for years--did it the old fashioned way long ago. LOTW helped me by confirming no less than 386 band entities since that original paper application. The remaining few were manual.

My 5BDXCC hybrid application may go in this weekend--if I can find the time.

Thanks to all for the Qs and to LOTW for saving me a boat-load of dough!

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3773. Re:Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:273 via LOTW so far

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 12:59:36 UTC
From: Jim Hamann
Topic: 3763 Message: 3773
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

After I read Art's message I realized I had misled the group. My 162 countries are also co-mingled with my original DXCC records but LOTW allowed me to get my original countries counted. I had lost my earlier records and certificate but when I uploaded new logs on LOTW I was able to link my old records with my current list.
Jim
K2CBM

http://www.historymentor.com

"We cannot always build the future for our youth, but we can build our youth for the future."
----Franklin D. Roosevelt

3774. Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 14:01:08 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 3771 Message: 3774

....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!

Ivan G4BGW

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@...> wrote:
>
> Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
>
> everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> jeff
>

3775. Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 15:42:26 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3771 Message: 3775

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@...> wrote:
>
> ....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!
>
> Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@> wrote:
> >
> > Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
> >
> > everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> > jeff
> >
>
If they are using the contest time to do some maintenance on the
server, then that is a good idea. I fear it is crashed, and no
one will get to it until 9AM EDT on Monday.

IMHO, I think the ARRL should raise the rates on the LOTW credits
in order to budget in a "geek with a pager" who can fix things on
the weekend. 99% uptime is all I am asking for. If this outage
goes beyond the close of the contest at 2359z, it will be a huge
embarassement to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3776. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 15:54:32 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3771 Message: 3776

Sorry, I don't understand the urgency for having 24/7 availability for a record keeping system. There is no "real time" requirement for LoTW access as an operational tool. Yes, it's inconvenient if you planned to do some uploading or exploring your latest QSLs (that you wait for months to years to get by mail/bureau) but it's not a catastrophe. It will be back up, as been said, worst case Monday. Maybe just a patient wait would be in order.

Personally, if the LoTW managers acquire extra buck by whatever means, I'd rather see it go to developing more award modules and/or promoting the system to the majority of the DX world that doesn't use the system now.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2007 9:42 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@...> wrote:
>
> ....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!
>
> Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@> wrote:
> >
> > Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
> >
> > everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> > jeff
> >
>
If they are using the contest time to do some maintenance on the
server, then that is a good idea. I fear it is crashed, and no
one will get to it until 9AM EDT on Monday.

IMHO, I think the ARRL should raise the rates on the LOTW credits
in order to budget in a "geek with a pager" who can fix things on
the weekend. 99% uptime is all I am asking for. If this outage

goes beyond the close of the contest at 2359z, it will be a huge embarassement to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3777. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 17:40:08 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3771 Message: 3777

You gota be kidding you can't embarrass the ARRL.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of timgep
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2007 11:42 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@...> wrote:
>
> ....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!
>
> Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@> wrote:
> >
> > Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
> >
> > everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> > jeff
> >
>
If they are using the contest time to do some maintenance on the server, then that is a good idea. I fear it is crashed, and no one will get to it until 9AM EDT on Monday.

IMHO, I think the ARRL should raise the rates on the LOTW credits in order to budget in a "geek with a pager" who can fix things on the weekend. 99% uptime is all I am asking for. If this outage goes beyond the close of the contest at 2359z, it will be a huge embarassement to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

Yahoo! Groups Links

3778. Standard Operating Procedures (was: LOTW Pre Contest Error)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 17:48:20 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3778 Message: 3778

I don't want this message to come across as critical regarding the LoTW system, but Gerry is on the right track. I managed a large multi-division production network (Target, Mervyn's, Macy's) that WAS deadline critical... and LoTW is NOT deadline critical. The manager of this system should implement some standard operating procedures that would benefit both the users and the system.

Maintenance is necessary on any system. So a preplanned, scheduled, downtime should be posted for everyone to know in advance. In my case, all network users knew our system would be offline starting 8:00 PM Wednesday evenings, every week. The system would be back online by 6:00 AM the following morning.

My engineering team would preplan their activities for that block of time. It was up to the users to do their part of preplanning their production activities as well. I didn't arbitrarily pick that time, it was negotiated between the engineering staff and the production staff to determine what time would have minimal impact.

I do not know the level of Enterprise Network expertise within ARRL. But part of the systems knowledge must go beyond the technical aspects of running a system. I have not seen a standardized maintenance schedule posted for LoTW. Maybe I have overlooked it?

Having a system available at a "Five 9's" level (99.999% uptime) is a VERY expensive proposition. Again, IMO, LoTW does not need to be a Five 9's system. Banking, hospitals, airlines, etc. need to be Five 9 systems.

See http://www.forsythe.com/Forsythe/infraservices/servers/server_five9s.jsp for an overview.

The "geek with a pager" is another safety measure I employed for the unexpected failure that might halt production activity. At the minimum, in the LoTW environment, this should trigger a webpage acknowledging LoTW is currently offline. A similar page should post during the preplanned maintenance window.

The ARRL has already exposed their naivety regarding operational issues of a 24/7 online database system. "We never anticipated the high level of software support that we find we must provide for LoTW." Quote from Harold Kramer - WJ1B, ARRL CEO in QST April 2007, p13.

The designers were technically astute, but there appears there was no "expectations setting" to preset the reality of operating a system like LoTW. Or maybe there was appropriate expectation setting but it fell on deaf ears?

In any case, the April QST issue reveals some good changes that have and are going to be put into place. And with a dedicated developer putting his attention to the issues, LoTW should get better. But it will take time... especially since the development "team" is one person!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry Hohn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2007 10:54 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Sorry, I don't understand the urgency for having 24/7 availability for a record keeping system. There is no "real time" requirement for LoTW access as an operational tool. Yes, it's inconvenient if you planned to do some uploading or exploring your latest QSLs (that you wait for months to years to get by mail/bureau) but it's not a catastrophe. It will be back up, as been said, worst case Monday. Maybe just a patient wait would be in order.

Personally, if the LoTW managers acquire extra buck by whatever means, I'd rather see it go to developing more award modules and/or promoting the system to the majority of the DX world that doesn't use the system now.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2007 9:42 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@...> wrote:
>
> ....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!
>
> Ivan G4BGW
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@> wrote:
> >
> > Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
> >
> > everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> > jeff
> >
>
If they are using the contest time to do some maintenance on the server, then that is a good idea. I fear it is crashed, and no one will get to it until 9AM EDT on Monday.

IMHO, I think the ARRL should raise the rates on the LOTW credits in order to budget in a "geek with a pager" who can fix things on the weekend. 99% uptime is all I am asking for. If this outage goes beyond the close of the contest at 2359z, it will be a huge

embarassement to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3779. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Standard Operating Procedures (was: LOTW Pre Contest Error)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 18:44:04 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 3778 Message: 3779

On 3/24/2007 Robert Chudek wrote:

> Having a system available at a "Five 9's" level (99.999% uptime) is
> a VERY expensive proposition. Again, IMO, LoTW does not need to be a
> Five 9's system. Banking, hospitals, airlines, etc. need to be Five 9 systems.

Television Networks - and a few others too - for some reason, people get really pissed when the TV goes off

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

VEGETARIAN, noun: Indian word meaning "lousy hunter".

3780. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 19:16:25 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3771 Message: 3780

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!

Ivan G4BGW

****

Ivan, and others...

I wonder if there is a user base that is using LOTW in ways that were unforeseen. The error message has been appearing quite a bit lately. And seeing it is a busy contest weekend, it really makes me wonder...

Is there a software application out there that requires being 'logged into' LOTW for the entire weekend? I don't think anybody anticipated thousands of users being logged in for 48 hours simultaneously.

My personal usuage is to upload, check stats, browse for a few minutes, and then get-out-of-Dodge. I think this would characterize the bulk of user's activities. It makes me wonder if there are now users that do what used to be a CD Rom lookup with their local logging software. For example, my local logbook looks at the call I've typed into the callsign field, and it does a lookup for me--opens a window with all previous QSOs listed. It's a very handy tool at times. And it performs the lookup on my computer. Is there some application that recently started using the LOTW data to accomplish the same goal? If so, the database server is on its knees attempting to serve up all those inconsequential queries. If this is true, then this is not a LOTW issue, it's a local logbook software author issue. We should find out what application is doing this and get them to re-think their procedures. If we don't figure this out, it will remain broken I'm afraid.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3781. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 19:49:43 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 3771 Message: 3781

On 3/24/2007 Ford Peterson wrote:
<snip>
> We should find out
> what application is doing this and get them to re-think their procedures.
> If we don't figure this out, it will remain broken I'm afraid.
<snip>

Actually, as a software developer - if some external program is doing something that is crashing MY program - it is NOT my job to get them to change how they do things - it is MY job to change MY program to prevent what they are doing from crashing me - either by preventing them from doing what they are trying to do (then they HAVE to change their program), or changing my program to do what they are trying to do without crashing

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

"MOLON LAVE" ("Come and Take Them")
-- King Leonidas

3782. Re: LOTW Pre Contest Error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-24 20:41:09 UTC
From: Paul
Topic: 3771 Message: 3782

Golly Gee, I'm sure glad I decided to look at the Yahoo group - I've
been trying on/off all day to get in - and kept getting the "too many
users" bit. So I guess I can stop trying now.

My thanks to the group...

Paul WB2ABD

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@>
wrote:
> >
> > ....but still so at 1400utc on the 24th.. me thinks 'tis broke!!
> >
> > Ivan G4BGW
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "va3xq" <35309@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task
limit)
> > >
> > > everyone must be cleaning up there old logs before the contest
> > > jeff
> > >
> >
> If they are using the contest time to do some maintenance on the
> server, then that is a good idea. I fear it is crashed, and no
> one will get to it until 9AM EDT on Monday.
>
> IMHO, I think the ARRL should raise the rates on the LOTW credits
> in order to budget in a "geek with a pager" who can fix things on
> the weekend. 99% uptime is all I am asking for. If this outage
> goes beyond the close of the contest at 2359z, it will be a huge
> embarassement to LOTW.
>
> Tim K6GEP
>

3783. Re: Standard Operating Procedures (was: LOTW Pre Contest Error)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-25 00:11:44 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 3778 Message: 3783

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...> wrote:

Robert and others,

A couple of pertinent points raised here I think:

Bye it's very nature, it IS a 24/7 online database even though most individuals do not use it as such - someone somewhere will always be wanting to either upload their latest QSOs or check for hits, so it does get constant use and this will become more so as additional users sign up (I tend to try and access when you guys are still in bed hi!).

At present, we do not know the validity of the 'too many users (task limit)' error message - it may well have crashed for other reasons or indeed, been taken down for maintenance (either preplanned or an emergency), BUT some indication on the LoTW home page would have made us all aware and so stopped all this surmising and hot air then we could get on with the main purpose of our hobby.

Finally, I'm not a programmer. but I would have thought it would be quit possible to devise something which would 'bump' folks off after say an hour (or maybe less) if indeed they are staying connected all weekend - which I find hard to believe.

Time for bed at this end - Ivan G4BGW

>Snip>
> The "geek with a pager" is another safety measure I employed for the unexpected failure that might halt production activity. At the minimum, in the LoTW environment, this should trigger a webpage acknowledging LoTW is currently offline. A similar page should post during the preplanned maintenance window.
>
> The ARRL has already exposed their naivety regarding operational issues of a 24/7 online database system. "We never anticipated the high level of software support that we find we must provide for LoTW." Quote from Harold Kramer - WJ1B, ARRL CEO in QST April 2007, p13.
>

3784. LoTW up and working

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-25 03:10:05 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3784 Message: 3784

I logged in about 02:00Z 3/25/07 and found Lotw working.

Found 9 QSL's waiting which I imported into my log.

Looks like VE3JY just joined the group and uploaded his logs back to 2000. TNX !!!

Everyone can get the "knots out of their pantyhose" now :-) !!!

Now back to the WPX contest.

73
Bob, K1VU

3785. LOTW is back on the air!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-25 03:18:44 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3785 Message: 3785

Yeah! It is running again.

The reason I was trying to use LOTW during the contest
was to check what WAS states I need for 40 and 80 in order
to target some call areas in the contest.

Generally, I would bet that during a contest like WPX
that LOTW would have low usage. I would also be that
this Sunday night will have be in the top 20 for usage
right after the contest.

Whether we want to admit it or not, LOTW is in direct
competition with eQSL. From my experience, eQSL has
had an incredible uptime rate, I'm guessing in the
area of 99.9% uptime. All I am asking from LOTW is
a two-nine uptime rate (99.0 %).

As we've said before, LOTW's technology is maturing, and
this is turning more and more into a marketing effort.
Having the "users exceeded error" or "LOTW offline" for
days at a time is bad PR.

Thanks to the ARRL for getting LOTW up again.

73,

Tim K6GEP

3786. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW up and working

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-25 03:20:48 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3784 Message: 3786

Correction, that should be VE6JY not VE3JY !!!
I was listening to a VE3 in the background as I was typing.

73
Bob, K1VU

At 23:10 3/24/2007, you wrote:

>I logged in about 02:00Z 3/25/07 and found Lotw working.
>
>Found 9 QSL's waiting which I imported into my log.
>
>Looks like VE3JY just joined the group and uploaded his logs back to 2000. TNX !!!
>
>Everyone can get the "knots out of their pantyhose" now :-) !!!
>
>Now back to the WPX contest.
>
>73
>Bob, K1VU
>
>

3787. LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-25 22:57:44 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3787 Message: 3787

It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this weekend.

However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a queue.

That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are processed.

It might just as well be down.

This has happened several weekends in the past as well.

Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management requires people resources.
In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's people. Why does this
have to be continually relearned?

I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3788. Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 00:46:06 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3787 Message: 3788

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> weekend.
>
> However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a
> queue.
>
> That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> processed.
>
> It might just as well be down.
>
> This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
>
> Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
> requires people resources.
> In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's
> people. Why does this
> have to be continually relearned?
>
> I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
Brian,

My guess is that they put LOTW into queueing mode right after major
contests for a few hours. I understand the need for this, but again,
I wish they would tell us. They should put up a red icon that says
"now queueing - results will not appear immediately. Do NOT re-submit
logs." Something like that. Without a warning, users will keep
submitting logs into it. I hate to admit that I did this when I
was new to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3789. Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 00:54:52 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3787 Message: 3789

Displaying the current depth of the processing Queue on the LotW main page, along with a few explanatory words, would make it easy for LotW users to see what's going on, and judge how long it might take for their newly-uploaded QSOs to be processed.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@> wrote:
> >
> > It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> > weekend.
> >
> > However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a
> > queue.
> >
> > That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> > processed.
> >
> > It might just as well be down.
> >
> > This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
> >
> > Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
> > requires people resources.
> > In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's
> > people. Why does this
> > have to be continually relearned?
> >
> > I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
> >
> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >
> Brian,
>
> My guess is that they put LOTW into queueing mode right after major
> contests for a few hours. I understand the need for this, but again,

> I wish they would tell us. They should put up a red icon that says
> "now queueing - results will not appear immediately. Do NOT re-
submit
> logs." Something like that. Without a warning, users will keep
> submitting logs into it. I hate to admit that I did this when I
> was new to LOTW.
>
> Tim K6GEP
>

3790. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 00:57:05 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3787 Message: 3790

I uploaded my CQWPX log a couple hours ago (quit early).

I got the "queued for processing" message.

What more do I need? Likely tomorrow, after processing, I'll see the results.

Personally, I don't need real time performance from a record keeping system.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2007 6:46 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> weekend.
>
> However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a
> queue.
>
> That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> processed.
>
> It might just as well be down.
>
> This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
>
> Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
> requires people resources.
> In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's
> people. Why does this
> have to be continually relearned?
>
> I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
Brian,

My guess is that they put LOTW into queueing mode right after major
contests for a few hours. I understand the need for this, but again,
I wish they would tell us. They should put up a red icon that says
"now queueing - results will not appear immediately. Do NOT re-submit

logs." Something like that. Without a warning, users will keep submitting logs into it. I hate to admit that I did this when I was new to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3791. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 01:12:38 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3787 Message: 3791

I have made an upload last week's Sunday afternoon. The contacts appeared to reach my log only on Monday late afternoon.
Just uploaded another protion, nothing came up yet, just "queued for processing", too. It looks like, it turns to be normal for LoTW.
I have to admit, it used to be faster in the early days of LoTW, if I am not mistaken.
Anyways. No big deal.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

I uploaded my CQWPX log a couple hours ago (quit early).

I got the "queued for processing" message.

What more do I need? Likely tomorrow, after processing, I'll see the results.

Personally, I don't need real time performance from a record keeping system.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2007 6:46 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> weekend.
>
> However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a
> queue.
>
> That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> processed.
>
> It might just as well be down.
>
> This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
>
> Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
> requires people resources.
> In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's
> people. Why does this

> have to be continually relearned?
>
> I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
Brian,

My guess is that they put LOTW into queueing mode right after major contests for a few hours. I understand the need for this, but again, I wish they would tell us. They should put up a red icon that says "now queueing - results will not appear immediately. Do NOT re-submit logs." Something like that. Without a warning, users will keep submitting logs into it. I hate to admit that I did this when I was new to LOTW.

Tim K6GEP

3792. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 03:15:24 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3787 Message: 3792

Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW up but really not

It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this weekend.

However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a queue.

That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are processed.

It might just as well be down.

This has happened several weekends in the past as well.

Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management requires people resources.
In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's people. Why does this have to be continually relearned?

I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.

73 de Brian/K3KO

****

I was able to complete an application earlier today--a 5BDXCC app no less.
No worries... I seriously doubt we need an "on-call" tech for the weekends.
Monday is another day. Another work day come to think of it.

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3793. Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 17:20:32 UTC
From: Ivan Wilson
Topic: 3787 Message: 3793

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <ford@...> wrote:
>
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW up but really not
>
> It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> weekend.
>
> However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's into a
> queue.
>
> That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> processed.
>
> It might just as well be down.
>
> This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
>
> Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
requires
> people resources.
> In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going. It's
> people. Why does this have to be continually relearned?
>
> I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> ************************
>
> I was able to complete an application earlier today--a 5BDXCC app no
less.
> No worries... I seriously doubt we need an "on-call" tech for the
weekends.
> Monday is another day. Another work day come to think of it.
>
> Ford-N0FP
> ford@...
>

Uploaded a file 7hrs before the contest finished, and the 'QSO records
have been entered into the system' number hasn't been updated since
that time (now 1815utc), so we might be queued, but looks like the
door is firmly shut!
73 - Ivan G4BGW

3794. Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-26 19:03:50 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3787 Message: 3794

```
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ivan Wilson" <ivanewilson@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Ford Peterson" <ford@> wrote:
> >
> > Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW up but really not
> >
> > It appears that the LOTW program is up after being down earlier this
> > weekend.
> >
> > However, it appears that it is simply placing all uploaded QSO's
into a
> > queue.
> >
> > That means nobody gets any LOTW QSL's till the files queued files are
> > processed.
> >
> > It might just as well be down.
> >
> > This has happened several weekends in the past as well.
> >
> > Interesting that the ARRL is discovering that database management
> requires
> > people resources.
> > In reality, it isn't hardware that keeps the system up and going.
It's
> > people. Why does this have to be continually relearned?
> >
> > I also don't understand why it can't be restarted/rebooted remotely.
> >
> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >
> > **********
> >
> > I was able to complete an application earlier today--a 5BDXCC app no
> less.
> > No worries... I seriously doubt we need an "on-call" tech for the
> weekends.
> > Monday is another day. Another work day come to think of it.
> >
> > Ford-N0FP
> > ford@
> >
>
>
> Uploaded a file 7hrs before the contest finished, and the 'QSO records
```

> have been entered into the system' number hasn't been updated since
> that time (now 1815utc), so we might be queued, but looks like the
> door is firmly shut!
> 73 - Ivan G4BGW
>
Looks like post-contest they have implemented a FINO (First In Never
Out) queue. I wonder when they are going to start processing.

3796. Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 13:46:03 UTC
From: vu2nks
Topic: 3787 Message: 3796

Hi All,
LoTW is up and running. My up load was at 13:14z.

73,Nandu.VU2NKS,,ex-VU7LD.

3797. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 16:12:18 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3787 Message: 3797

On Mar 27, 2007, at 6:46 AM, vu2nks wrote:

> Hi All,
> LoTW is up and running. My up load was at 13:14z.
>
> 73,Nandu.VU2NKS,,ex-VU7LD.
>

It didn't last. At 0411Z it came up but shows:

We're sorry, but the system is off-line for maintenance at present.
LoTW will return to service shortly.

73, Bob N7XY

3798. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW up but really not

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 16:13:49 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3787 Message: 3798

Err, make that 1611Z.

On Mar 27, 2007, at 9:12 AM, Bob Nielsen wrote:

>
> On Mar 27, 2007, at 6:46 AM, vu2nks wrote:
>
>> Hi All,
>> LoTW is up and running. My up load was at 13:14z.
>>
>> 73,Nandu.VU2NKS,,ex-VU7LD.
>>
>
> It didn't last. At 0411Z it came up but shows:
>
> We're sorry, but the system is off-line for maintenance at present.
> LoTW will return to service shortly.
>
> 73, Bob N7XY
>

3799. It's back up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 19:59:01 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3799 Message: 3799

My recent uploads have been processed.

Bob, N7XY

3800. QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 20:44:11 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3800

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they
are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this
correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that
the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U.
If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if
your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3801. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 21:26:58 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3800 Message: 3801

At 04:44 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
> I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they
>are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this
>correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice
>that
>the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U.
> If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me
> know if
>your QSO is there.
>Comments Please
>JV KT4U

What was the callsign associated with the certificate that you used to
sign the log?
If you signed it with your KT4U certificate, they will show up as QSOs
with that callsign.
The filename you use to upload the log isn't used to figure out the QSO
detail.

If you haven't requested a N4V certificate, you can use TQSLCert
"File/New Certificate Request" and sign it with your KT4U certificate,
avoiding the postcard hassle.

I'm not sure how the ARRL handles temoporary calls, though. You might
want to send an e-mail to lotw-help@... to ask them how to handle
this.
-Rick

3802. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 21:45:39 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3800 Message: 3802

While signing the log did the tQSL program ask you what call-sign and what country to sign the .tq8 file with?... And what was your reply?

Did you request a certificate for N4V or just used the KT4U one?

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

> I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they
> are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this
> correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice
> that
> the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U.
> If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if
> your QSO is there.
> Comments Please
> JV KT4U
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3803. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 21:58:28 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3803

Additional information. Looks OK to me.
==========

Logbook Activity Record
Date/Time: 2007-03-20 14:24:09
User: kt4u
File: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
Messages:

2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: MSG-19649-1.tq8
2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: User file: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for KT4U - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
2007-03-20 14:24:22 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 290 QSO records in 13 182672 seconds
2007-03-20 14:24:22 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3804. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 21:39:55 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3804

Hi Rick
I have certificates for KT4U (main) and N4V (special event). tq8 was
signed with N4V. I would think when I click on details, N4V would show up.
Thought I would toss it out here before contacting ARRL.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Date: 3/27/2007 5:36:40 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

At 04:44 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
> I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they
>are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this
>correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice
>that
>the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U.
> If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me
> know if
>your QSO is there.
>Comments Please
>JV KT4U

What was the callsign associated with the certificate that you used to
sign the log?
If you signed it with your KT4U certificate, they will show up as QSOs
with that callsign.
The filename you use to upload the log isn't used to figure out the QSO
detail.

If you haven't requested a N4V certificate, you can use TQSLCert
"File/New Certificate Request" and sign it with your KT4U certificate,
avoiding the postcard hassle.

I'm not sure how the ARRL handles temoporary calls, though. You might
want to send an e-mail to lotw-help@... to ask them how to handle
this.
-Rick

3805. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-27 21:39:55 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3805

Hi Rick
I have certificates for KT4U (main) and N4V (special event). tq8 was
signed with N4V. I would think when I click on details, N4V would show up.
Thought I would toss it out here before contacting ARRL.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Date: 3/27/2007 5:36:40 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

At 04:44 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
> I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they
>are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this
>correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice
>that
>the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U.
> If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me
> know if
>your QSO is there.
>Comments Please
>JV KT4U

What was the callsign associated with the certificate that you used to
sign the log?
If you signed it with your KT4U certificate, they will show up as QSOs
with that callsign.
The filename you use to upload the log isn't used to figure out the QSO
detail.

If you haven't requested a N4V certificate, you can use TQSLCert
"File/New Certificate Request" and sign it with your KT4U certificate,
avoiding the postcard hassle.

I'm not sure how the ARRL handles temoporary calls, though. You might
want to send an e-mail to lotw-help@... to ask them how to handle
this.
-Rick

3806. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 00:13:07 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3800 Message: 3806

At 05:58 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
>Additional information. Looks OK to me.
>==========
>
>Logbook Activity Record
>Date/Time: 2007-03-20 14:24:09
>User: kt4u
>File: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
>Messages:
>
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: MSG-19649-1.tq8
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: User file: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt
>Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for KT4U - UNITED
>STATES OF
>AMERICA (291)

Oh. I thought these were QSOs for N4V, not KT4U. Apparently I misunderstood and thought you were submitting QSOs for callsign N4V, not KT4U.

(If they *were* for N4V, you signed the log with the certificate for KT4U and should resubmit the log signed with the N4V certificate as these were clearly submitted as KT4U QSOs.)
-Rick

3807. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 01:08:25 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3807

You got it right. The submittal was for N4V. If the certificate was for N4V, why would it accept being signed by KT4U? I'll straighten it out tomorrow.

-------Original Message-------

From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Date: 3/27/2007 8:22:44 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

At 05:58 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
>Additional information. Looks OK to me.
>==========
>
>Logbook Activity Record
>Date/Time: 2007-03-20 14:24:09
>User: kt4u
>File: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
>Messages:
>
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: MSG-19649-1.tq8
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: User file: C:\Documents and Settings\Matt
>Tyree\Desktop\N4V.tq8
>2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for KT4U - UNITED
>STATES OF
>AMERICA (291)

Oh. I thought these were QSOs for N4V, not KT4U. Apparently I misunderstood and thought you were submitting QSOs for callsign N4V, not KT4U.

(If they *were* for N4V, you signed the log with the certificate for KT4U and should resubmit the log signed with the N4V certificate as these were clearly submitted as KT4U QSOs.)
-Rick

3808. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 02:26:03 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3800 Message: 3808

At 09:08 PM 3/27/2007, JV wrote:
> You got it right. The submittal was for N4V. If the certificate
> was for
>N4V, why would it accept being signed by KT4U? I'll straighten it out
>tomorrow.

LotW accepts the log being signed by the KT4U certificate because the log has no indication what callsign was used to make the QSOs. TQSLCert depends on you to choose a certificate with the right callsign. That's the only way LotW has to figure out what call you used.

Given this message from LotW:

> >2007-03-20 14:24:09 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for KT4U - UNITED
> >STATES OF AMERICA (291)

... you picked a certificate with KT4U as the callsign.

If you re-sign and re-submit the log with the right certificate, it'll be fine.
-Rick

3809. Access to DXCC Award Account is broken

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 04:25:19 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3809 Message: 3809

When I log into the LotW web site, select the Awards tab, and click the "Select DXCC Award Account" button, the page request times out after a long delay. Thus I cannot select a QSL for submission.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3810. Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 06:09:55 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3810

I see a couple of new columns have been added to the QSO and QSL displays, but I haven't figured out what they mean.

Bob N7XY

3811. LoTW is reall "crankin" now!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 14:46:53 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3811 Message: 3811

The over-the-weekend system upgrade seems to have put LoTW into high gear!

Has anyone else noticed how quickly you can navigate from screen to screen? The log processing queue is being depleted as well. I uploaded my WPX SSB contest log late last night and I had the results posted this morning.

I don't know how much horsepower was added or any of the details of the upgrade, but for it to be noticeable at the user level, it means the system has taken a significant step forward.

My hats off to ARRL and the LoTW team! Thank you!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

3812. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 16:20:52 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3812

Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....

On Mar 27, 2007, at 11:09 PM, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> I see a couple of new columns have been added to the QSO and QSL
> displays, but I haven't figured out what they mean.
>
> Bob N7XY

3813. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-28 16:20:52 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3813

Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....

On Mar 27, 2007, at 11:09 PM, Bob Nielsen wrote:

> I see a couple of new columns have been added to the QSO and QSL
> displays, but I haven't figured out what they mean.
>
> Bob N7XY

3814. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3814

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3815. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:31:56 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3810 Message: 3815

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bob Nielsen wrote:
> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....

If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select QSOs to List'
form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra fields added.
It's been that way for a long time.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
=Zuma
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3816. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3816

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3817. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3817

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3818. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3818

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3819. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3819

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3820. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3820

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3821. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3821

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3822. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3822

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3823. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3823

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3824. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3824

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3825. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3825

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3826. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3826

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3827. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3827

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3828. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3828

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3829. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3829

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3830. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3830

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3831. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3831

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3832. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3832

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3833. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3833

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3834. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3834

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3835. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3835

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3836. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3836

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3837. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3837

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3838. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3838

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3839. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3839

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3840. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3840

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3841. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3841

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3842. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:58:41 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3810 Message: 3842

I didn't realize that, but was seeing it with the default "none"
yesterday.

On Mar 28, 2007, at 6:31 PM, Ben Coleman wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Bob Nielsen wrote:
>> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....
>
> If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select
> QSOs to List'
> form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra
> fields added.
> It's been that way for a long time.
>
> Ben
> - --
> Ben Coleman nj8j@...
> "I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
> same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
> Paul Tomblin
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
> Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org
>
> iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
> RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
> =Zuma
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3843. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 00:49:06 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 3800 Message: 3843

Now that I have gotten all the egg off my face, this is what happen. I forgot to add "New Station", N4V, to tqsl. All has been corrected and the Qs for the VQP have been submitted and are on LoTW.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: JV
Date: 3/27/2007 5:27:11 PM
To: Z LoTW
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSOs

I uploaded my VA QSO Party log into LoTW using N4V.tq8. I notice they are listed in LoTW with my call, KT4U, in the left hand column. Is this correct? Seems to me that the QSOs would be listed as N4V. Also notice that the Qs from N4V.tq8 are mixed up with the Qs from KT4U.tq8 all under KT4U. If any of you guys worked me in the VQP, check LoTW and let me know if your QSO is there.
Comments Please
JV KT4U

3844. Linux version of LOTW (FC6)

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 02:16:46 UTC
From: Michael
Topic: 3821 Message: 3844

I'm hosting binaries of LOTW (TrustedQSL and tsqllib) that I built for Fedora Core 6 Linux on my Website listed below. These are built from the source code from the ARRL site along with a couple minor modifications by AA6E that make it work under FC6.

http://www.kc5cqm.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Main.LOTW

I hope this saves FC6 users some time in getting LOTW running as the RPM files on the ARRL site are outdated.

-Michael

3845. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Changes to display

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 01:31:56 UTC
From: Ben Coleman
Topic: 3810 Message: 3845

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bob Nielsen wrote:
> Now the display is back to what it was previously. Strange....

If you set the award account field (at the bottom of the 'Select QSOs to List'
form) to anything other than '-- None --', there are two extra fields added.
It's been that way for a long time.

Ben
- --
Ben Coleman nj8j@...
"I love the way Microsoft follows standards. In much the
same manner that fish follow migrating caribou."
Paul Tomblin
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.2.2 (MingW32)
Comment: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - http://enigmail.mozdev.org

iD8DBQFGCxcMRgRgmxNburQRAoh9AJ48rLxpQtOmHEW57eg+ONLVKlHG2wCcD99K
RadccKU06ytva8oGjtMFtvQ=
=Zuma
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3846. very happy to report

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-29 11:56:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3846 Message: 3846

That I received my first 6 meter LoTW qsl.
It was only as far as the next county to the West...
but it counts for a new country on 6
and another Challenge credit.

Thank you WD9GJK :-)

Every little qsl counts. lol

I can trace my taglines back 8 generations.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
webblog at http://vote4billheinzinger.blogsport.com/

3847. 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-30 15:24:17 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3847 Message: 3847

Congrats! We passed 125 million QSOs on LOTW yesterday.

Overall QSL rate is now at 13% and climbing.

3848. tqsllib2.dll?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-03-31 23:49:28 UTC
From: Beau
Topic: 3848 Message: 3848

hi all does anyone no the commands a program can send tqsllib2.dll
thanks beau

3849. Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-01 22:29:25 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3847 Message: 3849

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> Congrats! We passed 125 million QSOs on LOTW yesterday.
>
> Overall QSL rate is now at 13% and climbing.
>
I took a snapshot over the weekend of slightly over
200,000 new QSOs that came in. Here are my stats:

Date Total QSO's Total QSL Records
3/30 125,103,815 8,131,451

4/1 125,310,347 8,148,561

diff 206,532 17,110

So for this time period, the QSL rate for these
new QSO's is 8.28% Obviously, long-term these QSO's
will be matched at a much higher rate. Still, this
8 percent number seems low to me.

I'm thinking this isn't enough data to predict where
the LOTW overall QSL rate is headed. Obviously there
are a lot of factors like RTTY Contest weekends etc.
I thought this weekend was a good choice for a study,
since there is no major contest going on.

I did notice that Friday was a particularly bad day for QSL matches,
and that it got progressively better over the weekend.

Tim K6GEP

3850. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-01 23:05:11 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3847 Message: 3850

Time wrote:

...snip...

I did notice that Friday was a particularly bad day for QSL matches, and
that it got progressively better over the weekend.

Tim K6GEP

****

Tim,

I don't think you can evaluate the data in this manner. Unfortunately,
sampling the data for a single day two days later answers one question:

"How many users that happened to have QSOs on Friday upload the data
immediately?" As you indicated, there are very few. And the question is
completely different than what you were investigating.

You could answer that question by looking at the QSL matches for Friday's
uploads--two years from now. Unfortunately, LOTW database stats do not
permit such a granular query...

Ford-N0FP
ford@...

3851. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-01 23:15:18 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 3847 Message: 3851

Just bear in mind that instant gratification is not indicative of long-term results.
Seriously, nobody expects even a bureau card until at least 3 months after the QSO....

My current rate is about 17% for contacts - many of which are contest QSOs.

73 Rich NN3W
----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2007 6:29 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> Congrats! We passed 125 million QSOs on LOTW yesterday.
>
> Overall QSL rate is now at 13% and climbing.
>
I took a snapshot over the weekend of slightly over
200,000 new QSOs that came in. Here are my stats:

Date Total QSO's Total QSL Records
3/30 125,103,815 8,131,451

4/1 125,310,347 8,148,561

diff 206,532 17,110

So for this time period, the QSL rate for these
new QSO's is 8.28% Obviously, long-term these QSO's
will be matched at a much higher rate. Still, this
8 percent number seems low to me.

I'm thinking this isn't enough data to predict where
the LOTW overall QSL rate is headed. Obviously there
are a lot of factors like RTTY Contest weekends etc.
I thought this weekend was a good choice for a study,
since there is no major contest going on.

I did notice that Friday was a particularly bad day for QSL matches,
and that it got progressively better over the weekend.

Tim K6GEP

3852. XF4DL SSB Logs just were uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 00:01:25 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3852 Message: 3852

Thank you to the XF4DL team for the new one!

It appears to be their logs from the CQ WW SSB Contest.

Tim K6GEP

3853. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] XF4DL SSB Logs just were uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 00:03:59 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 3852 Message: 3853

Actually, a lot of CW QSOs are in too!

73 Rich NN3W
----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2007 8:01 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] XF4DL SSB Logs just were uploaded

Thank you to the XF4DL team for the new one!

It appears to be their logs from the CQ WW SSB Contest.

Tim K6GEP

3854. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 00:33:43 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3847 Message: 3854

On Apr 1, 2007, at 3:29 PM, timgep wrote:

>
> I did notice that Friday was a particularly bad day for QSL matches,
> and that it got progressively better over the weekend.

I suspect that many hams upload their logs at the end of the month resulting in Saturday and Sunday seeing a lot of uploads to LOTW. I don't know what the typical processing delay is--normally I would see my results immediately after an upload (except for contests) but now it seems to take longer, possibly because of increased usage.

My QSLs are now at a 23% rate, which has been slowly increasing. Most of my QSOs in recent years have been in contests.

Bob, N7XY

3855. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 125 Million QSO's Cant be Wrong

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 07:48:21 UTC
From: Phil Sauvey
Topic: 3847 Message: 3855

I find mine is running the same, just under 25% with the majority being contests. I generally upload mine after submitting my cabrillo file to the contest robot. I normally submit via e-mail and this last time was the first time that I did not get an e-mail response back however, all of my contacts did get uploaded to LOTW. I also feel I have an advantage if I load later at night with the east coast time difference. I normally get very quick responses, within a few minutes anyhow.

Phil KL8DX

Bob Nielsen <nielsen@...> wrote:

I suspect that many hams upload their logs at the end of the month
resulting in Saturday and Sunday seeing a lot of uploads to LOTW. I
don't know what the typical processing delay is--normally I would see
my results immediately after an upload (except for contests) but now
it seems to take longer, possibly because of increased usage.

My QSLs are now at a 23% rate, which has been slowly increasing.
Most of my QSOs in recent years have been in contests.

Bob, N7XY

Never miss an email again!
Yahoo! Toolbar alerts you the instant new Mail arrives. Check it out.

3856. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] XF4DL SSB Logs just were uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 11:19:38 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3852 Message: 3856

That brings the total number of entities in LOTW to at least 302.
Good news indeed.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Richard DiDonna NN3W wrote:

>Actually, a lot of CW QSOs are in too!
>
>73 Rich NN3W
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: timgep
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2007 8:01 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] XF4DL SSB Logs just were uploaded
>
>
> Thank you to the XF4DL team for the new one!
>
> It appears to be their logs from the CQ WW SSB Contest.
>
> Tim K6GEP
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3857. Kudos to ARRL for Better Communication

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-02 17:15:49 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3857 Message: 3857

The ARRL LOTW team seems to have taken to heart the
calls here for better communication before LOTW system
shutdowns. They warned about the 1PM EDT Maintenance
Shutdown on the LOTW site earlier, and now have a better screen
when you try to log on.

LoTW Off Line
The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily shut down for
maintenance, as of 1 PM EDT (1700Z) April 2, 2007.

Service should be restored within a few hours.

Thank you ARRL! That is more like it!

Tim K6GEP

3858. script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 12:33:24 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3858 Message: 3858

I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
download your entire log from LoTW.

I don't mean to download the LoTW report.

I mean...it will give you an .adi file of all the qsos you UPLOADED to LoTW.

Someone I know managed to trash his computer log and hadn't made backups.

(not me...I'm the most anal backup person in the world)

you can reply offline is you wish w9ol@..., w9ol@...

Please and thank you.

Here's to our wives and lovers. May they never meet.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3859. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 13:09:24 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3858 Message: 3859

Second thoughts on this.

If you are desperate you can download 25 at a time by capturing "your
QSO's via cut and paste.
Your QSO's allow you to search on date so that at least partial logs can
be recovered with some work..

I tried this "cut and paste" approach and "pasted" it into
WordPerfect. It shows up as text without all the boxes.
Unfortunately, because the fields are tab delimited after the last
character in a field, the fields don't line up too well.
One has to manually edit them to produce columnar data. The resultant
file could be saved in ASCII.

Some smart programmer could probably post process the raw ASCII pasted
file to put it in useful form.

Once this data exists in column form one can probably import it into
logging programs. Most allow such format or by adding commas at least
comma delimited fields.

Just for curiosity, how much would such a backup service be worth to you
if LOTW provided it? $20, $50, $100 or what? What it could be priced
at and the potential market would determine viability. My guess is
hams are cheap and wouldn't want to pay what it would have to be priced at.

On the other hand, it doesn't strike me as a monumental task for a LOTW
programmer to implement such a capability since "download" already
exists for QSL'd records. Assuming they are already processing your
complete QSO file looking for hits. It would be only require adding an
if test. Modifying the LOTW screens to add such an option would
probably be the bulk of the work.

73 de Brian/K3KO

FireBrick wrote:

>I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
>download your entire log from LoTW.
>
>I don't mean to download the LoTW report.
>
>I mean...it will give you an .adi file of all the qsos you UPLOADED to LoTW.
>
>Someone I know managed to trash his computer log and hadn't made backups.
>
>(not me...I'm the most anal backup person in the world)

>
>you can reply offline is you wish w9ol@..., w9ol@...
>
>
>Please and thank you.
>
>
>
>---
>Here's to our wives and lovers. May they never meet.
>---
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
>weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>

3860. thanks to all but that url no longer works

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 16:08:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3860 Message: 3860

Sorry, that was the url that I had.
I know it used to work as I helped a few retrieve thier logs after HD crashes.

But it seems not to work.
It just returns an empty .adi file.

I guess something changed.

I even tried it on my log and same result.

That was my last idea for my friend.

back to the drawing board.

3861. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 17:43:00 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 3858 Message: 3861

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that
would
> download your entire log from LoTW.
>
> I don't mean to download the LoTW report.
>
> I mean...it will give you an .adi file of all the qsos you UPLOADED
to LoTW.
>
> Someone I know managed to trash his computer log and hadn't made
backups.
>
> (not me...I'm the most anal backup person in the world)
>
> you can reply offline is you wish w9ol@..., w9ol@...
>
>
> Please and thank you.
>
>
>
> ---
> Here's to our wives and lovers. May they never meet.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

Bill

I just tried this on my own call successfully:

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>

Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
Replace Password with your current password.

Note: For those of us who have changed calls over the years, (e.g.
K8KWT -> WD8KWT) the "qso_owncall" statement must
be eliminated to get the entire file.

Bob K8KWT

3862. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 12:45:53 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3858 Message: 3862

I proposed this functionality to the ARRL LOTW people as an additional
income generator for LOTW.

They said they didn't have the capability, were strapped for resources,
and that it would "never" happen.
I believe they said to write to your SCM to help get more money
allocated for LOTW.

I'd be interested if anybody has managed a coup and found a way.

73 de Brian/K3KO

FireBrick wrote:

>I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
>download your entire log from LoTW.
>
>I don't mean to download the LoTW report.
>
>I mean...it will give you an .adi file of all the qsos you UPLOADED to LoTW.
>
>Someone I know managed to trash his computer log and hadn't made backups.
>
>(not me...I'm the most anal backup person in the world)
>
>you can reply offline is you wish w9ol@..., w9ol@...
>
>
>Please and thank you.
>
>
>
>----------
>Here's to our wives and lovers. May they never meet.
>----------
>
>Bill H. in Chicagoland
>webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
>weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>

3863. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 18:16:46 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 3858 Message: 3863

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> I proposed this functionality to the ARRL LOTW people as an
additional
> income generator for LOTW.
>
> They said they didn't have the capability, were strapped for
resources,
> and that it would "never" happen.
> I believe they said to write to your SCM to help get more money
> allocated for LOTW.
>
> I'd be interested if anybody has managed a coup and found a way.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO

Brian

Just a few minutes ago I did download my entire log of QSO's that I previously uploaded to LoTW. This is not just the 2600 QSL's this is all 14,000 QSO's.

Make sure to copy & Paste the entire URL to the browser - everthing between < >.

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>

Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
Replace Password with your current password.

Note: For those of us who have changed calls over the years, (e.g. K8KWT -> WD8KWT) the "qso_owncall" statement must be eliminated to get the entire file.

Bob K8KWT

3864. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 19:44:32 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3858 Message: 3864
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3865. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 19:21:15 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3858 Message: 3865

Bob,
I tried this. I did subsitute 2007 for 1990 lest we get 130K QSO's
downloaded.

It didn't work.

After a long wait ("waiting for p1k....") LOTW came up with a download
file name window.
Accepted the default.
After that it said it downloaded a 4K file.

The file saved on the PC ended up being 0 bytes long and contained nothing.
I have something over 2.7K QSO's entered into LOTW for 2007.

73 de Brian/K3KO
Bob Daniels wrote:

>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
>
>>I proposed this functionality to the ARRL LOTW people as an
>>
>>
>additional
>
>
>>income generator for LOTW.
>>
>>They said they didn't have the capability, were strapped for
>>
>>
>resources,
>
>
>>and that it would "never" happen.
>>I believe they said to write to your SCM to help get more money
>>allocated for LOTW.
>>
>>I'd be interested if anybody has managed a coup and found a way.
>>
>>73 de Brian/K3KO
>>
>>
>
>Brian
>

>Just a few minutes ago I did download my entire log of QSO's that I
>previously uploaded to LoTW. This is not just the 2600 QSL's this is
>all 14,000 QSO's.
>
>Make sure to copy & Paste the entire URL to the browser - everthing
>between < >.
>
><https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
>login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
> &qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>
>
> Replace each CALLSIGN with your current Call
> Replace Password with your current password.
>
>
>Note: For those of us who have changed calls over the years, (e.g.
>K8KWT -> WD8KWT) the "qso_owncall" statement must be eliminated to
>get the entire file.
>
>Bob K8KWT
>
>
>
>

3866. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 21:37:31 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3858 Message: 3866

At 08:33 AM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
>download your entire log from LoTW.

http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm

Fill in the blanks and it'll build the query that others on the list
have been suggesting.
-Rick

3867. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:05:59 UTC
From: Bob Daniels
Topic: 3858 Message: 3867

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> Bob,
> I tried this. I did subsitute 2007 for 1990 lest we get 130K QSO's
> downloaded.
>
> It didn't work.
>
> After a long wait ("waiting for p1k....") LOTW came up with a
download
> file name window.
> Accepted the default.
> After that it said it downloaded a 4K file.
>
> The file saved on the PC ended up being 0 bytes long and contained
nothing.
> I have something over 2.7K QSO's entered into LOTW for 2007.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
> Bob Daniels wrote:

Brian
Good call on subsituting 2007 for 1900, 130,000 QSO's would take a
long time espeially if you use dial up like I do at home. :)

I just got home and have a few direct e-mails telling me that others
got it to work. So I tried it again here at home. I used a W2K PC
at work and a Win XP system here at home and both worked fine.

I did see a result similar to what you describe when I
left "qso_owncall=CALLSIGN" instead of changing it
to "qso_owncall=K8KWT". The result was a 4K empty .adi file.

If you don't remove the "&qso_owncall=Callsign" statement to
get "all" calls in your account you have to enter the call you want.
(e.g. qso_owncall=k3ko).

<https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?
login=CALLSIGN&password=PASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=CALLSIGN
&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes>

Hope that it is the same problem you are having Brian.

73 good luck

Bob K8KWT

3868. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:18:03 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3858 Message: 3868

Thes script generated by this site worked fine for me..

Don't know what I did wrong in the big long manual script.

Thanks guys.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:

>At 08:33 AM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>
>
>>I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
>>download your entire log from LoTW.
>>
>>
>
>http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
>
>Fill in the blanks and it'll build the query that others on the list
>have been suggesting.
> -Rick
>
>
>
>

3869. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:36:03 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3858 Message: 3869

The ability to download ADIF files containing uploaded QSOs or generated QSLs has been available for a long time. Its described in detail in

http://www.arrl.org/lotw/developer/adifout.html

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

3870. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:28:46 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3858 Message: 3870

nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from before)
didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.

Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.

It's got to be something wrong with my computers.

but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload my qso
without a hitch.

>
> http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
>
> Fill in the blanks and it'll build the query that others on the list
> have been suggesting.
> -Rick
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3858;_ylc=X3oDMTM1NW9tMXNqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODY2BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE
3NjI0MTA3NwR0cGNJZAMzODU4](0)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxanVydGJqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODY2BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3NjI0MTA
3Nw--?act=reply&messageNum=3866]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaGFocGQzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzYyNDEwNzc-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmcTdzZ2NkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzYyNDEwNzc-]
> | Links [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnZ2xmZm9pBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc2MjQxMDc3]
> | Database [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkaG1pbzQ5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNk

3871. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:51:08 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 3858 Message: 3871

Try temporarily disabling your firewall or anti-malware software.
Does that allow the query to work?

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from before)
> didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
>
> Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
>
> It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
>
> but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload
my qso
> without a hitch.
>
>
> >
> > http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
> >
> > Fill in the blanks and it'll build the query that others on the
list
> > have been suggesting.
> > -Rick
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
LOTW/message/3858;_ylc=X3oDMTM1NW9tMXNqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE
2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODY2BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc
3RpbWUDMTE3NjI0MTA3NwR0cGNJZAMzODU4](0)
> > Reply (via web post) [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxanVydGJqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGd
ycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODY2BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDM
TE3NjI0MTA3Nw--?act=reply&messageNum=3866]|
> > Start a new topic [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaGFocGQzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGd
ycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzYyNDEwNzc-]
> > Messages [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-

LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmcTdzZ2NkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY
1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzYyNDEwN
zc-]
> > | Links [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnZ2xmZm9pBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BG
dycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc2MjQxMDc3
]
> > | Database [link:
> > groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkaG1pbzQ5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY
1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNk
>

3872. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-10 22:52:46 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3858 Message: 3872

At 06:28 PM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from before)
>didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
>
>Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
>
>It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
>
>but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload my qso
>without a hitch.

Bypassing everything: pick the LotW "Your QSOs" menu. Off to the left
of the selection box is a "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report".
Blank out the date, click the "Include QSO detail box" then click the
"Download" button. If that doesn't yield a good download file, it may
be time to get in touch with the LotW help folks. It might be your
computer and it might be something else.
-Rick

3873. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 07:45:23 UTC
From: Stephen Emlyn-Jones
Topic: 3858 Message: 3873

I have just run a query using Rick's interface & am glad to confirm that it downloaded the 700 odd QSOs detail I had previously uploaded almost instantaneously.

I did in fact insert my user name & password prior to running the query although I do not see that this should make any difference.

I left all the other fields unaltered.

Steve

GW4BKG

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rick Murphy/K1MU
Sent: 10 April 2007 23:53
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

At 06:28 PM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from before)
>didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
>
>Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
>
>It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
>
>but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload my qso
>without a hitch.

Bypassing everything: pick the LotW "Your QSOs" menu. Off to the left of the selection box is a "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report". Blank out the date, click the "Include QSO detail box" then click the "Download" button. If that doesn't yield a good download file, it may be time to get in touch with the LotW help folks. It might be your computer and it might be something else.
-Rick

3874. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 12:09:21 UTC
From: Dave - G4MUL
Topic: 3858 Message: 3874

It works here !

21,287 QSOs.

Dave
g4mul.hfdx@...

3875. LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 12:59:38 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3875 Message: 3875

This is like preaching to the choir but here goes.

How much is saved? Here are some rough estimates.

Looked through LOTW QSL's here - 5000 unique calls, 20000 QSL's, 150 new band-mode ones.

Assuming all 5000 uniques were US = $2K savings
Assuming all 150 new band-mode QSL's were DX= $400.
Avoided costs by not QSLing 100K QSO's via bureau @ $5/pound = $8K

Not really sure what the bottom line is. It is some weighted sum of the above numbers.
However, it's quite significant-- perhaps the cost of a new rig.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3876. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 13:55:33 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 3875 Message: 3876
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3877. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:01:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3875 Message: 3877

You got that right Bri!
now here's another twist.

I qsl manage for a number of dx calls, most are not active but still hard to get qso/qsls.

Of those, I've seen many LoTW qsls.
So that means THEY saved money, the bureaus had a slightly less load. and I had a slightly less load.

Which allows us qsl manager to provide the service slightly faster.
One of the recent major operations almost immeadately uploaded their logs to LoTW.
The savings had to run to a very princely sum.

And one more twist.
When an operation annouces that they will upload their logs to LoTW, I (my personal system) send in a donation immeadately. Hopefully my meager donations provide some cushion in operating funds.

We must continue to support dxpeditions, but substituting LoTW qsls for expensive/costly to receive hardcard qsls, would mean slightly more funds available for donations.

On 4/11/2007 7:59:38 AM, Brian Alsop (alsopb@...) wrote:
> This is like preaching to the choir but here goes.
>
> How much is saved? Here are some rough estimates.
>
> Looked through LOTW QSL's here - 5000 unique calls, 20000 QSL's, 150 new
> band-mode ones.
>
> Assuming all 5000 uniques were US = $2K savings
> Assuming all 150 new band-mode
> QSL's were DX= $400.
> Avoided costs by not QSLing 100K QSO's via bureau @
> $5/pound = $8K
>
> Not really sure what the bottom line is. It is some weighted sum of the
> above numbers.
> However, it's quite significant-- perhaps the cost of a new rig.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3875;_ylc=X3oDMTM1NmVkOWFyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc

ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODc1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3NjI5ODczMQR0cGNJZAMzODc1](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHI1ZW4xBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODc1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3NjI5ODczMQ--?act=reply&messageNum=3875]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmdDQwcmt2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzYyOTg3MzE-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmZDBwY2V0BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2

3878. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:06:06 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3858 Message: 3878

This appears to only download QSLs.

Gerry VE6LB

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...>
wrote:
>
> At 06:28 PM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
> >nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from
before)
> >didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
> >
> >Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
> >
> >It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
> >
> >but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload
my qso
> >without a hitch.
>
> Bypassing everything: pick the LotW "Your QSOs" menu. Off to the
left
> of the selection box is a "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report".
> Blank out the date, click the "Include QSO detail box" then click
the
> "Download" button. If that doesn't yield a good download file, it
may
> be time to get in touch with the LotW help folks. It might be your
> computer and it might be something else.
> -Rick
>

3879. Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:06:23 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3858 Message: 3879

This appears to only download QSLs.

Gerry VE6LB

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...>
wrote:
>
> At 06:28 PM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
> >nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from
before)
> >didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
> >
> >Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
> >
> >It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
> >
> >but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload
my qso
> >without a hitch.
>
> Bypassing everything: pick the LotW "Your QSOs" menu. Off to the
left
> of the selection box is a "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report".
> Blank out the date, click the "Include QSO detail box" then click
the
> "Download" button. If that doesn't yield a good download file, it
may
> be time to get in touch with the LotW help folks. It might be your
> computer and it might be something else.
> -Rick
>

3880. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:17:50 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3875 Message: 3880

Brian,

Your math is correct from bare-bone numbers, but is not
quite realistic.

1. Fow WAS you need 50, not 5000 or so QSL cards.
50 x 0.45 = $25

2. For 5B WAS maybe 5 times that figure. $125

3. 150 band QSOs is pretty much impressive. Most people
have much less newly matched band QSOs they need.
Anyways, 150 x 2.5 = $370.

So from the pragmatic perspective you need cca $500,
not $2000.

I am not sure that any station will be eager to use
rest of 2000+ US matched calls for any award. Instead,
they will send bureau cards, hoping you will go and
claim them from the local QSL bureau (@ 2$ per Lb).

I am still in view that LoTW is right thing to do.
However, it is addressing the market of 15K people
(currently known as direct users). The number of
matched records is still too small to justify further
development.

There is still 500K+ more people all over the planet
that do not take advantage of LoTW. Attracting those
HAMs to make some use of LoTW is the missing link.

73 Nenad VE3EXY ...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@...m] On
Behalf Of Brian Alsop
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2007 9:00 AM
To: LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

This is like preaching to the choir but here goes.

How much is saved? Here are some rough estimates.

Looked through LOTW QSL's here - 5000 unique calls, 20000 QSL's, 150 new

band-mode ones.

Assuming all 5000 uniques were US = $2K savings
Assuming all 150 new band-mode QSL's were DX= $400.
Avoided costs by not QSLing 100K QSO's via bureau @ $5/pound = $8K

Not really sure what the bottom line is. It is some weighted sum of the

above numbers.
However, it's quite significant-- perhaps the cost of a new rig.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3881. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:23:44 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 3875 Message: 3881

Actually, LoTW for the WAS award uses a flat fee structure of $7.00 for each award.

73 Rich NN3W

---- Nenad Stevanovic <nenad@...> wrote:
>
> Brian,
>
> Your math is correct from bare-bone numbers, but is not
> quite realistic.
>
> 1. Fow WAS you need 50, not 5000 or so QSL cards.
> 50 x 0.45 = $25
>
> 2. For 5B WAS maybe 5 times that figure. $125
>
> 3. 150 band QSOs is pretty much impressive. Most people
> have much less newly matched band QSOs they need.
> Anyways, 150 x 2.5 = $370.
>
> So from the pragmatic perspective you need cca $500,
> not $2000.
>
> I am not sure that any station will be eager to use
> rest of 2000+ US matched calls for any award. Instead,
> they will send bureau cards, hoping you will go and
> claim them from the local QSL bureau (@ 2$ per Lb).
>
> I am still in view that LoTW is right thing to do.
> However, it is addressing the market of 15K people
> (currently known as direct users). The number of
> matched records is still too small to justify further
> development.
>
> There is still 500K+ more people all over the planet
> that do not take advantage of LoTW. Attracting those
> HAMs to make some use of LoTW is the missing link.
>
>
> 73 Nenad VE3EXY ...
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On

> Behalf Of Brian Alsop
> Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2007 9:00 AM
> To: LoTW List
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money
>
>
> This is like preaching to the choir but here goes.
>
> How much is saved? Here are some rough estimates.
>
> Looked through LOTW QSL's here - 5000 unique calls, 20000 QSL's, 150 new
>
> band-mode ones.
>
> Assuming all 5000 uniques were US = $2K savings
> Assuming all 150 new band-mode QSL's were DX= $400.
> Avoided costs by not QSLing 100K QSO's via bureau @ $5/pound = $8K
>
> Not really sure what the bottom line is. It is some weighted sum of the
>
> above numbers.
> However, it's quite significant-- perhaps the cost of a new rig.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
>

3882. cost of qsling for awards

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 14:42:34 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3882 Message: 3882

I really don't chase the award to get the plaque or wallpaper.
But...
Just as a personal goal, I've been trying to work/confirm every entity, on all 3 modes, on all HF bands.

I call it the Don Quioti (Impossible Dream) award.

Just doing it to see how many I die with.

But...if I was to send for every one of those qsls...that would probably cover a pair of the newest rigs....

So LoTW has saved me a lot of time and money.

Do illiterate people get the full effect of alphabet soup?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

For those who suffer from vertigo, don't go look at the webcam towercam
it's windy&snowy here today

3883. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 15:07:19 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 3875 Message: 3883

I have seen very marginal drop in
QSL bureau traffic as the consequence
of 'electronic competition'. People still like
real paper cards as collectable items.

The key differentiator to this trend will be the
contest stations, representing significant source
of QSL traffic (40-50%). Following are the current
assumptions:

a. Contest stations are not interested
in receiving any QSLs at all

b. The only reason they send QSLs is the fear
that DX stations will make them 'blacklisted'
and avoid to work them in any future contest.

c. There are two contest station's QSL patterns:

- Send all cards at once, and never spend any
time checking responses (saves time)

- Wait for bureau request, and respond to
those that in the log (time consuming).

Now the question is:

1. Should they continue with the exisitng status-quo?

2. Should they ransfer all their logs to LoTW, and
stop sending any paper cards at all?

The answer to this trend is in the fact that current
ratio between users/non_users is 15K:500K.

Should this trend continues, option 1. will persist.
Should the ratio become dramatically changed in favour
of LoTW users, option 2 will prevail.

73 Nenad VE3EXY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2007 10:01 AM
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW saves money

You got that right Bri!
now here's another twist.

I qsl manage for a number of dx calls, most are not active but still hard to
get qso/qsls.

Of those, I've seen many LoTW qsls.
So that means THEY saved money, the bureaus had a slightly less load. and I
had a slightly less load.

Which allows us qsl manager to provide the service slightly faster. One of the recent major operations almost immeadately uploaded their logs to

LoTW.
The savings had to run to a very princely sum.

And one more twist.
When an operation annouces that they will upload their logs to LoTW, I (my
personal system) send in a donation immeadately. Hopefully my meager donations provide some cushion in operating funds.

We must continue to support dxpeditions, but substituting LoTW qsls for expensive/costly to receive hardcard qsls, would mean slightly more funds
available for donations.

3884. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 16:46:18 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3858 Message: 3884

You need to add "&qso_qsl=no" to the URL string in order to get all
QSOs.

Bob, N7XY

On Apr 11, 2007, at 7:06 AM, Gerry wrote:

> This appears to only download QSLs.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...>
> wrote:
>>
>> At 06:28 PM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>>> nope, no joy, even the rickmurphy program, (which I had from
> before)
>>> didn't work when I first tried it...won't work now.
>>>
>>> Just an empty file named lotwreport.adi.
>>>
>>> It's got to be something wrong with my computers.
>>>
>>> but...I can download my lotw qsls with no problem andI can upload
> my qso
>>> without a hitch.
>>
>> Bypassing everything: pick the LotW "Your QSOs" menu. Off to the
> left
>> of the selection box is a "QSOs Menu". Click on "Download Report".
>> Blank out the date, click the "Include QSO detail box" then click
> the
>> "Download" button. If that doesn't yield a good download file, it
> may
>> be time to get in touch with the LotW help folks. It might be your
>> computer and it might be something else.
>> -Rick
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>

3885. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-11 23:44:43 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3858 Message: 3885

At 10:06 AM 4/11/2007, Gerry wrote:
>This appears to only download QSLs.

What "This" are you speaking of? My web page's query build will build a
LotW query that will download all of your LotW QSOs unless you select
the "Report on QSL Records Only?" checkbox.
-Rick

3886. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-12 18:13:30 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3858 Message: 3886

Well Rick/et al - I been trying for 2 days to do it
either via your site Rick or the other one given
on this group and all I get is either - one with no
records or ''unable to download from this site'.
I have tried on yours Rick with putting in the
user name and pw - and leaving it out. either
way - nothing. I once started to do it the
looooong way by 25 at a time, but 'life's to
short' - so best just stop trying, at least until
I get my taxes done [which I have yet to start
on!!]. Have a great day - we went from mild
weather to cold/rain today.
73,
JOE W7LPF
Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
MGR: 4L1DA

BTW - Also tried to narrow things by just downloading for specific
Calls - I.E. W7LPF/DU2 - HB9IBA, ETC, but it sits there for awhile
then defaults to the ''unable to download''.

Ciao!
----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: LoTW List
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2007 21:37
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] script to download you log

At 08:33 AM 4/10/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>I remember someone saying there was a url command sequence that would
>download your entire log from LoTW.

http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm

Fill in the blanks and it'll build the query that others on the list
have been suggesting.
-Rick

3887. N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 12:38:25 UTC
From: kyfirefighter705
Topic: 3887 Message: 3887

Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs to LOTW?

John
K4OP

3888. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 12:48:36 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3887 Message: 3888

Hello kyfirefighter705,

k> Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs to LOTW?

I'm afraid none of the previous YT1AD activities logs have ever been
uploaded to LoTW. 3D2CI, K1B, now Swain's.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

3889. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 13:17:45 UTC
From: Jack - K4WSB
Topic: 3887 Message: 3889

I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.

At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs to LOTW?
>
>John
>K4OP

Jack Hartley
K4WSB / VP2MSB
ARRL - QCWA - OOTC
DXCC Honor Roll

3890. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 14:50:51 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3887 Message: 3890

I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a good
while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:

>I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
>
>At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs to LOTW?
> >
> >John
> >K4OP
>

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3891. Re: N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 17:32:04 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3887 Message: 3891

I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
in hand.
jeff

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
<w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
good
> while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
> and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>
> >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
> >
> >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs
to LOTW?
> > >
> > >John
> > >K4OP
> >
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

3892. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 18:03:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3887 Message: 3892

All DXPeds have the right to qsl in whatever way thay wish. Those trips cost big money.
Recently many have started uploading to LoTw approx a year after the operation.

There was a VU Andamans that did upload immeadately. I sent them a donation telling them to use it for their next trip. (got an email thank you reply)

I have begun sending my donations and qsls with a note that the card is not required if they will upload MY qsos to LotW.
Saves them work, saves them expense. Cards are nice, but I can't turn around in my shack now.

It would be a great thing for DXpeds to advertise, almost instant credit without the worry of postal problems.

On 4/16/2007 12:32:04 PM, va3xq (35309@...) wrote:
> I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
> to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
> in hand.
> jeff
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
> <w5uc@...> wrote:
> >
> > I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
> good
> > while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
> > and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >
> > >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
> > >
> > >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> > > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs
> to LOTW?
> > > >
> > > >John
> > > >K4OP
> > >
> >

> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> > http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
> >
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3887;_ylc=X3oDMTM1aTQxbjExBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODkxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3Njc0NDgwMwR0cGNJZAMzODg3](0
)
> Reply (

3893. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 18:12:34 UTC
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
Topic: 3887 Message: 3893

I don't think that is a decision that ARRL can make. I believe those running the DX operation are the only ones who could or should make that decision.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 12:32 PM 4/16/2007, you wrote:

>I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
>to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
>in hand.
>jeff
>
>--- In
><mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com,
>"Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
><w5uc@...> wrote:
> >
> > I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
>good
> > while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
> > and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC
> >
> > At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >
> > >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
> > >
> > >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> > > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs
>to LOTW?
> > > >
> > > >John
> > > >K4OP
> > >
> >
> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> >
> <http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
> >
>
>
>No virus found in this incoming message.

>Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 269.4.0/762 - Release Date:
>4/15/2007 4:22 PM

"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3894. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 18:23:51 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3887 Message: 3894

Their hard work, their time, their risk, their money = their rules.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2007 12:12 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S Logs

I don't think that is a decision that ARRL can make. I believe those running the DX operation are the only ones who could or should make that decision.

73,
Mike, W5UC

At 12:32 PM 4/16/2007, you wrote:

I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
in hand.
jeff

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com , "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
<w5uc@...> wrote:
>
> I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
good
> while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
> and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>
> >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
> >
> >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs
to LOTW?
> > >

> > >John
> > >K4OP
> >
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 269.4.0/762 - Release Date: 4/15/2007 4:22 PM
"age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3895. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 18:54:54 UTC
From: Jack - K4WSB
Topic: 3887 Message: 3895

As you know Jeff that is simply not doable.
To many security levels to answer.

At 01:32 PM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
>to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
>in hand.
>jeff

Jack Hartley
K4WSB / VP2MSB
ARRL - QCWA - OOTC
DXCC Honor Roll

3896. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 18:52:01 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3887 Message: 3896

This brings up an interesting point. My understanding is that some
DXpeditions copyright their logs and/or have agreement with sponsors
that their logs can't be made public or have contents disclosed en mass.

What does one then do with X year old DXpedition logs? Have them
collect dust or be sent to the big bit bucket in the sky?

They are not generating any income.
They have little value unless they are disclosed to researchers.
It makes little sense not to have them sent to LOTW at that point.
(Of course, 10 year old logs from today are probably are still paper and
that is an obstacle)

What is an appropriate value for X?

On the other hand, maybe the paper versions will make it to Antiques
Roadshow in 75 years.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:

> I don't think that is a decision that ARRL can make. I believe those
> running the DX operation are the only ones who could or should make
> that decision.
>
> 73,
> Mike, W5UC
>
> At 12:32 PM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>
>> I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add them
>> to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits info
>> in hand.
>> jeff
>>
>> --- In <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com,
>> "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
>> <w5uc@...> wrote:
>> >
>> > I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
>> good
>> > while to let the donations come in. These operations are expensive
>> > and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
>> >
>> > 73,
>> > Mike, W5UC

>> >
>> > At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>> >
>> > >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
>> > >
>> > >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
>> > > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs
>> to LOTW?
>> > > >
>> > > >John
>> > > >K4OP
>> > >
>> >
>> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
>> >
>> <http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>>
>> >
>>
>>
>> No virus found in this incoming message.
>> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
>> Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 269.4.0/762 - Release Date:
>> 4/15/2007 4:22 PM
>
>
> "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/

3897. Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 23:26:02 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3887 Message: 3897

The main point I was trying to make was that since the ARRL has all
the paperwork from the Dxpediton. Why not automatically set them up
for LOTW and if they want to use it then it is available for them.
Getting DX invloved is the main problem.
jeff

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Brian Alsop <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> This brings up an interesting point. My understanding is that
some
> DXpeditions copyright their logs and/or have agreement with
sponsors
> that their logs can't be made public or have contents disclosed en
mass.
>
> What does one then do with X year old DXpedition logs? Have
them
> collect dust or be sent to the big bit bucket in the sky?
>
> They are not generating any income.
> They have little value unless they are disclosed to researchers.
> It makes little sense not to have them sent to LOTW at that point.
> (Of course, 10 year old logs from today are probably are still
paper and
> that is an obstacle)
>
> What is an appropriate value for X?
>
> On the other hand, maybe the paper versions will make it to
Antiques
> Roadshow in 75 years.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
> Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH) wrote:
>
> > I don't think that is a decision that ARRL can make. I believe
those
> > running the DX operation are the only ones who could or should
make
> > that decision.
> >
> > 73,
> > Mike, W5UC

> >
> > At 12:32 PM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >
> >> I think the ARRL should upon accepting dx for DXCC credit add
them
> >> to LOTW since they have all the liscening and operating permits
info
> >> in hand.
> >> jeff
> >>
> >> --- In <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>ARRL-
LOTW@yahoogroups.com,
> >> "Mike(W5UC) & Kathy(K5MWH)"
> >> <w5uc@> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I agree. A reasonable consideration is that they would wait a
> >> good
> >> > while to let the donations come in. These operations are
expensive
> >> > and they rightly deserve as many donations as possible.
> >> >
> >> > 73,
> >> > Mike, W5UC
> >> >
> >> > At 08:17 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >I would think not.... at least until quite some time goes by.
> >> > >
> >> > >At 08:38 AM 4/16/2007, you wrote:
> >> > > >Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S
logs
> >> to LOTW?
> >> > > >
> >> > > >John
> >> > > >K4OP
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> >> >
> >>
<http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/>http://www.suddenlink.net/page
s/w5uc/
> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> No virus found in this incoming message.
> >> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> >> Version: 7.5.446 / Virus Database: 269.4.0/762 - Release Date:
> >> 4/15/2007 4:22 PM

> >
> >
> > "age & treachery will overcome youth & skill"
> > http://www.suddenlink.net/pages/w5uc/
>

3898. Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-17 13:15:41 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
Topic: 3887 Message: 3898

At 02:52 PM 4/16/2007, Brian Alsop wrote:
>This brings up an interesting point. My understanding is that some
>DXpeditions copyright their logs and/or have agreement with sponsors
>that their logs can't be made public or have contents disclosed en mass.

This may or may not be the thing now BUT I can say that none of my dxpeditons nor any i have worked in the background on have ever had a log copyrighted Please tell us which ones you know have done this ? We do copyright logos names ect . Why copyright logs ? only the qsl managers have the logs. we did sometimes make copies of the logs in case one set got lost getting off one of the islands.

>What does one then do with X year old DXpedition logs? Have them
>collect dust or be sent to the big bit bucket in the sky?

NO except for a few cases i know of logs are kept by someone a qsl manager a team member or someone who might be related to a member who became a SK. i have seen cases where someone would say i am closing logs for this dxpedition But someone would always come forwards and ask for the logs so they could be kept active.In the case of VP8SSI VP8BZL and 3Y0PI when i become a SK my copies of the logs will go to N8UX . Ron Lago AC7DX has copies of our logs as he does all of Jerry and Jonnie's AA6BB/7 and KA6V/7 logs and the ones they were qsl managers for. So 99.% of all the old logs i should think are active somewhere. i have also seen where some logs were sent to the ARRL for safe keeping. i am sure there are cases where logs got trashed along the way by the XYL 's of some SK.

>They are not generating any income.
>They have little value unless they are disclosed to researchers.
>It makes little sense not to have them sent to LOTW at that point.
>(Of course, 10 year old logs from today are probably are still paper and
>that is an obstacle)

That is true they are not generating any income for the group after some period of time. But i still get qsl request and so does AC7DX for some of SSIDXG 's dxpeditons 13 yrs after the trips. I have thought about starting to transfer the logs to computer logging and putting them on lotw BUT we are talking about days and days of work. 58,000 qso's from one call alone. as for the ARRL doing it ? I REALLY do not think they would waste their time converting what logs they do have let alone any new ones they are too busy dumbing down amateur radio to do this. (but that is MHO) No I do not think any logs at ARRL or sent to ARRL will get put into LOTW. they will not spend the money or man power to do it. What you will see it some of the dxpeditions uploading their logs 3 to 5 yrs after the dxpedition as

they need to all the money they can out of the logs to offset the costs .

>What is an appropriate value for X?

X = unknown and i really do not think a value will ever be put on X.
I guess the only true way to solve this problem is to have some hams
form a group whose sole purpose in life is to collect old logs and
convert them to computer data and upload them to lotw. maybe some
club would like to do this But I do not think logs of dxpeditons less
then say 5 to 10 yrs old should be uploaded to lotw . how many hams
would wait a few years to save a few bucks. i know of hams waiting
years to send in for dxcc .

>On the other hand, maybe the paper versions will make it to Antiques
>Roadshow in 75 years.

Well Brian I guess it is like ebay lots of old qsl's showing up for
sell there. and guess what i hear a few have turned up at the dxcc
desk that had been computer copies and other callsigns put in the "TO
RADIO" space . There is no real answer to your questions for the most
part. The only real answer is if a club agreed to take over these old
logs and in a set amount of time agreed to convert them and upload them .
anyone got a better ideal Please let us all know

>73 Tony wa4jqs

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
QCWA # 23602 10X # 3621
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 VBA # 55 AMI # 1274
NCDXF # 1036 RNARS # 1309 SKCC # 1227
DXCC PHONE DXCC RTTY DXCC CW
Lis. 1962 Calls Held
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS
WA4JQS/ZS1 WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/KC4

3899. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-17 15:47:05 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3887 Message: 3899

Tony WA4JQS in part wrote:

"Well Brian I guess it is like eBay lots of old qsl's showing up for sell there. and guess what i hear a few have turned up at the dxcc desk that had been computer copies and other callsigns put in the "TO RADIO" space . There is no real answer to your questions for the most part. The only real answer is if a club agreed to take over these old logs and in a set amount of time agreed to convert them and upload them . anyone got a better ideal Please let us all know

73 Tony wa4jqs"

Tony,

Thanks for taking the time to give us your perspective on this topic regarding old DXpedition logs. I do genealogical research and I believe the radio logs are another important record of historical facts, just like census records, passenger ship records, and other historical records.

There are numerous groups who have tackled the job of transcribing old records into digital format and putting the information online. The Ellis Island project is one highly visible example: http://www.ellisislandrecords.org/ There are many smaller projects as well.

The first step to preserving logbook information is to create a physical collection. I was not aware the ARRL was collecting and holding old logs. If this is true, it should be publicized. I do know the Austrians http://www.qsl.at/ have a very large effort to collect QSL's and other radio memorabilia.

Whether the old logbook information is deemed "valuable enough" will depend upon a future need. "Someone" would need to sell the idea that important propagation data could be extracted if these logs were transcribed. And another "someone" would need to provide funding to make it happen. I think this "need" would have to come from outside the DXCC program where confirming QSO's solves the need of a very narrow slice of society (radio amateurs trying to achieve a certificate or plaque). Radio propagation modeling is my best guess where a need might be found.

And yes, I have seen the QSL's on eBay up for auction and have been surprised by the price they command. What caught my eye was your suggestion that some of these old cards are being "recycled" through the DXCC desk.

I would hope if this were the case the forgery would be revealed, the perpetrator expelled from the DXCC program, and the name & callsign be well published.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

3900. Good News! N8S to be on LOTW!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-17 18:44:02 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3900 Message: 3900

From the ARRL Home page (originally from Daily DX)

The N8S online logs will be updated today, and the DXpedition is expected to upload its log data to Logbook of the World (LoTW). The first N8S QSL cards will be available in about a month. YT1AD will handle cards for N8S.

My suggestion to the N8S team:

If you do upload to LOTW soon, you should open up a Paypal account where I think many of us would be more than willing to send some money in.

3901. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-16 12:53:16 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3887 Message: 3901

Maybe someday.
But it won't be in the near future I'm sure.
(retired IL FF/PM)
On 4/16/2007 7:38:25 AM, kyfirefighter705 (k4op@...) wrote:
> Does anyone know if there are any plans to upload the N8S logs to LOTW?
>
> John
> K4OP
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3887;_ylc=X3oDMTM1aG92MjIwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzODg3BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3NjcyNzMxNgR0cGNJZAMzODg3](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxamhlaTJhBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzODg3BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3NjcyNzMxNg-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3887]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmNDcxbTRqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzY3MjczMTY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmMW4wbnZkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzY3MjczMTY-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnNXNxcnJwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc2NzI3MzE2]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkbHFmYWE1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTc2NzI3MzE2]
> | Polls [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/polls;_ylc=X3oDMTJnOHU2YjB2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMD

3902. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-17 19:05:11 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
Topic: 3887 Message: 3902

> I was not aware the ARRL was collecting and holding old logs. If
> this is true, it should be publicized. I do know the Austrians
> <http://www.qsl.at/>http://www.qsl.at/ have a very large effort to
> collect QSL's and other radio memorabilia.

Hello Bob:
I do not think the ARRL does anything with these at least a few years back they were just putting them in boxes and sending them to the basement. Someone else may have more undated info . it would be nice if they did something with them but i really think they old take them and store them nothing else
ill look into some of the projects you spoke of
73 Tony

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
QCWA # 23602 10X # 3621
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 VBA # 55 AMI # 1274
NCDXF # 1036 RNARS # 1309 SKCC # 1227
DXCC PHONE DXCC RTTY DXCC CW
Lis. 1962 Calls Held
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS
WA4JQS/ZS1 WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/KC4

3903. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-17 21:51:50 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3887 Message: 3903

On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 10:47:05 -0500, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote:

>Tony WA4JQS in part wrote:
>
>"Well Brian I guess it is like eBay lots of old qsl's showing up for
>sell there. and guess what i hear a few have turned up at the dxcc
>desk that had been computer copies and other callsigns put in the "TO
>RADIO" space . There is no real answer to your questions for the most
>part. The only real answer is if a club agreed to take over these old
>logs and in a set amount of time agreed to convert them and upload them .
>anyone got a better ideal Please let us all know
>
>73 Tony wa4jqs"
>
>
>Tony,
>
>Thanks for taking the time to give us your perspective on this topic regarding old DXpedition logs. I do genealogical research and I believe the radio logs are another important record of historical facts, just like census records, passenger ship records, and other historical records.
>
>There are numerous groups who have tackled the job of transcribing old records into digital format and putting the information online. The Ellis Island project is one highly visible example: http://www.ellisislandrecords.org/ There are many smaller projects as well.
>
>The first step to preserving logbook information is to create a physical collection. I was not aware the ARRL was collecting and holding old logs. If this is true, it should be publicized. I do know the Austrians http://www.qsl.at/ have a very large effort to collect QSL's and other radio memorabilia.
>
>Whether the old logbook information is deemed "valuable enough" will depend upon a future need. "Someone" would need to sell the idea that important propagation data could be extracted if these logs were transcribed. And another "someone" would need to provide funding to make it happen. I think this "need" would have to come from outside the DXCC program where confirming QSO's solves the need of a very narrow slice of society (radio amateurs trying to achieve a certificate or plaque). Radio propagation modeling is my best guess where a need might be found.
>
>And yes, I have seen the QSL's on eBay up for auction and have been surprised by the price they command. What caught my eye was your suggestion that some of these old cards are being "recycled" through the DXCC desk.
>

>I would hope if this were the case the forgery would be revealed, the perpetrator expelled from the DXCC program, and the name & callsign be well published.
>
>73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

The QSL Managers Society also request old logs, primarily so that they are never destroyed and never closed. Bob - N2OO is the 'main man'.

73 Graham M5AAV

3904. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 00:21:09 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 3887 Message: 3904
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3905. State QSO Party List ???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 02:34:06 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3905 Message: 3905

Hi:
Does any know of a source of a comprehensive list of State QSO Parties ???

I suppose I could start one by looking up each of the states and making a list,
but why re-invent the wheel ???

I look at the State QSO Parties as a grate source of filling in those missing
states and counties.

TNX es 73
Bob, K1VU

3906. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 02:54:16 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 3858 Message: 3906

Well Rick, I finally got something, using your program.
I decided to sort of do it piece meal, seeing it would
be a lot of QSO's - and just got back my complete
upload for W7LPF/DU2 operation 1978-80 [26,762
qso's]. Now to get the rest and then will have them
all saved on CD's. Tks.
73,
Joe W7LPF

BTW - Still getting 'hits' on /DU2 log - in fact today
checked and some from 79!!

Now maybe when I get them all on disc I can empty
my one file cabinet and get rid of the paper logs.

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2007 23:44
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: script to download you log

At 10:06 AM 4/11/2007, Gerry wrote:
>This appears to only download QSLs.

What "This" are you speaking of? My web page's query build will build a
LotW query that will download all of your LotW QSOs unless you select
the "Report on QSL Records Only?" checkbox.
-Rick

3907. BS7H- Scarborough Reef

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 13:44:04 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3907 Message: 3907

I see that BS7H shows up on my cluster software as an LOTW
participant.
jeff

3908. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 15:01:51 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3887 Message: 3908

Well there you go... I haven't even finished my first cup of coffee and I've already learned something new today! I had never heard of the QSL Managers Society, let alone their request for log books.

A quick Google search and I came up with the webpage: http://www.qsl.net/qslmanagers/ which was created by Bob Schenck, N2OO.

Thanks for the lead Graham!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Graham Ridgeway
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2007 4:51 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 10:47:05 -0500, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote:

>Tony WA4JQS in part wrote:
>
>"Well Brian I guess it is like eBay lots of old qsl's showing up for
>sell there. and guess what i hear a few have turned up at the dxcc
>desk that had been computer copies and other callsigns put in the "TO
>RADIO" space . There is no real answer to your questions for the most
>part. The only real answer is if a club agreed to take over these old
>logs and in a set amount of time agreed to convert them and upload them .
>anyone got a better ideal Please let us all know
>
>73 Tony wa4jqs"
>
>
>Tony,
>
>Thanks for taking the time to give us your perspective on this topic regarding old DXpedition logs. I do genealogical research and I believe the radio logs are another important record of historical facts, just like census records, passenger ship records, and other historical records.
>
>There are numerous groups who have tackled the job of transcribing old records into digital format and putting the information online. The Ellis Island project is one highly visible example: http://www.ellisislandrecords.org/ There are many smaller projects as well.
>
>The first step to preserving logbook information is to create a physical collection. I was

not aware the ARRL was collecting and holding old logs. If this is true, it should be publicized. I do know the Austrians http://www.qsl.at/ have a very large effort to collect QSL's and other radio memorabilia.
>
>Whether the old logbook information is deemed "valuable enough" will depend upon a future need. "Someone" would need to sell the idea that important propagation data could be extracted if these logs were transcribed. And another "someone" would need to provide funding to make it happen. I think this "need" would have to come from outside the DXCC program where confirming QSO's solves the need of a very narrow slice of society (radio amateurs trying to achieve a certificate or plaque). Radio propagation modeling is my best guess where a need might be found.
>
>And yes, I have seen the QSL's on eBay up for auction and have been surprised by the price they command. What caught my eye was your suggestion that some of these old cards are being "recycled" through the DXCC desk.
>
>I would hope if this were the case the forgery would be revealed, the perpetrator expelled from the DXCC program, and the name & callsign be well published.
>
>73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

The QSL Managers Society also request old logs, primarily so that they
are never destroyed and never closed. Bob - N2OO is the 'main man'.

73 Graham M5AAV

3909. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 17:33:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3887 Message: 3909

I'm a member of the Qsl Managers Society, who transcribed thousands of log pages that would have gone to the dump.

I cheers me up every time I see one of the LoTW qsls for these logs.
I always wonder what the recepient says when he see these qsos for some interesting DX stations appear amoung his 'recent qsls'.

On 4/18/2007 10:01:51 AM, Robert Chudek (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Well there you go... I
> haven't even finished my first cup of coffee and I've already learned
> something new today! I had never heard of the QSL Managers Society, let
> alone their request for log books.
>
> A quick Google search and I came up with the webpage: http://www.qsl.
> net/qslmanagers/ which was created by Bob Schenck, N2OO.
>
> Thanks for the lead Graham!
>
> 73 de Bob - K?RC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Graham Ridgeway [link: mailto:m5aav@...]
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2007 4:51 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs
>
>
>
> On Tue, 17 Apr 2007 10:47:05 -0500, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...
> [link: mailto:k0rc@...]>
> wrote:
>
> >Tony WA4JQS in part wrote:
> >
> >"Well Brian I guess it is like eBay lots of old qsl's showing up for
> >sell there. and guess what i hear a few have turned up at the dxcc
> >desk that had been computer copies and other callsigns put in the "TO
>
> >RADIO" space . There is no real answer to your questions for the most
> >part. The only real answer is if a club agreed to take over these old
> >logs and in a s

3910. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 17:33:56 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3887 Message: 3910

On Wed, 18 Apr 2007 10:01:51 -0500, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...>
wrote:

>Well there you go... I haven't even finished my first cup of coffee and I've already learned something new today! I had never heard of the QSL Managers Society, let alone their request for log books.
>
>A quick Google search and I came up with the webpage: http://www.qsl.net/qslmanagers/ which was created by Bob Schenck, N2OO.
>
>Thanks for the lead Graham!
>
>73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>

Well.......there you go.

One aim, as I said is to preserve logs for 'all time'. For some of the hard to get - old time - confirmations, Bob may know if any of the members are looking after the logs you need.

73 Graham M5AAV

3911. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: DXpedition Logs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 19:08:38 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 3887 Message: 3911

--- Graham Ridgeway <m5aav@...> wrote:

> On Wed, 18 Apr 2007 10:01:51 -0500, "Robert Chudek"
> <k0rc@...>
> wrote:
>
> >Well there you go... I haven't even finished my
> first cup of coffee and I've already learned
> something new today! I had never heard of the QSL
> Managers Society, let alone their request for log
> books.
> >
> >A quick Google search and I came up with the
> webpage: http://www.qsl.net/qslmanagers/ which was
> created by Bob Schenck, N2OO.
> >
> >Thanks for the lead Graham!
> >
> >73 de Bob - K?RC in MN
> >
>
> Well.......there you go.
>
> One aim, as I said is to preserve logs for 'all
> time'. For some of
> the hard to get - old time - confirmations, Bob may
> know if any of the
> members are looking after the logs you need.
>
>
> 73 Graham M5AAV

Keep those old logs. I just recently recieved a
request for a replacement by someone who got burned
out or somthing ... I was able to verify only because
I had the QSL Cards from my VQ9RS op in 1980 in a box.
I thought I had the log but i Cannot find it
anywhere.

I dont know if Lyle Meek still has the copies or not

Scotty W7PSK.

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around

http://mail.yahoo.com

3912. For some Reason...I can't upload

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 21:37:51 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3912 Message: 3912

Something is wrong and I can not upload these two qsos to LoTW.

04-15-2007 04:41:17 N8S 599 599 30 RTTY 10140.0004-15-2007 12:07:38 N8S 59 59 40 LSBI created an .adi file just like I did for all my qso and all the previous qsos with N8SYes of course I 'signed' them which created the correct and normal tq8 file just as I did for all my other qsos and all other previous qsos with N8S.I've tried to upload it using the LoTW upload module and keep getting this error message and the qso do not show up in my 'Previous Qsos' list.Date/Time: 2007-04-18 20:59:47 User: w9ol File: C:\Documents and Settings\Bill\My Documents\0 W9OL\W9OL.tq8 Messages:
2007-04-18 20:59:47 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: msg-21590-1.tq8
2007-04-18 20:59:47 LOTW_QSO: User file: C:\Documents and Settings\Bill\My Documents\0 W9OL\W9OL.tq8
2007-04-18 20:59:47 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for W9OL - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
2007-04-18 20:59:56 LOTW_QSO: Processing ABORTED: Log file was previously processed with this result:
==========
2007-04-14 15:22:58 LOTW_QSO: Processing file: msg-23321-1.tq8
2007-04-14 15:22:58 LOTW_QSO: User file: C:\Documents and Settings\Bill\My Documents\0 W9OL\W9OL.tq8
2007-04-14 15:22:59 LOTW_QSO: Certificate found for W9OL - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
2007-04-14 15:23:00 LOTW_QSO: Successfully processed 5 QSO records in 2.711341 seconds
2007-04-14 15:23:00 LOTW_QSO: No errors encountered
==========I can see nothing wrong with the .adi file and it shows the two qsos that I'm trying to sign/create into a .tq8 file.Note that the error message says 5 qso records processed.Anybody have any ideas?

My wife dresses to kill. She cooks the same way.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3913. Don't know why but they were finally accepted

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-18 22:09:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3913 Message: 3913

I repeated the process for the 'umpteenth' time and this time LoTW accepted
the two qsos I was trying to upload.

not sure why finally they were ok. but who all is well again.

Learn from your parents mistakes - use birth control!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3914. C21SX via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 02:23:59 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3914 Message: 3914

Received C21SX via LoTW today.
including a 80 cw.
thank you.

We're not surrounded, we're in a target-rich environment!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3915. Re: C21SX via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 03:21:21 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3914 Message: 3915

Been a great week here too: C21SX, NH6D/KH4, NH6D/KH3, S79CRC and XU7ACB.

Brings mixed mode total up to 276 current entities via LOTW.

73 de Brian/K3KO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Received C21SX via LoTW today.
> including a 80 cw.
> thank you.
>
>
> ----------
> We're not surrounded, we're in a target-rich environment!
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

3916. Re: C21SX via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 19:54:43 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3914 Message: 3916

I have a suggestion for the ARRL DX Bulletin:
Add a couple of lines to the bulletin once a month like this:

QSLs Received Via LOTW This Month:
XF4DL, VP2E, C21SX, NH6D/KH4 (list the calls)

Obviously, it would depend on us to send them in (or post them
here).

Tim K6GEP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> Been a great week here too: C21SX, NH6D/KH4, NH6D/KH3, S79CRC and
XU7ACB.
>
> Brings mixed mode total up to 276 current entities via LOTW.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@> wrote:
> >
> > Received C21SX via LoTW today.
> > including a 80 cw.
> > thank you.
> >
> >
> > ----------
> > We're not surrounded, we're in a target-rich environment!
> > ----------
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
>

3917. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: C21SX via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 21:52:24 UTC
From: Marshall Thigpen, CPA
Topic: 3914 Message: 3917

This is a WONDERFUL idea!
Marshall, W4IS

----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2007 3:54 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: C21SX via LoTW

I have a suggestion for the ARRL DX Bulletin:
Add a couple of lines to the bulletin once a month like this:

QSLs Received Via LOTW This Month:
XF4DL, VP2E, C21SX, NH6D/KH4 (list the calls)

Obviously, it would depend on us to send them in (or post them
here).

Tim K6GEP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> Been a great week here too: C21SX, NH6D/KH4, NH6D/KH3, S79CRC and
XU7ACB.
>
> Brings mixed mode total up to 276 current entities via LOTW.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@> wrote:
> >
> > Received C21SX via LoTW today.
> > including a 80 cw.
> > thank you.
> >
> >
> > ----------
> > We're not surrounded, we're in a target-rich environment!
> > ----------
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
>

3918. CQ's WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 22:30:32 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3918 Message: 3918

I am pleased to announce that my WAZ application using LOTW completed today.
I received certificate #8448 in the mail.

Ford-N0FP

3919. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ's WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-19 23:01:10 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 3918 Message: 3919

Thats a new one on me....WAZ now accepts LoTW credits for purposes of the award???

73 Rich NN3W

---- Ford Peterson <ford@...> wrote:
> I am pleased to announce that my WAZ application using LOTW completed today.
> I received certificate #8448 in the mail.
>
> Ford-N0FP
>

3920. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ's WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-20 01:25:23 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3918 Message: 3920

Thats a new one on me....WAZ now accepts LoTW credits for purposes of the award???

73 Rich NN3W
****

Rich,

It's pretty simple really. What they accepted was the QSL information screen printed from LOTW HTML reports. What CQ wanted was 40 confirmations, one for each zone. I could show him 37 of the 40 zones using LOTW QSL screen prints. The last three zones were actual QSL cards. In the case of one of the zones, the QSL had to indicate what zone they were in as some DXCC entities are in more than one zone. In my case, some call sign prefixes are in more than once zone. And the LOTW QSL screens did not indicate the zone so I had to rely on an actual paper QSL card to get those confirmed.

The WAZ certificate arrived the other day. Total cost? $12 plus some postage for the return of the 3 QSL cards. I did this somewhat as a challenge from my ARRL Director. I was explaining that the process was able to circumvent standard procedures and eliminated the need for me to purchase credits from the ARRL. I did it to prove a point. That point being that by NOT offering the other awards, people were able to use the LOTW infrastructure to secure their awards without providing one nickle of contribution to ARRL overhead. I must further suppose that the same is happening with county hunters, and other awards too. In the process, precious revenues are lost forever.

Ford-N0FP

3921. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] CQ's WAZ via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-20 01:42:20 UTC
From: Ford Peterson
Topic: 3918 Message: 3921

I previously wrote:

>...That point being that by NOT offering the other
> awards, people were able to use the LOTW infrastructure
> to secure their awards without providing one nickle of
> contribution to ARRL overhead.
> ...
> Ford-N0FP

I speculate that the whole process of getting other award sponsors to participate is bogged down in needless negotiations. What LOTW needs is a database processor for zones, counties, etc. When somebody wants to apply for one of those awards, they plug in the ARRL's $XX.XX and out comes a report with the appropriate information. In this case, LOTW is acting as a QSL manager that says...

****
"LOTW attests to the receipt of confirming log information for the following N0FP QSO: Date, Time, Band, Mode, Callsign, Report, DXCC Entity, CQ Zone 1,
QSO: Date, Time, Band, Mode, Callsign, Report, DXCC Entity, CQ Zone 2,
....
QSO: Date, Time, Band, Mode, Callsign, Report, DXCC Entity, CQ Zone 40,"
****

If you pay for the above report, embossed with the ARRL logo (which costs you the $XX.XX), you send the report into the award sponsor for credit.

In the case of most awards, the award sponsor often allows 'field checking' of logs and QSLs. LOTW simply acts as a field checker that also provides a very nifty database output screen that happens to eliminate several man-days of manual effort and costly QSL cards. Viola!

Ford-N0FP

3922. YO3APJ logs uploaded

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-20 11:49:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3606 Message: 3922

The most recent YO3APJ contest logs uploaded to LoTW.

Why is abbreviation such a long word?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3923. State QSO Party List ??? TNX to All

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-20 15:37:32 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3905 Message: 3923

Thanks to all who replied on my question on "State QSO Parties" !!!

I found a lot of interesting resources from those who replied.

I'm in the process of sorting though all the Web Sites you supplied.

However, I FIRST updated my "Personal" Contest Calender for State QSO Parties
for the next few weekends.

Better to work what you can NOW and sort the rest out latter !!!

Alaska QSO Party, don't I wish it :-) !!!

TNX es 73

Bob, K1VU

At 22:34 4/17/2007, R Johnson wrote:

>Hi:
>Does any know of a source of a comprehensive list of State QSO Parties ???
>
>I suppose I could start one by looking up each of the states and making a list,
>but why re-invent the wheel ???
>
>I look at the State QSO Parties as a grate source of filling in those missing
>states and counties.
>
>TNX es 73
>Bob, K1VU

3924. received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-24 10:57:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3022 Message: 3924

3DA0ODX (MULTIPLE BAND MODES)
V51/DL5XL (MULTIPLE BAND MODES)

and Multiple new Prefixes.

Do jellyfish get gas from eating jellybeans?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3925. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-24 13:19:21 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3022 Message: 3925
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3926. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-24 15:09:20 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3022 Message: 3926

I also got both of those, plus T20JC from 1993.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: QSL List;LoTW List
Sent: 4/24/2007 11:03:26 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] received today via LoTW

3DA0ODX (MULTIPLE BAND MODES)
V51/DL5XL (MULTIPLE BAND MODES)

and Multiple new Prefixes.

Do jellyfish get gas from eating jellybeans?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3927. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-24 15:18:57 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3022 Message: 3927

Hello Prasad,

P> I received 3DA0CA multiple band/modes from 1994 & 1998 on LOTW today :)

HV0A have just uploaded their latest logs too.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

3928. Re:Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-25 18:50:00 UTC
From: Jim Hamann
Topic: 3022 Message: 3928
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Received 4O3ZZ, Montenegro, yesterday on LOTW for a new one.
Jim
K2CBM

3929. 3DA0GI tog is up on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-04-25 19:42:33 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 3929 Message: 3929

I don't know if I mentioned it guys but Ive already uploaded my log to
LOTW for my trip to Swaziland with the IRTS Afri75 team
I was at the IRTS AGM in the Company of the team which included Peter
EI7CC/3DA0PB who's Log has also been uploaded
Cheers
Pete GI4VIV/3DA0GI

3930. received today via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-05 00:49:48 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3022 Message: 3930

```
6W7RV 2006-12-27 20:10:37 20M PSK31 14.07200 SENEGAL

------------------------------------------------------
My head is sore, and there's a hole in the brick wall!
------------------------------------------------------

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
```

3931. Mobile Awadrs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-06 00:52:44 UTC
From: w8emt
Topic: 3931 Message: 3931

Hi Everyone on the List server;

I'm statrting to run mobile and was woundering if I need to set up a seaperate Account for these QSO's

73 & Tnx's in Advance
Mike (W8EMT)

3932. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Awadrs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-06 12:00:04 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3931 Message: 3932

w8emt wrote:

>Hi Everyone on the List server;
>
>I'm statrting to run mobile and was woundering if I need to set up a
>seaperate Account for these QSO's
>
>73 & Tnx's in Advance
>Mike (W8EMT)
>
>
>
>
>

If you were in different counties, one account per county would help county hunters.
If that is too difficult to implement (like who knows the names of all the counties one passed through on an extended car trip and what county one was in for particular QSO's!), one per state would be nice.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3933. mobile does NOT require separate accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-06 12:25:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3933 Message: 3933

County hunters do not require separate 'Accounts'

But if your travelling and making contacts, just note in your log,
entry/exit times from each county.

They when you go to upload the logs.

separate each section of the log.

For example, I would "Sign" each section of the log

Cook County section
Lake County section
etc.
In the signing process you click on the Station Menu Item and choose Edit
Location.
The first page of the Edit Location has your callsig, Grid Square, Zones,
and IOTA.
Click 'Next>'
And you will see that there are choices for State and County.

Make the changes to reflect the location for that section of log.

It's a bit cumbersome to do this for each county you made qso's in.
but the County Hunters will love you for it.

I can do this quite easily now that I have the system down.

BTW, it would be great if everyone lobied the LoTW for a easier, more
intuitive 'Signing Process'.
Many people don't even know that second page on the Edit locations even
exists.

If Barbie is so popular, why do you have to buy her friends?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3934. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Awadrs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-06 22:27:49 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3931 Message: 3934

No, keep the same account. Just sign the QSO's with the appropriate county.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: w8emt
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/6/2007 12:52:51 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Mobile Awadrs

Hi Everyone on the List server;

I'm statrting to run mobile and was woundering if I need to set up a
seaperate Account for these QSO's

73 & Tnx's in Advance
Mike (W8EMT)

3935. Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-07 09:27:57 UTC
From: iz5ekv
Topic: 3935 Message: 3935

Dear Guys,
i made now è new signed file for upload my last qso on LOTW,but TQSL
have fatal error because i have in the log same qso on JT6M Mode.
Any solution for this problem?
Tnx in advance at all and good dx!!

73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio CIAO!

3936. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-07 09:42:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3935 Message: 3936

In the signing module
Go to Preferences

Select ADIF modes
Put JT6M in the ADIF MODE field
and then scroll down and select JT65
click on OK

Now resign and that error message should be gone.

On 5/7/2007 4:27:57 AM, iz5ekv (iz5ekv@...) wrote:
> Dear Guys,
> i made now ? new signed file for upload my last qso on LOTW,but TQSL
> have fatal error because i have in the log same qso on JT6M Mode.
> Any solution for this problem?
> Tnx in advance at all and good dx!!
>
> 73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio CIAO!
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3935;_ylc=X3oDMTM1cnNscmJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTM1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3ODUzMDQwMgR0cGNJZAMzOTM1](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxcG1yMzlyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTM1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3ODUzMDQwMg-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3935]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmNmZvcmppBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzg1MzA0MDI-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmanFnZjk3BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzg1MzA0MDI-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnazdvMzE5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc4NTMwNDAy]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkY3V1OGRoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycEl

3937. R: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-07 10:18:00 UTC
From: Virginio Biagi IZ5EKV
Topic: 3935 Message: 3937

Many thanks for the fast replay!!

73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio.CIAO!
Pse Visit:
www.iz5ekv.135.it

-----Messaggio originale-----
Da: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] Per conto
di FireBrick
Inviato: lunedì 7 maggio 2007 11.42
A: LoTW List
Oggetto: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

In the signing module
Go to Preferences

Select ADIF modes
Put JT6M in the ADIF MODE field
and then scroll down and select JT65
click on OK

Now resign and that error message should be gone.

On 5/7/2007 4:27:57 AM, iz5ekv (iz5ekv@...) wrote:
> Dear Guys,
> i made now è new signed file for upload my last qso on LOTW,but TQSL
> have fatal error because i have in the log same qso on JT6M Mode.
> Any solution for this problem?
> Tnx in advance at all and good dx!!
>
> 73 de IZ5EKV Op.Virginio CIAO!
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>
LOTW/message/3935;_ylc=X3oDMTM1cnNscmJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1B
GdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTM1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE3O
DUzMDQwMgR0cGNJZAMzOTM1](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxcG1yMzlyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwS
WQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTM1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3ODUzMDQwM
g-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3935]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
>

LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmNmZvcmppBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwS
WQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzg1MzA0MDI-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>
LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmanFnZjk3BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdyc
HNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzg1MzA0MDI-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>
LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnazdvMzE5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNw
SWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc4NTMwNDAy]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkY3V1OGRoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycEl

Yahoo! Groups Links

3938. U.S. International Postage Rates Going Up

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-08 15:23:21 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3938 Message: 3938

If any of you use WF5E's Outgoing QSL Service, please
take note of this announcement

New rates effective May 14th, 2007 due to Massive U.S International
Mail rate increases. No more surface or economy rates available any more.

2 CARDS FOR $1.00 OR 1 IRC

I really like WF5E's service, and even at 50 cents per card, it
is still a good deal.

Looks like this price increase will impact the ARRL Outgoing QSL
Bureau with higher expenses.

My point is this: LOTW will become a better and better deal over
time as mail rates continue to increase.

Tim K6GEP

3939. LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-11 21:36:55 UTC
From: ei7cc
Topic: 3939 Message: 3939

Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in October

3940. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 15:55:20 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 3939 Message: 3940

I really like this !! I would hope that future versions would include digital modes, or maybe a seperate lotw contest
just for digital modes.
Do you have any prices for having the plaques made ? depending on cost myself or others as indivivuals as well
as clubs might be able to help support this !
Don
AD7LL

----- Original Message -----
From: ei7cc
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 11, 2007 3:36 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in
October

3941. Increased return

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 19:16:00 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 3941 Message: 3941

I have seen a big return recently on LOTW for DX QSOs. I have uploaded
5000 QSOs with 557 QSLs posted on LOTW.
Jim, K2CBM

3942. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 19:44:10 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3939 Message: 3942

Also WARC bands. The return for WARC bands here is low.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Don wrote:

>I really like this !! I would hope that future versions would include digital modes, or maybe a seperate lotw contest
>just for digital modes.
> Do you have any prices for having the plaques made ? depending on cost myself or others as indivivuals as well
>as clubs might be able to help support this !
>Don
>AD7LL
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: ei7cc
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 11, 2007 3:36 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest
>
>
> Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in
> October
>
>
>
>
>
>

3943. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 19:53:02 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3939 Message: 3943

The WARC bands have been declared contest-free by all major contest sponsors. Let's keep it that way.

I'm an avid contester, but keeping those bands as non-contest reserves makes sense to me.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/13/2007 7:44:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Also WARC bands. The return for WARC bands here is low.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Don wrote:

>I really like this !! I would hope that future versions would include digital modes, or maybe a seperate lotw contest
>just for digital modes.
> Do you have any prices for having the plaques made ? depending on cost myself or others as indivivuals as well
>as clubs might be able to help support this !
>Don
>AD7LL
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: ei7cc
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 11, 2007 3:36 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest
>
>
> Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in
> October
>
>
>
>
>
>

3944. YW0DX - AVES Island

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 19:56:26 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3939 Message: 3944

Just received LOTW confirmation for 3 bands and 2 modes from YW0DX.
73,
Ken W7TS

3945. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-13 20:35:31 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3939 Message: 3945

Me too. The WARC bands are one place where non-contesters can escape from the hyper activity during a contest weekend.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 13, 2007 2:53 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

The WARC bands have been declared contest-free by all major contest sponsors. Let's keep it that way.

I'm an avid contester, but keeping those bands as non-contest reserves makes sense to me.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/13/2007 7:44:18 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest

Also WARC bands. The return for WARC bands here is low.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Don wrote:

>I really like this !! I would hope that future versions would include digital modes, or maybe a seperate lotw contest
>just for digital modes.
> Do you have any prices for having the plaques made ? depending on cost myself or others as indivivuals as well
>as clubs might be able to help support this !
>Don
>AD7LL
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: ei7cc
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 11, 2007 3:36 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Contest
>

>
> Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in
> October
>
>
>
>
>
>

3946. Re: LoTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-15 22:59:57 UTC
From: John Graf
Topic: 3939 Message: 3946

Great idea. It would be nice to have a QRP category as well.

73,

John, WA6L

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "ei7cc" <ei7cc@...> wrote:
>
> Have a look at <www.lotwcontest.com> for details of LoTW Contest in
> October
>

3947. FW Finally!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-18 04:26:22 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3947 Message: 3947

For several years I've been chasing an FW (Wallis and Futuna) card through every known manager and direct, without avail.

Three days ago it showed up on LoTW!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

3948. WAS Award Status Function

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-19 13:06:56 UTC
From: Peter Nystrom
Topic: 3947 Message: 3948

I have noticed an oddity in the WAS Award Status function. When I get a new QSL that would apply toward my WAS Award it does not show it as apart of the account status (at least automatically). The award status show the old numbers.

My WAS account is set up to select QSL's via a Grid Square rule. However, it never selects them automatically.

Normally, to get them to show up in account status, I do the following: select edit rule, select change (without changing any thing), select Save Account settings. Then, the new QSLs show up in the Award Status.

It works just fine, otherwise....just thought that was odd.

Is that normal? Maybe I am not waiting long enough for the database to run automatically, and I am forcing it to update by "changing" my account settings. Not sure.

Just thought I would mention my experience. Almost half way to 50...guess I need to get back on the radio.
-- Peter (KB5HPL)

http://www.peterandamy.org/

3949. LoTW gone AWOL

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-20 20:10:46 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3949 Message: 3949

Well, I know that Sundays they do maintainence, but to me the website is missing, and a logfile sent by e-mail has failed to be delivered.

All good fun.

73 Graham M5AAV

3950. China LoTW confirmation received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-21 22:57:22 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 3950 Message: 3950

I uploaded my April 2007 QSO's to LoTW last week. Today I checked my "Most recent QSLs". It was a nice surprise to see a confirmation from Vange Yang - BD7KLO for our 20m RTTY contact! This is my first China confirmation via LoTW!

I do have a hard-card QSL from China. Using the postal route took 17 months to exchange cards with BY1QH at Tsinghua University.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

3951. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] China LoTW confirmation received

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-21 23:56:01 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3950 Message: 3951

On May 21, 2007, at 3:57 PM, Robert Chudek - K0RC wrote:

> I uploaded my April 2007 QSO's to LoTW last week. Today I checked
> my "Most recent QSLs". It was a nice surprise to see a confirmation
> from Vange Yang - BD7KLO for our 20m RTTY contact! This is my first
> China confirmation via LoTW!
>
> I do have a hard-card QSL from China. Using the postal route took
> 17 months to exchange cards with BY1QH at Tsinghua University.
>

HB9ZXA's site shows 33 callsigns participating from China (some are visitors). I have received a couple of LOTW confirmations (BD4XA and BA4RF), also BQ9P from Pratas.

73, Bob N7XY

3952. Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 13:12:32 UTC
From: Frank Davis
Topic: 3952 Message: 3952

Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??

73 Frank VO1HP

3953. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 13:22:08 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3952 Message: 3953

Working normally here. No problem seeing the 'YOUR QSO'S' menu item.
Sorry I can't help.

On 5/22/2007 8:12:32 AM, Frank Davis (fdavis@...) wrote:
> Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When
> logon is complete and I click on YOUR
> QSO's the normal area to click
> for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is
> no way to see the list of
> QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??
>
> 73 Frank VO1HP
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/message/3952;_ylc=X3oDMTM1YmhqM2hzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdyc
ElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTUyBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE
3OTgzOTYzOQR0cGNJZAMzOTUy](1)
> Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxOWhscDluBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTUyBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE3OTgzOTY
zOQ--?act=reply&messageNum=3952]|
> Start a new topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmZ2hhM2c4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExM
TE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExNzk4Mzk2Mzk-]
> Messages [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaWxwZjUwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExNzk4Mzk2Mzk-]
> | Links [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnaTZxYW50BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzEx
MTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTc5ODM5NjM5]
> | Database [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkdXI3OGZjBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkA
zExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTc5ODM5

3954. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 13:19:05 UTC
From: Peter Ball
Topic: 3952 Message: 3954

Hello Frank

Everything seems to be back to normal here. I can click on the RECENT QSLs as usual.

73 Pete EI7CC

----- Original Message -----
From: Frank Davis
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 2:12 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When
logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click
for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of
QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??

73 Frank VO1HP

--
I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.
It has removed 18634 spam emails to date.
Paying users do not have this message in their emails.
Get the free SPAMfighter here: http://www.spamfighter.com/len

3955. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 13:19:50 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 3952 Message: 3955

Working here!

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Frank Davis
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 9:13 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??

73 Frank VO1HP

Yahoo! Groups Links

3956. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 14:02:41 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3952 Message: 3956

All fine here. Though I can recall something like this in the early age of LoTW. Then the problem was cured by upgrading of an Internet browser... Right now I run both IE7 and Mozilla Firefox. In the both of them everything runs just fine.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

> Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When
> logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click
> for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of
> QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??
>
> 73 Frank VO1HP
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3957. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 13:15:44 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3952 Message: 3957

Fine here.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Frank Davis
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 7:12 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??

73 Frank VO1HP

3958. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Something wrong with LoTW web page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 17:42:37 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 3952 Message: 3958

On Tue, 22 May 2007 13:12:32 -0000, "Frank Davis" <fdavis@...>
wrote:

>Finally able to log on after days of no access for soem reason. When
>logon is complete and I click on YOUR QSO's the normal area to click
>for RECENT QSL's is missing....there is no way to see the list of
>QSL's. Is anyone else seeing this problem??
>
>73 Frank VO1HP

That is the last part to load on the page..........give it time
<smile>

PS - I wish CQ0ODX would NOT keep reloading their complete logs - must
be at least 5 times now I have 'found' them at the top of the lists -
the latest upload was today !!!

73 Graham M5AAV

3959. Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-22 22:51:52 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3959 Message: 3959

I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there any way to fix those?
Thanks,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3960. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 02:19:07 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 3959 Message: 3960

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for a LoTW QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@z0n6x8.tmlp.com>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for the QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ Zone, Grid, County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will EVER get credit from
LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you change QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for each location you
used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>-------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@comcast.net
>-----------------

>
>

3961. SRE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 03:21:39 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3959 Message: 3961

Hi Bob,
I only messed 30 QSOs.
I did basically what you said. When I discovered it today (about two weeks later) I resigned those thirty QSOs with the correct location and resubmitted them.
However LOTW accepted and changed only those I did not yet have LOTW QSLs for. The half a dozen or so that had already been QSLed rejected and would not allow the location to be changed. That is what I am trying to see if I can fix. Is there any way to change the confirmed ones?.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 20:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for a LoTW QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@...>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for the QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ Zone, Grid, County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will EVER get credit from LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you change QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for each location you used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>--------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@...
>------------------
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3962. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 05:44:39 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3959 Message: 3962

Ken,

You will probably need to talk to the LoTW Desk about this situation. As you know, there is no "delete" feature in LoTW, only the "update", which you have already tried.

When you compare your situation to a printed QSL card, it's pretty much the same deal. Once you put it in the mail and it's delivered at the other end, the other fellow has your QSL, whether the information on it is right or wrong.

What you might want to consider is to create 6 new QSO confirmations and move the timestamp ahead one minute. The system may accept these new (corrected) entries in addition to the existing old (bad) entries. This would be equivalent to sending a new card after you discovered the mistake.

But the issue still stands regarding how the LoTW Desk recommends dealing with this scenario, especially if these bad confirmations have been used toward WAS.

I would like to know what the recommendation will be.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 10:21 PM
Subject: SRE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi Bob,
I only messed 30 QSOs.
I did basically what you said. When I discovered it today (about two weeks later) I resigned those thirty QSOs with the correct location and resubmitted them.
However LOTW accepted and changed only those I did not yet have LOTW QSLs for. The half a dozen or so that had already been QSLed rejected and would not allow the location to be changed. That is what I am trying to see if I can fix. Is there any way to change the confirmed ones?.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 20:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for a LoTW

QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@...>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for the
QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ Zone, Grid,
County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will EVER get
credit from LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you change
QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for each
location you used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>-------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@...
>------------------
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

3963. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 14:48:19 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3959 Message: 3963

Ok, Now I am really confused unless there has been some manual intervention at the LOTW. I rechecked this morning and those QSO's that were QSLed and the changes were rejected, have now been changed to the correct QTH.
Thanks to all,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ken Kinyon
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 21:22
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: SRE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi Bob,
I only messed 30 QSOs.
I did basically what you said. When I discovered it today (about two weeks later) I resigned those thirty QSOs with the correct location and resubmitted them.
However LOTW accepted and changed only those I did not yet have LOTW QSLs for. The half a dozen or so that had already been QSLed rejected and would not allow the location to be changed. That is what I am trying to see if I can fix. Is there any way to change the confirmed ones?.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 20:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for a LoTW QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@...>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for the QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ Zone, Grid, County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will EVER get credit from LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you change QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for each location you used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>-------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@...
>------------------
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

3964. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 15:47:43 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3959 Message: 3964

Ken,

Interesting! Thanks for the follow up.

With this new information, I suspect your corrections were still in the queue and had not been processed when you checked the status the first time. The LoTW system keeps an account history that you can access so you can investigate this yourself, if you're inclined.

Select the "Your Account" tab and then select the "Your Activity" tab on the left column. It will generate a list of all the files submitted since you began using LoTW (most current on top). If you click on the "Result" link, you will be shown the details of what, when, and the results of each file you uploaded.

You can figure out the sequence of events for the corrections you uploaded.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 9:48 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Ok, Now I am really confused unless there has been some manual intervention at the LOTW. I rechecked this morning and those QSO's that were QSLed and the changes were rejected, have now been changed to the correct QTH.
Thanks to all,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ken Kinyon
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 21:22
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Subject: SRE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi Bob,
I only messed 30 QSOs.
I did basically what you said. When I discovered it today (about two weeks later) I resigned those thirty QSOs with the correct location and resubmitted them.
However LOTW accepted and changed only those I did not yet have LOTW QSLs for. The half a dozen or so that had already been QSLed rejected and would not allow the location to be changed. That is what I am trying to see if I can fix. Is there any way to change the confirmed ones?.
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 20:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for a LoTW
QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@...>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for the
QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ Zone, Grid,
County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will EVER get
credit from LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you change
QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for each
location you used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado. Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>-------------------

>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>----------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@...
>----------
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

3965. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-23 16:19:18 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3959 Message: 3965

Ok,
Here is my final evaluation after checking my activity report.
All of my records for the correction were accepted and flagged as
duplicates.
When I went back to MY QSOs and checked the details for the contacts a few
minutes later, only those not QSLed by the other station were changed to
correct QTH.
However when I rechecked this AM the QSLed ones were changed also.
This leads me to believe that the program was delaying updating those
records until it had checked and updated the other stations' data.
That's my story and I am sticking to it. ;<)
I did send a query to LOTW@ARRL about this before I found it resolved and am
interested in what they will tell me.
73 and thanks again,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Robert Chudek
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 09:48
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Ken,

Interesting! Thanks for the follow up.

With this new information, I suspect your corrections were still in the
queue and had not been processed when you checked the status the first time.
The LoTW system keeps an account history that you can access so you can
investigate this yourself, if you're inclined.

Select the "Your Account" tab and then select the "Your Activity" tab on
the left column. It will generate a list of all the files submitted since
you began using LoTW (most current on top). If you click on the "Result"
link, you will be shown the details of what, when, and the results of each
file you uploaded.

You can figure out the sequence of events for the corrections you
uploaded.

73 de Bob - K?RC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 9:48 AM

Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Ok, Now I am really confused unless there has been some manual
intervention at
the LOTW. I rechecked this morning and those QSO's that were QSLed and
the
changes were rejected, have now been changed to the correct QTH.
Thanks to all,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Ken Kinyon
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 21:22
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: SRE: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi Bob,
I only messed 30 QSOs.
I did basically what you said. When I discovered it today (about two
weeks
later) I resigned those thirty QSOs with the correct location and
resubmitted
them.
However LOTW accepted and changed only those I did not yet have LOTW
QSLs for.
The half a dozen or so that had already been QSLed rejected and would
not allow
the location to be changed. That is what I am trying to see if I can
fix. Is
there any way to change the confirmed ones?.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of R Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 20:19
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Uploaded Wrong QTH

Hi:
If you messed up on the location that you used to sign YOUR LOCATION for
a LoTW
QSO
all you need to do is:
Message-Id: <200705222238140.SM02800@...>

1- Sort you're locations FOR the QSO'S you worked them from.

2- Create a new location in the LoTW setup for really you made the QSO's
from.

3- Resign your LoTW submissions using TQSL AND the correct location for
the
QSO's.

4- Resubmit your ENTIRE Log/Logs with the appropriate Locations.

5- Please make sure that you that you use YOUR correct ITU Zone , CQ
Zone, Grid,
County
and State for each location you worked each station from !!!

6- If you don't get it correct, neither You or the Other Station will
EVER get
credit from LoTW.

7- Once you get things setup correctly in TQSL, you are done until you
change
QTH's !!!

8- If you need additional help on how to setup your "Station Data" for
each
location you used. either
contract me directly or VIA the this List. Many of us can help you out.

73 es GL
Bob, K1VU
Ex: WN1OFR,WA1OFR

At 18:51 5/22/2007, Ken Kinyon wrote:

>I uploaded a bunch of QSO's a few weeks ago and signed them with the
wrong
>location. I used the one I had set up for pre 1993 when I lived in
Washington
>State. I noticed it today and thought no problem, I will just upload
them again
>with the right location (Colorado). All went fine except that I had
received
>several QSLs for those contacts, and it would not change those. So
several
>stations have a QSL confirmation from Washington instead of Colorado.
Is there
>any way to fix those?
>Thanks,
>Ken W7TS
>
>-------------------
>Kenneth E. Kinyon
>34 Princeton Circle
>Longmont, CO 80503-2106
>-----------------------
>E-mail: <mailto:W7TS%40comcast.net>W7TS@...
>------------------

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

3966. LoTW down for the weekend

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-25 17:13:21 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3966 Message: 3966

May 25, 2007: LoTW Scheduled Outage -- The system will be out of service from 2 PM EDT (1800Z) on Friday, May 25 until about noon (1600Z) on Monday, May 28. This extended outage is needed so that the system can be moved to a new server at ARRL Headquarters.

3967. Addicted to LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-28 15:46:48 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 3967 Message: 3967

LOTW is down to Tuesday.

Have a contest's worth of QSO's burning a hole in my pocket waiting to be uploaded.

Checking LOTW for new QSL's is better than having the postman come.

Am I addicted?

de Brian/K3KO

3968. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Addicted to LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-28 16:39:33 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3967 Message: 3968

Brian,

Well... you can satisfy your addiction to some extent by participating in the other online QSL system. As a matter of fact, you will get cards even before you post your own log! So even if you're a QSL slacker, your inbox will still capture cards for your edification... ;-)

Okay, I am adjusting the fit of my flame suit so I should be ready to go any minute now! Flame away...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian A
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 28, 2007 10:46 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Addicted to LOTW?

LOTW is down to Tuesday.

Have a contest's worth of QSO's burning a hole in my pocket waiting to
be uploaded.

Checking LOTW for new QSL's is better than having the postman come.

Am I addicted?

de Brian/K3KO

3969. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Addicted to LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-28 16:51:40 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3967 Message: 3969

> As a matter of fact, you will get cards even before you post your own log!
> So even if you're a QSL slacker, your inbox will still capture cards for
your
> edification... ;-)

Bob,

It's called "debasing the currency" in economic circles.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3970. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Addicted to LOTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-28 19:40:57 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 3967 Message: 3970

Yeah, I'm experiencing a severe "debasing" first hand... going from fully employed to retired... hah! and fuel is so expensive I am out shopping for an Armstrong lawn mower! Sowing prairie grasses is looking mighty attractive right now...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 28, 2007 11:51 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Addicted to LOTW?

> As a matter of fact, you will get cards even before you post your own log!
> So even if you're a QSL slacker, your inbox will still capture cards for
your
> edification... ;-)

Bob,

It's called "debasing the currency" in economic circles.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3971. LoTW Back online!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 15:32:12 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3971 Message: 3971
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3972. Its Online Again!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 15:29:28 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 3972 Message: 3972

Its back now, just uploaded some logs

Steve G4OWT

3973. Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 15:54:33 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3973 Message: 3973

Hi,
First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were not happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand why it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that knowing in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web sites. It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If it was up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would be more that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am just curious what they are.
Thanks,
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3974. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 16:10:13 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 3973 Message: 3974

If you lived in Tanganyika and needed to run 150kM down a jungle trail carrying your laptop to a solar powered phone in a remote village and had to pay $1.00/minute to rent the only RJ11 cable in the village to hook up your laptop, and there were lions following you down the trail, you wouldn't want to make two trips to upload your logs!

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/29/2007 4:02:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Hi,
First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were not happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand why it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that knowing in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web sites. It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If it was up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would be more that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am just curious what they are.
Thanks,
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3975. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 16:31:37 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 3973 Message: 3975

Ken,

If you want to see DX on LoTW, 24/7 is the must.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

> Hi,
> First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were
> not
> happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me
> understand why
> it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that
> knowing
> in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web
> sites.
> It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If
> it was
> up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would
> be more
> that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am
> just
> curious what they are.
> Thanks,
> 73,
> Ken W7TS
>
> --------------------
> Kenneth E. Kinyon
> 34 Princeton Circle
> Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> ------------------------
> E-mail: W7TS@...
> ------------------
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

3976. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 16:40:02 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3973 Message: 3976

Hi Hans,
But if he knew the up/down schedule, he wouldn't have to make two trips. ;<)
By the way, Tanganyika has been Tanzania since 1961. You must be nearly as
old as I.
What is the /4ID on your signature? Since your web site (which I really
enjoyed) is Navy oriented, I am guessing it is not the 4th infantry
division. I was also in the Navy, (in the 60's) (an Airedale patrol puke.
;<). I did spend two summers on destroyers while in college, however. I
didn't make it to retirement though, after the navy I spent 33 years as an
air traffic controller for the FAA.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2007 10:10
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

If you lived in Tanganyika and needed to run 150kM down a jungle trail
carrying your laptop to a solar powered phone in a remote village and had to
pay $1.00/minute to rent the only RJ11 cable in the village to hook up your
laptop, and there were lions following you down the trail, you wouldn't want
to make two trips to upload your logs!

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/29/2007 4:02:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Hi,
First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were
not
happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me
understand why
it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that
knowing
in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web
sites.
It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If

it was
up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would
be more
that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am
just
curious what they are.
Thanks,
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3977. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 16:44:16 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3973 Message: 3977

I meant to send my last email direct to Hans, so please forgive the rambling.
73,
Ken W7TS

3978. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 16:48:35 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3973 Message: 3978

Never mind the 4ID question, I think I just figured it out. I said it in my mind enough that the light bulb finally came on.
-----Original Message-----
From: Ken Kinyon [mailto:w7ts@...]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2007 10:40
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Hi Hans,
But if he knew the up/down schedule, he wouldn't have to make two trips. ;<)
By the way, Tanganyika has been Tanzania since 1961. You must be nearly as old as I.
What is the /4ID on your signature? Since your web site (which I really enjoyed) is Navy oriented, I am guessing it is not the 4th infantry division. I was also in the Navy, (in the 60's) (an Airedale patrol puke. ;<). I did spend two summers on destroyers while in college, however. I didn't make it to retirement though, after the navy I spent 33 years as an air traffic controller for the FAA.
73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2007 10:10
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

If you lived in Tanganyika and needed to run 150kM down a jungle trail carrying your laptop to a solar powered phone in a remote village and had to pay $1.00/minute to rent the only RJ11 cable in the village to hook up your laptop, and there were lions following you down the trail, you wouldn't want to make two trips to upload your logs!

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 5/29/2007 4:02:59 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Hi,
First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were not
happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand why
it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that knowing
in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web sites.
It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If it was
up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would be more
that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am just
curious what they are.
Thanks,
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

3979. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 18:03:55 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3973 Message: 3979

Ken...it's because it's FREE!
Today's society expects and demands that services that are free be available at all times.
Of course these same people don't answer the phone 15 minutes before quiting time at their jobs.

And as you said....my ComCrap internet provider is down frequently....you can't even get to a live person to complain and get a refund.
Seems to me, paid for services that aren't working should be our first complaint.

On 5/29/2007 10:54:33 AM, Ken Kinyon (w7ts@...) wrote:
> Hi,
> First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were
> not
> happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand
> why
> it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that
> knowing
> in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web
> sites.
> It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it?
> If it was
> up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would
> be more
> that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am
> just
> curious what they are.
> Thanks,
> 73,
> Ken W7TS
>
> --------------------
> Kenneth E. Kinyon
> 34 Princeton Circle
> Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> ------------------------
> E-mail: W7TS@... [link: mailto:W7TS@...]
> ------------------
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3973;_ylc=X3oDMTM1N3UxNmFyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTczBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MDQ1NDU3OAR0cGNJZAMzOTcz](1
)

> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxazE5MHA5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTczBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA

3980. Re: Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 19:08:59 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 3973 Message: 3980

I don't think anyone was complaining that it was down this weekend, were they? At least they announced it ahead of time, and it was a fairly good time to do it. (maybe with the exception of Sunday night) 99.0% uptime is all I ask for.

Looks like there is some more down time in the near future.

May 29, 2007: Server upgrade postponed -- Due to a technical problem, the server upgrade scheduled for last weekend has been postponed for a few days.

Tim K6GEP

3981. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 19:24:00 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 3973 Message: 3981

Hello Again,
After being asked what I was talking about, I checked the messages that were complaining about the outage and found that they wet actually on another reflector. The way I have Outlook configured the appeared to be on this reflector, until I took the time to look more carefully.
As Emily Litilla used to say "Never Mind"
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ken Kinyon
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2007 09:55
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Down time

Hi,
First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were not happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand why it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that knowing in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web sites. It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it? If it was up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would be more that satisfied. I realize that other users have other requirements, I am just curious what they are.
Thanks,
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

3982. Re: Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-05-29 21:21:40 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 3973 Message: 3982

because it's cool. The more cool it is the more it should be up and the more people will use it. ;) I check it all the time, mind you it does not change much but I am hopeful.
jeff

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Ken...it's because it's FREE!
> Today's society expects and demands that services that are free be available
> at all times.
> Of course these same people don't answer the phone 15 minutes before quiting
> time at their jobs.
>
> And as you said....my ComCrap internet provider is down frequently....you
> can't even get to a live person to complain and get a refund.
> Seems to me, paid for services that aren't working should be our first
> complaint.
>
>
>
> On 5/29/2007 10:54:33 AM, Ken Kinyon (w7ts@...) wrote:
> > Hi,
> > First of all, this is not intended as criticism of those people who were
> > not
> > happy with the down time. It is being asked in order to help me understand
> > why
> > it is important to some that the LOTW be up 24/7. It seems to me that
> > knowing
> > in advance that it will be down is more than we get from a lot of web
> > sites.
> > It is not a real time system, so what is the urgency of accessing it?
> > If it was
> > up 50% of the time and I knew when that 50% would be in advance, I would
> > be more
> > that satisfied. I realize that other users have other

requirements, I am
> > just
> > curious what they are.
> > Thanks,
> > 73,
> > Ken W7TS
> >
> > --------------------
> > Kenneth E. Kinyon
> > 34 Princeton Circle
> > Longmont, CO 80503-2106
> > ------------------------
> > E-mail: W7TS@... [link: mailto:W7TS@...]
> > ------------------
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/message/3973;_ylc=X3oDMTM1N3UxNmFyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMT
E2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTczBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGM
Ec3RpbWUDMTE4MDQ1NDU3OAR0cGNJZAMzOTcz](1)
> > Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxazE5MHA5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BG
dycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTczBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA
>

3983. Any Other Countries Have Their LotW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-07 16:58:47 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 3983 Message: 3983

I would think Japan would have something like LotW. Does anybody know if there are any other LotW-type 'net databases out there?

73

Eric Fitzgerald
KG6MZS

3984. Re: Down time

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-07 17:03:10 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 3973 Message: 3984

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Ken...it's because it's FREE!
> Today's society expects and demands that services that are free be available
> at all times.

I think if the prime directive of LotW is to get all amateurs as possible to participate, it is in
the best interest for the service to be up and running as much as possible. Less downtime = better service. Better service = more users. With only 16,000 of the world's amateurs, LotW has a long, long way to go.

Long live LotW!

73

Eric Fitzgerald
KG6MZS

3985. changed computers... what now?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-07 18:56:33 UTC
From: texasexpediter
Topic: 3985 Message: 3985

I got a new laptop between initiating LOTW and getting my postcard in the mail. I installed LOTW on this machine but when I try to use the password from the postcard it doesn't work. I also tried to use the manual certificate command and that didn't work. What do I do? Thanks and 73.

Leo

3986. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] changed computers... what now?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 01:58:32 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3985 Message: 3986

At 02:56 PM 6/7/2007, texasexpediter wrote:
>I got a new laptop between initiating LOTW and getting my postcard in
>the mail. I installed LOTW on this machine but when I try to use the
>password from the postcard it doesn't work. I also tried to use the
>manual certificate command and that didn't work. What do I do? Thanks
>and 73.

You must go back to the machine where you originally installed LotW and use the postcard password on that machine to complete the process. Once you're done, you can export the certificate and carry that to your new machine and import it.

If you no longer have your old machine, then you've lost your private key. You must start over.
-Rick

3987. Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 06:07:56 UTC
From: k5hsv
Topic: 3987 Message: 3987

Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this program. Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.

I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or do others here find this to be the case?

73s,
K5HSV

3988. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 08:55:26 UTC
From: Phil Sauvey
Topic: 3987 Message: 3988

With the prices of sending Airmail or even 1st Class Mail, I have wondered the same. I am running currently about 30% of my logbook confirmed by LOTW. The bulk of my operation is during 6 meter openings and HF contests. I have friends who do not use LOTW and I have heard that they want the actual card rather than an electonic confirmation. I am trying to bring them over to the electronic age of QSLing. Each person has their own reasons for using it or not. I personally did wait for a long while before signing up but now that I have, I'm glad I did. I have not used it for any of my awards to date, but I will. Also, not everyone is as punctual when it comes to uploading their logs. Even though LOTW settings have been incorporated into many logging programs making it possible to upload a stations log with a few mouse clicks, some just procastinate. I use LOTW not necessarily just for me, but for all the other users that may need my QSL, too. Maybe some feel intimidated about
the entire painless "getting started" process but hopefully more will come on board as time progresses. You know the ol saying, "you can bring a horse to water but you can't make em drink". I hope you don't get discourged but in the world of QSLing, you have those that do it immediately, those that do it once in a great while, and those that don't do it at all. This is the case with LOTW. All we can do is attempt to educate those that don't use it but again it comes down to simple personal preference.

And it does not stop there as in reviewing my log, the bulk of those confirmed are stateside. I would guess that 90% of my LOTW confirmations are stateside confirmed with the rest being DX confirmations. I can say that everytime I download my latest LOTW QSL'ed contacts to my logging program, the number slowly rises. Some are better than none. Hopefully more DX will come on board as the foreign cards are the most expensive part of QSLing. Granted, my stamp collection won't grow very much anymore but I sure will be saving lots of money that can pay for things like gasoline!

My $.02 worth.

Phil
KL8DX

k5hsv <no_reply@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I
only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new
at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this program.
Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys
from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.

I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or
do others here find this to be the case?

73s,
K5HSV

Yahoo! Groups Links

No need to miss a message. Get email on-the-go
with Yahoo! Mail for Mobile. Get started.

3989. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 11:50:21 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 3987 Message: 3989

HI K5HSV,

Here's a link you might be interested in.

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html

It shows that there are currently 302 countries using LOTW and the calls of those in each country.

Two people have made 5BDXCC via LOTW alone and many have 8BDXCC. Undoubtedly many have WAS on several modes and bands via LOTW alone.

The key is to have worked many stations per country or state. Given the low % participation in LOTW, one is guaranteed poor DXCC stats if one has only worked one station/country. That is my situation on 160M.

A high percentage of contesters use LOTW. Work some contests to improve your return.

73 de Brian/K3KO

3990. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 11:51:26 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 3987 Message: 3990
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

3991. Re: Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 11:56:59 UTC
From: Guy FALCOZ
Topic: 3987 Message: 3991

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, k5hsv <no_reply@...> wrote:

> I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong
> or do others here find this to be the case?
>
> 73s,
> K5HSV
>

Hi all,

I guess we are not too many in France to use it, but if you want a
piece of my mind :

- I find it very complicated to start (yes, I'm 58 years old but I
have been using computers last 17 years of my professionnal life)

- You always miss the card itself, the picture, the personnal touch,
signature.

I use both EQSL and LOTW and I must admit
that I have a far , far better return rate on dxpeditions with LOTW
Why ?????....
but I miss the picture. Though many paper cards or EQSL's don't have
one, just plain text...

I resumed ham radio when I became retired (sept 2005) For 1619
uploaded qso's, I have 160 LOTW confirmations, dxcc : 68 , WAS : 17

Not too bad ?

73s
Guy F6DKQ

3992. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 12:38:03 UTC
From: Peter Nystrom
Topic: 3987 Message: 3992

Hi Paul,
I have 401 QSOs in the past four months, and have about 105 confirmations. Most from contest participants. Some come immediately, some come in a few days, some come in a month, some seem to never come and then surprise....then I expect that some will never come.
However, I don't think QSL via cards will get you any better return. I respond to paper cards sent to me, and to a few DX and others that I think would be nice.
I have heard many say that is too complicate...but I really think they are just giving excuses. It is not any more difficult than figuring out an antenna, understanding your radio, or tuner...for that matter sending email. I think the most people just need an LoTW elmer to show them the process and ease their discomfort with the process.
I find it easer to use a index card to keep track of your password, and make it easier to remember the steps. Someday, I should write that up, or maybe others have a good method...anyway. But, once you understand the steps it is just remembering to do them. It takes me less than a minute to upload my log after a weekend contest or activity.
I hope you have good fun making QSOs and the QSL confirmations will follow eventually...If you need Texas...just look for me on PSK31.
-- Peter (KB5HPL)

Website: http://www.peterandamy.org/Public/KB5HPL.html

On Jun 8, 2007, at 1:07 AM, k5hsv wrote:

> Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I
> only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new
> at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this
> program.
> Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys
> from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.
>
> I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or
> do others here find this to be the case?
>
> 73s,
> K5HSV
>
>
>

3993. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 12:59:39 UTC
From: Carl Smidt
Topic: 3987 Message: 3993

Most of the current logging programs make uploading and downloading LoTW and EQsl data a matter of just a few key clicks [not relating to CW :)].

I use PDA's LOGic, making it a snap, but most of the other programs do the same.

I upload as a service to other Hams, and I also send out paper cards as required/needed.

I will admit that the return rate is dismal, no matter what route is chosen (around 5%).

However, it is all in a day's work.

73, Carl VE9OV

----- Original Message -----
From: "Peter Nystrom" <pgnystrom@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, June 08, 2007 9:38 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

> Hi Paul,
> I have 401 QSOs in the past four months, and have about 105
> confirmations. Most from contest participants. Some come
> immediately, some come in a few days, some come in a month, some seem
> to never come and then surprise....then I expect that some will never
> come.
> However, I don't think QSL via cards will get you any better
> return. I respond to paper cards sent to me, and to a few DX and
> others that I think would be nice.
> I have heard many say that is too complicate...but I really think
> they are just giving excuses. It is not any more difficult than
> figuring out an antenna, understanding your radio, or tuner...for
> that matter sending email. I think the most people just need an LoTW
> elmer to show them the process and ease their discomfort with the
> process.
> I find it easer to use a index card to keep track of your password,
> and make it easier to remember the steps. Someday, I should write
> that up, or maybe others have a good method...anyway. But, once you
> understand the steps it is just remembering to do them. It takes me
> less than a minute to upload my log after a weekend contest or activity.
> I hope you have good fun making QSOs and the QSL confirmations will
> follow eventually...If you need Texas...just look for me on PSK31.
> -- Peter (KB5HPL)
>
>

> Website: http://www.peterandamy.org/Public/KB5HPL.html
>
> On Jun 8, 2007, at 1:07 AM, k5hsv wrote:
>
>> Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I
>> only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new
>> at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this
>> program.
>> Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys
>> from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.
>>
>> I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or
>> do others here find this to be the case?
>>
>> 73s,
>> K5HSV
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3994. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 15:45:38 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 3987 Message: 3994

You didn't mention how long ago those contacts were made. I often get LOTW confirmations a few years after the QSO, although with contests it is often immediate (except for contests, I upload monthly). I still need to go back through my paper logs to add contacts when I had a different call, however. After using LOTW since shortly after it started, my return rate is nearly 24% (1384/5835).

73, Bob N7XY

On Jun 7, 2007, at 11:07 PM, k5hsv wrote:

> Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I
> only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new
> at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this
> program.
> Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys
> from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.
>
> I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or
> do others here find this to be the case?
>
> 73s,
> K5HSV

3995. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW???

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 16:01:40 UTC
From: Murray Hunt Jr
Topic: 3987 Message: 3995

I feel the same way. I stated on 6 meters last summer and I have made a modest 64 contacts. I have sent out QSL cards to ever person that I have contacted. I am only missing 17 cards in return. Most of these are states that I need to get a little closer to WAS. I do like seeing what other people send on the QSL cards and many I have hanging in my shack for friends to see. But I would be just as happy see the contacts come back on LOTW. Now I upload every month or like after a contest or a day when I get 5 or 6 contacts I will upload, and send out cards. I have uploaded all 64 contacts on 6 meters and 10 have come back.
Well hope it works out and the word gets spread. you can also find a call sign on LOTW and it will tell you if they have uploaded ever and when.

Bob Nielsen wrote:
>
> You didn't mention how long ago those contacts were made. I often
> get LOTW confirmations a few years after the QSO, although with
> contests it is often immediate (except for contests, I upload
> monthly). I still need to go back through my paper logs to add
> contacts when I had a different call, however. After using LOTW
> since shortly after it started, my return rate is nearly 24%
> (1384/5835).
>
> 73, Bob N7XY
>
> On Jun 7, 2007, at 11:07 PM, k5hsv wrote:
>
> > Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries, I
> > only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin new
> > at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this
> > program.
> > Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have entrys
> > from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.
> >
> > I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong or
> > do others here find this to be the case?
> >
> > 73s,
> > K5HSV
>
>

3996. BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 18:24:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3996 Message: 3996

Hooray for KU9C and crew.

When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be great.

Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.

Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!

I believe that if you are selling me a Big Mac, you should do it in English.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

3997. Re: Gosh... Does anyone use LOTW? - TNX to all responses!!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-08 21:46:27 UTC
From: k5hsv
Topic: 3987 Message: 3997

I wish to extend a special thanks for the encouragement and special pointers from experienced users of LoTW. I'm going to start with my local repeater club, introducing LoTW in conversation. A couple of comments I've received from them, "It's too complicated to setup and work". Keeping in mind I'm live in a gated community of mostly retired hams and that some still use paper logs. But most of them use computer logs now. I look forward in helping others with this program on a local level. A QSO or two and you have another satisfied LoTW user on board... HiHi.

A special thanks to Darryl Wagoner, here in this group for his help talking me through creating and sending my first log.

73s,
Paul - K5HSV

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Phil Sauvey <akdxer@...> wrote:
>
> With the prices of sending Airmail or even 1st Class Mail, I have wondered the same. I am running currently about 30% of my logbook confirmed by LOTW. The bulk of my operation is during 6 meter openings and HF contests. I have friends who do not use LOTW and I have heard that they want the actual card rather than an electonic confirmation. I am trying to bring them over to the electronic age of QSLing. Each person has their own reasons for using it or not. I personally did wait for a long while before signing up but now that I have, I'm glad I did. I have not used it for any of my awards to date, but I will. Also, not everyone is as punctual when it comes to uploading their logs. Even though LOTW settings have been incorporated into many logging programs making it possible to upload a stations log with a few mouse clicks, some just procastinate. I use LOTW not necessarily just for me, but for all the other users that may need my QSL, too. Maybe some feel intimidated about
> the entire painless "getting started" process but hopefully more will come on board as time progresses. You know the ol saying, "you can bring a horse to water but you can't make em drink". I hope you don't get discourged but in the world of QSLing, you have those that do it immediately, those that do it once in a great while, and those that don't do it at all. This is the case with LOTW. All we can do is attempt to educate those that don't use it but again it comes down to simple personal preference.
>
> And it does not stop there as in reviewing my log, the bulk of those confirmed are stateside. I would guess that 90% of my LOTW confirmations are stateside confirmed with the rest being DX confirmations. I can say that everytime I download my latest LOTW

QSL'ed contacts to my logging program, the number slowly rises. Some
are better than none. Hopefully more DX will come on board as the
foreign cards are the most expensive part of QSLing. Granted, my
stamp collection won't grow very much anymore but I sure will be
saving lots of money that can pay for things like gasoline!
>
> My $.02 worth.
>
> Phil
> KL8DX
>
>
>
> k5hsv <no_reply@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> Gosh, I just uploaded my first log to LOTW and out of 48 entries,
I
> only get one WAS and no DXCC entry validations. I'm brand spankin
new
> at this but I would have thought more hams would be using this
program.
> Considering the cost of postage and IRCs for QSL cards. I have
entrys
> from multiple states and DX countries in my uploaded log.
>
> I can't imagine why more hams world wide don't use it. Am I wrong
or
> do others here find this to be the case?
>
> 73s,
> K5HSV
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> No need to miss a message. Get email on-the-go
> with Yahoo! Mail for Mobile. Get started.
>

3998. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-09 09:46:06 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3996 Message: 3998

Hello FireBrick,

F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be great.
F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!

Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.

No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

3999. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-09 10:25:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 3996 Message: 3999

I received my recent operation, 2007, LoTW qsls.
there is a message on the qsl reflector that a message from operation leader
will be out soon.

On 6/9/2007 4:46:06 AM, Art RX9TX (rx9tx@...) wrote:
> Hello FireBrick,
>
> F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
> F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be
> great.
> F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
> F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!
>
> Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
> yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.
>
> No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3996;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZjM5azVmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2NgR0cGNJZAMzOTk2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYXU2azdyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3998]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOGgwYW1uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODEzODI2NjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOHBkamJkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN

4000. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-10 16:48:57 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3996 Message: 4000
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4001. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-10 17:03:20 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 3996 Message: 4001

I personally think this is a great way to encourage DXpeditions to use LoTW. Publicizing their intent would have been nice but it's still more than fair.

As far as I can see, everyone who wants a card will get one either direct or by the bureau. All will get LoTW confirmations, some sooner, some later.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 10:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I see they have sent out a release that people who have supported the expedition will have their QSOs uploaded to LoTW. I hope they get around to doing the same for people like me who have sent in a contribution along with their QSL cards.

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 6/9/07, FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
I received my recent operation, 2007, LoTW qsls.
there is a message on the qsl reflector that a message from operation leader
will be out soon.

On 6/9/2007 4:46:06 AM, Art RX9TX (rx9tx@...) wrote:
> Hello FireBrick,
>
> F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
> F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be
> great.
> F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
> F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!
>
> Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
> yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.
>
> No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX

>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3996;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZjM5azVmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2NgR0cGNJZAMzOTk2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYXU2azdyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3998]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOGgwYW1uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODEzODI2NjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOHBkamJkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

4002. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-11 01:14:58 UTC
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
Topic: 3996 Message: 4002

That's the plan. We're still working the bugs out..for example, it's tough to tell who contributed with QSL cards till you open all the envelopes, as I was hit with severl thousand within a week of the operation end. However, Gerry's note summarizes my plan. Its a work in progress...we'll see how it goes, and then, maybe suggest 'best practices' for future operations. I think this is FAR better than opening the LOTW after the operation. This gives the op that sends in a card or a donation the chance to use LOTW to avoid sending that precious piece of cardboard in.

I would appreciate your comments, both pro and con. Only with constructive criticism can we make this work better in the future

73

From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 1:02 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I personally think this is a great way to encourage DXpeditions to use LoTW. Publicizing their intent would have been nice but it's still more than fair.

As far as I can see, everyone who wants a card will get one either direct or by the bureau. All will get LoTW confirmations, some sooner, some later.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 10:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I see they have sent out a release that people who have supported the expedition will have their QSOs uploaded to LoTW. I hope they get around to doing the same for people like me who have sent in a contribution along with their QSL cards.

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 6/9/07, FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
I received my recent operation, 2007, LoTW qsls.

there is a message on the qsl reflector that a message from operation leader
will be out soon.

On 6/9/2007 4:46:06 AM, Art RX9TX (rx9tx@...) wrote:
> Hello FireBrick,
>
> F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
> F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be
> great.
> F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
> F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!
>
> Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
> yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.
>
> No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3996;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZjM5azVmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2NgR0cGNJZAMzOTk2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYXU2azdyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3998]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOGgwYW1uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODEzODI2NjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOHBkamJkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

4003. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-11 01:47:48 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 3996 Message: 4003

Steve,

The only issue I see up front is for those who want an early LoTW confirmation and a QSL card based on their QSOs with the DXpedition. If one send in a pre-operation donation with the expectation of a possible LoTW confirmations and post operation, wants a card, they would have 2 mailings to contend with. Paypal would help for the pre-operation contributions but not all folks use Paypal.

I'm sure there are many other thoughts coming your way on this. The main point is it's not "pay for Qs", it's pay for a quicker confirmation. Just like direct with GSs rather than bureau. Everyone will get a confirmation that wants one.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 7:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

That's the plan. We're still working the bugs out..for example, it's tough to tell who contributed with QSL cards till you open all the envelopes, as I was hit with severl thousand within a week of the operation end. However, Gerry's note summarizes my plan. Its a work in progress...we'll see how it goes, and then, maybe suggest 'best practices' for future operations. I think this is FAR better than opening the LOTW after the operation. This gives the op that sends in a card or a donation the chance to use LOTW to avoid sending that precious piece of cardboard in.

I would appreciate your comments, both pro and con. Only with constructive criticism can we make this work better in the future

73

From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 1:02 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I personally think this is a great way to encourage DXpeditions to use LoTW. Publicizing their intent would have been nice but it's still more than fair.

As far as I can see, everyone who wants a card will get one either direct or by the bureau. All will get LoTW confirmations, some sooner, some later.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker

VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 10:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I see they have sent out a release that people who have supported the expedition will have their QSOs uploaded to LoTW. I hope they get around to doing the same for people like me who have sent in a contribution along with their QSL cards.

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 6/9/07, FireBrick <w9ol@billnjudy.com> wrote:
I received my recent operation, 2007, LoTW qsls.
there is a message on the qsl reflector that a message from operation leader
will be out soon.

On 6/9/2007 4:46:06 AM, Art RX9TX (rx9tx@...) wrote:
> Hello FireBrick,
>
> F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
> F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be
> great.
> F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
> F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!
>
> Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
> yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.
>
> No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3996;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZjM5azVmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2NgR0cGNJZAMzOTk2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYXU2azdyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3998]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-

> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOGgwYW1uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODEzODI2NjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOHBkamJkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

4004. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-11 04:55:09 UTC
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
Topic: 3996 Message: 4004

I don't see it as 'pay' for anything, per se. The fact of the matter is, in my little office at the moment, I'm looking at perhaps 6000 envelopes, and a few thousand yet to open. I've had some interesting discussions over the years with managers about the best way to 'mail out' cards.

One argument is to hold them all and send on the same day. Avoids the 'my neighbor got his, where is mine' email. However, it does keep a stack of cards sitting about that could be in the mail box.

THe second is to mail them out in some fashion. Then, the quesiton of how do you prioritize comes up. Some would argue first in first out. I tend to try to get them out ASAP, but feel that those th at donate should have a higher priority in some fashion or the other. Not to 'hold the non-donors' back, but to work the donors first....and attempt to, as quickly as possible, get them all out.

The LOTW concept, while I'm sure we'll find some flaws in the logic, has the added advantage of giving some value add to LOTW (this is the first time I've done this, as I typically hold the log for some time before uploading). It strikes me as the logical thing to do, as the folks get a card, and if they use LOTW, they can use that for their submission rather than sending in the card (it does amaze me how many of those get lost...HI).

Thanks for your comments. I'll keep reading....and I'm going to try some different things with LOTW on this operation, which should pique folks interest as BS7H is/was the rarest of the rare. Hopefully it's down a rung or two, but the recently completed operation is helping folks get to the top!

73

From: "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...>
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 9:52 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Steve,

The only issue I see up front is for those who want an early LoTW confirmation and a QSL card based on their QSOs with the DXpedition. If one send in a pre-operation donation with the expectation of a possible LoTW confirmations and post operation, wants a card, they would have 2 mailings to contend with. Paypal would help for the pre-operation contributions but not all folks use Paypal.

I'm sure there are many other thoughts coming your way on this. The main point is it's not "pay for Qs", it's pay for a quicker confirmation. Just like direct with GSs rather than bureau. Everyone will get a confirmation that wants one.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 7:14 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

That's the plan. We're still working the bugs out..for example, it's tough to tell who contributed with QSL cards till you open all the envelopes, as I was hit with severl thousand within a week of the operation end. However, Gerry's note summarizes my plan. Its a work in progress...we'll see how it goes, and then, maybe suggest 'best practices' for future operations. I think this is FAR better than opening the LOTW after the operation. This gives the op that sends in a card or a donation the chance to use LOTW to avoid sending that precious piece of cardboard in.

I would appreciate your comments, both pro and con. Only with constructive criticism can we make this work better in the future

73

From: "Gerry" <telwest@...>
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 1:02 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I personally think this is a great way to encourage DXpeditions to use LoTW. Publicizing their intent would have been nice but it's still more than fair.

As far as I can see, everyone who wants a card will get one either direct or by the bureau. All will get LoTW confirmations, some sooner, some later.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 10, 2007 10:48 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

I see they have sent out a release that people who have supported the expedition will have their QSOs uploaded to LoTW. I hope they get around to doing the same for people like me who have sent in a contribution along with their QSL cards.

73 de Prasad VU2PTT

On 6/9/07, FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:
I received my recent operation, 2007, LoTW qsls.
there is a message on the qsl reflector that a message from operation leader
will be out soon.

On 6/9/2007 4:46:06 AM, Art RX9TX (rx9tx@...) wrote:
> Hello FireBrick,
>
> F> Hooray for KU9C and crew.
> F> When I sent in my donation prior to operation, I said LoTW would be
> great.
> F> Repeated that when I sent in my qsls.
> F> Today, the qsl Santa was good to me.!
>
> Bill, do you mean your BS7H _2007_ QSOs were confirmed via LoTW? If
> yes, this means they upload only "donated" QSOs, but not full logs.
>
> No LoTW confirmations for 2007 operation here yet.
>
> --
> 73...Art RX9TX
>
> http://rx9tx.qrz.ru
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/3996;_ylc=X3oDMTM1ZjM5azVmBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2NgR0cGNJZAMzOTk2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxYXU2azdyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAMzOTk4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4MTM4MjY2Ng-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=3998]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOGgwYW1uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODEzODI2NjY-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmOHBkamJkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN

Yahoo! Groups Links

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT

4005. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] BS7H qsls received via LoTW today

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-11 05:04:18 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 3996 Message: 4005

Hello Steven,

SW> I'll keep reading....and I'm going
SW> to try some different things with LOTW on this operation,

Yeah, please include my QSOs to the next bunch you are going to upload
to LoTW, so you can save that $ from my envelope, as I need
confirmations, and I don't need a _card_.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

4006. Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 13:57:07 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4006 Message: 4006

The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot faster! Thank you ARRL!

Tim K6GEP

4007. server upgrade

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 14:49:52 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4007 Message: 4007

The server may be a tad faster...but I don't think it's working correctly as
I didn't get any LoTW qsls for band/modes I still need. <smile>

'That would have been a REAL upgrade'

The degree of technical competence is inversely proportional to the level of
management.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4008. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] server upgrade

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 16:03:29 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 4007 Message: 4008

The page saying it is off-line is really fast!

4009. Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 16:04:57 UTC
From: w7psk
Topic: 4006 Message: 4009

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> faster! Thank you ARRL!
>
> Tim K6GEP
>

Maybe not. I just now tried and got this

LoTW Off Line
The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line. Service
will be restored as soon as possible.

lotw-help@...

4010. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 16:21:28 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4006 Message: 4010
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4011. LoTW site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 16:21:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4011 Message: 4011

Really fast, it accepted, and credited my BS7H LoTW qsls (and a few others entity band/modes) in less than a week.

Funny part of why it's so fast...
This last LoTW submission, the Application History says Zero Qsls Accepted and the Reason says
Manually completed by DXCC Desk.

So I guess the site is faster because they are doing it by Hand??? lol

---
"We are on an irreversable trend towards more feedom and democracy - but that could change" -D. Quayle
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4012. It has to be easy!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 20:31:30 UTC
From: ottuser
Topic: 4012 Message: 4012

Hi,
Have been trying to get all the logs I have corrected where needed, double checked, and where needed make any needed changes. I have a 'ton' on logs dating back to the 50's that I am going through, and includes the overseas 'rest-stops'. My question is:
Going to the LoTW site [which I think is a lot faster now with new server], I downloaded and saved in their respective files the confirmations, some covering a 30-year span. I am stuck with the other part of the puzzle: I want to know if it is possible to download from the server all the submissions you made [of course doing individual sites - HB/CT/4L/DU2 etc.]. I know you can do it 25 at a time [like your confirmations], but where is the option to download the your input files? Is it even possible?
Thanks,
73,
Joe W7LPF

4013. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] It has to be easy!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 20:48:42 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 4012 Message: 4013

on the left when you chose your QSOs there is a
download report.
YOu can specify dates and calls or all there

Scotty W7PSK

--- ottuser <kwz99@...> wrote:

> Hi,
> Have been trying to get all the logs I have
> corrected where needed,
> double checked, and where needed make any needed
> changes. I have a
> 'ton' on logs dating back to the 50's that I am
> going through, and
> includes the overseas 'rest-stops'. My question is:
> Going to the LoTW site [which I think is a lot
> faster now with new
> server], I downloaded and saved in their respective
> files the
> confirmations, some covering a 30-year span. I am
> stuck with the other
> part of the puzzle: I want to know if it is
> possible to download from
> the server all the submissions you made [of course
> doing individual
> sites - HB/CT/4L/DU2 etc.]. I know you can do it 25
> at a time [like
> your confirmations], but where is the option to
> download the your input
> files? Is it even possible?
> Thanks,
> 73,
> Joe W7LPF
>
>
>

Looking for a deal? Find great prices on flights and hotels with Yahoo! FareChase.
http://farechase.yahoo.com/

4014. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] It has to be easy!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 20:55:11 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4012 Message: 4014

At 04:31 PM 6/13/2007, ottuser wrote:
>Hi,
> Have been trying to get all the logs I have corrected where needed,
>double checked, and where needed make any needed changes. I have a
>'ton' on logs dating back to the 50's that I am going through, and
>includes the overseas 'rest-stops'. My question is:
>Going to the LoTW site [which I think is a lot faster now with new
>server], I downloaded and saved in their respective files the
>confirmations, some covering a 30-year span. I am stuck with the other
>part of the puzzle: I want to know if it is possible to download from
>the server all the submissions you made [of course doing individual
>sites - HB/CT/4L/DU2 etc.]. I know you can do it 25 at a time [like
>your confirmations], but where is the option to download the your input
>files? Is it even possible?
>Thanks,
>73,
>Joe W7LPF

Yes. On LotW, pick "Your QSOs". On the left hand side of the screen is
a "Download Report" selection. That'll give you a single ADIF file
containing all of your QSOs.
You can select what QSOs to download (by callsign, for example) using
my query screen: http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
-Rick

4015. LOTW Mismatch ??

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 21:01:34 UTC
From: HK3CW
Topic: 4015 Message: 4015

I recently synchronized my logging program (logger) with LOTW. I got a lowt-mismatch.adi file; I looked at it and everything is OK. I found a friend in there and asked him to check his side of the logged qso and its the same (it matches 100%). So in review, I can't find what's wrong..can anybody give me a hand to understand this

TIA de HK3CW ROB

4016. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] It has to be easy!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 22:09:27 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 4012 Message: 4016

Hi Rick, etal -

If you go to the left of the My QSOs - you get the
download for the QSL's received. In the center you
can select QSO's to list, and there you can get either
the QSL's [hits] received, or the QSO's [uploaded
files you uploaded to the server] - in either case
from that center option area I find no place to
save to any type of file - or am I just brain dead?
[My wife would agree by the way].
I tried several times in the center area - and
'program' it to select [*] any callsign - and then
for my different calls - separate of course - and it
will give me a list with all/all my calls I uploaded -
and I ask in date order and it always gives it to
me alphabetically??? And I always do not/not
check confirmed QSO's only. But be that as it
may, I can not see where I can save even that
kluge! ??
Joe

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2007 20:55
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] It has to be easy!!

At 04:31 PM 6/13/2007, ottuser wrote:
>Hi,
> Have been trying to get all the logs I have corrected where needed,
>double checked, and where needed make any needed changes. I have a
>'ton' on logs dating back to the 50's that I am going through, and
>includes the overseas 'rest-stops'. My question is:
>Going to the LoTW site [which I think is a lot faster now with new
>server], I downloaded and saved in their respective files the
>confirmations, some covering a 30-year span. I am stuck with the other
>part of the puzzle: I want to know if it is possible to download from
>the server all the submissions you made [of course doing individual
>sites - HB/CT/4L/DU2 etc.]. I know you can do it 25 at a time [like
>your confirmations], but where is the option to download the your input
>files? Is it even possible?
>Thanks,
>73,
>Joe W7LPF

Yes. On LotW, pick "Your QSOs". On the left hand side of the screen is a "Download Report" selection. That'll give you a single ADIF file containing all of your QSOs.
You can select what QSOs to download (by callsign, for example) using my query screen: http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm
-Rick

4017. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] It has to be easy!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-13 23:09:50 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4012 Message: 4017

At 06:09 PM 6/13/2007, Joseph Lutz wrote:
>Hi Rick, etal -
>
> If you go to the left of the My QSOs - you get the
>download for the QSL's received. In the center you
>can select QSO's to list, and there you can get either
>the QSL's [hits] received, or the QSO's [uploaded
>files you uploaded to the server] - in either case
>from that center option area I find no place to
>save to any type of file - or am I just brain dead?
>[My wife would agree by the way].
>I tried several times in the center area - and
>'program' it to select [*] any callsign - and then
>for my different calls - separate of course - and it
>will give me a list with all/all my calls I uploaded -
>and I ask in date order and it always gives it to
>me alphabetically??? And I always do not/not
>check confirmed QSO's only. But be that as it
>may, I can not see where I can save even that
>kluge! ??
>Joe

I don't know of any way to download QSOs directly from the LotW site.
You can download QSLs (confirmed contacts only) using the "Download Report" selection on LotW's Query page.
If you want all of your QSOs, use the query page on my website.
-Rick

4018. FY/G3SXW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-14 18:50:04 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 4018 Message: 4018

Roger G3SXW has just uploaded his logs from the recent FY trip, must be the quickest ever!
many thanks for the fantastic operation

Steve G4OWT

4019. Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 01:07:27 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4019 Message: 4019

In addition to the popular Straight Key Century Club spottting/sked page and one for experimental digital modes, I have created one for Worked All USA States . You can find it at http://www.obriensweb.com/was/was.php

Just fill out the simple cookie information. This is for any mode, any bands. Placing your mouse briefly over the callsigns present will enable you to see what State they reside in. Feel free to announce what states you are looking for , band, mode, etc

Andy K3UK

4020. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 01:33:57 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4019 Message: 4020

Andy,

The "Click here for Digitalradio experimenting page" misdirects to www.obriensweD.com instead of www.obriensweB.com Got wedding plans in the future? :-)

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Andrew O'Brien
To: amateur_radio@yahoogroups.com ; DIGITALRADIO ; dx-list@yahoogroups.com ; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com ; skcc@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, June 16, 2007 8:07 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

In addition to the popular Straight Key Century Club spottting/sked page and one for experimental digital modes, I have created one for Worked All USA States . You can find it at http://www.obriensweb.com/was/was.php

Just fill out the simple cookie information. This is for any mode, any bands. Placing your mouse briefly over the callsigns present will enable you to see what State they reside in. Feel free to announce what states you are looking for , band, mode, etc

Andy K3UK

4022. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 01:39:01 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4019 Message: 4022
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4023. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 01:42:19 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4019 Message: 4023
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4024. Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 13:10:04 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 4006 Message: 4024

LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious downtime!

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "w7psk" <w7psk@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> >
> > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
> >
>
>
> Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
>
>
> LoTW Off Line
> The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line. Service
> will be restored as soon as possible.
>
> lotw-help@...
>

4025. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 13:38:28 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4006 Message: 4025

The server upgrade was days ago and it was up after that.
Lotw was working till I checked it this Sunday early am.
Sundays mornings have been frequent down times in the past.
I think they do backup or whatever.
In the past, the Sunday am downtime is usually over by 1500z or so.

On 6/17/2007 8:10:04 AM, Beischel (beischel@...) wrote:
> LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
> this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
> and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious
> downtime!
>
> Duffy
> www.wb8nut.com
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> "w7psk" <w7psk@...> wrote:
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link: mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> com], "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> > >
> > > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> > > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> > >
> > > Tim K6GEP
> > >
> >
> >
> > Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
> >
> >
> > LoTW Off Line
> > The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line. Service
> > will be restored as soon as possible.
> >
> > lotw-help@...
> >
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4006;_ylc=X3oDMTM1Y2k5ODJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDI0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MjA4NTk2NgR0cGNJZAM0MDA2](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHZxaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAz

4026. Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 13:47:53 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 4006 Message: 4026

I tried several times yesterday to upload a log file and every time I tried to log-in, I got a system down webpage. Tried on several different PCs. Tried again just now and same message:

LoTW Off Line
The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line. Service will be restored as soon as possible.

lotw-help@...

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> The server upgrade was days ago and it was up after that.
> Lotw was working till I checked it this Sunday early am.
> Sundays mornings have been frequent down times in the past.
> I think they do backup or whatever.
> In the past, the Sunday am downtime is usually over by 1500z or so.
>
>
>
> On 6/17/2007 8:10:04 AM, Beischel (beischel@...) wrote:
> > LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
> > this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
> > and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious
> > downtime!
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> > "w7psk" <w7psk@> wrote:
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> > com], "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> > > > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> > > >
> > > > Tim K6GEP
> > > >
> > >

> > >
> > > Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
> > >
> > >
> > > LoTW Off Line
> > > The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line.
Service
> > > will be restored as soon as possible.
> > >
> > > lotw-help@
> > >
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/message/4006;_ylc=X3oDMTM1Y2k5ODJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTc
wNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDI0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MjA4NTk2NgR0cGNJZAM0MDA2](0)
> > Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> > LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHZxaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAz
>

4027. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 14:10:57 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4019 Message: 4027
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4028. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-17 16:05:36 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4019 Message: 4028

Andrew,

You want a database of "know" LOTW users?

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html

It updates several times/month.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Andrew O'Brien wrote:

> FYI folks, when you log in, use /L after you callsihn to indciate you are
> LOTW active.
>
> Here is a little of the taffic as we try to get this thing started...
>
>
>
> MM/DD UTC
>
> 06/17 13:47 <*K3UK/L <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Sorry, Joe I was out of the shack. 70543 still clear?
> 06/17 13:33 <*NA9A/L <javascript:mailto('na9a','comcast.net','')>*> K3UK
> Yes, sure do CW. 7.0543 clear NW
> 06/17 12:54 <*K3UK/L
> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Joe,
> do you do CW? I do not have IL. confirmed for CW.
> 06/17 12:51 <*K3UK/L <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> I
> need Vermont on 12, 17,15, and 160.
> 06/17 10:45 <*K3UK/L
> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Good
> Morning Len in MN.
> 06/17 10:28 <*K3UK/L
> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> QRV
> 7055 CW at the moment.
> 06/17 10:07 <*N2WK/L <javascript:mailto('n2wk','arrl.net','')>*>
> Looking for
> Maine on Phone?
> 06/17 08:39 <*NT9K <javascript:mailto('bill','nt9k.com','')>*> Looking
> for
> Idaho please
> 06/17 03:35 <*NN8B/L <javascript:mailto('nn8b.oh','gmail.com','')>*>
> Thanks
> Andy

> 06/17 02:54 <*K3UK/L
> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Hi
> Bert in Vermont.
> 06/17 02:51 <*W1DFU
> <javascript:mailto('hamradioguy','vermontel.net','')>*>
> Thanks Bill
> 06/17 01:55 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Thanks
> Bill.
> 06/17 01:48 <*NY2U <javascript:mailto('ny2u','n2ty.org','')>*> Looks very
> nice! Just looking around for now.
> 06/17 01:44 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Making
> a quick adjustment, u may need to re-enter cookies.
> 06/17 01:40 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Nick
> in WA, want to try a sked ?
> 06/17 01:28 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Good
> evening John in LA.
> 06/17 01:14 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Hi
> Larry.
> 06/17 01:13 <*W2LJ <javascript:mailto('w2lj','arrl.net','')>*> W2LJ
> will be
> on or about 7.055 as of about 0120 UTC.
> 06/17 01:06 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> QRV
> 160-thru 70CM from New York (CW, SSB, all digital mode)
> 06/17 00:58 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> Looking for Delaware CW, any band.
> 06/17 00:57 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
> testing WAS page.
>
> Processing took 5.08 milliseconds
>
>
> On 6/16/07, Andrew O'Brien <andrewobrie@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> Thanks. LOTW users, I will add an LOTW indicator when I get a
>> chance. It
>> will let you know who is a LOTW user.
>>
>> On 6/16/07, Fred NB2B < frednb2b@...> wrote:
>> >
>> > Very nice site, and great idea.
>> >
>> > >
>>
>>
>

4029. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Announcing Worked All States Scheduling/Spotting PAges

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 04:46:05 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 4019 Message: 4029

HB9BZA's database works FB !!!

It is integrated into LOGic 8 so when you enter the callsign You can see the Membership of all the groups that call belongs to.

Twice a month I try to download my "logreport.adi" and then upload to the HB9BZA site.

Last time I got a message back saying that I added 5 new users to the database.
Makes a "Little Pistol" think like someone slipped a VIAGRA into his drink :-) !!!

73
Bob, K1VU

At 12:05 6/17/2007, you wrote:

>Andrew,
>
>You want a database of "know" LOTW users?
>
><http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html>http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/index.html
>
>It updates several times/month.
>
>73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>Andrew O'Brien wrote:
>
>> FYI folks, when you log in, use /L after you callsihn to indciate you are
>> LOTW active.
>>
>> Here is a little of the taffic as we try to get this thing started...
>>
>>
>>
>> MM/DD UTC
>>
>> 06/17 13:47 <*K3UK/L <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Sorry, Joe I was out of the shack. 70543 still clear?
>> 06/17 13:33 <*NA9A/L <javascript:mailto('na9a','comcast.net','')>*> K3UK
>> Yes, sure do CW. 7.0543 clear NW
>> 06/17 12:54 <*K3UK/L
>> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Joe,
>> do you do CW? I do not have IL. confirmed for CW.
>> 06/17 12:51 <*K3UK/L <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> I
>> need Vermont on 12, 17,15, and 160.

>> 06/17 10:45 <*K3UK/L
>> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Good
>> Morning Len in MN.
>> 06/17 10:28 <*K3UK/L
>> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> QRV
>> 7055 CW at the moment.
>> 06/17 10:07 <*N2WK/L <javascript:mailto('n2wk','arrl.net','')>*>
>> Looking for
>> Maine on Phone?
>> 06/17 08:39 <*NT9K <javascript:mailto('bill','nt9k.com','')>*> Looking
>> for
>> Idaho please
>> 06/17 03:35 <*NN8B/L <javascript:mailto('nn8b.oh','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Thanks
>> Andy
>> 06/17 02:54 <*K3UK/L
>> <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Hi
>> Bert in Vermont.
>> 06/17 02:51 <*W1DFU
>> <javascript:mailto('hamradioguy','vermontel.net','')>*>
>> Thanks Bill
>> 06/17 01:55 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Thanks
>> Bill.
>> 06/17 01:48 <*NY2U <javascript:mailto('ny2u','n2ty.org','')>*> Looks very
>> nice! Just looking around for now.
>> 06/17 01:44 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Making
>> a quick adjustment, u may need to re-enter cookies.
>> 06/17 01:40 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Nick
>> in WA, want to try a sked ?
>> 06/17 01:28 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Good
>> evening John in LA.
>> 06/17 01:14 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> Hi
>> Larry.
>> 06/17 01:13 <*W2LJ <javascript:mailto('w2lj','arrl.net','')>*> W2LJ
>> will be
>> on or about 7.055 as of about 0120 UTC.
>> 06/17 01:06 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*> QRV
>> 160-thru 70CM from New York (CW, SSB, all digital mode)
>> 06/17 00:58 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> Looking for Delaware CW, any band.
>> 06/17 00:57 <*K3UK <javascript:mailto('andreobrie','gmail.com','')>*>
>> testing WAS page.
>>
>> Processing took 5.08 milliseconds
>>
>>

>> On 6/16/07, Andrew O'Brien <<mailto:andrewobrie%40gmail.com>andrewobrie@...> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Thanks. LOTW users, I will add an LOTW indicator when I get a
>>> chance. It
>>> will let you know who is a LOTW user.
>>>
>>> On 6/16/07, Fred NB2B < <mailto:frednb2b%40gmail.com>frednb2b@...> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Very nice site, and great idea.
>>> >
>>> > >
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>

4030. Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 11:07:56 UTC
From: Beischel
Topic: 4006 Message: 4030

Monday morning 7:07 am ESDT and tried to log-in again - still off-line.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> The server upgrade was days ago and it was up after that.
> Lotw was working till I checked it this Sunday early am.
> Sundays mornings have been frequent down times in the past.
> I think they do backup or whatever.
> In the past, the Sunday am downtime is usually over by 1500z or so.
>
>
>
> On 6/17/2007 8:10:04 AM, Beischel (beischel@...) wrote:
> > LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
> > this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
> > and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious
> > downtime!
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> > "w7psk" <w7psk@> wrote:
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> > com], "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> > > > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> > > >
> > > > Tim K6GEP
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
> > >
> > >
> > > LoTW Off Line
> > > The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line.
Service

> > > will be restored as soon as possible.
> > >
> > > lotw-help@
> > >
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/message/4006;_ylc=X3oDMTM1Y2k5ODJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTc
wNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDI0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MjA4NTk2NgR0cGNJZAM0MDA2](0)
> > Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> > LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHZxaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAz
>

4031. WAS : Is your USA State needed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 12:25:45 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4031 Message: 4031
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4032. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 13:09:49 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4006 Message: 4032
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

ARRL Headquarters Experiencing Computer Outage (Jun 18, 2007) -- A severe thunderstorm on Saturday knocked out the ARRL HQ computer system. E-mail, Logbook of the World and other services that rely on our computer system have not been available since Saturday. The ARRL HQ information technology staff expects to have the system back up later today. We will post a notice to that effect when the system is again available.

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Beischel
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/18/2007 11:08:18 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Monday morning 7:07 am ESDT and tried to log-in again - still off-line.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> The server upgrade was days ago and it was up after that.
> Lotw was working till I checked it this Sunday early am.
> Sundays mornings have been frequent down times in the past.
> I think they do backup or whatever.
> In the past, the Sunday am downtime is usually over by 1500z or so.
>
>
>
> On 6/17/2007 8:10:04 AM, Beischel (beischel@...) wrote:
> > LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
> > this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
> > and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious
> > downtime!
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> > "w7psk" <w7psk@> wrote:
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.

> > com], "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot
> > > > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> > > >
> > > > Tim K6GEP
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
> > >
> > >
> > > LoTW Off Line
> > > The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line.
Service
> > > will be restored as soon as possible.
> > >
> > > lotw-help@
> > >
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/message/4006;_ylc=X3oDMTM1Y2k5ODJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTc
wNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDI0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MjA4NTk2NgR0cGNJZAM0MDA2](0)
> > Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> > LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHZxaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAz
>

4033. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS : Is your USA State needed?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 13:49:48 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
Topic: 4031 Message: 4033

At 08:25 AM 6/18/2007, you wrote:
>Here are a list of stations and what they are looking for WAS-wise,
>several need RTTY/digital contacts.
>
>06/18 10:29 <KU0A/L> Need IN, KY, NE, MT, ND, and VT on RTTY or
>PSK31, prefer 20 or 40,PSE email sked
>
>
>06/18 08:32 <HA9PP/L> Need HI ID KY LA MO ND NE NM NV SD TN UT on
>15M - PSE e-mail for SKED
>
>I am in KY and operate all bands 160 thru sat (putting up new sat ants now)

I am on the N8UX NODE on the dx cluster so can do rtty cw ssb any HF
band just let me know when . on every morning at 7:30am est.
73 Tony wa4jqs

Anthony W. DePrato WA4JQS
QCWA # 23602 10X # 3621
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 VBA # 55 AMI # 1274
NCDXF # 1036 RNARS # 1309 SKCC # 1227
DXCC PHONE DXCC RTTY DXCC CW
Lis. 1962 Calls Held
VP8BZL VP8SSI 3Y0PI V31SS ZD8JQS
WA4JQS/ZS1 WA4JQS/4K1 WA4JQS/KC4

4034. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 16:51:26 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4006 Message: 4034

11:45AM CDT, system is working here.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Beischel
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/18/2007 11:08:18 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Server Upgrade is Done!

Monday morning 7:07 am ESDT and tried to log-in again - still off-line.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> The server upgrade was days ago and it was up after that.
> Lotw was working till I checked it this Sunday early am.
> Sundays mornings have been frequent down times in the past.
> I think they do backup or whatever.
> In the past, the Sunday am downtime is usually over by 1500z or so.
>
>
>
> On 6/17/2007 8:10:04 AM, Beischel (beischel@...) wrote:
> > LOTW was off-line all day yesterday and just tried to log-in again
> > this morning and it is still off-line. So if it started on Wednesday
> > and still off-line as of Sunday - Holy Crap that is some serious
> > downtime!
> >
> > Duffy
> > www.wb8nut.com
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com],
> > "w7psk" <w7psk@> wrote:
> > >
> > > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [link:
mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.
> > com], "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > The scheduled maintenance is done, and wow is it a lot

> > > > faster! Thank you ARRL!
> > > >
> > > > Tim K6GEP
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Maybe not. I just now tried and got this
> > >
> > >
> > > LoTW Off Line
> > > The ARRL Logbook of the World Server is temporarily off-line.
Service
> > > will be restored as soon as possible.
> > >
> > > lotw-help@
> > >
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
LOTW/message/4006;_ylc=X3oDMTM1Y2k5ODJoBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTc
wNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDI0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4MjA4NTk2NgR0cGNJZAM0MDA2](0)
> > Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> > LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNHZxaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAz
>

4035. OLD QSL just recieved.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 17:28:17 UTC
From: w7psk
Topic: 4035 Message: 4035

Now this was cool to find this morning, check out the QSO date :D

Worked AP/WA2WYR
DXCC PAKISTAN (372)
Date/Time 1992-09-22 02:24:00
Mode CW (CW)
Band 20M
Frequency 14.041000
QSL 2007-06-16 15:21:45

4036. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OLD QSL just recieved.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 17:35:20 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4035 Message: 4036
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4037. QSL count went down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 17:54:27 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4037 Message: 4037
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4038. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL count went down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-18 19:38:04 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4037 Message: 4038
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4039. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL count went down

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-19 00:14:31 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4037 Message: 4039
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4040. what is dumber than

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-19 10:38:47 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4040 Message: 4040

A QSOP (QSO Party) station, that uploads their log to LoTW and forgets to
'edit station location' and enter their county into the signing module?

Yes I know LoTW is not currently used for crediting counties.
But it is useful for the many of us who don't chase paper, just goals.

LOL, lets all check that our state and county info is in the data we submit.

Did anyone see my lost carrier?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4041. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-25 04:11:51 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3935 Message: 4041
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4042. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 02:10:20 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 3935 Message: 4042

At 12:11 AM 6/25/2007, Prasad wrote:
>I made my first JT65A QSOs and did what was suggested below to add
>JT6M in Preferences - ADIF MODES
>
>Nothing happens when I add this and dont even see it in the list of modes.
>
>73 de Prasad VU2PTT

Do you have the latest TQSL configuration file installed?
Log in to LotW, then select "Your Account". Select "Your Certificates"
from the left hand menu.
You should then click on "Download current TQSL Configuration File".
Click "Open" to install the new configuration file.
You should then be able to run TQSL and use File/Preferences/ADIF Modes
to add JT6M as explained earlier.
-Rick

4043. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Qso on JT6M Mode

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 03:18:25 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 3935 Message: 4043
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4044. Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 04:35:52 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4044 Message: 4044

Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return within the first 24-hours.

Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4045. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 04:52:01 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 4044 Message: 4045

--- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:

>
> Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return
> within the first 24-hours.
>
> Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

0% so far.

Scotty W7PSK.

Yahoo! oneSearch: Finally, mobile search
that gives answers, not web links.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/mobileweb/onesearch?refer=1ONXIC

4046. so far I only have a few FD lotw qsls

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 10:23:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4046 Message: 4046

I've always thought the ARRL should make LoTW FD submissions mandatory for inclusion into QST results.

In fact, I always thought ARRL should make all it's contests, LoTW submission mandatory.
I can't help but feel it would actually INCREASE contest participation of 'casual contest operator'.
To say nothing of easing bureau and contest station hardcard qsl bureau.

I wonder when we'll find the answer to all the April snow...

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4047. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 12:08:56 UTC
From: Peter Nystrom (KB5HPL)
Topic: 4044 Message: 4047

O% here so far....It has been 56 hours since I emailed my log file,
and LoTW has not processed it, yet. Either a lot of people sent in
logs, or something is hanging it up.
-- Peter (KB5HPL)

On Jun 25, 2007, at 11:52 PM, Rick Scott wrote:

>
> --- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> >
> > Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return
> > within the first 24-hours.
> >
> > Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> 0% so far.
>
> Scotty W7PSK.
>
> ---
> Yahoo! oneSearch: Finally, mobile search
> that gives answers, not web links.
> http://mobile.yahoo.com/mobileweb/onesearch?refer=1ONXIC
>
>

4048. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 14:22:26 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 4044 Message: 4048

On 6/26/2007 K0HB wrote:
<snip>
> Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
<snip>

Better than me - so far I have NONE

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

"Think about how dumb the average person is. Now consider that half of the world is even dumber than that. "
-- kevin@...

4049. Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 15:58:30 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4044 Message: 4049

I guess I am doing a little better than most, but not great. Worked about six hours and, after dupes, had 282 QSOs in Field Day. I have 12 LotW QSLs so far.

KI6VC, KF6PG, W6RKC, N2BJ, K8YTO, WB8JUI, AA8IA, K0GEO, W7OM, WA7YAZ, W9BOK, AND K6TD.

I uploaded my log 2007-06-24 20:29:06. It was processed at 2007-06-24 20:29:39, only 33 seconds after submittal.

For those that don't know, you can click on "Your Account" and then "Your Activity" and see usually see when you submitted and when it was processed. There have been a few times when the submittal and the result were shown only once, I assume that means there was no backlog.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Peter Nystrom (KB5HPL)" <pgnystrom@...> wrote:
>
> 0% here so far....It has been 56 hours since I emailed my log file,
> and LoTW has not processed it, yet. Either a lot of people sent in
> logs, or something is hanging it up.
> -- Peter (KB5HPL)
>
> On Jun 25, 2007, at 11:52 PM, Rick Scott wrote:
>
> >
> > --- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return
> > > within the first 24-hours.
> > >
> > > Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > 0% so far.
> >
> > Scotty W7PSK.
> >
> > _____

> > Yahoo! oneSearch: Finally, mobile search
> > that gives answers, not web links.
> > http://mobile.yahoo.com/mobileweb/onesearch?refer=1ONXIC
> >
> >
>

4050. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-26 22:26:30 UTC
From: Peter Nystrom (KB5HPL)
Topic: 4044 Message: 4050

I resent my log via email....but it did not work...no joy.
Then, I uploaded the log file via the web interface. 1 minute later
the file was processed.

So, if you are having trouble, try the web interface.
-- Peter (KB5HPL)

On Jun 26, 2007, at 7:08 AM, Peter Nystrom (KB5HPL) wrote:

> O% here so far....It has been 56 hours since I emailed my log file,
> and LoTW has not processed it, yet. Either a lot of people sent in
> logs, or something is hanging it up.
>
> -- Peter (KB5HPL)
>
> On Jun 25, 2007, at 11:52 PM, Rick Scott wrote:
>
>>
>> --- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return
>> > within the first 24-hours.
>> >
>> > Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> 0% so far.
>>
>> Scotty W7PSK.
>>
>> _____
>> Yahoo! oneSearch: Finally, mobile search
>> that gives answers, not web links.
>> http://mobile.yahoo.com/mobileweb/onesearch?refer=1ONXIC
>>
>
>
>

4051. Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 13:51:34 UTC
From: David Kozinn
Topic: 4044 Message: 4051

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>
> Most contests result in around a 15% LoTW return within the first
24-hours.
>
> Field day this weekend returned about 3% on LoTW.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

My 2 cents is that for Field Day, you get a lot of club stations on the air that don't normally operate in contests, and because of that, they aren't set up to upload to LotW. Also, because you don't normally need to submit full logs for FD (though it's my understanding that you do need to have them available for "audit" purposes if necessary), there might not be a single consolidated log available for uploading. For about 5 years I did the scoring for my radio club, and pretty much every one of our stations (we'd typically run 12A or 13A) had a slightly different logging setup. We'd have everything from paper logs to every kind of electronic log that you can imagine. For scoring purposes it wasn't too difficult to get the QSO counts per band per mode from that, but trying to merge the logs into a single comprehensive file was challenging, to say the least.

My guess is that unlike conventional contests (and remember, Field Day isn't a contest, it's an "operating event"!) where there are teams that regularly contest together, FD draws from a much wider population.

Would it be good to have all FD logs uploaded to LoTW? Absolutely. Should it be required for FD? In my opinion, no, you'd loose participants. (But maybe offering bonus points to the submitter, like the bonus points for web submission, would be a good incentive.) Should it be required for all ARRL contests? I'll let someone else debate that.

4052. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 14:03:23 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4044 Message: 4052

----- Original Message -----
From: David Kozinn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/27/2007 1:54:30 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

> (But maybe offering bonus points to the submitter, like
> the bonus points for web submission, would be a good incentive.)

I'd support that incentive.

> Should it be required for all ARRL contests?

Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad idea.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4053. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 14:15:19 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 4044 Message: 4053

Hello K0HB,

K> Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a
K> nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not
K> everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for
K> participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad
K> idea.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It is not about arrogance, it is about reciprocity. I consider
electronic QSLing in all meanings equal to paper cards, therefore if
someone does not reply on my electronic "QSL" why should I reply on
his paper confirmation request?

Besides, giving a paper confirmation on someone's request costs me
money while giving LoTW confirmations is FREE.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

4054. Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 15:44:01 UTC
From: Hank Garretson
Topic: 4054 Message: 4054

Did a play-around 1D Field Day effort from home. Used TR POST to
create .cbr file.

Tried to use LOTW TQSL to certify the .cbr file for LOTW
upload. First time through, TQSL said I had invalid contest name,
and indeed there was no CONTEST line in the .cbr file that POST
produced. I added CONTEST: FD as line six in the .cbr file and
edited my TQSL Cabrillo specifications to include FD contest in field six.

Tried TQSL again and it accepted CONTEST: FD ok, but now hiccuped at line 12.

Here is the first part of the .cbr file:

```
START-OF-LOG: 2.3
CREATED-BY: TR Log POST Version 6.82
CALLSIGN: W6SX
CATEGORY: MULTI-ONE ALL HIGH MIXED
CLAIMED-SCORE: 368
CONTEST: FD
NAME: Hank Garretson
ADDRESS: 377 Monterey Pine Road
ADDRESS: PO Box 1213
ADDRESS: Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
OPERATORS: W6SX, KB1MWO
QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1801 W6SX VE6NEW 2A Ab
QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1802 W6SX KB7ARA 3A Ew
```

Here is the TQSL error message:

```
Signing using CALL=W6SX, DXCC=291
Error: Signing aborted due to errors
Error: C:\Documents and Settings\Compaq_Administrator\My
Documents\Chapster\Eudora Attach\FD.CBR: Operator aborted operation on line 12
```

What have I done wrong?

73,

Hank, W6SX

Mammoth Lakes, California

4055. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 16:08:53 UTC
From: Ron Wetjen
Topic: 4054 Message: 4055

Hank Garretson wrote:

> QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1801 W6SX VE6NEW 2A Ab
> QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1802 W6SX KB7ARA 3A Ew
>
> What have I done wrong?

2 things jump out. Usually, the lines are all CAPS (don't know if that's critical) ... AB vs Ab

Second, you probably need to use valid section abbreviations ... Ew is not a valid section abbreviation ... EWA (if that's what you worked - Eastern Washington) is.

Just my guess.

Ron

4056. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 16:46:27 UTC
From: Larry Gerhardstein
Topic: 4054 Message: 4056

Email your Cabrillo file (with extention txt) as attachment to
FieldDay@....

Larry W7WCC

4057. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 15:03:25 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4044 Message: 4057

It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL system, or you can't play in our contest."

I'm an ARRL member and I've participated in LoTW since day-1, but I consider it nothing more than an excellent alternative way of QSL'ing. (I just wrote a check to FDS-GRAPICS.COM for another 1,000 paper cards too. I'd be happy to send you one for our 20M CW QSO of 19-Feb-00)

If some other fine ham does not participate in LoTW, I don't yet have the arrogance to say "You will QSL via LoTW or you will not be allowed in the contest (or other ARRL activity)".

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX
To: K0HB
Sent: 6/27/2007 10:15:20 PM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Hello K0HB,

K> Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a
K> nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not
K> everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for
K> participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad
K> idea.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It is not about arrogance, it is about reciprocity. I consider electronic QSLing in all meanings equal to paper cards, therefore if someone does not reply on my electronic "QSL" why should I reply on his paper confirmation request?

Besides, giving a paper confirmation on someone's request costs me money while giving LoTW confirmations is FREE.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

4058. submitting log requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 18:01:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4058 Message: 4058

would you settle for????
To win a award, you must submit a log to LoTW?
Seeing as you you already have to submit a log for the score checkers.

I wholeheartedly agree that you shouldn't stop anyone from taking part,
besides, how could you enforce that?

Microfiche: Sardines

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4059. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 18:39:23 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 4044 Message: 4059

--- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:

> It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs
> LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL
> system, or you can't play in our contest."

Yep, they did it with WAS/ DXCC and it didnt garner
any new subscriptions, in fact they lost a ton (mine
included) at the time. About 20 of us in my club
dropped. Took me nearly 15 years to join back up.

IF they had thought that through that was 15 years of
subs they could have kept.

Scotty W7PSK.

Looking for a deal? Find great prices on flights and hotels with Yahoo! FareChase.
http://farechase.yahoo.com/

4060. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] submitting log requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 18:46:05 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4058 Message: 4060

Firebrick asked:

> would you settle for????
> To win a award, you must submit a log to LoTW?

No, I wouldn't settle for that.

Using their contest sponsorship as a "lever" to increase participation in LoTW (or any other ARRL function) is not appropriate.

LoTW should stand on it's own merit, without "subsidy" from other ARRL functions, or fail.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4061. Re: submitting log requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 19:19:10 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 4058 Message: 4061

People are inertial -- they just keep doing what they're doing. If new technologies were left to stand on their own merit, too many would be gathering dust in the corner of some lab. It is often necessary to help prospective users become aware of a new technology and its virtues before it will be adopted in significant volume.

LotW's current adoption rate is squarely in "walking dead" territory; were it the product of a profit-seeking business, it would have been terminated years ago. Being funded with ARRL dues gives LotW more leeway, but as ARRL management discovers just how expensive it is to manage a 24x7 software utility there will be increasing pressure for LotW to fish or cut bait. Some aggressive marketing is in order.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
> Firebrick asked:
>
> > would you settle for????
> > To win a award, you must submit a log to LoTW?
>
> No, I wouldn't settle for that.
>
> Using their contest sponsorship as a "lever" to increase
participation in
> LoTW (or any other ARRL function) is not appropriate.
>
> LoTW should stand on it's own merit, without "subsidy" from other
ARRL
> functions, or fail.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>

4062. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 19:31:35 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen
Topic: 4044 Message: 4062

On Jun 27, 2007, at 11:39 AM, Rick Scott wrote:

>
> --- K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>> It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs
>> LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL
>> system, or you can't play in our contest."
>
> Yep, they did it with WAS/ DXCC and it didnt garner
> any new subscriptions, in fact they lost a ton (mine
> included) at the time. About 20 of us in my club
> dropped. Took me nearly 15 years to join back up.
>
>
> IF they had thought that through that was 15 years of
> subs they could have kept.
>
>
> Scotty W7PSK.

I see nothing in either the WAS or DXCC rules requiring participation in LOTW. They allow you to make submittals using LOTW confirmations, but it is not a necessity.

Bob, N7XY

4063. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: submitting log requirement

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 19:37:59 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 4058 Message: 4063

Well said Is all that I can say. It is a good idea but is not getting the push of the iPhone. I double anyone is yet camped on the door of ARRL awaiting the first sale :-)

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dave Bernstein
> Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 2:19 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: submitting log requirement
>
> People are inertial -- they just keep doing what they're
> doing. If new technologies were left to stand on their own
> merit, too many would be gathering dust in the corner of some
> lab. It is often necessary to help prospective users become
> aware of a new technology and its virtues before it will be
> adopted in significant volume.
>
> LotW's current adoption rate is squarely in "walking dead"
> territory; were it the product of a profit-seeking business,
> it would have been terminated years ago. Being funded with
> ARRL dues gives LotW more leeway, but as ARRL management
> discovers just how expensive it is to manage a 24x7 software
> utility there will be increasing pressure for LotW to fish or
> cut bait. Some aggressive marketing is in order.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
> >
> > Firebrick asked:
> >
> > > would you settle for????
> > > To win a award, you must submit a log to LoTW?
> >
> > No, I wouldn't settle for that.
> >
> > Using their contest sponsorship as a "lever" to increase
> participation in

> > LoTW (or any other ARRL function) is not appropriate.
> >
> > LoTW should stand on it's own merit, without "subsidy" from other
> ARRL
> > functions, or fail.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4064. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 20:06:43 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 4044 Message: 4064

> I see nothing in either the WAS or DXCC rules
> requiring participation
> in LOTW. They allow you to make submittals using
> LOTW confirmations,
> but it is not a necessity.
>
> Bob, N7XY

I wasnt clear, I meant when they went to ARRL members
only in the US to participate in DXCC and WAS

Scotty

Be a PS3 game guru.
Get your game face on with the latest PS3 news and previews at Yahoo! Games.
http://videogames.yahoo.com/platform?platform=120121

4065. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo and manal entry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 21:09:40 UTC
From: Vilnis Vosekalns
Topic: 4054 Message: 4065

Hank Garretson wrote:

Hello Hank ,
Not sure, but maybe, only maybe , LoTW TQSL like to see also RST (599)
when start converting cab to tq8.
TQSL is a little bit strange creation , must say.
In the same time, when using TQSL and manually enter new ADIF file TQSL
not asking RST at all and TQSL signing
such adi file .

But what I find during this experiment with manual entry is also
interesting.
I create test.adi file with only one QSO.
Here is all what is inside of one qso adif file :
<CALL:7>yl2test
<BAND:4>160M
<MODE:2>CW
<QSO_DATE:8>20070604
<TIME_ON:6>223300
<EOR>

And now what TQSL software say :

Wrote 1 QSO records to C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.adi
Signing using CALL=YL2KF, DXCC=145
C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.adi: wrote 3 records
to C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.tq8
C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.tq8 is ready to be
emailed or uploaded

So, now is THREE records (I do not really recognize - is it QSO record
and only word record the same
in this case) . From where is three qsos (or records?) if only one qso
is entered ? Maybe bugs , ja, latest TQSL version. 1.11
How to read tq8 files? Maybe some secret software can see datas inside?

73
Vilnis / YL2KF

4066. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 21:17:08 UTC
From: Larry Gerhardstein
Topic: 4054 Message: 4066

My first reply on this thread was too terse. According to the ARRL Contests page, name your Cabrillo file callsign.txt or callsign.log (i.e., your callsign). Create an email with your callsign and only your callsign in the subject line. Attach the Cabrillo file to the email. Send this email to ContestName@..., e.g., FieldDay@.... If you decide later you've omitted some qso's or some other error, create a new Cabrillo and send this new file to ARRL using the same process.

vy 73, Larry W7WCC

4067. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 20:14:29 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 4044 Message: 4067

How about just giving extra points for a contest entry that that also is uploaded to LOTW.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 11:03 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL system, or you can't play in our contest."

I'm an ARRL member and I've participated in LoTW since day-1, but I consider it nothing more than an excellent alternative way of QSL'ing. (I just wrote a check to FDS-GRAPICS.COM for another 1,000 paper cards too. I'd be happy to send you one for our 20M CW QSO of 19-Feb-00)

If some other fine ham does not participate in LoTW, I don't yet have the arrogance to say "You will QSL via LoTW or you will not be allowed in the contest (or other ARRL activity)".

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX <mailto:rx9tx@...>
To: K0HB <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 6/27/2007 10:15:20 PM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Hello K0HB,

K> Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a
K> nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not
K> everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for
K> participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad
K> idea.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It is not about arrogance, it is about reciprocity. I consider electronic QSLing in all meanings equal to paper cards, therefore if someone does not reply on my electronic "QSL" why should I reply on his paper confirmation request?

Besides, giving a paper confirmation on someone's request costs me money while giving LoTW confirmations is FREE.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx. <http://rx9tx.qrz.ru> qrz.ru

4068. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 21:55:11 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4044 Message: 4068

Not a chance! A contest scoring system should be about how well a boy-and-his-radio competed in the game. Nothing more. Nothing less. Poluting the contest with a "bonus" for your choice of QSL method in order to "subsidize" LoTW is a really, really bad idea.

As a point of interest from my LoTW returns (about 7,000 QSL's for 40,000 entries), contesters are far and away the largest user group already.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/27/2007 9:41:18 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

How about just giving extra points for a contest entry that that also is uploaded to LOTW.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 11:03 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL system, or you can't play in our contest."

I'm an ARRL member and I've participated in LoTW since day-1, but I consider it nothing more than an excellent alternative way of QSL'ing. (I just wrote a check to FDS-GRAPICS.COM for another 1,000 paper cards too. I'd be happy to send you one for our 20M CW QSO of 19-Feb-00)

If some other fine ham does not participate in LoTW, I don't yet have the arrogance to say "You will QSL via LoTW or you will not be allowed in the contest (or other ARRL activity)".

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Art RX9TX
To: K0HB
Sent: 6/27/2007 10:15:20 PM
Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Hello K0HB,

K> Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a
K> nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not
K> everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for
K> participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad
K> idea.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It is not about arrogance, it is about reciprocity. I consider
electronic QSLing in all meanings equal to paper cards, therefore if
someone does not reply on my electronic "QSL" why should I reply on
his paper confirmation request?

Besides, giving a paper confirmation on someone's request costs me
money while giving LoTW confirmations is FREE.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

4069. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo and manal entry

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 22:26:22 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4054 Message: 4069

Vilnis,

I always get two extra records regardless of the number of QSO's signed.
I doubt the extra records contain any great state secrets.
V1.11 works just fine.

I look forward to getting the 11 QSO's we've had since 1997 confirmed
via LOTW.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Vilnis Vosekalns wrote:

>Hank Garretson wrote:
>
>Hello Hank ,
>Not sure, but maybe, only maybe , LoTW TQSL like to see also RST (599)
>when start converting cab to tq8.
>TQSL is a little bit strange creation , must say.
>In the same time, when using TQSL and manually enter new ADIF file TQSL
>not asking RST at all and TQSL signing
>such adi file .
>
>But what I find during this experiment with manual entry is also
>interesting.
>I create test.adi file with only one QSO.
>Here is all what is inside of one qso adif file :
><CALL:7>yl2test
> <BAND:4>160M
> <MODE:2>CW
> <QSO_DATE:8>20070604
> <TIME_ON:6>223300
><EOR>
>
>And now what TQSL software say :
>
>Wrote 1 QSO records to C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.adi
>Signing using CALL=YL2KF, DXCC=145
>C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.adi: wrote 3 records
>to C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.tq8
>C:\Program Files\TrustedQSL\LOGS for uppload\test.tq8 is ready to be
>emailed or uploaded
>
>So, now is THREE records (I do not really recognize - is it QSO record
>and only word record the same
>in this case) . From where is three qsos (or records?) if only one qso

>is entered ? Maybe bugs , ja, latest TQSL version. 1.11
>How to read tq8 files? Maybe some secret software can see datas inside?
>
>73
>Vilnis / YL2KF
>
>
>

4070. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 22:58:35 UTC
From: Hank Garretson
Topic: 4054 Message: 4070

At 09:08 AM 27 06 2007, Ron Wetjen wrote:

>Hank Garretson wrote:
>
> > QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1801 W6SX VE6NEW 2A Ab
> > QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1802 W6SX KB7ARA 3A Ew
> >
> > What have I done wrong?
>
>2 things jump out. Usually, the lines are all CAPS (don't know if
>that's critical) ... AB vs Ab
>
>Second, you probably need to use valid section abbreviations ... Ew is
>not a valid section abbreviation ... EWA (if that's what you worked -
>Eastern Washington) is.

Thanks Ron,

Don't think Ab and Ew are the problems.

Regarding AB, have uploaded other TR Log generated logs to LOTW with no problems. These logs contained Ab. Just to be sure, used Notepad and capitalized AB and tried TQSL again--same result--it bombed on line 12.

Regarding Ew, TQSL burped on line 12, Ew is on line 13. Have also successfully uploaded TR Log generated logs containing Ew to LOTW. These logs have been also been submitted to ARRL for contest scoring and were included in the contest final results.

To try to see what is happening, I used N1MM logger to generate a bogus Field Day log and created an ADIF file. Tried TQSL on this log and it bombed. Again on the first line containing contact data.

So, I am still at a loss.

73,

Hank, W6SX

Mammoth Lakes, California

4071. too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 23:11:31 UTC
From: Larry Gerhardstein
Topic: 4071 Message: 4071

I just got... "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)" when I tried to log into LOTW. I guess ARRL will be doing server upgrades again.

73, Larry W7WCC

4072. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 23:21:02 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4071 Message: 4072

That happens every time the 3 users log on at the same time. <grin>

On 6/27/2007 6:11:31 PM, Larry Gerhardstein (larry@...) wrote:
> I just got...
> "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users
> (task limit)"
> when I tried to log into LOTW. I guess ARRL will be doing
> server upgrades again.
>
> 73, Larry W7WCC
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4071;_ylc=X3oDMTM1amU4cTc4BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDcxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4Mjk4NTkwMAR0cGNJZAM0MDcx](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxZXBiMHIxBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0MDcxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4Mjk4NTkwMA-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4071]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmb2pyaWtiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODI5ODU5MDA-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmZTdkdXBiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExODI5ODU5MDA-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnZTgwNTIzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTgyOTg1OTAw]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkcGhoMzhlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTgyOTg1

4073. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-27 23:37:28 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 4071 Message: 4073

I get that too but I doubt it is too many users but rather some other problem with the system and it is not sending the correct message. It worked fine earlier today.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Larry Gerhardstein
> Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:12 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error
>
> I just got... "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too
> many users (task limit)" when I tried to log into LOTW. I
> guess ARRL will be doing server upgrades again.
>
> 73, Larry W7WCC
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4074. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Field Day Carbillo

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 00:20:24 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4054 Message: 4074

At 11:44 AM 6/27/2007, Hank Garretson wrote:

>Tried TQSL again and it accepted CONTEST: FD ok, but now hiccuped at
>line 12.
>
>
>Here is the first part of the .cbr file:
>
>START-OF-LOG: 2.3
>CREATED-BY: TR Log POST Version 6.82
>CALLSIGN: W6SX
>CATEGORY: MULTI-ONE ALL HIGH MIXED
>CLAIMED-SCORE: 368
>CONTEST: FD
>NAME: Hank Garretson
>ADDRESS: 377 Monterey Pine Road
>ADDRESS: PO Box 1213
>ADDRESS: Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
>OPERATORS: W6SX, KB1MWO
>QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1801 W6SX VE6NEW 2A Ab
>QSO: 14000 CW 2007-06-23 1802 W6SX KB7ARA 3A Ew

My guess: That's not a valid QSO - no signal report sent/received.
Also, google searching around says that "The Cabrillo format does not
accomodate Field Day."
"FD" isn't one of the valid Cabrillo contest identifiers (again, based
on a bit of Googling around).
-Rick

4075. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 00:23:21 UTC
From: Ron Novak
Topic: 4071 Message: 4075

Relational Database systems and medium / large platform O/S's allow the system operator to change the maximum number of users at any time. Often it may be set to zero when they need to keep the system up but prevent additional users from logging in (once they are already in) while some activity is performed. You also see many Relational Database Systems startup with max users = zero until the startup and recovery process is complete.

Ron
N4VZ
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:37 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

I get that too but I doubt it is too many users but rather some other
problem with the system and it is not sending the correct message. It worked
fine earlier today.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Larry Gerhardstein
> Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:12 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error
>
> I just got... "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too
> many users (task limit)" when I tried to log into LOTW. I
> guess ARRL will be doing server upgrades again.
>
> 73, Larry W7WCC
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4076. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 01:53:44 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4044 Message: 4076
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4077. Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 02:00:23 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 4044 Message: 4077

besides, on FD anyways, several operators will often work under one call sign, for me to take credit for a contact that was actually made by another op under my call would be cheating towards WAS or other awards.
Don
ad7ll

----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 3:55 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Not a chance! A contest scoring system should be about how well a boy-and-his-radio competed in the game. Nothing more. Nothing less. Poluting the contest with a "bonus" for your choice of QSL method in order to "subsidize" LoTW is a really, really bad idea.

As a point of interest from my LoTW returns (about 7,000 QSL's for 40,000 entries), contesters are far and away the largest user group already.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Julio Peralta
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/27/2007 9:41:18 PM
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

How about just giving extra points for a contest entry that that also is uploaded to LOTW.

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 11:03 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

It would be mind-bending ARROGANCE if ARRL (who runs LoTW) were to say: "You must participate in our QSL system, or you can't play in our contest."

I'm an ARRL member and I've participated in LoTW since day-1, but I consider it nothing more than an excellent alternative way of QSL'ing. (I just wrote a check to FDS-GRAPICS.COM for another 1,000 paper cards too. I'd be happy to send you one for our 20M CW QSO of 19-Feb-00)

If some other fine ham does not participate in LoTW, I don't yet have the arrogance to say "You will QSL via LoTW or you will not be allowed in the contest (or other ARRL activity)".

73, de Hans, K0HB

--

><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----

From: Art RX9TX

To: K0HB

Sent: 6/27/2007 10:15:20 PM

Subject: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Hello K0HB,

K> Use of LoTW should NOT be required of anyone for ANY reason. The LoTW is a
K> nice facility for those who are 'into' electronic QSLing, but it's not
K> everyones cup of tea. Requiring participation in LoTW as a condition for
K> participation in some OTHER activity would be a really arrogant and bad
K> idea.

K> 73, de Hans, K0HB

It is not about arrogance, it is about reciprocity. I consider
electronic QSLing in all meanings equal to paper cards, therefore if
someone does not reply on my electronic "QSL" why should I reply on
his paper confirmation request?

Besides, giving a paper confirmation on someone's request costs me
money while giving LoTW confirmations is FREE.

--
73...Art RX9TX

http://rx9tx.qrz.ru

4078. RE: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 02:05:36 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 4044 Message: 4078

For field day they give extra points for a whole host of non on air things. Some you club may have done and some maybe you didn't. No one would have to do it but extra points if you do. If any contest would lend it self to this concept Field Day would.

BTW am I mistaken or do some contests no longer accept paper logs?

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hank k8dd
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 9:54 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Field Day

On 6/27/07, Julio Peralta <jperalta@...> wrote:
How about just giving extra points for a contest entry that that also is uploaded to LOTW.

Julio, W4HY

I don't think so.
We used a club call at Field Day
That means we would have to go through talking the club into the benefit of LOTW.
Then we would have to talk the trustee into signing up.
And considering that the "general ham population" doesn't know LOTW from a hole in the ground or care about it, it would probably be a losing battle.
Nope, I don't think that would work.

/flame suit on/

Hank K8DD

--

'Never argue with an idiot. They drag you down to their
level then beat you with experience.' -anon
--

4079. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 02:25:32 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 4071 Message: 4079

I would call that another problem and I will also call it a really poor message if that is what was the cause.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

_____

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ron Novak
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 7:23 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Relational Database systems and medium / large platform O/S's allow the system operator to change the maximum number of users at any time. Often it may be set to zero when they need to keep the system up but prevent additional users from logging in (once they are already in) while some activity is performed. You also see many Relational Database Systems startup with max users = zero until the startup and recovery process is complete.

Ron
N4VZ

----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn <mailto:rojomn@...>
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:37 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

I get that too but I doubt it is too many users but rather some other problem with the system and it is not sending the correct message. It worked fine earlier today.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages. <http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron>
charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com> ps.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com] On Behalf Of Larry Gerhardstein
> Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:12 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com

> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error
>
> I just got... "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too
> many users (task limit)" when I tried to log into LOTW. I
> guess ARRL will be doing server upgrades again.
>
> 73, Larry W7WCC
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4080. Too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 07:31:16 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 4080 Message: 4080

I am still getting these error messages
Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
Steve G4OWT----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2007 3:25 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

I would call that another problem and I will also call it a really poor message if that is what was the cause.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ron Novak
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 7:23 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

Relational Database systems and medium / large platform O/S's allow the system operator to change the maximum number of users at any time. Often it may be set to zero when they need to keep the system up but prevent additional users from logging in (once they are already in) while some activity is performed. You also see many Relational Database Systems startup with max users = zero until the startup and recovery process is complete.

Ron
N4VZ
----- Original Message -----
From: rojomn
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:37 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error

I get that too but I doubt it is too many users but rather some other
problem with the system and it is not sending the correct message. It worked
fine earlier today.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082147 W 92.513085 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Larry Gerhardstein
> Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2007 6:12 PM

> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] too many users error
>
> I just got... "Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too
> many users (task limit)" when I tried to log into LOTW. I
> guess ARRL will be doing server upgrades again.
>
> 73, Larry W7WCC
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.476 / Virus Database: 269.9.10/873 - Release Date: 26/06/2007 23:54

4081. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 10:58:32 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 4080 Message: 4081

On Thu, 28 Jun 2007 08:31:16 +0100, "Steve Harwood"
<g4owt@...> wrote:

>I am still getting these error messages
>Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
>Steve G4OWT----- Original Message -----
Just logged on here without any problems.

Check your cache

73 Graham M5AAV
Please do not forget the TARA sponsored contests...
See ... http://www.n2ty.org/seasons/tara_seasons.html

4082. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 17:40:24 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 4080 Message: 4082

Hi Graham,
I tried it on another network it was still the same, strange thing was this occurred at 8:30 in the morning and I would have assumed to server to not that busy. All working OK now at 6:39 pm though!

Steve G4OWT
----- Original Message -----
From: "Graham Ridgeway" <m5aav@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2007 11:58 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Too many users error

> On Thu, 28 Jun 2007 08:31:16 +0100, "Steve Harwood"
> <g4owt@...> wrote:
>
>>I am still getting these error messages
>>Fatal error: initializeLOTWDB: -9807 - Too many users (task limit)
>>Steve G4OWT----- Original Message -----
> Just logged on here without any problems.
>
> Check your cache
>
>
>
>
> 73 Graham M5AAV
> Please do not forget the TARA sponsored contests...
> See ... http://www.n2ty.org/seasons/tara_seasons.html
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.476 / Virus Database: 269.9.10/875 - Release Date: 27/06/2007
> 21:08
>
>

4083. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Too many users error

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-28 19:21:59 UTC
From: Graham Ridgeway
Topic: 4080 Message: 4083

On Thu, 28 Jun 2007 18:40:24 +0100, "Steve Harwood"
<g4owt@...> wrote:

>Hi Graham,
>I tried it on another network it was still the same, strange thing was this
>occurred at 8:30 in the morning and I would have assumed to server to not
>that busy. All working OK now at 6:39 pm though!
>
>Steve G4OWT

Weird !

I tried it soon after I saw your message - in fact about aminute
before I posted.

Just one of dem fings I spose :-)

73 Graham M5AAV
Please do not forget the TARA sponsored contests...
See ... http://www.n2ty.org/seasons/tara_seasons.html

4084. WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-29 07:28:16 UTC
From: Alex Kolmychek RN6AH
Topic: 4084 Message: 4084

Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds. He needs several States to
complete WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY .
Who can help Alex, please email him directly at:

Alex Kolmychek RN6AH <rn6ah@...

73! Best regards,
Alex Kolmychek, RN6AH
---
phone/fax + 8 (861) 5772112
8-918-434-7595 (mobile)
8-909-456-3699 (mobile)
http://alex-rn6ah.livejournal.com/
http://www.qsl.net/rn6ah

RN6BN AR-Cluster Node in Krasnodar, Russia
telnet://RN6BN.73.ru or telnet://62.183.34.131

4085. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-29 13:39:55 UTC
From: Murray Hunt Jr
Topic: 4084 Message: 4085

What band?

Alex Kolmychek RN6AH wrote:
> Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds. He needs several States to
> complete WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY .
> Who can help Alex, please email him directly at:
>
> Alex Kolmychek RN6AH <rn6ah@...
>
> 73! Best regards,
> Alex Kolmychek, RN6AH
> ---
> phone/fax + 8 (861) 5772112
> 8-918-434-7595 (mobile)
> 8-909-456-3699 (mobile)
> http://alex-rn6ah.livejournal.com/
> http://www.qsl.net/rn6ah
> ---
> RN6BN AR-Cluster Node in Krasnodar, Russia
> telnet://RN6BN.73.ru or telnet://62.183.34.131
> ---
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4086. OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-29 20:15:49 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4086 Message: 4086

I sent an email to the ARRL asking what the timeframe is to offer VUCC via LOTW. They referred me to one of their programmers. He replied "There are no present plans to offer VUCC via LoTW." OK, asked him some more questions and this is what I get:

I didn't say it would never happen, it's just not in the present plan. It's certainly something we are interested in, but given our current resources, the costs involved, and the anticipated level of activity, taken together, VUCC via LoTW just hasn't made it into the plan ahead of the other things we have on our plate.

This reply only opens up more questions to ask what the holdup is. Additionally, it appears the ARRL is underestimating the number of VHFers who are waiting for VUCC to become available via LOTW. I am going to ask my division director for a better answer.

Stay tuned.
73,
Cord KD5J

4087. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-29 20:36:11 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4086 Message: 4087

Cord,

I got the same answer last year.

Perhaps it is not worth the programming effort. However, there should
be some way to get credit-- even if it is a hokey.

I proposed to the local VUCC checker that I make a copy of the detailed
screen dump for each LoTW QSL.
They can all be put into a single Word or WordPerfect document. Then
put that on a CD and mail it to the checker.
If that wouldn't be secure enough, I offered to sit down with the
checker and show him the LoTW QSL's while logged into LoTW. He is
checking with ARRL on this.

I understand CQ checkers accept such screen dumps for their awards.

I have 125 grids, many new, already confirmed via LOTW and they are
currently worthless.

73 de Brian/K3KO

cord72501 wrote:

>I sent an email to the ARRL asking what the timeframe is to offer VUCC
>via LOTW. They referred me to one of their programmers. He
>replied "There are no present plans to offer VUCC via LoTW." OK, asked
>him some more questions and this is what I get:
>
>I didn't say it would never happen, it's just not in the present plan.
>It's certainly something we are interested in, but given our current
>resources, the costs involved, and the anticipated level of activity,
>taken together, VUCC via LoTW just hasn't made it into the plan ahead of
>the other things we have on our plate.
>
>This reply only opens up more questions to ask what the holdup is.
>Additionally, it appears the ARRL is underestimating the number of
>VHFers who are waiting for VUCC to become available via LOTW. I am
>going to ask my division director for a better answer.
>
>Stay tuned.
>73,
>Cord KD5J
>
>

>
>

4088. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-29 22:46:23 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4086 Message: 4088

If the ARRL starts accepting screen dumps for verifications, you might as well throw the entire LoTW security system out the window.

This screen dump concept came up a while back. I proved to the fellow that *I* worked the particular DX station he was submitting, not him. I used simple PDF editing tools, removed his callsign and inserted mine. This is no smoke and mirrors, just everyday graphic arts tools.

What the ARRL is telling you is the way to get VUCC credit right now is to exchange a printed QSL card. The CQ awards manager... well that's a different organization with a different level of security.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2007 3:36 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

Cord,

I got the same answer last year.

Perhaps it is not worth the programming effort. However, there should
be some way to get credit-- even if it is a hokey.

I proposed to the local VUCC checker that I make a copy of the detailed
screen dump for each LoTW QSL.
They can all be put into a single Word or WordPerfect document. Then
put that on a CD and mail it to the checker.
If that wouldn't be secure enough, I offered to sit down with the
checker and show him the LoTW QSL's while logged into LoTW. He is
checking with ARRL on this.

I understand CQ checkers accept such screen dumps for their awards.

I have 125 grids, many new, already confirmed via LOTW and they are
currently worthless.

73 de Brian/K3KO

cord72501 wrote:

>I sent an email to the ARRL asking what the timeframe is to offer VUCC
>via LOTW. They referred me to one of their programmers. He
>replied "There are no present plans to offer VUCC via LoTW." OK, asked

>him some more questions and this is what I get:
>
>I didn't say it would never happen, it's just not in the present plan.
>It's certainly something we are interested in, but given our current
>resources, the costs involved, and the anticipated level of activity,
>taken together, VUCC via LoTW just hasn't made it into the plan ahead of
>the other things we have on our plate.
>
>This reply only opens up more questions to ask what the holdup is.
>Additionally, it appears the ARRL is underestimating the number of
>VHFers who are waiting for VUCC to become available via LOTW. I am
>going to ask my division director for a better answer.
>
>Stay tuned.
>73,
>Cord KD5J
>
>
>
>

4089. Re: OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-06-30 01:00:23 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4086 Message: 4089

Well, I dont think screen dumps are going to be the solution. I happened to have a conversation with someone this afternoon who is familiar with the issue and a part of the ARRL field organization. He said it was the intent to have LOTW track and submit ALL ARRL awards and they have been dragging their feet to get it going. He believes, as I do, it will not require a major software change to implement VUCC since grid squares are a parameter in the system. It just take some programming changes to have grids recognized as "entities". Awards via LOTW are a benefit of ARRL membership. The amateur community should be able to take full advantage of the benefits of using LOTW. As K3KO noted he was also told "no" last year, at a minimum the ARRL should implement a plan to implement VUCC and other awards in atimely and reasonable manner. It is a win/win situation for both sides: LOTW users save money on postage/printing costs and the ARRL makes money off of the credits purchased for awards.

I am awaiting an official answer from my division director which will take a few days Im sure. I will keep the thread updated.
73,
Cord KD5J

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...> wrote:
>
> If the ARRL starts accepting screen dumps for verifications, you might as well throw the entire LoTW security system out the window.
>
> This screen dump concept came up a while back. I proved to the fellow that *I* worked the particular DX station he was submitting, not him. I used simple PDF editing tools, removed his callsign and inserted mine. This is no smoke and mirrors, just everyday graphic arts tools.
>
> What the ARRL is telling you is the way to get VUCC credit right now is to exchange a printed QSL card. The CQ awards manager... well that's a different organization with a different level of security.
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian Alsop
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, June 29, 2007 3:36 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] OFFICIAL? VUCC will not be offered via LOTW anytime soon

>
>
> Cord,
>
> I got the same answer last year.
>
> Perhaps it is not worth the programming effort. However, there
should
> be some way to get credit-- even if it is a hokey.
>
> I proposed to the local VUCC checker that I make a copy of the
detailed
> screen dump for each LoTW QSL.
> They can all be put into a single Word or WordPerfect document.
Then
> put that on a CD and mail it to the checker.
> If that wouldn't be secure enough, I offered to sit down with the
> checker and show him the LoTW QSL's while logged into LoTW. He is
> checking with ARRL on this.
>
> I understand CQ checkers accept such screen dumps for their
awards.
>
> I have 125 grids, many new, already confirmed via LOTW and they
are
> currently worthless.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> cord72501 wrote:
>
> >I sent an email to the ARRL asking what the timeframe is to
offer VUCC
> >via LOTW. They referred me to one of their programmers. He
> >replied "There are no present plans to offer VUCC via LoTW." OK,
asked
> >him some more questions and this is what I get:
> >
> >I didn't say it would never happen, it's just not in the present
plan.
> >It's certainly something we are interested in, but given our
current
> >resources, the costs involved, and the anticipated level of
activity,
> >taken together, VUCC via LoTW just hasn't made it into the plan
ahead of
> >the other things we have on our plate.
> >
> >This reply only opens up more questions to ask what the holdup
is.

> >Additionally, it appears the ARRL is underestimating the number
of
> >VHFers who are waiting for VUCC to become available via LOTW. I
am
> >going to ask my division director for a better answer.
> >
> >Stay tuned.
> >73,
> >Cord KD5J
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

4090. deleting a certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 15:54:41 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4090 Message: 4090

I had obtained a certificate for a station I was serving as his manager.
I would upload his qso's to LoTW.
He did not have internet access at that time that permitted him 'private'
use.

He now does, and has obtained his own account and is uploading his qso's to
that account.

What I'd like to do...is remove it from my certificate list.
It will not expire till 2009.

I want to make sure, that if I go to tQSL Certificates and select the
certificate choice.
When I click on 'Delete', it will not cause a problem with any of the qsls
previously generated and any that DX stations new personal certificate will
generate.

Also I want to make sure it won't effect his new certificate and use of
LoTW.

Has anyone ever done this?

Do jellyfish get gas from eating jellybeans?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4091. certificate: Confused

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 16:33:30 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4091 Message: 4091

I attempted to sign a ADIF file for upload to LOTW today but was
advised my certificate expired. When I attempted to send arequest for
a new one, I was told I had an overlapping certificate. So, after I
logged in to my account I noticed...

Certificate 74321 EXPIRED Valid: 2003-09-29 00:00:00 - 2004-09-28 23:59:59
Call sign: KB2EOQ
DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
QSO Dates: 1987-11-01 00:00:00 - 2003-06-01 23:59:59

Certificate 109989 Valid: 2006-05-16 00:00:00 - 2009-05-15 23:59:59
Call sign: K3UK
DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
QSO Dates: 2003-05-13 00:00:00 - 2007-05-13 23:59:59

So, my old call certificate expired. My new call certificate is
"valid" but the QSO date range ended 2007-05-13.

What is my next step ?

Andy K3UK

4092. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] certificate: Confused

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 16:53:18 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 4091 Message: 4092

Andy
Looks like you forgot to change the QSO dates on the new certificate. I think all you need to do is request a new certificate with the correct QSO dates. Some one correct me if I am wrong.
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: Andrew O'Brien
Date: 7/1/2007 12:36:20 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] certificate: Confused

I attempted to sign a ADIF file for upload to LOTW today but was advised my certificate expired. When I attempted to send arequest for a new one, I was told I had an overlapping certificate. So, after I logged in to my account I noticed...

Certificate 74321 EXPIRED Valid: 2003-09-29 00:00:00 - 2004-09-28 23:59:59
Call sign: KB2EOQ
DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
QSO Dates: 1987-11-01 00:00:00 - 2003-06-01 23:59:59

Certificate 109989 Valid: 2006-05-16 00:00:00 - 2009-05-15 23:59:59
Call sign: K3UK
DXCC entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
QSO Dates: 2003-05-13 00:00:00 - 2007-05-13 23:59:59

So, my old call certificate expired. My new call certificate is "valid" but the QSO date range ended 2007-05-13.

What is my next step ?

Andy K3UK

4093. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] deleting a certificate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 18:44:42 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4090 Message: 4093

At 11:54 AM 7/1/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>I had obtained a certificate for a station I was serving as his manager.
>I would upload his qso's to LoTW.
>He did not have internet access at that time that permitted him 'private'
>use.
>
>He now does, and has obtained his own account and is uploading his
>qso's to
>that account.
>
>What I'd like to do...is remove it from my certificate list.
>It will not expire till 2009.
>
>I want to make sure, that if I go to tQSL Certificates and select the
>certificate choice.
>When I click on 'Delete', it will not cause a problem with any of the
>qsls
>previously generated and any that DX stations new personal certificate
>will
>generate.

Deleting the certificate on your system will not affect the QSOs
already uploaded to LotW. Doing that will not permit you to upload any
new QSOs, but that doesn't seem to be a problem.

>Also I want to make sure it won't effect his new certificate and use of
>LoTW.

It will not affect the certificate that has. I'm somewhat suprised that
LotW let him make another certificate request for the same call, but
now that he has his own valid certificate, whatever you do with your
account won't affect his.
-Rick

4094. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] certificate: Confused

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 19:19:50 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4091 Message: 4094
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4095. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] certificate: Confused

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-01 19:36:57 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 4091 Message: 4095

Andy
No problem. BTW I did the same thing when I did my first renewal, hi hi.
Couldn't understand why my Qs were being rejected.
Have fun,
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: Andrew O'Brien
Date: 7/1/2007 3:30:28 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] certificate: Confused

Thanks, JV. The additional odd thing is that I just uploaded using my usual
DX Keeper software (rather than the manual method I used earlier today) and
the upload was accepted by LOTW,

Andy.

On 7/1/07, JV <jv@...> wrote:

Andy
Looks like you forgot to change the QSO dates on the new certificate. I
think all you need to do is request a new certificate with the correct QSO
dates. Some one correct me if I am wrong.
JV

4096. Any MT RTTY ?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-07 22:03:41 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 4096 Message: 4096

Looking for Montana sked for a RTTY WAS via LOTW.
20M most likely QRG.

de Brian/K3KO

4097. UPDATE: VUCC via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-10 21:27:38 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4097 Message: 4097

I posed my concerns about the ARRL and the issue of the VUCC award via LOTW to my division director.

The answer I received is this:
The ARRL does intend to award VUCC via LOTW. It should be implemented in the early fall.

The ARRL board meeting is coming up and some more specifics may come out afterwards.

I encourage you to contact your ARRL division director and let them know you want to see VUCC via LOTW.

73,
Cord KD5J

4098. A25KDJ QSL Recd on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-18 18:18:03 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4098 Message: 4098

Wow! I worked A25KDJ on 40m the other night, and received LOTW
confirmation this morning. Totally unexpected!

THANK YOU WAYNE (W5KDJ)!

Tim K6GEP

4099. Man these RTTYers are FAST!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-22 21:46:39 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 4099 Message: 4099

It is less than 16 hours after the end of the NAQP RTTY contest and I have over 25% of my 420 contacts confirmed on LoTW!

Here's a list of the speedsters:

AA3B
AB0LR
AB0YM
AB4IQ
AD4EB
AD6WL
AD6ZJ
AI8P
AJ1M
K0JJR
K1ZZI
K3GP
K3WI
K4FX
K4HAL
K4KO
K6DGW
KA2D
KB1CJ
KB2VMG
KB8ECG
KC0RET
KC4WQ
KE1JF
KE4KWE
KG6ZHC
KH6GMP
KT0DX
KV9R
N0NI
N1UZ
N2WK
N2ZN
N3NZ
N4VZ
N5TY
N7MQ
NP3D
VE3IAE
VE3XD

W0RAA
W1ZS
W2YC
W3/NH7C
W3BUI
W3TUA
W5UMS
W6OQI
W7MRC
W7ZR
W9HLY
WA3AAN
WA4OSD
WA4PGM
WA5ZUP
WA6BOB
WA7SHP
WB8MKH
WB9VKZ
WG7X

Thanks to all for the Q's and LoTW confirms!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

4100. VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-27 03:39:33 UTC
From: vu2nks
Topic: 4100 Message: 4100

Dear All,
VU7LD logs have been uploaded to LoTW.
Uploaded callsign is VU7LD, not VU7LD/VUxxx.

73,
VU7LD/VU2NKS

4101. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 16:19:08 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 4100 Message: 4101

Wonderful -- so there will be no matches in LoTW for anyone who logged
their contacts correctly as VU7LD/VU7XXX.
73,
Chris, AC?M

vu2nks wrote:
> Dear All,
> VU7LD logs have been uploaded to LoTW.
> Uploaded callsign is VU7LD, not VU7LD/VUxxx.
>
> 73,
> VU7LD/VU2NKS
>

4102. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 16:42:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4100 Message: 4102

That caught me also.
I originally uploaded with the /vuxxx
but not a big issue
I just uploaded again without the portable
and lo and behold...the LoTW qsls appeared...

On 7/28/2007 11:19:08 AM, C.M. Christensen (cchrisac0m@...) wrote:
> Wonderful -- so there will be no matches in LoTW for anyone who logged
> their contacts correctly as VU7LD/VU7XXX.
> 73,
> Chris, AC?M
>
> vu2nks wrote:
> > Dear All,
> > VU7LD logs have been uploaded to LoTW.
> > Uploaded callsign is VU7LD, not VU7LD/VUxxx.
> >
> > 73,
> > VU7LD/VU2NKS
> >
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4100;_ylc=X3oDMTM1NjlvdHQzBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTAxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4NTY0MDQ1MAR0cGNJZAM0MTAw](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxNnVjajhsBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTAxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4NTY0MDQ1MA-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4101]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmYmtmcGlyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExODU2NDA0NTA-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmdGdhcWZlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExODU2NDA0NTA-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJncnJuNWJjBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTg1NjQwNDUw]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.

4103. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 16:51:47 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4100 Message: 4103

That's no problem. Just reupload the VU7 contacts without the /xxxxxxx
stuff.
73 de Brian/K3KO

C.M. Christensen wrote:

>Wonderful -- so there will be no matches in LoTW for anyone who logged
>their contacts correctly as VU7LD/VU7XXX.
>73,
>Chris, AC?M
>
>
>
>vu2nks wrote:
>
>
>>Dear All,
>> VU7LD logs have been uploaded to LoTW.
>> Uploaded callsign is VU7LD, not VU7LD/VUxxx.
>>
>> 73,
>> VU7LD/VU2NKS
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

4104. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 19:05:34 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 4100 Message: 4104

I am aware of that! That was not the point of my comment. I learned to log a contact in accordance with the call that was presented during the QSO. That includes any portable or other unique identifiers. I don't expect to have it changed long after it was entered correctly into my log.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Brian Alsop wrote:
> That's no problem. Just reupload the VU7 contacts without the /xxxxxxx
> stuff.
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

4105. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 19:19:49 UTC
From: HK3CW
Topic: 4100 Message: 4105

Then if you feel it was correctly logged leave it as is.
You won't have a match on LOTW - but you´ll be happy.
73 de HK3CW ROB

----- Original Message -----
From: C.M. Christensen
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 2:05 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

I am aware of that! That was not the point of my comment. I learned to
log a contact in accordance with the call that was presented during the
QSO. That includes any portable or other unique identifiers. I don't
expect to have it changed long after it was entered correctly into my log.

73,
Chris, ACØM

Brian Alsop wrote:
> That's no problem. Just reupload the VU7 contacts without the /xxxxxxx
> stuff.
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

4106. Re: VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 19:27:33 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 4100 Message: 4106

I guess you won't accept the physical QSL card either. It has no
/VUXXXX either.

Your choice.
73 de Brian/K3KO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...>
wrote:
>
> I am aware of that! That was not the point of my comment. I learned to
> log a contact in accordance with the call that was presented during the
> QSO. That includes any portable or other unique identifiers. I don't
> expect to have it changed long after it was entered correctly into
my log.
>
> 73,
> Chris, ACØM
>
>
> Brian Alsop wrote:
> > That's no problem. Just reupload the VU7 contacts without the
/xxxxxxx
> > stuff.
> > 73 de Brian/K3KO
> >
>

4107. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 19:15:50 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4100 Message: 4107

I think one's perspective on this depends on whether they had bacon and eggs or grapenuts for breakfast.
Lighten up please. ;<)
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of C.M. Christensen
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 13:06
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

I am aware of that! That was not the point of my comment. I learned to log a contact in accordance with the call that was presented during the QSO. That includes any portable or other unique identifiers. I don't expect to have it changed long after it was entered correctly into my log.

73,
Chris, AC?M

Brian Alsop wrote:
> That's no problem. Just reupload the VU7 contacts without the /xxxxxxx
> stuff.
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

4108. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-28 20:40:07 UTC
From: C.M. Christensen
Topic: 4100 Message: 4108

Take a look at the confirmation on the back side!
73,
Chris, AC?M

Brian A wrote:
> I guess you won't accept the physical QSL card either. It has no
> /VUXXXX either.
>
> Your choice.
> 73 de Brian/K3KO

4109. DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 01:51:55 UTC
From: ao.rangi
Topic: 4100 Message: 4109

I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card QSLs.

I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:

'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
Sign on
Click awards
Select your account
The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the credit matrix.
Open this and you can print it out.'

If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account Status.
I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)

I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.

Any help would be appreciated.

Bryan, ZL1NI

4110. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 02:33:11 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4100 Message: 4110

At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
>I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card
>QSLs.
>
>I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
>
>'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the
>credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
>Sign on
>Click awards
>Select your account
>The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the
>credit matrix.
>Open this and you can print it out.'
>
>
>If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account
>Status.
>I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't
>see a
>'Credit Matrix' link.)

Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC Award
Account".
You should see an "Award Status" table with
award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix" link.
Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.

>I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.

ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
all DXCC counters.
73,
-Rick

4111. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 02:48:13 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4100 Message: 4111

You can get your LOTW only entity credits by downloading all your
QSL's. Make sure you check the box for details.
(select a date range which encompasses the entire range of QSO'S). You
can find the download option under "your QSL'S"

Now import the downloaded ADIF file into your computer logging program
with a new logbook name.. Most computer logging programs will create
statistics of DXCC countries -- most likely all bands, individual bands
and band-modes. Save the logbook file

Repeat the download process periodically to update this "LOTW QSL"
logbook file

I don't know how to break out CARD only credits automatically. However
each submission you make claiming LOTW credits summarizes the LOTW
entities (band, mode included). Keeping a master list of these, one can
determine the card only credits by subtraction..

73 de Brian/K3KO

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:

>At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
>
>
>>I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card
>>QSLs.
>>
>>I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
>>
>>'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the
>>credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
>>Sign on
>>Click awards
>>Select your account
>>The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the
>>credit matrix.
>>Open this and you can print it out.'
>>
>>
>>If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account
>>Status.
>>I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't
>>see a
>>'Credit Matrix' link.)
>>

>>
>
>Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
>Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC Award
>Account".
>You should see an "Award Status" table with
>award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
>At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix" link.
>Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
>
>
>>I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>>
>>
>
>ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
>all DXCC counters.
>73,
> -Rick
>
>
>
>

4112. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 12:35:02 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4100 Message: 4112

To print your paper card DXCC report simply select AWARDS and at the bottom of the LoTW QSL report, select VIEW AWARD CREDIT MATRIX and then select PRINT in your browser.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ao.rangi
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 8:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

You can get your LOTW only entity credits by downloading all your
QSL's. Make sure you check the box for details.
(select a date range which encompasses the entire range of QSO'S). You
can find the download option under "your QSL'S"

Now import the downloaded ADIF file into your computer logging program
with a new logbook name.. Most computer logging programs will create
statistics of DXCC countries -- most likely all bands, individual bands
and band-modes. Save the logbook file

Repeat the download process periodically to update this "LOTW QSL"
logbook file

I don't know how to break out CARD only credits automatically. However
each submission you make claiming LOTW credits summarizes the LOTW
entities (band, mode included). Keeping a master list of these, one can
determine the card only credits by subtraction..

73 de Brian/K3KO

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:

>At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
>
>
>>I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card
>>QSLs.
>>
>>I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:

>>
>>'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the
>>credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
>>Sign on
>>Click awards
>>Select your account
>>The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the
>>credit matrix.
>>Open this and you can print it out.'
>>
>>
>>If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account
>>Status.
>>I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't
>>see a
>>'Credit Matrix' link.)
>>
>>
>
>Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
>Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC Award
>Account".
>You should see an "Award Status" table with
>award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
>At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix" link.
>Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
>
>
>>I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>>
>>
>
>ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
>all DXCC counters.
>73,
> -Rick
>
>
>
>

4113. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] VU7LD on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 12:47:46 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 4100 Message: 4113

On 7/28/07 3:05 PM, "C.M. Christensen" <cchrisac0m@...> wrote:

> I am aware of that! That was not the point of my comment. I learned to
> log a contact in accordance with the call that was presented during the
> QSO. That includes any portable or other unique identifiers. I don't
> expect to have it changed long after it was entered correctly into my log.

Excuse me, but this is hardly news. It was made very plain during the
DXpedition that the callsign of the station was VU7LD, not including the
operator callsigns. This from The Daily DX on December 5:

"All QSL cards for VU7LD go via W3HNK. All QSOs can be put on one QSL card.
There is no need to have the operator's home call (i.e. VU7LD/VU2PAI). If
you do include that info it may help, but is not required as
the callsign of the station is VU7LD. The /VU#??? is required by the Indian
authorities..."

Note "the callsign of the station is VU7LD." 'Nuff said.

73,
--
John Bastin K8AJS
jbastin@...

4114. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 03:41:53 UTC
From: ao.rangi
Topic: 4100 Message: 4114

Thanks Rick.
I have all that. What I seem to be missing is the "View Award Credit Matrix"
link at the bottom of the Status table. Back to Bill I guess?

Bryan, Zl1NI

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rick Murphy/K1MU [mailto:k1mu-lotw@...]
> Sent: Sunday, 29 July 2007 2:33 p.m.
> To: ao.rangi; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
> At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
> >I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and
> >card QSLs.
> >
> >I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
> >
> >'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not
> include the
> >credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
> >Sign on
> >Click awards
> >Select your account
> >The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is
> a link to
> >the credit matrix.
> >Open this and you can print it out.'
> >
> >
> >If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get
> the Account
> >Status.
> >I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to.
> (I don't
> >see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)
>
> Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
> Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC
> Award Account".
> You should see an "Award Status" table with
> award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
> At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix"
> link.
> Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.

>
> >I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>
> ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
> all DXCC counters.
> 73,
> -Rick

4115. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 17:01:20 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4100 Message: 4115

Bryan,

Do you have a paper DXCC record at ARRL and has it been added to in the last few years? There will be no link to your award matrix if ARRL has not added it to their DB. Also have you MERGED your LoTW account to your DXCC record? Go to AWARDS, then SELECT DXCC AWARD ACCOUNT, then MODIFY ACCOUNT. Then read carefully the instruction box on the right.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: ao.rangi
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 9:41 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Thanks Rick.
I have all that. What I seem to be missing is the "View Award Credit Matrix" link at the bottom of the Status table. Back to Bill I guess?

Bryan, Zl1NI

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rick Murphy/K1MU [mailto:k1mu-lotw@...]
> Sent: Sunday, 29 July 2007 2:33 p.m.
> To: ao.rangi; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
> At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
> >I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and
> >card QSLs.
> >
> >I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
> >
> >'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not
> include the
> >credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
> >Sign on
> >Click awards
> >Select your account
> >The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is

> a link to
> >the credit matrix.
> >Open this and you can print it out.'
> >
> >
> >If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get
> the Account
> >Status.
> >I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to.
> (I don't
> >see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)
>
> Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
> Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC
> Award Account".
> You should see an "Award Status" table with
> award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
> At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix"
> link.
> Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
> >I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>
> ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
> all DXCC counters.
> 73,
> -Rick

4116. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 17:09:01 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4100 Message: 4116

Bryan,

The below will give you a merge of all the calls you used but I was not correct on LINKING to your DXCC record. See: https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply LINK ACCOUNT.

Gerry Ve6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 11:01 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Bryan,

Do you have a paper DXCC record at ARRL and has it been added to in the last few years? There will be no link to your award matrix if ARRL has not added it to their DB. Also have you MERGED your LoTW account to your DXCC record? Go to AWARDS, then SELECT DXCC AWARD ACCOUNT, then MODIFY ACCOUNT. Then read carefully the instruction box on the right.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: ao.rangi
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 9:41 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Thanks Rick.
I have all that. What I seem to be missing is the "View Award Credit Matrix"
link at the bottom of the Status table. Back to Bill I guess?

Bryan, Zl1NI

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rick Murphy/K1MU [mailto:k1mu-lotw@...]
> Sent: Sunday, 29 July 2007 2:33 p.m.
> To: ao.rangi; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
> At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:

> >I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and
> >card QSLs.
> >
> >I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
> >
> >'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not
> include the
> >credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
> >Sign on
> >Click awards
> >Select your account
> >The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is
> a link to
> >the credit matrix.
> >Open this and you can print it out.'
> >
> >
> >If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get
> the Account
> >Status.
> >I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to.
> (I don't
> >see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)
>
> Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
> Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC
> Award Account".
> You should see an "Award Status" table with
> award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
> At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix"
> link.
> Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
> >I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>
> ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
> all DXCC counters.
> 73,
> -Rick

4117. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 15:31:01 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4100 Message: 4117

Gerry,

I have had DXCC confirmed via cards from many years ago. However, I have NOT had the ARRL transfer these credits into the LoTW system (yet).

I cannot find the "VIEW AWARD CREDIT MATRIX" button? menu? whatever? you mention in your message below. Is it possible if there are no "cards" entered into the system, this option is not shown?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 7:35 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

To print your paper card DXCC report simply select AWARDS and at the bottom of the LoTW QSL report, select VIEW AWARD CREDIT MATRIX and then select PRINT in your browser.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ao.rangi
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 8:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

You can get your LOTW only entity credits by downloading all your
QSL's. Make sure you check the box for details.
(select a date range which encompasses the entire range of QSO'S). You
can find the download option under "your QSL'S"

Now import the downloaded ADIF file into your computer logging program
with a new logbook name.. Most computer logging programs will create
statistics of DXCC countries -- most likely all bands, individual bands
and band-modes. Save the logbook file

Repeat the download process periodically to update this "LOTW QSL"
logbook file

I don't know how to break out CARD only credits automatically. However each submission you make claiming LOTW credits summarizes the LOTW entities (band, mode included). Keeping a master list of these, one can determine the card only credits by subtraction..

73 de Brian/K3KO

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:

>At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
>
>
>>I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card
>>QSLs.
>>
>>I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
>>
>>'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the
>>credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
>>Sign on
>>Click awards
>>Select your account
>>The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the
>>credit matrix.
>>Open this and you can print it out.'
>>
>>
>>If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account
>>Status.
>>I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't
>>see a
>>'Credit Matrix' link.)
>>
>>
>
>Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
>Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC Award
>Account".
>You should see an "Award Status" table with
>award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
>At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix" link.
>Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
>
>
>>I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>>
>>
>
>ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
>all DXCC counters.
>73,

> -Rick
>
>
>
>

4118. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-29 21:20:46 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4100 Message: 4118

Bob,

See my subsequent email on LINKING. I think once you do that, the VIEW AWARD..... will be available. It's actually the other way around in that the DXCC record is separate from LoTW but will contain LoTW credits only if you've applied for them.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Chudek
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 9:31 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Gerry,

I have had DXCC confirmed via cards from many years ago. However, I have NOT had the ARRL transfer these credits into the LoTW system (yet).

I cannot find the "VIEW AWARD CREDIT MATRIX" button? menu? whatever? you mention in your message below. Is it possible if there are no "cards" entered into the system, this option is not shown?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Gerry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 7:35 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

To print your paper card DXCC report simply select AWARDS and at the bottom of the LoTW QSL report, select VIEW AWARD CREDIT MATRIX and then select PRINT in your browser.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ao.rangi
Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 8:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

You can get your LOTW only entity credits by downloading all your
QSL's. Make sure you check the box for details.
(select a date range which encompasses the entire range of QSO'S). You
can find the download option under "your QSL'S"

Now import the downloaded ADIF file into your computer logging program
with a new logbook name.. Most computer logging programs will create
statistics of DXCC countries -- most likely all bands, individual bands
and band-modes. Save the logbook file

Repeat the download process periodically to update this "LOTW QSL"
logbook file

I don't know how to break out CARD only credits automatically. However
each submission you make claiming LOTW credits summarizes the LOTW
entities (band, mode included). Keeping a master list of these, one can
determine the card only credits by subtraction..

73 de Brian/K3KO

Rick Murphy/K1MU wrote:

>At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
>
>
>>I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and card
>>QSLs.
>>
>>I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and got the following reply:
>>
>>'Since you had an LoTW element to your submission we do not include the
>>credit matrix. You can now get this directly from LoTW:
>>Sign on
>>Click awards
>>Select your account
>>The first screen that appears are your totals Below this is a link to the
>>credit matrix.
>>Open this and you can print it out.'
>>
>>
>>If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award Account', I get the Account
>>Status.
>>I am not sure what the credit matrix link is Bill refers to. (I don't
>>see a
>>'Credit Matrix' link.)
>>
>>
>
>Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
>Select your DXCC account from the pulldown and click "Select DXCC Award
>Account".
>You should see an "Award Status" table with
>award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.

>At the bottom of that table, there's a "View Award Credit Matrix" link.
>Click on that, and you'll get a report of all of your DXCC credits.
>
>
>
>>I can get a list of LoTW credits but not card credits.
>>
>>
>
>ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and card-based credit status; they're
>all DXCC counters.
>73,
> -Rick
>
>
>
>

4119. Man these RTTYers are FAST! - Followup

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 00:22:04 UTC
From: Robert Chudek - K0RC
Topic: 4119 Message: 4119

Here's a follow-up regarding RTTY QSLing via LoTW.

You may recall I said I had better than a 25% return rate in less than 24 hours after the chads had settled in the chad box (old timer).

Last week I uploaded 423 NAQP QSOs and now I show 213 confirmations from the NAQP... so far!

That's a rate of return of 50.35% in about a week.

Thanks to everyone for the Q's and thanks for the QSL's!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

4120. N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 00:39:17 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4120 Message: 4120

I have asked the DXCC desk if they knew when N8S would upload their logs to LOTW. I figured that they would have done so already, seeing that they maintain an online log and it would be a not-so-difficult process to upload to LOTW compared to the time consuming task of filling out paper cards.

I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to 60 days upon return.

DXCC replied that it is ARRL policy as such but they have one year to do so.

So that is the current status of N8S. I realize that a dxpedition needs some time to work on their logs upon return home but I wish the ARRL was a little more gung-ho to promote LOTW and shorten the deadline. I would like to see them also give incentives to the dxpeditions to upload to LOTW a short time after they return to encourage more use of LOTW.

73 Cord KD5J

4121. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 01:16:45 UTC
From: rojomn
Topic: 4120 Message: 4121

They have nothing but a disincentive to use LotW. After upload no more contributions are coming their way.

Gil, W0MN http://webpages.charter.net/gbaron
N 44.082056 W 92.513024 1050'
Hierro Candente, Batir de repente

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cord72501
> Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 7:39 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
> I have asked the DXCC desk if they knew when N8S would upload
> their logs to LOTW. I figured that they would have done so
> already, seeing that they maintain an online log and it would
> be a not-so-difficult process to upload to LOTW compared to
> the time consuming task of filling out paper cards.
>
> I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy
> that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to
> 60 days upon return.
>
> DXCC replied that it is ARRL policy as such but they have one
> year to do so.
>
> So that is the current status of N8S. I realize that a
> dxpedition needs some time to work on their logs upon return
> home but I wish the ARRL was a little more gung-ho to promote
> LOTW and shorten the deadline. I would like to see them also
> give incentives to the dxpeditions to upload to LOTW a short
> time after they return to encourage more use of LOTW.
>
> 73 Cord KD5J
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4122. 1A0KM on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 15:39:21 UTC
From: vu2nks
Topic: 4122 Message: 4122

Dear all,
Rcvd 1A0KM QSL'S today.

73,Nandu
VU2NKS

4123. 1A0KM

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 15:21:36 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4123 Message: 4123

The confirmations for my 1A0KM QSOs showed up on LOTW this morning.
73,
Ken W7TS

Kenneth E. Kinyon
34 Princeton Circle
Longmont, CO 80503-2106

E-mail: W7TS@...

4124. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-07-30 07:37:35 UTC
From: ao.rangi
Topic: 4100 Message: 4124

Thanks Gerry.

My one and only application for credits was processed 15 May, 2007. Of 107 credits, 53 were LoTW QSLs and the rest were card QSLs.
I have gone through the Request Linking process so will see what happens in the next day or 2.

Bryan, Zl1NI

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gerry
> Sent: Monday, 30 July 2007 5:09 a.m.
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
>
> Bryan,
>
> The below will give you a merge of all the calls you used but
> I was not correct on LINKING to your DXCC record. See:
> https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply
> <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply> LINK ACCOUNT.
>
> Gerry Ve6LB
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Gerry <mailto:telwest@...>
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 11:01 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
>
>
>
>
> Bryan,
>
> Do you have a paper DXCC record at ARRL and has it been
> added to in the last few years? There will be no link to your
> award matrix if ARRL has not added it to their DB. Also have
> you MERGED your LoTW account to your DXCC record? Go to
> AWARDS, then SELECT DXCC AWARD ACCOUNT, then MODIFY ACCOUNT.
> Then read carefully the instruction box on the right.
>

> Gerry
> VE6LB/VA6XDX
> ARRL DXCC Card Checker
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
> www.qsl.net/ve6lb/ <http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: ao.rangi <mailto:ao.rangi@...>
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 9:41 PM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
>
> Thanks Rick.
> I have all that. What I seem to be missing is
> the "View Award Credit Matrix"
> link at the bottom of the Status table. Back to
> Bill I guess?
>
> Bryan, Zl1NI
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
> [mailto:k1mu-lotw@... <mailto:k1mu-lotw@...>]
> > Sent: Sunday, 29 July 2007 2:33 p.m.
> > To: ao.rangi; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
> >
> > At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
> > >I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC
> QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and
> > >card QSLs.
> > >
> > >I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and
> got the following reply:
> > >
> > >'Since you had an LoTW element to your
> submission we do not
> > include the
> > >credit matrix. You can now get this directly
> from LoTW:
> > >Sign on
> > >Click awards

> > >Select your account
> > >The first screen that appears are your
> totals Below this is
> > a link to
> > >the credit matrix.
> > >Open this and you can print it out.'
> > >
> > >
> > >If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award
> Account', I get
> > the Account
> > >Status.
> > >I am not sure what the credit matrix link is
> Bill refers to.
> > (I don't
> > >see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)
> >
> > Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
> > Select your DXCC account from the pulldown
> and click "Select DXCC
> > Award Account".
> > You should see an "Award Status" table with
> > award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
> > At the bottom of that table, there's a "View
> Award Credit Matrix"
> > link.
> > Click on that, and you'll get a report of all
> of your DXCC credits.
> >
> > >I can get a list of LoTW credits but not
> card credits.
> >
> > ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and
> card-based credit status; they're
> > all DXCC counters.
> > 73,
> > -Rick
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4125. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-01 07:41:25 UTC
From: ao.rangi
Topic: 4100 Message: 4125

Thanks for all the help with this.
Subsequent to the Linking Request I now have the View Award Credit Matrix
link.

Bryan, ZL1NI

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ao.rangi
> Sent: Monday, 30 July 2007 7:38 p.m.
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
>
> Thanks Gerry.
>
> My one and only application for credits was processed 15 May,
> 2007. Of 107 credits, 53 were LoTW QSLs and the rest were card QSLs.
> I have gone through the Request Linking process so will see
> what happens in the next day or 2.
>
> Bryan, Zl1NI
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> >] On Behalf Of Gerry
> > Sent: Monday, 30 July 2007 5:09 a.m.
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
> >
> >
> > Bryan,
> >
> > The below will give you a merge of all the calls you used but I was
> > not correct on LINKING to your DXCC record. See:
> > https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply
> > <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply>
> > <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply
> <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotw/dxccapply> > LINK ACCOUNT.
> >
> > Gerry Ve6LB
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----

> > From: Gerry <mailto:telwest@...
> > <mailto:telwest%40telusplanet.net> >
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >
> > Sent: Sunday, July 29, 2007 11:01 AM
> > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Bryan,
> >
> > Do you have a paper DXCC record at ARRL and has it been added to in
> > the last few years? There will be no link to your award
> matrix if ARRL
> > has not added it to their DB. Also have you MERGED your
> LoTW account
> > to your DXCC record? Go to AWARDS, then SELECT DXCC AWARD ACCOUNT,
> > then MODIFY ACCOUNT.
> > Then read carefully the instruction box on the right.
> >
> > Gerry
> > VE6LB/VA6XDX
> > ARRL DXCC Card Checker
> > VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> > (403) 251-6520
> > ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
> > www.qsl.net/ve6lb/ <http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> > <http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: ao.rangi <mailto:ao.rangi@...
> > <mailto:ao.rangi%40clear.net.nz> >
> > To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > >
> > Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2007 9:41 PM
> > Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
> >
> >
> >
> > Thanks Rick.
> > I have all that. What I seem to be missing is the "View
> Award Credit
> > Matrix"

> > link at the bottom of the Status table. Back to Bill I guess?
> >
> > Bryan, Zl1NI
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
> > > [mailto:k1mu-lotw@... <mailto:k1mu-lotw%40itm-inst.com>
> > > <mailto:k1mu-lotw@... <mailto:k1mu-lotw%40itm-inst.com> >]
> > > > Sent: Sunday, 29 July 2007 2:33 p.m.
> > > > To: ao.rangi; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > > > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > >
> > > > Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Credits
> > > >
> > > > At 09:51 PM 7/28/2007, ao.rangi wrote:
> > > > >I am wanting a list of all my awarded DXCC
> > > > QSLs, both LoTW QSLs and
> > > > >card QSLs.
> > > > >
> > > > >I sent a request to Bill Moore at ARRL and
> > > > got the following reply:
> > > > >
> > > > >'Since you had an LoTW element to your
> > > > submission we do not
> > > > include the
> > > > >credit matrix. You can now get this directly
> > > > from LoTW:
> > > > >Sign on
> > > > >Click awards
> > > > >Select your account
> > > > >The first screen that appears are your
> > > > totals Below this is
> > > > a link to
> > > > >the credit matrix.
> > > > >Open this and you can print it out.'
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >If I click 'Awards' then 'Select DXCC Award
> > > > Account', I get
> > > > the Account
> > > > >Status.
> > > > >I am not sure what the credit matrix link is
> > > > Bill refers to.
> > > > (I don't
> > > > >see a 'Credit Matrix' link.)
> > > >
> > > > Log in to LotW. Pick "Awards" from the top menu.
> > > > Select your DXCC account from the pulldown

> > and click "Select DXCC
> > > Award Account".
> > > You should see an "Award Status" table with
> > > award,selected,applied,awarded,total/current.
> > > At the bottom of that table, there's a "View
> > Award Credit Matrix"
> > > link.
> > > Click on that, and you'll get a report of all
> > of your DXCC credits.
> > >
> > > >I can get a list of LoTW credits but not
> > card credits.
> > >
> > > ARRL doesn't keep separate LotW and
> > card-based credit status; they're
> > > all DXCC counters.
> > > 73,
> > > -Rick
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>

4126. laughs on me

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-01 19:44:35 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4126 Message: 4126

Dxing for umpteen years, challenge total over 2300

I NEVER NOTICED I DIDN'T HAVE HUNGARY CONFIRMED ON 30 METER CW.

I do now, HG46FC, worked last night and confirmed via LoTW today for both 30 meters cw and Challenge.

I even had to go to the 'file box' and pull HA cards to see if maybe I'd just forgotten to tick it off in the computer program.

Thanks to HG46FC for the qso, and LoTW qsl for 30 meters and Challenge credit.

my wife is right, I'm getting dumber each week!

Newspaper Headline: New Study of Obesity Looks for Larger Test Group
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4127. Vista

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-01 22:41:06 UTC
From: nels_58701
Topic: 4127 Message: 4127

Any tricks to be aware of putting LOTW software on a machine using Vista? Won't let us load the certificate file.

Thanks
Nels W0TUP

4128. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Vista

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-01 23:00:38 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 4127 Message: 4128

Works fine on my Vista machine. Are you trying to load a "p12" or a
"tq6" certificate file?
I saved my certificate to a .p12 file on my Win2K machine and moved it
to my Vista machine.

73 de Terry KK6T

nels_58701 wrote:
> Any tricks to be aware of putting LOTW software on a machine using
> Vista? Won't let us load the certificate file.
>
> Thanks
> Nels W0TUP
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

4129. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-02 23:02:38 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4120 Message: 4129

> I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy
> that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to 60
> days upon return.

Or what?

A dxpedition, just like anyone else, should be free to ignore LoTW.

Don't get me wrong..... I like using LoTW, but since when should a radio club like ARRL set policy for independent dxpeditions?

LoTW is nothing more (or less) than another way to exchange QSLs. Should printers of traditional paper QSLs set similar policies which would benefit their business?

73, de Hans, K0HB
LoTW user since day #1

4130. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-02 23:16:12 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4120 Message: 4130

Hans,
I agree with what you say (sort of). However ARRL are the ones that own DXCC and can make whatever rules they want. It is not some sort of UN program :,)
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 17:03
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

> I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy
> that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to 60
> days upon return.

Or what?

A dxpedition, just like anyone else, should be free to ignore LoTW.

Don't get me wrong..... I like using LoTW, but since when should a radio club like ARRL set policy for independent dxpeditions?

LoTW is nothing more (or less) than another way to exchange QSLs. Should printers of traditional paper QSLs set similar policies which would benefit their business?

73, de Hans, K0HB
LoTW user since day #1

Yahoo! Groups Links

4131. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 00:58:26 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4120 Message: 4131

I would like to believe that ARRL makes the rules it's members want.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 5:16 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Hans,
I agree with what you say (sort of). However ARRL are the ones that own DXCC
and can make whatever rules they want. It is not some sort of UN program :,)
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 17:03
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

> I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy
> that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to 60
> days upon return.

Or what?

A dxpedition, just like anyone else, should be free to ignore LoTW.

Don't get me wrong..... I like using LoTW, but since when should a radio
club like ARRL set policy for independent dxpeditions?

LoTW is nothing more (or less) than another way to exchange QSLs. Should
printers of traditional paper QSLs set similar policies which would benefit
their business?

73, de Hans, K0HB
LoTW user since day #1

Yahoo! Groups Links

4132. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 01:35:36 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4120 Message: 4132

Hi Gerry,
They well might try to please the majority, which is proper. It is also very possible that in 49 years of membership, I have not usually been in the majority.
Sometimes, that is where I feel I fit in a lot of things. ;<)
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Gerry Hohn
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 18:58
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

I would like to believe that ARRL makes the rules it's members want.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 5:16 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Hans,
I agree with what you say (sort of). However ARRL are the ones that own DXCC
and can make whatever rules they want. It is not some sort of UN program :,)
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 17:03
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

> I also made that the comment that it should be ARRL policy
> that a dxpedition should upload their logs within say 45 to 60

> days upon return.

Or what?

A dxpedition, just like anyone else, should be free to ignore LoTW.

Don't get me wrong..... I like using LoTW, but since when should a radio club like ARRL set policy for independent dxpeditions?

LoTW is nothing more (or less) than another way to exchange QSLs. Should printers of traditional paper QSLs set similar policies which would benefit
their business?

73, de Hans, K0HB
LoTW user since day #1

Yahoo! Groups Links

4133. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 02:30:16 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4120 Message: 4133

> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> rules it's members want.

Works for me!

Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to participate (or not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be based on whether they participate (or not).

All in favor, please say "Aye".

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4134. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 03:30:05 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4120 Message: 4134

I'd like to believe that too - that the ARRL makes the rules
members want - but I don't ..... I'd leave it at "they make
the rules".
Membership services / DX desk wouldn't give HK1/K8DD an
LOTW certificate, but the Contest desk did count our score for
ARRL DX CW.
Go figure!

73 Hank K8DD

LM & LOTW user.

On 8/3/07, K0HB <K-Zero-HB@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>

--

'Never argue with an idiot. They drag you down to their
level then beat you with experience.' -anon
--

4135. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 04:43:52 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 4120 Message: 4135

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC
desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate
and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the
end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>

4136. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 11:10:01 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 4120 Message: 4136

It would be lovely for ARRL at least to ENCOURAGE contest logs be signed and uploaded to LoTW.

Indeed, contesters are the best LoTWers. But it happens just upon their own wish.

73,

Vladimir VE3IAE

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC
desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate
and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the
end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4137. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 11:55:15 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 4120 Message: 4137

Vladimir,

Contesters will start submitting their logs, and stop sending
anything else. In return QSL bureaus will become worse
(less frequent sending).

Nenad ...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Vladimir Sidorov
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 7:10 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

It would be lovely for ARRL at least to ENCOURAGE contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LoTW.

Indeed, contesters are the best LoTWers. But it happens just upon their
own wish.

73,

Vladimir VE3IAE

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC
desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate
and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the
end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
ps.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com

> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home. <http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>
earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4138. Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 13:49:34 UTC
From: Peter Phillips
Topic: 4120 Message: 4138

I am also a member and my vote is:
ARRL can require a dxpedition to upload their logs WHEN the ARRL PAYS for the dxpedition. The people who put on the dxpedition put in much time, effort and money to give us new countries. We should not put up barriers that could limit their support or efforts.

Be a better Heartthrob. Get better relationship answers from someone who knows.
Yahoo! Answers - Check it out.

4139. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 13:56:43 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4120 Message: 4139

I think you'll find most (or at least many) contesters now upload their logs to LoTW. They appear to be the majority users of LoTW.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Nenad Stevanovic
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 5:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Vladimir,

Contesters will start submitting their logs, and stop sending
anything else. In return QSL bureaus will become worse
(less frequent sending).

Nenad ...
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Vladimir Sidorov
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 7:10 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

It would be lovely for ARRL at least to ENCOURAGE contest logs be signed and uploaded to LoTW.

Indeed, contesters are the best LoTWers. But it happens just upon their own wish.

73,

Vladimir VE3IAE

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC
desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate
and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the
end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LotW.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@...m] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4140. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:09:04 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4120 Message: 4140

Joe,

My "personal criteria" is "to each his own". I like LoTW, I love paper cards, and I can tolerate eQSL, but I don't like any of those if ARRL (or whoever) makes them "mandatory". On the exact date that "my way or the highway" attitude happens, my support disappears.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 8/3/2007 4:43:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC
desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate
and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the
end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed
and uploaded to LotW.

73,

.... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or

> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4141. 3Y0X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:42:50 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 4141 Message: 4141

I received confirmation today on LOTW for 3Y0X. Now it would be great to receive N8S on LOTW.

4142. 3Y0X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:45:31 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4141 Message: 4142

Now on LoTW.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

4143. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:53:52 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 4120 Message: 4143

> I am also a member and my vote is:
> ARRL can require a dxpedition to upload their logs WHEN the
> ARRL PAYS for the dxpedition.

No, the ARRL already pays for costs involved with both LotW
and administering the DXCC program. Both of which are in
excess of the fees charged for those services.

> The people who put on the dxpedition put in much time,
> effort and money to give us new countries. We should not put
> up barriers that could limit their support or efforts.

If the expedition organizers would do their fundraising properly
in the "front end," picking up crumbs on the QSL end would not
be an issue. I can't see where the QSL process really generates
substantial incremental revenue when domestic requests come in
with only an SASE and foreign requests come in with a GS or IRC
for a $0.90 stamp plus card.

I think it would be instructive to see a critical analysis of
the net benefit - after cost of printing, cost of supplies,
cost of postage, and value of [volunteer] labor - from QSLing
for some of the large expeditions.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

4144. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3Y0X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:58:41 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4141 Message: 4144

Since 3Y0X's upload was 18 months after their DXpedition, it would be reasonable to expect to see N8S in Oct 0f 2008 (if ever).

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: J. Hamann
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 9:42 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 3Y0X

I received confirmation today on LOTW for 3Y0X. Now it would be great to receive N8S on LOTW.

4145. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 16:36:07 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4120 Message: 4145

On 8/3/07, Joe Subich, W4TV <w4tv@...> wrote:
>
> > I am also a member and my vote is:
> > ARRL can require a dxpedition to upload their logs WHEN the
> > ARRL PAYS for the dxpedition.
>
> No, the ARRL already pays for costs involved with both LotW
> and administering the DXCC program. Both of which are in
> excess of the fees charged for those services.
>

One thing we may never see is the difference between the fees
charged and the costs.

>
> I think it would be instructive to see a critical analysis of
> the net benefit - after cost of printing, cost of supplies,
> cost of postage, and value of [volunteer] labor - from QSLing
> for some of the large expeditions.

I can't speak for the large expeditions, but after two (or more)
trips of 6-10K QSOs each and about 1500 QSLs each the GS and
IRCs gleaned from the envelopes pay for the postage and maybe
a set of print cartridges. That's about it!
Not the cards or the outgoing bureaus.
And I can't speak for the large expeditions, but I know I'm not going
make a buck going .... or even break even.
That's not why we go.
It's fun.
It's a rush to sit in front of the radio on the "other side".
And some guy who got a QSL for a new one bought me a beer
at Dayton!
That's why we go.

73 Hank K8DD
--

'Never argue with an idiot. They drag you down to their
level then beat you with experience.' -anon
--

4146. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 15:39:36 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4120 Message: 4146

Hans,
I enjoyed your web site. I spent 5 years in the brown shoe navy. Always wanted to be a man of the sea, but airplanes kept getting in the way. Now it is too long a walk from Colorado.
On the current subject, I see no logic in requiring a DXpedition to participate without requiring every ham in the world to participate if they want to be valid for DXCC. But as in so many things bureaucratic, no one said logic was involved.

73,
Ken W7TS
-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 09:09
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Joe,

My "personal criteria" is "to each his own". I like LoTW, I love paper cards, and I can tolerate eQSL, but I don't like any of those if ARRL (or whoever) makes them "mandatory". On the exact date that "my way or the highway" attitude happens, my support disappears.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 8/3/2007 4:43:55 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

I vote "nay" - Any DXpedition seeking accreditation by the DXCC desk should submit proof that they have obtained a LotW certificate and uploaded their logs or will do so within 60 days following the end of the operation.

That is one of my personal criteria for supporting an operation.

The ARRL contest desk should also require that contest logs be signed and uploaded to LotW.

73,

.... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 10:30 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> > rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
> based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

4147. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 16:49:10 UTC
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
Topic: 4120 Message: 4147

Joe,

I can tell you that the donations received from QSL cards, from a major dxpedition, is usually somewhere in the range of matching the large organizational donors. Read, it's significant, and without it, many dxpeditions would be even further in the red.. Its a SIGNIFICANT source of fund raising.

I can go into this in more detail when I have time; in fact, I'm toying with putting this all in perspective after i get a chance to see how our BS7H LOTW experiment turns out

73

From: "Joe Subich, W4TV" <w4tv@...>
Sent: Friday, August 03, 2007 11:56 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

> I am also a member and my vote is:
> ARRL can require a dxpedition to upload their logs WHEN the
> ARRL PAYS for the dxpedition.

No, the ARRL already pays for costs involved with both LotW
and administering the DXCC program. Both of which are in
excess of the fees charged for those services.

> The people who put on the dxpedition put in much time,
> effort and money to give us new countries. We should not put
> up barriers that could limit their support or efforts.

If the expedition organizers would do their fundraising properly
in the "front end," picking up crumbs on the QSL end would not
be an issue. I can't see where the QSL process really generates
substantial incremental revenue when domestic requests come in
with only an SASE and foreign requests come in with a GS or IRC
for a $0.90 stamp plus card.

I think it would be instructive to see a critical analysis of
the net benefit - after cost of printing, cost of supplies,
cost of postage, and value of [volunteer] labor - from QSLing
for some of the large expeditions.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

4148. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] 3Y0X

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 17:00:35 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4141 Message: 4148

I received mine also. The one thing that I like about LOTW is that it has renewed my interest in confirmations. I enjoy computers almost as much as radios, so this is all fun for me. A long time ago, at least 20 years, I gave up chasing QSLs, it just wasn't worth the trouble to me. I know who I worked and that is good enough for me. If anyone sends me a QSL direct, they will get one in return within 2 to 3 days along with whatever IRC, SASE or whatever they sent to me sent back to them. However I upload everything to LOTW and EQSL. Most mornings, I check LOTW and it is almost like going to the mail box every day when I was a 14 Year old novice. I know I have worked a lot of countries I will never see a QSL from unless I work other stations there, but it is still fun to see what is in my LOTW mailbox each day.
73,
Ken W7TS

4149. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Vista

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 18:18:15 UTC
From: Arlon Nelson
Topic: 4127 Message: 4149

Terry

That was the problem. Thanks

73
Nels W0TUP

----- Original Message -----
From: Terry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2007 6:00 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Vista

Works fine on my Vista machine. Are you trying to load a "p12" or a
"tq6" certificate file?
I saved my certificate to a .p12 file on my Win2K machine and moved it
to my Vista machine.

73 de Terry KK6T

nels_58701 wrote:
> Any tricks to be aware of putting LOTW software on a machine using
> Vista? Won't let us load the certificate file.
>
> Thanks
> Nels W0TUP
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

4150. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 21:56:36 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4120 Message: 4150

----- Original Message -----
From: Ken Kinyon

> I enjoyed your web site. I spent 5 years in the brown shoe navy.
> Always wanted to be a man of the sea, but airplanes kept getting
> in the way. Now it is too long a walk from Colorado.

Glad you like my web site. I was black shoe, retired as a Master Chief Radioman, and always felt I was being paid for my "hobby".

I have a grand-daughter in the Navy now, a Cryptologist stationed with the Air Force spooks in Aurora, Colorado. Visited her back in March --- sure wish I had their antenna farm!

> On the current subject, I see no logic in requiring a DXpedition
> to participate without requiring every ham in the world to
> participate if they want to be valid for DXCC.

Exactly! A station is a station is a station is a station and a contact is a contact is a contact and they all count for precisely one country..... er, "entity"...., or another. If you require N8S or VK0IR or BS7H to submit a log within 60 days of a QSO to count for DXCC, then K0HB or W4TV or JA1ABC must also submit within 60 days of a contact or it contact doesn't count for DXCC. Sunnuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4151. Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 12:27:53 UTC
From: rchalmas
Topic: 4151 Message: 4151

In the past days, I had 2 similar problems where the LoTW software seems to have "missed" matching QSO's when parsing uploaded logs:

- I worked A25KDJ 4 times between July 13th and 18th and uploaded my log on July 19th. The operator W5KDJ uploaded his log a few days later and I got 3 QSO's confirmed on LoTW, althougt the 4th QSO was also OK in the expedition's online log. I uploaded my 4th QSO again with no effect. Then I emailed W5KDJ and he entered *again* this QSO. This time, the missing confirmation showed up!

- After the logs for VU7LD were uploaded to LoTW, I entered my 6 QSO's again (as I had uploaded them first with the /VU2xxx suffix). All 6 QSO's showed up immediately as confirmed. When I checked again the next day, I was very surprised to see that only 4 QSO's were still confirmed! I had to enter the 2 other QSO's again and the credits appeared again and, till now, remained!

I never noticed this kind of problems before, so I wonder if there could be a bug in a new version of the ARRL's software parsing the logs for matching records.

Did anybody experience similar problems?

73's de Robert - HB9BZA

4152. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 12:36:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4151 Message: 4152

A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30 meter cw as being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that it's available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.

Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I submitted the card (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a previous dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge

Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system

On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:
> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems where the LoTW software
> seems to have "missed" matching
> QSO's when parsing uploaded logs:
>
> - I worked A25KDJ 4 times between July 13th and 18th and uploaded my
> log on July 19th. The operator W5KDJ uploaded his log a few days later
> and I got 3 QSO's
> confirmed on LoTW, althougt the 4th QSO was also OK
> in the
> expedition's online log. I uploaded my 4th QSO again with no
> effect. Then I emailed W5KDJ and he entered *again* this QSO. This
> time, the missing confirmation showed up!
>
> - After the logs for VU7LD were uploaded to LoTW, I entered my 6 QSO's
> again (as I had uploaded them first with the /VU2xxx suffix). All 6
> QSO's showed up immediately as confirmed. When I checked again the
> next day, I was very surprised to see that only 4 QSO's
> were still
> confirmed! I had to enter the 2 other
> QSO's again and the credits
> appeared again and, till now, remained!
>
> I never noticed this kind of problems before, so I wonder if there
> could be a bug in a new version of the ARRL's
> software parsing the
> logs for matching records.
>
> Did anybody experience similar problems?
>
> 73's de Robert - HB9BZA

4153. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 13:01:39 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4151 Message: 4153

Check your "time". LoTW requires the time to be correct within a 30 minute window.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 6:36 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

>A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30 meter cw as
> being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
> Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that it's
> available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.
>
> Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I submitted the card
> (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
> But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a previous
> dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge
>
> Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system
>
> On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:
>> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems where the LoTW software
>> seems to have "missed" matching
>> QSO's when parsing uploaded logs:
>>
>> - I worked A25KDJ 4 times between July 13th and 18th and uploaded my
>> log on July 19th. The operator W5KDJ uploaded his log a few days later
>> and I got 3 QSO's
>> confirmed on LoTW, althougt the 4th QSO was also OK
>> in the
>> expedition's online log. I uploaded my 4th QSO again with no
>> effect. Then I emailed W5KDJ and he entered *again* this QSO. This
>> time, the missing confirmation showed up!
>>
>> - After the logs for VU7LD were uploaded to LoTW, I entered my 6 QSO's
>> again (as I had uploaded them first with the /VU2xxx suffix). All 6
>> QSO's showed up immediately as confirmed. When I checked again the
>> next day, I was very surprised to see that only 4 QSO's

>> were still
>> confirmed! I had to enter the 2 other
>> QSO's again and the credits
>> appeared again and, till now, remained!
>>
>> I never noticed this kind of problems before, so I wonder if there
>> could be a bug in a new version of the ARRL's
>> software parsing the
>> logs for matching records.
>>
>> Did anybody experience similar problems?
>>
>> 73's de Robert - HB9BZA
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

4154. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 13:11:29 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4151 Message: 4154

maybe I didn't make myself clear
I HAVE the LoTW qsl
It's correct.
But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer available
When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could apply that qsl
for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit

Now it still shows the qsl, but no longer has any entry in the 'DXCC' field.

On 8/4/2007 8:01:39 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> Check your "time". LoTW requires the time to be correct within a 30 minute
>
> window.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-0384
> ve6lb@...
> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 6:36 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
>
>
> >A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30 meter cw
> as
> > being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
> > Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that
> it's
> > available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.
> >
> > Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I submitted the
> > card
> > (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
> > But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a previous
> > dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge
> >
> > Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system
> >
> > On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:

> >> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems wher

4155. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 13:20:20 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4151 Message: 4155

I was commenting on Roberts original posting. Sorry.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 7:11 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

> maybe I didn't make myself clear
> I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> It's correct.
> But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer available
> When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could apply that qsl
> for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit
>
> Now it still shows the qsl, but no longer has any entry in the 'DXCC'
> field.
>
>
> On 8/4/2007 8:01:39 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
>> Check your "time". LoTW requires the time to be correct within a 30
>> minute
>>
>> window.
>>
>> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
>> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
>> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
>> (403) 251-0384
>> ve6lb@...
>> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
>> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 6:36 AM
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
>>
>>
>> >A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30 meter cw
>> as
>> > being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
>> > Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that

>> it's
>> > available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.
>> >
>> > Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I submitted the
>> > card
>> > (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
>> > But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a previous
>> > dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge
>> >
>> > Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system
>> >
>> > On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:
>> >> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems wher
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

4156. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-03 03:34:32 UTC
From: ao.rangi
Topic: 4120 Message: 4156

'Aye'

Bryan, ZL1NI
ARRL Mbr.ID 1000053743

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Friday, 3 August 2007 2:30 p.m.
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW
>
> > I would like to believe that ARRL makes the rules it's members want.
>
> Works for me!
>
> Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
> not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval
> will not be based on whether they participate (or not).
>
> All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --

4157. Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 14:05:44 UTC
From: rchalmas
Topic: 4151 Message: 4157

Well, the QSO data I sent for the 2 disappeared confirmations with VU7LD were exactly the same as the original ones. I extracted the 2 lines from the original ADIF file, copy/pasted it into a new file, signed it and sent it. AND don't forget that those 2 contacts were initialy confirmed, so the time was obviously OK.

For A25KDJ it's more difficult to be sure if W5KDJ uploaded exactly the same data. First, I also thought it was a time problem so I uploaded twice the contact with the time shifted by -1 hour and +1 hour. No result. I'm sure it's not possible the time error, if any, was greater then 1 hour. So at my opinion it's not very likely to be a time problem...

Greetings, Robert - HB9BZA

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> I was commenting on Roberts original posting. Sorry.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 7:11 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
>
>
> > maybe I didn't make myself clear
> > I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> > It's correct.
> > But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer available
> > When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could apply
that qsl
> > for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit
> >
> > Now it still shows the qsl, but no longer has any entry in the 'DXCC'
> > field.
> >
> >
> > On 8/4/2007 8:01:39 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> >> Check your "time". LoTW requires the time to be correct within a 30
> >> minute
> >>
> >> window.

> >>
> >> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> >> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> >> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> >> (403) 251-0384
> >> ve6lb@...
> >> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> >>
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> >> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 6:36 AM
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
> >>
> >>
> >> >A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30
meter cw
> >> as
> >> > being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
> >> > Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that
> >> it's
> >> > available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.
> >> >
> >> > Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I
submitted the
> >> > card
> >> > (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
> >> > But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a
previous
> >> > dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge
> >> >
> >> > Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system
> >> >
> >> > On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:
> >> >> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems wher
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

4158. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 14:38:12 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4151 Message: 4158

These types of issues *could* happen if a restore was applied to the LoTW server for some technical reason. BUT, if that were the case, I would expect an announcement to be posted by the ARRL. I'm not saying this is what happened, but it is one possible explanation for mysterious changes from a users perspective.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: rchalmas
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 9:05 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Well, the QSO data I sent for the 2 disappeared confirmations with
VU7LD were exactly the same as the original ones. I extracted the 2
lines from the original ADIF file, copy/pasted it into a new file,
signed it and sent it. AND don't forget that those 2 contacts were
initialy confirmed, so the time was obviously OK.

For A25KDJ it's more difficult to be sure if W5KDJ uploaded exactly
the same data. First, I also thought it was a time problem so I
uploaded twice the contact with the time shifted by -1 hour and +1
hour. No result. I'm sure it's not possible the time error, if any,
was greater then 1 hour. So at my opinion it's not very likely to be a
time problem...

Greetings, Robert - HB9BZA

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> I was commenting on Roberts original posting. Sorry.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 7:11 AM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
>
>
> > maybe I didn't make myself clear
> > I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> > It's correct.
> > But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer available

> > When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could apply
that qsl
> > for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit
> >
> > Now it still shows the qsl, but no longer has any entry in the 'DXCC'
> > field.
> >
> >
> > On 8/4/2007 8:01:39 AM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> >> Check your "time". LoTW requires the time to be correct within a 30
> >> minute
> >>
> >> window.
> >>
> >> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
> >> DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
> >> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> >> (403) 251-0384
> >> ve6lb@...
> >> http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
> >>
> >>
> >> ----- Original Message -----
> >> From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> >> To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> >> Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 6:36 AM
> >> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?
> >>
> >>
> >> >A couple of days ago, I was surprised to find a 3Y0X qso for 30
meter cw
> >> as
> >> > being credited for 30 meters and Challenge
> >> > Today, that qso/qsl is still there, but no longer showing that
> >> it's
> >> > available for credit on 30 meters and challenge.
> >> >
> >> > Apparently I did indeed forget to ask for credit when I
submitted the
> >> > card
> >> > (there were multiple qso credit on 2 cards)
> >> > But I most certainly already had a 30 meter rtty qsl from a
previous
> >> > dxpedition as being credited for band and Challenge
> >> >
> >> > Very Strange, some sort of hiccup in the system
> >> >
> >> > On 8/4/2007 7:27:53 AM, rchalmas (rcbza@...) wrote:
> >> >> In the past days, I had 2 similar problems wher
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

4159. Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 18:45:52 UTC
From: greyowl92000
Topic: 4151 Message: 4159

Last week I checked for new QSL's and was surprised when my total went down by one. A few days later the same thing happened again. Those two QLS's are apparently still missing or at least not reflected in the total number. It would take a bit of effort to find out which QSL's they actuslly were but they don't appear to be recent ones.

Trav - WX0Y

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "rchalmas" <rcbza@...> wrote:
>>
>

4160. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 20:18:47 UTC
From: Bill Coleman
Topic: 4151 Message: 4160

On Aug 4, 2007, at 9:11 AM, FireBrick wrote:

> maybe I didn't make myself clear
> I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> It's correct.
> But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer available
> When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could apply
> that qsl
> for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit

If you already have another contact credit for that entity, that
band, that mode, then the checkbox to selection will no longer appear.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@...
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
-- Wilbur Wright, 1901

4161. HELP

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-04 20:44:37 UTC
From: Tom Burkhart
Topic: 640 Message: 4161

I had a computer crash. When I restored the drive my LOTW certificate
was expired.I got a new certificate but when trying to install an
error message appeared. It said : system error: C:\Documents and
Settings\Administrator\Application Data/TrustedQSL/keys/KE4KWE: No
such file or directory. I check and every thing but KE4KWE was
present. Question is
wasn't the file KE4KWE supposed to be created by TQSL and what do I do next?
Tom
ke4kwe@...

4162. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 00:50:39 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 4120 Message: 4162

Hans:
Add me to the 'Aye' list. I belive in "Freedom of Choice"

73
Bob,K1VU
At 22:30 8/2/2007, K0HB wrote:

>> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
>> rules it's members want.
>
>Works for me!
>
>Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to participate (or
>not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will not be
>based on whether they participate (or not).
>
>All in favor, please say "Aye".
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
>><{{{{*> <http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
>
>

4163. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 01:19:33 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4151 Message: 4163

you obviously missed part of the thread
First thing in the morning
There was a DXCC credit for 30 cw and challenge
a couple hours later the credit disappeared.

I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE QSL!
I'm talking about the entry in the DXCC field!
First thing in the morning....the DXCC field said that I would have a DXCC
and Challenge credit
a couple of hours later....the DXCC field was blank.

On 8/4/2007 3:18:47 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
> On Aug 4, 2007, at 9:11 AM, FireBrick wrote:
>
> > maybe I didn't make myself clear
> > I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> > It's
> correct.
> > But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer
> available
> > When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could
> apply
> > that qsl
> > for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit
>
> If you already have another contact credit for that entity, that
> band, that mode, then the checkbox to selection will no longer appear.
>
> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@... [link:
> mailto:aa4lr@arrl.
> net]
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4151;_ylc=X3oDMTM1dWJzMTdtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTYwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4NjI1ODc0MgR0cGNJZAM0MTUx](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMGxoaG8wBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTYwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4NjI1ODc0Mg-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4160]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaHRmbmhkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM

4164. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 01:34:31 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4151 Message: 4164

Once you have checked the box (and saved) for the new QSL in the Awards/Application page, the DXCC message is removed from the Your QSOs list. Uncheck the box (and save) and it will reappear as if by magic.

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2007 7:19 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Software bug in LoTW?

you obviously missed part of the thread
First thing in the morning
There was a DXCC credit for 30 cw and challenge
a couple hours later the credit disappeared.

I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE QSL!
I'm talking about the entry in the DXCC field!
First thing in the morning....the DXCC field said that I would have a DXCC
and Challenge credit
a couple of hours later....the DXCC field was blank.

On 8/4/2007 3:18:47 PM, Bill Coleman (aa4lr@...) wrote:
> On Aug 4, 2007, at 9:11 AM, FireBrick wrote:
>
> > maybe I didn't make myself clear
> > I HAVE the LoTW qsl
> > It's
> correct.
> > But the credit for 30 meters and challenge are no longer
> available
> > When it was first seen as a qsl, it indicated that I could
> apply
> > that qsl
> > for 30 meter cw and for 30 meter Challenge credit
>
> If you already have another contact credit for that entity, that
> band, that mode, then the checkbox to selection will no longer appear.
>

> Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL Mail: aa4lr@... [link:
> mailto:aa4lr@arrl.
> net]
> Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"
> -- Wilbur Wright, 1901
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4151;_ylc=X3oDMTM1dWJzMTdtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTYwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE4NjI1ODc0MgR0cGNJZAM0MTUx](0
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMGxoaG8wBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0MTYwBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE4NjI1ODc0Mg-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4160]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaHRmbmhkBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM

Yahoo! Groups Links

4165. Need Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 13:32:06 UTC
From: Tom Burkhart
Topic: 4165 Message: 4165

I had a computer crash. When I restored the drive my LOTW certificate
was expired.I got a new certificate but when trying to install an
error message appeared. It said : system error: C:\Documents and
Settings\Administrator\Application Data/TrustedQSL/keys/KE4KWE: No
such file or directory. I check and every thing but KE4KWE was present. I have
tried installing several ways to include the safe mode. I am using
Windows XP Pro with service pack 2. Question is
wasn't the file KE4KWE supposed to be created by TQSL and what do I do next?
Tom
ke4kwe@...

4166. Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 15:49:18 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4120 Message: 4166

K0HB directed this thread way off course from my original post.

First of all, I did not say that ALL dxpeditions should be forced to upload their logs to LOTW.

It is ARRL policy that any dxpedition that receives a Colvin grant or other ARRL financial backing is required, within one year, to upload their logs to LOTW.

My personal opinion is that is should be required within a few months upon return. If you were to wait a year, it might become one of those things that become "forgotten".

73 Cord KD5J

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>
> Hans:
> Add me to the 'Aye' list. I belive in "Freedom of Choice"
>
> 73
> Bob,K1VU
> At 22:30 8/2/2007, K0HB wrote:
>
> >> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> >> rules it's members want.
> >
> >Works for me!
> >
> >Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
participate (or
> >not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will
not be
> >based on whether they participate (or not).
> >
> >All in favor, please say "Aye".
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >--
> >><{{{{*>
<http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0
hb
> >
> >
>

4167. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 16:07:12 UTC
From: Carl1
Topic: 4120 Message: 4167

LoTW has a long memory, and won't forget to give you the credit
after a year if you've uploaded the correct information for the match.

If you need it sooner, send direct.

Carl K8AV

> K0HB directed this thread way off course from my original post.
>
> First of all, I did not say that ALL dxpeditions should be forced to
> upload their logs to LOTW.
>
> It is ARRL policy that any dxpedition that receives a Colvin grant or
> other ARRL financial backing is required, within one year, to upload
> their logs to LOTW.
>
> My personal opinion is that is should be required within a few months
> upon return. If you were to wait a year, it might become one of those
> things that become "forgotten".
>
> 73 Cord KD5J
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@...> wrote:
>>
>> Hans:
>> Add me to the 'Aye' list. I belive in "Freedom of Choice"
>>
>> 73
>> Bob,K1VU
>> At 22:30 8/2/2007, K0HB wrote:
>>
>> >> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
>> >> rules it's members want.
>> >
>> >Works for me!
>> >
>> >Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> participate (or
>> >not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will
> not be
>> >based on whether they participate (or not).
>> >
>> >All in favor, please say "Aye".
>> >
>> >73, de Hans, K0HB

>> >--
>> >><{{{{*>
> <http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0
> hb
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

4168. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need Help

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 15:50:45 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4165 Message: 4168

At 09:32 AM 8/5/2007, Tom Burkhart wrote:
>I had a computer crash. When I restored the drive my LOTW certificate
>was expired.I got a new certificate but when trying to install an
>error message appeared. It said : system error: C:\Documents and
>Settings\Administrator\Application Data/TrustedQSL/keys/KE4KWE: No
>such file or directory. I check and every thing but KE4KWE was
>present. I have
>tried installing several ways to include the safe mode. I am using
>Windows XP Pro with service pack 2. Question is
>wasn't the file KE4KWE supposed to be created by TQSL and what do I do
>next?
> Tom
>
>ke4kwe@...

You'll need to explain more clearly what you did for someone to help you.

If you saved a copy of your certificate prior to the crash (you have a KE4KWE.p12), just import that into TQSLCert using "File/Load Certificate File".

If you don't have a saved copy and needed to generate a new certificate, you would have used TQSLCert on some computer and generated a new certificate request using "File/New Certificate Request". You send that to ARRL, who signs it and returns their half of the certificate. You've got to load that new certificate file on the *same machine* used to generate the certificate request. You can't import the new signed public key on any other system. After you import the signed public key, you can export the key pair as a .p12 file and move it to other computers.

Reading between the lines, you didn't back up your certificate and lost it when the system crashed. You tried logging in to LotW and downloaded your certificates from there. That won't work because the only thing that ARRL stores on LotW is the signed public key; the corresponding private key is ONLY stored on computers you control. If you don't have the corresponding private key, TQSLCert won't be able to use the public key. If that's what you're trying to do, you'll have to start over. Contact lotw-help@... and they'll tell you how to get a new certificate.

A note to everybody: if you haven't saved your certificates on some offline media (floppy, thumb drive, etc..) do it now. Immediately. It's just as important as keeping a backup of your log. Much more important, in fact, as you can probably recover your log from LotW in the event of a hard drive crash.

73,
-Rick

4169. Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 17:34:03 UTC
From: cord72501
Topic: 4120 Message: 4169

K8AV thats not what I said. Yes, I know all QSOs logged into LOTW are permanent. Don't you think the chances are greater that if a dxpedition waits a year to upload their logs that possibly the logkeeper will forget to do so?

I really rather not waste the time and postage to get a card direct from a dxpedition when I know eventually I should get a QSL via LOTW from them.

Again, my argument is there is no sense in waiting a long period of time to QSL via LOTW. It only takes a few minutes and a few keystrokes vs. weeks of filling out paper cards.

73 Cord KD5J
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl1" <cfwb1@...> wrote:
>
> LoTW has a long memory, and won't forget to give you the credit
> after a year if you've uploaded the correct information for the match.
>
> If you need it sooner, send direct.
>
> Carl K8AV
>
>
>
> > K0HB directed this thread way off course from my original post.
> >
> > First of all, I did not say that ALL dxpeditions should be forced to
> > upload their logs to LOTW.
> >
> > It is ARRL policy that any dxpedition that receives a Colvin grant or
> > other ARRL financial backing is required, within one year, to upload
> > their logs to LOTW.
> >
> > My personal opinion is that is should be required within a few months
> > upon return. If you were to wait a year, it might become one of those
> > things that become "forgotten".
> >
> > 73 Cord KD5J
> >

> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@> wrote:
> >>
> >> Hans:
> >> Add me to the 'Aye' list. I belive in "Freedom of Choice"
> >>
> >> 73
> >> Bob,K1VU
> >> At 22:30 8/2/2007, K0HB wrote:
> >>
> >> >> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> >> >> rules it's members want.
> >> >
> >> >Works for me!
> >> >
> >> >Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> > participate (or
> >> >not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will
> > not be
> >> >based on whether they participate (or not).
> >> >
> >> >All in favor, please say "Aye".
> >> >
> >> >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> >--
> >> >><{{{{*>
> >
<http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0
> > hb
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4170. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 19:02:05 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4120 Message: 4170

As been said by me and others before. If there was a way to support DXpeditions though LoTW "contributions", they may be encouraged to upload their logs sooner. Rather that enriching the post offices though direct cards many would be happy to put those $$ towards supporting DXpeditions.

As Steven KU9C made clear, 50% of support for DXpeditions comes in the cards. They simply can't afford to give up that revenue. Less revenue potential means fewer/smaller operations and I don't think that's what the DX community wants to see.

Maybe suggesting that DXCC legislate LoTW use, they could explore ways of helping DXpeditions financially though LoTW use. I could see something like a PayPal contribution option when one submits LoTW QSOs.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: cord72501
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 05, 2007 11:34 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

K8AV thats not what I said. Yes, I know all QSOs logged into LOTW are permanent. Don't you think the chances are greater that if a dxpedition waits a year to upload their logs that possibly the logkeeper will forget to do so?

I really rather not waste the time and postage to get a card direct from a dxpedition when I know eventually I should get a QSL via LOTW from them.

Again, my argument is there is no sense in waiting a long period of time to QSL via LOTW. It only takes a few minutes and a few keystrokes vs. weeks of filling out paper cards.

73 Cord KD5J
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Carl1" <cfwb1@...> wrote:
>
> LoTW has a long memory, and won't forget to give you the credit
> after a year if you've uploaded the correct information for the
match.
>
> If you need it sooner, send direct.
>
> Carl K8AV
>
>
>

> > K0HB directed this thread way off course from my original post.
> >
> > First of all, I did not say that ALL dxpeditions should be forced
to
> > upload their logs to LOTW.
> >
> > It is ARRL policy that any dxpedition that receives a Colvin
grant or
> > other ARRL financial backing is required, within one year, to
upload
> > their logs to LOTW.
> >
> > My personal opinion is that is should be required within a few
months
> > upon return. If you were to wait a year, it might become one of
those
> > things that become "forgotten".
> >
> > 73 Cord KD5J
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, R Johnson <k1vu@> wrote:
> >>
> >> Hans:
> >> Add me to the 'Aye' list. I belive in "Freedom of Choice"
> >>
> >> 73
> >> Bob,K1VU
> >> At 22:30 8/2/2007, K0HB wrote:
> >>
> >> >> I would like to believe that ARRL makes the
> >> >> rules it's members want.
> >> >
> >> >Works for me!
> >> >
> >> >Since I'm a member, I move that DXpeditions are free to
> > participate (or
> >> >not) in LoTW based on their own desires, and DXCC approval will
> > not be
> >> >based on whether they participate (or not).
> >> >
> >> >All in favor, please say "Aye".
> >> >
> >> >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> >--
> >> >><{{{{*>
> >
<http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb>http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0
> > hb
> >> >

> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

4171. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 19:12:45 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4120 Message: 4171

I've contributed to dxpeditions prior to their start via credit card, paypal
and checks.
I can't help but feel that the pre-start contributions would increase if
they promised to upload to LoTW.

I'm not saying anything about mandatory.
I'm saying that a 'feel' for the amount of contribuitions there will be
would be very comforting to the dxpeditioners.

Plus, paypal/credit cards contribuitions make it easy to refund in case the
dxpediton was cancelled and could not be rescheduled.

On 8/5/2007 2:02:05 PM, Gerry Hohn (telwest@...) wrote:
> As been said by me and others before. If there was a way to support
> DXpeditions though LoTW "contributions", they may be encouraged to upload
> their logs sooner. Rather that enriching the post offices though direct
> cards many would be happy to put those $$ towards supporting DXpeditions.
>
> As Steven KU9C made clear, 50% of support for DXpeditions comes in the
> cards. They simply
> can't afford to give up that revenue. Less revenue potential means
> fewer/smaller operations and I don't
> think
> that's what the DX community wants to see.
>
> Maybe suggesting that DXCC legislate LoTW use, they could explore ways of
> helping DXpeditions financially though LoTW use. I could see something
> like a PayPal contribution option when one submits LoTW QSOs.
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: cord72501 [link: mailto:no_reply@yahoogroups.com]
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, August 05, 2007 11:34 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: N8S via LOTW
>
>
>
>
> K8AV thats not what I said. Yes, I know all QSOs logged into LOTW are
> permanent. Don't
> you think the chances are greater that if a
> dxpedition waits a year to upload their logs that possibly the
> logkeeper will forget to do so?

4172. Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 18:24:04 UTC
From: Alex Kolmychek RN6AH
Topic: 4172 Message: 4172

Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds. He needs several States to
complete WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY .
Who can help Alex, please email him directly at:
rn6ah@...

73! Best regards,
Alex Kolmychek, RN6AH
---
phone/fax + 8 (861) 5772112
8-918-434-7595 (mobile)
8-909-456-3699 (mobile)
http://alex-rn6ah.livejournal.com/
http://www.qsl.net/rn6ah

RN6BN AR-Cluster Node in Krasnodar, Russia
telnet://RN6BN.73.ru or telnet://62.183.34.131

4173. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 21:45:58 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4172 Message: 4173

The NAQP (North America QSO Party) is a very productive contest to fill in needed states. The SSB contest is coming up in a couple weeks. Unfortunately, the RTTY and CW events ran within the last few weeks.

BTW, I worked every one of those states during NAQP-CW yesterday. That's easy from here, but still NAQP provides an opportunity from RN6-land as well.

73 de Bob - KORC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Alex Kolmychek RN6AH
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 05, 2007 1:24 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds

Alex, RN6AH is asking for skeds. He needs several States to
complete WAS via (LoTW): ID, LA, MS, MT, MD, NV, WV, WY .
Who can help Alex, please email him directly at:
rn6ah@...

73! Best regards,
Alex Kolmychek, RN6AH
---
phone/fax + 8 (861) 5772112
8-918-434-7595 (mobile)
8-909-456-3699 (mobile)
http://alex-rn6ah.livejournal.com/
http://www.qsl.net/rn6ah

RN6BN AR-Cluster Node in Krasnodar, Russia
telnet://RN6BN.73.ru or telnet://62.183.34.131

4174. Re: N8S via LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-05 23:00:44 UTC
From: w4isdx2
Topic: 4120 Message: 4174

> All in favor, please say "Aye".

"Aye, Aye, Aye!"

de Marshall, W4IS

4175. Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 02:36:36 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4151 Message: 4175

I also noticed thie behavior several times in the last 18 months. I had them confirmed, then they just disappeared, then I uploaded again and they were reconfirmed again.

Al;so, have seen other QSO's which I expected to be confirmed (5 od the 6 from S9SS were confirmed in LoTW, the sixth was not). So I re-uploaded that 6th QSO and BAM confirmed. It was NOT me.

I also had some problems with my VP6DI QSL in LoTW, first confirmed then NOT confirmed.

I emailed the LoTW desk, and there was a bug that had to be fixed. See the emal response below. My point is that I don't want to shed doubt on the validity of LoTW, but I do want to highlight that it is not our imagination.

********** <BEGIN EMAL THREAD>**********

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
<mailto:mlunday@...>]

Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 5:03 PM

To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'

Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW

FIXED! Whatever happened on the server side, it is working now.

Thanks

Mark Lunday

WD4ELG

wd4elg@...

http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
<mailto:mlunday@...>]

Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 3:51 PM

To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'

Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW

Thanks, Kathy.

I tried the upload, it still shows as just a QSO.

The TQ8 file and ADIF are attached.

Let me know what else I can do to help with troubleshooting. Thanks.

PS It IS a valid QSO, I have the in-hand card to confirm.

Mark Lunday

WD4ELG

wd4elg@...

http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>

-----Original Message-----

From: Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY [mailto:kallison@...
<mailto:kallison@...>]

Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 1:53 PM

To: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG

Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW

Hi Mark,

Sorry for the delay in answering your message.

I have a feeling that your question relates to an existing problem with

LoTW lately. Can you do me a favor? Can you please upload the one

Ducie QSO again and see what happens? Please let me know if it shows up
as a QSL or still sits as a QSO.

For some reason your QSO does not list Ducie in the QSL data while
VP6DI's QSO with you doesn't list your State. Yet the Award Matrix shows
a "confirmation". It shows that VP6DI was selected.

The programmer is aware of a problem and any extra information we can
give him would be helpful.

We apologize for the inconvenience.

Thank you for your participation and assistance.

73,

Kathy Allison KA1RWY

LoTW Specialist

ARRL - The national association for Amateur Radio

225 Main St

Newington CT 06111

Telephone: 860-594-0295

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
<mailto:mlunday@nc.rr.com>]

Sent: Saturday, July 14, 2007 12:04 AM

To: 'Mark Lunday, WD4ELG'; lotw-help

Subject: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW

Please note that it does not show up as a valid confirmed QSL in LoTW?

Why is it there? I am confused.

Mark Lunday

WD4ELG

wd4elg@...

http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
<mailto:mlunday@...>]

Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 11:57 PM

To: 'lotw-help@...'

Subject: Some confusion about LoTW

Why is VP6DI showing up in my DXCC award status, but when I query the
database it does not show up as confirmed?

Mark Lunday

WD4ELG

wd4elg@arrl.net

http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>

**********<END EMAIL THREAD>**********

4176. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 02:51:04 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4151 Message: 4176

Thanks, I was beginning to wonder if I needed to double my Aricept
dosage....
I know I saw it.
Then I didn't see it...

On 8/5/2007 9:36:36 PM, wd4elg_base (mlunday@...) wrote:
> I also noticed thie behavior several times in the last 18 months. I had
> them confirmed, then they just disappeared, then I uploaded again and they
> were reconfirmed again.
>
> Al;so, have seen other
> QSO's which I expected to be confirmed (5 od the 6 from S9SS were
> confirmed in LoTW, the sixth was not). So I re-uploaded that 6th QSO and
> BAM confirmed. It was NOT me.
> I also had some problems with my VP6DI QSL in LoTW, first confirmed then
> NOT confirmed.
> I emailed the LoTW desk, and there was a bug that had to be fixed. See the
> emal response below. My point is that I don't
> want to shed doubt on the validity of LoTW, but I do want to highlight
> that it is not our imagination.
> ********** <BEGIN EMAL THREAD>**********

4177. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 07:59:06 UTC
From: Andreas Rehberg
Topic: 4151 Message: 4177

so how to cure this??

shall we all upload our complete logs regularly just to avoid
losing some confirmations..

sure a bad idea. anyone having a better?

Andy, DF4WC

-------- Original-Nachricht --------
Datum: Mon, 06 Aug 2007 02:36:36 -0000
Von: "wd4elg_base" <mlunday@...>
An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

>
> I also noticed thie behavior several times in the last 18 months. I
> had them confirmed, then they just disappeared, then I uploaded again
> and they were reconfirmed again.
>
>
>
> Al;so, have seen other QSO's which I expected to be confirmed (5 od the
> 6 from S9SS were confirmed in LoTW, the sixth was not). So I
> re-uploaded that 6th QSO and BAM confirmed. It was NOT me.
>
> I also had some problems with my VP6DI QSL in LoTW, first confirmed then
> NOT confirmed.
>
> I emailed the LoTW desk, and there was a bug that had to be fixed. See
> the emal response below. My point is that I don't want to shed doubt on
> the validity of LoTW, but I do want to highlight that it is not our
> imagination.
>
> ********** <BEGIN EMAL THREAD>**********
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 5:03 PM
>
> To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'
>
> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW

> FIXED! Whatever happened on the server side, it is working now.
>
> Thanks
>
>
>
> Mark Lunday
>
> WD4ELG
>
> wd4elg@...
>
> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 3:51 PM
>
> To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'
>
> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>
> Thanks, Kathy.
>
> I tried the upload, it still shows as just a QSO.
>
> The TQ8 file and ADIF are attached.
>
> Let me know what else I can do to help with troubleshooting. Thanks.
>
> PS It IS a valid QSO, I have the in-hand card to confirm.
>
>
>
> Mark Lunday
>
> WD4ELG
>
> wd4elg@...
>
> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY [mailto:kallison@...
> <mailto:kallison@...>]

>
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 1:53 PM
>
> To: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG
>
> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>
> Hi Mark,
>
> Sorry for the delay in answering your message.
>
> I have a feeling that your question relates to an existing problem with
>
> LoTW lately. Can you do me a favor? Can you please upload the one
>
> Ducie QSO again and see what happens? Please let me know if it shows up
> as a QSL or still sits as a QSO.
>
> For some reason your QSO does not list Ducie in the QSL data while
> VP6DI's QSO with you doesn't list your State. Yet the Award Matrix shows
> a "confirmation". It shows that VP6DI was selected.
>
> The programmer is aware of a problem and any extra information we can
> give him would be helpful.
>
> We apologize for the inconvenience.
>
> Thank you for your participation and assistance.
>
> 73,
>
> Kathy Allison KA1RWY
>
> LoTW Specialist
>
>
>
> ARRL - The national association for Amateur Radio
>
> 225 Main St
>
> Newington CT 06111
>
> Telephone: 860-594-0295
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>

> Sent: Saturday, July 14, 2007 12:04 AM
>
> To: 'Mark Lunday, WD4ELG'; lotw-help
>
> Subject: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>
> Please note that it does not show up as a valid confirmed QSL in LoTW?
>
> Why is it there? I am confused.
>
>
>
> Mark Lunday
>
> WD4ELG
>
> wd4elg@...
>
> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>
> Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 11:57 PM
>
> To: 'lotw-help@...'
>
> Subject: Some confusion about LoTW
>
> Why is VP6DI showing up in my DXCC award status, but when I query the
> database it does not show up as confirmed?
>
> Mark Lunday
>
> WD4ELG
>
> wd4elg@...
>
> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>
> ******************************<END EMAIL THREAD>********************
>
>
>
>
>

--
Psssst! Schon vom neuen GMX MultiMessenger geh?rt?
Der kanns mit allen: http://www.gmx.net/de/go/multimessenger

4178. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 08:04:58 UTC
From: vk3ama
Topic: 4151 Message: 4178

I don't know about re-uploading. I did that today, only 1400 records
uploaded, so not a big hit on the server, and my QSL record count went
down by 25!!

I did this upload because I lost 2 QSL records a few weeks ago. I
thought the new upload would fix it. Just made it worse.

email sent to help-desk

Laurie, VK3AMA
> so how to cure this??
>
> shall we all upload our complete logs regularly just to avoid
> losing some confirmations..
>
> sure a bad idea. anyone having a better?
>
> Andy, DF4WC
>
> -------- Original-Nachricht --------
> Datum: Mon, 06 Aug 2007 02:36:36 -0000
> Von: "wd4elg_base" <mlunday@...>
> An: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Betreff: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?
>
>
>> I also noticed thie behavior several times in the last 18 months. I
>> had them confirmed, then they just disappeared, then I uploaded again
>> and they were reconfirmed again.
>>
>>
>>
>> Al;so, have seen other QSO's which I expected to be confirmed (5 od the
>> 6 from S9SS were confirmed in LoTW, the sixth was not). So I
>> re-uploaded that 6th QSO and BAM confirmed. It was NOT me.
>>
>> I also had some problems with my VP6DI QSL in LoTW, first confirmed then
>> NOT confirmed.
>>
>> I emailed the LoTW desk, and there was a bug that had to be fixed. See
>> the emal response below. My point is that I don't want to shed doubt on
>> the validity of LoTW, but I do want to highlight that it is not our
>> imagination.
>>
>> ********** <BEGIN EMAL THREAD>**********
>>

>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
>> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>>
>> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 5:03 PM
>>
>> To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'
>>
>> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>>
>> FIXED! Whatever happened on the server side, it is working now.
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>>
>>
>> Mark Lunday
>>
>> WD4ELG
>>
>> wd4elg@...
>>
>> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
>> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>>
>> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 3:51 PM
>>
>> To: 'Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY'
>>
>> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>>
>> Thanks, Kathy.
>>
>> I tried the upload, it still shows as just a QSO.
>>
>> The TQ8 file and ADIF are attached.
>>
>> Let me know what else I can do to help with troubleshooting. Thanks.
>>
>> PS It IS a valid QSO, I have the in-hand card to confirm.
>>
>>
>>
>> Mark Lunday
>>

>> WD4ELG
>>
>> wd4elg@...
>>
>> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>> From: Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY [mailto:kallison@...
>> <mailto:kallison@...>]
>>
>> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 1:53 PM
>>
>> To: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG
>>
>> Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>>
>> Hi Mark,
>>
>> Sorry for the delay in answering your message.
>>
>> I have a feeling that your question relates to an existing problem with
>>
>> LoTW lately. Can you do me a favor? Can you please upload the one
>>
>> Ducie QSO again and see what happens? Please let me know if it shows up
>> as a QSL or still sits as a QSO.
>>
>> For some reason your QSO does not list Ducie in the QSL data while
>> VP6DI's QSO with you doesn't list your State. Yet the Award Matrix shows
>> a "confirmation". It shows that VP6DI was selected.
>>
>> The programmer is aware of a problem and any extra information we can
>> give him would be helpful.
>>
>> We apologize for the inconvenience.
>>
>> Thank you for your participation and assistance.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Kathy Allison KA1RWY
>>
>> LoTW Specialist
>>
>>
>>
>> ARRL - The national association for Amateur Radio
>>
>> 225 Main St

>>
>> Newington CT 06111
>>
>> Telephone: 860-594-0295
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
>> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>>
>> Sent: Saturday, July 14, 2007 12:04 AM
>>
>> To: 'Mark Lunday, WD4ELG'; lotw-help
>>
>> Subject: Wd4elg - Some confusion about LoTW
>>
>> Please note that it does not show up as a valid confirmed QSL in LoTW?
>>
>> Why is it there? I am confused.
>>
>>
>>
>> Mark Lunday
>>
>> WD4ELG
>>
>> wd4elg@...
>>
>> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>>
>> From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
>> <mailto:mlunday@...>]
>>
>> Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 11:57 PM
>>
>> To: 'lotw-help@...'
>>
>> Subject: Some confusion about LoTW
>>
>> Why is VP6DI showing up in my DXCC award status, but when I query the
>> database it does not show up as confirmed?
>>
>> Mark Lunday
>>
>> WD4ELG
>>
>> wd4elg@...
>>

>> http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net/>
>>
>> ****************************<END EMAIL THREAD>*******************
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4179. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 13:12:30 UTC
From: Jack - K4WSB
Topic: 4151 Message: 4179

At what point would it be prudent to contact the Lotw desk about this?

>>so how to cure this??

Jack Hartley
K4WSB / VP2MSB
ARRL - QCWA - OOTC
DXCC Honor Roll

4180. Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 13:58:00 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 4151 Message: 4180

I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the attention of the LOTW folks.

Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before and after uploading.

Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.

I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database integrity...

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

4181. re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 15:51:02 UTC
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
Topic: 4151 Message: 4181

I've had a few folks complain that their BS7H qso has 'disappeared'. I see it in the log, so not sure what is going on.

From: "James M Punderson IV" <w2qo@...>
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 9:59 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the attention of the LOTW folks.

Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before and after uploading.

Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.

I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database integrity...

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

4182. re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 15:51:12 UTC
From: Steven WheatleyKU9C
Topic: 4151 Message: 4182

I've had a few folks complain that their BS7H qso has 'disappeared'. I see it in the log, so not sure what is going on.

From: "James M Punderson IV" <w2qo@...>
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 9:59 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the attention of the LOTW folks.

Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before and after uploading.

Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.

I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database integrity...

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

4183. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 18:08:45 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4151 Message: 4183

I have a number of credits which have disappeared. Tracking them down:
OE3SGU '98
K4SV '03
LA6FJA '04
KA1LMR '06
WC4J '06
CT5A '06
W7FG '06
S50R '07

Looking up on LOTW indicates these calls are indeed in the system, QSO's were uploaded and most have confirmed QSO's for other dates. Presto chango. Fortunately, no band-countries have been deleted.

73 de Brian/K3KO

James M Punderson IV wrote:

>I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I
>did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the
>attention of the LOTW folks.
>
>Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before
>and after uploading.
>
>Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try
>and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already
>disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper
>log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and
>compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.
>
>I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database
>integrity...
>
>Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>
>
>
>

4184. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 19:31:39 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4151 Message: 4184

Brian,

What process did you use to track down the disappeared QSLs?

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 12:08 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

I have a number of credits which have disappeared. Tracking them down:
OE3SGU '98
K4SV '03
LA6FJA '04
KA1LMR '06
WC4J '06
CT5A '06
W7FG '06
S50R '07

Looking up on LOTW indicates these calls are indeed in the system, QSO's were uploaded and most have confirmed QSO's for other dates. Presto chango. Fortunately, no band-countries have been deleted.

73 de Brian/K3KO

James M Punderson IV wrote:

>I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I
>did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the
>attention of the LOTW folks.
>
>Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before
>and after uploading.
>
>Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try
>and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already
>disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper
>log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and
>compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.
>
>I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database
>integrity...
>
>Jamie Punderson, W2QO

>
>
>
>

4185. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 20:16:21 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4151 Message: 4185

Gerry,

Over the years I periodically downloaded LOTW QSL's into its own logbook file. It now shows more QSL's than LOTW does. This is a relatively recent occurance. Up to sometime last year, the two always agreed.

I recently downloaded a consistent file from LOTW which contained all QSL's.

Manually comparing the two logbook files (via ASCII tabular exports of each) showed the differences. I used a side by side word processor pair of windows for comparisons. I'm sure somebody else could have written a program to do it.

Now for a question. Is it a LOTW bug? Or is it the result of some users re-uploading their files with some sort of mistake in their mode, band or whatever-- perhaps introduced by the software they are using.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Gerry Hohn wrote:

>Brian,
>
>What process did you use to track down the disappeared QSLs?
>
>Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian Alsop
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 12:08 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?
>
>
> I have a number of credits which have disappeared. Tracking them down:
> OE3SGU '98
> K4SV '03
> LA6FJA '04
> KA1LMR '06
> WC4J '06
> CT5A '06
> W7FG '06
> S50R '07
>
> Looking up on LOTW indicates these calls are indeed in the system, QSO's
> were uploaded and most have confirmed QSO's for other dates. Presto
> chango. Fortunately, no band-countries have been deleted.

>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> James M Punderson IV wrote:
>
> >I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I
> >did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the
> >attention of the LOTW folks.
> >
> >Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before
> >and after uploading.
> >
> >Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try
> >and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already
> >disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper
> >log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and
> >compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.
> >
> >I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database
> >integrity...
> >
> >Jamie Punderson, W2QO
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>

4186. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-06 20:20:39 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 4151 Message: 4186

This discussion of a possible bug is really interesting but are the LoTW folks in on it? Is this list monitored by them? This is certainly something they need to know about.

73 de Terry KK6T

Brian Alsop wrote:
> Gerry,
>
> Over the years I periodically downloaded LOTW QSL's into its own logbook
> file. It now shows more QSL's than LOTW does. This is a relatively
> recent occurance. Up to sometime last year, the two always agreed.
>
> I recently downloaded a consistent file from LOTW which contained all QSL's.
>
> Manually comparing the two logbook files (via ASCII tabular exports of
> each) showed the differences. I used a side by side word processor pair
> of windows for comparisons. I'm sure somebody else could have written a
> program to do it.
>
> Now for a question. Is it a LOTW bug? Or is it the result of some
> users re-uploading their files with some sort of mistake in their mode,
> band or whatever-- perhaps introduced by the software they are using.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
> Gerry Hohn wrote:
>
>
>> Brian,
>>
>> What process did you use to track down the disappeared QSLs?
>>
>> Gerry VE6LB
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: Brian Alsop
>> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
>> Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 12:08 PM
>> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?
>>
>>
>> I have a number of credits which have disappeared. Tracking them down:
>> OE3SGU '98

>> K4SV '03
>> LA6FJA '04
>> KA1LMR '06
>> WC4J '06
>> CT5A '06
>> W7FG '06
>> S50R '07
>>
>> Looking up on LOTW indicates these calls are indeed in the system, QSO's
>> were uploaded and most have confirmed QSO's for other dates. Presto
>> chango. Fortunately, no band-countries have been deleted.
>>
>> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>>
>> James M Punderson IV wrote:
>>
>> >I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I
>> >did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the
>> >attention of the LOTW folks.
>> >
>> >Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before
>> >and after uploading.
>> >
>> >Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try
>> >and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already
>> >disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper
>> >log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and
>> >compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.
>> >
>> >I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database
>> >integrity...
>> >
>> >Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>

4187. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-07 15:31:26 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4151 Message: 4187

Thanks for the explanation Brian.

Folks like you that have some historical data can find these discrepancies. The rest of us can only hope all is well.

I trust the LoTW folks will investigate and find the problem and hopefully the data can be recovered and restored.

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 2:16 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

Gerry,

Over the years I periodically downloaded LOTW QSL's into its own logbook file. It now shows more QSL's than LOTW does. This is a relatively recent occurance. Up to sometime last year, the two always agreed.

I recently downloaded a consistent file from LOTW which contained all QSL's.

Manually comparing the two logbook files (via ASCII tabular exports of each) showed the differences. I used a side by side word processor pair of windows for comparisons. I'm sure somebody else could have written a program to do it.

Now for a question. Is it a LOTW bug? Or is it the result of some users re-uploading their files with some sort of mistake in their mode, band or whatever-- perhaps introduced by the software they are using.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Gerry Hohn wrote:

>Brian,
>
>What process did you use to track down the disappeared QSLs?
>
>Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian Alsop
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, August 06, 2007 12:08 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Software bug in LoTW?

>
>
> I have a number of credits which have disappeared. Tracking them down:
> OE3SGU '98
> K4SV '03
> LA6FJA '04
> KA1LMR '06
> WC4J '06
> CT5A '06
> W7FG '06
> S50R '07
>
> Looking up on LOTW indicates these calls are indeed in the system, QSO's
> were uploaded and most have confirmed QSO's for other dates. Presto
> chango. Fortunately, no band-countries have been deleted.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
> James M Punderson IV wrote:
>
> >I have had the same QSL disappear and reappear twice now on LOTW. I
> >did not re-upload or anything else except bring the problem to the
> >attention of the LOTW folks.
> >
> >Since then I have gotten in the habit of noting the QSL total before
> >and after uploading.
> >
> >Another one has disappeared and I have not yet taken the time to try
> >and figure out which one it was. It may even have reappeared already
> >disguised as a new one. I would need to go through my entire DXKeeper
> >log which (separately records LOTW confirmations and their dates) and
> >compare it to the LOTW record to tell for sure.
> >
> >I think perhaps a little bit more time needs to be spent on database
> >integrity...
> >
> >Jamie Punderson, W2QO
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4188. VK9DNX uploads to LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-07 22:13:05 UTC
From: Mark Blanton
Topic: 4188 Message: 4188

I just got multiple QSL's from VK9DNX on LoTW.

Mark WC5M

4189. VK9DNX

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-07 23:06:23 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 4189 Message: 4189

Received confirmation for VK9DNX on Norfolk Is. today on LOTW.

4190. W9ILY/0 North Dakota Just Confirmed!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-17 19:42:59 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4190 Message: 4190

YES! W9ILY/0 just uploaded his logs from NAQP RTTY
in July.

Thank you John!

Tim K6GEP

4191. qsl question for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-19 00:03:40 UTC
From: n6hpx/du1 Cavite Philippines
Topic: 4191 Message: 4191

Question I got a QSL from a ham in Japan via the
internet, sort of eqsl and it came via a PDF can I use
this for LOTW.

Thanks,Larry n6hpx/du1

N6HPX/du1:Philippine islands
member: ARRL,Pinoyhams,PARL,PARA
www.qrz.com/n6hpx
Radios on board:Sangean909,Sony7600gr
Yaesu FT530,VX5R,*FT60r
antenna:Home made 40ft wire dipole,AN-60
Repeater:WD6APP,DX1L,DX1PAR,AH2G
soon to hold a VK4 callsign

Despite the new FCC ruling
>>>I favor morse code testing at 5 wpm and for Extra class<<<
---
http://www.qrz.com/n6hpx_du1

Take the Internet to Go: Yahoo!Go puts the Internet in your pocket: mail, news, photos & more.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/go?refer=1GNXIC

4192. Re: qsl question for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-20 01:02:38 UTC
From: Dave Bernstein
Topic: 4191 Message: 4192

No. The only way to obtain confirmation of a QSO via LotW is for both you and your QSO partner to independently email or upload a correctly formatted and encrypted description of the QSO to LotW, and for those descriptions to match.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, n6hpx/du1 Cavite Philippines <n6hpx_du3@...> wrote:
>
> Question I got a QSL from a ham in Japan via the
> internet, sort of eqsl and it came via a PDF can I use
> this for LOTW.
>
> Thanks,Larry n6hpx/du1
>
>
> ____
> N6HPX/du1:Philippine islands
> member: ARRL,Pinoyhams,PARL,PARA
> www.qrz.com/n6hpx
> Radios on board:Sangean909,Sony7600gr
> Yaesu FT530,VX5R,*FT60r
> antenna:Home made 40ft wire dipole,AN-60
> Repeater:WD6APP,DX1L,DX1PAR,AH2G
> soon to hold a VK4 callsign
> ____
> Despite the new FCC ruling
> >>>I favor morse code testing at 5 wpm and for Extra class<<<
> ____
> http://www.qrz.com/n6hpx_du1
>
>
>
>

> Take the Internet to Go: Yahoo!Go puts the Internet in your pocket:
mail, news, photos & more.
> http://mobile.yahoo.com/go?refer=1GNXIC
>

4193. LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-20 11:32:09 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4193 Message: 4193

Roberts LoTW site.
http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/#howtohelp

Interesting info about the growth rate of LoTW.
the chart shows approx. 300 new users a month.

I'm curious, as to how many VE7CC node software users are watching for LoTW
users indicated in Cluster Spots?

Good hunting!

Never test the depth of the water with both feet.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4194. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-20 14:32:03 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 4193 Message: 4194

>
>I'm curious, as to how many VE7CC node software users are watching for LoTW
>users indicated in Cluster Spots?
>i do as this lets me make sure i send a log entrie to lotw for the
>qso. saves a buck or two on qsl's

73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 SKCC #1227 F.O.P.
DXCC PHONE- DXCC CW- DXCC RTTY- DXCC MIXED
DXCC 40, 20, 15, 10 METERS
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS, VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

4195. RE: LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-20 17:06:16 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 4193 Message: 4195

Hi Bill,

And don't forget that the DXLabsuite cluster software also "highlights" LoTW users. A very useful addition to the shack software!

Vy 73 de Phil GU0SUP

4196. Logic 8 shows...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-20 18:17:03 UTC
From: Jack - K4WSB
Topic: 4196 Message: 4196

LoTW users in a separate column on the spot log as well as allows you
to make update downloads from HB9BZA.

Jack Hartley
K4WSB / VP2MSB
ARRL - QCWA - OOTC
DXCC Honor Roll

4197. Re: qsl question for LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-22 01:57:50 UTC
From: Larry
Topic: 4191 Message: 4197

Kinda figured that was the case but wanted to make sure on it I
did get a eqsl from Japan on psk but I usually stick to paper qsl's,
will send him one and pray he sends the other.

Larry,n6hpx/du1

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Dave Bernstein" <aa6yq@...> wrote:
>
> No. The only way to obtain confirmation of a QSO via LotW is for
both
> you and your QSO partner to independently email or upload a
correctly
> formatted and encrypted description of the QSO to LotW, and for
those
> descriptions to match.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, n6hpx/du1 Cavite Philippines
> <n6hpx_du3@> wrote:
> >
> > Question I got a QSL from a ham in Japan via the
> > internet, sort of eqsl and it came via a PDF can I use
> > this for LOTW.
> >
> > Thanks,Larry n6hpx/du1
> >
> >
> > ____
> > N6HPX/du1:Philippine islands
> > member: ARRL,Pinoyhams,PARL,PARA
> > www.qrz.com/n6hpx
> > Radios on board:Sangean909,Sony7600gr
> > Yaesu FT530,VX5R,*FT60r
> > antenna:Home made 40ft wire dipole,AN-60
> > Repeater:WD6APP,DX1L,DX1PAR,AH2G
> > soon to hold a VK4 callsign
> > ____
> > Despite the new FCC ruling
> > >>>I favor morse code testing at 5 wpm and for Extra class<<<

> > _____
> > http://www.qrz.com/n6hpx_du1
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

_____
_
> _____
> > Take the Internet to Go: Yahoo!Go puts the Internet in your
pocket:
> mail, news, photos & more.
> > http://mobile.yahoo.com/go?refer=1GNXIC
> >
>

4198. FW0YL / FW0MO Just Uploaded!!!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-22 04:42:44 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4198 Message: 4198

Thank you Jan and Mike!

That's a new one for me.

Tim K6GEP

4199. Re: LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-26 17:16:21 UTC
From: va3xq
Topic: 4193 Message: 4199

I use the VE7CC software and watch especially for LoTW users marked
in the spots column.
jeff
va3xq

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Roberts LoTW site.
> http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/#howtohelp
>
> Interesting info about the growth rate of LoTW.
> the chart shows approx. 300 new users a month.
>
> I'm curious, as to how many VE7CC node software users are watching
for LoTW
> users indicated in Cluster Spots?
>
> Good hunting!
>
>
> ----------
> Never test the depth of the water with both feet.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

4200. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-26 17:23:05 UTC
From: Mark Robinson
Topic: 4193 Message: 4200

I uploaded my logs recently too....I look to see if a station is LOTW.

I use RXCLUS by HB9BZA and he keeps tabs on LOTW users - he is always looking for new callsigns on his website

Mark N1UK G3ZZM

----- Original Message -----
From: "va3xq" <35309@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, 26 August, 2007 1:16 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LoTW Growth Rate on HB9BZA site

>I use the VE7CC software and watch especially for LoTW users marked
> in the spots column.
> jeff
> va3xq
>
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>>
>> Roberts LoTW site.
>> http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/#howtohelp
>>
>> Interesting info about the growth rate of LoTW.
>> the chart shows approx. 300 new users a month.
>>
>> I'm curious, as to how many VE7CC node software users are watching
> for LoTW
>> users indicated in Cluster Spots?
>>
>> Good hunting!
>>
>>
>> ---
>> Never test the depth of the water with both feet.
>> ---
>>
>> Bill H. in Chicagoland
>> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
>> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>>
>
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

4201. receivedtoday

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-28 11:01:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4201 Message: 4201

9Q1EK via LoTW
For a 30 meter cw. Which LoTW says is creditable for 30M and a Challenge.

Thank you.

Hey, everybody -- watch this!-- every redneck cropduster's last words
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4202. [Dx-qsl] receivedtoday

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-28 11:01:21 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4202 Message: 4202

9Q1EK via LoTW
For a 30 meter cw. Which LoTW says is creditable for 30M and a Challenge.

Thank you.

Hey, everybody -- watch this!-- every redneck cropduster's last words
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

"Don't it always seem to go that you don't know what you've got...till it's gone." from Big Yellow Taxi (Joni Mitchell) but also true about QSL.NET if more users don't open their wallets and help financially. Please contribute TODAY !!

4203. thanks for the tip

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-30 00:28:15 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4203 Message: 4203

That qso/LoTW QSL that disappeared is back...
I just reuploaded the 30 meter qso's and sure enough, I got a whole buch of 30 M and Challenge credits that must have been hidden under the Rettysnitch display case.....

Or maybe they stored them under those pile of bricks....

You May be a CheeseHead if: You can visit Luxemburg, Holland, Belgium, Denmark, Berlin, New London & Poland all in one afternoon.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4204. Help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-30 01:04:47 UTC
From: Murray
Topic: 4204 Message: 4204

I just sent this e-mail out to ARRL but I am hoping someone here can help me faster....

I am having some trouble getting tQSL to take my certs. Back a few months ago I got a new PC and loaded N3FJP's logging software to continue using as my log. I now have close to 150 contacts to be logged and I can not connect to LoTW because I do not have a signed cert. All I get is a circle with a line. I have made requests however I can not seam to get loaded what I need to get up and running. I have also tried to upload contacts using my old PC however if will only allow the first 98 contacts that we the last uploaded on that PC. Can you help? Murray Hunt KB3LZV

4205. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Help?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-08-30 02:17:18 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4204 Message: 4205

At 09:04 PM 8/29/2007, Murray wrote:
>I just sent this e-mail out to ARRL but I am hoping someone here can
>help me faster....
>I am having some trouble getting tQSL to take my certs. Back a few
>months ago I got a new PC and loaded N3FJP's logging software to
>continue using as my log.

On your new PC, did you import your saved certificate? You should have a .p12 file saved from your old PC; importing that on your new PC is necessary to get your private key restored.

If you didn't do that, you must start over. If you know your postcard password, lotw-help@... can help you recover with a new cert.

>I now have close to 150 contacts to be logged and I can not connect to
>LoTW because I do not have a signed cert. All I get is a circle with a
>line.

That probably means you don't have a valid private key for the certificate you're trying to use. Restore it from your backup .p12 file. If you don't have a backup, contact lotw-help.

Again, for emphasis: your certificate, which you can download from the LotW site, has a corresponding private key. That private key is ONLY stored on your PC. If you don't back it up elsewhere, it CAN NOT BE RECOVERED. Mine is stored on a floppy in my desk drawer, on two separate PCs, and on a USB thumb drive. Note that my last name is Murphy, so I'm pretty careful about such things. :-)
-Rick

4206. Worked All States/Provinces and US Counties is back on line

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-07 10:50:20 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4206 Message: 4206

The K3UK interactive sked page for Worked All States/Provinces and US Counties is back on line after a couple of days with server problems.

Check http://www.obriensweb.com/wac/wac.php

This page allows radio amateurs to coordinate skeds and / or solicit others as your work towards those needed states, provinces or counties. Those who are LOTW active are displayed ,so users needing a quick confirmation can recognize the LOTW active hams currently on line and arrange a contact.

When you check in to the page you will be able to use your mouse to "mouse over" or hover over a callsign and see their State and County displayed as well as the distance and bearing from your location. The distance and bearing calculation can only be achieved if you provide your SIX digit grid square in your "cookie" .

Andy K3UK

4207. A25HQ

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-14 00:23:06 UTC
From: vu2nks
Topic: 4207 Message: 4207

Dear All,
A25HQ uploaded to LoTW.

73,
Nandu.VU2NKS

4208. Problem with LoTW confirmed

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-14 23:20:21 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4208 Message: 4208

Suddenly my totals confirmed jumped from 380 to 381, but two new contacts were confirmed. I checked this three times with my DX4WIN log, and the problem is NOT on my end.

So I emailed Kathy Allison KA1WRY at ARRL (lotw-help@... <mailto:lotw-help@...>). It appears this is a minor bug that is being investigated. Email exchange is listed below.

Mark WD4ELG http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net>

</SNIP><EMAIL THREAD BEGINS>

-----Original Message-----

From: Allison, Katherine, KA1RWY [mailto:kallison@arrl.org <mailto:kallison@...>]

Sent: Friday, September 14, 2007 3:11 PM

To: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG

Subject: RE: Wd4elg - Question

Hello Mark,

I apologize for the delay in answering your message.

Thanks for notifying us about the QSO-matching problem that occasionally has been appearing in LoTW.

We are aware of the problem, and are working hard on the solution.

It is possible one side and/or both sides of a QSL uploaded their log again with the same QSO. It appears that sometimes this is changing a QSL.

It would be appreciated if you please do not resubmit a log that is

already in the system. The data is still safe in LoTW.

We will place announcements on the LoTW home page as well as on the ARRL home page when the problem has been fixed.

73,

Kathy Allison KA1RWY

LoTW Specialist

ARRL - The national association for Amateur Radio

225 Main St

Newington CT 06111

Telephone: 860-594-0295

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG [mailto:mlunday@...
<mailto:mlunday@...>]

Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2007 6:50 PM

To: lotw-help

Subject: Wd4elg - Question

I had 380 confirmed on 9/1.

I check today, and I see 381 confirmed, but there are TWO new QSL's

received: GW6GW and J39GS. Can you explain? Is this LoTW "new math"

:) My electronic log matched with LoTW on 9/1. Now it shows 382
confirmed (as it should), but LoTW is 381 confirmed?

THanks

Mark Lunday

WD4ELG

wd4elg@...

http://wd4elg.net <http://wd4elg.net>

</SNIP><EMAIL THREAD ENDS>

4209. Re: [RTTY] appears that VA7ST found LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-22 23:26:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4209 Message: 4209

There is a rumor, (unconfirmed) that Buddee will provide one Canadian Style
Beer for each LoTW qsl you receive from him.
Of course you must go to his BC qth to recive the prize.

:-(((I only have 20 some LoTW qsls. But I'm enroute!!!

On 9/22/2007 5:35:36 PM, Bill Gage (w4bcg.tn@...) wrote:
> I have 78 RTTY QSLs from VA7ST! WOW LOTW really works.
>
>
> Bill, W4BCG
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Andrei Stchislenok" <asnp3d@...>
> To: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
> Cc: "RTTY List" <rtty@...>
> Sent: Friday, September 21, 2007 10:55 PM
> Subject: Re: [RTTY] appears that VA7ST found LoTW
>
>
> I received just 66 QSL's from Buddy, who got more?
>
> 73's Andrei
> (getting ready to depart to St. Croix)
>
>
> 2007/9/21, FireBrick <w9ol@...>:
> >
> > LOL
> > Wow, pages of VA7ST LoTW qsls in my dl this morning.
> >
> > Thanks Budde
> >
> >
> > --
> > Consciousness: That annoying time between naps.
> > --
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> > weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
> > ____
> > RTTY mailing list

> > RTTY@...
> > http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty
> >
>
>
>
> --
> 73's Andrei
> EW1AR-NP3D
> WAS RTTY #455 (all via LoTW)
>

4210. FP/W9ILY received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-27 23:42:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4210 Message: 4210

Thank you for another rtty contry confirmed via LoTW
FP/W9ILY for two 30 meter band/modes.

Thanks guys for the qso & prompt qsls.

If the computer starts counting at 0, why is 0 the last digit on the
keyboard?

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4211. Re: FP/W9ILY received via LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-09-28 00:00:14 UTC
From: n2tu
Topic: 4210 Message: 4211

Yes...that was a pleasnat surprise!....new one on 160!....

Thanks W9ILY!

Lou N2TU

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Thank you for another rtty contry confirmed via LoTW
> FP/W9ILY for two 30 meter band/modes.
>
> Thanks guys for the qso & prompt qsls.
>
>
> ----------
> If the computer starts counting at 0, why is 0 the last digit on the
> keyboard?
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

4212. 4O3A via LoTW within 24 hours

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-01 15:38:01 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4212 Message: 4212

Thanks to 4O3A, for a 80 rtty qso/qsl from this weekends CQ WW RTTY test.

I needed that for 80M and Challenge.

It was in the list of new LoTW qsls I received this morning.

You May be a CheeseHead if: Your neighbor throws a party to celebrate his
new machine shed.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4213. LOTW Contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-03 09:55:21 UTC
From: nj2f
Topic: 4213 Message: 4213

Just a reminder of the LOTW (Phone)Contest this weekend. CW and Digital next weekend. Get out there and make some contacts. See you on the bands. 73, Jeff, NJ2F

http://74.194.51.88:7777/

4214. Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 13:34:29 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 4214 Message: 4214

It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.

For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.

One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.

Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.

73 de Brian/K3KO

4215. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 13:59:31 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4214 Message: 4215

Brian,

You are using the wrong tool to accomplish your goal.

You can highlight the table you want on the ARRL page, use the Windows copy command (Ctrl+C), then open Excel, and use the Windows paste command (Ctrl+V). Using Excel you can manipulate the information however you like.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian A
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 8:34 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.

For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.

One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.

Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.

73 de Brian/K3KO

4216. lotw contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 13:58:38 UTC
From: gw0tkx
Topic: 4216 Message: 4216

hi everyone just like to know
what setup to use for tomorrows
contest will try using sd but lotw
not on the list anyone have any ideas
see u on air de alan

4217. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] lotw contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 15:07:18 UTC
From: Peter Ball
Topic: 4216 Message: 4217

The rules say the points and multipliers are compatible with the CQ WW 160m Contest so I'm going to try SD CQ 160 setup and hope for the best!!!

73 Pete EI7CC

----- Original Message -----
From: gw0tkx
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 2:58 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] lotw contest

hi everyone just like to know
what setup to use for tomorrows
contest will try using sd but lotw
not on the list anyone have any ideas
see u on air de alan

--
I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.
It has removed 24747 spam emails to date.
Paying users do not have this message in their emails.
Get the free SPAMfighter here: http://www.spamfighter.com/len

4218. Re: lotw contest

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 16:32:09 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4216 Message: 4218

I usually use N1MM. I sent EMAIL requests to those listed that LoTWContest be supported and that I would be available to test the software, but never got a response back. I assume that since this is a first year contest that who ever makes the decision decided that, at this point, it would be a low payoff for their developmental time. I just check the web page and it shows 2924 accesses, so I think there will be a fair amount of activity.

I have confirmed that the N1MM CQ160 SSB and CW selections will work and allow you to use any band. I will makeup a separate 160M contest formatted log for each band I operate and submit the separate logs to LoTW. When it comes time to send in the contest submittal (30 days after the contest), I'll just down load the lotwreport.adi file which will have all the bands in it.

You will have to manually sum up the multipliers and points for each file to arrive at an estimate of your score, but better is to forget the score while operating and concentrate on working band multipliers and stations that are LoTW members, since the score will be automatically calculated when we check logs and it will only be based on the contents of the lotwreport.adi file generated after the 30 day wait period to make sure all those that will enter have uploaded their logs to LoTW. For those non-members, remember that you still have a chance to join even after the contest is over.

Additional details concerning entries/log files received and preliminary scores, and lists of countries participating and callsigns will be available on the http://www.lotwreport.com website starting 31 days after the contest.

See you in the contests,

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Peter Ball" <ei7cc@...> wrote:
>
> The rules say the points and multipliers are compatible with the CQ WW 160m Contest so I'm going to try SD CQ 160 setup and hope for the best!!!
>
> 73 Pete EI7CC
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: gw0tkx
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

> Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 2:58 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] lotw contest
>
>
> hi everyone just like to know
> what setup to use for tomorrows
> contest will try using sd but lotw
> not on the list anyone have any ideas
> see u on air de alan
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> I am using the free version of SPAMfighter for private users.
> It has removed 24747 spam emails to date.
> Paying users do not have this message in their emails.
> Get the free SPAMfighter here: http://www.spamfighter.com/len
>

4219. Re: Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 16:41:17 UTC
From: Clark Stewart
Topic: 4214 Message: 4219

Brian,

When you are viewing the ARRL Award Credit Matrix in your
Internet browser, go to the "File" menu of your browser and click on
"Save Page As. . . " (in Mozilla Firefox) or "Save As. . ." (in
Internet Explorer.)

That will save the entire web page including the data. Then, you
can call it up on your computer by just clicking on the saved page and
have the scrollable credit report in front of you any time you want
without needing to log in to LoTW. It will look exactly the same as
it does when you are logged in. Save a new page as often as your
records change.

Clark, W8TN

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
> It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII
> file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.
>
> For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I
> have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.
>
> One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon
> copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The
> alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names
> shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.
>
> Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>

4220. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 18:47:24 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4214 Message: 4220

Thanks Bob,

This works. A bit of reformatting gives me a useable file. Was able to
dump to ASCII file, I can use..

The reason for needing an ASCII file is to further process it to do some
automated comparisons with other data files..

Not an EXCEL fan In fact, computer didn't even have it. Found a
pretty old version in WP suite of programs disk.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Robert Chudek wrote:

>Brian,
>
>You are using the wrong tool to accomplish your goal.
>
>You can highlight the table you want on the ARRL page, use the Windows copy command (Ctrl+C), then open Excel, and use the Windows paste command (Ctrl+V). Using Excel you can manipulate the information however you like.
>
>73 de Bob - K?RC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian A
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 8:34 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?
>
>
> It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII
> file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.
>
> For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I
> have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.
>
> One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon
> copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The
> alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names
> shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.
>
> Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.
>

> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
>
>
>
>

4221. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 18:49:49 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4214 Message: 4221

Hi Clark,
Thanks reply.
This does what you said. However, I really wasn't interested in a
scroll window solution. Window is far to small
I wanted to see screen size chunks of the table and then have something
I could minipulate as a file..
73 de Brian/K3KO
Clark Stewart wrote:

>Brian,
>
> When you are viewing the ARRL Award Credit Matrix in your
>Internet browser, go to the "File" menu of your browser and click on
>"Save Page As. . . " (in Mozilla Firefox) or "Save As. . ." (in
>Internet Explorer.)
>
> That will save the entire web page including the data. Then, you
>can call it up on your computer by just clicking on the saved page and
>have the scrollable credit report in front of you any time you want
>without needing to log in to LoTW. It will look exactly the same as
>it does when you are logged in. Save a new page as often as your
>records change.
>
>
>Clark, W8TN
>
>
>--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Brian A" <alsopb@...> wrote:
>
>
>>It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII
>>file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.
>>
>>For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I
>>have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.
>>
>>One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon
>>copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The
>>alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names
>>shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.
>>
>>Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.
>>
>>73 de Brian/K3KO
>>

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>

4222. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 19:46:40 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4214 Message: 4222

Yeah, 6 years ago I didn't even know how to spell Excel, let alone use it. But my boss at the time was a Project Manager and those folks live with this tool. I used to call him "Mr. Spreadsheet"... well, I gradually started using it and now find it very valuable to do logbook analysis and many other tasks. I've morphed into my old boss!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Alsop
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 1:47 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

Thanks Bob,

This works. A bit of reformatting gives me a useable file. Was able to
dump to ASCII file, I can use..

The reason for needing an ASCII file is to further process it to do some
automated comparisons with other data files..

Not an EXCEL fan In fact, computer didn't even have it. Found a
pretty old version in WP suite of programs disk.

73 de Brian/K3KO

Robert Chudek wrote:

>Brian,
>
>You are using the wrong tool to accomplish your goal.
>
>You can highlight the table you want on the ARRL page, use the Windows copy command (Ctrl+C), then open Excel, and use the Windows paste command (Ctrl+V). Using Excel you can manipulate the information however you like.
>
>73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian A
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 8:34 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?
>
>
> It would be nice to dump the "DXCC Award Credits Report" to an ASCII

> file or a file compatable with MS Word or other word processors.
>
> For people like me who don't keep good records of what the ARRL says I
> have confirmed (Cards + LOTW), it would be nice to have on the computer.
>
> One can use "select" to capture everything, the result is not a carbon
> copy of the table. Instead one gets only the characters. The
> alignment is screwed up by the tabs used. Long or short country names
> shift the "X's" to completely mess things up.
>
> Yes one can print it OK but I want something on the computer.
>
> 73 de Brian/K3KO
>
>
>
>
>
>

4223. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Dump credits matrix to file?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 20:38:26 UTC
From: Brian Kassel
Topic: 4214 Message: 4223

Guys:
I wonder if any of our experienced EXCEL users would mind putting up a few Worksheets so that others who might be a bit EXCEL-challenged might use the tolls that they have created?

Brian K7RE

4225. 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 20:52:21 UTC
From: Guy FALCOZ
Topic: 4225 Message: 4225

Hi
I just received 8 credits , guess who ? 5A7A ! I wish all the
dxpeditions will do the same
F6DKQ

4226. what was the consensus on which WL contest to use?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 21:25:22 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4226 Message: 4226

I think they said the ARRL 160 test was the best format to use for the LoTW contest????

Make it idiot proof and someone will make a better idiot.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4227. Re: what was the consensus on which WL contest to use?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-05 21:29:34 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4226 Message: 4227

No, it is the CQ 160, since it matches the WAE and DXCC combination, like IT9, etc. It also counts District of Columbia (DC) as a multiplier.

Complete rules are at http://www.lotwcontest.com . See you in the contest.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> I think they said the ARRL 160 test was the best format to use for the LoTW
> contest????
>
>
> ----------
> Make it idiot proof and someone will make a better idiot.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

4228. 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 02:15:01 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 4225 Message: 4228

I received confirmation from 5A7A on LOTW today.

4229. Re: 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 08:24:25 UTC
From: Guy FALCOZ
Topic: 4225 Message: 4229

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "J. Hamann" <k2cbm@...> wrote:
>
> I received confirmation from 5A7A on LOTW today.
>

Hi James,

Just out of curiosity...did you ALSO send direct qsl's ?

73 de Guy F6DKQ

4230. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 09:29:31 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4225 Message: 4230
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4231. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 10:40:58 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 4225 Message: 4231

On 10/6/2007 Guy FALCOZ wrote:

> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "J. Hamann" <k2cbm@...> wrote:

>> I received confirmation from 5A7A on LOTW today.

> Hi James,

> Just out of curiosity...did you ALSO send direct qsl's ?

> 73 de Guy F6DKQ

I got mine, and No, I have not yet sent a qsl card

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

A conservative is a liberal who has been mugged.

4232. Re: 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 12:38:10 UTC
From: Brian A
Topic: 4225 Message: 4232

Now only the following 28 countries (according to the HB9BZA site) are
needed for a LOTW clean sweep.

3C0, 3D2C Conway, 3Y5 Bouvet, 4U1U United Nations NY, 7O, 8R, 9J, 9U
EK, EP, EZ, FR/G, HK0M, JD1M, KH5K Kingman, KH8S Swains Island
KP1, KP5, PY0P St. Peter & St. Paul Rocks, R1F, ST Sudan, SV/A
VK0M, VK9M, VK9W, XW, ZK3, ZL8

Any guesses for a clean sweep date?

73 de Brian/K3KO

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "J. Hamann" <k2cbm@...> wrote:
>
> I received confirmation from 5A7A on LOTW today.
>

4233. TF8GX just recieved

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 17:30:38 UTC
From: Rick Scott
Topic: 4225 Message: 4233

For QSOs in 2001 and 2002

Very Nice

Scotty W7PSK

_____
Tonight's top picks. What will you watch tonight? Preview the hottest shows on Yahoo! TV.
http://tv.yahoo.com/

4234. LoTW dropping QSL's... bad news...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 21:30:29 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4234 Message: 4234

Up until yesterday I had not experienced the random dropping of QSL's in the LoTW system. But I hit the jackpot last night!

Thursday my LoTW standing was 53,240 QSO's and 13,814 QSL's

I made 4 separate uploads last night. I dropped 1 QSL after 3 of the 4 uploads.

This morning my LoTW standing was 53,276 QSO's and 13,811 QSL's. At this point I don't know which 3 confirmations were removed.

I track my progress in an Excel spreadsheet so I have got solid facts I can forward to the LoTW programming team. Maybe this will help them determine what the trigger mechanism is for this behavior.

In another instance (this might be related), I have a QSO logged with a DX station on 17m SSB. We exchanged eQSL's without any issue on that system. But I received an email message from him asking me to put that contact on LoTW too. Well, I always do.

When I checked LoTW, I did have the contact uploaded properly so I sent a message back. He confirmed he has his information uploaded properly too. We exchanged and compared records but cannot figure a reason why this QSO is not confirmed!

I don't know whether this is related to dropping QSL's, but this contact WAS contained within one of the uploads I did last night.

Stand by for news!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

4235. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW dropping QSL's... bad news...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 22:28:11 UTC
From: Brian Alsop
Topic: 4234 Message: 4235

Hi Bob,

This is an old, "known" problem. LOTW isn't counting properly.

Speculation: Some guys confuse the system by constantly uploading old
QSO's again and again--- like they make 10 more contacts and upload all
previous ones plus the new 10.

I reported this to the ARRL and they assured me that the contacts are
still there.
They said they were working on it.

I'm up to 26 more QSO's than LOTW indicates. My DXCC totals have always
been correct.
73 de Brian/K3KO

Robert Chudek wrote:

>Up until yesterday I had not experienced the random dropping of QSL's in the LoTW system. But I hit the jackpot last night!
>
>Thursday my LoTW standing was 53,240 QSO's and 13,814 QSL's
>
>I made 4 separate uploads last night. I dropped 1 QSL after 3 of the 4 uploads.
>
>This morning my LoTW standing was 53,276 QSO's and 13,811 QSL's. At this point I don't know which 3 confirmations were removed.
>
>I track my progress in an Excel spreadsheet so I have got solid facts I can forward to the LoTW programming team. Maybe this will help them determine what the trigger mechanism is for this behavior.
>
>In another instance (this might be related), I have a QSO logged with a DX station on 17m SSB. We exchanged eQSL's without any issue on that system. But I received an email message from him asking me to put that contact on LoTW too. Well, I always do.
>
>When I checked LoTW, I did have the contact uploaded properly so I sent a message back. He confirmed he has his information uploaded properly too. We exchanged and compared records but cannot figure a reason why this QSO is not confirmed!
>
>I don't know whether this is related to dropping QSL's, but this contact WAS contained within one of the uploads I did last night.
>
>Stand by for news!
>
>73 de Bob - K?RC in MN

>
>
>

4236. I found this to be common in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-06 23:28:06 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4236 Message: 4236

I worked xx9xx/6, during a contest.
I uploaded the log to LoTW and never saw a qsl fro xx9xx/6

But I did see that xx9xx had qsled a contact via LoTW for a non-contest qso.

so I ran a test and sure enough, xx9xx for the as yet unconfirmed qso did match what should have been xx9xx/6

I also spotted that a dx country that allowed a special prefix yy99yy did not qsl via lotw, but when I used the normal prefix for that country my test did show up as a LoTW qsl.

So basically, what this means is that many people do not realize they must obtain a certificate for any call sign variation of their basic call xx9xx is NOT the same as xx9xx/6
With the more frequent SE calls on the air, it's pertinent to remind them that these LOTW qsos will not provide a match.

so the LoTW miesters either need to remind people of this fact or code for situations where a match occurs for a callsign variation which is as yet uncertificated. (is that a word?)
Or at least when such a callsign variation occurs, LoTW should notify the station that his log, was incorrectly uploaded due to the variation.
I would have thought that it would not be difficult for the LoTW system to recognize that a callsign and it's logs were not matching anything due to the /6 or difference in the SE call and inform the submitter of his need to apply for a certificate and reupload.

Because so many contests require out of district stations to append the /# to their calls, I bet I'm missing a lot of qsls due to this situation.

Whenever a system becomes completely defined, some damn fool discovers something which either abolishes the system or expands it beyond recognition.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4237. Re: [RTTY] [ARRL-LOTW] I found this to be common in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-07 00:22:39 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4237 Message: 4237

Well I'll put on my flame resistant suit.
(I'm a retired firefighter and still have my gear hanging on a hook.)
I think we should go back to 'you move, you apply for a new call that represent your state/district'.

Or...you sign /# ALL THE TIME.

Goggles, Coat, Hat, Gloves, Boots are on. fire aways guys.

On 10/6/2007 7:00:47 PM, Joseph Lutz (kwz99@...) wrote:
> Hi Bill, etal:
>
> I agree with you completely regarding the xx9xx
> and /6. I can confirm that also as I kept wondering
> why everyone was getting their 4O5A LoTW cfms
> so fast, and I had zilch!! Then I 'hit my reset' and
> realized that I did not submit my log also as
> W7LPF. Primarily for RTTY contests I use /3 -
> and sometimes on CW - when I do, I upload the
> contest files to LoTW twice - as W7LPF &
> W7LPF/3. [Catches some everytime!!]. BTW,
> my 4O5A contact was there. I remembered that
> the operator replied without the /3 although I
> repeated it, but as you know in a contest, you
> do not want to spend time trying to confirm
> something like that. Sometimes I can have as
> many as 20 additions for the call structure that
> I did not employ for that contest [used W3LPF,
> and get additions when submitting the /3].
> 73,
> Joe W7LPF [OR /3]
>
> BTW - I know I will have to probably put on a
> flame resistant suit for my next statement - but:
> The should require anyone outside their 'call
> designated area' indicate
> that fact with their
> call - like the old daz!!
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: FireBrick

4238. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] I found this to be common in LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-07 00:00:47 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 4236 Message: 4238

Hi Bill, etal:

I agree with you completely regarding the xx9xx
and /6. I can confirm that also as I kept wondering
why everyone was getting their 4O5A LoTW cfms
so fast, and I had zilch!! Then I 'hit my reset' and
realized that I did not submit my log also as
W7LPF. Primarily for RTTY contests I use /3 -
and sometimes on CW - when I do, I upload the
contest files to LoTW twice - as W7LPF &
W7LPF/3. [Catches some everytime!!]. BTW,
my 4O5A contact was there. I remembered that
the operator replied without the /3 although I
repeated it, but as you know in a contest, you
do not want to spend time trying to confirm
something like that. Sometimes I can have as
many as 20 additions for the call structure that
I did not employ for that contest [used W3LPF,
and get additions when submitting the /3].
73,
Joe W7LPF [OR /3]

BTW - I know I will have to probably put on a
flame resistant suit for my next statement - but:
The should require anyone outside their 'call
designated area' indicate that fact with their
call - like the old daz!!
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List ; RTTY List ; QSL List
Sent: Saturday, October 06, 2007 23:28
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] I found this to be common in LoTW

I worked xx9xx/6, during a contest.
I uploaded the log to LoTW and never saw a qsl fro xx9xx/6

But I did see that xx9xx had qsled a contact via LoTW for a non-contest qso.

so I ran a test and sure enough, xx9xx for the as yet unconfirmed qso did
match what should have been xx9xx/6

I also spotted that a dx country that allowed a special prefix yy99yy did
not qsl via lotw, but when I used the normal prefix for that country my test
did show up as a LoTW qsl.

So basically, what this means is that many people do not realize they must
obtain a certificate for any call sign variation of their basic call xx9xx

is NOT the same as xx9xx/6
With the more frequent SE calls on the air, it's pertinent to remind them
that these LOTW qsos will not provide a match.

so the LoTW miesters either need to remind people of this fact or code for
situations where a match occurs for a callsign variation which is as yet
uncertificated. (is that a word?)
Or at least when such a callsign variation occurs, LoTW should notify the
station that his log, was incorrectly uploaded due to the variation.
I would have thought that it would not be difficult for the LoTW system to
recognize that a callsign and it's logs were not matching anything due to
the /6 or difference in the SE call and inform the submitter of his need to
apply for a certificate and reupload.

Because so many contests require out of district stations to append the /#
to their calls, I bet I'm missing a lot of qsls due to this situation.

Whenever a system becomes completely defined, some damn fool discovers
something which either abolishes the system or expands it beyond
recognition.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4239. Re: 5A7A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-07 12:29:41 UTC
From: Jim Hamann
Topic: 4225 Message: 4239
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I sent a QSL direct and received a paper QSL (beautiful, by the way) and also on LOTW.
Jim Hamann
K2CBM (Florida)

http://www.historymentor.com

"We cannot always build the future for our youth, but we can build our youth for the future."
----Franklin D. Roosevelt

4240. Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-08 17:18:41 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4240 Message: 4240

Fellow LoTWers,

I was having difficulty getting a confirmation for a QSO that appeared "just fine" on both sides. Looking at the LoTW record printouts from my end and the DX end didn't reveal any problem. But still, no confirmation!

What I discovered within my original ADIF file is an "Operator" field. Normally this is coded <Operator:4> KØRC. But in this particular ADIF file, I found two instances of <Operator:3> KØD.

This wasn't a huge surprise because I used this Special Event callsign last month. Exactly how I got this entry into my daily log is anyone's guess. But that's really not the point of my discovery.

What I'm struggling to figure out is why the LoTW system would withhold a confirmation because the Operator callsign was different from the Station and Certificate callsign (which do match). I think this would add an unreasonable level of complexity for a Special Event or Contesting station where multiple operators generate a log.

For example, let's say you run the Station callsign WØAA with 4 different operators during a contest. If you have a LoTW certificate for WØAA and upload this log, what difference does the Operator callsign make? In this scenario, none of the WØAA contacts would generate a confirmation.

I suspect the easy answer is to remove the <Operator:#> tag before processing the adif file.

But help me understand the logic for this processing behavior! Are the LoTW confirmations Station callsign or Operator callsign based?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

4241. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-08 18:01:20 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4240 Message: 4241

I sure can't help you.
I don't have any idea why station OP would block a LoTW match if the
Callsign used for the contact had a proper certificate.
Think this is a question for the LoTW specialist...

On 10/8/2007 12:18:41 PM, Robert Chudek (k0rc@...) wrote:
> Fellow LoTWers,
>
> I was having difficulty getting a confirmation for a QSO that appeared
> "just fine" on both sides. Looking at the LoTW record printouts from my
> end and the DX end
> didn't reveal any problem. But still, no confirmation!
>
> What I discovered within my original ADIF file is an "Operator" field.
> Normally this is coded <Operator:4> K?RC. But in this particular ADIF
> file, I found two instances of <Operator:3> K?D.
>
> This wasn't
> a huge surprise because I used this Special Event callsign last month.
> Exactly how I got this entry into my daily log is
> anyone's guess. But that's really not the point of my discovery.
>
> What
> I'm struggling to figure out is why the LoTW system would withhold a
> confirmation because the Operator callsign was different from the Station
> and Certificate callsign (which do match). I think this would add an
> unreasonable level of complexity for a Special Event or Contesting station
> where multiple operators generate a log.
>
> For example, let's
> say you run the Station callsign W?AA with 4 different operators during a
> contest. If you have a LoTW certificate for W?AA and upload this log, what
> difference does the Operator callsign make? In this scenario, none of th

4242. Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-08 18:41:38 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4240 Message: 4242

Something to think about. LoTW produces QSLs for awards and those awards are personal (tied to a single operator per DXCC rules). Maybe the operator is tracked if that field is filled in. That brings up the question, if the operator were also a LoTW member, should the QSL show up as a QSL under his account? I'm guessing it won't, but there could be a good argument that it should. Another situation, when I work at a contest location with that station's callsign and the owner of that callsign is also an LoTW member, those QSOs that I personally made were based on my skill (or luck). As long as the location is within the allowed radius for my WAS or within the country for my DXCC, shouldn't they be counted for my awards?
Even if the argument is valid, I won't hold my breath to ever see that implemented.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...> wrote:
>
> Fellow LoTWers,
>
> I was having difficulty getting a confirmation for a QSO that appeared "just fine" on both sides. Looking at the LoTW record printouts from my end and the DX end didn't reveal any problem. But still, no confirmation!
>
> What I discovered within my original ADIF file is an "Operator" field. Normally this is coded <Operator:4> KØRC. But in this particular ADIF file, I found two instances of <Operator:3> KØD.
>
> This wasn't a huge surprise because I used this Special Event callsign last month. Exactly how I got this entry into my daily log is anyone's guess. But that's really not the point of my discovery.
>
> What I'm struggling to figure out is why the LoTW system would withhold a confirmation because the Operator callsign was different from the Station and Certificate callsign (which do match). I think this would add an unreasonable level of complexity for a Special Event or Contesting station where multiple operators generate a log.
>
> For example, let's say you run the Station callsign WØAA with 4 different operators during a contest. If you have a LoTW certificate for WØAA and upload this log, what difference does the Operator callsign make? In this scenario, none of the WØAA contacts would generate a confirmation.

>
> I suspect the easy answer is to remove the <Operator:#> tag before processing the adif file.
>
> But help me understand the logic for this processing behavior! Are the LoTW confirmations Station callsign or Operator callsign based?
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>

4243. RE: 160 meter RTTY Contest + Makrothen/LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-09 13:16:30 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 4243 Message: 4243

Hi all,

Perhaps I mis-read it somewhere, but wasn't the TA contest 160m only???

Also, re the Makrothen contest being on at the same time as the LoTW contest:
I shall operate the Makrothen contest, and will expect the exchange for that contest.

It is naive of those who thought up the LoTW contest to place it on the same weekend as a well established contest and then expect participation, especially from regular RTTY ops.
I guess newcomers to the mode (especially some of those that took part in CQWW, maybe for the first time) will get rather confused by it all!

I don't know the figures, but I wonder what percentage of all LoTW users are RTTY ops?
And how many of those RTTY ops would miss out on the Makrothen??

Also, given the fact that when the first LoTW contest was announced, they made it clear RTTY wasn't included, and then to come up with an already-used date seems rather daft.

As Shelby said, perhaps the LoTW contest organisers could use the same exchange as the Makrothen?

See you all in the Makrothen!

73 de Phil GU0SUP

4244. Re: 160 meter RTTY Contest + Makrothen/LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-09 14:01:11 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4243 Message: 4244

Thought I would clear up a few things.

1. There really is no conflict with the Makrothen contest because if one wanted to enter both contests or be compatible with both, just add the state/country to the report for the Makrothen and you can easily count those QSOs for LotW. If you remember, a signal report is not required in the uploaded log for LotW to obtain a LoTW QSL, and if the signal report is uploaded, it is discarded in the QSO record. I venture to say that the LoTW contest is the most compatible contest around, simply because there is no conflict with the report.

2. As for being naive on the date, I asked for dates, looked for dates, and didn't receeive any suggestions. Your comment could apply to any weekend date in the year. Just look at WA7BNM's calander and try to find one yourself that wouldn't conflict with something.

3. Your question on RTTY ops as a percentage of LoTW should be reversed, "What percentage of RTTY ops are also LoTW members?" If you want an indication of the large number of RTTY ops that use LoTW, during the contest, just check your favorite spotting net for the "+", indicating a LoTW member. From what I have seen, it is a larger percentage, compared to the other modes. My RTTY LoTW QSLs vs. RTTY QSOs is running slightly over 31% while the other modes run about 17%, with logs dating back to about 1968.

4. You are correct, at first RTTY wasn't included, but with a couple dozen EMAILs indicating that it was wanted, and the offer of a RTTY/DATA plaque, I changed my mind and added it in the same date/time slot as the CW portion, for lack of another date.

In any case, good luck in the contest whether you enter either or both.

Bill, N5RR
LoTW Contest organizer.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> Perhaps I mis-read it somewhere, but wasn't the TA contest 160m only???
>
> Also, re the Makrothen contest being on at the same time as the LoTW

> contest:
> I shall operate the Makrothen contest, and will expect the
exchange for that
> contest.
>
> It is naive of those who thought up the LoTW contest to place it
on the same
> weekend as a well established contest and then expect
participation,
> especially from regular RTTY ops.
> I guess newcomers to the mode (especially some of those that took
part in
> CQWW, maybe for the first time) will get rather confused by it all!
>
> I don't know the figures, but I wonder what percentage of all LoTW
users are
> RTTY ops?
> And how many of those RTTY ops would miss out on the Makrothen??
>
> Also, given the fact that when the first LoTW contest was
announced, they
> made it clear RTTY wasn't included, and then to come up with an
already-used
> date seems rather daft.
>
> As Shelby said, perhaps the LoTW contest organisers could use the
same
> exchange as the Makrothen?
>
> See you all in the Makrothen!
>
> 73 de Phil GU0SUP
>

4245. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-09 19:38:05 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4240 Message: 4245

At 01:18 PM 10/8/2007, Robert Chudek wrote:

Fellow LoTWers,

I was having difficulty getting a confirmation for a QSO that appeared "just fine" on both sides. Looking at the LoTW record printouts from my end and the DX end didn't reveal any problem. But still, no confirmation!

What I discovered within my original ADIF file is an "Operator" field. Normally this is coded KØRC. But in this particular ADIF file, I found two instances of KØD.

This wasn't a huge surprise because I used this Special Event callsign last month. Exactly how I got this entry into my daily log is anyone's guess. But that's really not the point of my discovery.

What I'm struggling to figure out is why the LoTW system would withhold a confirmation because the Operator callsign was different from the Station and Certificate callsign (which do match). I think this would add an unreasonable level of complexity for a Special Event or Contesting station where multiple operators generate a log.

For example, let's say you run the Station callsign WØAA with 4 different operators during a contest. If you have a LoTW certificate for WØAA and upload this log, what difference does the Operator callsign make? In this scenario, none of the WØAA contacts would generate a confirmation.

I suspect the easy answer is to remove the tag before processing the adif file.

Have you actually tried that? It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this causes matches to disappear.

Of course, I'm assuming you're not using callsign KØRC anywhere in LotW, since that's not going to match K0RC.

But help me understand the logic for this processing behavior! Are the LoTW confirmations Station callsign or Operator callsign based?

Contact lotw-help with the QSO particulars. They may be able to use your case in figuring out the bug. All we here on the list can do is to speculate.
-Rick

4246. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-09 20:20:20 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4240 Message: 4246

Hello Rick,

I'm splitting this apart, into smaller chunks...

I said: I suspect the easy answer is to remove the <Operator:#> tag before processing the adif file.
You asked: "Have you actually tried that?"
I say: No, I haven't removed the Operator tag from any files.

You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this causes matches to disappear."
I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has actually disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a substantial number of dupes?

You said: "Of course, I'm assuming you're not using callsign KØRC anywhere in LotW, since that's not going to match K0RC."
I say: Correct. I don't use the slashed zero anywhere except in email messages to distinguish the number. What were they thinking when they created a 10th callsign district anyway? :-)

You said: "Contact lotw-help with the QSO particulars. They may be able to use your case in figuring out the bug. All we here on the list can do is to speculate."
I say: Yes, that's my next step. I am composing a message today. I was casting my questions here first in case someone else has already experienced this scenario. I haven't participated in LoTW from its inception.

You said: "-Rick"
I say: Thanks Rick!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
http://czudek.spaces.live.com
http://chudek.aberon.net
http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2007 2:38 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Learned something new... almost...

At 01:18 PM 10/8/2007, Robert Chudek wrote:

Fellow LoTWers,

I was having difficulty getting a confirmation for a QSO that appeared "just fine" on both sides. Looking at the LoTW record printouts from my end and the DX end didn't reveal any problem. But still, no confirmation!

What I discovered within my original ADIF file is an "Operator" field. Normally this is coded <Operator:4> KØRC. But in this particular ADIF file, I found two instances of <Operator:3> KØD.

This wasn't a huge surprise because I used this Special Event callsign last month. Exactly how I got this entry into my daily log is anyone's guess. But that's really not the point of my discovery.

What I'm struggling to figure out is why the LoTW system would withhold a confirmation because the Operator callsign was different from the Station and Certificate callsign (which do match). I think this would add an unreasonable level of complexity for a Special Event or Contesting station where multiple operators generate a log.

For example, let's say you run the Station callsign WØAA with 4 different operators during a contest. If you have a LoTW certificate for WØAA and upload this log, what difference does the Operator callsign make? In this scenario, none of the WØAA contacts would generate a confirmation.

I suspect the easy answer is to remove the <Operator:#> tag before processing the adif file.

Have you actually tried that? It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this causes matches to disappear.

Of course, I'm assuming you're not using callsign KØRC anywhere in LotW, since that's not going to match K0RC.

But help me understand the logic for this processing behavior! Are the LoTW confirmations Station callsign or Operator callsign based?

Contact lotw-help with the QSO particulars. They may be able to use your case in figuring out the bug. All we here on the list can do is to speculate.
-Rick

4247. Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 12:39:20 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 4240 Message: 4247

Bob,

The LOTW problem is definitely NOT just a QSL counter problem.

I have had the exact same QSL, a 17M SSB QSO with EC8AFM, disappear four times now. It reappeared three times but is now missing again.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

> You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this causes matches to disappear."
> I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has actually disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a substantial number of dupes?
>

> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>

4248. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 15:58:44 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4240 Message: 4248

Jamie,

<humor?=on>
In a Lily Tomlin switchboard operator voice and delivery
http://www.lilytomlin.com/charns/ernestine/quotes.htm "A gracious hello and a big LoTW QSL to you, Jamie!... well, maybe... maybe not... snort, snort..."
<humor?=off>

I would think the LoTW programmer would have a pretty good starting point with your EC8AFM qsl record. I did not try to find which confirmation was taken away in my records. With over 13,000 confirmations in my log, I'll need to figure out an efficient method to compare a "before" and "after" to see if I can identify my MIA's.

Thanks for the info.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
http://czudek.spaces.live.com
http://chudek.aberon.net
http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc

----- Original Message -----
From: James M Punderson IV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2007 7:39 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Bob,

The LOTW problem is definitely NOT just a QSL counter problem.

I have had the exact same QSL, a 17M SSB QSO with EC8AFM, disappear four times now. It reappeared three times but is now missing again.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

> You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this causes matches to disappear."
> I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has actually disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a substantial number of dupes?
>

> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>

4249. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 16:02:02 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 4240 Message: 4249

Just download your LoTW log periodically and when you notice something
missing do a comparison.

73 de Terry KK6T

Robert Chudek wrote:
> Jamie,
>
> <humor?=on>
> In a Lily Tomlin switchboard operator voice and delivery
> http://www.lilytomlin.com/charns/ernestine/quotes.htm "A gracious
> hello and a big LoTW QSL to you, Jamie!... well, maybe... maybe not...
> snort, snort..."
> <humor?=off>
>
> I would think the LoTW programmer would have a pretty good starting
> point with your EC8AFM qsl record. I did not try to find which
> confirmation was taken away in my records. With over 13,000
> confirmations in my log, I'll need to figure out an efficient method
> to compare a "before" and "after" to see if I can identify my MIA's.
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
> http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
> http://czudek.spaces.live.com
> http://chudek.aberon.net
> http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* James M Punderson IV <mailto:w2qo@...>
> *To:* ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Wednesday, October 10, 2007 7:39 AM
> *Subject:* [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...
>
> Bob,
>
> The LOTW problem is definitely NOT just a QSL counter problem.
>
> I have had the exact same QSL, a 17M SSB QSO with EC8AFM, disappear
> four times now. It reappeared three times but is now missing again.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>

> > You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that
> there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be
> seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this
> causes matches to disappear."
> > I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has actually
> disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up
> and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a substantial
> number of dupes?
> >
>
> > 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
> >
>
>

4250. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 16:12:34 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4240 Message: 4250

Hi Terry,

Well my second cup of coffee hasn't kicked in yet, so I'm scratching my head what tool I would use to make this "comparison"? If I print these 13,000 records with 100 per page... I've got a lot of pages to compare. I hope there's a computer assisted method of doing this!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
http://czudek.spaces.live.com
http://chudek.aberon.net
http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc

----- Original Message -----
From: Terry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2007 11:02 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Just download your LoTW log periodically and when you notice something
missing do a comparison.

73 de Terry KK6T

Robert Chudek wrote:
> Jamie,
>
> <humor?=on>
> In a Lily Tomlin switchboard operator voice and delivery
> http://www.lilytomlin.com/charns/ernestine/quotes.htm "A gracious
> hello and a big LoTW QSL to you, Jamie!... well, maybe... maybe not...
> snort, snort..."
> <humor?=off>
>
> I would think the LoTW programmer would have a pretty good starting
> point with your EC8AFM qsl record. I did not try to find which
> confirmation was taken away in my records. With over 13,000
> confirmations in my log, I'll need to figure out an efficient method
> to compare a "before" and "after" to see if I can identify my MIA's.
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
> http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
> http://czudek.spaces.live.com

> http://chudek.aberon.net
> http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* James M Punderson IV <mailto:w2qo@...>
> *To:* ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Wednesday, October 10, 2007 7:39 AM
> *Subject:* [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...
>
> Bob,
>
> The LOTW problem is definitely NOT just a QSL counter problem.
>
> I have had the exact same QSL, a 17M SSB QSO with EC8AFM, disappear
> four times now. It reappeared three times but is now missing again.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO
>
> > You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact that
> there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be
> seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this
> causes matches to disappear."
> > I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has actually
> disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up
> and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a substantial
> number of dupes?
> >
>
> > 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
> >
>
>

4251. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 16:17:10 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 4240 Message: 4251

Good Morning Bob!

No, no....definitely don't try it manually. You'd need WAY MORE than
two cups of coffee for that :-)

There are many free programs for comparing text programs.

73 de Terry KK6T

Robert Chudek wrote:
> Hi Terry,
>
> Well my second cup of coffee hasn't kicked in yet, so I'm scratching
> my head what tool I would use to make this "comparison"? If I print
> these 13,000 records with 100 per page... I've got a lot of pages to
> compare. I hope there's a computer assisted method of doing this!
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
> http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
> http://czudek.spaces.live.com
> http://chudek.aberon.net
> http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Terry <mailto:terry@...>
> *To:* ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Wednesday, October 10, 2007 11:02 AM
> *Subject:* Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...
>
> Just download your LoTW log periodically and when you notice
> something
> missing do a comparison.
>
> 73 de Terry KK6T
>
> Robert Chudek wrote:
> > Jamie,
> >
> > <humor?=on>
> > In a Lily Tomlin switchboard operator voice and delivery
> > http://www.lilytomlin.com/charns/ernestine/quotes.htm
> <http://www.lilytomlin.com/charns/ernestine/quotes.htm> "A gracious
> > hello and a big LoTW QSL to you, Jamie!... well, maybe... maybe
> not...
> > snort, snort..."

> > <humor?=off>
> >
> > I would think the LoTW programmer would have a pretty good starting
> > point with your EC8AFM qsl record. I did not try to find which
> > confirmation was taken away in my records. With over 13,000
> > confirmations in my log, I'll need to figure out an efficient
> method
> > to compare a "before" and "after" to see if I can identify my MIA's.
> >
> > Thanks for the info.
> >
> > 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
> >
> > http://k0rc.spaces.live.com <http://k0rc.spaces.live.com>
> > http://czudek.spaces.live.com <http://czudek.spaces.live.com>
> > http://chudek.aberon.net <http://chudek.aberon.net>
> > http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc>
> <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc <http://www.pclink.com/%7Ek0rc>>
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > *From:* James M Punderson IV <mailto:w2qo@...
> <mailto:w2qo%40w2qo.com>>
> > *To:* ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com>>
> > *Sent:* Wednesday, October 10, 2007 7:39 AM
> > *Subject:* [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...
> >
> > Bob,
> >
> > The LOTW problem is definitely NOT just a QSL counter problem.
> >
> > I have had the exact same QSL, a 17M SSB QSO with EC8AFM, disappear
> > four times now. It reappeared three times but is now missing again.
> >
> > Jamie Punderson, W2QO
> >
> > > You said: "It seems rather unlikely, especially given the fact
> that
> > there is a known bug with LotW losing QSO matches, which seems to be
> > seen when folks re-upload their entire log. For some reason this
> > causes matches to disappear."
> > > I ask: Has anyone identified a specific QSO match that has
> actually
> > disappeared? Or could this be the "QSL counter" just gets screwed up
> > and doesn't count correctly when a log is uploaded with a
> substantial
> > number of dupes?
> > >

> >
> > > 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4252. Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 16:34:19 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 4240 Message: 4252

Bob,

There is a program I like for comparing files, "ExamDiff".
You can find it at:

http://www.prestosoft.com/edp_examdiff.asp

It is real simple to use and it will handle very large files and
show you what is changed in a file and what has been added to either
file. It is free.

Bill, N5RR

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Terry <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Good Morning Bob!
>
> No, no....definitely don't try it manually. You'd need WAY MORE
than
> two cups of coffee for that :-)
>
> There are many free programs for comparing text programs.
>
> 73 de Terry KK6T
>

4253. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 17:17:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 4240 Message: 4253

There's even a comparison of comparison tools on Wikipedia:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_file_comparison_tools

73 de Terry KK6T

Bill wrote:
> Bob,
>
> There is a program I like for comparing files, "ExamDiff".
> You can find it at:
>
> http://www.prestosoft.com/edp_examdiff.asp
>
> It is real simple to use and it will handle very large files and
> show you what is changed in a file and what has been added to either
> file. It is free.
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Terry <terry@...> wrote:
>
>> Good Morning Bob!
>>
>> No, no....definitely don't try it manually. You'd need WAY MORE
>>
> than
>
>> two cups of coffee for that :-)
>>
>> There are many free programs for comparing text programs.
>>
>> 73 de Terry KK6T
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>

4254. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-10 17:56:09 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4240 Message: 4254

Thanks fellows... I'll check them out...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Terry
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2007 12:17 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Learned something new... almost...

There's even a comparison of comparison tools on Wikipedia:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_file_comparison_tools

73 de Terry KK6T

Bill wrote:
> Bob,
>
> There is a program I like for comparing files, "ExamDiff".
> You can find it at:
>
> http://www.prestosoft.com/edp_examdiff.asp
>
> It is real simple to use and it will handle very large files and
> show you what is changed in a file and what has been added to either
> file. It is free.
>
> Bill, N5RR
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Terry <terry@...> wrote:
>
>> Good Morning Bob!
>>
>> No, no....definitely don't try it manually. You'd need WAY MORE
>>
> than
>
>> two cups of coffee for that :-)
>>
>> There are many free programs for comparing text programs.
>>
>> 73 de Terry KK6T
>>
>>

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

4255. Thanks

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-11 14:39:25 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 728 Message: 4255

Thanks to G3NOM for confirming HS0/G3NOM via LOTW.

Julio, W4HY

4256. Overall LOTW QSL Rate now 14.0%

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 16:48:43 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4256 Message: 4256

Recently, we just made it over the 14 percent threshold.
I think the CQWW RTTY contest pushed it over, and VA7ST's
big upload helped too.

We are nearing 20 million QSL's confirmed!

Congrats to all! On to 15 percent!

Tim K6GEP

4257. Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 17:00:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4257 Message: 4257

Apparently the recent discussions about people uploading contest/Special Call/SpecialEvent logs using their certificate/home call has alerted both the users and LoTW.

There is a new message reminding people that if they operate using a /portable or some other call then the call the certificate is for, it will not result in LoTW qsl matches.

A explanation of how easily it is to acquire an additional certificate for this 'variation' call by applying through their existing certificate.

w9ol
w9ol/9
w9ol/5

all require individual certificates and the logs for each of these operations require being signed/uploaded with the corresponding certificate.

Once you get your original certificate, you use that to prove/apply for additional callsign certificates.
These 'additional' certificates are issued almost immediately and can be downloaded on the LoTW site.

You need a Lifestyle change if: Your working TV sits on top of your non-working TV.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4258. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 17:41:43 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4257 Message: 4258
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4259. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 18:56:47 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4257 Message: 4259
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4260. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 19:05:10 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4257 Message: 4260

You have to apply for a certificate, it's no way connected to any contest log submission if that's what you meant by their accepting it.
You have to apply for each and every variation or new call your allowed to use.
How do they know that w9ol and my by1kk call are the same me? Until I tell them.
You apply using your base call, and then you get a reply, and can download it from the LoTW site.

I
On 10/12/2007 1:56:47 PM, hank k8dd (k8dd@...) wrote:
> I'm not sure what's up with them .....
> ARRL accepted the HK1/K8DD logs for ARRL DX
> but they never sent a certificate for LOTW.
>
> 73 Hank K8DD
>
>
>
> On 10/12/07, Prasad <vu2ptt@... [link: mailto:vu2ptt@...]>
> wrote:
> I recently operated as W6/VU2PTT. After two requests for a certificates
> via upload of .TQ5 file via the LOTW website, I still have not got a
> certificate for this call. I signed the certificate with my original
> VU2PTT certificate.
>
> Subsequent US operations during the same period with my US calls AF6DV and
> W2PTT got me certificates from LOTW by signing with the VU2PTT
> certificate.
> Not sure
> what's up and whether I will have to sign the W6/VU2PTT cert request with
> my W2PTT call and see..
>
>
> --
> 73 de Prasad VU2PTT, W2PTT
>
> On 10/12/07, FireBrick < w9ol@... [link:
> mailto:w9ol@...]> wrote:
> Apparently the recent discussions about people uploading contest/Special
> Call/SpecialEvent logs using their certificate/home call has alerted both
> the users and LoTW.
>
> There is a new message reminding people that if they operate using a
> /portable or some other call then the call the certificate is for, it will

4261. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 19:18:39 UTC
From: hank k8dd
Topic: 4257 Message: 4261
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4262. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 19:22:40 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4257 Message: 4262

did you download it? If not, it's still sitting there waiting for you.
If they didn't process it, an email to Dave Patton or the LoTW specialist
(I forget her name/call) but it's in QST

If you get it, you can still re-upload.

On 10/12/2007 2:18:39 PM, hank k8dd (k8dd@...) wrote:
> I forgot to mention ---- I did apply for the certificate.
>
> On 10/12/07, FireBrick <w9ol@... [link: mailto:w9ol@billnjudy.
> com]> wrote: You have to apply for a certificate,
> it's no way connected to any contest
> log submission if that's what you
> meant by their accepting it.
> You have to apply for each and every variation or new call your allowed
> to
> use.
> How do they know that w9ol and my by1kk call are the same me? Until I
> tell
> them.
> You apply using your base call, and then you get a reply, and can download
>
> it from the LoTW site.
>
> I
> On 10/12/2007 1:56:47 PM, hank k8dd (k8dd@... [link:
> mailto:k8dd@arrl.
> net]) wrote:
> > I'm not sure what's up with them .....
> > ARRL accepted the HK1/K8DD logs for ARRL DX
> > but they never sent a certificate for LOTW.
> >
> > 73 Hank K8DD
>
>
> --
>
> 'Never argue with an idiot. They drag you down to their
> level then beat you with experience.'
> -anon
> --
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4257;_ylc=X3oDMTM1czZia2w5BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0MjYxBHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5MjIxNj

4263. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 19:27:04 UTC
From: Prasad
Topic: 4257 Message: 4263
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4264. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-12 20:51:39 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4257 Message: 4264

LoTW users...

It is Kathy Allison - KA1RWY who is first in line on the LoTW Help Desk. I have always received a prompt reply to my email queries. I define "prompt" as "within a day or two, sometimes sooner".

Earlier this week I had a technical question that was beyond her knowledge. She sent a message back saying she would forward my question to the programmer. I got the answer back from the programmer "promptly".

My question was whether the ADIF <operator:#> tags within my contest files were processed by the LoTW software or ignored. The answer I received is "This tag is ignored". There are real people on the other end of the lotw-help@... I think they're doing a good job. But If you're having a problem, the LoTW Help Desk can't help you if you don't ask!

Prasad, in your case I would want to know why there was no certificate issued for your W6/VU2PTT. Maybe there are different rules for obtaining a new certificate for non-US licenses? I wouldn't expect so, but you never know unless you ask. And Hank, same deal with your request for the HK1/K8DD certificate.

Maybe there's a bug in the system? There must be something "going on" if people are sending requests for certificates and they are not receiving a response.

I'm CC:ing Kathy on this message and let's see what she says about this. Within the last 8 months I have requested and received two certificates spawned from my original certificate.

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Prasad
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2007 2:27 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Notice on LoTW home page

I have now uploaded a certificate request signed with my W2PTT call. Let's see how that goes.

--
73 de Prasad VU2PTT, W2PTT

On 10/13/07, hank k8dd <k8dd@arrl.net> wrote:
I forgot to mention ---- I did apply for the certificate.

On 10/12/07, FireBrick < w9ol@...> wrote:
You have to apply for a certificate, it's no way connected to any contest
log submission if that's what you meant by their accepting it.
You have to apply for each and every variation or new call your allowed to
use.

How do they know that w9ol and my by1kk call are the same me? Until I tell them.
You apply using your base call, and then you get a reply, and can download it from the LoTW site.

I
On 10/12/2007 1:56:47 PM, hank k8dd (k8dd@...) wrote:
> I'm not sure what's up with them .....
> ARRL accepted the HK1/K8DD logs for ARRL DX
> but they never sent a certificate for LOTW.
>
> 73 Hank K8DD

--

'Never argue with an idiot. They drag you down to their level then beat you with experience.' -anon
--

4265. 3C7Y

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-13 18:35:44 UTC
From: Mark Blanton
Topic: 4265 Message: 4265

I could be wrong but it seems to me that the guys at 3C7Y are not working very many NA stations. I listened to them work EU on 17 meters for about an hour then work 3 or 4 NA, the work EU for an hour again.... Are they deaf to NA or what? I need 3C for an all-time new one....just one contact...any band....sigh...I have 500W and can't get thru the EU wall. When they are 579 here in 5-Land they are still working EU.

OK, I'm off my soap box.

Mark WC5M

4266. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3C7Y

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-13 19:03:28 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 4265 Message: 4266

Mark, your right, especialy weekends. They seem to hang with NA on 20 but
the other bands the EU stations seem to dominate.
I worked them 20 SSB mid-week and even then needed 1500 watts!
Good Luck
Dave K8GVK

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mark Blanton" <wc5m@...>
To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 13, 2007 2:35 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 3C7Y

>I could be wrong but it seems to me that the guys at 3C7Y are not
> working very many NA stations. I listened to them work EU on 17 meters
> for about an hour then work 3 or 4 NA, the work EU for an hour
> again.... Are they deaf to NA or what? I need 3C for an all-time new
> one....just one contact...any band....sigh...I have 500W and can't get
> thru the EU wall. When they are 579 here in 5-Land they are still
> working EU.
>
> OK, I'm off my soap box.
>
> Mark WC5M

4267. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3C7Y

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-13 19:17:53 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4265 Message: 4267

I noticed the same thing for hours yesterday with 3C7Y and 5L2MS on 20 meter RTTY. I really think it is propagation. I managed to get the 5L2MS sandwiched among many Europeans, but the 3C7Y I got late afternoon when propagation began to favor us. At around 2300z it was mostly US with an occasional JA.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Mark Blanton

I could be wrong but it seems to me that the guys at 3C7Y are not working very many NA stations. I listened to them work EU on 17 meters for about an hour then work 3 or 4 NA, the work EU for an hour again.... Are they deaf to NA or what? I need 3C for an all-time new one....just one contact...any band....sigh...I have 500W and can't get thru the EU wall. When they are 579 here in 5-Land they are still working EU.

OK, I'm off my soap box.

Mark WC5M

4268. that was fun running two contests at once

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-14 02:33:42 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4268 Message: 4268

Sure disapointed I didn't hear Graham so I could send my 'to the max' cq message. LOL

got a bit quiet during Mak off times.

But fun none the less.

I might make a suggestion that we make all modes, on the same weekend like QSO parties do.
At least until participation increases.
bonus points for working the same person on all three modes, lol

night all

Love conquers all things except poverty and toothache. Mae West
---

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4269. LOTW SERVER

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-17 08:49:35 UTC
From: Pete
Topic: 4269 Message: 4269

Hi Folks
Anyone know if the LOTW Server is Down? Cant get ant response from
Northern Ireland?
Pete GI4VIV

4270. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW SERVER

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-17 08:56:02 UTC
From: Neil Richardson
Topic: 4269 Message: 4270

Hi Pete

Cant get into it from New Zealand either.

Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Pete
Sent: Wednesday, 17 October 2007 9:50 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW SERVER

Hi Folks
Anyone know if the LOTW Server is Down? Cant get ant response from Northern Ireland?
Pete GI4VIV

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.14.13/1074 - Release Date: 16/10/2007 2:14 p.m.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.14.13/1074 - Release Date: 16/10/2007 2:14 p.m.

4271. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW SERVER

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-17 09:00:24 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 4269 Message: 4271

Thanks Neil must be down wanted to check to see if ZL4IR on Te Anau was registered just worked him on 40m SSB and need ZL4 on 40m hihi
Pete GI4VIV
----- Original Message -----
From: Neil Richardson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2007 9:56 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW SERVER

Hi Pete

Cant get into it from New Zealand either.

Neil ZL2UN

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Pete
Sent: Wednesday, 17 October 2007 9:50 p.m.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW SERVER

Hi Folks
Anyone know if the LOTW Server is Down? Cant get ant response from
Northern Ireland?
Pete GI4VIV

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.14.13/1074 - Release Date: 16/10/2007 2:14 p.m.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.14.13/1074 - Release Date: 16/10/2007 2:14 p.m.

4272. Re: LOTW SERVER

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-17 12:04:38 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4269 Message: 4272

It was up about 1 hour ago, but seems to be down again at 12:00 UTC

Ray K1XV

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Pete" <gi4viv.pete@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Folks
> Anyone know if the LOTW Server is Down? Cant get ant response from
> Northern Ireland?
> Pete GI4VIV
>

4273. 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-24 20:27:43 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 4273 Message: 4273

and climbing!

73

de

Eric
KG6MZS

4274. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-24 20:33:34 UTC
From: Gerry
Topic: 4273 Message: 4274

What I'd like to see climb is the user count!

Gerry
VE6LB/VA6XDX
ARRL DXCC Card Checker
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-6520
ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: wringmaster2002
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2007 2:27 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 10,000,000 QSLs

and climbing!

73

de

Eric
KG6MZS

4275. Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-24 22:38:44 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4273 Message: 4275

Seems to me that there is a potential disincentive for the DXpeditioners and some of the DX to participate, since some of them (it is said) get a net income stream from direct QSLing. While it is cheaper for them than sending out buro paper cards, it would cut down on those envelopes coming in with greenstamps if it were known at the outset that their logs would go onto LOTW.

On the other hand, with the rising cost of postage and the falling value of the US Dollar, any USA DXCC chaser would have to be nuts to got take the effort to become a LOTW participant.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> What I'd like to see climb is the user count!
>
> Gerry
> VE6LB/VA6XDX
> ARRL DXCC Card Checker
> VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
> (403) 251-6520
> ve6lb (at) telus (dot) net
> www.qsl.net/ve6lb/
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: wringmaster2002
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2007 2:27 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] 10,000,000 QSLs
>
>
> and climbing!
>
> 73
>
> de
>
> Eric
> KG6MZS
>

4276. Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-24 22:41:56 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4273 Message: 4276

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, wringmaster2002 <no_reply@...> wrote:
>
> and climbing!
>
> 73
>
> de
>
> Eric
> KG6MZS
>
Actually it is 20,000,000. I am pretty sure the number on the LOTW site is for matches, which actually produces 2 QSL's for each one. I have seen their number frequently as an odd number, which seems to confirm my theory that it is a match. It doesn't go up 2 at a time in other words.

Tim K6GEP

4277. Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-25 16:59:55 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 4273 Message: 4277

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:

> Actually it is 20,000,000. I am pretty sure the number on the LOTW
> site is for matches, which actually produces 2 QSL's for each one

Good point, Tim

4278. Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-25 16:59:07 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 4273 Message: 4278

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> What I'd like to see climb is the user count!
>
> Gerry
> VE6LB/VA6XDX

Amen. I've been watching that too - I have a spredsheet. Sometimes we've had as many as 33 new people sign up in a day (5/30/07)

73

de Eric
KG6MZS

4279. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-25 17:04:35 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4273 Message: 4279

Eric,

All the LoTW stats are at: http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/

Gerry VE6LB

----- Original Message -----
From: wringmaster2002
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2007 10:59 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> What I'd like to see climb is the user count!
>
> Gerry
> VE6LB/VA6XDX

Amen. I've been watching that too - I have a spredsheet. Sometimes we've had as many as 33 new people sign up in a day (5/30/07)

73

de Eric
KG6MZS

4280. Re: 10,000,000 QSLs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-25 18:13:47 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 4273 Message: 4280

Gerry;

Cool. Thanks, I'll participate in that project.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> Eric,
>
> All the LoTW stats are at: http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/
>
> Gerry VE6LB
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: wringmaster2002
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2007 10:59 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: 10,000,000 QSLs
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry" <telwest@> wrote:
> >
> >
> > What I'd like to see climb is the user count!
> >
> > Gerry
> > VE6LB/VA6XDX
>
> Amen. I've been watching that too - I have a spredsheet. Sometimes we've had as many
> as 33 new people sign up in a day (5/30/07)
>
> 73
>
> de Eric
> KG6MZS
>

4281. Received: XT2C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-26 08:57:34 UTC
From: Charles Gallo
Topic: 4281 Message: 4281

Via LOTW - XT2C

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

"...to disarm the people (is) the best and most effective way to enslave them..."
-- George Mason

4282. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Received: XT2C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-26 10:55:25 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4281 Message: 4282

Great news. Thanks!

BTW my old callsign here was KG2A.

Also like your message tag line. Wonder why . . . .

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Charles Gallo
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2007 04:57 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Received: XT2C

Via LOTW - XT2C

--
73 de KG2V

For the Children - RKBA!

"...to disarm the people (is) the best and most effective way to enslave them..."
-- George Mason

4283. J5UAP for 17m RTTY QSO

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-26 11:03:04 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4283 Message: 4283

Just received LotW QSL for J5UAP on 17m RTTY QSO

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com

4284. Latest QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-26 11:04:09 UTC
From: pcooper
Topic: 4284 Message: 4284

Hi all,

Not only XT2C confirmed, but also J5UAP and 6W2SC here, taking me to 150 now confirmed by LoTW alone.

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

4285. Re: Received: XT2C

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-26 12:57:56 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4281 Message: 4285

Confirmation of XT2C was a nice, pleasant surprise when I checked this morning. Still waiting on bureau cards but that's no big deal.

4286. DXCC Account Selection

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 15:13:16 UTC
From: dk1bx
Topic: 4286 Message: 4286

How can I change the DXCC Account Selection for an Application ?

For example:
I have worked BS7H on SSB and CW on 20m.
The Band/Mode-Spreadsheet on the DXCC Award Application, Part 1,
shows on the first BS7H-Row Mixed, CW and 20m marked and on the
second BS7H-Row only SSB (no mixed and no band).

On the application I would like to get credit for Mixed, SSB and 20m
for BS7H with one QSL. How can I manage this?

73 der Gerd, DK1BX

4287. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] DXCC Account Selection

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 15:44:47 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4286 Message: 4287

Hi Gerd,

Just select the SSB contact. You will be credit for Mixed, SSB, Band and Challenge automatically even if you do not select the CW QSL.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: dk1bx
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com

How can I change the DXCC Account Selection for an Application ?

For example:
I have worked BS7H on SSB and CW on 20m.
The Band/Mode-Spreadsheet on the DXCC Award Application, Part 1,
shows on the first BS7H-Row Mixed, CW and 20m marked and on the
second BS7H-Row only SSB (no mixed and no band).

On the application I would like to get credit for Mixed, SSB and 20m
for BS7H with one QSL. How can I manage this?

73 der Gerd, DK1BX

4288. What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 21:45:43 UTC
From: ckilpert
Topic: 4288 Message: 4288

I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info
EST or UTC?

Thank you,

73
Chris
KC2OBN

4289. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 21:49:54 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 4288 Message: 4289

UTC is the commonly accepted time format.

Julio

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ckilpert
Sent: Sunday, October 28, 2007 5:46 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info EST or UTC?

Thank you,

73
Chris
KC2OBN

Yahoo! Groups Links

4290. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 21:59:57 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4288 Message: 4290

ALWAYS LOG YOUR QSOS IN UTC.
Never use local time, this will result in most of the qsos not finding a
match.

The best choice is set your computer to GMT.
No worries about DST etc.

Or
Use a logging or contest program that automatically adjusts your local clock
to GMT.

On 10/28/2007 4:45:43 PM, ckilpert (ckilpert@...) wrote:
> I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info
> EST or UTC?
>
> Thank you,
>
> 73
> Chris
> KC2OBN
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4288;_ylc=X3oDMTM1c3VtN2VpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mjg4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5MzYwNzk0NAR0cGNJZAM0Mjg4]](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxZWR0ajNtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mjg4BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5MzYwNzk0NA-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4288]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmZmFkaGswBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTM2MDc5NDQ-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJmbDgwbGtqBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExOTM2MDc5NDQ-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnM2s0dnVvBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTkzNjA3OTQ0]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkNWxjdDVlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTkzNjA3OTQ0]
> | Polls [link: groups.yahoo.

4291. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 22:20:03 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4288 Message: 4291

UTC only.

Also you will never get an LotW QSL if you use anything other than UTC!!!!

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: ckilpert

I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info
EST or UTC?

Thank you,

73
Chris
KC2OBN

4292. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 21:48:00 UTC
From: nj2f
Topic: 4288 Message: 4292

UTC, This way everyones times will match. UTC is the same everywhere.

----- Original Message -----
From: ckilpert
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 28, 2007 9:45 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info
EST or UTC?

Thank you,

73
Chris
KC2OBN

4293. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-28 21:33:01 UTC
From: Mark Robinson
Topic: 4288 Message: 4293

Watch the UTC day change - it occurs before our new day starts
----- Original Message -----
From: nj2f
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, 28 October, 2007 5:48 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

UTC, This way everyones times will match. UTC is the same everywhere.

----- Original Message -----
From: ckilpert
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 28, 2007 9:45 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

I am new to dxing and want to know what is accepted for my log info
EST or UTC?

Thank you,

73
Chris
KC2OBN

4294. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-29 09:56:37 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 4288 Message: 4294

Folks,

UTC formatted date is certainly the date expected in any
QSO record/QSL.

However, some computers do not have (yet) fix for EST/EDT
new date change, and may calculate the GMT offset wrongly.

Nenad VE3EXY ...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Julio Peralta
Sent: Sunday, October 28, 2007 5:50 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

UTC is the commonly accepted time format.

Julio

4295. Re: What time should I use for logs, UTC or EST

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-30 20:17:14 UTC
From: wringmaster2002
Topic: 4288 Message: 4295

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Robinson" <markrob@...> wrote:
>
> Watch the UTC day change - it occurs before our new day starts

I've gotten a lot of cards that were off by 24 hours because of that error.

4296. 9Q1EK

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-30 20:23:55 UTC
From: J. Hamann
Topic: 4296 Message: 4296

I received a LOTW confirmation today for 9Q1EK for a 20 meter CW QSO.
Jim
K2CBM

4297. LOTW Contest RTTY - Amazing QSL Rate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-30 23:55:49 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4297 Message: 4297

I just checked LOTW for confirmations from the LOTW RTTY
contest, and the results are HUGE! I have 79 confirmations
for 111 QSO's, making a 71.2% rate!! And the QSLs are still coming in!

Tim K6GEP

4298. Re: LOTW Contest RTTY - Amazing QSL Rate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-30 23:59:12 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4297 Message: 4298

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@...> wrote:
>
> I just checked LOTW for confirmations from the LOTW RTTY
> contest, and the results are HUGE! I have 79 confirmations
> for 111 QSO's, making a 71.2% rate!! And the QSLs are still coming in!
>
> Tim K6GEP

Well, Tim, as it was a LOTW contest, it would be more surprising if
you got a low LOTW QSL rate. Good to hear anyway.
>

4299. Re: LOTW Contest RTTY - Amazing QSL Rate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-31 01:03:17 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4297 Message: 4299

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "k1xv" <k1xv@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> >
> > I just checked LOTW for confirmations from the LOTW RTTY
> > contest, and the results are HUGE! I have 79 confirmations
> > for 111 QSO's, making a 71.2% rate!! And the QSLs are still coming in!
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
>
> Well, Tim, as it was a LOTW contest, it would be more surprising if
> you got a low LOTW QSL rate. Good to hear anyway.
> >
>
I only operated the Makrothen RTTY, and not the not the off times,
so I still think it is incredible.

I looked at my totals for the LOTW SSB Contest and I only have
a 35% QSL rate. These were from my SSB contacts from the California
QSO party during the LOTW SSB Contest.

Tim

4300. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Contest RTTY - Amazing QSL Rate

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-10-31 02:18:27 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4297 Message: 4300

Interesting! I only started playing in RTTY contests 3 years ago. I only had 56 RTTY QSOs prior to that (from 1990 to 2004). I now have a grand total of 5321 RTTY QSOs. I have 2585 LotW RTTY QSLs. That is a 48 1/2 % LotW QSL rate. I'm sure anyone who RTTY contests would match that.

I guess it's
Radio + Computer = RTTY
RTTY + LotW = QSLs
QSLs = RTTY DXCC (I have 100) & RTTY WAS (need Vermont for LotW RTTY WAS)

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: timgep
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2007 09:03 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: LOTW Contest RTTY - Amazing QSL Rate

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "k1xv" <k1xv@...> wrote:
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "timgep" <timgep@> wrote:
> >
> > I just checked LOTW for confirmations from the LOTW RTTY
> > contest, and the results are HUGE! I have 79 confirmations
> > for 111 QSO's, making a 71.2% rate!! And the QSLs are still coming in!
> >
> > Tim K6GEP
>
> Well, Tim, as it was a LOTW contest, it would be more surprising if
> you got a low LOTW QSL rate. Good to hear anyway.
> >
>
I only operated the Makrothen RTTY, and not the not the off times,
so I still think it is incredible.

I looked at my totals for the LOTW SSB Contest and I only have
a 35% QSL rate. These were from my SSB contacts from the California
QSO party during the LOTW SSB Contest.

Tim

4301. downloading my own log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-01 14:05:55 UTC
From: qrz.ru
Topic: 4301 Message: 4301

Wonder how can I d/l my own log from the LoTW server?

--

RX9TX

4302. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-01 14:32:29 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4301 Message: 4302

Hi,

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=rx9tx&password=XXXXXXXX&qso_query=1&qso_qsl=no&qso_withown=yes

Use the above command. You have to substitute your password for the XXXXXXXX in the above link. If your callsign is different than RX9TX or if you have different callsigns you have to change that too.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: qrz.ru
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2007 10:05 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Wonder how can I d/l my own log from the LoTW server?

--

RX9TX

4303. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-01 14:33:28 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 4301 Message: 4303

https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=YOURCALL&password=YOURPASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=YOURCALL&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes

----- Original Message -----
From: qrz.ru
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2007 10:05 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Wonder how can I d/l my own log from the LoTW server?

--

RX9TX

4304. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-01 16:21:14 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4301 Message: 4304

Art,

Another method is this "menu driven" site by Rick Murphy K1MU. It allows you to create all kinds of LoTW queries:

http://www.rickmurphy.net/lotwquery.htm

73 de Bob - KØRC in ND (for 2007 Sweepstakes CW)

http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
http://czudek.spaces.live.com
http://chudek.aberon.net
http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc

----- Original Message -----
From: qrz.ru
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, November 01, 2007 9:05 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Wonder how can I d/l my own log from the LoTW server?

--

RX9TX

4305. Re[2]: [ARRL-LOTW] downloading my own log

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-01 16:10:30 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 4301 Message: 4305

Hi

> https://www.arrl.org/lotwuser/lotwreport.adi?login=YOURCALL&password=YOURPASSWORD&qso_query=1&qso_owncall=YOURCALL&qso_detail=yes&qso_qsl=no&qso_qsorxsince=1900-01-01&qso_withown=yes

Thanks Richard, everything went smooth except that the freq value is
missing and Logger32 logbook window has a hole in freq column, which I do
not like much :(

Is there a way to add freq values to the LoTW report file?

> Wonder how can I d/l my own log from the LoTW server?

--
RX9TX

4306. KH8SI

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-02 15:02:32 UTC
From: vu2nks
Topic: 4306 Message: 4306

Dear All,
Got KH8SI on LoTW at 14:25z this date.

VU2NKS

4307. my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 15:17:58 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4307 Message: 4307

Few years ago, I uploaded to LoTW the logs I inherited after W4FRU passing.
Been a while since I checked them.
Today I was quite surprised to see that almost all had made recent LoTW qsls
for people.

Apparently, many hams are transcribing their old logs into their computers
and getting them up onto LoTW.

Didn't see any from Old Hiram yet though....

Talking about music is like making love through mail.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4308. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 14:31:14 UTC
From: Mark Robinson
Topic: 4307 Message: 4308

This guy keeps a record of LOTW users - there is an interesting graph that shows the number of LOTW users increasing every month

http://www.hb9bza.net/lotw/

Mark N1UK G3ZZM

----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>
To: "LoTW List" <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>; <dxlab@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, 04 November, 2007 10:17 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] my old manager logs on LoTW

> Few years ago, I uploaded to LoTW the logs I inherited after W4FRU
> passing.
> Been a while since I checked them.
> Today I was quite surprised to see that almost all had made recent LoTW
> qsls
> for people.
>
> Apparently, many hams are transcribing their old logs into their computers
> and getting them up onto LoTW.
>
> Didn't see any from Old Hiram yet though....
>
>
> ---
> Talking about music is like making love through mail.
> ---
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

4309. Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 15:32:11 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4307 Message: 4309

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:

>
> Apparently, many hams are transcribing their old logs into their
computers
> and getting them up onto LoTW.
>
++++++++++++++++
I have gotten quite a few new confirmations for QSOs that occurred 5
or more years ago. Keep em coming!!

Ray K1XV

4310. LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 19:03:19 UTC
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
Topic: 836 Message: 4310

I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since October 11th. I have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard nothing back from her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on Norm Fusaro W3IZ was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.

Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

4311. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 19:41:16 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 836 Message: 4311

Hi Thomas,

I do know that there have been issues with LotW going back about 6 months. As a result they have had programmers working on the problem. I believe this problem was caused by re-uploading of Logs causing LotW QSLs to go missing. There are even some QSLs missing that were not the result of re-uploading logs. I do know that the re-uploading problem has been resolved and they are working on getting those missing QSLs showing again. In any even I do know they are very busy.

I do know that no data has been lost and that this has nothing to do with the official DXCC database. This is only affecting what shows as LotW QSLs. In my case I had some LotW QSLs missing that I had used for DXCC credit yet my DXCC totals were correct. I also no longer have any missing LotW QSLs.

As to your specific problem, Thomas, care to elaborate? Maybe we can help.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF

I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since October 11th. I have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard nothing back from her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on Norm Fusaro W3IZ was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.

Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

4312. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 20:27:20 UTC
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
Topic: 836 Message: 4312

Hi Art,

Thanks for your response.

On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer and placed the Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then uploaded the .tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.

I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can download confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new .adi file of contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out of range for date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF that I have does not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.

Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF certificate deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I submitted back on October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done and I've been unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with Katherine.

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

----- Original Message -----
From: Art Searle W2NRA
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 2:41 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Hi Thomas,

I do know that there have been issues with LotW going back about 6 months. As a result they have had programmers working on the problem. I believe this problem was caused by re-uploading of Logs causing LotW QSLs to go missing. There are even some QSLs missing that were not the result of re-uploading logs. I do know that the re-uploading problem has been resolved and they are working on getting those missing QSLs showing again. In any even I do know they are very busy.

I do know that no data has been lost and that this has nothing to do with the official DXCC database. This is only affecting what shows as LotW QSLs. In my case I had some LotW QSLs missing that I had used for DXCC credit yet my DXCC totals were correct. I also no longer have any missing LotW QSLs.

As to your specific problem, Thomas, care to elaborate? Maybe we can help.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF

I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since October 11th. I have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard nothing back from her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on Norm Fusaro W3IZ was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.

Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.503 / Virus Database: 269.15.20/1108 - Release Date: 11/3/2007 9:42 PM

4313. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 20:41:03 UTC
From: Vilnis YL2KF
Topic: 836 Message: 4313

Hello to all or Thomas,
on , not at all , definitely , all is fine with excommunication with
Katherine
and LoTW working well for me, what is Your problem? What kind of problem
you have ?
Not cleear form e-mail , sorry.

73 CUAGN, YL2KF / Vilnis

Thomas Giella KN4LF wrote:
> I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since October 11th. I
> have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard nothing back from
> her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on Norm Fusaro W3IZ
> was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.
>
> Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?
>
> 73,
> Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
> Lakeland, FL, USA
> kn4lf@...
>
> KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com
>
>
>
>

4314. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 21:08:49 UTC
From: Vilnis YL2KF
Topic: 836 Message: 4314

Hello again,
Sorry but YES and AGAIN yes , yes Dear THOMAS , that is reality . Your tqsl certificate is on Your old hard drive and downloaded and saved as p12 is bull*** .... You should ask for new ..... believe to my history, close to Yours. 99,9% close, as it.
Only way is new TQSL, but Katherine is not so bad as it looks.... ;-) really ...\ And now it comes :
Where is all recommendations to use safe computing an so on... ???
About what You claiming now in open area?

So , thats it -----
73 / YL2KF

Thomas Giella KN4LF wrote:

Hi Art,

Thanks for your response.

On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer and placed the Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then uploaded the .tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.

I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can download confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new .adi file of contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out of range for date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF that I have does not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.

Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF certificate deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I submitted back on October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done and I've been unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with Katherine.

4315. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-04 21:16:49 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 836 Message: 4315

At 03:27 PM 11/4/2007, Thomas Giella KN4LF wrote:
>Hi Art,
>
>Thanks for your response.
>
>On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer and placed the
>Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then uploaded the
>.tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.
>
>I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can download
>confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new .adi file of
>contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out of range for
>date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF that I have
>does
>not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.
>
>Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF certificate
>deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I submitted
>back on
>October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done and
>I've been
>unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with
>Katherine.

I've never had a problem with anyone at the LotW desk being unresponsive.
The most likely problem? You're using Earthlink. Either they're not
sending your mail, or (more likely) they are blocking the ARRL
replies, thinking they're spam.
Set yourself up an account at gmail or hotmail and try from there.
-rOCL

4316. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-05 00:04:24 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 836 Message: 4316

Ok I can't help on that. As you now know you can't save and reuse tq6 files. You have to same them as p12 files. Did you know you can always download all of your certificates in one file from the website? Obviously you cannot do that now because you've requested a new certificate. Though I guess you could give it a try. After logging in to the LotW website, go to Your Account tab. Click on Your Certificates and then click on Download your certificates. That creates a file named Certs.tq6. Click on it and it will load all of your certificates.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF

Hi Art,

Thanks for your response.

On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer and placed the Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then uploaded the .tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.

I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can download confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new .adi file of contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out of range for date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF that I have does not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.

Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF certificate deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I submitted back on October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done and I've been unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with Katherine.

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

----- Original Message -----
From: Art Searle W2NRA
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 2:41 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Hi Thomas,

I do know that there have been issues with LotW going back about 6 months. As a result they have had programmers working on the problem. I believe this problem was caused by re-uploading of Logs causing LotW QSLs to go missing. There are even some QSLs missing that were not the result of re-uploading logs. I do know that the re-uploading problem has been resolved and they are working on getting those missing QSLs showing again. In any even I do know they are very busy.

I do know that no data has been lost and that this has nothing to do with the official DXCC database. This is only affecting what shows as LotW QSLs. In my case I had some LotW QSLs missing that I had used for DXCC credit yet my DXCC totals were correct. I also no longer have any missing LotW QSLs.

As to your specific problem, Thomas, care to elaborate? Maybe we can help.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF

I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since October 11th. I have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard nothing back from her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on Norm Fusaro W3IZ was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.

Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?

73,
Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.503 / Virus Database: 269.15.20/1108 - Release Date: 11/3/2007 9:42 PM

4317. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-05 02:25:18 UTC
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
Topic: 836 Message: 4317

> After logging in to the LotW
> website, go to Your Account tab. Click on Your Certificates
> and then click on Download your certificates. That creates a
> file named Certs.tq6. Click on it and it will load all of
> your certificates.

The "certificates" on the LotW web site are incomplete since they
lack the user's "private key" - part of the public/private key pair.
That is why the instructions for tQSL say to ALWAYS back up your
key/certificate as a *.p12 file.

When doing a "clean" installation, you must have a valid *.P12
for at least your primary certificate in order to reinstall the
private key. Without the valid "private key' portion of the pair,
it is impossible to properly install or move the rest of the
application. This is just another case of RTFM ... simply
create a new (current) *.p12 backup EVERY TIME you renew a
certificate or create one for a new call/location.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
> Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 7:04 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem
>
>
> Ok I can't help on that. As you now know you can't save and
> reuse tq6 files. You have to same them as p12 files. Did
> you know you can always download all of your certificates in
> one file from the website? Obviously you cannot do that now
> because you've requested a new certificate. Though I guess
> you could give it a try. After logging in to the LotW
> website, go to Your Account tab. Click on Your Certificates
> and then click on Download your certificates. That creates a
> file named Certs.tq6. Click on it and it will load all of
> your certificates.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the Code!"
> w2nra.com
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
>
>
> Hi Art,
>
> Thanks for your response.
>
> On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer
> and placed the
> Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then
> uploaded the
> .tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.
>
> I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can
> download
> confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new
> .adi file of
> contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out
> of range for
> date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF
> that I have does
> not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.
>
> Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF
> certificate
> deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I
> submitted back on
> October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done
> and I've been
> unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with
> Katherine.
>
> 73,
> Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
> Lakeland, FL, USA
> kn4lf@...
>
> KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Art Searle W2NRA
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 2:41 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem
>
> Hi Thomas,
>
> I do know that there have been issues with LotW going back
> about 6 months.
> As a result they have had programmers working on the problem.

> I believe
> this problem was caused by re-uploading of Logs causing LotW
> QSLs to go
> missing. There are even some QSLs missing that were not the result of
> re-uploading logs. I do know that the re-uploading problem has been
> resolved and they are working on getting those missing QSLs
> showing again.
> In any even I do know they are very busy.
>
> I do know that no data has been lost and that this has
> nothing to do with
> the official DXCC database. This is only affecting what shows
> as LotW QSLs.
> In my case I had some LotW QSLs missing that I had used for
> DXCC credit yet
> my DXCC totals were correct. I also no longer have any
> missing LotW QSLs.
>
> As to your specific problem, Thomas, care to elaborate? Maybe
> we can help.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the Code!"
> w2nra.com
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
>
> I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since
> October 11th. I
> have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard
> nothing back from
> her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on
> Norm Fusaro W3IZ
> was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.
>
> Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?
>
> 73,
> Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
> Lakeland, FL, USA
> kn4lf@...
>
> KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.503 / Virus Database: 269.15.20/1108 - Release
> Date: 11/3/2007
> 9:42 PM
>

>
>
>

4318. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-05 12:34:31 UTC
From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
Topic: 836 Message: 4318

Thanks to all that have responded to my query.

I did save my newest KN4LF certificate that I received in January 2007 as a .p12 file but apparently I then deleted it by accident and kept the one from January 2006, DOH!!!

Anyway I've never had an email problem with Earthlink but will try a different email address that I have on Yahoo. BTW as a member of the ARRL I did contact Dave Sumner K1ZZ and he told me that Katherine was ill and that he would relay my problem to someone else.

73,
Thomas F. Giella, KN4LF
Retired Space Plasma Physicist
Lakeland, FL, USA
kn4lf@...

KN4LF Daily LF/MF/HF Frequency Radiowave Propagation Forecast Subscription Site:
http://www.kn4lf.com/kn4lf6.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe Subich, W4TV
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 9:25 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem

> After logging in to the LotW
> website, go to Your Account tab. Click on Your Certificates
> and then click on Download your certificates. That creates a
> file named Certs.tq6. Click on it and it will load all of
> your certificates.

The "certificates" on the LotW web site are incomplete since they
lack the user's "private key" - part of the public/private key pair.
That is why the instructions for tQSL say to ALWAYS back up your
key/certificate as a *.p12 file.

When doing a "clean" installation, you must have a valid *.P12
for at least your primary certificate in order to reinstall the
private key. Without the valid "private key' portion of the pair,
it is impossible to properly install or move the rest of the
application. This is just another case of RTFM ... simply
create a new (current) *.p12 backup EVERY TIME you renew a
certificate or create one for a new call/location.

73,

... Joe, W4TV

> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
> Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 7:04 PM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem
>
>
> Ok I can't help on that. As you now know you can't save and
> reuse tq6 files. You have to same them as p12 files. Did
> you know you can always download all of your certificates in
> one file from the website? Obviously you cannot do that now
> because you've requested a new certificate. Though I guess
> you could give it a try. After logging in to the LotW
> website, go to Your Account tab. Click on Your Certificates
> and then click on Download your certificates. That creates a
> file named Certs.tq6. Click on it and it will load all of
> your certificates.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the Code!"
> w2nra.com
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
>
>
> Hi Art,
>
> Thanks for your response.
>
> On October 10th I zeroed out the hard drive of my computer
> and placed the
> Windows XP Home OS back on and of course tQSL v1.1 and then
> uploaded the
> .tq6 saved to a p-12 of my callsign KN4LF.
>
> I upload and and download information using ACLog v3.0. I can
> download
> confirmed contacts from LOTW but can't sign and upload a new
> .adi file of
> contacts. I get an error that says that the contacts are out
> of range for
> date of the current KN4LF .tq6. The serial number of KN4LF
> that I have does
> not match the serial number of KN4LF that sits in LOTW.
>
> Norm W3IZ told me that he would have to have my current KN4LF
> certificate
> deleted and then he would act on the new KN4LF .tq5 that I
> submitted back on
> October 11th. This was on October 12th, nothing has been done

> and I've been
> unable to get back in touch with Norm, nor make intitial contact with
> Katherine.
>
> 73,
> Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
> Lakeland, FL, USA
> kn4lf@...
>
> KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Art Searle W2NRA
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, November 04, 2007 2:41 PM
> Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LOTW Problem
>
> Hi Thomas,
>
> I do know that there have been issues with LotW going back
> about 6 months.
> As a result they have had programmers working on the problem.
> I believe
> this problem was caused by re-uploading of Logs causing LotW
> QSLs to go
> missing. There are even some QSLs missing that were not the result of
> re-uploading logs. I do know that the re-uploading problem has been
> resolved and they are working on getting those missing QSLs
> showing again.
> In any even I do know they are very busy.
>
> I do know that no data has been lost and that this has
> nothing to do with
> the official DXCC database. This is only affecting what shows
> as LotW QSLs.
> In my case I had some LotW QSLs missing that I had used for
> DXCC credit yet
> my DXCC totals were correct. I also no longer have any
> missing LotW QSLs.
>
> As to your specific problem, Thomas, care to elaborate? Maybe
> we can help.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the Code!"
> w2nra.com
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Thomas Giella KN4LF
>
> I've been having a problem with Logbook Of The World since

> October 11th. I
> have emailed Katherine Allison repeatedly but have heard
> nothing back from
> her, other than an automated email reply one time. Early on
> Norm Fusaro W3IZ
> was assisting me but now I am not receiving emails back from him.
>
> Anyone else experiencing this communications issue?
>
> 73,
> Thomas F, Giella, KN4LF
> Lakeland, FL, USA
> kn4lf@...
>
> KN4LF Amateur & SWL Autobiography: http://www.kn4lf.com
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.503 / Virus Database: 269.15.20/1108 - Release
> Date: 11/3/2007
> 9:42 PM
>
>
>
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.503 / Virus Database: 269.15.20/1108 - Release Date: 11/3/2007 9:42 PM

4319. Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-05 12:55:42 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 4307 Message: 4319

I've been typing away, manually entering old QSOs, for the past month - now about 3750 QSOs. So far I've submitted all logs back to 1988 when I still had my old call WB2QJI. I am using Win-EQF which I have used for a long time as a logging program and is very fast for the data entry. The older logs were kept using local time but I've been able to convert to UTC by sucking them into DXKeeper and them spitting them back out again after adjustment.

Now I'm back to doing the mid-60s to early 70's logs and uploaded my Novice log (WN2QJI) from 1964-5. No LoTW matches on 858 QSOs back then but I have plenty of matches going back to 1988. I really would like to get some matches back to the 60s! There was some great DX and sunspots going on back then. I see that I worked ET3USA and VS9AWR on 15m CW as a wet-behind-the-ears 14 year old kid with the old Vibroplex!

Glad to hear others are doing this too. My wife thinks it is a little nutty!

73, Russ WQ3X

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

4320. New to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-05 22:13:08 UTC
From: n1ork
Topic: 4320 Message: 4320

Hi, I just started using LOTW and have a couple of questions.
Is there any LOTW documentation or a users guide that explains what the columns mean when you do a QSO query? I just started using LOTW and have uploaded 936 QSO's. When I do a query, I see the spread sheet form with the column headings. However there is a column with check boxes in it (some are checked and some are boxes that can be checked) and in the DXCC column I see the word 'Challenge' can someone please tell me what the check box is for and what the word 'Challenge' means?
Thanks and 73!
N1ORK - Andy

4321. Re: New to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-06 12:19:51 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4320 Message: 4321

The spreadsheet form with column headings shows you which award or awards each QSL qualifies for.

An explanation of the DX Challenge award is available here:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/08/3/

Jeff kr0o

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n1ork" <n1ork@...> wrote:
>
> Hi, I just started using LOTW and have a couple of questions.
> Is there any LOTW documentation or a users guide that explains what
the
> columns mean when you do a QSO query? I just started using LOTW and
> have uploaded 936 QSO's. When I do a query, I see the spread sheet
> form with the column headings. However there is a column with check
> boxes in it (some are checked and some are boxes that can be checked)
> and in the DXCC column I see the word 'Challenge' can someone please
> tell me what the check box is for and what the word 'Challenge' means?
> Thanks and 73!
> N1ORK - Andy
>

4322. Re: New to LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-06 21:20:09 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4320 Message: 4322

The checkmark in that column means the DXCC country (or WAS State)
is a new one for you for a particular band, mode, or band-mode.

Tim K6GEP

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, chefjeff52 <no_reply@...> wrote:
>
> The spreadsheet form with column headings shows you which award or
> awards each QSL qualifies for.
>
> An explanation of the DX Challenge award is available here:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/08/3/
>
> Jeff kr0o
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n1ork" <n1ork@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi, I just started using LOTW and have a couple of questions.
> > Is there any LOTW documentation or a users guide that explains
> what
> the
> > columns mean when you do a QSO query? I just started using LOTW
> and
> > have uploaded 936 QSO's. When I do a query, I see the spread
> sheet
> > form with the column headings. However there is a column with
> check
> > boxes in it (some are checked and some are boxes that can be
> checked)
> > and in the DXCC column I see the word 'Challenge' can someone
> please
> > tell me what the check box is for and what the word 'Challenge'
> means?
> > Thanks and 73!
> > N1ORK - Andy
> >
>

4323. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-07 21:44:40 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 4307 Message: 4323

On Nov 5, 2007, at 07:55 , Russ wrote:

> Now I'm back to doing the mid-60s to early 70's logs and uploaded
> my Novice log (WN2QJI) from 1964-5. No LoTW matches on 858 QSOs
> back then but I have plenty of matches going back to 1988. I really
> would like to get some matches back to the 60s! There was some
> great DX and sunspots going on back then. I see that I worked
> ET3USA and VS9AWR on 15m CW as a wet-behind-the-ears 14 year old
> kid with the old Vibroplex!
>
> Glad to hear others are doing this too. My wife thinks it is a
> little nutty!

The earliest QSL I have so far in LotW is December, 1978. I'm still typing in old paper logs; I should have them all uploaded in a few more years (only about 30K QSOs left to go!).

The fun thing is the memories that it brings back when I type in some of these old QSOs...:-)

73,

John Bastin, K8AJS
bastinj@...

4324. Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-08 00:34:18 UTC
From: n1ork
Topic: 4307 Message: 4324

I can't find my old logs, but I have a bunch of QSL cards going back to my old Tech call WA1PNI IN 1979. Most of the cards were for cw and a few on 6m am.
Would it be wise to get certificate(s) for my old calls (kn1wnw, wa1pni and n1cjg) and put the log info in by hand? Should I merge these later with my present call?
Thanks for the help!

Andy - N1ORK

4325. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-08 03:23:15 UTC
From: Jim McHale
Topic: 4307 Message: 4325

My oldest lotw qsos are two from 1973. And it sure does bring back
memories looking at the old logs....
73s
-jim
NM1W ex WA1QOS WN1QOS

John Bastin wrote:
>
>
> On Nov 5, 2007, at 07:55 , Russ wrote:
>
> > Now I'm back to doing the mid-60s to early 70's logs and uploaded
> > my Novice log (WN2QJI) from 1964-5. No LoTW matches on 858 QSOs
> > back then but I have plenty of matches going back to 1988. I really
> > would like to get some matches back to the 60s! There was some
> > great DX and sunspots going on back then. I see that I worked
> > ET3USA and VS9AWR on 15m CW as a wet-behind-the-ears 14 year old
> > kid with the old Vibroplex!
> >
> > Glad to hear others are doing this too. My wife thinks it is a
> > little nutty!
>
> The earliest QSL I have so far in LotW is December, 1978. I'm still
> typing in old paper logs; I should have them all uploaded in a few
> more years (only about 30K QSOs left to go!).
>
> The fun thing is the memories that it brings back when I type in some
> of these old QSOs...:-)
>
> 73,
>
> John Bastin, K8AJS
> bastinj@... <mailto:bastinj%40gmail.com>
>
> _

4326. Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-08 16:33:03 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 4307 Message: 4326

Hi Andy -

Regarding the certificates, that is what I did. I first got the primary certificate for WQ3X, my present call, and then later on got certs for WN2QJI, WB2QJI, and WB2QJI/3 which I used when we first moved to PA. The certs are signed with WQ3X so the QSOs are merged with the WQ3X logs. The callsign used is indicated when viewing the QSO, so they are separate but still usable under WQ3X for award credits.

I really wanted to do all this just to be able to know if I had worked someone before using my logging program. The LotW is just an added bonus. It is exciting though to see if there are any QSLs after uploading another portion of the logs. (I'm doing it a year at a time.) I still have some more QSO entry work to do - about 4 ARRL logbooks worth. I just wish my writing was a bit better when I was a kid!

73, Russ WQ3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "n1ork" <n1ork@...> wrote:
>
> I can't find my old logs, but I have a bunch of QSL cards going
back
> to my old Tech call WA1PNI IN 1979. Most of the cards were for cw
and
> a few on 6m am.
> Would it be wise to get certificate(s) for my old calls (kn1wnw,
> wa1pni and n1cjg) and put the log info in by hand? Should I merge
> these later with my present call?
> Thanks for the help!
>
> Andy - N1ORK
>

4328. Dumb question about valid QSO dates

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-11 00:52:36 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4328 Message: 4328

This has happened several times, and I am wondering why...

I have a valid certificate through 2009-Nov-26, which was issued 2006-Nov-27. A three year cert. Works fine.

But the dates for valid QSO's only go through 2007-Dec-31. I was just looking at its properties and discovered this. And now I remember this happened before. Am I doing something wrong when requesting a certificate renewal? I don't remember seeing an option to select specific dates...did I miss something, or is this by design?

Thanks

Mark, WD4ELG

4329. Re: my old manager logs on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-11 01:16:55 UTC
From: n1ork
Topic: 4307 Message: 4329

Well, I found my old WA1PNI log book that went from 1977 to 1982. Including my QSL cards, I was able to upload 142 QSO's on 11/8/07. So far, no QSL's from these. I'm averaging about 16% confirmations both in LOTW and eQSL. Boy! LOTW is so much more confusing than eQSL.cc! I wan't to merge my WAS contacts into one account so that all my USA QSLs from all of my calls would count toward one WAS award. But I don't understand how to do it. I tried to 'link' all my calls together, but I keep getting some reply that I don't have any dxcc credits:

"Date/Time From Subject
2007-11-06 19:15:01Z LoTW DXCC Account Link Request Rejected
We can find no DXCC record for the call signs you provided: N1ORK WA1PNI N1CJG N1ORK/P.
Please contact dxcc@... with any questions.
2007-11-06 19:13:29Z LoTW DXCC Account Link Request Rejected
We can find no DXCC record for the call signs you provided: N1ORK WA1PNI N1CJG N1ORK/P.
Please contact dxcc@arrl.org with any questions."

I already merged my DXCC stuff to my present call and that part looks ok. There's no help file and no one returns the emails I sent to lotw-help@....
In other words, I have three calls (WA1PNI, N1CJG & N1ORK) that, if merged or linked, can count toward WAS. Those QTH's are all within 50 miles of each other and in the same county and grid, so they are within the rules. Maybe I just don't understand the WAS account rules.
I had no problem doing the same thing at eQSL.cc. At least I can see the other Ham's eQSL card, save it for future reference, and it doesn't cost me anything to apply for and print an eQSL DX or WAS certificate once I reach it.

Andy - N1ORK

4330. Mac OS X 10.5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-12 15:57:34 UTC
From: John Graf
Topic: 4330 Message: 4330

I just upgraded to Mac OS 10.5 (Leopard). There are a couple of programs that don't seem to work under the new OS, and one of them is TQSL. Has anyone else run into this problem? Anyone know of an update or work-around? Right now I can use Windows (running as a VM on my Mac) to sign logs, but it is an extra and time-consuming step.

Thanks and 73,

John, WA6L

4331. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Mac OS X 10.5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-12 17:59:21 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 4330 Message: 4331

On Nov 12, 2007, at 10:57 , John Graf wrote:

>
> I just upgraded to Mac OS 10.5 (Leopard). There are a couple of
> programs that don't seem to work under the new OS, and one of them is
> TQSL. Has anyone else run into this problem? Anyone know of an
> update or work-around? Right now I can use Windows (running as a VM
> on my Mac) to sign logs, but it is an extra and time-consuming step.
>
> Thanks and 73,
>
> John, WA6L

I haven't upgraded to Mac OS X 10.5 yet (part of the reason is that I'm hearing far too many stories like this, for a number of programs that I use every day). I remember that it seemed to take a very long time to get a version of TQSL that would work in Mac OS X 10.4 (Tiger).

It sounds like you have the workaround (use TQSL in Windows on the Mac). Since I may not go for Leopard until I upgrade from my G5 iMac and G4 PowerBook to an Intel machine, that may be what I wind up doing also.

73,

John Bastin, K8AJS
bastinj@...

4332. what's up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-14 18:01:25 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4332 Message: 4332

The delay is caused by the gazillions of new members who hurridly signed up with LoTW, after it was announced that:

LoTW is now good for credit of IOTA, Worked All Canada, Worked all Lighthouses, Worked all Counties, etc. etc. etc.

NOT!!!!!!

But if that announcement will ever be made....maybe there would be a rush of new members. <larconic smile>

4333. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] what's up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-14 18:04:11 UTC
From: Peter Mercer
Topic: 4332 Message: 4333

It working OK from GI just checked logged in no problem
Pete GI4VIV
----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 6:01 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] what's up with LoTW?

The delay is caused by the gazillions of new members who hurridly signed up with LoTW, after it was announced that:

LoTW is now good for credit of IOTA, Worked All Canada, Worked all Lighthouses, Worked all Counties, etc. etc. etc.

NOT!!!!!!

But if that announcement will ever be made....maybe there would be a rush of new members. <larconic smile>

4334. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] what's up with LoTW?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-14 19:25:30 UTC
From: Nenad Stevanovic
Topic: 4332 Message: 4334

Managing credits for other organizations' award programmes,
or providing data for back-door paper QSL card printing,
is unlikely.

However issuing 'certified' (electronic) GCR lists on
customized queries, may give boost to LoTW particiaption.

Nenad VE3EXY ...

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of FireBrick
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 1:01 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] what's up with LoTW?

The delay is caused by the gazillions of new members who hurridly signed
up
with LoTW, after it was announced that:

LoTW is now good for credit of IOTA, Worked All Canada, Worked all
Lighthouses, Worked all Counties, etc. etc. etc.

NOT!!!!!!

But if that announcement will ever be made....maybe there would be a
rush of
new members. <larconic smile>

4335. Slow loading 4.5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-14 21:31:47 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 4335 Message: 4335

Looking to see if others might see the same slow loading of ver 4.5...
The loading time seems to vary. I just loaded LW4.5 on my Toshiba laptop (1.66 GHz with 1GB RAM) and it took just 38 seconds, which is a record. Previously, it's taken up to 90 seconds. I also just loaded LW4.5 on my Dell laptop (2 GHz with 640 MB RAM), which is the one I use for my radio stuff, and it took 108 seconds. I never timed LW4.1, but it seemed to load fairly quickly-at least quick enough that I never even thought about it.
Is this normal? Thanks all. Dave K8GVK

4336. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Slow loading 4.5

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-14 22:18:29 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4335 Message: 4336

What is LW 4.5?

If you're talking about Logwindow 4.5, then their reflector can be found here:
http://www.logwindow.cc/LW_Support_page.html

This reflector is about the ARRL's Logbook of the World application. Are there any LW 4.5 users here?

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: Dave
To: LOTW Group
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 3:31 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Slow loading 4.5

Looking to see if others might see the same slow loading of ver 4.5...
The loading time seems to vary. I just loaded LW4.5 on my Toshiba laptop (1.66 GHz with 1GB RAM) and it took just 38 seconds, which is a record. Previously, it's taken up to 90 seconds. I also just loaded LW4.5 on my Dell laptop (2 GHz with 640 MB RAM), which is the one I use for my radio stuff, and it took 108 seconds. I never timed LW4.1, but it seemed to load fairly quickly-at least quick enough that I never even thought about it.
Is this normal? Thanks all. Dave K8GVK

4337. does lotw software use a 'cacheing' system

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-15 15:04:44 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4337 Message: 4337

I've noticed a definite difference in time when checking for lotw qsls.
When I check for my personal callsigns, I get a response showing any recent qsls very quickly.
Rarely does the response and data take more than a few seconds, if that.

But when I occasionally check to see if any of the stations I qsl managed it seems that LoTW takes longer to search and respond
The qsos took place in the late 80's and early 90's and probably aren't accessed very often.

Just curious, it's of no consequence to me.
But I figured there is some sort of 'prefetch' of recent qsos submttted and/or accessed.
Or maybe not. LOL

4339. Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-16 11:27:23 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4339 Message: 4339

Well we would sure appreciate it if you would join LoTW.
Most of us pensioners can not afford to send qsls for every band and mode we work a station once we get the DXCC credit. Even sending via the bureau is getting expensive.

But we would appreciate LoTW qsls for those other bands and modes to increase our Challenge and band type credits.

It only takes a few minutes of making photo copies etc. to apply for the certificates.

I like seeing the cards also Steve, I'm sure many of us do.

Thanks for all the qsos in the contests. I hope you consider taking part in LoTW. I'm sure a lot of us on budgets would appreciate it also.

See you in the next contest...

On 11/16/2007 1:26:08 AM, Steve and Judy (hodgson@...) wrote:
> Thanks to everyone for their replies to my recent post.
>
> Sorry guys but I have not managed to get around to LOTW, maybe one of
> these days.
>
> At present I much prefer the old method.
>
> If you need a card please send to:-
>
> 4 Nikolaou Michael Street
> 5523 Dasaki Achnas
> Cyprus.
>
> Please enclose a self addressed envelope and postage if possible.
>
>
> 73 Steve ZC4Li etc.
> _____
> RTTY mailing list
> RTTY@...
> http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

4340. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-16 15:25:42 UTC
From: John Klim, N3KHK
Topic: 4339 Message: 4340

This should also be sent to all contesting, Modes, and DX reflectors.

I agree with you.

73 ES CUL
==========
Mr. John R. Klim II
N3KHK
ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD
#115,
10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay
Chapter # 549

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of FireBrick
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 6:27 AM
To: hodgson@...; LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Well we would sure appreciate it if you would join LoTW.
Most of us pensioners can not afford to send qsls for every band and mode we

work a station once we get the DXCC credit. Even sending via the bureau is
getting expensive.

But we would appreciate LoTW qsls for those other bands and modes to
increase our Challenge and band type credits.

It only takes a few minutes of making photo copies etc. to apply for the
certificates.

I like seeing the cards also Steve, I'm sure many of us do.

Thanks for all the qsos in the contests. I hope you consider taking part in
LoTW. I'm sure a lot of us on budgets would appreciate it also.

See you in the next contest...

On 11/16/2007 1:26:08 AM, Steve and Judy (hodgson@...) wrote:
> Thanks to everyone for their replies to my recent post.
>
> Sorry guys but I have not managed to get around to LOTW, maybe one of
> these days.
>
> At present I much prefer the old method.
>
> If you need a card please send to:-

>
> 4 Nikolaou Michael Street
> 5523 Dasaki Achnas
> Cyprus.
>
> Please enclose a self addressed envelope and postage if possible.
>
>
> 73 Steve ZC4Li etc.
> _____
> RTTY mailing list
> RTTY@...
> http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

Yahoo! Groups Links

4341. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-16 16:17:25 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4339 Message: 4341

You know, I have mixed emotions about that. While I've been on LoTW right from the git-go, and continue to enter old paper logs back into the 1960's (yeah, I know, I'm an old coot!), but I still absolutely love paper QSL's, and I look with some trepidation on the fact that LoTW is diminishing the use of them. Coupled with the fact that LoTW chooses to serve just two of the many reasons for QSLs, this is disturbing. May be time for a counter-revolution away from LoTW.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: John Klim, N3KHK
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;hodgson@...
Sent: 11/16/2007 3:25:58 PM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

This should also be sent to all contesting, Modes, and DX reflectors.

I agree with you.

73 ES CUL
==========
Mr. John R. Klim II
N3KHK
ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD
#115,
10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay
Chapter # 549

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of FireBrick
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 6:27 AM
To: hodgson@...; LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Well we would sure appreciate it if you would join LoTW.
Most of us pensioners can not afford to send qsls for every band and mode we

work a station once we get the DXCC credit. Even sending via the bureau is
getting expensive.

But we would appreciate LoTW qsls for those other bands and modes to
increase our Challenge and band type credits.

It only takes a few minutes of making photo copies etc. to apply for the certificates.

I like seeing the cards also Steve, I'm sure many of us do.

Thanks for all the qsos in the contests. I hope you consider taking part in LoTW. I'm sure a lot of us on budgets would appreciate it also.

See you in the next contest...

On 11/16/2007 1:26:08 AM, Steve and Judy (hodgson@...) wrote:
> Thanks to everyone for their replies to my recent post.
>
> Sorry guys but I have not managed to get around to LOTW, maybe one of
> these days.
>
> At present I much prefer the old method.
>
> If you need a card please send to:-
>
> 4 Nikolaou Michael Street
> 5523 Dasaki Achnas
> Cyprus.
>
> Please enclose a self addressed envelope and postage if possible.
>
>
> 73 Steve ZC4Li etc.
> --
> RTTY mailing list
> RTTY@...
> http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

Yahoo! Groups Links

4342. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-16 16:30:08 UTC
From: John Klim, N3KHK
Topic: 4339 Message: 4342

Hans,

I LOVE my paper QSLs MORE LoTW QSLs.

I will be sending out over 500 cards to the bureau before Christmas.

73 ES CUL

Mr. John R. Klim II

N3KHK

Grid: FM19na

QSL LOTW-Bureau- direct

As a courtesy, I upload to eQSL but if you use that - also pls upload to LOTW or hard card.

ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD #115,

10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay Chapter # 549

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K0HB
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 11:17 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; hodgson@cytanet.com.cy
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

You know, I have mixed emotions about that. While I've been on LoTW right from the git-go, and continue to enter old paper logs back into the 1960's (yeah, I know, I'm an old coot!), but I still absolutely love paper QSL's, and I look with some trepidation on the fact that LoTW is diminishing the use of them. Coupled with the fact that LoTW chooses to serve just two of the many reasons for QSLs, this is disturbing. May be time for a counter-revolution away from LoTW.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--

><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----

From: John Klim, <mailto:n3khk_john@...> N3KHK

To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com;hodgson@....cy

Sent: 11/16/2007 3:25:58 PM

Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

This should also be sent to all contesting, Modes, and DX reflectors.

I agree with you.

73 ES CUL
==========
Mr. John R. Klim II
N3KHK
ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD
#115,
10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay
Chapter # 549

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com
[mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogrou <mailto:ARRL-LOTW%40yahoogroups.com> ps.com] On
Behalf
Of FireBrick
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 6:27 AM
To: hodgson@cytanet. <mailto:hodgson%40cytanet.com.cy> com.cy; LoTW List
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Well we would sure appreciate it if you would join LoTW.
Most of us pensioners can not afford to send qsls for every band and mode we

work a station once we get the DXCC credit. Even sending via the bureau is
getting expensive.

But we would appreciate LoTW qsls for those other bands and modes to
increase our Challenge and band type credits.

It only takes a few minutes of making photo copies etc. to apply for the
certificates.

I like seeing the cards also Steve, I'm sure many of us do.

Thanks for all the qsos in the contests. I hope you consider taking part in
LoTW. I'm sure a lot of us on budgets would appreciate it also.

See you in the next contest...

On 11/16/2007 1:26:08 AM, Steve and Judy (hodgson@cytanet.
<mailto:hodgson%40cytanet.com.cy> com.cy) wrote:
> Thanks to everyone for their replies to my recent post.
>
> Sorry guys but I have not managed to get around to LOTW, maybe one of
> these days.
>
> At present I much prefer the old method.

>
> If you need a card please send to:-
>
> 4 Nikolaou Michael Street
> 5523 Dasaki Achnas
> Cyprus.
>
> Please enclose a self addressed envelope and postage if possible.
>
>
> 73 Steve ZC4Li etc.
> _____
> RTTY mailing list
> RTTY@contesting. <mailto:RTTY%40contesting.com> com
> http://lists. <http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty>
contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

Yahoo! Groups Links

4343. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-16 18:45:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4339 Message: 4343

I LIVE for my cards, I love reading them, sorting them, data entry to my logging program.
(I'm easily amused).

And I've mailed thousands to the outgoing bureau.
I answer every incoming direct or bureau card.
BUT!!!

No room and no money.
I contribute to dxpeditions, and I like the picture cards. But it's just so much easier to apply for credit electronicaly.

If a qsl card is like a 'final kiss in the dark'.
Then a LoTW is like a ' electronic cup of coffee in the morn'.

On 11/16/2007 10:30:08 AM, John Klim, N3KHK (n3khk_john@...) wrote:
> Hans,
>
> I LOVE my paper QSLs MORE LoTW QSLs.
>
> I will be sending out over 500 cards to the bureau before Christmas.
>
> 73 ES CUL
>
>
> Mr. John R. Klim II
> N3KHK
> Grid: FM19na
> QSL LOTW-Bureau- direct
> As a courtesy, I upload to eQSL but if you use that - also pls upload to
> LOTW or hard card.
> ARRL LM-0008416263, AMSAT LM-2187, QRPARCI #10392, FISTS # 5015, MQFD
> #115,
>
> 10-X Life Member # 68135, Springbok Chapter # 1874, Chesapeake Bay Chapter
> # 549
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of K0HB
> Sent: Friday, November 16, 2007 11:17 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com; hodgson@...
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] LOTW
>

> You know, I have mixed emotions about that. While
> I've been on LoTW right from the git-go, and continue to enter old paper
> logs back into the 1960's
> (yeah, I know, I'm an old coot!), but I still absolutely love paper QSL's,
> and I look with some trepidation on the fact that LoTW is diminishing the
> use of them. Coupled with the fact that LoTW chooses to serve just two of
> the many reasons for QSLs, this

4344. LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 15:09:42 UTC
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson
Topic: 4344 Message: 4344

I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it, but still make a lot of qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If allowed, I normally use packet clusters. My cluster program (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any spots which are LoTW users.

Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to work? You got it - the LoTW guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a semi-rare multiplier and extra points. I would imagine there are many casual contesters who also place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.

It would be great if we could get the word out to the contesting community that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better scores.

Bob - K3MQ

4345. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 15:34:58 UTC
From: nand kishore
Topic: 4344 Message: 4345

Dear Bob,
Remember our RTTY contact on 18Aug'07?
Was my callsign flagged or the contact
just occured by chance?
I'm curious.

73 es mni DX.
Nandu.VU2NKS.

--- K3MQ - Bob Johnson <k3mq@...> wrote:

> I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it,
> but still make a lot of
> qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If
> allowed, I normally use
> packet clusters. My cluster program
> (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any
> spots which are LoTW users.
>
> Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to
> work? You got it - the LoTW
> guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a
> semi-rare multiplier and
> extra points. I would imagine there are many casual
> contesters who also
> place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.
>
> It would be great if we could get the word out to
> the contesting community
> that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better
> scores.
>
> Bob - K3MQ
>
>

4346. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 15:37:18 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4344 Message: 4346

Hey, I'll look for you this afternoon in SweepStakes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/17/2007 3:10:06 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it, but still make a lot of qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If allowed, I normally use packet clusters. My cluster program (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any spots which are LoTW users.

Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to work? You got it - the LoTW guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a semi-rare multiplier and extra points. I would imagine there are many casual contesters who also place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.

It would be great if we could get the word out to the contesting community that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better scores.

Bob - K3MQ

4347. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 15:37:49 UTC
From: Art RX9TX
Topic: 4344 Message: 4347

Bob,

your message has just been placed in Russian contest reflector :)

KBJ> I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it, but still make a lot of
KBJ> qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If allowed, I normally use
KBJ> packet clusters. My cluster program (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any
KBJ> spots which are LoTW users.

KBJ> Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to work? You got it - the LoTW
KBJ> guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a semi-rare multiplier and
KBJ> extra points. I would imagine there are many casual contesters who also
KBJ> place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.

KBJ> It would be great if we could get the word out to the contesting community
KBJ> that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better scores.

KBJ> Bob - K3MQ

--
RX9TX

"In the end, we will remember not the words of our enemies, but the silence of our friends." (Martin Luther King)

4348. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 15:46:04 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4344 Message: 4348

Bob,

You're preaching to the choir here, so I've cc'ed this message to the CQ-Contest reflector where the contesting community hangs out!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2007 9:09 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it, but still make a lot of qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If allowed, I normally use packet clusters. My cluster program (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any spots which are LoTW users.

Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to work? You got it - the LoTW guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a semi-rare multiplier and extra points. I would imagine there are many casual contesters who also place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.

It would be great if we could get the word out to the contesting community that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better scores.

Bob - K3MQ

4349. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] LoTW - contesting

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 16:47:01 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4344 Message: 4349

Hi Bob,

I'm a casual contester also. And I do a little more S&P than I do runs. I would bet that most contesters are not going to give a thought to LotW during a contest. Most are using real contest programs and those programs do not highlight LotW users. And even LotW users are going to work anyone they can during a contest.

My experience has been that contesters are very aware of LotW and more and more are using it every day. As an example, in the last few years I have 10,750 QSOs (most are contest QSOs). For those QSOs I have 4,048 LotW QSLs. That's 37.6%. That is a higher percentage of LotW QSLs than you are going to see from non-Contesters. Now here's the rub; the big LotW QSLers are RTTY contesters, followed by CW contesters, followed by SSB contesters. My percentage of SSB contest LotW QSLs low. Has anyone else gotten the similar results?

I guess it makes sense that RTTY contesters LotW QSL more than the other modes because they are more into computers and they are allowed to work USA stations in Contests where most CW and phone contester cannot (with only a couple of exceptions). However to my experience CW contesters LotW QSL more than SSB contesters. Why?

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: K3MQ - Bob Johnson

I do a lot of contesting. I'm not very good at it, but still make a lot of qso's - mostly in "search and pounce" mode. If allowed, I normally use packet clusters. My cluster program (Spotcollector/DxLAB) highlights any spots which are LoTW users.

Guess which stations are VERY high on my list to work? You got it - the LoTW guys. Since I'm in Delaware, I often represent a semi-rare multiplier and extra points. I would imagine there are many casual contesters who also place a priority on LoTW "friendly" stations.

It would be great if we could get the word out to the contesting community that LoTW participation has fringe benefits - better scores.

Bob - K3MQ

4351. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Riding and dating - travel and meet casual girl friends.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-17 19:37:13 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4338 Message: 4351

Geeeezzzz... I wish I had known about this BEFORE I made my trip to Grand Forks, ND to operate the ARRL CW Sweepstakes last weekend!

:-)

BTW, another operator/callsign will be on the air from the same location in a few hours. Also, the University club station will be operating. The ND section will be popular again in Sweepstakes Phone!

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

----- Original Message -----
From: jacksone27
To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2007 12:09 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Riding and dating - travel and meet casual girl friends.

When you are traveling alone, you may need girl friends. This site will help traveling people to find casual love or Long-term. Before you travel to a city, you can browse girls' profiles who live in or will go to that city.

If there are nice girls, you can send invitation to them. They will welcome you. You can register for totally free.

If you are interested, you can join and place your profile via this link:
http://loveriding.bravehost.com/

4352. Re: Riding and dating - travel and meet casual girl friends.

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 00:25:12 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 4338 Message: 4352

Bob,

Although I was able to work ND in the SSB SS this weekend, it sure was a lot tougher than the CW contest. Thanks to you, ND was not a problem for me but was sweating it out a bit until Sunday afternoon yesterday.

Please operate from ND next year too!

73--Scott
KN3A

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Chudek" <k0rc@...> wrote:
>
> Geeeezzzz... I wish I had known about this BEFORE I made my trip to Grand Forks, ND to operate the ARRL CW Sweepstakes last weekend!
>
> :-)
>
> BTW, another operator/callsign will be on the air from the same location in a few hours. Also, the University club station will be operating. The ND section will be popular again in Sweepstakes Phone!
>
> 73 de Bob - KØRC in MN
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: jacksone27
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, November 17, 2007 12:09 PM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Riding and dating - travel and meet casual girl friends.
>
>
> When you are traveling alone, you may need girl friends. This site will
> help traveling people to find casual love or Long-term. Before you
> travel to a city, you can browse girls' profiles who live in or will go
> to that city.
>
> If there are nice girls, you can send invitation to them. They will
> welcome you. You can register for totally free.
>
> If you are interested, you can join and place your profile via this
> link:
> http://loveriding.bravehost.com/

>

4353. Need LOTW Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 00:27:30 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 4353 Message: 4353

I am the V.P. of an ARRL Contest club that operates W3WPA. Since we started last year I would like to register us for LOTW and have 4 contest ADI files to confirm via LOTW.

What is the correct procedure to register W3WPA? Can I do it or does the Pres. have to register?

Thanks in advance.

73--Scott
KN3A

4354. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Need LOTW Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 00:47:33 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4353 Message: 4354

At 07:27 PM 11/19/2007, Scott L. wrote:
>I am the V.P. of an ARRL Contest club that operates W3WPA. Since we
>started last year I would like to register us for LOTW and have 4
>contest ADI files to confirm via LOTW.
>
>What is the correct procedure to register W3WPA? Can I do it or does
>the Pres. have to register?

If you have a certificate for your own callsign, you can submit a
signed certificate request for W3WPA.
If you don't already have a cert, the trustee will have to go through
the password postcard procedure in order to set up a new account with LotW.
-Rick

4355. Re: Need LOTW Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 00:48:39 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4353 Message: 4355

I do not believe this is specifically covered anywhere in the LOTW web page. However, logically, it seems to me that who can register and submit is governed by your club's Constitution as to who is authorized to submit contest logs. Logically, all you are doing is uploading a contest log.

Ray K1XV

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Scott L." <scottpa1960@...> wrote:
>
> I am the V.P. of an ARRL Contest club that operates W3WPA. Since we
> started last year I would like to register us for LOTW and have 4
> contest ADI files to confirm via LOTW.
>
> What is the correct procedure to register W3WPA? Can I do it or does
> the Pres. have to register?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> 73--Scott
> KN3A
>

4356. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Need LOTW Advice

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 03:15:03 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4353 Message: 4356

I'm a Director on the board of Minnesota Wireless Association, a contest club. When our club call (W0AA) is used by a member for a contest, that member is responsible for QSL chores. He can obtain a cert for a specified time period (as short as 1 day) to cover his operation with call. Then next weekend for another contest, a different member can obtain a cert to cover their usage of the call. Periods cannot overlap, so certs obtained must be limited to the actual period during which a member used the call on the air.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Scott L.
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/20/2007 12:27:31 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Need LOTW Advice

I am the V.P. of an ARRL Contest club that operates W3WPA. Since we started last year I would like to register us for LOTW and have 4 contest ADI files to confirm via LOTW.

What is the correct procedure to register W3WPA? Can I do it or does the Pres. have to register?

Thanks in advance.

73--Scott
KN3A

4357. Why is the cert valid for three years, but QSO range only valid for 1 year?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 04:24:08 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4357 Message: 4357

OK, I am slow on this...

CERT valid certificate through 2009-Nov-26

But the dates for valid QSO's only go through 2007-Dec-31.

Was this my goof, or by design on ARRL end?

4358. Re: Why is the cert valid for three years, but QSO range only valid for 1 year?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 04:27:32 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4357 Message: 4358

OK, I jsut went to renew, but unless I pick a date of 2008/12/31, I can't proceed to the next step for renewal. In the help file, it says I should leave it blank so that the expiration date will be the same as the expiration of the certificate, but it won't allow me to proceed. Anyone else seeing this behavior?

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wd4elg_base" <mlunday@...> wrote:
>
> OK, I am slow on this...
>
> CERT valid certificate through 2009-Nov-26
>
> But the dates for valid QSO's only go through 2007-Dec-31.
>
> Was this my goof, or by design on ARRL end?
>

4359. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: Why is the cert valid for three years, but QSO range only valid for 1 year?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 12:14:38 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4357 Message: 4359

At 11:27 PM 11/19/2007, wd4elg_base wrote:
>OK, I jsut went to renew, but unless I pick a date of 2008/12/31, I
>can't proceed to the next step for renewal. In the help file, it says
>I should leave it blank so that the expiration date will be the same
>as the expiration of the certificate, but it won't allow me to
>proceed. Anyone else seeing this behavior?

Nope. I just started up the renewal process and was able to leave the
"QSO end date" blank.
There may be some other certificate that's in the chain that's
expiring, though, which might be causing this.

To explain what a "certificate chain" is: my call is K1MU. I initially
registered that through the league using the postcard method. That's a
vanity call, and my original call was WA1SPT. I submitted a certificate
request for WA1SPT signed using the K1MU certificate. That's a chain.
When K1MU expires, it might also cause WA1SPT to expire as the 'SPT
cert depends upon K1MU to be valid.

If that's not the case (you have only one certificate that was issued
by ARRL directly), I'd send mail to the LotW team. They can look at the
content of the certificate and suggest corrective action.
-Rick

4360. Old Callsign Registration

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-20 22:15:09 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 4360 Message: 4360

I have been trying to upload my old KA3LUW callsign, but keep getting
the following error:

Started processing your New Certificate Request.
For call sign: KA3LUW
For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
For QSOs not before: 1983-11-25 00:00:00
For QSOs not after: 1992-04-27 23:59:59
Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have a US callsign.
Yet, your certificate request contains a callsign that is not in the
FCC database.
**Your certificate request contains error(s); please correct and resubmit.
See http://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq.html for more information.

I looked at the FAQ section on LOTW but doesn't really make any sense
to me. Any ideas?

73--Scott
KN3A

4361. Re: question about WAS award accounts

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-21 01:33:14 UTC
From: Orest Zajac
Topic: 2891 Message: 4361

OK, I've read the messages below and as long as all former calls were
within 50 miles of each other, the QSLs would all count toward WAS.
My question is:
What are the steps I would need to take to link my former calls
WA1PNI, N1CJG and N1ORK to one WAS? I don't quite understand
the 'rules' I need to make, if any, to accomplish this? And once
done, how do I know if it worked?
My DXCC account shows that my former calls are linked:
This account includes credits for the following:
N1CJG - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
N1ORK - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
N1ORK/P - UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The WAS page doesn't indicate if this is so.

Thanks
Andy - N1ORK

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> Your right, I was rereading it after I sent the question out and
realized I'd missed that
> part.
>
> in the words of Saturday Night Live's Emily Lutilla....'NEVERMIND!'
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "K0HB " <K-Zero-HB@...>
> To: <ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, April 08, 2006 11:29 AM
> Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] question about WAS award accounts
>
>
> >
> >>
> >> Am I stating this correctly? States worked with previous
callsigns can
> > not be combined
> >> into one WAS award account.
> >>
> >
> >
> > I don't think that's true.
> >

> > My understanding is that you can feed a single WAS with multiple
callsigns,
> > so long as the station locations were within a certain distance
(50-miles?).
> >
> > I've only been wrong once in my life. That was the time I
thought I was
> > wrong, but I wasn't. :-)
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> ><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > SPONSORED LINKS Hobby and craft supply Craft hobby Hobbies and
crafts
> > Ham radio
> >
> >
> > ---

> > YAHOO! GROUPS LINKS
> >
> > a.. Visit your group "ARRL-LOTW" on the web.
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >
> > ---

> >
> >
>

4362. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Old Callsign Registration

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-21 13:54:24 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4360 Message: 4362

At 05:15 PM 11/20/2007, Scott L. wrote:
>I have been trying to upload my old KA3LUW callsign, but keep getting
>the following error:
>
>Started processing your New Certificate Request.
> For call sign: KA3LUW
> For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
> For QSOs not before: 1983-11-25 00:00:00
> For QSOs not after: 1992-04-27 23:59:59
>Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have a US callsign.
>Yet, your certificate request contains a callsign that is not in the
>FCC database.
>**Your certificate request contains error(s); please correct and resubmit.
>See http://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq.html for more information.
>
>I looked at the FAQ section on LOTW but doesn't really make any sense
>to me. Any ideas?

That seems pretty straightforward to me. There's no record in the FCC
database of KA3LUW being issued.
They seem to keep your prior call, but may not keep a record of the
original issuance.
You'll have to contact the LotW help desk to find out what to do;
they've got to have some way of fixing this as it can't be all that
unusual.
-Rick

4363. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Old Callsign Registration

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-21 15:49:10 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4360 Message: 4363

I have certificates for several old US call signs going back to my first call, KG6AQI 45-years ago, which are not in the FCC data base.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/21/2007 1:54:35 PM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Old Callsign Registration

At 05:15 PM 11/20/2007, Scott L. wrote:
>I have been trying to upload my old KA3LUW callsign, but keep getting
>the following error:
>
>Started processing your New Certificate Request.
> For call sign: KA3LUW
> For DXCC Entity: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
> For QSOs not before: 1983-11-25 00:00:00
> For QSOs not after: 1992-04-27 23:59:59
>Your DXCC entity indicates that you should have a US callsign.
>Yet, your certificate request contains a callsign that is not in the
>FCC database.
>**Your certificate request contains error(s); please correct and resubmit.
>See http://www.arrl.org/lotw/faq.html for more information.
>
>I looked at the FAQ section on LOTW but doesn't really make any sense
>to me. Any ideas?

That seems pretty straightforward to me. There's no record in the FCC database of KA3LUW being issued.
They seem to keep your prior call, but may not keep a record of the original issuance.
You'll have to contact the LotW help desk to find out what to do; they've got to have some way of fixing this as it can't be all that unusual.
-Rick

4364. Re: Why is the cert valid for three years, but QSO range only valid for 1 year?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-21 16:23:41 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4357 Message: 4364

Thanks, Rick.

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Rick Murphy/K1MU <k1mu-lotw@...>
wrote:
>
> At 11:27 PM 11/19/2007, wd4elg_base wrote:
> >OK, I jsut went to renew, but unless I pick a date of 2008/12/31, I
> >can't proceed to the next step for renewal. In the help file, it says
> >I should leave it blank so that the expiration date will be the same
> >as the expiration of the certificate, but it won't allow me to
> >proceed. Anyone else seeing this behavior?
>
> Nope. I just started up the renewal process and was able to leave the
> "QSO end date" blank.
> There may be some other certificate that's in the chain that's
> expiring, though, which might be causing this.
>
> To explain what a "certificate chain" is: my call is K1MU. I initially
> registered that through the league using the postcard method. That's a
> vanity call, and my original call was WA1SPT. I submitted a
certificate
> request for WA1SPT signed using the K1MU certificate. That's a chain.
> When K1MU expires, it might also cause WA1SPT to expire as the 'SPT
> cert depends upon K1MU to be valid.
>
> If that's not the case (you have only one certificate that was issued
> by ARRL directly), I'd send mail to the LotW team. They can look at
the
> content of the certificate and suggest corrective action.
> -Rick
>

4365. test

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-23 03:22:14 UTC
From: Joe K2UF
Topic: 298 Message: 4365

Just a test message from a new group member.

4366. 18%

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 04:09:35 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4366 Message: 4366

With just over 40,000 QSO's entered in LoTW, the earliest going back to 1965, my "QSL rate" just passed 18%. Not too shabby!

Looks like my return rate on the two recent contests (about 1,800 QSO's) is just under 30%.

Estimate I have another 25,000 QSO's in paper logs to transcribe some rainy evening. Or maybe not!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

4367. Operator error on my end, somehow...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 04:47:11 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4367 Message: 4367

I have a valid cert, I am uploading the TQ8 files (been doing this for 24 months now, so it is working), but when I query my QSO's it seems like they stopped being processed back on the 19th of November.

Anyone else seeing this behavior?

Mark, WD4ELG

4368. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 18%

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 13:34:02 UTC
From: Anthony W. DePrato
Topic: 4366 Message: 4368

>Hans:

with almost 8000 qso's entered i have a reture of 826. or 10% that is
not really a great rate until i consider that 80% of my qso's are dx.
when i was contesting on rtty i uploaded all my qso's.and still find
one or two matches coming in a month. wish the cw and ssb contesters
would upload their logs like the rtty boys do. out of the 300 qso's i
had during the last 160meter cw contest i think only 10 had hits.
really poor results. since the guys use logging programs to score
their contests why not just upload them to lotw when you send them in
to the contest awards manager ?
have a wonderful Christmas Hans
73 Tony

QBE ZUT DE WA4JQS

ANTHONY W. (Tony) DePrato WA4JQS EXTRA - HEAVY
Since 1962
CQ DX HALL OF FAME # 35
A1-OP FISTS # 10573 SKCC #1227 F.O.P.
DXCC PHONE- DXCC CW- DXCC RTTY- DXCC MIXED
DXCC 40, 20, 15, 10 METERS
South Sandwich Island Dxpedition Group
CALLS HELD:
WA4JQS/ZS1, WA4JQS/KC4, WA4JQS/4K1
ZD8JQS, V31SS, VP8BZL, VP8SSI, 3Y0PI

4369. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Operator error on my end, somehow...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 17:27:33 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4367 Message: 4369

At 11:47 PM 11/24/2007, wd4elg_base wrote:
>I have a valid cert, I am uploading the TQ8 files (been doing this for
>24 months now, so it is working), but when I query my QSO's it seems
>like they stopped being processed back on the 19th of November.
>
>Anyone else seeing this behavior?

Have you had a look at the logs for your recent submissions? There may be something causing the new QSOs to be discarded. (One reason for this is that there is a valid QSO date range which is separate from the validity range for the certificate.)

Log in the LotW, pick "Your Account", then "Your Activity". Use the "Result" link for one of your recent submissions to see what LotW did with it.
-Rick

4370. Earliest QSLs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 17:33:55 UTC
From: k0xb3
Topic: 4370 Message: 4370

I noticed Hans said he had QSOs in LOTW going back to 1965. That made me think - I've got QSOs in LOTW beginning in 1961, but the earliest QSL I have is from September, 1975. I wonder who has the earliest QSL in
LOTW?
73, Rick K0XB

4371. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Earliest QSLs?

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 17:44:46 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4370 Message: 4371

I have 17 matches in the 1960's when I was KG6AQI.

1966 = 1
1967 = 4
1968 = 9
1969 = 3

My earliest (and only) LoTW QSL w/K0XB was in 1997. Guess I gotta get on rtty more! I put a new microKEYER-II online a couple weeks ago, so maybe we'll meet there.

When are you going /6? We leave Jan 5th for our spot in the Superstitions. Plan another sojourn to Chaco this spring.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: k0xb3
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 11/25/2007 5:34:05 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Earliest QSLs?

I noticed Hans said he had QSOs in LOTW going back to 1965. That made
me think - I've got QSOs in LOTW beginning in 1961, but the earliest
QSL I have is from September, 1975. I wonder who has the earliest QSL
in
LOTW?
73, Rick K0XB

4372. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Operator error on my end, somehow...

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-25 21:09:34 UTC
From: Mark Lunday, WD4ELG
Topic: 4367 Message: 4372

Thanks, Rick. For some reason, I messed up with the renewal of the cert.

Mark Lunday
WD4ELG
wd4elg@...
http://wd4elg.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU [mailto:k1mu-lotw@...]
Sent: Sunday, November 25, 2007 12:28 PM
To: wd4elg_base; ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Operator error on my end, somehow...

At 11:47 PM 11/24/2007, wd4elg_base wrote:
>I have a valid cert, I am uploading the TQ8 files (been doing this for
>24 months now, so it is working), but when I query my QSO's it seems
>like they stopped being processed back on the 19th of November.
>
>Anyone else seeing this behavior?

Have you had a look at the logs for your recent submissions? There may be
something causing the new QSOs to be discarded. (One reason for this is that
there is a valid QSO date range which is separate from the validity range
for the certificate.)

Log in the LotW, pick "Your Account", then "Your Activity". Use the "Result"
link for one of your recent submissions to see what LotW did with it.
-Rick

4373. Missing QSLs in LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-26 19:14:49 UTC
From: James M Punderson IV
Topic: 4373 Message: 4373

A few times over the last several months, I have noticed my QSL count on LOTW go down. Since my logging program, AA6YQ's DXKeeper, separately connects to and tracks LOTW QSLs, I decided to compare my log to LOTW, using a procedure that Dave, AA6YQ, came up with to see which ones were missing.

To make a long story short, what I found was that 6 QSLs had gone missing on LOTW. I had uploaded my side and the other fellow had uploaded his matching side but they were no longer shown as QSLs in the LOTW system.

So I re-uploaded the six of them and all now show as QSLs and my DXCC Challenge total is back to what it was supposed to be.

Interestingly enough, one of the missing QSLs, had disappeared and reappeared four times previously.

Jamie Punderson, W2QO

4374. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Missing QSLs in LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-26 20:29:18 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4373 Message: 4374

Hi Jamie,

I had the same problem going back to June (I think). Over the months since I'd been in contact with many people at ARRL. They were aware of the problem and it required re-programming of their software to fix. Another problem and one of the causes was users upload the same QSOs more than once. I know they've fixed that uploading problem, oh, I'd a say approximately 2 months ago. Then they said there were still working on the missing QSLs. I had over a hundred. Since they said they fixed the multiple uploading problem I took matter into my own hands and re-uploaded my missing QSL. That fixed my problem completely. I haven't heard or inquired about ARRL's fix for missing QSLs. So I don't know if that has been done.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: "James M Punderson IV" <w2qo@...>

>A few times over the last several months, I have noticed my QSL count
> on LOTW go down. Since my logging program, AA6YQ's DXKeeper,
> separately connects to and tracks LOTW QSLs, I decided to compare my
> log to LOTW, using a procedure that Dave, AA6YQ, came up with to see
> which ones were missing.
>
> To make a long story short, what I found was that 6 QSLs had gone
> missing on LOTW. I had uploaded my side and the other fellow had
> uploaded his matching side but they were no longer shown as QSLs in
> the LOTW system.
>
> So I re-uploaded the six of them and all now show as QSLs and my DXCC
> Challenge total is back to what it was supposed to be.
>
> Interestingly enough, one of the missing QSLs, had disappeared and
> reappeared four times previously.
>
> Jamie Punderson, W2QO

4375. LOTW QSL'S

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-29 11:27:29 UTC
From: so2rop
Topic: 4375 Message: 4375

Hey Gang,

Just for fun I loaded my lotwreport 22K of QSL'S into DX4WIN.

Mode QSL'S DX CFM
RTTY 15,877 163
CW 3,641 220
SSB 2,442 193
MIXED 22,000 253

73, Wayne N2WK

4376. QSL's after CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-30 15:25:47 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 4376 Message: 4376

Hi all,

After almost any RTTY contest, I get pages of matches within hours of the end of the contest. I have got quite used to this, and it is very pleasing. Usually, within a week, I have confirmations for about one third of my log.

I dabbled in CQWW CW, as I have decided I want to claim DXCC on CW (just to prove I can do it!), and tried to work as many new ones as possible.
Today, some 5 days after the contest, I still only show 25 matches! And quite a few of them are from ops that use RTTY as well.
Just goes to show that the CW crowd don't seem to have embraced LoTW as much as the RTTY folk!

The only one that surprised me was PJ2/K8ND, who I worked on Tuesday morning on 40m CW. By the end of the day, confirmation was on LoTW!

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

4377. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSL's after CQWW CW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-11-30 16:22:04 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4376 Message: 4377

Hi Phil,

Your results are not unusual. I play in both CW and RTTY contests and I get the similar results. I thought about this and I can guess why. Part of it is everyone who does RTTY is utilizing their computers more. The other reason is more US stations participate in LotW than DX (it's easier for us to do so). Since you were chasing DX, you didn't work as many US stations. For us here in the US we are allowed to work US station only in RTTY contests for the most part. Anyway, that's my opinion, though I do see more and more DX participating. But for the most part, US stations = the bulk of LotW users.

In the CQWW CW contest I did as you and mostly only chased new DXCC band countries though I couldn't resist trying to crack other pileups just for fun. I only made 65 QSOs and I have gotten 4 LotW QSLs so far. My total log numbers are 15,177 QSOs with 4,118 LotW QSLs for 27.1%. My RTTY totals are 5,364 QSOs with 2,617 LotW QSLs for 48.8%. My CW totals are 8,547 QSOs with 1,449 LotW QSLs for 17%. And Phone QSOs are 1,266 and 52 LotW QSLs for 4.1%. This low percentage on phone is because 1,134 of these QSOs were prior to 1997. I can't take the 20 meter zoo.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: "Phil Cooper" <pcooper@...>

> Hi all,
>
> After almost any RTTY contest, I get pages of matches within hours of the
> end of the contest. I have got quite used to this, and it is very
> pleasing.
> Usually, within a week, I have confirmations for about one third of my
> log.
>
> I dabbled in CQWW CW, as I have decided I want to claim DXCC on CW (just
> to
> prove I can do it!), and tried to work as many new ones as possible.
> Today, some 5 days after the contest, I still only show 25 matches! And
> quite a few of them are from ops that use RTTY as well.
> Just goes to show that the CW crowd don't seem to have embraced LoTW as
> much
> as the RTTY folk!
>
> The only one that surprised me was PJ2/K8ND, who I worked on Tuesday
> morning

> on 40m CW. By the end of the day, confirmation was on LoTW!
>
> 73 all
>
> Phil GU0SUP

4378. not just digital people

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-03 11:54:18 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4378 Message: 4378

That submit to LoTW after a contest.
I was pleasantly surprised to find dozens of LoTW qsls in my account from
people I worked in the ARRL 160 cw contest over the weekend.

Picked up a few more 160 states.

Thanks to all.

A flying saucer results when a nudist spills his coffee.

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4379. H40MY LotW QSL for 17m & 20m CW QSOs

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-03 17:50:56 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4379 Message: 4379

Howdy All,

I just got LotW QSLs for H40MY on 17m and 20m CW QSOs. This one finally puts me back on the CW Honor Roll!

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com

4380. Re: not just digital people

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-05 01:50:21 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 4378 Message: 4380

I just checked and my ratio is 1:5 used LOTW so far. I had 55
confirmed out of 262 confirmed so far. This is a much higher ratio
than I expected. Usually the best ratio for me is RTTY contests for
LOTW confirmations.

Scott-KN3A

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
>
> That submit to LoTW after a contest.
> I was pleasantly surprised to find dozens of LoTW qsls in my account
from
> people I worked in the ARRL 160 cw contest over the weekend.
>
> Picked up a few more 160 states.
>
> Thanks to all.
>
>
> ----------
> A flying saucer results when a nudist spills his coffee.
> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
>

4381. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: not just digital people

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-05 03:41:08 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 4378 Message: 4381

I actually got 3 new countries via LOTW in the past couple of weeks,
very encouraging.. All the result of submitting contest logs.

Andy K3UK

On Dec 4, 2007 8:50 PM, Scott L. <scottpa1960@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I just checked and my ratio is 1:5 used LOTW so far. I had 55
> confirmed out of 262 confirmed so far. This is a much higher ratio
> than I expected. Usually the best ratio for me is RTTY contests for
> LOTW confirmations.
>
> Scott-KN3A
>
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@...> wrote:
> >
> > That submit to LoTW after a contest.
> > I was pleasantly surprised to find dozens of LoTW qsls in my account
> from
> > people I worked in the ARRL 160 cw contest over the weekend.
> >
> > Picked up a few more 160 states.
> >
> > Thanks to all.
> >
> >
> > --
> > A flying saucer results when a nudist spills his coffee.
> > --
> >
> > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> > Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> >
>
>

--
Andy K3UK
www.obriensweb.com

(QSL via N2RJ)

4382. Re: not just digital people

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-05 13:22:37 UTC
From: Scott L.
Topic: 4378 Message: 4382

Yes, I'm also very encouraged. Since October I've added 3 new
countries from LOTW and now stand at 75 countries confirmed, so I'm
getting there!

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Andrew O'Brien" <andrewobrie@...>
wrote:
>
> I actually got 3 new countries via LOTW in the past couple of weeks,
> very encouraging.. All the result of submitting contest logs.
>
> Andy K3UK
>
>
> On Dec 4, 2007 8:50 PM, Scott L. <scottpa1960@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > I just checked and my ratio is 1:5 used LOTW so far. I had 55
> > confirmed out of 262 confirmed so far. This is a much higher ratio
> > than I expected. Usually the best ratio for me is RTTY contests for
> > LOTW confirmations.
> >
> > Scott-KN3A
> >
> >
> > --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "FireBrick" <w9ol@> wrote:
> > >
> > > That submit to LoTW after a contest.
> > > I was pleasantly surprised to find dozens of LoTW qsls in my
account
> > from
> > > people I worked in the ARRL 160 cw contest over the weekend.
> > >
> > > Picked up a few more 160 states.
> > >
> > > Thanks to all.
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > A flying saucer results when a nudist spills his coffee.
> > > ---
> > >

> > > Bill H. in Chicagoland
> > > webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> > > Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Andy K3UK
> www.obriensweb.com
> (QSL via N2RJ)
>

4383. RECEIVED VIA LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-05 19:18:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4383 Message: 4383

sort of a good one
SU9US for a 2003 15m cw qso.

Thank you.

4384. No QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 01:19:41 UTC
From: w1rh
Topic: 4384 Message: 4384

I just realized that two or three years ago I uploaded the log for J3/W1RH. I have the certificate and can see the uploaded QSO's but, to date, I have not received any QSL's. Now, there were only 200 Q's in this upload but I have received many paper QSL's. Before I upload the huge logs for J37VG, I want to make sure I did not do something wrong.

My own call sign shows QSL returns weekly so I know how to do it. Any ideas why I may not have received any confirmations on the J3 log? Anything different about a DX log that I might have missed?

Bob
W1RH

4385. PSK63F

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 01:47:11 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 4385 Message: 4385

Just bought my submittal to LoTW up to date. My PSK63F QSO with C21R was kicked out. Said "not a valiant mode"??? This was taken from DXBase log. How do I correct it?
JV

4386. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 01:48:48 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4384 Message: 4386

I've worked a lot of J3 stations over the years but I did not work any
version of J3/W1RH.

Please give me the years of operation so I can search.
not when you uploaded, but when the operation took place that you signed
J3/W1RH

It's hard to believe that you did not get even one LoTW.

On 12/5/2007 7:19:41 PM, w1rh (w1rh@...) wrote:
> I just realized that two or three years ago I uploaded the log for
> J3/W1RH. I have the certificate and can see the uploaded
> QSO's but,
> to date, I have not received any QSL's. Now, there were only
> 200 Q's
> in this upload but I have received many paper QSL's. Before I
> upload
> the huge logs for J37VG, I want to make sure I did not do something
> wrong.
>
> My own call sign shows QSL returns weekly so I know how to do it. Any
> ideas why I may not have received any confirmations on the J3 log?
> Anything different about a DX log that I might have missed?
>
> Bob
> W1RH
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4384;_ylc=X3oDMTM1YWg4M25uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4MwR0cGNJZAM0Mzg0](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMXJjYjh2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4Mw-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4384]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaXA5NnJnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDM5ODM-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>

4387. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 01:51:00 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4384 Message: 4387

another question, when you go to LoTW-Your QSO's and enter that call from
the 'Your Calls sign:' box, does it show the qsos as being entered?

On 12/5/2007 7:19:41 PM, w1rh (w1rh@...) wrote:
> I just realized that two or three years ago I uploaded the log for
> J3/W1RH. I have the certificate and can see the uploaded
> QSO's but,
> to date, I have not received any QSL's. Now, there were only
> 200 Q's
> in this upload but I have received many paper QSL's. Before I
> upload
> the huge logs for J37VG, I want to make sure I did not do something
> wrong.
>
> My own call sign shows QSL returns weekly so I know how to do it. Any
> ideas why I may not have received any confirmations on the J3 log?
> Anything different about a DX log that I might have missed?
>
> Bob
> W1RH
>
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4384;_ylc=X3oDMTM1YWg4M25uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4MwR0cGNJZAM0Mzg0](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMXJjYjh2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4Mw-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4384]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaXA5NnJnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDM5ODM-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
>

4388. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PSK63F

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 02:04:30 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4385 Message: 4388

In TQSL the section you use to 'sign' your logs, go to File>Preferences
Select ADIF Modes
Add
Enter PSK63F into the box
scroll down to PSK63

and hit enter

Now it will correctly decode 63F as PSK63

This has to be done for each 'variant' of the new digital modes as they
appear.

On 12/5/2007 7:47:11 PM, JV (jv@...) wrote:
> Just bought my submittal to LoTW up to date. My PSK63F QSO with C21R was
> kicked out. Said "not a valiant mode"??? This was taken from DXBase log.
> How
> do I correct it?
> JV
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4385;_ylc=X3oDMTM1MWpjMWdtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwNTYzNQR0cGNJZAM0Mzg1](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxdTd1ZGdiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwNTYzNQ-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4385]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmbXJldmR1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDU2MzU-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJma2Y5b2JvBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDU2MzU-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnNWZlcDBlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTk2OTA1NjM1]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkaXN0bXFpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTk2OTA1NjM1]
> | Polls [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-

4389. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PSK63F

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 02:05:38 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4385 Message: 4389

and I forgot to add
after you add that 'variant'

just re-sign the log or that particular qso and it will be accepted.

On 12/5/2007 7:47:11 PM, JV (jv@...) wrote:
> Just bought my submittal to LoTW up to date. My PSK63F QSO with C21R was
> kicked out. Said "not a valiant mode"??? This was taken from DXBase log.
> How
> do I correct it?
> JV
>
> Messages in this topic [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/message/4385;_ylc=X3oDMTM1MWpjMWdtBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDM
TcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwNTYzNQR0cGNJZAM0Mzg1](1
)
> Reply (via web post) [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxdTd1ZGdiBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg1BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwNTYzNQ-
> -?act=reply&messageNum=4385]| Start a new topic [link: groups.yahoo.
> com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmbXJldmR1BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2M
zEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDU2MzU-
>]
> Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJma2Y5b2JvBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDU2MzU-
>] | Links [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJnNWZlcDBlBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2
MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdGltZQMxMTk2OTA1NjM1]
> | Database [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> LOTW/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJkaXN0bXFpBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwN
TA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGltZQMxMTk2OTA1NjM1]
> | Polls [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-

4390. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 03:52:47 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 4384 Message: 4390

February, 1999.

- Bob
--- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:

> I've worked a lot of J3 stations over the years but
> I did not work any
> version of J3/W1RH.
>
> Please give me the years of operation so I can
> search.
> not when you uploaded, but when the operation took
> place that you signed
> J3/W1RH
>
> It's hard to believe that you did not get even one
> LoTW.
>
>
>
> On 12/5/2007 7:19:41 PM, w1rh (w1rh@...)
> wrote:
> > I just realized that two or three years ago I
> uploaded the log for
> > J3/W1RH. I have the certificate and can see the
> uploaded
> > QSO's but,
> > to date, I have not received any QSL's. Now, there
> were only
> > 200 Q's
> > in this upload but I have received many paper
> QSL's. Before I
> > upload
> > the huge logs for J37VG, I want to make sure I did
> not do something
> > wrong.
> >
> > My own call sign shows QSL returns weekly so I
> know how to do it. Any
> > ideas why I may not have received any
> confirmations on the J3 log?
> > Anything different about a DX log that I might
> have missed?
> >
> > Bob

> > W1RH
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
LOTW/message/4384;_ylc=X3oDMTM1YWg4M25uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTc
wNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4MwR0cGNJZAM0Mzg0](1)
> > Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMXJjYjh2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzE
wOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4Mw-
> > -?act=reply&messageNum=4384]| Start a new topic
> [link: groups.yahoo.
> > com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaXA5NnJnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzE
wOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDM5ODM-
> >]
> > Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

4391. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] No QSL's

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 03:53:22 UTC
From: Robert Hess
Topic: 4384 Message: 4391

Yes
--- FireBrick <w9ol@...> wrote:

> another question, when you go to LoTW-Your QSO's and
> enter that call from
> the 'Your Calls sign:' box, does it show the qsos as
> being entered?
>
>
> On 12/5/2007 7:19:41 PM, w1rh (w1rh@...)
> wrote:
> > I just realized that two or three years ago I
> uploaded the log for
> > J3/W1RH. I have the certificate and can see the
> uploaded
> > QSO's but,
> > to date, I have not received any QSL's. Now, there
> were only
> > 200 Q's
> > in this upload but I have received many paper
> QSL's. Before I
> > upload
> > the huge logs for J37VG, I want to make sure I did
> not do something
> > wrong.
> >
> > My own call sign shows QSL returns weekly so I
> know how to do it. Any
> > ideas why I may not have received any
> confirmations on the J3 log?
> > Anything different about a DX log that I might
> have missed?
> >
> > Bob
> > W1RH
> >
> >
> > Messages in this topic [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
LOTW/message/4384;_ylc=X3oDMTM1YWg4M25uBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3Z0cGMEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4MwR0cGNJZAM0Mzg0]
(1)
> > Reply (via web post) [link:
> groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-

> >
>
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJxMXJjYjh2BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzE
wOARtc2dJZAM0Mzg0BHNlYwNmdHIEc2xrA3JwbHkEc3RpbWUDMTE5NjkwMzk4Mw-
> > -?act=reply&messageNum=4384]| Start a new topic
> [link: groups.yahoo.
> > com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
LOTW/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJmaXA5NnJnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExMTE2OTY1BGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzE
wOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1lAzExOTY5MDM5ODM-
> >]
> > Messages [link: groups.yahoo.com/group/ARRL-
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

4392. "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 05:43:27 UTC
From: Joe K2UF
Topic: 4392 Message: 4392

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest. I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4393. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 05:51:57 UTC
From: Clark L. Stewart
Topic: 4392 Message: 4393

Joe,

I have 13 QSO's for TI5N uploaded to LoTW but NONE of them have been
QSL'd there. Looks like he may have a problem with the logs he has uploaded.

Clark, W8TN

At 12:43 AM 12/6/2007 -0500, you wrote:
>Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past
>several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and
>LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest.
>I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I
>know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?
>
>73 es Thanks
>
>Joe C. K2UF

4394. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 05:56:40 UTC
From: K0HB
Topic: 4392 Message: 4394
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I have 12 contacts confirmed with TI5N going back to 1999, including 4 in 2007 contests.

DetailsK0HBTI5N2007-11-25 22:23:0420MCW14.044000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2007-11-25 19:21:1915MCW21.065000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2007-07-15 04:59:2280MCW3.523000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2007-07-15 02:14:3040MCW7.040000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2006-11-25 02:01:4880MCW3.514000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2006-11-25 01:07:1040MCW7.061000
DetailsK0HBTI5N2003-10-26 22:08:0015MSSB21.310
DetailsK0HBTI5N2003-10-25 01:48:0020MSSB14.272
DetailsK0HBTI5N2003-08-03 04:01:0080MCW3.5250
DetailsK0HBTI5N2003-08-03 04:00:0040MCW7.0250
DetailsK0HBTI5N2000-11-26 22:36:0015MCW21.051
DetailsK0HBTI5N1999-11-28 05:35:0020MCW14.042

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe K2UF
To: ARRL-LOTW \(E-mail\)
Sent: 12/6/2007 5:43:31 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past
several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and
LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest.
I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I
know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4395. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 08:49:07 UTC
From: Vilnis Vosekalns
Topic: 4392 Message: 4395

K0HB wrote:

Hi,
tnx for info , Yes TI5N also for me NOT confirmed, 5 qsos from 2000 and 2003 .
But , Your qsos is NOT confirmed too- for illustration my qsos with Ingus /YL2TW -
for confirmed in last column staying name of country .
Last upload for *TI5N*: 2007-03-30 15:23:43Z - LoTW say that, but strange anyway....
Maybe someone have closer contact with someone from TI5N team in 2003 RTTY WPX?

73
Vilnis / YL2KF

Call sign *Worked* *Date/Time* *Band* *Mode* *Freq* *QSL*
Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110602574> YL2KF YL2TW 2005-03-20 12:29:00 20M RTTY

Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110601026> YL2KF YL2TW 2004-10-10 15:36:00 40M RTTY
LATVIA <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=73418110>
Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110591371> YL2KF YL2TW 2003-03-29 00:36:00 80M SSB
LATVIA <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=73405187>
Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110541769> YL2KF YL2TW 1994-04-27 16:37:00 80M SSB
LATVIA <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=73396739>
Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110538477> YL2KF YL2TW 1992-10-15 21:51:00 160M SSB

Details <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=110533851> YL2KF YL2TW 1991-08-03 21:46:00 80M CW
LATVIA <https://p1k.arrl.org/lotwuser/qsodetail?qso=88573865>

4396. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PSK63F

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 10:43:59 UTC
From: JV
Topic: 4385 Message: 4396

Morning Bill
Tks for information. Will give it a go after my peepers open.
Happy Holidays
JV

-------Original Message-------

From: FireBrick
Date: 12/5/2007 9:06:17 PM
To: LoTW List
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] PSK63F

and I forgot to add
after you add that 'variant'

just re-sign the log or that particular qso and it will be accepted.

On 12/5/2007 7:47:11 PM, JV (jv@...) wrote:
> Just bought my submittal to LoTW up to date. My PSK63F QSO with C21R was
> kicked out. Said "not a valiant mode"??? This was taken from DXBase log.
> How
> do I correct it?
> JV
>

4397. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 12:06:33 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 4392 Message: 4397

28 QSOs with TI5N since 2004 - only 4 confirmed. Most all are contest Qs.

73 Rich NN3W

----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2007 12:56 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

I have 12 contacts confirmed with TI5N going back to 1999, including 4 in 2007 contests.

Details K0HB TI5N 2007-11-25 22:23:04 20M CW 14.044000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-11-25 19:21:19 15M CW 21.065000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-07-15 04:59:22 80M CW 3.523000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-07-15 02:14:30 40M CW 7.040000
Details K0HB TI5N 2006-11-25 02:01:48 80M CW 3.514000
Details K0HB TI5N 2006-11-25 01:07:10 40M CW 7.061000
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-10-26 22:08:00 15M SSB 21.310
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-10-25 01:48:00 20M SSB 14.272
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-08-03 04:01:00 80M CW 3.5250
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-08-03 04:00:00 40M CW 7.0250
Details K0HB TI5N 2000-11-26 22:36:00 15M CW 21.051
Details K0HB TI5N 1999-11-28 05:35:00 20M CW 14.042

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe K2UF
To: ARRL-LOTW \(E-mail\)
Sent: 12/6/2007 5:43:31 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past
several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and
LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest.
I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I
know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4398. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 13:30:42 UTC
From: Kenneth Moorman
Topic: 4392 Message: 4398

I have worked this station 6 times since 2006 but have received no LOTW QSLs as yet from it. I have received paper QSLs through W3HNK on the two occasions I sent my QSL to him for 4 of the QSOs.

Ken, NU4I

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Joe K2UF
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2007 12:43 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW (E-mail)
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSLs from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest. I have rcvd QSLs from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4399. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 15:56:47 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4392 Message: 4399

Hi Hans,

The QSOs in the table below are NOT LotW confirmed. I have 22 QSOs with TI5N and none are LotW confirmed.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: K0HB
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2007 12:56 AM
Subject: RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

I have 12 contacts confirmed with TI5N going back to 1999, including 4 in 2007 contests.

Details K0HB TI5N 2007-11-25 22:23:04 20M CW 14.044000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-11-25 19:21:19 15M CW 21.065000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-07-15 04:59:22 80M CW 3.523000
Details K0HB TI5N 2007-07-15 02:14:30 40M CW 7.040000
Details K0HB TI5N 2006-11-25 02:01:48 80M CW 3.514000
Details K0HB TI5N 2006-11-25 01:07:10 40M CW 7.061000
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-10-26 22:08:00 15M SSB 21.310
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-10-25 01:48:00 20M SSB 14.272
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-08-03 04:01:00 80M CW 3.5250
Details K0HB TI5N 2003-08-03 04:00:00 40M CW 7.0250
Details K0HB TI5N 2000-11-26 22:36:00 15M CW 21.051
Details K0HB TI5N 1999-11-28 05:35:00 20M CW 14.042

--
><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

----- Original Message -----
From: Joe K2UF
To: ARRL-LOTW \(E-mail\)
Sent: 12/6/2007 5:43:31 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past
several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and
LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest.
I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I
know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4400. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 16:30:06 UTC
From: Jim W0EEA
Topic: 4392 Message: 4400

Hi,

I have 7 QSOs with TI5N. All uploaded to LoTW.

One has been confirmed. It is a SSB QSO on 20M from
March 4th of this year, made during the ARRL DX contest.

I do not find anything different on my end that would have
caused this one to be confirmed while the others were not.

73,
Jim

4401. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 17:15:39 UTC
From: John Bastin
Topic: 4392 Message: 4401

I have 30 contacts with TI5N since December of 2002. NONE have been confirmed via LotW.

I have two paper QSLs that I received for a couple of the contacts, via W3HNK. TI5N QSLs are no problem, just not via LotW.

73,

John Bastin, K8AJS
bastinj@...

4402. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 17:18:15 UTC
From: Ken Kinyon
Topic: 4392 Message: 4402

The Remarks at QRZ.com say that TI5N is a call used by guest operators at TI5KD.
I am speculating that it is the guest operators who do or do not upload the
logs.
73,
Ken W7TS

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]On
Behalf Of Jim W0EEA
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2007 09:30
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Hi,

I have 7 QSOs with TI5N. All uploaded to LoTW.

One has been confirmed. It is a SSB QSO on 20M from
March 4th of this year, made during the ARRL DX contest.

I do not find anything different on my end that would have
caused this one to be confirmed while the others were not.

73,
Jim

Yahoo! Groups Links

4403. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 23:36:14 UTC
From: Joseph Lutz
Topic: 4392 Message: 4403

Hi Joe,

I have 85 QSO's uploaded to LOTW - NO HITS!!
73,
JOE W7LPF
Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
MGR: 4L1DA
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe K2UF
To: ARRL-LOTW (E-mail)
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2007 05:43
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest. I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?

73 es Thanks

Joe C. K2UF

4404. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-06 23:57:54 UTC
From: R Johnson
Topic: 4392 Message: 4404

Hi:
I have 10 QSO's in the log from TI5N between 2001 and 2007. All SSB contests.
Only one confirmed is for the 2007 ARRL DX contest.

CQ WW SSB 2007 has not been confirmed yet.

Maybe they just joined LOTW and are working on uploading QSO's from latest to oldest.

73 es Merry Christmas (Happy Holidays to all others)
Bob, K1VU

At 00:43 12/6/2007, you wrote:

>Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in the past
>several years during contest. I was told that the logs were uploaded and
>LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX contest.
>I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N Q's so I
>know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?
>
>73 es Thanks
>
>Joe C. K2UF
>
>

4405. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-07 00:02:06 UTC
From: W4LDE-Ron
Topic: 4392 Message: 4405

Joe,

When I started using LOTW the hit ratio was around 5% when comparing too E-QSL at 10%. I have over 4500 QSO logged in both locations and as expected E-QSL has about 10% confirmed with a authentic user while LOTW confirmation, from authentic users, is now up to around 13%. Don't give up on LOTW and or E-QSL, there both fun to use.

QSLing via bureau's is also recommended and lots of fun. When it comes to TI5 via LOTW I know that TI5A has QSLed OK using LOTW

Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

73 de
Ron W4LDE

Joseph Lutz wrote:
> Hi Joe,
>
> I have 85 QSO's uploaded to LOTW - NO HITS!!
> 73,
> JOE W7LPF
> Ex: W7ZQV/KG6 - W7LPF/DU2 - CT1DKG/CR7DKG - HB9IBA
> MGR: 4L1DA
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Joe K2UF <mailto:joe@...>
> *To:* ARRL-LOTW (E-mail) <mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Thursday, December 06, 2007 05:43
> *Subject:* [ARRL-LOTW] "TI" confirmation problems
>
> Has anyone rcvd LOTW QSL's from TI5N ? I worked him many times in
> the past
> several years during contest. I was told that the logs were
> uploaded and
> LOTW says that their log was last uploaded after the 2007 ARRL DX
> contest.
> I have rcvd QSL's from several stations on either side of the TI5N
> Q's so I
> know my times are within the 30 minute window. Any ideas ?
>
> 73 es Thanks
>
> Joe C. K2UF
>
>

4406. TI5N QSL's from LotW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-07 03:52:25 UTC
From: Joe K2UF
Topic: 4406 Message: 4406

Thanks to all for your response to my question. It looks like there is a problem. It may be that only selected logs have been uploaded. I came across this problem when I was trying to finish up my 80 meter QSL's for my 5BDXCC CW cert. I know there are other DX QSO's in my logs that have the same problem. I am going to try compiling a list and see if I can get any answers from Newington. I have a 20+% QSL rate with 28000+ QSO's in LotW which seems very good compared to some of the comments in responses to my previous question.

Have a good and safe holiday season to all

73 Joe K2UF

4407. RE: "TI" confirmation problems

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-07 09:22:16 UTC
From: Phil Cooper
Topic: 4392 Message: 4407

Hi all,

I have two contacts with TI5N on RTTY. One on 10m from Feb 2003, and one on 40m from Feb 2007, and neither are confirmed on LoTW.
Fortunately, I don't "need" either, as I already have TI confirmed on most bands.
However, it would be great of TI5N could get any problems sorted out for LoTW.
There are quite a few LoTW users that upload VERY infrequently, and some have not done an upload since LoTW started.

I am currently running at about 25% of my logbook (around 13k QSL's from 51k contacts) being confirmed on LoTW, which is amazing.

73 all

Phil GU0SUP

4408. Awards 'Linking' question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-08 16:25:19 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4408 Message: 4408

I think I know the answer but here's the question.

I have/had 3 callsigns
WN9VOL 1967
WA9VOL
W9OL

I have certificates for all three.
I have worked DX on all three.
I have submitted logs for all three.

But only WA9VOL and W9OL show up as linked in the awards page.

now I 'think' (this is where I get in trouble) that the reason WN9VOL does not show up as 'valid to be linked' is because it doesn't have any LoTW qsls.

Even though many of my original DXCC application did in fact contain qsls for WN9VOL.
It appears that none of the many qsos I had as a novice matched with anyone submitting their old logs.

I realize not many people have transcribed logs that old onto their computers.
But maybe some reader may look through their 1967 logbook and find me.
Sure would love to get one of those, just for the heck of it.

pse & tu

1st rule of intelligent tinkering - save all the parts

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4409. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards 'Linking' question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-08 16:39:17 UTC
From: Robert Chudek
Topic: 4408 Message: 4409

Maybe you could test your theory by generating a fake QSO between WN9VOL and W9OL? (They do this time warp stuff on Star Trek all the time, you know.)

Or maybe we worked each other in the '60's? Naaa... I doubt it...

73 de Bob - KØRC in MN

http://k0rc.spaces.live.com
http://czudek.spaces.live.com
http://chudek.aberon.net
http://www.pclink.com/~k0rc

----- Original Message -----
From: FireBrick
To: LoTW List
Sent: Saturday, December 08, 2007 10:25 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards 'Linking' question

I think I know the answer but here's the question.

I have/had 3 callsigns
WN9VOL 1967
WA9VOL
W9OL

I have certificates for all three.
I have worked DX on all three.
I have submitted logs for all three.

But only WA9VOL and W9OL show up as linked in the awards page.

now I 'think' (this is where I get in trouble) that the reason WN9VOL does not show up as 'valid to be linked' is because it doesn't have any LoTW qsls.

Even though many of my original DXCC application did in fact contain qsls for WN9VOL.
It appears that none of the many qsos I had as a novice matched with anyone submitting their old logs.

I realize not many people have transcribed logs that old onto their computers.
But maybe some reader may look through their 1967 logbook and find me.
Sure would love to get one of those, just for the heck of it.

pse & tu

1st rule of intelligent tinkering - save all the parts

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4410. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards 'Linking' question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-08 18:03:14 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4408 Message: 4410

Hi Bill,

Same situation here. I have 2 calls I do not have QSLs for and they don't show as linked. You can test to make sure these calls are linked by logging in underW9OL and then try to look up QSOs with WN9VOL. If you can look up the WN9VOL QSOs when logged in as W9OL then it is linked.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: "FireBrick" <w9ol@...>

>I think I know the answer but here's the question.
>
> I have/had 3 callsigns
> WN9VOL 1967
> WA9VOL
> W9OL
>
> I have certificates for all three.
> I have worked DX on all three.
> I have submitted logs for all three.
>
> But only WA9VOL and W9OL show up as linked in the awards page.
>
> now I 'think' (this is where I get in trouble) that the reason WN9VOL does
> not show up as 'valid to be linked' is because it doesn't have any LoTW
> qsls.
>
> Even though many of my original DXCC application did in fact contain qsls
> for WN9VOL.
> It appears that none of the many qsos I had as a novice matched with
> anyone
> submitting their old logs.
>
> I realize not many people have transcribed logs that old onto their
> computers.
> But maybe some reader may look through their 1967 logbook and find me.
> Sure would love to get one of those, just for the heck of it.
>
> pse & tu
>
>
> ----------
> 1st rule of intelligent tinkering - save all the parts

> ----------
>
> Bill H. in Chicagoland
> webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
> Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org
group, send an email to:
> ARRL-LOTW-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>

4411. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Awards 'Linking' question

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-09 00:24:47 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4408 Message: 4411

At 11:25 AM 12/8/2007, FireBrick wrote:
>I think I know the answer but here's the question.
>
>I have/had 3 callsigns
>WN9VOL 1967
>WA9VOL
>W9OL
>
>I have certificates for all three.
>I have worked DX on all three.
>I have submitted logs for all three.
>
>But only WA9VOL and W9OL show up as linked in the awards page.
>
>now I 'think' (this is where I get in trouble) that the reason WN9VOL
>does
>not show up as 'valid to be linked' is because it doesn't have any LoTW
>qsls. Even though many of my original DXCC application did in fact
>contain qsls
>for WN9VOL.

I don't think that matters. What does matter is if there's a
post-computerization DXCC account for the callsign. You probably have
just a WA9VOL account that also has QSOs from WN9VOL.
-Rick

4412. WAS Award

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-09 02:15:48 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 4412 Message: 4412

Just curious on the turn around time from the day one submits an application for WAS on LOTW to the time it normally shows up in the mailbox?

Thanks!

Phil

4413. LotW DXCC application processed in 5 days

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-09 19:10:07 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4413 Message: 4413

In light of Bill Moore's DXCC Blog posting indicating the current backlog in processing DXCC applications is estimated to be 15 Weeks, I though I'd mention this. On 4-Dec-2007 I submitted an all LotW QSL DXCC application (update - not new application) of 18 LotW QSLs. I mainly did it for the LotW QSL that put me on the CW Honor Roll. Well the ARRL processed my application in 5 days. It appeared on my LotW page and the CW Honor Roll listing some time today. So I guess the computer people are working on Sunday.

Anyway LotW DXCC applications are an alternative to the 15 week wait. Of course you can always go in person to ARRL HQ with your cards and not have to wait.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com

4414. Re:LotW DXCc application processed in 5 days

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-10 12:50:44 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 4414 Message: 4414

I sent in paper QSL cards for my last 3 entities for
mixed #1 Honor Roll (logged in Sept 24) and received
the credits about a week later. But they still have
the cards and I expect it will be another month or so
to get them back. So it is not just LoTW for instant
credits. Maybe it was quick because there were only 3
countries? They could be using a 2 step process -
maybe screening out the easy ones for quick action.

I think the DXCC desk is probably really swamped with
all the BS7H cards coming in this year.

Russ WQ3X

_____
Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

4415. Re:LotW DXCc application processed in 5 days

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-10 13:08:16 UTC
From: k1xv
Topic: 4414 Message: 4415

I had a "mixed" application with just a few LoTW confirmations, but over 200 paper cards. I sent it about Sept 12, and I got the DXCC credits in late November, about 11 weeks or so. I still have not gotten the cards back.

Ray K1XV

4416. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re:LotW DXCc application processed in 5 days

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-10 15:05:31 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4414 Message: 4416

You're right, Russ, they will process a couple of cards quickly but the number is small. Anything over a few cards and you're going to have to wait. The BS7H cards have been out for a long time. I got my cards in May and LotW QSLs in June so I don't think that is the reason for the backlog.

I know that there was a problem with the LotW system that the programmers were working on. That may have contributed to the backlog. When I was there in June I remember Bill telling me there was a change made that contributed to the backlog increase in the early part of 2007 and that they were expecting to work that backlog down. I guess they haven't. I had an update in April that took 84 days to process and 97 days to get the cards back. That was by far the longest. I did 6 updates in a year to get my Challenge, Mode and Band DXCCs up to day. That was a lot of work!

Hey Russ, contrats on the #1 DXCC!

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the Code!"
w2nra.com
----- Original Message -----
From: "Russ" <combatruss@...>

>I sent in paper QSL cards for my last 3 entities for
> mixed #1 Honor Roll (logged in Sept 24) and received
> the credits about a week later. But they still have
> the cards and I expect it will be another month or so
> to get them back. So it is not just LoTW for instant
> credits. Maybe it was quick because there were only 3
> countries? They could be using a 2 step process -
> maybe screening out the easy ones for quick action.
>
> I think the DXCC desk is probably really swamped with
> all the BS7H cards coming in this year.
>
> Russ WQ3X

4417. 3X5C confirmed on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-12 12:45:00 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4417 Message: 4417

Received confirmation of 3X5C. The confirmations did NOT include any contacts made during the CQWW CW contest but only those before and after the contest. I presume the contest logs have not been uploaded yet.

Jeff krOo

4418. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 3X5C confirmed on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-12 13:25:04 UTC
From: Mike Fulcher
Topic: 4417 Message: 4418

I uploaded just the non contest QSO's. I hope to have time this
weekend, probably Sunday, to do the rest of the work and get the
contest logs uploaded.

Mike
KC7V
3X5A

At 12:45 12/12/2007, you wrote:

>Received confirmation of 3X5C. The confirmations did NOT include any
>contacts made during the CQWW CW contest but only those before and
>after the contest. I presume the contest logs have not been uploaded
>yet.
>
>Jeff krOo
>
>

4419. Re: 3X5C confirmed on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-12 15:01:44 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4417 Message: 4419

Great work Mike, perhaps one of the quickest downloads of dxpedition logs ever. Glad to hear the contest logs are coming soon as I was fortunate enough to catch a 10 meter opening and work 3X5A during the contest on that band.

73
Jeff

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Mike Fulcher <kc7v@...> wrote:
>
> I uploaded just the non contest QSO's. I hope to have time this
> weekend, probably Sunday, to do the rest of the work and get the
> contest logs uploaded.
>
> Mike
> KC7V
> 3X5A
>
>
> At 12:45 12/12/2007, you wrote:
>
> >Received confirmation of 3X5C. The confirmations did NOT include
any
> >contacts made during the CQWW CW contest but only those before and
> >after the contest. I presume the contest logs have not been
uploaded
> >yet.
> >
> >Jeff krOo
> >
> >
>

4420. Re: 3X5C confirmed on LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-12 15:03:28 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4417 Message: 4420

Oops that should have read 3X5C and not "A".

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, chefjeff52 <no_reply@...> wrote:
>
> Great work Mike, perhaps one of the quickest downloads of
dxpedition
> logs ever. Glad to hear the contest logs are coming soon as I was
> fortunate enough to catch a 10 meter opening and work 3X5A during
> the contest on that band.
>
> 73
> Jeff
>
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Mike Fulcher <kc7v@> wrote:
> >
> > I uploaded just the non contest QSO's. I hope to have time this
> > weekend, probably Sunday, to do the rest of the work and get the
> > contest logs uploaded.
> >
> > Mike
> > KC7V
> > 3X5A
> >
> >
> > At 12:45 12/12/2007, you wrote:
> >
> > >Received confirmation of 3X5C. The confirmations did NOT
include
> any
> > > >contacts made during the CQWW CW contest but only those before
and
> > > >after the contest. I presume the contest logs have not been
> uploaded
> > > >yet.
> > > >
> > > >Jeff krOo
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>

4421. Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-15 14:21:32 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4421 Message: 4421

It appears all logs for the recent 3X5A Guinea operation have been uploaded to LOTW. A special thanks to Mike and the rest of the gang for a first class operation and the very quick confirmations via LOTW.

Jeff kr0o

4422. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-15 14:45:50 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 4421 Message: 4422

Does that include non-contest Qs? I've got two non contest QSOs - none of them uploaded thus far (or at least, no "hits").

73 Rich NN3W

----- Original Message -----
From: chefjeff52
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 15, 2007 9:21 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

It appears all logs for the recent 3X5A Guinea operation have been
uploaded to LOTW. A special thanks to Mike and the rest of the gang
for a first class operation and the very quick confirmations via LOTW.

Jeff kr0o

4423. Re: Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-15 16:23:05 UTC
From: chefjeff52
Topic: 4421 Message: 4423

Rich I received confirmation for non-contest contacts on Dec. 12 and the contest qso's were confirmed today.

kr0o

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Richard DiDonna NN3W" <nn3w@...> wrote:
>
> Does that include non-contest Qs? I've got two non contest QSOs - none of them uploaded thus far (or at least, no "hits").
>
> 73 Rich NN3W
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: chefjeff52
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, December 15, 2007 9:21 AM
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group
>
>
> It appears all logs for the recent 3X5A Guinea operation have been
> uploaded to LOTW. A special thanks to Mike and the rest of the gang
> for a first class operation and the very quick confirmations via LOTW.
>
> Jeff kr0o
>

4424. Re: Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-16 23:24:58 UTC
From: wd4elg_base
Topic: 4421 Message: 4424

Ditto. Nicely done!
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, chefjeff52 <no_reply@...> wrote:
>
> It appears all logs for the recent 3X5A Guinea operation have been
> uploaded to LOTW. A special thanks to Mike and the rest of the gang
> for a first class operation and the very quick confirmations via LOTW.
>
> Jeff kr0o
>

4425. Re: Tnx Mike KC7V & Voodoo Contest Group

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-18 07:18:37 UTC
From: timgep
Topic: 4421 Message: 4425

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "wd4elg_base" <mlunday@...> wrote:
>
> Ditto. Nicely done!
> --- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, chefjeff52 <no_reply@> wrote:
> >
> > It appears all logs for the recent 3X5A Guinea operation have been
> > uploaded to LOTW. A special thanks to Mike and the rest of the gang
> > for a first class operation and the very quick confirmations via LOTW.
> >
> > Jeff kr0o
> >
>
Agreed. Awesome DXpedition.

"You do that voodoo that you do so well"

Tim K6GEP

4426. H7DX On LOTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-18 17:49:37 UTC
From: Steve Harwood
Topic: 4426 Message: 4426

I just got nine hits from (Nicaragua) H7DX in 2002 on LOTW!
Nice One!

Steve G4OWT

4427. GJ2A

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-18 18:26:49 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4427 Message: 4427

I got an LotW QSL for GJ2A on 80 meters.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

4428. received today 4U1ET

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-20 22:04:13 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4428 Message: 4428

4U1ET via Lotw
for a July 2001 qso.

Thank you.

4429. 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-21 12:37:27 UTC
From: FireBrick
Topic: 4429 Message: 4429

I'm please to see the LoTW site report that the amount of qsos in LoTW has doubled since 2005.
Looking at the graph on www.hb9bza.net/lotw/#howtohelp

It looks like Users have also doubled since 2005.

I'm sure the DXLabs easy upload/dload system has contributed greatly.
I know for certain the digital dxing/contesting ops are doing their part.
Wonder if the LoTW Specialist has ever broken down the ratios between modes and users?

The LoTW home page mentions that new awards will be added in 2008.

My cw and digital buffers all say 'I qsl via LoTW daily'

Happy Holidays to all.

May all your family trees branch toward the stars!

Bill H. in Chicagoland
webcams at http://76.16.160.118:8080/
Current Weather at http://hhweather.webhop.org

4430. DXCC and LogBook of The World Applications

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-21 18:18:26 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4430 Message: 4430

The following was posted on the LotW Blog today:
DXCC receives a number of applications where applicants ask DXCC staff to prepare LoTW electronic applications for them as part of a hybrid application. This is a reminder that only the owner of a certificate can access their personal account and prepare electronic applications associated with that account. DXCC staff cannot prepare electronic applications for applicants. LoTW involves fees and selection of certain QSOs and DXCC staff cannot make this determination.
Also, DXCC handles a number of Hybrid applications where cards and an LoTW element are intended to be handled as one application. It is EXTREMELY important that the LoTW element be done first. If you send cards and do the LoTW element later on, it is likely that DXCC will handle each application separately and this involves subsequent submission fees noted in Rule 15. So, please be sure to do the LoTW portion first.
Also, with hybrid applications please be sure to complete all sections of the electronic application. In addition, this includes on screen part 2 where it asks if paper QSOs will be sent as part of this application. Even of you visit a local card checker, if you prepare a hybrid application make sure you place a positive number in this box to avoid paper and LoTW applications from being handled separately, again subjecting the application to higher fees.
For information on the step-by-step instructions on preparing hybrid applications please visit the FAQ on the DXCC web site (www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc). There is a section near the end to help with DXCC and LoTW.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

4431. Wow....a nice one on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 02:49:23 UTC
From: Richard DiDonna NN3W
Topic: 4431 Message: 4431

VU7LD/VU2PAI confirmed via LoTW today.

Don't see ones like that every day!

73 Rich NN3W

4432. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Wow....a nice one on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 05:01:36 UTC
From: Hamad 9K2HN
Topic: 4431 Message: 4432
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

[Attachment content not displayed]

4433. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Wow....a nice one on LoTW

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 05:52:50 UTC
From: Vilnis YL2KF
Topic: 4431 Message: 4433

Hi,
also VU7LD/VU3SPQ added , he was as VU7LD entered
before.

GL 73 Vilnis / YL2KF

Hamad 9K2HN wrote:
I have just found also that VU7LD/VU2VKU & VU7LD/VU3DMP are added.

de 9k2hn
Hamad

On Dec 22, 2007 5:49 AM, Richard DiDonna NN3W <nn3w@...> wrote:

VU7LD/VU2PAI confirmed via LoTW today.

Don't see ones like that every day!

73 Rich NN3W

_____ NOD32 2741 (20071221) Information _____

This message was checked by NOD32 antivirus system.
http://www.eset.com

4434. RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 17:09:32 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4434 Message: 4434

Hi Byron,

No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However, assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab. Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC matrix.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]
On Behalf Of Byron Lichtenwalner

I am also pleased with progress of LOTW. HB9BZA has provided a lot of analysis and useful information about LOTW and it's users.

I have been concerned about sending him information from my LOTW downloaded
files since I chose to combine my Non-Lotw DXCC records with my LOTW confirmations.

However, last night I downloaded my LOTW ADIF file, and it appears to contain only the listing of LOTW confirmed contacts, not the "Card" Confirmations from the DXCC desk, although the "Card" info is available on
LOTW. Can anyone verify that this is the case?

Byron, W1HAB

4435. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 17:54:24 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4434 Message: 4435

To down load your total credit matrix, do as Art says but when in the matrix, highlight (left click and drag) the total matrix (not select all, as that will include the LoTW table above it). Right click on the highlighted matrix and select COPY. Then open Word or Excel and paste the matrix file into either of these applications. Word will allow you to print nicely and Excel will allow you to digitally convert or import to other application (maybe using .csv format).

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Art Searle W2NRA
To: 'LoTW List' ; dxlab@yahoogroups.com ; 'RTTY List'
Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 10:09 AM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Hi Byron,

No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However, assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab. Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC matrix.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]
On Behalf Of Byron Lichtenwalner

I am also pleased with progress of LOTW. HB9BZA has provided a lot of analysis and useful information about LOTW and it's users.

I have been concerned about sending him information from my LOTW downloaded
files since I chose to combine my Non-Lotw DXCC records with my LOTW confirmations.

However, last night I downloaded my LOTW ADIF file, and it appears to
contain only the listing of LOTW confirmed contacts, not the "Card"
Confirmations from the DXCC desk, although the "Card" info is available
on
LOTW. Can anyone verify that this is the case?

Byron, W1HAB

4436. RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-22 20:29:29 UTC
From: Dave AA6YQ
Topic: 4434 Message: 4436

The ARRL's development team is starting to think about providing programmatic access to DXCC records. The instant that's available, I will extend DXKeeper to exploit this capability.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]On Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 12:10 PM
To: 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
Subject: Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Hi Byron,

No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However, assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab. Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC matrix.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]
On Behalf Of Byron Lichtenwalner

I am also pleased with progress of LOTW. HB9BZA has provided a lot of analysis and useful information about LOTW and it's users.

I have been concerned about sending him information from my LOTW downloaded
files since I chose to combine my Non-Lotw DXCC records with my LOTW confirmations.

However, last night I downloaded my LOTW ADIF file, and it appears to contain only the listing of LOTW confirmed contacts, not the "Card" Confirmations from the DXCC desk, although the "Card" info is available on
LOTW. Can anyone verify that this is the case?

Byron, W1HAB

_____
RTTY mailing list
RTTY@...
http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

4437. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-23 00:08:32 UTC
From: Julio Peralta
Topic: 4434 Message: 4437

Dave what does "programmatic access to DXCC records" mean?

Julio, W4HY

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of Dave AA6YQ
Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 3:29 PM
To: Art Searle W2NRA; 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] RE: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

The ARRL's development team is starting to think about providing
programmatic access to DXCC records. The instant that's available, I
will
extend DXKeeper to exploit this capability.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]On
Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 12:10 PM
To: 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
Subject: Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Hi Byron,

No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However,
assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when
you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab.
Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of
what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit
Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC
matrix.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

-----Original Message-----
From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]
On Behalf Of Byron Lichtenwalner

I am also pleased with progress of LOTW. HB9BZA has provided a lot of
analysis and useful information about LOTW and it's users.

I have been concerned about sending him information from my LOTW
downloaded
files since I chose to combine my Non-Lotw DXCC records with my LOTW
confirmations.

However, last night I downloaded my LOTW ADIF file, and it appears to
contain only the listing of LOTW confirmed contacts, not the "Card"
Confirmations from the DXCC desk, although the "Card" info is available
on
LOTW. Can anyone verify that this is the case?

Byron, W1HAB

_____
RTTY mailing list
RTTY@...
http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/rtty

Yahoo! Groups Links

4438. Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-23 22:49:55 UTC
From: Orest Zajac
Topic: 4434 Message: 4438

Art,
I'm a little confused, in that I don't have a link to "View Award
Credit Matrix." as you stated below. Could it be that I don't have
enough LOTW credits for DXCC? or am I looking in the wrong place. I
went to my LOTW as you explain, but no link to any matrix.
Thanks for your help!
73 Andy - N1ORK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]On
> Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
> Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 12:10 PM
> To: 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
> Subject: Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos
>
>
> Hi Byron,
>
> No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However,
> assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record,
when
> you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab.
> Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a
table of
> what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit
> Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC
> matrix.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the code!"
> w2nra.com

4439. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-23 23:24:47 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4434 Message: 4439

On the main LotW page: select AWARDS then SELECT DXCC AWARDS ACOUNT then scroll down to the bottom of this page and select VIEW AWARDS CREDIT MATRIX.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Orest Zajac
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2007 3:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Art,
I'm a little confused, in that I don't have a link to "View Award Credit Matrix." as you stated below. Could it be that I don't have enough LOTW credits for DXCC? or am I looking in the wrong place. I went to my LOTW as you explain, but no link to any matrix.
Thanks for your help!
73 Andy - N1ORK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]On
> Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
> Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 12:10 PM
> To: 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
> Subject: Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos
>
>
> Hi Byron,
>
> No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However,
> assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when
> you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab.
> Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of
> what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit
> Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC
> matrix.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the code!"

> w2nra.com

4440. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-24 00:14:25 UTC
From: Vladimir Sidorov
Topic: 4434 Message: 4440

In order to get an access to the matrix one has to get at least a basic DXCC award.

73,
Vladimir VE3IAE

On the main LotW page: select AWARDS then SELECT DXCC AWARDS ACOUNT then scroll down to the bottom of this page and select VIEW AWARDS CREDIT MATRIX.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: Orest Zajac
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2007 3:49 PM
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos

Art,
I'm a little confused, in that I don't have a link to "View Award Credit Matrix." as you stated below. Could it be that I don't have enough LOTW credits for DXCC? or am I looking in the wrong place. I went to my LOTW as you explain, but no link to any matrix.
Thanks for your help!
73 Andy - N1ORK

> -----Original Message-----
> From: rtty-bounces@... [mailto:rtty-bounces@...]On
> Behalf Of Art Searle W2NRA
> Sent: Saturday, December 22, 2007 12:10 PM
> To: 'LoTW List'; dxlab@yahoogroups.com; 'RTTY List'
> Subject: Re: [RTTY] 150 million qsos
>
>
> Hi Byron,
>
> No you cannot download your DXCC credits other than LotW. However,
> assuming you have all of your callsigns linked to your DXCC record, when
> you log on to the LotW website, Left-Mouse-Click on the Awards tab.
> Then click on "Select DXCC Award Account, this will show you a table of

> what is credited. Just below the table is a link "View Award Credit
> Matrix." If you click on this link you will see your complete DXCC
> matrix.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the code!"
> w2nra.com

4441. 1966 QSL!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-27 02:10:46 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 4441 Message: 4441

I am still working on entering the old paper logs here. I just uploaded the 1966 log to LoTW for my old call, WB2QJI - 1241 QSOs - and got my first match from the sixties. It was K3WWP on 40CW during the 1966 NJ QSO Party.

To me this makes all the typing and trying to read my writing from when I was a kid worthwhile.

Woo hoo! 1967 is next. (I'm hoping 1966 was the QSO peak. The number of QSOs started to taper off once I found out about girls, cars and motorcycles.)

73, Russ WQ3X

4442. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1966 QSL!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-27 07:47:55 UTC
From: berksbirds@aol.com
Topic: 4441 Message: 4442

In a message dated 27/12/2007 02:10:57 GMT Standard Time,
combatruss@... writes:

Woo hoo! 1967 is next. (I'm hoping 1966 was the QSO peak. The number of QSOs started to taper off once I found out about girls, cars and motorcycles.)

You're going to be amazed Russ. I got my ticket in 1975 and I still get the occasional confirmations from that era.. It's magic.

Bren G4DYO

4443. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1966 QSL!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-27 14:22:33 UTC
From: Gerry Hohn
Topic: 4441 Message: 4443

Russ,

I don't know how you're manually inputting you old logs but I did about 6,000 old Qs. I used EXCEL for data input (saved in .csv) and the BV http://www.df3cb.com/bv/ to convert to ADIF. Found this was pretty quick compared to inputting into a logger (I uses Logger32) or the LoTW manual input tool.

Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX
DXCC Field Checker-Southern Alberta
VE/VA6 QSL Bureau Team
(403) 251-0384
ve6lb@...
http://www.qsl.net/ve6lb/

----- Original Message -----
From: berksbirds@...
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 27, 2007 12:47 AM
Subject: Re: [ARRL-LOTW] 1966 QSL!

In a message dated 27/12/2007 02:10:57 GMT Standard Time, combatruss@... writes:
Woo hoo! 1967 is next. (I'm hoping 1966 was the QSO peak. The number of
QSOs started to taper off once I found out about girls, cars and
motorcycles.)

You're going to be amazed Russ. I got my ticket in 1975 and I still get the occasional confirmations from that era.. It's magic.

Bren G4DYO

4445. Re: 1966 QSL!

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-27 15:17:44 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 4441 Message: 4445

Hi Gerry and for those interested -

I'm using Win-EQF for QSO entry. I've used Log-EQF and Win-EQF for a long time and it is fast in the manual entry mode - almost as fast as a straight spreadsheet (which I played with also) but has some nice features like filling in the name and QTH data on repeat QSOs, plus the DXCC entity info. I played with DXKeeper too but for me it is not the right tool for fast data entry. DXK does have many strong points though and I do use it for doing the local-->UTC time conversions once the QSOs have been entered.

One feature I really like about Win-EQF is the ability to have small individual logs - I do it by year or sometimes for a specific contest - and you can merge all these into a "master" log which can be selected to use for automatic past QSO lookup.

73, Russ WQ3X

--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, "Gerry Hohn" <telwest@...> wrote:
>
>
> Russ,
>
> I don't know how you're manually inputting you old logs but I did about 6,000 old Qs. I used EXCEL for data input (saved in .csv) and the BV http://www.df3cb.com/bv/ to convert to ADIF. Found this was pretty quick compared to inputting into a logger (I uses Logger32) or the LoTW manual input tool.
>
> Gerry VE6LB/VA6XDX

4446. QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-31 15:41:15 UTC
From: pkmaint
Topic: 4446 Message: 4446

Hello to the group
I am recieving a message next to my states worked

KR4OW K0TI 2007-12-01 07:14:00 160M CW 1800 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA !
M* Minnesota: Basic; 160M; CW; 160M CW

M* = QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

What am I doing wrong?
David KR4OW

4447. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-31 16:07:05 UTC
From: Art Searle W2NRA
Topic: 4446 Message: 4447

Hi David,

Since you cannot specify the state of the station you are working in the LotW software I assume you are referring to a message from your logging software. I'd guess that you have the state abbreviation wrong in that software. It should be MN for Minnesota. But to be sure I'd direct your question to your logging software's reflector. If I'm right about this, it should have no bearing on your actual LotW WAS status which you can check for yourself on the LotW website.

73 Art W2NRA
"Keep to the code!"
w2nra.com

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of pkmaint
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2007 10:41 AM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Hello to the group
I am recieving a message next to my states worked

KR4OW K0TI 2007-12-01 07:14:00 160M CW 1800 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA !
M* Minnesota: Basic; 160M; CW; 160M CW

M* = QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

What am I doing wrong?
David KR4OW

4448. Re: QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-31 20:17:58 UTC
From: pkmaint
Topic: 4446 Message: 4448

This is the message is LOTW that is at the bottom of the screen.
and next to the check box for your basic/band box for that state I
checked the box but I still don't show in my state awards the states
that I checked. So I figure that I am doing something wrong. These
are legitimate QSLS from lotow so I know its something I am doing
David
--- In ARRL-LOTW@...m, Art Searle W2NRA <w2nra@...> wrote:
>
> Hi David,
>
> Since you cannot specify the state of the station you are working
in the
> LotW software I assume you are referring to a message from your
logging
> software. I'd guess that you have the state abbreviation wrong in
that
> software. It should be MN for Minnesota. But to be sure I'd direct
> your question to your logging software's reflector. If I'm right
about
> this, it should have no bearing on your actual LotW WAS status
which you
> can check for yourself on the LotW website.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the code!"
> w2nra.com
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of pkmaint
> Sent: Monday, December 31, 2007 10:41 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters
>
> Hello to the group
> I am recieving a message next to my states worked
>
> KR4OW K0TI 2007-12-01 07:14:00 160M CW 1800 UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA !
> M* Minnesota: Basic; 160M; CW; 160M CW
>
> M* = QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters
>
> What am I doing wrong?

> David KR4OW
>

4449. RE: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-31 21:47:20 UTC
From: Fusaro, Norm W3IZ
Topic: 4446 Message: 4449

Hi David.
What tabs in LoTW are you accessing to recieve this message?

I have looked at your account and the QSO for K0TI has MN as the State. (see below)

73 es HNY,
Norm Fusaro, W3IZ

Station
Call Sign KR4OW
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Worked Station
Worked K0TI
DXCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (291)
CQ Zone 4
ITU Zone 7
Grid EN34mv
State MN
County WASHINGTON
Date/Time 2007-12-01 07:14:00
Mode CW (CW)
Band 160M
Frequency 1800
QSL 2007-12-30 17:57:28

-----Original Message-----
From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com on behalf of pkmaint
Sent: Mon 12/31/2007 3:17 PM
To: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] Re: QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

This is the message is LOTW that is at the bottom of the screen.
and next to the check box for your basic/band box for that state I
checked the box but I still don't show in my state awards the states
that I checked. So I figure that I am doing something wrong. These
are legitimate QSLS from lotow so I know its something I am doing
David
--- In ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com, Art Searle W2NRA <w2nra@...> wrote:
>
> Hi David,
>
> Since you cannot specify the state of the station you are working
in the
> LotW software I assume you are referring to a message from your
logging

> software. I'd guess that you have the state abbreviation wrong in
that
> software. It should be MN for Minnesota. But to be sure I'd direct
> your question to your logging software's reflector. If I'm right
about
> this, it should have no bearing on your actual LotW WAS status
which you
> can check for yourself on the LotW website.
>
> 73 Art W2NRA
> "Keep to the code!"
> w2nra.com
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com [mailto:ARRL-LOTW@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of pkmaint
> Sent: Monday, December 31, 2007 10:41 AM
> To: ARRL-LOTW@...m
> Subject: [ARRL-LOTW] QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters
>
> Hello to the group
> I am recieving a message next to my states worked
>
> KR4OW K0TI 2007-12-01 07:14:00 160M CW 1800 UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA !
> M* Minnesota: Basic; 160M; CW; 160M CW
>
> M* = QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters
>
> What am I doing wrong?
> David KR4OW
>

4450. Re: [ARRL-LOTW] QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters

Yahoo group: ARRL-LOTW (2003 — 2007)
Date: 2007-12-31 23:49:25 UTC
From: Rick Murphy/K1MU
Topic: 4446 Message: 4450

At 10:41 AM 12/31/2007, pkmaint wrote:
>Hello to the group
>I am recieving a message next to my states worked
>
>KR4OW K0TI 2007-12-01 07:14:00 160M CW 1800 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA !
>M* Minnesota: Basic; 160M; CW; 160M CW
>
>M* = QSO does not match WAS Award Account parameters
>
>What am I doing wrong?
>David KR4OW

When you set up a WAS account on LotW, you provide it with a selection that tells LotW what QSOs are valid for WAS for that account. This is done because you may have lived in multiple locations, and as far as I understand it you must restart WAS if you move more than some set distance. (I'm hazy on the WAS details as I don't chase WAS.)
When you set up a WAS account, you tell LotW how to select QSOs that are valid for that account. For example, you can say stuff before a given date, after a date, etc. What LotW is telling you above is that you have a QSL, but your rules for your WAS account say it's not valid.

Look at your account setup: LotW Awards tab, select your WAS account, then pick "Modify Account". What are the rules applied to that account? You need to have something - in my case I have "Your DXCC Entity = United States of America".
-Rick